- 1 Experimentally-validated correlation analysis reveals new anaerobic
- 2 methane oxidation partnerships with consortium-level heterogeneity in
- 3 diazotrophy
- 4 Kyle S. Metcalfe\*, Ranjani Murali\*, Sean W. Mullin, Stephanie A. Connon, and Victoria J.
- 5 Orphan
- 6 \*Authors contributed equally to this work.
- 8 Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA
- 9 USA

10

- 11 Correspondence: K.S. Metcalfe (kmetcalf@caltech.edu) or V.J. Orphan
- 12 (vorphan@caltech.edu), Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of
- 13 Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., Mail Code 100-23, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA.
- 15 Abstract
- Archaeal anaerobic methanotrophs ('ANME') and sulfate-reducing Deltaproteobacteria ('SRB')
- 17 form symbiotic multicellular consortia capable of anaerobic methane oxidation (AOM), and in so
- doing modulate methane flux from marine sediments. The specificity with which ANME
- 19 associate with particular SRB partners *in situ*, however, is poorly understood. To characterize
- 20 partnership specificity in ANME-SRB consortia, we applied the correlation inference technique
- 21 SparCC to 310 16S rRNA Illumina iTag amplicon libraries prepared from Costa Rica sediment
- 22 samples, uncovering a strong positive correlation between ANME-2b and members of a clade of
- 23 Deltaproteobacteria we termed SEEP-SRB1g. We confirmed this association by examining 16S
- 24 rRNA diversity in individual ANME-SRB consortia sorted using flow cytometry and by imaging
- 25 ANME-SRB consortia with fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) microscopy using newly-

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

designed probes targeting the SEEP-SRB1g clade. Analysis of genome bins belonging to SEEP-SRB1g revealed the presence of a complete *nifHDK* operon required for diazotrophy, unusual in published genomes of ANME-associated SRB. Active expression of nifH in SEEP-SRB1g and diazotrophic activity within ANME-2b/SEEP-SRB1g consortia was then demonstrated by microscopy using hybridization chain-reaction (HCR-) FISH targeting nifH transcripts and by FISH-nanoSIMS experiments. NanoSIMS analysis of ANME-2b/SEEP-SRB1g consortia incubated with a headspace containing CH₄ and <sup>15</sup>N₂ revealed differences in cellular <sup>15</sup>N-enrichment between the two partners that varied between individual consortia, with SEEP-SRB1g cells enriched in <sup>15</sup>N relative to ANME-2b in one consortium and the opposite pattern observed in others, indicating both ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g are capable of nitrogen fixation, but with consortium-specific variation in whether the archaea or bacterial partner is the dominant diazotroph. Introduction The partnership between anaerobic, methanotrophic Archaea (ANME) and their associated sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) is one of the most biogeochemically-important symbioses in the deep-sea methane cycle [1, 2]. As a critical component of methane seep ecosystems. multicellular consortia of ANME and associated SRB consume a significant fraction of the methane produced in marine sediments, using sulfate as a terminal electron acceptor to perform the anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) [1–4]. ANME-SRB consortia are thought to perform AOM through the direct extracellular transfer of electrons between ANME and SRB [5–7]. Along with symbiotic extracellular electron transfer, ANME-SRB consortia also exhibit other traits of mutualism such as the sharing of nutrients. For example, members of the ANME-2 clade have

been reported to fix and share N with partner bacteria [8-11], but the extent to which

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

diazotrophic capability might vary across the diverse clades of ANME and associated SRB is the focus of ongoing research. Comparative studies of ANME [12] and associated SRB [13, 14] genomes from multiple ANME-SRB consortia have revealed significant diversity across clades, particularly for SRB genomes falling within subclades of the SEEP-SRB1 [14], common SRB partners to ANME [15]. However, the implications of symbiont diversity for metabolic adaptation in ANME-SRB consortia are obscured by the absence of clearly-established ANME-SRB pairings in the environment, A framework defining these pairings would address this gap in knowledge. Establishing this framework for partnership specificity in ANME-SRB consortia—being the preference that certain ANME exhibit for specific SRB partners—would shed light on the extent to which ANME or SRB physiology may differ in consortia constituted of different ANME-SRB pairs. As an aspect of ANME or SRB physiology that may differ in different ANME-SRB pairings, nitrogen anabolism has been observed to be involved in the symbiotic relationship between partners [8, 9] and has been shown to influence niche differentiation of different ANME-SRB consortia via nitrate assimilation ability [16]. Previous evidence documenting active diazotrophy in ANME-SRB consortia by nitrogenase expression [8] and <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> fixation by nanoSIMS indicated that ANME-2 are the primary diazotrophs in ANME-SRB consortia and supply fixed nitrogen to SRB partners [8–10]. The diazotrophic potential of syntrophic SRB, however, and their role in nitrogen fixation within consortia is poorly understood. Evidence from SRB genomes [14] and the expression of unidentified nitrogenase sequences in methane seep sediments [8] suggested that seep associated SRB may fix nitrogen, opening up the possibility of variation in diazotrophic activity among taxonomically-distinct ANME-SRB consortia. Previous research characterizing the diversity of partnerships in ANME-SRB consortia have employed fluorescence microscopy, magnetic separation by magneto-FISH, and single-cell

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

sorting techniques (e.g. BONCAT-FACS) that are robust against false positives, but are often limited in statistical power. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) has helped to establish the diversity of ANME-bacterial associations, with ANME constituting four diverse polyphyletic clades within the Methanomicrobia: ANME-1a/b [4, 17-20], ANME-2a,b,c [3, 20-22], ANME-2d [23, 24], and ANME-3 [20, 25, 26]. ANME-associated SRB have also observed by FISH to be diverse, representing several clades of Deltaproteobacteria including the Desulfococcus/Desulfosarcina (DSS) clade [3-6, 15, 19-22, 27-33], two separate subclades within the Desulfobulbaceae [16, 25, 26], a deeply-branching group termed the SEEP-SRB2 [34], and a thermophilic clade of Desulfobacteraceae known as HotSeep-1 [34, 35]. These FISH studies documented associations for different ANME-SRB consortia, including partnerships between members of ANME-1 and SEEP-SRB2 [13] or HotSeep-1 [7, 13, 35], ANME-2a and SEEP-SRB1a [15], ANME-2c and SEEP-SRB1a [5], SEEP-SRB2 [13, 34], or Desulfobulbaceae [29], and ANME-3 and SEEP-SRB1a [15] or Desulfobulbaceae [25, 26]. Conspicuously, SRB found in consortia with ANME-2b have only been identified broadly as members of the Deltaproteobacteria targeted by the probe S-C-dProt-0495-a-A-18 (often referred to as  $\Delta$ 495) [5, 31, 36], leaving little known about the specific identity of this SRB partner. Visualizing ANME-SRB partnerships by FISH has been a valuable aspect of AOM research, but FISH requires the design of probes with sufficient specificity to identify partner organisms and thus will only detect partnerships consisting of taxa for which phylogenetic information is known [22]. Magneto-FISH [29, 37, 38] or BONCAT-enabled fluorescence-activated cell sorting (BONCAT-FACS) of single ANME-SRB consortia [39] complement FISH experiments by physical capture (via magnetic beads or flow cytometry, respectively) and sequencing of ANME and associated SRB from sediment samples. These studies corroborated some of the patterns observed from FISH experiments, showing associations between ANME-2 and diverse members of the DSS [39]. Magneto-FISH and BONCAT-FACS observations of ANME-SRB pairings are also highly robust

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

against false positives but can lack the statistical power conferred by more high-throughput approaches that is necessary to establish a general framework for partnership specificity. Recently, a number of correlation analysis techniques have been introduced in molecular microbial ecology studies, providing information about patterns of co-occurrence between 16S rRNA OTUs or ASVs recovered from environmental iTag [40] diversity surveys [41–43]. Correlation analysis performed on 16S rRNA amplicon surveys provides a complementary method to Magneto-FISH and/or BONCAT-FACS that can be used to develop hypotheses about potential microbial interactions. While predictions of co-occurrence between phylotypes from these correlation analysis techniques have been reported in a number of diverse environments. they are rarely validated through independent approaches, with a few notable exceptions [44]. Here, we present a framework for ANME-SRB partnership specificity, using correlation analysis of 16S iTag amplicon sequences from a large-scale survey of seafloor methane seep sediments near Costa Rica to predict potential ANME-SRB partnerships. A partnership between ANME-2b and members of an SRB group previously not known to associate with ANME (SEEP-SRB1g) was hypothesized by correlation analysis and independently assessed using FISH and amplicon data from BONCAT-FACS-sorted ANME-SRB consortia. With this new framework, we were able to identify a novel partnership between ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g and map predicted physiological traits of SEEP-SRB1g genomes onto partnership specificity with ANME-2b. Our approach led us to formulate new hypotheses regarding how SEEP-SRB1g physiology may complement ANME-2b physiology, focusing on nitrogen fixation in SEEP-SRB1g. We demonstrate in this study that the symbiotic relationship between ANME and associated SRB can vary depending on the nature of the partner taxa and affirm the importance of characterizing individual symbiont pairings in understanding AOM symbiosis.

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

Materials and Methods Here, we present an abridged description of the methods used in this study. A full description can be found in the Supplemental Materials and Methods. Sample origin and processing Pushcore samples of seafloor sediment were collected by DSV Alvin during the May 20-June 11 2017 ROC HITS Expedition (AT37-13) aboard R/V Atlantis to methane seep sites southwest of Costa Rica [45–47]. After retrieval from the seafloor, sediment pushcores were extruded aboard R/V Atlantis and sectioned at 1-3 cm intervals for geochemistry and microbiological sampling using published protocols [21, 48]. Samples for DNA extraction were immediately frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub> and stored at -80°C. Samples for microscopy were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 24 h at 4°C. A full list of samples used in this study can be found in Supplemental Table 1 and additional location and geochemical data can be found at https://www.bcodmo.org/dataset/715706. DNA extraction and iTag sequencing DNA was extracted from 310 samples of Costa Rican methane seep sediments and seep carbonates (Supp. Table 1) using the Qiagen PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit 12888 following manufacturer directions modified for sediment and carbonate samples [21, 49]. The V4-V5 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using archaeal/bacterial primers, 515F (5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 926R (5'-CCGYCAATTYMTTTRAGTTT-3') with Illumina adapters [50]. PCR reaction mix was set up in duplicate for each sample with New England Biolabs Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity 2x Master Mix in a 15 µL reaction volume with annealing conditions of 54°C for 30 cycles. Duplicate PCR samples were then pooled and 2.5 µL of each product was barcoded with Illumina NexteraXT index 2 Primers that include unique 8-bp

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

barcodes. Amplification with barcoded primers used annealing conditions of 66°C and 10 cycles. Barcoded samples were combined into a single tube and purified with Qiagen PCR Purification Kit 28104 before submission to Laragen (Culver City, CA, USA) for 2 x 250 bp paired end analysis on Illumina's MiSeq platform. Sequence data was submitted to the NCBI Seguence Read Archive as Bioproject PRJNA623020. Seguence data was processed in QIIME version 1.8.0 [51] following Mason, et al. 2015 [52]. Sequences were clustered into de novo operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with 99% similarity [53], and taxonomy was assigned using the SILVA 119 database [54]. The produced table of OTUs detected in the 310 methane seep sediment and seep carbonate amplicon libraries was analyzed using the correlation algorithm SparCC [41]. To examine phylogenetic placement of SRB 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences predicted by network analysis to associate with particular ANME subgroup amplicon sequences, a phylogeny was constructed using RAxML-HPC [55] on XSEDE [56] using the CIPRES Science Gateway [57] from full-length 16S rRNA sequences of Deltaproteobacteria aligned by MUSCLE [58]. Genomes downloaded from the IMG/M database were searched using tblastn. Chlorophyllide reductase BchX (WP011566468) was used as a reference sequence for a tblastn nifH search using BLAST+. Genome trees were constructed using the Anvi'o platform [59] using HMM profiles from a subset [60] of ribosomal protein sequences and visualized in iTOL [61]. FISH probe design and microscopy A new FISH probe was designed in ARB [62]. This new probe, hereafter referred to as Seep1g-1443 (Supp. Table 2), was designed to complement and target 16S rRNA sequences in a monophyletic "Desulfococcus sp." clade. Based on phylogenetic analysis (see below), this clade was renamed SEEP-SRB1g. Seep1g-1443 was ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA). FISH reaction conditions were optimized for Seep1g-1443, with optimal formamide stringency found to be 35% (Supp. Fig. 1). FISH and hybridization chain reaction (HCR-) FISH was performed on fixed ANME-SRB consortia using previously published density

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

separation and FISH protocols [22]. FISH was performed overnight (18 hr) using modifications (G. Chadwick, pers. comm.) to previously-published protocols [29, 39, 63, 64]. Structuredillumination microscopy (SIM) was performed on FISH and HCR-FISH (see below) experiments to image ANME-SRB consortia using the Elyra PS.1 SIM platform (Zeiss, Germany) and an alpha Plan-APOCHROMAT 100X/1.46 Oil DIC M27 objective. Zen Black software (Zeiss) was used to construct final images from the structured-illumination data. mRNA imaging using HCR-FISH Hybridization chain reaction FISH (HCR-FISH) is a powerful technique to amplify signal from FISH probes [65, 66]. The protocol used here was modified from Yamaguchi and coworkers [67]. nifH initiators, purchased from Molecular Technologies (Pasadena, CA, USA; probe identifier "nifH 3793/D933") or designed in-house (Supp. Table 2) and ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies, were hybridized to fixed ANME-SRB consortia. Hairpins B1H1 and B1H2 with attached Alexa647 fluorophores (Molecular Technologies) were added separately to two 45 µL volumes of amplification buffer in PCR tubes and snap cooled by placement in a C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) for 3 min at 95°C. After 30 min at room temperature, hairpins were mixed and placed in PCR tubes along with hybridized ANME-SRB consortia. Amplification was performed for 15 min at 35°C. Similar results were observed when the HCR-FISH v3.0 protocol established by Choi et al. [68] was used. Stable Isotope Probing and nanoSIMS Methane seep sediments containing abundant ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g consortia (Supp. Fig. 4) were used in stable isotope probing (SIP) experiments to test for diazotrophic activity by SEEP-SRB1g. N sources were removed from the sediment slurry by washing with artificial seawater without an N source (see Supplemental Materials and Methods). Two anoxic incubations were pressurized with 2.8 bar CH<sub>4</sub> with 1.2 mL <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> at 1 bar, approximately

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

equivalent to 2% headspace in 20 mL CH<sub>4</sub> at 2.8 bar (Supp. Table 3). Positive control incubations (n = 2) were amended with <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and were further pressurized with 2.8 bar CH<sub>4</sub> and 1.2 mL natural-abundance N<sub>2</sub> at 1 bar. Incubations were periodically checked for AOM activity via sulfide production using the Cline assay [69] and were chemically fixed for FISHnanoSIMS analysis [70] after 9 months. Fixed ANME-SRB consortia were separated from the sediment matrix and concentrated following published protocols [5]. Samples were then embedded in Technovit H8100 (Kulzer GmbH, Germany) resin according to published protocols I5. 311 and thin sections (2 µm thickness) were prepared using an Ultracut E microtome (Reichert AG, Austria) which were mounted on Teflon/poly-L-lysine slides (Tekdon Inc., USA). FISH reactions were performed using Seep1g-1443 and ANME-2b-729 probes as described above, with the omission of 10% SDS to prevent detachment of section from slide (G. Chadwick, pers. comm.), and slides were imaged and mapped for subsequent nanoSIMS analysis using a Zeiss Elyra PS.1 platform. After removal of DAPI-Citifuor by washing following published protocols [70], slides were cut to fit into nanoSIMS sample holders and sputter-coated with 40 nm Au using a Cressington sputter coater. NanoSIMS was performed using a Cameca NanoSIMS 50L housed in Caltech's Microanalysis Center, and data was analyzed using look@nanoSIMS [71]. Results 16S rRNA correlation analysis predicts a specific association between ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g Correlation analysis applied to 16S rRNA gene amplicon libraries has been frequently used to identify interactions between microorganisms based on the co-occurrence of their 16S rRNA sequences in different environments or conditions [72–75]. Here, we applied correlation analysis to 16S rRNA amplicon libraries prepared from Costa Rican methane seep sites (Supp. Table 1)

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

to explore partnership specificity between ANME and associated SRB. QIIME processing of amplicon sequences prepared from 310 Costa Rican methane seep sediment and seep carbonate samples yielded 3,052 OTUs after filtering in R. A table of read abundances for these OTUs across the 310 samples was analyzed by SparCC to calculate correlation coefficients and significance for all possible 4,658,878 OTU pairs using 100 bootstraps (Fig. 1). Of these pairs, 9.7% (452,377) had pseudo-p-values < 0.01, indicating the coefficients for each of these correlations exceeded that calculated for that same OTU pair in any of the 100 bootstrapped datasets [41]. The taxonomic assignment of the constituent OTUs of correlations with pseudo-p < 0.01 were then inspected, where 18% (81,459) of correlations with pseudo-p < 0.01 describe those involving ANME. Of these, 32% occur between ANME and OTUs assigned to three main taxa: Desulfococcus sp., SEEP-SRB1a, and SEEP-SRB2 (Fig. 1). A complete list of significant correlations, their coefficient values, OTU identifiers, and accompanying taxonomy assignments can be found in Supplemental Table 4. 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis revealed the SILVA-assigned "Desulfococcus sp." OTUs comprise a sister clade to the SEEP-SRB1a that is distinct from cultured *Desulfococcus* sp. (e.g. D. oleovorans and D. multivorans, see below). We therefore reassigned the Desulfococcus OTUs to a new clade we termed SEEP-SRB1g following the naming scheme outlined for seepassociated SRB in Schreiber, et al. (e.g. SEEP-SRB1a through -SRB1f) [15]. Furthermore, statistically-significant correlations between OTUs of ANME and SRB taxa suggested that ANME-SRB partnerships in the Costa Rica seep samples could be classified into the following types: ANME-1 with SEEP-SRB1a or SEEP-SRB2, ANME-2a with SEEP-SRB1a, ANME-2b with SEEP-SRB1q, ANME-2c with SEEP-SRB1a or SEEP-SRB2, and ANME-3 with SEEP-SRB1a (Fig. 1). While physical association between different ANME lineages and Deltaproteobacterial clades SEEP-SRB1a and SEEP-SRB2 had been well-documented [5, 13,

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

15, 31, 34], members of the SEEP-SRB1g had not previously been identified as a potential syntrophic partner with methanotrophic ANME.

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

These associations were further examined by detailed network analysis in which the table of correlations with pseudo p-values < 0.01 was further filtered to contain only those correlations with coefficients (a measure of correlation strength) in the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of all significant correlations. A network diagram in which nodes represent OTUs and edges between nodes represent correlations was constructed with force-directed methods [76], where edge length varied in inverse proportion to correlation strength. A subregion of this network focused on ANME-associated OTUs is presented in Figure 2a. Cohesive blocks, subsets of the graph with greater connectivity to other nodes in the block than to nodes outside [77], were calculated and revealed 3 primary blocks of ANME and SRB OTUs. Visualization of these 3 blocks by a chord diagram [78] further highlighted the taxonomic identity of ANME-SRB OTU pairs in these blocks: ANME-1 or ANME-2c (one OTU with mean read count < 10) and SEEP-SRB2, ANME-2a or ANME-2c and SEEP-SRB1a, and ANME-2b or ANME-2a and SEEP-SRB1g (Fig. 2b). The predicted associations between ANME-2c and SEEP-SRB2 and between ANME-2a and SEEP-SRB1g were relatively more rare than the other associations; only one rare ANME-2c OTU (mean read count < 10) and four uncommon ANME-2a OTUs (mean read count < 100) were predicted between SEEP-SRB2 and SEEP-SRB1q, respectively. Inferred partnership specificity in two of the blocks has been previously corroborated by FISH studies, namely that exhibited by ANME-1 with SEEP-SRB2 [13, 34], ANME-2c with SEEP-SRB1a [5], and ANME-2a with SEEP-SRB1a [15]. The partnership between SEEP-SRB1g and ANME-2b, however, had no precedent, as the only previous FISH descriptions of ANME-2b had placed it with a partner Deltaproteobacterium with taxonomy not known beyond the phylum level [5, 31].

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

Common patterns of association observed in network analysis and in single ANME-SRB consortia To test if ANME-SRB partnership specificity observed in our iTag correlation analysis from seep samples (Figs. 1, 2) was consistent with data collected from individually-sorted ANME-SRB consortia after BONCAT-FACS [39], we constructed a phylogeny with full-length and amplicon 16S rRNA sequences from ANME-associated SRB including SEEP-SRB1g (Fig. 3; Supp. Fig. 5). 16S rRNA iTag amplicon sequences from the network analysis (Fig. 2) and from BONCAT-FACS sorted consortia (Fig. 3; [39]) were then annotated by ANME subtype and identity of associated phylotypes. In the BONCAT-FACS dataset, 8 out of 11 (72%) of the consortia with ANME-2b OTUs had corresponding deltaproteobacterial OTUs that belonged to the SEEP-SRB1g clade (Fig. 3). Similarly, of the Deltaproteobacteria OTU sequences from the BONCAT-FACS sorted consortia affiliated with SEEP-SRB1g 89% (8/9) had ANME-2b as the archaeal partner (Fig. 3). Notably, we found that these SEEP-SRB1g sequences were also highly-similar to published full-length 16S rRNA clone library sequences (e.g. NCBI accession AF354159) from seep sediments where ANME-2b phylotypes were also recovered [21]. A  $\chi^2$ -test for independence was performed on 16S rRNA OTUs recovered from (39) to test the null hypothesis that the presence of a given SRB taxon in a FACS sort is independent of the type of ANME present in the sort. This test demonstrated that the SRB taxon found in a given sort was dependent on the ANME also present in the sort,  $\chi^2 = 30.6$  (d.f. = 6, n = 30), p < 0.001. The pattern of association between ANME and SRB OTUs in individual BONCAT-FACS-sorted ANME-SRB consortia thus corroborated the inference from network analysis that ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g OTUs exhibit significant partnership specificity. On the basis of amplicon sequence associations found from the BONCAT-FACS sorting dataset as well as those displayed by correlation analysis of amplicons from Costa Rica methane seeps, we designed a set of independent experiments to test the hypothesis that ANME-2b form syntrophic partnerships with the previously-undescribed SEEP-SRB1g deltaproteobacteria.

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

FISH experiments show SEEP-SRB1g in association with ANME-2b Specific oligonucleotide probes were designed and tested for the SEEP-SRB1g clade (Supp. Fig. 1) and FISH experiments were used to validate the predicted ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g partnership. Simultaneous application of FISH probes targeting SEEP-SRB1a, the dominant deltaproteobacterial partner of ANME (Seep1a-1441 [15]), the newly designed SEEP-SRB1g probe (Seep1g-1443, this work), and a probe targeting ANME-2b (ANME-2b-729 [39]) demonstrated that ANME-2b predominantly form consortia with SEEP-SRB1g, appearing as large multicellular consortia in seep sediment samples from different localities at Costa Rica methane seep sites (see Supplemental Materials and Methods for site details) that also contain ANME-2a (Fig. 4b) and ANME-2c (Fig. 4f). ANME-2b was not observed in association with SEEP-SRB1a (Figs. 4a, 4e), and SEEP-SRB1g was not observed in association with ANME-2a (Fig. 4d) or ANME-2c (Fig. 4h) when FISH probes ANME-2a-828 or ANME-2c-760 [20] were substituted for ANME-2b-729 (*n* ≈ 100 consortia). Instead, SEEP-SRB1a was found in consortia with ANME-2a (Fig. 4c) and ANME-2c (Fig. 4q), consistent with previous reports [15]. Genomic potential for N<sub>2</sub> fixation in sulfate-reducing SEEP-SRB1g deltaproteobacteria Given the importance of diazotrophy in the functioning of ANME-SRB syntrophy, we screened metagenome-assembled genome bins (MAGs) of SEEP-SRB1g for the presence of the nitrogenase operon. A genome tree constructed from previously published MAGs from Hydrate Ridge and Santa Monica Basin [14, 39] revealed that two closely related MAGs (Desulfobacterales sp. C00003104, and C00003106) originally classified as belonging to the Seep-SRB1c clade [14] possessed the nitrogenase operon (Fig. 5). These MAGs did not possess 16S rRNA genes, precluding 16S rRNA-based taxonomic identification. A more detailed look at these reconstructed genomes revealed that the nitrogenase along with a suite of other genes were unique to this subclade and missing in other SEEP-SRB1c MAGs [14],

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

suggesting they may represent a distinct lineage. In effort to connect these nitrogenase containing SRB MAG's with representative 16S rRNA sequences, we examined minimetagenome data from individual BONCAT-FACS sorted ANME-SRB consortia which each contained 16S rRNA gene sequences for the ANME and bacterial partner [39]. A genome tree containing deltaproteobacterial MAGs from Skennerton, et al. [14] and reconstructed deltaproteobacterial genomes from the BONCAT-FACS sorts [39] revealed one SRB genome from a FACS-sorted consortium (Desulfobacterales sp. CONS3730E01UFb1, IMG Genome ID 3300009064) was closely related to the two putative Seep-SRB1c MAGs containing the nitrogenase operon (Fig. 5). The 16S rRNA amplicon sequence (NCBI accession KT945234) associated with the Desulfobacterales sp. CONS3730E01UFb1 genome was used to construct a 16S rRNA phylogeny and confirmed to cluster within the SEEP-SRB1g clade, providing a link between the 16S rRNA and associated nitrogenase sequences in this lineage (Fig. 3). Given that Desulfobacterales sp. CONS3730E01UFb1, C00003104, and C00003106 genomes appeared highly similar on the genome tree (Fig. 5), we reassigned the previously published Desulfobacterales sp. C00003104 and C00003106 MAGs to the SEEP-SRB1g. Notably, the other 16S rRNA amplicon sequence sampled from the sorted consortium CONS3730E01UF (NCBI accession KT945229) was assigned to ANME-2b [39]. As noted above, these SEEP-SRB1g MAGs were remarkable for the presence of the nifHDK operon involved nitrogen fixation, which had previously not been an area of focus in previous analyses of ANME-associated SRB genomes (Fig. 5). A re-analysis of published nifH cDNA sequences from methane seep sediments revealed sequences that were nearly identical to the SEEP-SRB1g nifH (NCBI accession KR020451-KR020457, [8]) suggesting active transcription of SEEP-SRB1g nifH under in situ conditions (Fig. 6). An analysis of published methane seep metaproteomic data [14] also indicated active translation of nitrogenase by SEEP-SRB1g, corroborating evidence from cDNA libraries [8]. Additionally, other *nifH* cDNA sequences in this

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

study were found to be identical to nitrogenase sequences occurring in 18 SEEP-SRB1a unpublished metagenome bins (Supp. Fig. 6) demonstrating that at least some of the syntrophic SEEP-SRB1a SRB partners also possess and actively express *nifH*. Single-cell nifH expression visualized by HCR-FISH and <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> FISH-nanoSIMS experiments confirm involvement of SEEP-SRB1g in N2-fixation The dominant role of ANME-2 in nitrogen fixation reported by previous studies [8–10] motivated our examination of whether the sulfate-reducing SEEP-SRB1g partners of ANME-2b were also involved in diazotrophy, either in concert with the ANME-2b partner, or perhaps as the sole diazotroph in this AOM partnership. Using the *nifH* sequences from SEEP-SRB1g, we designed a specific mRNA-targeted probe set to use in whole-cell hybridization chain reaction FISH (HCR-FISH) assays (Supp. Table 2). HCR-FISH allows for signal amplification and improved signal-to-noise ratio compared to FISH, and has been used in single cell mRNA expression studies in select microbial studies [79-81]. Prior to this study, however, HCR-FISH had not been applied to visualize gene expression in ANME-SRB consortia from methane seep sediments. In the context of experiments with sediment-dwelling ANME-SRB consortia, HCR-FISH provided adequate amplification of the signal to detect expressed mRNA above the inherent background autofluorescence in sediments. Using our HCR-FISH probes targeting SEEP-SRB1g nifH mRNA together with the standard 16S rRNA targeted oligonucleotide FISH probes Seep1g-1443 (targeting SEEP-SRB1g) and ANME-2b-729 (targeting ANME-2b), we successfully imaged nifH mRNA transcripts by SEEP-SRB1g cells in ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia in a sediment AOM microcosm experiment (Fig. 7). Positive HCR-FISH nifH hybridization in this sample was observed to be exclusively associated with the SEEP-SRB1g bacterial partner in ANME-2b consortia (n = 5), and not observed in ANME-2b stained cells nor in ANME-2a or -2c consortia, supporting the specificity of this assay. Negative control experiments for the HCR-FISH reaction were also performed in which SEEP-SRB1g nifH initiator probes were added to

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

the assay, but the fluorescent amplifier hairpins were absent. In this case, there is no fluorescent signal in either the bacteria or archaeal partners in ANME-2b aggregates confirming that there is no native autofluorescence in Seep-SRB1g that could be responsible for the signal observed in the HCR-FISH experiments (Supp. Fig. 7f-j). We performed another control without the initiator probes that bind the mRNA but with the addition of the fluorescent amplifier hairpins. As in the other negative control, we observed limited non-specific binding of the hairpins that were easy to differentiate from the positively-hybridized SEEP-SRB1g (Supp. Fig. 7a-e). Occasionally, highly localized and small spots of hairpins were observed (Supp. Fig 7d) but these dots were mostly localized outside of aggregates and did not align with either bacteria or archaea in consortia (e.g. Figure 7d). Confirmation of nifH expression using HCR-FISH corroborated evidence from cDNA libraries (Fig. 6) that SEEP-SRB1g actively express nifH, providing support for diazotrophy in the sulfate-reducing partner in ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia. To confirm active diazotrophy by ANME-2b-associated SEEP-SRB1g, we prepared stable isotope probing incubations of methane seep sediments recovered from a Costa Rica methane seep. These nitrogen-poor sediment incubations were amended with unlabeled methane and <sup>15</sup>N₂ and maintained in the laboratory at 10°C under conditions supporting active sulfate-coupled AOM (see Supplemental Materials and Methods). Sediments with abundant ANME-SRB consortia were sampled after 9 months of incubation and separated consortia were analyzed by nanoSIMS to measure single cell <sup>15</sup>N enrichment associated with diazotrophy within ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia. Representative ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia (n = 4) were analyzed by FISH-nanoSIMS and shown to be significantly enriched in 15N relative to natural abundance values (0.36%; Fig. 8). Among the consortia analyzed, the <sup>15</sup>N fractional abundance in ANME-2b cells were often higher than that measured in SEEP-SRB1g, with ANME-2b cells on the exterior of an exceptionally large consortium (Fig. 8b-c) featuring <sup>15</sup>N fractional

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

abundance of 1.73%  $\pm$  0.14 (number of ROIs, n = 72), significantly enriched relative to that measured in SEEP-SRB1g cells in the exterior,  $0.77\% \pm 0.09$  (n = 58). This indicated that ANME-2b were often the primary diazotroph in consortia, consistent with previous reports from ANME-2-DSS consortia [8-11]. Notably, however, in one ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortium, the SEEP-SRB1g cells were more enriched in <sup>15</sup>N relative to the associated ANME-2b cells, with ANME-2b cells containing 1.34%  $\pm$  0.13 <sup>15</sup>N (n = 82) and SEEP-SRB1g containing  $3.02\% \pm 0.20^{15}$ N (n = 22, Fig. 8i), suggesting that under certain circumstances the sulfatereducing partner may serve as the primary diazotroph. This pattern suggests diazotrophic flexibility in ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia in which one partner-ANME-2b or SEEP-SRB1g-can serve as the primary diazotroph in the consortium. Additionally, a gradient in <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in a the large ANME-2b consortium was observed in which clusters of ANME-2b cells associated with the interior of the consortia were significantly more enriched in <sup>15</sup>N relative to ANME-2b clusters near the aggregate exterior, with <sup>15</sup>N fractional abundances for ANME-2b cells in the exterior of  $1.73\% \pm 0.14$  (n = 72), significantly higher than those measured for ANME-2b cells in the interior,  $2.64\% \pm 0.14$  (n = 116). Notably, no equivalent gradient was observed in the SEEP-SRB1g partner, with SEEP-SRB1g cells in the exterior displaying <sup>15</sup>N fractional abundances of  $0.77\% \pm 0.09$  (n = 58) compared with those measured on the interior,  $0.78\% \pm 0.09 (n = 62)$ . Discussion The symbiotic relationship between ANME and associated SRB, originally described by Hinrichs [17], Boetius [4], and Orphan [21], has been the focus of extensive study using FISH [5, 7, 13, 15, 25, 26, 29, 34, 35], magneto-FISH [29, 37, 38], and BONCAT-FACS [39], techniques that have provided insight into the diversity of partnerships between ANME and SRB. While these fluorescence-based approaches offer direct confirmation of physical association between taxa

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

and are thus useful for characterizing partnership specificity, they are often constrained by sample size and are comparatively lower-throughput than sequencing-based approaches. Nextgeneration Illumina iTag sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicon sequences offers advantages in terms of throughput and is rapidly becoming a standard approach in molecular microbial ecology studies. Correlation analysis performed on these large iTag datasets can be an effective hypothesis-generating tool for identifying microbial interactions and symbioses in the environment [75], but most studies employing this approach stop short of validating predictions. As correlation analysis of iTag datasets is known to be sensitive to false positives due to the compositional nature of 16S rRNA amplicon libraries [41, 42, 82], specific correlations predicted between taxa should be corroborated when possible by independent approaches. In this study, we used correlation analysis of 16S rRNA iTag data from 310 methane seep sediment and carbonate samples on the Costa Rican Margin to identify well-supported (pseudop-values < 0.01) positive correlations between specific OTUs commonly observed in seep ecosystems. Our analysis identified strong correlations between syntrophic partners previously described in the literature, such as that between members of the SEEP-SRB1a and ANME-2a/ANME-2c clades and between ANME-1 and SEEP-SRB2 [5, 7, 13, 15, 25, 26, 29, 34, 35], and uncovered previously unrecognized relationships between members of the ANME-2b clade and OTUs affiliated with an uncultured Desulfobacterales lineage, SEEP-SRB1g (Figs. 1-3). We then validated the specificity of the ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g association by FISH (Fig. 4). The specificity of the association between ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g appeared to extend beyond Costa Rica methane seeps and is likely a widespread phenomenon, as this association was also recovered from BONCAT-FACS datasets originating from methane seep sites off of Oregon, USA (Hydrate Ridge) and from the Santa Monica Basin, California, USA. Our observations of ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1q partnership specificity in numerous samples is

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

consistent with published observations of other ANME-SRB partnerships, where consortia composed of specific ANME and SRB clades have been observed in seep ecosystems worldwide [15]. Notably, the syntrophic relationship between ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g appears to be highly specific (Fig. 2), as FISH observations from sediment samples from multiple Costa Rica methane seep sites (Supp. Table 1) did not show ANME-2b in consortia with other bacteria besides the SEEP-SRB1g (Fig. 4). This is in contrast with SEEP-SRB1a which, in these same experiments, was found to form associations with both ANME-2a and ANME-2c, indicative of this SRB syntroph having a broader capacity for establishing associations with methanotrophic ANME. Members of the diverse ANME-2c lineage also appeared to display partnership promiscuity in our network analysis, with positive correlations observed between ANME-2c OTUs and both SEEP-SRB1a and SEEP-SRB2 OTUs (Fig. 2). This predicted partnership flexibility in the network analysis was again corroborated by FISH observations of ANME-2c—SEEP-SRB1a consortia (Fig. 4) and prior reports of ANME-2c in association with SEEP-SRB2 from Guaymas Basin sediments [13]. These collective data suggest that partnership specificity varies among different clades of ANME and SRB, which may be the result of physiological differences and/or molecular compatibility, signal exchange, and recognition among distinct ANME and SRB that shape the degree of specificity between particular ANME and SRB partners, as has been observed in other symbiotic associations [83– 85]. The degree of promiscuity or specificity for a given syntrophic partner may be influenced by the co-evolutionary history of each partnership, with some ANME or SRB physiologies requiring obligate association with specific partners. A more detailed examination of the genomes of ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g alongside targeted ecophysiological studies may provide clues to the underlying mechanism(s) driving specificity within this ANME-SRB consortia. Comparative investigations with ANME-2a and -2c subgroups may similarly uncover strategies enabling broader partner association, perhaps with preference for a SRB partner shaped by environmental variables rather than through pre-existing co-evolutionary relationships.

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

An initial genomic screening of SEEP-SRB1g offered some insight into the distinct metabolic capabilities of the SRB partner which may contribute to the association with ANME-2b. The observation of a complete nitrogenase operon in 3 different SEEP-SRB1g genome bins suggested the potential for nitrogen fixation, a phenotype not previously described for ANMEassociated SRB (Fig. 5). While previous work on nitrogen utilization by ANME-SRB consortia has focused on diazotrophy performed by ANME-2 [8-10], environmental surveys of seep sediments have noted active expression of nitrogenase typically associated with Deltaproteobacteria [8, 86]. In these studies, the specific microorganisms associated with the expressed nitrogenase in methane seep sediments were not identified. Prior to our findings presented here, diazotrophy by ANME-associated SRB had not been demonstrated. A phylogenetic comparison of the nifH sequences associated with SEEP-SRB1g with sequences of the expressed deltaproteobacterial-affiliated (i.e. Group III) *nifH* transcripts reported in Dekas. et al. [8] revealed a high degree of sequence similarity, with SEEP-SRB1g related nifH among the most highly expressed (Figs. 5-6). Explicit tests for nitrogenase expression using HCR-FISH and active diazotrophy using stable isotope probing and FISH-nanoSIMS confirmed the involvement of SEEP-SRB1g in nitrogen fixation. Of the 4 ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia analyzed by FISH-nanoSIMS, one had significantly more <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in the SEEP-SRB1g partner relative to the ANME-2b, while the other 3 displayed higher cellular <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in the ANME-2b partner (Fig. 8). This pattern supported our inference of diazotrophic flexibility within ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia in which either the ANME or the SRB partner can serve as the primary diazotroph in the consortium. Additionally, our detection of nitrogenase operons in the reconstructed genomes of the dominant syntrophic SRB partner, SEEP-SRB1a (Supp. Fig. 6), suggests the potential for nitrogen fixation may extend to other bacterial partners as well and merits further investigation. Re-examination of nitrogen fixation in these partnerships with new FISH probes and nanoSIMS at single-cell resolution will further illuminate

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

the full diversity of diazotrophic activity among ANME-SRB consortia and the associated environmental/ physiological controls.

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

The factors responsible for determining which partner becomes the primary diazotroph in ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia requires targeted study, but our preliminary data suggest this may be influenced in part by the relative position of ANME-2b or SEEP-SRB1g cells. particularly within large (>50 µm) ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia. Previous studies of nitrogen fixation in ANME-SRB consortia found no correlation between consortia size and diazotrophic activity in consortia with diameters < 10 µm [10], but larger consortia such as those presented here have not been examined at single-cell resolution. Additionally, consortia with the morphology observed here, in which ANME-2b cells form multiple sarcinal clusters surrounded by SEEP-SRB1g (Figs. 4b, 8), have not been the specific focus of nanoSIMS analysis but appear to be the common morphotype among ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia [31]. The frequency with which this morphotype is observed in ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia may be related to the underlying physiology of this specific partnership. NanoSIMS analysis of a particularly large ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortium (~200 µm) with this characteristic morphology (Fig. 8a-f) revealed a gradient in diazotrophic activity in which ANME-2b cells located in the interior of the consortium incorporated far more <sup>15</sup>N from <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> than ANME-2b cells near the exterior. This pattern may be related to variations in nitrogen supply from the external environment, as similar patterns of nutrient depletion with increasing depth into microbial aggregates have been predicted in modeling studies of nitrate uptake in *Trichodesmium* sp. [87] and directly observed by SIMS in stable isotope probing studies of carbon fixation in biofilmforming filamentous cyanobacteria [88]. In these examples, modeling and experimental results document declining nitrate or bicarbonate ion availability inwards toward the center of the aggregates resulting from nitrate or bicarbonate consumption. An analogous process may occur in large ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia, where cells situated closer to the exterior of the

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

consortium assimilate environmental NH<sub>4</sub>+, increasing nitrogen limitation for cells within the consortium core. Interestingly, the single consortium in which the SEEP-SRB1g partner was the inferred primary diazotroph featured SEEP-SRB1g cells in the core of this consortium with ANME-2b cells toward the exterior (Fig. 8). The current nanoSIMS dataset is small and determining the biotic and environmental factors that influence which partner serves as the primary diazotroph in ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia necessitates further study, but a reasonable hypothesis is that the proximity of cells in a given ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1q consortium relative to the consortium exterior (and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> availability in the surrounding pore fluid) influences the spatial patterns of diazotrophic activity by both ANME and SRB in large consortia. The concentration of ammonium in seep porefluids can be highly variable over relatively small spatial scales (e.g. between 50 - 300 µM [80]), and rates of diazotrophy estimated from laboratory incubations of methane seep sediment samples indicate different threshold concentrations of  $NH_4^+$ <sub>(ag)</sub> above which diazotrophy ceases, as low as 25 µM [89] to 100-1000  $\mu$ M [90–92]. In the large consortia observed here, this threshold [NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>(an)] may be crossed within the consortium as NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is assimilated by cells at the consortium exterior, inducing nitrogen limitation and diazotrophy by ANME or SRB near the consortium core. Given the importance of diazotrophy in ANME-SRB consortia for nitrogen cycling at methane seep communities [10, 89], future work should test this hypothesis with SIP incubations with <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> under variable [NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>(aq)]. The observed variation in diazotrophic activity in ANME-2b or SEEP-SRB1g cells may also be the result of phenotypic heterogeneity [93] within the multicellular ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1q consortia, in which expression of the nitrogenase operon that ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g partners both possess is an emergent behavior resulting from the spatial organization of ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g cells within the consortium. On the basis of nanoSIMS observations of heterogeneous diazotrophy in clonal Klebsiella oxytoca cultures, phenotypic heterogeneity was

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

inferred to confer selective advantage on microbial communities by enabling rapid response to environmental fluctuations [94]. Similar heterogeneity in *nif* expression by ANME-2b or SEEP-SRB1g cells may provide partners with resilience against changes in environmental nitrogen supply. Corroborating these observations in diverse ANME-SRB consortia and direct coupling of single-cell mRNA expression with nanoSIMS-acquired <sup>15</sup>N enrichment would further inform the degree to which relative arrangement of the partners and spatial structure within a consortium plays a significant role in determining the mode of nutrient or electron transfer between partners.

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

Conclusions

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

Here, we present an effective approach to detect novel pairings of microbial symbionts by coupling correlation analysis of 16S rRNA amplicon libraries with FISH and BONCAT-FACS experiments, going beyond amplicon sequencing-based hypothesis generation to experimental validation of hypothesized partnerships using microscopy and single-cell sorting techniques. Correlation analysis performed on a 16S amplicon survey of methane seep sediments near Costa Rica uncovered a novel and highly-specific ANME-SRB partnership between ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1a. This partnership specificity was then validated by FISH, and further corroborated by 16S rRNA amplicon sequences from BONCAT-FACS-sorted single ANME-SRB consortia from methane seep sediments near Costa Rica, Hydrate Ridge, and Santa Monica Basin in California. Preliminary genomic screening of representative genomes from SEEP-SRB1g uncovered potential for nitrogen fixation in these genomes. Examination of published nifH cDNA clone libraries [8] and transcriptomic data [14] prepared from methane seep sediments demonstrated that SEEP-SRB1g actively expresses nifH in vivo. Co-localization of signal for nifH mRNA and SEEP-SRB1g 16S rRNA by HCR-FISH further corroborated active transcription of nifH by SEEP-SRB1g. FISH-nanoSIMS analysis of ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g. consortia grown with <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> headspace documented <sup>15</sup>N incorporation in SEEP-SRB1g cells. suggesting that SEEP-SRB1g may fix nitrogen as well. Future work should focus on examining unique aspects of each ANME-SRB partnership to improve our understanding of the diversity of anaerobic methane oxidation symbioses endowed by evolution.

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

The authors would like to acknowledge the ROC-HITS science party, R/V Atlantis crew and HOV Alvin pilots from AT37-13. We would like to thank G. Chadwick for helpful comments and H. Yu for assistance with sediment incubations. We additionally acknowledge Y. Guan for his assistance with the nanoSIMS analysis, R. Hatzenpichler for early BONCAT-FACS experiments, and M. Aoki (National Institute of Technology, Wakayama College, Japan) for design of the FISH probe ANME-2a-828. We would further like to thank M. Schwarzkopf and Molecular Technologies for designing a set of HCR-FISH probes for *nifH* mRNA. Funding for this work was provided by the US Department of Energy's Office of Science (DE-SC0020373), the National Science Foundation BIO-OCE grant (#1634002) and the NSF-supported Center for Dark Energy Biosphere Investigations, and a Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation Marine Microbiology Investigator grant (#3780); (all to V.J.O.). A portion of this research was performed under the Facilities Integrating Collaborations for User Science (FICUS) initiative and used resources at the DOE Joint Genome Institute and the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, which are DOE Office of Science User Facilities. Both facilities are sponsored by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research and operated under Contract Nos. DE-AC02-05CH11231 (JGI) and DE-AC05-76RL01830 (EMSL). K.S.M. was supported in part by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship and a Schlanger Ocean Drilling Fellowship. V.J.O. is a CIFAR Fellow in the Earth 4D: Subsurface Science and Exploration Program.

# Competing Interests

Acknowledgements

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1. Knittel K, Boetius A. Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane: Progress with an Unknown Process.
- 616 Annu Rev Microbiol 2009; 63: 311–334.
- 2. Reeburgh WS. Oceanic Methane Biogeochemistry. *Chem Rev* 2007; 107: 486–513.
- 3. Orphan VJ, House CH, Hinrichs K-U, McKeegan KD, DeLong EF. Methane-Consuming
- Archaea Revealed by Directly Coupled Isotopic and Phylogenetic Analysis. *Science* 2001;
- 620 293: 484–487.
- 4. Boetius A, Ravenschlag K, Schubert CJ, Rickert D, Widdel F, Gieseke A, et al. A marine
- 622 microbial consortium apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane. *Nature* 2000;
- 623 407: 623.
- 5. McGlynn SE, Chadwick GL, Kempes CP, Orphan VJ. Single cell activity reveals direct
- 625 electron transfer in methanotrophic consortia. *Nature* 2015; 526: 531–535.
- 626 6. Scheller S, Yu H, Chadwick GL, McGlynn SE, Orphan VJ. Artificial electron acceptors
- decouple archaeal methane oxidation from sulfate reduction. *Science* 2016; 351: 703–707.
- 7. Wegener G, Krukenberg V, Riedel D, Tegetmeyer HE, Boetius A. Intercellular wiring enables
- 629 electron transfer between methanotrophic archaea and bacteria. Nature 2015; 526: 587–
- 630 590.
- 8. Dekas AE, Connon SA, Chadwick GL, Trembath-Reichert E, Orphan VJ. Activity and
- interactions of methane seep microorganisms assessed by parallel transcription and FISH-
- 633 NanoSIMS analyses. *ISME J* 2016; 10: 678–692.
- 9. Dekas AE, Poretsky RS, Orphan VJ. Deep-Sea Archaea Fix and Share Nitrogen in Methane-
- 635 Consuming Microbial Consortia. *Science* 2009; 326: 422–426.
- 10. Dekas AE, Chadwick GL, Bowles MW, Joye SB, Orphan VJ. Spatial distribution of nitrogen
- fixation in methane seep sediment and the role of the ANME archaea. Environ Microbiol
- 638 2014; 16: 3012–3029.

639 11. Orphan VJ, Turk KA, Green AM, House CH. Patterns of 15N assimilation and growth of 640 methanotrophic ANME-2 archaea and sulfate-reducing bacteria within structured syntrophic 641 consortia revealed by FISH-SIMS. Environ Microbiol 2009; 11: 1777–1791. 642 12. Evans PN, Boyd JA, Leu AO, Woodcroft BJ, Parks DH, Hugenholtz P, et al. An evolving 643 view of methane metabolism in the Archaea. Nat Rev Microbiol 2019; 17: 219–232. 644 13. Krukenberg V, Riedel D, Gruber Vodicka HR, Buttigieg PL, Tegetmeyer HE, Boetius A, et 645 al. Gene expression and ultrastructure of meso- and thermophilic methanotrophic consortia. 646 Environ Microbiol 2018; 20: 1651-1666. 647 14. Skennerton CT, Chourey K, Iyer R, Hettich RL, Tyson GW, Orphan VJ. Methane-Fueled 648 Syntrophy through Extracellular Electron Transfer: Uncovering the Genomic Traits 649 Conserved within Diverse Bacterial Partners of Anaerobic Methanotrophic Archaea. mBio 650 2017; 8: e00530-17. 651 15. Schreiber L, Holler T, Knittel K, Meyerdierks A, Amann R. Identification of the dominant 652 sulfate-reducing bacterial partner of anaerobic methanotrophs of the ANME-2 clade. 653 Environ Microbiol 2010: 12: 2327–2340. 654 16. Green-Saxena A, Dekas AE, Dalleska NF, Orphan VJ. Nitrate-based niche differentiation by 655 distinct sulfate-reducing bacteria involved in the anaerobic oxidation of methane. ISME J 656 2014; 8: 150–163. 657 17. Hinrichs K-U, Hayes JM, Sylva SP, Brewer PG, DeLong EF. Methane-consuming 658 archaebacteria in marine sediments. Nature 1999; 398: 802. 659 18. Hallam SJ, Girquis PR, Preston CM, Richardson PM, DeLong EF, Identification of Methyl 660 Coenzyme M Reductase A (mcrA) Genes Associated with Methane-Oxidizing Archaea. Appl 661 Environ Microbiol 2003; 69: 5483-5491.

in the Black Sea Fueled by Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane. Science 2002; 297: 1013-

19. Michaelis W, Seifert R, Nauhaus K, Treude T, Thiel V, Blumenberg M, et al. Microbial Reefs

664 1015.

662

- 20. Knittel K, Lösekann T, Boetius A, Kort R, Amann R. Diversity and Distribution of
  Methanotrophic Archaea at Cold Seeps. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005; 71: 467–479.
- 21. Orphan VJ, Hinrichs K-U, Ussler W, Paull CK, Taylor LT, Sylva SP, et al. Comparative
  Analysis of Methane-Oxidizing Archaea and Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in Anoxic Marine
- 669 Sediments. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2001; 67: 1922–1934.
- 670 22. Orphan VJ, House CH, Hinrichs K-U, McKeegan KD, DeLong EF. Multiple archaeal groups
- mediate methane oxidation in anoxic cold seep sediments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2002; 99:
- 672 7663–7668.
- 23. Raghoebarsing AA, Pol A, Pas-Schoonen KT van de, Smolders AJP, Ettwig KF, Rijpstra
- WIC, et al. A microbial consortium couples anaerobic methane oxidation to denitrification.
- 675 Nature 2006; 440: 918.
- 24. Haroon MF, Hu S, Shi Y, Imelfort M, Keller J, Hugenholtz P, et al. Anaerobic oxidation of
- 677 methane coupled to nitrate reduction in a novel archaeal lineage. Nature 2013; 500: 567–
- 678 570.
- 25. Niemann H, Lösekann T, Beer D de, Elvert M, Nadalig T, Knittel K, et al. Novel microbial
- 680 communities of the Haakon Mosby mud volcano and their role as a methane sink. *Nature*
- 681 2006; 443: 854.
- 26. Lösekann T, Knittel K, Nadalig T, Fuchs B, Niemann H, Boetius A, et al. Diversity and
- Abundance of Aerobic and Anaerobic Methane Oxidizers at the Haakon Mosby Mud
- Volcano, Barents Sea. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73: 3348–3362.
- 685 27. Manz W, Eisenbrecher M, Neu TR, Szewzyk U. Abundance and spatial organization of
- Gram-negative sulfate-reducing bacteria in activated sludge investigated by in situ probing
- with specific 16S rRNA targeted oligonucleotides. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 1998; 25: 43–61.
- 28. Nauhaus K, Albrecht M, Elvert M, Boetius A, Widdel F. In vitro cell growth of marine
- archaeal-bacterial consortia during anaerobic oxidation of methane with sulfate. *Environ*
- 690 *Microbiol* 2007; 9: 187–196.

691 29. Pernthaler A, Dekas AE, Brown CT, Goffredi SK, Embaye T, Orphan VJ. Diverse syntrophic 692 partnerships from deep-sea methane vents revealed by direct cell capture and 693 metagenomics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008; 105: 7052–7057. 694 30. Vigneron A, Cruaud P, Pignet P, Caprais J-C, Cambon-Bonavita M-A, Godfroy A, et al. 695 Archaeal and anaerobic methane oxidizer communities in the Sonora Margin cold seeps. 696 Guaymas Basin (Gulf of California). ISME J 2013; 7: 1595–1608. 697 31. McGlynn SE, Chadwick GL, O'Neill A, Mackey M, Thor A, Deerinck TJ, et al. Subgroup 698 Characteristics of Marine Methane-Oxidizing ANME-2 Archaea and Their Syntrophic 699 Partners as Revealed by Integrated Multimodal Analytical Microscopy. Appl Environ 700 Microbiol 2018; 84: e00399-18. 701 32. Treude T, Krüger M, Boetius A, Jørgensen BB. Environmental control on anaerobic 702 oxidation of methane in the gassy sediments of Eckernförde Bay (German Baltic). Limnol 703 Oceanogr 2005; 50: 1771-1786. 704 33. Girguis PR, Orphan VJ, Hallam SJ, DeLong EF. Growth and Methane Oxidation Rates of 705 Anaerobic Methanotrophic Archaea in a Continuous-Flow Bioreactor. Appl Environ Microbiol 706 2003; 69: 5472–5482. 707 34. Kleindienst S, Ramette A, Amann R, Knittel K. Distribution and in situ abundance of sulfate-708 reducing bacteria in diverse marine hydrocarbon seep sediments. Environ Microbiol 2012; 709 14: 2689–2710. 710 35. Holler T, Widdel F, Knittel K, Amann R, Kellermann MY, Hinrichs K-U, et al. Thermophilic 711 anaerobic oxidation of methane by marine microbial consortia. ISME J 2011; 5: 1946–1956. 712 36. Loy A, Lehner A, Lee N, Adamczyk J, Meier H, Ernst J, et al. Oligonucleotide Microarray for 713 16S rRNA Gene-Based Detection of All Recognized Lineages of Sulfate-Reducing 714 Prokaryotes in the Environment. Appl Environ Microbiol 2002; 68: 5064-5081.

37. Trembath-Reichert E, Case DH, Orphan VJ. Characterization of microbial associations with

methanotrophic archaea and sulfate-reducing bacteria through statistical comparison of

715

- 717 nested Magneto-FISH enrichments. *PeerJ* 2016; 4: e1913.
- 718 38. Trembath-Reichert E, Green-Saxena A, Orphan VJ. Chapter Two Whole Cell
- 719 Immunomagnetic Enrichment of Environmental Microbial Consortia Using rRNA-Targeted
- 720 Magneto-FISH. In: DeLong EF (ed). *Methods in Enzymology*. 2013. Academic Press, pp
- 721 21–44.
- 722 39. Hatzenpichler R, Connon SA, Goudeau D, Malmstrom RR, Woyke T, Orphan VJ. Visualizing
- in situ translational activity for identifying and sorting slow-growing archaeal–bacterial
- 724 consortia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2016; 113: E4069–E4078.
- 725 40. Degnan PH, Ochman H. Illumina-based analysis of microbial community diversity. *ISME J*
- 726 2012; 6: 183–194.
- 41. Friedman J, Alm EJ. Inferring Correlation Networks from Genomic Survey Data. PLOS
- 728 Comput Biol 2012; 8: e1002687.
- 729 42. Kurtz ZD, Müller CL, Miraldi ER, Littman DR, Blaser MJ, Bonneau RA. Sparse and
- 730 Compositionally Robust Inference of Microbial Ecological Networks. PLOS Comput Biol
- 731 2015; 11: e1004226.
- 43. Schwager E, Mallick H, Ventz S, Huttenhower C. A Bayesian method for detecting pairwise
- 733 associations in compositional data. *PLOS Comput Biol* 2017; 13: e1005852.
- 734 44. Lima-Mendez G, Faust K, Henry N, Decelle J, Colin S, Carcillo F, et al. Determinants of
- community structure in the global plankton interactome. *Science* 2015; 348.
- 45. Bohrmann G, Heeschen K, Jung C, Weinrebe W, Baranov B, Cailleau B, et al. Widespread
- 737 fluid expulsion along the seafloor of the Costa Rica convergent margin. *Terra Nova* 2002;
- 738 14: 69–79.
- 46. Mau S, Sahling H, Rehder G, Suess E, Linke P, Soeding E. Estimates of methane output
- from mud extrusions at the erosive convergent margin off Costa Rica. *Mar Geol* 2006; 225:
- 741 129–144.
- 742 47. Sahling H, Masson DG, Ranero CR, Hühnerbach V, Weinrebe W, Klaucke I, et al. Fluid

- seepage at the continental margin offshore Costa Rica and southern Nicaragua. *Geochem*
- Geophys Geosystems 2008; 9.
- 48. Glass JB, Yu H, Steele JA, Dawson KS, Sun S, Chourey K, et al. Geochemical,
- 746 metagenomic and metaproteomic insights into trace metal utilization by methane-oxidizing
- 747 microbial consortia in sulphidic marine sediments. *Environ Microbiol* 2014; 16: 1592–1611.
- 49. Case DH, Pasulka AL, Marlow JJ, Grupe BM, Levin LA, Orphan VJ. Methane Seep
- 749 Carbonates Host Distinct, Diverse, and Dynamic Microbial Assemblages. *mBio* 2015; 6.
- 50. Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA
- primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field
- 752 samples. *Environ Microbiol* 2016; 18: 1403–1414.
- 51. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, et al.
- 754 QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods* 2010;
- 755 7: 335–336.
- 52. Mason OU, Case DH, Naehr TH, Lee RW, Thomas RB, Bailey JV, et al. Comparison of
- Archaeal and Bacterial Diversity in Methane Seep Carbonate Nodules and Host Sediments,
- 758 Eel River Basin and Hydrate Ridge, USA. *Microb Ecol* 2015; 70: 766–784.
- 759 53. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics*
- 760 2010; 26: 2460–2461.
- 761 54. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal
- RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids*
- 763 Res 2013; 41: D590–D596.
- 764 55. Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
- 765 phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 2014; 30: 1312–1313.
- 766 56. Towns J, Cockerill T, Dahan M, Foster I, Gaither K, Grimshaw A, et al. XSEDE: Accelerating
- 767 Scientific Discovery. Comput Sci Eng 2014; 16: 62–74.
- 768 57. Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of

- large phylogenetic trees. 2010 Gatew. Comput. Environ. Workshop GCE. 2010. pp 1–8.
- 58. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
- 771 Nucleic Acids Res 2004; 32: 1792–1797.
- 59. Eren AM, Esen ÖC, Quince C, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, et al. Anvi'o: an
- advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. *PeerJ* 2015; 3: e1319.
- 774 60. Campbell BJ, Yu L, Heidelberg JF, Kirchman DL. Activity of abundant and rare bacteria in a
- 775 coastal ocean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2011; 108: 12776–12781.
- 61. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments.
- 777 Nucleic Acids Res 2019; 47: W256–W259.
- 778 62. Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, Richter L, Meier H, Yadhukumar, et al. ARB: a software
- environment for sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004; 32: 1363–1371.
- 780 63. Daims H, Stoecker K, Wagner M, Stoecker K, Wagner M. Fluorescence in situ hybridization
- for the detection of prokaryotes. *Molecular Microbial Ecology*.
- 782 https://www.taylorfrancis.com/. Accessed 15 Jul 2019.
- 783 64. Glöckner FO, Fuchs BM, Amann R. Bacterioplankton Compositions of Lakes and Oceans: a
- 784 First Comparison Based on Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization. Appl Environ Microbiol
- 785 1999; 65: 3721–3726.
- 786 65. Dirks RM, Pierce NA. Triggered amplification by hybridization chain reaction. *Proc Natl Acad*
- 787 *Sci* 2004; 101: 15275–15278.
- 788 66. Choi HMT, Beck VA, Pierce NA. Next-Generation in Situ Hybridization Chain Reaction:
- Higher Gain, Lower Cost, Greater Durability. ACS Nano 2014; 8: 4284–4294.
- 790 67. Yamaguchi T, Kawakami S, Hatamoto M, Imachi H, Takahashi M, Araki N, et al. In situ
- 791 DNA-hybridization chain reaction (HCR): a facilitated in situ HCR system for the detection of
- 792 environmental microorganisms. *Environ Microbiol* 2015; 17: 2532–2541.
- 793 68. Choi HMT, Schwarzkopf M, Fornace ME, Acharya A, Artavanis G, Stegmaier J, et al. Third-
- generation in situ hybridization chain reaction: multiplexed, quantitative, sensitive, versatile,

- 795 robust. *Development* 2018; 145.
- 796 69. Cline JD. Spectrophotometric Determination of Hydrogen Sulfide in Natural Waters1. *Limnol*
- 797 *Oceanogr* 1969; 14: 454–458.
- 798 70. Dekas AE, Orphan VJ. Chapter Twelve Identification of Diazotrophic Microorganisms in
- 799 Marine Sediment via Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Coupled to Nanoscale Secondary
- lon Mass Spectrometry (FISH-NanoSIMS). In: Klotz MG (ed). *Methods in Enzymology*.
- 801 2011. Academic Press, pp 281–305.
- 71. Polerecky L, Adam B, Milucka J, Musat N, Vagner T, Kuypers MMM. Look@NanoSIMS--a
- tool for the analysis of nanoSIMS data in environmental microbiology. *Environ Microbiol*
- 804 2012; 14: 1009–1023.
- 72. Berry D, Widder S. Deciphering microbial interactions and detecting keystone species with
- co-occurrence networks. Front Microbiol 2014; 5.
- 73. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, et al. Diet
- rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 2014; 505: 559–563.
- 74. Leone V, Gibbons SM, Martinez K, Hutchison AL, Huang EY, Cham CM, et al. Effects of
- 810 Diurnal Variation of Gut Microbes and High-Fat Feeding on Host Circadian Clock Function
- and Metabolism. *Cell Host Microbe* 2015; 17: 681–689.
- 75. Ruff SE, Biddle JF, Teske AP, Knittel K, Boetius A, Ramette A. Global dispersion and local
- diversification of the methane seep microbiome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2015; 112: 4015–4020.
- 76. Fruchterman TMJ, Reingold EM. Graph drawing by force-directed placement. Softw Pract
- 815 *Exp* 1991; 21: 1129–1164.
- 77. Moody J, White DR. Structural Cohesion and Embeddedness: A Hierarchical Concept of
- 817 Social Groups. *Am Sociol Rev* 2003; 68: 103–127.
- 78. Gu Z, Gu L, Eils R, Schlesner M, Brors B. Circlize implements and enhances circular
- 819 visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* 2014; 30: 2811–2812.
- 79. Nikolakakis K, Lehnert E, McFall-Ngai MJ, Ruby EG. Use of Hybridization Chain Reaction-

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization To Track Gene Expression by Both Partners during Initiation of Symbiosis. Appl Environ Microbiol 2015; 81: 4728–4735. 80. DePas WH, Starwalt-Lee R, Sambeek LV, Kumar SR, Gradinaru V, Newman DK. Exposing the Three-Dimensional Biogeography and Metabolic States of Pathogens in Cystic Fibrosis Sputum via Hydrogel Embedding, Clearing, and rRNA Labeling. mBio 2016; 7. 81. Imachi H, Nobu MK, Nakahara N, Morono Y, Ogawara M, Takaki Y, et al. Isolation of an archaeon at the prokaryote-eukaryote interface. *Nature* 2020; 577: 519–525. 82. Gloor GB. Macklaim JM. Pawlowsky-Glahn V. Egozcue JJ. Microbiome Datasets Are Compositional: And This Is Not Optional. Front Microbiol 2017; 8. 83. Sampayo EM, Ridgway T, Bongaerts P, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Bleaching susceptibility and mortality of corals are determined by fine-scale differences in symbiont type. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2008; 105: 10444–10449. 84. Parkinson JE, Baumgarten S, Michell CT, Baums IB, LaJeunesse TC, Voolstra CR. Gene Expression Variation Resolves Species and Individual Strains among Coral-Associated Dinoflagellates within the Genus Symbiodinium. Genome Biol Evol 2016; 8: 665–680. 85. Barshis DJ, Ladner JT, Oliver TA, Palumbi SR. Lineage-Specific Transcriptional Profiles of Symbiodinium spp. Unaltered by Heat Stress in a Coral Host. Mol Biol Evol 2014; 31: 1343-1352. 86. Kapili BJ, Barnett SE, Buckley DH, Dekas AE. Evidence for phylogenetically and catabolically diverse active diazotrophs in deep-sea sediment. ISME J 2020; 14: 971–983. 87. Klawonn I, Eichner MJ, Wilson ST, Moradi N, Thamdrup B, Kümmel S, et al. Distinct nitrogen cycling and steep chemical gradients in Trichodesmium colonies. ISME J 2020; 14: 399–412. 88. Petroff AP, Wu T-D, Liang B, Mui J, Guerquin-Kern J-L, Vali H, et al. Reaction-diffusion model of nutrient uptake in a biofilm: Theory and experiment. J Theor Biol 2011; 289: 90-95.

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

847 89. Dekas AE, Fike DA, Chadwick GL, Green Saxena A, Fortney J, Connon SA, et al. 848 Widespread nitrogen fixation in sediments from diverse deep-sea sites of elevated carbon 849 loading. Environ Microbiol 2018; 20: 4281–4296. 850 90. Knapp AN. The sensitivity of marine N2 fixation to dissolved inorganic nitrogen. Front 851 Microbiol 2012; 3. 852 91. Bertics VJ, Löscher CR, Salonen I, Dale AW, Gier J, Schmitz RA, et al. Occurrence of 853 benthic microbial nitrogen fixation coupled to sulfate reduction in the seasonally hypoxic 854 Eckernförde Bay, Baltic Sea. *Biogeosciences* 2013; 10: 1243–1258. 855 92. Gier J, Sommer S, Löscher CR, Dale AW, Schmitz RA, Treude T. Nitrogen fixation in 856 sediments along a depth transect through the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone. 857 Biogeosciences 2016; 13: 4065-4080. 858 93. Ackermann M. A functional perspective on phenotypic heterogeneity in microorganisms. Nat 859 Rev Microbiol 2015; 13: 497-508. 860 94. Schreiber F, Littmann S, Lavik G, Escrig S, Meibom A, Kuypers MMM, et al. Phenotypic 861 heterogeneity driven by nutrient limitation promotes growth in fluctuating environments. Nat 862 Microbiol 2016; 1: 1-7. 863 95. Raymond J, Siefert JL, Staples CR, Blankenship RE. The Natural History of Nitrogen

Fixation. Mol Biol Evol 2004; 21: 541-554.

864

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

#### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

Figure 1. Analysis of SparCC-calculated correlations between 16S iTag amplicon sequences (OTUs clustered at 99% similarity) from an ecological survey of 310 methane seep sediment samples from seafloor sites off of Costa Rica. A stacked histogram (A) illustrates the proportion of correlations deemed significant on the basis of pseudo-p-values < 0.01 calculated by comparison with 100 bootstrapped correlation tables (see Materials and Methods). Of the correlations with pseudo-p-values < 0.01, 18% include ANME with a non-ANME taxon (B). Significant correlations between OTUs with taxonomy assignments that are identical at the genus level (e.g. two Anaerolinea OTUs) are indicated by identical taxonomy assignment, 32% of correlations between ANME and non-ANME taxa are represented by OTUs assigned to three groups of sulfate-reducing bacteria: SEEP-SRB1g, SEEP-SRB1a, and SEEP-SRB2 (C). Stacked histograms of correlations between OTUs assigned to SEEP-SRB1g, SEEP-SRB1a, or SEEP-SRB2 and ANME OTUs, parsed by ANME subtype (D), highlights specific associations predicted between ANME-1 and either SEEP-SRB1a or SEEP-SRB2, ANME-2a and SEEP-SRB1a, ANME-2c and SEEP-SRB1a, and between ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g. Figure 2. Network analysis of the subset of correlations between OTUs calculated by SparCC [41] that are both significant (pseudo-p-values < 0.01, 100 bootstraps) and strong (≥ 99<sup>th</sup> percentile). Correlation strength is proportional to edge length and used to visualize the network (top panel) using force-directed methods [76]. Edges are black where they belong to a set of cohesive blocks of nodes [77] and gray otherwise. Chord diagram [78] visualizing ANME-SRB partnership specificity (bottom panel), with band thickness between SRB (left) and ANME (right) proportional to the number of edges between ANME and SRB OTUs within cohesive blocks. Network analysis supports (cf. Fig. 1) previously-undescribed association between ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g. Figure 3. 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree of methane seep Deltaproteobacteria and other lineages, including sequences from recovered metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) [14], iTag

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

amplicons from BONCAT-FACS-sorted ANME-SRB consortia [39], iTag amplicon data from this study, and previously published clone library sequences. Maximum likelihood phylogeny was inferred using 100 bootstraps with >70% or 90% bootstrap support of internal nodes indicated with open or closed circles, respectively. Taxa associated with SRB amplicon iTag sequences were determined from data in Hatzenpichler, et al. 2016 [39] (BONCAT-FACS-sorted ANME-SRB consortia), and by network analysis of iTag amplicon data from methane seep samples (cf. Fig. 2). Taxa in bold represent 16S rRNA sequences from MAG bins acquired from methane seep sediments [14] or from BONCAT-FACS-sorted ANME-SRB consortia, including associated iTag amplicons [39]. The SEEP-SRB1a and -1g clades are operationally defined here by the extent of matches to the respective 16S rRNA FISH probes Seep1a-1441 and Seep1g-1443. Given the low bootstrap values for divergent sequences, the true extent of the SEEP-SRB1g clade is unclear, indicated by the dashed line (cf. Supp. Fig. 5). Figure 4. FISH data targeting AOM consortia in seep sediment samples using oligonucleotide probes targeting ANME-2b (ANME-2b-726) and ANME-2a (ANME-2b-828); (in red), a SEEP-SRB1a (Seep1a-1443) probe (in green) and a newly-designed probe (Seep1g-1443) targeting the SEEP-SRB1g clade (in yellow) demonstrating physical association between ANME-2b and SEEP-SRB1g. DAPI counterstain is shown in blue. Examples of ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g (B, F) and ANME-2a/ANME-2c-SEEP-SRB1a (C, D, G, H) partnership specificity. Seep sediments harboring ANME-2a and ANME-2b (A-D) host AOM consortia that are composed primarily of either ANME-2a-SEEP-SRB1a or ANME-2b-SEEP-SRB1g (B, C, D). The absence of hybridization with the ANME-2b probe in AOM consortia positively hybridized by the SEEP-SRB1a probe (A) and absence of ANME-2a probe hybridization in SEEP-SRB1q-containing consortia (D) further supports distinct ANME-2—SRB pairings for ANME-2a and ANME-2b. Similarly, FISH analysis of AOM consortia from sediments rich in ANME-2c and ANME-2b (E-H) were composed almost entirely of ANME-2b-SEEP-SRB1g or ANME-2c-SEEP-SRB1a

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

partnerships (F, G, H); AOM consortia positively hybridized with the SEEP-SRB1g or SEEP-SRB1a probes were not observed to hybridize with probes targeting ANME-2c (H) or ANME-2b (E), respectively. In all panels, the scale bar is 10 μm. Figure 5. Genome tree of ANME-associated Deltaproteobacteria and related organisms inferred from maximum likelihood methods. Bootstrap support for internal nodes was determined using 100 bootstraps and depicted on the tree as open (>70% bootstrap support) or closed (>90%) circles. Genome bins containing a 16S rRNA gene or an associated 16S iTag amplicon sequence are highlighted in bold and with a color corresponding to 16S taxonomy assignment. Inferred taxonomy of genome bins closely related to bins containing 16S rRNA sequences are highlighted in a lighter shade. Genome bins containing the nitrogenase operon are annotated with a blue bar. nifH sequences found to be expressed in methane seep sediments in cDNA clone libraries [8] are annotated by "cDNA". As noted in the text, a search of unpublished SEEP-SRB1a MAGs revealed the presence of highly-expressed [8] nifH sequences in several unpublished bins (Supp. Fig. 6). Figure 6. Phylogeny of nifH sequences extracted from nifH cDNA (red text) and DNA clone libraries [8], from genome bins acquired from methane seep sediments [14], and from other Deltaproteobacteria genomes using a tblastn search with chlorophyllide reductase BchX (WP011566468) as a query. This BchX sequence along with another BchX (WP012180173) were used as an outgroup to root the tree. Phylogeny was inferred by maximum likelihood methods using 100 bootstraps; bootstrap support of internal nodes is illustrated as open or closed circles, indicating >70% or >90% bootstrap support, respectively. nifH recovered from the BONCAT-FACS-sorted genome CONS3730E01UFb1, a bin with an accompanying 16S rRNA amplicon sequence placing it within the SEEP-SRB1g, is highlighted in teal. nifH groups (sensu Raymond et al. [95]) were assigned by comparison with Dekas, et al. 2016, and are

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

### Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

annotated either by group number or abbreviated as follows: MSL, Methanosarcina-like; MSG, Methane Seep Group. Figure 7. HCR-FISH assays show in situ expression of nifH in SEEP-SRB1g in association with ANME-2b in methane seep sediment incubations. ANME-2b (B, G) and SEEP-SRB1g (C, H) cells labeled with FISH probes ANME-2b-729 (in red, [39]) and newly-designed Seep1q-1443 (in green) with DAPI as the DNA counterstain (A,F). HCR-FISH targeting SEEP-SRB1g nifH mRNA (in yellow; Supp. Table 2) demonstrated active expression of *nifH* transcripts localized to SEEP-SRB1g cells (D, I), supporting the hypothesis of diazotrophy by partner SRB. Scale bars in all panels are 5 µm. Figure 8. Correlated FISH-nanoSIMS imaging of representative ANME-2b-SEEP-SRB1g consortia demonstrating active diazotrophy by ANME-2b (B, E) and SEEP-SRB1g (H) cells through <sup>15</sup>N incorporation from <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>. FISH images of ANME-2b (pink) and SEEP-SRB1g (green) are shown in panels A, D, G and corresponding nanoSIMS <sup>15</sup>N atom percent values are shown in panels B, E, and H. Scale bar is 5 µm in panels A, D, G; raster size in panels B, E, and H is 20 µm<sup>2</sup>. Violin plots (C, F, I) of <sup>15</sup>N fractional abundance for each type of ROI, representing single ANME-2b or SEEP-SRB1g cells. The number of ROIs measured is indicated by n in each panel. Diazotrophic activity in ANME-2b cells appears to be correlated with spatial structure, evidenced by increasing <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in cells located within consortia interiors (E, F). SEEP-SRB1g cells are also observed to incorporate <sup>15</sup>N from <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub>, and appear to be the dominant diazotroph in the consortium shown in panels G, H, and I, with cellular <sup>15</sup>N enrichment in SEEP-SRB1g cells greater than that of the paired ANME-2b partner. Abscissa minima set to natural abundance of <sup>15</sup>N (0.36%).

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

# Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

Supplemental Table 1. Samples of methane seep sediment used in this study to produce 16S rRNA amplicon libraries. Supplemental Table 2. Newly-designed FISH probe (Seep1g-1443) and nifH mRNA HCR-FISH probe for labeling ANME-associated members of SEEP-SRB1g or SEEP-SRB1g nifH transcripts, respectively. Bolded sequence is complementary to HCR-FISH amplifier B1; nonbolded sequence is complementary to SEEP-SRB1g 16S rRNA or nifH RNA. Matches determined by comparison with ARB/SILVA SSU release 128 [54]. Supplemental Table 3. Stable isotope probing incubation conditions, sample sources and sulfide concentration measurements as a proxy for sulfate reduction activity. Supplemental Table 4. SparCC-calculated correlations (pseudo-p < 0.01) between OTUs, detailing coefficients, OTU identifiers, and taxonomy assignments. Supplemental Figure 1. Optimization of the newly designed Seep1g-1443 probe by FISH hybridization of ANME-2b—SEEP-SRB1g consortia at a range of formamide concentrations. Supplemental Figure 2. Krona chart depicting relative abundance of taxa in Costa Rica seep sediment sample #9279 (Fig. 4) as measured by 16S rRNA iTag amplicon sequencing. Supplemental Figure 3. Krona chart depicting relative abundance of taxa in Costa Rica seep sediment sample #9112 (Fig. 4) as measured by 16S rRNA iTag amplicon sequencing. Supplemental Figure 4. Krona chart depicting relative abundance of taxa in Costa Rica seep sediment sample #10073 (Fig. 7) as measured by 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing.

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

## Correlation analysis reveals new AOM partnerships

Supplemental Figure 5. 16S rRNA phylogeny inferred from maximum-likelihood methods using only full-length 16S rRNA sequences. Tree topology shown here is congruent with the phylogeny shown in Figure 3 constructed using a mix of shorter iTag amplicon and full-length 16S sequences. Supplemental Figure 6. Extended nifH tree including unpublished SEEP-SRB1a MAGs possessing nifH sequences nearly identical to some recovered in environmental cDNA libraries (Dekas, et al. 2016). Supplemental Figure 7. Negative controls for HCR-FISH experiments, demonstrating absence of signal in AOM aggregates with either only initiator or only amplifier added to HCR-FISH reactions. Supplemental File 1. FASTA file containing the translated amino acid sequences for nifH included in Figure 7 in select ANME and SRB genomes (Chadwick, et al., in prep) and transcripts [8].













