1   **Vegetation and Microbes Interact to Preserve Organic Matter in Wooded Peatlands**

2   Hongjun Wang[1#], Jianqing Tian[2,1#], Huai Chen[3], Mengchi Ho[1], Rytas Vilgalys[4], Xingzhong Liu[2],

3   Curtis J. Richardson[1]

[1]Duke University Wetland Center, Nicholas School of the Environment, Box 90333, Duke

University, Durham, NC 27708, USA.

[2]State Key Laboratory of Mycology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Beijing 100101, China.

[3]Key Laboratory of Mountain Ecological Restoration and Bioresource Utilization and Ecological

Restoration Biodiversity Conservation Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Chengdu Institute

of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, China.

[4]Department of Biology, Box 90338, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA.

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Peatlands have persisted over millennia as massive carbon sinks even during past periods of climate change. The commonly accepted theory of abiotic controls (mainly anoxia and low temperature) over carbon decomposition cannot explain how vast low-latitude wooded peatlands consistently accrete peat under warm and seasonally unsaturated conditions. Similarly, that theory cannot accurately project the decomposition rate in boreal peatlands where warming and drought have decreased *Sphagnum* and increased shrub expansion. Here, by comparing composition and ecological traits of microbes between *Sphagnum-* and shrub-dominated peatlands, we present a previously unrecognized natural course that curbs carbon loss against climate change. Slow-growing microbes decisively dominate the wooded peatlands, concomitant with plant-induced high recalcitrant carbon and phenolics. The slow-growing microbes metabolize organic matter inherently slowly. However, the fast-growing microbes that dominate in our *Sphagnum* site and most boreal peatlands decomposed labile carbon >30 times faster than the slow-growing microbes. We show that the high-phenolic shrub/tree induced shifts in microbial composition may compensate for positive effects of temperature and/or drought on metabolism in peatlands. This biotic carbon-preservation mechanism may help better project long-term climate–carbon feedbacks in peatlands.

Peatlands cover only 3% of land surface but currently maintain 600−700 Gt of carbon (1 Gt = 10^{15} g), which exceeds global vegetation carbon stores and is close to the pool of atmospheric CO_2[1,2]. Hence, both the fate of the massive carbon stores in peat and the way peatlands, particularly their carbon-sequestration/release processes, respond to climate change are highly important to future climates. Generally, rates of carbon decomposition via soil microbial respiration increase exponentially with rising temperature in the short term[3]. Climate warming

and drought not only increase peat loss

by accelerating decomposition but also

cause substantial losses of the keystone

mosses like *Sphagnum* in the vast boreal

peatlands, followed by shrub expansion

and its uncertain effects[4,5]. Such

cascading events could invoke a

catastrophic positive feedback to global

warming[6-8]. However, long-term

warming experiments in grasslands[9,10]

and studies spanning a wide range of

Fig. 1 | Mean soil respiration from boreal to tropical peatlands. Data were compiled from 31 published studies that contain annual mean soil respirations observed in >150 sites globally (Supplementary Data 1).

mean annual temperature (MAP) globally [11,12] show declining microbial metabolism over time

under experimental warming or in warmer regions. By now most experimental studies in

peatlands have lasted only for months to decades, and such time scales are deemed too short to

detect long-term (>100 years) effects of climate change on millennial peatlands that may have

complex evolutions/successions during past climatic fluctuations[13]. High-resolution stratigraphic

analyses on peat profiles across boreal areas documented that vegetation composition and net

primary productivity played key roles in carbon accumulation during the last millennium[13,14]. We

compiled soil respiration data from >150 peatland sites across latitudes between 2°S and 75°N

(Supplementary Data 1) to test whether the dependence of decomposition on temperature applies

to a wide range of mean annual temperature (MAT) in peatlands. As both heterotrophic and

autotrophic respirations were included here and plants with higher biomass in the tropical

regions beget higher autotrophic respiration[15], we expected to see an apparent exponential rise in

soil respiration along with increasing MAT. However, we found the idea unsustainable (Fig. 1).

The paleontological evidence[13,14] and our large-scale soil respiration analysis challenge the

current abiotic-factor-dependent peat decay models (mainly temperature and water level) that is

embedded into the Earth System Models to project climate-carbon feedback[6,8]. This

disagreement, we assume, could result from the latent role of changing plant communities and

their associated ecological and biogeochemical processes—a commonly occurring state shift in

peatlands induced by persistent climate change[13]. Changes in plant communities among mosses,

sedges, shrubs and trees may bring forth substantial top–down and bottom–up regulations[16] on

the peatland ecosystem through alteration of plant–microbe traits, specifically plant/soil

chemistry[17] and microbial composition/function[5,18-20]. Although temperature dominantly controls

microbial metabolism of soil carbon in monoculture or a constant environment, the long-term

feedback between climate change and soil carbon processes (especially some evolutional

acclimations in plant/microbial physiology and community composition in peatlands[10,18-23]) is

still unclear and possibly one of the major uncertainties and challenges in projecting future

climate in the Earth System Models[24]. Thus, recognition of the biotic control can be central to the

development of a meaningful framework for unravelling the responses of the perpetual peatlands

to climate change[18,20].

We used space-for-time substitution as an alternative to study shifts of ecological processes in

peatlands over time. An ombrotrophic boreal *Sphagnum* peatland and a subtropical shrub

peatland in the U.S.A were first selected. The *Sphagnum* site is located in the Marcell

Experimental Forest, Minnesota (47°30′N, 93°27′W), USA, and the shrub site is in Pocosin

Lakes National Wildlife Refuge, North Carolina (35°40′N, 76°32′W), USA. The *Sphagnum* site

is dominated by *Sphagnum* mosses with scattered shrubs and black spruce (*Picea mariana*),

while the shrub site has responded to

climate change over the past 12,000

years through a transition of plant

communities from boreal

Sphagnum/spruce during late-glacial to

the modern ericaceous shrubs today[25,26].

These shrub species in pocosins are

similar to the expanding shrubs found in

Sphagnum peatlands across boreal

areas[4,5]. Our pilot results in the

Sphagnum site are in line with the

observations in many boreal peatlands,

Fig. 2 | Dominant fungal composition (relative abundance >1%, see Supplementary Data 2 for detailed OTUs distribution) **and relative abundance** (mean ± S.E.) **of slow-growing and fast-growing fungi** (see Supplementary Table 2 for ecological traits of the dominant fungi) in the subtropical shrub and the boreal *Sphagnum* peatlands.

while such studies in low latitude system are still rare. Hence, two wooded peatlands were

further tested in subtropical southern China and temperate western Canada.

To determine the underlying microbial communities and their ecological traits, we collected

triplicate soil cores from the hollows and hummocks in the boreal *Sphagnum* peatlands and from

3 sites with different plants and water levels in the subtropical shrub peatlands (Supplementary

Table 1) and measured the composition and abundance of fungi—the predominant peat

decomposers—and associated physiochemical peat parameters. Compared to the *Sphagnum* peat,

dissolved phenolics in the shrub peat were about 6–10 times higher (Supplementary Table 1).

The *Sphagnum* and shrub peatlands distinctively differed in the fungal community composition

(Fig. 2). At the *Sphagnum* site, the fungal groups were dominated by *Pseudeurotium*,

Saccharomycetales and *Mortierella*, whereas at the shrub site, by *Archaeorhizomyces* and

Helotiales (Fig. 2, Supplementary Data 2). As microbial growth rates significantly affect carbon

turnover in soil[28,29], we roughly classified the

dominant fungi as either fast- or slow-growing

groups based on known growth traits of

culturable species from each taxonomic group

(Supplementary Table 2). This is the best way

we can approach although inevitable biases

exist in this classification. Notably, nearly

85% of fungi were likely fast-growing at the

Sphagnum site, but at the shrub site a mere 2%

were categorized as fast-growing and about

75% as slow–growing based on their

ecological traits (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table

2). Consistent with the majority of fungi found

in many boreal peatlands[27], the dominant fungi

at the *Sphagnum* site are members of pioneer

saprobe communities that use simple carbon

compounds and possibly possess *r*-selected

Fig. 3 | Effects of phenolics on ratios of slow- and fast-growing fungal richness to total richness. Species richness is the number of total OTUs observed in each sample. SG = slow-growing fungi, FG = fast-growing fungi.

strategy with fast growth rates[27-29]. Unexpectedly, 11–97% of fungal sequences at the shrub site

were assigned to *Archaeorhizomyces*, but only 0.4% on average at the *Sphagnum* site.

Archaeorhizomyces, which represents one of the ubiquitous lineages of soil fungi, is also

characterized by markedly slow growth[30]. Another dominant fungal group (~5%) in the

subtropical shrub site is the Helotiales, which includes certain fungi that form ericoid

mycorrhizal fungi with resistant melanized cell walls (so called dark-septate endophytes) which

are also characterized by slower growth rates [31]. To determine what might control the fungal

composition, we examined the relationship between fast- versus slow-growing fungal richness

and physicochemical variables including dissolved organic carbon, dissolved phenolics, soil pH,

soil moisture, NO_3^-–N and NH_4^+–N. Dissolved phenolics likely acted as the overarching

regulator, not only directly limiting microbial activities[25,32] but also allowing slow-growing fungi

to thrive while hampering fast-growing fungi (Fig. 3). We also found soil acidity positively

related to the relative richness of slow-growing fungi while negatively related to that of fast-

growing fungi (Supplementary Fig. 1). As there was a significant negative relationship between

soil pH and dissolved phenolics ($r^2 = 0.428$, $P<0.0001$), the dissolved phenolics in these

peatlands might be mainly phenolic acids that increase soil acidity, thus further worsening

extreme conditions that benefit the slow-growing fungi[29].

Although we did not measure bacterial composition in this experiment, recent measurements[33,34]

at several of the same shrub and *Sphagnum* sites show that oligotrophic slow-growing

Acidobacteria[28] dominated both peatlands. The relative abundance of Acidobacteria in the shrub

sites (57%) was substantially higher than that in the *Sphagnum* sites (36%). Moreover, the fast-

growing bacteria—including Betaproteobacteria and Bacteroidetes as copiotrophs[28]—were nearly

absent from the shrub site[33] but contributed about 9% and 4%, respectively, in the *Sphagnum* site[34].

It is not possible to measure the relative growth rates of the dominant microbes in this study,

because so many of the fungi are unculturable. To further test and verify the consequences of these

likely fast-growing versus slow-growing microbes and also reduce the biases from literature, we

compared soil respiration rates per gram microbial biomass carbon (MBC)[12,23] in the *Sphagnum*

and the shrub peats through a reciprocal inoculation experiment using inocula and peat materials

from both sites. We also added each

inoculum to labile carbon-enriched

mineral soil to test how the microbes

decompose labile carbon. All soil

media and incubators were sterilized

by an autoclave before inoculation.

Consistent with the microbial growth

traits found in the literatures

(Supplementary Table 2), the

microbes from the shrub peat

decomposed both labile and complex

organic material at much slower rates

than the microbes from the *Sphagnum*

Fig. 4 | Standardized CO_2 emission (mean ± S.E.) **from sterilized soil media that include the boreal *Sphagnum* peat from Minnesota (*Sp.*), the subtropical shrub peat from North Carolina (Sh.) and the labile carbon–enriched mineral soil (Min.).** All media were inoculated by inoculum made from the *Sphagnum*($^{Sp.}$) or shrub ($^{Sh.}$) peat. MBC represents microbial biomass carbon. Standardized CO_2 emission was calculated based on the amount of MBC added to the soil media. Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments.

peat (Fig. 4). Although the shrub peat is more highly recalcitrant than the *Sphagnum* peat, the fast-

growing microbes from the *Sphagnum* inoculum decomposed the shrub peat 4 times faster than

the microbes from the shrub peat did. Moreover, the soil respiration rates from *Sphagnum*

inoculum were dependent on the source of carbon, with rates at 320, 838 and 2315 mg C hr^{-1} g^{-1}

MBC in the shrub peat, the *Sphagnum* peat and the labile carbon-enriched mineral soil,

respectively. Hence, the dominant decomposers in the *Sphagnum* peatlands are likely fast-growing

copiotrophs that generally adapt to using available resource rapidly[29]. In contrast, soil media—

including labile carbon—did not impact the microbial activities from the shrub inoculum (71–92

mg C hr^{-1} g^{-1} MBC). These results support our hypothesis that microbial metabolism in high-

phenolic shrub peatlands is slower and that such a growth trait might be inherent[29].

The slow-growing microbes that dominate the high-phenolic shrub site behave like *K*-selected taxa

that may have outcompeted fast-growing *r*-selected taxa under steadily warmer and dryer

conditions. The established slow-growing fungi, as well as bacteria[33,34] lead to a lower carbon

turnover in soil[25,28]. The dominance of the slow-growing microbes may explain why plant

necromass does not completely decompose, but continues to accumulate as peat in low-latitude

wooded peatlands, despite constant warming and frequent drought over millennia[25]. This also

further uncovers the observed slow decomposition under drought in the subtropical shrub

peatlands[25], which was likely caused not only by the anti-microbe role of increased phenolics[25] but

also magnified slow-growing decomposers induced by higher phenolics. Collectively, our field

and lab experiments demonstrate for the first time that a phenolics-linked plant-microbe interaction

acts as a natural curb on carbon loss in low-latitude wooded peatlands and will likely also in future

boreal peatlands with climate-induced shrub expansion. This biotic control mechanism is driven

by consistent increases in temperature and drought, making it at least as important as direct abiotic

controls (temperature and anaerobic) themselves in regulating long-term carbon–climate

feedbacks in peatlands.

We postulate that these slow-growing microbes have adapted to high-phenolic acidic conditions

and become the dominant microbes with inherent slow metabolic processes, a major underlying

feature of high-phenolic wooded peatlands developed under warm and relatively dry conditions.

This hypothesis is further supported by a temperature sensitivity experiment, a fungal composition

measurement in a subtropical peatland in Asia and a reanalysis of fungal composition in a wooded

peatland in Canada[35]. Although higher microbial biomass carbon was present in the shrub peat

$(3.8 \pm 0.2$ mg C g^{-1} dry soil) in North Carolina relative to the *Sphagnum* peat $(2.6 \pm 0.3$ mg C g^{-1}

dry soil) in Minnesota, the decomposition rate of the shrub peat was much slower at the same

temperature and displayed lower temperature sensitivity than the *Sphagnum* peat (Supplementary

Fig. 2). As we have shown the fast-growing microbes decomposed highly recalcitrant peat more

quickly than the slow-growing microbes did (Fig. 4), thus current fast-growing microbes in most

boreal peatlands[27] could decompose stored carbon quickly, but new peat soil may shift to slow-

growing species with plant community changes under climate change. A recent study showed that

the relative abundance of slow-growing fungi (Helotiales and *Archaeorhizomyces*) was over 80%

in a *Sphagnum* peatlands where ericaceous shrubs dominated the wooded cover in Meadowlands,

MN, USA[36], which suggests that the on-going shrub expansion in some boreal peatlands likely

have shifted in sync from fast-growing to slow-growing microbes. In addition, we examined a

shrub-dominant subtropical peatland with *Sphagnum* layer underneath in Shennongjia, China

(31°29′N, 109°59′E), where the majority of fungal taxa (80.4%) are also found slow-growing (Fig.

5), e.g. *Archaeorhizomyces* spp. (26.5%) and *Cryptococcus* sp. (34.0%). We also reanalyzed the

fungal composition in a bog forest and a *Sphagnum*-shrub mixed peat bog in the Pacific coastal

temperate rainforest in Canada[35]. Slow-

growing fungi also dominated these

wooded peatlands (Supplementary Fig. 3,

Supplementary Table 2). Importantly

there was a significant negative

relationship between soil respiration and

richness of slow-growing fungi (Fig. 6),

which indicates that the slow-growing

fungi regulate the carbon turn-over rates

in the wooded peatlands. Our research

Fig. 5 | Relative abundance (mean ± S.E.) **of the dominant fungi** (OTU relative abundance >1%) **in Dajiuhu subtropical peatland in China** (see Supplementary Table 2 for ecological traits of the dominant fungi).

suggests that slow-growing microbes, which are less effective in decomposition under warmer

climates, may dominate most wooded

peatlands, particularly in low-latitude

areas. The dominance of slower-

growing microbes in these wooded

peatlands, the non-exponential rise in

soil respiration with increasing MAT

from boreal to tropical regions (Fig.

1), and the vast amount of carbon

stored in tropical wooded

peatlands[2,37] together support this

deduction, even though our study

sites included only three wooded

peatlands in North America and Asia.

Fig. 6 | The relationship between richness of slow-growing fungi and CO_2 emission in wooded peatlands in the Pacific coastal temperate rainforest in Canada. The richness of fungi was recalculated from raw amplicon reads in the European Nucleotide Arch Archive [ITS(ERS1798771-ERS1799064), CO_2 emission data were collected from the Hakai Institute data repository at https://doi.org/10.21966/1.715630. SG = slow-growing fungi.

Finally, our findings provide new evidence that enduring peatlands that are highly resistant to

increased temperatures and droughts may have adapted to the changing climate through plant-

microbe interactions. As biotic regulators, the co-shifting microbe and plant communities with

different ecological traits likely can acclimate to environmental stress, thus allowing for

continuing carbon sequestration in these peatlands. Our results show that long-term carbon

dynamics under climate change do not strictly follow the abiotic-factor-dependent decay model

often used in peatland studies, which focuses mainly on temperature and water level. Thus, the

positive catastrophic climate–carbon feedback predicted for peatlands may to some degree be

kept in check. Additionally, our phenolic-linked plant–microbe interacting mechanism may help

1) to unravel the underlying carbon mystery on why wooded peatlands, particularly in low-

latitudinal region, continue to sequester carbon under warmer temperatures and extended

droughts and 2) to advance the accuracy of the Earth System Models in projecting the fate of

boreal peatlands with shifting plant/microbe communities[4,5,36] under climate change.

Methods

Study sites and soil sampling

Our major study sites were located in a shrub bog[25] in the Pocosin Lakes National Wildlife

Refuge, North Carolina, USA and a *Sphagnum* bog[34] in the Marcell Experimental Forest in

Minnesota, USA. Three sites (>1 km apart) around Lake Pungo including Pungo West, Pungo

Southwest and Pungo East were selected at the shrub bogs. *Ilex glabra* and *Lyonia lucida* cover

about 85% and 10%, respectively at Pungo West. *Ilex glabra* and *Lyonia lucida* are also

dominate Pungo Southwest but distribute evenly, also there are many *Woodwardia*

virginica during the growing season. The water level at Pungo Southwest is always higher than

at Pungo West. Both Pungo West and Pungo Southwest have prescribed light fire every 4–5

years. There is no disturbance at Pungo East site over 30 years, where more dominant plant

species exist, including *Lyonia lucida, Ilex glabra, Zenobia pulverulenta, Gaylussacia frondosa,*

Vaccinium formosum. One hollows and one hummocks were selected at the *Sphagnum* bogs. A

lot of mature trees including *Picea mariana, Pinus resinosa, Larix laricina* with different

bryophytes and shrubs grow at both the hollows and the hummocks. *S. fallax* dominates the

bryophyte layer at the hollows, and *S. angustifolium and S. magellanicum* dominate at the

hummocks. The understory has a thick layer of ericaceous shrubs including *Ledum*

groenlandicum, Chamaedaphne calyculata, Vaccinium oxycoccos at the hummocks, however,

only scattered shrubs happen at the hollows. Other site information is described in

Supplementary Table 1. We took three soil cores at each sites (with a distance >4 m from each

other), and each soil core was sliced to four sub-samples (0–5 cm, 5–10 cm, 10–15 cm, 15–20

cm). Big roots were removed in lab. The hair roots of all plants were included in the soil

samples. Additionally, we took three soil cores at depth 0–10 cm in the shrub-dominant area in

Dajiuhu peatlands in Shennongjia, China (31°29′N, 109°59′E) in May 2017. The dominant shrub

at Dajiuhu is *Crataegus wilsonii with dense Sphagnum layer*. The samples were transported to

the laboratory in iceboxes. Half of the samples were frozen at −80°C for DNA isolation; the

other half was stored at 4°C for chemical analysis.

Soil chemistry analysis

We used the deionized water extraction of fresh soil for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and

soluble phenolics measurements. DOC was measured as the difference between total C and

inorganic C with a total C analyzer (Shimadzu 5000A, Kyoto, Japan). Soluble phenolics were

measured by following the Folin-Ciocalteu procedure[31]. Inorganic nitrogen (NH_4^+–N and NO_3^-–

N + NO_2^-–N) extract with 2 M KCl was determined colorimetrically on a flow-injection analyzer

(Lachat QuikChem 8000, Wisconsin, USA). Total carbon and nitrogen in soil were analyzed

with combustion CN soil analyzer equipped with a TCD detector (ThermoQuest Flash EA1112,

Milan, Italy). A 1:10 soil/water solution was used to measure soil pH.

DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from 0.25 g (fresh weight) of each homogenized soil sample using

the PowerSoil DNA isolation kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). DNA of each

replicate was extracted three times and homogenized together as one DNA template. For Pocosin

and Minnesota samples, a set of fungus-specific primers, ITS1F (3'-

CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-5') and ITS4 (3'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-5'),

were used to amplify the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region using barcoded ITS1F primers.

For Dajiuhu samples, ITS1F and ITS2 (3'- GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC-5') were used. All

PCR reactions were repeated in triplicate, together with the negative controls in which the

template DNA was replaced with sterile H_2O. The amplicon concentration of each sample was

determined after purification using Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Grand Island, USA),

samples pooled at equimolar concentrations, purified using AMPure Bead cleanup, and

submitted to the core facility at Duke University (Durham, NC, USA) for sequencing using

Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

Bioinformatics processing

Sequence data of Pocosin and Minnesota samples are obtained from both ITS1 and ITS2 gene

regions. ITS sequences were quality filtered and processed using the standard QIIME pipeline,

with each fungal taxon represented by an operational taxonomic unit (OTU) at the 97% sequence

similarity level. Singleton OTUs were omitted[32], and OTUs classified taxonomically using a

QIIME-based wrapper of BLAST against the UNITE database[33,34] (Supplementary Methods for

further details). The quality and depth of coverage of both primers reads were not significantly

different, thus libraries from ITS4 reads were used for further analysis of fungal communities.

Taxonomic-based alpha diversity was calculated as the total number of phylotypes (richness) and

Shannon's diversity index (H'). A total of 150,967 ITS sequences from ITS2 region passed

quality control criteria in the Pocosin and Minnesota sites. These sorted into 590 OTUs. Follow

the same procedure, a total of 115,936 ITS1 sequences from Dajiuhu samples were assigned into

307 OTUs. Following the processing procedure described by Wilson[29], relative abundance of

beta-proteobacteria at the controlled site in the boreal *Sphagnum* site was recalculated from

Wilson and others' sequence data[29] available from the National Center for Biotechnology

Information at SRP071256. Relative abundance of fungi from a bog forest at the Calvert Island

in Canada was recalculated from the raw amplicon reads in the European Nucleotide Archive,

ITS (ERS1798771-ERS1799064).

Lab incubations

We tested the decomposing capability of microbes in the *Sphagnum* and shrub peatlands by

amending peat inocula from both sites in North Carolina and Minnesota to their peats and labile

carbon-enriched mineral soil. Fresh *Sphagnum* and shrub peat inocula were prepared by mixing

0.5 kg of each type of fresh peat (10–20 cm) with 2 liters of deionized water. After 1 hour of

stirring and 1-day settlement, the suspension liquid inoculum was filtered through a Buchner

funnels (without filter, pore size 0.25–0.5 mm). We added 2 g of glucose to 50 g of nutrient-poor

mineral soil (initially 0.05% total nitrogen, 0.64% total soil carbon) to produce a mineral soil

medium with high labile carbon content. All incubation media (peat and mineral soil) and jars

were sterilized by an autoclave before inoculation. About 30-g fresh *Sphagnum* peat (2.5–2.8 g

in dry weight) or shrub peat (9.1–9.3 g in dry weight) or 50-g mineral soil with 2-g glucose was

placed in Mason jars (triplicate, 8-cm diameter, 12-cm height, vacuum seal lid with a

stainless steel fitting with sampling septum), then 20 ml of its own or other's inoculum was

added to the peat media, and 5 ml of inoculum from each site was added to the mineral soil.

Finally, all samples were aerobically incubated at a constant temperature of 25°C. We initially

used Parafilm M® Laboratory film, which is air permeable but water resistant, to seal the top for

3-day equilibration, afterward we collected gas samples by syringe from the headspace of each

jar at the beginning and end of 1-hour sealed incubation and used a GC (Varian 450, California,

USA) to analyze CO_2 concentration. As microbial biomass itself is a factor regulating soil

respiration rates, standardized CO_2 emissions at the microbial biomass were calculated based on

the elevated CO_2 concentration, time and air volume in the jar and the amount of added

microbial biomass carbon from the inoculum. To prevent microbial acclimation to the assay

chemistry[11,23], we only incubated the soils shortly. A chloroform fumigation-extraction method

(0.5 M K_2SO_4 to extract biomass C)[38] was used to determine soil microbial biomass carbon by

the difference in measured carbon contents between fumigated and control replicates of each

sample.

To test temperature sensitivity of soil respiration, nine fresh peat samples (30 g) from each site

were added to jars and sealed with Parafilm M. Triplicate samples were incubated at 4°C, 25°C

and 44.5°C. The highest temperature in this incubation does not match the *in-situ* conditions in

our sites, but it may happen shortly in tropical wooded peatlands in future. After 3-day

equilibration, we used the same method as above to measure gas emission and calculated soil

respiration based on soil weight. We conducted regression analyses for soil from each site using

$R = \alpha e^{\beta T}$, where R is soil respiration, coefficient α is the intercept of soil respiration when

temperature is zero, coefficient β represents the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration, and T

is soil temperature.

Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA with Duncan's multiple-range test was used to compare the means. Standard

error of the mean was calculated for each mean. The significant level of the test was set at a

probability of 0.05. The ANOSIM function in the *vegan* package in R was used to test statistical

significance in fungal composition within and among sites in the shrub peatlands and the

Sphagnum peatlands (999 permutations), which shows that fungal communities were

significantly different within sites at the shrub peatlands (Pungo East Pungo West and Pungo

Southwest) and at the *Sphagnum* sites (hollows and hummocks) (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Data availability

The generated sequence data are available from the National Center for Biotechnology

Information at SRP122579 and SRP158553. All other data in this study are available within the

paper and its Supplementary Data.

References

1   Turetsky, M. R. *et al.* Global vulnerability of peatlands to fire and carbon loss. *Nat.*
        Geosci. **8**, 11-14 (2015).

2   Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O. & Banks, C. J. Global and regional importance of the tropical
        peatland carbon pool. *Glob. Change Biol.* **17**, 798-818 (2011).

3   Kirschbaum, M. U. F. The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition,
        and the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **27**, 753-
        760, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(94)00242-S (1995).

4   Talbot, J. *Drainage as A Model for Long Term Climate Change Effect on Vegetation*
        Dynamics and Carbon Cycling in Boreal Peatlands Ph.D. thesis, McGill University,
        (2009).

5   Bragazza, L., Parisod, J., Buttler, A. & Bardgett, R. D. Biogeochemical plant-soil
        microbe feedback in response to climate warming in peatlands. *Nat. Clim. Change* **3**,
        273-277 (2013).

6   Ise, T., Dunn, A. L., Wofsy, S. C. & Moorcroft, P. R. High sensitivity of peat
        decomposition to climate change through water-table feedback. *Nat. Geosci.* **1**, 763-766,
        doi:10.1038/ngeo331 (2008).

7   Fenner, N. & Freeman, C. Drought-induced carbon loss in peatlands. *Nat. Geosci.* **4**, 895-
        900 (2011).

8   Dorrepaal, E. *et al.* Carbon respiration from subsurface peat accelerated by climate

warming in the subarctic. *Nature* **460**, doi:10.1038/nature08216 (2009).

9   Eliasson, P. E. *et al.* The response of heterotrophic CO_2 flux to soil warming. *Glob.*

Change Biol. **11**, 167-181, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00878.x (2005).

10   Luo, Y., Wan, S., Hui, D. & Wallace, L. L. Acclimatization of soil respiration to

warming in a tall grass prairie. *Nature* **413**, 622-625 (2001).

11   Dacal, M., Bradford, M. A., Plaza, C., Maestre, F. T. & García-Palacios, P. Soil

microbial respiration adapts to ambient temperature in global drylands. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.*

3, 232-238, doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0770-5 (2019).

12   Bradford, M. A. *et al.* Cross-biome patterns in soil microbial respiration predictable from

evolutionary theory on thermal adaptation. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 223-231,

doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0771-4 (2019).

13   Charman, D. *et al.* Climate-related changes in peatland carbon accumulation during the

last millennium. *Biogeosciences* **10**, 929-944 (2013).

14   Loisel, J. & Yu, Z. Surface vegetation patterning controls carbon accumulation in

peatlands. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40**, 5508-5513, doi:10.1002/grl.50744 (2013).

15   Piao, S. *et al.* Forest annual carbon cost: a global-scale analysis of autotrophic

respiration. *Ecology* **91**, 652-661, doi:10.1890/08-2176.1 (2010).

16   Wardle, D. *Communities and Ecosystems: Linking the Aboveground and Belowground*

Components (Princeton University Press, 2002).

17   Hodgkins, S. B. *et al.* Tropical peatland carbon storage linked to global latitudinal trends

in peat recalcitrance. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3640, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06050-2 (2018).

18   Dise, N. Peatland response to global change. *Science* **326**, 810-811 (2009).

19   Singh, B. K., Bardgett, R. D., Smith, P. & Reay, D. S. Microorganisms and climate

change: terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8**, 779-790

(2010).

20   Walker, L. R. & Wardle, D. A. Plant succession as an integrator of contrasting ecological

time scales. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **29**, 504-510 (2014).

21   Gallego-Sala, A. V. *et al.* Latitudinal limits to the predicted increase of the peatland

carbon sink with warming. *Nat. Clim. Change* **8**, 907-913, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-

0271-1 (2018).

22   Wardle, D. A. *et al.* Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota.

Science **304**, 1629-1633, doi:10.1126/science.1094875 (2004).

23   Bradford, M. A., Davies, C. A., Frey, S. D. & Maddox, T. R. Thermal adaptation of soil

microbial respiration to elevated temperature. *Ecol. Lett.* **11**, 1316–1327,

doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01251.x (2008).

24   Friedlingstein, P. *et al.* Climate–carbon cycle feedback analysis: results from the C4MIP

model intercomparison. *J. Climate* **19**, 3337-3353 (2006).

25   Wang, H., Richardson, C. J. & Ho, M. Dual controls on carbon loss during drought in

peatlands. *Nat. Clim. Change* **5**, 584-587 (2015).

26   Whitehead, D. R. Late-pleistocene vegetational changes in Northeastern North-Carolina.

Ecol. Monogr. **51**, 451-471, doi:Doi 10.2307/2937324 (1981).

27   Thormann, M. N. Diversity and function of fungi in peatlands: A carbon cycling

perspective. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* **86**, 281-293, doi:Doi 10.4141/S05-082 (2006).

28   Fierer, N., Bradford, M. A. & Jackson, R. B. Toward an ecological classification of soil

bacteria. *Ecology* **88**, 1354-1364 (2007).

29   Koch, A. L. Oligotrophs versus copiotrophs. *Bioessays* **23**, 657-661,

doi:10.1002/bies.1091 (2001).

30   Rosling, A. *et al.* Archaeorhizomycetes: unearthing an ancient class of ubiquitous soil

fungi. *Science* **333**, 876-879 (2011).

31   Clemmensen, K. E. *et al.* Carbon sequestration is related to mycorrhizal fungal

community shifts during long-term succession in boreal forests. *New Phytol.* **205**, 1525-

1536, doi:doi:10.1111/nph.13208 (2015).

32   Freeman, C., Ostle, N. & Kang, H. An enzymic 'latch' on a global carbon store - A

shortage of oxygen locks up carbon in peatlands by restraining a single enzyme. *Nature*

409, 149-149, doi:Doi 10.1038/35051650 (2001).

33   Hartman, W. H., Richardson, C. J., Vilgalys, R. & Bruland, G. L. Environmental and

anthropogenic controls over bacterial communities in wetland soils. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. USA **105**, 17842-17847, doi:10.1073/pnas.0808254105 (2008).

34   Wilson, R. M. *et al.* Stability of peatland carbon to rising temperatures. *Nat. Commun.* **7**,

doi:10.1038/Ncomms13723 (2016).

35   Levy-Booth, D. J. *et al.* Seasonal and ecohydrological regulation of active microbial

populations involved in DOC, CO_2, and CH_4 fluxes in temperate rainforest soil. *The*

ISME Journal **13**, 950-963, doi:10.1038/s41396-018-0334-3 (2019).

36   Lamit, L. J. *et al.* Patterns and drivers of fungal community depth stratification in

Sphagnum peat. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **93**, doi:ARTN fix08210.1093/femsec/fix082

(2017).

37   Dargie, G. C. *et al.* Age, extent and carbon storage of the central Congo Basin peatland

complex. *Nature* **542**, 86-90, doi:10.1038/nature21048 (2017).

38   Gregorich, E. G., Wen, G., Voroney, R. P. & Kachanoski, R. G. Calibration of a rapid

direct chloroform extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass C. *Soil Biol.*

Biochem. **22**, 1009-1011, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(90)90148-S (1990).

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Drs. David J. Levy-Booth and William W. Mohn at

University of British Columbia and Dr. Joel E. Kostka at Georgia Institute of Technology for

sharing their published data, Dr. William H. Schlesinger at the Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies

for his detailed comments on experimental design and data interpretation, Dr. Jeff Chanton at

Florida State University, Dr. Dorothy Peteet at Columbia University, Dr. Christopher W. Schadt

at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Dr. Scott Neubauer at Virginia Commonwealth University, and

Dr. Louis James Lamit at Syracuse University for their comments, Belen de la Barrera for

laboratory measurement, Dr. Randy Neighbarger for technical editing. US DOE Office of Science,

Terrestrial Ecosystem Sciences (DE-SC0012272), Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences,

CAS (QYZDB-SSW-DQC007), the Duke University Wetland Center Endowment, and China

Scholarship provided financial support.

Author Contributions H.W., J.T. and C.J.R. conceived the ideas and designed this research;

C.J.R., H.W., R.V. and H.C. obtained funding; H.W., M.H., C.J.R., and H.C. collected field

samples; J.T., H.C., and X.L. did microbial measurement and analyzed microbial community

data; H.W. measured soil chemistry and conducted incubation experiments; H.W. and J.T.

compiled data of soil respiration from literatures; H.W. wrote the manuscript with J.T. and

C.J.R.; and all other authors discussed results and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.W.

(hjwang78@gmail.com) or C.J.R. (curtr@duke.edu)