2

Habitat Selection by the Ringed Kingfisher (*Megaceryle torquata stictipennis*) in Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe: Possible Negative Association with Chlordecone Pollution

8   Pascal Villard[1], Alain Ferchal[2], Philippe Feldmann[1], Claudie Pavis[1] and

Christophe Bonenfant[3]

12   [1]AEVA (the society for the study and protection of vertebrates and plants in the Lesser Antilles),

Hauteurs Lézarde, 97170 Petit-Bourg, Guadeloupe; P.V. e-mail: pascalvillard@yahoo.fr, P.F. e-mail:

14   feldmann@cirad.fr, C.P. e-mail: claudie.pavis@gmail.com; [2]Parc National de la Guadeloupe,

Montéran 97120 St Claude, Guadeloupe; e-mail: alain.ferchal@guadeloupe-parcnational.fr; [3]UMR

16   CNRS 5558, Laboratoire Biométrie et Biologie Évolutive, Université Claude Bernard - Lyon 1, 43

Boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France; e-mail:

18   christophe.bonenfant@univ-lyon1.fr

Abstract: In the Lesser Antilles the Ringed Kingfisher *Megaceryle torquata stictipennis* is found on Martinique, Dominica and Guadeloupe. On Martinique and Guadaloupe, farmers growing bananas have made massive use of chlordecone from 1973 until 1993. Chlordecone is a remnant organochlorine insecticide which bioaccumulates in organisms easily. We carried out a survey of the Ringed Kingfisher in 2009 on Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe, traveling 270 km on 44 rivers to assess the effects of chlordecone on its habitat selection behaviour. We identified 12 territories and estimated the total population to be 54-64 individuals. A habitat selection analysis revealed that the Ringed Kingfishers were located mainly in sections of rivers flowing through the ombrophilous forest, but absent on the ocean shoreline or in the lower parts of rivers. The Ringed Kingfisher's distribution on Guadeloupe could be negatively associated with areas heavily polluted by the chlordecone. We propose that the widespread use of chlordecone in banana plantations on Guadeloupe between 1973 and 1993 has adverse ecological consequences, and may be responsible for the absence of Ringed Kingfishers in lowland habitat on the island.

Keywords: Antilles, bioindicator, habitat selection, Kepone, soil pollution

Résumé: Dans les Petites Antilles, le Martin Pêcheur à Ventre Roux *Megaceryle torquata stictipennis* ne vit que sur trois îles: Martinique, Dominique et Guadeloupe. En 2009, nous avons effectué un recensement en Guadeloupe, sur 270 km parcourus dans 44 rivières. Douze territoires de Martin-pêcheur à ventre roux ont été trouvés, et la taille totale de la population est estimée à 54-64 individus. Une analyse de la sélection de l'habitat montre que le Martin Pêcheur à Ventre Roux se trouvait principalement sur les rivières dans la forêt ombrophile et non pas sur le bord de l'océan ainsi que la partie basse des rivières. En Guadeloupe, le Martin Pêcheur à Ventre Roux est négativement associé avec les surfaces hautement polluées par un insecticide organochloré, la chlordécone. Nous suggérons que l'épandage massif de la chlordécone de 1973 à 1993, dans les bananeraies de Guadeloupe, doit être grandement responsable de l'absence du Martin Pêcheur à Ventre Roux dans les habitats de basses altitudes de l'île.

Mots-clés: bioindicateur, chlordécone, Martin Pêcheur à Ventre Roux, pollution du sol, sélection d'habitat

Header: The Ringed Kingfisher in Guadeloupe

The Ringed Kingfisher *Megaceryle torquata* is a quite common and widely distributed

58   species across Central and South America (Woodall 2001). In the Antilles, however, the

presence of the species is heterogeneous among islands. For instance, the Ringed Kingfisher

60   does not occur in the Greater Antilles, and in the Lesser Antilles *M. t. stictipennis* is found on

Dominica, Martinique and Guadeloupe (Remsen 1990), suggesting that favorable ecological

62   conditions are met on a few islands only, or that anthropogenic activities could be limiting the

Ringed Kingfisher distribution. Of particular interest for the distribution of many bird species

64   are the agricultural practices known to affect many aspects of their biology and ecology (see

Geiger *et al.* 2010 for a review). Banana production on Guadeloupe, an important and

66   intensive agricultural activity of many people living on tropical islands, have among other

things led to marked modifications of the landscape like the widespread deforestation.

68   Tightly associated to banana farming, the chlordecone, an organochlorine insecticide,

has been used heavily and extensively by farmers to control regular damage by a root borer,

70   the banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus*, from 1973 until its ban on Guadeloupe in

September 1993 by French law (Cabidoche and Lesueur 2011). The widespread use of

72   chlordecone resulted in an extended pollution of soils, waters and riverbed sediments (Crabit

et al. 2016), which ecological consequences remains poorly documented and studied on

74   Guadaloupe. Since spreading of chemicals in the landscape for agriculture can potentially

alter the distribution of birds (Douthwaite 1982; Parson et al. 2010; Mineau and Whiteside

76   2013), one factor that may have influenced Ringed Kingfisher populations in the Guadeloupe

could be the historical use of chlordecone.

78   Chlordecone is a long lasting organic pollutant with carcinogenic, mutagen and/or

reprotoxic consequences for exposed organisms. On wildlife, negative effects of chlordecone

80   were reported with strong effects on the reproductive biology of many species (see

Eroschenko 1981 for a review). In birds, bioaccumulation of chlordecone manifested itself in the eggs of osprey *Pandion haliaetus* living along a chlordecone-polluted river in the USA (Wiemeyer 1988). Besides, organochlorides can damage the nervous system altering the breeding behavior of seabirds (Schreiber and Burger 2002). Organochlorides such as chloredone can affect negatively bird biology to a large extent, ranging from organism functionning, to reproductive success, scaling up to ecological processes such population abundance and viability (Saaristo *et al*. 2018). For instance the reproductive failure induce by DDT because of fragile egg shells was accounted for the decreased in population abundance of the brown pelican *Pelicanus occidentalis* California, USA (Risebrough *et al*. 1971).

Lying at the top of the aquatic food chain, bioaccumulation of chlordecone in body tissues should be particularly acute for Ringed Kingfishers (Clarkson 1995). Two types of ecological consequences of pesticides are usually recognized in the literature (Saaristo *et al*. 2018). The direct effects act on the physiology of exposed organisms to pollutant in turn altering the metabolism, the cognitive ability or the behavior of individuals, and ultimately leads to reduced survival and reproduction. Indirect effects are generated by cascading effects of pollution on the abundance of preys or by changes in the habitat quality, which indirectly impacts on reproduction and survival of individuals of higher levels in the food chain (Campbell *et al*. 1997). Indirect effects are expected to modify the behavior of individuals, such as lowered predator avoidance or predation efficiency, or decreased movement ability, which all contributes to magnify the direct effects of contaminant on populations (Saaristo *et al*. 2018). Given reported adverse effects on the life histories of birds of many organochlorine compounds, especially for fish-eating birds in general (Moore 1965; USEPA 1975; Schäfer *et al*. 2011), the historical use of the chlordecone could explain the distribution and habitat selection of Ringed Kingfisher on Guadeloupe. Accordingly, many kingfisher species are

used as biomonitors of waterways polluted by various contaminants including mercury (White and Cristol 2014, Zamani *et al*. 2009) and organochlorides such as DDT (Tanabe *et al*. 1998, Evans and Bouwman 2000).

Here we empirically tested the hypothesis of a relationship between chlordecon pollution and Ringed Kingfisher habitat selection behavior by conducting a presence/absence survey of the species on >40 rivers located on Basse-Terre island, Guadeloupe. If chlordecone negatively affects the abundance of Ringed Kingfishers, we predict that birds would avoid areas with chlordecone contamination, *i.e.* we should find proportionally less individuals in the polluted areas than its availability in the landscape (Manly 2002). We accounted for the potential confounding effects of other ecological variables by exploring habitat selection by Ringed Kingfishers according to the local elevation, slope and aspects of the river. Those three ecological variables should capture the main spatial structure of Basse-Terre island in terms of habitats and of river characteristics that ringer kingfisher could might seek after for fishing and reproduction.

Methods

We carried out our study in Guadeloupe, an archipelago of the Lesser Antilles in the Caribbean sea. On Basse-Terre island (848 km^2, 16°10' N 61°40' W), a mountain range runs from north to south mostly between 500-800 m above sea level. The highest point is the 1,467 m summit of the southern volcano La Soufrière. Because the mountain range blocks the prevailing easterly winds from the Atlantic ocean, the east coast of Basse-Terre is wetter with a drier leeward west coast. Two main forest types have been described along the elevation gradient of the volcano (Van Laere *et al.* 2016). At low elevation lies the lower rainforest dominated by *Amanoa caribaea, Tapura latifolia* and *Dacryodes excelsa* trees. At higher

elevation, the rainforest is mostly dominated by *Richeria grandis.* Many rivers run from the

130   mountain range to the ocean, especially along the wetter east coast (Fig. 1b). To test our

hypothesis, we chose 21 rivers on the windward east coast and 23 rivers on the leeward west

132   coast; with 14 rivers (< 5 km), 15 (5 <10 km), 13 (10 < 25 km) and the 2 longest rivers (> 25

km). For the shorter 42 rivers, looking on a map of Basse-Terre, we selected the rivers to be

134   equally spread around the island and with different levels of chlordecone pollution (Fig. 1a).

We conducted fieldwork in search of Ringed Kingfishers from 20 March to 20 August

136   2009. To obtain a baseline for the bird habitat use, we first studied the behavior of one pair,

observing the birds for 33.5 hours over four days (on March 20th-23rd-24th and 26th 2009). We

138   then surveyed Ringed Kingfisher using two methods: from kayak where possible in the lower

sections of rivers or by walking along rivers. We assessed the Ringed Kingfisher presence on

140   a river section by moving upstream slowly mostly in the river bed at a speed of 0.8 km per

hour (1 km/h with a kayak), and starting at sunrise. We sampled the rivers only once and

142   usually walked down away from rivers back to the coast afterwards. During the survey, we

searched for the birds in the vegetation of riverbeds (with binoculars *Swarovski* 10x25) to spot

144   any perched kingfisher. We recorded the GPS location of any Ringed Kingfisher heard or

seen. Our experience showed that when a Ringed Kingfisher was present, by being careful

146   enough (watching and listening) during the survey, we were quite confident not to miss the

bird. We acknowledge here that birds may have different habitat use between early spring and

148   summer that could affect our results, even if it could be easier to see adult birds flying when

feeding nestlings. Besides, because birdwatchers have been recording new, opportunistic

150   Ringed Kingfishers observations between 2013 and December 2017 since our study was

conducted, we retrieved this information from the Internet and used it in our analyses

152   (Karunati data base, accessed on the 10 May 2019). During the survey, we also made some

anecdotal observations on adults Ringed Kingfisher bringing preys to their chicks and

154 identified what species they feed on.

We described the local environmental conditions faced by Ringed Kingfisher along the

156 sampled rivers and its surroundings with four ecological variables. With a GIS, we first

created 250 m long river segments, starting from the river source down to the sea. For each

158 segments we used a digital elevation model to calculate (*i*) the height difference in degree

(*pente_moy*) between the start en the end of the river segment, which is associated with the

160 speed, depth and turbidity of the waters and hence, with the fishing conditions for the birds;

(*ii*) the average elevation in m of the 250 m long river segment (*alt_moy*), to account for the

162 elevation gradient in plant composition and land use by farmers and other human activities;

(*iii*) the bearing in degrees (*angle*) capturing the contrasting ecosystems between the

164 westward and leeward coats, where the forest types differs (Van Laere *et al.* 2016). Finally,

we characterized (*iv*) the chlordecone pollution level according to the classification and map

166 of land pollution risks proposed by Rochette *et al.* (2017). Soil pollution was assessed from a

multiresidue analysis of pesticides of soil and water samples, and then assigned to one out of

168 five categories of increasing chlordecone pollution risks with a resolution of 100 by 100 m

(Rochette *et al.* 2017). Class 5 soils have "very high risk" of chlodercon pollution (>90%)

170 covering 4,761 ha (5.6% of Basse-Terre land); a class 4 "high risk" pollution (~80%) making

1,629 ha (1.9%); class 3 soil has "low risk" of pollution (~30%) covering 181 ha (0.2%);

172 Class 2 soils have "low to negligible risk" of chlordecon polluation risks (<10%), covering

27,929 ha of the island (32.9%); Finally the last class 1 should show "no pollution by

174 chlordecone", representing the remaining 59.3% of the Basse-Terre area. We assessed the

pollution risk of each river segment (*val_tc_chlor*) by assigning it the highest pollution risk

176 class of all contiguous areas.

We tested our prediction that kingfishers would avoid polluted areas by computing

178   selection ratios (noted SR), a classical measure of preference and avoidance in habitat

selection studies (Manly *et al.* 2007). A SR compares the proportional use of a given habitat

180   with its proportional availability. A SR value of one means that birds use the focal habitat

randomly (use equals availability). When individuals avoid a particular habitat, it will be used

182   to a lower extent than its availability and the SR will be <1. Conversely, a preferred habitat

will have a SR >1. Note that habitat preference or avoidance is the standard terminology in

184   habitat selection studies and can results many different behaviors such as an active avoidance

of a threat, or the absence of suitable resources leading to the absence of the species in this

186   habitat. Here we measured habitat availability as the proportion of river segments falling in

each of the 5 chlordecone pollution levels (from class 1 to 5) for all rivers we sampled (A_i).

188   We then estimated habitat use by kingfishers by computing the proportion of river segments

assigned to each chlordecone pollution level for the subset of segments where birds have been

190   observed (U_i). According to our hypothesis, river segments polluted with chlordecone should

have SR ($SR_i = U_i / A_i$) lower that one, while chlordecone-free river segments should be

192   selected for and show SR >1. We computed the variance of SR_i according to Aho and Bowyer

(2015) for the variance of the two ratios.

194   We complemented the selection ratio analysis of Ringed Kingfisher with a multivariate

approach called the MADIFA (Mahalanobis Distance Factorial Analysis, Calenge *et al*.

196   2008). A principal component analysis is first performed on the environmental descriptors to

uncover the most influential variables structuring the landscape. This multivariate description

198   of the environment corresponds to the ecological space available to the birds. Then the

method compares, in the ecological space defined above, where birds are present and absent.

200   If birds select the habitat along some variables, the locations where birds were detected should

be associated with the one or several axes. An advantage of the method over the selection

202 ratios (Manly *et al.* 2007) is that spatial covariation among the different environmental

descriptors we included is visualized and accounted for by the MADIFA. We ran our analyses

204 using R 3.5 (R core team 2019) and the associated package *asbio* (Aho 2019).

206 **Results**

We surveyed 270 km of river habitat over a period of 376.6 hours (93.8% of the habitat

208 by foot and 6.2% by kayak). Thus we surveyed 69% of the total length (395 km) of the 44

rivers on Basse-Terre that we sampled representing 27% of the total length of permanent

210 rivers on Basse-Terre that were available to the Ringed Kingfisher. We detected 47 Ringed

Kingfishers in total. Because of repeated observations of disturbed birds flying ahead of us,

212 this corresponded to 12 pairs distributed on 11 rivers once we accounted for this bias. On

Basse-Terre the total length of rivers with permanent water was 1,012 km. During our survey

214 we noticed that rivers shorter than 2 km long and representing 113 km out of the 1,012 km

were not occupied by Ringed Kingfishers. Thus, river habitat available to Ringed Kingfishers

216 reduced to 899 km long (including 395 km for the 44 rivers sampled). These 899 km of rivers

could potentially harbor 27 pairs of Ringed Kingfishers (12×899/395, number of pairs of

218 kingfishers detected during our study × number of km of permanent rivers (longer than 2

km) / number of km of rivers sampled). This number relies on a strong territoriality

220 assumption. Since our study was conducted, 13 new Ringed Kingfisher observations have

been recorded between 2013 and 2017 by birdwatchers (Fig. 1a). Most of them fit in our

222 Ringed Kingfisher habitat selection patterns, but four new locations outside of areas with high

chlordecone pollution risk.

224   We overlaid the data on Ringed Kingfisher distribution with the map of level of

chlordecone contamination risk (Fig. 1a) and forest habitat (Fig. 1b). Our results suggest that

226   Ringed Kingfishers (*i*) are found outside of high chlordecone contamination areas (> 80%

polluted, classes 4 and 5 of chlordecone contamination level), and (*ii*) mostly in the

228   ombrophilous forest. The statistical analysis of habitat selection confirmed the visual

inspection of Ringed Kingfisher locations on the map. As expected from our hypothesis, river

230   segments with no chlordecone were used slightly more relative to availability ($SR_1 = 1.18 \pm$

0.01; Fig. 2). Conversely, Ringed Kingfisher used river segments with any risk of chlordecone

232   pollution significantly less relative to its availability (for all river segments with pollution

risks of 2 to 5: $SR_{2-5} = 0.46 \pm 0.30$, p = 0.07). Biologically speaking it means that the odds to

234   find a Ringed Kingfisher are 2.56 higher in unpolluted than in polluted river segments on

Basse-Terre, on average. When considering each pollution level separately, all estimates of

236   selection ratios of polluted river segments were < 1, although not statistically different from

one (see Fig 2; $SR_2 = 0.32 \pm 0.98$; $SR_3 = 0.00 \pm 2.15$; $SR_4 = 0.00 \pm 2.08$; $SR_5 = 0.90 \pm 0.50$).

238   The multivariate habitat selection analyses lend further supports to the selection ratio

results. As expected, the correlation circle (Fig. 3A) showed that elevation and bearing

240   correlated positively because high elevation areas are found mostly in the southwest of the

island. Importantly, the level of chlordecone pollution was orthogonal to the other

242   environmental variables, suggesting that the use of the pollutant in the landscape has been

(statistically) independent of the other landscape variables used to described the Ringed

244   Kingfisher environment. It also means that any effect of chlordecone we would find on the

Ringed Kingfisher habitat selection would not be the outcome of potential confounding effect

246   of the landscape structure. When projecting the points on the first two axes of the ecological

space, the MADIFA shows that Ringed Kingfisher (black dots, Fig. 3B) selected high

248 elevation river segments rather than low elevation segments. Similarly river segments with

too high a denivelation were used less intensively than flatter once. Coupled with the selection

250 ratios, this multivariate analysis further supports our hypothesis that on Guadeloupe, the

Ringed Kingfisher was using river segments polluted by chlordecone less that their

252 availability. None of the river segments where birds were seen were found on the upper-right

quarter of the plot (black dots, Fig. 3B), which represents the ecological space with

254 chlordecone pollution. Overall, Ringed Kingfishers have use of the ecological space on

Guadeloupe when compared to the habitat that appears to be available, and this pattern could

256 be influenced by chlordecone.

258 **Discussion**

Given the particular agricultural history of some islands in the Antilles, we put forward the

260 hypothesis that long-lasting effects of chloredone pollution, which persists in the environment

for centuries (Crabit *et al.* 2016), could have had adverse ecological conditions on freshwater

262 ecosystems of Guadaloupe, including the Ringed Kingfisher. On Basse-Terre, we document a

lower relative use of the lower sections of rivers by the Ringed Kingfisher, which run though

264 the heavily chlordecone contaminated estuary on the east coast (Fig. 1). A study carried out

on the European dipper (*Cinclus cinclus*) similarly evidenced the absence of this other river-

266 dwelling species in 93.7% of polluted or strongly polluted streams in Italy (Sorace et al 2002).

Chlordecone pollution hence could explain, at least partly, the past and current small scale

268 distribution of Ringed Kingfishers on Basse-Terre we describe here (Fig. 1a). In the 1970's,

Ringed Kingfishers were regularly recorded in Sofaïa, Capesterre and Trois-Rivières on this

270 island (Pinchon 1976). Forty years later, we detected the species in the first two locations still,

but only upstreams where chlordecone has not been spread by banana farmers. Overall, the

272   Ringed Kingfisher is not found in the lower part of rivers on Basse-Terre (Fig. 1). Finally

during the 10 years following our survey, birdwatchers recorded several sightings of Ringed

274   Kingfishers on Guadaloupe, but as we would expect from our results, all were made outside

of areas of high chlordecone pollution risks. Regarding the four Ringed Kingfishers detected

276   in polluted area (class 4 and 5), they were found where land pollution was patchily

distributed, with access to some unpolluted areas as well.

278   The spatial avoidance of polluted river segments by Ringed Kingfisher could result

from direct and indirect effects of chlordecone on the birds. Direct effects usually lead to the

280   death of individuals or regular reproduction failures because of organism dysfunction when

exposed to chemical pollutants (Saaristo *et al.* 2018). Although anecdotal, we could observe

282   the feeding of Ringed Kingfisher chicks with basket shrimp *Atya innocous,* stream shrimp

Macrobrachium crenulatum, and the river goby *Awaous banana*. An ecolotoxicology study

284   carried out on southern Basse-Terre river evidenced substantial amount of chlordecone in

those three prey species (Coat *et al*. 2011). Although we currently lack of published material

286   on the Ringed Kingfisher diet in the tropics to strengthen this observation, we can expect a

direct effect of chlordecone *via* bioaccumulation in its tissue from polluted food resource in

288   lowlands of Guadeloupe. Clearly, an epidemiology study on the Ringed Kingfisher is most

needed to assert the physiological effects of chlordecone on the bird organism and life

290   histories. Moreover, indirect effects of chlordecone may proceed from cascading effects of

contamination on prey species community or size structure of the populations and, in turn, can

292   lower the suitability of habitat for predators in terms of food resources (Saaristo *et al.* 2018).

With no bird banding we cannot assess whether kingfishers actually move from one river to

294   another to actively avoid chlordecone polluation, but over times both direct and indirect

effects can lead to the absence of individuals from polluted areas as we report here in

296   Guadaloupe.

If chlordecone is associated with the Ringed Kingfisher habitat selection, we found

298   additional ecological factors to drive its distribution in Guadaloupe. River segments located

on the eastern coast are used more than expected from its availability by Ringed Kingfishers

300   (Fig. 3). On the windward east coast, where the Ringed Kingfisher is mostly seen (Fig. 1b), is

growing ombrophilous forests. Rivers running though these forests are long with gentle

302   slopes, and river banks offer many clay cliffs to the birds for nesting. In this ecosystem, food

resources are likely available to Ring Kingfishers given the many school fishes we saw while

304   walking the rivers. The vegetation structure of the forest also provides numerous perches ideal

for birds to fish. This ombrophilous forest hence appears a rather suitable habitat for the

306   Ringed Kingfisher both from a food resource viewpoint, and for nest excavation too (Woodall

2001). Conversely, on the dryer leeward west coast riverbeds are covered by soil runoff with

308   low fish abundance and rocks make most of the river banks. On average rivers are also shorter

(1.8 km *vs.* 3.0 km) and steeper (16.6° *vs.* 11.0°) hence presenting more rapid water streams.

310   We noted noted that no kingfisher were using streams of < 2km long, maybe because it is too

shallow for diving. Generally speaking the west coast habitat seems less favorable to Ringed

312   kingfishers because of river characteristics, low food resource and the lack of soft banks

forcing birds to fly far from waters to dig its burrow nest (Woodall 2001). Accordingly, we

314   detected only two pairs on the west coast. One exception was a pair living in the northwest

(Fig. 2), that we could easily associate with the presence of a fish farm where it is seen fishing

316   regularly.

Elevation is another influential ecological variable of Ringed Kingfisher habitat

318   selection. Here, elevation likely serves as a proxy of river physical characteristics, as water

speed, depth, temperature or turbidity all vary gradually from the high elevation river source

to the estuary (Allan 1995). It is known that the species needs fairly deep water to dive and

should hence be most abundant in the lowlands (Skutch 1972). We found the opposite pattern

and attributed this difference to a combination of chlordecone pollution and unsuitable

habitats for Ringed Kingfishers. On Basse-Terre, although chlordecone pollution of soils and

waters decreases with altitude (Fig. 1), our river sampling resulted in a weak spatial

correlation between the two variables at the landscape level (Fig. 3a). Statistically speaking,

we could decipher the effect of chlordecon pollution risks and of elevation, and found that

Ringer Kingfisher was present relatively less in polluted river segments or in those located at

low elevation (Fig. 3b). That the birds avoid unpolluted lowland river segments could be

explained by the marked human footprints on the beds, banks and surroundings of rivers in

Guadaloupe. For instance, the riverbed of the river flowing through the city of Basse-Terre is

made of concrete mostly, which probably reduces fish abundance drastically. Similarly in

banana plantations the forest is sometimes clear cut down to the river edge, except from a

narrow strip of trees along river banks, here again modifying the vegetation structure and

density (note that here a lack of perches could not explain the low relative use of Ringed

Kingfishers in banana plantation). Lowlands of Guadeloupe islands are at the heart of human

activities, which generates all kinds of perturbations for wildlife and possibly for the Ringed

Kingfisher. Light pollution, chlordecone upstream pollution, active disturbance, presence of

predators or competition for food with fishermen could all contribute to deter Ringed

Kingfishers from lowland rivers beside the polluted river segments.

Our study suggests that the Ringed Kingfisher could be a useful bioindicator of areas

with organochlorine pollution, and most specifically chlordecone. We contend that our habitat

selection analysis lacks statistical power but given the potentially dramatic consequences for

the Ringed Kingfisher, the low level of statistical significance should not hamper the

344 biological signal we document here (see Yoccoz 1991 for a discussion of statistical

significance). Although we cannot prove the generalized ecological effects of chlordecone in

346 the Antilles, we advance that historical chlordecone use by banana farmers could have had

and be still having an ongoing influence on Ringed Kingfisher populations and distribution on

348 certain islands, including Guadaloupe. We also highlight the importance of suitable habitat

avaiblability for the birds to nest and to fish for its protection should greatly benefit to the

350 conservation and viability of the Ring Kingfisher on Guadaloupe. To refine its needs and

niche, researchers should collect data on the ecology of the Ringed Kingfisher with a detailed

352 descriptions of diet through the year, habitat, territory size, and behavior, and also to

investigate the ecological consequences of chlordecone pollution could have on individuals,

354 populations and avian communities.

356   **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the national park of Guadeloupe, DEAL Guadeloupe (the

358 Regional Department of Environment) and AEVA. We kindly thank Stéphane Di Mauro for

preys determination, Gavin Hunt for editing the English and an anonymous reviewer for his

360 constructive comments. We acknowledge the insightful and extensive help of Alice McBride

who greatly improved our manuscript.

                                Literature Cited

364 Aho, K. 2019. Asbio: A collection of statistical tools for biologists. R package version 1.5-5.

        https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=asbio.

366   Aho, K., and R.T. Bowyer. 2015. Confidence intervals for ratios of proportions: implications

for selection ratios. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 6:121-132.

368   Allan, J.D. (1995). Stream ecology: structure and function of running waters. Springer

Science & Business Media.

370   Cabidoche, Y.M., and M. Lesueur Jannoyer. 2011. Pollution durable des sols par la

chlordécone aux Antilles : comment la gérer ? Innovations Agronomiques 16:117-133.

372   Calenge, C., G. Darmon, M. Basille, A. Loison, and J.M. Jullien. 2008. The factorial

decomposition of the Mahalanobis distances in habitat selection studies. Ecology,

374   89:555-566.

Campbell L.H., M.I. Avery, P. Donald, A.D. Evan, R.E. Green and J.D. Wilson 1997. A review

376   of the indirect effects of pesticides on birds. Joint Nature Conservation Committee

report 227.

378   Clarkson, T.W. 1995. Environmental contaminants in the food chain. The American Journal

of Clinical Nutrition, 61:682-686.

380   Coat, C., G. Bocquené, and E. Godard. 2006. Contamination of some aquatic species with the

organochlorine pesticide chlordecone in Martinique. Aquatic Living Resources 19:181-

382   187.

Coat, C., D. Monti, P. Legendre, C. Bouchon, F. Massat, and G. Lepoint. 2011.

384   Organochlorine pollution in tropical rivers (Guadeloupe): Role of ecological factors in

food web bioaccumulation. Environmental Pollution 159:1692-1701.

386   Crabit, A., P. Cattan, F. Colin, and M. Voltz. 2016. Soil and river contamination patterns of

chlordecone in a tropical volcanic catchment in the French West Indies (Guadeloupe).

388   Environmental Pollution 212:615-626.

Douthwaite, R.J. 1982. Changes in pied kingfisher (*Ceryle rudis*) feeding related to

390   endosulfan pollution from tsetse fly control operations in the Okavango Delta,

Botswana. Journal of Applied Ecology 19:133–141.

392   Dromard, C., X. Bodiguel, S. Lemoine, Y. Bouchon-Navaro, E. Thouard, and C. Bouchon.

2016. Assessment of the contamination of marine fauna by chlordecone in Guadeloupe

394   and Martinique (Lesser Antilles). Environmental Science and Pollution Research 23:73-

80.

396   Eroschenko, V.P. 1981. Estrogenic activity of the insecticide chlordecone in the reproductive

tract of birds and mammals. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health 8:731-

398   742.

Evans, S.W., and H. Bouwman. 2000. The geographic variation and potential risk of DDT in

400   the blood of Pied Kingfishers from northern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Ostrich

71:351-354.

402   Geiger, F., J. Bengtsson, F. Berendse, W.W. Weisser, M. Emmerson, M.B. Morales, ... & S.

Eggers 2010. Persistent negative effects of pesticides on biodiversity and biological

404   control potential on European farmland. Basic and Applied Ecology, 11:97-105.

Karunati. The regional platform of the Nature and Landscape Information System (SINP) of

406   Guadeloupe. http://karunati.fr/.

Kirwan, G.M., A. Levesque, M. Oberle, and C.J. Sharpe. 2019. Birds of the West Indies.

408   Lynx and BirdLife International Field Guides. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.

Lafontaine, A., M. Hanikenne, C. Boulangé-Lecomte, J. Forget-Leray, J-P. Thomé, and E.

410   Gismondi. 2016. Vitellogenin and vitellogenin receptor gene expression and 20-

hydroxyecdysone concentration in *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* exposed to chlordecone.

Environnemental Sciences Pollution Ressources 23:20661-20671.

Manly, B.F.L., L. McDonald, D.L. Thomas, T.L. McDonald, and W.P. Erickson. 2007. Resource selection by animals: statistical design and analysis for field studies. Springer Science and Business Media.

Mineau P. and M. Whiteside 2013. Pesticide acute toxicity is a better correlate of U.S. grassland bird declines than agricultural intensification. PloS One 8:e57457.

Moore, N.W. 1965. Pesticides and birds—A review of the situation in Great Britain in 1965. Bird Study 12:222-252

Parsons, K. C., P. Mineau and R.B. Renfrew 2010. Effects of pesticide use in rice fields on birds. Waterbirds 33:193-218.

Pinchon, R. 1976. Faune des Antilles Françaises : les oiseaux. Fort-de-France.

Pinchon, R.M., and M. Bon Saint-Côme. 1951. Notes et observations sur les oiseaux des
424 Antilles Françaises. L'Oiseau et la Revue Française d'Ornithologie 21:229-277.

Raffaele, H., J. Wiley, O. Garrido, A. Keith, and J. Raffaele. 1998. A guide to the birds of the
426 West Indies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Remsen, J.V.J. 1990. Community ecology of neotropical kingfishers. PhD, University
428 California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.

Rochette, R., P. Andrieux, V. Bonnal, and P. Cattan. 2017. Contamination des bassins
430 versants de la Guadeloupe continentale par la chlordécone. Actualisation des connaissances et cartographie des zones à risque de contamination.
432 http://daaf.guadeloupe.agriculture.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/Projet_ChlEauTerre_-_Rapport_Final_2017_cle8e6961.pdhf

434 Risebrough R.W., F.C. Sibley and M.N Kirven (1971). Reproductive failure of the Brown Pelican on Anacapa Island in 1969. American Birds 25:8-9.

436   Saaristo M., T. Brodin, S. Balshine, M.G. Bertram, B.W. Brooks, S.M. Ehlman, E.S.

McCallum, A. Sih, J. Sundin, B.B.M. Wong and K.E. Arnold 2018. Direct and indirect

438   effects of chemical contaminants on the behaviour, ecology and evolution of wildlife.

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B 285:20181297.

440   Schreiber, E.A., and J. Burger. 2002. Effects of chemicals and pollution on seabirds. Pp. 485-

525 in Biology of marine birds (E.A. Schreiber and J. Burger, eds.). CRC Press, Boca

442   Raton.

Schäfer, R.B., P.J. van den Brink and M. Liess 2011. Impacts of pesticides on freshwater

444   ecosystems. In *Ecological Impacts of Toxic Chemicals*, eds Sánchez-Bayo F., van den

Brink P.J., Mann R.M. (Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., Bussum, The Netherlands).

446   Skutch, A.F. 1972. Ringed Kingfisher C*eryle torquata*. Pp. 88-101 in Studies of tropical

American birds. Publications of the Nuttall Ornithological Club, Cambridge,

448   Massachusetts.

Sorace A., P. Formichetti, A. Boano, P. Andreani, C. Gramegna, and L. Mancini. 2002. The

450   presence of a river bird, the dipper, in relation to water quality and biotic indices in

central Italy. Environmental Pollution 118: 89-96.

452   Tanabe, S., K. Senthilkumar, K. Kannan, and A.N. Subramanian. 1998. Accumulation

features of polychlorinated biphenyls and organochlorine pesticides in resident and

454   migratory birds from South India. Archives of Environmental Contamination and

Toxicology 34: 387-397.

456   USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) 1975. DDT - A review of scientific and

economic aspects of the decision to ban its use as a pesticide. Washington D.C.

458   Van Laere, G., Y. Gall and A. Rousteau 2016. The forest ecosystems observatory in

Guadeloupe (FWI). Caribbean Naturalist 1:108-115.

460 White, A.E., and D.A. Cristol. 2014. Plumage coloration in belted kingfishers (*Megaceryle alcyon*) at a mercury-contaminated river. Waterbirds 37:144-152.

462 Wiemeyer, S.N., C.M. Bunck, and A.J. Krynitsky. 1988. Organochlorine pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, and mercury in osprey eggs—1970-79—and their

464 relationships to eggshell thinning and productivity. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 17: 767-787.

466 Woodall, P.F. 2001. Family Alecedinidae. Pp 130-149 *in* Handbook of the birds of the world (J. del Hoyo, A. Elliott and J. Sargatal, eds.). Vol. 6. Mousebirds to hornbills. Lynx

468 Edicions, Barcelona.

Yoccoz, N.G. 1991. Use, overuse, and misuse of significance tests in evolutionary biology

470 and ecology. Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America 72:106-111.

Zamani-Ahmadmahmoodi, R., A. Esmaili-Sari, S.M. Ghasempouri, and M. Savabieasfahani.

472 2009. Mercury levels in selected tissues of three kingfisher species; *Ceryle rudis*, *Alcedo atthis*, and *Halcyon smyrnensi*, from Shadegan Marshes of Iran. Ecotoxicology

474 18:319-324.

476 **Contributions**

Fig. 1: Location of Ringed Kingfisher (*Megaceryle torquata stictipennis*) encounters (2009 to 2017) on Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe (source for maps: BDTOPO – Institut Géographique National Paris; SRTM – DAAF Guadeloupe).

(a) overlapping the map of chlordecone exposure risk.

b) overlapping the map of forest habitats.

Fig. 2: Selection ratios (with associated 95% confidence limits) based on Ringed Kingfisher

observations made along river segments with five different levels of chlordecone pollution on

Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe between 2009 and 2017. Chlordecone levels from 1 to 5 are

increasing risks to find polluted river segments, while the last category pooled all polluted

segments whatever its risks. Although selection ratios are not statistically different from 1,

Ringed Kingfishers seem to use non-polluted segments of rivers (chlordecone level 1) more

relative to availability, contrasting with river segments with low to high levels of pollution

(chlordecone level 2 to 5) which are used less relative to availability.

Fig. 3: Graphical output of the MADIFA (Mahalanobis Distance Factorial Analysis, Calenge *et al*. 2008) displaying the ecological conditions prevailing in river segments where Ringed Kingfishers (*Megaceryle torquata*) were observed (black dots) compared to available river segments on the island (grey dots), on Basse-Terre, Guadeloupe, between 2009 and 2017. We used 4 variables to describe the environmental condition for each 250 long river segments: the average height difference in degree (Elevation diff.), the average elevation in m (Elevation), the bearing in degrees (angle), and the chlordecone pollution level (chlordecone). (A) Correlation circle of the principal component analysis of the river segments characteristics showing that chlordecone pollution is only weakly associated with other environmental descriptors we used; (B) the MADIFA shows that none of the river segments where birds were seen were found on the upper-right quarter of the plot (black dots, Fig. 3B), which represents the ecological space with low to high chlordecone pollution.

(B)