Evolution of cellular diversity in primary motor cortex of human, marmoset monkey, and mouse

Trygve E. Bakken, Nikolas L. Jorstad, Qiwen Hu, Blue B. Lake, Wei Tian, Brian E. Kalmbach, Megan Crow, Rebecca D. Hodge, Fenna M. Krienen, Staci A. Sorensen, Jeroen Eggermont, Zizhen Yao, Brian D. Aevermann, Andrew I. Aldridge, Anna Bartlett, Darren Bertagnolli, Tamara Casper, Rosa G. Castanon, Kirsten Crichton, Tanya L. Daigle, Rachel Dalley, Nick Dee, Nikolai Dembrow, Dinh Diep, Song-Lin Ding, Weixiu Dong, Rongxin Fang, Stephan Fischer, Melissa Goldman, Jeff Goldy, Lucas T. Graybuck, Brian R. Herb, Xiaomeng Hou, Jayaram Kancherla, Matthew Kroll, Kanan Lathia, Baldur van Lew, Yang Eric Li, Christine S. Liu, Hanqing Liu, Jacinta D. Lucero, Anup Mahurkar, Delissa McMillen, Jeremy A. Miller, Marmar Moussa, Joseph R. Nery, Philip R. Nicovich, Joshua Orvis, Julia K. Osteen, Scott Owen, Carter R. Palmer, Thanh Pham, Nongluk Plongthongkum, Olivier Poirion, Nora M. Reed, Christine Rimorin, Angeline Rivkin, William J. Romanow, Adriana E. Sedeño-Cortés, Kimberly Siletti, Saroja Somasundaram, Josef Sulc, Michael Tieu, Amy Torkelson, Herman Tung, Xinxin Wang, Fangming Xie, Anna Marie Yanny, Renee Zhang, Seth A. Ament, M. Margarita Behrens, Hector Corrada Bravo, Jerold Chun, Alexander Dobin, Jesse Gillis, Ronna Hertzano, Patrick R. Hof, Thomas Höllt, Gregory D. Horwitz, C. Dirk Keene, Peter V. Kharchenko, Andrew L. Ko, Boudewijn P. Lelieveldt, Chongyuan Luo, Eran A. Mukamel, Sebastian Preissl, Aviv Regev, Bing Ren, Richard H. Scheuermann, Kimberly Smith, William J. Spain, Owen R. White, Christof Koch, Michael Hawrylycz, Bosiljka Tasic, Evan Z. Macosko, Steven A. McCarroll, Jonathan T. Ting, Hongkui Zeng, Kun Zhang, Guoping Feng, Joseph R. Ecker, Sten Linnarsson, Ed S. Lein

Correspondence: Ed S. Lein (edl@alleninstitute.org), Trygve E. Bakken (trygveb@alleninstitute.org)

Abstract

The primary motor cortex (M1) is essential for voluntary fine motor control and is functionally conserved across mammals. Using high-throughput transcriptomic and epigenomic profiling of over 450,000 single nuclei in human, marmoset monkey, and mouse, we demonstrate a broadly conserved cellular makeup of this region, whose similarity mirrors evolutionary distance and is consistent between the transcriptome and epigenome. The core conserved molecular identity of neuronal and non-neuronal types allowed the generation of a cross-species consensus cell type classification and inference of conserved cell type properties across species. Despite overall conservation, many species specializations were apparent, including differences in cell type proportions, gene expression, DNA methylation, and chromatin state. Few cell type marker genes were conserved across species, providing a short list of candidate genes and regulatory mechanisms responsible for conserved features of homologous cell types, such as the GABAergic chandelier cells. This consensus transcriptomic classification allowed the Patch-seq identification of layer 5 (L5) corticospinal Betz cells in non-human primate and human and characterization of their highly specialized physiology and anatomy. These findings highlight the robust molecular underpinnings of cell type diversity in M1 across mammals and point to the genes and regulatory pathways responsible for the functional identity of cell types and their species-specific adaptations.

Introduction

Single-cell transcriptomic and epigenomic methods provide a powerful lens on understanding the cellular makeup of highly complex brain tissues based on distinct patterns of gene expression and underlying regulatory mechanisms [1–7]. Applied to mouse and human neocortex, single-cell or single-nucleus transcriptomic analysis has yielded a complex but finite classification of cell types with approximately 100 discriminable neuronal and non-neuronal types in any given neocortical region [1,2,6,8]. Similar analyses using epigenomic methods have shown that many cortical cell types can be

distinguished on the basis of regions of open chromatin or DNA methylation [5,9,10]. Furthermore, several

recent studies have shown that transcriptomically-defined cell types can be aligned across species [2,11–

13], indicating that these methods provide a path to quantitatively study evolutionary conservation and

divergence at the level of cell types. However, application of these methods has been highly

fragmented to date. Human and mouse comparisons have been performed in different cortical regions,

using single-cell (with biases in cell proportions) versus single-nucleus (with biases in transcript

makeup) analysis, and most single-cell transcriptomic and epigenomic studies have been performed

independently.

The primary motor cortex (MOp in mouse, M1 in human and non-human primates, all referred to as M1

herein) provides an ideal cortical region to address questions about cellular evolution in rodents and

primates by integrating these approaches. Unlike the primary visual cortex (V1), which is highly

specialized in primates, or frontal and temporal association areas, whose homologues in rodents

remain poorly defined, M1 is essential for fine motor control and is functionally conserved across

placental mammals. M1 is an agranular cortex, lacking a defined L4, although neurons with L4-like

properties have been described [14]. L5 of carnivore and primate M1 contains exceptionally large

"giganto-cellular" corticospinal neurons (Betz cells in primates [15,16] that contribute to the pyramidal tract

and are highly specialized for their unusually large size with distinctive "taproot"-style dendrites [17,18].

Extracellular recordings from macaque corticospinal neurons reveal distinctive action potential

properties supportive of a high conduction velocity and similar, unique properties have been reported

during intracellular recordings from giganto-cellular neurons in cats[19–21]. Additionally, some primate Betz

cells directly synapse onto alpha motor neurons, whereas in cats and rodents these neurons synapse

instead onto spinal interneurons [22,23]. These observations suggest that Betz cells possess specialized

intrinsic mechanisms to support rapid communication, some of which are primate specific.

Conservation of cellular features across species is strong evidence for evolutionary constraints on important cellular function. To explore evolutionary conservation and divergence of the M1 cellular makeup and its underlying molecular and gene regulatory mechanisms, we combined saturation coverage single-nucleus transcriptome analysis, DNA methylation, and combined open chromatin and transcriptome analysis of mouse, marmoset, and human M1 and transcriptomic profiling of macaque M1 L5. We describe a robust classification of neuronal and non-neuronal cell types in each species that is highly consistent between the transcriptome and epigenome. Cell type alignment accuracy and similarity varied as a function of evolutionary distance, with human more similar to non-human primate than to mouse. We derived a consensus mammalian classification with globally similar cellular diversity, varying proportions, and species specializations in gene expression between conserved cell classes. Few genes had conserved cell type-specific expression across species and likely contribute to other conserved cellular properties, such as the unique morphology of chandelier GABAergic neurons. Conversely, these data also allow a targeted search for genes responsible for species specializations such as the distinctive anatomy, physiology and axonal projections of Betz cells, large corticospinal neurons in primates that are responsible for voluntary fine motor control. Together these findings highlight the strength of a comparative approach to understand cortical cellular diversity and identify conserved and specialized gene and gene regulatory mechanisms underlying cellular identity and function.

We made all primary and analyzed data publicly available. Raw sequence data are available for download from the Neuroscience Multi-omics Archive (nemoarchive.org) and the Brain Cell Data Center (biccn.org/data). Visualization and analysis tools are available at NeMO Analytics (nemoanalytics.org) and Cytosplore Viewer (viewer.cytosplore.org). These tools allow users to compare cross-species datasets and consensus clusters via genome and cell browsers and calculate differential expression within and among species. A semantic representation of the cell types defined through

99   these studies is available in the provisional Cell Ontology

100   (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PCL; Supplementary Table 1).

Results

Multi-omic cell type taxonomies

To characterize the molecular diversity of M1 neurons and non-neuronal cells, we applied multiple

single-nucleus transcriptomic (plate-based SMART-seq v4, SSv4, and droplet-based Chromium v3,

Cv3, RNA-sequencing) and epigenomic (single-nucleus methylcytosine sequencing 2, snmC-seq2;

single-nucleus chromatin accessibility and mRNA expression sequencing, SNARE-seq2) assays on

isolated M1 samples from human (Extended Data Fig. 1a), marmoset, and mouse brain. Cellular

diversity was also profiled selectively in M1 L5 from macaque monkeys using Cv3 (Fig. 1b) to allow

Patch-seq mapping in physiology experiments. M1 was identified in each species based on its

stereotyped location in the caudal portion of frontal cortex and histological features such as the

presence of exceptionally large pyramidal neurons in L5 of M1, classically known as Betz cells in

human, other primates, and carnivores (Fig. 1a; [17]). Single nuclei were dissociated, sorted, and

transcripts were quantified with Cv3 for deep sampling in all four species, and additionally using SSv4

in human and mouse for full-length transcript information. For human and a subset of mouse nuclei,

individual layers of M1 were profiled independently using SSv4. Whole-genome DNA methylation, and

open chromatin combined with transcriptome measurements, were quantified in single nuclei from a

subset of species (Fig. 1b). Mouse datasets are also reported in a companion paper [6]. Median neuronal

gene detection was higher in human using SSv4 (7296 genes) than Cv3 (5657), partially due to 20-fold

greater read depth, and detection was lower in marmoset (4211) and mouse (5046) using Cv3

(Extended Data Fig. 1b-i).

For each species, a diverse set of neuronal and non-neuronal cell type clusters were defined based on

unsupervised clustering of snRNA-seq datasets (cluster metadata in Supplementary Table 2). Human

SSv4 and Cv3 data were integrated based on shared co-expression using Seurat [24], and 127 clusters

were identified that included nuclei from both RNA-seq platforms (Extended Data Fig. j-l). Marmoset

clusters (94) were determined based on independent clustering of Cv3 data using a similar analysis

pipeline. Mouse clusters (116) were defined in a companion paper [6] using seven integrated

transcriptomics datasets. These differences in the number of clusters are likely due to a combination of

statistical methodological differences as well as sampling and data quality differences rather than true

biological differences in cell diversity. For example, more non-neuronal nuclei were sampled in mouse

(58,098) and marmoset (21,189) compared to human (4,005), resulting in greater non-neuronal

resolution in those species. t-SNE visualizations of transcriptomic similarities across nuclei revealed

well-separated clusters in all species and mixing among donors, with some donor-specific technical

effects in marmoset (Extended Data Fig. 1m,n).

Post-clustering, cell types were organized into taxonomies based on transcriptomic similarities and

given a standardized nomenclature (Supplementary Table 3). As described previously for a different

cortical region [2], taxonomies were broadly conserved across species and reflected different

developmental origins of major non-neuronal and neuronal classes (e.g. GABAergic neurons from

ganglionic eminences (GEs) versus glutamatergic neurons from the cortical plate) and subclasses (e.g.

GABAergic CGE-derived *Lamp5/Sncg* and *Vip* versus MGE-derived *Pvalb* and *Sst*), allowing

identification and naming of these subclasses across species. Consequently, cardinal cell subclass

properties can be inferred, such as intratelencephalic (IT) projection patterns. Greater species variation

was seen at the highest level of resolution (cell types) that are named based on transcription data in

each species including the layer (if available), major class, subclass marker gene, and most specific

marker gene (e.g. L3 Exc *RORB OTOGL* in human; additional markers in Supplementary Tables 4-6).

GABAergic types were uniformly rare (< 4.5% of neurons), whereas more variable frequencies were

found for glutamatergic types (0.01 to 18.4% of neurons) and non-neuronal types (0.15% to 56.2% of

non-neuronal cells).

Laminar dissections in human M1 further allowed the estimation of laminar distributions of cell types

based on the proportions of nuclei dissected from each layer (Fig. 1c). As expected and previously

reported in middle temporal gyrus (MTG) of human neocortex [2], glutamatergic neuron types were

specific to layers. A subset of CGE-derived *Lamp5/Sncg* GABAergic neurons were restricted to L1, and

MGE-derived GABAergic types (*Sst* and *Pvalb*) displayed laminar patterning, with transcriptomically

similar types showing proximal laminar distributions, whereas *Vip* GABAergic neuron types displayed

the least laminar specificity. Three astrocyte subtypes had frequencies and layer distributions that

correlated with known morphologically-defined astrocyte types [25], including a common type in all layers

(protoplasmic), a rare type in L1 (interlaminar) [26], and a rare type in L6 (fibrous).

Single-nucleus sampling provides a relatively unbiased survey of cellular diversity [2,27] and enables

comparison of cell subclass proportions across species. For each donor, we estimated the proportion of

GABAergic and glutamatergic cells among all neurons and compared the proportions across species.

Consistent with previously reported differences in GABAergic neuron frequencies in primate versus

rodent somato-motor cortex based on histological measurements (reviewed in [28]), we found twice as

many GABAergic neurons in human (33%) compared to mouse M1 (16%) an intermediate proportion

(23%) in marmoset (Fig. 1f). Despite these differences, the relative proportions of GABAergic neuron

subclasses were similar. Exceptions to this included an increased proportion of *Vip* and *Sncg* cells and

decreased proportion of *Pvalb* cells in marmoset. Among glutamatergic neurons, there were

significantly more L2 and L3 IT neurons in human than marmoset and mouse (Fig. 1f), consistent with

the dramatic expansion of supragranular cortical layers in human (Fig. 1a) [29]. The L5

extratelencephalic-projecting (ET) types (also known as pyramidal tract, PT, or subcerebral types),

including corticospinal neurons and Betz cells in primate M1, comprised a significantly smaller

proportion of glutamatergic neurons in primates than mouse. This species difference was also reported

in MTG [2], possibly reflecting the spatial dilution of these cells with the expansion of neocortex in

primates. Similarly, the L6 cortico-thalamic (CT) neuron populations were less than half as frequent in

primates compared to mouse, whereas the L6 *Car3* type was rare in all species and relatively more

abundant in marmoset.

Individual nuclei were isolated from M1 of the same donors for each species and molecular profiles

were derived for DNA methylation (snmC-seq2) and open chromatin combined with mRNA (SNARE-

seq2). Independent unsupervised clustering of epigenomic data also resulted in diverse clusters (see

below, Figs. 4 and 5) that were mapped back to RNA clusters based on shared (directly measured or

inferred) marker gene expression. Cell epigenomes were highly correlated with transcriptomes, and all

epigenomic clusters mapped to one or more transcriptomic clusters. The epigenome data generally had

lower cell type resolution (Fig. 1c-e), although this may be due to sampling fewer cells or sparse

genomic coverage. Interestingly, snmC-seq2 and SNARE-seq2 resolved different granularities of cell

types. For example, more GABAergic *Vip* neuron types were identified in human M1 based on DNA-

methylation than open chromatin, despite profiling only 5% as many nuclei with snmC-seq2 (Fig. 1c).

Consensus cellular M1 taxonomy across species

A consensus cell type classification identifies conserved molecular makeup and allows direct cross-

species comparisons. The snRNA-seq Cv3 datasets were integrated using Seurat [24] that aligns nuclei

across species based on shared co-expression of a subset of orthologous genes with variable

expression. We repeated this analysis for three cell classes: GABAergic neurons (Fig. 2), glutamatergic

neurons (Extended Data Fig. 3) and non-neuronal cells (Extended Data Fig. 4). As represented in a

reduced dimension UMAP space (Fig.2a), GABAergic neuronal nuclei were well-mixed across species.

Eight well-defined populations formed distinct islands populated by cells from all three species,

including CGE-derived (*Lamp5*, *Sncg*, *Vip*) and MGE-derived (*Pvalb*, *Sst*, *Sst Chodl*) subclasses, and

Lamp5 Lhx6 and chandelier cell (ChC) types. To identify conserved molecular expression for each

subclass across species, we first identified genes that were enriched in each subclass ("markers")

compared to all GABAergic neurons in each species (ROC test; AUC > 0.7). Then, we looked for

overlap among these genes across species. Each subclass had a core set of conserved markers (Fig.

2b, markers listed in Supplementary Table 7), and many subclass markers were species-specific. The

contrast between a minority of conserved and majority of species-specific marker genes enriched in

subclasses is particularly clear in the heatmap in Figure 2c (Supplementary Table 8). As expected

based on their closer evolutionary distance, human and marmoset shared more subclass markers with

each other than with mouse (Fig. 2b).

Cell types remained distinct within species and aligned across species in the integrated transcriptomic

space (Fig. 2d). To establish a consensus taxonomy of cross-species clusters, we used unsupervised

clustering to split the integrated space into more than 500 small clusters ('metacells') and built a

dendrogram and quantified branch stability by subsampling metacells and reclustering (Extended Data

Fig. 2a). Metacells were merged with nearest neighbors until all branches were stable and included

nuclei from the three species (see Methods). We used cluster overlap heatmaps to visualize the

alignment of cell types across species based on membership in merged metacells (Fig. 2e). 24

GABAergic consensus clusters displayed consistent overlap of clusters among the three species and

are highlighted as blue boxes in the heatmaps (Fig. 2e).

We next constructed a consensus taxonomy by pruning the metacell dendrogram (Extended Data Fig.

2a), and demonstrated that all types were well mixed across species (Fig. 2f, grey branches). The

robustness of consensus types was bolstered by a conserved set of marker genes (Extended Data Fig.

2d) and high classification accuracy of subclasses (Extended Data Fig. 2e, data in Supplementary

Table 9) and types (Extended Data Fig. 2f, data in Supplementary Table 9) compared to nearest

neighbors within and among species using a MetaNeighbor analysis [30]. Distinct consensus types (ChC,

Sst Chodl) were the most robust (mean AUROC = 0.99 within-species and 0.88 cross-species), while

Sncg and *Sst* types could not be as reliably differentiated from closely related types (mean AUROC =

0.84 within-species and 0.50 cross-species). Most consensus GABAergic types were enriched in the

same layers in human and mouse (Fig. 2f), although there were also notable species differences. For

example, ChCs were enriched in L2/3 in mouse and distributed across all layers in human as was seen

in temporal cortex (MTG) based on RNA ISH [2]. *Sst Chodl* was restricted to L6 in mouse and also found

in L1 and L2 in human, consistent with previous observations of sparse expression of *SST* in L1 in

human not mouse cortex [31].

More consensus clusters could be resolved by pairwise alignment of human and marmoset than

primates and mouse, particularly *Vip* subtypes (Fig. 2g, Extended Data Fig. 2b). Higher resolution

integration of cell types was also apparent in cluster overlap plots between human and marmoset

clusters (Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 2c). We quantified the expression conservation of functionally

annotated sets of genes by testing the ability of gene sets to discriminate GABAergic consensus types.

This analysis was framed as a supervised learning task, both within- and between-species [30]. Within-

species, gene sets related to neuronal connectivity and signaling were most informative for cell type

identity (Extended Data Fig. 2g), as reported in human and mouse cortex [2,32]. All gene sets had

remarkably similar consensus type classification performance across species (r > 0.95; Fig. 2h),

pointing to strong evolutionary constraints on the cell type specificity of gene expression central to

neuronal function. Gene set classification performance was systematically reduced when training and

testing between primates (44% reduction) and between primates and mouse (65% reduction; Fig. 2h).

Therefore, many cell type marker genes were expressed in different consensus types between species.

Future comparative work can compare reductions in classification performance to evolutionary

distances between species to estimate rates of expression change across phylogenies.

Cross-species consensus types were defined for glutamatergic neurons using an identical approach as

for GABAergic neurons (Extended Data Fig. 3). In general, glutamatergic subclasses aligned well

across species and had a core set of conserved markers as well as many species-specific markers

(Extended Data Fig. 3a-c, genes listed in Supplementary Tables 10-11). 13 consensus types were

defined across species. Glutamatergic types had fewer conserved markers than GABAergic types

(Extended Data Fig. 3d-f,j), although subclasses and types were similarly robust (mean within-species

AUROC = 0.86 for GABAergic types and 0.85 for glutamatergic types) based on classification

performance (Extended Data Fig. 3k,l and Supplementary Table 9). Human and marmoset had

consistently more conserved marker genes than primates and mouse (Extended Data Fig. 3i) and could

be aligned at somewhat higher resolution (Extended Data Fig. 3g,h) for L5/6 NP and L5 IT subclasses.

Integration of non-neuronal cells was performed similarly to neurons (Extended Data Fig. 4a).

Consensus clusters (blue boxes in Extended Data Fig. 4c) that shared many marker genes were

identified across species (Extended Data Fig. 4d), and there was also evidence for the evolutionary

divergence of gene expression in consensus types. For example, the Astro_1 type had 560 DEGs

(Wilcox test; FDR < 0.01, log-fold change > 2) between human and mouse and only 221 DEGs

between human and marmoset (Extended Data Fig. 4e). The human cortex contains several

morphologically distinct astrocyte types [33]: interlaminar (ILA) in L1, protoplasmic in all layers, varicose

projection in deep layers, and fibrous in white matter (WM). We previously reported two transcriptomic

clusters in human MTG that corresponded to protoplasmic astrocytes and ILAs [2], and we validated

these types in M1 (Extended Data Fig. 4g,h). We identified a third type, Astro L1-6 *FGFR3 AQP1*, that

expresses *APQ4* and *TNC* and corresponds to fibrous astrocytes in WM (Extended Data Fig. 4g, left

ISH). A putative varicose projection astrocyte did not express human astrocyte markers (Extended Data

Fig. 4g, middle and right ISH), and this rare type may not have been sampled or is not

transcriptomically distinct.

Species comparison of non-neuronal cell types was more challenging than for neurons due to variable

sampling across species and more immature non-neuronal cells in mouse. 5- to 15-fold lower sampling

of non-neuronal cells in human impacted detection of rare types. For example, pericytes, smooth

muscle cells (SMCs), and some subtypes of vascular and leptomeningeal cells (VLMCs) were present

in marmoset and mouse and not human datasets (Extended Data Fig. 4c, right plot, blue arrows),

although these cells are clearly present in human cortex (for example, see [34]). A maturation lineage

between oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) and oligodendrocytes based on reported marker

genes [35] that was present in mouse and not primates (Extended Data Fig. 4b) likely represents the

younger age of mouse tissues used. Mitotic astrocytes (Astro_*Top2a*) were also only present in mouse

(Extended Data Fig. 4a,c) and represented 0.1% of non-neuronal cells. Primates had a unique

oligodendrocyte population (Oligo *SLC1A3 LOC103793418* in marmoset and Oligo L2-6 *OPALIN*

MAP6D1 in human) that was not a distinct cluster in mouse (Extended Data Fig. 4c, left plot, blue

arrow). Surprisingly this oligodendrocyte clustered with glutamatergic types (Fig. 1c,d) and was

associated with neuronal transcripts such as *NPTX1*, *OLFM3*, and *GRIA1* (Extended Data Fig. 4i). This

was not an artifact, as FISH for markers of this type (*SOX10*, *ST18*) co-localized with neuronal markers

in the nuclei of cells that were sparsely distributed across all layers of human and marmoset M1

(Extended Data Fig. 4j). This may represent an oligodendrocyte type that expresses neuronal genes or

could represent phagocytosis of parts of neurons and accompanying transcripts that are sequestered in

phagolysosomes adjacent to nuclei.

To assess differential isoform usage between human and mouse, we used SSv4 data with full transcript

coverage and estimated isoform abundance in cell subclasses. Remarkably, 25% of moderately

expressed (> 10 transcripts per million) isoforms showed a large change (>9-fold) in usage between

species, and isoform switching was 30-60% more common in non-neuronal than neuronal cells

(Extended Data Fig. 2h,i, Supplementary Table 12). For example, β2-Chimaerin (*CHN2*), a gene shown

to mediate axonal pruning in the hippocampus [36], was highly expressed in human and mouse L5/6 NP

neurons. In mouse, the short isoform was almost exclusively expressed, while in human, longer

isoforms were also expressed (Extended Data Fig. 2j).

Open chromatin profiling reveals distinct cell type gene regulation

To directly match accessible chromatin profiles to RNA-defined cell populations, we used SNARE-Seq

[37], now modified for highly multiplexed combinatorial barcoding (SNARE-Seq2) [38]. We generated

84,178 and 9,946 dual-omic single-nucleus RNA and accessible chromatin (AC) datasets from human

(n = 2) and marmoset (n = 2) M1, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 5a-b, Supplementary Table 13). On

average, 2,242 genes (5,764 unique transcripts) were detected per nucleus for human and 3,858 genes

(12,400 unique transcripts) per nucleus for marmoset, due to more than 4-fold greater sequencing

depth for marmoset (average 17,576 reads per nucleus for human and 77,816 reads per nucleus for

marmoset).

To define consensus clusters, SNARE-seq2 single-nucleus RNA expression data were mapped to

human and marmoset transcriptomic clusters (Fig. 1c,d) based on correlated expression of cell type

marker genes. SNARE-seq2 transcriptomes were also independently clustered, with both approaches

giving consistent results (Extended Data Fig. 5c-f). Consensus clusters were more highly resolved in

transcriptomic compared to AC data (Extended Data Fig. 5g), and so an integrative approach was used

to achieve best matched AC-level cluster annotations (Extended Data Fig. 5h-k). AC peak calling at

multiple levels of cellular identity (for RNA consensus clusters, resolved AC clusters, subclasses and

classes) yielded a combined total of 273,103 (human) and 134,769 (marmoset) accessible sites, with

an average of 1527 or 1322 unique accessible peak fragment counts per nucleus, respectively. Gene

activity estimates based on cis-regulatory interactions predicted from co-accessible promoter and distal

peak regions using Cicero [39] were highly correlated with RNA expression values. This highlights the

ability of SNARE-Seq2 to meaningfully characterize AC at RNA-defined cellular resolution that cannot

be achieved using only AC data (Extended Data Fig. 6a-b). The AC-level clusters (Fig. 3a,b) that

showed similar coverage across individual samples (Extended Data Fig. 6c-f) revealed regions of open

chromatin that are extremely cell type specific (Fig. 3c). These regulatory regions were relatively more

abundant in glutamatergic compared to GABAergic neuron subpopulations (Fig. 3c-d, Supplementary

Table 14).

To better understand the interplay of gene regulation and expression, we compared transcript counts

and open chromatin measured in the same nuclei. For example, the GABAergic neuron marker *GAD2*

and the L2/3 glutamatergic neuron marker *CUX2* showed cell-type specific chromatin profiles for co-

accessible sites that were consistent with their corresponding transcript abundances (Fig. 3e-g).

Transcription factor binding site (TFBS) activities were calculated using chromVAR [40], permitting

discovery of differentially active TFBSs between cell types. To investigate the regulatory factors that

may contribute to marker gene expression, we evaluated active TFBSs for their enrichment within

marker gene co-accessible sites. This permitted direct cell type mapping of gene expression and

activity levels with the expression and activity of associated regulatory factors (Fig. 3g). Using this

strategy, we identified TFBS activities associated with subclass (Fig. 3h-i) and AC-cluster level

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in human and marmoset (Supplementary Table 15). DEG

transcript levels and AC-inferred gene activity scores showed high correspondence (Fig. 3h). While

most subclasses also showed distinct TFBS activities, correspondence between human and marmoset

was higher for glutamatergic rather than GABAergic neurons (Fig. 3h,j). For GABAergic neuron

subclasses, gene expression profiles were more conserved than TFBS activities, consistent with fewer

differences between GABAergic subpopulations based on AC sites (Fig. 3a,b). This observation is also

consistent with fewer distinct TFBS activities among some inhibitory neuron subclasses (*Lamp5*, *Sncg*)

in human compared to marmoset (Fig. 3h), despite these cell types having a similar number of AC peak

counts (Extended Data Fig. 6d-f). Interestingly, glutamatergic neurons in L5 and L6 showed higher

correspondence between primates based on TFBS activities compared to average expression,

suggesting that gene regulatory processes are more highly conserved in these subclasses than target

gene expression.

Methylomic profiling reveals conserved gene regulation

We used snmC-seq2 [41] to profile the DNA methylome from individual cells in M1. Single-nuclei were

labeled with an anti-NeuN antibody and isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), and

neurons were enriched (90% NeuN+ nuclei) to increase detection of rare types. Using snmC-seq2, we

generated single-nucleus methylcytosine datasets from M1 of human (n = 2 donors, 6,095 nuclei),

marmoset (n = 2, 6,090), and mouse (9,876) (Liu et al. companion paper) (Supplementary Table 16).

On average, 5.5 ± 2.7% (mean ± s.d.) of human, 5.6 ± 2.9% of marmoset and 6.2 ± 2.6% of mouse

genomes were covered by stringently filtered reads per cell, with 3.4×10^4 (56%), 1.8×10^4 (62%) and

4.5×10^4 (81%) genes detected per cell in the three species, respectively. Based on the DNA

methylome profiles in both CpG sites (CG methylation or mCG) and non-CpG sites (CH methylation or

mCH), we clustered nuclei (Methods) to group cell populations into 31 cell types in human, 36 cell types

in marmoset, and 42 cell types in mouse (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 7a,b). For each species, cell

type clusters could be robustly discriminated using a supervised classification model and had distinct

marker genes based on DNA methylation signatures for neurons (mCH) or non-neuronal cells (mCG)

(Methods). Differentially methylated regions (DMR) were determined for each cell type versus all other

cell types and yielded 9.8×10^5 DMRs in human, 1.0×10^6 in marmoset, and 1.8×10^6 in mouse.

We determined a consensus molecular classification of cell types in each species by integrating single-

nucleus methylomic data with the Cv3 transcriptomic data described above using measurements of

gene body differential methylation (CH-DMG) to approximate expression levels. Nuclei from the two

data modalities mixed well as visualized in ensemble UMAPs (Fig. 4b,c). Methylation clusters have

one-to-one, one-to-many, or many-to-many mapping relation to transcriptomic clusters (Fig. 1c-e and

Extended Data Fig. 7d-f). DMRs were quantified for each subclass versus all other subclasses (Fig.

4d), and glutamatergic neurons had more hypo-methylated DMRs compared to GABAergic neurons.

Methylome tracks at subclass level can be found at http://neomorph.salk.edu/aj2/pages/cross-species-

M1/. To identify enriched transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) in each species and subclass, we

performed motif enrichment analysis with hypo-methylated DMRs from one subclass against other

DMRs of the same species, and identified 102 ± 57 (mean ± s.d.) TFBS in each subclass (Extended

Data Fig. 8 and Supplementary Table 17). We repeated the enrichment analysis using TFBS motif

clusters [42] and found similarly distinct subclass signatures (Supplementary Table 18). Although

subclasses had unique marker genes (Fig. 2c, genes listed in Supplementary Table 8) and CH-DMG

across species, they had remarkably conserved TFBS motif enrichment (Fig. 4e,f and Extended Data

Fig. 8). For example, *TCF4* is robustly expressed in L5 IT neurons across species and shows

significant TFBS enrichment in hypo-methylated DMRs and AC sites. DMRs and AC sites provide

independent epigenomic information (Extended Data Fig. 7f,g) and can identify different TFBS

enrichment, such as for *ZNF148* in L5 IT neurons. These results are consistent with previous

observations of conserved TF network architectures in neural cell types between human and mouse

(Stergachis et al. 2014). Conserved sets of TFs have the potential to determine conserved and

divergent expression in consensus types based on shared or altered genomic locations of TFBS motifs

across species.

Layer 4-like neurons in human M1

M1 lacks a L4 defined by a thin band of densely packed "granular" neurons that is present in other

cortical areas, such as MTG (Fig. 5a), although prior studies have identified neurons with L4-like

synaptic properties in mice [14] and expression of *RORB*, a L4 marker, in non-human primate M1 [43]. To

address the potential existence of L4-like neurons in human M1 from a transcriptomic perspective, we

integrated snRNA-seq data from M1 and the granular MTG, where we previously described multiple L4

glutamatergic neuron types [2]. This alignment revealed a broadly conserved cellular architecture

between M1 and MTG (Fig. 5b,c, Extended Data Fig. 9) including M1 neuron types Exc L3 *RORB*

OTOGL (here, *OTOGL*) and Exc L3-5 *RORB LINC01202* (here, *LINC01202*) that map closely to MTG

neurons in deep L3 to L4 (Fig. 5c, red outlines). Interestingly, four MTG L2/3 IT types (*LTK*, *GLP2R*,

FREM3, and *CARM1P1*) whose distinct physiology and morphology are reported in a companion paper

[44] had less clear homology in M1 than other types (Extended Data Fig. 9a-c), pointing to more

variability across cortical areas of superficial as compared to deep glutamatergic neurons. To compare

laminar positioning in M1 and MTG, the relative cortical depth from pia for each neuron was estimated

based on the layer dissection and average layer thickness [45]. Transcriptomically similar cell types were

found at similar cortical depths in M1 and MTG, and the *OTOGL* and *LINC01202* types were located in

deep L3 and superficial L5 in M1 (Fig. 5d).

MTG contains three main transcriptomically-defined L4 glutamatergic neuron types (*FILIP1L*, *TWIST2*

and *ESR1*) and a deep L3 type (*COL22A1*) that is found on the border of L3 and L4 (Fig. 5e-g). The M1

types *OTOGL* and *LINC01202* matched one-to-one with MTG *COL22A1* and *ESR1*, whereas there

were no matches for the other two MTG L4 types (Fig. 5f). Based on snRNA-seq proportions, the L4-

like *OTOGL* type was much sparser in M1 than the *ESR1* type in MTG (Fig. 5e). Multiplex fluorescent in

situ hybridization (mFISH) with probes to cell type marker genes confirmed these findings. The MTG

ESR1 type was highly enriched in L4, [2], and the homologous M1 *LINC01202* type was sparser and

more widely distributed across L3 and L5 (Fig. 5g). The MTG *COL22A1* type was tightly restricted to

the L3/4 border [2], and the M1 *OTOGL* type was similarly found at the L3/5 border. Quantification of

labeled cells as a fraction of DAPI+ cells in L3-5 showed similar frequencies of M1 *OTOGL* and MTG

COL22A1 types and 4-fold sparser M1 *LINC01202* type versus MTG *ESR1* type (Fig. 5h). These data

indicate a conservation of deep L3 glutamatergic types and proportions across human cortical areas,

but with reduced diversity and sparsification of L4-like neurons to a single (ESR1) type in M1,

distributed more broadly where L4 would be if tightly aggregated.

Chandelier cells share a core molecular identity across species

Conserved transcriptomic and epigenomic features of consensus types likely contribute to cell function

and generate hypotheses about the gene regulatory mechanisms underlying cell type identity. Focused

analysis of *Pvalb*-expressing GABAergic neurons illustrates the power of these data to predict such

gene-function relationships. Cortical *Pvalb*-expressing neurons comprise two major types — basket

cells (BCs) and ChCs — that have fast-spiking electrical properties and distinctive cellular

morphologies. BCs selectively synapse onto the perisomatic region of glutamatergic pyramidal

neurons. ChCs, also called axo-axonic cells [46], selectively innervate the axon initial segment (AIS) of

pyramidal cells and have unique synaptic specializations called axon cartridges. These cartridges run

perpendicular to their post-synaptic target axon, giving a characteristic morphological appearance of

candlesticks on a chandelier. This highly conserved feature is shown with biocytin-filled cells from

mouse, rhesus macaque, and human (Fig. 6a). To reveal evolutionarily conserved transcriptomic

hallmarks of ChCs, we identified DEGs in ChCs versus BCs in each species using an ROC test. 357

DEGs were identified in at least one species, and marmoset ChCs shared more DEGs with human (61

genes) than mouse (29; Fig. 6b, Supplementary Table 19). Remarkably, only 25 DEGs were conserved

across all three species. One conserved gene, *UNC5B* (Fig. 6c), is a netrin receptor involved in axon

guidance and may help target ChC to pyramidal neuron AIS. Three transcription factors (*RORA*,

TRPS1, and *NFIB*) were conserved markers and may contribute to gene regulatory networks that

determine the unique attributes of ChCs.

To determine if ChCs had enriched epigenomic signatures for *RORA* and *NFIB* (*TRPS1* lacked motif

data), we compared DMRs between ChCs and BCs. In all species, *RORA* and *NFIB* had significant

CH-DMGs in ChCs not BCs (Fig. 6d), consistent with differential expression. To discern if these TFs

may preferentially bind to DNA in ChCs, we tested for TF motif enrichment in hypo-methylated (mCG)

DMRs and AC sites genome-wide. We found that the RORA motif was significantly enriched in DMRs

in primates (Fig. 6d) and in AC sites of ChCs in all species (Fig. 6e, Supplementary Table 14). The

NFIB binding motif was only significantly enriched in AC sites of mouse ChCs, possibly because

enrichment was transient during development or NFIB specificity is due to expression alone. Three

independent genomic assays converge to implicate *RORA* as a ChC-specific TF among *Pvalb*-

expression neurons. Notably, 60 of 357 DEGs contained a ROR-motif in DMRs and AC regions in at

least one species, further implicating *RORA* in defining ChC identity.

Primate Betz cell specialization

In mouse cortex, L5 glutamatergic neurons have distinct long-range projection targets (ET versus IT)

and transcriptomes [1]. L5 ET and IT neuron subclasses clearly align between human and mouse using

snRNA-seq in M1 (Extended Data Fig. 3) and in temporal [2] and fronto-insular cortex [12]. Betz cells in L5

of primate M1 connect to spinal motor-neurons via the pyramidal tracts and are predicted to be L5 ET

neurons. The species aligned transcriptomic types allow for the identification of genes whose

expression may contribute to conserved ET versus IT features and primate-specific physiology,

anatomy, and connectivity. Furthermore, Patch-seq methods that jointly measure the transcriptome,

physiological properties and morphology of cells, allow the direct identification and characterization of

L5 ET and IT neurons across mouse, non-human primate, and human. As primate physiology

experiments are largely restricted to macaque, we also profiled L5 of macaque M1 with snRNA-seq

(Cv3) to allow accurate Patch-seq mapping.

L5 ET neurons had many DEGs compared to L5 IT neurons in all 4 species. Approximately 50 DEGs

were conserved across all species and similarity to human varied as a function of evolutionary distance

(Fig. 7a, Supplementary Table 20). Several genes encoding ion channel subunits were enriched in ET

versus IT neurons in all species, potentially mediating conserved ET physiological properties (Fig. 7b).

A number of additional potassium and calcium channels were primate-enriched (Fig. 7c), potentially

underlying primate-specific ET or Betz cell physiology. Interestingly, many of these primate-specific ET-

enriched genes showed gradually increasing ET specificity in species more closely related to human.

To explore this idea of gradual evolutionary change further, we identified genes with increasing L5 ET

versus IT specificity as a function of evolutionary distance from human (Fig. 7d, Supplementary Table

21). Interestingly, this gene set was highly enriched for genes associated with axon guidance including

members of the Robo, Slit and Ephrin gene families. These genes are potential candidates for

regulating the cortico-motoneuronal connections associated with increasingly dexterous fine motor

control across these species [23].

To investigate if transcriptomically defined L5 ET types contain anatomically-defined Betz cells, FISH

for L5 ET neurons was combined with immunolabeling against SMI-32, a protein enriched in Betz cells

and other long-range projecting neurons in macaque [47–49] (Fig. 7e). Cells consistent with the size and

shape of Betz cells were identified in two L5 ET clusters (Exc L5 *FEZF2 ASGR2* and Exc L5 *FEZF2*

CSN1S1). Similar to previous reports on von Economo neurons in the insular cortex [12], ET clusters in

M1 also included neurons with non-Betz morphologies.

To facilitate cross-species comparisons of Betz cells and mouse ET neurons we made patch clamp

recordings from L5 neurons in acute and cultured slice preparations of mouse and macaque M1. For a

subset of recordings, Patch-seq analysis was applied for transcriptomic cell type identification

(Extended Data Fig. 10h). To permit visualization of cells in heavily myelinated macaque M1, we used

AAV viruses to drive fluorophore expression in glutamatergic neurons in macaque slice culture

(Extended Data Fig. 10g). As shown in Figure 7f, Patch-seq neurons mapping to the macaque Betz/ET

cluster (Exc L5 *FEZF2 LOC114676463*) had large somata (diameter > 65 μm) and long "tap root" basal

dendrites, canonical hallmarks of Betz cell morphology [17,50]. A unique opportunity to record from

neurosurgical tissue excised from human premotor cortex (near the confluence of the precentral and

superior frontal gyri) during an epilepsy treatment surgery using the same methods as for macaque

yielded multiple neurons that mapped transcriptomically to one of the Betz-containing cell types and

had canonical Betz cell morphology (Fig. 7g). Macaque and human ET neurons were grouped for

physiological analysis because intrinsic properties were not significantly different, and many

corticospinal axons originate from premotor cortex[23].

Shared transcriptomic profiles of mouse, primate, and human L5 ET neurons predicted conservation of

some physiological properties of rodent and primate neurons. Transcriptomically-defined ET neurons

across species expressed high levels of genes encoding an HCN channel-subunit and a regulatory

protein (*HCN1* and *PEX5L;* Fig. 7b). We hypothesized that HCN-dependent membrane properties,

which are used to distinguish rodent ET from IT neurons [51], would similarly separate cell types in

primates. Some primate L5 neurons possessed distinctive HCN-related properties such as a lower

input resistance (R_N) and a peak resonance (f_R) in voltage response around 3-9 Hz (Fig. 7h,i), similar to

rodent ET neurons. To determine whether HCN-related physiology is a conserved feature of L5

neurons, we grouped all neurons into physiologically defined ET and non-ET neurons based on their R_N

and f_R. We asked whether these physiologically-defined neurons corresponded to genetically-defined

ET/Betz or non-ET neurons using Patch-seq and cell-type specific mouse lines. For mouse M1, the ET-

specific *Thy1*-YFP[21,52] and IT specific *Etv1*-EGFP[53] mouse lines preferentially labeled physiologically

defined ET and non-ET neurons, respectively (Fig. 7j). For primates, transcriptomically-defined Betz

cells were physiologically defined ET neurons, whereas transcriptomically defined non-ET neurons

were physiologically defined non-ET neurons (Fig. 7k). Thus, there was broad correspondence

between physiologically-defined and genetically-defined ET neurons in both mouse and primate M1.

There were notable differences in physiology between mouse and primate ET neurons, however. A

greater fraction of primate ET neurons exhibited an exceptionally low R_N compared to mouse (Fig. 7l).

Additional differences in action potential properties across cell types and species may be explained in

part by differences in the expression of ion channel-related genes (Fig. 7c, Extended Data Fig. 10).

Most strikingly, primate Betz/ET neurons displayed a distinctive biphasic-firing pattern during long spike

trains. The firing rate of both primate and mouse non-ET neurons decreased to a steady state within

the first second of a 10 second depolarizing current injection, whereas the firing rate of mouse ET

neurons increased moderately over the same time period (Fig. 7m,n; Extended Data Fig. 10m,n). The

acceleration in rodent ET neurons has been attributed to the expression of Kv1-containing voltage-

gated K^+ channels that are encoded by genes like the conserved ET gene *KCNA1*. In macaque and

human ET/Betz neurons, a distinctive biphasic pattern was characterized by an early cessation of firing

followed by a sustained and dramatic increase in firing later in the current injection. Thus, while ET

neurons in both primate and rodent M1 displayed spike frequency acceleration, the temporal dynamics

and magnitude of this acceleration appears to be a unique feature of primate ET/Betz neurons. These

data emphasize how transcriptomic data from this specialized neuron type can be linked to shared and

unique physiological properties across species.

Discussion

Comparative analysis is a powerful strategy to understand brain structure and function. Species

conservation is strong evidence for functional relevance under evolutionary constraints that can help

identify critical molecular and regulatory mechanisms [54,55]. Conversely, divergence indicates adaptive

specialization, which may be essential to understand the mechanistic underpinnings of human brain

function and susceptibility to human-specific diseases. In the current study, we applied a comparative

approach to understand conserved and species-specific features of M1 at the level of cell types using

single-nucleus RNA-seq (Cv3 and SSv4), open chromatin (SNARE-seq2 and ATAC-seq) and DNA-

methylation (snmC-seq2) technologies. Integrated analysis of over 450,000 nuclei in human, non-

human primates (marmoset, a New World monkey, and to a lesser degree macaque, an Old World

monkey that is evolutionarily more closely related to humans), and mouse (see also companion paper

[6]) yielded a high-resolution, multimodal classification of cell types in each species, and a coarser

consensus classification conserved between rodent and primate lineages. Robust species conservation

strongly argues for the functional relevance of this consensus cellular architecture. Species

specializations are also apparent, both in the additional granularity in cell types within species and

differences between conserved cell types. A comparative evolutionary approach provides an anchor

point to define the cellular architecture of any tissue and to discover species-specific adaptations.

A key result of the current study is the identification of a consensus classification of cell types across

species that allows the comparison of relative similarities in human compared to common mammalian

model organisms in biomedical research. Prior studies have demonstrated that high resolution cellular

taxonomies can be generated in mouse, non-human primate and human cortex, and that there is

generally good concordance across species [2,11]. However, inconsistencies in the methods and

sampling depths used made strong conclusions difficult, compounded by the analysis of different

cortical regions in different species. The current study overcame these challenges by focusing on M1, a

functionally and anatomically conserved cortical region across mammals, and comparing a variety of

methods on similarly isolated tissues (and the same specimens from human and marmoset). Several

important points emerged from these integrated analyses. First, with deeper sampling and the same

methodology (snRNA-seq with Cv3), a similar cellular complexity on the order of 100 cell types was

seen in all three species. The highest resolution molecular classification was seen with RNA-seq

compared to epigenomic methods, and among RNA-seq methods with those that allow the most cells

to be analyzed. Strikingly, the molecular classifications were well aligned across all methods tested,

albeit at different levels of resolution as a function of the information content of the assay and the

number of cells profiled. All methods were consistent at the level of subclasses as defined above, both

across methods and species; significantly better alignment was achieved among species based on

transcriptomics, and with epigenomic methods in some subclasses. Mismatches in cellular sampling

affect the ability to compare across species; for example, higher non-neuronal sampling in mouse and

marmoset increased detection of rare cell types compared to human. One important comparison was

between plate-based (SSv4) and droplet-based (Cv3) RNA-seq of human nuclei, where we compared

results between approximately 10,000 SSv4 and 100,000 Cv3 nuclei. On average, SSv4 detected 30%

more genes per nucleus and enabled comparisons of isoform usage between cell types, albeit with 20-

fold greater sequencing depth. However, SSv4 cost 10 times as much as Cv3 and did not allow

detection of additional cell types.

The snmC-seq2 clustering aligned closely with the transcriptomic classification, although with

significantly lower resolution in rarer subclasses. Hypo-methylated sites correlated with gene

expression and specific transcription factor binding motifs were enriched in cell type specific sites.

Multi-omic SNARE-seq2 measured RNA profiles of nuclei that allowed high confidence assignment to

transcriptomic clusters. Examining accessible chromatin (AC) regions within the same nuclei led to

strong correlations between cell subclass or type gene expression and active regulatory regions of

open chromatin. Using this strategy, gene regulatory activities could be identified within RNA-defined

cell populations (including RNA consensus clusters) that could not be resolved from AC data alone

(Extended Data Fig. 6a, Supplementary Table 15). By joint consideration of these epigenomic

modalities, glutamatergic neurons were found to have more hypo-methylated DMRs and differentially

accessible chromatin, consistent with having larger somata and expressing more genes. Within-

species, cell types have many more unique AC sites than uniquely expressed marker genes. At the

same time, there is striking conservation across species of subclass TFBS motif enrichment within AC

and hypo-methylated DMRs. Most subclasses have distinct motifs, although L2/3 and L6 IT and *Lamp5*

and *Sncg* subclasses share many motifs and are more clearly distinguished based on gene expression.

Taken together, these results show a robust cell type classification that is consistent at the level of

subclasses both across transcriptomic and chromatin measures and across species, with additional cell

type-level granularity identified with transcriptomics.

Alignment across species allowed a comparison of relative similarities and differences between

species. A common (and expected) theme was that more closely related species are more similar to

one another. This was true at the level of gene expression and epigenome patterning across cell types,

and in the precision with which transcriptomically-defined cell types could be aligned across species.

For example, human and marmoset GABAergic types could be aligned at higher resolution than human

and mouse. Human was more similar to macaque than to marmoset. This indicates that cell type

similarity increases as a function of evolutionary distance to our closest common ancestors with mouse

(~70 mya), marmoset (~40 mya), and macaque (~25 mya). Interestingly, many gene expression

differences may change gradually over evolution. This is apparent in the graded changes in expression

levels of genes enriched in L5 ET versus L5 IT neurons and in the reduced performance of cell type

classification based on marker gene expression that is correlated with evolutionary distance between

species.

Several prominent species differences in cell type proportions were observed. First, the ratio of

glutamatergic excitatory projection neurons compared to GABAergic inhibitory interneurons was 2:1 in

human compared to 3:1 in marmoset and 5:1 in mouse and leads to a profound shift in the overall

excitation-inhibition balance of the cortex. A similar species difference has been described based on

histological measures (reviewed in [28]), indicating that snRNA-seq gives a reasonably accurate

measurement of cell type proportions. Surprisingly, the relative proportions of GABAergic subclasses

and types were similar across species. These results suggest a developmental shift in the size of the

GABAergic progenitor pool in the ganglionic eminences or an extended period of neurogenesis and

migration. A decreased proportion of the subcortically targeting L5 ET neurons in human was also

seen, as previously shown in temporal [2] and frontoinsular [12] cortex. This shift likely reflects the

evolutionary increase in cortical neurons relative to their subcortical targets [56] and was less prominent

in M1, suggesting regional variation in the proportion of L5 ET neurons. Finally, a large increase in the

proportion of L2 and L3 IT neurons was seen in human compared to mouse and marmoset. This

increase parallels the disproportionate expansion of human cortical area and supragranular layers that

contain neurons projecting to other parts of the cortex, presumably to facilitate greater corticocortical

communication. Interestingly, L2 and L3 IT neurons appear to be particularly highly variable across

cortical areas and species, and also are more diverse and specialized in human compared to mouse

(see companion paper [44]).

A striking and somewhat paradoxical observation is the high degree of species specialization of

consensus types. The majority of DEGs between cell types were consistently species-specific. This

result suggests that the conserved cellular features of a cell type are largely due to a minority of DEGs

with conserved expression patterns. The current study demonstrates this point for one of the most

distinctive brain cell types, the cortical *Pvalb*-expressing GABAergic ChC. ChCs in mouse, non-human

primate, and human have 100-150 genes with highly enriched expression compared to other *Pvalb*-

expressing interneurons (BCs); however, only 25 of these ChC-enriched genes are shared across

species. This small overlapping gene set includes several transcription factors and a member of the

netrin family (*UNC5B*) that could be responsible for AIS targeting. Binding sites for these TFs are

enriched in ChC cluster regions of open chromatin and in hypo-methylated regions around ChC-

enriched genes. While these associations between genes and cellular phenotypes for conserved and

divergent features remain to be tested, a comparative strategy can identify these core conserved genes

and make strong predictions about the TF code for cell types and the genes responsible for their

evolutionarily constrained functions.

M1 is an agranular cortex lacking a L4, although a recent study demonstrated that there are neurons

with L4-like properties in mouse [14]. Here we confirm and extend this finding in human M1. We find a L4-

like neuron type in M1 that aligns to a L4 type in human MTG and is scattered between the deep part of

L3 and the superficial part of L5 where L4 would be if aggregated into a layer. However, MTG

contained several additional L4 types not found in M1, and with a much higher frequency. The human

M1 L4-like type is part of the L5 IT_1 consensus cluster that includes several IT types in all species,

including two L4-like types in mouse (L4/5 IT_1 and L4/5 IT_2) that also express the canonical L4

marker *Rorb* (see companion paper [6]). Therefore, it appears that M1 has L4-like cells from a

transcriptomic perspective, but only a subset of the types compared to granular cortical areas, at much

lower density, and scattered rather than aggregated into a tight layer.

The most distinctive cellular hallmark of M1 in primates and cats is the enormous Betz cell, which

contributes to direct corticospinal connections to spinal motoneurons in primates that participate in fine

motor control [15,16,57–59]. Intracellular recordings from cats have shown highly distinctive characteristics

including HCN channel-related membrane properties, spike frequency acceleration, and extremely fast

maintained firing rates [19,20]. However, they have never been recorded in primates using patch clamp

physiology due to the high degree of myelination in M1 that prevents their visualization, and the inability

to obtain motor cortex tissue from neurosurgical procedures which are careful to be function-sparing. A

goal of the current project was to identify the transcriptomic cluster corresponding to Betz cells and use

this to understand gene expression that may underlie their distinctive properties and species

specializations. We have recently taken a similar approach to study von Economo neurons in the

fronto-insular cortex, showing they are found within a transcriptomic class consisting of ET neurons [12].

Betz cells are classical ET neurons that, together with the axons of smaller corticospinal neurons, make

up part of the pyramidal tract from the cortex to the spinal cord [16,60]. We show that neurons with Betz

cell morphology label with markers for the M1 ET clusters. Like von Economo neurons, there does not

appear to be an exclusively Betz transcriptomic type. Rather, M1 ET clusters are not exclusive for

neurons with Betz morphology, and we find more than one ET cluster contains neurons with Betz

morphology.

Although comparative transcriptomic alignments provide strong evidence for functional similarity, the

distinctions between corticospinal neurons across species or even between L5 ET and IT neuron types

in primates or humans has not been demonstrated physiologically. We recently developed a suite of

methodologies for studying specific neuron types in human and non-human tissues, including triple

modality Patch-seq to combine physiology, morphology and transcriptome analysis, acute and cultured

slice physiology in adult human neurosurgical resections and macaque brain, and AAV-based neuronal

labeling to allow targeting of neurons in highly myelinated tissues (companion paper [44]; [61] . Specifically,

these tools allow the targeting of L5 neurons in mouse and non-human primate and the assignment of

neurons to their transcriptomic types using Patch-seq, which we facilitated by generating and aligning a

L5 transcriptomic classification in macaque where such analyses could be performed. We show here

that several of the characteristic features of L5 ET versus IT neurons are conserved, and can be

reliably resolved from one another in mouse and non-human primate. Furthermore, macaque neurons

with Betz-like morphologies mapped to the Betz-containing clusters. However, as predicted by

differences in ion channel-related gene expression, not all physiological features were conserved

between macaque and mouse ET neurons. Betz/ET neurons had the distinctive pauses, bursting and

spike-frequency acceleration described previously in cats but not seen in rodents [19,20]. Finally, we had

access to an extremely rare human neurosurgical case where a region of premotor cortex was

resected. Similar to macaque M1, this premotor region contained large neurons with characteristic

Betz-like morphology that mapped transcriptomically to the Betz-containing clusters. Together these

results highlight the predictive power of transcriptomic mapping and cross-species inference of cell

types for L5 pyramidal neurons including the Betz cells. Furthermore, these data are consistent with

observations that Betz cells may not in fact be completely restricted to M1 but distribute across other

proximal motor-related areas that contribute to the pyramidal tract [62]. Finally, a number of ion channels

that may contribute to conserved ET versus IT features as well as species specializations of Betz cell

function were identified that provide candidate genes to explore gene-function relationships. For

example, axon guidance-associated genes are enriched in Betz-containing ET neuron types in

primates, possibly explaining why Betz cells in primates directly contact spinal motor neurons rather

than spinal interneurons as in rodents. Thus, as the comparative approach is helpful in identifying core

conserved molecular programs, it may be equally valuable to understand what is different in human or

can be well modeled in closer non-human primate relatives. This is particularly relevant in the context of

Betz cells and other ET neuron types that are selectively vulnerable in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis,

some forms of frontotemporal dementia, and other neurodegenerative conditions.

References

1.   Tasic, B. *et al.* Shared and distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. *Nature* **563**,

72–78 (2018).

2.   Hodge, R. D. *et al.* Conserved cell types with divergent features in human versus mouse cortex.

Nature **573**, 61–68 (2019).

3.   Tasic, B. *et al.* Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. *Nat.*

Neurosci. **19**, 335–346 (2016).

4.   Lake, B. B. *et al.* Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of

the human brain. *Science* **352**, 1586–1590 (2016).

5.   Luo, C. *et al.* Single-cell methylomes identify neuronal subtypes and regulatory elements in

mammalian cortex. *Science* **357**, 600–604 (2017).

6.   Yao, Z. *et al.* An integrated transcriptomic and epigenomic atlas of mouse primary motor cortex cell

types. *bioRxiv* 2020.02.29.970558 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.02.29.970558.

7.   Lake, B. B. *et al.* Integrative single-cell analysis of transcriptional and epigenetic states in the

human adult brain. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 70–80 (2018).

8.   Zeisel, A. *et al.* Brain structure. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by

single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **347**, 1138–1142 (2015).

9.   Gray, L. T. *et al.* Layer-specific chromatin accessibility landscapes reveal regulatory networks in

adult mouse visual cortex. *Elife* **6**, (2017).

10.   Lee, D.-S. *et al.* Simultaneous profiling of 3D genome structure and DNA methylation in single

human cells. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 999–1006 (2019).

11.   Krienen, F. M., Goldman, M., Zhang, Q. & del Rosario, R. Innovations in primate interneuron

repertoire. *bioRxiv* (2019).

12. Hodge, R. D. *et al.* Transcriptomic evidence that von Economo neurons are regionally specialized

extratelencephalic-projecting excitatory neurons. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1172 (2020).

13. La Manno, G. *et al.* Molecular Diversity of Midbrain Development in Mouse, Human, and Stem

Cells. *Cell* **167**, 566–580.e19 (2016).

14. Yamawaki, N., Borges, K., Suter, B. A., Harris, K. D. & Shepherd, G. M. G. A genuine layer 4 in

motor cortex with prototypical synaptic circuit connectivity. *Elife* **3**, e05422 (2014).

15. Betz, W. Anatomischer Nachweis zweier Gehirncentra. *Zentralbl Med Wiss* **12**, (1874).

16. Lassek, A. M. The Human Pyramidal Tract II. A Numerical Investigation of the Betz Cells of the

Motor Area. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* **94**, 225–226 (1941).

17. Jacobs, B. *et al.* Comparative morphology of gigantopyramidal neurons in primary motor cortex

across mammals. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **526**, 496–536 (2018).

18. Kaiserman-Abramof, I. R. & Peters, A. Some aspects of the morphology of Betz cells in the

cerebral cortex of the cat. *Brain Res.* **43**, 527–546 (1972).

19. Spain, W. J., Schwindt, P. C. & Crill, W. E. Post-inhibitory excitation and inhibition in layer V

pyramidal neurones from cat sensorimotor cortex. *The Journal of Physiology* vol. 434 609–626

(1991).

20. Chen, W., Zhang, J. J., Hu, G. Y. & Wu, C. P. Electrophysiological and morphological properties of

pyramidal and nonpyramidal neurons in the cat motor cortex in vitro. *Neuroscience* **73**, 39–55

(1996).

21. Miller, M. N., Okaty, B. W. & Nelson, S. B. Region-Specific Spike-Frequency Acceleration in Layer

5 Pyramidal Neurons Mediated by Kv1 Subunits. *Journal of Neuroscience* vol. 28 13716–13726

(2008).

22. Gu, Z. *et al.* Control of species-dependent cortico-motoneuronal connections underlying manual

dexterity. *Science* **357**, 400–404 (2017).

23. Lemon, R. N. Descending pathways in motor control. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **31**, 195–218 (2008).

24. Stuart, T. *et al.* Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888–1902.e21 (2019).

25. Oberheim, N. A. *et al.* Uniquely Hominid Features of Adult Human Astrocytes. *Journal of*

Neuroscience vol. 29 3276–3287 (2009).

26. Colombo, J. A. The interlaminar glia: from serendipity to hypothesis. *Brain Struct. Funct.* **222**,

1109–1129 (2017).

27. Bakken, T. E. *et al.* Single-nucleus and single-cell transcriptomes compared in matched cortical

cell types. *PLoS One* **13**, e0209648 (2018).

28. Džaja, D., Hladnik, A., Bičanić, I., Baković, M. & Petanjek, Z. Neocortical calretinin neurons in

primates: increase in proportion and microcircuitry structure. *Front. Neuroanat.* **8**, 103 (2014).

29. DeFelipe, J., Alonso-Nanclares, L. & Arellano, J. I. Microstructure of the neocortex: comparative

aspects. *J. Neurocytol.* **31**, 299–316 (2002).

30. Crow, M., Paul, A., Ballouz, S., Huang, Z. J. & Gillis, J. Characterizing the replicability of cell types

defined by single cell RNA-sequencing data using MetaNeighbor. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 884 (2018).

31. Boldog, E. *et al.* Transcriptomic and morphophysiological evidence for a specialized human cortical

GABAergic cell type. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1185–1195 (2018).

32. Paul, A. *et al.* Transcriptional Architecture of Synaptic Communication Delineates GABAergic

Neuron Identity. *Cell* **171**, 522–539.e20 (2017).

33. Verkhratsky, A. & Nedergaard, M. The homeostatic astroglia emerges from evolutionary

specialization of neural cells. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **371**, (2016).

34. Nortley, R. *et al.* Amyloid β oligomers constrict human capillaries in Alzheimer's disease via

signaling to pericytes. *Science* vol. 365 eaav9518 (2019).

35. La Manno, G. *et al.* RNA velocity of single cells. *Nature* **560**, 494–498 (2018).

36. Riccomagno, M. M. *et al.* The RacGAP β2-Chimaerin selectively mediates axonal pruning in the

hippocampus. *Cell* **149**, 1594–1606 (2012).

37. Chen, S., Lake, B. B. & Zhang, K. High-throughput sequencing of the transcriptome and chromatin

accessibility in the same cell. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 1452–1457 (2019).

38.   Nongluk Plongthongkum, Dinh Diep, Song Chen, Blue B. Lake, Kun Zhang. Scalable Dual-omic

Profiling with Single-nucleus Chromatin Accessibility and mRNA Expression Sequencing 2

(SNARE-Seq2). (2020).

39.   Pliner, H. A. *et al.* Cicero Predicts cis-Regulatory DNA Interactions from Single-Cell Chromatin

Accessibility Data. *Mol. Cell* **71**, 858–871.e8 (2018).

40.   Schep, A. N., Wu, B., Buenrostro, J. D. & Greenleaf, W. J. chromVAR: inferring transcription-

factor-associated accessibility from single-cell epigenomic data. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 975–978 (2017).

41.   Luo, C. *et al.* Robust single-cell DNA methylome profiling with snmC-seq2. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3824

(2018).

42.   Fornes, O. *et al.* JASPAR 2020: update of the open-access database of transcription factor binding

profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, D87–D92 (2020).

43.   Bernard, A. *et al.* Transcriptional architecture of the primate neocortex. *Neuron* **73**, 1083–1099

(2012).

44.   Berg, J. *et al.* Human cortical expansion involves diversification and specialization of supragranular

intratelencephalic-projecting neurons. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.31.018820 (2020)

doi:10.1101/2020.03.31.018820.

45.   von Economo, C. & Koskinas, G. N. *Die Cytoarchitektonik der Hirnrinde des Erwachsenen*

Menschen. (J. Springer, 1925).

46.   Somogyi, P., Freund, T. F. & Cowey, A. The axo-axonic interneuron in the cerebral cortex of the

rat, cat and monkey. *Neuroscience* **7**, 2577–2607 (1982).

47.   Hof, P. R., Nimchinsky, E. A. & Morrison, J. H. Neurochemical phenotype of corticocortical

connections in the macaque monkey: quantitative analysis of a subset of neurofilament protein-

immunoreactive projection neurons in frontal, parietal, temporal, and cingulate cortices. *J. Comp.*

Neurol. **362**, 109–133 (1995).

48.   Tsang, Y. M., Chiong, F., Kuznetsov, D., Kasarskis, E. & Geula, C. Motor neurons are rich in non-

phosphorylated neurofilaments: cross-species comparison and alterations in ALS. *Brain Res.* **861**,

45–58 (2000).

49. Preuss, T. M., Stepniewska, I., Jain, N. & Kaas, J. H. Multiple divisions of macaque precentral

motor cortex identified with neurofilament antibody SMI-32. *Brain Research* vol. 767 148–153

(1997).

50. Scheibel, M. E., Davies, T. L., Lindsay, R. D. & Scheibel, A. B. Basilar dendrite bundles of giant

pyramidal cells. *Exp. Neurol.* **42**, 307–319 (1974).

51. Baker, A. *et al.* Specialized Subpopulations of Deep-Layer Pyramidal Neurons in the Neocortex:

Bridging Cellular Properties to Functional Consequences. *J. Neurosci.* **38**, 5441–5455 (2018).

52. Feng, G. *et al.* Imaging neuronal subsets in transgenic mice expressing multiple spectral variants

of GFP. *Neuron* **28**, 41–51 (2000).

53. Groh, A. *et al.* Cell-Type Specific Properties of Pyramidal Neurons in Neocortex Underlying a

Layout that Is Modifiable Depending on the Cortical Area. *Cerebral Cortex* vol. 20 826–836 (2010).

54. Tosches, M. A. *et al.* Evolution of pallium, hippocampus, and cortical cell types revealed by single-

cell transcriptomics in reptiles. *Science* **360**, 881–888 (2018).

55. Arendt, D. *et al.* The origin and evolution of cell types. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 744–757 (2016).

56. Herculano-Houzel, S., Catania, K., Manger, P. R. & Kaas, J. H. Mammalian Brains Are Made of

These: A Dataset of the Numbers and Densities of Neuronal and Nonneuronal Cells in the Brain of

Glires, Primates, Scandentia, Eulipotyphlans, Afrotherians and Artiodactyls, and Their Relationship

with Body Mass. *Brain Behav. Evol.* **86**, 145–163 (2015).

57. Rivara, C.-B., Sherwood, C. C., Bouras, C. & Hof, P. R. Stereologic characterization and spatial

distribution patterns of Betz cells in the human primary motor cortex. *Anat. Rec. A Discov. Mol.*

Cell. Evol. Biol. **270**, 137–151 (2003).

58. Evarts, E. V. Representation of movements and muscles by pyramidal tract neurons of the

precentral motor cortex. in *Neurophysiological basis of normal and abnormal motor activities* 215–

253 (Raven Press New York, 1967).

59. Evarts, E. V. RELATION OF DISCHARGE FREQUENCY TO CONDUCTION VELOCITY IN

PYRAMIDAL TRACT NEURONS. *J. Neurophysiol.* **28**, 216–228 (1965).

60. Lassek, A. M. THE PYRAMIDAL TRACT: A STUDY OF RETROGRADE DEGENERATION IN THE

MONKEY. *Arch NeurPsych* **48**, 561–567 (1942).

61. Ting, J. T. *et al.* A robust ex vivo experimental platform for molecular-genetic dissection of adult

human neocortical cell types and circuits. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 8407 (2018).

62. Vigneswaran, G., Kraskov, A. & Lemon, R. N. Large Identified Pyramidal Cells in Macaque Motor

and Premotor Cortex Exhibit 'Thin Spikes': Implications for Cell Type Classification. *Journal of*

Neuroscience vol. 31 14235–14242 (2011).

63. Krimer, L. S. *et al.* Cluster Analysis–Based Physiological Classification and Morphological

Properties of Inhibitory Neurons in Layers 2–3 of Monkey Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex. *J.*

Neurophysiol. **94**, 3009–3022 (2005).

64. Rotaru, D. C. *et al.* Functional properties of GABA synaptic inputs onto GABA neurons in monkey

prefrontal cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **113**, 1850–1861 (2015).

65. Gouwens, N. W. *et al.* Toward an integrated classification of neuronal cell types: morphoelectric

and transcriptomic characterization of individual GABAergic cortical neurons. *bioRxiv*

2020.02.03.932244 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.02.03.932244.

66. Fetz, E. E., Cheney, P. D., Mewes, K. & Palmer, S. Control of forelimb muscle activity by

populations of corticomotoneuronal and rubromotoneuronal cells. *Prog. Brain Res.* **80**, 437–49;

discussion 427–30 (1989).

67. Mi, H., Muruganujan, A., Ebert, D., Huang, X. & Thomas, P. D. PANTHER version 14: more

genomes, a new PANTHER GO-slim and improvements in enrichment analysis tools. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **47**, D419–D426 (2019).

68. Koopmans, F. *et al.* SynGO: An Evidence-Based, Expert-Curated Knowledge Base for the

Synapse. *Neuron* **103**, 217–234.e4 (2019).

69. Luo, C. *et al.* Single nucleus multi-omics links human cortical cell regulatory genome diversity to

disease risk variants. *bioRxiv* 2019.12.11.873398 (2019) doi:10.1101/2019.12.11.873398.

70. Amemiya, H. M., Kundaje, A. & Boyle, A. P. The ENCODE Blacklist: Identification of Problematic

Regions of the Genome. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 9354 (2019).

71. Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data

analysis. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 15 (2018).

72. Traag, V. A., Waltman, L. & van Eck, N. J. From Louvain to Leiden: guaranteeing well-connected

communities. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 5233 (2019).

73. Guyon, I., Weston, J., Barnhill, S. & Vapnik, V. Gene Selection for Cancer Classification using

Support Vector Machines. *Mach. Learn.* **46**, 389–422 (2002).

74. Brodersen, K. H., Ong, C. S., Stephan, K. E. & Buhmann, J. M. The Balanced Accuracy and Its

Posterior Distribution. in *2010 20th International Conference on Pattern Recognition* 3121–3124

(2010).

75. Lemaître, G., Nogueira, F. & Aridas, C. K. Imbalanced-learn: A Python Toolbox to Tackle the

Curse of Imbalanced Datasets in Machine Learning. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* **18**, 1–5 (2017).

76. Hie, B., Bryson, B. & Berger, B. Efficient integration of heterogeneous single-cell transcriptomes

using Scanorama. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 685–691 (2019).

77. He, Y. *et al.* Spatiotemporal DNA Methylome Dynamics of the Developing Mammalian Fetus.

doi:10.1101/166744.

78. McLeay, R. C. & Bailey, T. L. Motif Enrichment Analysis: a unified framework and an evaluation on

ChIP data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 165 (2010).

79. Palmer, C., Liu, C. & Chun, J. Nuclei Isolation for SNARE-seq2 v1 (protocols.io.8tvhwn6).

doi:10.17504/protocols.io.8tvhwn6.

80. Lun, A. T. L. *et al.* EmptyDrops: distinguishing cells from empty droplets in droplet-based single-

cell RNA sequencing data. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 63 (2019).

81. Gayoso, A. & Shor, J. *GitHub: DoubletDetection*. (2019). doi:10.5281/zenodo.2678042.

82. Hahne, F. & Ivanek, R. Visualizing Genomic Data Using Gviz and Bioconductor. *Methods Mol. Biol.*

1418, 335–351 (2016).

897 83. Buenrostro, J. D. *et al.* Single-cell chromatin accessibility reveals principles of regulatory variation.

898   *Nature* **523**, 486–490 (2015).

899 84. Cusanovich, D. A. *et al.* Multiplex single-cell profiling of chromatin accessibility by combinatorial

900   cellular indexing. *Science* vol. 348 910–914 (2015).

901 85. Yue, F. *et al.* A comparative encyclopedia of DNA elements in the mouse genome. *Nature* **515**,

902   355–364 (2014).

903 86. Levine, J. H. *et al.* Data-Driven Phenotypic Dissection of AML Reveals Progenitor-like Cells that

904   Correlate with Prognosis. *Cell* **162**, 184–197 (2015).

905 87. Graybuck, L. T. *et al.* Prospective, brain-wide labeling of neuronal subclasses with enhancer-driven

906   AAVs. (2019) doi:10.1101/525014.

907 88. Ting, J. T., Daigle, T. L., Chen, Q. & Feng, G. Acute brain slice methods for adult and aging

908   animals: application of targeted patch clamp analysis and optogenetics. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1183**,

909   221–242 (2014).

910 89. Gouwens, N. W. *et al.* Classification of electrophysiological and morphological neuron types in the

911   mouse visual cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 1182–1195 (2019).

912 90. Chan, K. Y. *et al.* Engineered AAVs for efficient noninvasive gene delivery to the central and

913   peripheral nervous systems. *Nat. Neurosci.* **20**, 1172–1179 (2017).

914 91. Rudy, B. & McBain, C. J. Kv3 channels: voltage-gated K+ channels designed for high-frequency

915   repetitive firing. *Trends Neurosci.* **24**, 517–526 (2001).

Figure 1. Molecular taxonomy of cell types in M1 of human, marmoset, and mouse. a, M1

highlighted in lateral views of neocortex across species. Nissl-stained sections of M1 annotated with

layers and showing the relative expansion of cortical thickness, particularly L2 and L3 in primates, and

large pyramidal neurons or 'Betz' cells in human L5 (arrowhead). Scale bars, 100 µm. **b**, Phylogeny of

species and number of nuclei included in analysis for each molecular assay. All assays used nuclei

isolated from the same donors for human and marmoset. SSv4, SMART-Seq v4; Cv3, Chromium v3;

mya, millions of years ago. **c-e**, Dendrograms of cell types defined by RNA-seq (Cv3) for human (**c**),

marmoset (**d**), and mouse (**e**) and annotated with cluster frequency and dissected layer (human only).

Epigenomic clusters (in rows) aligned to RNA-seq clusters as indicated by horizontal black bars.

Asterisks denote RNA clusters that lack corresponding epigenomic clusters. **f**, Relative proportions of

cells in several classes and subclasses were significantly different between species based on an

ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD tests (asterisk, adjusted $P < 0.05$).

Figure 2. Evolution of GABAergic neuron types across species. a, UMAP projection of integrated

snRNA-seq data from human, marmoset, and mouse GABAergic neurons. Filled outlines indicate cell

subclasses. **b,** Venn diagrams indicating the number of shared DEGs across species by subclass.

DEGs were determined by ROC tests of each subclass versus all other GABAergic subclasses within a

species. **c,** Heatmap of all DEGs from **b** ordered by subclass and species enrichment. Heatmap shows

gene expression scaled by column for up to 50 randomly sampled nuclei from each subclass for each

species. **d,** UMAP projection from **a**, separated by species, and colored by within-species clusters. **e,**

Cluster overlap heatmap showing the proportion of nuclei in each pair of species clusters that are

mixed in the cross-species integrated space. Cross-species consensus clusters are indicated by

labeled blue boxes. Human clusters (rows) are ordered by the dendrogram reproduced from **Figure 1c**.

Marmoset (left columns) and mouse (right columns) clusters are ordered to align with human clusters.

Color bars at top and left indicate subclasses of within-species clusters. Asterisks indicate marmoset

and mouse Meis2 subclasses, which were not present in human. **f,** Dendrogram of GABAergic neuron

consensus clusters with edges colored by species mixture (grey, well mixed). Below: Estimated spatial

distributions of clusters based on layer dissections in human (top) and mouse (bottom). **g,**

Dendrograms of pairwise species integrations, colored by subclass. Bar plots quantifying well-mixed

leaf nodes. Significant differences (adjusted $P < 0.05$, Tukey's HSD test) between species are indicated

for each subclass. **h,** Scatter plots of MetaNeighbor analysis showing the performance (AUROC) of

gene-sets to classify GABAergic neurons within and between species. Blue lines, linear regression fits;

black lines, mean within species performance; grey lines, performance equivalent to chance.

Figure 3. Dual-omic expression and chromatin accessibility reveals regulatory processes

defining M1 cell types. a-b UMAP visualizations of human (**a**) and marmoset (**b**) M1 SNARE-Seq2

data (2 individuals per species) indicating both subclass and accessibility-level cluster identities. **c**, Dot

plot showing proportion and scaled average accessibility of differentially accessible regions (DARs)

identified between human AC clusters (adjusted P < 0.001, log-fold change > 1, top 5 distinct sites per

cluster). **d**, Proportion of total human or marmoset DARs identified between subclasses (adjusted P <

0.001, log-fold change > 1) after normalization to cluster sizes. **e-f**, Connection plots for cis-co-

accessible network (CCAN) sites associated with the human *GAD2* (**e**) and *CUX2* (**f**) genes.

Corresponding AC read pile-up tracts for GABAergic and select glutamatergic subclasses are shown.

Right panels are dot plots showing the percentage of expressing nuclei and average gene expression

values (log scale) for *GAD2* or *CUX2* within each of the clusters indicated. **g**, UMAP plots as in Figure

5a (human) showing (scaled from low—gray to high—red) *CUX2* gene expression (RNA) and activity

level predicted from AC data. UMAP plots for activity level of the EGR3-binding motif, identified using

chromVAR and found to be enriched within *CUX2* co-accessible sites, and the corresponding

expression (RNA) of the *EGR3* gene are shown. **h**, Heatmaps for human (top) and marmoset (bottom)

showing TFBS enrichments, according to the scheme outlined in (**i**), within genes differentially

expressed between subclasses and having at least two cis-co-accessible sites. Left panels show

scaled average (log scale) gene expression values (RNA) for the top DEGs (adjusted P < 0.05, log-fold

change > 1, top 10 distinct sites per cluster visualized), middle panels show the corresponding scaled

average cicero gene activity scores and the right panels show scaled values for the corresponding top

distinct chromVAR TFBS activities (adjusted P < 0.05, log-fold change > 0.5, top 10 distinct sites per

cluster visualized). **j**, Correlation plots comparing scaled average gene expression profiles (left panel)

or chromVAR TFBS activity scores (right panel) between human and marmoset matched subclasses.

Figure 4. DNA methylation differences across clusters and species. a, UMAP visualization of

human M1 DNAm-seq (snmC-seq2) data indicating both subclass and DNAm cluster identities. **b,c,**

UMAP visualization of integration between DNAm-seq and RNA-seq of human glutamatergic neurons

colored by cell subclass for all nuclei (**b**) or only nuclei profiled with DNAm-seq (**c**). **d**, Barplots of the

relative lengths of hypo- and hyper-methylated DMRs among cell subclasses across three species

normalized by cytosine coverage genome-wide (Methods). Total number of DMRs for each subclass

984   are listed (k, thousands). **e**, Distinct TF motif enrichment for L5 IT and *Lamp5* subclasses across

985   species. **f**, t-SNE visualization of subclass TF motif enrichment that is conserved across species.

Figure 5. L4-like neurons identified in M1 based on cross-areal cell type homology. a, t-SNE

projection of glutamatergic neuronal nuclei from M1 and MTG based on similarity of integrated

expression levels. Nuclei are intermixed within all cell subclasses. **b**, Nuclei annotated based on the

relative depth of the dissected layer and within-area cluster. A subset of clusters from superficial layers

are highlighted. **c**, Proportion of nuclei in each cluster that overlap between areas. MTG clusters

COL22A1 and *ESR1* map almost one-to-one with M1 clusters *OTOGL* and *LINC01202*, respectively. **d**,

Estimated relative depth from pia of M1 glutamatergic clusters and closest matching MTG neurons

based on similarity of integrated expression. Mean (points) and standard deviation (bars) of the

dissected layer are shown for each cluster and approximate layer boundaries are indicated for M1 and

MTG. **e**, Magnified view of L4-like clusters in M1 and MTG. Note that MTG clusters *FILIP1L* and

TWIST2 have little overlap with any M1 clusters. **f**, Overlap of M1 and MTG clusters in integrated space

identifies two one-to-one cell type homologies and two MTG-specific clusters. **g**, ISH labeling of MTG

and M1 clusters quantifies differences in layer distributions for homologous types between cortical

areas. Cells (red dots) in each cluster were labeled using the markers listed below each representative

002   inverted image of a DAPI-stained cortical column. **h**, ISH estimated frequencies of homologous clusters

003   shows M1 has a 4-fold sparser L4-like type and similarly rare deep L3 type.

Figure 6. Chandelier neurons have a core set of conserved molecular features. a, Representative

ultrastructure reconstructions of a ChC and BC from human (left), macaque (middle), and mouse

(right). Insets show higher magnification of ChC axon cartridges. Macaque reconstructions were from

source data available in Neuromorpho [63,64]. Mouse cells also appear in [65]. **b,** Venn diagram indicating

the number of shared ChC-enriched genes across species (top). DEGs were determined by a ROC test

of ChCs against BCs within a species. Heatmap showing scaled expression of the 25 conserved DEGs

in 100 randomly selected ChC and BC nuclei for each species (bottom); transcription factors are

colored in blue. **c**, Genome browser tracks showing *UNC5B* locus in human (left) and mouse (right)

ChCs and BCs. Tracks show aligned transcripts, regions of accessible chromatin, CGN methylation

rate, and CHN methylation rate. Yellow highlights mark examples of ChC-enriched regions of

accessible chromatin with hypo-methylated CGN. **d,** Heatmaps of TF gene body hypo-methylation

(mCH) state (bottom half, red) and genome-wide enrichment of TF motif across mCG DMRs in ChCs

and BCs (top half, blue). **e,** Scaled TFBS activities identified from SNARE-seq2 for human and

marmoset according to the scheme in Figure 4i and from mouse snATAC-seq data, using genes

enriched in ChC versus BC (Supplementary Table 19). Rows correspond to BC and ChC clusters

identified in snATAC-seq and SNARE-seq2 datasets.

a L5 ET vs. L5 IT marker genes

Species-specific

Conserved

Primate-specific

DE Genes

Human
Macaque
Marmoset
Mouse

b Conserved ET genes

IT | ET

TRPC4
KCNN2 (K_Ca 2.2)
KCNA1 (K_v 1.1)
HCN1
PEX5L (TRIP8b)

Mouse Marmoset Macaque Human | Mouse Marmoset Macaque Human

c Primate-enriched ET genes

KCNH1 (K_v10.1)
CACNA1C (Ca_v1.2)
CACNA1B (Ca_v2.2)
KCNC1 (K_v3.1)
KCNC2 (K_v3.2)

Mouse Marmoset Macaque Human

d Axon-guidance genes / Other genes

L5 ET vs. L5 IT expression enrichment (Log2FC)

ROBO2
EPHA6
SLIT2
SLIT3
GFRA1
TMEM108
PTPRM
PRKCA
NLGN1
VSTM2L
SEMA3D
NYAP2
ALCAM
IRS2

Mouse Marmoset Macaque Human

e DAPI SMI-32 *POU3F1* *GRIN3A*
[1] *POU3F1*+ *GRIN3A*+ (Exc L3-5 FEZF2 ASGR2)
[2] *POU3F1*+ *GRIN3A*- (Exc L5 FEZF2 CSN1S1)

DAPI SMI-32 *POU3F1* *SERPINE2*
[1] *POU3F1*+ *SERPINE2*+ (Exc L5 FEZF2 CSN1S1)

f Macaque Betz
Exc L5 FEZF2 LOC114676463

Mouse ET

g Premotor / M1

Human Betz
Exc L5 FEZF2 CSN1S1

h 2 mV / 2 s
Betz (Exc L5 FEZF2 CSN1S1)
Human

Betz (Exc L5 FEZF2 LOC114676463)
Macaque
Mouse

I_m   +100 pA / -100 pA

i
Z (MΩ)
Macaque Betz
Mouse
Human Betz
Frequency (Hz)

j
Mouse
● Thy1/ET-like phys
○ Thy1/non-ET-like phys
● ETV1/non-ET-like phys
○ ETV1/ET-like phys
● Primate

f_R (Hz)
R_N (MΩ)

k
ET-like-phys
■ Human Betz (Exc L5 FEZF2 CSN1S1)
□ Human phys only
● Macaque Betz (Exc L5 FEZF2 LOC114676463)
○ Macaque phys only

Non-ET-like phys
● Macaque patch-seq (non-ET)
○ Macaque phys only
□ Human phys only
● Mouse

f_R (Hz)
R_N (MΩ)

l
— Primate ET
— Mouse ET
— Primate non-ET
— Mouse non-ET

Cumulative probability
R_N (MΩ)

m
Human ET (1500 pA)
Macaque ET (1400 pA)
20 mV / 2 s
Mouse ET (200 pA)
Primate non-ET (60 pA)
Mouse non-ET (285 pA)

n
Action potentials
Cell number
Time during current injection (s)

Figure 7. Betz cells have specialized molecular and physiological properties. a, Upset plot

showing conserved and divergent L5 ET glutamatergic neuron marker genes. DEGs were determined

by performing a ROC test between L5 ET and L5 IT within each species. **b, c,** Violin plots of ion

channel-related gene expression for genes that are enriched in (**b**) ET versus IT neurons and in (**c**)

primate versus mouse ET neurons. Protein names are in parentheses. **d,** Line graph of 131 genes with

expression enrichment in L5 ET versus IT neurons in human (>0.5 $\log_2$ fold-change) that decreases

with evolutionary distance from human. **e,** Two example photomicrographs of ISH labeled, SMI-32 IF

stained Betz cells in L5 human M1. Cells corresponding to two L5 ET clusters are labeled based on two

sets of marker genes. Insets show higher magnification of ISH in corresponding cells. Asterisks mark

lipofuscin; scale bar, 20 μm. **f, g,** Exemplar biocytin fills obtained from patch-seq experiments in

human, macaque and mouse brain slices. The example human and macaque neurons mapped to a

Betz cell transcriptomic cell type. Scale bars, 200 μm. **g,** MRI image in sagittal and coronal planes and

approximate location of excised premotor cortex tissue (yellow lines) and adjacent M1. **h,** Voltage

responses to a chirp stimulus for the neurons shown in **f** and **g** (left human neuron). **i,** Corresponding

ZAP profiles. All neurons were clustered into putative ET and non-IT neurons based upon their

resonant frequency and input resistance. **j,** For mouse L5 neurons (*Thy1*-YFP line H, n=117; *Etv1*-

EGFP line, n=123; unlabeled, n=21) 99.2 % of neurons in the *Etv1*-EGFP line possessed non-ET-like

physiology, whereas, 91.4% of neurons in the *Thy1*-YFP line H had ET-like physiology. **k,** For primate

L5 neurons (human, n=8, macaque n=42), all transcriptomically-defined Betz cells (human, n=4,

macaque n=3) had ET-like physiology (human n=6, macaque, n=14), whereas all transcriptomically-

defined non-ET neurons (human n=2, macaque n=3) had non-ET like physiology (human n=2,

macaque n=28). **l,** Cumulative probability distribution of L5 neuron input resistance for primate versus

mouse. * p = 0.0064, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test between mouse and primate ET neurons. **m,** Example

voltage responses to 10s step current injections for monkey, mouse and human ET and non-ET

neurons. The amplitude of the current injection was adjusted to produce ~5 spikes during the first

second. **n**, Raster plot (below) and average firing rate (above) during 1 s epochs during the 10s DC

current injection. Primate ET neurons (pooled data from human and macaque) displayed a distinctive

decrease followed by a pronounced increase in firing rate over the course of the current injection.

Notably, a similar biphasic-firing pattern is observed in macaque corticospinal neurons *in vivo* during

prolonged motor movements [66], suggesting that the firing pattern of these neurons during behavior is

intimately tied to their intrinsic membrane properties.

Methods

Ethical compliance

Postmortem adult human brain tissue was collected after obtaining permission from decedent next-of-kin. Postmortem tissue collection was performed in accordance with the provisions of the United States Uniform Anatomical Gift Act of 2006 described in the California Health and Safety Code section 7150 (effective 1/1/2008) and other applicable state and federal laws and regulations. The Western Institutional Review Board reviewed tissue collection processes and determined that they did not constitute human subjects research requiring institutional review board (IRB) review.

Postmortem human tissue specimens

Male and female donors 18–68 years of age with no known history of neuropsychiatric or neurological conditions ('control' cases) were considered for inclusion in the study (Extended Data Table 1). Routine serological screening for infectious disease (HIV, Hepatitis B, and Hepatitis C) was conducted using donor blood samples and only donors negative for all three tests were considered for inclusion in the study. Only specimens with RNA integrity (RIN) values ≥7.0 were considered for inclusion in the study. Postmortem brain specimens were processed as previously described [2]. Briefly, coronal brain slabs were cut at 1cm intervals and frozen for storage at -80°C until the time of further use. Putative hand and trunk-lower limb regions of the primary motor cortex were identified, removed from slabs of interest, and subdivided into smaller blocks. One block from each donor was processed for cryosectioning and fluorescent Nissl staining (Neurotrace 500/525, ThermoFisher Scientific). Stained sections were screened for histological hallmarks of primary motor cortex. After verifying that regions of interest contained M1, blocks were processed for nucleus isolation as described below.

Human RNA-sequencing, QC and clustering

SMART-seq v4 nucleus isolation and sorting. Vibratome sections were stained with fluorescent Nissl

permitting microdissection of individual cortical layers (dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.7aehibe).

Nucleus isolation was performed as previously described (dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.ztqf6mw).

NeuN staining was carried out using mouse anti-NeuN conjugated to PE (FCMAB317PE, EMD

Millipore) at a dilution of 1:500. Control samples were incubated with mouse IgG1k-PE Isotype control

(BD Biosciences, 555749). DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride, ThermoFisher

Scientific, D1306) was applied to nuclei samples at a concentration of 0.1µg/ml. Single-nucleus sorting

was carried out on either a BD FACSAria II SORP or BD FACSAria Fusion instrument (BD

Biosciences) using a 130 µm nozzle. A standard gating strategy based on DAPI and NeuN staining was

applied to all samples as previously described [2]. Doublet discrimination gates were used to exclude

nuclei aggregates.

SMART-seq v4 RNA-sequencing. The SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit for Sequencing (Takara

#634894) was used per the manufacturer's instructions. Standard controls were processed with each

batch of experimental samples as previously described. After reverse transcription, cDNA was amplified

with 21 PCR cycles. The NexteraXT DNA Library Preparation (Illumina FC-131-1096) kit with

NexteraXT Index Kit V2 Sets A-D (FC-131-2001, 2002, 2003, or 2004) was used for sequencing library

preparation. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument using Illumina High

Output V4 chemistry.

SMART-seq v4 gene expression quantification. Raw read (fastq) files were aligned to the GRCh38

human genome sequence (Genome Reference Consortium, 2011) with the RefSeq transcriptome

version GRCh38.p2 (current as of 4/13/2015) and updated by removing duplicate Entrez gene entries

from the gtf reference file for STAR processing. For alignment, Illumina sequencing adapters were

clipped from the reads using the fastqMCF program. After clipping, the paired-end reads were mapped

using Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference (STAR) using default settings. Reads that did not

map to the genome were then aligned to synthetic construct (i.e. ERCC) sequences and the *E. coli*

genome (version ASM584v2). Quantification was performed using summerizeOverlaps from the R

package GenomicAlignments. Expression levels were calculated as counts per million (CPM) of exonic

plus intronic reads.

<u>10x Chromium RNA-sequencing</u>. Nucleus isolation for 10x Chromium RNA-sequencing was conducted

as described (dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.y6rfzd6). After sorting, single-nucleus suspensions were

frozen in a solution of 1X PBS, 1% BSA, 10% DMSO, and 0.5% RNAsin Plus RNase inhibitor

(Promega, N2611) and stored at -80°C. At the time of use, frozen nuclei were thawed at 37°C and

processed for loading on the 10x Chromium instrument as described

(dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.nx3dfqn). Samples were processed using the 10x Chromium Single-

Cell 3' Reagent Kit v3. 10x chip loading and sample processing was done according to the

manufacturer's protocol. Gene expression was quantified using the default 10x Cell Ranger v3 pipeline

except substituting the curated genome annotation used for SMART-seq v4 quantification. Introns were

annotated as "mRNA", and intronic reads were included in expression quantification.

<u>Quality control of RNA-seq data</u>. Nuclei were included for analysis if they passed all QC criteria.

SMART-seq v4 criteria:

> 30% cDNA longer than 400 base pairs

> 500,000 reads aligned to exonic or intronic sequence

> 40% of total reads aligned

> 50% unique reads

> 0.7 TA nucleotide ratio

Cv3 criteria:

> 500 (non-neuronal nuclei) or > 1000 (neuronal nuclei) genes detected

< 0.3 doublet score

Clustering RNA-seq data. Nuclei passing QC criteria were grouped into transcriptomic cell types using

a previously reported iterative clustering procedure (Tasic et al. 2018; Hodge, Bakken et al., 2019).

Briefly, intronic and exonic read counts were summed, and $\log_2$-transformed expression was centered

and scaled across nuclei. X- and Y-chromosomes and mitochondrial genes were excluded to avoid

nuclei clustering based on sex or nuclei quality. DEGs were selected, principal components analysis

(PCA) reduced dimensionality, and a nearest neighbor graph was built using up to 20 principal

components. Clusters were identified with Louvain community detection (or Ward's hierarchical

clustering if N < 3000 nuclei), and pairs of clusters were merged if either cluster lacked marker genes.

Clustering was applied iteratively to each subcluster until clusters could not be further split.

Cluster robustness was assessed by repeating iterative clustering 100 times for random subsets of

80% of nuclei. A co-clustering matrix was generated that represented the proportion of clustering

iterations that each pair of nuclei were assigned to the same cluster. We defined consensus clusters by

iteratively splitting the co-clustering matrix as described (Tasic et al. 2018; Hodge, Bakken et al., 2019).

The clustering pipeline is implemented in the R package "scrattch.hicat", and the clustering method is

provided by the "run_consensus_clust" function (https://github.com/AllenInstitute/scrattch.hicat).

Clusters were curated based on QC criteria or cell class marker expression (*GAD1*, *SLC17A7*,

SNAP25). Clusters were identified as donor-specific if they included fewer nuclei sampled from donors

than expected by chance. To confirm exclusion, clusters automatically flagged as outliers or donor-

specific were manually inspected for expression of broad cell class marker genes, mitochondrial genes

related to quality, and known activity-dependent genes.

Marmoset sample processing and nuclei isolation

Marmoset experiments were approved by and in accordance with Massachusetts Institute of

Technology IACUC protocol number 051705020. Two adult marmosets (2.3 and 3.1 years old; one

male, one female; Extended Data Table 2) were deeply sedated by intramuscular injection of ketamine

(20-40 mg/kg) or alfaxalone (5-10 mg/kg), followed by intravenous injection of sodium pentobarbital

(10–30 mg/kg). When pedal withdrawal reflex was eliminated and/or respiratory rate was diminished,

animals were transcardially perfused with ice-cold sucrose-HEPES buffer. Whole brains were rapidly

extracted into fresh buffer on ice. Sixteen 2-mm coronal blocking cuts were rapidly made using a

custom-designed marmoset brain matrix. Coronal slabs were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored

at -80°C until use.

As with human samples, M1 was isolated from thawed slabs using fluorescent Nissl staining

(Neurotrace 500/525, ThermoFisher Scientific). Stained sections were screened for histological

hallmarks of primary motor cortex. Nuclei were isolated from the dissected regions following this

protocol: https://www.protocols.io/view/extraction-of-nuclei-from-brain-tissue-2srged6 and processed

using the 10x Chromium Single-Cell 3' Reagent Kit v3. 10x chip loading and sample processing was

done according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Marmoset RNA-sequencing, QC and clustering

RNA-sequencing. Libraries were sequenced on NovaSeq S2 instruments (Illumina). Raw sequencing

reads were aligned to calJac3. Mitochondrial sequence was added into the published reference

assembly. Human sequences of RNR1 and RNR2 (mitochondrial) and RNA5S (ribosomal), were

aligned using gmap to the marmoset genome and added to the calJac3 annotation. Reads that mapped

to exons or introns of each assembly were assigned to annotated genes. Libraries were sequenced to a

median read depth of 5.95 reads per unique molecular index (UMI). The alignment pipeline can be

found at https://github.com/broadinstitute/Drop-seq.

<u>Cell filtering</u>. Cell barcodes were filtered to distinguish true nuclei barcodes from empty beads and PCR

artifacts by assessing proportions of ribosomal and mitochondrial reads, ratio of intronic/exonic reads (>

50% of intronic reads), library size (> 1000 UMIs) and sequencing efficiency (true cell barcodes have

higher reads/UMI). The resulting digital gene expression matrix (DGE) from each library was carried

forward for clustering.

<u>Clustering</u>. Clustering analysis proceeded as in Krienen et al (2019, bioRxiv). Briefly, independent

component analysis. (ICA, using the fastICA package in R) was performed jointly on all marmoset

DGEs after normalization and variable gene selection as in (Saunders et al 2018, *Cell*). The first-round

clustering resulted in 15 clusters corresponding to major cell classes (neurons, glia, endothelial). Each

cluster was curated as in (Saunders et al 2018, *Cell*) to remove doublets and outliers. Independent

components (ICs) were partitioned into those reflecting artifactual signals (e.g. those for which cell

loading indicated replicate or batch effects). Remaining ICs were used to determine clustering (Louvain

community detection algorithm igraph package in R); for each cluster nearest neighbor and resolution

parameters were set to optimize 1:1 mapping between each IC and a cluster.

Mouse snRNA-seq data generation and analysis

Single-nuclei were isolated from mouse primary motor cortex, gene expression was quantified using

Cv3 and SSv4 RNA-sequencing, and transcriptomic cell types and dendrogram were defined as

described in a companion paper [6].

Integrating and clustering human Cv3 and SSv4 snRNA-seq datasets

To establish a set of human consensus cell types, we performed a separate integration of snRNA-seq

technologies on the major cell classes (Glutamatergic, GABAergic, and Non-neuronal). Broadly, this

integration is comprised of 6 steps: (1) subsetting the major cell class from each technology (e.g. Cv3

GABAergic and SSv4 GABAergic); (2) finding marker genes for all clusters within each technology; (3)

integrating both datasets with Seurat's standard workflow using marker genes to guide integration

(Seurat 3.1.1); (4) overclustering the data to a greater number of clusters than were originally identified

within a given individual dataset; (5) finding marker genes for all integrated clusters; and (6) merging

similar integrated clusters together based on marker genes until all merging criteria were sufficed,

resulting in the final human consensus taxonomy.

More specifically, each expression matrix was $\log_2(CPM + 1)$ transformed then placed into a Seurat

object with accompanying metadata. Variable genes were determined by downsampling each

expression matrix to a maximum of 300 nuclei per scrattch.hicat-defined cluster (from a previous step;

see scrattch.hicat clustering) and running select_markers (scrattch.io 0.1.0) with n set to 20, to

generate a list of up to 20 marker genes per cluster. The union of the Cv3 and SSv4 gene lists were

then used as input for anchor finding, dimensionality reduction, and Louvain clustering of the full

expression matrices. We used 100 dimensions for steps in the workflow, and 100 random starts during

clustering. Louvain clustering was performed to overcluster the dataset to identify more integrated

clusters than the number of scrattch.hicat-defined clusters. For example, GABAergic neurons had 79

and 37 scrattch.hicat-defined clusters, 225 overclustered integrated clusters, and 72 final human

consensus clusters after merging for Cv3 and SSv4 datasets, respectively. To merge the overclustered

integrated clusters, up to 20 marker genes were found for each cluster to establish the neighborhoods

of the integrated dataset. Clusters were then merged with their nearest neighbor if there were not a

minimum of ten Cv3 and two SSv4 nuclei in a cluster, and a minimum of 4 DEGs that distinguished the

query cluster from the nearest neighbor (note: these were the same parameters used to perform the

initial scrattch.hicat clustering of each dataset).

Integrating and clustering MTG and M1 SSv4 snRNA-seq datasets

To compare cell types between our M1 human cell type taxonomy and our previously described human

MTG taxonomy [2], we used Seurat's standard integration workflow to perform a supervised integration

of the M1 and MTG SSv4 datasets. Intronic and exonic reads were summed into a single expression

matrix for each dataset, CPM normalized, and placed into a Seurat object with accompanying

metadata. All nuclei from each major cell class were integrated and clustered separately. Up to 100

marker genes for each cluster within each dataset were identified, and the union of these two gene lists

was used as input to guide alignment of the two datasets during integration, dimensionality reduction,

and clustering steps. We used 100 dimensions for all steps in the workflow.

Integrating Cv3 snRNA-seq datasets across species

To identify homologous cell types across species, we used Seurat's SCTransform workflow to perform

a separate supervised integration on each cell class across species. Raw expression matrices were

reduced to only include genes with one-to-one orthologs defined in the three species (14,870 genes;

downloaded from NCBI Homologene in November, 2019) and placed into Seurat objects with

accompanying metadata. To avoid having one species dominate the integrated space and to account

for potential differences in each species' clustering resolution, we downsampled the number of nuclei to

have similar numbers across species at the subclass level (e.g. *Lamp5*, *Sst*, L2/3 IT, L6b, etc.). The

species with the largest number of clusters under a given subclass was allowed a maximum of 200

nuclei per cluster. The remaining species then split this theoretical maximum (200 nuclei times the max

number of clusters under subclass) evenly across their clusters. For example, the L2/3 IT subclass had

8, 4, and 3 clusters for human, marmoset, and mouse, respectively. All species were allowed a

maximum of 1600 L2/3 IT nuclei total; or a maximum of 200 human, 400 marmoset, and 533 mouse

nuclei per cluster. To integrate across species, all Seurat objects were merged and normalized using

Seurat's SCTransform function. To better guide the alignment of cell types from each species, we found

up to 100 marker genes for each cluster within a given species. We used the union of these gene lists

as input for integration and dimensionality reduction steps, with 30 dimensions used for integration and

100 for dimensionality reduction and clustering. Clustering the human-marmoset-mouse integrated

space provided an additional quality control mechanism, revealing numerous small, species-specific

integrated clusters that contained only low-quality nuclei (low UMIs and genes detected). We excluded

4836 nuclei from the marmoset dataset that constituted low-quality integrated neuronal clusters.

To identify which clusters in our three species taxonomy aligned with macaque clusters from our L5

dissected Cv3 dataset, we performed an identical integration workflow on Glutamatergic neurons as

was used for the three species integration. Macaque clusters were assigned subclass labels based on

their corresponding alignment with subclasses from the other species. The annotated L5 dissected

macaque Cv3 dataset was then used as a reference for mapping macaque patch-seq nuclei (see

section below).

Estimation of cell type homology

To identify homologous groups from different species, we applied a tree-based method

(https://github.com/AllenInstitute/BICCN_M1_Evo and package:

https://github.com/huqiwen0313/speciesTree). In brief, the approach consists of 4 steps: 1) metacell

clustering, 2) hierarchical reconstruction of a metacell tree, 3) measurements of species mixing and

stability of splits and 4) dynamic pruning of the hierarchical tree.

Firstly, to reduce noise in single-cell datasets and to remove species-specific batch effects, we

clustered cells into small highly similar groups based on the integrated matrix generated by Seurat, as

described in the previous section. These cells were further aggregated into metacells and the

expression values of the metacells were calculated by averaging the gene expression of individual cells

that belong to each metacell. Correlation was calculated based on the metacell gene expression matrix

to infer the similarity of each metacell cluster. Then hierarchical clustering was performed based on the

metacell gene expression matrix using Ward's method. For each node or corresponding branch in the

hierarchical tree, we calculated 3 measurements, and the hierarchical tree was visualized based on

these measurements: 1) Cluster size visualized as the thickness of branches in the tree; 2) Species

mixing calculated based on entropy of the normalized cell distribution and visualized as the color of

each node and branch; 3) Stability of each node. The entropy of cells was calculated as: $H =$

$-\sum_i p_i log p_i$, where p_i is the probability of cells from one species appearing among all the cells in a

node. We assessed the node stability by evaluating the agreement between the original hierarchical

tree and a result on a subsampled dataset calculated based on the optimal subtree in the subsampled

hierarchical trees derived from subsampling 95% of cells in the original dataset. The entire subsampling

process was repeated 100 times and the mean stability score for every node in the original tree was

calculated. Finally, we recursively searched each node in the tree. If the heuristic criteria (see below)

were not met for any node below the upper node, the entire subtree below the upper node was pruned

and all the cells belonging to this subtree were merged into one homologous group.

To identify robust homologous groups, we applied criteria in two steps to dynamically search the cross-

species tree. Firstly, for each node in the tree, we computed the mixing of cells from 3 species based

on entropy and set it as a tuning parameter. For each integrated tree, we tuned the entropy parameter

to make sure the tree method generated the highest resolution of homologous clusters without losing

the ability to identify potential species-specific clusters. Nodes with entropy larger than 2.9 (for inhibitory

neurons) or 2.75 (for excitatory neurons) were considered as well-mixed nodes. For example, an

entropy of 2.9 corresponded to a mixture of human, marmoset, and mouse equal to (0.43, 0.37, 0.2) or

(0.38, 0.30, 0.32). We recursively searched all the nodes in the tree until we found the node nearest the

leaves of the tree that was well-mixed among species, and this node was defined as a well-mixed

upper node. Secondly, we further checked the within-species cell composition for the subtrees below

the well-mixed upper node to determine if further splits were needed. For the cells on the subtrees

below the well mixed upper node, we measured the purity of within-species cell composition by

calculating the percentage of cells that fall into a specific sub-group in each individual species. If the

purity for any species was larger than 0.8, we went one step further below the well mixed upper node

so that its children were selected. Any branches below these nodes (or well-mixed upper node if the

within-species cell composition criteria was not met) were pruned and cells from these nodes were

merged into the same homologous groups, then the final integrated tree was generated.

As a final curation step, the homologous groups generated by the tree method were merged to be

consistent with within-species clusters. We defined consensus types by comparing the overlap of

within-species clusters between human and marmoset and human and mouse, as previously described

[2]. For each pair of human and mouse clusters and human and marmoset clusters, the overlap was

defined as the sum of the minimum proportion of nuclei in each cluster that overlapped within each leaf

of the pruned tree. This approach identified pairs of clusters that consistently co-clustered within one or

more leaves. Cluster overlaps varied from 0 to 1 and were visualized as a heatmap with human M1

clusters in rows and mouse or marmoset M1 clusters in columns. Cell type homologies were identified

as one-to-one, one-to-many, or many-to many so that they were consistent in all three species. For

example, the Vip_2 consensus type could be resolved into multiple homologous types between human

and marmoset but not human and mouse, and the coarser homology was retained. Consensus type

names were assigned based on the annotations of member clusters from human and mouse and

avoided specific marker gene names due to the variability of marker expression across species.

To quantify cell type alignment between pairs of species, we pruned the hierarchical tree described

above based on the stability and mixing of two species. We performed this analysis for human-

marmoset, human-mouse, and marmoset-mouse and compared the alignment resolution of each

subclass. The pruning criteria were tuned to fit the two-species comparison and to remove bias, and we

set the same criteria for all comparisons (entropy cutoff 3.0). Specifically, for each subclass and

pairwise species comparison, we calculated the number of leaves in the pruned tree. We repeated this

analysis on the 100 subsampled datasets and calculated the mean and standard deviation of the

number of leaves in the pruned trees. For each subclass, we tested for significant differences in the

average number of leaves across pairs of species using an ANOVA test followed by post-hoc Tukey

HSD tests.

Marker determination for cell type clusters by NS-Forest v2.1

NS-Forest v2.1 was used to determine the minimum set of marker genes whose combined expression identified cells of a given type with maximum classification accuracy (T. Bakken et al. 2017; Aevermann et al. 2018). (https://github.com/JCVenterInstitute/NSForest/releases). Briefly, for each cluster NS-Forest produces a Random Forest (RF) model using a one vs all binary classification approach. The top ranked genes from RF are then filtered by expression level to retain genes that are expressed in at least 50% of the cells within the target cluster. The selected genes are then reranked by Binary Score calculated by first finding median cluster expression values for a given gene and dividing by the target median cluster expression value. Next, one minus this scaled value is calculated resulting in 0 for the target cluster and 1 for clusters that have no expression, while negative scaled values are set to 0. These values are then summed and normalized by dividing by the total number of clusters. In the ideal case, where all off-target clusters have no expression, the binary score is 1. Finally, for the top 6 binary genes optimal expression level cutoffs are determined and all permutations of genes are evaluated by f-beta score, where the beta is weighted to favor precision. This f-beta score indicates the power of discrimination for a cluster and a given set of marker genes. The gene combination giving the highest f-beta score is selected as the optimal marker gene combination. Marker gene sets for human, mouse and marmoset primary motor cortex are listed in Supplementary Tables 4, 5, and 6, respectively, and were used to construct the semantic cell type definitions provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Calculating differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

To identify subclass level DEGs that are conserved and divergent across species, we used the integrated Seurat objects from the species integration step. Seurat objects for each major cell class were downsampled to have up to 200 cells per species cell type. Positive DEGs were then found using Seurat's `FindAllMarkers` function using the ROC test with default parameters. We compared each subclass within species to all remaining nuclei in that class and used the SCT normalized counts to test

for differential expression. For example, human *Sst* nuclei were compared to all other GABAergic

human neurons using the ROC test. Venn diagrams were generated using the eulerr package (6.0.0) to

visualize the relationship of DEGs across species for a given subclass. Heatmaps of DEGs for all

subclasses under a given class were generated by downsampling each subclass to 50 random nuclei

per species. SCT normalized counts were then scaled and visualized with Seurat's `DoHeatmap`

function.

To identify ChC DEGs that are enriched over BCs, we used the integrated Seurat objects from the

species integration step. The *Pvalb* subclass was subset and species cell types were then designated

as either ChCs or BCs. Positive DEGs were then found using Seurat's `FindAllMarkers` function

using the ROC test to compare ChCs and BCs for each species. Venn diagrams were generated using

the eulerr package (6.0.0) to visualize the relationship of ChC-enriched DEGs across species.

Heatmaps of conserved DEGs were generated by downsampling the dataset to have 100 randomly

selected BCs and ChCs from each species. SCT normalized counts were then scaled and visualized

with Seurat's `DoHeatmap` function.

We used the four species (human, macaque, marmoset, and mouse) integrated Glutamatergic Seurat

object from the species integration step for all L5 ET DEG figures. L5 ET and L5 IT subclasses were

downsampled to 200 randomly selected nuclei per species. A ROC test was then performed using

Seurat's `FindAllMarkers` function between the two subclasses for each species to identify L5 ET-

specific marker genes. We then used the UpSetR (1.4.0) package to visualize the intersections of the

marker genes across all four species as an upset plot. To determine genes that decrease in expression

across evolutionary distance in L5 ET neurons, we found the log-fold change between L5 ET and L5 IT

for each species across all genes. We then filtered the gene lists to only include genes that had a trend

of decreasing log-fold change (human > macaque > marmoset > mouse). Lastly, we excluded any gene

that did not have a log-fold change of 0.5 or greater in the human comparison. These 131 genes were

then used as input for GO analysis with the PANTHER Classification System [67] for the biological

process category, with organism set to Homo sapiens. All significant GO terms for this gene list were

associated with cell-cell adhesion and axon-guidance, and are colored blue in the line graph of their

expression enrichment.

Estimating differential isoform usage between human and mouse

To assess changes of isoform usage between mouse and human, we used SSv4 data with full

transcript coverage and estimated isoform abundance in each cell subclasses. To mitigate low read

depth in each cell, we aggregated reads from all cells in each subclass. We estimated the relative

isoform usage in each subclass by calculating its genic proportion (P), defined as the ratio (R) of

isoform expression to the gene expression, where $R = (P_{human} - P_{mouse}) / (P_{human} + P_{mouse})$. For a common

set of transcripts for mouse and human, we used UCSC browser TransMapV5 set of human transcripts

(hg38 assembly, Gencode v31 annotations) mapped to the mouse genome (mm10 assembly)

http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/gbdb/mm10/transMap/V5/mm10.ensembl.transMapV5.bigPsl. We

considered only medium to highly expressed isoforms, which have abundance > 10 TPM (Transcripts

per Million) and P > 0.2 in either mouse or human and gene expression > 10 TPM in both mouse and

human.

Calculating isoform abundance in each cell subclass:

1) Aggregated reads from each subclass

2) Mapped reads to the mouse or human reference genome with STAR 2.7.3a using default

parameters

3) Transformed genomic coordinates into transcriptomic coordinates using STAR parameter: --

quantMode TranscriptomeSAM

4) Quantified isoform and gene expression using RSEM 1.3.3 parameters: --bam --seed 12345 --

paired-end --forward-prob 0.5 --single-cell-prior --calc-ci

Estimating statistical significance:

1) Calculated the standard deviation of isoform genic proportion (P_{human} and P_{mouse}) from the

RSEM's 95% confidence intervals of isoform expression

2) Calculated the P-value using normal distribution for the (P_{human} - P_{mouse}) and the summed

(mouse + human) variance

3) Bonferroni-adjusted P-values by multiplying nominal P-values by the number of medium to

highly expressed isoforms in each subclass

Species cluster dendrograms

DEGs for a given species were identified using Seurat's `FindAllMarkers` function with a Wilcox test

and comparing each cluster to every other cluster under the same subclass, with logfc.threshold set to

0.7 and min.pct set to 0.5. The union of up to 100 genes per cluster with the highest avg_logFC were

used.The average $\log_2$ expression of the DEGs were then used as input for the `build_dend` function

from scratch.hicat to create the dendrograms. This was performed on both human and marmoset

datasets. For mouse dendrogram methods, see the companion paper [6].

Multiplex fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

Fresh-frozen human postmortem brain tissues were sectioned at 14-16 µm onto Superfrost Plus glass

slides (Fisher Scientific). Sections were dried for 20 minutes at -20°C and then vacuum sealed and

stored at -80°C until use. The RNAscope multiplex fluorescent v1 kit was used per the manufacturer's

instructions for fresh-frozen tissue sections (ACD Bio), except that fixation was performed for 60

minutes in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1X PBS at 4°C and protease treatment was shortened to 5minutes.

Primary antibodies were applied to tissues after completion of mFISH staining. Primary antibodies used

were mouse anti-GFAP (EMD Millipore, MAB360, 1:250 dilution) and mouse anti-Neurofilament H

(SMI-32, Biolegend, 801701). Secondary antibodies were goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor

conjugates (594, 647). Sections were imaged using a 60X oil immersion lens on a Nikon TiE

fluorescence microscope equipped with NIS-Elements Advanced Research imaging software (version

4.20). For all RNAscope mFISH experiments, positive cells were called by manually counting RNA

spots for each gene. Cells were called positive for a gene if they contained ≥ 3 RNA spots for that gene.

Lipofuscin autofluorescence was distinguished from RNA spot signal based on the larger size of

lipofuscin granules and broad fluorescence spectrum of lipofuscin.

Gene family conservation

To investigate the conservation and divergence of gene family coexpression between primates and

mouse, MetaNeighbor analysis [30] was performed using gene groups curated by the HUGO Gene

Nomenclature Committee (HGNC) at the European Bioinformatics Institute

(https://www.genenames.org; downloaded January 2020) and by the Synaptic Gene Ontology (SynGO)

[68] (downloaded February 2020). HGNC annotations were propagated via the provided group hierarchy

to ensure the comprehensiveness of parent annotations. Only groups containing five or more genes

were included in the analysis.

After splitting data by class, MetaNeighbor was used to compare data at the cluster level using labels

from cross-species integration with Seurat. Cross-species comparisons were performed at two levels of

the phylogeny: 1) between the two primate species, marmoset and human; and 2) between mouse and

primates. In the first case, the data from the two species were each used as the testing and training set

across two folds of cross-validation, reporting the average performance (AUROC) across folds. In the

second case, the primate data were used as an aggregate training set, and performance in mouse was

reported. Results were compared to average within-species performance.

Replicability of clusters

MetaNeighbor was used to provide a measure of neuronal subclass and cluster replicability within and

across species. For this application, we tested all pairs of species (human-marmoset, marmoset-

mouse, human-mouse) as well as testing within each species. After splitting the data by class, highly

variable genes were identified using the get_variable_genes function from MetaNeighbor, yielding 928

genes for GABAergic and 763 genes for Glutamatergic neuron classes, respectively. These were used

as input for the MetaNeighborUS function, which was run using the fast_version and one_vs_best

parameters set to TRUE. Using the one_vs_best parameter means that only the two closest

neighboring clusters are tested for their similarity to the training cluster, with results reported as the

AUROC for the closest neighbor over the second closest. AUROCs are plotted in heatmaps in

Extended Data Figures 2 and 3. Data to reproduce these figures can be found in Supplementary Table

9, and scripts are on GitHub (http://github.com/gillislab/MetaNeighbor).

Single-cell methylome data (snmC-seq2): Sequencing and quantification

<u>Library preparation and Illumina sequencing</u>. Single nuclei were isolated from human and marmoset M1

tissue as described above for RNA-seq profiling and for mouse as detailed in [6]. Detailed methods for

bisulfite conversion and library preparation were previously described for snmC-seq2[5,41]. The snmC-

seq2 libraries generated from mouse brain tissues were sequenced using an Illumina Novaseq 6000

instrument with S4 flowcells and 150 bp paired-end mode.

<u>Mapping and feature count pipeline</u>. We implemented a versatile mapping pipeline (http://cemba-

data.rtfd.io) for all the single-cell methylome based technologies developed by our group [5,41,69]. The

main steps of this pipeline included: 1) demultiplexing FASTQ files into single-cell; 2) reads level QC; 3)

mapping; 4) BAM file processing and QC; and 5) final molecular profile generation. The details of the

five steps for snmC-seq2 were described previously [41]. We mapped all the reads from the three

corresponding species onto the human hg19 genome, the marmoset ASM275486v1 genome, and the

mouse mm10 genome. After mapping, we calculated the methyl-cytosine counts and total cytosine

counts for two sets of genome regions in each cell: the non-overlapping chromosome 100-kb bins of

each genome, the methylation levels of which were used for clustering analysis, and the gene body

regions, the methylation levels of which were used for cluster annotation and integration with RNA

expression data.

snmC-seq2: Quality control and preprocessing

<u>Cell filtering.</u> We filtered the cells based on these main mapping metrics: 1) mCCC rate < 0.03. mCCC

rate reliably estimates the upper bound of bisulfite non-conversion rate [5]; 2) overall mCG rate > 0.5; 3)

overall mCH rate < 0.2; 4) total final reads > 500,000; and 5) bismark mapping rate > 0.5. Other metrics

such as genome coverage, PCR duplicates rate, and index ratio were also generated and evaluated

during filtering. However, after removing outliers with the main metrics 1-5, few additional outliers can

be found.

<u>Feature filtering.</u> 100kb genomic bin features were filtered by removing bins with mean total cytosine

base calls < 250 or > 3000. Regions overlap with the ENCODE blacklist [70] were also excluded from

further analysis.

<u>Computation and normalization of the methylation rate.</u> For CG and CH methylation, the computation of

methylation rate from the methyl-cytosine and total cytosine matrices contains two steps: 1) prior

estimation for the beta-binomial distribution and 2) posterior rate calculation and normalization per cell.

Step 1. For each cell we calculated the sample mean, m, and variance, v, of the raw mc rate *(mc / cov)*

for each sequence context (CG, CH). The shape parameters (α, β) of the beta distribution were then

estimated using the method of moments:

$$\alpha = m(m(1 - m)/v - 1)$$

$$\beta = (1 - m)(m(1 - m)/v - 1)$$

This approach used different priors for different methylation types for each cell and used weaker prior to

cells with more information (higher raw variance).

Step 2. We then calculated the posterior: $\widehat{mc} = \frac{\alpha + mc}{\alpha + \beta + cov}$., We normalized this rate by the cell's global

mean methylation, $m = \alpha/(\alpha + \beta)$. Thus, all the posterior $\widehat{mc}$ with 0 *cov* will be constant 1 after

normalization. The resulting normalized *mc* rate matrix contains no NA (not available) value, and

features with less *cov* tend to have a mean value close to 1.

<u>Selection of highly variable features.</u> Highly variable methylation features were selected based on a

modified approach using the scanpy package *scanpy.pp.highly_variable_genes* function [71]. In brief, the

scanpy.pp.highly_variable_genes function normalized the dispersion of a gene by scaling with the

mean and standard deviation of the dispersions for genes falling into a given bin for mean expression of

genes. In our modified approach, we reasoned that both the mean methylation level and the mean *cov*

of a feature (100kb bin or gene) could impact *mc* rate dispersion. We grouped features that fall into a

combined bin of mean and *cov*, and then normalized the dispersion within each *mean-cov* group. After

dispersion normalization, we selected the top 3000 features based on normalized dispersion for

clustering analysis.

<u>Dimension reduction and combination of different mC types.</u> For each selected feature, *mc* rates were

scaled to unit variance, and zero mean. PCA was then performed on the scaled *mc* rate matrix. The

number of significant PCs was selected by inspecting the variance ratio of each PC using the elbow

method. The CH and CG PCs were then concatenated together for further analysis in clustering and

manifold learning.

snmC-seq2: Data analysis

<u>Consensus clustering on concatenated PCs.</u> We used a consensus clustering approach based on

multiple Leiden-clustering [72] over K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) graph to account for the randomness of

the Leiden clustering algorithms. After selecting dominant PCs from PCA in both mCH and mCG

matrix, we concatenated the PCs together to construct a KNN graph using *scanpy.pp.neighbors* with

Euclidean distance. Given fixed resolution parameters, we repeated the Leiden clustering 300 times on

the KNN graph with different random starts and combined these cluster assignments as a new feature

matrix, where each single Leiden result is a feature. We then used the outlier-aware DBSCAN

algorithm from the scikit-learn package to perform consensus clustering over the Leiden feature matrix

using the hamming distance. Different epsilon parameters of DBSCAN are traversed to generate

consensus cluster versions with the number of clusters that range from minimum to the maximum

number of clusters observed in the multiple Leiden runs. Each version contained a few outliers that

usually fall into three categories: 1) cells located between two clusters that had gradient differences

instead of clear borders; 2) cells with a low number of reads that potentially lack information in essential

features to determine the specific cluster; and 3) cells with a high number of reads that were potential

doublets. The amount of type 1 and 2 outliers depends on the resolution parameter and is discussed in

the choice of the resolution parameter section. The type 3 outliers were very rare after cell filtering. The

supervised model evaluation then determined the final consensus cluster version.

<u>Supervised model evaluation on the clustering assignment.</u> For each consensus clustering version, we

performed a Recursive Feature Elimination with Cross-Validation (RFECV) [73] process from the scikit-

learn package to evaluate clustering reproducibility. We first removed the outliers from this process,

and then we held out 10% of the cells as the final testing dataset. For the remaining 90% of the cells,

we used tenfold cross-validation to train a multiclass prediction model using the input PCs as features

and *sklearn.metrics.balanced_accuracy_score* [74] as an evaluation score. The multiclass prediction

model is based on *BalancedRandomForestClassifier* from the imblearn package that accounts for

imbalanced classification problems[75]. After training, we used the 10% testing dataset to test the model

performance using the *balanced_accuracy_score* score. We kept the best model and corresponding

clustering assignments as the final clustering version. Finally, we used this prediction model to predict

outliers' cluster assignments, we rescued the outlier with prediction probability > 0.3, otherwise labeling

them as outliers.

Choice of resolution parameter. Choosing the resolution parameter of the Leiden algorithm is critical for

determining the final number of clusters. We selected the resolution parameter by three criteria: 1. The

portion of outliers < 0.05 in the final consensus clustering version. 2. The ultimate prediction model

accuracy > 0.95. 3. The average cell per cluster ≥ 30, which controls the cluster size to reach the

minimum coverage required for further epigenome analysis such as DMR calls. All three criteria

prevented the over-splitting of the clusters; thus, we selected the maximum resolution parameter under

meeting the criteria using a grid search.

Three-level of iterative clustering analysis. We used an iterative approach to cluster the data into three

levels of categories with the consensus clustering procedure described above. In the first level termed

CellClass, clustering analysis is done on all cells. The resulting clusters are then manually merged into

three canonical classes, glutamatergic neurons, GABAergic neurons, and non-neurons, based on

marker genes. The same clustering procedure was then conducted within each CellClass to get

clusters as the MajorType level. Within each MajorType, we got the final clusters as the SubTypes in

the same way.

Integrating cell clusters identified from snmC-seq2 and from Cv3. We identified gene markers based on

gene body mCH hypo-methylation for each level of clustering of snmC-seq2 data using our in-house

analysis utilities (https://github.com/lhqing/cemba_data), and identified gene markers for cell class from

Cv3 analysis using scanpy [71]. We then used Scanorama [76] to integrate the two modalities.

Calling CG differentially methylated regions (DMRs). We identified CG DMRs using methylpy

(https://github.com/yupenghe/methylpy) as previously described [77]. Briefly, we first called CG

differentially methylated sites and then merged them into blocks if they both showed similar sample-

specific methylation patterns and were within 250bp. Normalized relative lengths of DMRs (Figure 4d)

were calculated by summation of lengths of DMRs and 250bp around divided by numbers of cytosine

covered in sequencing.

TFBS motif enrichment analysis. For each cell subclass (cluster), we performed TFBS motif enrichment

analysis for its hypo-methylated DMRs against the hypo-methylated DMRs from other cell subclasses

(clusters) using software AME [78]. DMRs and 250bp regions around were used in the analysis.

SNARE-Seq2: Sample preparation

Human and marmoset primary motor cortex nuclei were isolated for SNARE-seq2 according to the

following protocol: https://www.protocols.io/view/nuclei-isolation-for-snare-seq2-8tvhwn6 [7,79].

Fluorescence-activated nuclei sorting (FANS) was then performed on a FACSAria Fusion (BD

Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) gating out debris from FSC and SSC plots and selecting DAPI[+]

singlets (Extended Data Fig. 5a). Samples were kept on ice until sorting was complete and were used

immediately for SNARE-seq2.

SNARE-Seq2: Library preparation and sequencing

A detailed step-by-step protocol for SNARE-Seq2 has been outlined in a companion paper [38]. The

resulting AC libraries were sequenced on MiSeq (Illumina) (R1: 75 cycles for the 1st end of AC DNA

read, R2: 94 cycles for cell barcodes and UMI read, R3: 8 cycles for i5 read, R4: 75 cycles for the 2nd

end of AC DNA read) for library validation, then on NovaSeq6000 (Illumina) using 300 cycles reagent

kit for data generation. RNA libraries were combined at equimolar ratio and sequenced on MiSeq

(Illumina) (Read 1: 70 cycles for the cDNA read, Index 1: 6 cycles for i7 read, Read 2: 94 cycles for cell

barcodes and UMI read) for library validation, then on NovaSeq6000 (Illumina) using 200 cycles

reagent kit for data generation.

SNARE-Seq2: Data processing

A detailed step-by-step SNARE-seq2 data processing pipeline has been provided in a companion

paper [38]. For RNA data, this has involved the use of dropEst to extract cell barcodes and STAR

(v2.5.2b) to align tagged reads to the genome (GRCh38 version 3.0.0 for human; GCF 000004665.1

Callithrix jacchus-3.2, marmoset). For AC data, this involved snaptools for alignment to the genome

(cellranger-atac-GRCh38-1.1.0 for human, GCF 000004665.1 Callithrix jacchus-3.2, marmoset) and to

generate snap objects for processing using the R package snapATAC.

SNARE-Seq2: Data analysis

RNA quality filtering. For SNARE-Seq2 data, quality filtering of cell barcodes and clustering analysis

were first performed on transcriptomic (RNA) counts and used to inform on subsequent accessible

chromatin quality filtering and analysis. Each cell barcode was tagged by an associated library batch ID

(for example MOP1, MOP2… etc.), RNA read counts associated with dT and n6 adaptor primers were

merged, libraries were combined for each sample within each experiment and empty barcodes

removed using the emptyDrops() function of DropletUtils [80], mitochondrial transcripts were removed,

doublets were identified using the DoubletDetection software [81] and removed. All samples were

combined across experiments within species and cell barcodes having greater than 200 and less than

7500 genes detected were kept for downstream analyses. To further remove low quality datasets, a

gene UMI ratio filter (gene.vs.molecule.cell.filter) was applied using Pagoda2 (https://github.com/hms-

dbmi/pagoda2).

RNA data clustering. For human SNARE-seq2 RNA data, clustering analysis was first performed using

Pagoda2 where counts were normalized to the total number per nucleus and batch variations were

corrected by scaling expression of each gene to the dataset-wide average. After variance

normalization, the top 6000 over-dispersed genes were used for principal component analysis.

Clustering was performed using an approximate k-nearest neighbor graph (k values between 50 – 500)

based on the top 75 principal components and cluster identities were determined using the infomap

community detection algorithm. Major cell types were identified using a common set of broad cell type

marker genes: *GAD1/GAD2* (GABAergic neurons), *SLC17A7/SATB2* (glutamatergic neurons),

PDGFRA (oligodendrocyte progenitor cells), *AQP4* (astrocytes), *PLP1/MOBP* (oligodendrocytes),

MRC1 (perivascular macrophages), *PTPRC* (T cells), *PDGFRB* (vascular smooth muscle cells), *FLT1*

(vascular endothelial cells), *DCN* (vascular fibroblasts), *APBB1IP* (microglia) (Extended Data Fig. 5c).

Low quality clusters that showed very low gene/UMI detection rates, low marker gene detection and/or

mixed cell type marker profiles were removed. Oligodendrocytes were over-represented (54,080 total),

possibly reflecting a deeper subcortical sampling then intended, therefore, to ensure a more balanced

distribution of cell types, we capped the number of oligodendrocytes at 5000 total and repeated the

PAGODA2 clustering as above. To achieve optimal clustering of the different cell types, different k

values were used to identify cluster subpopulations for different cell types (L2/3 glutamatergic neurons,

k = 500; all other glutamatergic neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, OPCs, k = 100; GABAergic

neurons, vascular cells, microglia/perivascular macrophages, k = 50). To assess the appropriateness of

the chosen k values, clusters were compared against SMARTer clustering of data generated on human

M1 through correlation of cluster-averaged scaled gene expression values using the corrplot package

(https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot) (Extended Data Fig. 5d). For cluster visualization, uniform manifold

approximation and projection (UMAP) dimensional reduction was performed in Seurat (version 3.1.0)

using the top 75 principal components identified using Pagoda2. For marmoset, clustering was initially

performed using Seurat, where the top 2000 variable features were selected from the mean variance

plot using the 'vst' method and used for principal component analysis. UMAP embeddings were

generated using the top 75 principal components. To harmonize cellular populations across platforms

and modalities, snRNA-seq within-species cluster identities were then predicted from both human and

marmoset data. We used an iterative nearest centroid classifier algorithm (Methods, 'Mapping of

samples to reference taxonomies') to generate probability scores for each SNARE-seq2 nuclei mapping

to their respective species' snRNA-seq reference cluster (Cv3 for marmoset and SMART-Seqv4 for

human). Comparing the predicted RNA cluster assignment of each nuclei with Pagoda2-identified

clusters showed highly consistent cluster membership using Jaccard similarity index (Extended Data

Fig. 5e), confirming the robustness of these cell identities discovered using different analysis platforms.

<u>AC quality filtering and peak calling</u>. Initial analysis of corresponding SNARE-Seq2 chromatin

accessibility data was performed using SnapATAC software (version 2)

(https://github.com/r3fang/SnapATAC) (https://doi.org/10.1101/615179). Snap objects were generated

by combining individual snap files across libraries within each species. Cell barcodes were included for

downstream analyses only if cell barcodes passed RNA quality filtering (above) and showed greater

than 1000 read fragments and 500 UMI. Read fragments were then binned to 5000 bp windows of the

genome and only cell barcodes showing the fraction of binned reads within promoters greater than 10%

(15% for marmoset) and less than 80% were kept for downstream analysis. Peak regions were called

independently for RNA cluster, subclass and class groupings using MACS2 software

(https://github.com/taoliu/MACS) using the following options "--nomodel --shift 100 --ext 200 --qval 5e-2

-B --SPMR". Peak regions were combined across peak callings and used to generate a single peak

count matrix (cell barcodes by chromosomal peak locations) using the "createPmat" function of

SnapATAC.

<u>AC data clustering</u>. The peak count matrices were filtered to keep only locations from chromosomes 1-

22, x or y, and processed using Seurat (version 3.1.0) and Signac (version 0.1.4) software [24]

(https://satijalab.org). All peaks having at least 100 counts (20 for marmoset) across cells were used for

dimensionality reduction using latent semantic indexing ("RunLSI" function) and visualized by UMAP

using the first 50 dimensions (40 for marmoset).

Calculating gene activity scores. For a gene activity matrix from accessibility data, cis-co-accessible

sites and gene activity scores were calculated using Cicero software (v1.2.0) [39] (https://cole-trapnell-

lab.github.io/cicero-release/). The binary peak matrix was used as input with expression family variable

set to "binomialff" to make the aggregated input Cicero CDS object using the AC peak-derived UMAP

coordinates and setting 50 cells to aggregate per bin. Co-accessible sites were then identified using the

"run_cicero" function using default settings and modules of cis-co-accessible sites identified using the

"generate_ccans" function. Co-accessible sites were annotated to a gene if they fell within a region

spanning 10,000 bp upstream and downstream of the gene's transcription start site (TSS). The Cicero

gene activity matrix was then calculated using the "build_gene_activity_matrix" function using a co-

accessibility cutoff of 0.25 and added to a separate assay of the Seurat object.

Integrating RNA/AC data modalities. For reconciliation of differing resolutions achievable from RNA and

accessible chromatin (Extended Data Fig. 5f-k), integrative analysis was performed using Seurat.

Transfer anchors were identified between the activity and RNA matrices using the

"FindTransferAnchors" function. For human, transfer anchors were generated using an intersected list

of variable genes identified from Pagoda2 analysis of RNA clusters (top 2000 genes) and marker genes

for clusters identified from SSv4 data (2492 genes having β-scores > 0.4), and canonical correlation

analysis (CCA) for dimension reduction. For marmoset, transfer anchors were generated using an

intersected list of variable genes identified using Seurat (top 2000 genes) and DEGs identified between

marmoset consensus clusters (Cv3 snRNA-seq data, $P < 0.05$, top 100 markers per cluster). Imputed

RNA expression values were then calculated using the "TransferData" function from the Cicero gene

activity matrix using normalized RNA expression values for reference and LSI for dimension reduction.

RNA and imputed expression data were merged, a UMAP co-embedding and shared nearest neighbor

(SNN) graph generated using the top 50 principal components (40 for marmoset) and clusters identified

("FindClusters") using a resolution of 4. Resulting integrated clusters were compared against

consensus RNA clusters by calculating jaccard similarity scores using scratch.hicat software. Cell

populations identified as T-cells from Pagoda2 analysis (human only) and those representing low

quality integrated clusters, showing a mixture of disparate cell types, were removed from these

analyses. RNA clusters were assigned to co-embedded clusters based on the highest jaccard similarity

score and frequency and then merged to generate the best matched co-embedded clusters, taking in

account cell type and subclass to ensure more accurate merging of ambiguous populations. This

enabled AC-level clusters that directly matched the RNA-defined populations (Extended Data Fig. 5k).

For consensus cluster and subclass level predictions (Extended Data Fig. 5g) the Seurat

"TransferData" function was used to transfer RNA consensus cluster or subclass labels to AC data

using the pre-computed transfer anchors and LSI dimensionality reduction.

<u>Final AC peak and gene activity matrices</u>. A final combined list of peak regions was then generated

using MACS2 as detailed above for all cell populations corresponding to RNA consensus (> 100

nuclei), accessibility-level, subclass (> 50 nuclei) and class level barcode groupings. The corresponding

peak by cell barcode matrix generated by SnapATAC was used to establish a Seurat object as outlined

above, with peak counts, Cicero gene activity scores and RNA expression values for matched cell

barcodes contained within different assay slots.

<u>Transcription factor motif analyses</u>. Jaspar motifs (JASPAR2020, all vertebrate) were used to generate

a motif matrix and motif object that was added to the Seurat object using Signac ("CreateMotifMatrix",

"CreateMotifObject", "AddMotifObject") and GC content, region lengths and dinucleotide base

frequencies calculated using the "RegionStats" function. Motif enrichments within specific chromosomal

sites were calculated using the FindMotifs function. For motif activity scores, chromVAR

(https://greenleaflab.github.io/chromVAR) was performed according to default parameters (marmoset)

or using Signac "RunChromVAR" function on the peak count matrix (human). The chromVAR deviation

score matrix was then added to a separate assay slot of the Seurat object and differential activity of

TFBS between different populations were assessed using the "Find[All]Markers" function through

logistic regression and using the number of peak counts as a latent variable.

Differentially accessible regions (DARs) between cell populations (Fig. 4b) were identified using the

"find_all_diff" function (https://github.com/yanwu2014/chromfunks) and p-values calculated using a

hypergeometric test. For visualization, the top DARs (q value < 0.001 and log-fold change > 1) were

selected and the top distinct sites visualized by dot plot in Seurat. For motif enrichment analyses, peak

counts associated with the clusters selected for comparison (all subclasses, all AC-level clusters,

PVALB-positive for ChC analyses) were used to identify cis-co-accessible site networks or CCANs

using cicero as indicated above. Peak locations were annotated to the nearest gene (10,000 bases

upstream and downstream of the TSS) and only genes identified from SNARE-seq2 RNA data as being

differentially expressed (Seurat, Wilcoxon Rank Sum test) within the clusters of interest (adjusted P <

0.05, average log-fold change > 0.5) were used. Genes having more than one co-accessible site were

assessed for motif enrichments within all overlapping sites using the "FindMotifs" function in Signac

(using peaks for all cell barcodes for subclass and AC-level, or only peaks for ChC or L5 ET cells).

Motifs were then trimmed to only those showing significant differential activity (chromVAR) between the

clusters of interest (P < 0.05) as assessed using the "FindMarkers" function on the chromVAR assay

slot using Seurat and using the number of total peaks as a latent variable. The top distinct genes

(subclass, AC-level) or all genes (ChC, Betz) used for motif enrichment analysis were visualized for

scaled average RNA expression levels and scaled average cicero gene activities using the ggHeat

plotting function (SWNE package, https://github.com/yanwu2014/swne). Top chromVAR TFBS activities

were also visualized using ggHeat.

Correlation plots. For correlation of RNA expression and associated AC activities for consensus and

AC-level clusters (Extended Data Fig. 6a-b), average scaled expression values were generated and

pairwise correlations performed for marker genes identified from an intersected list of variable genes

identified from Pagoda2 analysis of RNA clusters (top 2000 genes) and marker genes for clusters

identified from SSv4 data (2492 genes having β-scores > 0.4). For correlation across species,

expression values for genes used to integrate human and marmoset GABAergic and glutamatergic

clusters (Cv3 scRNA-seq data), or chromVAR TFBS activity scores for all Jaspar motifs were averaged

by subclass, scaled (trimming values to a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 4) for each species

separately, then correlated and visualized using corrplot.

Plots and figures. All UMAP, feature, dot, and violin plots were generated using Seurat. Connection

plots were generated using cicero and peak track gradient heatmaps were generated using Gviz [82] from

bedGraph files generated during peak calling using SnapATAC. Correlation plots were generated using

the corrplot package.

Mouse chandelier cell ATAC-Seq: Data acquisition and analysis

Chandelier cells are rare in mouse cortex and were enriched by isolating individual neurons from

transgenically-labelled mouse primary visual cortex (VISp). Many of the transgenic mouse lines have

previously been characterized by single-cell RNA-seq [1]. Single-cell suspensions of cortical neurons

were generated as described previously [1] and subjected to tagmentation (ATAC-seq) [83,84]. Mixed

libraries, containing 60 to 96 samples were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq. In total, 4,275 single-cells

were collected from 36 driver-reporter combinations in 67 mice. After sequencing, raw FASTQ files

were aligned to the GRCm38 (mm10) mouse genome using Bowtie v1.1.0 as previously described [9].

Following alignment, duplicate reads were removed using samtools rmdup, which yielded only single

copies of uniquely mapped paired reads in BAM format. Quality control filtering was applied to select

samples with >10,000 uniquely mapped paired-end fragments, >10% of which were longer than 250

base pairs and with >25% of their fragments overlapping high-depth cortical DNase-seq peaks from

ENCODE [85]. The resulting dataset contained a total of 2,799 samples.

To increase the cell-type resolution of chromatin accessibility profiles beyond that provided by driver

lines, a feature-free method for computation of pairwise distances (Jaccard) was used. Using Jaccard

distances, principal component analysis (PCA) and t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE)

were performed, followed by Phenograph clustering [86]. This clustering method grouped cells from

class-specific driver lines together, but also segregated them into multiple clusters. Phenograph-defined

neighborhoods were assigned to cell subclasses and clusters by comparison of accessibility near

transcription start site (TSS ± 20 kb) to median expression values of scRNA-seq clusters at the cell type

and at the subclass level from mouse primary visual cortex [87]. From this analysis, a total of 226

samples were assigned to *Pvalb* and 124 samples to *Pvalb Vipr2* (ChC) clusters. The sequence data

for these samples were grouped together and further processed through the Snap-ATAC pipeline.

Mouse scATAC-seq peak counts for *Pvalb* and ChC were used to generate a Seurat object as outlined

for human and marmoset SNARE-Seq2 AC data. Cicero cis-co-accessible sites were identified, gene

activity scores calculated, and motif enrichment analyses performed as outlined above. Genes used for

motif enrichment were ChC markers identified from differential expression analysis between *PVALB-*

positive clusters in mouse Cv3 scRNA-seq data (adjusted P < 0.05).

Patch-seq neuronal physiology, morphology, and transcriptomics

Subjects. The human neurosurgical specimen was obtained from a 61-year old female patient that

underwent deep tumor resection (glioblastoma) from the frontal lobe at a local hospital (Harborview

Medical Center). The patient provided informed consent and experimental procedures were approved

by the hospital institute review board before commencing the study. Post-hoc analysis revealed that the

neocortical tissue obtained from this patient was from a premotor region near the confluence of the

superior frontal gyrus and the precentral gyrus (Fig. 7g). All procedures involving macaques and mice

were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at either the University of

Washington or the Allen Institute for Brain Science. Macaque M1 tissue was obtained from male (n=4)

and female (n=5) animals (mean age= 10 ± 2.21 years) designated for euthanasia via the Washington

National Primate Research Center's Tissue Distribution Program. Mouse M1 tissue was obtained from

4-12 week old male and female mice from the following transgenic lines: *Thy1h*-eyfp (B6.Cg-Tg(*Thy1*-

YFP)-HJrs/J: JAX Stock No. 003782), *Etv1*-egfp Tg(*Etv1*-EGFP)BZ192Gsat/Mmucd (etv1) mice

maintained with the outbred Charles River Swiss Webster background (Crl:CFW(SW) CR Stock No.

024), and C57BL/6-Tg(*Pvalb*-tdTomato)15Gfng/J: JAX stock No. 027395.

<u>Brain slice preparation</u>. Brain slice preparation was similar for *Pvalb*-TdTomato mice, macaque and

human samples. Upon resection, human neurosurgical tissue was immediately placed in a chilled and

oxygenated solution formulated to prevent excitotoxicity and preserve neural function [88]. This artificial

cerebral spinal fluid (NMDG aCSF) consisted of (in mM): 92 with N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG), 2.5

KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 30 $NaHCO_3$, 20 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 25

glucose, 2 thiourea, 5 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 0.5 $CaCl_2·4H_2O$ and 10 $MgSO_4·7H_2O$. The pH of

the NMDG aCSF was titrated to pH 7.3–7.4 with concentrated hydrochloric acid and the osmolality was

300-305 mOsmoles/Kg. The solution was pre-chilled to 2-4°C and thoroughly bubbled with carbogen

(95% O_2/5% CO_2) prior to collection. Macaques were anesthetized with sevoflurane gas during which

the entire cerebrum was extracted and placed in the same protective solution described above. After

extraction, macaques were euthanized with sodium-pentobarbital. We dissected the trunk/limb area of

the primary motor cortex for brain slice preparation. *Pvalb*-TdTomato mice were deeply anesthetized by

intraperitoneal administration of Advertin (20mg/kg IP) and were perfused through the heart with NMDG

aCSF (bubbled with carbogen).

Brains were sliced at 300-micron thickness on a vibratome using the NMDG protective recovery

method and a zirconium ceramic blade [61,88]. Mouse brains were sectioned coronally, and human and

macaque brains were sectioned such that the angle of slicing was perpendicular to the pial surface.

After sections were obtained, slices were transferred to a warmed (32-34° C) initial recovery chamber

filled with NMDG aCSF under constant carbogenation. After 12 minutes, slices were transferred to a

chamber containing an aCSF solution consisting of (in mM): 92 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 30

$NaHCO_3$, 20 HEPES, 25 glucose, 2 thiourea, 5 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 2 $CaCl_2·4H_2O$ and 2

$MgSO_4·7H_2O$ continuously bubbled with 95% O_2/5% CO_2. Slices were held in this chamber for use in

acute recordings or transferred to a 6-well plate for long-term culture and viral transduction. Cultured

slices were placed on membrane inserts and wells were filled with culture medium consisting of 8.4 g/L

MEM Eagle medium, 20% heat-inactivated horse serum, 30 mM HEPES, 13 mM D-glucose, 15 mM

$NaHCO_3$, 1 mM ascorbic acid, 2 mM $MgSO_4·7H_2O$, 1 mM $CaCl_2.4H_2O$, 0.5 mM GlutaMAX-I, and 1 mg/L

insulin (Ting et al 2018). The slice culture medium was carefully adjusted to pH 7.2-7.3, osmolality of

300-310 mOsmoles/Kg by addition of pure H_2O, sterile-filtered and stored at 4°C for up to two weeks.

Culture plates were placed in a humidified 5% CO_2 incubator at 35°C and the slice culture medium was

replaced every 2-3 days until end point analysis. 1-3 hours after brain slices were plated on cell culture

inserts, brain slices were infected by direct application of concentrated AAV viral particles over the slice

surface (Ting et al 2018).

Thy1 and Etv1 mice were deeply anesthetized by IP administration of ketamine (130 mg/kg) and

xylazine (8.8 mg/kg) mix and were perfused through the heart with chilled (2-4°C) sodium-free aCSF

consisting of (in mM): 210 Sucrose, 7 D-glucose, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 7 $MgCl_2$, 0.5

$CaCl_2$, 1.3 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate bubbled with carbogen (95% O_2/5% CO_2). Near coronal slices

300 microns thick were generated using a Leica vibratome (VT1200) in the same sodium-free aCSF

and were transferred to warmed (35°C) holding solution (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 26

$NaHCO_3$, 2 $CaCl_2$, 2 $MgCl_2$, 17 dextrose, and 1.3 sodium pyruvate bubbled with carbogen (95% O_2/5%

CO_2). After 30 minutes of recovery, the chamber holding slices was allowed to cool to room

temperature.

Patch clamp electrophysiology. Macaque, human and *Pvalb*-TdTomato mouse brain slices were placed

in a submerged, heated (32-34°C) recording chamber that was continually perfused (3-4 mL/min) with

aCSF under constant carbogenation and containing (in mM) 1): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 24

$NaHCO_3$, 12.5 glucose, 2 $CaCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ and 2 $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (pH 7.3-7.4). Slices were viewed with an

Olympus BX51WI microscope and infrared differential interference contrast (IR-DIC) optics and a 40x

water immersion objective. The infragranular layers of macaque primary motor cortex and human

premotor cortex are heavily myelinated, which makes visualization of neurons under IR-DIC virtually

impossible. To overcome this challenge, we labeled neurons using various viral constructs in

organotypic slice cultures (Extended Data Fig. 10g).

Patch pipettes (2-6 MΩ) were filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): 110.0 K-gluconate,

10.0 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 4 KCl, 0.3 Na2-GTP, 10 phosphocreatine disodium salt hydrate, 1 Mg-ATP, 20

µg/ml glycogen, 0.5U/µL RNAse inhibitor (Takara, 2313A) and 0.5% biocytin (Sigma B4261), pH 7.3.

Fluorescently labeled neurons from *Thy1* or *Etv1* mice were visualized through a 40x objective using

either Dodt contrast with a CCD camera (Hamamatsu) and/or a 2-photon imaging/ uncaging system

from Prairie (Bruker) Technologies. Recordings were made in aCSF: (in mM): 125 NaCl, 3.0 KCl, 1.25

NaH_2PO_4, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 2 $CaCl_2$, 1 MgCl2, 17 dextrose, and 1.3 sodium pyruvate bubbled with

carbogen (95% O_2/5% CO_2) at 32-35°, with synaptic inhibition blocked using 100 µM picrotoxin.

Sylgard-coated patch pipettes (3-6 MΩ) were filled with an internal solution containing (in mM): 135 K-

gluconate, 12 KCl, 11 HEPES, 4 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, 7 K_2-phosphocreatine, 4 Na_2-phophocreatine (pH

7.42 with KOH) with neurobiotin (0.1-0.2%), Alexa 594 (40 µM) and Oregon Green BAPTA 6F (100

µM).

Whole cell somatic recordings were acquired using either a Multiclamp 700B amplifier, or an AxoClamp

2B amplifier (Molecular Devices) and were digitized using an ITC-18 (HEKA). Data acquisition software

was either MIES (https://github.com/AllenInstitute/MIES/) or custom software written in Igor Pro.

Electrical signals were digitized at 20-50 kHz and filtered at 2-10 kHz. Upon attaining whole-cell current

clamp mode, the pipette capacitance was compensated and the bridge was balanced. Access

resistance was monitored throughout the recording and was 8-25 MΩ.

Data analysis. Data were analyzed using custom analysis software written in Igor Pro. All

measurements were made at resting membrane potential. Input resistance (R_N) was measured from a

series of 1 s hyperpolarizing steps from -150 pA to +50 pA in +20 pA increments. For neurons with low

input resistance (e.g. the Betz cells) this current injection series was scaled by upwards of 4x. Input

resistance (R_N) was calculated from the linear portion of the current−steady state voltage relationship

generated in response to these current injections. Resonance (f_R) was determined from the voltage

response to a constant amplitude sinusoidal current injection (Chirp stimulus). The chirp stimulus

increased in frequency either linearly from 1-20 Hz over 20 s or logarithmically from 0.2-40 Hz over 20s.

The amplitude of the Chirp was adjusted in each cell to produce a peak-to-peak voltage deflection of

~10 mV. The impedance amplitude profile (ZAP) was constructed from the ratio of the fast Fourier

transform of the voltage response to the fast Fourier transform of the current injection. ZAPs were

produced by averaging at least three presentations of the Chirp and were smoothed using a running

median smoothing function. The frequency corresponding to the peak impedance (Z_{max}) was defined as

the resonant frequency. Spike input/output curves were constructed in response to 1 s step current

injections (50 pA-500 pA in 50 pA steps). For a subset of experiments, this current injection series was

extended to 3A in 600 pA steps to probe the full dynamic range of low R_N neurons. Spike frequency

acceleration analysis was performed for current injections producing ~10 spikes during the 1 s step.

Acceleration ratio was defined as the ratio of the second to the last interspike interval. To examine the

dynamics of spike timing over longer periods, we also measured spiking in response to 10 s step

current injections in which the amplitude of the current was adjusted to produce ~5 spikes in the first

second. Action potential properties were measured for currents near rheobase. Action potential

threshold was defined as the voltage at which the first derivative of the voltage response exceeded 20

V/s. AP width was measured at half the amplitude between threshold and the peak voltage. Fast AHP

was defined relative to threshold. We clustered mouse, macaque and human pyramidal neurons into

two broad groups based on their R_N and f_R using Ward's algorithm.

Biocytin histology. A horseradish peroxidase (HRP) enzyme reaction using diaminobenzidine (DAB) as

the chromogen was used to visualize the filled cells after electrophysiological recording, and 4,6-

diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) stain was used identify cortical layers as described previously [89].

Microscopy. Mounted sections were imaged as described previously [89]. Briefly, operators captured

images on an upright AxioImager Z2 microscope (Zeiss, Germany) equipped with an Axiocam 506

monochrome camera and 0.63x optivar. Two-dimensional tiled overview images were captured with a

20X objective lens (Zeiss Plan-NEOFLUAR 20X/0.5) in brightfield transmission and fluorescence

channels. Tiled image stacks of individual cells were acquired at higher resolution in the transmission

channel only for the purpose of automated and manual reconstruction. Light was transmitted using an

oil-immersion condenser (1.4 NA). High-resolution stacks were captured with a 63X objective lens

(Zeiss Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.4 Oil or Zeiss LD LCI Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.2 Imm Corr) at an interval

of 0.28 µm (1.4 NA objective; mouse specimens) or 0.44 µm (1.2 NA objective; human and non-human

primate specimens) along the Z axis. Tiled images were stitched in ZEN software and exported as

single-plane TIFF files.

Morphological reconstruction. Reconstructions of the dendrites and the full axon were generated based

on a 3D image stack that was run through a Vaa3D-based image processing and reconstruction

pipeline as described previously [89].

Viral vector production and transduction. Recombinant AAV vectors were produced by triple-

transfection of ITR-containing enhancer plasmids along with AAV helper and rep/cap plasmids using

the AAV293 cell line, followed by harvest, purification and concentration of the viral particles. The

AAV293 packaging cell line and plasmid supplying the helper function are available from a commercial

source (Cell Biolabs). The PHP.eB capsid variant was generated by Dr. Viviana Gradinaru at the

California Institute of Technology [90] and the DNA plasmid for AAV packaging is available from Addgene

(plasmid#103005). Quality control of the packaged AAV was determined by viral titering to determine

an adequate concentration was achieved (>5E^{12} viral genomes per mL), and by sequencing the AAV

genome to confirm the identity of the viral vector that was packaged. Human and NHP L5 ET neurons

including Betz cells were targeted in cultured slices by transducing the slices with viral vectors that

either generically label neurons (AAV-hSyn1-tdTomato), or that enrich for L5 ET neurons by expressing

reporter transgene under the control of the msCRE4 enhancer [87].

<u>Processing of Patch-seq samples</u>. For a subset of experiments, the nucleus was extracted at the end of

the recording and processed for RNA-sequencing. Prior to data collection for these experiments, all

surfaces were thoroughly cleaned with RNAse Zap. The contents of the pipette were expelled into a

PCR tube containing lysis buffer (Takara, 634894). cDNA libraries were produced using the SMART-

Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit for Sequencing according to the manufacturer's instructions. We

performed reverse transcription and cDNA amplification for X PCR cycles. Sample proceeded through

Nextera NT DNA Library Preparation using Nextera XT Index Kit V2 Set A(FC-131-2001).

<u>Mapping of samples to reference taxonomies</u>. To identify which cell type a given patch-seq nuclei

mapped to, we used our previously described nearest centroid classifier [1]. Briefly, a centroid classifier

was constructed for Glutamatergic reference data (human SSv4 or macaque Cv3) using marker genes

for each cluster. Patch-seq nuclei were then mapped to the appropriate species reference 100 times,

using 80% of randomly sampled marker genes during each iteration. Probabilities for each nuclei

mapping to each cluster were computed over the 100 iterations, resulting in a confidence score ranging

from 0 to 100. We identified four human patch-seq nuclei that mapped with > 85% confidence and four

macaque nuclei that mapped with > 93% confidence to a cluster in the L5 ET subclass.

Data availability

Raw sequence data are available for download from the Neuroscience Multi-omics Archive

(https://nemoarchive.org/) and the Brain Cell Data Center (https://biccn.org/data). Visualization and

analysis tools are available at NeMO Analytics (Individual species:

https://nemoanalytics.org//index.html?layout_id=ac9863bf; Integrated species:

https://nemoanalytics.org//index.html?layout_id=34603c2b) and Cytosplore Viewer

(https://viewer.cytosplore.org/). These tools allow users to compare cross-species datasets and

consensus clusters via genome and cell browsers and calculate differential expression within and

among species. A semantic representation of the cell types defined through these studies is available in

the provisional Cell Ontology (https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PCL; Supplementary Table

1).

Code availability

998 Code to reproduce figures will be available for download from

999 https://github.com/AllenInstitute/BICCN_M1_Evo.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Tissue Procurement, Tissue Processing and Facilities teams at the Allen Institute for

Brain Science for assistance with the transport and processing of postmortem and neurosurgical brain

specimens; the Technology team at the Allen Institute for assistance with data management; M.

Vawter, J. Davis and the San Diego Medical Examiner's Office for assistance with postmortem tissue

donations. We thank Ximena Opitz-Araya and Allen Institute for Brain Science Viral Technology team

for AAV packaging. We thank Krissy Brouner, Augustin Ruiz, Tom Egdorf, Amanda Gary, Michelle

Maxwell, Alice Pom and Jasmine Bomben for biocytin staining. We thank Nadezhda Dotson, Rachel

Enstrom, Madie Hupp, Lydia Potekhina, and Shea Ransford for imaging biocytin filled cells. We thank

Lindsay Ng, Dijon Hill and Ram Rajanbabu for patching the human and mouse cells in the figure

describing chandelier neurons and Sara Kebede, Alice Mukora, Grace Willams for reconstructing them.

This work was funded by the Allen Institute for Brain Science and by the U.S. National Institutes of

Health grant U01 MH114812-02 to E.S.L. Support for the development of NS-Forest v.2 and the

provisional cell ontology was provided by the Chan–Zuckerberg Initiative DAF, an advised fund of the

Silicon Valley Community Foundation (2018-182730). G.Q. is supported by NSF CAREER award

1846559. This work was partially supported by an NWO Gravitation project: BRAINSCAPES: A

Roadmap from Neurogenetics to Neurobiology (NWO: 024.004.012) and NWO TTW project 3DOMICS

(NWO: 17126). This project was supported in part by NIH grants P51OD010425 from the Office of

Research Infrastructure Programs (ORIP) and UL1TR000423 from the National Center for Advancing

Translational Sciences (NCATS). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not

necessarily represent the official view of NIH, ORIP, NCATS, the Institute of Translational Health

Sciences or the University of Washington National Primate Research Center. This work is supported in

part by NIH BRAIN Initiative award RF1MH114126 from the National Institute of Mental Health to

E.S.L., J.T.T., and B.P.L., NIH BRAIN Initiative award U19MH121282 to J.R.E., the National Institute on

Drug Abuse award R01DA036909 to B.T., National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

award R01NS044163 to W.J.S. and the California Institute for Regenerative Medicine (GC1R-06673-

B) and the Chan Zuckerberg Initiative DAF, an advised fund of the Silicon Valley Community

Foundation (2018–182730) to R.H.S. J.R.E. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

The authors thank the Allen Institute founder, Paul G. Allen, for his vision, encouragement and support.

Author contributions

RNA data generation: A.M.Y., A.Re., A.T., B.B.L., B.T., C.D.K., C.R., C.R.P., C.S.L., D.B., D.D., D.M., E.S.L., E.Z.M., F.M.K., G.F., H.T., H.Z., J.C., J.Go., J.S., K.C., K.L., K.Si., K.Sm., K.Z., M.G., M.K., M.T., N.Dee, N.M.R., N.P., R.D.H., S.A.M., S.D., S.L., T.C., T.E.B., T.P., W.J.R. mC data generation: A.B., A.I.A., A.Ri., C.L., H.L., J.D.L., J.K.O., J.R.E., J.R.N., M.M.B., R.G.C. ATAC data generation: A.E.S., B.B.L., B.R., B.T., C.R.P., C.S.L., D.D., J.C., J.D.L., J.K.O., K.Z., L.T.G., M.M.B., N.P., S.P., W.J.R., X.H., X.W. Electrophysiology, morphology, and Patch-seq data generation: A.L.K., B.E.K., D.M., E.S.L., G.D.H., J.Go., J.T.T., K.Sm., M.T., N.Dem., P.R.N., R.D., S.A.S., S.O., T.L.D., T.P., W.J.S. Data archive and infrastructure: A.E.S., A.M., B.R.H., H.C.B., J.A.M., J.Go., J.K., J.O., M.M., O.R.W., R.H., S.A.A., S.S., Z.Y. Cytosplore Viewer software: B.P.L., B.V.L., J.E., T.H. Data analysis: A.D., B.B.L., B.D.A., B.E.K., B.P.L., B.V.L., D.D., E.A.M., E.S.L., F.M.K., F.X., H.L., J.E., J.Gi., J.Go., J.R.E., J.T.T., K.Sm., M.C., N.Dem., N.L.J., O.P., P.V.K., Q.H., R.F., R.H.S., R.Z., S.F., S.O., T.E.B., T.H., W.D., W.T., Y.E.L., Z.Y. Data interpretation: A.D., A.Re., B.B.L., B.E.K., B.T., C.K., C.L., E.S.L., F.X., H.L., H.Z., J.Gi., J.Go., J.R.E., J.T.T., M.C., M.H., M.M.B., N.Dem., N.L.J., P.R.H., P.V.K., Q.H., R.D.H., R.H.S., R.Z., S.D., S.O., T.E.B., W.T., Y.E.L., Z.Y. Writing manuscript: A.D., B.B.L., B.E.K., C.K., E.S.L., F.M.K., M.C., N.Dem., N.L.J., P.R.H., Q.H., R.H.S., T.E.B., W.J.S., W.T.

Competing interests

A.R. is an equity holder and founder of Celsius Therapeutics, a founder of Immunitas, and an SAB member in Syros Pharmaceuticals, Neogene Therapeutics, Asimov, and Thermo Fisher Scientific. B.R. is a shareholder of Arima Genomics, Inc. K.Z. is a co-founder, equity holder and serves on the Scientific Advisor Board of Singlera Genomics. P.V.K. serves on the Scientific Advisory Board to Celsius Therapeutics Inc.

Materials & Correspondence

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.S.L. and T.E.B.

Extended Data Figure 1. RNA-seq quality metrics and integration of human datasets. a,

Schematic of single-nucleus isolation from M1 of post-mortem human brain and profiling with RNA-seq.

Box in the Nissl image highlights a cluster of Betz cells in L5. **b**, Using SSv4, > 1 million total reads

were sequenced across all subclasses in human. **c-e**, Using Cv3, total unique molecular identifiers

(UMI) varies between subclasses, and these differences are shared across species. **f-i**, Gene detection

(expression > 0) is highest in human using SSv4 (**e**) and lowest for marmoset using Cv3 (**h**). Note that

the average read depth used for SSv4 was approximately 20-fold greater than for Cv3 (target 60,000

reads per nucleus). **j-k**, tSNE projections of single nuclei based on expression of several thousand

genes with variable gene expression and colored by cluster label (**j**) or donor (**k**). **l-n**, Integration of

SSv4 and Cv3 RNA-seq datasets from human single nuclei isolated from GABAergic (**l**) and

glutamatergic (**m**) neurons and non-neuronal cells (**n**). Left: UMAP visualizations colored by RNA-seq

technology, cell subclass, and unsupervised consensus clusters. Right: Confusion matrices show

membership of SSv4 and Cv3 nuclei within integrated consensus clusters.

Extended Data Figure 2. RNA-seq integration of GABAergic neurons across species. a,

Dendrogram of GABAergic neuron clusters from unsupervised clustering of integrated RNA-seq data

from human, marmoset and mouse. Edge thickness indicates the relative number of nuclei, and edge

color indicates species mixing (grey is well mixed). Major branches are labeled by subclass.

Dendrogram shown in **Figure 2f** is derived from this tree based on pruning species-specific branches.

b, Dendrograms of pairwise species integrations from **Figure 2g** with leaves labeled by cross-species

clusters and edges colored by species mixing. **c,** Cluster overlap heatmap from human-marmoset

pairwise Seurat integration showing the proportion of within-species clusters that coalesce within

integrated clusters. Columns and rows are ordered as in **Figure 2e** with cross-species consensus

clusters indicated by blue boxes. Top and left color bars indicate subclasses of within-species clusters.

d, Heatmaps showing scaled expression of the top 5 marker genes for each GABAergic cross-species

cluster, and 5 marker genes for *Lamp5* and *Sst*. Initial genes were identified by performing a Wilcox test

of every integrated cluster against every other GABAergic nuclei. Additional DEGs were identified for

Lamp5 and *Sst* cross-species clusters, by comparing one of the cross-species clusters to all other

related nuclei (e.g. *Sst*_1 against all other *Sst*). **e-f,** Heatmap of 1-vs-best MetaNeighbor scores for

GABAergic subclasses (**e**) and clusters (**f**). Each column shows the performance for a single training

group across the three test datasets. AUROCs are computed between the two closest neighbors in the

test dataset, where the closer neighbor will have the higher score, and all others are shown in gray

(NA). For example, in **e** the first column contains results of training on human *Lamp5*, labeled with

numbers to indicate test datasets, where 1 is human, 2 is marmoset and 3 is mouse, and letters to

indicate closest (**a**) and second-closest (**b**) neighboring groups. Dark red 3x3 blocks along the diagonal

indicate high transcriptomic similarity across all three species. **g**, Scatter plot of MetaNeighbor analysis

showing the performance (AUROC) of gene sets to classify GABAergic neuron consensus types by

training with human or marmoset data and testing with the other species (Cross-Primate, y-axis) or

training with primate data and testing with mouse (Primate-Mouse, x-axis). Gene set size and type are

indicated by point size and color, respectively. **h**, Histogram of the relative difference in isoform genic

proportion (P) between human and mouse for all subclass comparisons. All moderately to highly

expressed isoforms were included (gene TPM > 10 in both species; isoform TPM > 10 and proportion >

0.2 in either species). Vertical lines indicate >9-fold change in mouse or human. **i**, Proportion of all

isoforms in **h** that switch between species (FDR P < 0.05; >9-fold change in P) summarized by

subclass and grouped by cell class. **j**, Comparison between species of isoform genic proportions for the

top three most common isoforms of Chimerin 2 (*CHN2*) expressed in the L5/6 NP subclass. Genome

browser tracks of RNA-seq (SSv4) reads in human and mouse at the *CHN2* locus.

Extended Data Figure 3. Glutamatergic neuron cell type homology across species. a, UMAP

visualization of integrated snRNA-seq data from human, marmoset, and mouse glutamatergic neurons.

Highlighted colors indicate subclass. **b,** Venn diagrams indicating number of shared DEGs across

species by subclass. DEGs determined by ROC test of subclass against all other glutamatergic

subclasses within a species. **c,** Heatmap of all DEGs from **b** ordered by subclass and species

enrichment. Heatmap shows expression scaled by column for up to 50 randomly sampled nuclei from

each subclass for each species. **d,** UMAP visualization of integrated snRNA-seq data with projected

nuclei split by species. Colors indicate different within-species clusters. **e,** Cluster overlap heatmap

showing the proportion of within-species clusters that coalesce with a given integrated cross-species

cluster. Cross-species clusters are labelled and indicated by blue boxes with human-marmoset overlap

shown to the left and human-mouse overlap shown to the right. Top and left axes indicate the subclass

of a given within-species cluster by color. Bottom axis indicates marmoset (left) and mouse (right)

within species clusters. Right axis shows the glutamatergic branch of the human dendrogram from

Figure 1c. **f,** Dendrogram of glutamatergic neuron cross-species clusters. **g,** Unpruned dendrogram of

glutamatergic neuron clusters from unsupervised clustering of integrated RNA-seq data. Edge

thickness indicates the relative number of nuclei, and edge color indicates species mixing. Major

branches are labeled by subclass. **h**, Bar plots quantifying the number of well-mixed clusters from

unsupervised clustering of pairwise species integrations. Significant differences (adjusted P < 0.05,

Tukey's HSD test) between species are indicated for each subclass. **i,** Scatter plot of MetaNeighbor

analysis showing the performance (AUROC) of gene sets to classify glutamatergic neuron consensus

types by training with human or marmoset data and testing with the other species (Cross-Primate, y-

axis) or training with primate data and testing with mouse (Primate-Mouse, x-axis). Gene set size and

type are indicated by point size and color, respectively. **j,** Heatmaps showing scaled expression of

marker genes for each glutamatergic cross-species cluster. The top 5 marker genes for each cross-

species cluster are shown, with an additional 5 genes for L5 ET, L5 IT, and L6 IT. Initial genes were

identified by performing a Wilcox test of every integrated cluster against every other glutamatergic

nuclei. Additional DEGs were identified for L5 ET, L5 IT, and L6 IT cross-species clusters, by

comparing one of the cross-species clusters to all other related nuclei (e.g. L5 IT_1 against all other L5

IT). **k**, **l,** Heatmap of 1-vs-best MetaNeighbor scores for glutamatergic subclasses (**k**) and clusters (**l**).

Results are displayed as in **Extended Data Fig. 2e,f**.

Extended Data Figure 4. Non-neuronal cell type homology across species. a, UMAP plots of

integrated RNA-seq data for non-neuronal nuclei, colored by species and within-species clusters. Note

that some cell types are present in only one or two species. **b**, UMAP of mouse oligodendrocyte

precursors and mature cells showing expression levels of marker genes for different stages of cell

maturation. **c**, Heatmaps of the proportion of nuclei in each species-specific cluster that overlap in the

integrated RNA-seq analysis. Blue boxes define homologous cell types that can be resolved across all

three species. Arrows highlight clusters that overlap between two species and are not detected in the

third species, due to differences in sampling depth of non-neuronal cells, relative abundances of cell

types between species, or evolutionary divergence. **d**, Conserved marker genes for homologous cell

types across species. **e**, Pairwise comparisons between species of log-transformed gene expression of

the Astro_1 type. Colored points correspond to significantly differentially expressed (DE) genes (FDR <

0.01, log-fold change > 2). r, Spearman correlation. **f**, Fibrous astrocyte in situ validation. Violin plots of

marker genes of human astrocyte clusters that correspond to fibrous, interlaminar, and protoplasmic

types based on in situ labeling of types. Left ISH: Fibrous astrocytes located in the white matter (WM,

top) and a subset of L1 (bottom) astrocytes express the Astro L1-6 *FGFR3 AQP1* marker gene *TNC*.

Middle ISH: Image of putative varicose projection astrocyte located in cortical L5 adjacent to a blood

vessel (bv) and extending long GFAP-labeled processes (white arrows) does not express the marker

gene *TNC*. The white dashed box indicates the area shown at higher magnification in the top right

panel. Likewise, the L3 protoplasmic astrocyte shown in the bottom right panel does not express *TNC*.

g, Combined GFAP immunohistochemistry and RNAscope FISH for markers of L1 astrocytes in

human, mouse, and marmoset. In human (top), pial and subpial interlaminar astrocytes are labeled with

AQP4 and *ID3* and extend long processes from L1 down to L3. In marmoset (middle), both pial and

subpial L1 astrocytes express *AQP4* and *GRIK2* and extend GFAP-labeled processes through L1 that

terminate before reaching L2. An image of a marmoset protoplasmic astrocyte located in L3 shows that

this astrocyte type does not express the marker gene *GRIK2*. A subset of marmoset fibrous astrocytes

located in the white matter (WM) express *GRIK2*, suggesting that fibrous and L1 astrocytes have a

shared gene expression signature as shown in human [2]. L1 astrocytes in mouse (bottom) consist of pial

and subpial types that differ morphologically but are characterized by their expression of the genes

Aqp4 and *Id3*. Pial astrocytes in mouse extend short Gfap-labeled processes that terminate within L1

whereas mouse subpial astrocytes appear to extend processes predominantly toward the pial surface.

Protoplasmic astrocytes (example shown in L5) do not express *Id3*, whereas fibrous astrocytes in

mouse share expression of *Id3* with L1 astrocyte types. Inset images outlined with white dashed boxes

illustrate cells in each of the accompanying images at higher magnification to show RNAscope spots for

each gene labeled. Scale bars, 20 μm. **h**, Violin plots of marker genes of oligodendrocyte lineage

clusters in human. Transcripts detected in the Oligo L2−6 *OPALIN MAP6D1* cluster include genes

expressed almost exclusively in neuronal cells. Scale bars, 20 μm. **i**, Left: Inverted DAPI image

showing a column of cortex labeled with markers of the human Oligo L2-6 *OPALIN MAP6D1* type. Red

dots show cells triple labeled with *SOX10*, *NPTX1*, and *ST18*. Top right: Examples of cells labeled with

marker gene combinations specific for the human Oligo L2-6 *OPALIN MAP6D1* type. Bottom right:

Example of a marmoset cell labeled with the marker genes *OLIG2* and *NRXN3*. Scale bars, 20 μm.

Extended Data Figure 5. SNARE-seq2 transcriptomic profiling resolves M1 cell types. **a-b**, FACS

gating parameters used for sorting human and marmoset single nuclei (**a**) that were used for SNARE-

seq2 as outlined in (**b**), to generate both RNA and accessible chromatin (AC) libraries having the same

cell barcodes. **c**, Dot plot showing averaged marker gene expression values (log scale) and proportion

expressed for clusters identified in a preliminary analysis of SNARE-seq2 RNA using Pagoda2. **d**,

Correlation heatmap of averaged scaled gene expression values for Pagoda2 clusters against SSv4

clusters from the same M1 region. **e**, Jaccard similarity plot for cell barcodes grouped according to

Pagoda2 clustering compared against the predicted SSv4 consensus clustering. **f-k**, Overview of AC-

level cluster assignment using RNA-defined clusters indicating the five main steps of the process. **f**,

Consensus clusters visualized by UMAP on RNA expression data and that were used to independently

call peaks from AC data. **g**, Histograms showing maximum prediction scores for consensus cluster

(top) and subclass (bottom) labels from RNA data to corresponding accessibility data (cicero gene

activities). **h**, Consensus cluster peaks, as well as those identified from subclass and class level

barcode groupings, were combined and the corresponding peak by cell barcode matrix was used to

predict gene activity scores using Cicero for integrative RNA/AC analyses. UMAP shows joint

embedding of RNA and imputed AC expression values using Seurat/Signac. **i**, UMAP showing clusters

identified from the joint embedding (**h**). **j**, Jaccard similarity plot comparing cell barcodes either grouped

according to RNA consensus clustering or joint RNA/AC clustering (**i**). RNA consensus clusters were

merged to best match the cluster resolution achieved from co-embedded clusters. Chromatin peak

counts generated from peak calling independently on consensus, AC-level, subclass, and class

barcode groupings were used to generate a final peak by cell barcode matrix. **k**, Final AC-level clusters

visualized using UMAP.

Extended Data Figure 6. SNARE-Seq2 quality statistics. a-b, Correlation heatmaps of average

scaled gene expression values against average scaled Cicero gene activity values for consensus

clusters (**a**) and AC-level clusters (**b**). **c**, UMAP plots showing human AC-level clusters for both RNA

and chromatin data, as well as the corresponding patient and experiment identities for the RNA

embeddings. **d**, Bar, violin and box plots for human AC-level clusters showing proportion contributed by

each experiment or patient, mean UMI and genes detected from the RNA data, the mean peaks and

cicero active genes detected from AC data, the fraction of reads found in promoters for AC data, and

the number of nuclei making up each of the clusters. **e**, UMAP plots showing marmoset AC-level

clusters for both RNA and chromatin data, as well as the corresponding patient and library identities for

the RNA embeddings. **f**, Bar, violin and box plots for marmoset AC-level clusters showing proportion

contributed by each library or patient, mean UMI and genes detected from the RNA data, the mean

peaks and cicero active genes detected from AC data, the fraction of reads found in promoters for AC

data, and the number of nuclei making up each of the clusters.

Extended Data Figure 7. DNA-methylation cell type and integration with RNA-seq data. a-b,

UMAP visualization of marmoset M1 and mouse MOp DNA methylation (snmC-seq2) data and cell

clusters. **c-e,** Mapping between DNAm-seq and RNA-seq clusters from human (**c**), marmoset (**d**), and

mouse (**e**). Number of nuclei in each cluster are listed in parentheses. **f,** Numbers of hypo- and hyper-

methylated DMRs and overlap with chromatin accessible peaks in each subclass of human. **g,**

Numbers of chromatin accessible peaks and overlap with DMRs in each subclass of human.

Extended Data Figure 8. TFBS enrichment analysis on hypo-methylated DMRs at subclass level

show conservativity of gene regulation across species. Motif enrichment analysis of TFBS were

conducted using JASPAR's non-redundant core vertebrata TF motifs for neuronal subclasses in each

species. Each subclass tri-column shows the results of human, marmoset and mouse, respectively

from left to right. The size of a dot denotes the p-value of the corresponding motif, while the color

denotes the fold change. The rightmost two columns show TF clusters (cl) identified from motif profiles

and TF family (fam) identified from TF structures.

Extended Data Figure 9. Cell type homologies between human cortical areas based on RNA-seq

integration. a, Heatmap of glutamatergic neuron cluster overlap between M1 and MTG. **b**, Heatmaps

of glutamatergic neuron cluster overlap for M1 and MTG test datasets. Clusters were split in half and

two datasets were integrated using the same analysis pipeline as the M1 and MTG integration. Most

clusters mapped correctly (along the diagonal) with some loss in resolution between closely related

clusters (red blocks). **c**, tSNE plots of integrated glutamatergic neurons labeled with M1 and MTG

clusters. **d-g**, Cluster overlap heatmaps and tSNE plots of integrations of GABAergic neurons (**d**, **e**)

and non-neuronal cells (**f**, **g**), as described for glutamatergic neurons.

Extended Data Figure 10. Cross-species alignment of glutamatergic neurons and differences in

L5 neuron spike trains and single spike properties. a, b, UMAP visualizations of cross-species

integration of snRNA-seq data for glutamatergic neurons isolated from human, macaque (L5 dissection

only), marmoset, and mouse. Colors indicate species (**a**) or cell subclass (**b**). **c**, Cluster overlap

heatmap showing the proportion of nuclei from within-species clusters that are mixed within the same

integrated clusters. Human clusters (rows) are ordered by the dendrogram reproduced from **Figure 1c**.

Macaque clusters (columns) are ordered to align with human clusters. Color bars at top and left indicate

subclasses of within-species clusters. Blue box denotes the L5 ET subclass. **d,** Dendrogram showing

all macaque clusters from L5 dissection with subclasses denoted to the right. **e,** Violin plot showing

expression of marker genes for human L5 ET neuron subtypes. **f,** Two examples of ISH labeled, SMI-

32 IF stained Betz cells in L5 of human M1 that correspond to the L5 ET cluster Exc L3-5 *FEZF2*

ASGR2. Insets show higher magnification of ISH-labeled transcripts in corresponding cells. Scale bars,

20 μm. Asterisks mark lipofuscin. **g,** Example IR-DIC (top) and fluorescent (bottom) images obtained

from a macaque organotypic slice culture. Note the inability to visualize the fluorescently labeled

neurons in IR-DIC because of dense myelination. **h**, patch-seq involves the collection of morphological,

physiological and transcriptomic data from the same neuron. Following electrophysiological recording

and cell filling with biocytin via whole cell patch clamp, the contents of the cell are aspirated and

processed for RNA-sequencing. This permits a transcriptomic cell type to be pinned on the

physiologically-probed neuron. **i**, Example voltage responses to a 1 s, 500 pA step current injection. **j.**

Action potentials as a function of current injection amplitude. Primate ET neurons display shallowest

action potential-current injection relationship, perhaps partially because of their exceptionally low input

resistance. **k**, Voltage responses to a 1 s, 3 nA step current injection. **l**, Action potentials as a function

of current injection for a subset of experiments in which current injection amplitude was increased

incrementally to 3 nA. While both mouse and primate ET neurons could sustain high firing rates,

primate neurons required 3 nA of current over 1s to reach similar average firing rates as mouse ET

neurons. **m**, Example voltage responses to 1 s depolarizing step current injections. The amplitude of

the current injection was adjusted to produce ~10 spikes. Also shown are voltage responses to a

hyperpolarizing current injection. **n**, The firing rate of primate ET and IT neurons decreased during the 1

s step current injection, whereas, the firing rate of mouse ET neurons increased. Acceleration

ratio=2nd/last interspike interval. **o**, Example single action potentials (above) and phase plane plots

(below). **p**, Various action potential features are plotted as a function of cell type. Notably, action

potentials in primate ET neurons were reminiscent of fast spiking interneurons in that they were shorter

and more symmetrical compared with action potentials in other neuron types/species. Intriguingly, K^+

channel subunits $K_v3.1$ and $K_v3.2$ that are implicated in fast spiking physiology[91] are encoded by highly

expressed genes (*KCNC1* and *KCNC2*) in primate ET neurons (Fig. 7c) * p < 0.05, Bonferroni

corrected t-test.

Specimen ID	Age	Sex	Race	Cause of Death	PMI (hr)	Tissue RIN	Hemisphere Sampled	Data Type
H200.1023	43	F	Iranian descent	Mitral valve prolapse	18.5	7.4 ± 0.7	L	SSv4
H200.1025	50	M	Caucasian	Cardiovascular	24.5	7.6 ± 1.0	L	SSv4
H200.1030	54	M	Caucasian	Cardiovascular	25	7.7 ± 0.8	L	SSv4
H18.30.001	60	F	Unknown	Car accident	18	7.9 ± 2.5	R	SSv4, Cv3, SNARE-seq2, sn-methlyome
H18.30.002	50	M	Unknown	Cardiovascular	10	8.2 ± 0.4	R	SSv4, Cv3, SNARE-seq2, snmC-seq2

286 **Extended Data Table 1.** Summary of human tissue donors. RIN, RNA integrity number. Data type:

287 SMART-Seqv4 (SSv4), 10x Genomics Chromium Single Cell 3' Kit v3 (Cv3), Single-Nucleus Chromatin

288 Accessibility and mRNA Expression sequencing (SNARE-seq2), Single-nucleus methylcytosine

289 sequencing (snmC-seq2).

Specimen ID	Age (years)	Sex	Data Type
bi005	2.3	M	Cv3
bi006	3.1	F	Cv3
bi003	1.9	M	FISH

291 **Extended Data Table 2.** Summary of marmoset specimens. Data type: 10x Genomics Chromium

292 Single Cell 3' Kit v3 (Cv3). ACD Bio multiplex fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH).

Supplementary Table legends

Supplementary Table 1. Provisional cell ontology (pCL) terms for human, mouse, and marmoset

primary motor cortex cell types. Column headers are described as follows: pCL_id is a unique

alphanumeric identifier assigned to each provisional cell type. CL_id is the Cell Ontology (CL) identifier

for those parent cell type classes already represented in CL. pCL_name and Transcriptome data

cluster are labels given according to each species naming convention that combines information about

cortical layer enrichment and genes expressed in data cluster transcriptomes. TDC_id is a unique

identifier assigned to the transcriptome data cluster. The part_of (uberon_id) and part_of

(uberon_name) columns contain unique identifiers and names for tissue anatomic regions from which

the experiment specimen was derived, in this case primary motor cortex. The is_a (CL or pCL_id) and

is_a (CL or pCL_name) columns contain parent cell type or provisional cell type identifiers and names,

respectively. Cluster_size indicates the number of single-nucleus or cell transcriptomes that were

assigned membership to the transcriptome data cluster. Marker_gene_evidence indicates the number

of marker genes that are necessary and sufficient to define the transcriptome cell type data cluster with

maximal classification accuracy based on the NS-Forest v2.1 algorithm (see Supplementary Tables 4-

6). F-measure_evidence is the f-beta score of classification accuracy from the NS-Forest v2.1 algorithm

using the marker genes listed. The selectively_expresses column lists the minimum set of marker

genes necessary and sufficient to define the transcriptome cell type data cluster. The definition brings

together features to form a data driven ontological representation for each cell type cluster. The pCL

annotations are available at https://github.com/mkeshk2018/Provisional_Cell_Ontology and

https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/PCL.

Supplementary Table 2. Cluster annotations for human, marmoset, and mouse in separate

worksheets. Cluster_label column identifies the RNA-seq cluster within each species. Cluster_size

column denotes the number of nuclei that reside within each cluster (cluster_label). Class column

identifies which cell class each cluster belongs to. Subclass column identifies which cell subclass each

cluster belongs to. Cross-species cluster column indicates the cross-species consensus cluster

taxonomy. DNAm_cluster_label column identifies the transcriptomic cluster (cluster_label) that is

aligned to DNAm-determined clusters. ATAC_cluster label column identifies the transcriptomic cluster

(cluster_label) that is aligned to ATAC-determined clusters.

Supplementary Table 3. Application of Allen Institute nomenclature schema to mouse, marmoset, and

human M1 taxonomies. The "taxonomy_ids" tab lists ids and descriptions for the 11 taxonomies

included and which tab those taxonomies are shown on. The "preferred_aliases" tab shows a list of

preferred aliases for linking between taxonomies, as well as descriptions for these. The next five tabs

show nomenclatures for each of the taxonomies and have the following column headers: "tree_order" is

the order shown in the tree (if any); "cell_set_alias", "cell_set_label", and "cell_set_accession" are

unique identifiers, as described in the Allen Institute nomenclature page (https://portal.brain-

map.org/explore/classes/nomenclature), with "cell_set_alias" including the names used in this

manuscript; "cell_set_preferred_alias" indicates which clusters correspond to the "preferred_alias"es

from the previous tab, if any; "cell_set_alias_integrated" shows linkages between single species

transcriptomics taxonomies and the integrated taxonomy; "cell_set_labels_CS191213#" columns

indicate linkages between cell sets in the transcriptomics and other modalities within a single species;

"cell_set_descriptor" shows the type of cell set (or level of ontology); and "taxonomy_id" links to the

"taxonomy_id" tab. Finally, the "Cell class hierarchy" tab shows the ordered class, level2, and subclass

hierarchy and associated colors used as cell sets in previous tabs.

Supplementary Table 4. NS-Forest v2.1 was used to determine cell type cluster marker genes for all

annotated levels of the human primary motor cortex cell type taxonomy defined by RNA-seq (Cv3).

"clusterName" corresponds to the annotation label, either a cell type cluster name or a parent cell type

class in the taxonomy. "markerCount" gives the optimal number of marker genes in the set that best

discriminates the label. The "f-measure" column gives the f-beta score for classification using the set of

markers. The next four columns "True Negative", "False Positive", "False Negative", "True Positive" give

the confusion matrix for the label given the set of markers. Finally, "Marker 1-5" lists the gene symbols

corresponding to the optimal set of markers.

Supplementary Table 5. NS-Forest v2.1 was used to determine cell type cluster marker genes for all

annotated levels of the mouse primary motor cortex cell type taxonomy defined by RNA-seq (Cv3).

"clusterName" corresponds to the annotation label, either a cell type cluster name or a parent cell type

class in the taxonomy. "markerCount" gives the optimal number of marker genes in the set that best

discriminates the label. The "f-measure" column gives the f-beta score for classification using the set of

markers. The next four columns "True Negative", "False Positive", "False Negative", "True Positive" give

the confusion matrix for the label given the set of markers. Finally, "Marker 1-5" lists the gene symbols

corresponding to the optimal set of markers.

Supplementary Table 6. NS-Forest v2.1 was used to determine cell type cluster marker genes for all

annotated levels of the marmoset primary motor cortex cell type taxonomy defined by RNA-seq (Cv3).

"clusterName" corresponds to the annotation label, either a cell type cluster name or a parent cell type

class in the taxonomy. "markerCount" gives the optimal number of marker genes in the set that best

discriminates the label. The "f-measure" column gives the f-beta score for classification using the set of

markers. The next four columns "True Negative", "False Positive", "False Negative", "True Positive" give

the confusion matrix for the label given the set of markers. Finally, "Marker 1-5" lists the gene symbols

corresponding to the optimal set of markers.

Supplementary Table 7. DEGs determined by ROC test between each GABAergic neuron subclass

and all other GABAergic nuclei within each species. Columns are labeled myAUC, which contains AUC

scores > 0.7; avg_diff, which contains difference in expression between target subclass and all other

GABAergic neurons; power; pct.1, which indicates the percent of nuclei that express the gene in the

target cluster; pct.2, which indicates the percent of non-target nuclei that express the gene; cluster,

which denotes the target cluster; gene, indicating the gene that was identified as DE; and species,

which indicates the species the test was performed in.

Supplementary Table 8. List of DEGs (from Supplementary Table 7) that is sorted according to the

order the genes appear within the heatmap.

Supplementary Table 9. Supervised MetaNeighbor results, within- and across-species. Each row

corresponds to a unique entry for a given gene set and a given cell class, either Glutamatergic or

GABAergic. The first five columns provide information about the gene sets, namely their provenance

(SynGO or HGNC); numerical IDs; descriptive labels; manual classifications for plotting and

interpretation; and finally the number of genes included in the analysis (after subsetting to genes with 1-

1 orthologs across all three species). The sixth column indicates cell class. The remaining columns

contain MetaNeighbor AUROCs for various analyses: within_species_meanROC (column 7) provides

the mean of within-mouse (column 8), within-marmoset (column 9) and within-human (column 10)

performance. For each species, tests were run with random 3-fold cross-validation, and the average

across folds is reported. Columns 11 and 12 contain results from cross-species analyses, detailed in

the methods. Results are sorted by their AUROC across primates (column 12).

Supplementary Table 10. DEGs determined by ROC test between each glutamatergic neuron

subclass and all other glutamatergic nuclei within each species. Columns are labeled myAUC, which

contains AUC scores > 0.7; avg_diff, which contains difference in expression between target subclass

and all other glutamatergic neurons; power; pct.1, which indicates the percent of nuclei that express the

gene in the target cluster; pct.2, which indicates the percent of non-target nuclei that express the gene;

cluster, which denotes the target cluster; gene, indicating the gene that was identified as DE; and

species, which indicates the species the test was performed in.

Supplementary Table 11. List of DEGs (from Supplementary Table 10) that is sorted according to the

order the genes appear within the heatmap.

Supplementary Table 12. Average expression of isoforms in human and mouse subclasses and

estimates of isoform genic proportions (P) based on the ratio of isoform to gene expression. Isoforms

were included if they had at least moderate expression (TPM > 10) and P > 0.2 in either human or

mouse and at least moderate gene expression (TPM > 10) in both species.

Supplementary Table 13. SNARE-Seq2 metadata, cluster annotations and quality statistics. Tab 14a

indicates SNARE-Seq2 experiment level metadata (experiment name, library, patient, species,

purification, age, sex) and mapping statistics for RNA (mean UMI detected, mean genes detected) and

AC (mean fraction of reads in promoters or FRiP, mean uniquely mapped fragments grouped by 5000

base pair chromosomal bins, mean unique fragment counts per final peak locations, total number of

final nuclei). Tab 14b indicates the SNARE-Seq2 local RNA clusters for human M1 generated using

Pagoda2 (local cluster, annotated cluster name, broad cell type and abbreviation, k value used for

Pagoda2 clustering, broad cell type markers, level 1 and level2 classes and associated markers,

unique cluster markers). Tabs 14c-d indicates SNARE-Seq2 consensus or harmonized RNA and AC-

Level cluster annotations for human and marmoset M1, respectively, including annotated cluster name,

cluster order, associated subclass and class, and the number of datasets making up the clusters. Tabs

14e-f lists all metadata outlined in tabs 14a-d for all SNARE-Seq2 cell barcodes from human and

marmoset M1 samples, respectively.

Supplementary Table 14. SNARE-Seq2 differentially accessible regions for human and marmoset M1.

Tabs 15a and 15b show SNARE-Seq2 differentially accessible regions (DARs, q value < 0.001, log-fold

change > 1) identified by AC-Level clusters (15a) or subclass level (15b) for human M1, indicating for

each chromosomal location the p value (hypergeometric test), q value (Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p

value), log-fold change and associated cluster or subclass. Tab 15b shows subclass DARs (q value <

0.001, log-fold change > 1) for marmoset subclasses as in tab 15b. Tab 15d shows a summarization of

human and marmoset DARs detected by matched subclasses, indicating actual number of DARs

detected (tabs 15b and 15c) and the values normalized to cluster size and total number of DARs

detected per species.

Supplementary Table 15. Cis-co-accessible sites, TF motif enrichments and differential TFBS

activities for human and marmoset M1. Tab 16a (human M1) and 16b (marmoset M1) show cis-

coaccessible network (CCAN) sites for subclass distinct markers genes (Wilcoxon Rank Sum test,

adjusted P < 0.05, average log-fold change > 0.5). pct.1 indicates the percent of nuclei that express the

gene in the target cluster, pct.2 indicates the percent of non-target nuclei that express the gene. For

each cluster and marker gene, corresponding motif enrichment values (hypergeometric test) for gene-

associated CCAN sites are shown ("observed" indicates number of features containing the motif,

"background" indicates the total number of features from a random selection of 40000 features that

contain the motif), and the motif associated differential chromVAR activity values identified using

logistic regression. The full list of chromVAR differentially active TFBS activities are also provided. Tab

16c summarizes the number of CCAN-associated marker genes, associated TFBSs enriched and or

active by subclass for both human and marmoset M1. Tabs 16d and 16e show cis-co-accessible sites,

TFBS enrichments and differential activities by AC-level clusters for human and marmoset M1,

respectively, similar to that provided in tabs 16a and 16b. Tab 16f shows chromVAR differentially active

TFBS activities by consensus or harmonized cluster using logistic regression. Tabs 16g, 16h, and 16i

show cis-co-accessible sites, TF motif enrichments and differential TFBS activities for human,

marmoset and mouse M1 ChCs compared against BCs.

Supplementary Table 16. snmC-seq2 metadata. The table shows experiment level metadata,

including species, sample name, gender, purification information, experiment nuclei numbers and pass-

QC nuclei numbers.

Supplementary Table 17. Subclass TFBS enrichment results. TFBS enrichment analysis was done

with AME [78] using JASPAR2020 motifs . Within a species, hypo-methylated DMRs in each subclass

were tested against hypo-methylated DMRs of all the other subclasses (background). DMRs and 250bp

around regions were used in the analysis. This table includes p-values and effect sizes (log2(TP/FP)) of

the analysis results.

Supplementary Table 18. Subclass TFBS enrichment at TF cluster level. TFs in SI Tab 18 were

grouped using clusters defined in Ref [42]. The table lists the most significant p-values and the largest

effect size of each TF cluster group.

Supplementary Table 19. DEGs determined by ROC test between chandelier cells and basket cells

within each species. Columns are labeled as species, with true/false values indicating if a gene was

enriched in chandelier cells for that species.

Supplementary Table 20. DEGs determined by ROC test between L5 ET subclass and L5 IT subclass

within each species. Columns are labeled as species, with values of 1 indicating a gene was enriched

in the L5 ET subclass for that species. A value of 0 indicates that the gene was not enriched in the L5

ET subclass for that species.

Supplementary Table 21. Genes with expression enrichment in L5 ET versus L5 IT that decreases

with evolutionary distance from human (human > macaque > marmoset > mouse). Columns are labeled

by species, and values indicate the log-fold change between L5 ET and L5 IT for that species. Genes

were included if they had a minimum log-fold change equal to 0.5 in human.