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Abstract
Mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uniporter (MCU) mediates mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake, regulating ATP production and cell death. According to the existing paradigm, MCU is occluded at the resting cytosolic [Ca\(^{2+}\)] and only opens above an ~400 nM threshold. This Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent gating is putatively conferred by MICUs, EF hand-containing auxiliary subunits that block/unblock the MCU pore depending on cytosolic [Ca\(^{2+}\)]. Here we provide the first direct, patch-clamp based analysis of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent MCU gating and the role played by MICUs. Surprisingly, MICUs do not occlude the MCU pore, and MCU is a constitutively active channel without cytosolic [Ca\(^{2+}\)] activation threshold. Instead, MICUs potentiate MCU activity when cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) binds to their EF hands. MICUs cause this potentiation by increasing the probability of open state of the MCU channel.

One Sentence Summary
Auxiliary MICU subunits do not occlude the mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uniporter (MCU) but increase its activity as cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) is elevated.
Main Text

Mitochondrial \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) uptake regulates ATP production, shapes intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) transients and plays a crucial role in deciding cell fate \((1-4)\). It is mediated by the mitochondrial \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) uniporter (MCU) \((3-5)\), which upon elevation of cytosolic \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}]_\text{cyto} \) \((\text{[Ca}^{2+}]_\text{cyto}) \) allows selective \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) permeation into the mitochondrial matrix, down the high electrochemical gradient across the IMM. All \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) channels lose their selectivity and become permeable for \( \text{Na}^+ \) at low \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}] \), when \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) is removed from the pore \((6-8)\). MCU also conducts \( \text{Na}^+ \) but only when \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}] \) is decreased to low nM range, because the MCU pore has a \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) binding site with an exceptionally high affinity \( (K_d \leq 2 \text{nM}) \) \((9-15)\). This prevents permeation of abundant cytosolic monovalent cations even at a resting \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}]_\text{cyto} \) of \( \approx 100 \text{nM} \), and makes MCU the most selective \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) channel known.

MCU activity must be regulated. Insufficient \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) uptake would result in deficient ATP production, whereas excessive uptake would lead to mitochondrial \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) overload, \( \Delta \Psi \) dissipation, mitochondrial dysfunction and cell death \((16)\). A few early studies suggested that MCU activity might be potentiated by cytosolic \( \text{[Ca}^{2+}] \) \((4, 17, 18)\). However, the results differed significantly between labs, because MCU activity was assessed indirectly in suspensions of isolated mitochondria and critical experimental conditions could not be reliably controlled \((3, 4)\). Thus, such potentiation was controversial and no clear unifying model for \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)-dependent MCU gating was generated.

Recent molecular characterization established that MCU is a macromolecular complex \((\text{fig. S}1\text{A})\). Its pore is formed by the MCU subunit \((19, 20)\) and the essential MCU regulator \((\text{EMRE})\) subunit \((21)\). EF hand domain-containing auxiliary MICU1‒3 subunits are tethered on the cytosolic side of the MCU/EMRE pore \((22, 23)\). MICU1 interacts directly with the MCU and
EMRE, while MICU2 and MICU3 attach to the MCU complex only by heterodimerizing with MICU1 (21, 24-26). MICU3 is a neuronal- and embryonic-specific isoform with little expression in other tissues (23, 27).

The understanding of the molecular composition of the MCU complex renewed interest in the MCU gating by cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\). In MICU1 deficiency, when none of the MICU subunits is associated with the MCU/EMRE pore, mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\)) starts to increase at lower [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) both in cells (24, 25, 28-31) and isolated mitochondria (32). Based on these results, the term “[Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) threshold for mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake” was coined, and it was postulated that MICU1 (in association with other MICUs) confers the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) threshold for MCU activation (28, 29). Specifically, the current paradigm suggests that at resting [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\), MICU1 occludes the MCU pore (28, 33, 34), but when [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) increases above ~400–800 nM and Ca\(^{2+}\) binds to the MICU1 EF hands, this occlusion is relieved (24, 28, 29) (Fig. 6A). MICU2 is proposed to facilitate this MICU1 function (24, 25, 35). In this model, the occlusion of MCU by MICU1/MICU2 at the resting [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) is considered well-established (tables S1 and S2), while the degree to which the occlusion is removed at elevated [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) remains controversial with different groups reporting a wide range of effects (tables S1 and S2). MICU1\(^{-/}\) mice show profound late embryonic and postnatal lethality (32, 36), while loss-of-function MICU1 mutations in humans cause fatigue, lethargy, severe myopathy, developmental and learning disabilities, and progressive extrapyramidal movement disorder (30, 37-39).

The paradigm that MICUs occlude the MCU pore at resting cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) and impart [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) activation threshold on MCU has affected the field profoundly. However, it has never been demonstrated by direct measurement of Ca\(^{2+}\) currents mediated by MCU. Instead, MCU activity was inferred from the changes in [Ca\(^{2+}\)] inside or outside of mitochondria, as measured...
with Ca\(^{2+}\) indicators. However, such [Ca\(^{2+}\)] changes never reflect MCU activity alone but are
determined by the balance between mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake and efflux mechanisms (3, 4, 40).

Some of these studies (34, 36) used CGP37157 to inhibit the mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) efflux
associated with the Ca\(^{2+}\)/Na\(^{+}\) exchange mechanism, but this was clearly insufficient to eliminate
all mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) efflux. Indeed, if the Ca\(^{2+}\) efflux was fully eliminated, the free [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{mito}\)
(based on the Nernst equation and assuming 100 nM [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{cyto}\) and \(\Delta \Psi\) at -160 mV) would reach
an enormous value of ~25 mM even with residual MCU activity. Other factors such as \(\Delta \Psi\), the
volume of mitochondrial matrix, matrix Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering with phosphates (40) and pH can further
confound indirect assessment of MCU activity using Ca\(^{2+}\) indicators.

The numerous pitfalls associated with indirect assessment of MCU activity make direct
measurements of MCU currents (9, 10, 41) necessary for understanding of MCU regulation and
the role of MICU subunits. However, such direct measurements have been considered extremely
challenging, especially in the context of structure–function studies of the MCU complex, which
require assessment of numerous knockout and mutant models. There have been a few attempts to
characterize MICU1-dependent regulation of MCU using direct electrophysiology, but the scope
of electrophysiological experiments in these few studies was very limited, and MICU1 function
was assessed only at high [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{cyto}\) (42-44). These incomplete electrophysiological studies
generated very diverse results ranging from inhibition to no effect of MICU1 on the MCU
activity, and thus no clarity was achieved (table S1). One electrophysiological study tried to
assess the effects of MICU1 and MICU2 at both low and high [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{cyto}\) (table S1) (25).

Unfortunately, in this study a recombinant MCU subunit was reconstituted in planar lipid
bilayers in the absence of EMRE, the subunit essential for both a functional MCU channel and
the association of MICU1/MICU2 with the MCU pore (25). The observed channel conducted
Na⁺ even at μM [Ca²⁺] and failed to replicate the exceptionally high MCU selectivity for Ca²⁺. Thus, the channel activity observed in this EMRE-less system was artifactual.

Therefore, to facilitate a rigorous and systematic insight into the function of MICU1–3, as well as other subunits of the MCU complex, we developed a heterologous expression system for direct patch-clamp analysis of the MCU complex in the native IMM. Using this system, we demonstrate that MICUs do not occlude the MCU pore. An accompanying paper by Zhuo et al. (45) reporting the first complete structure of the human MCU complex, also shows that MICU1/MICU2 are tethered to the periphery of the MCU-EMRE pore and do not occlude the pore. We next demonstrate that the actual function of the MICU subunits is to potentiate MCU activity when their EF hands bind cytosolic Ca²⁺. Thus, MCU has no intrinsic [Ca²⁺]cyto activation threshold. It is a constitutively active channel that is potentiated by [Ca²⁺]cyto via the MICU subunits.

Results

System for direct structure–function analysis of MCU

Two factors are crucial to the success of the whole-IMM patch-clamp: the size of individual mitoplasts (vesicles of the whole native IMM) and the IMM stability during the electrophysiological experiments. Therefore, we tested various cell lines for the best possible optimization of these two factors. Eventually, we selected a Drp1⁻/⁻ MEF cell line (46), in which mitochondria form long tubular networks and provide a significantly higher proportion of large isolated mitoplasts that are also remarkably resilient during the whole-IMM electrophysiological experiments. We confirmed that this cell line expresses all principal subunits of the MCU complex (fig. S2A-D). We next generated gene knockouts for all principal subunits of the MCU
complex (MCU, EMRE and MICU1–3) using CRISPR-Cas9 in the background of Drp1−/− MEFs (fig. S1). All knockout cell lines lacked protein expression of the respective subunit (fig. S2A-C).

To explore the cytosolic/mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) phenotypes in these MCU complex knockout cell lines, we induced slow elevation of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) using the SERCA inhibitor thapsigargin (Tg) and observed an associated increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\) (fig. S2E-J). [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) was measured using Fura-2 while the mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) changes were measured using a genetically-encoded Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator Cepia (47) targeted to mitochondria. [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) under resting conditions was maintained ~75 nM in all cell lines (fig. S2K) and peaked in the range of 400–1000 nM upon addition of Tg (fig. S2L). In cells with the WT MCU complex, the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) increase was followed, after a short delay, by [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\) elevation (fig. S2E). However, as expected, in MCU−/− or EMRE−/− cell lines that have no functional MCU complex (19-21), no significant [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\) elevation was observed (fig. S2F and G). In MICU1–3-deficient cells, the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) threshold for elevation of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\) was altered as compared to that in cells with the WT MCU complex (fig. S2H-J, and M). In MICU1−/− cells, the threshold was drastically decreased (fig. S2H and M), and a significant but less profound decrease was also observed in MICU2−/− cells (fig. S2I and M). However, MICU3−/− cells had an increased threshold (fig. S2J and M). Thus, in our cell system, we observed the same [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\) phenotypes associated with knockout of individual MCU complex subunits as reported previously (24, 25, 28, 29, 34).

We next explored how knockouts for various MCU complex subunits affect MCU currents. Importantly, the MCU complex was intact in isolated whole-IMM vesicles (mitoplasts) used in our patch-clamp experiments, and its composition was the same as in intact mitochondria based on MCU-FLAG co-immunoprecipitation experiments (fig. S4A). Mitoplasts isolated from cells with the WT MCU complex had a robust whole-IMM Ca\(^{2+}\) current (I\(_{\text{Ca}}\)). The voltage step
from 0 to -160 mV, followed by a voltage ramp to +80 mV, elicited an inwardly rectifying $I_{\text{Ca}}$ that gradually increased as $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ (bath solution) was elevated (Fig. 1A, left panel, and fig. S3A and B). As expected, in a $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-free bath solution (control), we only observed an outward $\text{Na}^+$ current ($I_{\text{Na}}$, black trace) via MCU, because the pipette solution contained $\text{Na}^+$ (Fig. 1A, left panel, and fig. S3A and B).

Mitoplasts isolated from $MCU^{-/-}$ and $EMRE^{-/-}$ lines had no inward $I_{\text{Ca}}$ or outward $I_{\text{Na}}$, confirming the essential role of these two subunits for the functional MCU complex (21, 48, 49) (Fig. 1A and C). Importantly, even millimolar $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ induced no $I_{\text{Ca}}$ in $MCU^{-/-}$ and $EMRE^{-/-}$, demonstrating that MCU is the only electrogenic mechanism for mitochondrial $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ uptake.

Heterologous expression of MCU or EMRE in their corresponding knockout cell lines (fig. S4B and C) resulted in restoration of the inward $I_{\text{Ca}}$ and outward $I_{\text{Na}}$ (Fig. 1B and C).

Thus, we have identified a system that has robust MCU currents, can be used for heterologous expression of recombinant MCU complex subunits, and significantly improves throughput of whole-IMM patch-clamp recording.

**MICUs are $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-dependent MCU potentiators**

In contrast to $MCU^{-/-}$ and $EMRE^{-/-}$, none of the MICU knockouts (MICU1–3) showed loss of $I_{\text{Ca}}$ or $I_{\text{Na}}$ (Fig. 1D), demonstrating that these subunits are not absolutely required for a functional MCU channel. However, among all MICU knockouts, loss of MICU1 resulted in a marked reduction (~50%) of $I_{\text{Ca}}$ in both micromolar and millimolar ranges of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ (Fig. 1D and E, and fig. S5). The same reduction was observed when $I_{\text{Ca}}$ was measured at both -160 mV (Fig. 1E) and -80 mV (fig. S5C). We next focused on understanding the mechanism by which MICU1 regulates MCU function.
As was suggested previously, MICU1 tethers other MICU subunits to the MCU/EMRE pore (21, 24-26). The accompanying paper reporting the structure of the complete human MCU complex confirms that the MICU1/MICU2 dimer is tethered to the MCU/EMRE pore specifically via interactions between MICU1 and EMRE (Zhuo et al., accompanying manuscript (45)). Thus, in MICU1−/− none of the MICU subunits are associated with the MCU complex. The levels of MCU and MCUb (MCU paralog with no Ca2+ transport activity and putative dominant-negative effect on the MCU function) subunits (50) were not affected in MICU1−/−, while EMRE expression was significantly reduced (fig. S6A-D), as was also shown previously (32). The lower EMRE expression in MICU1−/− was not a limiting factor for ICa, because EMRE overexpression in MICU1−/− cells did not rescue the ICa reduction (fig. S6D-F). Therefore, the ICa reduction in MICU1−/− was caused by the lack of MICU1 (and other MICU proteins) in the MCU complex. Because ICa was recorded at [Ca2+]cyto ≥ 10 μM, when the EF hands of MICU subunits (Kd ~600 nM) (51) are occupied by Ca2+, we conclude that in the Ca2+-bound state MICUs potentiate the MCU current.

We next studied how MICUs affect the MCU current when Ca2+ is not bound to their EF hands. Because this requires [Ca2+]cyto <60 nM (10-fold less than Kd) and ICa cannot be measured reliably under these conditions, we used Na+ as the permeating ion. A robust INa via MCU was observed when Ca2+ was eliminated on the cytosolic face of the IMM with Ca2+ chelators (Fig. 2A, left panel). As expected, INa completely disappeared in MCU−/− and EMRE−/− (Fig. 2A and B). Interestingly, in a striking contrast to ICa, INa was not reduced in MICU1−/−, (Fig. 2C-E, also see Fig. 1D and E). The very presence of a robust INa, and the fact that it is not altered in MICU1−/−, argues strongly against the currently accepted paradigm (33, 34) in which the MCU/EMRE pore is occluded by MICUs when their EF hands are not occupied by Ca2+ (Fig. 6A). In the absence of
cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\), a robust \(I_{Na}\) via MCU was also previously recorded in mitoplasts isolated from COS-7 cells, mouse heart and skeletal muscle (9, 10). Nanomolar concentrations of MCU inhibitor ruthenium red (RuR) completely block this \(I_{Na}\) (9, 10). In the absence of divalent cations, a RuR-sensitive, Na\(^{+}\)-selective MCU-dependent uniport was also reported in intact isolated mitochondria (52, 53). Thus, the MCU/EMRE pore is not occluded by MICU proteins when Ca\(^{2+}\) is not bound to their EF hands. Moreover, the similarity of \(I_{Na}\) amplitudes in WT and \textit{MICU1}\(^{-/-}\) (Fig. 2C-E) suggests that in their Ca\(^{2+}\)-free state MICUs do not affect ion permeation through the MCU/EMRE pore at all. The accompanying structural paper describing the human MCU complex also demonstrates that MICU1/MICU2 do not occlude the pore entrance. Moreover, the profile of the MCU pore is the same, with or without MICU1/MICU2 attached (Zhuo et al., accompanying manuscript (45)).

The \textit{MICU1}\(^{-/-}\) phenotypes of \(I_{Na}\) (no change) and \(I_{Ca}\) (reduction) suggest that the only function of MICUs is potentiation of the MCU complex activity when their EF hands are occupied by Ca\(^{2+}\). To further examine this phenotype, we studied how the ratio of \(I_{Ca}\) to \(I_{Na}\), as measured in the same mitoplast, is affected by \textit{MICU1}\(^{-/-}\). Such \(I_{Ca}/I_{Na}\) ratio depends only on the functional properties of the MCU complex, and, in contrast to \(I_{Ca}\) and \(I_{Na}\) amplitudes, is independent of the number of MCU complexes in a mitoplast. Thus, an alteration of the \(I_{Ca}/I_{Na}\) ratio in \textit{MICU1}\(^{-/-}\) can be directly attributed to altered functional properties of the MCU complex, and would not depend on any associated changes in MCU/EMRE expression affecting the number of MCU complexes.

The \(I_{Ca}/I_{Na}\) ratio was dramatically reduced in \textit{MICU1}\(^{-/-}\) mitoplasts (Fig. 2F), which means that the loss of MICUs is directly responsible for the reduction of \(I_{Ca}\) as compared to \(I_{Na}\). The loss of MICUs can cause such reduction in the \(I_{Ca}/I_{Na}\) ratio by either altering the channel gating or
affecting the relative affinities for Ca\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^{+}\) binding in the selectivity filter. The reduction in 
\(I_{\text{Ca}}/I_{\text{Na}}\) ratio in \(\text{MICU1}^{-/-}\) could not be explained by altered relative affinities for Ca\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^{+}\) binding in the selectivity filter, because \(I_{\text{Na}}\) was inhibited to the same extent by 2 nM [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) in both WT and \(\text{MICU1}^{-/-}\) mitoplasts (Fig. 2G and H). Thus, a reduced \(I_{\text{Ca}}/I_{\text{Na}}\) ratio in \(\text{MICU1}^{-/-}\) is caused by a disrupted MICU-dependent gating mechanism. This gating mechanism potentiates MCU currents in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent fashion.

The above experiments were all performed in cell lines with disrupted \(\text{Drp1}\). However, \(\text{Drp1}\) is not a part of the MCU complex, and therefore \(\text{Drp1}\) loss is not expected to affect MCU currents as measured directly with patch-clamp electrophysiology. Indeed, in our experiments \(\text{Drp1}\) knockout did not affect the amplitudes of \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) or \(I_{\text{Na}}\) mediated by the MCU complex (fig. S7A and B). However, we still confirmed that the observed \(\text{MICU1}^{-/-}\) current phenotypes were the same, irrespective of the \(\text{Drp1}\) background. Similar to MICU1 knockout in \(\text{Drp1}^{-/-}\) MEFs, MICU1 knockout in \(\text{Drp1}^{+/+}\) MEFs did not affect \(I_{\text{Na}}\) while markedly reduced \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) (fig. S7C-E).

Additionally, \(\text{MICU1}^{-/-}\) reduced the \(I_{\text{Ca}}/I_{\text{Na}}\) ratio, as measured in the same mitoplast, to the similar extent in \(\text{Drp1}^{+/+}\) MEFs (fig. S7F). Thus, as expected, \(\text{Drp1}\) presence or absence does not affect currents mediated by the MCU complex or the \(\text{MICU1}^{-/-}\) phenotypes.

To conclude, MICU subunits do not occlude the MCU/EMRE pore or impart a [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) activation threshold on the MCU complex. Instead, MCU is a constitutively active channel, and the actual function of MICU subunits is to potentiate MCU currents as [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) is elevated.

**Role of EF hands of MICUs in \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) potentiation**

To confirm that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the EF hands of MICUs is responsible for the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent potentiation of MCU, we recombinantly expressed MICU1–3 or MICU1–3 with
mutated EF hands (mut-EF-MICU, to disable Ca\(^{2+}\) binding (24)) in their respective knockout cell lines and examined the changes in \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) (Fig. 3A).

In \textit{MICU1}\(^{-/-}\), expression of MICU1 was able to restore \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) to the WT level, but mut-EF-MICU1 expression failed to do so (Fig. 3B). Expression levels of both the recombinant MICU1 and mut-EF-MICU1 were significantly higher as compared to MICU1 expression in the cells with WT MCU complex (Fig. 3A). This confirms our hypothesis that Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the EF hands of MICU1 is indispensable for \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) potentiation.

In \textit{MICU2}\(^{-/-}\), \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) was not significantly affected (Fig. 3C, and 1D and E), because the loss of MICU2 appeared to be compensated with increased MICU1 expression and replacement of MICU1/MICU2 heterodimer with MICU1/MICU1 homodimer (fig. S8A-C). Therefore, overexpression of recombinant MICU2 in \textit{MICU2}\(^{-/-}\) and preferential conversion of MICU1/MICU1 homodimers back into MICU1/MICU2 heterodimers also did not alter the \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) amplitude (Fig. 3C). In contrast, mut-EF-MICU2 overexpression displaced MICU1 from MICU1/MICU1 homodimers in favor of MICU1/mut-EF-MICU2 heterodimer, leading to a dominant-negative effect and a significant decrease in MICU-dependent \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) potentiation (Fig. 3C). These functional data, combined with biochemical evidence for MICU1/MICU2 heterodimers (25, 54, 55) (also see the companion manuscript by Zhou et al.), suggest that MICU2, along with MICU1, is responsible for allosteric potentiation of MCU upon binding of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) to their EF hands.

The effect of \textit{MICU1}\(^{-/-}\) on \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) was more profound as compared to that of \textit{MICU2}\(^{-/-}\) (Fig. 1D and E), because MICU1 could compensate for MICU2. However, the reverse compensation was impossible, because only MICU1 tethers the MICU1/MICU2 heterodimer to the MCU/EMRE pore (Zhuo et al., accompanying manuscript (45)). The composition of MICU
dimers can also be affected by MICU3 that similar to MICU2 was proposed to interact and form heterodimers with MICU1 (27). However, in our experiments, we did not observe robust current phenotypes associated with MICU3. Specifically, \( I_{\text{Ca}} \) was not affected in MICU3\(^{-/-} \) mitoplasts, and overexpression of recombinant MICU3 or mut-EF-MICU3 in MICU3\(^{-/-} \) also had no effect on \( I_{\text{Ca}} \) (Fig. 3D). It has been suggested that MICU3 is a minor protein as compared to MICU1 and 2 in the majority of tissues and cell lines assessed (27). Although in our system the amount of MICU3 mRNA appeared to be comparable with that of other MICU subunits (fig. S2D), and the MICU3 protein was expressed (Fig. 3A right panel, and fig. S2C), the relative abundance of MICU3 vs other MICUs is not clear. Moreover, in contrast to MICU1, MICU3 is not upregulated in MICU2\(^{-/-} \) cells (fig. S8B), and thus, MICU3 expression does not appear to be linked to the level of MICU2. Therefore, although MICU3 could in principle support the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent potentiation of MCU by forming dimers with MICU1 (27), the exact role of MICU3 and its interaction with other MCU complex subunits remains to be established.

Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to the EF hands of MICU subunits and a subsequent conformational change that potentiates the MCU complex activity require a finite time and may delay \( I_{\text{Ca}} \) activation/deactivation in response to changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}\). Such delayed \( I_{\text{Ca}} \) kinetics can profoundly affect \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{mito}}\), because in situ MCU takes up Ca\(^{2+}\) from Ca\(^{2+}\) microdomains (56) that exist in the cytosol only for a few milliseconds (57). Therefore, we examined \( I_{\text{Ca}} \) activation and deactivation kinetics in response to rapid changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}\) and tested whether they depend on MICUs. \( I_{\text{Ca}} \) activation upon rapid elevation of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}\) from virtually Ca\(^{2+}\)-free to 1 mM was immediate, with kinetics comparable to the rate of solution exchange (\( \tau \sim 0.4 \) ms) achieved by our piezoelectric fast application system (Fig. 3E). Importantly, the kinetics of the \( I_{\text{Ca}} \) rapid response was not altered in MICU1\(^{-/-} \) (Fig. 3E and F). The deactivation kinetics was
similarly fast and not dependent on MICU1 (Fig. 3E and F). The result of these experiments correspond to the previous observation that EF hands of calmodulin bind Ca^{2+} with a $\mu$s time constant (58). The conclusion from these experiments is that the kinetics of Ca^{2+} binding to the MICU’s EF hands, and the resultant conformational change in the MCU complex, are extremely fast, and thus MICU-dependent potentiation of the MCU activity should occur instantaneously upon elevation of the [Ca^{2+}]_{cyto}. This is perhaps true even within Ca^{2+} microdomains, but it has to be taken into account that in our experiments we used somewhat higher [Ca^{2+}]_{cyto} (1 mM) as compared to the maximal [Ca^{2+}]_{cyto} achieved in the microdomains (100 $\mu$M).

A phenomenon of Ca^{2+}-induced mitochondrial Ca^{2+} release (mCICR) by which mitochondria release Ca^{2+} into cytosol in response to elevations of [Ca^{2+}]_{cyto} has been observed (4, 59, 60). mCICR required mitochondrial depolarization and was proposed to be mediated by MCU (61, 62) and/or the permeability transition pore (PTP) (59, 60). Therefore, we tested whether MCU can mediate Ca^{2+}-dependent Ca^{2+} efflux at depolarized membrane voltages and whether such efflux is dependent on MICUs. We measured outward $I_{Ca}$ at positive voltages with 2 mM [Ca^{2+}]_{mito} (the pipette solution), as [Ca^{2+}]_{cyto} was gradually elevated from virtual zero to 1 mM. Remarkably, such [Ca^{2+}]_{cyto} elevation failed to induce any outward $I_{Ca}$. However, as expected, it caused a robust inward $I_{Ca}$ (Fig. 3G). This experiment also demonstrates, as was also suggested previously (9), that MCU has a strong inward rectification (unidirectional Ca^{2+} permeation into the matrix). This strong inward rectification of MCU under various [Ca^{2+}]_{cyto} remained unaltered in MICU1$^{-/-}$ (Fig. 3G). Thus, MCU has a strong preference for conducting Ca^{2+} into mitochondria and is unlikely to mediate Ca^{2+} release via mCICR.

It has also been suggested that MCU is regulated by matrix [Ca^{2+}] (63). Specifically, $I_{Ca}$ was shown to be profoundly reduced at [Ca^{2+}]_{mito} $\sim$400 nM, as compared to that at both lower
(Ca\(^{2+}\)-free) and higher (high \(\mu\)M) [Ca\(^{2+}\)\(_{\text{mito}}\) (63). The authors also proposed that the reduction of the MCU current at [Ca\(^{2+}\)\(_{\text{mito}}\) \(\sim\) 400 nM is MICU1-dependent. However, in contrast to this previous observation, in our experiments \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) amplitude remained unaltered when [Ca\(^{2+}\)\(_{\text{mito}}\) was set at Ca\(^{2+}\)-free, 400 nM, or 400 \(\mu\)M (fig. S9). Thus, the MCU complex is not regulated by matrix Ca\(^{2+}\), and MICUs only impart the regulation of the MCU complex by cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\). It should also be mentioned that the authors proposed a membrane topology of EMRE (63) that is reverse to that determined in the recent biochemical and structural studies (14, 26) (see also the accompanying manuscript by Zhuo et al. (45)).

Taken together, these data indicate that MICU proteins allosterically potentiate MCU-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) influx when cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) binds to their EF hands.

MICUs increase the open probability of MCU

To investigate the mechanism by which Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound MICU proteins potentiate \(I_{\text{Ca}}\), we examined the activity of single MCU channels in inside-out (matrix-side out) IMM patches. Because the unitary Ca\(^{2+}\) current (\(i_{\text{Ca}}\), current via a single MCU channel) is very small (<1 pA), it must be recorded at high [Ca\(^{2+}\)] = 105 mM to improve resolution (9). At this [Ca\(^{2+}\)], EF hands of MICU subunits are fully saturated with Ca\(^{2+}\).

MCU exhibits multiple levels of single channel conductance. These subconductances can be observed at all tested voltages (-40, -80, and -120 mV), but their resolution improves markedly as transmembrane voltage becomes more negative. At -120 mV, in addition to what appears to be a fully open \(i_{\text{Ca}}\), subconductances at \(\sim\)0.8 and \(\sim\)0.6 of the amplitude of the fully open \(i_{\text{Ca}}\) can be easily detected (Fig. 4A and C). Because similar amplitude levels were observed in all the patches, we conclude that these events represent genuine subconductances in the MCU.
There was no difference in the single channel amplitude between control and $MICU1^{-/}$ mitoplasts (Fig. 4A-C). However, we found that the single-channel open probability ($P_o$) was significantly decreased ~2–3 fold in $MICU1^{-/}$ versus WT mitoplasts, depending on the transmembrane voltage (Fig. 4A, B, D and fig. S10A-C). As a result, the time-averaged current contributed by a single MCU channel differs significantly between control and $MICU1^{-/}$ mitoplasts (Fig. 4E), thus mirroring and explaining the effect of MICU1 knockout on the amplitude of the whole-mitoplast $I_{Ca}$ (Fig. 1E).

These results demonstrate that the potentiating effect of MICU proteins on the MCU/EMRE pore is not associated with an increased single-channel conductance. Rather, when their EF hands bind Ca$^{2+}$, MICUs increase MCU currents by causing an increase in the open probability of the MCU/EMRE pore.

**MICU1 does not affect the Mn$^{2+}$ vs Ca$^{2+}$ permeability of MCU**

While Mn$^{2+}$ is essential for the proper function of several mitochondrial enzymes, its excessive accumulation inhibits oxidative phosphorylation and causes toxicity (64). MCU appears to be the primary pathway for Mn$^{2+}$ entry into mitochondria (4). Recently, it has been suggested that MICU1 is responsible for the relatively low permeability of MCU for Mn$^{2+}$ as compared to Ca$^{2+}$, and MICU1 deficiency or loss-of-function MICU1 mutations in patients can lead to excessive mitochondrial Mn$^{2+}$ accumulation and cellular toxicity (42, 65). These observations were explained within the paradigm in which MICU1 occludes the MCU/EMRE pore. It was postulated that Mn$^{2+}$ binds to MICU1 EF hands but, in contrast to Ca$^{2+}$, cannot induce the MICU1 conformation change necessary to unblock the MCU pore. Thus, MICU1
prevents Mn\(^{2+}\) permeation via MCU and ensures selective Ca\(^{2+}\) permeation (42, 65).

We recorded the inward Mn\(^{2+}\) current (\(I_{\text{Mn}}\)) in the presence of 5 mM [Mn\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\). \(I_{\text{Mn}}\) disappeared in MCU\(^{-/-}\) and EMRE\(^{-/-}\), confirming that it was solely mediated by MCU (Fig. 5A-D). As was also shown previously (9), \(I_{\text{Mn}}\) was indeed significantly smaller (~7-fold) than \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) at 5 mM [Mn\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) and 5 mM [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\), respectively (Fig. 5E). However, we also observed that \(I_{\text{Mn}}\) and \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) were reduced to a similar extent in MICU1\(^{-/-}\) (Fig. 5F-H). This result was in a striking contrast to the current MICU1-based model for Mn\(^{2+}\) vs Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity of MCU (42, 65), under which \(I_{\text{Mn}}\) would be increased but \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) not affected under our experimental conditions. Moreover, even the ratio between \(I_{\text{Mn}}\) and \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) calculated from the same mitoplast (\(I_{\text{Mn}}/I_{\text{Ca}}\)) was not affected in MICU1\(^{-/-}\) (Fig. 5I), although it is expected to be decreased as per the MICU1-based model for Mn\(^{2+}\) vs Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity of MCU (42, 65). Finally, Mn\(^{2+}\) inhibited \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) to the same extent in WT and MICU1\(^{-/-}\) mitoplasts (Fig. 5F and J), indicating that Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) are likely to compete in the selectivity filter of the MCU/EMRE pore.

Thus, the \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) and \(I_{\text{Mn}}\) phenotypes of MICU1\(^{-/-}\) are the same, and MICU1 does not determine the preference of MCU for Ca\(^{2+}\) over Mn\(^{2+}\). Permeation of both Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) is enhanced, rather than inhibited by MICU1. Instead of MICU1, the selectivity of the MCU complex for Ca\(^{2+}\) over Mn\(^{2+}\) (and for any other ion) should be determined by the selectivity filter located in the pore (11-13, 15), exactly as in other ion channels. Thus, the properties of Mn\(^{2+}\) permeation via MCU cannot be explained within the paradigm in which MICUs occlude the MCU/EMRE pore, nor it can be used to validate it.

**Discussion**

In summary, the direct patch-clamp analysis presented here argues for a significant
revision of the current paradigm for the gating of the MCU complex, its control by $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$, and the role played by MICU subunits (Fig. 6).

In contrast to the existing model, we demonstrated that at low $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$, when EF hands of MICU subunits are $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-free, the MCU/EMRE pore is not occluded by MICUs and conducts robust $\text{Na}^+$ current regardless of MICU’s presence. Thus, the MCU complex is a constitutively active channel. We further demonstrated that the real function of MICU subunits is to potentiate the activity of the MCU complex as cytosolic $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ is elevated and binds to MICU’s EF hands (Fig. 6B). MICU subunits potentiate MCU activity by increasing the open state probability of the MCU/EMRE pore. MICUs are likely to achieve this effect by interacting with EMRE that is predicted to control the gating of the MCU/EMRE pore (14). The structure of the complete MCU complex presented in the accompanying paper clarifies this mechanism further and suggests that MICU1/MICU2 dimers connect (at the cytosolic side) EMREs of two different MCU/EMRE pores and could control MCU gating by pulling on these EMREs (Zhuo et al., accompanying manuscript (45)).

MICU1/MICU2 dimer binds cytosolic $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ with $K_d \sim 600$ nM (51). To understand the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-dependent function of the MICU1/MICU2 dimer, the effects of MICUs on the MCU/EMRE pore must be studied at the two extremes of the EF-hand $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ titration range – when all MICUs are essentially $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-free and when they are fully occupied by $\text{Ca}^{2+}$. This can be achieved by measuring the two types of currents via the MCU complex - $I_{\text{Na}}$ and $I_{\text{Ca}}$.

As we demonstrated previously (9, 10) and also elaborate in this work, the MCU complex can conduct both $\text{Na}^+$ and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$. This is because $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\text{Na}^+$ have very similar radii and both can bind to and permeate through the narrowest $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ binding site in the MCU selectivity filter, similar to other $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ channels (6, 11-13). $I_{\text{Na}}$ and $I_{\text{Ca}}$ have been instrumental in understanding the...
MCU channel and its exceptionally high Ca\(^{2+}\) selectivity \((9, 10)\). \(I_{\text{Na}}\) is measurable only when \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}} \leq 2\) nM (Fig. 2G), while \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) can only be measured at \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}} \geq 10\) µM (Fig. 1D). In between 2 nM and 10 µM, lies a \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}\) range where MCU currents are extremely small and cannot be measured reliably. Such “no-current” range is not a unique property of MCU, but is a characteristic property of all Ca\(^{2+}\)-selective channels and is explained by the anomalous mole-fraction effect, a phenomenon of binding and competition between two different ions (Na\(^{+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\)) in the selectivity filter \((66)\). However, by measuring \(I_{\text{Na}}\) and \(I_{\text{Ca}}\), the patch-clamp electrophysiology can reliably establish the effect of MICUs on the MCU/EMRE pore at the two extremes of the EF-hand Ca\(^{2+}\) titration range – when all EF hands are either in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-free state or in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-occupied state. It is important to understand that such direct measurement of \(I_{\text{Na}}\) and \(I_{\text{Ca}}\) is the only reliable way to assess the function of MICU subunits within the MCU complex.

It is tempting to assume that optical methods can assess the MCU complex activity and the MICU function continuously over a wide \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}\) range, starting from high nM. This perceived “high sensitivity” of optical methods is achieved by integration of the net mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) influx (even if it is very slow) over a period of time, resulting in a measurable \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{mito}}\) change. However, it must be realized that the optical methods do not measure MCU activity directly or in isolation from other Ca\(^{2+}\) transport mechanisms, and do not permit adequate control over the experimental conditions. When \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}\) is in the high nM range (around the resting levels), the MCU-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake is very slow and exists in an equilibrium with the mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) efflux mechanisms \((40)\). Thus, any measured changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{mito}}\) cannot be assigned to MCU exclusively. When \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}\) is elevated into the µM range, the MCU activity becomes high and overwhelms not only the Ca\(^{2+}\) efflux machinery but also the
electron transport chain, resulting in a decreased driving force for Ca$^{2+}$ and underestimation of the MCU activity (3, 4). Because of all these technical limitations, measuring the effect of MICU knockouts on $I_{Na}$ at nM [Ca$^{2+}]_{cyt}$ and on $I_{Ca}$ at µM [Ca$^{2+}]_{cyt}$ with direct patch-clamp electrophysiology is the only way to reliably study the MICU function.

Here we demonstrate that the MCU complex is constitutively active and has no intrinsic [Ca$^{2+}]_{cyt}$ threshold. A recent report also suggested no apparent [Ca$^{2+}]_{cyt}$ threshold for MCU in heart and skeletal muscle (67). Thus, the [Ca$^{2+}]_{cyt}$ threshold for elevation of [Ca$^{2+}]_{mito}$ is simply determined by the equilibrium between the MCU-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ uptake and the mitochondrial Ca$^{2+}$ efflux mechanisms. Such a simple equilibrium-based [Ca$^{2+}]_{cyt}$ threshold for [Ca$^{2+}]_{mito}$ elevation was proposed previously and was termed the “set point” (40).

Assuming that the $K_d$ for Ca$^{2+}$ binding to MICU EF hands is ~600 nM (51), MICUs would start potentiating the MCU complex activity already in the high nanomolar range of [Ca$^{2+}]_{cyt}$, around the resting levels. Thus, MICUs should help MCU to overcome the mitochondrial Ca$^{2+}$ efflux machinery and decrease the set point.

It is therefore paradoxical that the optical studies report not an increase but a decrease in “threshold” for mitochondrial Ca$^{2+}$ uptake in $MICUI^{-/-}$. However, it simply illustrates that the results of the optical experiments should be interpreted with a caution not only at the level of MCU but also at the level of the whole organelle. The set point for mitochondrial Ca$^{2+}$ accumulation is affected not only by the MCU-mediated uptake but also by mitochondrial Ca$^{2+}$ efflux and numerous other factors such as $\Delta\psi$, matrix pH, permeability of the outer mitochondrial membrane, and mitochondria-ER interface, to mention the most obvious. These factors can also change and overcompensate for the reduced MCU activity in $MICUI^{-/-}$, resulting in a decreased set point. In contrast to the $MICUI^{-/-}$, such overcompensation, however, cannot
correct the phenotype of MCU and EMRE knockouts because the mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake is completely eliminated. To further illustrate these points, in species other than mammals, where the compensatory mechanisms induced by MICU1 knockout may be different, the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) “threshold” for [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\) elevation is affected in a different way, although the composition of the MCU complex (including EMRE and MICU1) is similar to mammals. Specifically, in Trypanosoma cruzi, MICU1 knockout causes an increase in the Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake “threshold” and a marked decrease in Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake capacity at all [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) (68). The possibility that MICU proteins have other functions (69) beyond being a part of the MCU complex can further complicate the interpretation of the MICU1\(^{-/-}\) phenotype as assessed by optical methods. In Drosophila, a lethal phenotype of MICU1 knockout was not rescued when combined with either MCU or EMRE knockouts (the MCU and EMRE knockouts themselves had mild phenotypes), suggesting functions for MICU proteins beyond the MCU complex (69). Thus, the results obtained with optical methods must be interpreted with due consideration to direct electrophysiological and structural data on the MCU complex. Otherwise, not only the properties of the MCU complex, but also mitochondrial Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis in general will be misunderstood.

In summary, we demonstrate that MICUs are Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent MCU potentiators. They are likely to exert their potentiating effect over a range of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) from resting to high micromolar. By doing so, MICUs can control both the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\) set point for [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\) elevation and the maximum [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{mito}}\) reached during intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling. Importantly, the potentiation of MCU by MICUs could help to reduce the number of MCU channels required for adequate Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent stimulation of mitochondrial ATP production. Without MICUs, the number of MCU channels per mitochondrion would have to be ~2 times higher, which would also increase futile Ca\(^{2+}\) cycling at resting [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyto}}\).
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**Fig. 1. MCU-mediated I_Ca in WT and knockouts of MCU complex subunits.** (A) Inward I_Ca elicited by a voltage ramp in WT, MCU^-/- and EMRE^-/- mitoplasts exposed to [Ca^{2+}]_cyto of 30 µM, 100 µM and 1 mM. In WT, also note an outward Na^+ current via MCU at positive voltages in Ca^{2+}-free bath solution (Control). Voltage protocol is indicated on the top. (B) I_Ca is rescued by the recombinant expression of MCU and EMRE in their respective knockout cell lines. (C) I_Ca density measured at -160 mV at different [Ca^{2+}]_cyto in indicated cell lines. (n = 4 to 5 each) Mean ± SEM. (D) Inward I_Ca in WT, MICU1^-/-, MICU2^-/- and MICU3^-/- mitoplasts exposed to 10 µM, 100 µM and 1 mM [Ca^{2+}]_cyto. (E) I_Ca amplitudes measured at -160 mV in mitoplasts at [Ca^{2+}]_cyto of 10 µM, 100 µM and 1 mM (upper), as well as 5 mM and 25 mM (lower). (n = 8 to 17) Mean ± SEM; one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tuckey test. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
**Fig. 1**
Fig. 2. MICU1 is a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent MCU potentiator. (A) Representative $I_{\text{Na}}$ in WT, $MCU^{-/-}$ and $EMRE^{-/-}$ mitoplasts at 110 mM [Na\textsuperscript{+}]\textsubscript{cyto}. (B) $I_{\text{Na}}$ amplitudes measured at -80 mV in WT ($n = 20$), $MCU^{-/-}$ ($n = 3$) and $EMRE^{-/-}$ ($n = 3$) mitoplasts. (C) Representative $I_{\text{Ca}}$ (blue) and $I_{\text{Na}}$ (red) recorded from the same WT and $MICU1^{-/-}$ mitoplasts exposed to 1 mM [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{cyto} or 110 mM [Na\textsuperscript{+}]\textsubscript{cyto}. (D to F), Amplitudes of $I_{\text{Na}}$ (D) and $I_{\text{Ca}}$ (E), and the $I_{\text{Ca}}/I_{\text{Na}}$ ratio in the same mitoplast (F) in WT ($n = 27$) and $MICU1^{-/-}$ ($n = 18$). Current were measured at -80 mV. Mean ± SEM; unpaired t-test, two-tailed. ***$p < 0.001$. (G) Inward $I_{\text{Na}}$ recorded in the absence of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (blue) and subsequently at 2 nM [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{cyto} (red) in WT (left) and $MICU1^{-/-}$ (right) mitoplasts exposed to 110 mM [Na\textsuperscript{+}]\textsubscript{cyto}. (H) Inhibition of $I_{\text{Na}}$ by 2 nM [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{cyto} in WT and $MICU1^{-/-}$. Mean ± SEM; unpaired t-test, two-tailed ($n = 4$ each).
Fig. 2
Fig. 3. Effects of MICU proteins and their EF hands on the amplitude, kinetics and rectification of $I_{Ca}$. (A) Western blots showing overexpression of MICU proteins or MICU proteins with non-functional EF hands (mut-EF-MICU) in their respective knockout background (left, $\text{MICU1}^{-/-}$; middle, $\text{MICU2}^{-/-}$ and; right, $\text{MICU3}^{-/-}$). (B to D) Upper panels: $I_{Ca}$ in $\text{MICU1}^{-/-}$ (B), $\text{MICU2}^{-/-}$ (C) and $\text{MICU3}^{-/-}$ (D) before and after overexpression of a corresponding MICU subunit or its EF hand mutant, as compared to WT. To simplify comparison, representative $I_{Ca}$ traces recorded from the mitoplasts of different backgrounds in 1 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ are shown together in a single panel. Lower panels: quantification of $I_{Ca}$ amplitudes from the upper panel at -160 mV. The same WT and knockout data were used as in Fig. 1e. Mean ± SEM; one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tuckey test ($n$ = 7 to 26). *$p$ < 0.05; **$p$ < 0.01; ***$p$ < 0.001. (E) Left panel: $I_{Ca}$ measured at a holding voltage of -100 mV while $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ was rapidly ($\tau \sim 0.4$ ms, see Methods) switched from virtual zero to 1 mM and then back to virtual zero in WT (grey) and $\text{MICU1}^{-/-}$ (red) mitoplasts. Right panel, $I_{Ca}$ kinetics within ~10 ms after the fast $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ elevation and subsequent decrease in WT (grey) and $\text{MICU1}^{-/-}$ (red) mitoplasts from the left panel. $I_{Ca}$ traces were normalized to the maximal amplitude to facilitate comparison of kinetics in WT and $\text{MICU1}^{-/-}$. (F) Left: $I_{Ca}$ activation time constant ($\tau_a$) in WT and $\text{MICU1}^{-/-}$; Right: $I_{Ca}$ deactivation time constant ($\tau_d$) in WT and $\text{MICU1}^{-/-}$. Mean ± SEM ($n$ = 3, each). (G) $I_{Ca}$ at $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{mito}} = 2$ mM and indicated $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ in WT and $\text{MICU1}^{-/-}$. Arrows point out where the amplitude of outward $I_{Ca}$ was measured. Bar-graph shows the amplitude of outward $I_{Ca}$ measured at +80 mV. $n$ = 3, each $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$. 
Fig. 3
**Fig. 4. Open probability of the MCU channel is decreased in MICUI⁻/⁻.** (A and B) MCU single-channel currents ($i_{Ca}$) from inside-out IMM patches in WT (A) and MICUI⁻/⁻ (B) recorded at indicated potentials in symmetrical 105 mM Ca²⁺, and low-pass filtered at 0.3 kHz for display purposes. Arrows indicate closed state level, and downward deflections are the open state events. Multiple subconductance levels are clearly visible at -80 and -120 mV. (C to E) Single-channel amplitudes (C), open probability ($P_o$) (D), and time-averaged unitary current (E) (see Methods) in WT and MICUI⁻/⁻ at indicated potentials. Mean ± SEM; unpaired t-test, two-tailed; $n = 5–6$, each. *$p<0.05$; **$p<0.01$. 


Fig. 4
**Fig. 5.** $I_{\text{Mn}}$ is reduced in $\text{MICU}^{-/-}$ to the similar extent as $I_{\text{Ca}}$. (A to C) Representative inward $I_{\text{Mn}}$ in WT (A), $\text{MCU}^{-/-}$ (B) and $\text{EMRE}^{-/-}$ (C) mitoplasts at 5 mM $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$. (D) $I_{\text{Mn}}$ measured at -160 mV from WT ($n = 6$), $\text{MCU}^{-/-}$ ($n = 5$) and $\text{EMRE}^{-/-}$ ($n = 3$) mitoplasts. Mean ± SEM. (E) $I_{\text{MCU}}$ amplitudes at 5 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ and 5 mM $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ in WT mitoplasts. Currents were measured at -160 mV. Mean ± SEM; unpaired t-test, two-tailed; ***$p < 0.001$. (F) Representative $I_{\text{Ca}}$ (blue, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$=1 mM), $I_{\text{Mn}}$ (green, $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$=5 mM) and inhibition of $I_{\text{Ca}}$ by $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ (red, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$=1 mM and $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$=1 mM) in WT and $\text{MICU}^{-/-}$ mitoplasts. (G to J) $I_{\text{Mn}}$ (G), $I_{\text{Ca}}$ (H), $I_{\text{Mn}}/I_{\text{Ca}}$ ratio (I, measured in the same mitoplasts), and inhibition of $I_{\text{Ca}}$ by 1 mM $[\text{Mn}^{2+}]_{\text{cyto}}$ (J) in WT ($n = 3$–6) and $\text{MICU}^{-/-}$ ($n = 5$–11). Mean ± SEM; unpaired t-test, two-tailed. **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$. **
Fig. 6. Gating models of the MCU complex. (A) Current model of the MCU complex gating and the role of MICU subunits. The MCU complex has two states: MICU-occluded and open. At low [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyto}$, MICU subunits occlude the MCU pore and inhibit Ca$^{2+}$ influx. As [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyto}$ is increased, Ca$^{2+}$ binds to the EF hands of MICU subunits, the MICU-mediated occlusion is relieved, and the MCU pore is open. (B) New model of the MCU complex gating and the role of MICU subunits. The MCU complex is a constitutively active channel. The level of the MCU activity is determined by spontaneous transitions between the open and closed states and the equilibrium between them. At low [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyto}$, this equilibrium is such that the probability of the open and closed states are comparable. As [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyto}$ is increased and Ca$^{2+}$ binds to the EF hands of MICU subunits, MICUs strongly shift the equilibrium to the open state, which leads to a significant increase in the probability of the open state ($Po$) and a robust increase in the MCU activity.
Old MCU Gating Model
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