Title:

Genome-wide transposon mutagenesis of paramyxoviruses reveals constraints on

genomic plasticity

Short title:

Genomic scale interrogation of paramyxoviruses

Authors

S. Ikegame[1†], S. M. Beaty[1†], C. Stevens[1], S. T. Won[1], A. Park[1], D. Sachs[2], P. Hong[1], P. A.

Thibault[1*], B. Lee[1*]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Microbiology at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY

10029, USA.

[2] Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,

New York, NY 10029, USA.

[*] Correspondence to: patricia.thibault@usask.ca, benhur.lee@mssm.edu

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

The antigenic and genomic stability of paramyxoviruses remains a mystery. Here, we evaluate the genetic plasticity of Sendai virus (SeV) and mumps virus (MuV), sialic acid-using paramyxoviruses that infect mammals from two *Paramyxoviridae* subfamilies (*Orthoparamyxovirinae* and *Rubulavirinae*). We performed saturating whole-genome transposon insertional mutagenesis, and identified important commonalities: disordered regions in the N and P genes near the 3' genomic end were more tolerant to insertional disruptions; but the envelope glycoproteins were not, highlighting structural constraints that contribute to the restricted antigenic drift in paramyxoviruses. Nonetheless, when we applied our strategy to a fusion-defective Newcastle disease virus (*Avulavirinae* subfamily), we could select for F-revertants and other insertants in the 5' end of the genome. Our genome-wide interrogation of representative paramyxovirus genomes from all three *Paramyxoviridae* subfamilies provides a family-wide context in which to explore specific variations within and among paramyxovirus genera and species.

Introduction

The *Paramyxoviridae* family encompasses a diverse and ever-expanding range of mammalian

pathogens, including such familiar human viruses as measles (MeV), mumps (MuV), parainfluenza, and

henipaviruses (*1*). Paramyxoviruses (PMVs) are negative-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses with genes

coding for at least six major proteins: nucleocapsid (N), phosphoprotein (P), matrix (M), fusion

glycoprotein (F), receptor binding protein (RBP, formerly designated variously as HN, H, or G), and the

large protein (L) that possesses RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) activity (*2*). In addition, different

virus species encode a host of accessory proteins from the P gene. Others have additional less well-

characterized genes (*e.g.* for small-hydrophobic (SH) proteins in some orthorubulaviruses). Despite

persisting in human populations for centuries, individual PMVs show a remarkable lack of antigenic

variability within the common envelope glycoproteins (F and HN/H/G), and often cross-react to antibodies

raised against closely-related viruses (*3-5*). For example, the MeV and MuV strains used in the MMR

vaccines have not changed in the last 40 years, and yet are still protective against current field isolates (*6*).

Indeed, a MuV-like virus isolated from bats (*7*) is cross-neutralized by mumps-vaccinated human sera (*8*),

and the latest ICTV classification considers this bat mumps virus as a strain of MuV rather than a new

Orthorubulavirus species (*2*). This is in contrast to the well-known propensity for antigenic drift of

influenza virus, another negative-sense RNA virus, in response to various pressures including host

populations' adaptive immune responses (*9*).

Our lab previously examined the overall genetic plasticity of a vaccine-strain MeV through whole-

genome transposon insertional mutagenesis (*10*), and found that unlike influenza virus (*11*), MeV did not

tolerate insertional changes in its surface glycoproteins, F and H. MeV also demonstrated a greater overall

intolerance for mutagenesis throughout its genome, concomitant with the known increased genetic stability

of PMVs (*3, 12*). However, MeV-H utilizes protein receptors to mediate virus entry (*13*), while a wide range

of other PMVs use sialic acids (SAs) to facilitate entry (*14*), like influenza does. Thus, we sought to learn

whether divergent SA-using PMVs would demonstrate a tolerance in their attachment glycoproteins that

correlated with the virus family, or with receptor usage, and whether we would observe other genetic

constraints that were similar to those found in MeV.

We first generated genome-wide transposon insertional mutagenesis libraries of SeV (genus

Respirovirus) and MuV (genus *Orthorubulavirus*) as representative members from the two subfamilies of

Paramyxoviridae, Orthoparamyxovirinae and *Rubulavirinae,* that infect mammals. We then evaluated

enrichment of transposon abundance across the genome during serial passaging to identify genetic

plasticity—the ability to tolerate transposon insertions without a loss of replicative fitness—at any given

loci. We found that SeV and MuV show similar trends in genetic plasticity, permitting insertions in the 3'-

most N and P genes, and especially in the non-coding untranslated regions (UTRs). We then rescued

representative insertion mutants (insertants) among the most-enriched regions to determine the veracity of

our transposon mutagenesis library screens. In general, insertants in the N and P genes of both viruses were

viable while those elsewhere in the genome were not. Interestingly, in "multiplex" competition assays of

viable insertants, we found that SeV insertants demonstrated a differential fitness hierarchy from what was

observed in the library setting. In contrast, the fitness of MuV insertants was overall consistent with their

enrichment from the original transposon library. Finally, to determine if our experimental strategy had the

power to select for mutants and/or insertants that are vanishingly unlikely to occur naturally, that is, in an

otherwise inaccessible fitness landscape, we generated a transposon mutagenesis library in a Newcastle

disease virus (NDV) background made fusion-defective by a point mutant in its fusion peptide (NDV^{Fmut}).

Serial passaging of NDV^{Fmut} enriched for F-insertants in the original NDV^{Fmut} background that restored

fusion. Interestingly, we also observed an enrichment for an L-insertant that also carried the reversion

mutation restoring the functionality of the fusion peptide. Together with our previous studies on MeV, we

show that the genetic plasticity of PMVs is broadly consistent across different genera regardless of whether

the PMVs use sialic acid-based or protein-based receptors. We also show that our experimental strategy can

be used to access and interrogate arbitrarily distant fitness landscapes. Finally, we identify common

insertion-tolerant regions within the PMV genomes that can be exploited for engineering recombinant

PMVs.

Results
Sendai virus broadly tolerates insertions in the 3' end of the genome
In order to identify which regions of the SeV genome can best accommodate insertional mutations,

we utilized a *Mu*-transposon insertional mutagenesis strategy (Fig. 1A) to introduce 15 nucleotide (nt)

insertions throughout the SeV genome, which is equivalent to 5 amino acid (aa) insertions if the transposon

lands in an open reading frame (ORF). The insertional mutagenesis library approach is a more disruptive

approach to interrogating the PMV genome, in comparison to single-nucleotide mutagenesis approaches that

are more suited to interrogating single genes. However, insertants induce a severe selective pressure on the

virus, which is helpful for whole-genome interrogation. Briefly, we first generated a SeV genomic plasmid

with an extra 3-nt stop codon added at the end of the EGFP reporter gene (SeV 6n+3). Since PMV genomes

follow the "rule-of-six", where the entire genome length must be an exact multiple of six (6n) in order to

replicate well (*15*), SeV 6n+3 should be rescued inefficiently and replicate even less so on its own (Fig. 1B

and data not shown). The same is true for MuV, whose genome we also interrogated similarly in this study

(Fig. 1C). Since our intention was to understand the tolerance of each of the virus' native genetic regions for

insertions, we then excluded the reporter gene from all downstream analyses. We subjected this SeV 6n+3

plasmid ('parental 6n+3' in Fig. 1A) to *Mu*-transposon mutagenesis using optimized conditions to achieve

saturating mutagenesis, ultimately leaving random 15-nt insertions across the genome. Transposon-mutated

genomic plasmid libraries are therefore 6n+18 (Fig. 1A), restoring the "rule-of-six", and should be more

competent for rescue and replication (Fig. 1B, C). In addition, any genomes that failed to receive the

transposon remain 6n+3 in length; these genomes cannot be rescued well, and ultimately will not be

represented in our sequencing analyses because they lack the transposon sequence. Importantly, the 15-nt

transposon 'scar' itself is designed to be translatable in all three reading frames. The SeV 6n+18 insertional

library was rescued in BSRT7 cells with rescue events ($\sim$3 x 10^5) equal to approximately 19-fold coverage

of the genome (Supplementary Table S1). Rescued virus from the supernatant was passaged twice in

biological triplicates at a low multiplicity of infection (moi) on Vero cells, which should screen for the

replicative fitness of any given insertion. We chose Vero cells – lacking interferon signaling – as a neutral

background to remove confounding antiviral selective pressures in our experiments. In particular, the P gene

encodes the phosphoprotein, an essential co-factor for the viral transcriptase and replicase, but also encodes

accessory proteins to mitigate the host interferon response. In the absence of interferon signaling, insertants

that disrupt accessory proteins are not selected against and we can better explore the structural and

functional plasticity of the phosphoprotein itself. Thereafter, the original library plasmid pool (input),

supernatant from the rescue (P0), and passages (P1, P2) were deep-sequenced and evaluated for the

presence of the 15-nt insertion.

In the input plasmid pool, insertions were found at 65.9% of nucleotide sites, and ultimately targeted

~93% of amino acids throughout the genome (Supplementary Table S1: Library metrics). Importantly,

insertions were distributed evenly across the genome in the plasmid pool (Supplementary Fig. S1),

demonstrating no bias in the input SeV 6n+18 library.

We mapped insertion coverage from P0, P1, and P2 onto the SeV genome (Fig. 2A-C) and observed

a clear purifying selection upon passaging, as expected. At P2, we observe a clear enrichment for insertions

in the N and P genes, located at the 3' end of the genome, and a depletion of insertions elsewhere in the

genome. The magnitude and location of insertional enrichment is relatively reproducible between each of

the three replicates seen in Fig. 2D. The consistent preference for insertions in the 3' end of the genome, and

particularly the non-coding region between N and P, is also clear. To determine if there were other broad

patterns to insertant enrichment, we then analyzed the change in insertional frequency from P0 to P2 in the

5' UTR, ORF, and 3' UTR of each viral gene (Fig. 2E). We observed significant enrichment of the 5'UTR-P

over its cognate ORF; similar trends can be seen with the other ORFs and their cognate UTRs when they are

well-represented at P2. HN and L genes have much smaller UTRs and drastically fewer insertants by P2,

making interpretation of their relative enrichments difficult. We also specifically observed that the 5' UTR

of the N gene and 3' UTR of the L gene both show reduced insertions relative to their neighboring regions,

indicative of the stringency of the additional roles these regions play as the 3' leader and 5' trailer sequences

of the virus, required for viral genomic amplification.

Selected SeV insertants have a different fitness hierarchy in focused competition assays

To evaluate the validity of the results from our pooled rescue and passaging experiments, we re-

generated a subset of the most-highly represented SeV $6n+18$ single insertants (see Supplementary Table

S2). At times, our choices were dictated by cloning successes as some insertants were inexplicably

refractory to cloning. To begin, we chose two insertants from each of the most-enriched regions (N-ORF, 3'-

UTR-N, 5'-UTR-P, and P-ORF); generally, we chose highly-represented insertants from the original library,

but we also chose insertants that are more evenly distributed across those regions of interest. Since some

insertants did not rescue (Fig. 3A, discussed below) we included an additional N-ORF-1405 insertant to our

panel to maintain representation of highly-enriched regions. Finally, we added the most-enriched insertant

for each of the remaining M, F, HN, and L genes, for a total of thirteen insertants (Fig. 3A). We rescued

each insertant and amplified it separately, monitoring viral replication kinetics (Fig. 3B, C) and peak titer

(Fig. 3A). Two of the insertants (HN-ORF and L-ORF) could not produce infectious virus upon rescue with

our highly-efficient reverse genetics system (Fig. 3A, Supplementary Table S2), and a further three

insertants (N-ORF-1684, M-ORF, and F-ORF) produced peak titers that were too low for downstream

applications (Fig. 3A), indicating that these insertants likely relied on other genomes in the original pool to

complement their defects in replication. The remaining eight insertants grew well relative to wild-type SeV,

and produced sufficient virus for use in our downstream assay.

Next, we sought to evaluate the fitness hierarchy of the insertants. Using a multiplex competition

assay that more closely reflects the selective pressures in the passaging library screen, we evaluated if the

insertants' relative abundance in this assay would correlate with either their peak titer or representation in

the original library. So, the eight insertants that could be rescued and replicated well, four each from the N

and P genes (Fig. 3B-C), were pooled at equal titers and used to infect Vero cells at a total moi of 0.01. We

then monitored the fitness of the selected insertants in this competitive outgrowth assay by measuring their

expansion over two passages, using MinION long-read sequencing (Fig. 3D, E). To better understand the

biological meaning of our library output (*i.e.,* insert abundance at P2), which assays predicted each other's

outcomes, and where we ranked our selected insertants relative to each other in each assay, we found that

peak titer was tightly-correlated with performance in the competitive outgrowth assay (Fig. 3F), but that

ranking from the library screen did not predict either downstream measure of relative fitness (Fig. 3G). This

suggests that the SeV n+18 screening library output was predictive of insertant viability – *i.e.* whether it is

replication-competent – but not necessarily of relative fitness compared to other genomes. Thereafter, to

determine if the complex epistatic interactions we observed in in SeV apply to other sialic acid-using

paramyxoviruses, we next turned our analysis to the orthorubulavirus MuV.

Mumps virus is less tolerant to insertional mutagenesis

Using the same rule-of-six-based strategy as we did with SeV (Fig. 1A, C), we generated a MuV

6n+3 parental genome with which to carry out whole-genome transposon insertional mutagenesis and

produced a MuV 6n+18 saturating library of insertants. This library was rescued in BSRT7 cells to ensure a

minimum of ten-fold coverage of the genome ($>1.6 \times 10^5$ rescue events), then passaged twice on Vero cells

in biological triplicates as was done for the SeV 6n+18 insertional mutagenesis library. Coverage metrics

from deep sequencing are found in Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Fig. S2. While nucleotide

and codon coverage of the MuV 6n+18 library was similar to that of SeV 6n+18, there was a much larger

drop-off in coverage in the viable genomes upon rescue (loss of coverage at 38% and 16% of nt positions in

MuV and SeV, respectively, at P0), and an unexpectedly low titer of P0 rescued virus (3.2×10^2 iu/mL *vs.*

2.2×10^5 iu/ml for SeV). These initial results suggest that the MuV genome has a much lower overall

tolerance for insertions.

Mumps virus tolerates insertions in the 3' end of its genome

Due to the low titer from rescue (P0), P1 was carried out at an moi of 0.0001. After two passages,

the MuV 6n+18 library also showed evidence of purifying selection (Fig. 4A-C). We observed some

enrichment for insertions at the 3' end of the genome (N and V/P genes) as was seen with SeV, and a

surprising secondary peak in the F coding region (Fig. 4C). Stream graphs of each passage replicate (Fig.

4D) show that in comparison with SeV, there was more variability in the regions of the MuV 6n+18 library

that were enriched between replicates. This may be a function of the reduced overall coverage of the library,

which may allow for stochastic rescue and amplification of viruses that pass a certain viability threshold.

Finally, in comparing the specific gene regions that permitted insertions (Fig. 4E), we observed a preference

for only certain UTRs over gene coding regions such as the 5' UTRs of V/P and SH.

Mumps virus competitive outgrowth assay reflects threshold viability of enriched insertants in library

screen

195   In order to assess the validity of the MuV 6n+18 library results, we chose the most-highly enriched

insertants overall from the library (Supplementary Table S3), representing the N and V/P regions of the

genome, as well as the F coding region. Once again, we also included the highest represented insertant from

each of the remaining genes (M, HN, and L) in an attempt to more evenly evaluate the library, and rescued

each of these insertants. As with SeV, we were unable to rescue the insertants in M, HN, and L

(Supplementary Table S3, Fig. 5A), but we were surprised to find that the F insertants also could not be

rescued to produce infectious virus. It is likely that these insertants became enriched in the context of the

library at P2 by relying on either compensatory mutations or complementation by other genomes.

Precedence for the latter is demonstrated by the G264R MeV-F mutant: in the context of an adversely

tagged MeV-H where neither wild-type nor G264R MeV-F resulted in syncytia, only viruses with diploid

genomes independently bearing the wild-type and G264R MeV-F are able to form syncytia (*16*).

206   Once rescued, we evaluated the remaining successful insertants for growth kinetics and peak titers

(Fig. 5A-C), noting that overall these insertants grew well relative to wild-type MuV. We then pooled six of

the insertants at equal titers, and infected Vero cells with a total moi of 0.01 in a competitive outgrowth

assay. Because the sequencing resolution afforded by the Oxford Nanopore MinION cannot consistently

distinguish between insertants P-5'UTR-1976 and -1977, which are shifted by only a single nucleotide, we

selected P-5'UTR-1977 for use in the competitive outgrowth assay as it was best-represented in the original

library screening (Supplementary Table S3). Over two passages, we evaluated the distribution of the

insertants (Fig. 5D, E), and unlike SeV, observed a clear dominance of the N coding region insertants –

particularly, N-ORF-1781 – over all the other insertants. The other insertants were only found at 4-40 reads

out of the ~1,000 reads in each sample. N-ORF-1386 is a distant second, but still clearly dominant over the

other clones. These two insertants also showed the highest peak titer in Fig. 5A-C, along with the excluded

P-5'UTR-1976. Evaluating the three assays for correlation by ranking, we determined that peak titer and

competitive outgrowth were best correlated (Fig. 5F), while neither correlated well with the original library

(Fig. 5G) similarly to what we observed with SeV. Cumulatively, this indicates that while the library screen

was valuable for identifying viable insertants, it was not predictive of relative fitness in downstream assays,

whereas fitness in one downstream assay predicts relative fitness in another reasonably well.

Fusion-defective Newcastle disease virus allows access to novel fitness landscapes

In order to (1) test the selective power of our transposon mutagenesis experimental set-up, and (2)

determine if the consistent enrichment of insertants in the 3' end of the genome is a technical artifact of our

system, we created a fusion-incompetent NDV by changing a naturally occurring NotI site in the fusion

peptide of our NDV genome (see schematic for NDV^{Fmut} in Fig. 6A). Recall that our transposon

mutagenesis screen requires that the plasmid encoding the viral genome be free of NotI sites. We

hypothesized that the vast majority of insertants in this fusion-defective (NDV^{Fmut}) genomic background

would not be viable and could not be rescued unless (i) the insertant(s) directly compensated for the fusion-

peptide mutation (F^{A138T}), and/or (ii) the insertants occurred on a fusion-revertant genome.

Our NDV^{Fmut} genomic plasmid library had a serendipitous skew in insertions towards the 5' end of

the genome that was not caused by sequencing bias (compare Fig. 6B to 6C). We also observed that this

skew was maintained in the P0 rescue population (Fig. 6D), which reflects the likelihood that a wide range

of NDV insertants were competent for genome amplification and budding. This suggests that NDV, like

SeV, has a high overall capacity for genetic plasticity. However, the P0 infectious titer was extremely low at

10 iu/mL (Supplementary Table S4). This is expected since the vast majority of rescue events from the

NDV^{Fmut} genomic library would result in the production of non-infectious virion particles. To further

increase the selection pressure by genetic "bottlenecking", NDV^{Fmut} (6n+18) P1 was carried out at an

extremely low moi ($<10^{-5}$). We observed a clear response to the bottleneck selective pressure upon

subsequent passaging (P1 and P2, Fig. 6E and F), where the capacity for productive entry and fusion is

essential for viral fitness, replication, and eventual amplification under the conditions examined.

NDV^{Fmut} background selects for compensatory insertants in fusion protein

Remarkably, when we analyzed the insertant enrichment over two passages (Fig. 6D-F,

Supplementary Table S5), we found that a vast majority of insertants were clustered around nts 11867-

11877 in the L gene, with a subset of F insertants clustered around nt 5383 in NDV-F. This unusual

distribution demonstrates that our experimental system is not inherently biased towards selection of

insertants in the 3' end of paramyxovirus genomes. As we had with our previous libraries, we recreated

individual selected insertants and attempted rescue (Supplementary Table S5), but found that only the F-

insertants were competent for virus spread while still maintaining the original F^{A138T} fusion-inactivating

mutation in the NDV^{Fmut} genome (*e.g.* F-ORF-5367 and F-ORF-5384, Supplementary Table S5). These

insertants correspond to the hinge region between domains III and I in the fusion protein, which has been

implicated in fusion regulation (*17*), Thus, we identified insertants that specifically compensated for the

alteration of the highly conserved A138 residue in the F-protein fusion peptide.

Input transposon distribution drives selection of L-insertants associated with F^{mut}-revertants

In addition to insertants that directly compensated for our fusion-peptide mutation, our hypothesis

predicts that enrichment of other apparently viable insertants should occur on fusion-revertant genomes.

Any such insertants should also follow the frequency distribution of the original input library. For example,

since the transposon coverage of the input library was skewed towards the 5' end of the NDV^{Fmut} genome by

approximately ten-fold relative to the 3' end of the genome (Fig. 6C), then any potential NDV-F^{mut}-

reversion and/or compensatory point mutations should also be more likely to occur in accordance with the

probability distribution associated with 5'-skewed insertants. This is relevant when examining the highly-

represented L-insertants. We noted that these insertants' genomes were replication competent in the rescue

cells, but did not produce infectious virus particles (Supplementary Table S5). When we re-examined the

deep sequencing results *in toto* from NDV P2, we further identified high-abundance single nucleotide

mutations in the NDV structural genes (M, F, and HN, the latter now formally designated as RBP (*2*)).

Since L-ORF -11872 constituted such a high proportion of insertants in P2 (Fig. 6F), we double-plaque-

purified NDV clones directly from P2 supernatant, and fully sequenced a genome containing the L-ORF-

11872 insertant. Any such genomes in P2 are, by definition, viable and capable of spreading. Indeed, we

found that in this clone, among other mutations in the structural genes, our original NDV^{Fmut} (F^{A138T}) was

reverted to the parental sequence, restoring the NotI sequence (Fig. 6G). While the significance of the other

point mutations is unclear, the apparent fitness of L-ORF-11872 in the library pool is likely due to its co-

occurrence on the same genome as the NDV^{Fmut} revertant.

While it is also conceivable that the L-insertant reduced the fidelity of the viral polymerase and

permitted accumulation of compensatory mutations in the viral structural genes, several attempts to rescue

this insertant alone (and others genetically nearby; Supplementary Table S5) in the NDV^{Fmut} background did

not yield infectious virus, despite providing a wild-type L gene in *trans* during virus rescue to permit some

replication and accumulation of compensatory mutations. In contrast, reversion of the NDV-F^{mut} to its wild-

type counterpart (NDV-F^{rev}) in the L-ORF-11872 insertant permitted virus rescue, amplification, and

syncytia formation (Supplementary Table S5). Thus, reversion of the F^{A138T} point mutation was most likely

responsible for the L-insertant's relative fitness within the NDV library.

Discussion

In order to explore the genetic plasticity of sialic acid-using paramyxoviruses, we generated

saturating transposon insertional mutagenesis libraries of SeV and MuV, and then rescued and passaged

these libraries to select for relatively fit insertants. We found that both SeV (Fig. 2) and MuV (Fig. 4)

tolerated insertions in the N and P genes, and especially in their untranslated regions. When we rescued

selected clones of the most highly-enriched insertants, we found that overall capacity for rescue correlated

with their original enrichment in the library (Figs. 3 and 5), indicating that the screening libraries

successfully predicted insertant viability and identified broadly-plastic genetic regions. However, in virus

growth and competition assays, both the SeV and MuV insertants showed differential fitness in comparison

to their representation in the original screening library (Figs. 3G, 5G), indicating that abundance within the

screening library only poorly predicts relative fitness of individual genomes. With these libraries, overall we

are able to draw widely-applicable conclusions about the broad genetic plasticity of paramyxoviruses. In

addition, by employing a separate Newcastle disease virus library where we introduced a selective pressure

to bias insertant distribution differently than what was observed with SeV and MuV, we demonstrate the

potential of these libraries for genome-wide interrogation of paramyxovirus fitness landscape.

Broadly, the data from these libraries correlate well with our earlier work on insertional mutagenesis

of MeV. Our original intent in adding SeV and MuV libraries to our insertional mutagenesis repertoire was

to identify whether usage of sialic acid would permit greater tolerance to structural change (*11*) or whether

we would still observe significant constraint on PMV glycoproteins that could explain their well-known

lack of antigenic drift (*3*) as we saw with MeV (*10*). We found that SeV and MuV do not show increased

tolerance for insertions in their glycoproteins. This is in contrast to the genetic and structural plasticity

observed in the HA (hemagglutinin) glycoproteins of sialic acid-using Influenza viruses (*11, 18*), indicating

that receptor usage does not determine tolerance to insertional mutagenesis. Even when we disabled NDV

fusion as a means of forcing change in the virus' structural region, we observed a strong selection for

reversion mutants, and only a lesser accumulation of compensatory insertants. When we tested the viability

of individual insertants in these regions with SeV and MuV, we found that these viruses were incompetent

for virus amplification. These observations are consistent with the monoserotypic nature of most PMV

species (*3-6, 8*), and with careful analysis of evolutionary constraints on PMV fusion proteins (*19*). Despite

using overlapping receptors, orthomyxoviruses (including influenza viruses) and paramyxoviruses have

significantly different entry strategies; while the influenza virus HA glycoprotein coordinates both receptor

binding and fusion in a single protein, it requires a separate protein (NA, neuraminidase) to release virions

from infected cells. Paramyxoviruses, in contrast, contain neuraminidase (when needed) and receptor

binding activities in a single protein (RBD), while using a separate protein (F) to initiate membrane fusion,

and there is a tightly co-ordinated series of interactions between the RBD and F proteins during virus entry

that likely varies among different paramyxovirus genera (*20*). This co-ordinated interaction process likely

introduces stringent constraints on both proteins to preserve interactions and capacity for structural

rearrangements that are not present in influenza virus glycoproteins. This further confirms that there are

broad structural constraints on PMV glycoproteins that prevent them from undergoing the antigenic drift

observed in orthomyxoviruses like Influenza A virus (*9*).

All three viruses have high insertant coverage in the input plasmid library, but only SeV and NDV

P0 insertant coverage remained high upon initial rescue, while MuV P0 shows a stark drop-off in total

insertants and a shift in insertant distribution from the input. This is likely a representation of the underlying

genetic plasticity of each genome, rather than an effect of rescue efficiency, based on data from another

genome library screen with Nipah virus (NiV) as a representative of the genus *Henipavirus* (not shown).

Rescue and amplification of NiV transposon mutagenesis libraries was carried out under BSL-4 conditions,

precluding optimization of rescue efficiency to the same degree as we had done with the other PMVs. And

so, while input plasmid insertant coverage was similar in depth and breadth to SeV, MuV, and NDV, only

~5500 rescue events occurred. Insertant distribution was stochastic due to this low efficiency, but insertants

were detected broadly throughout the genome, demonstrating that poor rescue efficiency does not drive

shifts in insertant distribution. Thus, the change in MuV insertant distribution from input to P0 is likely

representative of biological restrictions on whether the genomes could be rescued. SeV and NDV do not

share this level of restriction, indicating that these viruses' genomes are more plastic.

Insertant distribution after passaging in the fusion-competent SeV, MuV, and MeV genomes

demonstrate two related patterns of enrichment: firstly, more viable insertants are located towards the 3' end

of these genomes (Figs 2A-C, 4A-C), and secondly, the viruses are generally more enriched for insertants in

the UTRs of the genes than their cognate ORFs, especially in transcriptional units like N and P, where there

is sufficient insertional coverage at P2 to make such comparisons (Figs 2E and 4E). The observation of

increased insertional tolerance in UTRs is not surprising; PMV UTRs play a regulatory role in transcription,

mRNA stability, and translation efficiency, in ways that are not thoroughly characterized, but they are

overall only constrained at the nucleotide level. However, even within this, there is still a clear and

overriding 3' enrichment bias, since HN and L UTRs of SeV, MuV, or MeV do not demonstrate insertant

enrichment. Even the NDV[Fmut] library does not demonstrate an enrichment in these UTRs, despite the

library's input bias and ultimate enrichment for insertants in a presumed "neutral" region of the adjacent L-

ORF. We therefore hypothesize that highly-expressed genes like N and P can tolerate some dysregulation

without significant negative effects, whereas the intolerance to dysregulation of less-abundant genes like F,

H/HN, and L may be an indicator of how stringently-regulated they are. Our studies suggest that the

regulatory functions of these intergenic regions in PMVs (*21-24*) should be systematically explored in their

appropriate genomic contexts, which is now possible using robust and efficient reverse genetics systems.

In SeV and MuV, these enriched UTRs near the 3' end of the genome are co-incidentally near the

eGFP reporter gene, which is between N and P. Nonetheless, this likely reflects an aspect of virus biology

rather than a simple proximity to the reporter gene since we also previously observed an increased tolerance

for insertants in the 3' end of the MeV genome (*10*). In addition, the eGFP reporter in our NDV reporter

genome is located between P and M, but we do not see enrichment for insertion in those untranslated

regions.

We also observed an enrichment for insertions in the coding regions of N and P in our fusion-

competent libraries. Paramyxoviral P proteins code for multiple accessory proteins in different frames by

use of alternative translation start sites (C proteins) and by mRNA editing (V, W), and these proteins are

largely involved in blocking host antiviral sensing and response. Despite the constraint of coding in multiple

frames, we observed enrichment for insertions in all our fusion-competent libraries at the 5' (N-terminus)

end of the P gene, the region common to all the ORFs. However, the C, V, and W proteins of PMVs bear

unstructured regions and are highly variable between virus species and genera (*1, 25, 26*), while the N-

terminus of P is specifically understood to be intrinsically disordered (*27*). Together, this may explain the

unexpected insertional tolerance in P. N insertions are also found primarily in the unstructured C-terminus

"N_{tail}" region, which has already been shown to accommodate insertions and deletions with limited negative

impact on MeV in tissue culture (*28, 29*). We thus propose that our transposon mutagenesis enriches for

insertants in such unstructured regions of proteins, since specific functional elements will remain accessible

regardless of upstream and downstream insertions.

The NDV^{Fmut} library P2 insertants indicate that structural order, however, is not the only determinant

of insertion tolerance in coding regions. Dochow *et al.* produced an analysis of the propensity for disorder

across the MeV L protein as a model for other PMVs, and further tested select predicted unstructured

regions for tolerance to small insertions and epitope tags (*30*). Although the majority of the NDV L-ORF

was enriched for transposon insertions in the NDV^{Fmut} DNA input library, over passage only a small subset

of closely-located L-insertants were viable when combined with revertant F point mutations. Negative-sense

RNA virus polymerases contain six major conserved regions (CRs) flanked by variable regions that differ

between and within virus families. The NDV^{Fmut} L-insertants are all within a small portion of CR-IV, the

function of which is not understood. Based on Dochow *et al*'s analysis, this region is generally ordered, and

so it is not obvious why insertants in this region are more viable. Other nearby portions of L are predicted to

be far more disordered, and in fact an unstructured region near CR-VI has been shown to tolerate both large

epitope tags and a complete break of the polymerase into two separate ORFs, as long as they are brought

back into contact by artificial domains (*30*). While we cannot determine causation within the library setting,

follow-up failed attempts to rescue the L-insertants alone without revertant F mutations does not suggest

that these insertants specifically potentiated acquisition of point mutations; *i.e.* we can find no evidence that

the enriched L-insertants are more error-prone polymerases. Thus, a much more detailed structural analysis

and mutagenesis exploration of NDV-L, as well as other PMV polymerases, will be required to understand

what determines this region's specific tolerance to insertion. It is interesting to note that since this region

was not predicted by structural analyses, a functional insertional mutagenesis assay does still have

information to offer for designing sites for tagging viral proteins or inserting novel tandem ORFs and fusion

proteins.

Within this body of work, we compared fitness in the screening libraries with individual insertant

clonal fitness, and competitive fitness in the more-contained competitive outgrowth assays. We found that

relative clonal fitness (as measured by growth curves and peak titer) correlated well with relative fitness in

competitive outgrowth assays, particularly for SeV. However, in the context of the library setting, the

number of insertant reads at P2 appears to be more affected by complex epistatic factors. The ranked

frequency of insertant reads at P2 did not always match their clonal or competitive fitness in more

controlled assays. Altogether, the evidence suggests that the transposon library approach, without the more

careful downstream analyses shown in our studies, is best suited to dissecting viable *vs* non-viable viral

genomes in our assay setting, rather than predicting the relative fitness of individual insertants.

By placing our NDV^{Fmut} library under a unique form of selective pressure, we drove enrichment of

insertants in otherwise-intolerant regions of the genome – F, a structural protein, and L, the viral

polymerase. Thus, we have demonstrated the power of our strategy to reveal not only the genomic plasticity

of paramyxovirus genomes, but also the ability to use our methods to design arbitrarily selective screening

campaigns to interrogate paramyxovirus biology. Specifically, we envision leveraging our efficient and

robust reverse genetics systems to design and execute selection strategies that can be used to interrogate the

fitness landscapes of individual genes that were previously not accessible using conventional paramyxovirus

passaging and selection. Furthermore, we have shown the viability of designing strategies to select for

mutants in fitness landscapes that are otherwise not easily accessible during the normal course of

paramyxovirus evolution.

In toto, we found common regions of tolerance and intolerance for insertions in PMV genomes,

specifically identifying tolerance to dysregulation of highly-expressed genes. We further noted that there are

structural constraints on changing PMV antigenicity, and that unstructured regions in the N, P, and

accessory proteins permit insertional mutagenesis. We demonstrated that this highly-disruptive whole-

genome insertional mutagenesis library approach could be informative for paramyxoviruses placed under

unique selective pressures: not only such genetic pressures as we used here, but also perturbations like

interferon treatment or amplification in susceptible host animals. Overall, the combined commonalities and

differences in these paramyxovirus mutagenesis libraries provide a broader family-wide context in which to

understand specific variations within PMV genera or species.

Materials and Methods
424
Experimental Design.
Our transposon mutagenesis strategy for whole genome interrogation of paramyxoviruses is outlined in Fig.
1 and the accompanying text. It takes advantage of our efficient and robust reverse genetics system (*31*) and
leverages the rule-of-six (*15*), the latter being a unique feature of paramyxovirus replication. Whole genome
insertional mutagenesis libraries were generated for three paramyxoviruses described below, and these
libraries were rescued (P0) and passaged (P1, P2) in tissue culture to identify genetic regions that were
relatively tolerant to insertion for downstream characterization.
432
Research Objectives: Through this study we sought (i) to identify genetic regions or determinants of
plasticity common to sialic-using paramyxoviruses, (ii) to explore how determinants of fitness within library
settings correspond to solo and alternative library selective and competitive pressures, and (iii) to test the
effects of a defined selective pressure on which genetic regions would demonstrate plasticity.
(i) Genome-wide transposon mutagenesis screens have been carried out on other positive and negative sense
RNA viruses, including our own previous study on MeV with similar goals (*10*).
(ii) Validation of such an approach usually includes only generation of recombinant viruses bearing select
enriched inserts to ensure that they are viable. However, in the context of non-segmented negative sense
RNA viruses such as PMVs, the replicative fitness of individual insertants derived from a complex pool
may not be accurately reflected in their individual growth kinetics and peak titers. To gain a better
understanding how the abundance of the insertants identified in the library P2 relate to growth kinetics and
replicative fitness, we made recombinant 6n+18 PMVs bearing representative insertants from the relevant
genomic regions. We then determined their individual growth kinetics and peak titers, and subjected them to
a competitive outgrowth assay. We developed a Nanopore long-read sequencing protocol and bioinformatic
pipeline to monitor the outcome of a focused multiplex competitive outgrowth assay. This competitive
outgrowth assay revealed substantive differences between how the abundance of the SeV or MuV insertants
detected in library P2 Illumina reads relate to their solo replicative fitness and competitive fitness in a more
focused assay.
(iii) Finally, to determine that our whole genome transposon mutagenesis screens of paramyxoviruses was
not systematically biased towards detecting only 3' end genomic insertants in the N-P gene regions, we
applied our screen to a parental 6n+3 NDV genome that was made fusion-defective (NDV^{Fmut}) by
destroying a naturally occurring NotI site in the genetic region encoding the fusion peptide in NDV-F. To
make a *Mu*-transposon mutagenesis library, the parental (6n+3) genomic plasmid has to be devoid of NotI
sites. Subjecting the NDV^{Fmut} transposon mutagenesis genomic library to rescue and passaging resulted in
identification of compensatory F-insertants in an otherwise fusion-defective background, along with a
cluster of L-insertants that occurred on a F-revertant fusion-competent background. These results show the
power of experimental system to design arbitrarily selective screening campaigns and access distant fitness
landscapes to interrogate paramyxovirus biology.
461
Units of investigation:
Viruses: We selected SeV^{Fushimi}, MuV^{JL5}, and NDV^{LaSota} for use in this study as sialic acid (SA)-using
representative viruses of major paramyxovirus subfamilies *Orthoparamyxovirinae*, *Rubulavirinae*, and
Avulavirinae, respectively. The individual strains were selected based on previous optimization of high-
efficiency reverse genetics systems for each virus (*31*).
Cells: We rescued our original transposon mutagenesis libraries in BSRT7 cells (derived from BHK21
cells) since we have achieved maximal rescue efficiency with our reverse genetics systems on these cells
(*31*). We passaged the rescued output (P0) from SeV and MuV in Vero cells for two sequential passages (P1
and P2). Our NDV library was both rescued and amplified in BSRT7 cells since we observed better growth
in these cells than in Vero cells. We reasoned that both BSRT7 and Vero cell lines, which are respectively

deficient in interferon signaling (*32*) and interferon production (*33*), should serve as neutral cellular
backgrounds for our initial genome-wide interrogations. Using these cells removes likely confounding
selective pressures against insertions in the P gene that might disrupt its interferon antagonist function (and
that of P-derived accessory proteins). We did not want to miss insertants in the P gene that might be
structurally tolerated and not affect P's function as a cofactor of the L-mediated transcriptase and replicase
complex.
478
Sample size/scale: The rescue efficiency of our reverse genetics system was critical for determining which
paramyxovirus could be interrogated on a genome-wide scale. If transposon insertions were truly random
and not affected by other confounding factors (see footnotes to Supplementary Table S1), Poisson statistics
dictate that a 10X coverage in terms of the number of independent transposon insertants rescued, relative to
the size of the cognate PMV genome, is required to ensure >90% probability that any given nucleotide
position in the genome has at least one insertant, so rescue scale was dictated by each virus' rescue
efficiency as calculated in Supplementary Tables S1 and S4.
486
487 *Replicates:* Library generation, and library and insertant rescue was carried out in singlicate as an
488 appropriate use of resources. Insertants whose rescue failed to produce infectious viruses, two additional
489 rescue attempts were made to verify the insertants' inviability. All other assays were carried out in triplicate
490 unless otherwise noted to ensure accurate representation of the potential diversity of assay outcomes.
491
Endpoint: In all library scenarios and in the multiplex competitive outgrowth assays, the endpoint for each
passage was defined as when the entire culture was infected, as determined by visual observation of GFP-
positivity. For individual insertant rescue, success or failure was determined by whether virus demonstrated
spread in tissue culture within ten days of transfection – monitored by GFP-positivity, and confirmed by
syncytia formation for MuV and NDV. Growth curves were carried out until the wild-type (6n) virus titers
plateaued and began to reduce in magnitude.
498
499 *Inclusion/Exclusion criteria:* No samples or replicates were discarded from these experiments.
500 Computational criteria for identifying insertants in deep sequencing results are based on the sequencing
501 fidelity for the platform used (Illumina and Nanopore), and are otherwise as inclusive as possible to identify
502 all insertants.
503
504 **Cell lines.**
505 Vero cells (ATCC Cat# CCL-81, RRID:CVCL_0059), and BSR T7/5 cells (RRID:CVCL_RW96; (*34*))
506 were propagated in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) supplemented
507 with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals, USA) at 37°C. Cell lines were monitored monthly to
508 maintain mycoplasma-negative status using the MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza, USA).
509
Plasmids and viruses.
Genome coding plasmids for SeV (pSeV^{Fushimi}-eGFP; KY295909), MuV (pMuV^{JL5}-eGFP; KY295913), and
NDV (pNDV^{LaSota}-eGFP; KY295917) were modified to have optimized T7 promoter and hammerhead
ribozyme as previously reported (*31*). Our recombinant SeV^{Fushimi}-eGFP bears mutations in the M and F
genes that enable trypsin independent growth (*35*). The MuV^{JL5}-EGFP strain is derived from the JL5
vaccine strain. NDV-eGFP was based on LaSota strain with mutations in its cleavage site to be cleaved by
urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) (*36*). In order to attenuate viral genomes that lack the
transposon insertion, we introduced an extra 3nt stop codon after the reporter gene in each construct,
rendering the viral genome 6n+3 nucleotides; these are indicated as SeV 6n+3, MuV 6n+3, and NDV 6n+3.
We also abolished NotI restriction sites in each virus' plasmid in order to facilitate transposon removal. All
modification for plasmids were performed using overlap PCR mutagenesis with InFusion cloning (Takara

Biosciences, USA). Viral genome and support plasmids were maintained in chemically-competent Stbl2 *E.*
coli cells (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) with growth at 30°C.
Supplementary Table S6 contains the primer sequences for generating all recombinant insertant plasmid
genomes. Nucleotide position in the genome is labelled without the eGFP transcriptional unit, and insertant
position refers to the nucleotide after which the transposon sequence began. Insertants are named by genetic
region and nucleotide position.
527
Transposon-mediated mutagenesis.
The Mutation Generation System (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) was used to randomly insert transposons
in the 6n+3 genomic plasmids using a modified protocol. An *in vitro* transposon insertion reaction was
performed on approximately 850ng per viral genome plasmid (40ng DNA per kb of plasmid) of 6n+3
genomic plasmids, which were dialyzed twice for 30 min in 1L ddH$_2$O, and then transformed into
ElectroSHOX cells (Bioline USA, discontinued). Following transformation, the cells were plated on 20 x
15cm plates with LB agar containing ampicillin (MilliporeSigma, USA) and kanamycin (ThermoFisher
Scientific, USA) (selecting for plasmid transformants and transposon insertion respectively) and allowed to
grow for ~18 hours at 30°C. The bacterial colonies were scraped from the agar with PBS, and pelleted, and
DNA was extracted from the pooled colonies using a PureLink HiPure maxiprep kit (ThermoFisher
Scientific, USA). 30ug of transposon-containing genomic plasmid was digested with NotI-HF (New
England Biolabs, USA) for 3 hours to remove the transposon body. The restricted plasmid was then gel
purified using the Qiaex II kit (Qiagen, USA), and 500 ng of the DNA was re-ligated at 25°C for 30 minutes
using T4 DNA Ligase (New England Biolabs, USA) and heat-inactivated at 65°C for 10 minutes. The entire
ligation mixture was dialyzed for 20 min in 1L ddH$_2$O, and then transformed into ElectroSHOX cells and
plated on 20 x 15cm plates containing ampicillin only. After ~18 hours' growth at 30°C, the colonies
containing 6n+3 viral genomes with the transposon scar (6n+18) were again scraped from the plates into
PBS, and the viral 6n+18 genome DNA was again extracted using the HiPure maxiprep kit.
546
Rescue of recombinant viruses (P0) from cDNA.
For recovery of recombinant viruses, rescue was performed as described in Beaty et al. (2017). 4×10^5 BSR
T7/5 cells per well were seeded in 6-well plates. The following day, DNA and Lipofectamine LTX / PLUS
reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) were combined as indicated in Supplementary Table S7 in
OptiMEM (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) with gentle mixing by pipetting only. After incubation at room
temperature for 30 minutes, the DNA:lipofectamine mixture was added dropwise onto cells. Separate
transfection reactions were set up for each rescue well. Transfected cells were incubated at 37°C for 8-10
days, until the level of infection reached 100% as determined by observation of GFP-positive cells by
microscopy. Supernatant was collected from rescue cells, pooled, and clarified by centrifugation. Clarified
supernatants were stored at -80°C.
557
Analysis of relative rescue efficiency. SeV-WT, SeV-parental (6n+3), and SeV-library (6n+18) genomes
were rescued as described in detail above. Two days post-rescue, cells were collected with PBS+50mM
EDTA, pelleted, and re-suspended into 2% paraformaldehyde for fixation. After 15 minutes, cells were
pelleted again, and re-suspended into PBS + 2mM EDTA + 2%FBS. Cells were assayed by flow cytometry
on a BD FACSCantoII with BD FACSDiva v6.0, and evaluated for GFP-positivity in the Blue-1 channel,
relative to un-transfected cells. 5×10^5 events were collected for each sample - the equivalent of a full 6-well
well. The WT, parental (6n+3), and libraries (6n+18) for MuV were evaluated the same way.
565
566 **Titering viral supernatants.**
567 Titrations of SeV, MuV, and NDV stocks were performed on Vero cells in a 96-well format, with individual
568 infection events (infectious units, iu/mL) identified by GFP fluorescence at 24 hours post-infection using an
569 Acumen plate reader (TTP Labtech, USA).

570
Passaging virus for SeV and MuV library screen.
5.2×10^6 Vero cells in a 15cm dish were infected at an MOI of 0.01 for each passage and replicate, with the
exception of passage 1 in MuV. We adopted a MOI of 0.0003 (5120 iu/dish) for passage 1 (P1) of MuV,
because P0 titer was very low. Thereafter, infection was monitored by microscopy and supernatants were
collected when the level of infection reached 100% as determined by observation of GFP-positive infected
cells by microscopy, at 8-10 days post-infection (dpi).) Supernatant was collected from rescue cells, pooled,
and clarified by centrifugation. Clarified supernatants were stored at -80°C. Screening experiments were
done in triplicate independently.
579
580 **Passaging virus for NDV library screen.**
581 BSRT7 cells were infected with NDV using the same strategy and parameters as with SeV and MuV above.
582 Since infectious titers from P0 (rescue) of NDV were very low, P1 was carried out at a very low moi
583 (<0.0001) and required several additional days to reach confluence post-infection.
584
RT-PCR and Illumina sequencing for library screen.
The SeV, MuV, and NDV RNA was extracted from thawed supernatant using QIAamp viral RNA
extraction kit (Qiagen, USA). Genomic RNA was then amplified in six equal-sized segments using
overlapping primers sets (Supplementary Table S8) using SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR kit
(ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) with Platinum Taq. The cDNA segments from each sample were pooled in
equimolar amounts, sheared by Covaris sonication, and prepped for sequencing using TruSeq DNA LT
Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Barcoded and multiplexed
samples were sequenced on a HiSeq2000 using 100-nt single-end reads in Rapid Run mode. Analysis of the
transposon insertions was performed as previously described (*10*).
594
Sequencing analysis of library screen.
Identification of the transposon insertions were carried out as in Heaton *et al.* (*11*). Briefly, reads with the
transposon scar sequence of TGCGGCCGCA were extracted from the total sequencing data. The scar
sequence was then deleted, leaving a 5nt duplication at the site of insertion. These sequences were then
aligned to the viral reference sequences by bowtie2, and processed sam files were used to identify the
position of insertion in each read.
601
Data analysis and insertant selection from library screens.
Although transposon coverage was overall >10-fold for both SeV and MuV libraries, individual nucleotide
positions did not always receive an insertion. Thus, all analyses were carried out using a 100nt sliding
window to prevent division by 0. Additionally, raw insert counts in P1 and P2 are likely to be biased by
varying transposon abundance in the input and rescue (P0) libraries, so we normalized P1 and P2 reads by
the number of insertants in P0, and presented these passages as triplicate average percent reads over P0
(Figs. 2A-C and 4A-C).
609
To identify the most highly-enriched individual insertants in the library, we first identified 40 insertants
with the highest overall raw read count at P2 from each library. We then divided these by normalized P0
reads, and eliminated any insertants whose relative abundance drastically decreased over passage (average
P2/P0 < 30%) in order to account for variability of coverage in P0. From those remaining, we showed the
top 20 insertants for SeV (Supplementary Table S2), and the insertants that showed an average of 1 or more
reads in MuV (Supplementary Table S3). Individual insertants from these lists were selected for
downstream characterization as described in Results.
617
618 **Insertant rescue and growth curves.**

619   Individual insertant viruses were rescued in BSR T7/5 cells as described above, were amplified in Vero cells
620   once, and titered as above. $2x10^5$ Vero cells per well in a 12-well dish were infected at an MOI of 0.01 for
621   2h, followed by replacement of fresh medium. Samples were collected daily for titration with complete
622   media exchange.
623
Competitive outgrowth assay.
Because individual insertant viruses demonstrated different growth characteristics that could render our
standard titration assay (described above) inaccurate, we titered the individual insertants by focus-forming
assay prior to combining them for a competition outgrowth assay. $2x10^5$ Vero cells per well in 12-well
plates were inoculated with a serial 10-fold dilution of insertants for 2 hours. Cells were washed with PBS
once and then replaced with an overlay methylcellulose (1% methylcellulose in DMEM plus 2% FBS) to
prevent establishment of secondary foci. At 7 dpi (SeV) or 4 dpi (MuV), the number of eGFP-positive
infectious foci were manually counted using a Nikon Eclipse TE300 inverted fluorescent microscope
(Melville, NY, USA).
633
Competitive outgrowth assays were carried out in independent biological triplicates: equal infectious units
as defined by the focus-forming assay above of 8 SeV insertants or 6 MuV insertants were mixed, creating
P0 mixture. Then the titer of each of these mixtures was re-quantified by iu as described above. $2x10^6$Vero
cells in a 10 cm dish were infected by the P0 mixture at a final MOI of 0.01. In order to maintain cell
viability, media was exchanged daily until ~100% infection was reached as determined by eGFP-positive
cells by fluorescent microscopy. The supernatant on the day of eGFP confluency was used as the P1 sample.
For P2, supernatant from P1 was titered (by infectious unit), then inoculated at MOI of 0.01 and passaged
until 100% infection as was done for P1.
642
RT-PCR and Nanopore sequencing for competitive outgrowth assay.
RNA was extracted from P0, P1, and P2 viral supernatant and the relevant genetic regions encompassing all
the insertants for a given virus were reverse-transcribed and amplified as described for Illumina sequencing.
These amplicons were prepared for Nanopore sequencing using the native barcoding expansion kit (EXP-
NBD104, Oxford Nanopore Technology, United Kingdom) and ligation sequencing kit (SQK-LSK109,
Oxford Nanopore Technology, United Kingdom), and then sequenced on a MinION R9.4.1 flow cell
(Oxford Nanopore Technology, United Kingdom) to determine the abundance of insertants in each sample
and passage.
651
652   **Sequencing analysis of competitive outgrowth assay.**
653   Nanopore basecalling was carried out using Albacore, then aligned to reference sequence by Burrows-
654   Wheeler Aligner. Since MinION DNA sequencing is prone to error, we identified the insertants by
655   extracting inserts $\geq$ 10nt in size, and extracted the number of insertions at each position. Abundance of each
656   insertant was calculated relative to the total insertion count.
657
Correlation analyses.
Insertants represented in all three assays (library screen, peak titer, and competitive outgrowth) were
analyzed for their relative fitness across assays. Since each assay used metrics with different ranges of
magnitude, comparison across assays was facilitated by ranking insertants within each assay (see below)
resulting in non-parametric distributions. Assays were thus compared by Speaman's correlation analysis and
r values as measures of correlation are reported. * $P <0.05$.
Ranking: (a) Library screen: Insertants were ranked according to their raw abundance at P2 in each
replicate, and then the average of the three replicates were used to define the insertants' rank. *(b) Peak titer:*
Insertants were ranked by peak titer in each growth curve repeat, and the average of the three repliactes
were used to define the insertants' rank. *(c) Competitive outgrowth:* Insertants were ranked by magnitude of

expansion (input/P2) in each replicate, and the average of the three replicates were used to define the
insertants' rank.
670
671

672 **Supplementary Materials**
673
Supplementary Table S1. Transposon mutagenesis calculations and metrics of SeV and MuV.
Supplementary Figure S1. Sequencing and transposon coverage of SeV library.
Supplementary Table S2. Most highly-represented inserts from SeV library.
Supplementary Figure S2. Sequencing and transposon coverage of MuV library.
Supplementary Table S3. Most highly-represented inserts from MuV library.
Supplementary Table S4. Transposon mutagenesis calculations and library metrics in NDV.
Supplementary Table S5. Most highly-represented inserts from NDV library.
Supplementary Table S6. Primers for generation of insert clones.
Supplementary Table S7. SeV, MuV, and NDV rescue parameters.
Supplementary Table S8. Primers for one-step reverse-transcription and PCR of SeV, MuV, and NDV.
684

References

1. P. A. Thibault, R. E. Watkinson, A. Moreira-Soto, J. F. Drexler, B. Lee, in *Advances in Virus Research*. (2017), vol. 98.
2. B. Rima *et al.*, ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: Paramyxoviridae. *Journal of General Virology* **100**, 1593-1594 (2019).
3. S. M. Beaty, B. Lee, Constraints on the Genetic and Antigenic Variability of Measles Virus. *Viruses* **8**, 109 (2016).
4. M. Tsurudome, M. Nishio, H. Komada, H. Bando, Y. Ito, Extensive antigenic diversity among human parainfluenza type 2 virus isolates and immunological relationships among paramyxoviruses revealed by monoclonal antibodies. *Virology* **171**, 38-48 (1989).
5. K. L. van Wyke Coelingh, C. C. Winter, E. L. Tierney, W. T. London, B. R. Murphy, Attenuation of bovine parainfluenza virus type 3 in nonhuman primates and its ability to confer immunity to human parainfluenza virus type 3 challenge. *J Infect Dis* **157**, 655-662 (1988).
6. H. Q. McLean, A. Parker Fiebelkorn, J. L. Temte, G. S. Wallace, Prevention of Measles, Rubella, Congenital Rubella Syndrome, and Mumps, 2013. *MMWR Recommendations & Reports* **62**, 1-35 (2013).
7. J. F. Drexler *et al.*, Bats host major mammalian paramyxoviruses. *Nature Communications* **3**, 796 (2012).
8. S. M. Beaty *et al.*, Cross-reactive and cross-neutralizing activity of human mumps antibodies against a novel mumps virus from bats. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* **pii: jiw53**, (2016).
9. M. O. Altman, D. Angeletti, J. W. Yewdell, Antibody Immunodominance: The Key to Understanding Influenza Virus Antigenic Drift. *Viral Immunol* **31**, 142-149 (2018).
10. Benjamin O. Fulton *et al.*, Mutational Analysis of Measles Virus Suggests Constraints on Antigenic Variation of the Glycoproteins. *Cell Reports* **11**, 1331-1338 (2015).
11. N. S. Heaton, D. Sachs, C.-J. Chen, R. Hai, P. Palese, Genome-wide mutagenesis of influenza virus reveals unique plasticity of the hemagglutinin and NS1 proteins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 20248-20253 (2013).
12. B. K. Rima *et al.*, Stability of the Parainfluenza Virus 5 Genome Revealed by Deep Sequencing of Strains Isolated from Different Hosts and following Passage in Cell Culture. *Journal of Virology* **88**, 3826-3836 (2014).
13. M. Mateo, C. K. Navaratnarajah, R. Cattaneo, Structural basis of efficient contagion: measles variations on a theme by parainfluenza viruses. *Curr Opin Virol* **5**, 16-23 (2014).
14. P. Plattet, R. K. Plemper, Envelope Protein Dynamics in Paramyxovirus Entry. *mBio* **4**, e00413-00413 (2013).
15. P. Calain, L. Roux, The rule of six, a basic feature for efficient replication of Sendai virus defective interfering RNA. *Journal of Virology* **67**, 4822-4830 (1993).
16. Y. Shirogane, S. Watanabe, Y. Yanagi, Cooperation between different RNA virus genomes produces a new phenotype. *Nature Communications* **3**, 1235 (2012).
17. M. Chi *et al.*, Conserved amino acids around the DIII-DI linker region of the Newcastle disease virus fusion protein are critical for protein folding and fusion activity. *BioScience Trends* **13**, 225-233 (2019).
18. B. O. Fulton, W. Sun, N. S. Heaton, P. Palese, The Influenza B Virus Hemagglutinin Head Domain Is Less Tolerant to Transposon Mutagenesis than That of the Influenza A Virus. *J Virol* **92**, (2018).

19. V. A. Avanzato *et al.*, A structural basis for antibody-mediated neutralization of Nipah virus reveals a site of vulnerability at the fusion glycoprotein apex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 25057-25067 (2019).

20. K. D. Azarm, B. Lee, Differential Features of Fusion Activation within the Paramyxoviridae. *Viruses* **12**, (2020).

21. J. C. Rassa, G. M. Wilson, G. A. Brewer, G. D. Parks, Spacing Constraints on Reinitiation of Paramyxovirus Transcription: The Gene End U Tract Acts as a Spacer to Separate Gene End from Gene Start Sites. *Virology* **274**, 438-449 (2000).

22. A. Sugai, H. Sato, M. Yoneda, C. Kai, Gene end-like sequences within the 3' non-coding region of the Nipah virus genome attenuate viral gene transcription. *Virology* **508**, 36-44 (2017).

23. K. Hino *et al.*, Downregulation of Nipah virus N mRNA occurs through interaction between its 3' untranslated region and hnRNP D. *Journal of virology* **87**, 6582-6588 (2013).

24. Y. Inoue *et al.*, Selective Translation of the Measles Virus Nucleocapsid mRNA by La Protein. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **2**, (2011).

25. M. K. Lo, T. M. Søgaard, D. G. Karlin, Evolution and Structural Organization of the C Proteins of Paramyxovirinae. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e90003 (2014).

26. Y. Fujii, K. Kiyotani, T. Yoshida, T. Sakaguchi, Conserved and non-conserved regions in the Sendai virus genome: evolution of a gene possessing overlapping reading frames. *Virus genes* **22**, 47-52 (2001).

27. J. Habchi, S. Longhi, Structural disorder within paramyxovirus nucleoproteins and phosphoproteins. *Molecular BioSystems* **8**, 69-81 (2012).

28. V. D. Thakkar *et al.*, The Unstructured Paramyxovirus Nucleocapsid Protein Tail Domain Modulates Viral Pathogenesis through Regulation of Transcriptase Activity. *Journal of virology*, JVI.02064-02017 (2018).

29. R. M. Cox, S. A. Krumm, V. D. Thakkar, M. Sohn, R. K. Plemper, The structurally disordered paramyxovirus nucleocapsid protein tail domain is a regulator of the mRNA transcription gradient. *Science Advances* **3**, e1602350 (2017).

30. M. Dochow, S. A. Krumm, J. E. Crowe, M. L. Moore, R. K. Plemper, Independent Structural Domains in Paramyxovirus Polymerase Protein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **287**, 6878-6891 (2012).

31. S. M. Beaty *et al.*, Efficient and Robust Paramyxoviridae Reverse Genetics Systems. *mSphere* **2**, e00376-00316 (2017).

32. M. Habjan, N. Penski, M. Spiegel, F. Weber, T7 RNA polymerase-dependent and -independent systems for cDNA-based rescue of Rift Valley fever virus. *Journal of General Virology* **89**, 2157-2166 (2008).

33. J. M. Emeny, M. J. Morgan, Regulation of the Interferon System: Evidence that Vero Cells have a Genetic Defect in Interferon Production. *Journal of General Virology* **43**, 247-252 (1979).

34. U. J. Buchholz, S. Finke, K.-K. Conzelmann, Generation of Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus (BRSV) from cDNA: BRSV NS2 Is Not Essential for Virus Replication in Tissue Culture, and the Human RSV Leader Region Acts as a Functional BRSV Genome Promoter. *Journal of Virology* **73**, 251-259 (1999).

35. X. Hou *et al.*, Mutations in Sendai virus variant F1-R that correlate with plaque formation in the absence of trypsin. *Medical Microbiology and Immunology* **194**, 129-136 (2005).

36. R. Shobana, S. K. Samal, S. Elankumaran, Prostate-specific antigen-retargeted recombinant newcastle disease virus for prostate cancer virotherapy. *Journal of virology* **87**, 3792-3800 (2013).

Acknowledgments

General: We thank Dr. W. Paul Duprex and Dr. Nancy McQueen for the kind gift of the MuV and SeV

reverse genetics systems we previously modified to make this manuscript possible. Both were co-authors in

the original paper describing the efficient and robust reverse genetics system that we developed (*31*). We

also thank other members of the Lee Lab for their helpful feedback, and Dr. Benjamin tenOever for help

with some of the original stream graphs. We would also like to acknowledge the genomics and flow

cytometry cores at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

Funding: S.M.B. and C.S. were supported by the Viral-Host Pathogenesis Training Grant T32 AI007647 at

ISMMS. S.I. was supported by Fukuoka University's Clinical Hematology and Oncology Study Group

(CHOT-SG) Fellowship. P.A.T. was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research Postdoctoral

Fellowship. The work reported in this study was supported in part by NIH grants AI115226 and AI123449

to B.L.

Author Contributions: S.M.B. and B.L. conceived the experiments. S.M.B., P.A.T., A.P., S.T.W., and

P.H. generated insertional libraries and carried out screening. S.M.B carried out library rescue and

passaging. S.I. performed the critical analyses, generated individual virus clones based on these analyses,

and carried out competition assays. S.I. and B.L. conceived and analyzed, but S.I. executed the NDV

validation screens. D.S. and C.S. aided in sequencing data analysis. C.S. wrote the code to identify the

inserts from the MinION platform (Oxford Nanopore). P.A.T., S.I., and B.L. wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests: All authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: The raw next generation sequencing results are uploaded at NCBI GEO.

Library screening Illumina sequencing: GSE125257 for SeV and MuV, GSE138366 for NDV. Competitive

outgrowth assay Oxford Nanopore sequencing: GSE128625. SeV-eGFP and NDV-eGFP may be obtained

through a MTA with Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai via Mount Sinai Innovation Partners (MSIP).

MuV (JL5)-eGFP needs to be requested first through Dr. W. Paul Duprex, Center for Vaccine Research,

University of Pittsburg, then through the corresponding author (Dr. Benhur Lee).

806
807 **Figures and Tables**

808
Figure 1. Insertional mutagenesis strategy. (A) Generation and selection of our transposon

mutagenesis library. Schematic of a generic paramyxovirus genome that conforms to rule-of-six. Wild-

type PMV (6n), is shown in deep blue with the additional EGFP reporter between the N and P genes shown

in green. The parental (6n+3) genome used for generating the transposon mutagenesis library is shown

below the wild-type PMV (6n) genome. Red elongated arrowhead indicates the additional stop codon (+3

stop). This 6n+3 genome should be rescued much less efficiently than the wild-type 6n genome. Library

(6n+18) shows examples of the random +15 (nt) *Mu*-transposon scar (light blue arrowheads) left in the 6n+3

parental genome after library generation. Each library was generated at a scale to result in >10X coverage

(number of individually-rescued insertants), in order to ensure that every nt position has >90% probability

of having at least one transposon insertion, assuming *Mu*-transposon insertions are random. **(B-C) Relative**

rescue efficiencies of SeV (B) and MuV (C) constructs. WT (6n), Parental (6n+3), and the transposon

mutagenesis Library (6n+18) genomes from SeV and MuV were generated and rescued as described in

Materials and Methods. Relative rescue efficiencies were estimated by FACS analysis and indicated on the

y-axis as GFP-positive cells (%) at 48 hpi as detailed in Materials and Methods.

Figure 2. SeV tolerates insertions in non-coding regions and ORFs of highly-expressed genes.

Distribution of insertions in a 100nt sliding window identified at P0 (**A**), P1 (**B**), and P2 (**C**). To-scale

schematic of the SeV genome is included at the bottom of each graph, which corresponds to the numerical

labeling of the genomic nucleotide positions indicated on the x-axis. The y-axis for P0 (A) represents the

average number of reads with a transposon scar (insert) (Insertant reads / nt) within a 100-nt sliding window

surrounding the genomic nucleotide position indicated on the x-axis. P1 and P2 (B and C, respectively) y-

axes are insertant reads / nt as defined in (A) averaged over three biological replicates and expressed as

percent of P0 insertant reads / nt, 'Insertant reads / P0 (%)'. This normalizes the P1 and P2 results for the

actual input received from P0. The enrichment or depletion of insertants is therefore a better reflection of

the underlying biology and less confounded by the any potential skewing of the input population. (**D**)

Stream graph showing the enrichment and/or depletion of insertants over serial passaging (P0, P1, P2) in

each of the three biological replicates. Each color represents a sequential 100nt section of genome, with

relative abundance at each passage represented by color height. The vertical representation of the SeV

genome on the far left and right reflects the distribution of insertants across the genome at P0 and P2,

respectively. (**E**) This is a bar graph representation of the P2 data in (C), but separated into the protein

coding regions (ORF, black bars) and their respective non-coding regions (5' and 3' UTRs, grey and striped

bars) as indicated on the x-axis. Data are shown as the normalized averaged 'Insertant reads in P2 / /P0 (%)'

(y-axis) in each of the ORFs and UTRs. Error bars indicate standard deviation. * indicates values below

0.1%.

Figure 3. SeV insertant peak titer production predicts fitness in a competitive outgrowth assay. (**A**)

Heat-map comparison of the abundance of select insertants in P2 from our NGS data (left, library P2), and

peak titers of highly-represented insertants that were selected for individual confirmation as a recombinant

parental virus (6n+3) bearing that particular insertion (+15) (right, Peak titer). Peak titers are indicated from

three independent growth curves (Replicates 1, 2, 3). Black blocks in the heat map indicate insertants that

failed to produce detectable virus in rescue and so could not be used for any further replicates (indicated by

following white blocks). The color-intensity scale for the heat maps comparing the relative abundance of

insertants in P2 (avg counts), and the peak titers of selected insertants described above, are indicated on the

left and right sides, respectively. (**B** and **C**) Multicycle growth curves of select insertants in the N and P

gene regions on Vero cells inoculated with an moi of 0.01. Data are from three independent experiments;

mean +/- S.D. are shown as infectious units/ml (iu/ml; y-axis) at the indicated dpi (x-axis). (**D**) Multiplex

competitive outgrowth assays on Vero cells, using the insertants characterized from (B) and (C) at a total

moi of 0.01. Inoculum and passaging is described in Materials and Methods. Data from three independent

replicates are shown as stream graphs: height of color represents the relative abundance (% of total viruses)

of the indicated insertants at each passage. (**E**) Bar graph of fold enrichment in % abundance of each

insertant from input (P0) to P2 of the competitive outgrowth assay in (D). (**F** and **G**) Comparison of fitness

by each of the three major assays by average ranking of the insertants from most fit (1) to least fit (8) in

each assay replicate, and their correlation by Spearman non-parametric analysis. * $P < 0.05$. Ranking is

described in Materials and Methods. See the text and Materials and Methods for relevant details.

Figure 4. MuV tolerates insertions in non-coding regions and N and F ORFs. Distribution of insertions

in a 100nt sliding window identified at P0 (**A**), P1 (**B**), and P2 (**C**). To-scale schematic of the MuV genome

is included at the bottom of each graph, which corresponds to the numerical labeling of the genomic

nucleotide positions indicated on the x-axis. The y-axis for (A), Insertant reads/nt, and for (B-C), Insertant

reads/P0 (%), are defined as in Fig. 2A-C. (**D**) Stream graph showing the enrichment and/or depletion of

insertants over serial passaging (P0, P1, P2) in each of the three biological replicates, as described for SeV

in Fig. 2D. The vertical representation of the MuV genome on the far left and right reflects the distribution

of insertants across the genome at P0 and P2, respectively. (**E**) This is a bar graph representation of the P2

data in (C) but separated into the protein coding regions (ORF, black bars) and their respective non-coding

regions (5' and 3' UTRs, grey and striped bars) as indicated on the x-axis. Bars represent normalized

averaged 'Insertant Mutant reads in P2/P0 (%)' +/- S.D. in the indicated genomic regions. * indicates values

below 0.1%.

Figure 5. The relative abundance of insertants in the N/P gene regions of MuV from the library P2

correlates with the viability and replicative fitness of those individual insertants. (**A**) Relative

abundance of selected insertants in the mutagenesis library after P2 (left, Library P2 column), and their peak

titers following rescue and amplification as described in Fig. 3A (right, Peak titer columns), are shown as a

heat-map for comparison purposes. The color intensity scale for insertant abundance in Library P2 (avg

counts) and the peak titers of the selected insertants are indicated on the left and right sides, respectively. (**B**

and **C**) Multicycle growth curves of MuV N-insertants (B) and P-insertants (C) gene regions were generated

on Vero cells as described for SeV in Fig. 3B-C. Data are shown as mean +/- S.D. (iu/ml) from three

independent experiments at the indicated dpi. (**D**) Multiplex competitive outgrowth assays on Vero cells,

using the MuV insertants characterized from (B) and (C), and carried out as described in Materials and

Methods. Stream graphs showing the data from three independent replicates are shown: height of each color

stream represents the relative abundance (% of total viruses) of the indicated insertants at each passage. (**E**)

Bar graph of fold enrichment in % abundance of each insertant from input (P0) to P2 of the competitive

outgrowth assay in (D). (**F** and **G**) Comparison of fitness by each of the three major assays by average

ranking of the insertants from most fit (1) to least fit (6) in each assay replicate, and their correlation by

Spearman non-parametric analysis. Ranking is described in Materials and Methods. See the text and

Materials and Methods for relevant details.

Figure 6. Insertional mutagenesis of fusion-defective Newcastle disease virus (NDV^{Fmut}) selects for

rare insertants in F and L genes stochastically associated with replicative fitness. (A) Elimination of the

NotI restriction site (red sequence) in the NDV (6n+3) plasmid DNA with a single nucleotide change and

concomitant mutation (*) Ala138Thr in the fusion peptide of F to generate NDV^{Fmut} (6n+3) for library

generation. The vertical black bar in F indicates the location of the fusion peptide. **(B)** Total number of

reads (y-axis) at each nucleotide position in the SeV genome, regardless of transposon detection, from the

input plasmid. **(C-F)** Transposon insertion distribution within a 100nt sliding window, identified in (C) the

NDV^{Fmut} plasmid DNA library input, **(D)** P0 **(E)** P1, and **(F)** P2. A to-scale schematic of the NDV genome

is included under each graph defined as in Figs 2A-C and 4A-C. The y-axis in (C) and (D) represents the

average number of reads containing an insertion (insertions per nt) at each nt position within a sliding 100-

nt window surrounding the genome nt position on the x-axis. (E) P1 and (F) P2 y-axes, respectively, are

normalized to the average read counts per nucleotide over three replicates expressed as percent of P0 input

913 in (D) as was described for Figs 2B and 2C. Throughout (F and G), $^{\$}$ indicates the insertants surrounding

914 position 5383, and $^{\#}$ indicates the insertants surrounding nts 11867-11877. **(G)** To-scale genome of the

915 plaque-purified NDV-L-11872 clone. The fusion peptide is indicated by the black bar within F, as in (A)

916 above. Transposon insertion at L-11872 is indicated by an orange arrow. Adaptive point mutations are

917 indicated below the genome; green bars indicate mutations that do not affect amino acid sequence. T138A

918 reverts the F^{mut} back to the wild-type, fusion-competent (F^{rev}) sequence.