**Biological characterization of Euscelidius variegatus iflavirus 1**

Sara Ottati[a,b,1], Alberto Persico[a,b,1], Marika Rossi[a], Domenico Bosco[b], Marta Vallino[a], Simona

Abbà[a], Giulia Molinatto[a,b], Sabrina Palmano[a], Raffaella Balestrini[a], Luciana Galetto[a,*], Cristina

Marzachì[a]

[a]Istituto per la Protezione Sostenibile delle Piante, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, IPSP-

CNR, Strada delle Cacce 73 10135, Torino, Italy

[b]Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali ed Alimentari DISAFA, Università degli Studi di

Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy

sara.ottati@ipsp.cnr.it

albertopersico@hotmail.it

marika.rossi@ipsp.cnr.it

domenico.bosco@unito.it

marta.vallino@ipsp.cnr.it

simona.abba@ipsp.cnr.it

giulia.molinatto@ipsp.cnr.it

sabrina.palmano@ipsp.cnr.it

raffaella.balestrini@ipsp.cnr.it

cristina.marzachi@ipsp.cnr.it

* Corresponding author

*E-mail address*: luciana.galetto@ipsp.cnr.it

[1] Equal first author

**Abstract**

Virus-based biocontrol technologies represent sustainable alternatives to pesticides and

insecticides. Phytoplasmas are prokaryotic plant pathogens causing severe losses to crops

worldwide. Novel approaches are needed since insecticides against their insect vectors and

rogueing of infected plants are the only available strategies to counteract phytoplasma

diseases. A new iflavirus, named EVV-1, has been described in the leafhopper phytoplasma

vector *Euscelidius variegatus*, raising the potential to use virus-based application strategies

against phytoplasma disease. Here transmission routes of EVV-1 are characterized, and

localization within the host reveals the mechanism of insect tolerance to virus infection. Both

vertical and horizontal transmission of EVV-1 occur and vertical transmission was more

efficient. The virus is systemic and occurs in all life-stages, with the highest loads measured in

ovaries and first to third instar nymphs. The basic knowledge gained here on the biology of

the virus is crucial for possible future application of iflaviruses as biocontrol agents.

***Keywords***

Leafhopper, phytoplasma vector, insect virus, vertical transmission, horizontal transmission

**1. Introduction**

The use of next generation sequencing in the last few years allowed the discovery of

many novel viruses in arthropods (Dong et al., 2018; dos Santos et al., 2019; O'Brien et al.,

2018). In particular, this technology proved crucial for the identification of covert viruses,

those that do not cause overtly pathological effects on their hosts (Nouri et al., 2018). Of the

newly discovered virus types, positive-sense RNA viruses are dominant (Bonning, 2020).

Among them, iflaviruses (Iflaviridae) form a distinct group in the order *Picornavirales*, (Valles

et al., 2017). Effects of some of these viruses include developmental anomalies (Li et al., 2019)

behavioural alterations (Wells et al., 2016), tissue pathologies (Brettell et al., 2017), and

premature death (Geng et al., 2017) of the insect host, while others do not cause any evident

symptoms (dos Santos et al., 2019; Murakami et al., 2013). Transmission modalities of these

viruses have been explored, leading to a multifaceted infection model. Horizontal

transmission (oral route) is the most efficient means of spread of some species, for example

Nilaparvata lugens honeydew virus-1 of the brown leafhopper (Murakami et al., 2013),

Helicoverpa armigera iflavirus (Yuan et al., 2017), and Nora Virus of the cotton bollworm

(Yang et al., 2019), and Pyrrhocoris apterus virus 1 in red firebugs (Vinokurov and Koloniuk,

2019). In contrast, Antheraea pernyi Vomit Disease of Chinese oak silkmoth (Geng et al.,

2017) and Spodoptera exigua iflaviruses-1 and 2 of the beet armyworm (Virto et al., 2014)

appear to be primarily spread by vertical transmission. The Deformed Wing Virus (DWV) of

the honeybee is transmitted both horizontally and vertically, and by *Varroa destructor* mite as

vector (Chen et al., 2006; Yue and Genersch, 2005).

The genomic features, phylogenetic analysis, and prevalence of a new member of the

family *Iflaviridae*, Euscelidius variegatus virus 1 (EVV-1), were previously reported (Abbà et

al., 2017) as an additional result obtained during the *de novo* assembly of transcriptome from

the hemipteran leafhopper *Euscelidius variegatus* Kirschbaum (Galetto et al., 2018). EVV-1 did

not induce any evident symptoms in the *E. variegatus* population surveyed but, when

laboratory-reared, produced infections of 100% prevalence (Abbà et al., 2017). EVV-1 forms

non-enveloped, icosahedral particles, and the ssRNA(+) genome encodes a single polyprotein

of 3132 amino acids, which is post-translationally processed.

*Euscelidius variegatus* (Cicadellidae: Deltocephalinae) is a palearctic, multivoltine and

polyphagous species, widespread in Europe and North America. This species is economically

important because it is a natural vector of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris' (chrysanthemum

yellows strain) and a laboratory vector of the Flavescence dorée phytoplasma (reviewed in

EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH), 2014. Phytoplasmas are plant-pathogenic bacteria that

cause severe symptoms in affected plants, leading to heavy economic losses of many crops

worldwide (Tomkins et al., 2018). In particular, Flavescence dorée phytoplasma of grapevine

is a quarantine pathogen, which strongly limits European viticulture (EFSA Panel on Plant

Health (PLH), 2014). Phytoplasmas are obligate parasites with a dual life cycle, infecting

phloem of host plant as well as the body of the insect vector. Several lines of evidence indicate

that interactions between phytoplasmas and vector host cells are very strict and may regulate

transmission ability (reviewed in Rossi et al., 2019). Molecular relationships between

pathogens and insect hosts contribute to determining phytoplasma transmission specificity

and plant susceptibility, as well as feeding behaviour, ecological dispersal and plant host

range vectors (Bosco and D'Amelio, 2010). Insects play a key role in phytoplasma

epidemiology and, therefore, the main control strategies to limit these pathogens are

insecticide treatments against the vector species (Tomkins et al., 2018).

The urgent need for more targeted and sustainable pest management approaches in

fighting phytoplasma diseases includes the exploration for alternative biocontrol agents such

as insect viruses. Many fundamental biological features of EVV-1 were unknown, impairing

potential applications of this agent in biocontrol strategies or as a virus-induced gene

silencing (VIGS) vector. To fill the gap of knowledge about EVV-1 biology, we investigated the

presence of EVV-1 virus in different developmental stages and organs of *E. variegatus*, as well

as both horizontal and vertical transmission of this iflavirus among insect populations.

## 2. Materials and methods

*2.1 Insects*

*Euscelidius variegatus* in the Turin laboratory colonies were originally collected in

Piedmont Italy and reared on oats, *Avena sativa* (L.), inside plastic and nylon cages in growth

chambers at 20–25 °C, L16:D8 photoperiod. A French population of *E. variegatus* was kindly

provided by Dr. Xavier Foissac (INRA, UMR1332 Biologie du Fruit et Pathologie, Bordeaux,

France) and reared in Turin laboratory under the above described conditions. The original

Turin colony (EvaTo), was naturally infected with EVV-1 virus with a 100% prevalence,

whereas the French colony (EvaBx) appeared to be EVV-1 free, according to molecular

detection and electron microscopy observation (Abbà et al., 2017). The two colonies were

routinely confirmed to be EVV-1 infected (EvaTo) and free (EvaBx) by RT-qPCR, as described

below.

*2.2 Collection of different insect life stages and organ dissection*

To determine the viral loads in different insect life stages, total RNAs were extracted

from laid eggs, I-V instar nymphs and adults of virus infected EvaTo population. Preliminary

experiments showed that egg collection was easier when eggs were laid on *Arabidopsis*

*thaliana* plants than on *A. sativa* or *Vicia faba*. Therefore, approximately 100 EvaTo female

adults were caged on 15 *A. thaliana* plants for 4 d and then eggs were collected with sterile

forceps using a stereomicroscope. Seven pooled samples, each containing approximately 30

eggs, were obtained. To exclude surface viral contamination, egg samples were sterilized

according to Prado et al., (2006) and then stored at -80°C prior to RNA extraction. For each

nymphal stage, 10 pooled samples (each with three EvaTo specimens) were collected and

stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. Newly emerged EvaTo adults (five males and five

females) were also collected and stored.

To estimate the viral presence in different insect organs, total RNAs were extracted

from Malpighian tubules, salivary glands, guts, ovaries, testes and hemolymph of newly

emerged EvaTo adults. Three hemolymph samples (each with hemolymph collected from 10

specimens) were obtained by removing the head of a $CO_2$-anaesthetized adult and drawing

0.5 μL hemolymph from the inner thorax cavity with a Cell Tram Oil microinjector

(Eppendorf), using a stereomicroscope. For the remaining organs, three pooled samples (each

made of five dissected organs) were obtained. Organs were carefully separated with forceps

and needles using a stereomicroscope, rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution

and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction.

*2.3 Virus vertical transmission route test*

To determine whether EVV-1 is vertically transmitted, virus free EvaBx adults were

injected with a fresh extract of EvaTo individuals. Microinjection was chosen as infection

modality to maximize the probability of obtaining a high number of infected EvaBx adults.

These were then used as F0 parents to test vertical transmission to the offspring (F1 and F2

generations). The fresh extract containing virus particles was prepared by crushing 30 EvaTo

adults in 900 μL of cold filter-sterilized injection buffer (300 mM glycine, 30 mM MgCl2, pH

8.0). The extract was clarified by slow centrifugation (10 min, 800 g), and passed through a

0.22 μm pore-size filter. All extraction steps were done at 4°C. Newly emerged EVV-1 free

EvaBx adults were $CO_2$ anaesthetized and injected with 0.5 μL of the virus-containing extract

between two abdominal segments using a stereomicroscope with a Cell Tram Oil

microinjector (Eppendorf). As negative control in order to exclude any procedural

contamination, a group of EvaBx insects was injected with injection buffer only. Injected

insects were isolated on fresh oat plants and sampled at 4 and 10 days post injection (dpi).

The F1 and F2 adults were collected at 60 and 120 dpi, respectively. All collected insects (F0,

F1 and F2) were stored at -80°C until RNA extraction to check the presence of EVV-1. The

experiment was repeated twice.

*2.4 Virus horizontal transmission route test*

To determine whether EVV-1 is horizontally transmitted, EVV-1 free EvaBx adults

were caged together with infected EvaTo. In order to distinguish individuals belonging to the

two original populations, three strategies were carried out: EvaBx third instar nymphs caged

with EvaTo adults (Experiment 1); EvaBx female adults caged with EvaTo male adults

(Experiment 2); and EvaBx male adults caged with EvaTo female adults (Experiment 3). For

each experiment, 50 EvaBx insects were co-fed on the same oat plant together with 50 EvaTo

individuals for two weeks, then transferred to a new plant in a new clean and sampled three

weeks later for virus detection. Each experiment was repeated twice.

After the positive results of co-feeding, three possible horizontal transmission

modalities were specifically tested i) fecal-oral route, ii) virus infection via plant, and iii)

cuticle penetration. Fecal-oral transmission modality was assessed according to Murakami et

al. (2013). About 60 EvaTo adults were confined in a 50-mL conical tube for 1 h. The insects

were then removed from the tube, and the excreted honeydew was collected by rinsing the

wall of the plastic tube with 4 mL of artificial feeding medium (5% sucrose, 10 mM Tris/Cl, 1

mM EDTA, pH 8.0. An aliquot (400 μL) of this solution was stored at -80°C for RNA extraction

to confirm the presence of the virus, while the remaining solution was used to artificially feed

EvaBx adults. To this purpose, non-viruliferous insects were confined within small chambers.

Six small cages were set up, each with five EvaBx insects allowed to feed for 48 h on 600 μL of

honeydew solution laid between two layers of stretched Parafilm. As negative controls, three

small cages were set up with artificial medium only, devoid of honeydew. Following artificial

feeding, live insects were caged on oat plants for 10 d (Murakami et al., 2013), then collected

and stored at -80°C, until RNA extraction for virus detection.

To assess the plant-mediated horizontal transmission of EVV-1, virus presence was

tested in *A. sativa* plants exposed to either EvaTo or EvaBx insects, following leaf surface

sterilization or no sterilization (Prado et al., 2006). Virus transmission through the host plant

was tested by isolating about 50 EvaTo adults for 1 week on an oat plant. The virus-positive

insects were then removed, while the oat plant was used to feed about 50 EvaBx adults for the

following week. EvaBx adults were then transferred onto a fresh oat plant and five were

tested for the presence of EVV-1 every 7 d for 1 mo.

To assess EVV-1 ability to penetrate through the insect cuticle, EvaBx nymphs were

submerged into fresh virus extract. For this experiment, second instar nymphs were used, as

the highest virus level was detected at this life stage in EvaTo and the insect dimensions

allowed an easier manipulation compared to the smaller and more delicate first instar

nymphs. Briefly, EvaBx nymphs were $CO_2$ anaesthetized and submerged for 5 min in 300 μL

fresh virus solution prepared by crushing ten EvaTo adults in 400 μL of PBS with a cocktail of

protease inhibitors added (Pierce Protease Inhibitor Mini Tablets, EDTA-Free, Thermo

Scientific). The extract was clarified by slow centrifugation (5 min, 4500 g). Submerged

nymphs were then maintained on an oat plant and collected as adults for RNA extraction and

virus detection.

*2.5 RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, EVV-1 qPCR assays and statistical analyses*

Total RNAs were extracted from samples of single *E. variegatus* adults, and pooled

samples of i) 30 eggs, ii) three nymphs, iii) three dissected organs, iv) hemolymph collected

from 10 insects, v) honeydew collected from 60 insects and vi) 500 mg of oat leaves, with

Direct-zol RNA Mini Prep kit (Zymo Research), following manufacturer's protocol. For each

sample, cDNA was synthesized from total RNA (1 µg) with random hexamers using a High

Capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems).

A multiplex qPCR assay was developed to detect and quantify virus presence in *E.*

*variegatus* adults. To detect EVV-1, primers and FAM-labelled TaqMan probe were designed

on the virus capsid 1 coding sequence (Evv1Cap1Fw 5'-GACCATTATCGCGCTAATG-3',

Evv1Cap1Rv 5'-AGTGCTCATCATAGGACA-3', Evv1Cap1Probe 5'-FAM-

ATTCTCGTAGCCAACTGCCAAAC-3'). To check that all the cDNA samples were properly

amplifiable, the *E. variegatus* glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) transcript,

was chosen as endogenous control (GapFw632 5'-ATCCGTCGTCGACCTTACTG-3', GapRv819

5'-GTAGCCCAGGATGCCCTTC-3', GapEvProbe 5'-HEX-ATATCAAGGCCAAGGTCAAGGAGGC-3').

Standard conditions were used for qPCR assay and detailed in Supplementary Method File.

Mean virus copy numbers were used to express virus amount as EVV-1 copy numbers/insect

GAPDH transcript.

For comparison of viral load in different insect life stages and organs, virus load was

expressed as EVV-1 copy numbers/ng of cDNA, as GAPDH transcript levels varied among the

different organs and life stages (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2).

SigmaPlot version 13 (Systat Software, Inc., www.systatsoftware.com.) was used for

statistical analyses. To compare viral load among different categories (life stages, organs and

vertical transmission experiments) ANOVA, followed by the proper post hoc test, or Kruskal

Wallis test, when the parametric analysis assumptions were not met, were used. To compare

viral load between two groups of samples (male vs. female EvaTo adults, virus exposed EvaBx

vs. EvaTo samples in horizontal transmission experiments) t-test was used.

**3. Results**

*3.1 EVV-1 qPCR diagnostic and quantitative assay*

A multiplex qPCR assay was optimized to detect and quantify the EVV-1 virus in *E.*

*variegatus* adults, using primers and TaqMan probes targeting viral EVV-1 capsid 1 and insect

GAPDH coding sequences. The viral genomic portion coding the virus capsid 1 protein was

selected to maximize the specificity of EVV-1 detection, as this genomic portion was poorly

conserved among other iflaviruses according to BLAST analysis (not shown). Standard curves

of plasmids harbouring viral and insect target amplicons showed 96.5 and 103.1% qPCR

efficiencies, respectively, with a 0.998 $R^2$ for both curves. The most diluted standard point

($10^2$ copy numbers/µL) was detected before the 35th amplification cycle by both viral and

insect primer sets. The test successfully detected EVV-1 in single EvaTo individuals (n=10),

whereas no amplification was obtained from any of the 10 tested EvaBx. Quantification of

EVV-1 in the EvaTo adults ranged from 0.21 to 0.84 EVV-1 copy numbers/insect GAPDH

transcript.

*3.2. EVV-1 distribution in different insect life stages and organs*

EVV-1 was detected in the eggs and all insect developmental stages (I-V instar nymphs

and adults) of the naturally infected EvaTo population. Male and female EvaTo individuals

were considered together for the analyses, as no significant differences in viral loads were

found (t-test t=1.448, P=0.186) (Supplementary Table S1). Significant differences were found

among developmental stages (ANOVA F=10.367, P<0.001) and I, II, III instar nymphs showed

the highest viral loads, as indicated by pairwise multiple comparison according to Duncan's

multiple range test (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S1).

EVV-1 was detected in all collected insect organs (Malpighian tubules, salivary glands,

guts, ovaries, testes, and hemolymph) of the EvaTo population. Viral loads ranged from 8606

in the ovaries to 1 EVV-1 copy numbers/ng of cDNA in the testes. The high viral load found in

ovaries significantly differed from that found in the testes (ANOVA on Ranks, Kruskal-Wallis

test H=15.415, P=0.009), (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table S2).

*3.3 Vertical transmission*

Virus injection was selected as the most effective infection modality to obtain a high

number of newly infected EvaBx individuals (F0) and test their ability to transmit the virus to

progeny (F1 and F2). Virus presence was detected in 95 and 100 % injected insects sampled

at 4 and 10 dpi, respectively, as well as in all the F1 and F2 tested insects (Supplementary

Table S3), demonstrating that vertical viral transmission occurs with very high frequency.

Interestingly, mean EVV-1 loads measured in F1 and F2 samples were significantly higher

than those detected in injected F0 insects sampled at 4 dpi and in EvaTo adults (ANOVA on

Ranks, Kruskal-Wallis test H=22.769, P<0.001) (Fig. 3). The virus was detected in EvaTo fresh

extract injected in EvaBx specimens, while no amplification was obtained from EvaBx adults

injected with buffer only (negative control).

*3.4 Horizontal transmission*

The co-feeding experiment, in which EvaTo insects were isolated together with EvaBx

specimens on the same plant, indicated that horizontal viral transmission may occur. The

overall mean rate of virus transmission was 8.0% (6/75), comprehensively considering the

three different co-feeding experimental approaches (indicated in Table 1). The mean viral

load, measured in the infected EvaBx insects three weeks after co-feeding with EvaTo, was

0.23 EVV-1 copy numbers/insect GAPDH transcript ± 0.10 (SEM, N=6), with values ranging

from 6.27E-04 to 5.94E-01. The mean viral load measured in these virus-exposed EvaBx

samples did not significantly differ from that measured in EvaTo adults, which was 0.44 EVV-

1 copy numbers/insect GAPDH transcript ± 0.14 (SEM, N=10) (t-test t=1.815, P=0.091).

When exploring the possible routes of EVV-1 horizontal transmission, none of the

EvaBx insects artificially fed on virus-infected honeydew solution were positive for EVV-1

presence (Table 1). Although the presence of EVV-1 in EvaTo honeydew was confirmed by

qPCR, the possibility of virus transmission via fecal-oral route was not confirmed under our

experimental conditions.

None of the 20 analysed EvaBx adults tested positive for EVV-1 presence (Table 1)

following isolation on *A. sativa* plants that were exposed to EvaTo insects, thus excluding the

plant as efficient transmission route. Indeed, while EVV-1 was detected only in one of six

samples of *A. sativa* exposed to EvaTo insects, the virus was absent from all the six analysed

plants following surface sterilization of the leaf before RNA extraction, indicating that viral

contamination of the leaves may occur. The absence of EVV-1 in plants exposed to EvaBx

insects was also confirmed, as expected (0 positive samples out of six total analysed samples).

Viral transmission through insect cuticle of second instar EvaBx nymphs occurred in

9.5% of the treated insects (Table 1). The viral loads measured in these infected EvaBx insects

ranged from 0.09 to 1.79 EVV-1 copy numbers/insect GAPDH transcript. No significant

differences were found between the mean viral loads measured in these virus-exposed EvaBx

samples and those measured in EvaTo adults (t-test t=-1.414, P=0.174).

**4. Discussion**

We studied the distribution and transmission routes of the Euscelidius variegatus

iflavirus 1 and showed that infection with the virus is systemic and occurs in all life stages. As

well, it is vertically transmitted to offspring with high efficiency. Elucidating these biological

parameters is a prerequisite to exploring the potential application of iflaviruses as biocontrol

agents of phytoplasma vectors. Our work also provides information needed to exploit

iflaviruses as molecular tools to study insect genes involved in pathogen transmission through

the construction of infectious viral clones.

Iflaviruses colonize their arthropod hosts in different ways. In some cases, viruses do

not exhibit tropism (restriction to specific organs or tissues). Deformed Wing Virus (DWV)

can be detected in all parts of the bee body (Martin and Brettell, 2019), and Antheraea pernyi

Iflavirus (ApIV) in the head, epidermis, hemocytes, gut, fat body, ovary and testis of the

Chinese oak silkmoth, ApIV (Geng et al., 2017). On the contrary, other iflaviruses show some

organ tropism, such as the Nora Virus of the cotton bollworm which show higher loads in

midgut tissues (Yang et al., 2019) or the Helicoverpa armigera iflavirus, which shows higher

levels in fat bodies compared to other insect body tissues. Molecular analysis showed that

EVV-1 is present in different *E. variegatus* tissues and organs as well as in different life stages

(from egg to adult). The highest viral load was detected in I-III instar nymphs and may

indicate that these stages support the peak of viral replication, even though northern blot

analysis did not detect an accumulation of negative strands in nymphal stages nor in adults

(Abbà et al., 2017). The presence of detectable replicative intermediates may be limited in

time and difficult to detect. In line with our results, increase of viral load over time was

observed in pupae of the Chinese oak silkmoth following the injection of ApIV purified

particles (Geng et al., 2017). The high EVV-1 viral load measured in the ovaries suggests a key

role of this organ in the viral replication cycle. Indeed, this feature may be common to

iflaviruses infecting Hemiptera. For example, like EVV-1, the Laodelphax striatellus Iflavirus 1

(LsIV1) is present in eggs and ovaries of the small brown planthopper (Wu et al., 2019).

Infection data from different iflaviruses seems, therefore, to delineate an assorted model of

insect body colonization, that requires case-by-case characterization.

Under our experimental conditions, EVV-1 was efficiently transmitted to the offspring.

Indeed, following injection of virus-free parents, 100% of the F1 and F2 offspring were

infected. The development of an efficient multiplex fluorescent qPCR assay, based on

glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as an endogenous gene for

normalization of quantitative data and as internal control of cDNA quality, allowed the

quantitative detection of EVV-1, a prerequisite to characterize its distribution and

transmission modalities. Interestingly, the mean viral load of the F1 and F2 individuals was

significantly higher than that of naturally infected EvaTo adults. This result supports the

hypothesis of a higher viral replication rate in the experimentally infected insects than in the

naturally infected insects. The absence of evident fitness costs was in line with preliminary

results showing that longevity and fecundity were not negatively affected by EVV-1 infection.

Similarly, in several mosquito species, a state of tolerance response against covert arboviral

infections has been described leading to persistent infection in the host with little fitness cost

(Goic et al., 2016).

Horizontal transmission also has been reported for some iflaviruses (Murakami et al.,

2013; Yuan et al., 2017), and occasionally occurred for EVV-1 under our experimental

conditions, although less efficiently than vertical route. While Nilaparvata lugens iflavirus 1

(NHLV-1) is efficiently transmitted to virus-free brown leafhoppers by feeding on infected

honeydew (Murakami et al., 2013), the EVV-1 virus was not transmitted to EvaBx individuals

by feeding, despite EVV-1 presence in EvaTo honeydew added to the artificial diet. This

suggests that EVV-1 is not able to cross the gut barrier. EVV-1 could be sporadically detected

in unsterilized leaves from plants exposed to virus-infected insects, probably due to

honeydew contamination, but it was never detected after sterilization of leaf surface. The

phloem feeding habit of *E. variegatus* may explain its inability to acquire EVV-1 through the

host plant.

Silencing of insect genes by RNA interference is a promising approach to control insect

pests, and it has been applied to several Hemipteran species including aphids (Yu et al., 2016),

psyllids (Lu et al., 2019), and whiteflies (Shi et al., 2019). Efficient delivery of RNA interfering

molecules is difficult to achieve for phloem feeders, and microinjection (Mutti et al., 2006) or

plant-mediated silencing strategies (Jaubert-Possamai et al., 2007; Pitino et al., 2011) have

been explored. In particular, soaking of nymphs of the psyllid *Diaphorina citri*, vector of

'*Candidatus* Liberibacter solanacearum', in a solution containing dsRNA silencing molecules

provides efficient silencing of different target genes (Killiny et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2017). EVV-1

was transmitted to nymphs following their immersion in a solution containing the virus,

suggesting that the viral particles are able to penetrate the nymphal cuticle or to pass through

the tracheae and then replicate in the insect body. This soaking-based strategy of viral

delivery may be further explored as a high-throughput technique to increase the horizontal

transmission efficiency of phloem feeder viruses. Also, the ability of EVV-1 to penetrate the

nymphal cuticle or the tracheae may also explain the occasional transmission of the virus to

some EvaBx individuals, following co-feeding together with virus infected EvaTo individuals.

In conclusion, both vertical and cuticle/tracheal horizontal transmission routes may explain

the 100% EVV-1 prevalence observed in the mass reared EvaTo lab colony.

Although the idea behind the application of entomopathogens as biocontrol agent

dates back to the nineteenth century (Metchnikoff, 1880), the use of insect-specific viruses in

plant protection is lately gaining attention. Iflaviruses may infect their hosts and transcribe

high amounts of RNA without inducing visible symptoms in the infected population (dos

Santos et al., 2019) but, for example, infection with an iflavirus increases the susceptibility of

*Spodoptera exigua* larvae to a baculovirus insecticide (Carballo et al., 2017). Interactions such

as this among different species in a complex microbiome may explain the lower load of EVV-1

in *E. variegatus* individuals infected with Flavescence dorée phytoplasma (Abbà et al., 2017).

The urgent need for more precise and sustainable pest management approaches to control

phytoplasma diseases encourages the exploration of viruses as biocontrol agents able to

compete with phytoplasma transmission and/or acquisition. The hypothesis that pathogen

transmission may be suppressed or reduced by the concurrent vector infection with other

microorganisms is supported by cases of West Nile virus vectored by mosquitoes co-infected

with Nhumirim virus (Nouri et al., 2018) or with Wolbachia (Glaser and Meola, 2010), and by

the cases of Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium transmitted by *Aedes* co-infected with

Wolbachia (Moreira et al., 2009).

The biological information we provided here will be useful in the future to exploit EVV-

1 for VIGS to regulate the expression of insect metabolic genes and, possibly, of genes involved

in phytoplasma transmission. Also, an iflavirus-based vector will be a valuable tool to explore

the role of potential phytoplasma effectors in the insect host. To reach this goal, preliminary

results in the synthesis of an EVV-1 infectious clone have been obtained (Simona Abbà,

personal communication) and RNAi mediated by injection of dsRNA molecules has been

proven to work efficiently in *E. variegatus* with a long-lasting effect (Abbà et al., 2019).

Elucidating the mechanisms of insect tolerance to iflavirus infection paves the way to

conceptualize new anti-vectorial strategies to selectively control plant pathogen-transmitter

Hemipteran insects.

**Author contributions**

Concept & financing (LG, SA, CM, DB); Experimental design (LG, MR, CM); Execution

(SO, AP, MR, MV, GM); Analysis (SO, MR, LG, CM); Publication (LG, MR, SA, MV, SP, DB, RB, CM).

All authors read and approved the final manuscript. The authors declare no competing

financial interests.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Elena Zocca for providing plants for insect rearing, Flavio Veratti for

maintenance of insect colonies in Turin and Dr. Xavier Foissac for providing adults of *E.*

*variegatus* from his laboratory colony, allowing us to set up a virus-free population.

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research

and innovation programme under grant agreement No 773567. This research was also

supported by Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Torino, Projects Siglofit (RF = 2016-0577)

and FOotSTEP (RF = 2018-0678).

**References**

Abbà, S., Galetto, L., Ripamonti, M., Rossi, M., Marzachì, C., 2019. RNA interference of muscle

actin and ATP synthase beta increases mortality of the phytoplasma vector *Euscelidius*

*variegatus*. Pest. Manag. Sci. 75, 1425–1434. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5263

Abbà, S., Galetto, L., Vallino, M., Rossi, M., Turina, M., Sicard, A., Marzachì, C., 2017. Genome

sequence, prevalence and quantification of the first iflavirus identified in a phytoplasma insect

vector. Arch. Virol. 162, 799–809. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-016-3158-3

404 Bonning, B.C., 2020. The insect virome: opportunities and challenges. Curr. Issues Molec. Biol.

405 1–12. https://doi.org/10.21775/cimb.034.001

406 Bosco, D., D'Amelio, R., 2010. Transmission specificity and competition of multiple

407 phytoplasmas in the insect vector, in: Weintraub, P.G., Jones, P. (Eds.), Phytoplasmas:

408 Genomes, Plant Hosts and Vectors. CABI, Wallingford, UK, pp. 293–308.

409 Brettell, L., Mordecai, G., Schroeder, D., Jones, I., da Silva, J., Vicente-Rubiano, M., Martin, S.,

410 2017. A comparison of Deformed Wing Virus in deformed and asymptomatic honey bees.

411 Insects 8, 28. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects8010028

412 Carballo, A., Murillo, R., Jakubowska, A., Herrero, S., Williams, T., Caballero, P., 2017. Co-

413 infection with iflaviruses influences the insecticidal properties of *Spodoptera exigua* multiple

414 nucleopolyhedrovirus occlusion bodies: implications for the production and biosecurity of

415 baculovirus insecticides. PLoS ONE 12, e0177301.

416 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177301

417 Chen, Y., Evans, J., Feldlaufer, M., 2006. Horizontal and vertical transmission of viruses in the

418 honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 92, 152–159.

419 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2006.03.010

420 Dong, Y., Chao, J., Liu, J., Rice, A., Holdbrook, R., Liu, Y., Xu, P., 2018. Characterization of a novel

421 RNA virus from *Nesidiocoris tenuis* related to members of the genus Iflavirus. Arch. Virol. 163,

422 571–574. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-017-3622-8

423 dos Santos, E.R., Trentin, L.B., Ecker, A., Silva, L.A., Borges, M., Mowery, J.D., Ribeiro, B.M.,

424 Harrison, R.L., Ardisson-Araújo, D.M.P., 2019. An iflavirus found in stink bugs (Hemiptera:

425 Pentatomidae) of four different species. Virology 534, 72–79.

426 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2019.06.002

EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH), 2014. Scientific Opinion on pest categorisation of

Grapevine Flavescence dorée: Grapevine Flavescence dorée pest categorisation. EFSA Journal

12, 3851. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3851

Galetto, L., Abbà, S., Rossi, M., Vallino, M., Pesando, M., Arricau-Bouvery, N., Dubrana, M.-P.,

Chitarra, W., Pegoraro, M., Bosco, D., Marzachì, C., 2018. Two phytoplasmas elicit different

responses in the insect vector *Euscelidius variegatus* Kirschbaum. Infect. Immun. 86,

IAI.00042-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00042-18

Geng, P., Li, W., de Miranda, J.R., Qian, Z., An, L., Terenius, O., 2017. Studies on the transmission

and tissue distribution of Antheraea pernyi iflavirus in the Chinese oak silkmoth *Antheraea*

*pernyi*. Virology 502, 171–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2016.12.014

Glaser, R.L., Meola, M.A., 2010. The native Wolbachia endosymbionts of *Drosophila*

*melanogaster* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* increase host resistance to West Nile Virus infection.

PLoS ONE 5, e11977. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011977

Goic, B., Stapleford, K.A., Frangeul, L., Doucet, A.J., Gausson, V., Blanc, H., Schemmel-Jofre, N.,

Cristofari, G., Lambrechts, L., Vignuzzi, M., others, 2016. Virus-derived DNA drives mosquito

vector tolerance to arboviral infection. Nat. Commun. 7, 12410.

Jaubert-Possamai, S., Le Trionnaire, G., Bonhomme, J., Christophides, G.K., Rispe, C., Tagu, D.,

2007. Gene knockdown by RNAi in the pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. BMC Biotechnol. 7, 63.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-7-63

Killiny, N., Hajeri, S., Tiwari, S., Gowda, S., Stelinski, L.L., 2014. Double-stranded RNA uptake

through topical application mediates silencing of five CYP4 genes and suppresses insecticide

resistance in *Diaphorina citri*. PLoS ONE 9, e110536.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110536

Li, Jianghong, Wang, T., Evans, J., Rose, R., Zhao, Y., Li, Z., Li, Jilian, Huang, S., Heerman, M.,

Rodríguez-García, C., Banmeke, O., Brister, J., Hatcher, E., Cao, L., Hamilton, M., Chen, Y., 2019.

The phylogeny and pathogenesis of Sacbrood Virus (SBV) infection in European honey bees,

*Apis mellifera*. Viruses 11, 61. https://doi.org/10.3390/v11010061

Lu, Z.-J., Huang, Y.-L., Yu, H.-Z., Li, N.-Y., Xie, Y.-X., Zhang, Q., Zeng, X.-D., Hu, H., Huang, A.-J., Yi,

L., Su, H.-N., 2019. Silencing of the chitin synthase gene is lethal to the Asian citrus psyllid,

*Diaphorina citri*. IJMS 20, 3734. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20153734

Martin, S.J., Brettell, L.E., 2019. Deformed Wing Virus in honeybees and other insects. Annu.

Rev. Virol. 6, 092818–015700. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015700

Metchnikoff, E., 1880. Zur lehre über Insektenkrankheiten. Zoologische Anzeiger 3, 44–47.

Moreira, L.A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Jeffery, J.A., Lu, G., Pyke, A.T., Hedges, L.M., Rocha, B.C., Hall-

Mendelin, S., Day, A., Riegler, M., Hugo, L.E., Johnson, K.N., Kay, B.H., McGraw, E.A., van den

Hurk, A.F., Ryan, P.A., O'Neill, S.L., 2009. A Wolbachia symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits

infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. Cell 139, 1268–1278.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.042

Murakami, R., Suetsugu, Y., Kobayashi, T., Nakashima, N., 2013. The genome sequence and

transmission of an iflavirus from the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. Virus Res. 176,

179–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2013.06.005

Mutti, N.S., Park, Y., Reese, J.C., Reeck, G.R., 2006. RNAi knockdown of a salivary transcript

leading to lethality in the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. J. Insect Sci. 6, 1–7.

https://doi.org/10.1673/031.006.3801

Nouri, S., Matsumura, E.E., Kuo, Y.-W., Falk, B.W., 2018. Insect-specific viruses: from discovery

to potential translational applications. Curr. Opin. Virol. 33, 33–41.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2018.07.006

O'Brien, C.A., Hall-Mendelin, S., Hobson-Peters, J., Deliyannis, G., Allen, A., Lew-Tabor, A.,

Rodriguez-Valle, M., Barker, D., Barker, S.C., Hall, R.A., 2018. Discovery of a novel iflavirus

sequence in the eastern paralysis tick *Ixodes holocyclus*. Arch. Virol. 163, 2451–2457.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-018-3868-9

Pitino, M., Coleman, A.D., Maffei, M.E., Ridout, C.J., Hogenhout, S.A., 2011. Silencing of aphid

genes by dsRNA feeding from plants. PLoS ONE 6, e25709.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025709

Prado, S.S., Rubinoff, D., Almeida, R.P.P., 2006. Vertical transmission of a pentatomid caeca-

associated symbiont. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 99, 577–585. https://doi.org/10.1603/0013-

8746(2006)99[577:VTOAPC]2.0.CO;2

Rossi, M., Samarzija, I., Šeruga-Musić, M., Galetto, L., 2019. Diversity and functional importance

of phytoplasma membrane proteins, in: Bertaccini, A., Oshima, K., Kube, M., Rao, G.P. (Eds.),

Phytoplasmas: Plant Pathogenic Bacteria - III. Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp. 69–88.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9632-8_5

Shi, X.-B., Wang, X.-Z., Zhang, D.-Y., Zhang, Z.-H., Zhang, Z., Cheng, J., Zheng, L.-M., Zhou, X.-G.,

Tan, X.-Q., Liu, Y., 2019. Silencing of odorant-binding protein gene OBP3 using RNA

interference reduced virus transmission of Tomato Chlorosis Virus. IJMS 20, 4969.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20204969

Tomkins, M., Kliot, A., Marée, A.F., Hogenhout, S.A., 2018. A multi-layered mechanistic

modelling approach to understand how effector genes extend beyond phytoplasma to

modulate plant hosts, insect vectors and the environment. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 44, 39–48.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2018.02.002

Valles, S.M., Chen, Y., Firth, A.E., Guérin, D.M.A., Hashimoto, Y., Herrero, S., de Miranda, J.R.,

Ryabov, E., ICTV Report Consortium, 2017. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: Iflaviridae. J. Gen.

Virol. 98, 527–528. https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.000757

Vinokurov, K.S., Koloniuk, I., 2019. Discovery and characterization of a novel alphavirus-like

RNA virus from the red firebug *Pyrrhocoris apterus* L. (Heteroptera). J. Invertebr. Pathol. 166,

107213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2019.107213

Virto, C., Navarro, D., Tellez, M.M., Herrero, S., Williams, T., Murillo, R., Caballero, P., 2014.

Natural populations of *Spodoptera exigua* are infected by multiple viruses that are transmitted

to their offspring. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 122, 22–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.07.007

Wells, T., Wolf, S., Nicholls, E., Groll, H., Lim, K.S., Clark, S.J., Swain, J., Osborne, J.L., Haughton,

A.J., 2016. Flight performance of actively foraging honey bees is reduced by a common

pathogen. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 8, 728–737. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12434

Wu, N., Zhang, P., Liu, W., Cao, M., Massart, S., Wang, X., 2019. Complete genome sequence and

characterization of a new iflavirus from the small brown planthopper (*Laodelphax striatellus*).

Virus Res. 272, 197651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2019.197651

Yang, X., Xu, P., Yuan, H., Graham, R.I., Wilson, K., Wu, K., 2019. Discovery and characterization

of a novel picorna-like RNA virus in the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera*. J. Invertebr.

Pathol. 160, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2018.11.003

Yu, X., Gowda, S., Killiny, N., 2017. Double-stranded RNA delivery through soaking mediates

silencing of the muscle protein 20 and increases mortality to the Asian citrus psyllid,

*Diaphorina citri*. Pest Manag. Sci. 73, 1846–1853. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4549

Yu, X.-D., Liu, Z.-C., Huang, S.-L., Chen, Z.-Q., Sun, Y.-W., Duan, P.-F., Ma, Y.-Z., Xia, L.-Q., 2016.

RNAi-mediated plant protection against aphids. Pest Manag. Sci. 72, 1090–1098.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4258

Yuan, H., Xu, P., Yang, X., Graham, R.I., Wilson, K., Wu, K., 2017. Characterization of a novel

member of genus Iflavirus in *Helicoverpa armigera*. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 144, 65–73.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2017.01.011

Yue, C., Genersch, E., 2005. RT-PCR analysis of Deformed wing virus in honeybees (*Apis*

*mellifera*) and mites (*Varroa destructor*). J. Gen. Virol. 86, 3419–3424.

https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.81401-0

**Table**

**Table 1.** Detection and quantification results of horizontal transmission of Euscelidius

variegatus virus 1 (EVV-1) to uninfected colony EvaBx. Mean quantification cycles (Cq)

obtained from insect glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) qPCR assay

confirmed that all samples were amplifiable.

| Virus infection modality | Sample description | N° EVV-1 positive/analysed samples | Mean EVV-1 viral load* ± SEM (N) | Mean Cq ± SEM (N) of GAPDH assay |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Co-feeding | EvaBx nymphs + EvaTo adults | 3/47 | 0.27 ± 0.11 (3) | 18.93 ± 0.36 (47) |
| | EvaBx females + EvaTo males | 2/17 | 2.56E−03 ± 1.94E−03 (2) | 23.45 ± 0.36 (17) |
| | EvaBx males + EvaTo females | 1/11 | 0.59 (1) | 19.44 ± 0.84 (11) |
| Fecal-oral route | EvaBx adults fed on EvaTo honeydews | 0/12 | / | 20.30 ± 0.28 (12) |
| Plant-mediated route | EvaBx adults fed on plant exposed to EvaTo adults | 0/20 | / | 20.79 ± 0.44 (20) |
| Cuticle penetration | EvaBx nymphs submerged in EvaTo extract | 10/105 | 0.68 ± 0.35 (10) | 17.85 ± 0.16 (105) |

533 *Viral load expressed as EVV-1 copy numbers/insect GAPDH transcript.

**Figure 1. Euscelidius variegatus virus 1 (EVV-1) load in different host life stages.**

Different letters indicate significant differences in mean viral load measured in the sample

groups. First to fifth instar nymphs are indicated by Roman numerals and different life stages

are depicted beneath the graph.

**Figure 2. Euscelidius variegatus virus 1 (EVV-1) load in different insect organs.** Different

letters indicate significant differences in mean viral load measured in the sample groups.

**Figure 3. Vertical transmission of Euscelidius variegatus virus 1 (EVV-1) injected into**

**uninfected *Euscelidius variegatus* (EvaBx).** Different letters indicate significant differences

in mean viral load measured in the sample groups. Days post injection (dpi) indicate the

sampling date after viral injection. Viral load measured in infected colony *Euscelidius*

*variegatus* (EvaTo) adults was used for comparison.

## Supplementary methods

*2.5 RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and EVV-1 qPCR assays*

Total RNAs were extracted from samples of single *E. variegatus* adults, and pooled samples of i) 30 laid eggs, ii) three nymphs, iii) three dissected organs, iv) hemolymph collected from 10 insects, v) honeydew collected from 60 insects and vi) 500 mg of oat leaves. The samples were frozen with liquid nitrogen, crushed with a micropestle in sterile Eppendorf tubes, and homogenized in Tri-Reagent (1 mL for plant samples and 0.5 mL for all other samples). Samples were centrifuged 1 min at 12.000 g at 4°C and RNAs were extracted from supernatants with Direct-zol RNA Mini Prep kit (Zymo Research), following manufacturer's protocol and including the optional DNAse treatment step. Concentration, purity, and quality of extracted RNA samples were analysed through a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). For each sample, cDNA was synthesized from total RNA (1 µg) with random hexamers using a High Capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). Yields of reverse transcription reactions were estimated by reading a 1:10 cDNA dilution in a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

A multiplex qPCR assay was developed to detect and quantify virus presence in *E. variegatus* adults. To detect EVV-1, primers and FAM-labelled TaqMan probe were designed on the virus capsid 1 coding sequence (Evv1Cap1Fw 5'-GACCATTATCGCGCTAATG-3', Evv1Cap1Rv 5'-AGTGCTCATCATAGGACA-3', Evv1Cap1Probe 5'-FAM-ATTCTCGTAGCCAACTGCCAAAC-3'). To check that all the cDNA samples were properly amplifiable, the *E. variegatus* glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) transcript, was chosen as endogenous control. To amplify the insect GAPDH, the primer GapFw632 (5'-ATCCGTCGTCGACCTTACTG-3' (Galetto et al., 2013)), was used with the newly designed primer GapRv819 (5'-GTAGCCCAGGATGCCCTTC-3') and the internal HEX-labelled TaqMan probe GapEvProbe (5'-HEX-ATATCAAGGCCAAGGTCAAGGAGGC-3'). One µL of cDNA was used as template in a reaction mix of 10 µL total volume, containing 1X iTaq Universal Probes Supermix (Bio-Rad), 200 nM of each of the four primers and 300 nM of each of the two TaqMan probes. Each sample was run in triplicate in a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Cycling conditions were 95°C for 3 min and 40 consecutive cycles at 95°C for 10 s as denaturing step followed by 30 s at 60°C as annealing/extension step. In each qPCR plate, four serial 100-fold dilutions of pGem-T Easy (Promega) plasmids, harbouring the target virus and insect genes, were included to calculate the viral load. For both plasmid standard curves, dilutions included in plates ranged from $10^8$ to $10^2$ target copy numbers per µL and were prepared taking into account that 1 fg of plasmids harbouring EVV-1 and GAPDH gene portions contains 138 and 244 number of molecules, respectively. Dilution series of both plasmids were used to calculate qPCR parameters (reaction efficiency and $R^2$). Mean virus copy numbers in amplified samples were automatically calculated by CFX MaestroTM Software (Bio-Rad) and used to express virus amount as EVV-1 copy numbers/insect GAPDH transcript.

## Reference

Galetto, L., Bosco, D., Marzachì, C., 2013. Selection of reference genes from two leafhopper species challenged by phytoplasma infection, for gene expression studies by RT-qPCR. BMC Res. Notes 6, 409. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-6-409

## Supplementary Tables

**Supplementary Table S1**. Presence and loads of Euscelidius variegatus virus 1 (EVV-1) in different insect life stages of the virus infected EvaTo rearing and corresponding mean transcript levels of insect glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). All samples were amplifiable.

| Life stage | Mean EVV-1 viral load* ± SEM (N) | Mean GAPDH transcript levels** ± SEM (N) |
|---|---|---|
| Egg | 944 ± 534 (7) | 848 ± 295 (7) |
| 1st instar nymph | 6335 ± 654 (10) | 2841 ± 297 (10) |
| 2nd instar nymph | 5656 ± 638 (10) | 3397 ± 365 (10) |
| 3rd instar nymph | 5005 ± 289 (10) | 4516 ± 304 (10) |
| 4th instar nymph | 3441 ± 387 (10) | 6350 ± 541 (10) |
| 5th instar nymph | 3045 ± 364 (9) | 6349 ± 430 (9) |
| Adult Female | 5810 ± 1105 (5) | 10707 ± 254 (5) |
| Adult Male | 3945 ± 662 (5) | 11564 ± 1684 (5) |

*Viral load expressed as EVV-1 copy numbers/ng of cDNA.
**Insect GAPDH level expressed as GAPDH transcript numbers/ng of cDNA.

**Supplementary Table S2**. Presence and loads of Euscelidius variegatus virus 1 (EVV-1) in different insect organs of the virus infected EvaTo population and corresponding mean transcript levels of insect glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). All samples were amplifiable.

| Organs | Mean EVV-1 viral load* ± SEM (N) | Mean GAPDH transcript levels** ± SEM (N) |
|---|---|---|
| Malpighian tubules | 537 ± 185 (3) | 2665 ± 870 (3) |
| Salivary glands | 164 ± 36 (3) | 234 ± 41 (3) |
| Guts | 437 ± 66 (3) | 5118 ± 249 (3) |
| Ovaries | 3768 ± 1332 (5) | 5491 ± 1617 (5) |
| Testes | 16 ± 13 (3) | 132 ± 87 (3) |
| Hemolymph | 741 ± 290 (4) | 57 ± 21 (4) |

*Viral load expressed as EVV-1 copy numbers/ng of cDNA.
**Insect GAPDH level expressed as GAPDH transcript numbers/ng of cDNA.

**Supplementary Table S3**. Presence and loads of Euscelidius variegatus virus 1 (EVV-1) following injection into the EVV-1 free EvaBx population. Mean quantification cycles (Cq) obtained from insect glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) qPCR assay confirmed that all samples were amplifiable.

| Sample description | Sampling date (dpi, days post injection) | N° EVV-1 positive/ analysed samples | Mean EVV-1 viral load* ± SEM (N) | Mean Cq ± SEM (N) of GAPDH assay |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| F0 (EvaBx adults injected with EVV1 extract) | 4 | 8/9 | 0.41 ± 0.15 (8) | 19.97 ± 0.64 (9) |
| | 10 | 10/10 | 1.37 ± 0.63 (10) | 20.20 ± 1.49 (10) |
| F1 (Progeny of injected EvaBx adults) | 60 | 16/16 | 2.40 ± 0.49 (16) | 17.41 ± 0.21 (16) |
| F2 | 120 | 10/10 | 2.53 ± 0.60 (10) | 16.91 ± 0.17 (10) |

*Viral load expressed as EVV-1 copy numbers/insect GAPDH transcript.