Sperm-specific COX6B2 enhances oxidative phosphorylation,

proliferation, and survival in lung adenocarcinoma

Chun-Chun Cheng[1], Joshua Wooten[2], Zane Gibbs[1], Kathleen McGlynn[1], Prashant

Mishra[3], Angelique W. Whitehurst[1]*

[1]Department of Pharmacology, Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, UT

Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd Dallas, Texas 75390-8807, USA.

[2]Nuventra, 3217 Appling Way, Durham, NC 27703, USA

[3]Children's Research Institute, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390,

USA.

*Correspondence: Angelique.Whitehurst@UTSouthwestern.edu, 214-645-6066 (p),

214-645-6347 (f)

ABSTRACT

Cancer testis antigens (CTAs) are genes whose expression is normally restricted to the

testis but anomalously activated in cancer. In sperm, a number of CTAs promote energy

generation, however whether these proteins contribute to tumor cell metabolism is not

understood. Here we describe COX6B2, a sperm-specific component of cytochrome c

oxidase (complex IV). COX6B2 is frequently expressed in human lung adenocarcinoma

(LUAD) and expression correlates with reduced survival time in patients. COX6B2, but

not its somatic isoform COX6B1, enhances activity of complex IV, increasing

mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and NAD$^+$ generation.

Consequently, COX6B2-expressing cells display a proliferative advantage, particularly

in low oxygen conditions. Conversely, depletion of COX6B2 attenuates OXPHOS and

collapses mitochondrial membrane potential leading to cell death or senescence.

Furthermore, COX6B2 is both necessary and sufficient for growth of tumors *in vivo*. Our

findings reveal a previously unappreciated, tumor specific metabolic pathway hijacked

from one of the most ATP-intensive processes in the animal kingdom: sperm motility.

32
INTRODUCTION

Tumors frequently activate genes whose expression is otherwise restricted to testis; these

genes are known collectively as cancer-testis antigens (CT-antigens, CTAs). The

expression of these genes outside their native and immune privileged site has been the

basis for immunotherapeutic approaches including vaccines and adoptive T-cell therapy

(Hunder et al. 2008; Gjerstorff, Andersen, and Ditzel 2015). Historically, functional

knowledge of the contribution of these proteins, if any, to neoplastic behaviors has

significantly lagged behind immune-targeting. In recent years, the functional

characterization of CTAs has gained broader interest and these proteins have been

implicated in tumor cell survival, TGF-β signaling, mitotic fidelity, polyadenylation, mRNA

export, tumor suppressor silencing, and DNA damage repair (Gibbs and Whitehurst 2018;

Viphakone et al. 2015; Nichols et al. 2018). Multiple reports now indicate that anomalous

expression of testis proteins can be engaged to support the tumorigenic program. The

biased expression pattern of these proteins to tumors and testis may offer an

extraordinarily broad therapeutic window if they can be targeted directly.

One unique aspect of mammalian sperm physiology is the tremendous energy demand

required for motility while preserving the integrity of their precious DNA cargo within the

hostile environment of the female reproductive tract. To meet this demand, sperm contain

a number of tissue specific protein isoforms for glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation

(OXPHOS) that mediate the increase in ATP production. For example, lactate

dehydrogenase C, LDHC, is a testis-specific isoform of the terminal enzyme in glycolysis that catalyzes the reduction of pyruvate to lactate essential for male fertility (Odet et al. 2008; Krisfalusi et al. 2006; Li et al. 1989). Similarly, COX6B2 is a testis-specific subunit of cytochrome c oxidase (complex IV) (Huttemann, Jaradat, and Grossman 2003). mRNA encoding of either LDHC or COX6B2 is undetectable in normal tissue, but both are upregulated in a number of different tumor derived cell lines, classifying them as CTAs ((Maxfield et al. 2015), (CTpedia (http://www.cta.lncc.br/index.php)). However, it is unclear whether these proteins support metabolic programs in tumor cells.

In a large-scale loss of function analysis to annotate the contribution of individual CTAs to neoplastic behaviors, we found that COX6B2 is essential for survival of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines (Maxfield et al. 2015). COX6B2 is a nuclear encoded, sperm-specific component of complex IV (Huttemann, Jaradat, and Grossman 2003). By transferring electrons from reduced cytochrome c to O_2, complex IV is the rate-limiting step for ATP production by the electron transport chain (ETC). Thirteen subunits make up complex IV: three are mitochondrial encoded and ten are derived from nuclear DNA. Six of the ten nuclear encoded subunits have tissue-specific isoforms that permit regulation of this complex in response to environmental cues (e.g. pH, hormones, metals, ATP/ADP ratio etc.) (Kadenbach and Huttemann 2015). The somatic isoform of COX6B2 is COX6B1. These two proteins share 58% amino acid identity and ~80% similarity. Based on structural information, it is apparent that COX6B1/2 are the only complex IV subunits that are not membrane bound. Instead, their localization is confined to the intermembrane space where cytochrome c associates. It is inferred that COX6B1/B2 participate in dimerization of complex IV and cytochrome c association (Sampson and

Alleyne 2001; Tsukihara et al. 1996). While limited, studies on COX6B1 indicate that it is

essential for complex IV activity. In particular, human mutations in COX6B1 (R20C or

R20H) abrogate complex IV assembly and lead to severe cardiac defects (Abdulhag et

al. 2015). In addition, biochemical analysis indicates that removal of COX6B1 from

assembled complexes enhances complex IV activity, implying a negative regulatory role

for COX6B1 (Weishaupt and Kadenbach 1992). To-date no reports detail the function of

COX6B2 nor indicate the physiological relevance of this sperm-specific subunit to fertility.

Furthermore, there are no reports describing mechanism(s) that regulate COX6B1 or

COX6B2 expression. Overall, compared to the enormous data on complex IV in general,

the COX6B proteins have been understudied.

We have undertaken a detailed investigation into the mechanism of action of COX6B2

when this protein is aberrantly expressed in NSCLC. Here, we report that COX6B2

enhances mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) in tumor cells. This activity

accelerates proliferation *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In contrast, silencing of COX6B2 attenuates

OXPHOS, reduces tumor cell viability and dramatically decreases growth *in vivo*.

Importantly, we find that hypoxia enhances COX6B2 expression, which confers a

selective advantage for proliferation under low oxygen. Indeed, elevated COX6B2 mRNA

correlates with reduced survival of LUAD patients. Cumulatively, this study demonstrates

the remarkable capacity of tumor cells to integrate primordial gene products into their

regulatory environment as a means of promoting unrestrained proliferation. In turn,

COX6B2 becomes a liability that may be exploited for tumor selective targeting of

OXPHOS.

RESULTS

COX6B2 is expressed in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) tumors and correlates with poor survival

Using a large-scale functional genomics approaches, we previously found that depletion of COX6B2 activates cleaved caspase 3/7 in cell lines derived from breast, melanoma and NSCLC, with the most potent activation in H1299 NSCLC cells **(Figure 1-figure supplement 1A)** (Maxfield et al. 2015)**.** Based on these findings, we examined the relationship between COX6B2 expression and patient outcome in NSCLC. Strikingly, elevated expression of COX6B2 is associated with significantly shorter overall survival (OS) time (P = 5.3 x10^{-6}, log rank test; hazard ratio (HR): 1.46; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.24-1.73) **(Figure 1A)**. In addition, COX6B2 expression positively correlates with time to first progression (FP) (P = 4.1 x10^{-4}, log rank test; HR: 1.63; 95% CI: 1.24-2.14) **(Figure 1A)**. Separation of the two major histological subtypes of NSCLC revealed a strong correlation for both outcomes in LUAD (OS: P = 1.6 x10^{-4}, log rank test; HR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.25-2.03; FP: P = 9.4 x10^{-5}, log rank test; HR: 1.91; 95% CI: 1.37-2.65) **(Figure 1B)**. However, this correlation is not observed in lung squamous carcinoma (LUSC) (OS: P = 0.75, log rank test; HR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.77-1.44; FP: P = 0.96, log rank test; HR: 0.99; 95% CI: 0.59-1.65) **(Figure 1C)**. Analysis of TCGA data sets also revealed a significant upregulation of COX6B2 mRNA in LUAD tumors as compared to normal tissues **(Figure 1D)**. We next immunoblotted for COX6B2 protein in a panel of LUAD tumors and normal lung tissue using an antibody that detects COX6B2 **(Figure 1E; figure 1-figure supplement 1B)**. We found that COX6B2 protein expression is low or undetectable in normal lung tissue, but present in all tumor-derived tissues irrespective of stage and grade

(Figure 1E; Figure 1-figure supplement 1C). A similar pattern is also observed in LUAD-

derived cell lines **(Figure 1F)**. Furthermore, immunohistochemical staining of COX6B2 in

a panel of non-malignant (n = 22) and LUAD tissues (n = 32) indicated accumulation of

COX6B2 in tumor, but not non-malignant tissues **(Figure 1G)**. This expression of

COX6B2 in tumors does not have an apparent correlation with the presence or absence

of COX6B1, the somatic isoform of COX6B2. We did observe a moderate correlation with

the complex IV subunit, COXIV, suggesting COX6B2 accumulation coincides with

increased mitochondria in cancer cells **(Figure 1-figure supplement 1D)**. Furthermore,

depletion or overexpression of either COX6B1 or COX6B2 does not impact protein

accumulation of the corresponding isoform **(Figure 1-figure supplement 1B)**. These

findings indicate that while the isoforms are closely related in sequence their regulation

may be through independent mechanisms. Immunofluorescence of endogenous

COX6B2 in intact LUAD cells revealed specific localization to the mitochondria as judged

by overlap with Tom20, a mitochondrial resident protein **(Figure 1H)**. Thus, COX6B2 is

frequently expressed in LUAD, correlates with poor survival and when ectopically

expressed can localize to its native mitochondrial location.

COX6B2, but not COX6B1, enhances oxidative phosphorylation in LUAD

To investigate whether COX6B2 supports OXPHOS when ectopically expressed in

tumors, we generated a LUAD cell line that overexpresses COX6B2 protein ~3-fold

(HCC515-COX6B2-V5) **(Figure 2A)**. This overexpression is not associated with an

increase in mitochondrial DNA or total mitochondria **(Figure 2B-C)**. We then measured

the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) at baseline and following exposure to electron

transport chain perturbagens. This analysis revealed a significant enhancement in basal, ATP-linked, maximal and reserve OCR in COX6B2-V5 expressing cells as compared to control **(Figure 2D)**. A similar trend is observed in an independent LUAD cell line, H2122, expressing COX6B2-V5 **(Figure 2E-F)**. The OCR increase is associated with an elevation in total ATP in both cell lines **(Figure 2G)**. In addition, we observed elevated NAD^+/NADH in COX6B2-V5 cells, indicative of an overall increase in electron transport activity **(Figure 2H)**. We did not observe a significant or reproducible alteration in extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) in either setting **(Figure 2I)**. We also studied whether COX6B2's somatic isoform, COX6B1, was sufficient to enhance OCR **(Figure 2J)**. COX6B1-V5 expression appears to have no effect or slightly decreases OCR for each parameter **(Figure 2K)**, consistent with prior biochemical studies where COX6B1 removal enhances the activity of complex IV (Weishaupt and Kadenbach 1992).

COX6B2 enhances complex IV activity and is incorporated into mitochondrial supercomplexes

To further characterize the impact of COX6B2 on complex IV, we directly assessed complex IV activity using a technique to pharmacologically isolate complex IV in permeabilized COX6B1-V5 or COX6B2-V5 cells (Salabei, Gibb, and Hill 2014; Divakaruni, Rogers, and Murphy 2014) **(Figure 3A)**. Here, we find that COX6B2-V5 overexpression confers a significant increase (~25%) in complex IV activity in both LUAD cell lines tested **(Figure 3B)**. In contrast, a minimal, statistically insignificant increase in activity was observed following COX6B1-V5 overexpression **(Figure 3C)**. The increase in complex IV activity did not correlate with any apparent increase in the

abundance of complex IV as judged by COXIV accumulation (**Figure 3- figure**

supplement 1A). This finding suggests that COX6B2 is a rate limiting subunit of

complex IV.

Recently, a number of reports have suggested that tissue specific complex IV

isoforms may promote supercomplex formation and selectively and specifically increase

ATP production in tumor cells (Maranzana et al. 2013; Ikeda et al. 2019).

Supercomplexes contain multiple electron transport sub-complexes containing complex

III and IV and are hypothesized to promote local channeling of substrates, trapping of

reactive intermediates and/or subunit stabilization (Acin-Perez et al. 2008). To test this

possibility for COX6B2, we used Blue-native PAGE (BN-PAGE) analysis to separate

electron transport chain complexes (monomers (IV) and dimers (IV$_2$) and

supercomplexes (III$_2$+IV and I+III$_2$+IV$_n$) in control and COX6B1/B2-V5 expressing cells.

Here, we observed that >90% of endogenous COX6B2 is found in in complex IV dimers

or incorporated into supercomplexes. In contrast, >98% COX6B1 is found in monomeric

complex IV **(Figure 3D-E; Figure 3-figure supplement 1B)**. This trend is maintained

when either isoform is overexpressed (>85% of COX6B2 is in complex IV in

dimers/supercomplexes versus 40% for COX6B1) **(Figure 3F)**.

We next tested the polymeric distribution of complex IV, using analysis of COXIV,

a core protein subunit of complex IV, following COX6B1-V5 or COX6B2-V5 expression.

Here, we found that upon expression of COX6B2-V5, but not COX6B1-V5, the

distribution of complex IV shifted from monomers to increased formation of

supercomplexes **(Figure 3G)**. Supercomplexes are hypothesized to enhance electron

transport activity without a compensatory increase in ROS (Maranzana et al. 2013).

Indeed, COX6B2 overexpressing cells do not display a concomitant induction of

superoxide **(Figure 3H)**. These data indicate that COX6B2 preferentially integrates into

dimeric complex IV and supercomplexes, and enhanced COX6B2 expression promotes

incorporation of complex IV into supercomplexes. These alterations in distribution are

proposed to enhance mitochondrial OXPHOS while limiting oxidative stress.

COX6B2 enhances cell proliferation and confers a growth advantage in low

oxygen

We next investigated whether the expression of COX6B2 is sufficient to enhance the

growth of LUAD cells. We observed an increase in DNA synthesis as measured by EdU

incorporation of 50.39 ± 6.35% in HCC515 and 38.7 ± 8.4% in H2122 **(Figure 4A; figure**

4-figure supplement 1A). Notably, an increase is not observed for COX6B1-V5

overexpression consistent with no significant change in OCR **(Figure 4A,** middle panel**;**

Figure 2K). In addition, we observed an enhanced population double rate of 37.16 ±

10.71% and 29.88 ± 6.74% for the HCC515 and H2122 cells, respectively **(Figure 4B** (-)

Pyruvate column; compare red and blue bars; **Figure 4-figure supplement 1B)**.

Mitochondrial OXPHOS has been linked to proliferation via regulation of the NAD^+/NADH

ratio, which is elevated in COX6B2-V5 overexpressing cells **(Figure 2H)** (Birsoy et al.

2015; Sullivan et al. 2015). Consistent with this model, supplementation with pyruvate, an

electron acceptor which drives NAD^+ production, largely diminishes the proliferative

effects of COX6B2-V5 overexpression **(Figure 4B)**. A similar proliferative advantage is

also observed when COX6B2-V5 cells are grown in the absence of extracellular matrix,

suggesting that COX6B2 promotes anchorage-independent growth **(Figure 4C)**.

Isoforms of complex IV subunits can be selectively expressed to permit adaptation to tissue-specific needs or environmental conditions (Sinkler et al. 2017). In particular, hypoxia is reported to regulate complex IV subunit expression and permit continued respiration in low oxygen (Ikeda et al. 2019; Hayashi et al. 2015; Fukuda et al. 2007). Therefore, we cultured control and COX6B2-V5 overexpressing cells in low (2.5%) O_2 for four days, monitoring EdU incorporation every 24 hours. Under these conditions, control cells reduce EdU incorporation by ~60%, while COX6B2-V5 expressing cells decrease incorporation by 15% **(Figure 4D; figure 4- figure supplement 1C)**. This data indicates that COX6B2 expression can enhance proliferation in both normoxia and low oxygen conditions. Given this phenotype, we examined whether COX6B2 is regulated in response to low oxygen. ChIP data from human breast cancer cells indicates that HIF-1 is bound near the COX6B2 promoter (Zhang et al. 2015). In addition, we also identified 11 putative HREs (A/G-CGTG) which are enriched at the HIF-1 peaks **(Figure 4-figure supplement 1D)**. Indeed, we observed a greater than two-fold increase in COX6B2 but not COX6B1 mRNA following 12 hours of 2.5% oxygen **(Figure 4E)**. This accumulation of mRNA was associated with stabilization of COX6B2 protein **(Figure 4F)**. Under both normoxic or hypoxic conditions, we did not observe any significant alteration in COX6B2 or COX6B1 accumulation when the alternative isoform was overexpressed, indicating that the changes in expression are not an isoform switch **(Figure 1-figure supplement 1B; Figure 4-figure supplement 1E)**. Collectively, these data suggest that the enhanced electron transport activity in COX6B2 expressing cells confers a selective advantage by promoting key tumorigenic behaviors including unrestrained proliferation, anchorage independent growth and enhanced survival in hypoxia.

Depletion of COX6B2 impairs mitochondrial electron transport chain function in LUAD cells

Given the pro-proliferative phenotype following COX6B2 expression, we next examined the consequences of its depletion on OXPHOS and tumor cell viability. Reduction of COX6B2 protein using shRNA pools (four independent sequences) or siRNA pools (two independent sequences), led to a decrease in basal, ATP-linked, maximal and reserve OCR in HCC515 and H2122 cell lines **(Figure 5A-B; figure 5-figure supplement 1A)**. We were unable to establish a stable clone of COX6B2 deleted cells by CRISPR/Cas9. However, COX6B2 directed CRISPR/Cas9 did generate a population with reduced COX6B2 protein expression **(Figure 5-figure supplement 1B)**. In agreement with the si and shCOX6B2 data, sgCOX6B2 cells also exhibit attenuated OCR **(Figure 5-figure supplement 1C)**. The reduction in OCR following COX6B2 depletion is accompanied by a reduction in the NAD$^+$/NADH ratio **(Figure 5C)**. In addition, total cellular ATP is decreased following siRNA-mediated COX6B2 depletion with two independent siRNA sequences across a panel of COX6B2-expressing LUAD cell lines **(Figure 5D)**. Consistent with a loss of electron transport chain function, depletion of COX6B2 resulted in decreased mitochondrial membrane potential **(Figure 5E)** and increased intracellular hydrogen peroxide **(Figure 5F)**. Collectively, these findings indicate that COX6B2 is essential for respiration and mitochondrial integrity in tumor cells.

Depletion of COX6B2 decreases cell survival and induces cell apoptosis and senescence

Based on the observed decrease in OCR, we asked whether tumor cell viability was

diminished following COX6B2 depletion in LUAD cells. Depletion of COX6B2 for nine

days, results in a decrease in EdU incorporation **(Figure 6A)**. Furthermore, these cells

are severely compromised in their ability to grow in the absence of an extracellular matrix

(Figure 6B). Both of these phenotypes were recapitulated in sgCOX6B2 cells (**Figure 6-**

figure supplement 1A-B). We next examined the underlying mechanism(s) leading to

the observed loss of viability. In our original screen, we found that siRNA-mediated

depletion of COX6B2 enhances cleavage of caspase 3/7, a phenotype that is rescued in

COX6B2-V5 overexpressing cells (**Figure 6C-figure supplement 1C)** (Maxfield et al.

2015). In cells where COX6B2 is depleted using a pool of shRNAs, with sequences

independent of the those in the siRNA pool, we observed cleavage of caspase-3 and

PARP **(Figure 6C)**. These cell death markers are also induced in sgCOX6B2 cells

(**Figure 6-figure supplement 1D)**. The induction of cell death proteins is accompanied

by an increase in Annexin-V staining, demonstrating activation of caspase-dependent

programmed cell death **(Figure 6D)**. Visual inspection of COX6B2 depleted cultures also

revealed a population of cells that are flattened, accumulate vacuoles, and in some cases,

are multi-nucleated, feature reminiscent of senescence **(Figure 6E)**. Staining of these

cells revealed an increase in β-galactosidase, suggesting induction of a cellular

senescence program **(Figure 6F)**. To determine the underlying trigger for the reduction

in survival, we attempted to rescue the death through multiple approaches.

Supplementing depleted cells with pyruvate and uridine as metabolic and nucleotide fuel

was insufficient to rescue the viability defects **(Figure 6-figure supplement 1E-F)**.

However, exposing cells to the ROS scavenger N-acetyl-l-cysteine (NAC) reduced the

extent of the cleaved caspase-3 and PARP and β-galactosidase staining **(Figure 6G-H).**

Thus, COX6B2 is essential for survival of LUAD tumor cells as its suppression reduces

ATP, compromises mitochondrial integrity thereby generating excess ROS that leads to

apoptosis or senescence.

COX6B2 is necessary and sufficient for tumor growth *in vivo*

We next sought to determine whether COX6B2 was necessary and/or sufficient for

tumor growth *in vivo*. We implanted control and COX6B2-V5 HCC515 cells into the flank

of FOXn1^{nu} (nude) mice. Importantly, tumors engrafted subcutaneously encounter a

highly hypoxic environment (~0.08 – 0.8% O_2) (Helmlinger et al. 1997). In agreement

with the cell line data, we observed enhanced growth of COX6B2-V5 expressing cells *in*

vivo **(Figure 7A)**. After 38 days, COX6B2-V5 tumors exhibit a 4X greater mass than

control **(Figure 7B)**. In the converse experiment, we xenografted control and

shCOX6B2 cells in the flank of immunocompromised mice. Complementing the gain of

function phenotype observed, we found that depletion of COX6B2 severely reduces

tumor growth and final tumor mass **(Figure 7C-D)**. Taken together, our findings indicate

that the anomalous expression of COX6B2 is both necessary and sufficient for growth

of LUAD tumors.

DISCUSSION

COX6B2 function has remained obscure in both tumor cells and sperm since its

discovery (Huttemann, Jaradat, and Grossman 2003). Our data indicate that COX6B2

enhances complex IV activity leading to an increase in mitochondrial oxidative

phosphorylation. The function of COX6B2 appears to differ from COX6B1, which did not

enhance OXPHOS when overexpressed, a finding consistent with previous biochemical

analyses that indicate removal of COX6B1 enhances complex IV activity (Weishaupt and

Kadenbach 1992). Genetic studies demonstrate that human mutations in COX6B1 reduce

assembly of complex IV and other ETC complexes. Based on this cumulative data, we

hypothesize that COX6B1 (and perhaps B2) are essential for assembly and regulation of

complex IV. In normal tissues, COX6B1 may regulate complex IV to prevent the

generation of excessive and unused energy and also damaging ROS. In sperm, where

ATP demand is high, COX6B2 expression could promote complex IV dimerization and

incorporation into supercomplexes to promote efficient OXPHOS and limit ROS

production that would otherwise damage DNA (Agarwal et al. 2014). This function may

be particularly important during early tumorigenesis, where a highly hypoxic environment

can lead to proliferative arrest and excessive ROS generation. We propose that tumor

cells that express and engage the COX6B2-based, sperm-specific mechanism can

overcome this innate barrier to transformation that would otherwise restrict cell survival.

One of the most surprising aspects of our study was the loss of tumor cell viability

following the depletion of COX6B2. As COX6B2 enhances OXPHOS, its loss would be

expected to reduce ATP levels and the NAD^+/NADH ratio and slow proliferation, but not

necessarily kill tumor cells. Tumor cell glycolytic pathways should theoretically

compensate for reduced energy generation. Moreover, the presence of COX6B1 should

be able to support complex IV function. Instead, we observe ROS production and an

exit from the cell cycle either to death or senescence. This finding implies that these

cells are highly dependent upon OXPHOS for survival. In addition, the loss of COX6B2

may promote apoptosis due to a collapse of mitochondrial membrane potential and

cytochrome c egress (Smaili et al. 2001; Tai et al. 2017).

The majority of tumor metabolism studies have focused on the contribution of the

Warburg effect and have led to the notion that OXPHOS is dispensable for many

transformed cells. However, a growing body of evidence indicates that OXPHOS is

essential for tumor cell division and survival. First, OXPHOS contributes to >80% of total

ATP production in tumor cells, which is essential for satisfying the demands of

proliferation and tumor progression (Rao et al. 2019; Lissanu Deribe et al. 2018; Zu and

Guppy 2004). Second, OXPHOS provides electron acceptors to generate metabolic

intermediates (e.g. aspartate) that are required for continued cell division (Sullivan et al.

2015). Third, tumor cells exhibit enhanced sensitivity to inhibitors of OXPHOS (Nayak et

al. 2018; Scotland et al. 2013). Fourth, isoform switching of complex IV subunits is

observed in tumors and is associated with aggressive malignant behaviors (Oliva et al.

2015; Zhang et al. 2016; Minton et al. 2016). Finally, OXPHOS is upregulated and

essential for survival during ER-stress, hypoxia and glucose limiting conditions, and

exposure to targeted therapies (Balsa et al. 2019; Ikeda et al. 2019; Fukuda et al. 2007;

Guieze et al. 2019). Based on these and a vast array of additional data, it is accepted that

inhibition of OXPHOS is a tractable therapeutic entry point for treating cancer (Weinberg

and Chandel 2015). Indeed, extensive studies with metformin have demonstrated anti-

proliferative activities and reduction of tumor incidence through the inhibition of Complex

I (Weinberg and Chandel 2015). However, this and other ETC inhibitors cannot

discriminate between tumor or normal cells, limiting their therapeutic window. We propose

that enhanced accumulation of COX6B2 is an acquired trait that is essential for OXPHOS

in LUAD and perhaps additional cancers. At minimum, elevated COX6B2 protein

expression is a functional enrollment biomarker for OXPHOS inhibitor based treatment.

Moreover, COX6B2 structural elements that are distinct from COX6B1 could represent

targets for small molecule inhibitors. Finally, due to expression in an immune privileged

site, CTAs have long been considered ideal targets for immunotherapy. However, no

objective criterion exists to prioritize them for immunotherapy. We propose that COX6B2

represents an ideal candidate for immunotherapy because it has a bona fide tumorigenic

function. Specifically, sequence differences between COX6B2 and COX6B1 could permit

antigen recognition by patient immune cells and thus act as targets of adoptive T-cell

transfer.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	**Designation**	**Source or reference**	**Identifiers**	**Additional information**
strain, strain background (*Mus musculus, female*)	Hsd:Athymic Nude-Foxn1^{nu}	Envigo		
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	HEK293T	ATCC	CRL-3216; RRID:CVCL_0063	
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	H1395	John Minna		UT Southwestern
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	H1373	John Minna		UT Southwestern
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	H2030	John Minna		UT Southwestern

cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	H2122	John Minna		UT Southwestern
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	H2126	John Minna		UT Southwestern
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	H1944	John Minna		UT Southwestern
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	HCC515	John Minna		UT Southwestern
cell line (*Homo sapiens*)	HCC1195	John Minna		UT Southwestern
antibody	anti-COX6B2 (Rabbit polyclonal)	MilliporeSigma	Cat# SAB1401983, RRID:AB_10609550	IB (1:1000) IF (1:50) IHC (1:150)
antibody	anti-COX6B1 (Mouse monoclonal)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-393233, RRID:AB_2814984	IB (1:1000)
antibody	anti-COXIV (Rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 4850, RRID:AB_2085424	IB (1:5000) IF (1:200)
antibody	anti-β-Actin (Mouse monoclonal)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-47778	IB (1:10,000)
antibody	anti-V5 (Mouse monoclonal)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	R960-25; RRID:AB_2556564	IB (1:5000)
antibody	anti-HIF-1α (Mouse monoclonal)	BD Biosciences	Cat# 610959, RRID:AB_398272	IB (1:1000)
antibody	anti-Cleaved Caspase-3 (Rabbit polyclonal)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 9661, RRID:AB_2341188	IB (1:500)

antibody	anti-PARP (Rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 9532, RRID:AB_659884	IB (1:1000)
antibody	anti-ERK (Mouse monoclonal)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-135900, RRID:AB_2141283	IB (1:3000)
antibody	anti-NDUFA9 (Mouse monoclonal)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 459100, RRID:AB_2532223	IB (1:1000)
antibody	anti-UQCRC2 (Mouse monoclonal)	Abcam	Cat# ab14745, RRID:AB_2213640	IB (1:1000)
antibody	Anti-Tom20 (Mouse monoclonal)	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-17764, RRID:AB_628381	IF (1:200)
commercial assay or kit	MitoTracker™ Green	Thermo Fisher Scientific	M7514	
commercial assay or kit	MitoSOX Red™	Thermo Fisher Scientific	M36008	
commercial assay or kit	MitoProbe™ JC-1	Thermo Fisher Scientific	M34152	
commercial assay or kit	Cell-Titer Glo®	Promega	PR-G7572	
commercial assay or kit	NAD⁺/NADH-Glo Assay™	Promega	G9071	
commercial assay or kit	Click-iT™ EdU Alexa Fluor 488 Imaging Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	C10037	

commercial assay or kit	Senescence Beta-Galactosidase Staining Kit	Cell Signaling Technology	9860	
commercial assay or kit	Colloidal Blue Staining Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific		
chemical compound, drug	oligomycin	MilliporeSigma	O4876	
chemical compound, drug	CCCP	MilliporeSigma	C2759	
chemical compound, drug	antimycin A	MilliporeSigma	A8674	
chemical compound, drug	Seahorse XF Plasma Membrane Permeabilize	Agilent	102504-100	
chemical compound, drug	NAC	MilliporeSigma	N7250	
chemical compound, drug	Saponin	MilliporeSigma	47036	
software, algorithm	FlowJo®	FlowJo®	RRID:SCR_008520	ver 10
software, algorithm	ImageJ (Fiji)	Schindelin et al. (2012)	RRID:SCR_002285	ver 2.0.0
software, algorithm	Integrative Genomics Viewer	Robinson et al. (2011)	RRID:SCR_011793	ver 2.3.93

Cell lines

All NSCLC cell lines were obtained from John Minna (UT Southwestern) between 2014

and 2018. NSCLC cells were cultured in RPMI media supplemented with 5% FBS at 37°C,

5% CO_2 and 90% humidity. NSCLC cells were not passaged more than 10 times after

thawing. Cells cultured under hypoxia followed the methods described previously (Wright

and Shay 2006), and each chamber attained oxygen concentration of ~2.5%. HEK293T

cells were obtained from ATCC in 2014 and cultured in DMEM media supplemented with

10% FBS at 37°C, 5% CO_2 and 90% humidity. HEK293T cells were not passaged more

than 3 times after thawing. All cells were authenticated between 2014 and 2020 using

short tandem repeat profiling and periodically evaluated for mycoplasma contamination

by DAPI stain for extra-nuclear DNA within one year of use.

Reagents

Chemicals and reagents were purchased from the following manufacturers: RPMI-1640

medium (R8758), DMEM (D6429), fetal bovine serum (FBS; F0926, batch# 17J121),

HBSS (H8264), EGTA (E3889), fatty acid free BSA (A7030), oligomycin (O4876), CCCP

(C2759), antimycin A (A8674), mannitol (M4125), KH_2PO_4 (P0662), $MgCl_2$ (M8266), ADP-

K^+ salt (A5285), ascorbate (A5960), *N,N,N',N'*-Tetramethyl-*p*-phenylenediamine

dihydrochloride (TMPD; 87890), sodium pyruvate solution (S8636), L-glutamine (G7513),

dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTBA; D8638), DAPI (D9542), saponin (47046),

(uridine (U3003), and *N*-Acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC, N7250) were purchased from

MilliporeSigma. HEPES (BP410), sucrose (50-712-768), EDTA (S311), Tris Base

(BP152), formaldehyde (BP521), and Hoechst 3342 (PI62249) were purchased from

Fisher Scientific. Seahorse XF Plasma Membrane Permeabilizer (XF PMP; 102504-100)

are from Agilent.

Normal lung lysate and human LUAD tissues

Human normal lung whole tissue lysate was purchased from Novus Biologicals (NB820-

59237). All human LUAD tissues were obtained from the UTSW Tissue Resource in

compliance with guidelines for informed consent approved by the UTSW Internal Review

Board committee. Samples were homogenized in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH7.4,

150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% Sodium Deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA,

10 mM NaF, 1μg ml^{-1} pepstatin, 2μg ml^{-1} leupeptin, 2μg ml^{-1} aprotinin and 50μg ml^{-1}

bestatin). The protein was recovered by centrifuge and quantitated by Pierce™ BCA

Protein Assay Kit (23227, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Kaplan-Meier analysis

Overall survival and time to first progression graphs were generated using kmplotter

(http://www.kmplot.com/lung) (Gyorffy et al. 2013). In NSCLC, probability of overall

survival (OS) was based on 1144 patients and time to first progression (FP) in 596

patients. In lung andenocarcinoma (LUAD), OS was based on 672 patients and FP on

443 patients. In lung squamous carcinoma (LUSC), OS was based on 271 patients and

FP on 141 patients. Hazard ratios and p-values were calculated by Cox Regression

Analysis.

TCGA data analysis

We used the UCSC Xena program (https://xenabrowser.net) to download RNA-Seq data from the cohort of TCGA Lung Cancer (LUNG). Values were analyzed using Prism Graphpad software (v 8.4.3).

Immunoblotting

SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting were performed as previously described (Maxfield et al. 2015). Antibodies used for immunoblotting were as follows: COX6B2 (1:1000, SAB1401983, MilliporeSigma), COX6B1 (1:1000, sc-393233, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), COXIV (1:5000, 4850, Cell Signaling Technology), β-Actin (1:10,000, sc-47778, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), V5 (1:5000, R960-25, Thermo Fisher Scientific), HIF-1α (1:1000, 610959, BD Biosciences), Cleaved Caspase-3 (1:500, 9661, Cell Signaling Technology), PARP (1:1000, 9532, Cell Signaling Technology), ERK (1:3000, sc-135900, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), NDUFA9 (1:1000, 459100, Thermo Fisher Scientific), UQCRC2 (1:1000, ab14745, Abcam). Proteins were quantitated by ImageJ software and normalized to CTRL groups. Incorporated COX6B1, COX6B2 or COXIV in individual complex (monomers (IV) and dimers (IV_2) and supercomplexes (III_2+IV and $I+III_2+IV_n$)) was normalized to total complexes (sum of IV, IV_2, III_2+IV and $I+III_2+IV_n$).

Immunofluorescence

Cells plated on glass coverslips were washed with PBS, fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature and blocked for 3 hours at room temperature in blocking buffer (5% BSA in PBS with 0.1% Saponin). Cells were incubated with primary antibodies

diluted with blocking buffer overnight at 4°C followed by washes and incubation with Alexa

Fluor®-conjugated secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 hour at room

temperature. ProLong™ Gold Antifade reagent with DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was

used to mount slips on glass slides and images were acquired by Zeiss LSM510 confocal

microscope or Leica DM5500 B upright microscope. Antibodies used: COX6B2 (1:50,

SAB1401983, MilliporeSigma), Tom20 (1:200, sc-17764, Santa Cruz Biotechnology),

COXIV (1:200, 4850, Cell Signaling Technology). Staining was quantitated using ImageJ

software.

Human lung tissue immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Non-malignant testis, lung and LUAD tissues were obtained with informed consent from

the UTSW Tissue Management Shared Resource (TMSR) in compliance with the UTSW

Internal Review Board committee. COX6B2 IHC was optimized and performed by the

TMSR according to internal protocols using the Dako Autostainer Link 48 system. Briefly,

the slides were baked for 20 minutes at 60°C, then deparaffinized and hydrated before

the antigen retrieval step. Heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed at low pH for 20

minutes in a Dako PT Link. The tissue was incubated with a peroxidase block and then

an antibody incubated (COX6B2; 1:150, SAB1401983, MilliporeSigma) for 35

minutes. The staining was visualized using the EnVision FLEX visualization system.

Staining scores of COX6B2 ranging from 0 to 3 were set based on the positive scores

quantitated by using IHC Profiler of ImageJ (Varghese et al. 2014): score 0 (<30), score

1(30-45), score 2 (45-60), and score 3 (>60). Figure 1G demonstrates a 0 for negative

staining whereas score 3 shows the highest staining intensity.

Expression plasmids

Human COX6B2 and COX6B1 were obtained in pDONR223 (DNASU) and cloned into

pLX302 and pLX304 respectively using the Gateway® Cloning system (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). psPAX2 and pMD2.G lentiviral constructs were purchased from Addgene.

For shRNA experiments, pLKO.1 vectors from TRC expressing COX6B2-targeted

shRNAs (TRCN0000046119-122; sequences: 5'-CCGGGAGCAGATCAAGAACGGGAT

TCTCGAGAATCCCGTTCTTGATCTGCTCTTTTTG-3', 5'-CCGGGATCCGTAACTGCT

ACCAGAACTCGAGTTCTGGTAGCAGTTACGGATCTTTTTG-3', 5'-CCGGCTACCAGA

ACTTCCTGGACTACTCGAGTAGTCCAGGAAGTTCTGGTAGTTTTTG-3', 5'-CCGGCC

AGCCAGAACCAGATCCGTACTCGAGTACGGATCTGGTTCTGGCTGGTTTTTG-

3') were used as shRNA pool. Nontargeting shRNA in pLKO.1 (shSCR) was used as a

control (Addgene). For sgRNA experiments, pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (PX458) was

purchased from Addgene.

Lentiviral transduction

Lentivirus was produced through co-transfection of HEK293T cells with 5 µg of viral

expression vector, 3 µg of psPAX2 packing vector, and 2 µg of pMD2.G envelope vector.

Virus-conditioned medium was harvested, passed through 0.45-µm-pore-size filters, and

then used to infect target cells in the presence of 10 µg/ml Sequa-brene™ (S2667,

MilliporeSigma).

Mitochondrial content and mass analysis

Mitochondrial DNA quantification was performed as described (de Almeida et al. 2017). To detect mitochondrial mass, cells were incubated with 50 nM MitoTracker™ Green (Fisher Scientific) at 37°C for 25 minutes and processed according to manufacturer's protocol. A minimum of 20,000 cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD LSRFortessa™ instrument and BD FACSDiva™ 6.2 software. Data were analyzed by FlowJo® (v10). The median fluorescent intensities in each group were used to calculate the fold change compared to the CTRL group.

Metabolic assays

The oxygen consumption rate (OCR) and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) were performed by using the Seahorse Xfe96 Extracellular Flux analyzer (Agilent). Cells were plated in the Xfe96 cell culture plates at a density of 10,000 cells (HCC515) or 5000 cells (H2122) in 80 μl of growth medium overnight to generate a confluent monolayer of cells. The assay medium consisted of 10mM glucose, 2mM glutamine, 1mM pyruvate in DMEM (D5030, MilliporeSigma). The reaction was monitored upon serial injections of oligomycin (5 μM), CCCP (1 μM), and antimycin A (2 μM). Results were normalized to total protein amount as determined by Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit. Non-mitochondrial respiration is subtracted from the presented data. COX6B2 depleted cells were assessed following eight days of shRNA or three days of siRNA. Complex IV activity of isolated mitochondria was directly assessed in permeabilized cells as described (Salabei, Gibb, and Hill 2014; Divakaruni, Rogers, and Murphy 2014), except that cells were permeabilized by XF PMP

(1nM). Complex III was inhibited with antimycin A (2μM) and then treated with complex

IV substrates (Ascorbate 10mM, TMPD 100μM) **(Figure 3A)**.

Measurement of ATP

Cell-Titer Glo® (Promega) (CTG) was performed by manufacture's protocol but modified

to use 15 μl of CTG for 100 μl of cells in media. Data were normalized to total protein

amount as determined by Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit. Luminescence was read using

a Pherastar® Plus plate reader (BMG Labtech).

NAD$^+$/NADH assay

Cells were plated into 96-well tissue culture plates (Corning), allowed to adhere overnight

and reach 80-90% confluency. Cells were processed according to the manufacturer's

protocols for NAD$^+$/NADH-Glo Assay™ (Promega) with modification as previously

described (Gui et al. 2016). NAD$^+$/NADH measured in COX6B2 depleted cells were

transduced with shRNA for 8 days.

Blue native PAGE (BN-PAGE)

Mitochondria isolation and BN-PAGE were performed as previously described (Jha,

Wang, and Auwerx 2016; Wittig, Braun, and Schagger 2006; Ikeda et al. 2013). The

protocol was modified in that mitochondrial fractions were collected in buffer containing

200 mM sucrose, 10 mM Tris and 1mM EGTA/Tris. Mitochondria (50 μg) were solubilized

in 20 μl buffer containing 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM Imidazole/HCl, 2 mM 6-Aminocaproic acid,

1mM EDTA, and digitonin (digitonin/protein ratio of 6g/g) for 30 minutes at 4°C.

Solubilized proteins were supplemented with Coomassie brilliant blue G-250

digitonin/Coomassie dye in a ratio of 4:1, separated on NativePAGE™ Bis-Tris gels (3-

12%, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and stained by Colloidal Blue (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

or immunoblotted. NativeMark™ Unstained Protein Standard (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

was used as a marker (Mourier et al. 2014).

Measurement of reactive oxygen species (ROS)

The Fluorescent probe MitoSOX Red™(ThermoFisher) was used to measure superoxide.

MitoSOX Red™ is a mitochondrial membrane dependent dye and therefore could not be

used in COX6B2 depleted cells as they lose mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi_m$). In

this case, Chloromethyldichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (CM-H2DCFDA, Fisher

Scientific), detecting H_2O_2, was used for total ROS analysis in cells 11 days of shRNA

silencing. For MitoSOX Red™ assay, 3 x 10^5 cells were stained by MitoSOX Red™ (5

μM; in HBSS) at 37°C for ten minutes. As a positive control, cells were treated with 50

μM antimycin A during staining. For H_2O_2 measurements, cells reaching approximately

80% confluency in 6-well dishes were stained by CM-H2DCFDA (5 μM; in HBSS) at 37°C

for 20 minutes, washed with HBSS, and then recovered in culture media at 37°C for 15

minutes. Cells were immediately analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD LSRFortessa™

instrument and BD FACSDiva™ 6.2 software. A minimum of 20,000 cells were analyzed

per condition. Data were analyzed by FlowJo® (v10). Forward scatter (FSC) and side

scatter (SSC) parameters were used to exclude cellular debris, dead cells, and doublets

to retain viable single cell events. The median fluorescent intensities in each group were

used to calculate the fold change compared to the CTRL group. CM-H2DCFDA had to be

used in COX6B2 depleted cells because MitoSOX Red™ is dependent upon

mitochondrial integrity, which is lost under these conditions (Zielonka and Kalyanaraman

2010).

EdU incorporation assays

Cells were exposed to ethynyl deoxyuridine (EdU) for 1 hour before fixing the cells in 4%

formaldehyde. Cells were stained using the protocol for Click-iT™ EdU Alexa Fluor 488

Imaging Kit (Thermo Fisher) and co-stained with Hoechst 3342. Cells were quantified

using fluorescence microscopy. EdU detected in COX6B2 depleted cells were transduced

with shRNA for nine days.

Proliferation measurements

Cell proliferation was measured as described (Gui et al. 2016). HCC515 and H2122 cells

were plated in replicates in 96-well plate with an initial seeding density of 1,200 cells and

700 cells respectively. The proliferation rate was calculated using the following formula:

Proliferation Rate (Doublings per day) = Log_2 (Final cell count (day 5)/Initial cell count

(day 1))/4 (days).

Soft-agar assays

Cells were seeded at a density of 50,000 cells (HCC515) or 5000 cells (H2122) per 12-

well plate and treated as previously described (Gallegos et al. 2019). After three weeks,

colonies were stained overnight with 0.01% crystal violet. Images were captured with a

dissecting microscope, and quantitated by ImageJ software.

Gene expression

RNA was isolated using a mammalian total RNA isolation kit (RTN350, Sigma) and treated with Dnase I (AMPD1, Sigma) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Equal microgram volumes of RNA were reverse transcribed for each experimental condition by the use of a High-Capacity cDNA reverse transfection kit (4368813, ThermoFisher Scientific) with oligo dT (12577-011, ThermoFisher Scientific). The resulting cDNA was used for expression analysis performed with an Applied Biosystems real-time PCR system with TaqMan® real-time PCR probes (Thermo Fisher). The TaqMan® qPCR probes were as follows: COX6B2 (Hs00376070_m1), COX6B1 (Hs01086739_g1). RPL27 (Hs03044961_g1) was used as an internal loading assay for all expression assays.

HIF-1 chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) dataset analysis

Raw reads were obtained from GSE59937 (Zhang et al. 2015) and aligned to the human genome (hg19) using Bowtie2 with the 'sensitive' parameters enabled. SAMtools was used to sort and index mapped read files, which were then converted to bigWig files (DeepTools) for visualization on the IGV genome browser (Robinson et al. 2011).

Transfections

siRNAs were reverse transfected with Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX (13778, Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions. siRNAs against COX6B2 (sense sequence: COX6B2#1: 5'-GGAACGAGCAGATCAAGAA-3'; COX6B2#2: 5'-GCCAGAACCAGATCCGTA A-3') were purchased from Dharmacon and Sigma

respectively. siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA Pool #2 was used as a negative control

(siCTRL). sgRNAs were forward transfected in 6-well dishes by using 6 μl of FuGENE®

HD (PRE2311, Fisher Scientific) with 3 μg of sgRNA. Guide sequences of COX6B2

sgRNA are as following:

oligo #1: 5'-CACCGCGGCGTCGAC CATTTCCCCTTGG-3',

oligo #2: 5'-CACCGCGTCGACCATTTCCCCTTGGGG-3',

oligo #3: 5'-CACCGACATCCAACATCCACGAAGGAGG-3'.

Measurement of mitochondrial membrane potential

Following six days of shRNA (3 x 10^5 cells), cells were collected and resuspended in

HBSS containing 0.2 μM JC-1 and incubated at 37°C in a CO_2 incubator for 25 minutes.

The stained cells were collected by centrifuge and washed twice with HBSS containing

1% FBS. Then cells were resuspended in 500 μl of 1% FBS HBSS buffer. Cells were

immediately analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD LSRFortessa™ instrument and BD

FACSDiva™ 6.2 software. A minimum of 20,000 cells were analyzed per condition.

FlowJo® (v10) was used to generate scatter plots.

Annexin-V staining

After 7 days of shRNA-mediated knockdown 1 x 10^5 cells were wash once in PBS and

resuspend in Annexin-V Binding Buffer (422201, BioLegend) with 3 μl of Annexin-V-APC

(640941, BioLegend) and DAPI (200 ng/ml), and incubated for 15 minutes at room

temperature in the dark. Cells were immediately analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD

LSRFortessa™ instrument and BD FACSDiva™ 6.2 software. A minimum of 20,000 cells

were analyzed per condition. FlowJo® (v10) was used to generate scatter plots. Early-

stage apoptotic cells are presented in the lower right quadrants (Annexin-V positive and

DAPI negative) and late-stage apoptotic cells are presented in the upper right quadrants

(Annexin-V positive and DAPI positive).

Senescence associated β-galactosidase activity

Cells were stained by using Senescence Beta-Galactosidase Staining Kit following 11

days of shRNA (9860, Cell Signaling Technology) according to the manufacture's protocol.

Cultures were examined under phase-contrast microscopy to determine the percentage

of positive cells with blue precipitate.

Xenograft experiments

All animal experiments were conducted under a UT-Southwestern IACUC approved

protocol. Hsd:Athymic Nude-Foxn1^{nu} female mice were purchased from Envigo. At six to

eight-weeks of age (23-25 grams) mice were randomly assigned to the indicated groups.

Sample size was determined by the formula: $n = 1+2C(s/d)^2$, in which C = 10.51, based

on a power of 90% and a confidence interval of 95%, S = standard deviation of tumor

volume (20%), D=tumor burden difference to be considered significant (50%). Therefore,

five mice per condition were tested. 2×10^6 cells in 100 µl PBS were subcutaneously

injected in the flank. Once tumors were visible, tumor volume was measured by caliper in

two dimensions, and volumes were estimated using the equation $V = \text{length} \times \text{width}^2/2$.

Caliper measurements were made twice a week.

Statistical analysis

Graphpad Prism (Graphpad Software) was used to perform all statistical analyses. Data were assessed for normality by Shapiro-Wilk tests. Unpaired Student's *t*-test was used for data with a normal distribution. Mann-Whitney test was used for data that did not conform to a normal distribution. *P* values less than or equal to 0.050 were considered significant. Biological replicates represent experiments performed on samples from separate biological preparations; technical replicates represent samples from the same biological preparation run in parallel.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Melanie Cobb for critical review of the manuscript and Jonathan Friedman for technical suggestions. AWW, CC, ZG and KM were supported by NIH (R01CA196905). AWW and JW were supported by SU2C (SU2C-AACR-IRG1211). Samples were obtained and stained with the help of the UTSW tissue management shared resource, which is supported by the Simmons Cancer Center Core grant from National Cancer Institute (P30CA142543).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. COX6B2 mRNA expression correlates with poor outcome in LUAD. (A-C) Kaplan-Meier curves for OS and FP in NSCLC (A) LUAD (B) and LUSC patients (C). **(D)** COX6B2 mRNA expression (RNA-seq RSEM, log2(norm count +1)) from TCGA Lung Cancer dataset. Bars represent median (normal: n = 110; tumor: n = 1017). *P* values

calculated by Mann-Whitney test. **(E-F)** Whole tissue homogenates of LUAD tumors (E)

and LUAD cell line lysates (F) were immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. Molecular

weight (MW) markers are indicated. **(G)** IHC staining of non-malignant testis (a positive

control), non-malignant lung (adjacent normal) and LUAD tissues. Scores ranged from 0

to 3. Scale bar, 50 μm. Bars represent mean $\pm$ SEM. *P* values calculated by Mann-

Whitney test. **(H)** Representative confocal images of endogenous COX6B2 in HCC515

cells. Tom20 is used as a mitochondrial marker. Images were shown as Z-stack maximum

projection from 0.3 μm-thick-image. Scale bar, 10 μm.

Figure 2. COX6B2 expression enhances mitochondrial respiratory activity. (A) Left:

Whole cell lysates from HCC515 cells +/- COX6B2-V5 were immunoblotted with indicated

antibodies. MW markers are indicated. Right: Quantitation of COX6B2 protein expression

from blots on left. Bars represent mean $\pm$ SD (n = 4). **(B)** Mitochondrial DNA content. Bars

represent mean + range (n = 2). **(C)** Quantification of total mitochondria using FACS

analysis of Mitotracker green stained cells. Bars represent mean $\pm$ SD (n = 3). **(D)** Left:

Oxygen consumption rate (OCR) as a function of time in indicated cell lines following

exposure to electron transport chain complex inhibitors. Bars represent mean $\pm$ SEM (n

= 6). Right: Mean and distribution of individual values for basal, ATP-linked, maximal and

reserve OCRs. *P* values calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(E)** Left: Whole cell lysates from

H2122 cells +/- COX6B2-V5 were immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. MW markers

are indicated. Right: Quantitation of COX6B2 protein expression. Bars represent mean $\pm$

SD (n = 3). **(F)** As in (D) (n = 8). **(G)** ATP content of indicated cell lines. Bars represent

mean + SEM (n $\geq$ 6). HCC515 *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test and H2122 *P* value

calculated by Mann-Whitney test. **(H)** NAD$^+$/NADH measurement in indicated cell lines.

Bars represent mean ± SEM (n ≥ 5). *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(I)** Basal

extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) are presented as mean ± SEM (n ≥ 6) from CTRL

and COX6B2-V5 cells of HCC515 and H2122 cells. *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test.

(J) Immunoblots of indicated whole cell lysates with specified antibodies. MW markers

are indicated. **(K)** As in (D) using indicated cell lines. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n ≥

9). Basal and ATP-link OCR *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test. Maximal OCR and

reserve capacity *P* value calculated by Mann-Whitney test.

Figure 3. COX6B2 enhances complex IV activity of OXPHOS without increasing

ROS production. (A) Schematic of complex IV activity measurements in isolated

mitochondria. Details are described in Material and Methods. antA, Antimycin A; Cyt c,

Cytochrome c; ATP Syn, ATP synthase. **(B)** Complex IV activity in indicated cell lines

using TMPD/ascorbate as a substrate. Bars represent mean + SEM (n ≥ 8). *P* value

calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(C)** As in (B) in HCC515 cell lines expressing CTRL and

COX6B1-V5 cDNA. Bars represent mean + SEM (n = 8). *P* value calculated by Student's

t-test. **(D)** Indicated lysates from HCC515 cells were run on a BN-PAGE gel and stained

with Colloidal Blue (left two panels) or immunoblotted with anti-COX6B2, anti-COX6B1

and anti-COXIV (right panels). Representative image of n ≥ 3. MW markers are indicated

to identify different complexes (Mourier et al. 2014). **(E)** Left: Distribution of COX6B1 and

COX6B2 incorporated in complex IV monomers, dimers or supercomplexes as detected

by BN-PAGE in (D). Right: Bars represent mean ± SEM (n ≥ 3) based on quantification

of bands in (D). In monomeric complex IV, *P* value calculated by Mann-Whitney test

whereas others calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(F)** Left: Distribution of COX6B1/COX6B2

incorporated in monomeric complex IV, dimeric complex IV and supercomplexes based

on BN-PAGE in COX6B2-V5 or COX6B1-V5 overexpressing cell lines (D). Right: Bars

represent mean ± SEM (n ≥ 3) based on quantification of bands in (D). In monomeric

complex IV, *P* value calculated by Mann-Whitney test whereas others calculated by

Student's *t*-test. **(G)** Left: Distribution of COXIV incorporated in monomeric complex IV,

dimeric complex IV and supercomplexes by blots from (D)**.** Right: Bars represent mean ±

SEM (n ≥ 3) of COXIV based on quantification of band in (D). *P* value calculated by

Student's *t*-test. **(H)** Relative superoxide in indicated cell lines. Bars represent mean +

SEM (n = 5). *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test.

Figure 4. COX6B2 promotes cell division. (A) Percentage of cells positive for EdU

incorporation in indicated samples. Values represent the mean ± SEM (n ≥ 9). *P* value

calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(B)** Population doubling rate in indicated samples incubated

± 1mM pyruvate for five days. Bars represent mean + SEM (n = 4). *P* value calculated

by Student's *t*-test. **(C)** Top: Representative images of soft agar assays for indicated cell

lines. Arrows indicate formed colonies. Bottom: Graphs represent mean colony numbers

in indicated cell lines. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). *P* value calculated by Student's

t-test. **(D)** EdU positive HCC515 cells at indicated times in hypoxia. Values are relative to

cells incubated in normoxia. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 8). *P* value calculated by

Student's *t*-test. **(E)** Average mRNA expression of COX6B2 measured following 12 hours

of hypoxia culture in HCC515 cells. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). *P* calculated by

Student's *t*-test. **(F)** Whole cell lysates from indicated cell lines were immunoblotted with

indicated antibodies. Hypoxia exposure was for 12 hours. Representative immunoblots

from n = 3. MW markers are indicated.

Figure 5. Depletion of COX6B2 impairs mitochondrial function. (A-B) Left: Oxygen

consumption rate (OCR) as a function of time in COX6B2 depleted HCC515 cells using

an shRNA pool (A) or an siRNA pool (B) following exposure to electron transport chain

complex inhibitors. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n ≥ 4). Right: Bars represent mean ±

SEM 20 minutes following the addition of each drug (on left). *P* values calculated by

Student's *t*-test. **(C)** Relative NAD^+/NADH measurement in indicated cell lines. Bars

represent mean + SEM (n = 4). *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(D)** Relative ATP

content in indicated cell lines transfected with indicated individual siRNAs for 96 hours.

Bars represent mean + SEM (n = 6), **** *P* < 0.0001; *** *P* < 0.005 calculated by Student's

t-test. **(E)** Left panels: Flow cytometry measurement following JC-1 labelling of indicated

HCC515 cells. Right graph: Bars represent mean of the aggregate to monomer ratio

(red/green) in indicated cell lines + SEM (n = 3). *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test.

(F) Indicated cell lines were labelled with CM-H2DCFDA to measure H_2O_2 as described

in material and methods. Bars represent mean fold change in median fluorescent

intensities ± SEM (n = 4). *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test.

Figure 6. COX6B2 is essential for tumor cell viability and survival. (A) Left:

Representative images of EdU staining in indicated cell lines. (EdU, green; Hoechst, blue).

Scale bar, 100 μm. Right: Quantitation of EdU-positive cells. Bars represent the mean ±

SEM (n ≥ 12). *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(B)** Left: Representative images of

colonies in soft agar. Arrows indicate formed colonies. Right: Bars represent relative

mean colony numbers ± SEM (n = 6). *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(C)**

Representative immunoblots of whole cell lysates of indicated cells blotted with indicated

antibodies. MW markers are indicated. **(D)** Left: Representative scatter plots of Annexin

V staining in indicated cell lines. Bars represent mean fold change + SEM (n = 6). *P*

calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(E)** Representative phase contrast images of indicated cell

lines. Arrowheads indicate the flattened senescence morphology with vacuole

accumulation and multinucleation. Scale bar, 100 μm. **(F)** Representative images of

senescence-associated- β-galactosidase staining in indicated cells. Scale bar, 100 μm.

Bars represent mean ± SEM (n ≥ 8). *P* calculated by Student's *t*-test. **(G)** Whole cell

lysates from indicated cell lines were cultured with or without NAC (5mM) for seven days

were immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. MW markers are indicated. **(H)**

Representative images of senescence-associated- β-galactosidase staining in indicated

cell lines cultured with or without NAC (5mM) for all 11 days. Scale bar, 100 μm. Bars

represent mean ± SEM (n = 9). *P* calculated by Student's *t*-test.

Figure 7. COX6B2 supports tumor growth *in vivo*. (A and C) Xenograft experiments

in indicated cell lines. Tumor volumes were measured using calipers on indicated days.

Each data point represents mean ± SEM (n = 5). *P* value calculated by Student's *t*-test.

(B and D) Left: Images of individual tumors (ruler indicates cm). Right: Mass of excised

tumors from indicated cell lines. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 5). *P* value calculated

by Student's *t*-test.

REFERENCES

Abdulhag, U. N., D. Soiferman, O. Schueler-Furman, C. Miller, A. Shaag, O. Elpeleg, S.
Edvardson, and A. Saada. 2015. 'Mitochondrial complex IV deficiency, caused by
mutated COX6B1, is associated with encephalomyopathy, hydrocephalus and
cardiomyopathy', *Eur J Hum Genet*, 23: 159-64.
Acin-Perez, R., P. Fernandez-Silva, M. L. Peleato, A. Perez-Martos, and J. A. Enriquez. 2008.
'Respiratory active mitochondrial supercomplexes', *Mol Cell*, 32: 529-39.
Agarwal, A., G. Virk, C. Ong, and S. S. du Plessis. 2014. 'Effect of oxidative stress on male
reproduction', *World J Mens Health*, 32: 1-17.
Balsa, E., M. S. Soustek, A. Thomas, S. Cogliati, C. Garcia-Poyatos, E. Martin-Garcia, M.
Jedrychowski, S. P. Gygi, J. A. Enriquez, and P. Puigserver. 2019. 'ER and Nutrient
Stress Promote Assembly of Respiratory Chain Supercomplexes through the PERK-
eIF2alpha Axis', *Mol Cell*, 74: 877-90 e6.
Birsoy, K., T. Wang, W. W. Chen, E. Freinkman, M. Abu-Remaileh, and D. M. Sabatini. 2015.
'An Essential Role of the Mitochondrial Electron Transport Chain in Cell Proliferation Is
to Enable Aspartate Synthesis', *Cell*, 162: 540-51.
de Almeida, M. J., L. L. Luchsinger, D. J. Corrigan, L. J. Williams, and H. W. Snoeck. 2017.
'Dye-Independent Methods Reveal Elevated Mitochondrial Mass in Hematopoietic Stem
Cells', *Cell Stem Cell*, 21: 725-29 e4.
Divakaruni, A. S., G. W. Rogers, and A. N. Murphy. 2014. 'Measuring Mitochondrial Function in
Permeabilized Cells Using the Seahorse XF Analyzer or a Clark-Type Oxygen
Electrode', *Curr Protoc Toxicol*, 60: 25 2 1-16.
Fukuda, R., H. Zhang, J. W. Kim, L. Shimoda, C. V. Dang, and G. L. Semenza. 2007. 'HIF-1
regulates cytochrome oxidase subunits to optimize efficiency of respiration in hypoxic
cells', *Cell*, 129: 111-22.
Gallegos, Z. R., P. Taus, Z. A. Gibbs, K. McGlynn, N. C. Gomez, I. Davis, and A. W. Whitehurst.
2019. 'EWSR1-FLI1 Activation of the Cancer/Testis Antigen FATE1 Promotes Ewing
Sarcoma Survival', *Mol Cell Biol*, 39.
Gibbs, Z. A., and A. W. Whitehurst. 2018. 'Emerging Contributions of Cancer/Testis Antigens to
Neoplastic Behaviors', *Trends Cancer*, 4: 701-12.
Gjerstorff, M. F., M. H. Andersen, and H. J. Ditzel. 2015. 'Oncogenic cancer/testis antigens:
prime candidates for immunotherapy', *Oncotarget*, 6: 15772-87.
Gui, D. Y., L. B. Sullivan, A. Luengo, A. M. Hosios, L. N. Bush, N. Gitego, S. M. Davidson, E.
Freinkman, C. J. Thomas, and M. G. Vander Heiden. 2016. 'Environment Dictates
Dependence on Mitochondrial Complex I for NAD+ and Aspartate Production and
Determines Cancer Cell Sensitivity to Metformin', *Cell Metab*, 24: 716-27.
Guieze, R., V. M. Liu, D. Rosebrock, A. A. Jourdain, M. Hernandez-Sanchez, A. Martinez Zurita,
J. Sun, E. Ten Hacken, K. Baranowski, P. A. Thompson, J. M. Heo, Z. Cartun, O. Aygun,
J. B. Iorgulescu, W. Zhang, G. Notarangelo, D. Livitz, S. Li, M. S. Davids, A. Biran, S. M.
Fernandes, J. R. Brown, A. Lako, Z. B. Ciantra, M. A. Lawlor, D. B. Keskin, N. D.
Udeshi, W. G. Wierda, K. J. Livak, A. G. Letai, D. Neuberg, J. W. Harper, S. A. Carr, F.
Piccioni, C. J. Ott, I. Leshchiner, C. M. Johannessen, J. Doench, V. K. Mootha, G. Getz,
and C. J. Wu. 2019. 'Mitochondrial Reprogramming Underlies Resistance to BCL-2
Inhibition in Lymphoid Malignancies', *Cancer Cell*, 36: 369-84 e13.
Gyorffy, B., P. Surowiak, J. Budczies, and A. Lanczky. 2013. 'Online survival analysis software
to assess the prognostic value of biomarkers using transcriptomic data in non-small-cell
lung cancer', *PLoS One*, 8: e82241.
Hayashi, T., Y. Asano, Y. Shintani, H. Aoyama, H. Kioka, O. Tsukamoto, M. Hikita, K.
Shinzawa-Itoh, K. Takafuji, S. Higo, H. Kato, S. Yamazaki, K. Matsuoka, A. Nakano, H.
Asanuma, M. Asakura, T. Minamino, Y. Goto, T. Ogura, M. Kitakaze, I. Komuro, Y.

Sakata, T. Tsukihara, S. Yoshikawa, and S. Takashima. 2015. 'Higd1a is a positive
regulator of cytochrome c oxidase', *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 112: 1553-8.
Helmlinger, G., F. Yuan, M. Dellian, and R. K. Jain. 1997. 'Interstitial pH and pO2 gradients in
solid tumors in vivo: high-resolution measurements reveal a lack of correlation', *Nat Med*,
3: 177-82.
Hunder, N. N., H. Wallen, J. Cao, D. W. Hendricks, J. Z. Reilly, R. Rodmyre, A. Jungbluth, S.
Gnjatic, J. A. Thompson, and C. Yee. 2008. 'Treatment of metastatic melanoma with
autologous CD4+ T cells against NY-ESO-1', *N Engl J Med*, 358: 2698-703.
Huttemann, M., S. Jaradat, and L. I. Grossman. 2003. 'Cytochrome c oxidase of mammals
contains a testes-specific isoform of subunit VIb--the counterpart to testes-specific
cytochrome c?', *Mol Reprod Dev*, 66: 8-16.
Ikeda, K., K. Horie-Inoue, T. Suzuki, R. Hobo, N. Nakasato, S. Takeda, and S. Inoue. 2019.
'Mitochondrial supercomplex assembly promotes breast and endometrial tumorigenesis
by metabolic alterations and enhanced hypoxia tolerance', *Nat Commun*, 10: 4108.
Ikeda, K., S. Shiba, K. Horie-Inoue, K. Shimokata, and S. Inoue. 2013. 'A stabilizing factor for
mitochondrial respiratory supercomplex assembly regulates energy metabolism in
muscle', *Nat Commun*, 4: 2147.
Jha, P., X. Wang, and J. Auwerx. 2016. 'Analysis of Mitochondrial Respiratory Chain
Supercomplexes Using Blue Native Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (BN-PAGE)',
Curr Protoc Mouse Biol, 6: 1-14.
Kadenbach, B., and M. Huttemann. 2015. 'The subunit composition and function of mammalian
cytochrome c oxidase', *Mitochondrion*, 24: 64-76.
Krisfalusi, M., K. Miki, P. L. Magyar, and D. A. O'Brien. 2006. 'Multiple glycolytic enzymes are
tightly bound to the fibrous sheath of mouse spermatozoa', *Biol Reprod*, 75: 270-8.
Li, S. S., D. A. O'Brien, E. W. Hou, J. Versola, D. L. Rockett, and E. M. Eddy. 1989. 'Differential
activity and synthesis of lactate dehydrogenase isozymes A (muscle), B (heart), and C
(testis) in mouse spermatogenic cells', *Biol Reprod*, 40: 173-80.
Lissanu Deribe, Y., Y. Sun, C. Terranova, F. Khan, J. Martinez-Ledesma, J. Gay, G. Gao, R. A.
Mullinax, T. Khor, N. Feng, Y. H. Lin, C. C. Wu, C. Reyes, Q. Peng, F. Robinson, A.
Inoue, V. Kochat, C. G. Liu, J. M. Asara, C. Moran, F. Muller, J. Wang, B. Fang, V.
Papadimitrakopoulou, Wistuba, II, K. Rai, J. Marszalek, and P. A. Futreal. 2018.
'Mutations in the SWI/SNF complex induce a targetable dependence on oxidative
phosphorylation in lung cancer', *Nat Med*, 24: 1047-57.
Maranzana, E., G. Barbero, A. I. Falasca, G. Lenaz, and M. L. Genova. 2013. 'Mitochondrial
respiratory supercomplex association limits production of reactive oxygen species from
complex I', *Antioxid Redox Signal*, 19: 1469-80.
Maxfield, K. E., P. J. Taus, K. Corcoran, J. Wooten, J. Macion, Y. Zhou, M. Borromeo, R. K.
Kollipara, J. Yan, Y. Xie, X. J. Xie, and A. W. Whitehurst. 2015. 'Comprehensive
functional characterization of cancer-testis antigens defines obligate participation in
multiple hallmarks of cancer', *Nat Commun*, 6: 8840.
Minton, D. R., L. Fu, N. P. Mongan, M. M. Shevchuk, D. M. Nanus, and L. J. Gudas. 2016. 'Role
of NADH Dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone) 1 Alpha Subcomplex 4-Like 2 in Clear Cell Renal
Cell Carcinoma', *Clin Cancer Res*, 22: 2791-801.
Mourier, A., S. Matic, B. Ruzzenente, N. G. Larsson, and D. Milenkovic. 2014. 'The respiratory
chain supercomplex organization is independent of COX7a2l isoforms', *Cell Metab*, 20:
1069-75.
Nayak, A. P., A. Kapur, L. Barroilhet, and M. S. Patankar. 2018. 'Oxidative Phosphorylation: A
Target for Novel Therapeutic Strategies Against Ovarian Cancer', *Cancers (Basel)*, 10.
Nichols, B. A., N. W. Oswald, E. A. McMillan, K. McGlynn, J. Yan, M. S. Kim, J. Saha, P. L.
Mallipeddi, S. A. LaDuke, P. A. Villalobos, J. Rodriguez-Canales, Wistuba, II, B. A.
Posner, A. J. Davis, J. D. Minna, J. B. MacMillan, and A. W. Whitehurst. 2018.

878   'HORMAD1 Is a Negative Prognostic Indicator in Lung Adenocarcinoma and Specifies
879    Resistance to Oxidative and Genotoxic Stress', *Cancer Res*, 78: 6196-208.
880 Odet, F., C. Duan, W. D. Willis, E. H. Goulding, A. Kung, E. M. Eddy, and E. Goldberg. 2008.
881    'Expression of the gene for mouse lactate dehydrogenase C (Ldhc) is required for male
882    fertility', *Biol Reprod*, 79: 26-34.
883 Oliva, C. R., T. Markert, G. Y. Gillespie, and C. E. Griguer. 2015. 'Nuclear-encoded cytochrome
884    c oxidase subunit 4 regulates BMI1 expression and determines proliferative capacity of
885    high-grade gliomas', *Oncotarget*, 6: 4330-44.
886 Rao, S., L. Mondragon, B. Pranjic, T. Hanada, G. Stoll, T. Kocher, P. Zhang, A. Jais, A. Lercher,
887    A. Bergthaler, D. Schramek, K. Haigh, V. Sica, M. Leduc, N. Modjtahedi, T. P. Pai, M.
888    Onji, I. Uribesalgo, R. Hanada, I. Kozieradzki, R. Koglgruber, S. J. Cronin, Z. She, F.
889    Quehenberger, H. Popper, L. Kenner, J. J. Haigh, O. Kepp, M. Rak, K. Cai, G. Kroemer,
890    and J. M. Penninger. 2019. 'AIF-regulated oxidative phosphorylation supports lung
891    cancer development', *Cell Res*, 29: 579-91.
892 Robinson, J. T., H. Thorvaldsdottir, W. Winckler, M. Guttman, E. S. Lander, G. Getz, and J. P.
893    Mesirov. 2011. 'Integrative genomics viewer', *Nat Biotechnol*, 29: 24-6.
894 Salabei, J. K., A. A. Gibb, and B. G. Hill. 2014. 'Comprehensive measurement of respiratory
895    activity in permeabilized cells using extracellular flux analysis', *Nat Protoc*, 9: 421-38.
896 Sampson, V., and T. Alleyne. 2001. 'Cytochrome c/cytochrome c oxidase interaction. Direct
897    structural evidence for conformational changes during enzyme turnover', *Eur J Biochem*,
898    268: 6534-44.
899 Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C.
900    Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J. Y. Tinevez, D. J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P.
901    Tomancak, and A. Cardona. 2012. 'Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image
902    analysis', *Nat Methods*, 9: 676-82.
903 Scotland, S., E. Saland, N. Skuli, F. de Toni, H. Boutzen, E. Micklow, I. Senegas, R. Peyraud, L.
904    Peyriga, F. Theodoro, E. Dumon, Y. Martineau, G. Danet-Desnoyers, F. Bono, C.
905    Rocher, T. Levade, S. Manenti, C. Junot, J. C. Portais, N. Alet, C. Recher, M. A. Selak,
906    M. Carroll, and J. E. Sarry. 2013. 'Mitochondrial energetic and AKT status mediate
907    metabolic effects and apoptosis of metformin in human leukemic cells', *Leukemia*, 27:
908    2129-38.
909 Sinkler, C. A., H. Kalpage, J. Shay, I. Lee, M. H. Malek, L. I. Grossman, and M. Huttemann.
910    2017. 'Tissue- and Condition-Specific Isoforms of Mammalian Cytochrome c Oxidase
911    Subunits: From Function to Human Disease', *Oxid Med Cell Longev*, 2017: 1534056.
912 Smaili, S. S., Y. T. Hsu, K. M. Sanders, J. T. Russell, and R. J. Youle. 2001. 'Bax translocation
913    to mitochondria subsequent to a rapid loss of mitochondrial membrane potential', *Cell
914    Death Differ*, 8: 909-20.
915 Sullivan, L. B., D. Y. Gui, A. M. Hosios, L. N. Bush, E. Freinkman, and M. G. Vander Heiden.
916    2015. 'Supporting Aspartate Biosynthesis Is an Essential Function of Respiration in
917    Proliferating Cells', *Cell*, 162: 552-63.
918 Tai, H., Z. Wang, H. Gong, X. Han, J. Zhou, X. Wang, X. Wei, Y. Ding, N. Huang, J. Qin, J.
919    Zhang, S. Wang, F. Gao, Z. M. Chrzanowska-Lightowlers, R. Xiang, and H. Xiao. 2017.
920    'Autophagy impairment with lysosomal and mitochondrial dysfunction is an important
921    characteristic of oxidative stress-induced senescence', *Autophagy*, 13: 99-113.
922 Tsukihara, T., H. Aoyama, E. Yamashita, T. Tomizaki, H. Yamaguchi, K. Shinzawa-Itoh, R.
923    Nakashima, R. Yaono, and S. Yoshikawa. 1996. 'The whole structure of the 13-subunit
924    oxidized cytochrome c oxidase at 2.8 A', *Science*, 272: 1136-44.
925 Varghese, F., A. B. Bukhari, R. Malhotra, and A. De. 2014. 'IHC Profiler: an open source plugin
926    for the quantitative evaluation and automated scoring of immunohistochemistry images
927    of human tissue samples', *PLoS One*, 9: e96801.

Viphakone, N., M. G. Cumberbatch, M. J. Livingstone, P. R. Heath, M. J. Dickman, J. W. Catto,
and S. A. Wilson. 2015. 'Luzp4 defines a new mRNA export pathway in cancer cells',
Nucleic Acids Res, 43: 2353-66.
Weinberg, S. E., and N. S. Chandel. 2015. 'Targeting mitochondria metabolism for cancer
therapy', *Nat Chem Biol*, 11: 9-15.
Weishaupt, A., and B. Kadenbach. 1992. 'Selective removal of subunit VIb increases the activity
of cytochrome c oxidase', *Biochemistry*, 31: 11477-81.
Wittig, I., H. P. Braun, and H. Schagger. 2006. 'Blue native PAGE', *Nat Protoc*, 1: 418-28.
Wright, W. E., and J. W. Shay. 2006. 'Inexpensive low-oxygen incubators', *Nat Protoc*, 1: 2088-
90.
Zhang, J., C. Wang, X. Chen, M. Takada, C. Fan, X. Zheng, H. Wen, Y. Liu, C. Wang, R. G.
Pestell, K. M. Aird, W. G. Kaelin, Jr., X. S. Liu, and Q. Zhang. 2015. 'EglN2 associates
with the NRF1-PGC1alpha complex and controls mitochondrial function in breast
cancer', *EMBO J*, 34: 2953-70.
Zhang, K., G. Wang, X. Zhang, P. P. Huttemann, Y. Qiu, J. Liu, A. Mitchell, I. Lee, C. Zhang, J.
S. Lee, P. Pecina, G. Wu, Z. Q. Yang, M. Huttemann, and L. I. Grossman. 2016.
'COX7AR is a Stress-inducible Mitochondrial COX Subunit that Promotes Breast Cancer
Malignancy', *Sci Rep*, 6: 31742.
Zielonka, J., and B. Kalyanaraman. 2010. 'Hydroethidine- and MitoSOX-derived red
fluorescence is not a reliable indicator of intracellular superoxide formation: another
inconvenient truth', *Free Radic Biol Med*, 48: 983-1001.
Zu, X. L., and M. Guppy. 2004. 'Cancer metabolism: facts, fantasy, and fiction', *Biochem
Biophys Res Commun*, 313: 459-65.
951