Hair follicle stem cell progeny heal blisters while pausing skin development

Yu Fujimura[1], Mika Watanabe[1,2], Kota Ohno[3], Yasuaki Kobayashi[3], Shota Takashima[1],

Hideki Nakamura[1], Hideyuki Kosumi[1], Yunan Wang[1], Yosuke Mai[1], Andrea Lauria[2,4],

Valentina Proserpio[2,4], Hideyuki Ujiie[1], Hiroaki Iwata[1], Wataru Nishie[1], Masaharu

Nagayama[3,5], Salvatore Oliviero[2,4], Giacomo Donati[2], Hiroshi Shimizu[1], Ken Natsuga[1]*

[1]Department of Dermatology, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine,

Sapporo, Japan

[2]Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, Molecular Biotechnology Centre,

University of Turin, Turin, Italy

[3]Research Institute for Electronic Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

[4]Italian Institute for Genomic Medicine, Candiolo (TO), Italy

[5]Japan Science and Technology Agency, CREST, Kawaguchi, Japan

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

*Correspondence and reprint requests to:

Ken Natsuga, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Dermatology, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine

North 15 West 7, Sapporo 060-8638, Japan

Telephone: +81-11-716-1161, ext. 5962

E-mail: natsuga@med.hokudai.ac.jp

Abstract

Injury in adult tissue generally reactivates developmental programs to foster

regeneration, but it is not known whether this paradigm applies to growing tissue. Here,

by employing blisters, we show that epidermal wounds heal at the expense of skin

development. The regenerated epidermis suppresses the expression of tissue

morphogenesis genes accompanied by delayed hair follicle (HF) growth. Lineage

tracing experiments, cell proliferation dynamics, and mathematical modeling reveal that

the progeny of HF junctional zone stem cells, which undergo a morphological

transformation, repair the blisters while not promoting HF development. In contrast, the

contribution of interfollicular stem cell progeny to blister healing is small. These findings

demonstrate that tissue development can be sacrificed for the sake of wound

regeneration and suggest that tissue repair does not coincide with the reactivation of

developmental programs in all regenerative contexts. Our study elucidates the key

cellular mechanism of wound healing in skin blistering diseases.

Keywords

Wnt signaling, epidermal stem cells, epidermolysis bullosa, basement membrane zone

Introduction

Tissue responds to injury by transforming its cellular components and extracellular matrix from homeostasis into a regenerative state. Damaged tissue typically reactivates an embryonic gene program in epithelia to accelerate tissue regeneration (1-4). However, it is unknown whether this phenomenon also applies to injuries in developing tissue, in which the embryonic gene expression program is switched on before damage.

The epidermis is a stratified epithelium of the skin and is located on the surface of the body, where it serves as a barrier against external stimuli and microorganisms (5). Cellular proliferation and differentiation in the epidermal basal layer, where epidermal stem cells (SCs) are present, are fine-tuned to maintain the integrity of the epidermis (6). The epidermis attaches to the dermis through proteins in the epidermal basement membrane zone (BMZ) (7). Epidermal BMZ proteins function as a niche for epidermal SCs (8), and the loss of these proteins, such as α6 integrin (ITGA6), β1 integrin, and collagen XVII (COL17), leads to transient epidermal proliferation (9-11).

Skin wounding causes pain and carries a significant risk of bacterial infection. The sources of skin wound healing have been extensively investigated in experimental animals (12, 13). Hair follicles (HFs), epidermal appendages, fibroblasts, and immune cells coordinate to heal the wound, and the contribution of each component can vary depending on the assay (14). Wounding in adult skin induces the expression of genes regulating epidermal development, including SOX11 and SOX4 (1).

Conventional skin wounding assays have employed full-thickness skin wounds, in which all skin components are removed, including the epidermis, epidermal appendages, dermis, and subcutaneous fat tissue. In contrast to conventional full-

thickness skin wounds, epidermal detachment, as exemplified by subepidermal blisters, is distinctive because it does not affect the structures below the epidermis per se. The epidermis is detached from the dermis in several pathological conditions, such as burns (15), congenital defects in epidermal BMZ proteins (epidermolysis bullosa (EB)) (16, 17), autoimmunity to these proteins (pemphigoid diseases) (18), and severe drug reactions, such as Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis (19). Although the cells that contribute to the repair of full-thickness skin wounds have been identified (13, 20-24), the cellular dynamics of subepidermal blister healing are completely unknown. In addition, full-thickness skin wounding, when applied to developmental skin, is unsuitable for distinguishing tissue regeneration and development. In contrast, blistering injury allows us to monitor both skin regeneration (reepithelization of the epidermis) and morphogenesis (HF development) within the same wound bed.

Here, by taking advantage of subepidermal blisters, we explore the effects of injury on developmental tissue. Unexpectedly, blistering injury is found to reduce the expression of tissue morphogenesis genes in the healed epidermis and to direct HFSCs, rather than epidermal SCs, to provide progeny to heal the wound and to suspend HF development.

Results

Subepidermal blister formation and its healing process

The suction-blister technique was developed more than a half-century ago to selectively

remove the epidermis from the dermis (25, 26), and it has been utilized to harvest

epidermal pieces for transplant to repair human skin defects. We reasoned that suction

blisters on neonatal mice, in which the epidermis is removed while HFs, the dermis, and

subcutaneous fat tissues are maintained in the wounds, enable us to examine the direct

relationship between tissue injury and skin development. Therefore, we applied

constant negative pressure to the dorsal skin of C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) neonates to

produce subepidermal blisters (postnatal day 1 (P1), **Figure 1a**). Histologically, skin

separation occurred at the level of the dermoepidermal junction (DEJ) (**Figure 1b**). HFs,

as shown by alkaline phosphatase (AP)-positive dermal papillae, remained on the

dermal side of the blisters (**Figure 1b**). Dermis and subcutaneous tissues were intact

after blistering (**Figure 1b**). α6 integrin (ITGA6), a hemidesmosome protein, was seen

at the blister roof, whereas type IV collagen (COL4), a major component of the

epidermal basement membrane, and laminin 332 (L332) were present at the base of the

blister (**Figure 1c**). In line with the immunofluorescence data, hemidesmosomes

localized on the blister roof and lamina densa (basement membrane) were observed at

the blister base by electron microscopy (**Figure 1d**), as seen in human suction blisters

(25) and their murine counterparts (27).

      We then characterized the healing processes of the subepidermal blisters. One to

two layers of the regenerated epidermis, marked with pan-cytokeratin, was found one

day after blister formation (P2, **Figure 1e**). The regenerated epidermis restored ITGA6

expression at the DEJ (**Figure 1e**). The shape of the basal keratinocytes in the intact

skin was cuboidal or columnar (P2, keratin 14 (K14)-positive cells in the nonlesional

area, **Figure 1f**). In contrast, the regenerated keratinocytes in the blistered skin

transformed from cuboidal to a wedge/flattened shape (P2, K14-positive cells in the

lesional area, **Figure 1f**) (27). Two days after blistering (P3), the stratified epidermal

layers were mostly restored but still lacked loricrin-positive granular layers, a hallmark of

proper epidermal differentiation, in the lesional area (**Figure 1g**). The final step in

epidermal differentiation was completed by the formation of loricrin-positive granular

layers three days after blister formation (P4, **Figure 1h**). These results demonstrate that

subepidermal blisters on neonates can serve a model for visualizing wound healing

without damaging HFs and other dermal components at the developmental stage.

Epidermal restoration at the expense of skin development

To elucidate the effects of the blistering injury on the neonatal skin, we performed RNA-

seq profiling of the regenerated epidermis one day after blistering (P2, **Figure 2a**).

Unexpectedly, the expression of genes involved in HF morphogenesis, such as Wnt

signaling, melanogenesis, and Hedgehog signaling, was downregulated (**Figure 2b,**

2c), suggesting that epidermal wounding tunes down tissue development to accelerate

blister healing. In agreement with this hypothesis, the number of hair canals, which are

present in only developed HFs (HF morphogenesis, stage 6-8) (28), was reduced in the

regenerated epidermis (**Figure 2d, 2e**). HF growth under the regenerated epidermis at

P4 was delayed at stages 5 and 6, whereas the surrounding intact skin of the blisters or

the normal skin of the littermate controls had stage 7 HFs (**Figure 2f**). In Wnt-reporter

mice (ins-Topgal+), the LacZ-positive area that is indicative of active Wnt signaling was

diminished upon blister formation, accompanied by smaller HFs than those in the

surrounding intact skin (P2, **Figure 2g**).

The expression of genes involved in cytokine-cytokine receptor interactions and

chemokine, TNF, IL-17, and JAK-STAT signaling pathways was increased in the

regenerated epidermis (**Figure 2b, 2c**), and these pathways are implicated in the

recruitment of immune cells. However, the number of neutrophils, lymphocytes, and

macrophages was not increased in the lesional dermis (P2, **Supplementary Figure 1a-**

c), in which 1-2 layers of the regenerated epidermis covered the wound one day after

blistering, suggesting that the immune cells might play a minimal role in the context of

blister healing because of the fast regeneration process (<24 hr).

These data indicate that, in the context of skin morphogenesis where the immune

system is not yet fully defined, subepidermal blisters heal at the expense of HF growth.

Progeny of junctional zone SCs represent the main cellular contribution to blister

healing

Wounded lesions require epithelial cell proliferation and migration to restore skin

integrity. We then investigated the dynamics of epidermal and HF keratinocytes during

blister healing. One day after blister formation (P2), BrdU+ cells were abundant in the

HFs and the intact epidermis adjacent to the blisters (**Figure 3a**). Cells positive for α5

integrin (ITGA5), a marker of migrating keratinocytes (23), were seen in the HFs within

the lesional area and in the epidermal boundary between the blister and the nonlesional

area (epidermal tongue) (**Figure 3b**). As HF growth was delayed in the regenerated

epidermis (**Figure 2d-g**) and proliferative cells were abundant in HFs of the lesional

area (**Figure 3a**), HF keratinocytes were deduced to participate in epidermal

regeneration rather than in HF development.

To confirm this hypothesis, we employed a short-term lineage tracing strategy with

suction blistering (**Figure 3c**). K14-lineage labeled cells (K14CreER:R26R-H2B-

mCherry or K14CreER:R26R-confetti), mainly progeny of SCs in the interfollicular

epidermis (IFE), were sparse in the regenerated epidermis (**Figure 3d, 3e**). In contrast,

most of the cells in the regenerated epidermis were Lrig1 (leucine-rich repeat and

immunoglobulin-like domain protein 1)-lineage labeled cells (Lrig1CreER:R26R-H2B-

mCherry or Lrig1CreER:R26R-confetti), which are the progeny of junctional zone SCs

(**Figure 3f, 3g**). These data indicate that the HF junctional zone on the dermal side of

the blister is the main pool for the keratinocytes that heal subepidermal blisters while

halting HF development.

Depletion of HF junctional stem cells from the wound bed of the blisters

promotes the contribution of IFESC progeny to blister healing

The contribution of junctional zone HFSC progeny to blister healing led us to investigate

how the epidermis regenerates in the absence of HFs at the blister base (dermis). Type

XVII collagen (COL17) is expressed not only in the IFE but also in the bulge region of

the HFs (**Figure 4a**) (11, 29-32). COL17 is encoded by the *COL17A1* gene, and its

deficiency leads to junctional EB (33). The splitting of neonatal *Col17a1*^{-/-} (34) dorsal

skin upon suction blistering was observed between ITGA6 and COL4/L332 (**Figure 4b,**

4c), as was the case in wild-type neonates (**Figure 1c, 1d**). Intriguingly, suction

blistering (**Figure 1a**) of *Col17a1*^{-/-} dorsal skin detached HFs from the dermis (P1,

Figure 4d). In agreement with this finding, dermal papilla cells (AP+) were observed on

the roof side of the blisters of *Col17a1*^{-/-} mice, whereas the blister roofs of control mice

did not have these cells (P1, **Figure 4e**). Epidermal regeneration was not apparent in

Col17a1^{-/-} mice one day after suction blistering (P2), whereas the control mice showed

regeneration of the epithelial layers (**Figure 4f**). *Col17a1*^{-/-} mice had delayed expression

of loricrin in the regenerated epidermis three days after blister formation (P4, **Figure 4f**).

BrdU+ cells were abundant in the *Col17a1*^{-/-} mouse epidermis surrounding blisters as

was the case for controls (**Figures 3a, 4g**). Lineage tracing experiments (**Figure 3c**)

revealed that IFESC progeny covered most of the regenerated area (K14CreER:R26R-

H2B-mCherry:*Col17a1*^{-/-}) at P4 (**Figure 4h, 4i**). The transgenic rescue of *Col17a1*^{-/-} by

overexpressing human COL17 (hCOL17+;*Col17a1*^{-/-}) (34) normalized blister healing

(P4, **Supplementary Figure 2**). These data demonstrate that upon HF depletion, the

IFE can compensate the lack of junctional stem cells and repair defects in the

epidermis.

Impaired flattening of regenerated keratinocytes delays blister healing

We further sought to identify other modulators of subepidermal blister healing. We

first focused on collagen VII (COL7), encoded by *Col7a1*. COL7 forms anchoring fibrils

and is located at the DEJ (**Figure 5a**) but just below the basement membrane (35, 36),

and its deficiency leads to dystrophic EB (37, 38). As conventional wound healing is

delayed in COL7-hypomorphic mice (39), we applied the suction-blister method to

Col7a1^{-/-} mice (40) (**Figure 5b-h, Supplementary Figure 3**). In contrast to that in WT

and *Col17a1*^{-/-} suction blisters (**Figure 1c, 1d, 4b, 4c**), skin splitting occurred at the level

below the basement membrane in *Col7a1*^{-/-} mouse dorsal skin, as shown by the

presence of L332 and COL4 on the blister roof epidermis (P1, **Figure 5b, 5c**). The

epidermal defects were not repaired in *Col7a1*^{-/-} mice, whereas the epidermis of the

control blistered skin regenerated one day after blistering (P2, **Figure 5f,**

Supplementary Figure 3), which is consistent with the delayed healing of full-thickness

skin wounds in COL7-hypomorphic mice (39). This finding is contrasted by the fact that

COL7-depleted keratinocytes migrate faster than WT keratinocytes in vitro (41, 42). We

examined the HFs of *Col7a1*^{-/-} mice to explain the slowed epidermal regeneration

because HFs are the main contributor to blister healing (**Figure 2a-g**). However, HFs

were present in the *Col7a1*^{-/-} mouse wound bed (blister base) (P1, **Figure 5d, 5e**) as

opposed to that of *Col17a1*^{-/-} mouse (P1, **Figure 4d, 4e**). Moreover, the number of

BrdU+ cells in HFs was comparable between *Col7a1*^{-/-} and control mice (P2, **Figure 5g,**

Supplementary Figure 3), suggesting that the proliferation of HF keratinocytes does

not account for the delayed blister healing of *Col7a1*^{-/-} mice.

Second, we treated the blistered skin in wild-type mice with extracellular calcium

(**Figure 5i-k**, **Supplementary Figure 3**). Extracellular calcium is a potent inhibitor of

proliferation and migration in cultured keratinocytes as well as an inducer of

differentiation (43, 44). Consistent with previous in vitro assays, the intrablister

administration of $CaCl_2$ (1.8 mM or 9.0 mM) just after suction blistering delayed

epidermal regeneration in vivo (P2, **Figure 5i**). Premature differentiation, which might

hinder wound healing, was not apparent in the $CaCl_2$-treated blisters, as K10 labeling

was seen only at the blister roof but not in the keratinocytes on the wound bed (P2,

Figure 5i). Similar to that in *Col7a1^{-/-}* mouse blisters, the number of BrdU+ cells in HFs

was not reduced in CaCl$_2$-treated blisters (P2, **Figure 5j**, **Supplementary Figure 3**).

These two examples strongly suggest that there are factors other than HF

keratinocyte proliferation that modulate blister healing. During blister healing,

keratinocytes reshape into a wedge-shaped morphology (**Figure 1f**), which is mirrored

by the RNA-seq data showing that the expression of genes involved in the regulation of

the actin cytoskeleton is decreased in the regenerated epidermis (**Figure 2b, 2c**).

Wedge-shaped/flattened keratinocytes are believed to be superior to cuboidal/columnar

keratinocytes for covering epidermal defects. In the intact (nonblistered) skin, the

morphology of *Col7a1^{-/-}* or Ca-treated basal keratinocytes was similar to that of control

cells (P2, the nonlesional area in **Figure 5f, 5h, 5i, 5k**). The regenerated keratinocytes

became wedge-shaped/flattened in the control group, as shown in **Figure 1f**. However,

the keratinocytes in the regenerated epidermis of *Col7a1^{-/-}* or Ca-treated mice were not

as flat as those of control mice but were still rather cuboidal (P2, the lesional area in

Figure 5f, 5h, 5i, 5k), which, at least partly, explains the delayed blister healing in these

mice. These data imply that morphological changes in keratinocytes contribute to

subepidermal blister healing.

Mathematical modeling reproduces blister healing

These in vivo experiments led us to speculate that HF/IFE cell proliferation during

paused HF development and the morphological changes of the regenerated

keratinocytes might simply account for the dynamics of subepidermal blister healing. To

answer this question, we employed mathematical modeling. The particle-based model

of self-replicating cells (45, 46) allowed us to visualize the dynamics of the epidermal

basal layer and to establish the epidermal defects on the basement membrane. We

utilized the data on the number of BrdU+ cells among HF vs. IFE keratinocytes

(approximately 7:1 per unit of epidermal length; **Figure 3a**) and on the shape of the

regenerated vs. normal keratinocytes (2:1 the length of the major cell axis; **Figure 5h,**

5k). SC progeny within the epidermal defects (colored in red), simulating HF-derived

cells, had a more substantial contribution to wound healing than IFE SCs (colored in

yellow; **Figure 6a, 6b, Supplementary Video 1**), as seen in the lineage tracing

experiments (**Figure 3c-g**). The absence of SCs within the epidermal defects,

simulating HF depletion in the wound bed, showed delayed healing (**Figure 6c, 6d,**

Supplementary Video 2), in agreement with $Col17a1^{-/-}$ epidermal regeneration results

(**Figure 4e-g**). A less flattened morphology of the regenerated keratinocytes, simulating

$Col7a1^{-/-}$ and Ca-treated blister healing (regenerated vs. normal keratinocytes, 1.3-1.6:1

in length; **Figure 5h, 5k**), slowed epidermal regeneration (**Figure 6e, 6f,**

Supplementary Video 3). These in silico data demonstrate that the contribution of

HFSC progeny and the morphological change in the regenerated keratinocytes are

sufficient to recapitulate the in vivo subepidermal blister healing.

We finally asked whether this HF contribution to wound healing could be applied to a

human setting, in which HFs are larger but much more sparsely distributed than their

murine counterparts (**Supplementary Table 1**). Mathematical modeling revealed that

the progeny of HF keratinocytes are still the main contributors to subepidermal blister

healing in humans (**Supplementary Figure 4a, b, Supplementary Video 4**). To further

confirm the in silico modeling results, we examined human subepidermal blister

samples and found that epidermal regeneration by HFs was observed in the samples

with the re-epithelized area (**Supplementary Figure 4c**). These findings strongly

suggest that HFs can replenish keratinocytes for subepidermal blister healing in both

mice and humans.

Discussion

Although recent studies have reported that injury causes damaged tissue to shift to an

embryonic-like state, there is a poor understanding of how regeneration affects

development at the damaged tissues. Here, we applied the blistering injury to neonatal

mouse dorsal skin and showed the skewed contribution of HFSC progeny to wound

healing rather than HF development.

Previous studies on skin wounding combined with the fate mapping of murine skin

delineated the involvement of epithelial, mesenchymal, and immune cells in wound

healing, depending on different settings (12, 13). However, as full-thickness skin

wounds, even when they are applied to neonatal skin, remove all skin components, it is

challenging to see the effects of development on injury or vice versa. Our blistering

injury has an advantage over conventional skin wounding studies in that only the

epidermis is removed by constant negative pressure, with the other skin components

and basement membrane being retained in the wounds, which allowed us to examine

"pure" epidermal wound healing processes during skin development. Our study

contrasts with wound-induced embryonic gene expression (1) and follicular neogenesis

upon full-thickness skin wounding in adult (47, 48) and neonatal mice (49). It is

noteworthy that subepidermal blister healing suppressed Wnt signaling in our study

(**Figure 2a-2g**), whereas Wnt activation has been implicated in full-thickness skin

wounds (48), implying context-dependent Wnt activities in tissue regeneration.

Previous studies have suggested the possible involvement of HFs in epidermal

regeneration in human suction blisters (50) and extracellular matrix alterations in the

skin-split area (51, 52). We show that the progeny of HF junctional zone SCs mainly

repair subepidermal blisters (**Figures 3f, 3g, 6a, 6b**). However, the IFE can also serve

as a reservoir of keratinocytes to repair epidermal defects when HFs are depleted

(**Figure 4h**). How the contribution of two sources of keratinocytes to blister healing is

regulated is unknown, but the significant contribution of HF junctional zone progeny is

reasonable because HFs are densely located in the wound bed (blister base). In

contrast, the progeny of IFESCs could recover only from the blister edge, as

demonstrated by mathematical modeling (**Figures 3d-3g, 6a, 6b**). The role of HFSCs is

also highlighted by delayed HF growth in the regenerated epidermis, as corroborated by

the downregulated Wnt signaling (**Figure 2a-2g**). These findings indicate that there is a

coordinated balance between tissue development and wound healing, which has not

been well recognized. In addition, the expression of IL-17 signaling pathway genes was

increased, although the recruitment of immune cells was not evident one day after

blistering (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Recently, IL-17 signaling has been shown to drive

Lrig1-lineage cell recruitment in wound healing and tumorigenesis (53). Therefore, IL-17

signaling might also help Lrig1-lineage cells translocate from HFs to repair epidermal

defects in our study (**Figure 3f, 3g**).

The dynamics of cytoskeletal changes directly affect cellular morphology and

migration potential (54). Previous studies have shown that cells undergo a

morphological transformation into a wedge/flattened shape at the leading edge of

migrating cells (55) and in regenerated keratinocytes during wound healing (27, 56).

Our study has shed further light on the significant impact of the keratinocyte

morphological changes on in vivo wound healing through blistering experiments in

Col7a1^{-/-} and Ca-treated mice and mathematical modeling (**Figure 5f, 5h, 5i, 5k, 6a, 6e,**

6f).

Our in vivo blistering experiments can mimic and replace the in vitro cultured cell

wound healing assay (e.g., scratch wounding), which has been used in the field of cell

biology for decades because subepidermal blisters are epidermal wounds and the

involvement of mesenchymal and immune cells are not pivotal for blister healing. In vivo

intrablister administration of drugs, as exemplified by extracellular calcium

administration (**Figure 5i**), could be an alternative to in vitro chemical treatment of

scratch-wounded cultured cells to develop new therapeutic options for wound healing,

especially of subepidermal blisters.

Our in vivo suction-blister model recapitulates the human pathological epidermal

detachment seen in EB, pemphigoid diseases, burns, and severe drug reactions such

as Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis. Loss-of-function mutations in

COL17A1 (33) and *COL7A1* (37, 38) lead to junctional and recessive dystrophic EB in

humans, respectively. Therefore, *Col17a1^{-/-}* and *Col7a1^{-/-}* mouse blistering also serves

as an EB wound model. The prominent hair loss in human *COL17A1*-mutated junctional

EB might be reflected by the depletion of HFs from the wound bed in *Col17a1^{-/-}* blisters

(**Figure 4d, 4e**), whereas the hair loss in recessive dystrophic EB is not as severe as

that in the junctional subtype (57), consistent with the maintenance of HFs in the dermis

of *Col7a1^{-/-}* mouse dorsal skin upon suction blistering (**Figure 5d, 5e**). Furthermore, the

processes of subepidermal blister healing highlight HFs as a target for treating the

wounds of EB and other blistering diseases.

In closing, our study has revealed the imbalance between development and wound

regeneration in the skin blisters. In contrast to wound healing in adult skin, where

embryonic programs are reactivated, blister repair during development temporarily

inhibits the Wnt pathway, a crucial skin morphogenic driver, leading to the biased

activities of HF junctional stem cells from HF morphogenesis towards wound healing.

Our findings of the healing processes pave the way for tailored therapeutic interventions

for epidermolysis bullosa, pemphigoid diseases and other blistering diseases.

Material and Methods

Animals

C57BL/6 strain mice were purchased from Clea (Tokyo, Japan). Ins-Topgal+ mice were obtained from RIKEN BRC (Tsukuba, Japan) (58). K14CreER, Lrig1CreER, and R26R-confetti mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine, USA). R26R-H2B-mCherry mice were provided by RIKEN (Kobe, Japan). *Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ and hCOL17+;*Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ mice were generated as previously described (34). *Col7a1*$^{-/-}$ mice were provided by Prof. Jouni Uitto (40). The institutional review board of the Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine approved all animal studies described below.

Suction blisters

Suction blisters were produced on the neonatal murine dorsal skin (P1) using a syringe and connector tubes. The negative pressure applied to the skin (generally for minutes) was 523.4±1.3 mmHg (evaluated by an Ex Pocket Pressure Indicator PM-281 (AS ONE, Osaka, Japan)). The diameter of the syringe attached to the skin was 4 mm. The size of the typical blister was 3 mm in diameter.

Histology

Mouse dorsal skin specimens were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin after dehydration or were frozen on dry ice in an optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound. Frozen sections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) or cold acetone or were stained without fixation. Antigen retrieval with pH 6.0 (citrate) or pH 9.0

(EDTA) buffer was performed on deparaffinized sections. Sections were incubated with

primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. After being washed in phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS), the sections were incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated to FITC,

Alexa 488, Alexa 647 or Alexa 680 for 1 hr at room temperature (RT). The nuclei were

stained with propidium iodide (PI) or 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). The stained

immunofluorescent samples were observed using a confocal laser scanning microscope

(FV-1000 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) or LSM-710 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany)).

For immunohistochemistry, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-tagged secondary

antibodies were used. Sections were blocked with hydrogen peroxide, labeled with

antibodies, and counterstained with hematoxylin. For morphological analysis,

deparaffinized sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) by conventional

methods. Alkaline phosphatase staining was performed using a StemAb Alkaline

Phosphatase Staining Kit II (Stemgent, San Diego, California, USA). Images of

immunohistochemistry, and H&E- and alkaline phosphatase-stained sections were

captured with a BZ-9000 microscope (Keyence, Tokyo, Japan).

For whole-mount staining, mouse dorsal skin samples were fixed with 4% PFA and

immunolabeled or stained with the Alkaline Phosphatase Staining Kit II. For X-gal

staining of ins-Topgal+ mouse skin, a beta-galactosidase staining kit (Takara-bio, Shiga,

Japan) was used according to the provider's protocol. Briefly, dorsal skin samples were

fixed with 4% PFA for 1 hr at 4°C and soaked in staining solution overnight at RT.

Tissues were mounted in a Mowiol solution. Images were observed with LSM-710, FV-

1000 or BZ-9000 microscopes.

HF morphological stages were evaluated as previously described (28). The length of

the major axis of keratinocytes in the intact and regenerated epidermis was measured

using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, USA) on K14-stained sections. The

quantification of the cells expressing a particular marker was performed as previously

described (59).

Antibodies

The following antibodies were used: anti-BrdU (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; BU1/75, Dako;

M0744), anti-loricrin (Covance, Princeton, New Jersey, USA), FITC-conjugated anti-

CD3e (BioLegend, San Diego, California, USA; 145-2C11), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated

anti-F4/80 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, USA; BM8), FITC (fluorescein

isothiocyanate)-conjugated anti-Ly-6G (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA; RB6-

8C5), anti-COL4 (Novus Biologicals, Centennial, Colorado; NB120-6586), anti-COL7

(homemade (60)), anti- COL17 (Abcam; ab186415), anti-ITGA5 (Abcam; EPR7854),

anti-ITGA6 (BD Biosciences Pharmingen, San Diego, California, USA; GoH3), anti-L332

(Abcam; ab14509), anti-laminin β1 (Abcam; ab44941), anti-pan-cytokeratin (PROGEN,

Wieblingen, Heidelberg, Germany; PRGN-10550), anti-cytokeratin 10 (Biolegend;

Poly19054), anti-cytokeratin 14 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA; LL002).

BrdU labeling

For proliferation analysis, 10 μg of BrdU (BD Biosciences Pharmingen) per head was

intraperitoneally administered 4 hr before sacrifice.

Transcription electron microscopy

The samples were taken from C57BL/6 mouse dorsal skin (P1) just after suction

blistering was performed. The samples were fixed in 5% glutaraldehyde solution,

postfixed in 1% OsO_4, dehydrated, and embedded in Epon 812. The embedded

samples were sectioned at 1 μm thickness for light microscopy and thin-sectioned for

electron microscopy (70 nm thick). The thin sections were stained with uranyl acetate

and lead citrate and examined by transmission electron microscopy (H-7100; Hitachi,

Tokyo, Japan).

Lineage tracing

K14CreER:R26R-H2B-mCherry, Lrig1CreER:R26R-H2B-mCherry, K14CreER:R26R-

confetti, and Lrig1CreER:R26R-confetti mice were intraperitoneally treated with 0.5 mg

of tamoxifen (T5648; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) at P0. The dorsal skin

samples were harvested four days later (P4).

RNA sequencing and analysis

Suction-blistered and control samples were collected at P2 and treated with 0.25%

trypsin EDTA overnight at 4°C. The epidermis was minced with a scalpel and

suspended in 10% FCS DMEM. The cell suspension was filtered through a 70 μm filter,

and cell pellets were collected. Library preparation was performed using an Illumina

TruSeq RNA prep kit by following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, following

TRIzol extraction and chemical fragmentation, mRNA was purified with oligo-dT-

attached magnetic beads and reverse transcribed into cDNA. Following a second strand

synthesis step with DNA polymerase I and RNAse H, the resulting cDNA was subjected

to end repair, A-tailing, and Illumina compatible adaptor ligation. Following purification

and PCR-mediated enrichment, libraries were purified with AMPure XP beads and

sequenced on a NextSeq 500 Illumina sequencer.

After quality controls were performed, the raw reads were aligned to the NCBIm37

mouse reference genome (mm9) using HiSat2 (61) (version 2.0.0) using options -N 1 -L

20 -i S, 1, 0.5 -D 25 -R 5 --pen-noncansplice 20 --mp 1, 0 --sp 3, 0 and providing a list of

known splice sites. Expression levels were quantified using featureCounts (62) with

RefSeq gene annotation and normalized as TPM using custom scripts. Differential

expression analysis was performed using the edgeR (63) software package. After lowly

expressed genes (1 count per million in less than two samples) were filtered out, the

treatment and control groups were compared using the exact test method (63). Genes

with an absolute log2-fold change greater than 1 and false discovery rate (FDR) less

than or equal to 0.05 were considered differentially expressed. Hierarchical clustering of

gene expression profiles was performed on differentially expressed genes using only

Euclidean distances and the complete linkage method. TPM values were normalized as

Z-scores across samples, and the distances were computed. GO term and KEGG

enrichment analysis on the differentially expressed genes were performed with Enrich

(64).

Intrablister administration

Ten microliters of 1.8 or 9.0 mM $CaCl_2$ in PBS was administered by syringe into the

blisters just after the suction blistering procedure was performed.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California, USA). P-values were determined using Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test. P-values are indicated as *$0.01<p<0.05$, **$0.001<p<0.01$, ***$0.0001<p<0.001$, and ****$p<0.0001$. The values were shown as the means ± standard errors (SE), violin plots or connected with lines showing individual mice.

Mathematical modeling

A mathematical model proposed for epidermal cell dynamics (45) was adapted to simulate epidermal wound healing. In this model, epidermal basal cells were represented as spherical particles, with the cell diameter set to 10 μm. Cells designated as epidermal SCs and their progeny could undergo division on the basement membrane. Cell division was described as a process of two initially completely overlapping particles gradually separating into two distinct particles. When a newly created cell was not fully surrounded by other cells, it was judged as being in a regeneration process and immediately underwent a transition to an oblate spheroid shape with the long axis increased by a factor of 2 (normal) or 1.5 (simulating $Col7a1^{-/-}$ and Ca-treated blisters) while its volume was kept constant. The same division rate was assigned to all proliferative cells, with an average division period of 57.6 [arb. unit]. Forces exerted on a cell came from adhesion and excluded-volume interactions with other cells and with the basement membrane. SCs were tightly bound and unable to

detach from the basement membrane, while the progeny were weakly bound so that

they could detach from the membrane via the ambient pressure: the detached cells

were removed from the system. The basement membrane was assumed to be a rigid

flat surface, whose shape remained unchanged over time. These interactions were

calculated to obtain the time evolution of the whole system by solving equations given in

a previous report (45). The simulation region was set to 600 µm x 600 µm horizontally

with periodic boundary conditions. To prepare the initial conditions for the simulation of

subepidermal blister healing, we first ran a simulation with SCs placed on the basement

membrane until their progeny covered the whole surface. Then, we set the progeny to

be nonproliferative and created epidermal defects by removing the cells that were inside

a disk domain with a diameter of 480 µm.

The number of HFs in humans was estimated from the data in Supplementary

Table 1 under the assumption that HFs were uniformly distributed and that the number

of proliferative cells per HF was proportional to the volume of the HF. Using the mean

values of the data, we estimated that the ratio of human to mouse proliferative cells in

epidermal defects is 0.174:1. The initial number of cells was prepared accordingly in the

simulations of human epidermal defects.

Human samples

From the H&E-stained skin of patients with congenital or autoimmune subepidermal

blistering diseases (73 EB or 188 bullous pemphigoid (BP) samples, respectively), the

samples that met the following histological criteria were selected: (1) subepidermal

blisters or a skin split at the dermoepidermal junction; (2) re-epithelization in the area;

and (3) presence of HFs on the blister base. Three BP samples fulfilled all the criteria

(blisters 1, 2, and 3) and were observed with a Keyence BZ-9000 microscope. The

institutional review board of the Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine

approved all human studies described above (ID: 13-043 and 15-052). The study was

conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki Principles. Participants or their legal

guardians provided written informed consent.

Author contributions

Y. F. designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the data, interpreted the

results, and wrote the manuscript. M. W., S. T., H. N., H. K., Y. W., Y. M, A. L., V. P., H.

U., H. I., W. N., and S. O. performed the experiments and analyzed the data. K. O., Y.

K., and M. N. performed the mathematical modeling and wrote the manuscript. G. D.

designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the data and interpreted the results.

S. H. interpreted the results and supervised the study. K. N. conceived and designed

the experiments, analyzed the data, interpreted the results, wrote the manuscript and

supervised the study.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ms. Meari Yoshida for her technical assistance. We also thank Professor

Yumiko Saga, Professor Kim B Yancey, and Professor Jouni Uitto for providing the ins-

Topgal+, K14-hCOL17, and $Col7a1^{-/-}$ mice, respectively. This work was funded by

AMED (ID: 18059057), JSPS (KAKEN 17K16317), the Uehara Foundation, the Lydia

O'Leary Memorial Pias Dermatological Foundation to KN, JST CREST (JPMJCR15D2)

534   to MN, JSPS (KAKEN 16K10120) to HN, AIRC IG 20240 to SO, and AIRC MFAG 2018

535   (ID: 21640) to GD.

References

1.   Miao Q, *et al.* (2019) SOX11 and SOX4 drive the reactivation of an embryonic gene program during murine wound repair. *Nat Commun* 10(1):4042.

2.   Fernandez Vallone V, *et al.* (2016) Trop2 marks transient gastric fetal epithelium and adult regenerating cells after epithelial damage. *Development* 143(9):1452-1463.

3.   Yui S, *et al.* (2018) YAP/TAZ-Dependent Reprogramming of Colonic Epithelium Links ECM Remodeling to Tissue Regeneration. *Cell Stem Cell* 22(1):35-49 e37.

4.   Nusse YM, *et al.* (2018) Parasitic helminths induce fetal-like reversion in the intestinal stem cell niche. *Nature* 559(7712):109-113.

5.   Natsuga K (2014) Epidermal barriers. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 4(4):a018218.

6.   Donati G & Watt FM (2015) Stem cell heterogeneity and plasticity in epithelia. *Cell Stem Cell* 16(5):465-476.

7.   McMillan JR, Akiyama M, & Shimizu H (2003) Epidermal basement membrane zone components: ultrastructural distribution and molecular interactions. *J Dermatol Sci* 31(3):169-177.

8.   Watt FM & Fujiwara H (2011) Cell-extracellular matrix interactions in normal and diseased skin. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 3(4).

9.   Niculescu C, *et al.* (2011) Conditional ablation of integrin alpha-6 in mouse epidermis leads to skin fragility and inflammation. *Eur J Cell Biol* 90(2-3):270-277.

10.   Brakebusch C*, et al.* (2000) Skin and hair follicle integrity is crucially dependent

on beta 1 integrin expression on keratinocytes. *EMBO J* 19(15):3990-4003.

11.   Watanabe M*, et al.* (2017) Type XVII collagen coordinates proliferation in the

interfollicular epidermis. *Elife* 6.

12.   Rognoni E & Watt FM (2018) Skin Cell Heterogeneity in Development, Wound

Healing, and Cancer. *Trends Cell Biol* 28(9):709-722.

13.   Dekoninck S & Blanpain C (2019) Stem cell dynamics, migration and plasticity

during wound healing. *Nat Cell Biol* 21(1):18-24.

14.   Garcin CL, Ansell DM, Headon DJ, Paus R, & Hardman MJ (2016) Hair Follicle

Bulge Stem Cells Appear Dispensable for the Acute Phase of Wound Re-

epithelialization. *Stem Cells* 34(5):1377-1385.

15.   Chetty BV, Boissy RE, Warden GD, & Nordlund JJ (1992) Basement membrane

and fibroblast aberration in blisters at the donor, graft, and spontaneously healed

sites in patients with burns. *Arch Dermatol* 128(2):181-186.

16.   Vahidnezhad H, Youssefian L, Saeidian AH, & Uitto J (2019) Phenotypic

Spectrum of Epidermolysis Bullosa: The Paradigm of Syndromic versus Non-

Syndromic Skin Fragility Disorders. *J Invest Dermatol* 139(3):522-527.

17.   Fine JD*, et al.* (2014) Inherited epidermolysis bullosa: updated recommendations

on diagnosis and classification. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 70(6):1103-1126.

18.   Schmidt E & Zillikens D (2013) Pemphigoid diseases. *Lancet* 381(9863):320-332.

19.   White KD*, et al.* (2018) SJS/TEN 2017: Building Multidisciplinary Networks to

Drive Science and Translation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 6(1):38-69.

20. Park S, *et al.* (2017) Tissue-scale coordination of cellular behaviour promotes

epidermal wound repair in live mice. *Nat Cell Biol* 19(2):155-163.

21. Gonzales KAU & Fuchs E (2017) Skin and Its Regenerative Powers: An Alliance

between Stem Cells and Their Niche. *Dev Cell* 43(4):387-401.

22. Donati G, *et al.* (2017) Wounding induces dedifferentiation of epidermal Gata6(+)

cells and acquisition of stem cell properties. *Nat Cell Biol* 19(6):603-613.

23. Aragona M, *et al.* (2017) Defining stem cell dynamics and migration during

wound healing in mouse skin epidermis. *Nat Commun* 8:14684.

24. Page ME, Lombard P, Ng F, Gottgens B, & Jensen KB (2013) The epidermis

comprises autonomous compartments maintained by distinct stem cell

populations. *Cell Stem Cell* 13(4):471-482.

25. Kiistala U & Mustakallio KK (1967) Dermo-epidermal separation with suction.

Electron microscopic and histochemical study of initial events of blistering on

human skin. *J Invest Dermatol* 48(5):466-477.

26. Kiistala U & Mustakallio KK (1964) In-Vivo Separation of Epidermis by Production

of Suction Blisters. *Lancet* 2(7348):1444-1445.

27. Krawczyk WS (1971) A pattern of epidermal cell migration during wound healing.

J Cell Biol 49(2):247-263.

28. Paus R, *et al.* (1999) A comprehensive guide for the recognition and

classification of distinct stages of hair follicle morphogenesis. *J Invest Dermatol*

113(4):523-532.

29. Liu N, *et al.* (2019) Stem cell competition orchestrates skin homeostasis and

ageing. *Nature*.

30.   Matsumura H, *et al.* (2016) Hair follicle aging is driven by transepidermal
elimination of stem cells via COL17A1 proteolysis. *Science* 351(6273):aad4395.

31.   Tanimura S, *et al.* (2011) Hair follicle stem cells provide a functional niche for
melanocyte stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 8(2):177-187.

32.   Natsuga K, Watanabe M, Nishie W, & Shimizu H (2019) Life before and beyond
blistering: The role of collagen XVII in epidermal physiology. *Exp Dermatol*
28(10):1135-1141.

33.   McGrath JA, *et al.* (1995) Mutations in the 180-kD bullous pemphigoid antigen
(BPAG2), a hemidesmosomal transmembrane collagen (COL17A1), in
generalized atrophic benign epidermolysis bullosa. *Nat Genet* 11(1):83-86.

34.   Nishie W, *et al.* (2007) Humanization of autoantigen. *Nat Med* 13(3):378-383.

35.   Watanabe M, Natsuga K, Shinkuma S, & Shimizu H (2018) Epidermal aspects of
type VII collagen: Implications for dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa and
epidermolysis bullosa acquisita. *J Dermatol* 45(5):515-521.

36.   Shimizu H, *et al.* (1997) Most anchoring fibrils in human skin originate and
terminate in the lamina densa. *Lab Invest* 76(6):753-763.

37.   Hilal L, *et al.* (1993) A homozygous insertion-deletion in the type VII collagen
gene (COL7A1) in Hallopeau-Siemens dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. *Nat*
Genet 5(3):287-293.

38.   Christiano AM, *et al.* (1993) A missense mutation in type VII collagen in two
affected siblings with recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. *Nat Genet*
4(1):62-66.

39. Nystrom A, *et al.* (2013) Collagen VII plays a dual role in wound healing. *J Clin*

Invest 123(8):3498-3509.

40. Heinonen S, *et al.* (1999) Targeted inactivation of the type VII collagen gene

(Col7a1) in mice results in severe blistering phenotype: a model for recessive

dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. *J Cell Sci* 112 (Pt 21):3641-3648.

41. Chen M, *et al.* (2002) Restoration of type VII collagen expression and function in

dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. *Nat Genet* 32(4):670-675.

42. Chen M, *et al.* (2000) Development and characterization of a recombinant

truncated type VII collagen "minigene". Implication for gene therapy of dystrophic

epidermolysis bullosa. *J Biol Chem* 275(32):24429-24435.

43. Magee AI, Lytton NA, & Watt FM (1987) Calcium-induced changes in

cytoskeleton and motility of cultured human keratinocytes. *Exp Cell Res*

172(1):43-53.

44. Hennings H, *et al.* (1980) Calcium regulation of growth and differentiation of

mouse epidermal cells in culture. *Cell* 19(1):245-254.

45. Kobayashi Y, *et al.* (2018) Interplay between epidermal stem cell dynamics and

dermal deformation. *npj Computational Materials* 4(1):45.

46. Kobayashi Y, Sawabu Y, Kitahata H, Denda M, & Nagayama M (2016)

Mathematical model for calcium-assisted epidermal homeostasis. *J Theor Biol*

397:52-60.

47. Osaka N, *et al.* (2007) ASK1-dependent recruitment and activation of

macrophages induce hair growth in skin wounds. *J Cell Biol* 176(7):903-909.

48.   Ito M, *et al.* (2007) Wnt-dependent de novo hair follicle regeneration in adult

649   mouse skin after wounding. *Nature* 447(7142):316-320.

650   49.   Rognoni E, *et al.* (2016) Inhibition of beta-catenin signalling in dermal fibroblasts

651   enhances hair follicle regeneration during wound healing. *Development*

652   143(14):2522-2535.

653   50.   Lane EB, Wilson CA, Hughes BR, & Leigh IM (1991) Stem cells in hair follicles.

654   Cytoskeletal studies. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 642:197-213.

655   51.   Hertle MD, Kubler MD, Leigh IM, & Watt FM (1992) Aberrant integrin expression

656   during epidermal wound healing and in psoriatic epidermis. *J Clin Invest*

657   89(6):1892-1901.

658   52.   Leivo T, *et al.* (2000) Re-epithelialization rate and protein expression in the

659   suction-induced wound model: comparison between intact blisters, open wounds

660   and calcipotriol-pretreated open wounds. *Br J Dermatol* 142(5):991-1002.

661   53.   Chen X, *et al.* (2019) IL-17R-EGFR axis links wound healing to tumorigenesis in

662   Lrig1(+) stem cells. *J Exp Med* 216(1):195-214.

663   54.   Tang DD & Gerlach BD (2017) The roles and regulation of the actin cytoskeleton,

664   intermediate filaments and microtubules in smooth muscle cell migration. *Respir*

665   *Res* 18(1):54.

666   55.   Uroz M, *et al.* (2019) Traction forces at the cytokinetic ring regulate cell division

667   and polyploidy in the migrating zebrafish epicardium. *Nat Mater* 18(9):1015-1023.

668   56.   Paladini RD, Takahashi K, Bravo NS, & Coulombe PA (1996) Onset of re-

669   epithelialization after skin injury correlates with a reorganization of keratin

filaments in wound edge keratinocytes: defining a potential role for keratin 16. *J*

Cell Biol 132(3):381-397.

57.   Tosti A, Duque-Estrada B, & Murrell DF (2010) Alopecia in epidermolysis bullosa.

Dermatol Clin 28(1):165-169.

58.   Moriyama A*, et al.* (2007) GFP transgenic mice reveal active canonical Wnt

signal in neonatal brain and in adult liver and spleen. *Genesis* 45(2):90-100.

59.   Natsuga K, Cipolat S, & Watt FM (2016) Increased Bacterial Load and

Expression of Antimicrobial Peptides in Skin of Barrier-Deficient Mice with

Reduced Cancer Susceptibility. *J Invest Dermatol* 136(1):99-106.

60.   Iwata H*, et al.* (2013) B cells, dendritic cells, and macrophages are required to

induce an autoreactive CD4 helper T cell response in experimental epidermolysis

bullosa acquisita. *J Immunol* 191(6):2978-2988.

61.   Kim D, Langmead B, & Salzberg SL (2015) HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low

memory requirements. *Nat Methods* 12(4):357-360.

62.   Liao Y, Smyth GK, & Shi W (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose

program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*

30(7):923-930.

63.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, & Smyth GK (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor

package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data.

Bioinformatics 26(1):139-140.

64.   Kuleshov MV*, et al.* (2016) Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment

analysis web server 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44(W1):W90-97.

Figure 1. Healing of subepidermal blisters in neonatal mice

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. Healing of subepidermal blisters in neonatal mice.

(a) Schematic diagram of suction blistering and sample collection. A blister produced on

C57BL/6 wild-type (WT) mouse dorsal skin at P1. BM: basement membrane. (b-d)

Blistered samples at P1. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and alkaline phosphatase (AP)

staining (b). Hair follicles (HFs) remaining in the dermis (indicated by arrows). Scale bar:

500 μm. α6 Integrin (ITGA6, indicated by arrowheads) and type IV collagen (COL4,

arrows) labeling (c, left). Laminin 332 (L332, arrows) staining (c, right). Scale bar:

100 μm. Ultrastructural findings of blistered skin (left image: blister roof, right image:

blister bottom) (d). Hemidesmosomes (white arrowheads) and lamina densa (arrows)

are indicated. Scale bar: 1 μm. (e) H&E, pan-cytokeratin (PCK), and ITGA6 staining at

P2. The regenerated epidermis is indicated by arrowheads. Scale bar: 200 μm. (f)

Keratin 14 (K14) and keratin 10 (K10) staining of the nonlesional (intact) and lesional

(blistered) skin at P2 (upper images and inlets: sections, lower images: whole-mount

imaging). HFs are indicated by arrows in the whole-mount images. Scale bar: 30 μm. (g)

H&E and loricrin (LOR) staining at P3. Scale bar: 200 μm. (h) H&E, PCK, and LOR

staining at P4. Scale bar: 200 μm. Blisters are indicated by stars.

Figure 2. Delayed HF development during subepidermal blister healing

Figure 2. Delayed HF growth during subepidermal blister healing.

(a) Heat map (Pearson's correlation) of differentially expressed genes between the

blistered (regenerated) and control WT dorsal skin epidermis at P2 (n=3). (b) GO

analysis of differentially expressed genes in the regenerated epidermis. (c) Scatter plots

of differentially expressed genes in the regenerated epidermis. (d) Hair canals in the

regenerated (lesional) and nonlesional epidermis at P4 (indicated by asterisks). Scale

bar: 300 μm. (e) Quantification of hair canals in the lesional, nonlesional, and unaffected

littermate control epidermis at P4 (n=5). The data are shown as the mean ± SE

(littermate control) or connected with lines showing individual mice. *0.01<p<0.05, one-

way ANOVA test, followed by Tukey's test. (f) HF morphogenesis stages at P4 in

lesional, nonlesional, and unaffected littermate control skin (n=5). (g) Whole-mount

imaging of the blistered skin of ins-Topgal+ mice at P2. Scale bar: 500 μm.

Figure 3. Predominant contribution of HF-derived keratinocytes to subepidermal blister healing

Figure 3. Predominant contribution of HF-derived keratinocytes to subepidermal

blister healing.

(a) BrdU labeling of blistered samples at P2. Scale bar: 100 μm. BrdU-positive cells are

indicated by arrows. Quantification of BrdU-positive cells in the epidermis and HFs

(n=4). The data are shown as the mean ± SE. *$0.01<p<0.05$, one-way ANOVA test,

followed by Tukey's test. (b) α5 integrin (ITGA5) labeling at P2 (left image: section, right

image: whole-mount). Scale bar: 100 μm. Blister edges (epidermal tongue) and HFs are

indicated by arrowheads and arrows, respectively. Blisters are indicated by stars. (c)

Lineage tracing strategy. (d) Sections of K14CreER:H2B-mCherry mice at P4.

Quantification of mCherry-positive cells (n=3). Scale bar: 100 μm. The data from

individual mice are connected by lines. Student's t-test. (e) Whole-mount imaging of

K14CreER:R26R-confetti samples at P4. Scale bar: 200 μm. (f) Sections of

Lrig1CreER:H2B-mCherry mouse skin at P4. Quantification of mCherry-positive cells

(n=3). Scale bar: 100 μm. The data from individual mice are connected by lines.

*$0.01<p<0.05$, Student's t-test. (g) Whole-mount imaging of Lrig1CreER:R26R-confetti

mouse samples at P4. Scale bar: 200 μm.

Figure 4. Effects of HF depletion on subepidermal blister healing

Figure 4. Effects of HF depletion on subepidermal blister healing.

(a) Type XVII collagen (COL17, arrowheads indicate the hair bulge) and laminin β1 (LAMB1) labeling in WT dorsal skin sections (P1). Scale bar: 100 μm. (b, c) Blistered samples of *Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ mouse dorsal skin at P1. ITGA6 (indicated by arrowheads) and COL4 (arrows) labeling (b). L332 staining (c, arrows). Scale bar: 100 μm. (d) H&E staining of blistered skin from *Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ mice at P1. HFs detached from the dermis in *Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ skin are indicated by arrowheads. Scale bar: 500 μm. (e) Whole-mount AP staining of the blister roof epidermis from *Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ mice and littermate controls at P1. Scale bar: 500 μm. (f) H&E (P2), ITGA6 (P2) and LOR staining (P4) of *Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ mice and littermate controls. The regenerated epidermis is indicated by arrowheads. Scale bar: 200 μm. (g) BrdU labeling of *Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ skin at P2. Scale bar: 100 μm. Quantification of BrdU-positive cells in the epidermis surrounding blisters (n=4). The data are shown as the mean ± SE. Student's t-test. (h, i) Lineage tracing of K14CreER:R26R-mCherry:*Col17a1*$^{-/-}$ at P4 (h). Scale bar: 100 μm. Quantification of mCherry-positive cells in the regenerated epidermis (n=3) (i). The data from individual mice are connected by lines. *$0.01 < p < 0.05$, Student's t-test. Blisters are indicated by stars.

Figure 5. Involvement of keratinocyte shape transformation in subepidermal blister healing

Figure 5. Involvement of keratinocyte shape transformation in subepidermal blister healing.

(a) Type VII collagen (COL7) labeling in WT dorsal skin sections (P1). Scale bar: 200 μm. (b) ITGA6/COL4 (left, indicated by arrows) and L332 labeling (right, indicated by arrows) in the blistered skin of $Col7a1^{-/-}$ mice at P1. Scale bar: 100 μm. (c) Schematic diagram of control, $Col17a1^{-/-}$, and $Col7a1^{-/-}$ mouse skin splits. BM: basement membrane. (d) H&E staining of blistered skin from $Col7a1^{-/-}$ mice and their littermate controls at P1. Scale bar: 200 μm. (e) Whole-mount AP staining of the blister roof epidermis from $Col7a1^{-/-}$ mice and their littermate controls at P1. Scale bar: 500 μm. (f) K10/K14 (low magnification) and K14 (high magnification) labeling of $Col7a1^{-/-}$ mouse and littermate control blistered skin at P2. Scale bar: 30 μm. (g) Quantification of BrdU-positive cells per μm HF length (n=55 HFs from three control and 143 HFs from four $Col7a1^{-/-}$ mice). The data are shown as violin plots. Student's t-test. (h) Length of the major axis of keratinocytes in the regenerated epidermis (n=244 (control, L), and 132 ($Col7a1^{-/-}$, L) cells from four mice, respectively) and in the surrounding intact epidermis (basal cells; n=200 (control, NL), 299 ($Col7a1^{-/-}$, NL), from four mice, respectively). NL: nonlesional area. L: lesional area. The data are shown as violin plots. ****$p<0.0001$, one-way ANOVA test, followed by Tukey's test. (i) K10/K14 and K14 (high magnification) labeling of WT blistered skin treated with $CaCl_2$ or PBS at P2. Scale bar: 30 μm. (j) Quantification of BrdU-positive cells per μm HF length (n=83 (PBS), 95 (1.8 mM $CaCl_2$), and 97 (9.0 mM $CaCl_2$) HFs from four mice). (k) Length of the major axis of keratinocytes in the regenerated epidermis (n=433 (PBS, L), 451 (1.8 mM $CaCl_2$, L), and 425 (9.0 mM $CaCl_2$, L) cells from four mice) and in the surrounding intact epidermis

786   (basal cells; n=311 (PBS, NL), 279 (1.8 mM $CaCl_2$, NL), 302 (9.0 mM $CaCl_2$, NL) cells

787   from four mice). NL: nonlesional area. L: lesional area. The data are shown as violin

788   plots. ****p<0.0001, one-way ANOVA test, followed by Tukey's test. Blisters are

789   indicated by stars.

Figure 6. Mathematical modeling of subepidermal blister healing

a Stem cells: both in epidermal defects and surrounding epidermis

0   70   140   210

Time [a.u.]

b

Wound healing rate [%]

Progeny of stem cells in surrounding epidermis

Progeny of stem cells within epidermal defects

Time [a.u.]

c Stem cells: only in surrounding epidermis

0   70   140   210

Time [a.u.]

d

Wound healing rate [%]

Control

Depletion of stem cells within epidermal defects

Time [a.u.]

e Impaired flattening of keratinocytes in the regenerated epidermis

0   70   140   210

Time [a.u.]

f

Wound healing rate [%]

Control

Impaired flattening of keratinocytes in the regenerated epidermis

Time [a.u.]

Figure 6. Mathematical modeling of subepidermal blister healing.

(a) A particle-based model of subepidermal blister healing at the basal layer. Epidermal basal cells (colored in blue), which do not divide, are placed on the basement membrane (gray). Stem cells (SCs, green) give rise to progeny (simulating HF-derived cells; red) within epidermal defects or in the surrounding epidermis (IFE-derived cells; yellow). t: arbitrary time. See **Supplementary Video 1**. (b) Contribution of each progeny cell within the epidermal defect or of surrounding epidermis to subepidermal blister healing, measured as the ratio of the area occupied by each progeny to the area of the initial epidermal defect. (c) A model of subepidermal blister healing without SCs within epidermal defects. See **Supplementary Video 2**. (d) Time course of subepidermal blister healing in control (a) and SC-depleted epidermal defects (c). (e) Effects of the impaired flattening of keratinocytes upon epidermal regeneration. The diameter of basal keratinocytes (long axis of the spheroid) in the regenerated vs. surrounding epidermis was calculated as 1.5:1 (in contrast to 2:1 in Figure 6a). See **Supplementary Video 3**. (f) Time course of wound healing for control (a) and less flattened keratinocytes in the regenerated epidermis (e).