Signatures of mitonuclear coevolution in a warbler species complex

Silu Wang[1,2], Madelyn J. Ore[1,3], Else K. Mikkelsen[1,4], Julie Lee-Yaw[5,6], David P. L. Toews[7], Sievert Rohwer[8], and Darren Irwin[1]

[1] Department of Zoology, and Biodiversity Research Centre, 6270 University Blvd, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T1Z4, Canada

[2] Current address: Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California, 94720, USA

[3] Current address: Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York, 14850, USA

[4] Current address: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M1C 1A4, Canada

[5] Department of Botany, 3200-6270 University Blvd, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T1Z4, Canada

[6] Current address: Biological Sciences, 4401 University Drive, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, T1K3M4, Canada

[7] Department of Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, 16802, USA

[8] Department of Biology and Burke Museum, Box 353010, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

Abstract

Mitochondrial (mtDNA) and nuclear (nDNA) genes interact to govern metabolic pathways of mitochondria. When differentiated populations interbreed at secondary contact, incompatibilities between mtDNA of one population and nDNA of the other could result in low fitness of hybrids. In northwestern North America, two hybridizing species of warblers, *Setophaga occidentalis* (abbreviated as SOCC) and *S. townsendi* (STOW), provide an excellent system to investigate the potential co-adaptation of mitochondrial and nuclear DNA. The inland population of STOW (inland STOW) harbors mtDNA haplotype that is half a million years divergent from the SOCC mtDNA, and these populations also differ strongly in a few nDNA regions. Coastal populations of STOW (coastal STOW) have mixed ancestry, consistent with ancient hybridization of SOCC and inland STOW-like population. Of the few highly-differentiated nDNA regions between inland STOW and SOCC, one of these regions (on chromosome 5) is also differentiated between coastal STOW and inland STOW, and covaries with mtDNA among coastal STOW populations. Genes in this 1.2Mb region of chromosome 5 are associated with fatty acid oxidation and energy-related signaling transduction, both of which are closely associated with mitochondrial function. This chromosome 5 region is correlated with mtDNA haplotypes both within and across sampling sites, a pattern consistent with mitonuclear co-adaptation. We show that such mitonuclear coevolution might be maintained by climate-related selection, because mitonuclear ancestry is correlated with climatic conditions among sampling sites. Together, our observation suggests climatic-associated adaptation shaping mitonuclear differentiation and introgression in this species complex.

Introduction

Mitochondrial (mtDNA) and nuclear (nDNA) genomes co-function in maintaining critical functions that influence fitness in nearly all eukaryotes [1–5]. Populations in different areas may harbour distinct mtDNA sequences owing to selection or drift, and because many nuclear genes encode proteins that function within mitochondria, the genomes are expected to co-evolve, each being the target of selection favoring compatibility with the other [4,6,7]. Interbreeding at species boundaries can lead to sub-optimal mitonuclear combinations in hybrids. Specifically, hybrids with nDNA from one species and mtDNA from the other species may have lowered fitness [8,9]. These types of genetic incompatibilities can play a role in keeping hybrid zones narrow and limiting gene flow between species [10,11]. Hence coadaptation of mtDNA and nDNA is increasingly recognized as being important to speciation [10–12].

In at least some species, geographic variation in climate is known to select for different mitochondrial genotypes in different areas [6,13–15]. This may in turn lead to indirect selection on co-functioning nuclear genes. Such climatic mitonuclear coadaptation can lead to genomic differentiation between populations inhabiting different climatic conditions [4,6]. Here we examine the relationship between mtDNA and nDNA variation in a warbler species complex with ancient and ongoing hybridization. In particular, we ask whether there is a signature of mitonuclear coevolution and, if so, could climate-related selection have driven such coevolution?

While secondary contact between differentiated populations sometimes leads to narrow hybrid zones [16], another possible outcome is the formation of a hybrid or mixed population over a broad region [17–19]. Such populations have the potential to reveal strong

selection on suboptimal combinations of genes from the two parental species. Despite

increasing interest in mitonuclear interactions at species boundaries of natural

populations with complex population histories [3,6,20–23], the degree to which mitonuclear

interactions are important in the differentiation among lineages is not well understood.

The North America warbler species *Setophaga occidentalis* (abbreviated as

SOCC) inhabits conifer forests along the states of Oregon, California, and southern

Washington, U.S.A. To the north of SOCC, a closely related species *Setophaga*

townsendi (abbreviated as STOW) consists of an inland population that inhabits areas

east of the Coast Mountains of British Columbia, Canada and northern Washington, USA

(abbreviated as inland STOW) and a coastal population (abbreviated as coastal STOW)

west of the Coast Mountains (Figure 1 A) [24–28]. The SOCC and inland STOW populations

demonstrate distinct plumage and mtDNA haplotypes that are separated by ~0.8%

sequence divergence, diverged ~0.5 million years ago (Figure 1 BC) [24,26,27,29]. They differ

in nDNA mainly at a few small genomic regions, one related to plumage differences

(ASIP-RALY gene block), whereas the rest of the genome shows very little

differentiation [29].

The coastal STOW population is identical to inland STOW in plumage, but

harbors both SOCC and inland STOW mtDNA haplotypes (Figure 1 BC). The haplotype

network (Figure 1 C) suggests that this population is the product of ancient hybridization

between SOCC and inland STOW-like population [27] (Figure 1 A). If so, individuals

sampled from coastal STOW should demonstrate a mixture of ancestry between

contemporary SOCC and inland STOW, especially detectable in regions that are

differentiated between SOCC and inland STOW [30]. In addition, the plumage gene region

that differs between SOCC and inland STOW is expected to remain undifferentiated

between coastal STOW and inland STOW, because of their similar appearance. In

contrast, we might expect differentiation within coastal STOW with respect to nuclear

genes associated with mitochondrial function according to differences in the frequency of

inland STOW versus SOCC mtDNA haplotypes in different parts of the range.

Here, we analyze variation at tens of thousands of single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) throughout the nuclear genome of various SOCC, coastal STOW

and inland STOW populations. In particular, we ask (1) whether both nuclear and

mitochondrial genomic data suggest hybrid origin of coastal STOW; (2) whether

genomic differentiation between coastal and inland STOW is related to mitonuclear

coevolution; (3) whether spatial variation in the frequency of mitonuclear genotypes is

consistent with climate-related selection.

Methods

Museum samples, mtDNA sequences, and nDNA sequencing

As a baseline for understanding the relationships among mtDNA haplotypes and

their distributions, sequences of the mtDNA NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 gene (ND2)

for 223 individuals (95 coastal STOW, 81 inland STOW, and 47 SOCC) from the Krosby

and Rohwer (2009) [27] study were acquired from GenBank (accession numbers FJ373895-

FJ374120). To further understand the relationships among various STOW populations

with these mtDNA sequences, we generated a minimum spanning haplotype network [31]

with PopART [32]. This network showed two clearly separated haplotype clusters. We then

scored each haplotype as 0 and 1 respectively for those that are nested within the SOCC

haplotype cluster and the inland STOW cluster [27] (Figure 1 C).

Among these individuals with previously-sequenced mtDNA (i.e., from [27]), we

selected a subset of tissue samples (70 inland STOW, 57 coastal STOW, and 15 SOCC;

obtained from the Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture, University of

Washington, Seattle, Washington) for nuclear genomic sequencing. We supplemented

this set of genetic samples with 54 blood samples that we obtained directly from birds

caught in the field during the breeding season of 2016; these included 47 SOCC from

California, 5 inland STOW from Montana, and 2 inland STOW from Washington.

Therefore, 196 samples (62 SOCC, 77 inland STOW, and 57 coastal STOW) were

included in the nuclear genomic sequencing.

GBS pipeline

We prepared genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) [33] libraries from DNA samples of

the 196 individuals described above as our previous study [34]. Briefly, we digested

genomes with the restriction enzyme PstI, then ligated fragments with barcode and

adaptors, and amplified with PCR. Amplified DNA was pooled into two libraries which

were then paired-end sequenced at GenomeQuebec: the first (115 individuals) were

sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq 2500 automated sequencer (read length = 125bp), and

the second (81 individuals) were sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq 4000 (read length =

100bp) due to equipment updates at the sequencing facility. We randomly assigned

samples to different plates and included replicates of three samples among plates.

Sequence processing was based on that of a previous study [34], but with some changes

included in the summary below. Specifically, the reads were demultiplexed with a custom

script and then trimmed using Trimmomatic [35] [TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:10

MINLEN:30]. We aligned reads to a congener *Setophaga coronata* reference [36] with

bwa-mem [37] (default settings). Variable sites were identified with GATK [38], then filtered

with VCFtools [39] according to the following criteria: 1) removing indels; 2) keeping sites

with genotype quality (GQ) > 20; 3) keeping sites with minor allele frequency (MAF) ≥

0.05; 4) removing sites with > 30% missing genotypes among individuals; and 5) keeping

biallelic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) only. Thereafter 222,559 SNPs

remained.

Population structure and genomic differentiation

To investigate if the coastal STOW is a product of genetic admixture of SOCC

and inland STOW, we first examine population structure with principle component

analysis (PCA) in the SNPRelate [40] package in R [41], followed by heterozygosity-ancestry

proportion analysis [42]. We originally set out to assess the differences between SOCC,

coastal STOW, and inland STOW. However, the PCA revealed strong clustering within

coastal STOW with Valdez, AK (USA) and Haida Gwaii, BC (Canada) populations

distinct from the rest of the coastal STOW populations. In subsequent analysis, we thus

compared each of the three coastal STOW groups (Haida Gwaii, Valdez, and other

coastal STOW [oc-STOW]) to the inland STOW and SOCC groups. To examine

population differentiation across the genome, for each of the 222,559 filtered SNPs we

calculated F_{ST} [43] with VCFtools [39] between 1) inland STOW ($n = 77$) and SOCC ($n = 62$);

2) coastal STOW ($n = 57$: 10 Haida Gwaii, 15 Valdez, 32 others) and inland STOW; and

3) SOCC and each of the three coastal STOW clusters.

Ancient hybridization is expected to result in individuals with variable ancestry

and reduced heterozygosity, in contrast to recent, early generation hybrids, which will be

heterozygous across most loci. To uncover signatures of ancient hybridization, we

calculated ancestry and inter-specific heterozygosity of the coastal STOW individuals.

We consider SNPs with $F_{ST} > 0.6$ (between inland STOW and SOCC) as being useful for

informative parental ancestry. There were 50 SNPs distributed across chr 1, chr 1A, chr

4A, chr 5, chr 20, and chr Z. For each SNP, we assigned an ancestry score of 0 and 1 for

homozygous SOCC and homozygous inland STOW variants respectively, and 0.5 for

heterozygotes. We calculated ancestry (averaged ancestry score of the 50 SNPs) and

heterozygosity of the 50 ancestry informative SNPs. To ensure that neutral sites besides

selected genomic regions are considered, we repeated heterozygosity-ancestry analysis

with a F_{ST} threshold of 0.3, which involves 618 SNPs.

The 50 SNPs (from above) at F_{ST} peaks between coastal STOW and inland

STOW that are also consistent with the peaks between SOCC and inland STOW were

considered candidate loci for further analyses. One possibility is that these loci are linked

to genes that have a mitochondrial function and selection maintains their concordance

with mtDNA ancestry.

Association of mtDNA and nDNA

Coevolution between genomes is expected to lead to association between mtDNA

and mt-associated nuclear genes within and among sites. If individuals with mismatched

mt-nDNA genotypes are selected against, there should be an association between mtDNA

and nDNA genotypes within each population. Such a force could be counteracted by

random mating which breaks down the mt-nDNA association, thus strong selection is

required to maintain adaptive mt-nDNA combinations within a single randomly mating

population. Over time however, specific geographic regions may favor particular mtDNA

variants and thus compatible nDNA variants, increasing mt-nDNA concordance among

sampling sites.

To examine within-population association between mtDNA and nDNA ancestry,

we conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) with GenABEL [44] in R to

examine if there is association between mtDNA group (0 for SOCC type, or 1 for STOW

type) and nuclear genotypes across the genome while controlling for population

substructure. We used the *egscore* function that tests association between mtDNA

ancestry and nuclear SNP ancestry while controlling for population substructure using the

identity-by-state kinship matrix (calculated by the function *ibs*)[44]. We subsequently

conducted genomic control assuming that randomly selected sites are not associated with

mtDNA. To do so, we calculated inflation factor λ (accounting for both the effects of

genetic structure and sample size) and used it to correct for the test statistic χ^2 (and thus

p-values) of each mt-nDNA association test. A *p-value* cutoff of 10^{-6} was employed to

account for multiple hypothesis testing for sites across the genome as a balance of

Bonferroni correction ($0.05/222,559$ SNPs $\approx 2.2\times 10^{-7}$) and dependency among linked

SNPs. To examine gene function related to the loci associated with mtDNA haplotypes,

we examined known protein-coding genes in vicinity of the candidate SNPs, using the *S.*

coronata annotation [36]. For each gene, we identified the *Taeniopygia guttata* homolog [45],

which was then searched for Gene Ontology molecular and biological functions with

UniProt [46].

Although within-population mitonuclear association could be largely prevented

by random mating, a signature of mitonuclear coevolution might be captured in the

mitonuclear ancestry associations among populations. We first calculated the mean

mtDNA and nDNA ancestry of each site by averaging the locus-specific ancestry (0 for

SOCC and 1 for inland STOW) among individuals. To examine between-population

association between mtDNA and nDNA variants of interest, we employed a partial

mantel test [47] with the *vegan* package in R to quantify the association between the

among-sites (N =19) distance matrices of mtDNA ancestry and the nDNA ancestry, while

controlling for spatial autocorrelation. In particular, the partial mantel test examined

correlation between the among-sites distance matrix of mtDNA and that of the nDNA

locus, conditioned on the among-sites distance matrix of coordinates.

mtDNA sequence analysis

To add additional context to any potential functional interpretation of nuDNA –

mtDNA interactions, we compared sequence divergence of protein coding genes in full

mitochondrial genomes of SOCC and STOW. We used published whole-genome data

from tissues sequenced by Baiz et al. (2021) [36] to extract high quality (>1000X coverage)

mtDNA reads (n = 4 SOCC haplotypes, n = 6 STOW haplotypes; see [36] for details on

library preparation, sequencing methodology, and sample information). Reads were

aligned to the *S. coronata* mitochondrial genome, which we annotated using the MITOS[48]

web server annotation platform. To generate individual mtDNA fasta files, we used the

"mpileup" command in samtools version 0.1.18 [49], the "call -c" command in bcftools

version 1.10.2, and the "vcf2fq" option to generate fastq files. Finally, we used the

program seqtk (version 1.3; https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) to translate into fasta format.

We generated sequence alignments, calculated the number of fixed SNPs between the

divergent haplotypes, and determined number of fixed amino acid substitutions in

Geneious (11.0.3).

Climate analysis

To investigate whether there might be selection on mt-nDNA related to climate,

we tested association of site-level mt-nDNA ancestry (the averaged site ancestry score of

mtDNA, chr 5 differentiation block ancestry) and climate variation. To effectively

capture annual climate variation among sites, we extracted data from 26 climate variables

(Table S3) from ClimateWNA [50] and used PCA to describe climatic variation among

sites. We computed pairwise differences between sites for a) climate based on PC1, b)

climate based on PC2 values, c) geographic distance, and d) mt-nuclear ancestry. We

then tested for an association between climate differences among sites and differences in

mt-nDNA ancestry while controlling for geographic distance using a partial mantel test in

R with 10,000 permutations.

Results

Population structure

The mtDNA haplotype clusters are distinct between inland STOW and SOCC,

with 0.8% minimum mtDNA sequence divergence between the two clades (Krosby and

Rohwer 2009; Figure 1 C). Among these substitutions, there are 5 amino acid changes: 4

within NAD2 and 1 within ATP6 (Table S1). Various coastal STOW sampling sites

contain a mixture of inland STOW haplotypes and SOCC haplotypes (Figure 1 C),

suggesting that these coastal STOW populations are hybrid populations between inland

STOW and SOCC (Krosby and Rohwer 2009). Nuclear genomic variation as assessed

through variation in the 222,559 SNPs reveals isolated clusters of SOCC and inland

STOW, with additional clustering within coastal STOW. The inland STOW and SOCC

form two clearly differentiated clusters differing in the first eigenvector (EV1) (Figure 1

D), and most individuals from coastal STOW have a somewhat intermediate position.

However, we found substructure within coastal STOW with Valdez and Haida Gwaii

forming distinct genetic clusters (Figure 1 D). Valdez differs primarily along the second

eigenvector (EV2), whereas Haida Gwaii differs by a combination of EV1 and EV2.

Moreover, Valdez and Haida Gwaii demonstrate comparable differentiation to the

parental populations as the differentiation between the parental populations (SOCC and

inland STOW), as measured by genome-wide F_{ST} (Figure S3), possibly a result of drift

due to small local population size, especially on Haida Gwaii.

Analysis of ancestry and heterozygosity further supports the ancient hybrid status

of coastal STOW, as coastal STOW individuals exhibit intermediate ancestry between

SOCC and inland STOW but reduced heterozygosity, suggesting many generations of

crossing and backcrossing (Figure 2, S2). This pattern is in contrast to what would be

expected from recent, early generation hybrids, which would appear at the top of the

triangle plot. The c-STOW population falls towards the inland STOW end of the ancestry

spectrum, indicating that the ancient mixed population started with more inland STOW

than SOCC or that there has been more introgression from inland STOW since the

original admixture. Of those divergent SNPs included in the ancestry analysis, we found

SOCC-biased introgression in restricted genomic regions on chr1A, chr5, and chrZ

(Figure 2 B).

F_{ST} distribution

Genome-wide levels of differentiation show that SOCC and inland STOW are

similar (Weir and Cockerham weighted F_{ST} = 0.023) except for a few peaks of

differentiation (Figure S1 A). As in the PCA (Figure 1 D), F_{ST} analysis indicates the

Valdez and Haida Gwaii coastal STOW populations are more differentiated from both

SOCC and inland STOW than other coastal STOW are (see F_{ST} values in Figure S1, S2).

The rest of the coastal STOW are more similar to inland STOW (Weir and Cockerham's

F_{ST} = 0.008) than to SOCC (Weir and Cockerham's F_{ST} = 0.015) (Figure S3).

282   The inland STOW and SOCC have a number of peaks of differentiation (Figure

S1 A) mapping to chromosomes (chr) 1, 1A, 4A, 5, 20, and Z in the *Setophaga coronata*

reference. One of these (on chr 20) is in the ASIP-RALY gene block [29], which is known

to regulate pigmentation in quail and mice [51,52]. Our earlier study of admixture mapping

in the ongoing hybrid zone between inland STOW and SOCC in the Washington

Cascades [30] indicated that this locus is highly associated with plumage colour patterns

within that zone. As predicted, the present analysis of genomic variation over a much

broader geographic region shows high differentiation at the RALY SNP between

sampling regions that differ in plumage (i.e., between SOCC and inland STOW, Figure

S1 A, F-H) and low differentiation between regions with similar plumage (i.e., between

coastal STOW and inland STOW, Figure S1 B-D).

293   Similar to the chr20 RALY peak, the chr5 region also showed extreme

differentiation in the comparison of inland STOW and SOCC (Figure S1, 3), but in

contrast to the ASIP-RALY region, the chr5 region is also strongly differentiated

between coastal STOW and inland STOW and is the most differentiated region between

those groups (Figure S1 B-C; 3 B-C). The chr5 peak (Figure 3 A-C) involves a ~1.2Mb

region—assayed here with 15 SNPs—and includes 22 genes. These genes are

predominantly associated with energy-related signaling transduction (10/22) and fatty

acid metabolism (7/22) (Table S2).

Mitonuclear genetic association

We then examined whether any nuclear genomic regions were correlated with

mtDNA haplotypes (Figure 1 C), controlling for population substructure. Our GWAS

revealed significant ($p_{corrected} < 10^{-6}$) mitonuclear covariation within chr 5 (Figure 4 A).

The mtDNA-associated SNP on chr 5 was within the PAPLN gene (Figure 4 B, Table

S2), which resides within the island of differentiation between SOCC and inland STOW

(Figure 3 A; 4 B), as well as between coastal STOW and inland STOW (Figure 3, 4 B).

This ~1.2Mb chr5 differentiation gene block is concentrated with genes with direct

functional association with mitochondria (Table S2, energy-related signaling transduction

and fatty acid oxidation). We thereafter consider this chr 5 differentiation gene block

(Figure 4 C) for subsequent analysis. Among sites, the ancestry of chr 5 differentiation

block (Figure 4 D, partial mantel pearson's product-moment $r = 0.9227$, $p < 10^{-4}$) were

correlated with the mtDNA ancestry after controlling for spatial autocorrelation (see

Methods).

Climatic association

Climate PC1 (Figure 5 AC, S5) explains 64.4% of the variation in climate among

sites; this PC demonstrated similar loading among climate variables (Figure S5 AB;

Table S3, S4). Climate PC2 explains 23.5% of the variation and was predominantly

explained by four climate variables (Figure S5, C, S6; Table S3, S4): Temperature

Difference (TD), Climate Moisture Index (CMI), Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP),

Winter Precipitation (PPT_wt). The climate in coastal STOW habitat is similar to that of

inland STOW along PC1, but more similar to that of SOCC along PC2, although there is

great climate variation among various coastal STOW populations (Figure 5 A-C).

Overall, coastal STOW habitat is moister and more stable in temperature, which is

consistent with the coastal-inland humidity gradient in western North America (captured

by PC2, Figure 5 B), and the distribution of mt-nDNA ancestry appears related to this

geographical variation in climate. The mt-nDNA ancestry is significantly correlated with

climate PC1 (Figure 5 D) (partial mantel test controlling for geographical distance, $r =$

$0.307, p = 0.011$, Figure 5 D) but not with climate PC2 ($r = 0.166, p = 0.081$) among 19

sites (across SOCC, coastal STOW, and inland STOW habitats).

Discussion

Setophaga occidentalis (SOCC) and inland *S. townsendi* (inland STOW) are

distinct in mtDNA [27] and exhibit three strong regions of differentiation in the nuclear

genome [30], whereas coastal *S. townsendi* (coastal STOW) harbor admixed mtDNA and

nDNA ancestry from SOCC and inland STOW. One of the regions of strong

differentiation between SOCC and inland STOW, a ~1.2Mb region on chr 5,

differentiates coastal STOW and inland STOW as well. This region shows strong

association with divergent mtDNA haplotypes, after controlling for background

population substructure of nDNA, and contains genes with functions that are involved in

energy-related signaling transduction and fatty acid metabolism, both of which are strong

candidates for cofunction with mtDNA. We found site-level mitonuclear ancestry

covaries with the site climate conditions, consistent with adaptation to climate directly, or

to other factors that are associated with climatic variation, such as forest characteristics.

Coevolution of mtDNA and nDNA

We found the key nDNA differences between coastal STOW versus inland STOW resides at loci within chr 5 associated with fatty acid metabolism and energy-related signaling transduction (Figure 4 B; Table S2), an intriguing result given that coastal STOW and inland STOW differ so strongly in their mitochondrial haplotype frequencies. This chr5 gene block is concentrated with genes encoding proteins directly involved ATP and NADH activities. Notably, an analysis of the amino differences between whole mitochondrial genomes in this system revealed four amino acid substitutions in the *ND2* gene and one in *ATP6* (Table S1). In addition, the chr5 gene block harbors genes associated with fatty acid oxidation (Table S2), which mainly occurs in mitochondria although it occurs in other cellular compartments as well (see reviews [53,54]). Together, these findings point to the possibility of selection on mitonuclear cofunctions [11,12]. The SOCC nDNA may thus be partially incompatible with the inland STOW mtDNA in multiple functional roles, leading to selection against mismatched mitonuclear ancestries. Such selection maintaining mitonuclear concordance may be counteracted by random mating in admixed populations at each generation and is thus difficult to detect in samples of individuals from a single population. However, mitonuclear ancestry concordance can be more easily detected through comparison of many populations. This association (Figure 4) reveals the potential selection maintaining a functionally compatible mitonuclear fatty acid metabolism over a large temporal and spatial scale.

These mitonuclear genotypes are significantly associated with climate variation among sites, suggesting potential selection on the mt-nDNA combinations related to

climate or habitat (which is also associated with climate). The climate in the coastal

STOW is similar to inland STOW habitat along PC1, but similar to SOCC habitat along

PC2. Correlations between any two traits that have large-scale geographic variation are

expected, making it difficult to confidently infer causality from such associations alone.

However, the climate and habitat differences between SOCC, inland STOW, and coastal

STOW are very strong, such that these differences likely cause some selective

differences. These patterns are reminiscent of the *Eopsaltria australis* (Eastern Yellow

Robin) system in which distinct mt-nDNA combinations are maintained between inland

and coastal habitat [6]. Fatty acid metabolic genes have also been shown to be targets of

climatic adaptation in humans, within Siberian [55] and Greenlandic Inuit populations [56].

Temperature [57] and humidity [58] both influence mitochondrial fatty acid metabolism [57,58].

Chr5-mtDNA genotypes might result in functional difference in fatty acid metabolism

that is adapted to specific climate (moist and stable versus dry and variable) in the

breeding habitat of these warblers.

Because SOCC has apparently inhabited coastal areas for a long period of time,

the SOCC mt-nDNA gene combination may be more suited for coastal habitats compared

to those of inland STOW. If the SOCC mt-nDNA genotype is favored in the coastal

habitats, the frequency of SOCC mt-nDNA gene combinations would tend to increase in

coastal STOW populations over time. However, ongoing gene flow between inland

STOW and coastal STOW would slow down or prevent such increase. The Haida Gwaii

island and Valdez population could have escaped from such a balance between selection

and gene flow due to their isolation from the rest of the populations respectively by the

sea and mountain ranges. Another possibility is that frequency-dependent selection is

maintaining long-term mt-nDNA polymorphism in the coastal STOW. Future

investigation on the spatial and temporal variation of the strength of association between

mtDNA and the chr5 gene block would shed light on the evolutionary forces shaping the

present and future of the coastal STOW population. Further examining such mitonuclear

relationship in the three hybrid zones between SOCC and inland STOW in Washington

and Oregon[59] would shed light on the prevalence of such evolutionary forces.

Genomic architecture of differentiation

In comparisons among these populations, the distribution of F_{ST} across the

genome is consistent with the "genic" view of differentiation [30,60,61], in which peaks of

differentiation represent genetic targets of selection (divergent selection or selection

against hybrids) that are highly distinct between populations despite the rest of the

genome being homogenized by gene flow [60–62]. Despite this 'selection with gene flow'

scenario exhibiting a similar genomic differentiation landscape as the classic 'divergence

with gene flow' model [61,63], the underlying process is different. In this system, there is a

known allopatric phase when SOCC and inland STOW were separated by ice sheets

(Figure 1 A) [24,27]. Genetic differentiation that accumulated in allopatry (as opposed to

gradual build up at sympatry or parapatry under 'divergence with gene flow') can be

homogenized by hybridization at secondary contact, while the climate/habitat-related

genomic target (on chr 5) of selection remains differentiated.

Between coastal STOW and SOCC, there are a number of highly differentiated

loci (Figure S1 F), one of which is the ASIP-RALY gene block that was found through

admixture mapping to be highly associated with plumage in the narrow Cascades hybrid

zone between inland STOW and SOCC [30]. The fact that this marker has now been shown

to be strongly associated with plumage both within a local hybrid zone and throughout

the whole inland STOW and SOCC species complex is strong evidence for a causal link

between the RALY-ASIP genetic region and plumage differences.

Biogeography and semi-parallel introgression

In addition to providing an empirical examination of mitonuclear coevolution, the

present study also helps clarify the biogeographic history of this warbler complex. Our

genomic evidence is consistent with Krosby and Rohwer's [27] conclusion, based on

mtDNA, that coastal British Columbia and Alaska was inhabited by geographically

structured SOCC populations before inland STOW expanded from inland areas and

mixed with them [27]. The SOCC and inland STOW mtDNA haplotype groups demonstrate

many differences (~0.8%), whereas both are common in coastal STOW. It is unlikely that

the polymorphisms in mtDNA and nDNA in the coastal STOW were caused by

incomplete lineage sorting, as opposed to hybridization (Figure 1 A). In a scenario of

incomplete lineage sorting, enough time would have passed following population

splitting for both inland STOW and SOCC to have lost the alternative haplotype, while

the coastal STOW maintained both. Over such a period of time, sizeable differences

would be expected between the SOCC haplotypes found in SOCC population versus

coastal STOW, as well as between inland STOW haplotypes found in coastal versus

inland STOW population. We did not observe such a pattern, as coastal STOW has some

mtDNA haplotypes that are identical to SOCC and some that are identical to inland

STOW haplotypes. In addition, the reduced heterozygosity (relative to expected values of

recent hybrids) and intermediate ancestry (Figure 2 S2) reflected in the nuclear genome

of coastal STOW further supported the ancient hybrid status of coastal STOW.

The higher genome-wide differentiation of the Haida Gwaii and Valdez

populations (Figure S3) is consistent with at least partially isolated cryptic refugia of

SOCC in coastal Alaska and Haida Gwaii during the last glacial maximum [64]. Following

expansion of inland STOW from the inland area, presumably after the last glacial period,

hybridization between inland STOW and SOCC apparently led to populations of mixed

ancestry along the coast of British Columbia and Alaska (Figure 1 A). These coastal

populations have the plumage patterns and colors of inland STOW, which is why they

have been classified as members of that species. This uniform inland STOW appearance

has concealed a more complex history of hybridization with ancient and geographically

differentiated populations of SOCC.

Following expansion of inland STOW from the interior, gene flow into Haida

Gwaii may have been weak due to the expanse of water separating it from the mainland,

explaining why that population is more similar to SOCC than other coastal STOW are.

Gene flow into Valdez could have also been impeded by geographical barriers, as Valdez

is surrounded by mountain ranges (Chugach mountains, Wrangell mountains, and St.

Elias mountains). However, both nuclear and genomic data indicate that Valdez has

substantial ancestry from both inland STOW and SOCC. Despite genome-wide

differentiation among these three coastal STOW genetic clusters, there is an interesting

parallelism: all the three populations exhibit the inland STOW-like ASIP-RALY marker

(Figure S1) that is associated with plumage [30], and disproportionately higher frequencies

of the SOCC-like chr5 nDNA gene block that covaries with mtDNA (Figure 3). Such

parallelism might be driven by parallel adaptation to the coastal climate.

Caveats and future directions

While our findings are consistent with mitonuclear coadaptation being important

in the pattern of genomic differentiation within this species complex, this being an

observational study we cannot definitively conclude that is the case. The strong

associations between geographic variation in climate, mitochondrial haplotypes, and

highly differentiated regions of the genome, along with the known roles of those

divergent regions in mitochondrial-associated function and the abundant evidence for

mitonuclear coadaptation in other systems [7,11,65], add up to strong correlative evidence for

mitonuclear adaptation in this case. One possibility is that the nuclear and mitochondrial

loci are independently selected by the environment, without actual coevolution between

the two. Future experimental study should investigate this possibility to distinguish it

from actual coevolution. If there is mitonuclear coadaptation, there should be (1) an

epistatic effect of mtDNA and nDNA on fatty acid metabolic phenotypes; (2) the high

frequency fatty acid metabolic phenotypes (underpinned by mitonuclear epistasis) within

each site should be more fit for local climate than foreign climate.

Conclusion

Examination of genomic differentiation in this young species group has revealed

patterns consistent with climate-related coadaptation among mtDNA and nDNA involved

in energy-related signaling transduction and fatty-acid metabolism. Consistent with the

mtDNA pattern, the coastal STOW demonstrate both mtDNA and nuclear genomic

patterns consistent with ancient admixture between inland STOW and a geographically

structured ancient SOCC population. Three genetic clusters of coastal STOW are

characterized by a mixed genetic ancestry between the parental populations (SOCC and

inland STOW), providing natural replicates for examining the role of selection in shaping

genomic differentiation. These three coastal STOW clusters exhibit differentiation from

inland STOW at one of the most differentiated genomic regions (on chr 5) between

inland STOW and SOCC. The geographic distributions of the mitonuclear genetic

combinations related to fatty acid metabolism are associated with geographic variation in

climate, suggesting mt-nDNA coevolution may have occurred in response to selection for

climate adaptation. Such climate-related mitonuclear selection could be an important

force driving population differentiation in this species complex.

Data Accessibility

Sequence data is deposited in GenBank SRA (accession number: PRJNA573930;

ID: 573930). Secondary analytical data tables have been deposited in dryad

(https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.44j0zpc9t).

Acknowledgements

In support of the Bird Names for Birds movement, we omitted the existing

common name of *Setophaga townsendi*. We are grateful to Sharon Birks (Burke

Museum) and Chris Wood (Burke Museum) for access to the tissue samples for

sequencing. We thank Geoffrey E. Hill for inspiring ideas to this study. We also thank

Gil Henriques for providing digital illustrations of the warblers. For helpful discussion

we thank Sally Otto, Dolph Schluter, Loren Rieseberg, Graham Coop, Dahong Chen,

Mike Whitlock, Andrea Thomaz, Armando Geraldes, Meade Krosby, Hernan Morales,

Xinzhu Wei, Jared Grummer, and Rohit Kolora. We are grateful for research funding

provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (grants

311931-2012, RGPIN-2017-03919 and RGPAS-2017-507830 to DEI; and PGS D

331015731 to SW); Pennsylvania State University, the Eberly College of Science, and

the Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences to DPLT; and a Werner and Hildegard Hesse

Research Award in Ornithology and a UBC Four Year Doctoral Fellowship to SW. For

research permits we thank Environment Canada; U. S. Geological Survey; Departments

of Fish & Wildlife of Washington, Idaho, and Montana; and the UBC Animal Care

Committee.

References

1.   Calvo, S. E. & Mootha, V. K. The Mitochondrial Proteome and Human Disease.
Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. **11**, 25–44 (2010).

2.   Lane, N. Mitonuclear match: Optimizing fitness and fertility over generations
drives ageing within generations. *BioEssays* **33**, 860–869 (2011).

3.   Bar-Yaacov, D. *et al.* Mitochondrial involvement in vertebrate speciation? The
Case of mitonuclear genetic divergence in chameleons. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **7**,
3322–3336 (2015).

4.   Hill, G. E. *Mitonuclear Ecology*. (Oxford University Press, 2019).

5.   Ballard, J. W. O. & Whitlock, M. C. The incomplete natural history of
mitochondria. *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 729–744 (2004).

6.   Morales, H. E. *et al.* Concordant divergence of mitogenomes and a mitonuclear
gene cluster in bird lineages inhabiting different climates. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2**,
1258–1267 (2018).

7.   Hill, G. E. *et al.* Assessing the fitness consequences of mitonuclear interactions in
natural populations. *Biol. Rev.* **94**, consequences of mitonuclear interactions in
natu (2019).

8.   Barreto, F. S. & Burton, R. S. Elevated oxidative damage is correlated with
reduced fitness in interpopulation hybrids of a marine copepod. *Proc. R. Soc. B
Biol. Sci.* **280**, 20131521 (2013).

9.   Healy, T. M. & Burton, R. S. Strong selective effects of mitochondrial DNA on the
nuclear genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 6616–6621 (2020).

10. Burton, R. S., Pereira, R. J. & Barreto, F. S. Cytonuclear Genomic Interactions and
Hybrid Breakdown. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **44**, 281–302 (2013).

11. Hill, G. E. The mitonuclear compatibility species concept. *Auk* **134**, 393–409
(2017).

12. Burton, R. S. & Barreto, F. S. A disproportionate role for mtDNA in Dobzhansky-
Muller incompatibilities? *Molecular Ecology* **21**, 4942–4957 (2012).

13. Innocenti, P., Morrow, E. H. & Dowling, D. K. Experimental evidence supports a
sex-specific selective sieve in mitochondrial genome evolution. *Science (80-.).*
332, 845–848 (2011).

14. Harada, A. E., Healy, T. M. & Burton, R. S. Variation in thermal tolerance and its
relationship to mitochondrial function across populations of Tigriopus
Californicus. *Front. Physiol.* (2019). doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00213

15. Acevedo, P. *et al.* Range dynamics driven by Quaternary climate oscillations
explain the distribution of introgressed mtDNA of Lepus timidus origin in hares
from the Iberian Peninsula. *J. Biogeogr.* **42**, 1727–1735 (2015).

16. Barton, N. H. & Hewitt, G. M. Adaptation, speciation and hybrid zones. *Nature*
341, 497–503 (1989).

17. Elgvin, T. O. *et al.* The genomic mosaicism of hybrid speciation. *Sci. Adv.* **3**,
e1602996 (2017).

18. Schumer, M., Cui, R., Powell, D. L., Rosenthal, G. G. & Andolfatto, P. Ancient
hybridization and genomic stabilization in a swordtail fish. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 2661–
2679 (2016).

19. Rieseberg, L. H. Hybrid origins of plant species. *Annual Review of Ecology and
Systematics* (1997). doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.28.1.359

20. Gagnaire, P. A., Normandeau, E. & Bernatchez, L. Comparative genomics reveals
adaptive protein evolution and a possible cytonuclear incompatibility between
European and American Eels. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 2909–2919 (2012).

21. Sambatti, J. B. M., Ortiz-Barrientos, D., Baack, E. J. & Rieseberg, L. H.
Ecological selection maintains cytonuclear incompatibilities in hybridizing
sunflowers. *Ecol. Lett.* **11**, 1082–1091 (2008).

22. Baris, T. Z. *et al.* Evolved genetic and phenotypic differences due to
mitochondrial-nuclear interactions. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, e1006517 (2017).

23. Boratyński, Z., Ketola, T., Koskela, E. & Mappes, T. The Sex Specific Genetic
Variation of Energetics in Bank Voles, Consequences of Introgression? *Evol. Biol.*
43, 37–47 (2016).

24.   Weir, J. T. & Schluter, D. Ice sheets promote speciation in boreal birds. *Proc. R.*
571   *Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **271**, 1881–1887 (2004).

572   25.   Rohwer, S. & Wood, C. Three Hybrid Zones Between Hermit and Townsend ' S
573   Warblers in Washington and Oregon. *Auk* **115**, 284–310 (1998).

574   26.   Rohwer, S., Bermingham, E. & Wood, C. Plumage and mitochondrial DNA
575   haplotype variation across a moving hybrid zone. *Evolution (N. Y).* **55**, 405–422
576   (2001).

577   27.   Krosby, M. & Rohwer, S. A 2000 km genetic wake yields evidence for northern
578   glacial refugia and hybrid zone movement in a pair of songbirds. *Proc. R. Soc. B*
579   *Biol. Sci.* **276**, 615–621 (2009).

580   28.   Krosby, M. & Rohwer, S. Ongoing movement of the hermit warbler X
581   Townsend's Warbler Hybrid Zone. *PLoS One* **5**, e14164 (2010).

582   29.   Wang, S. *et al.* Selection on a small genomic region underpins differentiation in
583   multiple color traits between two warbler species. *Evol. Lett.* **4–6**, 502–515 (2020).

584   30.   Wang, S. *et al.* Selection on a pleiotropic color gene block underpins early
585   differentiation between two warbler species. *bioRxiv*
586   https://doi.org/10.1101/853390 (2019).

587   31.   Bandelt, H. J., Forster, P. & Röhl, A. Median-joining networks for inferring
588   intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **16**, 37–48 (1999).

589   32.   Leigh, J. W. & Bryant, D. POPART: Full-feature software for haplotype network
590   construction. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **6**, 1110–1116 (2015).

591   33.   Elshire, R. J. *et al.* A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach
592   for high diversity species. *PLoS One* **6**, e19379 (2011).

593   34.   Wang, S., Rohwer, S., Delmore, K. E. & Irwin, D. E. Cross-decades stability of an
594   avian hybrid zone. *J. Evol. Biol.* **32**, 1242–1251. (2019).

595   35.   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for
596   Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

597   36.   Baiz, M. D., Wood, A. W., Brelsford, A., Lovette, I. J. & Toews, D. P. L.
598   Pigmentation Genes Show Evidence of Repeated Divergence and Multiple Bouts
599   of Introgression in Setophaga Warblers. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, 1–7 (2021).

600   37.   Li, H. Aligning new-sequencing reads by BWA BWA : Burrows-Wheeler Aligner.
601   *Slides* (2010). doi:10.1002/pssa.200673542

602   38.   McKenna, Aaron, Matthew Hanna, Eric Banks, Andrey Sivachenko, Kristian
603   Cibulskis, Andrew Kernytsky, Kiran Garimella, David Altshuler, Stacey Gabriel,

604   M. D. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for
605   analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* (2010).
606   doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110.20

607  39. Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–
608   2158 (2011).

609  40. Zheng, X. *et al.* A high-performance computing toolset for relatedness and
610   principal component analysis of SNP data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3326–3328 (2012).

611  41. R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R*
612   *Found. Stat. Comput. Vienna, Austria.* R Foundation for Statistical Computing
613   (2017). doi:/S0103-64402004000300015

614  42. Raj, A., Stephens, M. & Pritchard, J. K. fastSTRUCTURE: Variational Inference
615   of Population Structure in Large SNP Datasets. *Genetics* **197**, 573–589 (2014).

616  43. Weir, B. S. & Cockerham, C. C. Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of
617   Population Structure. *Evolution (N. Y).* **38**, 1358 (1984).

618  44. Aulchenko, Y. S., Ripke, S., Isaacs, A. & van Duijn, C. M. GenABEL: An R
619   library for genome-wide association analysis. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 1294–1296
620   (2007).

621  45. Johnson, M. *et al.* NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**,
622   W5–W9 (2008).

623  46. Bateman, A. UniProt: A worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
624   **47**, D506-515 (2019).

625  47. Legendre, P. *Numerical ecology, 2nd English Edition. Numerical ecology,*
626   (Elsevier Science, 1998). doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

627  48. Bernt, M. *et al.* MITOS: Improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome
628   annotation. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **69**, 313–319 (2013).

629  49. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
630   transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 589–595 (2010).

631  50. Wang, T., Hamann, A., Spittlehouse, D. L. & Murdock, T. Q. ClimateWNA-high-
632   resolution spatial climate data for western North America. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*
633   *Climatol.* **51**, 16–29 (2012).

634  51. Michaud, E. J. *et al.* A molecular model for the genetic and phenotypic
635   characteristics of the mouse lethal yellow (Ay) mutation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **91**,
636   2562–2566 (1994).

637  52. Nadeau, N. J. *et al.* Characterization of Japanese quail yellow as a genomic

deletion upstream of the avian homolog of the mammalian ASIP (agouti) gene.
Genetics **178**, 777–786 (2008).

53. Console, L. *et al.* The Link Between the Mitochondrial Fatty Acid Oxidation
Derangement and Kidney Injury. *Front. Physiol.* **11**, 1–7 (2020).

54. Houten, S. M. & Wanders, R. J. A. A general introduction to the biochemistry of
mitochondrial fatty acid β-oxidation. *J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.* **33**, 469–477 (2010).

55. Clemente, F. J. *et al.* A Selective Sweep on a Deleterious Mutation in CPT1A in
Arctic Populations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **95**, 584–589 (2014).

56. Fumagalli, M. *et al.* Greenlandic Inuit show genetic signatures of diet and climate
adaptation. *Science (80-.).* **349**, 1343–1347 (2015).

57. Zoladz, J. A. *et al.* Effect of temperature on fatty acid metabolism in skeletal
muscle mitochondria of untrained and endurance-trained rats. *PLoS One* **12**,
e0189456 (2017).

58. Atkin, O. K. & Macherel, D. The crucial role of plant mitochondria in
orchestrating drought tolerance. *Ann. Bot.* **103**, 581–597 (2009).

59. Townsend, S., Rohwer, S. & Wood, C. HYBRID ZONES BETWEEN HERMIT
AND OREGON trivialized the study of hybrid zones during much of the last half-
century . Be- waning before this theoretical literature oped (see reviews in Mayr
and Short 1970 , Ris- balancing selection against hybrids with dis. **115**, 284–310
(1998).

60. Wu, C. I. The genic view of the process of speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary
Biology* **14**, 851–865 (2001).

61. Via, S. Natural selection in action during speciation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**,
9939–9946 (2009).

62. Nosil, P. A Genetic Mechanism to Link Selection to Reproductive Isolation. in
Ecological Speciation 109–138 (Oxford Univ. Press, 2012).

63. Feder, J. L., Flaxman, S. M., Egan, S. P., Comeault, A. A. & Nosil, P. Geographic
Mode of Speciation and Genomic Divergence. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **44**, 73–
97 (2013).

64. Shafer, A. B. A., Cullingham, C. I., Côté, S. D. & Coltman, D. W. Of glaciers and
refugia: A decade of study sheds new light on the phylogeography of northwestern
North America. *Molecular Ecology* **19**, 4589–4621 (2010).

65. Toews, D. P. L., Mandic, M., Richards, J. G. & Irwin, D. E. Migration,
mitochondria, and the yellow-rumped warbler. *Evolution (N. Y).* **68**, 241–255
(2014).

Figures

Figure 1 Coastal populations of *S. townsendi* (coastal STOW) demonstrate a mixture of
mitochondrial and nuclear ancestry from *S. occidentalis* (SOCC) and inland *S. townsendi*
(inland STOW). (**A**) Illustration of the inferred history of differentiation and
hybridization between STOW and SOCC during glacial expansion and retraction. Left:
During the last glacial maxima, the SOCC and STOW populations resided in isolated
glacial refugia. Center: after glacial retraction, the refugial SOCC and inland STOW
expanded and hybridized along a broad inland-to-coastal front parallel to the coast. Right:
the historical hybridization resulted in coastal STOW populations with admixed ancestry
although the plumage resembles that of inland STOW. Population substructure within
coastal STOW could be a result of refugial isolation. (**B**) Mitochondrial ancestry of major
sampling sites, with colour representing the proportion of individuals belonging to the
two major clades shown in: (**C**) Haplotype network of mitochondrial NADH gene
sequences from ref. [27], with colours representing major sampling areas. Each circle
represents a haplotype and area of the circles are proportional to the number of
individuals carrying each haplotype. The lines (regardless of their lengths) between the
circles represent one mutation between haplotypes, the black dots on the lines represent

additional mutations among haplotypes. SOCC and inland STOW almost universally
belong to divergent mtDNA clades, whereas coastal STOW populations harbor a mixture
of these two major clades. (**D**) Principle component analysis of covariance with 222,559
high quality SNPs in the nuclear genome. Populations of coastal STOW are intermediate
in Eigenvector (EV) 1 but distinct from inland STOW and SOCC in EV2. EV1 represents
admixture between inland STOW and SOCC. Three distinct coastal STOW clusters are
evident: Valdez (royal blue), Haida Gwaii (dark green), and other coastal STOW
(thereafter abbreviated as ocoastal STOW, gold).

699
Figure 2 Admixture signature of coastal STOW populations. (**A**) Triangle plot showing
relationship between individual admixture proportion vs. heterozygosity based on
ancestry-informative SNPs ($F_{ST} > 0.6$) between SOCC and inland STOW, showing that
coastal STOW populations (green: Haida Gwaii, blue: Valdez; gold: oc-STOW) are
ancient admixtures between SOCC and inland STOW-like population. (**B**) ancestry score
(homozygotes SOCC and inland STOW respectively in turquoise and magenta,
heterozygotes in light blue) of mtDNA and 50 ancestry-informative nDNA SNPs ($F_{ST} >$
0.6) in chr 1, 1a, 4a, 5, 20, and Z of randomly sampled 10 individuals from parental
populations (SOCC, inland STOW), and different coastal STOW populations.
709
710

711
Figure 3 Genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) of variable sites along chromosome 5, between
713   four pairs of populations.
714
715

717
Figure 4 Mitonuclear association across SOCC, coastal STOW, and inland STOW
populations. (**A-C**) mitonuclear association coincides with F_{ST} peaks between SOCC and
various coastal STOW versus inland STOW. (**A**) GWAS scan of mitonuclear association
(controlling for population substructure) across the genome revealed significant
associations ($p_{corrected} < 10^{-6}$ indicated by red circles) within chromosome 5. (**B**) The
mitonuclear association (red circle) within chromosome 5 coincides with F_{ST} peaks ($F_{ST} >$
0.6) between SOCC and inland STOW (turquoise), between Haida Gwaii STOW and
inland STOW (forest green), as well as between other coastal STOW and inland STOW
(yellow). Blue boxes correspond to the positions of genes (top: forward strand; bottom:
reverse strand) corresponding to the numbered IDs in Table S2. The mitonuclear
association peak is within Papilin, proteoglycan like sulfated glycoprotein (PAPLN)
highlighted with red border. (**C**) Ancestry genotypes (turquoise = SOCC; light blue =
heterozygous; magenta = inland STOW) of mtDNA and chr5 SNPs correspond to strong
genotypic differences between populations. Ten randomly sampled individuals from each
parental population were shown along with the individuals from various coastal STOW.
The PAPLN SNP haplotypes is red bordered. (**D**) There is significant correlation between
mitochondrial (top) and chr 5 (bottom) genetic block (shown in C) after controlling for
spatial autocorrelation across sites (Partial Mantel Test, $p < 10^{-4}$).

736
737

738
Figure 5 Climate principal component analysis of 26 climate variables from
ClimateWNA is associated with mt-nDNA ancestry. (**A**)-(**B**), spatial variation in
climate PC1 (**A**) and PC2 (**B**). (**C**) Climate PC1 and PC2, in which SOCC (turquoise),
inland STOW (magenta) and coastal STOW habitats are different. (**D**) Site mean mtDNA
and chr 5 gene block ancestry is correlated with local climate PC1 (partial mantel test, r =
0.307, p = 0.011).
745
746

747   **Supplementary Figures**
748

749
Figure S1 Genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) across the genome, between pairs of *S.*
occidentalis (SOCC) and/or *S. townsendi* (STOW) populations. A distinctive
differentiation peak was found between coastal STOW (Haida Gwaii, Valdez, and
ocoastal STOW) and inland STOW that reside in chromosome 5 (red boxes, **A-C**). The
ASIP-RALY peak demonstrates consistent differentiation between SOCC and various
STOW (blue boxes, **F-H**). Red horizontal dashed lines reflect Weir & Cockerham
weighted average F_{ST}.
757

758
Figure S2 Admixture signature of coastal STOW populations. **(A)** Triangle plot showing
relationship between individual admixture proportion vs. heterozygosity based on 618
SNPs (F_{ST}>0.3) between SOCC and inland STOW, showing that coastal STOW
populations consistent with ancient admixtures between SOCC and inland STOW-like
population. **(B)** ancestry score (homozygotes SOCC and inland STOW respectively in
turquoise and magenta, heterozygotes in light blue) of mtDNA and 618 nDNA SNPs
(with $F_{ST} > 0.3$) in randomly sampled 10 individuals from parental populations (SOCC,
inland STOW), and different coastal STOW populations.
767
768

76
Figure S3 The Weir & Cockerham weighted F_{ST} among SOCC, inland and coastal
STOW. Each double-head arrow represents a pairwise comparison among the
populations. The populations are oriented according to their relative geographical
locations. The widths of the arrows are weighted by the F_{ST} between each pair of
populations. Surprisingly some coastal STOW populations demonstrate greater

differentiation from the parental populations than between the parental populations (F_{ST} =
0.0226).
777
778

779
Figure S4 Geographical distribution of ASIP-RALY ancestry.

Figure S5 Dissecting climate PCA: **A**, biplot of PCA demonstrating loadings of the 26
climate variables in the PC space. **B**, **C**, histogram of variable loading for PC1 (**B**) and
PC2 (**C**). Most of the variables demonstrates strong and even loading along PC1 (**B**),
while there are 4 outstanding variables (highlighted in yellow, **A**) explaining PC2 (**C**):
Temperature Difference (TD), Climate Moisture Index (CMI), Mean Annual
Precipitation (MAP), Winter Precipitation (PPT_wt).

788
789 **Figure S6** Map demonstrating spatial variation of the 4 key climate variables explaining
790 climate PC2 (see Figure 5, S4): **A**, Winter Precipitation (PPT_wt), **B**, Climate Moisture
791 Index (CMI), **C**, Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP), **D**, Temperature Difference (TD).
792
793 **Table S1** Divergence of mitochondrial genes between SOCC and inland STOW.

Name	Start	Stop	Length	%Fixed difference	Number of Amino Acid Changes
NAD1	2829	3776	948	0.738	0
NAD2	4009	5043	1035	0.966	4
COX1	5417	6949	1533	0.457	0
COX2	7105	7779	675	0.741	0
ATP8	7861	8022	162	0.617	0
ATP6	8019	8699	681	0.441	1
COX3	8708	9490	783	0.639	0
NAD3	9561	9908	348	1.149	0
NAD4L	9984	10277	294	0.340	0
NAD4	10274	11641	1368	0.439	0
NAD5	11870	13660	1791	0.782	0
COB	13684	14817	1134	0.617	0
NAD6	14996	15511	516	1.357	0

Table S2 names and functions of genes involved in the 1.2 Mb chromosome 5 island of differentiation between inland STOW and coastal STOWs, as well as between inland STOW and SOCC.

ID	Function	start	end	direction	TaeG.ID	Gene name	abbreviation	GO Molecular/biological functions	Related mitochondrial function	Reference
1		3726725	3728106	-	100221946	inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor-interacting protein-like 1	IP3R1	Protein kinase inhibitor activity; Ca2+ relase	Mitochondrial voltage-dependent anion channel	Kiviluoto et al. 2013. Molecular Cell Research. 1833: 1612-1624.
2		3909423	3944763	+	100230500	regulator of G protein signaling 6	RGS6	GTPase activity; intracullular signal transduction	Mitochondria-dependent apoptosis	Maity et al. 2011. J. Biol. Chem. 287: 4972-4981.
3		3950193	3969052	+	100230500	regulator of G protein signaling 6	RGS6	GTPase activity; intracullular signal transduction	Mitochondria-dependent apoptosis	Maity et al. 2011. J. Biol. Chem. 287: 4972-4981.
7		4293130	4313915	-	100223971	presenilin 1	PSEN1	ATPase binding; aspartic endopeptidase activity; growth factor receptor binding	Mitochondrial Ca2+ homeostasis, mitochondrial-ER signaling, mitochondrial respiration	Sarasija et al. 2018. elife. 7:333052.
8		4335909	4376786	+	100222967	papilin, proteoglycan like sulfated glycoprotein	PAPLN	Serine-type endopeptidase inhibitor activity	Mitochondria released serine protease-dependent cell death	Suzuki et al. 2001. Mol. Cell. 8: 613-621.
9	Energy-related signaling transduction	4375633	4408021	-	100226855	NUMB endocytic adaptor protein	NUMB	Alpha-catenin binding; beta-catenin binding; cadherin binding	Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fission	Liu et al. 2019. Antioxid Redox Signal. 30: 1797-1816.
18		4786175	4794879	+	100217582	solute carrier family 5 member 12	SLC5A12	Sodium-glucose transporter; Lactate transmembrane transportor	Lactate uptake, Tricarboxylic Acid (TCA) cycle activation	Pucino et al. 2019. Cell Metabolism. 30: 1055-1074.
19		4875393	4917499	-	100227176	leucine rich repeat containing G protein-coupled receptor 4	LGR4	G protein-coupled peptide receptor activity; activation of adenylate cyclase activity (converting ATP to cAMP)	cAMP/protein kinase A signaling in mitochondrial physiology; Mitochondrion numbers	Amer & Hebert-Chatelain 2018. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg. 1859: 868-877; Wang et al. 2012. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 23: 281-293.
20		4951494	4966577	-	100224293	lin-7 homolog C, crumbs cell polarity complex component	LIN7C	Cytoskeletal protein binding; axocytosis; protein transport	Mitochondrial apoptotic Ca2+ signaling	Mendes et al. 2005. J. Biol. Chem. 280: 40892-900.
22		5142823	5172249	-	100224291	kinesin family member 18A	KIF18A	ATPase acitivty, ATP-dependent microtubule motor activity	Mitochondrial transportation	Pereira et al. 1997. J. Cell Biol. 136: 1081-1090.
5		4227479	4251606	-	100226854	zinc finger FYVE-type containing 1	ZFYVE1	1-phosphatidylinositol binding; lipid droplet formation; cellular response to starvation; negative regulation of phosphatase activity	Mitochondrial biosynthesis	Cheung et al. 2001. Biochem. 355:113-121.
10		4461621	4463212	+	100221946	acyl-coenzyme A thioesterase 5-like (LOC100221946)	ACOT5	Acyl-CoA metabolic process; long-chain fatty acid metabolic process	Mitochondrial fatty acid beta-oxidation	Hunt et al. 2002. J. Biol. Chem. 277: 1128-1138; Tillander, et al. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 28: 473-484.
11		4464332	4475990	+	100224870	acyl-coenzyme A thioesterase 1	ACOT1	Acyl-CoA metabolic process; long-chain fatty acid metabolic process	Mitochondrial fatty acid beta-oxidation	Hunt et al. 2002. J. Biol. Chem. 277: 1128-1138; Tillander, et al. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 28: 473-484.
14	Fatty acid metabolism	4540115	4587491	-	100190170	prostaglandin reductase 2	PTGR2	Catalyze NAD(P)H to NADP+ Oxidoreductase; prostaglandin metabolic process	Mitochondrial Bax apoptosis	Lalier, et al. 2011. Cell Death. 18: 528-537.
15		4558828	4585008	+	100190170	prostaglandin reductase 2	PTGR2	Catalyze NAD(P)H to NADP+ Oxidoreductase; prostaglandin metabolic process	Mitochondrial Bax apoptosis	Lalier, et al. 2011. Cell Death. 18: 528-537.
17		4683253	4724925	-	100223368	fatty acyl-CoA reductase 1	FAR1	Oxidoreductase activity; ether lipid biosynthetic process; phospholipid biosynthesis	Peroxisome acyl-CoA catalysis that compliments mitochondrial acyl-CoA catalysis	Grevengoed et al. 2014. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 34: 1-30.
21		4993769	4995372	-	751584	brain derived neurotrophic factor	BDNF	Activation of phospholipase C activity; growth factor activity, nerve growth factor binding	Mitochondrial transportation in neurons	Su et al. 2014. J. Biol. Chem. 289: 1213-1226.
4		4207849	4221283	+	100223970	DDB1 and CUL4 associated factor 4	DCAF4	Post-translational protein modification; protein ubiquitination		
16	Ubiquinization	4606924	4640295	+	100220022	ubiquitin protein ligase E3 component n-recognin 1	UBR1	Cellular response to lucine; negative regulation of Target of Rapamycin signaling		
6		4257473	4292354	+	100221046	RNA binding motif protein 25	RBM25	mRNA binding; mRNA processsing		
12	Transcription	4481691	4534949	+	100223903	mitotic deacetylase associated SANT domain protein	MIDEAS	Transcription corepressor activity; histone deacetylation		
13		4493333	4522089	-	100223903	mitotic deacetylase associated SANT domain protein	MIDEAS	Transcription corepressor activity; histone deacetylation		

Table S3 Definitions of climate variables from ClimateWNA [50].

Column name	Variable abbreviation	Variable Name
NORM_6190_AHM	AHM	annual heat-moisture index
NORM_6190_bFFP	bFFP	The day of the year on which FFP begins
NORM_6190_CMD	CMD	Climatic moisture deficit
NORM_6190_CMI	CMI	Climatic moisture index
NORM_6190_cmiJJA	cmiJJA	Hogg's summer (June-Aug) climate moisture index
NORM_6190_DD.0	DD.0	degree-days below 0°C, chilling degree-days
NORM_6190_DD.5	DD.5	degree-days above 5°C, growing degree-days
NORM_6190_eFFP	eFFP	The day of the year on which FFP begins
NORM_6190_EMT	EMT	Extreme minimum temperature over 30 years
NORM_6190_Eref	Eref	Hargreaves reference evaporation (mm)
NORM_6190_FFP	FFP	Frost-free period
NORM_6190_MAP	MAP	Mean annual precipitation (mm)
NORM_6190_MAT	MAT	Mean annual temperature
NORM_6190_MCMT	MCMT	Mean coldest month temperature (°C)
NORM_6190_MSP	MSP	May through September precipitation
NORM_6190_MWMT	MWMT	Mean winter temperature
NORM_6190_NFFD	NFFD	number of frost-free days
NORM_6190_PAS	PAS	precipitation as snow
NORM_6190_PPT_sm	PPT_sm	summer precipitation (mm)
NORM_6190_PPT_wt	PPT_wt	winter precipitation (mm)

NORM_6190_SHM	SHM	ummer heat-moisture index ((MWMT)/(MSP/1000))
NORM_6190_Tave_sm	Tave_sm	summer mean temperature (°C)
NORM_6190_Tave_wt	Tave_wt	winter mean temperature (°C)
NORM_6190_TD	TD	Continentality
NORM_6190_Tmax07	Tmax07	winter mean maximum temperature (°C)
NORM_6190_Tmin01	Tmin01	winter mean minimum temperature (°C)

802
803

804 **Table S4** The loading of variables in the climate PCA sorted by their loading along PC1.

Climate Variable	PC1 loading	PC2 loading
cmiJJA	-0.21763162	-0.161032306
bFFP	-0.20625054	0.082056348
PPT_sm	-0.20510277	-0.197710506
DD.0	-0.18472653	0.195940506
MSP	-0.183508	-0.248663084
PAS	-0.1660562	-0.234659637
CMI	-0.1486023	-0.311869513
TD	-0.11435537	0.30417286
MAP	-0.09633503	-0.352869482
PPT_wt	-0.03530235	-0.371886563
AHM	0.14480557	0.251595965
Tmin01	0.19581554	-0.22437128
SHM	0.19978674	0.007101683
MCMT	0.20705781	-0.195744433
Tave_wt	0.20859601	-0.192174784
EMT	0.20990053	-0.198261928
eFFP	0.2107584	-0.150721133
FFP	0.21127471	-0.117676014
Tmax07	0.21473286	0.110168321
NFFD	0.21782119	-0.126257635
CMD	0.22005085	0.076818851
MWMT	0.22507318	0.074770918
Tave_sm	0.22805563	0.066315194
Eref	0.23258512	0.008062124
DD.5	0.23376903	-0.011649806
MAT	0.23420797	-0.106646542

805