Article type: Research

Genus-wide comparison reveals divergence and evolution of the four sections within the

genus *Claviceps* are the result of varying mechanisms driving genome evolution and host

range

Stephen A. Wyka[1], Stephen J. Mondo[1,2], Miao Liu[3], Jeremy Dettman[3], Vamsi Nalam[1], Kirk D.

Broders[4*]

[1] Department of Agricultural Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA.

[2] U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

[3] Ottawa Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ottawa K1A

0C6, Canada.

[4] Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Apartado 0843-03092 Panamá, República de Panamá.

[*] Corresponding authors: Kirk D. Broders, email: BrodersK@si.edu

Abstract:

Background:

The genus *Claviceps* has been known for centuries as an economically important fungal genera for pharmacology and agricultural research. Despite this little is known about its evolution. Only recently have researchers begun to unravel the evolutionary history of the genus, with origins in South America and classification of four distinct sections through ecological, morphological, and metabolic features (*Claviceps* sects. *Citrinae, Paspalorum*, *Pusillae*, and *Claviceps*). The first three sections are additionally characterized by narrow host range, while sect. *Claviceps* is considered evolutionarily more successful and adaptable as it has the largest host range and biogeographical distribution. However, the reasons for this success and adaptability remain unclear.

Results:

Our study elucidates these factors by sequencing and annotating 50 *Claviceps* genomes, representing 21 species, for a comprehensive comparison of genome architecture and plasticity in relation to host range potential. Our results show the trajectory from specialized one-speed genomes (*C.* sects. *Citrinae* and *Paspalorum*) to adaptive two-speed genomes (*C.* sects. *Pusillae* and *Claviceps*) through co-localization of TEs around predicted effectors and loss of RIP resulting in unconstrained tandem gene duplication coinciding with increased host range potential and speciation.

Conclusions:

Alterations of genomic architecture and plasticity can substantially influence and shape the evolutionary trajectory of fungal pathogens and their adaptability. Furthermore, our study provides a large increase in available genomic resources to propel future studies of *Claviceps* in

pharmacology and agricultural research, as well as, research into deeper understanding of the

evolution of adaptable plant pathogens.

Keywords: adaptive evolution, gene family expansion, two-speed genomes, fungal plant

pathogens, RIP, ergot

Background:

The genus *Claviceps* (Hypocreales: Clavicipitaceae) contains biotrophic fungal species

that share a specialized ovarian-specific non-systemic parasitic lifestyle with their grass hosts

(Píchová *et al.* 2018). Species of *Claviceps* are most notably known for their production of toxic

alkaloids which have been used in pharmacology but are also known for their great impact on

global cereal crop production and livestock farming. Despite their ecological and agriculture

importance, little is known about the evolution of these important fungal species in comparison

to other grass pathogens such as members of the *Puccinia* (Cantu *et al.* 2013; Kiran *et al.* 2016,

2017), *Zymoseptoria* (Grandaubert *et al.* 2015, 2019; Estep *et al.* 2015; Poppe *et al.* 2015; Testa

et al. 2015; Wu *et al.* 2017; Stukenbrock & Dutheil 2017), or *Fusarium* (Kvas *et al.* 2009; Ma *et*

al. 2010; Rep & Kistler 2010; Watanabe *et al.* 2011; Sperchneider *et al.* 2015).

The genus *Claviceps* contains 59 species divided into four *Claviceps* sections; *C.* sects.

Claviceps, *Pusillae*, *Citrinae*, and *Paspalorum* (Píchová *et al.* 2018). These four sections are

distinguished based on combinations of phylogeny, morphology, secondary metabolite

production, geographic distribution, and host spectrum (Píchová *et al.* 2018). Evolutionary

differences between the sections have been identified through molecular clock dating and

ancestral state reconstruction. In general, *C.* sects. *Citrina*, *Paspalorum*, and *Pusillae* are the

oldest divergent lineages occurring at 60.5, 57.2, and 50.3 Mya, respectively (Píchová *et al.*

2018). It was postulated that *C.* sects. *Citrina* and *Paspalorum* originated in South America,

while *C.* sect. *Pusillae* experienced speciation throughout the Eocene, Oligocene, and Miocene

as these species encountered newly emergent PACMAD warm-season grasses (subfamilies

Panicoideae, Aristidoideae, Chloridoideae, Micrairoideae, Arundinoideae, Danthonioideae)

when an ancestral strain was transferred from South America to Africa (Píchová *et al.* 2018). In

contrast, the crown node of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* is estimated at 20.4 Mya and is followed by a

radiation of the section corresponding to a host jump from ancestral sedges (Cyperaceae) to the

Pooideae tribe (BEP cool-season grasses; subfamilies Bambusoideae, Ehrhartoideae, Pooideae)

in North America (Bouchenak-Khelladi *et al.* 2010; Píchová *et al.* 2018).

With such a broad host range and cosmopolitan distribution of the pre-molecular concept

of *C. purpurea* (*sensu lato* (s.l.)), the potential of a species complex has been suggested.

Multigene studies have confirmed this in *C. purpurea* s.l. with evidence pointing towards

adaptation to ecological niches, and division into 8 different lineages (Pažoutová *et al.* 2000,

2002, 2015; Douhan *et al.* 2008; Shoukouhi *et al.* 2019; Liu, M *et al. Submitted*). However, these

cryptic species contain overlapping host ranges, geographic distributions, and alkaloid

production. In addition, individual gene phylogenies showed cases of disparate results

(Shoukouhi *et al.* 2019; Liu, M *et al. Submitted*) and estimates of genetic differences between

cryptic species show relatively low average number of nucleotide substitutions per site ranging

from 0.006 to 0.025 (Pažoutová *et al.* 2015).

Claviceps sect. *Claviceps* shows the largest host range with species having the ability to

infect grasses across 13 subfamilies of BEP grasses, with some species able to infect PACMAD

grasses. Notable examples include *C. humidiphila* which has been reported on grasses across

four Pooidea subfamilies (BEP) and Arundineae (PACMAD), or *C. purpurea sensu stricto* (s.s.)

which has been reported on up to 400 different species of Pooidea grasses (Alderman *et al.* 2004,

Píchová *et al.* 2018) across six subfamilies, while retaining the ability to infect sedges

(Cyperaceae) (Jungehülsing and Tudzynski 1997). In contrast *C.* sect. *Pusillae* were not as

successful in adapting to multiple subfamilies of PACMAD grasses. In general, *C.* sect. *Pusillae*

specialized to the subfamilies Paniceae and Andropogoneae, with few species infecting

subfamilies Paspaleae, Cynodonteae, or Eragrostideae (Píchová *et al.* 2018). This is in further

contrast to *C.* sects. *Citrinae* and *Paspalorum* which show specialization to subfamily Paspaleae

and subfamily Cynodonteae, respectively (Píchová *et al.* 2018). While these latter two sections

are monotypic, *C. paspali* (*C.* sect. *Paspalorum*) is a globally distributed pathogen but has not

been reported on any other grasses (Píchová *et al.* 2018).

The reason for the evolutionary success (increased host range) of *C. purpurea* s.s. and

other species in *C.* sect. *Claviceps* is still unknown. Evolution of secondary metabolites (i.e.

ergot alkaloids, ergochromes, etc) is a likely mechanism as these can theoretically increase

fitness by altering infection potential, stress tolerance, or antimicrobial resistance (Avalos and

Carmen Limon 2015; Píchová *et al.* 2018). Ergot alkaloids have also been shown to provide

enhanced host fitness through protection against herbivores (Wäli et al 2013) and other

conditional mutualistic effects on host plant fitness, such as neutral to positive effects on seed set

and weight (Raybould *et al.* 1998; Fisher *et al.* 2007; Wäli et al 2013). However, it is unclear if

these fitness advantages are due to ergot alkaloids, fungal phytohormone production (Hinsch *et*

al. 2015, 2016; Mlynarčíková 2015), or some other functional response. Furthermore, *C.* sect.

Claviceps species share similar secondary metabolites products, albeit with some abundance

variations (Píchová *et al.* 2018; Flieger *et al.* 2019), but have been reported on a narrower range

of hosts than *C. purpurea* s.s, indicating that secondary metabolites might not be solely

responsible for the divergence of this section nor the decrease in host range.

There are many genomic mechanisms that can govern adaptation and diversification of

fungal species. Recently, Moller & Stukenbrock (2017) provided an extensive review

summarizing many key examples of molecular factors that facilitate evolutionary processes in

fungal plant pathogens. In brief, fungal adaptation is mediated by changes in genome

architecture and plasticity such as genome size, transposable element (TE) content, localization

of TEs to specific genes, genome compartmentalization, and presence/absence polymorphism of

virulence factors. It is becoming increasingly evident that variations in these factors also give

rise to one-speed and two-speed genomes (Dong *et al.* 2015). However, further research in this

field has identified that these terms are not as clear cut and often blurred, especially as new

fungal genomes are analyzed (Frantzeskakis *et al.* 2019). An additional important factor in how

fungal genomes evolve is the presence/absence of repeat-induced point (RIP) mutation (Galagan

et al. 2003, 2004; Raffaele & Kamoun 2012; Moller & Stukenbrock 2019). RIP is known to

identify repeat/duplicated sequences within a genome, greater than ~400 bp with ≥80% identity,

and introduces C:G to T:A mutations to effectively silence these regions (Galagan *et al.* 2003,

2004; Urguhart *et al.* 2018). While RIP is considered a mechanism for the control and spread of

transposable elements, it has a global effect as it controls gene duplication on a genome-wide

scale (Galagan *et al.* 2003, 2004; Urguhart *et al.* 2018). Research into the genomic plasticity of

Claviceps species is very limited with Schardl *et al.* (2013) presenting the only current

information on the topic. They stated that RIP is present across all studied members of the

Claviciptaceae (i.e. *Claviceps, Epichloe, Aciculosporium*), except in *C. purpurea* s.s., and that

secondary metabolite clusters tended to be embedded in TE-rich regions. However, this

observation of co-localized TEs was more evident in *Epichloe* species than *Claviceps* species

(Schardl *et al.* 2013). Since their study only looked at several secondary metabolite clusters and

three *Claviceps* species, it questions whether this trend is consistent across the genome and

across multiple *Claviceps* species.

135   Píchová *et al.* (2018) has provided an excellent first account detailing the evolutionary

history of the *Claviceps* genus using combinations of morphology, multigene analysis, and

alkaloid production. Since previous genomic research of *Claviceps* have generally been restricted

to secondary metabolite production of three reference genomes (*C. purpurea* s.s, *C. fusiformis*,

and *C. paspali*) there is a large knowledge gap in the overall understandings of *Claviceps*

genomes and their evolution. Our research looks to further the understanding of *Claviceps*

evolution by characterizing the genomic plasticity and architecture in relation to adaptive host

potential. To this end we, sequenced and annotated 50 *Claviceps* genomes, representing 19

species, for a comprehensive comparison of the genus. These 50 newly annotated genomes will

subsequently propel further research into secondary metabolites of the genus and perhaps help

explain why *C. purpurea* s.s. has eluded all attempts of finding genetic resistance in crops.

Results:

Genome Assembly and Annotation:

149   To provide a comprehensive view of variability across *Claviceps*, we sequenced and

annotated 50 genomes (19 *Claviceps* spp.). Including *C. citrina* the single species of *C.* sect.

Citrinae, six species belonging to *C.* sect. *Pusillae*, and 44 genomes (12 species) belonging to *C.*

sect. *Claviceps*, of which 23 genomes belong to *C. purpurea* s.s. (Table 1; Additional file 1:

Table S1). The assemblies and annotations were of comparable quality to the reference strains

(Table 1). A more detailed representation of the assembly and annotation statistics can be seen in

Table 1 and Additional file 1: Figure S1.

Overall, species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* had better assemblies and annotations than species

of other sections regarding contig numbers, N50's, and BUSCO completeness scores (Table 1).

Nearly all species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* showed higher BUSCO scores than the references, while

species of *C.* sects. *Pusillae* and *Citrinae* generally showed lower scores, likely due to their

higher repeat content (average 40.7% ± 6.6%; Table 1). Exceptions to the low BUSCO scores

were *C. digitariae* and *C. maximensis* (*C.* sect. *Pusillae*), which had lower repeat content 23.3%

and 23.1%, respectively, than the rest of the species in *C.* sect. *Pusillae* (Table 1).

Phylogenomics and genome fluidity:

The maximum likelihood (ML) tree, based on 2,002 single copy orthologs showed

statistical support for four sections of *Claviceps* with a near concordant topology to the Bayesian

five-gene phylogeny in Píchová *et al.* (2018). Notable exceptions were the placement of *C.*

paspali (*C.* sect. *Paspalorum*) which is more closely related to *C. citrina* (*C.* sect. *Citrinae*)

instead of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* and *C. pusilla* was more closely related to *C. fusiformis* instead of

C. maximensis (Fig. 1). We also found that *C.* sect. *Claviceps* diverged from a common ancestor

with *C.* sect. *Pusillae* as opposed to *C.* sect. *Paspalorum*. Our results provide support for the

deeply divergent lineages of *C.* sects. *Pusillae*, *Paspalorum*, and *Citrinae* with a long divergent

branch resulting in *C.* sect. *Claviceps* (Fig. 1). The discrepancies between our results and

Píchová *et al.* (2018) could be caused by deficient taxon sampling (Nabhan and Sarkar *et al.*

2012) or deficient gene sampling (Posada *et al.* 2016). Píchová *et al.* (2018) did observe these

discrepancies (placement of *C. pusilla*) in their maximum parsimony (MP) and (placement of *C.*

paspali) in their ML topologies, suggesting that algorithm bias could also be a factor. An

additional Neighbor-Joining (NJ) and MP analysis were concordant with our ML analysis,

suggesting that *C.* sect. *Paspalorum* is more closely related to *C.* sect. *Citrina* and that *C.* sect.

Claviceps shared a common ancestor with *C.* sect. *Pusillae* (Additional file 1: Figure S2, S3). In

addition, our analyses of genomic evolution (this study) largely agree with the divergence of the

sections represented in our ML analysis (Fig. 1).

Within *C.* sect. *Claviceps* we observed support for the separation of recently described

species from *C. purpurea* s.s. (Fig.1) (Pažoutová *et al.* 2000, 2002, 2015; Douhan *et al.* 2008;

van der Linde *et al.* 2016; Shoukouhi *et al.* 2019; Liu, M *et al. Submitted*). Overall, *C.* sect.

Claviceps showed an abundance of short divergent branches separating the species. To further

elucidate trends of divergence within the genus we tested genomic fluidity using gene families

with and without paralogs (Kislyuk *et al.* 2011). Genomic fluidity estimates the dissimilarity

between genomes by using ratios of unique gene families to the sum of gene families in pairs of

genomes averaged over randomly chosen genome pairs from within a group on *N* genomes. For

example, a fluidity value of 0.05 indicates that genomes in a group have 5% unique gene families

and share 95% of their gene families (Kislyuk *et al.* 2011).

Claviceps sect. *Claviceps*, which is composed of 12 different species, showed a relatively

small genomic fluidity (0.0619 ± 0.0019) with little variation, indicating that the pairwise gene

family dissimilarity between randomly sampled species is quite low. Interestingly, the amount of

variation between 12 different *Claviceps* species is similar to the variation between 24 *C.*

purpurea s.s. strains, however, there was a significant difference between the fluidities

(Additional file 1: Table S3; *P* < 0.0001). In comparison, the fluidity of *C.* sect. *Pusillae* (0.126 ±

0.014; *P* < 0.0001) was two times greater than the fluidity of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* and exhibited

greater variation, indicating greater dissimilarities in gene families between randomly sampled

species of *C*. sect. *Pusillae*. Lastly, at the genus level, *Claviceps* had a significantly lower fluidity

than other genera, i.e. *Epichloe*, *Trichoderma*, and *Fusarium* (Fig. 2; Additional file 1: Table S3;

P < 0.05). While genus-wide comparisons can be confounded by differences between genera (i.e.

genera age, species diversity, gene content), this could suggest an overall trend of more

conserved gene families between species in the genus *Claviceps* than the other genera.

Overall, our ML phylogeny (Fig. 1) and genome fluidity analysis (Fig. 2) indicate a large

evolutionary divergence separating *C*. sect. *Claviceps*. Species within *C*. sect. *Claviceps* and

Pusillae show signs of different evolutionary strategies governing their divergence. These results

could suggest that differences between species of *C*. sect. *Pusillae* are attributed to greater

amounts of amino acid substitutions and potential presence/absence polymorphism of gene

families (Fig. 1, 2). Due to the short branch lengths of our ML analysis (Fig. 1) and low genomic

fluidity (Fig. 2), genetic mutations and gene family dissimilarity do not appear to be factors

driving the diversification of species within *C*. sect. *Claviceps.* Our subsequent analyses of the

genomic architecture of all *Claviceps* species may facilitate determining factors that could be

associated with the evolutionary divergence of *C*. sect. *Claviceps* and those driving the cryptic

speciation.

Transposable element divergences and locations:

Transposable element (TE) divergence landscapes revealed an overrepresentation of LTR

elements in *C*. sects. *Pusillae*, *Citrinae*, and *Paspalorum*. All three sections showed a similar

large peak of LTRs with divergences clustered between 5–10% (Fig. 3; Additional file 1: Figure

S4), indicating a relatively recent expansion of TEs. The landscapes of *C*. sects. *Pusillae*,

Citrinae, and *Paspalorum* are in striking contrast to species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* which showed

varying, but generally similar, abundances of LTR, DNA, LINE, SINE, and RC elements.

Although, unclassified TEs often constituted the majority of TE content in this section. Species

of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* showed broader divergence peaks that clustered between 5–30% divergence

(Fig. 3; Additional file 1: Figure S4). The TE landscape of *C. cyperi* showed a more striking

divergence peak clustering between 5–10% that more closely resembled the landscapes of

species in *C.* sects. *Pusillae*, *Paspalorum*, and *Citrinae*. However, the content of the TE peak in

C. cyperi largely contained DNA, LINE, and unclassified TEs as opposed to LTR's (Additional

file 1: Figure S4). *Claviceps cyperi* is considered an ancestral species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps*

(Píchová *et al.* 2018) (Fig. 1), therefore, the TE landscape observed in this species could provide

hints as to how TEs changed during the divergence of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* from *C.* sect. *Pusillae*.

This suggests that the common ancestor of these sections could have experienced a drastic

genomic reduction that effectively removed large amounts of repetitive content. This is

supported through the low genome size of *C. cyperi* (26.6 Mb), the lowest of all *C.* sect.

Claviceps species (Table 1), which reduced ~67% from the average genome size of *C.* sect.

Pusillae species (39.9 ± 6.6 Mb).

To identify where genes are located in relation to TEs, we calculated the average distance

(kbp) of each gene to the closest TE fragment. This analysis was performed for predicted

effectors, secreted (non-effector) genes, secondary metabolite (non-secreted) genes, and all other

genes. Results show that non-effector secreted genes and predicted effectors of *C.* sects.

Claviceps and *Pusillae* species were significantly closer to TEs (Fig. 4; $P < 0.05$), suggesting

that these genes could be located in more repeat-rich regions of the genome. In both *C.* sects.

Claviceps and *Pusillae* non-secreted secondary metabolites genes were located farther away

from TEs (Fig. 4; *P* < 0.05), i.e. repeat-poor regions of the genome. These trends hold true for

individual strains, with a notable exception of *C. pusilla* (*C.* sect. *Pusillae*) showing no

significant differences in the proximity of TEs to specific gene types (Additional file 1: Figure

S5; *P* > 0.05). Variation existed in whether particular strains had significant differences between

all other genes and non-effector secreted genes and non-secreted secondary metabolite genes but

all species in *C.* sects. *Claviceps* and *Pusillae* (aside from *C. pusilla*) had predicted effectors

located significantly closer to TEs (Additional file 1: Figure S5; *P* < 0.05). No significant

differences in the proximity of TEs to specific gene types were observed in *C.* sects. *Citrinae* and

Paspalorum (Fig. 4; *P* > 0.05), suggesting that TE's are more randomly distributed throughout

these genomes.

Overall, these results indicate a difference in evolutionary strategies between *C.* sects.

Citrinae and *Paspalorum* and *C.* sects. *Claviceps* and *Pusillae*. Given that there is an over-

proliferation of TEs which are randomly distributed throughout the genome, *C.* sects. *Citrinae*

and *Paspalorum* appear to fit the concept of a one-speed genome model (Dong *et al.* 2015;

Frantzeskakis *et al.* 2019). This could help explain the specialized nature of these sections (i.e.

lack of section lineages and restricted host range to one grass subfamily), as similar patterns of

large genome size, abundant TE content, and equal distribution of TEs has been observed in the

specialized barley pathogen *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei* (Frantzeskakis *et al.* 2018). In

contrast, while TE abundance differs between *C.* sects. *Claviceps* and *Pusillae*, these two

sections show TEs co-localized with predicted effectors, suggesting aspects of a more rapid

"adaptive" evolution more fitting of a two-speed model (Dong *et al.* 2015; Frantzeskakis *et al.*

2019). The observed switch in genome architecture between these four sections could be the

factor responsible for the divergence of *C.* sects. *Claviceps* and *Pusillae* from *C.* sects. *Citrinae*

and *Paspalorum* (Fig. 1). Additionally, this could suggest that the shift from randomly

distributed TEs to co-localized TEs around effectors allowed *C.* sects. *Claviceps* and *Pusillae* to

develop a broader host range (13 and 5 grass subfamilies, respectively) and might have

facilitated the increased number of speciation events in these sections (Raffaele & Kamoun

2012; Stuckenbrock 2013; Moller & Stukenbrock 2017).

Genome compartmentalization:

To further examine genome architecture, we analyzed intergenic regions to examine

evidence of genome compartmentalization by plotting the 5` and 3` intergenic lengths of all

genes within each genome. Results showed that all 53 *Claviceps* strains exhibited a one-

compartment genome (lack of large-scale compartmentalization). This provides support for the

one-speed model for *C.* sects. *Citrinae* and *Paspalorum* but contrasts the two-speed model

predicted from the TE analysis for *C.* sects. *Claviceps* and *Pusillae*. Although, these results could

indicate the potential evolution towards a two-compartment genome as species in *C.* sects.

Claviceps and *Pusillae* showed slightly larger intergenic regions than *C.* sects. *Citrinae* and

Paspalorum (Fig. 5; Additional file 1: Figure S6).

To further clarify evolutionary strategies within the four sections we determined if

different genes types tended to reside in different regions of the genome. Results showed that all

species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* had significantly larger intergenic flanking regions for predicted

effector genes, indicating that they reside in more gene-sparse regions of the genome (Fig. 5;

Additional file 1: Figure S6). This is supported by the proximity of TEs to predicted effectors

(Fig. 4). Only *C. digitariae* and *C. lovelessi* (Additional file 1: Figure S6; $P < 0.01$, $P = 0.024$,

respectively) of *C.* sect. *Pusillae* had predicted effector genes with significantly larger intergenic

regions. All secreted genes for each species showed similar results and were often significant

(Fig. 5; Additional file 1: Figure S6). In contrast, secondary metabolite genes exhibited a

widespread distribution of intergenic lengths that were not significantly different than non-

metabolite genes in all 53 *Claviceps* strains (Fig. 5; Additional file 1: Figure S6).

It appears that species in *C.* sects. *Claviceps* and *Pusillae* neither align with the concept

of the two-speed model as they do not exhibit large-scale genomic compartmentalization, nor do

they fit into the one-speed model as predicted effectors are in close proximity to TEs and exist in

gene-sparse regions of the genome (Fig. 4, 5; Additional file 1: Figure S5). Frantzeskakis *et al.*

(2019) has stated that borders between these models are often blurred as many intermediate and

ambiguous models have been shown to exist in other fungi. RIP activity may help explain the

plasticity and evolutionary strategy of these gene-sparse regions rich in TEs and effectors. In the

presence of RIP these gene-sparse regions could harbor increased levels of local mutagenesis

through RIP leakage, while in the absence of RIP these regions could be hot spots for

duplication, deletion, and recombination (Galagan *et al.* 2003, 2004; Raffaele & Kamoun 2012;

Dong *et al.* 2015; Faino *et al.* 2016; Moller & Stukenbrock 2017; Frantzeskakis *et al.* 2018,

2019).

RIP analysis:

To test for RIP activity, we assessed the bi-directional similarity of genes against the

second closet BLASTp match within each isolate's own genome (Galagan *et al.* 2003; Urguhart

et al. 2018), supported by a BLASTp analysis against the *rid-1* RIP gene of *Neurospora crass*.

Results showed that *C.* sects. *Pusillae*, *Citrinae*, and *Paspalorum* had homologs of *rid-1* and

fewer genes with close identity (≥80%), potentially indicating past or current activity of RIP

(Fig. 6; Table 2; Additional file 2: Table S4). This was surprising given the abundance of TEs

within genomes of these sections (Table 1; Fig. 3; Additional file 1: Figure S4) as RIP should be

working to silence and inactive these TEs. While we did not directly test whether the TEs within

our genomes are active/inactive, the peaks in the divergence landscapes of *C.* sects. *Pusillae*,

Citrinae, and *Paspalorum* (5-10%; Fig. 3) suggest recent activity of TEs (Frantzeskakis *et al.*

2018). If recent TE activity is occurring within these species it could suggest that these LTR

elements have found a way to avoid RIP or indicate that these species harbor a less potent

version of RIP (Kachroo *et al.* 1994; Nakayashiki *et al.* 1999; Dayiere *et al.* 2001; Graia *et al.*

2001; Ikeda *et al.* 2002; Chalyet *et al.* 2003; Kito *et al.* 2003). Nonetheless, these results could

indicate that species within *C.* sect. *Pusillae* exhibit increased levels of local mutagenesis,

potentially through RIP leakage, in gene-sparse regions rich in TEs and effectors, although,

further studies would need to confirm this.

In comparison, species in *C.* sect. *Claviceps* lack *rid-1* homologs and showed larger

amounts of gene similarity, with some variation occurring across species and strains, suggesting

that RIP is inactive (Fig. 6; Table 2; Additional file 2: Table S4), supporting Schardl *et al.*

(2013). Gene pairs sharing a ≥80% identity to each other were often located near each other. On

average 27.02% ± 5.91% of the pairs were separated by 5 or less genes, while 15.95% ± 3.50%

of the pairs were located next to each other, indicating signs of tandem gene duplication within

the section (Table 2). Aside from *C. cyperi*, which showed a large reduction in the proportions of

highly similar tandem genes (7.77% and 5.7%, respectively), clear variations in these proportions

between species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* did not exist as proportions appeared to vary more between

strains.

Overall, these results suggest that the divergence of *C*. sect. *Claviceps* from *C*. sect.

Pusillae is likely due to a loss of RIP, subsequently leading to unconstrained gene duplication

(Fig. 1, 6). It could be suggested that this change further increased the adaptability of *C*. sect.

Claviceps allowing its members to have a larger host range of 13 grass subfamilies versus the 5

subfamilies affected by *C*. sect. *Pusillae.* However, factors governing the divergence of species

within *C*. sect. *Claviceps* are still unclear. A BLASTp analysis against 56 important *Claviceps*

genes including known virulence factors, phytohormone biosynthetic genes, and secondary

metabolite genes did not indicate any patterns of presence/absence polymorphism which could

help elucidate the divergence factors within *C*. sect. *Claviceps* (Additional file 2: Table S4).

Similar patterns of low TE content (~7%) with TEs co-localized to tandem virulence genes

clusters have been reported from smut fungi *Ustilago maydis*, *Sporisorium scitamineum*, and *S.*

reilianum (Kämper *et al.* 2006; Schirawski *et al.* 2010; Dutheil *et al.* 2016). The virulence

clusters in these biotrophic smut fungi were shown to be associated with rapid speciation and

incomplete lineage sorting (Dutheil *et al.* 2016). Similar disparate lineage sorting was observed

in the classification of recent cryptic species in *C*. sect. *Claviceps* (Shoukouhi *et al.* 2019; Liu, M

et al. Submitted), suggesting similar evolutionary patterns could be driving the diversification of

C. sect. *Claviceps*. To better understand the types of genes with observed duplication we

investigated gene family expansion.

Gene family expansion:

Our OrthoFinder analysis revealed evidence of gene family expansion within *C*. sect.

Claviceps as species contained more genes per gene family than species of the other three

sections (Additional file 1: Figure S7). To identify the types of gene families that were showing

expansion we filtered our families by two criteria; 1) at least 1 species in a gene family had 2 or

more genes, 2) there was a significant difference in the mean number of genes per family

between all 44 strains in *C.* sect. *Claviceps* and the 9 species from *C.* sects. *Pusillae*, *Citrinae*,

and *Paspalorum* (α ≤ 0.01, Welch's test).

There was extensive expansion (families with observations of ≥10 genes of a strain)

present in many predicted effector families, families containing genes with conserved domains,

unclassified, and several secreted (non-effector) gene families (Fig. 7; Additional file 1: Figure

S8, S9). Transmembrane gene families also showed evidence of expansion with several families

containing species with 5-10 genes per family, while metabolite (non-secreted) families showed

the lowest amount of expansion (Fig. 7). Overall, *C.* sect. *Claviceps* showed expansion in a

greater number of gene families than *C.* sect. *Pusillae*, *Citrinae*, and *Paspalorum* in all family

categories except transmembrane families (Additional file 1: Figure S10). Gene families with an

average ≥5 genes per strain within *C.* sect. *Claviceps* contained a variety of functional proteins,

with generally more of the gene families containing proteins with protein/serine/tyrosine kinase

domains (Additional file 3: Table S5). Additional details can be obtained from Additional file 4:

Table S6 (ordered gene families corresponding to heatmaps; Fig. 7 and Additional file 1: Figure

S8, S9) and Additional file 5-6: Table S7-1,2 (gene family identification and functional

annotation of all proteins).

Within *C.* sect. *Claviceps* patterns of gene counts per gene family appeared to breakdown

and contain variations in the number of genes per family and some presence/absence of families

occurring between strains and species. Notably, *C. cyperi* (CC265) showed the lowest amount of

expansion, across all taxa, in comparison to other species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps*. In addition, *C.*

spartinae (CCC535)*, C. capensis* (CCC1504), *C. monticola* (CCC1483), *C. pazoutovae*

(CCC1485), *C. occidentalis* (LM77,78,84), and *C. quebecensis* (LM458, Clav32,50) also showed

lower expansion (Fig. 7, Additional file 1: Figure S8, S9). However, no defined patterns were

observed linking the variation in gene family expansions with the literature stated host range of

different species within *C.* sect. *Claviceps*. While this disconnect could suggest gene family

expansions do not play a role in host ranges, it could also be suggested that a lack of knowledge

on true host ranges could be the reason. With the detection of cryptic speciation within *C.*

purpurea s.l. it puts into questions whether previously identified host ranges of *C. purpurea* s.s.

are correct. It is possible that host range may be more restricted in some of the new cryptic

species or conversely that the host range of *C. purpurea* s.s. is not as broad. This would still

indicate that *C.* sect. *Claviceps* has the largest host range of the four *Claviceps* sections, but it

could dispute the suggested 400+ grass species host range of *C. purpurea* s.s. (Alderman *et al.*

2004, Píchová *et al.* 2018). Extensive cross inoculation trials would be needed to more

confidently determine true host ranges for each of the cryptic species in *C.* sect. *Claviceps*. This

could then be used to re-evaluate whether there are correlations of genes or gene families to

defined host ranges for species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps*.

Discussion:

Our comparative study of 50 newly annotated genomes from four sections of *Claviceps*

has provided us with enhanced understanding of evolution in the genus through knowledge of

factors that could be contributing to its diversification. Our results have revealed that despite

having nearly identical life-strategies, these closely related species have substantially altered

genomic architecture and plasticity, which may drive genome adaptation. One key difference we

observe is a shift from characteristic one-speed genomes in narrow host-range *Claviceps* species

(*C.* sects. *Citrinae* and *Paspalorum*) to two-speed genomes in broader host-range lineages of *C.*

sects. *Pusillae* and *Claviceps* (Fig. 1).

The ancestral species of the genus, *C. citrina* (*C.* sect. *Citrinae*) and *C. paspali* (*C.* sect.

Paspalorum), are characterized by an over-proliferation of TEs which are more evenly dispersed

throughout the genome (Fig. 4). Coupled with a lack of large-scale genome

compartmentalization (Fig. 5), these two species can be considered to fit the concept of a one-

speed genome which are often considered to be less adaptable and potentially more prone to

being purged from the biota (Dong *et al.* 2015; Frantzeskakis *et al.* 2019). Rapid adaptive

evolution has been noted in one-speed genomes, primarily through copy-number variation and/or

heterozygosity of effector loci, such as that observed in *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei* (Dong *et*

al. 2015; Frantzeskakis *et al.* 2018, 2019). We found that gene duplication is not occurring in

these species, likely due to the presence of RIP. Heterozygosity of effector loci or aspects of RIP

leakage could be the mechanisms for evolution in *C. citrina* and *C. paspali*, however, further

research is needed to clarify this. It should be noted that since their divergence 60.5 and 57.2

Mya (Píchová *et al.* 2018) it appears that these sections have remained monotypic. It cannot be

ruled out that lack of additional lineages within these sections could be due to limited records of

Claviceps species in South America, where the genus is thought to have originated (Píchová *et*

al. 2018). Further research into South American populations of *Claviceps* will provide significant

insight into the evolution of these two sections.

Similarly, *C.* sect. *Pusillae* exhibits an over-proliferation of TEs. However, as this section

diverged, from *C.* sects. *Citrinae* and *Paspalorum*, the genomic architecture evolved such that

TEs began to reside closer to effector genes (Fig. 4). This proximity of TEs to effectors persisted

in *C.* sect. *Pusillae* species (except *C. pusilla*; Additional file 1: Figure S5) and *C.* sect.

Claviceps species allowing movement of predicted effectors to more gene-sparse regions of the

genome (Fig. 5, Additional file 1: Figure S6). This could indicate the evolutionary beginnings of

a more adaptable two-speed genome potentially caused by contact with new hosts and different

climates as ancestral lineages migrated out of South America (Píchová *et al.* 2018), or that the

evolution of a two-speed genome provided an advantage in adapting to new hosts or

environments. While further research would be needed to clarify this, both *C.* sects. *Pusillae* and

Claviceps have larger host ranges (5 subfamilies and 13 subfamilies, respectively) and increased

levels of speciation (Píchová *et al.* 2018). Píchová *et al.* (2018) postulated that *C.* sect. *Pusillae*

was transferred to Africa (ca 50.3 Mya), while *C.* sect. *Claviceps* originated in North America

(ca. 20.7 Mya). It is likely that the common ancestor shared between these sections (Fig. 1) had

strains that were transferred to Africa, likely due to insect vectors via transatlantic long-distance

dispersal (LDD) (Píchová *et al.* 2018). The strains that remained, in South America, likely

persisted but appeared to have lacked speciation for roughly 30 Mya (Píchová *et al.* 2018),

despite having evolved a more adaptable two-speed genome (Fig. 4, 5). Limited sampling

records could again be a factor contributing to this lack of speciation during this 30 My period,

but it could also be suggested that the ancestral species of *C.* sects. *Claviceps* did not diverge due

to a lack of diversification of host species (Píchová *et al.* 2018). It is well known that *Claviceps*

species are fungal parasites that share a rather unique relationship with their hosts (strict ovarian

parasites). The co-evolution between these parasites and host plants appears to be primarily

driven by the evolution and diversification of the host species (Píchová *et al.* 2018). This can be

inferred from divergence time estimates which show that the crown node of *C.* sect. *Pusillae*

aligns with the crown node of PACMAD grasses (ca. 45 Mya) (Bouchenak-Khelladi *et al.* 2010,

Píchová *et al.* 2018), suggesting that these two organisms radiated in tandem after ancestral

strains of *C.* sect. *Pusillae* were transferred to Africa. Similarly, the estimated crown node of *C.*

sect. *Claviceps* corresponds with the origin of the core Pooidea, which occurred in North

America (ca. 33-26 Mya) (Bouchenak-Khelladi *et al.* 2010; Sandve & Fjellheim 2010).

Our analyses suggest that the divergence of *C.* sect. *Claviceps*, from *C.* sect. *Pusillae*, is

associated with a loss of RIP and interestingly, a loss of repeat content (Fig. 3, 6). This loss led

to unconstrained tandem gene duplication within the section (Fig. 6-7; Table 2; Additional file 1:

Figure S7-S10) allowing for rapid speciation, as has been observed in several smut fungi

(Kämper *et al.* 2006; Schirawski *et al.* 2010; Dutheil *et al.* 2016). This is evident in *C. cyperi*, an

ancestral species of *C.* sect. *Claviceps*, which showed the least amount of gene family expansion

and tandem duplication (Fig. 7; Table 2; Additional file 1: Figure S8, S9), suggesting that gene

duplication could be contributing to the divergence of new species. This is evident from

increases in genome size, gene count, and closely related gene pairs (≥80% identity) of other *C.*

sect. *Claviceps* species (Table 1, 2). Within *C.* sect. *Claviceps* unconstrained gene duplication is

likely facilitated by recombination events during annual sexual reproduction (Esser & Tudzynski

1978). Future studies on recombination will be critical to our understanding of the mechanisms

driving gene duplication within the section.

These findings, along with a long divergent branch from our phylogenetic analyses and

the estimation of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* crown node (ca. 20.7 Mya) (Píchová *et al.* 2018) could

suggest that a sudden event sparked the adaptive radiation within this section (Fig. 1). The host

jump to BEP grasses could have caused the rapid speciation and genomic changes of *C.* sect.

Claviceps, similar to the suggested tandem radiation of *C.* sect. *Pusillae* with the PACMAD

grasses in Africa (stated previously). However, unknown factors might be responsible for the

drastic genomic reductions (i.e. loss of RIP and repeat content) observed in *C.* sect. *Claviceps*, as

no such changes were observed in *C.* sect. *Pusillae*. The radiation of the core Pooidea

(Aveneae/Poeae, Triticeae; BEP) occurred after a global super-cooling period (ca. 33-26 Mya) in

North America. During this period, Pooidea experienced a stress response gene family expansion

which enabled adaptation and diversification to cooler, more open, habitats (Sandve & Fjellheim

2010). As gene family expansion was observed in *C.* sect. *Claviceps* (the only section to infect

BEP grasses) it suggests that the same environmental factors that caused the radiation of

Pooideae could have similarly affected *C.* sect. *Claviceps* (Kondrashov 2012). Interestingly, one

of the gene families significantly expanded in *C.* sect. *Claviceps* (OG0000016) contains proteins

associated with a cold-adapted (Alias *et al.* 2014) serine peptidase S8 subtilase (MER0047718;

S08.139) (Additional file 3: Table S5). The crown node of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* does pre-date the

core Pooidea radiation event by around 10-5 Mya, but the 95% highest posterior density

determined in Píchová *et al.* (2018) could indicate both radiation events occurred at similar

times.

Further examination of *Claviceps* species in South and Central America needs to be

conducted to better elucidate the evolution and dispersal of the genus (Píchová *et al.* 2018).

Efforts should focus on the elusive *C. junci*, a pathogen of Juncaceae (rushes), which is thought

to reside in *C.* sect. *Claviceps* based on morphological and geographic characteristics (Langdon

1952; Píchová *et al.* 2018). This species, and potentially others, will provide further insight into

the early evolution of *C.* sect. *Claviceps* and could bridge the current gap between the

environmental factors that sparked the radiation of the core Pooidea and *C.* sect. *Claviceps*.

Methods:

Sample acquisition:

Field collected samples (Clav) were surfaced sterilized, allowed to grow as mycelia, and

individual conidia transferred to make single spore cultures. Thirteen cultures were provided by

Dr. Miroslav Kolařík from the Culture Collection of Clavicipitaceae (CCC) at Institute of

Microbiology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic. Raw Illumina reads for samples

(LM28, LM582, LM78, LM81, LM458, LM218, LM454, LM576, and LM583) were

downloaded from NCBI's SRA database. Raw Illumina reads from an additional 21 LM samples

were generated by Dr. Liu's lab (AAFC), sequencing protocol of these 21 samples followed

Wingfield *et al.* (2018). Summarized information can be found in Additional file 1: Table S1.

Preparation of genomic DNA:

Cultures grown on cellophane PDA plates were used for genomic DNA extraction from

lyophilized mycelium following a modified CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle 1987; Wingfield *et*

al. 2018) without using the RNase Cocktail^{TM} Enzyme Mix, only RNASE A was used. DNA

contamination was checked by running samples on a 1% agarose gel and a NanoDrop One^c

(Thermo Fishcer Scientific). Twenty samples (7 Clav and 13 CCC) were sent to BGI-Hong Kong

HGS Lab for Illumina sequencing on a HiSeq^{TM} 4000.

Genome assembly:

Preliminary data showed that raw reads of LM458 were contaminated with bacterial

DNA but showed strong species similarity to Clav32 and Clav50. To filter out the bacterial DNA

sequences, reads of LM458 were mapped against the assembled Clav32 and Clav50 genomes

using BBSplit v38.41 (Bushnell 2014). All forward and reverse reads mapped to each of the

genomes were concatenated, respectively. Both sets were then interleaved to remove duplicates

and used for further analysis. Reads for all 50 samples were checked for quality with FastQC

v0.11.5 (Andrews 2010) and trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger *et al.* 2014) using the

commands (SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20 MINLEN:36 HEADCROP:10) to remove poor quality

data, only paired end reads were used. To better standardize the comparative analysis all 50

sample were subject to *de novo* genome assembly with Shovill v0.9.0

(https://github.com/tseemann/shovill) using SPAdes v3.11.1 (Nurk *et al.* 2013) with a minimum

contig length of 1000bp.

The reference genomes of *C. purpurea* strain 20.1 (SAMEA2272775), *C. fusiformis* PRL

1980 (SAMN02981339), and *C. paspali* RRC 1481 (SAMN02981342) were downloaded from

NCBI. Proteins for *C. fusiformis* and *C. paspali* were not available on NCBI so they were

extracted from GFF3 files provided by Dr. Chris Schardl and Dr. Neil Moore, University of

Kentucky, corresponding to the 2013 annotations (Schardl *et al.* 2013) available at

http://www.endophyte.uky.edu. Reference genomes were standardized for comparative analysis

with our 50 annotated genomes, by implementing a protein length cutoff of 50 amino acids and

removal of alternatively spliced proteins in *C. fusiformis* and *C. paspali*, only the longest spliced

protein for each locus remained.

Transposable elements:

Transposable elements (TE) fragments were identified following procedures for

establishment of *de novo* comprehensive repeat libraries set forth in Berriman *et al.* (2018)

through a combined use of RepeaModeler v1.0.8 (Smit & Hubley 2015), TransposonPSI (Hass

2010), LTR_finder v1.07 (Xu & Wang 2007), LTR_harvest v1.5.10 (Ellinghaus *et al.* 2008),

LTR_digest v1.5.10 (Steinbiss *et al.* 2009), Usearch v11.0.667 (Edgar 2010), and

RepeatClassifier v1.0.8 (Smit & Hubley 2015) with the addition of all curated fungal TEs from

RepBase (Bao *et al.* 2015). RepeatMasker v4.0.7 (Smit *et al.* 2015) was then used to identify TE

regions and soft mask the genomes. These steps were automated through construction of a

custom script, TransposableELMT (https://github.com/PlantDr430/TransposableELMT).

Divergence landscapes for TEs in all 53 *Claviceps* genomes were generated using a

custom script

(https://github.com/PlantDr430/CSU_scripts/blob/master/TE_divergence_landscape.py) and the

RepeatMasker output results. The RepeatMasker results were also used with the respective GFF3

file from each genome to calculate the average distance (kbp) of each gene to the closest TE

fragment on the 5' and 3' flanking side. Values were calculated for predicted effectors, non-

effector secreted genes, non-secreted metabolite genes, and all other genes using a custom script

(https://github.com/PlantDr430/CSU_scripts/blob/master/TE_closeness.py).

Genome annotation:

AUGUSTUS v3.2.2 (Mario *et al.* 2008) was used to create pre-trained parameters files

using the reference *C. purpurea* strain 20.1, available EST data from NCBI, and wild-type

RNAseq data (SRR4428945) created in Oeser *et al.* (2017). RNA-seq data was subject to quality

check and trimming as above. All three datasets were also used to train parameter files for the *ab*

initio gene model prediction software's GeneID v1.4.4 (Blanco *et al.* 2007) and CodingQuarry

v2.0 (Testa *et al.* 2015). GeneID training followed protocols available at

http://genome.crg.es/software/geneid/training.html. For CodingQuarry training, RNA transcripts

were created *de novo* using Trinity v2.8.4 (Grabherr *et al.* 2011) on default settings and EST

coordinates were found by mapping the EST data to the reference genome using Minimap2 v2.1

(Li 2018).

Gene models for the 50 genomes were then predicted with GeneID and CodingQuarry

using the trained *C. purpruea* parameter files. CodingQuarry prediction was also supplemented

with transcript evidence by mapping the available EST and RNA-seq *C. purpurea* data to each

genome using Minimap2. BUSCO v3 (Waterhouse *et al.* 2018) was run on all 50 genomes using

the AUGUSTUS *C. purpurea* pre-trained parameter files as the reference organism and the

Sordariomyceta database. The resulting predicted proteins for each sample were used as training

models for *ab initio* gene prediction using SNAP (Korf 2004) and GlimmerHMM v3.0.1

(Majoros *et al.* 2004). Lastly, GeMoMa v1.5.3 (Keilwagen *et al.* 2016) was used for *ab initio*

gene prediction using the soft-masked genomes and the *C. purpruea* 20.1 reference files.

Funannotate v1.6.0 (Palmer & Stajich 2019) was then used as the primary software for

genome annotation. Funannotate additionally uses AUGUSTUS and GeneMark-ES (Ter-

Hovhannisyan *et al.* 2008) for *ab initio* gene model prediction, Exonerate for transcript and

protein evidence alignment, and EVidenceModeler (Hass *et al.* 2008) for a final weighted

consensus. All *C. purpurea* EST and RNAseq data were used as transcript evidence and the

Uniport Swiss-Prot database and proteins from several closely related species (*C. purpurea* strain

20.1, *C. fusiformis* PRL1980, *C. paspali* RRC1481, *Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici* 4287,

Pochonia chlamydosporia 170, *Ustilago maydis* 521, and *Epichloe festucae* F1) were used as

protein evidence. The AUGUSTUS pre-trained *C. purpurea* files were used as BUSCO seed

species along with the Sordariomyceta database and all five *ab initio* predictions were passed

through the --other_gff flag with weights of 1. The following flags were also used in Funannotate

"predict": --repeats2evm, --optimize_augustus, --soft_mask 1000, --min_protlen 50. BUSCO

was used to evaluate annotation completeness using the Dikarya and Sordariomyceta databases

(odb9) with --prot on default settings.

Functional annotation:

Functional analysis was performed using Funannotate "annotate". The following analyses

were also performed on the three reference *Claviceps* genomes. Secondary metabolite clusters

were predicted using antiSMASH v5 (Blin *et al.* 2019) with all features turned on. Functional

domain annotations were conducted using eggNOG-mapper v5 (Huerta-Cepas *et al.* 2016, 2019)

on default settings and InterProScan v5 (Jones *et al.* 2014) with the --goterms flag. Phobius

v1.01 (Käll 2007) was used to assist in prediction of secreted proteins. In addition to these

analyses Funannotate also performed domain annotations through an HMMer search against the

Pfam-A database and dbCAN CAZYmes database, a BLASTp search against the MEROPS

protease database, and secreted protein predictions with SignalP v4.1 (Nielsen 2017).

For downstream analysis, proteins were classified as secreted proteins if they had signal

peptides detected by both Phobius and SignalP and did not possess a transmembrane domain as

predicted by Phobius and an additional analysis of TMHMM v2.0 (Krogh *et al.* 2001). Effector

proteins were identified by using EffectorP v2.0 (Sperschneider *et al.* 2018), with default

settings, on the set of secreted proteins for each genome. Transmembrane proteins were

identified if both Phobius and TMHMM detected transmembrane domains. Secondary metabolite

proteins were identified if they resided within metabolite clusters predicted by antiSMASH.

Proteins were classified as having conserved protein domains if they contained any Pfam or IPR

domains.

Gene family identification and classification:

OrthoFinder v2.3.3 (Emms *et al.* 2019) was used to infer groups of orthologous gene

families (orthogroups). To more accurately place closely related genes into orthogroups an

additional 78 fungal genomes (Table 2) with emphasis on plant associated fungi of the order

Hypocreales were added. To standardize, all 78 additional genomes were subject to a protein

length cutoff of 50 amino acids and genomes downloaded from http://www.endophyte.uky.edu

had alternatively spliced proteins removed. OrthoFinder was run using Diamond v0.9.25.126

(Buchfunk *et al.* 2015) on default settings. For downstream analysis, orthogroups pertaining to

the 53 *Claviceps* genomes were classified as secreted, predicted effectors, transmembrane,

metabolite, and conserved domain clusters if ≥50% of the *Claviceps* strains present in a given

orthogroup had at least 1 protein classified as such.

Phylogeny and genome fluidity:

Phylogenetic relationship of all 53 *Claviceps* genomes, with *Fusarium graminearum*, *F.*

verticillioides, *Epichloe festucae*, and *E. typhina* as outgroups, was derived from 2,002 single-

copy orthologs obtained from OrthoFinder. This resulted in a dataset of 114,114 amino acids

sequences which were aligned using MAFFT v7.429 (Katoh & Standely 2013) on default

settings. Uninformative sites were removed using Gblocks v0.91 (Castresana 2000) on default

settings. Due to the large scale of the alignment maximum likelihood reconstruction was

performed using FastTree v2.1.11 (Price *et al.* 2010) using the WAG model of amino acid

substitution with the -gamma and -slow flag, and 1000 bootstraps. MEGA X (Sudhir *et al.* 2018)

was used for neighbor-joining (NJ) reconstruction using the JTT model of amino acid

substitution with gamma distribution and maximum parsimony (MP) reconstruction using the

tree bisection reconstruction (TBR) algorithm with 100 repeated searches. Nodal support for

both NJ and MP reconstructions were assessed with 1000 bootstraps.

Genomic fluidity was used to assess gene family dissimilarity within the *Claviceps* genus

(Kislyuk *et al.* 2011). Datasets containing gene families from representative members of *C.* sect.

Pusillae, *C.* sect. *Claviceps*, *Clavieps* genus, and all *C. purpurea* strains were extracted from our

OrthoFinder results. Additional species- and genus-wide gene family datasets from the additional

78 fungal genomes were extracted for comparative purposes. All section- and genus-wide

datasets contained 1 representative strain from each species to reduce phylogenetic bias. Each

extracted dataset was used to calculate the genomic fluidity following procedures described in

Kislyuk *et al.* (2011) using a custom script

(https://github.com/PlantDr430/CSU_scripts/blob/master/pangenome_fluidity.py). The result

files for each dataset were then used for figure creation and two-sample two-sided z-test statistics

(Kislyuk *et al.* 2011) using a custom script

(https://github.com/PlantDr430/CSU_scripts/blob/master/combine_fluidity.py).

Gene compartmentalization:

A custom script

(https://github.com/PlantDr430/CSU_scripts/blob/master/genome_speed_hexbins.py) was used

to calculate and plot the intergenic regions of each gene, overlay gene classifications, display

distribution of genes, and statistically test whether the overlaid genes had similar intergenic

lengths compared to all other genes in the genomes. Statistics were performed by resampling 100

random overlaid genes and 100 other genes 1,000 times for both the 5' and 3' flanking distances.

Mann-Whitney U test was used to test for significance on all 2,000 subsets corrected with

Benjamini/Hochberg. Corrected p-values were averaged per flanking side and then together to

get a final p-value. Genes that appeared on a contig alone were excluded. In addition, genes that

were located at the start of each contig were plotted along the x-axis, while genes located at the

end of each contig were plotted along the y-axis.

RIP and BLAST analyses:

For all 53 genomes a self BLASTp v2.9.0+ search was conducted to identify best hit

orthologs within each genome with a cutoff e-value of 10^{-5} and removal of self-hits. This process

was automated, using a custom script

(https://github.com/PlantDr430/CSU_scripts/blob/master/RIP_blast_analysis.py). For genes with

an identity of ≥80% we determined if the pair was located next to each other and/or separated by

5 or less genes. Fifty-six important *Claviceps* genes (Additional file 2: Table S4) including the

rid-1 homolog (Freitag *et al.* 2002) were used in a BLASTp analysis to identify the number of

genes present that passed an e-value cutoff of 10^{-5}, 50% coverage, and 35% identity. Genes that

appeared as best hits for multiple query genes were only recorded once for their overall best

match.

Statistical programs and plotting:

Statistics and figures were generated using Python3 modules SciPy v1.3.1, statsmodel

v0.11.0, and Matplotlib v3.1.1. Heatmaps were generated using ComplexHeatmap v2.2.0 in R

(Gu 2016).

Declarations:

Acknowledgements:

We thank Dr. Miroslav Kolařík for providing *Claviceps* isolates from the Culture

Collection of Clavicipitaceae at Institute of Microbiology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech

Republic (CCC samples); Dr. Parivash Shoukouhi, Dr. Jim Menzies, and Dr. Zlatko Popovic for

collection, isolation, maintenance, and DNA extraction of LM samples; Dr. Chris Schardl and

Dr. Neil Moore, University of Kentucky, for providing the 2013 GFF3 files for *C. paspali* and *C.*

fusiformis; Dr. Joshua Weitz and the Franklin Graybill Statistical Laboratory at Colorado State

University for their help in data analysis of genomic fluidity; Molecular Technologies

Laboratory (MTL) at the Ottawa Research & Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food

Canada, especially Kasia Dadej for technical assistance. For genomes downloaded from JGI

these sequence data were produced by the US Department of Energy Joint Genome

Institute https://www.jgi.doe.gov/ in collaboration with the user community.

Funding:

This work is supported by the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI) National

Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) Fellowships Grant Program: Predoctoral Fellowships

grant no. 2019-67011-29502/project accession no. 1019134 from the United States Department

of Agriculture (USDA), and by the American Malting Barley Association grant no. 17037621.

Whole-genome sequencing of LM samples was supported, in part, by funding provided to Dr.

Jeremy Dettman from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Biological Collections Data

Mobilization Initiative (BioMob, Work Package 2, project J-001564).

Availability of data and materials:

Datasets and scripts are available on Dryad: Wyka, Stephen *et al.* (2020), Genus-wide

comparison reveals divergence and evolution of the four sections within the genus Claviceps are

the result of varying mechanisms driving genome evolution and host range, Dryad,

Dataset, https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.18931zcsk. (Submitted upon publication)

Genomes and Illumina raw reads were deposited to NCBI under the BioProject

PRJNA528707 (Additional file 1: Table S1). Scripts are maintained within the GitHub repository

of the primary author's, https://github.com/PlantDr430/CSU_scripts. TransposableELMT can be

found at Zenodo DOI: 105281/zenodo3469661. All phylogenetic trees were made available at

TreeBase (ID:XXXX).

Author Contributions:

The project was conceived and designed by S.A.W., S.J.M., and K.B.; S.A.W. performed

the research, annotations, bioinformatic workflows, and analyzed the data with technical

troubleshooting from S.J.M.; M.L. and J.R.D initiated whole-genome sequencing of LM

samples; M.L., V.N., and K.B. provided management, research advice, and editorial

contributions; S.A.W. wrote the paper with contributions from all other authors.

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

Not applicable

Consent for publication:

Not applicable

Competing interests:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References:

Alderman SC, Halse RR, White JF (2004) A reevaluation of the host range and geographical

distribution of *Claviceps* species in the United States. *Plant Disease* **88,** 63–81.

Alias N, Ahmad Mazian M, Salleh AB, Basri M, Rahman RN (2014) Molecular cloning and

optimization for high level expression of cold-adapted serine protease from antarctic yeast

Glaciozyma antarctica PI12. *Enzyme Research* **2014,** 197938.

Andrews S (2010) FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Available

online at: http://wwwbioinformaticsbabrahamacuk/projects/fastqc.

Avalos J, Carmen Limon M (2015) Biological roles of fungal carotenoids. *Current Genetics* **61,**

309–324.

Bao W, Kojima KK, Kohany O (2015) Repbase Update, a database of repetitive elements in

eukaryotic genomes. *Mobile DNA*, **6,** 11

Berriman M, Coghlan A, Tsai IJ (2018) Creation of a comprehensive repeat library for newly

sequenced parasitic worm genome. *Protocol Exchange* DOI: 101038/protex2018054.

Blanco E, Parra G, Guigo R (2007) Using geneid to identify genes. *Current Protocols in*

Bioinformatics **18**, 431-4328.

Blin K, Shaw S, Steinke K, Villebro R, Ziemert N, Lee SY, Medema MH, Weber T (2019)

antiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome mining pipeline. *Nucleic Acids*

Research **47,** W81-87.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence

data. *Bioinformatics* **30,** 2114-20.

Bormann J, Tudzynski P (2009) Deletion of Mid1, a putative stretch-activated calcium channel

in *Claviceps purpurea*, affects vegetative growth, cell wall synthesis and virulence.

Microbiology **155,** 3922-3933.

Bouchenak-Khelladi Y, Verboom GA, Savolainen V, Hodkinson TR (2010) Biogeography of the

grasses (Poaceae): a phylogenetic approach to reveal evolutionary history in geographical

space and geological time. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **162,** 543–557.

Buchfunk B, Xie C, Huson DH (2015) Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.

Nature Methods **12,** 59-60.

Bushnell, B (2014) BBMap: A fast, accurate, splice-aware aligner. Available at:

https://sourceforgenet/projects/bbmap/.

Cantu D, Segovia V, MacLean D, Bayles R, Chen X, Kamoun S, Dubcovsky J, Saunders DGO,

Uauy C (2013) Genome analyses of the wheat yellow (stripe) rust pathogen *Puccinia*

striiformis f sp *tritici*reveal polymorphic and haustorial expressed secreted proteins as

candidate effectors. *BMC Genomics* **14,** 270.

Castresana J (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in

phylogenetic analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **17,** 540-552.

Chalvet F, Grimaldi C, Kaper F, Langin T, Dabousii MJ (2003) Hop, an active Mutator-like

element in the genome of the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum. Molecular Biology and Evolution*

20, 1362–1375.

Daviere JM, Langin T, Daboussi MJ (2001) Potential role of transposable elements in the rapid

reorganization of the Fusarium oxysporum genome. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **34,** 177–

192.

Dong S, Raffaele S, Kamoun S (2015) The two-speed genomes of filamentous pathogens: waltz

with plants. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* **35,** 57–65.

Dopstadt J, Neubauer L, Tudzynski P, Humpf H-U (2016) The epipolythiodiketopiperazine gene

cluster in *Claviceps purpurea*: dysfunctional cytochrome p450 enzyme prevents formation of

the previously unknown clapurines. *PLoS ONE* **11,** e0158945.

Douhan GW, Smith ME, Huyrn KL, Westbrook A, Beerli P, Fisher AJ (2008) Multigene

analysis suggests ecological speciation in the fungal pathogen *Claviceps purpurea*.

Molecular Ecology **17,** 2276-2286.

Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation precedure for small quantities of fresh leaf

tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* **19,** 11-15.

Dutheil JY, Mannhaupt G, Schweizer G, Sieber CMK, Munsterkotter M, Guldener U,

Schirawski J, Kahmann R (2016) A tale of genome compartmentalization: the evolution of

virulence clusters in smut fungi. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **8,** 681–704.

Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics*

26, 2460-2461.

Ellinghaus D, Kurtz S, Willhoeft U (2008) LTRharvest, a efficient and flexible software for de

novo detection of LTR retrotransposons. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9,** 18.

Emms DM, Kelly S (2018) OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative

genomics. *bioRxiv* 466201 DOI: 101101/466201.

Esser K, Tudzynski P (1978) Genetics of the ergot fungus *Claviceps purpurea. Theoretical and*

Applied Genetics **53,** 145-149.

Estep LK, Torriani SFF, Zala M, Anderson NP, Flowers MD, McDonald BA, Mundt CC,

Brunner PC (2015) Emergence and early evolution of fungicide resistance in North American

populations of *Zymoseptoria tritici. Plant Pathology* **64,** 961-971.

Faino L, Seidl MF, Shi-Kunne X, Pauper M, van den Berg GCM, Wittenberg AHJ, Thomma

BPHJ (2016) Transposons passively and actively contribute to evolution of the two-speed

genome of a fungal pathogen. *Genome Research* **26,** 1091–1100.

Fisher AJ, DiTomaso JM, Gordon TR, Aegerter BJ, Ayres DR (2007) Salt marsh *Claviceps*

purpurea in native and invaded Spartina marshes in Northern California. *Plant Disease* **91,**

380–386.

Flieger M, Stodulkova E, Wyka SA, *et al.* (2019) Ergochromes: heretofore neglected side of

ergot toxicity. *Toxins* **11,** 439.

Frantzeskakis L, Kracher B, Kusch S, *et al.* (2018) Signatures of host specialization and a recent

transposable element burst in the dynamic one-speed genome of the fungal barley powdery

mildew pathogen. *BMC Genomics* **19,** 381.

Frantzeskakis L, Kusch S, Panstruga R (2019) The need for speed: compartmentalized genome

evolution in filamentous phytopathogens Molecular Plant Pathology **20(1):** 3-7

Freitag M, Williams RL, Kothe GO, Selker EU (2002) A cytosine methyltransferase homologue

is essential for repeat_induced point mutation in *Neurospora crassa. PNAS* **99,** 8802-8807.

Galagan JE Selker EU (2004) RIP: the evolutionary cost of genome defense. *TRENDS in*

Genetics **20,** 417-423.

Galagan JE, Calvo SE, Borkovich KA *et al*. (2003) The genome sequence of the filamentous

fungus *Neurospora crassa*. *Nature* **422,** 859-868.

Giesbert S, Schurg T, Scheele S, Tudzynski P (2008) The NADPH oxidase Cpnox1 is required

for full pathogenicity of the ergot fungus *Claviceps purpurea*. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **9,**

317-327.

Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, *et al.* (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-

seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology* **29,** 644-52.

Graia F, Lespinet O, Rimbault B, Deguard-Chablat M, Coppin E, Picard M (2001) Genome

quality control: RIP (repeat-induced point mutation) comes to *Podospora*. *Molecular*

Microbiology **40,** 586–595.

Grandaubert J, Bhattacharyya A, Stukenbrock EH (2015) RNA-seq-based gene annotation and

comparatrive genomics of four fungal grass pathogens in the genus *Zymoseptoria* identify

novel orphan genes and species-specific invasions of transposable elements. *Genes,*

Genomics, Genetics **5,** 1323-1333.

Grandaubert J, Dutheil JY, Stukenbrock EH (2019) The genomic determinants of adaptive

evolution in a fungal pathogen. *Evolution Letters* **3,** 299-312.

Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M (2016) Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in

multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* **32,** 2847-9.

Hass B (2010) TransposonPSI. Available online at: http://transposonpsisourceforgenet.

Hass BJ, Salzberg SL, Zhu W, Pertea M, Allen JE, Orvis J, White O, Buell CR, Wortman JR

(2008) Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using EVidenceModeler and the

program to assemble spliced alignments. *Genome Biology* **9,** R7.

Hinsch J, Galuszka P, Tudzynski P (2016) Functional characterization of the first filamentous

fungal tRNA-isopentenyltransferase and its role in the virulence of *Claviceps purpurea*. *New*

Phytologist **211,** 980-992.

Hinsch J, Vrabka J, Oeser B, Novak O, Galuszka P, Tudzynski P (2015) *De novo* biosynthesis of

cytokinins in the biotrophic fungus *Claviceps purpurea*. *Environmental Microbiology* **17,**

2935-2951.

Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Jensen LJ, von Mering C, Bork P (2016) Fast genome-wide

functional annotation through orthology assignment by eggnog-mapper. *Molecular Biology*

and Evolution **34,** 2115-2122.

Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, *et al.* (2019) eggnog 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally

and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502

viruses. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47,** D309-314

Ikeda K, Nakayashiki H, Tosa Y, Mayama S (2002) Repeat-induced point mutation (RIP) in

Magnaporthe grisea: implications for its sexual cycle in the natural field context. *Molecular*

Microbiology **45,** 1355–1364.

Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, *et al.* (2014) InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function

classification. *Bioinformatics* **30,** 1236-1240.

Jungehülsing U, Tudzynski P (1997) Analysis of genetic diversity in *Claviceps purpurea* by

RAPD markers. *Mycological Research* **101,** 1–6.

Kachroo P, Leong SA, Chatto BB (1994) Pot2, an inverted repeat transposon from the rice blast

fungus *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Molecular and General Genetics* **245,** 339–348.

Käll L, Krogh A, Sonnhammer EL (2007) Advantages of combined transmembrane topology and

signal peptide prediction--the Phobius web server. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35,** W429-32.

860 Kämper J, Kahmann R, Bolker M *et al.* (2006) Insights from the genome of the biotrophic fungal

861  plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. *Nature* **444,** 97–101.

862 Katoh K, Standley, DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

863  improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30,** 772-780.

864 Keilwagen J, Wenk M, Erickson JL, Schattat MH, Grau J, Hartung F (2016) Using intron

865  position conservation for homology-based gene prediction. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44,** e89.

866 Kiran K, Rawal HC, Dubey H, *et al.* (2016) Draft genome of the wheat rust pathogen (*Puccinia*

867  *triticina*) unravels genome-wide structural variations during evolution. *Genome Biology and*

868  *Evolution* **8,** 2702-2721.

869 Kiran K, Rawal HC, Dubey H, Jaswal R, Bhardwaj SC, Prasad P, Pal D, Devanna BN, Sharma

870  TR (2017) Dissection of genomic features and variations of three pathotypes of Puccinia

871  striiformis through whole genome sequencing. *Scientific Reports* **7,** 42419.

872 Kislyuk AO, Haegeman B, Bergman NH, Weitz JS (2011) Genomic fluidity: an integrative view

873  of gene diversity within microbial populations. *BMC Genomics* **12,** 32.

874 Kito H, Takahashi Y, Sato J, Fukiya S, Sone T, Tomita F (2003) Occan, a novel transposon in

875  the Fot1 family, is ubiquitously found in several Magnaporthe grisea isolates. *Current*

876  *Genetics* **42,** 322–331.

877 Kondrashov FA (2012) Gene duplication as a mechanism of genomic adaptation to a changing

878  environment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **279,** 5048-5057.

879 Korf I (2004) Gene finding in novel Genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5,** 59.

880 Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL (2001) Predicting transmembrane protein

881  topology with a hidden markov model: application to complete genomes. *Journal of*

882  *Molecular Biology* **305,** 567-580.

Kvas M, Marasas WFO, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ, Steenkamp ET (2009) Diversity and

evolution of *Fusarium* species in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* complex. *Fungal Diversity* **34,** 1-

21.

Langdon RFN (1952) Studies on ergot (PhD thesis). Queensland University, Brisbane, Australia

Li H (2018) Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34,** 3094-

3100.

Ma L-J, van der Does HC, Borkovich KA, *et al*. 2010 Comparative genomics reveals mobile

pathogenicity chromosomes in *Fusarium*. *Nature* **464,** 367-373.

Majoros WH, Pertea M, Salzberg SL (2004) TigrScan and GlimmerHMM: two open-source ab

initio eukaryotic gene-finders. *Bioinformatics* **20,** 2878-2879.

Mario S, Diekhans M, Baertsch R, Haussler D (2008) Using native and syntenically mapped

cDNA alignments to improve *de novo* gene finding. *Bioinformatics* **24,** 637–644.

Mey G, Oeser B, Lebrun MH, Tudzynski P (2001) The biotrophic, non-appressorium-forming

grass pathogen *Claviceps purpurea* needs a *Fus3/Pmk1* homologous mitogen-activated

protein kinase for colonization of rye ovarian tissue. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*

15, 303-312.

Mey G, Held K, Scheffer J, Tenberge KB, Tudzynski P (2002) CPMK2, an SLT2-homologous

mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase, is essential for pathogenesis of *Claviceps purpurea*

on rye: evidence for a second conserved pathogenesis-related MAP kinase cascade in

phytopathogenic fungi. *Molecular Microbiology* **46,** 305-318.

Mlynarčíková Eva (2015) Delece genu CpiaaH u fytopatogenní houby *Claviceps purpurea*

Olomouc, bakalářská práce (Bc) Univerzita Palackeho V Olomouci Přírodovědecká fakulta.

Available from: https://theses.cz/id/chk7nx (Bachelor's Thesis).

Moller M, Stukenbrock EH (2017) Evolution and genome architecture in fungal plant pathogens.

Nature Reviews Microbiology **15,** 756-771.

Nahan AR and Sarkar IN (2012) The impact of taxon sampling on phylogenetic inference: a

review of two decades of controversy. *Brief Bioinformatics* **13,** 122-134.

Nakayashiki H, Nishimoto N, Ikeda K, Tosa Y, Mayama S (1999) Degenerate MAGGY

elements in a subgroup of *Pyricularia grisea*: a possible example of successful capture of a

genetic invader by a fungal genome. *Molecular and General Genetics* **261,** 958–966.

Neubauer L, Dopstadt J, Humpf H-U, Tudzynski P (2016) Identification and characterization of

the ergochrome gene cluster in the plant pathogenic fungus *Claviceps purpurea*. *Fungal

Biology and Biotechnology* **3,** 2.

Nielsen H (2017) Predicting secretory proteins with SignalP In: *Protein function prediction* (eds.

Kihara D). *Methods in Molecular Biology* **1611** Humana Press, New York, NY.

Nurk S, Bankevich A, Antipov D, *et al.* (2013) Assembling genomes and mini-metagenomes

from highly chimeric reads. *Research in Computational Molecular Biology RECOMB 2013

Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 7821 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Oeser B, Heidrich PM, Muller U, Tudzynski P, Tenberge KB (2002) Polygalacturonase is a

pathogenicity factor in the *Claviceps purpurea*/rye interaction. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*

36, 176-186.

Oeser B, Kind S, Schurack S, Schmutzer T, Tudzynski P, Hinsch J (2017) Cross-talk of the

biotrophic pathogen *Claviceps purpurea* and its host *Secale cereal*. *BMC Genomics* **18,** 273.

Palmer J, Stajich J (2019) nextgenusfs/funannotate: funannotate v1.6.0 (Version 1.6.0) Zenodo

DOI: 105281/zenodo3354704.

Pažoutová S, Olšovská J, Linka M, Kolínská R, Flieger M (2000) Chemoraces and habitat

specialization of *Claviceps purpurea* populations. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*

66, 5419–5425.

Pažoutová S, Pešicová K, Chudíčková M, Šrůtka P, Kolařík M 2015 Delimitation of cryptic

species inside *Claviceps purpurea*. *Fungal Biology* **119,** 7–26.

Pažoutová S, Raybould AF, Honzátko A, Kolínská R (2002) Specialised population of *Claviceps*

purpurea from salt marsh *Spartina* species. *Mycological Research* **106,** 210–214.

Pichova K, Pazoutova S, Kostovcik M, Chudickova M, Stodulokva E, Novak P, Flieger M, van

der Linde E, Kolarik M (2018) Evolutionat histroy of ergot with a new infrageneric

classificiation (Hypocreales: Clavicipitaceae: *Claviceps*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and*

Evolution **123,** 73-87.

Poppe S, Dorcheimer L, Happel P, Stukenbrock EH (2015) Rapidly evolving genes are key

players in host specialization and virulence of the fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*

(*Mycosphaerella graminicola*). *PLoS Pathogens* **11,** e1005055.

Posada D (2016) Phylogenomics for systemic biology. *Systematic Biology* **65,** 353-6.

Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP (2010) FastTree 2 – approximately maximum-likelihood trees for

large alignments. *PLoS ONE* **5,** e9490.

Raffaele S, Kamoun S (2012) Genome evolution in filamentous plant pathogens: why bigger can

be better. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **10,** 417–430.

Raybould AF, Gray AJ, Clarke RT (1998) The long-term epidemic of *Claviceps purpurea* on

Spartina anglica in Poole Harbour: pattern of infection, effects on seed production and the

role of *Fusarium heterosporum*. *New Phytologist* **138,** 497–505.

Rep M, Kistler HC (2010) The genomic organization of plant pathogenicity in *Fusarium* species.

Current Opinion in Plant Biology **13,** 420-426.

Rolke Y, Tudzynski P (2008) The small GTPase Rac and the p21-activated kinase Cla4 in

Claviceps purpurea: interaction and impact on polarity, development, and pathogenicity.

Molecular Microbiology **68,** 405-423.

Sandve SR, Fjellheim S (2010) Did gene family expansions during the Eocene- Oligocene

boundary climate cooling play a role in Pooideae adaptation to cool climates? *Molecular*

Ecology **19,** 2075–2088.

Schardl CL, Young CA, Hesse U, *et al*. (2013) Plant-symbiotic fungi as chemical engineers:

multi-genome analysis of the Clavicipitaceae reveals dynamics of alkaloid loci. *PLoS*

Genetics **9,** e1003323.

Scheffer J, Chen C, Heidrich P, Dickman MB, Tudzynski P (2005a) A CDC42 homologue in

Claviceps purpurea is involved in vegetative differentiation and is essential for

pathogenicity. *Eukaryotic Cell* **4,** 1228-1238.

Scheffer J, Ziv C, Yarden O, Tudzynski P (2005b) The COT1 homologue CPCOT1 regulates

polar growth and branching and is essential for pathogenicity in *Claviceps purpurea*. *Fungal*

Genetics and Biology **42,** 107-118.

Schirawski J, Mannhaupt G, Munch K *et al.* (2010) Pathogenicity determinants in smut fungi

revealed by genome comparison. *Science* **330,** 1546–1548.

Shoukouhi P, Hicks C, Menzies JG, Popovic Z, Chen W, Seifert KA, Assabgui R, Liu M (2019)

Phylogeny of Canadian ergot fungi and a detection assay by real-time polymerase chain

reaction Mycologia **111,** 1-13.

Smit AFA, Hubley R (2015) RepeatModeler Open-10. Available online at:

http://wwwrepeatmaskerorg.

Smit AFA, Hubley R, Green P (2015) RepeatMasker Open-40. Available online at:

http://wwwrepeatmaskerorg.

Sperchneider J, Gardiner DM, Thatcher LF, Lyons R, Singh KB, Manners JM, and Taylor JM

(2015) Genome-wide analysis in three *Fusarium* pathogens identifies rapidly evolving

chromosomes and genes associated with pathogenicity. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **7,**

1613-1627.

Sperschneider J, Dobbs PN, Gardiner DM, Singh KB, Taylor JM (2018) Improved prediction of

fungal effector proteins from secretomes with EffectorP 2.0. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **19,**

2094-2110.

Steinbiss S, Willhoeft U, Gremme G, Kurtz S (2009) Fine-grained annotation and classification

of de novo predicted LTR retrotransposons. *Nucleic Acids Research* **37,** 7002–7013.

Stukenbrock EH (2013) Evolution, selection and isolation: a genomic view of speciation in

fungal plant pathogens. *New Phytologist* **199,** 895–907.

Stukenbrock EH, Dutheil JY (2017) Comparison of finescale recombination maps in fungal plant

pathogens reveals dynamic recombination landscapes and intragenic hotspots. *Genetics* **208,**

1209-1229.

Sudhir K, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary

Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35,** 1547-

1549.

Ter-Hovhannisyan V, Lomsadze A, Chernoff YO, Borodovsky M (2008) Gene prediction in

novel fungal genomes using an ab initio algorithm with unsupervised training. *Genome*

Research **18,** 1979-1990.

Testa A, Hane JK, Ellwood SR, Oliver RP (2015) CodingQuarry: highly accurate hidden markov

model gene prediction in fungal genomes using RNA-seq transcripts. *BMC Genomics* **16,**

170.

Testa A, Oliver R, Hane J (2015) Overview of genomic and bioinformatic resources for

Zymoseptoria tritici. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **79,** 13-16.

Urguhart AS, Mondo SJ, Makela MR *et al.* (2018) Genomic and genetic insights into a

cosmopolitan fungus, *Paecilomyces variotii* (Eurotiales). *Frontiers in Microbiology* **9,** 3058.

Van der Linde EJ, Pešicová K, Pažoutová S, Stodůlková E, Flieger M, Kolařík M (2016) Ergot

species of the *Claviceps purpurea* group from South Africa. *Fungal Biology* **120,** 917-930.

Wäli PP, Wäli PR, Saikkonen K, Tuomi J (2013) Is the pathogenic ergot fungus a conditional

defensive mutualist for its host grass? *PLoS ONE* **8,** e69249.

Watanabe M, Yonezawa T, Lee K, Kumagai S, Sugita-Konishi Y, Goto K, Hara-Kudo Y

(2011) Molecular phylogeny of the higher and lower taxonomy of the *Fusarium* genus and

differences in the evolutionary histories of multiple genes. *BMC Evol Biol* **11,** 322.

Waterhouse RM, Seppey M, Simao FA, Manni M, Ioannidis P, Klioutchnikov G, Kriventseva

EV, Zdobnov EM (2018) BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction

and phylogenomics. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35,** 543-548.

Wingfield BD, Miao L, Nguyen HDT, *et al.* (2018) Nine draft genome sequences of *Claviceps*

purpurea s.lat., including *C. arundinis*, *C. humidiphila*, and *C.* cf. *spartinae*,

pseudomolecules for the pitch canker pathogen *Fusarium circinatum*, draft genome of

Davidsoniella eucalypti, Grosmannia galeiformis, Quambalaria eucalypti, and

Teratosphaeria destructans. IMA Fungus **9,** 401-418.

Wu B, Macielog AI, Hao W (2017) Origin and spread of spliceosomal introns: insights from the

fungal clade *Zymoseptoria. Genome Biology and Evolution* **9,** 2658-2667.

Xu Z, Wang H (2007) LTR_FINDER: an efficient tool for the prediction of full-length LTR

retrotransposons. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35,** W265–268.

1022

1023   **Figure Captions:**

1024

1025   **Figure 1:** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic reconstruction of the *Claviceps* genus using amino

1026   acid sequences of 2,002 single copy orthologs with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Pink dots at

1027   branches represent bootstrap values ≥95. Arrows and descriptions indicate changes in genomic

1028   architecture between *Claviceps* sections that will be described in this study.

1029

Figure 2: Genomic fluidity (dashed lines) for specified groups within the order Hypocreales.

Species level groups contain multiple isolates of a given species, while section and genus level

groups contain one strain from representative species to remove phylogenetic bias. Shaded

regions represent standard error and were determined from total variance, containing both the

variance due to the limited number of samples genomes and the variance due to subsampling

within the sample of genomes. Letters correspond to significant difference between fluidities

determined through a two-sided two-sample z-test ($P < 0.05$; Additional file 1: Table S3)

(Kislyuk *et al.* 2011).

1038

Figure 3: Transposable element (TE) fragment divergence landscapes for representative species

of each *Claviceps* section; *C. purpurea* 20.1 (*C.* sect. *Claviceps*), *C. maximensis* CCC398 (*C.*

sect. *Pusillae*), *C. paspali* RRC1481 (*C.* sect. *Paspalorum*), and *C. citrina* (*C.* sect. *Citrinae*).

Stacked bar graphs show the non-normalized sequence length occupied in each genome (y-axis)

for each TE type based on their percent divergence (x-axis) from their corresponding consensus

sequence. Landscape for all remaining species can be seen in Additional file 1: Figure S4.

1045

Figure 4: Boxplot distributions of predicted effectors, secreted (non-effectors), secondary

metabolite (non-secreted) genes and other genes (i.e. genes that are not effectors, secreted, or

secondary metabolite genes) in *Claviceps* sections showing the mean distance (kbp) of each gene

to the closest transposable element fragment (5' and 3' flanking distances were averaged

together). Kruskal Wallis (*P*-value; * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001, n.s. = not significant).

Pairwise comparison was performed with two-sided Mann-Whitney U-test with

Benjamini/Hochberg multitest correction. Letters correspond to significant differences between

gene categories within sections (*P* < 0.05). Plots for all individual species can been seen in

Additional file 1: Figure S5.

1055

Figure 5: 5' and 3' intergenic region size (y- and x-axis) of representative isolates of each of the

four sections within the *Claviceps* genus; *C, purpurea* 20.1 (*C.* sect. *Claviceps*), *C. maximensis*

CCC398 (*C.* sect. *Pusillae*), *C. paspali* RRC1481 (*C.* sect. *Paspalorum*), and *C. citrina* (*C.* sect.

Citrinae). First genes of contigs are plotted along the bottom of the x-axis and genes at the end of

each contig are plotted along the y-axis. Colored hexbins indicate the intergenic lengths of all

genes with color-code indicating the frequency distribution (gene counts) according to the legend

on the right. Overlaid markers indicate specific gene types corresponding to legends in the top

right within each plot. Frequency distributions of specific gene types (corresponding legend

color) and all other genes not of the specific type (black) are plotted along the x- and y-axis. For

information on statistical test see Methods and for plots of all remaining species see Additional

file 1: Figure S6.

1067

1068   **Figure 6:** Representative isolates of each *Claviceps* species showing the fraction of orthologs (y-

1069   axis) within each isolate (z-axis) at a given percent identity (x-axis) from self BLASTp results.

1070   Two *C. purpruea* s.s. isolates are shown to compare newly sequenced versus the reference.

1071

Figure 7: Heatmap of gene counts in gene families (orthogroups) for all 53 *Claviceps* strains

ordered based on ML tree in Fig. 1 and separated by sections. Gene families are separated based

on their classification and are only represented once (i.e. metabolite families shown are those that

are not already classified into the effector or secreted families) and are ordered based on

hierarchical clustering, see Additional file 4: Table S6 for list of gene families corresponding to

the order shown in the heatmaps. The host spectrum of each isolate is shown on the left side of

the figure determined in Píchová *et al.* (2018) and Miao, L *et al.* (*Submitted*). For heatmap of

conserved domains see Additional file 1: Figure S8 and for unclassified gene families see

Additional file 1: Figure S9.

Table 1: Assembly and annotations statistics for the three reference *Claviceps* genomes and the 50 *Claviceps* genomes used in this study.

Organism	Strain	Section	Read Coverage	Genome size (Mb)	Contig (#)	N50	Genomic GC (%)	Masked repeats (%)	Gene count	BUSCO Completeness Dikarya	Sordario-myceta	Conserved domains	MEROPs	CAZY-mes	2° meta-bolites	Trans-membrane	Secreted	Effectors
References:																		
C. purpruea	20.1	Claviceps	--	32.1	1,442[†]	46,498[†]	51.6%	12.1%	8,703	95.30%	94.70%	6560	255	243	321	1114	547	199
C. fusiformis	PRL1980	Pusillae	--	52.3	6,930	19,980	37.3%	50.0%	9,304	96.70%	94.90%	6178	249	244	227	1315	521	152
C. paspali	RRC1481	Paspalorum	--	28.9	2,304	26,898	47.7%	21.8%	8,400	94.30%	93.30%	5943	235	230	185	1216	565	196
This study:																		
C. purpruea	Clav04	Claviceps	46x	31.8	3,288	21,051	51.7%	11.6%	8,824	95.50%	94.10%	6233	246	231	199	1145	557	221
C. purpruea	Clav26	Claviceps	59x	30.8	1,361	49,697	51.7%	10.4%	8,737	97.70%	96.50%	6221	263	229	255	1158	592	226
C. purpruea	Clav46	Claviceps	58x	30.8	1,409	49,302	51.7%	11.0%	8,597	98.00%	96.60%	6181	266	229	281	1151	560	198
C. purpruea	Clav55	Claviceps	59x	30.7	1,525	44,299	51.8%	11.1%	8,480	97.10%	95.90%	6113	260	231	253	1166	553	195
C. purpruea	LM4	Claviceps	64x	30.6	1,296	47,441	51.8%	11.3%	8,470	97.00%	95.80%	6132	249	233	230	1149	584	231
C. purpruea	LM5	Claviceps	67x	30.5	1,258	51,505	51.8%	10.3%	8,508	96.90%	95.50%	6179	257	231	287	1154	547	193
C. purpruea	LM14	Claviceps	49x	30.6	1,297	49,955	51.8%	11.3%	8,422	97.40%	95.60%	6132	259	227	273	1164	529	172
C. purpruea	LM28	Claviceps	49x	30.6	1,343	51,635	51.7%	10.8%	8,713	97.30%	96.10%	6260	254	233	279	1156	540	188
C. purpruea	LM30	Claviceps	64x	30.6	1,224	51,374	51.8%	10.7%	8,526	97.00%	95.50%	6167	262	229	268	1156	588	220
C. purpruea	LM33	Claviceps	45x	30.5	1,398	44,564	51.8%	10.5%	8,557	96.30%	95.50%	6193	263	226	264	1143	597	237
C. purpruea	LM39	Claviceps	81x	30.5	1,282	48,443	51.8%	11.4%	8,591	97.10%	96.10%	6156	265	232	256	1150	584	228
C. purpruea	LM46	Claviceps	79x	30.6	1,291	50,932	51.8%	10.9%	8,455	97.00%	95.80%	6125	261	225	250	1158	554	201
C. purpruea	LM60	Claviceps	81x	30.6	1,259	47,464	51.7%	10.6%	8,498	97.00%	95.80%	6126	256	231	290	1147	553	195
C. purpruea	LM71	Claviceps	168x	30.5	1,400	45,114	51.8%	10.9%	8,472	97.10%	95.60%	6095	240	221	248	1152	550	219
C. purpruea	LM207	Claviceps	53x	30.5	1,352	45,388	51.8%	10.5%	8,475	97.00%	95.70%	6120	259	220	251	1140	571	224
C. purpruea	LM223	Claviceps	74x	30.8	1,297	46,577	51.7%	11.8%	8,438	97.00%	95.70%	6076	234	230	250	1146	564	214
C. purpruea	LM232	Claviceps	53x	30.7	1,348	49,571	51.7%	10.7%	8,512	96.60%	95.70%	6169	260	232	284	1156	555	199
C. purpruea	LM233	Claviceps	49x	30.6	1,331	50,327	51.8%	11.2%	8,717	96.70%	95.90%	6294	264	230	266	1162	570	207
C. purpruea	LM461	Claviceps	37x	30.5	1,440	44,216	51.8%	9.7%	8,656	96.60%	95.20%	6223	237	231	246	1154	550	210
C. purpruea	LM469	Claviceps	75x	30.5	1,257	48,403	51.8%	11.4%	8,394	97.30%	96.00%	6106	255	229	273	1148	542	185
C. purpruea	LM470	Claviceps	26x	30.5	1,797	32,579	51.8%	10.2%	8,591	96.50%	95.30%	6195	256	223	210	1144	533	184
C. purpruea	LM474	Claviceps	64x	30.6	1,354	47,245	51.8%	10.7%	8,500	96.80%	95.70%	6118	242	222	290	1162	522	191
C. purpruea	LM582	Claviceps	89x	30.7	1,600	39,003	51.8%	10.8%	8,518	97.20%	95.40%	6132	257	226	229	1141	545	198
C. aff. purpruea	Clav52	Claviceps	60x	29.6	1,334	48,893	51.8%	9.7%	8,316	96.80%	96.20%	6078	252	225	250	1153	523	177
C. quebecensis‡	Clav32	Claviceps	64x	28.7	1,068	58,118	51.6%	7.7%	8,232	98.00%	96.60%	6057	244	226	266	1157	522	174
C. quebecensis‡	Clav50	Claviceps	59x	28.8	1,075	66,795	51.6%	8.5%	8,046	97.50%	96.30%	5986	248	228	260	1139	524	174
C. quebecensis‡	LM458	Claviceps	78x	28.4	1,166	45,693	51.6%	7.7%	8,055	97.10%	95.80%	6007	243	226	235	1135	508	154
C. occidentalis‡	LM77	Claviceps	58x	28.7	1,728	29,222	51.4%	7.9%	8,162	96.10%	94.70%	6020	243	222	186	1132	517	182
C. occidentalis‡	LM78	Claviceps	64x	28.8	1,689	29,608	51.4%	7.9%	8,231	95.80%	94.70%	6052	246	223	163	1133	513	174
C. occidentalis‡	LM84	Claviceps	164x	28.9	1,404	36,685	51.4%	8.0%	8,221	97.00%	95.40%	6088	244	223	189	1129	517	180
C. ripicola‡	LM218	Claviceps	146x	31.1	1,072	60,464	51.4%	11.7%	8,327	96.70%	95.70%	6090	249	228	255	1136	545	203
C. ripicola‡	LM219	Claviceps	55x	30.8	1,239	55,312	51.4%	10.8%	8,381	96.80%	95.80%	6133	255	226	270	1130	538	188
C. ripicola‡	LM220	Claviceps	91x	30.9	1,223	54,100	51.4%	10.6%	8,449	97.10%	95.90%	6168	249	225	255	1122	564	211
C. ripicola‡	LM454	Claviceps	156x	31.2	1,508	40,844	51.4%	12.0%	8,562	97.10%	96.10%	6163	261	224	261	1134	554	210
C. spartinae	CCC535	Claviceps	60x	29.3	1,456	42,688	51.4%	8.6%	8,433	97.50%	95.90%	6146	260	227	249	1153	504	163
C. arundinis	LM583	Claviceps	69x	30.6	996	70,672	51.4%	11.1%	8,235	96.80%	95.70%	6102	253	225	287	1140	526	186
C. arundinis	CCC1102	Claviceps	61x	30.3	896	91,905	51.4%	9.6%	8,486	97.70%	96.50%	6213	259	226	288	1130	548	195
C. humidiphila	LM576	Claviceps	77x	31.2	1,236	55,717	51.5%	11.3%	8,440	97.00%	95.80%	6147	253	227	282	1152	564	211
C. perihumidiphila‡	LM81	Claviceps	140x	31.2	1,003	67,487	51.5%	12.3%	8,291	97.10%	95.90%	6106	238	225	270	1124	522	176
C. cyperi	CCC1219	Claviceps	56x	26.6	1,921	27,113	51.7%	10.1%	7,673	97.70%	95.40%	5774	228	202	135	1072	392	97
C. capensis	CCC1504	Claviceps	66x	27.7	1,136	59,777	51.7%	7.7%	8,037	97.60%	95.70%	5989	245	225	250	1145	475	137
C. pazoutovae	CCC1485	Claviceps	61x	27.6	1,304	42,785	51.7%	8.3%	7,941	97.50%	96.00%	5954	232	223	225	1137	478	150
C. monticola	CCC1483	Claviceps	58x	27.8	1,144	56,619	51.6%	9.0%	7,977	98.10%	96.50%	5908	248	220	207	1118	481	148
C. pusilla	CCC602	Pusillae	52x	45.9	5,068	15,010	40.4%	45.0%	8,735	90.90%	88.30%	6276	252	227	76	1235	461	138
C. lovelessii	CCC647	Pusillae	53x	41.1	5,300	12,480	42.1%	37.6%	8,862	91.60%	88.20%	6351	248	228	110	1230	525	174
C. digitariae	CCC659	Pusillae	57x	33.4	1,773	32,638	44.8%	23.3%	8,285	95.90%	94.70%	6195	254	239	187	1213	513	158
C. maximensis	CCC398	Pusillae	58x	33.0	829	81,956	44.9%	23.1%	7,943	98.30%	96.50%	6100	244	235	226	1172	468	126
C. sorghi	CCC632	Pusillae	60x	35.6	3,660	16,225	44.4%	34.0%	8,208	89.90%	87.10%	6085	241	222	117	1139	425	123
C. africana	CCC489	Pusillae	56x	37.7	1,781	37,639	42.5%	37.0%	8,119	95.00%	91.50%	6057	241	221	165	1151	471	145
C. citrina	CCC265	Citrinae	64x	43.5	4,772	16,294	41.5%	53.8%	7,821	92.20%	88.20%	5879	224	207	120	1100	368	85

† The reference strain *C. purpurea* 20.1 was additionally assembled into 191 scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 433,221.

‡ Newly identified species Miao, L (unpublished data, *Submitted*)

Table 2: Number of all unique gene pairs with an ≥ 80% identify and the proportion of these gene pairs that are located next to each other (separated by 0 genes) and separated by 5 or less genes (≤ 5 genes) for all 53 *Claviceps* genomes.

Species	Strain	Gene pairs (#)	Separation	
			0 genes	≤ 5 genes
C. purpurea	20.1	997	11.74%	30.19%
C. purpurea	Clav04	578	8.65%	11.94%
C. purpurea	Clav26	429	17.48%	30.77%
C. purpurea	Clav46	415	18.55%	29.64%
C. purpurea	Clav55	373	16.09%	26.81%
C. purpurea	LM4	426	19.95%	34.51%
C. purpurea	LM5	412	15.78%	30.83%
C. purpurea	LM14	352	19.6%	32.95%
C. purpurea	LM28	404	14.36%	25.99%
C. purpurea	LM30	352	21.88%	38.35%
C. purpurea	LM33	395	18.23%	32.66%
C. purpurea	LM39	393	17.3%	28.24%
C. purpurea	LM46	383	15.4%	29.24%
C. purpurea	LM60	374	21.39%	34.22%
C. purpurea	LM71	332	17.77%	31.02%
C. purpurea	LM207	354	17.8%	27.68%
C. purpurea	LM223	348	21.84%	34.2%
C. purpurea	LM232	424	15.33%	26.42%
C. purpurea	LM233	673	11.59%	24.37%
C. purpurea	LM461	401	14.96%	27.93%
C. purpurea	LM469	361	20.5%	32.96%
C. purpurea	LM470	410	16.34%	27.8%
C. purpurea	LM474	319	18.81%	30.72%
C. purpurea	LM582	386	13.99%	24.09%
C. aff. purpurea	Clav52	235	20.0%	31.06%
C. capensis	CCC1504	144	13.89%	21.53%
C. pazoutovae	CCC1485	182	14.29%	20.33%
C. monticola	CCC1483	174	13.22%	21.84%
C. occidentalis	LM78	173	18.5%	26.59%
C. occidentalis	LM77	151	17.88%	28.48%
C. occidentalis	LM84	431	10.9%	18.79%
C. quebecensis	LM458	176	19.32%	26.14%
C. quebecensis	Clav32	189	14.29%	24.34%
C. quebecensis	Clav50	161	14.91%	26.09%
C. ripicola	LM218	386	16.84%	31.61%
C. ripicola	LM219	393	15.78%	28.5%
C. ripicola	LM220	412	16.02%	28.64%
C. ripicola	LM454	434	13.13%	21.43%
C. spartinae	CCC535	251	10.36%	16.33%
C. arundinis	CCC1102	431	11.6%	22.51%
C. arundinis	LM583	362	15.19%	26.24%
C. humidiphila	LM576	401	14.96%	23.44%
C. perihumidiphila	LM81	351	19.66%	33.62%
C. cyperi	CCC1219	193	5.7%	7.77%
C. pusilla	CCC602	9	0.0%	0.0%
C. fusiformis	PRL 1980	4	0.0%	0.0%
C. lovelessii	CCC647	7	0.0%	0.0%
C. digitariae	CCC659	10	0.0%	0.0%
C. maximensis	CCC398	3	0.0%	0.0%
C. sorghi	CCC632	12	0.0%	8.33%
C. africana	CCC489	8	0.0%	0.0%
C. citrina	CCC265	24	4.17%	4.17%
C. paspali	RRC 1481	1	0.0%	0.0%

Fig. 1

- Tandem gene duplication/deletion resulting in gene family expansion
- Further increase in host range potential

- Loss of RIP
- Reduction in TE content

- Evolution of two-speed genome
- Increased host range potential

- Specialists with one-speed genome

Claviceps purpurea Clav26
Claviceps purpurea LM30
Claviceps purpurea LM14
Claviceps purpurea LM60
Claviceps purpurea LM46
Claviceps purpurea LM39
Claviceps purpurea LM28
Claviceps purpurea LM207
Claviceps purpurea LM461
Claviceps purpurea LM5
Claviceps purpurea Clav46
Claviceps purpurea LM223
Claviceps purpurea LM33
Claviceps purpurea LM233
Claviceps purpurea LM232
Claviceps purpurea LM474
Claviceps purpurea LM469
Claviceps purpurea LM4
Claviceps purpurea LM71
Claviceps purpurea 20.1
Claviceps purpurea LM582
Claviceps purpurea Clav55
Claviceps purpurea LM470
Claviceps purpurea Clav04
Claviceps aff. purpurea Clav52
Claviceps capensis CCC1504
Claviceps pazoutovae CCC1485
Claviceps monticola CCC1483
Claviceps quebecensis Clav50
Claviceps quebecensis Clav32
Claviceps quebecensis LM458
Claviceps occidentalis LM77
Claviceps occidentalis LM84
Claviceps occidentalis LM78
Claviceps ripicola LM220
Claviceps ripicola LM218
Claviceps ripicola LM219
Claviceps ripicola LM454
Claviceps spartinae CCC535
Claviceps arundinis LM583
Claviceps arundinis CCC1102
Claviceps humidiphila LM576
Claviceps perihumidiphila LM81
Claviceps cyperi CCC1219

Sect. Claviceps

Claviceps pusilla CCC602
Claviceps fusiformis PRL 1980
Claviceps lovelessii CCC647
Claviceps digitariae CCC659
Claviceps maximensis CCC398
Claviceps sorghi CCC632
Claviceps africana CCC489

Sect. Pusillae

Claviceps citrina CCC265   **Sect. Citrinae**
Claviceps paspali RRC 1481   **Sect. Paspalorum**

Epichloe typhina
Epichloe festucae
Fusarium verticillioides
Fusarium graminearum

Tree scale: 0.05

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7