The testis protein ZNF165 is a SMAD3 cofactor that coordinates

oncogenic TGFβ signaling in triple-negative breast cancer

Zane A. Gibbs[1,2], Luis C. Reza[1,2], Chun-Chun Cheng[1,2], Jill M. Westcott[3], Kathleen McGlynn[1,2],

Angelique W. Whitehurst[1,2,*]

[1] Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, 75390,
USA

[2] Harold C. Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Texas Southwestern Medical
Center, Dallas, TX, 75390, USA

[3] Hamon Center for Therapeutic Oncology Research, University of Texas Southwestern Medical
Center, Dallas, TX, 75390, USA

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 2146456066; Email:
angelique.whitehurst@utsouthwestern.edu

ABSTRACT

Cancer/testis (CT) antigens are proteins whose expression is normally restricted to germ

cells yet aberrantly activated in tumors, where their functions remain relatively cryptic. Here

we report that ZNF165, a CT antigen frequently expressed in triple-negative breast cancer

(TNBC), associates with SMAD3 to modulate transforming growth factor β (TGFβ)-

dependent transcription and promote growth and survival. In addition, we identify the KRAB

zinc finger protein, ZNF446, and its associated tripartite motif protein, TRIM27, as obligate

components of the ZNF165-SMAD3 complex that also support tumor cell viability.

Importantly, we find that TRIM27 alone is necessary for ZNF165 transcriptional activity and

is required for orthotopic tumor growth *in vivo*. Our findings indicate that aberrant expression

of a testis-specific transcription factor is sufficient to co-opt somatic transcriptional machinery

to drive a pro-tumorigenic gene expression program.

INTRODUCTION

Tumors frequently activate genes whose expression is normally restricted to the testes

(Sahin et al. 2000; Atanackovic et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2016). Since the testes are immune-

privileged, the protein products encoded by these genes can evoke an immune response

when expressed in tumors (van der Bruggen et al. 1991; Sahin et al. 1995; Fijak and

Meinhardt 2006). Given this feature, these ~270 genes are collectively termed cancer/testis

(CT) genes and their encoded proteins are known as CT antigens (Simpson et al. 2005). CT

antigens have long been considered attractive targets for immunotherapy in a wide variety of

cancers (Whitehurst 2014; Thomas et al. 2018). In addition, recent work from multiple

groups has found that many CT antigens can support neoplastic behaviors in tumor cells

(Gibbs and Whitehurst 2018). Specifically, CT antigens have been implicated in supporting

mitotic progression, degradation of tumor suppressors, promoting DNA repair and thwarting

apoptosis (Whitehurst et al. 2010; Hosoya et al. 2011; Cappell et al. 2012; Maxfield et al.

2015; Pineda et al. 2015; Viphakone et al. 2015; Nichols et al. 2018; Gallegos et al. 2019).

Collectively, studies on CT antigen function over the last 15 years indicate that these

proteins are not merely innocuous by-products of aberrant tumor gene expression programs,

but rather integral agents of tumor growth and survival.

Using a large-scale, loss-of-function screening approach, our group previously identified

the CT antigen, ZNF165, as essential for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) survival

(Maxfield et al. 2015). Depletion of ZNF165 *in vitro* or *in vivo* reduces survival of TNBC cells.

Importantly, elevated ZNF165 mRNA expression correlates significantly with reduced

survival time in breast cancer, indicating that expression of this protein may confer an

aggressive tumorigenic phenotype (Maxfield et al. 2015).

ZNF165 is a C_2H_2 zinc finger transcription factor that contains an N-terminal SCAN

domain and six canonical Zn^{2+} fingers at its C-terminus. Consistent with its characterization

as a CT antigen, ZNF165 expression is restricted to human testes and is extremely low or

undetectable in normal breast tissues (Tirosvoutis et al. 1995; Maxfield et al. 2015).

Unfortunately, ZNF165 lacks conservation in mice, thereby precluding genetic studies that

could illuminate its normal function within the testes (Tirosvoutis et al. 1995). However,

investigation of its function in TNBC revealed that ZNF165 associates with chromatin to

regulate expression of its target genes, which includes approximately 25% of all TGFβ-

responsive genes in TNBC cells (Maxfield et al. 2015).

The cellular response to TGFβ is highly context selective, often resulting in dramatically

different phenotypes. For example, TGFβ is well known to facilitate growth inhibition in

breast epithelia and most other somatic tissues, primarily through transcriptional activation of

cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors that result in cell cycle arrest (Hannon and Beach 1994;

Polyak et al. 1994; Morikawa et al. 2016). Conversely, TGFβ has also been shown to

stimulate the growth and differentiation of multiple cell types, including chondrocytes,

hematopoietic stem cells, and endothelial cells (Goumans et al. 2002; Li et al. 2005; Challen

et al. 2010). These contrasting responses to TGFβ are generally dictated by cell type-

specific transcription factors, which associate with TGFβ-responsive SMAD proteins and

recruit them to appropriate target genes for transcriptional regulation (Chen et al. 2002;

Gomis et al. 2006; Mullen et al. 2011; Massague 2012). In TNBC, TGFβ induces a

metastatic phenotype by promoting survival, chemoresistance, and the epithelial-to-

mesenchymal transition (Massague 2008; Bhola et al. 2013). These processes are generally

attributed to the activity of SMAD3, which binds directly to chromatin and whose expression

is elevated in late-stage breast tumors compared to other receptor-activated SMADs (Tian et

al. 2003; Padua et al. 2008; Petersen et al. 2010).

Here, we investigate the mechanisms by which ZNF165 modulates TGFβ-dependent

transcription in TNBC. We find that ZNF165 co-occupies a subset of SMAD3 genomic

binding sites and physically interacts with SMAD3 on chromatin, enhancing SMAD3

recruitment to specific loci. We also identify ZNF446 and TRIM27, two proteins never

implicated in TGFβ signaling or TNBC, as critical components of the ZNF165-SMAD3

transcriptional complex. Importantly, loss of function of this complex, through genetic

inhibition of TRIM27, attenuates growth of an orthotopic xenograft model of TNBC *in vivo*.

These findings establish that anomalous expression of ZNF165 promotes the assembly of a

neomorphic transcriptional complex that modulates the TGFβ-responsive transcriptional

landscape in TNBC.

RESULTS

SMAD3 is enriched at ZNF165 binding sites in TNBC cells

To comprehensively investigate whether ZNF165 interfaces with the TGFβ transcriptional

network, we first generated a SMAD3 genomic binding profile in WHIM12 cells, where

ZNF165 is essential for growth (Maxfield et al. 2015). WHIM12 cells were originally

developed from a patient-derived xenograft established from a triple-negative, highly chemo-

resistant tumor (Li et al. 2013). Moreover, these cells are classified within the claudin-low

molecular subtype of breast cancer, which exhibits activated TGFβ signaling (Prat et al.

2010; Asiedu et al. 2011; Sabatier et al. 2014). We found that SMAD3 binding is associated

with over >27,000 sites in WHIM12 cells, comparable to previous studies of SMAD3 binding

in TNBC cell lines (Tufegdzic Vidakovic et al. 2015). Intersecting SMAD3 and ZNF165-

associated genes revealed that >90% of ZNF165 target genes are also bound by SMAD3,

an overlap representing a statistically significant enrichment (p = 5.50e-120, hypergeometric

distribution) (Figure 1A). This is in agreement with our previous findings that ZNF165 targets

a significant fraction of the TGFβ-responsive transcriptome (Maxfield et al. 2015). Mapping

the distance between binding sites further revealed that 90% of shared targets were bound

by both transcription factors at a distance of less than 100 kb from one another (Figure 1B).

Furthermore, 36% of shared target genes had ZNF165 and SMAD3 binding sites within 1 kb,

a proximity at which both factors exhibited overlap on chromatin due to the broad enrichment

profile of SMAD3 as observed within our dataset (Figure 1B-E). We next established

ZNF165 and SMAD3 binding profiles in SUM159 cells, which are also classified within the

claudin-low molecular subtype and require ZNF165 for viability (Prat et al. 2010; Maxfield et

al. 2015). SMAD3 enrichment at the ZNF165 binding sites here closely resembled that of

WHIM12 cells (Figure 1C-E). Further analysis of SMAD3 binding in MDA-MB-231 cells

corroborated these findings (Figure 1-figure supplement 1A-C). Together these data

demonstrate that despite differences in the genetic backgrounds of TNBC tumor cells,

ZNF165 and SMAD3 exhibit significant co-occupancy on chromatin throughout the genome.

We next applied a motif discovery strategy to the 118 regions where both transcription

factors were bound within 1 kb of each other. More than 50% of these co-bound sites were

present in gene promoters (-1 kb or +100 bp from TSS) while SMAD3 binding sites were

relatively evenly distributed across genetic regions (Figure 1F). This analysis revealed that

GC-rich elements are significantly enriched at co-bound regions (n=118) compared to those

bound by ZNF165 alone (n=204) (Figure 1G and 1H). Such GC-rich SMAD binding elements

(SBEs) have recently been characterized as universal binding sites for receptor-activated

SMAD proteins, and SMAD3 can bind variants of these motifs with high affinity (Martin-

Malpartida et al. 2017). Thus, the GC-rich SMAD3 binding element may be an underlying

genomic feature of the ZNF165-occupied sites that facilitates co-occupancy. Collectively,

these data suggest that ZNF165 and SMAD3 may form cis-regulatory modules on chromatin

to direct TGFβ-mediated gene expression in TNBC. In support of this notion, gene set

enrichment analysis of ZNF165-responsive genes in WHIM12 cells revealed a significant

enrichment of genes involved in the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a SMAD3-

dependent process that promotes metastasis in response to TGFβ signaling (Figure 1-figure

supplement 1D) (Tian et al. 2003; Xu et al. 2009; Petersen et al. 2010). Consistent with this,

elevated ZNF165 expression in patients with breast cancer is associated with a greater

metastatic potential (Hazard Ratio (HR) = 1.4; p = 0.004) (Figure 1-figure supplement 1E).

ZNF165 and SMAD3 cooperate to modulate TGFβ-responsive gene expression

Given the enrichment of SMAD3 and ZNF165 at shared sites and their general proximity to

one another on chromatin, we next asked whether these transcription factors cooperate to

regulate expression of co-bound genes. We leveraged a previously generated gene

expression data set of TGFβ responsiveness in WHIM12 cells (Maxfield et al. 2015). Here,

we assembled a set of 65 TGFβ-responsive genes that are co-bound by SMAD3 and

ZNF165 (Figure 2-figure supplement 1A). We then compared the impacts of ZNF165 and

SMAD3 depletion on a subset of these genes. This analysis revealed a significant correlation

(r = 0.52) between expression fold-changes in response to depletion of either transcription

factor (Figure 2A). In particular, ZNF165 and SMAD3 were required to either activate or

repress expression of the majority of shared target genes (quadrant I and III, Figure 2A). We

also observed opposing effects of SMAD3 and ZNF165 on a smaller subset of genes,

suggesting that in some cases, the activities of these two proteins may oppose one another

(quadrant II and quadrant IV, Figure 2A).

The observed expression changes in Figure 2A suggest two modes of regulation for

ZNF165-SMAD3 targets. First, ZNF165 collaborates with SMAD3 to activate or oppose

TGFβ-mediated gene expression changes (quadrant I and III, Figure 2A). Alternatively,

ZNF165 can antagonize SMAD3-mediated activation or repression of shared target genes in

response to TGFβ (quadrant II and IV, Figure 2A). The majority of genes fell into the former

category, with *SMURF2* and *RRAD* being the two most responsive and were thus selected

as archetypes for follow-up analysis. Importantly, we found that RRAD and SMURF2 protein

accumulation corresponded to mRNA alterations upon ZNF165 or SMAD3 depletion (Figure

2B and 2C).

Given the observed collaborative function of ZNF165 and SMAD3 on target gene

expression, we next asked whether their association with chromatin was cooperative.

ZNF165 knockdown resulted in a ~50% reduction of SMAD3 enrichment at the ZNF165

binding sites proximal to both *SMURF2* and *RRAD* (Figure 2D). Importantly, SMAD3 binding

at the promoter of LBH, a site not co-bound by ZNF165, was unaffected by ZNF165

depletion. SMAD3 commonly recruits the histone acetyltransferase, p300, to activate gene

expression of its targets (Feng et al. 1998; Janknecht et al. 1998). Consistent with this, we

observed a reduction of H3K27ac at the ZNF165-SMAD3 binding site proximal to *RRAD* in

response to ZNF165 knockdown (Figure 2E). Conversely, an increase in H3K27ac was

detected upon ZNF165 overexpression (Figure 2F). These findings suggest that ZNF165 is

essential for recruitment of SMAD3 to shared target gene promoters and transcriptional

activation. We reasoned that this activity could promote expression of genes required for

tumorigenic phenotypes. Indeed, a report from 2001 indicated that RRAD is sufficient to

promote proliferation of breast tumor cells *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Tseng et al. 2001). Based on

this observation, we investigated breast cancer gene expression data sets for clinical

correlates with RRAD expression. In the mesenchymal subtype of TNBC, which is enriched

for active TGFβ signaling and an EMT gene expression signature, RRAD expression

correlated with reduced overall survival (HR = 2.31; *p* = 0.04) and greater metastatic

potential (HR = 3.18; *p* = 0.05) (Figure 2-figure supplement 1B) (Lehmann et al. 2011).

Conversely, in luminal tumors, which are traditionally ER[+] and exhibit tumor-suppressive

TGFβ signaling, RRAD expression correlated with improved overall survival (HR = 0.63; *p* =

0.01) and no correlation was observed with distant metastasis free survival (HR = 1.12; *p* =

0.55) (Figure 2-figure supplement 1C) (Perou et al. 2000; Sato et al. 2014). Moreover,

DepMap analysis revealed that RRAD perturbation generally leads to a reduction in 2D

growth of TNBC cell lines, and we found that RRAD was essential for growth of SUM159

cells in soft agar (Figure 2-figure supplement 1D and 1E). However, we observed an

enhanced growth phenotype in ER^{+} MCF7 cells, supporting the notion that TGFβ mediates

tumor-suppressive phenotypes in more differentiated breast cancer cells and pro-

tumorigenic effects in less differentiated cell types (Figure 2-figure supplement 1F) (Tian et

al. 2003; Massague 2008). Collectively, these data suggest that ZNF165 is capable of

directing SMAD3 recruitment to TGFβ-responsive target genes, whose altered expression

can support malignant behaviors in the context of TNBC.

ZNF165 physically associates with SMAD3 in a TGFβ-dependent manner

As ZNF165 appears to facilitate SMAD3 recruitment to chromatin, we asked whether these

proteins physically associate. Interaction studies using co-expression/co-

immunoprecipitation indicated an association between ZNF165 and SMAD3 (Figure 3A). In

addition, we found that ZNF165 interacts with the active, phosphorylated form of SMAD3 on

chromatin in SUM159 cells stably expressing ZNF165-V5 (Figure 3B). Activation of SMAD3

and its resulting nuclear translocation is dependent on the phosphorylation of its C-terminus

by TGFβRI in response to TGFβ (Massague 2012). Thus, we employed the serine/threonine

kinase inhibitor SB-431542, which selectively inhibits TGFβRI and downstream

phosphorylation of SMAD3, to assess whether the ZNF165-SMAD3 interaction is dependent

on pathway activation (Figure 3C) (Inman et al. 2002; Massague 2012). Proximity ligation

assays (PLAs) in SUM159 cells treated with TGFβ revealed an endogenous association

between ZNF165 and SMAD3, which was significantly diminished by pre-treatment with SB-

431542 (Figure 3D). Furthermore, we found that endogenous ZNF165 and SMAD4 also

interact in a TGFβ-dependent manner (Figure 3E). Taken together, these results indicate

that in response to TGFβ, ZNF165 physically associates with phosphorylated SMAD3.

ZNF446 is an obligate component of the ZNF165-SMAD3 transcriptional complex

We reasoned that ZNF165 and SMAD3 likely function within a multi-protein transcriptional

complex to mediate gene expression changes in response to TGFβ. Therefore, we searched

for additional ZNF165 interactors using proteomics data generated from a systematic yeast

two-hybrid (Y2H) screening approach (Rolland et al. 2014). This search returned 48 putative

ZNF165 interacting partners, 17 of which are likely transcriptional regulators based on the

presence of known gene regulatory domains (Supplementary File 2). To triage these

candidates, we focused on those containing a SCAN (SRE-ZBP, Ctfin51, AW-1 and Number

18) domain, which is well-documented to mediate specific interactions among SCAN-zinc

finger proteins (ZFPs) and the only domain within ZNF165 aside from its zinc finger array

(Figure 4A) (Edelstein and Collins 2005). We identified five putative interactors with SCAN

domains and examined the consequences of their depletion on *SMURF2* expression. Of the

proteins tested, ZNF446 and SCAND1 phenocopied the changes in gene expression

observed for ZNF165 and SMAD3 in two TNBC cell lines (Figure 4B). Examination of their

tumor expression patterns revealed that ZNF446 is upregulated in breast tumors, an

increase that trends with reduced survival in the triple-negative enriched basal-like subtype

of breast cancer (HR = 1.89; p = 0.059) (Figure 4-figure supplement 1A and 1B) (Perou et al.

2000; Sorlie et al. 2001). Moreover, we found that depletion of ZNF446 significantly reduced

anchorage-independent growth of TNBC cells (Figure 4-figure supplement 1C). Co-

expression/co-immunoprecipitation assays confirmed that ZNF165 and ZNF446 interact and

that deletion of the ZNF165 SCAN domain abrogates this association (Figure 4C). In

addition, an endogenous interaction between ZNF165 and ZNF446 was detectable in TNBC

tumor cell nuclear extracts, confirming these proteins interact in the relevant subcellular

compartment (Figure 4D). We then employed the SMAD3/4 PLA assay described above and

found that ZNF446 interacts with both SMAD3 and SMAD4 in a TGFβ-dependent fashion,

similar to ZNF165 (Figure 4E and 4F).

To determine if ZNF446 also associates with ZNF165-SMAD3 target genes, we

performed ChIP-seq for ZNF446-V5 stably expressed in WHIM12 and SUM159 cells. This

led to the identification of 5,039 and 7,926 binding sites in WHIM12 and SUM159 cells,

respectively. In both cell lines, ZNF446 was enriched at the ZNF165 binding sites (Figure 5A

and 5B; Figure 5-figure supplement 1A). Intersecting the ZNF446 and ZNF165 genomic

binding profiles further revealed a highly statistically significant overlap in both settings (p =

<0.0001) (Figure 5C and 5D). Approximately half of all ZNF446 binding sites in WHIM12

cells were also co-occupied by SMAD3 (Figure 5E and 5F; Figure 5-figure supplement 1B).

These co-bound sites were largely enriched for promoter regions compared to the total

binding sites for either factor (Figure 5-figure supplement 1C). Of further significance,

differential motif enrichment analysis revealed the presence of a short GC-rich element at

ZNF446-SMAD3 bound sites, closely resembling the motif we identified at ZNF165-SMAD3

bound sites and identical to the recently reported SMAD consensus binding motif (Figure 5G

and 5H; Figure 1G) (Martin-Malpartida et al. 2017).

With respect to target genes, we found that over half of those shared by ZNF165 and

SMAD3 (251/446) are also targeted by ZNF446 (Figure 5I). The impact of ZNF446 depletion

on expression these genes significantly correlated with both ZNF165 (r = 0.49) and SMAD3

(r = 0.86) (Figure 5J). Collectively, these findings implicate ZNF446 as a member of the

ZNF165-SMAD3 complex that can influence TGFβ-dependent transcription in TNBC.

TRIM27 is essential for ZNF165 transcriptional activity and tumor growth *in vivo*

ZNF446 contains a KRAB (Krüppel-associated box) domain, which is well documented to

interact with the RBCC (RING, B-box, coiled-coil) domain of proteins within the tripartite

motif (TRIM) family that in turn function as co-repressors or, as recently demonstrated, co-

activators (Friedman et al. 1996; Schultz et al. 2001; Schultz et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2019).

Y2H-based proteomics confirmed such an interaction of TRIM27, which contains the classic

RBCC domain, with ZNF446 (Figure 6A; Supplementary File 2). Originally identified as an

oncogenic fusion with the RET tyrosine kinase, TRIM27 has been ascribed roles in

numerous cellular processes that include transcriptional repression, proliferation, meiosis,

innate immunity, and endosomal trafficking (Takahashi et al. 1985; Shimono et al. 2000;

Shimono et al. 2003; Zha et al. 2006; Hao et al. 2013; Zaman et al. 2013; Zheng et al. 2015;

Ma et al. 2016). However, its function as a transcriptional regulator in TNBC has not been

characterized. Co-expression/co-immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that both

ZNF446 and ZNF165 interact with TRIM27 and that all three proteins immunoprecipitate as

a complex (Figure 6B). We subsequently confirmed a nuclear interaction between ZNF165-

V5 and endogenous TRIM27 by proximity ligation in SUM159 cells and found that TRIM27

depletion also phenocopied changes in ZNF165-SMAD3 target gene expression (r = 0.81)

(Figure 6C and 6D). Notably, TRIM27 appears to be bi-functional, either positively or

negatively regulating expression of the ZNF165-SMAD3 targets (Figure 6D). Indicative of a

co-activator function, we found that TRIM27 is required for the transcriptional activation of

RRAD following ZNF165 or ZNF446 overexpression (Figure 6E; Figure 6-figure supplement

1A). Similar to ZNF165 and ZNF446, proximity ligation assays revealed endogenous,

nuclear interactions between TRIM27 and both SMAD3 and SMAD4, which were reduced by

TGFβ pathway inhibition (Figure 6F and 6G).

TRIM27 expression is elevated in several cancers including colon, lung, endometrial and

ovarian, and often correlates with poor prognosis (Tsukamoto et al. 2009; Horio et al. 2012;

Iwakoshi et al. 2012; Zoumpoulidou et al. 2012; Ma et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2018). Using

TCGA data, we found that TRIM27 expression is significantly elevated in TNBC compared to

normal breast tissue (Figure 6-figure supplement 1B). Moreover, we stained a small cohort

of normal and TNBC tissues for TRIM27 and observed a striking difference in its subcellular

localization. TRIM27 appeared to be predominantly cytoplasmic in normal breast epithelia

and more nuclear in TNBC tissues, suggesting that its transcriptional activity might associate

with the transformed state (Figure 6-figure supplement 1C). This finding is in agreement with

previous reports and the Human Tissue Atlas, where TRIM27 is mostly cytoplasmic in

normal breast and nuclear in testis and a subset of breast cancer tissues (Tezel et al. 1999;

Tezel et al. 2009; Uhlen et al. 2015).

Given that TRIM27 was critical for ZNF165-dependent gene regulation, we next asked

whether TRIM27 was essential for malignant behaviors. While depletion of TRIM27 does not

seem to strongly affect TNBC tumor cell growth in 2D, we observed a significant defect in

anchorage-independent growth using soft agar assays (Figure 6-figure supplement 1D and

1E). We next perturbed TRIM27 as a means to inhibit the activity of the ZNF165

transcriptional complex and assess its impact on TNBC tumor growth and survival at the

orthotopic site in mice. Following stable depletion of TRIM27, SUM159 cells were injected

into the mammary fat pad of immunocompromised mice (Figure 6-figure supplement 1F and

1G). In this setting, we observed a significant decrease in both tumor growth rate and size,

suggesting that TRIM27 is essential for TNBC tumor growth *in vivo* and that inhibition of the

ZNF165 transcriptional complex may be a promising avenue of therapeutic intervention

(Figure 6H and 6I). Together, these results suggest that TRIM27 is a previously

unrecognized regulatory component of TGFβ signaling in TNBC and functions to modulate

TGFβ-dependent transcription in cooperation with ZNF165, ZNF446, and SMAD3.

DISCUSSION

Striking similarities between tumors and developing germ cells have been noted for over a

century (Simpson et al. 2005). As a result, it has been speculated that a fundamental

attribute of cancer is the usurpation of developmental signaling programs. Tumors frequently

activate expression of gametogenic genes, which encode a group of proteins termed

cancer/testis antigens (CT antigens) (Chen et al. 1997; Simpson et al. 2005). While these

proteins have long been considered optimal targets for immunotherapy, their function, if any,

in tumor cells has not been well-established.

In recent years, an increasing number of studies have begun to indicate that CT antigens

are not merely innocuous by-products of aberrant gene expression programs, but instead

can be essential to the progression of cancer. The list of tumor cell mechanisms regulated

by these proteins includes mitosis, protein turnover, mitigating DNA damage, and disrupting

normal transcriptional programs (Gibbs and Whitehurst 2018). This variety of biological

processes is attributable to the high degree of diversity in the functions of proteins classified

as CT antigens, and speaks to the ability of tumor cells to engage aberrant gene expression

programs in order to support their growth and survival. In support of this, our study of the

testis protein ZNF165 has revealed a previously unrecognized mechanism by which TGFβ

signaling is co-opted to promote malignancy in late-stage breast tumors.

Our findings expose a high degree of flexibility in tumor cell transcriptional networks. We

reason that this feature could be the result of the modular domains present in many

transcriptional regulators, particularly zinc finger proteins (ZFPs), which make up the largest

family of transcription factors in the human genome (Lambert et al. 2018). The vast majority

of these proteins contain KRAB, BTB/POZ, or SCAN domains, that mediate interactions with

transcriptional partners (Bellefroid et al. 1991; Bardwell and Treisman 1994; Albagli et al.

1995; Williams et al. 1995). The SCAN domain in particular regulates the assembly and thus

function of specific transcriptional complexes (Edelstein and Collins 2005). SCAN domains

are not promiscuous, but demonstrate selectivity for co-association, the basis of which is not

clear. Nevertheless, ectopic introduction of a SCAN domain-containing protein, such as

ZNF165, may drive assembly of a neomorphic transcriptional complex, ZNF165-ZNF446-

SMAD3-TRIM27, that is otherwise not present in somatic cells (Figure 7). This finding

highlights the importance of silencing lineage-specific transcription factors, as their

anomalous expression may be sufficient to disrupt transcriptional networks that regulate

tissue homeostasis.

The study of CT antigen function generally, and ZNF165 in particular, has the capacity to

reveal new principles underlying tumor cell regulatory networks. Specifically, our data

indicate that both ZNF446 and TRIM27 are complicit in the activation of oncogenic TGFβ

signaling in TNBC. While ZNF446 has been reported to act as a transcriptional repressor for

SRF and AP-1 via its KRAB domain, a physiological function for ZNF446 in either normal or

malignant contexts has not been reported (Liu et al. 2005). Our findings suggest that in

addition to functioning as a chromatin-bound cofactor for ZNF165 and SMAD3, ZNF446 may

recruit TRIM27 to modulate TGFβ-induced transcription. Furthermore, despite reports

describing only repressive capabilities of TRIM27, we find that TRIM27 can either activate or

repress expression of genes targeted by the ZNF165-ZNF446-SMAD3 complex (Shimono et

al. 2000; Shimono et al. 2003). This finding dovetails with a recent report demonstrating that

TRIM28 serves as a co-activator for ZFP30-mediated adipogenesis, and together these

studies begin to establish that tripartite motif proteins may be bi-functional with respect to

transcriptional repression or activation (Chen et al. 2019). TRIM27 is also a well

characterized E3 ligase and has been reported to modify numerous protein substrates via

ubiquitination and SUMOylation (Chu and Yang 2011; Zurek et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2013).

Future studies to address whether the catalytic activity of TRIM27 is required for ZNF165-

dependent transcriptional regulation are therefore crucial, as small molecule inhibitors of

TRIM27 could selectively disrupt pro-tumorigenic TGFβ signaling in TNBC.

An additional, unexpected finding is that *RRAD*, whose transcription is highly regulated by

the ZNF165 transcriptional complex, is a TGFβ target gene that can exert opposing

behaviors depending on context. TGFβ signaling is well known to drive pro-tumorigenic

phenotypes in less differentiated breast tumor cell types, such as TNBC, while facilitating

tumor suppression in more differentiated cell types (Tian et al. 2003; Massague 2008). Our

study indicates that RRAD contributes to such context-specific phenotypes conferred by

TGFβ signaling, which may help to explain extensive data that report opposing impacts of

RRAD expression in a variety of cancer types (Suzuki et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2010; Mo et al.

2012; Yeom et al. 2012). With respect to function, RRAD is a small GTPase reported to

negatively regulate L-type calcium channels in the heart, where its deficiency can promote

cardiac hypertrophy (Chang et al. 2007; Manning et al. 2013; Meza et al. 2013). In cancer,

RRAD has been implicated in numerous processes, including the regulation of glycolysis,

proliferation, NF-κB signaling, and apoptosis (Lee et al. 2010; Yeom et al. 2012; Hsiao et al.

2014; Zhang et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2015; Shang et al. 2016). Although the exact function of

RRAD in TNBC will need to be elucidated in future studies, the vast array of phenotypes

associated with this protein undoubtedly reflect the context within which it functions.

Ultimately, further investigation could indicate that RRAD itself is a tractable intervention

point in TNBC.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cell lines and transfections

Cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) except for: WHIM12

(Matthew Ellis, Baylor College of Medicine), SUM159 (Asterand), and SUM159T-Luceiferase

(Gray Pearson, Georgetown University). All cell lines were cultured in the provider's

recommended medium. For siRNA transfections, cells were trypsinized and seeded in Opti-

MEM™ containing Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and

pooled siRNAs at a final concentration of 50 nM. cDNA transfections in HEK293T cells were

performed using the calcium phosphate method as previously described (Maxfield et al.

2015).

Antibodies and reagents

The following antibodies were used for immunoblotting: HA (3F10; 1:1000; Roche, Basel,

Switzerland), c-Myc (sc-789; 1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Dallas, TX), V5 (13202;

1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), SMAD3 (ab28379; 1:1000; Abcam,

Cambridge, United Kingdom), phospho-SMAD3 (9520, 1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology),

SMURF2 (sc-393848; 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), RRAD (PA537885; 1:1000;

Thermo Fisher Scientific), ZNF165 (2B8; 1:1000; Novus Biologicals, Centennial, CO),

ZNF165 (HPA007247, 1:1000; Atlas Antibodies, Bromma, Sweden), Tubulin (2128; 1:1000;

Cell Signaling Technology), ZNF446 (16218-1-AP; 1:1000; Proteintech, Rosemont, IL),

TRIM27 (15099; 1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology). The following antibodies were used for

proximity ligation assays: V5 (R960-25; 1:500; Thermo Fisher Scientific), SMAD3 (ab28379;

1:200; Abcam), SMAD3 (sc-101154, 1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), SMAD4 (sc-7966;

1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), ZNF165 (HPA007247, 1:200; Atlas Antibodies), ZNF446

(16218-1-AP; 1:200; Proteintech), TRIM27 (12205-1-AP; 1:200, Proteintech). ChIP-qPCR

experiments were performed with 1.5 µg SMAD3 (ab28379; Abcam), 5 µg phospho-SMAD3

(9520; Cell Signaling Technology), 2 µg H3K27ac (ab4729; Abcam), or the appropriate

amount of normal rabbit IgG (2729; Cell Signaling Technology). ChIP-seq in WHIM12 and

SUM159 cells was performed with 10 µg SMAD3 (ab28379; Abcam) or 5 µg V5 (ab9116;

Abcam). Immunoprecipitations used for interaction studies were performed with HA (3F10;

Roche), V5 (R960-25; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and ZNF165 (HPA007247, 1:200; Atlas

Antibodies). IHC was performed using TRIM27 (12205-1-AP; Proteintech) at 1:200.

Transforming growth factor-β1 was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (8915) and

SB-431542 was purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, United Kingdom) (1614). siRNAs

targeting ZNF165, SMAD3, ZNF446, TRIM27, SCAND1, ZNF24, ZNF263, or ZKSCAN8, and

non-targeting control #2 were obtained from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO) (siGENOME

siRNA). siRNAs targeting RRAD (SASI_Hs01_00221658, SASI_Hs01_00221655,

SASI_Hs01_00221652, SASI_Hs01_00221653) and shRNAs targeting TRIM27 (SHCLNG-

NM_006510; TRCN0000280319 and TRCN0000011021) or a non-targeting control were

purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

Expression plasmids

ZNF165 and ZNF446 cDNA was purchased in pDONR223 vectors from the DNASU Plasmid

Repository (Tempe, AZ) and cloned into pLX302-V5 or pLX304-V5 lentiviral vectors,

respectively, using the Gateway™ Cloning system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). psPAX2 and

pMD2.G were gifts from Didier Trono (Addgene plasmids #12260 and #12259). SMAD3,

SMAD4, and TRIM27 cDNA was obtained in pENTR221 vectors from the UT Southwestern

(UTSW) McDermott Center for Human Genetics and subcloned into pCMV-HA or pCMV-

myc (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) between SalI and NotI restriction sites. pCMV6-ZNF165-

myc was obtained from Origene (Rockville, MD) (RC205600). The SCAN domain of pCMV6-

ZNF165-myc was deleted using overlap extension PCR and verified by sequencing and

western blot (primers listed in Supplementary File 1).

Generation of stable cell lines

Stable cell lines were generated through lentiviral-mediated transduction. HEK293T cells

were co-transfected with the target gene vectors (pLX302 or pLX304) and lentiviral

packaging plasmids (psPAX2 and pMD2.G). Virus conditioned media was collected 48h post

transfection and used to infect target cells in the presence of polybrene. Selection with

appropriate antibiotics was performed for 3 days following infection to generate stable

populations. ZNF165-V5 or ZNF446-V5 expression was confirmed by RT-qPCR, western

blotting, and immunofluorescence.

Western blotting and gene expression analysis

Western blotting was done as previously described (Maxfield et al. 2015). RNA was isolated

using the GenElute™ Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma), and 500 ng RNA was

reverse transcribed using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) according to manufacturer's instructions. Taqman Real-Time PCR (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) gene expression assays were run in technical duplicate to quantify expression on

an Applied Biosystems QuantStudio™ 5 Real-Time PCR System. Relative expression

values were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method and the data were normalized to RPL27

values. Catalog numbers for Taqman probes can be found in Supplementary File 1.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and sequencing (ChIP-seq)

Cells were grown to ~90% confluency and crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 15 minutes

at 37°C. Crosslinking was then quenched with 0.125 M glycine for 5 minutes at 4°C. Cells

were washed twice with cold PBS and lysed in hypotonic buffer (5 mM PIPES pH 8, 85 mM

KCl, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM DTT). All buffers were supplemented with Complete Protease

Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma). Nuclei were pelleted at 2,000 rpm for 5 minutes and resuspended

in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 7.9, 1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT.

Chromatin was sheared into 200-400 bp fragments using a Bioruptor sonicator (Diagenode),

clarified by centrifugation at max speed for 10 minutes, and diluted (1:10) in buffer containing

20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 0.5% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT. Lysates

were pre-cleared with Protein A/G agarose beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 hour and,

following removal of input material, incubated with appropriate antibodies overnight at 4°C.

Protein A/G agarose beads blocked with BSA were then added to lysates for 2 hours,

followed by one low salt wash (20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 125 mM NaCl, 0.05% SDS, 1% Triton X-

100, 2 mM EDTA), one high salt wash (20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl, 0.05% SDS, 1%

Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA), a more stringent wash (10 mM Tris pH 7.9, 250 mM LiCl, 1%

NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA), and a final wash in TE buffer (10 mM Tris

pH 7.9, 1 mM EDTA). For western blotting of immunoprecipitated proteins, beads were

boiled in 2X Laemmli sample buffer (containing 4% β-mercaptoethanol) for 5-10 minutes.

Otherwise, chromatin was eluted from beads with 100 mM NaHCO3 and 1% SDS, and de-

crosslinking was carried out overnight at 65°C. RNA and protein were digested with RNase

A (3 μg/mL) and Proteinase K (50 μg/mL), respectively. DNA was purified using

phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and precipitated overnight with isopropyl alcohol

at -20°C.

Libraries of ChIP DNA were prepared using the KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (KAPA Biosystems,

Cape Town, South Africa) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were

multiplexed with Illumina adapters (NEB, Ipswich, MA) and 75 bp single end reads (~50M

per sample) were generated on an Illumina NextSeq 500 (UTSW McDermott Center Next

Generation Sequencing Core). Raw reads were aligned to the human genome assembly

(hg19) using Bowtie2 (ver 2.3.2) with the "sensitive" parameters enabled, and the mapped

read files were sorted and indexed with SAMtools (ver 1.6). BigWig files for visualization on

the IGV genome browser (Robinson et al. 2011) were generated using DeepTools (ver

2.3.5). ChIP-seq was performed using biological duplicates for each sample. WHIM12

ZNF165-V5 ChIP-seq data was previously generated and is available under GEO accession

GSE65937. All other ChIP-seq datasets have been deposited under GEO accession

GSE130364.

ChIP-seq peak calling and annotation

Peaks were called using the HOMER (ver 4.9) findPeaks module with size and minimum

distance parameters set to 150 bp and 370 bp, respectively. Target genes for ZNF165,

SMAD3, and ZNF446 were defined for each transcription factor using GREAT version 3.0.0

(great.stanford.edu) with default parameters. The HOMER mergePeaks module was used to

identify overlapping peaks with a size parameter of 1000 bp. Tag density plots were

generated using the HOMER annotatePeaks module with size and bin size parameters set

to 5000 and 5 bp, respectively. The same module with the genomeOntology parameter

enabled was used to annotate the genomic regions associated with each factor. For

heatmap generation, the HOMER annotatePeaks module was used to create matrix files,

which were clustered with Cluster 3.0 and visualized with Java TreeView (ver 1.1.6r4). To

identify motifs enriched at co-bound sites, motif discovery was performed with MEME (ver

5.1.1) in "differential enrichment" mode. Motif density plots were generated using the

HOMER annotatePeaks module with size and bin size parameters set to 5000 and 5 bp,

respectively.

ChIP-qPCR

ChIP was performed as described above, and qPCR was carried out using SYBR green

reagents in technical duplicates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on an ABI QuantStudio™ 5 Real-

Time PCR System. Three ten-fold dilutions were used to generate standard curves and the

amount of ChIP DNA relative to corresponding input values (percent input) was calculated.

SMAD3 enrichment was determined relative to IgG percent input values within the same

treatment or condition. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary File 1.

Proximity ligation assays

Proximity ligation assays (PLAs) were performed using Duolink® reagents (Sigma)

according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells attached to glass coverslips were fixed

with 3.7% formaldehyde for 15 minutes and then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for

15 minutes. Blocking was performed for 1 hour at 37°C, and cells were incubated with

appropriate antibodies overnight at 4°C. After washing, coverslips were incubated with PLUS

(rabbit) and MINUS (mouse) secondary probes for 1 hour at 37°C. Ligation was performed

for 30 minutes at 37°C, followed by rolling circle amplification for 100 minutes at 37°C.

Coverslips were washed, mounted to glass slides in medium containing DAPI, and kept at

4°C. Cells were imaged using a Keyence BZ-X710 fluorescence microscope at 100x

magnification and 5-10 regions of interest were captured on each coverslip. PLA signals

were quantified using ImageJ by counting the average number of foci per nucleus in any

given image.

Immunoprecipitation

For co-expression/co-immunoprecipitation studies, cells were lysed for 30 minutes on ice in

non-denaturing lysis buffer (NDLB) containing 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1%

Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 25 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM

EGTA, 1 mM Na3VO4, 1 µg mL^{-1} pepstatin, 2 µg mL^{-1} leupeptin, 2 µg mL^{-1} aprotinin, and 10

µM bestatin. Lysates were clarified at 12,000g for 10 minutes and pre-cleared with Protein

A/G agarose beads for 1 hour at 4°C. 5% of the lysates were set aside as input material and

the remainder was immunoprecipitated with appropriate antibodies for 0.5-4 hours at 4°C.

Protein A/G agarose beads blocked with 0.5% BSA were added in the final 30 minutes of

immunoprecipitation. Beads were then washed three times in NDLB and once in NDLB

containing 500 mM NaCl. For endogenous immunoprecipitation of ZNF165, ~2x10^8 cells

were collected and lysed in a hypotonic buffer (5 mM PIPES pH 8, 85 mM KCl, 0.5% NP-40)

supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma) for 20 minutes. Nuclei were

pelleted at 2,000 rpm for 5 minutes and resuspended in RIPA buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4,

150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% SDS, 25 mM β-

glycerophosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM Na3VO4) supplemented with Complete

Protease Inhibitor Cocktail. Nuclear lysis was facilitated by gentle agitation at 4°C with

intermittent sonication (5-10 pulses at 5 seconds each). Lysates were clarified at 16,000g for

10 minutes, concentrated to a volume of 1-2 mL, and pre-cleared with Protein A/G agarose

beads for 1 hour at 4°C. 5% of the lysates were set aside as input material and the

remainder was subjected to immunoprecipitation overnight at 4°C. The next day, Protein A/G

agarose beads blocked with BSA were added to lysates for 2 hours, followed by four washes

in a buffer containing 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 25 mM β-

glycerophosphate, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM Na3VO4, and Complete Protease

Inhibitor Cocktail. Immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted in 2X Laemmli sample buffer

(containing 4% β-mercaptoethanol) by boiling for 5-10 minutes.

Soft agar assays

4×10^3 SUM159 or MCF7 cells were trypsinized, counted, and resuspended in 0.366%

Bacto agar in complete medium and seeded on a layer of solidified 0.5% Bacto agar in 6-

well plates 48 hours post-transfection. After solidification, another layer of complete medium

containing 2X FBS was added. Cells were grown for 14 days (fed every 3 days) and then

stained with 0.05% crystal violet in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) overnight. Images were

taken using a LEICA MZ75 stereomicroscope at a magnification of 6.3X and colony number

was quantified using ImageJ software.

Tumor expression and patient survival analysis

Normal/tumor expression data for ZNF446 and TRIM27 was generated by the TCGA

Research Network (https://www.cancer.gov/tcga) and visualized with Oncomine and

UALCAN, respectively (Rhodes et al. 2004; Chandrashekar et al. 2017). Survival analysis

was performed using Kaplan Meier plotter (Gyorffy et al. 2010). Hazard ratios and p-values

were calculated using the logrank test.

Human breast tissue immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Normal breast and TNBC tissues were obtained with informed consent from the UTSW

Tissue Management Shared Resource (TMSR) in compliance with the UTSW Internal

Review Board committee. TRIM27 IHC was optimized and performed by the TMSR

according to internal protocols using the Dako Autostainer Link 48 system. Quantification of

nuclear TRIM27 signal was performed using IHC Profiler (ImageJ) according to the

developer's guidelines (Varghese et al. 2014).

Orthotopic xenografts

SUM159T-Luciferase cells (5×10^5) stably expressing TRIM27 shRNAs or a non-targeting

hairpin were injected in the mammary fat pad of 10-week old female NOD.Cg-*Prkdc^scid^*

*Il2rg^tm1Wjl^*/SzJ (NSG) mice obtained from the Jackson Laboratory as previously described

(Westcott et al. 2015). Ten mice were injected per group. Tumors were measured weekly

using a digital caliper and volume (V) was calculated using the following formula: V =

(Length x Width x Width)/2. Starting four weeks post injection, tumors were measured

weekly with bioluminescent imaging (BLI). At six weeks post injection, mice were sacrificed

after obtaining final BLI measurements. These studies were conducted in accordance with a

UT Southwestern Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approved protocol.

Statistical analysis

Graphpad Prism (Graphpad Software) was used to perform statistical analyses. Data were

assessed by one or two-tailed, unpaired t-tests or Mann-Whitney, or hypergeometric

distribution. P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. Biological replicates were

considered to be experiments performed on distinct samples, while technical replicates were

considered identical samples run in parallel.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the Center for Cancer Systems Biology (CCSB) at the Dana-Farber Cancer

Institute for providing access to the human protein interactome database, and Melanie Cobb

and Michael Kalwat for comments and suggestions related to this study. We would also like

to acknowledge the assistance of the UTSW Tissue Management Shared Resource and the

UTSW Small Animal Imaging Resource, which are supported in part by the Harold C.

Simmons Cancer Center through an NCI Cancer Center Support Grant (5P30CA142543).

This work was supported by a donation from the families of Todd and Dawn Aaron and

Steven L. and Carol Aaron.

REFERENCES

Albagli O, Dhordain P, Deweindt C, Lecocq G, Leprince D. 1995. The BTB/POZ domain: a
new protein-protein interaction motif common to DNA- and actin-binding proteins.
Cell Growth Differ **6**: 1193-1198.
Asiedu MK, Ingle JN, Behrens MD, Radisky DC, Knutson KL. 2011. TGFbeta/TNF(alpha)-
mediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition generates breast cancer stem cells with
a claudin-low phenotype. *Cancer Res* **71**: 4707-4719.
Atanackovic D, Arfsten J, Cao Y, Gnjatic S, Schnieders F, Bartels K, Schilling G, Faltz C,
Wolschke C, Dierlamm J et al. 2007. Cancer-testis antigens are commonly
expressed in multiple myeloma and induce systemic immunity following allogeneic
stem cell transplantation. *Blood* **109**: 1103-1112.
Bardwell VJ, Treisman R. 1994. The POZ domain: a conserved protein-protein interaction
motif. *Genes Dev* **8**: 1664-1677.
Bellefroid EJ, Poncelet DA, Lecocq PJ, Revelant O, Martial JA. 1991. The evolutionarily
conserved Kruppel-associated box domain defines a subfamily of eukaryotic
multifingered proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **88**: 3608-3612.
Bhola NE, Balko JM, Dugger TC, Kuba MG, Sanchez V, Sanders M, Stanford J, Cook RS,
Arteaga CL. 2013. TGF-beta inhibition enhances chemotherapy action against triple-
negative breast cancer. *J Clin Invest* **123**: 1348-1358.
Cappell KM, Sinnott R, Taus P, Maxfield K, Scarbrough M, Whitehurst AW. 2012. Multiple
cancer testis antigens function to support tumor cell mitotic fidelity. *Mol Cell Biol* **32**:
4131-4140.
Challen GA, Boles NC, Chambers SM, Goodell MA. 2010. Distinct hematopoietic stem cell
subtypes are differentially regulated by TGF-beta1. *Cell Stem Cell* **6**: 265-278.
Chandrashekar DS, Bashel B, Balasubramanya SAH, Creighton CJ, Ponce-Rodriguez I,
Chakravarthi B, Varambally S. 2017. UALCAN: A Portal for Facilitating Tumor
Subgroup Gene Expression and Survival Analyses. *Neoplasia* **19**: 649-658.
Chang L, Zhang J, Tseng YH, Xie CQ, Ilany J, Bruning JC, Sun Z, Zhu X, Cui T, Youker KA
et al. 2007. Rad GTPase deficiency leads to cardiac hypertrophy. *Circulation* **116**:
2976-2983.
Chen CR, Kang Y, Siegel PM, Massague J. 2002. E2F4/5 and p107 as Smad cofactors
linking the TGFbeta receptor to c-myc repression. *Cell* **110**: 19-32.
Chen W, Schwalie PC, Pankevich EV, Gubelmann C, Raghav SK, Dainese R, Cassano M,
Imbeault M, Jang SM, Russeil J et al. 2019. ZFP30 promotes adipogenesis through
the KAP1-mediated activation of a retrotransposon-derived Pparg2 enhancer. *Nat*
Commun **10**: 1809.
Chen YT, Scanlan MJ, Sahin U, Tureci O, Gure AO, Tsang S, Williamson B, Stockert E,
Pfreundschuh M, Old LJ. 1997. A testicular antigen aberrantly expressed in human
cancers detected by autologous antibody screening. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**:
1914-1918.
Chu Y, Yang X. 2011. SUMO E3 ligase activity of TRIM proteins. *Oncogene* **30**: 1108-1116.
Edelstein LC, Collins T. 2005. The SCAN domain family of zinc finger transcription factors.
Gene **359**: 1-17.

Feng XH, Zhang Y, Wu RY, Derynck R. 1998. The tumor suppressor Smad4/DPC4 and
644   transcriptional adaptor CBP/p300 are coactivators for smad3 in TGF-beta-induced
645   transcriptional activation. *Genes Dev* **12**: 2153-2163.
646   Fijak M, Meinhardt A. 2006. The testis in immune privilege. *Immunol Rev* **213**: 66-81.
647   Friedman JR, Fredericks WJ, Jensen DE, Speicher DW, Huang XP, Neilson EG, Rauscher
648   FJ, 3rd. 1996. KAP-1, a novel corepressor for the highly conserved KRAB repression
649   domain. *Genes Dev* **10**: 2067-2078.
650   Gallegos ZR, Taus P, Gibbs ZA, McGlynn K, Gomez NC, Davis I, Whitehurst AW. 2019.
651   EWSR1-FLI1 Activation of the Cancer/Testis Antigen FATE1 Promotes Ewing
652   Sarcoma Survival. *Mol Cell Biol* **39**.
653   Gibbs ZA, Whitehurst AW. 2018. Emerging Contributions of Cancer/Testis Antigens to
654   Neoplastic Behaviors. *Trends Cancer* **4**: 701-712.
655   Gomis RR, Alarcon C, He W, Wang Q, Seoane J, Lash A, Massague J. 2006. A FoxO-Smad
656   synexpression group in human keratinocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**: 12747-
657   12752.
658   Goumans MJ, Valdimarsdottir G, Itoh S, Rosendahl A, Sideras P, ten Dijke P. 2002.
659   Balancing the activation state of the endothelium via two distinct TGF-beta type I
660   receptors. *EMBO J* **21**: 1743-1753.
661   Gyorffy B, Lanczky A, Eklund AC, Denkert C, Budczies J, Li Q, Szallasi Z. 2010. An online
662   survival analysis tool to rapidly assess the effect of 22,277 genes on breast cancer
663   prognosis using microarray data of 1,809 patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **123**:
664   725-731.
665   Hannon GJ, Beach D. 1994. p15INK4B is a potential effector of TGF-beta-induced cell cycle
666   arrest. *Nature* **371**: 257-261.
667   Hao YH, Doyle JM, Ramanathan S, Gomez TS, Jia D, Xu M, Chen ZJ, Billadeau DD, Rosen
668   MK, Potts PR. 2013. Regulation of WASH-dependent actin polymerization and
669   protein trafficking by ubiquitination. *Cell* **152**: 1051-1064.
670   Horio M, Kato T, Mii S, Enomoto A, Asai M, Asai N, Murakumo Y, Shibata K, Kikkawa F,
671   Takahashi M. 2012. Expression of RET finger protein predicts chemoresistance in
672   epithelial ovarian cancer. *Cancer Med* **1**: 218-229.
673   Hosoya N, Okajima M, Kinomura A, Fujii Y, Hiyama T, Sun J, Tashiro S, Miyagawa K. 2011.
674   Synaptonemal complex protein SYCP3 impairs mitotic recombination by interfering
675   with BRCA2. *EMBO Rep* **13**: 44-51.
676   Hsiao BY, Chang TK, Wu IT, Chen MY. 2014. Rad GTPase inhibits the NFkappaB pathway
677   through interacting with RelA/p65 to impede its DNA binding and target gene
678   transactivation. *Cell Signal* **26**: 1437-1444.
679   Inman GJ, Nicolas FJ, Callahan JF, Harling JD, Gaster LM, Reith AD, Laping NJ, Hill CS.
680   2002. SB-431542 is a potent and specific inhibitor of transforming growth factor-beta
681   superfamily type I activin receptor-like kinase (ALK) receptors ALK4, ALK5, and
682   ALK7. *Mol Pharmacol* **62**: 65-74.
683   Iwakoshi A, Murakumo Y, Kato T, Kitamura A, Mii S, Saito S, Yatabe Y, Takahashi M. 2012.
684   RET finger protein expression is associated with prognosis in lung cancer with
685   epidermal growth factor receptor mutations. *Pathol Int* **62**: 324-330.
686   Janknecht R, Wells NJ, Hunter T. 1998. TGF-beta-stimulated cooperation of smad proteins
687   with the coactivators CBP/p300. *Genes Dev* **12**: 2114-2119.
688   Lambert SA, Jolma A, Campitelli LF, Das PK, Yin Y, Albu M, Chen X, Taipale J, Hughes TR,
689   Weirauch MT. 2018. The Human Transcription Factors. *Cell* **172**: 650-665.
690   Lee I, Yeom SY, Lee SJ, Kang WK, Park C. 2010. A novel senescence-evasion mechanism
691   involving Grap2 and Cyclin D interacting protein inactivation by Ras associated with
692   diabetes in cancer cells under doxorubicin treatment. *Cancer Res* **70**: 4357-4365.
693   Lee JT, Shan J, Zhong J, Li M, Zhou B, Zhou A, Parsons R, Gu W. 2013. RFP-mediated
694   ubiquitination of PTEN modulates its effect on AKT activation. *Cell Res* **23**: 552-564.

Lehmann BD, Bauer JA, Chen X, Sanders ME, Chakravarthy AB, Shyr Y, Pietenpol JA.
2011. Identification of human triple-negative breast cancer subtypes and preclinical
models for selection of targeted therapies. *J Clin Invest* **121**: 2750-2767.
Li S, Shen D, Shao J, Crowder R, Liu W, Prat A, He X, Liu S, Hoog J, Lu C et al. 2013.
Endocrine-therapy-resistant ESR1 variants revealed by genomic characterization of
breast-cancer-derived xenografts. *Cell Rep* **4**: 1116-1130.
Li TF, O'Keefe RJ, Chen D. 2005. TGF-beta signaling in chondrocytes. *Front Biosci* **10**: 681-
688.
Liu F, Zhu C, Xiao J, Wang Y, Tang W, Yuan W, Zhao Y, Li Y, Xiang Z, Wu X et al. 2005. A
novel human KRAB-containing zinc-finger gene ZNF446 inhibits transcriptional
activities of SRE and AP-1. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **333**: 5-13.
Liu J, Zhang C, Wu R, Lin M, Liang Y, Liu J, Wang X, Yang B, Feng Z. 2015. RRAD inhibits
the Warburg effect through negative regulation of the NF-kappaB signaling.
Oncotarget **6**: 14982-14992.
Ma Y, Wei Z, Bast RC, Jr., Wang Z, Li Y, Gao M, Liu Y, Wang X, Guo C, Zhang L et al.
2016. Downregulation of TRIM27 expression inhibits the proliferation of ovarian
cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. *Lab Invest* **96**: 37-48.
Manning JR, Yin G, Kaminski CN, Magyar J, Feng HZ, Penn J, Sievert G, Thompson K, Jin
JP, Andres DA et al. 2013. Rad GTPase deletion increases L-type calcium channel
current leading to increased cardiac contraction. *J Am Heart Assoc* **2**: e000459.
Martin-Malpartida P, Batet M, Kaczmarska Z, Freier R, Gomes T, Aragon E, Zou Y, Wang Q,
Xi Q, Ruiz L et al. 2017. Structural basis for genome wide recognition of 5-bp GC
motifs by SMAD transcription factors. *Nat Commun* **8**: 2070.
Massague J. 2008. TGFbeta in Cancer. *Cell* **134**: 215-230.
-. 2012. TGFbeta signalling in context. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **13**: 616-630.
Maxfield KE, Taus PJ, Corcoran K, Wooten J, Macion J, Zhou Y, Borromeo M, Kollipara RK,
Yan J, Xie Y et al. 2015. Comprehensive functional characterization of cancer-testis
antigens defines obligate participation in multiple hallmarks of cancer. *Nat Commun*
6: 8840.
Meza U, Beqollari D, Romberg CF, Papadopoulos S, Bannister RA. 2013. Potent inhibition
of L-type Ca2+ currents by a Rad variant associated with congestive heart failure.
Biochem Biophys Res Commun **439**: 270-274.
Mo Y, Midorikawa K, Zhang Z, Zhou X, Ma N, Huang G, Hiraku Y, Oikawa S, Murata M.
2012. Promoter hypermethylation of Ras-related GTPase gene RRAD inactivates a
tumor suppressor function in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* **323**: 147-154.
Morikawa M, Derynck R, Miyazono K. 2016. TGF-beta and the TGF-beta Family: Context-
Dependent Roles in Cell and Tissue Physiology. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **8**.
Mullen AC, Orlando DA, Newman JJ, Loven J, Kumar RM, Bilodeau S, Reddy J, Guenther
MG, DeKoter RP, Young RA. 2011. Master transcription factors determine cell-type-
specific responses to TGF-beta signaling. *Cell* **147**: 565-576.
Nichols BA, Oswald NW, McMillan EA, McGlynn K, Yan J, Kim MS, Saha J, Mallipeddi PL,
LaDuke SA, Villalobos PA et al. 2018. HORMAD1 Is a Negative Prognostic Indicator
in Lung Adenocarcinoma and Specifies Resistance to Oxidative and Genotoxic
Stress. *Cancer Res* **78**: 6196-6208.
Padua D, Zhang XH, Wang Q, Nadal C, Gerald WL, Gomis RR, Massague J. 2008.
TGFbeta primes breast tumors for lung metastasis seeding through angiopoietin-like
4. *Cell* **133**: 66-77.
Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, Pollack JR, Ross DT,
Johnsen H, Akslen LA et al. 2000. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours.
Nature **406**: 747-752.
Petersen M, Pardali E, van der Horst G, Cheung H, van den Hoogen C, van der Pluijm G,
Ten Dijke P. 2010. Smad2 and Smad3 have opposing roles in breast cancer bone
metastasis by differentially affecting tumor angiogenesis. *Oncogene* **29**: 1351-1361.

Pineda CT, Ramanathan S, Fon Tacer K, Weon JL, Potts MB, Ou YH, White MA, Potts PR. 2015. Degradation of AMPK by a cancer-specific ubiquitin ligase. *Cell* **160**: 715-728.

Polyak K, Kato JY, Solomon MJ, Sherr CJ, Massague J, Roberts JM, Koff A. 1994. p27Kip1, a cyclin-Cdk inhibitor, links transforming growth factor-beta and contact inhibition to cell cycle arrest. *Genes Dev* **8**: 9-22.

Prat A, Parker JS, Karginova O, Fan C, Livasy C, Herschkowitz JI, He X, Perou CM. 2010. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of the claudin-low intrinsic subtype of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* **12**: R68.

Rhodes DR, Yu J, Shanker K, Deshpande N, Varambally R, Ghosh D, Barrette T, Pandey A, Chinnaiyan AM. 2004. ONCOMINE: a cancer microarray database and integrated data-mining platform. *Neoplasia* **6**: 1-6.

Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP. 2011. Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 24-26.

Rolland T, Tasan M, Charloteaux B, Pevzner SJ, Zhong Q, Sahni N, Yi S, Lemmens I, Fontanillo C, Mosca R et al. 2014. A proteome-scale map of the human interactome network. *Cell* **159**: 1212-1226.

Sabatier R, Finetti P, Guille A, Adelaide J, Chaffanet M, Viens P, Birnbaum D, Bertucci F. 2014. Claudin-low breast cancers: clinical, pathological, molecular and prognostic characterization. *Mol Cancer* **13**: 228.

Sahin U, Koslowski M, Tureci O, Eberle T, Zwick C, Romeike B, Moringlane JR, Schwechheimer K, Feiden W, Pfreundschuh M. 2000. Expression of cancer testis genes in human brain tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* **6**: 3916-3922.

Sahin U, Tureci O, Schmitt H, Cochlovius B, Johannes T, Schmits R, Stenner F, Luo G, Schobert I, Pfreundschuh M. 1995. Human neoplasms elicit multiple specific immune responses in the autologous host. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **92**: 11810-11813.

Sato M, Kadota M, Tang B, Yang HH, Yang YA, Shan M, Weng J, Welsh MA, Flanders KC, Nagano Y et al. 2014. An integrated genomic approach identifies persistent tumor suppressive effects of transforming growth factor-beta in human breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res* **16**: R57.

Schultz DC, Ayyanathan K, Negorev D, Maul GG, Rauscher FJ, 3rd. 2002. SETDB1: a novel KAP-1-associated histone H3, lysine 9-specific methyltransferase that contributes to HP1-mediated silencing of euchromatic genes by KRAB zinc-finger proteins. *Genes Dev* **16**: 919-932.

Schultz DC, Friedman JR, Rauscher FJ, 3rd. 2001. Targeting histone deacetylase complexes via KRAB-zinc finger proteins: the PHD and bromodomains of KAP-1 form a cooperative unit that recruits a novel isoform of the Mi-2alpha subunit of NuRD. *Genes Dev* **15**: 428-443.

Shang R, Wang J, Sun W, Dai B, Ruan B, Zhang Z, Yang X, Gao Y, Qu S, Lv X et al. 2016. RRAD inhibits aerobic glycolysis, invasion, and migration and is associated with poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Tumour Biol* **37**: 5097-5105.

Shimono Y, Murakami H, Hasegawa Y, Takahashi M. 2000. RET finger protein is a transcriptional repressor and interacts with enhancer of polycomb that has dual transcriptional functions. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 39411-39419.

Shimono Y, Murakami H, Kawai K, Wade PA, Shimokata K, Takahashi M. 2003. Mi-2 beta associates with BRG1 and RET finger protein at the distinct regions with transcriptional activating and repressing abilities. *J Biol Chem* **278**: 51638-51645.

Simpson AJ, Caballero OL, Jungbluth A, Chen YT, Old LJ. 2005. Cancer/testis antigens, gametogenesis and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **5**: 615-625.

Sorlie T, Perou CM, Tibshirani R, Aas T, Geisler S, Johnsen H, Hastie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS et al. 2001. Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**: 10869-10874.

Suzuki M, Shigematsu H, Shames DS, Sunaga N, Takahashi T, Shivapurkar N, Iizasa T,
Minna JD, Fujisawa T, Gazdar AF. 2007. Methylation and gene silencing of the Ras-
related GTPase gene in lung and breast cancers. *Ann Surg Oncol* **14**: 1397-1404.
Takahashi M, Ritz J, Cooper GM. 1985. Activation of a novel human transforming gene, ret,
by DNA rearrangement. *Cell* **42**: 581-588.
Tezel G, Nagasaka T, Iwahashi N, Asai N, Iwashita T, Sakata K, Takahashi M. 1999.
Different nuclear/cytoplasmic distributions of RET finger protein in different cell types.
Pathol Int **49**: 881-886.
Tezel GG, Uner A, Yildiz I, Guler G, Takahashi M. 2009. RET finger protein expression in
invasive breast carcinoma: relationship between RFP and ErbB2 expression. *Pathol*
Res Pract **205**: 403-408.
Thomas R, Al-Khadairi G, Roelands J, Hendrickx W, Dermime S, Bedognetti D, Decock J.
2018. NY-ESO-1 Based Immunotherapy of Cancer: Current Perspectives. *Front*
Immunol **9**: 947.
Tian F, DaCosta Byfield S, Parks WT, Yoo S, Felici A, Tang B, Piek E, Wakefield LM,
Roberts AB. 2003. Reduction in Smad2/3 signaling enhances tumorigenesis but
suppresses metastasis of breast cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res* **63**: 8284-8292.
Tirosvoutis KN, Divane A, Jones M, Affara NA. 1995. Characterization of a novel zinc finger
gene (ZNF165) mapping to 6p21 that is expressed specifically in testis. *Genomics*
28: 485-490.
Tseng YH, Vicent D, Zhu J, Niu Y, Adeyinka A, Moyers JS, Watson PH, Kahn CR. 2001.
Regulation of growth and tumorigenicity of breast cancer cells by the low molecular
weight GTPase Rad and nm23. *Cancer Res* **61**: 2071-2079.
Tsukamoto H, Kato T, Enomoto A, Nakamura N, Shimono Y, Jijiwa M, Asai N, Murakumo Y,
Shibata K, Kikkawa F et al. 2009. Expression of Ret finger protein correlates with
outcomes in endometrial cancer. *Cancer Sci* **100**: 1895-1901.
Tufegdzic Vidakovic A, Rueda OM, Vervoort SJ, Sati Batra A, Goldgraben MA, Uribe-Lewis
S, Greenwood W, Coffer PJ, Bruna A, Caldas C. 2015. Context-Specific Effects of
TGF-beta/SMAD3 in Cancer Are Modulated by the Epigenome. *Cell Rep* **13**: 2480-
2490.
Uhlen M, Fagerberg L, Hallstrom BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, Sivertsson A,
Kampf C, Sjostedt E, Asplund A et al. 2015. Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the
human proteome. *Science* **347**: 1260419.
van der Bruggen P, Traversari C, Chomez P, Lurquin C, De Plaen E, Van den Eynde B,
Knuth A, Boon T. 1991. A gene encoding an antigen recognized by cytolytic T
lymphocytes on a human melanoma. *Science* **254**: 1643-1647.
Varghese F, Bukhari AB, Malhotra R, De A. 2014. IHC Profiler: an open source plugin for the
quantitative evaluation and automated scoring of immunohistochemistry images of
human tissue samples. *PLoS One* **9**: e96801.
Viphakone N, Cumberbatch MG, Livingstone MJ, Heath PR, Dickman MJ, Catto JW, Wilson
SA. 2015. Luzp4 defines a new mRNA export pathway in cancer cells. *Nucleic Acids*
Res **43**: 2353-2366.
Wang C, Gu Y, Zhang K, Xie K, Zhu M, Dai N, Jiang Y, Guo X, Liu M, Dai J et al. 2016.
Systematic identification of genes with a cancer-testis expression pattern in 19
cancer types. *Nat Commun* **7**: 10499.
Westcott JM, Prechtl AM, Maine EA, Dang TT, Esparza MA, Sun H, Zhou Y, Xie Y, Pearson
GW. 2015. An epigenetically distinct breast cancer cell subpopulation promotes
collective invasion. *J Clin Invest* **125**: 1927-1943.
Whitehurst AW. 2014. Cause and consequence of cancer/testis antigen activation in cancer.
Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol **54**: 251-272.
Whitehurst AW, Xie Y, Purinton SC, Cappell KM, Swanik JT, Larson B, Girard L, Schorge
JO, White MA. 2010. Tumor antigen acrosin binding protein normalizes mitotic
spindle function to promote cancer cell proliferation. *Cancer Res* **70**: 7652-7661.

Williams AJ, Khachigian LM, Shows T, Collins T. 1995. Isolation and characterization of a
novel zinc-finger protein with transcription repressor activity. *J Biol Chem* **270**:
22143-22152.
Xu J, Lamouille S, Derynck R. 2009. TGF-beta-induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition.
Cell Res **19**: 156-172.
Yeom SY, Lee SJ, Kim WS, Park C. 2012. Rad knockdown induces mitochondrial apoptosis
in bortezomib resistant leukemia and lymphoma cells. *Leuk Res* **36**: 1172-1178.
Zaman MM, Nomura T, Takagi T, Okamura T, Jin W, Shinagawa T, Tanaka Y, Ishii S. 2013.
Ubiquitination-deubiquitination by the TRIM27-USP7 complex regulates tumor
necrosis factor alpha-induced apoptosis. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**: 4971-4984.
Zha J, Han KJ, Xu LG, He W, Zhou Q, Chen D, Zhai Z, Shu HB. 2006. The Ret finger protein
inhibits signaling mediated by the noncanonical and canonical IkappaB kinase family
members. *J Immunol* **176**: 1072-1080.
Zhang C, Liu J, Wu R, Liang Y, Lin M, Liu J, Chan CS, Hu W, Feng Z. 2014. Tumor
suppressor p53 negatively regulates glycolysis stimulated by hypoxia through its
target RRAD. *Oncotarget* **5**: 5535-5546.
Zhang Y, Feng Y, Ji D, Wang Q, Qian W, Wang S, Zhang Z, Ji B, Zhang C, Sun Y et al.
2018. TRIM27 functions as an oncogene by activating epithelial-mesenchymal
transition and p-AKT in colorectal cancer. *Int J Oncol* **53**: 620-632.
Zheng Q, Hou J, Zhou Y, Yang Y, Xie B, Cao X. 2015. Siglec1 suppresses antiviral innate
immune response by inducing TBK1 degradation via the ubiquitin ligase TRIM27.
Cell Res **25**: 1121-1136.
Zoumpoulidou G, Broceno C, Li H, Bird D, Thomas G, Mittnacht S. 2012. Role of the
tripartite motif protein 27 in cancer development. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **104**: 941-952.
Zurek B, Schoultz I, Neerincx A, Napolitano LM, Birkner K, Bennek E, Sellge G, Lerm M,
Meroni G, Soderholm JD et al. 2012. TRIM27 negatively regulates NOD2 by
ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. *PLoS One* **7**: e41255.

Figure 1. SMAD3 is enriched at ZNF165 binding sites in TNBC cells. (A) Venn diagram displaying the overlap between ZNF165 and SMAD3 target genes in WHIM12 cells as identified by GREAT (ver 3.0.0). Significance was determined using the hypergeometric distribution. (B) Cumulative frequency distribution of the nearest distance between ZNF165 and SMAD3 binding sites associated with each shared target gene. Distance was calculated using the peak coordinates of each factor nearest to one another. (C) Browser tracks of ChIP-seq data showing peaks for ZNF165 and SMAD3 near the *CDC25B* and *BCAR1* loci with overlapping distances of 223 and 680 bp, respectively. (W) WHIM12, (S) SUM159. (D) Heatmaps of ChIP-seq data for ZNF165 and SMAD3 in the indicated TNBC cell lines. All peaks within each heatmap are centered ± 2.5 kb from the ZNF165 peaks identified in WHIM12 cells (n=322). (E) Normalized read density (per bp per peak) for SMAD3 plotted ± 2.5 kb from the ZNF165-SMAD3 co-bound peaks identified in WHIM12 cells (n=118). (F) Pie charts displaying the distribution of genomic features bound by ZNF165-SMAD3 or only SMAD3 in WHIM12 cells. (G) Motifs enriched at ZNF165-SMAD3 co-bound sites within WHIM12 cells. The 204 ZNF165 binding sites not occupied by SMAD3 were used as a control set of sequences to identify differentially enriched motifs at the shared sites (n=118). (H) Motif density (per bp per peak) for the GCCG(G|C)C motif plotted ± 2.5 kb from the centers of ZNF165-SMAD3 co-bound peaks in WHIM12 cells.

Figure 1-figure supplement 1. SMAD3 is enriched at ZNF165 binding sites in TNBC cells. (A) Heatmaps of SMAD3 ChIP-seq data in WHIM12, SUM159, and MDA-MB-231 cells. All peaks within each heatmap are centered ± 2.5 kb from the SMAD3 peaks identified in WHIM12 cells (n=27,979). Data for MDA-MB-231 cells were obtained from EGAS00001001570 (Tufegdzic Vidakovic et al. 2015). (B) Venn diagram displaying the overlap between SMAD3 peaks identified in each cell line. (C) Browser tracks of ChIP-seq data showing peaks for ZNF165 and SMAD3 near the *CDC25B* and *BCAR1* loci with overlapping distances of 223 and 680 bp, respectively. (W) WHIM12, (S) SUM159, (M) MDA-MB-231. (D) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of ZNF165-responsive genes in WHIM12 cells. Transcriptome data for ZNF165 knockdown was obtained from GSE63984 (Maxfield et al. 2015). (E) Kaplan-Meier plot displaying distant metastasis free survival in breast cancer patients with high or low ZNF165 expression. P-value and hazard ratio (HR) were calculated using the logrank test.

Figure 2. ZNF165 and SMAD3 cooperate to modulate TGFβ-responsive gene expression. (A) WHIM12 cells were transfected with siRNA for 48h, and qPCR was used to quantify relative expression (log$_2$ fold change) of ZNF165-SMAD3 target genes upon depletion of ZNF165 (x-axis) or SMAD3 (y-axis). The Pearson correlation coefficient is indicated by r. Data are representative of four independent experiments. (B) WHIM12 cells were transfected with siRNA for 72h. Whole cell lysates were collected and immunoblotted for the indicated proteins. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (C) As in (B) except cells were transfected with siRNA targeting SMAD3. (D) SUM159 cells were transfected with siRNA for 32h and subjected to SMAD3 ChIP followed by qPCR using primers targeting the indicated binding sites. Fold enrichment was determined by dividing the percent input values for SMAD3 by those for IgG. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. P-values were calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Data are representative of four independent experiments. (E) SUM159 cells were transfected with siRNA for 32h and subjected to H3K27ac ChIP followed by qPCR using primers targeted to the RRAD promoter or a negative control region. Error bars represent mean + SEM. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. Data are representative of four independent experiments. (F) SUM159 cells stably expressing ZNF165-V5 or control cDNA were subjected to H3K27ac ChIP followed by qPCR using primers targeted to the RRAD promoter or a negative control region. Error bars represent mean + SEM. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. Data are representative of four independent experiments.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1. ZNF165 and SMAD3 activate *RRAD* to drive neoplastic behaviors in TNBC. (A) Heatmap plotting the log$_2$ fold-change in expression of 65/446 shared ZNF165-SMAD3 target genes (cutoff > 0.25) following TGFβ exposure in WHIM12 cells. Expression data were obtained from GSE63986 (Maxfield et al. 2015). (B) Kaplan-Meier plots displaying overall survival and distant metastasis free survival (DMFS) for patients with the mesenchymal subtype of TNBC and high or low RRAD expression. P-values and hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated using the logrank test. (C) As in (B) except for patients with ER$^+$ breast cancer. (D) TNBC cell line dependency scores calculated from CRISPR-mediated perturbation (as determined by CERES) plotted against RRAD expression (log2(TPM+1)) (Barretina et al. 2012; Meyers et al. 2017). Negative dependency scores represent a decrease in viability. Data were obtained from the Cancer Dependency Map (depmap.org). (E) Soft agar growth assays for SUM159 cells transfected with indicated siRNAs. Error bars represent mean + SEM. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (F) As in (E) except using MCF7 cells.

Figure 3. ZNF165 physically associates with SMAD3 in a TGFβ-dependent manner. (A) Forty-eight hours after transfection with HA-SMAD3 and/or ZNF165-myc cDNA, HEK293T cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with HA antibody. Immunoblotting was performed with indicated antibodies. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (B) ChIP was performed in SUM159 cells with antibodies against phosphorylated SMAD3 (Ser423/425) or IgG, and the precipitated material was immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (C) SUM159 cells were pre-treated with SB-431542 at the indicated concentrations for 15 minutes, followed by stimulation with 5 ng mL^{-1} TGFβ for 30 minutes. Immunoblotting was performed with indicated antibodies. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (D) Proximity ligation assays (PLAs) performed using antibodies against endogenous ZNF165 and SMAD3 in SUM159 cells, where either antibody alone was used as a negative control. Cells were pre-treated with 20 µM SB-431542 or DMSO for 15 minutes, followed by stimulation with 5 ng mL^{-1} TGFβ for 30 minutes. Scale bars, 10 µm. The mean PLA signal (number of foci per nucleus) is quantified (right), where each data point represents the mean signal calculated within one image. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Ten images were used per condition and data are representative of three independent assays. (E) As in (D) except antibodies against endogenous ZNF165 and SMAD4 were used.

Figure 4. ZNF446 is an obligate component of the ZNF165-SMAD3 transcriptional complex. (A) ZNF165 interactors that contain a SCAN domain as identified from a systematic yeast two-hybrid screening approach (interactome.dfci.harvard.edu). A domain map of ZNF165 is shown above for reference. (B) Forty-eight hours after transfection with indicated siRNAs, RNA was extracted from WHIM12 or SUM159 cells and qPCR was used to determine the relative expression of SMURF2. Log_2 fold-change values normalized to control knockdown samples are displayed. Error bars represent mean + SEM. P-values were calculated for each sample using a one-tailed Mann-Whitney test. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (C) Forty-eight hours after transfection with indicated cDNA, HEK293T lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with V5 antibody. Immunoblotting was performed with indicated antibodies. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (D) SUM159 nuclear lysates were immunoprecipated with antibodies against endogenous ZNF165 or IgG. Immunoblotting was performed with indicated antibodies. (E) Proximity ligation assays (PLAs) performed using antibodies against endogenous ZNF446 and SMAD3 in SUM159 cells, where either antibody alone was used as a negative control. Cells were pre-treated with 20 μM SB-431542 or DMSO for 15 minutes, followed by stimulation with 5 ng mL^{-1} TGFβ for 30 minutes. Scale bars, 10 μm. The mean PLA signal (number of foci per nucleus) is quantified (right), where each data point represents the mean signal calculated within one image. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Ten images were used per condition and data are representative of three independent assays. (F) As in (E) except antibodies against endogenous ZNF446 and SMAD4 were used.

Figure 4-figure supplement 1. ZNF446 expression is elevated in breast cancer and associated with poor prognosis. (A) Box-plot showing ZNF446 expression values (log$_2$ median centered ratio) from normal breast and invasive lobular breast carcinoma. Data were obtained from TCGA and p-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. (B) Kaplan-Meier plot displaying overall survival of patients with the basal-like subtype of breast cancer and either low or high ZNF446 expression. P-value and hazard ratio (HR) were calculated using the logrank test. (C) Soft agar growth assays for SUM159 cells transfected with indicated siRNAs. Error bars represent mean + SEM. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 5. ZNF446 co-occupies a subset of both ZNF165 and SMAD3 binding sites in TNBC cells. (A) Heatmaps of ChIP-seq data for ZNF165 and ZNF446 in the indicated TNBC cell lines. All peaks within each heatmap are centered ± 2.5 kb from the WHIM12 ZNF165 peaks (n=322). (B) Normalized read density (per bp per peak) for ZNF446 plotted ± 2.5 kb from the ZNF165 peaks identified in WHIM12 cells (n=322). (C) Peak overlap between ZNF165 and ZNF446 in WHIM12 cells. P-value was calculated using the hypergeometric distribution. (D) As in (C) except using peaks identified for ZNF165 and ZNF446 in SUM159 cells. (E) Heatmaps of ChIP-seq data for ZNF446 and SMAD3 in WHIM12 cells. All peaks within each heatmap are centered ± 2.5 kb from the ZNF446 peaks (n=5,039). (F) Read density (per bp per peak) for SMAD3 plotted ± 2.5 kb from the ZNF446 peaks identified in WHIM12 cells (n=5,039). (G) Motifs enriched at ZNF446-SMAD3 co-bound sites within WHIM12 cells. The ZNF446 binding sites not occupied by SMAD3 (n=2,587) were used as a control set of sequences to identify differentially enriched motifs at the shared sites (n=2,376). (H) Motif density (per bp per peak) for the (G|C)CGCGG motif plotted ± 2.5 kb from the centers of ZNF446-SMAD3 co-bound peaks in WHIM12 cells. (I) Venn diagram displaying the overlap between ZNF165, SMAD3, and ZNF446 target genes from WHIM12 cells as identified by GREAT (ver 3.0.0). (J) Heatmap displaying log$_2$ fold-changes of ZNF165-SMAD3 targets in response to depletion of ZNF446, as well as either ZNF165 or SMAD3. The Pearson correlation coefficient is indicated by r. Significance is indicated by asterisks, where * = p < 0.05 and **** = p < 0.0001. Data are representative of four independent experiments.

Figure 5-figure supplement 1. ZNF446 co-occupies a subset of both ZNF165 and SMAD3 binding sites in TNBC cells. (A) Browser tracks of ChIP-seq data showing peaks for ZNF165, SMAD3, and ZNF446 near the *ITM2C* and *ZMPSTE24* loci in WHIM12 or SUM159 cells. (B) Peak overlap between ZNF446 and SMAD3 in WHIM12 cells. P-value was calculated using the hypergeometric distribution. (C) Pie charts displaying the distribution of genomic features bound by ZNF446-SMAD3 or either transcription factor alone in WHIM12 cells.

Figure 6. TRIM27 is essential for ZNF165 transcriptional activity and tumor growth *in vivo*. (A) Cartoon maps showing the domains of ZNF446 and TRIM27. The KRAB-binding domain of TRIM27 is highlighted for reference. (B) Forty-eight hours after transfection with indicated cDNA, HEK293T lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with HA antibody. Immunoblotting was performed using indicated antibodies. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (C) Proximity ligation assays (PLAs) performed using V5 and TRIM27 antibodies in SUM159 cells stably expressing ZNF165-V5. Parental SUM159 cells were used as a negative control with the V5/TRIM27 antibody combination. Cells were stimulated with 5 ng mL^{-1} TGFβ for 30 minutes. Scale bar, 10 μm. The mean PLA signal (number of foci per nucleus) is quantified (right), where each data point represents the mean signal calculated within one image. P-values were calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Five images were used per condition and data are representative of four independent assays. (D) WHIM12 cells were transfected with siRNA for 48h and qPCR was used to quantify relative expression (log$_2$ fold change) of shared ZNF165-SMAD3 target genes upon depletion of ZNF165 (x-axis) or TRIM27 (y-axis). The Pearson correlation coefficient is indicated by r. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (E) SUM159 cells stably expressing indicated cDNA were transfected with siRNA targeting TRIM27 for 48h. Relative RRAD expression was measured using qPCR and the data were normalized to the CTRL sample (grey). Error bars represent mean + SEM. P-values were calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. Significance is indicated by asterisks, where * = p < 0.05. Data are representative of four independent experiments. (F) PLAs performed using antibodies against endogenous TRIM27 and SMAD3 in SUM159 cells, where either antibody alone was used as a negative control. Cells were pre-treated with 20 μM SB-431542 or DMSO for 15 minutes, followed by stimulation with 5 ng mL^{-1} TGFβ for 30 minutes. Scale bar, 10 μm. The mean PLA signal (number of foci per nucleus) is quantified (right), where each data point represents the mean signal calculated within one image. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Ten images were used per condition and data are representative of three independent assays. (G) As in (F) except antibodies against endogenous TRIM27 and SMAD4 were used. (H) Tumor volumes from mice orthotopically injected with SUM159T-Luciferase cells stably expressing shRNAs against TRIM27 (n=10) or a non-targeting control (n=9). P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. (I) Representative images of bioluminescence (BLI) measurements taken for mice from each group. BLI data was quantified (right) and the p-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test.

Figure 6-figure supplement 1. Analysis of TRIM27 expression and contribution to neoplastic behaviors in TNBC. (A) WHIM12 cells were transfected with indicated siRNA for 72h. Whole cell lysates were collected and immunoblotted for the indicated proteins. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (B) Box-plot showing TRIM27 expression values (transcript per million) from normal breast and TNBC. Data were obtained from TCGA and the p-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. (C) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining for TRIM27 in patient-derived normal breast and TNBC tissues. Nuclear staining was scored (right) using IHC Profiler (Varghese et al. 2014). (D) TNBC cell line dependency scores calculated from CRISPR-mediated perturbation (as determined by CERES) plotted against TRIM27 expression (log2(TPM+1)) (Barretina et al. 2012; Meyers et al. 2017). Negative or positive dependency scores represent a decrease or increase in viability, respectively. Data were obtained from the Cancer Dependency Map (depmap.org). (E) Soft agar growth assays for SUM159 cells transfected with indicated siRNAs. Error bars represent mean + SEM. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (F) SUM159T-Luciferase cells were transduced with indicated shRNAs. After 72h, whole cell lysates were collected and immunoblotted for the indicated proteins. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (G) Soft agar growth assays for SUM159T-Luciferase cells transduced with indicated shRNAs. Error bars represent mean + SEM. P-value was calculated using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 7. Model for assembly of a neomorphic ZNF165 transcriptional complex in TNBC. Aberrant expression of testis-specific ZNF165 in TNBC co-opts somatic transcriptional machinery to modulate TGFβ-dependent transcription. The ZNF165 SCAN domain recruits ZNF446, and together these factors associate with SMAD3 at shared binding sites throughout the genome and alter gene expression to promote neoplastic behaviors. Via its KRAB domain, ZNF446 recruits the co-activator/co-repressor TRIM27, which is essential for ZNF165 transcriptional activity and tumor growth *in vivo*. Given the testis/tumor-restricted expression of ZNF165, disruption of this complex holds potential as a therapeutic strategy to specifically inhibit pro-tumorigenic TGFβ signaling in TNBC.