TITLE

Omics-Based Interaction Framework – a systems model to reveal molecular drivers of synergy

AUTHORS

Jezreel Pantaleón García[1], Vikram V. Kulkarni[1,2], Tanner C. Reese[1,3], Shradha Wali[1,2], Saima J. Wase[1],

Jiexin Zhang[4], Ratnakar Singh[5,], Mauricio S. Caetano[1], Seyed Javad Moghaddam[1], Faye M. Johnson[5],

Jing Wang[4], Yongxing Wang[1], Scott E. Evans[1,2]

[1] Department of Pulmonary Medicine, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas,

USA 77030

[2] MD Anderson Cancer Center UT Health Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Houston, Texas,

USA 77030

[3] Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA, 77005

[4] Department of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer

Center, Houston, Texas, USA 77030

[5] Department of Thoracic, Head and Neck Medical Oncology, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer

Center, Houston, Texas, USA 77030

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Scott E. Evans, MD

19   6565 MD Anderson Blvd, Unit 1059

20   Houston, TX, USA 77030

21   seevans@mdanderson.org

22   (Office) 713 – 563 – 7433

24 **RUNNING TITLE:** Omics-Based Systems Synergy Model

25 **FINAL CHARACTER COUNT:** 62850

ABSTRACT

Bioactive molecule library screening strategies may empirically identify effective combination therapies. However, without a systems theory to interrogate synergistic responses, the molecular mechanisms underlying favorable drug-drug interactions remain unclear, precluding rational design of combination therapies. Here, we introduce Omics-Based Interaction Framework (OBIF) to reveal molecular drivers of synergy through integration of statistical and biological interactions in supra-additive biological responses. OBIF performs full factorial analysis of feature expression data from single vs. dual factor exposures to identify molecular clusters that reveal synergy-mediating pathways, functions and regulators. As a practical demonstration, OBIF analyzed a therapeutic dyad of immunostimulatory small molecules that induces synergistic protection against influenza A pneumonia. OBIF analysis of transcriptomic and proteomic data identified biologically relevant, unanticipated cooperation between RelA and cJun that we subsequently confirmed to be required for the synergistic antiviral protection. To demonstrate generalizability, OBIF was applied to data from a diverse array of Omics platforms and experimental conditions, successfully identifying the molecular clusters driving their synergistic responses. Hence, OBIF is a phenotype-driven systems model that supports multiplatform exploration of synergy mechanisms.

KEYWORDS

Multi-Omics; Synergy; Data integration; Inducible epithelial resistance; Pneumonia

SUBJECT CATEGORIES

Genome-Scale and Integrative Biology; Methods & Resources; Microbiology, Virology & Host Pathogen Interaction

INTRODUCTION

Superior treatment outcomes are achieved for many disease states when more than one therapeutic agent is administered (Chen *et al*, 2015; Zappasodi *et al*, 2018; Ronzitti *et al*, 2018; Han *et al*, 2019). Indeed, there are many well documented instances when the therapeutic benefit of two agents administered together substantially exceeds the benefit that would be predicted by the additive effects of the agents administered individually. Widespread availability of high throughput technologies has allowed multi-level study of complex biological responses from genome to phenome (Hasin *et al*, 2017). Yet, there remains lack of consensus regarding the appropriate analysis of statistical and biological interactions found in non-additive (i.e., antagonistic or synergistic) responses (Wei *et al*, 2018). Moreover, previously proposed strategies to analyze non-additive interactions frequently lack sufficient generalizability to study these processes outside of their home Omics platforms (Chen *et al*, 2015). Thus, while synergistic therapeutic combinations may be empirically derived from fortuitous clinical experiences or through screening of bioactive small molecule libraries, the absence of an established means to investigate these favorable drug-drug interactions ultimately precludes understanding of their underlying mechanisms. Consequently, development of a methodology to integrate the statistical and biological components of synergistic interactions in diverse Omics settings can advance the rational design of combination therapies while affording understanding of their molecular mechanisms against diseases.

Pneumonia is a major worldwide cause of death and frequently requires combination therapies (Troeger *et al*, 2017; Metlay *et al*, 2019). We have previously reported that a therapeutic dyad of immunostimulatory small molecules induces synergistic protection against a broad range of pneumonia-causing pathogens (Duggan *et al*, 2011, Cleaver *et al*, 2014, Kirkpatrick *et al*, 2018; Ware *et al*, 2019). This combination (hereafter, "Pam2-ODN") is comprised of a Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2/6 agonist, Pam2CSK4 ("Pam2"), and a TLR 9 agonist, ODN M362 ("ODN"), that stimulate protective responses from lung epithelial cells (Cleaver *et al*, 2014). This biological response, termed inducible epithelial

resistance, promotes survival benefits and microbicidal effects that significantly exceed the additive

effects of the individual ligands (Duggan *et al*, 2011; Tuvim *et al*, 2012). Thus, understanding the

molecular mechanisms underlying this unanticipated synergy may allow optimized manipulation of

epithelial antimicrobial responses and support new generations of host-based therapeutics against

infections.

In the absence of a systems theory to interrogate synergistic mechanisms (Wei *et al*, 2018), we introduce

Omics-Based Interaction Framework (OBIF) to identify molecular drivers of synergy through integration

of statistical and biological interactions in supra-additive biological responses. Unlike exploratory synergy

models (Chen *et al*, 2015), OBIF is a phenotype-driven model (Hasin *et al*, 2017) that performs full

factorial analysis (Li *et al*, 2009; Antony, 2014; Das *et al*, 2018) of feature expression data from single

vs. dual factor exposures to identify molecular clusters that reveal synergy-mediating pathways, functions

and regulators. To demonstrate the utility of OBIF, we applied this strategy to multi-Omics experimental

data from epithelial cells exposed to Pam2-ODN to identify biologically relevant, unanticipated

cooperative signaling events that we subsequently confirmed to be required for the synergistic

pneumonia protection. Then, to demonstrate generalizability, OBIF was applied to datasets from diverse

types of Omics platforms and experimental models, successfully identifying molecular clusters driving

their synergistic responses.

RESULTS

Synergistic Pam2-ODN-inducible epithelial resistance against pneumonia

Our laboratory's interest in synergistic interactions arises from our experience investigating single vs.

dual immunostimulatory treatments to prevent pneumonia (Duggan *et al*, 2011; Tuvim *et al*, 2012;

Cleaver *et al*, 2014; Kirkpatrick *et al*, 2018; Ware *et al*, 2019). As a demonstrative example, data are

presented here from influenza A virus (IAV) challenges of different models following pretreatment with

Pam2 alone, ODN alone or the Pam2-ODN combination. When mice are challenged with IAV 24 h after

the indicated inhaled treatments, we observed little increase in survival after the individual treatments

compared to sham-treated control mice, whereas mice treated with the Pam2-ODN combination

demonstrated profound antiviral protection (Figure 1A). Similarly, when isolated mouse lung epithelial

(MLE-15) cells were challenged with IAV 4 h after pretreatment with the individual ligands, we observed

no significant reductions in the viral burden relative to PBS treated cells. However, cells pretreated with

Pam2-ODN showed a substantial reduction in viral nucleoprotein (NP) gene expression as assessed by

qPCR relative to host 18s gene (Figure 1B). Comparing the effect of dual ligand treatment (E_{AB}) to the

expected response additivity of the individual ligand treatments ($E_A + E_B$) (Foucquier *et al*, 2015) reveals

supra-additive effects on both *in vivo* survival benefits and *in vitro* viral clearance (Figure 1C). To better

understand the molecular mechanisms driving such unanticipated synergy, we developed OBIF as a

phenotype-driven model (Hasin *et al*, 2017) to understand favorable drug-drug interactions mediating

synergistic responses and outcomes.

Development of a systems synergy model from experimental Omics data

To formally test whether the effect of dual factors (F_{AB}: Pam2-ODN) is greater than the expected linear

sum of its individual factors (F_A: Pam2; F_B: ODN), an initial 2-level 2-factor (2^2) factorial design is required

(Slinker, 1998; Foucquier *et al*, 2015) (Figure 1D). Our strategy adapts the traditional analysis of variance

(ANOVA) approach into a model that links the empirical analysis of synergy (Slinker, 1998; Foucquier *et*

al, 2015) with the high-throughput capacity and high-dimensionality of Omics datasets (Coral *et al*, 2017;

Bardini *et al*, 2017). As summarized in Figure 1E, OBIF integrates statistical and biological interactions

in Omics data matrices from single vs. dual factor exposures, leveraging Omics screening to promote

discovery of the molecular drivers of synergy, and facilitating the biological validation of synergy

regulators. The analytical pipeline is freely available as an R package at GitHub

(www.github.com/evanslab/OBIF). Naturally, the experimental validation components must be tailored to

the individual tools and characteristics of the biological responses being studied.

Differentially expressed molecules reveal synergy-specific pathways

To investigate the mechanisms underlying Pam2-ODN synergy, we used OBIF to re-analyze previously

published (Cleaver *et al*, 2014) lung homogenate transcriptomic data from mice inhalationally treated with

single vs. dual ligands (GSE28994). After model fitting for feature expression (Figure EV1), this analysis

identifies 3456 features as differentially expressed molecules (DEMs) 2 h after treatment with Pam2,

2941 DEMs after ODN treatment, and 3138 DEMs after treatment with Pam2-ODN (Figure 2A). Despite

the fact that 52% (1617/3138) of DEMs were shared by Pam2-ODN and the individual ligands, enrichment

analysis using IPA software revealed an overrepresentation of 12 canonical cellular immune response

and cytokine signaling pathways that were activated by Pam2-ODN but not by either or both single

ligands (Figure 2B). Of these, NF-κB signaling was the most enriched signaling pathway by Pam2-ODN

treatment. Although unsupervised hierarchical clustering consistently segregated the treatment groups

(Figure 2C), this approach alone did not reveal distinctive gene clusters to explain the synergistic

response, likely due to the 52% redundancy of DEMs between groups.

Expression profiles summarize biological interactions and disentangle effectors of synergistic

functions

Rather than relying on potentially redundant DEM clusters, OBIF classifies dual factor-induced DEMs

into eight expression profiles (EPs) that characterize cooperative and competitive biological interactions

of individual factors (Table 1). EPs are defined by expression directionality (up- or down-regulation) of

individual features and are not biased by the DEM expression analysis. Cooperative EPs have accordant

expression directionality induced by F_A and F_B, while competitive EPs have opposite directionalities

induced by F_A and F_B. Among the cooperative EPs, concordant profiles result when F_{AB} directionality

corresponds with the single factor effects (EPs I and II), and discordant profiles occur when F_{AB}

directionality opposes the single factors (III and IV). Alternatively, among the competitive EPs, factor-

dominant profiles are defined by F_{AB} directionality correspondence with one factor (F_A dominant, V and

VI; F_B dominant, VII and VIII). Principal component analysis (Figure 2D) demonstrates that concordant

EPs (I and II) were the most abundant in our dataset, followed by Pam2-dominant profiles (V and VI).

This abundance of EPs I and II better emphasizes the cooperative effects of both factors than does

conventional DEM clustering alone. In particular, the contribution of ODN to the synergistic combination

might otherwise be overlooked by DEM analysis, as it induces enrichment of far fewer signaling pathways

(Figure 2B) and has a greater clustering distance from Pam2-ODN samples (Figure 2C).

Expression Pattern Response		Fold Change			Expression Profiles
In single factor exposures	In dual factor exposures	F_A	F_B	F_{AB}	
Cooperative	Concordant	↑	↑	↑	I 🔵
Cooperative	Concordant	↓	↓	↓	II 🔵
Cooperative	Discordant	↓	↓	↑	III 🔴
Cooperative	Discordant	↑	↑	↓	IV 🔴
Competitive	Factor A-Dominant	↑	↓	↑	V 🟢
Competitive	Factor A-Dominant	↓	↑	↓	VI 🟢
Competitive	Factor B-Dominant	↓	↑	↑	VII 🟠
Competitive	Factor B-Dominant	↑	↓	↓	VIII 🟡

Table 1. Expression profiles depict biological interactions during dual factor exposure.

Notably, enrichment analysis reveals that molecular effectors clustered by EPs correspond with Pam2-ODN-induced functions (Figure 2E), suggesting a biological basis for the synergy. Specifically, we found that features in profiles I and V contributed to host survival functions, immune activity and microbicidal activity. Considered from an organizational perspective, induction of resistance to infection at the organismal level correlated with features in profile I, at the cellular level with profile II, and by leukocytes with profile V.

Figure 2. Differentially expressed molecules and expression profiles reveal synergy-mediating pathways and functions.

(A) Euler diagram of differentially expressed molecules following single or dual treatment in mouse lung homogenates. (B) Most overrepresented activated canonical pathways after IPA enrichment of DEMs. (C) Heatmap of expression values of DEMs in A with expression profiles shown per feature (rows). (D) Principal component analysis of Pam2-ODN DEMs identified by expression profiles. (E) Top activated

Factorial effects analysis integrates biological and statistical interactions in EPs

Analysis of factorial effects in a data matrix from single vs. dual factor exposures can statistically

differentiate whether stochastic feature expression in a combination is correlated with the effect of an

individual factor (simple main effect, SME) or their influence on each other (interaction effect) (Li *et al*,

2013; Mihret *et al*, 2014; Zhang *et al*, 2017). Based on this principle, OBIF performs full factorial analysis

through paired comparisons of calculated β coefficients in each condition to determine statistical

relationships (Hassall *et al*, 2018) and discover significant main effects during expression analysis and

multi-factor effects (SMEs and interaction effect) from contrast (Mee, 2009) analysis (Figure 3A). Using

this approach, main effects determined significant DEMs per condition, while multi-factor effects

explained whether Pam2-ODN DEMs and EPs resulted from SMEs and/or an interaction of individual

ligands (Figure 3B). This analysis showed that most features in concordant profiles (I and II) are

influenced by at least one multi-factor effect, while all features in discordant profiles (III and IV) are

influenced by all multi-factor effects simultaneously. Not surprisingly, Pam2-dominant (V and VI) and

ODN-dominant (VII and VIII) expression mainly results from their respective SMEs. This analysis also

revealed that 67% (2116/3138) of Pam2-ODN DEMs are driven by the interaction effect of Pam2 and

ODN as interacting DEMs (iDEMs) (Figure 3C). Thus, OBIF reconciled the biological interactions from

EPs with the statistical interactions from multi-factor effects of Pam2-ODN.

SMEs accurately reproduce the regulatory network of combined exposures

Downstream analyses of SMEs have the capacity to discern the contributing roles of individual factors to

a combination treatment (Hassall *et al*, 2018). Hence, Pam2-ODN DEMs with significant SMEs were

used for network analysis of upstream regulators that are activated (orange) or inhibited (blue) and up-

regulated (red) or down-regulated (green) (Figure 3D). Similar to our previous findings with DEMs (Figure

2B), transcription factors from many pathways were involved, though NF-κB family members remained

central elements of this network. Demonstrating the cross-Omics function of OBIF, a parallel analysis of

reverse-phase protein array (RPPA) data from single- or dual-treated human lung epithelial cells

identified the top phospho-signaling DEMs (Figure EV2), and cross-validated STAT3, RelA and cJun as

transcriptional units involved in the Pam2-ODN signaling network (Figure 3E).

iDEMs identify non-additive features and synergy regulators

Non-additivity results from strong interaction effects between two factors in a combination and gives rise

to synergistic or antagonistic responses (Slinker et al, 1998; Geary et al, 2013). iDEMs integrate this

principle during feature selection based on significant interaction effects between factors, allowing

quantification of synergistic and antagonistic expression in a narrower set of differentially expressed

features. OBIF builds on previous definitions of the combination index (CI) (Foucquier *et al*, 2015;

Goldstein *et al*, 2017) to fit the values of feature expression:

$$CI = | (Log_2FC\ F_{AB}) / (Log_2FC\ F_A + Log_2FC\ F_B) |$$

where CI is the absolute ratio of the log2 fold change of Pam-ODN-induced DEMs (F_{AB}) and the additivity

threshold of Pam2 (F_A) and ODN (F_B), allowing identification of both antagonistic (CI < 1) or synergistic

(CI > 1) features (Figure 3F). A log2 transformation of the CI then yields an interaction score (IS) that

quantifies the effect size of non-additive expression relative to the additivity threshold, and can be applied

to both antagonistic (IS < 0) and synergistic (IS > 0) iDEMs (Figure 3G). This allows more focused

enrichment analysis, in this case supporting NF-κB/RelA and AP-1/cJun as key transcriptional upstream

regulators of Pam2-ODN's interaction effect and synergistic expression (Figure 3H).

Figure 3. Full factorial analysis reveals regulatory networks and molecular drivers of synergy.

Experimental validation of molecular regulators of Pam2-ODN synergy

Prompted by the foregoing results, we tested whether RelA and cJun were biologically relevant synergy

regulators of Pam2-ODN-induced epithelial resistance. The DNA-binding activity of NF-κB and AP-1

subunits in isolated human bronchial epithelial cells (HBEC-3kt) after stimulation with Pam2-ODN

confirmed that RelA and cJun activation was strongly increased after 15 minutes of treatment without

significant contribution of other family members (Figure 4A, Figure EV3A). Indeed, RelA and cJun

exhibited surprisingly similar activation kinetics after Pam2-ODN treatment, further supporting

cooperation or coordination (Figure 4B). Investigating this co-activation of non-redundant transcriptional

families, single-cell nuclear translocation of canonical p50/RelA and cFos/cJun dimers in HBEC-3kt was

assessed by imaging flow cytometry. We found that all transcriptional subunits exhibited an increased

nuclear translocation (similarity score > 2) after 15 minutes of Pam2-ODN treatment relative to the PBS-

treated cells (Figure 4C). However, neither Pam2 nor ODN alone induced the same magnitude of nuclear

translocation, whether assessed by similarity scores (Rd value) or by the percentage of translocated cells

(Figure 4D) relative to PBS treated cells.

Discovery of novel NF-κB and AP-1 cooperation required for antiviral protection

To differentiate transcriptional cooperation from coincidental transcription factor activation after Pam2-

ODN treatment, we assessed the Pam2-ODN-induced nuclear co-translocation of NF-κB and AP-1

complexes in the presence or absence of NF-κB inhibitor IMD-0354 (IMD). As expected, pre-treatment

with IMD alone reduced the Rd Value and percentage of translocated cells for RelA and p50 without

significantly modifying the percentage of translocation for cJun and cFos. However, NF-κB inhibition with

IMD also unexpectedly reduced the Pam2-ODN-induced similarity score shifts and nuclear translocation

of AP-1 subunits, particularly of cFos (Figure 4E). This indicates that NF-κB inhibition impaired Pam2-

ODN-induced AP-1 nuclear translocation, confirming the cooperative regulation of these two non-

overlapping signaling pathways. Representative images shown in Figure 4F demonstrate that inhibition

with IMD reduced Pam2-ODN-induced heterodimerization and nuclear translocation of NF-κB and AP-1

complexes. Further, we confirmed that disruption of this transcriptional cooperation was sufficient to

impair the inducible viral burden reduction seen with Pam2-ODN (Figure 4G).

Figure 4. Regulators identified with OBIF uncovered cooperation between RelA and cJun that is

required for synergistic antiviral protection.

(A) Transcription factor activity of NF-κB and AP-1 subunits 15 min after treatment of human lung

epithelial cells with Pam2-ODN. n = 3-6 samples/condition. (B) RelA and cJun activity at indicated times

after Pam2-ODN treatment. n = 6 samples/condition. (C) Nuclear translocation scores of NF-κB and AP-

1 heterodimers after Pam2-ODN treatment. Shown as normalized frequency of similarity score per

condition. (D) NF-κB and AP-1 subunit nuclear translocation in C (increased, red; decreased, blue) per

condition. (E) NF-κB and AP-1 subunit nuclear translocation with or without NF-κB inhibition by IMD-

0345. (F) Representative imaging flow cytometry images of hetero-dimerization and nuclear translocation

of NF-κB and AP-1 in D and E. (G) Virus burden of mouse lung epithelial cells challenged with influenza

A with or without NF-κB inhibition. n = 4 samples/condition. *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.005$; ***, $P < 0.0005$

compared to baseline.

Application of OBIF across multiple platforms and conditions

To demonstrate its generalizability, we used OBIF to analyze synergistic regulators in datasets derived

from microarray, RNA-seq, RPPA and mass spectrometry-based metabolomics investigations of diverse

factor classes and biological systems that demonstrate synergistic biological outcomes (Cleaver *et al*,

2014; Caetano *et al*, 2018; Singh *et al*, 2019; Han *et al*, 2019). As a preliminary step before full factorial

analysis of individual features, OBIF performs an interaction analysis between the two factors of interest

using a two-way ANOVA model to represent the impact of factorial effects at the whole "-ome" level. This

statistical summary shows the effects of individual factors and interactions through interaction plots and

statistical significance calculations (Figure 5A). This provides adjusted R^2 and F-statistic p-values of the

two-way ANOVA that allow evaluation of improved model fitness (Figure EV4 A) and detection of

interaction terms (Figure EV4 B) within a dataset. After confirming adequate model fitness (i.e. adjusted

$R^2 > 0.5$, F-test < 0.05), full factorial analysis on scaled data from targeted or non-targeted platforms

identifies DEMs (Figure 5B) from individual features with an increased discriminatory power for interaction

effects (Figure EV4C). EPs then represent the biological interactions of dual factor DEMs regardless of

their factor classes (Figure 5C). Contrast analysis is then applied to more adequately retrieve and classify

iDEMs (Figure EV4D) and interaction scores are calculated in a uniform scale whether the original data

contained continuous or count-based expression values (Figure 5D). Finally, OBIF visually summarizes

the results of full factorial analysis in a Circos plot to easily identify molecular drivers of synergy from the

co-expressed features, DEMs, log_2FC, EPs, multi-factor effects and iDEMs with their interaction score

(Figure 5E).

DISCUSSION

Synergistic and antagonistic interactions are common in nature and frequently promote efficacy of therapeutic interventions (Chen *et al*, 2015; Ronzitti *et al*, 2018; Wei *et al*, 2018; Zappasodi *et al*, 2018; Han *et al*, 2019). While synergy quantification methods from dose-response data, combinatorial screening of molecule libraries, and other predictive exploration models may suggest potentially synergistic conditions or treatments, they do not provide substantive insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying synergy (Chen *et al*, 2015). Thus, synergy-mediating pathways cannot be strategically targeted in rational drug development.

Our interest in synergy arose from our observations of the strikingly synergistic interactions of one such empirically derived combination, Pam2-ODN. While we could easily quantify the superiority of protection conferred by the dual treatment, in the absence of a systems theory to interrogate synergistic mechanisms (Chen *et al*, 2015; Wei *et al*, 2018), we were limited in our capacity to use available Omics datasets to deduce the mechanisms mediating the synergy. This is important because, although this lack of mechanistic understanding does not limit the utility of the current combination, it precludes

development of next generation interventions that more precisely (perhaps, more efficaciously) target the

synergy-driving pathways with fewer off-target (potentially toxic) effects. In contrast to models that predict

possible synergy, OBIF was developed with the explicit intent to investigate established synergistic

events. As such, it is inherently a phenotype-driven model that performs full factorial analysis on feature

expression data from single vs. dual factor exposures to identify molecular clusters that reveal synergy-

mediating pathways, functions and regulators.

Using Pam2-ODN datasets as demonstrative examples, OBIF identified unanticipated transcriptional

cooperation between non-redundant transcription factors, RelA and cJun, as a molecular mechanism of

inducible synergistic protection against IAV. Thus, by facilitating understanding of combined factor

exposures in terms of the individual components, a computational discovery facilitated experimental

validation of a discrete, novel mediator of a non-additive biological response. Perhaps as importantly, the

computational analyses were accomplished by integration of data from different Omics platforms,

different specimen types, and even different host species.

Unlike most 2^2 designs, OBIF dissects factorial effects of dual factor exposures through full factorial

analysis of feature expression data in a single unsupervised step. This allows simultaneous identification

of DEMs directly from main effects of single or dual factors, overcoming pairwise comparisons to control

and repetitive analysis of each condition. While this simultaneous identification of DEMs can be

performed also with a mixed-effect model, we showed how this approach is suboptimal to detect

interaction effects at the level of individual features and iDEM selection when compared with full factorial

analysis. Additionally, clustering by DEMs, EPs and iDEMs improves the specificity of enrichment

analysis to disentangle the signaling pathways, functions and regulators of this synergistic combination

and to capture their specific driving features. Further, quantification of multi-factor effects (SMEs and

interaction effects) reveals whether particular features, molecular clusters or functions enriched by

synergistic combinations are the result of individual factors or their crosstalk.

These statistical relationships have biological analogues that are integrated by OBIF in the EP definitions.

In fact, profiles I and II rescued the underrepresentation of ODN observed in distance-based clustering

and enrichment analysis. Further, iDEMs derived from features with significant interaction effects allow

focusing discovery on synergy regulators and the calculation of interaction scores allows quantification

of their non-additive expression. Thus, unlike most systems models of synergy, OBIF facilitates

integrative analyses of biological and statistical interactions that are easily discoverable and interpretable

through molecular clusters representing the complex dynamics of synergistic combinations.

OBIF is available as an open-source R package with a semi-automated pipeline to facilitate its broad

application to unscaled original data from various Omics platforms, factor classes and biological systems.

We have shown that OBIF can be fitted to perform full factorial analysis and that it adequately identifies

DEMs, EPs, iDEMs and their attendant values and scores to promote discovery of molecular drivers of

synergy in multiple, diverse datasets.

In summary, OBIF provides a phenotype-driven systems biology model that allows multiplatform

dissection of molecular drivers of synergy. And, we encourage the application of OBIF to provide holistic

understanding in research fields where greater-than-additive beneficial combinations remain

understudied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and Tools

Reagent/Resource	Reference or Source	Identifier or Catalog Number
Experimental Models		
C57BL/6J (M. musculus)	Jackson Lab	B6.129P2Gpr37tm1Dgen/J
Immortalized human bronchial epithelial (HBEC-3kt) cells	Dr. John Minna	Authenticated by the MD Anderson Characterized Cell Line Core Facility
Murine lung epithelial (MLE-15) cells	Dr. Jeffrey Whitsett	Authenticated by the MD Anderson Characterized Cell Line Core Facility
Antibodies		
NFκB p50 (E-10) Alexa Fluor® 647	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.	Cat # sc-8414 AF647
NFκB p65 (F-6) Alexa Fluor® 488	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.	Cat # sc-8008 AF488
c-Jun (G-4) Alexa Fluor® 594	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.	Cat # sc-74543 AF594
c-Fos Antibody (D-1) Alexa Fluor® 546	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.	Cat # sc-8047 AF546
Oligonucleotides and sequence-based reagents		
Mouse 18s - Forward Primer	Sigma-Aldrich	5'-CTCATCCTTTATGACAAAGAAG-3'
Mouse 18s - Reverse Primer	Sigma-Aldrich	5'-AGATCATCATGTGAGTCAGAC-3'
Influenza NP - Forward Primer	Sigma-Aldrich	5'-GTAACCCGTTGAACCCCATT-3'
Influenza NP - Reverse Primer	Sigma-Aldrich	5'-CCATCCAATCGGTAGTAGCG-3'
Chemicals, enzymes and other reagents		
eBioscience™ Foxp3 / Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # 00-5523-00

LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Near-IR Dead Cell Stain Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # L34975
Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # 4368702
UltraComp eBeads™ Compensation Beads	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # 01-2222-42
RNAlater	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # R0901-500ML
Accutase solution	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # A6964-500ML
IMD-0354	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # I3159-5MG
iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit	Bio-Rad	Cat # 1708891BUN
Software		
Rstudio	RStudio, Inc.	Version 1.2.5033
R	www.R-project.org	Version 3.6.3
Ingenuity Pathway Analysis	QIAGEN	Version 51963813
Prism 8	GraphPad Software, LLC.	Version 8.4.2
Amnis® IDEAS®	Luminex Corporation	Version 6.1
INSPIRE ImageStreamX	Luminex Corporation	System Software
KCJunior	Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc.	System Software
CFX Maestro™ Software	Bio-Rad	Cat # #12004110
Kits, instrumentation, laboratory equipment and other		
TransAM NFκB Family	Active Motif	Cat # 43296
TransAM AP-1 Family	Active Motif	Cat # 44296

TransAM STAT Family	Active Motif	Cat # 42296
RNeasy Mini Kit	Qiagen	Cat # 74106
QIAshredder	Qiagen	Cat # 79656
CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System	Bio-Rad	Cat # 1855201
uQuant Universal Microplate Spectrophotometer	Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc.	Cat # MQX200
TProfessional TRIO combi PCR Thermocycler	Biometra	Cat # 070-724
NanoDrop™ One Microvolume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # ND-ONE-W
Amnis® ImageStream®XMk II	Luminex Corporation	Serial # ISX325

Table 2. List of Reagents and Tools

Experimental Models

Animals

All mouse experiments were performed with 6-10 week old C57BL/6J mice of a single sex in accordance

with the Institutional Animal Care and Use of Committee of The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer

Center, protocol 00000907-RN01.

Cell culture

Immortalized human bronchial epithelial (HBEC-3kt) cells were kindly provided by Dr. John Minna.

HBEC-3kt cells were cultured in keratinocyte serum-free media (KSFM) supplemented with human

epidermal growth factor and bovine pituitary extract. Murine lung epithelial (MLE-15) cells were kindly

provided by Dr. Jeffrey Whitsett. The cell lines used were authenticated by the MD Anderson

Characterized Cell Line Core Facility. MLE-15 cells were cultured in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal

bovine serum. Cultures were maintained in the presence of penicillin and streptomycin.

Exposure to TLR ligands

S-[2,3-bis(palmitoyloxy)-propyl]-(R)-cysteinyl-(lysyl) 3-lysine (Pam2 CSK4) and ODN M362 were

reconstituted in endotoxin-free water, then diluted to the desired concentration in sterile PBS. For in vivo

experiments, as previously described (Kirkpatrick et al, 2018; Ware et al, 2019), the indicated ligands

were placed in an Aerotech II nebulizer driven by 10L/min air supplemented with 5% CO_2 for 20 min.

The nebulizer was connected by polyethylene tubing to a polyethylene exposure chamber. 24 h prior to

infections, 10 ml of Pam2 (4 μM) and/or ODN (1 μM) was delivered via nebulization to unrestrained mice

for 20 minutes, and then mice were returned to normal housing. For in vitro experiments, Pam2-ODN

was added to the culture media 4 h prior to inoculation with virus.

Reverse-Phase Protein Array

To simultaneously evaluate the expression of 161 regulatory proteins and phospho-proteins in HBEC-3kt

cells after exposure to either PBS, Pam2, ODN or Pam2-ODN, a targeted high-throughput screening

proteomic assay was performed by the Reverse Phase Protein Array Core Facility at The University of

Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (Tibes *et al*, 2006; Hennessy *et al*, 2010). The RPPA included 4

biological   replicates   per   treatment   condition,   and   data   is   available   at   GitHub

(www.github.com/evanslab/OBIF).

Infection Models

For in vivo infections, frozen stock (2.8 × 107 50% tissue culture infective doses [TCID50] ml–1) of

influenza A H3N2, virus was diluted 1:250 in 0.05% gelatin in Eagle's minimal essential medium and

delivered by aerosolization for 20 min to achieve a 90% lethal dose (LD90) to LD100 (~100 TCID50 per

mouse). Mouse health was followed for 21 d post infection. n = 15 mice per condition. Animals were

weighed daily and sacrificed if they met euthanasia criteria, including signs of distress or loss of 20% pre-

infection body weight. For in vitro infections, IAV (multiplicity of infection [MOI] of 1.0) was added to cells

in submerged monolayer and viral burden was assessed 24 hours post infection.

Pathogen burden quantification

To measure transcript levels of IAV nucleoprotein (NP) gene, samples were harvested in RNAlater and

RNA was extracted using the RNeasy extraction kit. 500 ng total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA

by using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit and submitted to quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR)

analysis with SYBR green PCR master mix on an ABI ViiA 7 real-time PCR system. Host 18S rRNA was

similarly probed to determine relative expression of viral transcripts.

Omics Dataset Formatting

OBIF's input in R requires an analysis-ready data matrix m with expression values and of dimensions f x

n, where f is the number of features as rows and n is the number of samples S as columns. The

appropriate sample order in dimensions n of m is:

$$n = S_{(0,0)}^1 + \ldots + S_{(0,0)}^i + S_{(1,0)}^1 + \ldots + S_{(1,0)}^i + S_{(0,1)}^1 + \ldots + S_{(0,1)}^i + S_{(1,1)}^1 + \ldots + S_{(1,1)}^i$$

The subscripts denote the condition of the samples: exposed to neither factor (0,0), exposed to factor A

alone (1,0), exposed to factor B alone (0,1) or exposed to both factors A and B (1,1). The superscripts

represent the sample replicates from 1 to i within each of the four conditions.

To improve detection of interaction effects, OBIF allows sequential transformation of an unscaled original

data matrix with background correction, log2-transformation, quantile normalization or a combination of

these if needed. Background correction reduces noise to signal ratio at the lower limits of detection and

methods vary per platform with code extensions are available at GitHub for microarray data using the

lumi package, and for count-based sequencing data using rpm, rpkm, fpkm and tpm thresholds. Log2-

transformation of continuous and count-base data is incorporated to provide a Gaussian-like data

distribution, and quantile normalization is used to minimize the variance between samples during data

scaling (Lo *et al*, 2015; Abrams *et al*, 2019) with OBIF to meet the statistical assumptions needed for two-

way ANOVA analysis of interaction terms in a dataset (Slinker, 1998; Foucquier *et al*, 2015).

Interaction analysis

To evaluate significant interaction terms between factors at the whole "-ome" level, OBIF performs a

multiple linear regression across the expression values in a dataset:

$$E_O \sim 0 + F_A + F_B + F_A \cdot F_B$$

where the interaction analysis of the Omics expression levels (E_O) is equivalent to a two-way ANOVA

analysis where the intercept is referenced to the control samples (0) and returns a statistical summary of

terms for the individual factor A (F_A), factor B (F_B) and their interaction ($F_A \cdot F_B$). Goodness of fit is

calculated from the adjusted R^2 values, and overall significance is determined by the p-values of F-

statistics of the regression. Unscaled original data and scaled data with OBIF are compared to evaluate

improvement in detection of significant interaction terms in a given dataset.

Full Factorial Analysis

Expression Analysis

To perform differential expression analysis for detection of DEMs, OBIF fits a fixed-effects model to the

expression data of each feature:

$$E_f = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot F_A + \beta_2 \cdot F_B + \beta_3 \cdot F_{AB}$$

where the expression level of features (E_f) is a function of the estimated β coefficients for the main effects

of individual factor A (F_A) and factor B (F_B) and their combination (F_{AB}). After regression, empirical

Bayesian shrinkage of the standard errors is used to stabilize inferences of t-statistics, F-statistics, and

log-odds used for differential expression analysis. Q-values are then calculated using the Benjamini and

Hochberg method to reduce the false discovery rate (FDR). Alternatively, code extensions for are

available at GitHub to perform Bonferroni corrections or calculate Tukey Honest Significant Differences

adjustment for multiple testing instead of FDR.

Contrast analysis

To analyze the remaining factorial effects in the fitted linear model of feature expression, the coefficients

and standard errors will be estimated typical of a two-way ANOVA from a set of contrasts that define the

SMEs of each factor and their interaction effect:

$$\textit{SME of } F_A = \beta_3 \cdot F_{AB} - \beta_2 \cdot F_B$$

$$\textit{SME of } F_B = \beta_3 \cdot F_{AB} - \beta_1 \cdot F_A$$

$$\textit{Interaction effect of } F_A \cdot F_B \ (\beta_4) = \beta_3 \cdot F_{AB} - \beta_2 \cdot F_B - \beta_1 \cdot F_A$$

The standard errors calculated use a significance threshold ($p\text{-value} < 0.05$) to determine if DEMs with

F_{AB} (Pam2-ODN) are susceptible to SME or interaction effects. Selection of iDEMs is based on DEMs of

F_{AB} with a significant interaction effect.

Mixed-effects model

To evaluate performance of full factorial analysis with OBIF, detection of interaction effects at the level of

individual features is compared to a mixed-effect model (Caetano *et al*, 2018):

$$E_{f\text{-Mix}} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot F_A + \beta_2 \cdot F_B + \beta_4 \cdot F_A \cdot F_B + (1|S)$$

where the expression level of features in a mixed-effect model ($E_{f\text{-Mix}}$) is a function of the estimated β

coefficients for the fixed effects of individual factor A (F_A) and factor B (F_B) and their interaction ($F_A \cdot F_B$)

with a random effect (1|S) for all sample conditions ($S_{(0,0)}$, $S_{(1,0)}$, $S_{(0,1)}$, $S_{(1,1)}$). After regression, empirical

Bayesian shrinkage of the standard errors is used to stabilize inferences of t-statistics and F-statistics.

The standard errors calculated from the interaction term use a significance threshold ($p\text{-value} < 0.05$) to

determine significant interaction effects.

Beta-uniform mixture model

Interaction p-values are extracted from the interaction term of mixed-effects model and from the

interaction effect contrast of full factorial analysis. Independently, a beta-uniform mixture model is fitted

to these sets of p-values (Pounds *et al*, 2003; Ji *et al*, 2005) to compare their discrimination ability using

their receiver operating characteristic area under the curve (ROC AUC). Using the beta-uniform mixture

models, we calculated the number of true positives (TP), false positive (FP) and false negatives (FN)

detections (Pounds *et al*, 2003; Zhang *et al*, 2012) at the threshold level of iDEM selection (interaction p-

value = 0.05) to estimate their precision and recall proportion:

$$Precision = TP / (TP + FP)$$

$$Recall = TP / (TP + FN)$$

Unsupervised clustering of features with OBIF

Hierarchical clustering and heatmaps of DEMs

All DEMs were represented in heatmaps after hierarchically clustering using Ward's minimum variance

method with Euclidean distances of $\log_2FC$ values to compute dissimilarity by rows (features) and by

columns (samples). Column dendrograms were plotted to represent the distance between samples,

vertical side bar colors summarize DEMs according to their and horizontal side bars colors represent

sample types by factors. Color scale keys indicate the levels of feature expression with upregulation in

red and downregulation in green.

Principal component analysis of expression profiles

DEMs with F_{AB} (Pam2-ODN) were clustered by principal component analysis based on the mean linear

fold change difference to reveal the expression patterns biologically present across all factors FA, FB

and FAB. Principal components 1 and 2 were used for plotting DEMs with FAB and the variability between

features is marked in each axis. EPs were identified in the clusters for each individual feature according

to Table 1.

Enrichment analysis

To provide biological interpretation of the full factorial analysis and classification of features, enrichment

analysis was integrated in the pipeline to determine candidate effectors and regulators of synergy,

biological pathways and functional processes. Sets for DEMs, EPs, DEMs with SMEs and iDEMs are

uploaded independently, and enrichment analysis is performed with IPA software (QIAGEN, Hilden,

Germany) for core analysis using the expression levels of features. Both gene and chemical Ingenuity

Knowledge Base modules are used as enrichment reference, considering only experimentally observed

confidence levels for identification of direct and indirect relationships. The thresholds of significance for

canonical pathways, upstream analysis, diseases & functions, regulator effects and network analysis are

≥ 2 for activation z-score and $< 5\%$ false discovery rates for all predictions.

DNA-binding ELISA

HBEC-3kt were grown to 80-100% confluence in 24-well plates and treated with PBS, Pam2, ODN, or

Pam2-ODN for the indicated durations. Measurements of DNA-binding of members of NF-κB and AP-1

transcription factor members from whole cell lysates were made using their respective TransAM Kit

according to product directions. For signal detection, samples were read immediately for absorbance at

450 nm with reference wavelength at 655 nm on a microplate reader. Experiments were repeated in

triplicate and statistical analysis was performed with unpaired student's t test using GraphPad Prism 8.0

with a significance threshold of p-value <0.05.

Detection of Nuclear Translocation

Transcription factor staining and image acquisition

HBEC-3kt were grown to 80-100% confluence in 100mm dishes and treated for 30 min with PBS, Pam2,

ODN or Pam2-ODN with or without pretreatment with NF-κB inhibitor IMD-0354 at 25 ng/μL for 16 h.

Cells were detached from the plate with a 5 min incubation at 37 ºC degrees with 3 ml of Accutase to

prevent additional activation of transcriptional activity. Cells were pelleted in individual 15 ml tubes at 500

g for 5 min and suspended in 500 μL of eBioscience FOXP3 fixation/permeabilization buffer for 15 min

at room temperature. Cells were stained with a LIVE/DEAD Fixable Near IR Dead Cell Dye and with a

1:1000 dilution of NF-κB p50 (E-10) Alexa Fluor 647, NF-κB p65 (F-6) Alexa Fluor 488, c-Jun (G-4) Alexa

Fluor 594 and c-Fos (D-1) Alexa Fluor 546 conjugated antibodies for 1 h on ice and protected from light.

After incubation, cells were pelleted and washed with 200 μL of sterile PBS 4 times, then resuspended

in 100 μL sterile PBS. After the last wash, cells were pelleted and resuspended in 50 μL of sterile PBS

and nuclear DAPI staining at 0.5 μg/mL was performed just prior to data acquisition on ImageStreamX

MII.

Data acquisition with ImageStreamX MKII

HBEC-3kt images were acquired using INSPIRE software on the ImagestreamX Mark II imaging flow

cytometer (Amnis Corporation) at 40× magnification, with lasers 405 nm (85.00 mW), 488 nm

(200.00 mW), and side scatter (782 nm) (1.14 mW). 10,000 images per sample acquired include a

brightfield image (Channel 1 and 9), p65 Alexa Fluor 488 (Channel 2), c-Fos Alexa Fluor 546 (Channel

3), c-Fos Alexa Fluor 594 (Channel 4), side scatter (Channel 6), DAPI (Channel 7), and p50 Alexa Fluor

647 (Channel 3). The laser outputs prevented saturation of pixels in the relevant detection channels as

monitored by the corresponding Raw Max Pixel features during acquisition. For image compensation,

single color controls were stained with all fluorochromes and 500 events were recorded with each laser

for individual controls. Fluorescent images were taken in all channels with brightfield LEDs and scatter

lasers turned off to accurately capture fluorescence. Individual single-color control file was then merged

to generate a compensation matrix and all sample files were processed with this matrix applied.

Nuclear translocation analysis

After compensation for spectral overlap based on single color controls, analysis was performed and

individual cell images were created using IDEAS® software version 6.1. Cell populations were

hierarchically gated first by single cells, then cells in focus, then negative selected for live cells, and finally

as double positive for both DAPI and the transcription factor subunit of interest (Figure EV3B). The spatial

relationship between the transcription factors and nuclear images was measured using the 'Similarity'

feature in the IDEAS software to quantitate the mean similarity score in the cell populations per sample.

A similarity score >1 represents nuclear translocation, and the shift in distribution of nuclear translocation

between two samples was calculated using the Fisher's Discriminant ratio (Rd value) (Maguire *et al*,

2015).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research reported here was supported by The University of Texas System and Mexico's Consejo

Nacional de Ciencia Y Tecnología (CONACYT) through the ConTex Postdoctoral Fellowship Program to

J.P.G., by NIH grants R01 HL117976, DP2 HL123229 and R35 HL144805 to S.E.E. and by

P30CA016672 to MD Anderson Cancer Center. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do

not represent views of these funding agencies. The Functional Proteomics RPPA Core facility is

supported by MD Anderson Cancer Center Support Grant # 5 P30 CA016672-40. The Advanced

Cytometry & Sorting Core Facility is supported by NCI P30CA016672 and is equipped for Imaging Flow

Cytometry at MD Anderson Cancer Center. J.P.G. acknowledges and thanks the Methods in

Epidemiologic, Clinical and Operations Research (MECOR) Program from the American Thoracic Society

(ATS) and Asociación Latinoamericana de Tórax (ALAT) for their support and dedication in building

research capacity in Latin America and other countries around the globe, particularly to their faculty Dr.

Fernando Holguin and Dr. Altay Souza for their critical feedback.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conforming to the ICMJE criteria, all authors gave approval of the final version to be published and

contributed to writing or revising the article critically for important intellectual content. J.P.G. and S.E.E.

conceived the project and wrote the manuscript; J.P.G. conceived, implemented and validated the model;

J.P.G., V.V.K., T.C.R. and S.J.W. performed in vitro and in vivo experiments; J.P.G., V.V.K., T.C.R.,

S.W., S.J.W., J.Z., J.W., Y.W. and S.E.E. interpreted results; S.W., R.S., M.S.C., S.J.M., and F.M.J.

generated datasets for analysis and validation of the model; J.PG., J.Z. and J.W. performed

bioinformatics analyses; Y.W. and S.E.E. supervised the project.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

S.E.E. is an author on U.S. patent 8,883,174 "Stimulation of Innate Resistance of the Lungs to Infection

with Synthetic Ligands" and owns stock in Pulmotect Inc., which holds the commercial options on these

patent disclosures. All other authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

REFERENCES

Abrams, Z. B., Johnson, T. S., Huang, K., Payne, P. R. O., & Coombes, K. (2019). A protocol to evaluate

RNA sequencing normalization methods. BMC Bioinformatics, 20(Suppl 24), 679. doi:10.1186/s12859-

019-3247-x

Antony, J. (2014). 6 - Full Factorial Designs. In J. Antony (Ed.), Design of Experiments for Engineers and

Scientists (Second Edition) (pp. 63-85). Oxford: Elsevier.

Bardini, R., Politano, G., Benso, A., & Di Carlo, S. (2017). Multi-level and hybrid modelling approaches

for systems biology. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal, 15, 396-402.

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2017.07.005

Caetano, M. S., Hassane, M., Van, H. T., Bugarin, E., Cumpian, A. M., McDowell, C. L., Cavazos, C. G.,

Zhang, H., Deng, S., Diao, L., Wang, J., Evans, S. E., Behrens, C., Wistuba, I. I., Fuqua, S., Lin, H.,

Stabile, L. P., Watowich, S. S., Kadara, H., & Moghaddam, S. J. (2018). Sex specific function of

epithelial STAT3 signaling in pathogenesis of K-ras mutant lung cancer. Nature communications, 9(1),

4589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07042-y

Chen, D., Liu, X., Yang, Y., Yang, H., & Lu, P. (2015). Systematic synergy modeling: understanding drug

synergy from a systems biology perspective. BMC Syst Biol, 9, 56. doi:10.1186/s12918-015-0202-y

Cleaver, J. O., You, D., Michaud, D. R., Pruneda, F. A., Juarez, M. M., Zhang, J., . . . Evans, S. E. (2014).

Lung epithelial cells are essential effectors of inducible resistance to pneumonia. Mucosal Immunol,

7(1), 78-88. doi:10.1038/mi.2013.26

Collaborators, G. L. (2017). Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and

aetiologies of lower respiratory tract infections in 195 countries: a systematic analysis for the Global

Burden of Disease Study 2015. The Lancet. Infectious diseases, 17(11), 1133-1161.

doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30396-1

Coral, C., & Bokelmann, W. (2017). The Role of Analytical Frameworks for Systemic Research Design,

Explained in the Analysis of Drivers and Dynamics of Historic Land-Use Changes. Systems, 5(1).

doi:10.3390/systems5010020

Das, A. K., & Dewanjee, S. (2018). Chapter 3 - Optimization of Extraction Using Mathematical Models

and Computation. In S. D. Sarker & L. Nahar (Eds.), Computational Phytochemistry (pp. 75-106):

Elsevier.

Duggan, J. M., You, D., Cleaver, J. O., Larson, D. T., Garza, R. J., Guzman Pruneda, F. A., . . . Evans,

S. E. (2011). Synergistic interactions of TLR2/6 and TLR9 induce a high level of resistance to lung

infection in mice. J Immunol, 186(10), 5916-5926. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1002122

Foucquier, J., & Guedj, M. (2015). Analysis of drug combinations: current methodological landscape.

Pharmacol Res Perspect, 3(3), e00149. doi:10.1002/prp2.149

Geary, N. (2012). Understanding synergy. American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and

Metabolism, 304(3), E237-E253. doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00308.2012

Goldstein, I., Paakinaho, V., Baek, S., Sung, M.-H., & Hager, G. L. (2017). Synergistic gene expression

during the acute phase response is characterized by transcription factor assisted loading. Nature

Communications, 8(1), 1849. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02055-5

Han, M.-L., Liu, X., Velkov, T., Lin, Y.-W., Zhu, Y., Creek, D. J., . . . Li, J. (2019). Comparative

Metabolomics Reveals Key Pathways Associated With the Synergistic Killing of Colistin and Sulbactam

Combination Against Multidrug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Frontiers in Pharmacology,

10(754). doi:10.3389/fphar.2019.00754

Hasin, Y., Seldin, M., & Lusis, A. (2017). Multi-omics approaches to disease. Genome Biol, 18(1), 83.

doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1215-1

Hassall, K. L., & Mead, A. (2018). Beyond the one-way ANOVA for 'omics data. BMC Bioinformatics,

19(Suppl 7), 199. doi:10.1186/s12859-018-2173-7

Hennessy, B. T., Lu, Y., Gonzalez-Angulo, A. M., Carey, M. S., Myhre, S., Ju, Z., Davies, M. A., Liu, W.,

Coombes, K., Meric-Bernstam, F., Bedrosian, I., McGahren, M., Agarwal, R., Zhang, F., Overgaard, J.,

Alsner, J., Neve, R. M., Kuo, W. L., Gray, J. W., Borresen-Dale, A. L., … Mills, G. B. (2010). A Technical

Assessment of the Utility of Reverse Phase Protein Arrays for the Study of the Functional Proteome in

Non-microdissected Human Breast Cancers. Clinical proteomics, 6(4), 129–151.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12014-010-9055-y

Kirkpatrick, C. T., Wang, Y., Leiva Juarez, M. M., Shivshankar, P., Pantaleon Garcia, J., Plumer, A. K., .

. . Evans, S. E. (2018). Inducible Lung Epithelial Resistance Requires Multisource Reactive Oxygen

Species Generation To Protect against Viral Infections. MBio, 9(3). doi:10.1128/mBio.00696-18

Li, C., Shen, W., Shen, S., & Ai, Z. (2013). Gene expression patterns combined with bioinformatics

analysis identify genes associated with cholangiocarcinoma. Comput Biol Chem, 47, 192-197.

doi:10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2013.08.010

Li, O. T. W., Chan, M. C. W., Leung, C. S. W., Chan, R. W. Y., Guan, Y., Nicholls, J. M., & Poon, L. L. M.

(2009). Full factorial analysis of mammalian and avian influenza polymerase subunits suggests a role

of an efficient polymerase for virus adaptation. PLOS ONE, 4(5), e5658-e5658.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005658

Lo, S., & Andrews, S. (2015). To transform or not to transform: using generalized linear mixed models to

analyse reaction time data. Front Psychol, 6, 1171. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01171

Maguire, O., O'Loughlin, K., & Minderman, H. (2015). Simultaneous assessment of NF-κB/p65

phosphorylation and nuclear localization using imaging flow cytometry. Journal of immunological

methods, 423, 3-11. doi:10.1016/j.jim.2015.03.018

Mee, R. W. (2009). Analysis of Full Factorial Experiments. In R. Mee (Ed.), A Comprehensive Guide to

Factorial Two-Level Experimentation (pp. 27-74). New York, NY: Springer New York.

Metlay, J. P., Waterer, G. W., Long, A. C., Anzueto, A., Brozek, J., Crothers, K., . . . Whitney, C. G.

(2019). Diagnosis and Treatment of Adults with Community-acquired Pneumonia. An Official Clinical

Practice Guideline of the American Thoracic Society and Infectious Diseases Society of America.

American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine, 200(7), e45-e67. doi:10.1164/rccm.201908-

1581ST

Mihret, A., Loxton, A. G., Bekele, Y., Kaufmann, S. H. E., Kidd, M., Haks, M. C., . . . Walzl, G. (2014).

Combination of gene expression patterns in whole blood discriminate between tuberculosis infection

states. BMC Infectious Diseases, 14(1), 257. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-14-257

Ronzitti, G., & Mingozzi, F. (2018). Combination Therapy Is the New Gene Therapy? Molecular therapy

: the journal of the American Society of Gene Therapy, 26(1), 12-14. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.12.008

Singh, R., Peng, S., Viswanath, P., Sambandam, V., Shen, L., Rao, X., . . . Johnson, F. M. (2019). Non-

canonical cMet regulation by vimentin mediates Plk1 inhibitor–induced apoptosis. EMBO Molecular

Medicine, 11(5), e9960. doi:10.15252/emmm.201809960

Slinker, B. K. (1998). The statistics of synergism. Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology, 30(4), 723-

731. doi:10.1006/jmcc.1998.0655

Tibes, R., Qiu, Y., Lu, Y., Hennessy, B., Andreeff, M., Mills, G. B., & Kornblau, S. M. (2006). Reverse

phase protein array: validation of a novel proteomic technology and utility for analysis of primary

leukemia specimens and hematopoietic stem cells. Molecular cancer therapeutics, 5(10), 2512–2521.

https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-06-0334

Tuvim, M. J., Gilbert, B. E., Dickey, B. F., & Evans, S. E. (2012). Synergistic TLR2/6 and TLR9 activation

protects mice against lethal influenza pneumonia. PLOS ONE, 7(1), e30596-e30596.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030596

Ware, H. H., Kulkarni, V. V., Wang, Y., Pantaleón García, J., Leiva Juarez, M., Kirkpatrick, C. T., . . .

Evans, S. E. (2019). Inducible lung epithelial resistance requires multisource reactive oxygen species

generation to protect against bacterial infections. PLOS ONE, 14(2), e0208216.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0208216

Wei, P. L., Gu, H., Liu, J., & Wang, Z. (2018). Development of Fangjiomics for Systems Elucidation of

Synergistic Mechanism Underlying Combination Therapy. Comput Struct Biotechnol J, 16, 565-572.

doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2018.10.015

Zappasodi, R., Merghoub, T., & Wolchok, J. D. (2018). Emerging Concepts for Immune Checkpoint

Blockade-Based Combination Therapies. Cancer Cell, 34(4), 690. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2018.09.008

Zhang, J., & Coombes, K. R. (2012). Sources of variation in false discovery rate estimation include

sample size, correlation, and inherent differences between groups. BMC Bioinformatics, 13 Suppl 13,

S1. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-S13-S1

Zhang, X., Cha, I.-H., & Kim, K.-Y. (2017). Use of a Combined Gene Expression Profile in Implementing

a Drug Sensitivity Predictive Model for Breast Cancer. Cancer Res Treat, 49(1), 116-128.

doi:10.4143/crt.2016.085

 SUPPORTING EXTENDED VERSION FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure EV1. Overview of model fitting of Omics datasets during analysis with OBIF.

(A) Quality control plots assess data distribution of GSE28994 with violin plots (*top*) and detect potential outliers by hierarchical clustering (*bottom*) in both the pre-processed original dataset (*left*) and the analysis-ready dataset (*right*). (B) Interaction analysis of factorial effects at the whole transcriptome level, demonstrating interaction plots, coefficient significance and goodness of fit per platform. (C) Representative volcano plots of full factorial analysis from analysis ready data for each condition after expression analysis (*top*) and Q-Q plots of moderated t-statistics for each multi-factor effect after contrast analysis (*bottom*). (D) Visual summary of OBIF's outputs including DEMs, EPs and iDEMs (*left*) plotted into 3 rings (*right*): (i) DEMs, where inner links represent shared features between DEMs followed by their $\log_2$FC values; (ii) EPs, where inner sectors represent individual profiles (I to VIII) followed by their of significant F_A-SME (green), F_B-SME (orange) or $F_A \cdot F_B$ interaction effect (pink); and (iii) iDEMs, represented by their synergistic or antagonistic interaction scores. FC, fold change; DEMs, differentially expressed molecules; EPs, expression profiles; iDEMs, interacting DEMs; SME, simple main effect.

Figure EV2. OBIF analysis of RPPA data from HBEC-3kt treated with single or dual ligands.

(A) Interaction analysis of factorial effects at the whole proteome level, demonstrating interaction plots, coefficient significance and quality of model fitness per platform. (B) Euler diagram of DEMs identified in A. (C) Principal component analysis of dual factor DEMs in B clustered by EPs. (D) OBIF summary of molecular drivers of synergy in B-C. (E) Heatmap of expression values of DEMs in B with expression profiles shown per feature (rows). FC, fold change; DEMs, differentially expressed molecules; EPs, expression profiles.

Figure EV3. STAT family data and gating strategy for imaging flow cytometry.

(A) Transcription factor activity of STAT subunits 15 min after treatment of human lung epithelial cells

with Pam2-ODN. n = 3 samples/condition. (B) Gating strategy used during single cell imaging flow

cytometry for simultaneous assessment of all transcriptional subunits.

Figure EV4. OBIF improves detection of interaction effects across platforms and factor classes.

(A) Comparative performance of data scaling during interaction analysis at the whole "-ome" level

showing overall fitness and significance of two-way ANOVA. (B) Significance level of interaction term

between factors detected in A. (C) Comparative performance of statistical methods to detect interaction

effects at the individual feature level using a beta-uniform mixture model of interaction p-values. (D)

Precision and recall fractions at iDEM selection threshold calculated from C. OBIF, Omics-based

interaction framework; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curve.

J Pantaleón García, Figure 3

A Interaction Analysis

$$E_F \sim F_A + F_B + F_A \times F_B$$

Microarray

Wildtype mouse lungs
Pam2CSK4 + ODN M362

Pam2CSK4 ***   ODN M362 ***
Interaction **
Adj. R² = 0.7245
F-test = 0.00000002495

RNA-sequencing

K-ras mutant mouse lungs
Male + Stat3 KO

Male *   Stat3 KO *
Interaction n.s.
Adj. R² = 0.6694
F-test = 0.01257

Reverse Phase Protein Array

Non-small cell lung cancer (HCC4006)
Volasertib + TGF-β

Volasertib *   TGF-β *
Interaction n.s.
Adj. R² = 0.586
F-test = 0.01156

Mass Spectrometry Metabolomics

Acinetobacter baumannii
Colistin + Sulbactam

Colistin ***   Sulbactam ***
Interaction *
Adj. R² = 0.7131
F-test = 0.000019

B DEMs

Factor_A   Factor_B   Factor_AB

C Expression Profiles

I II III IV V VI VII VIII

D iDEMs

Antagonistic   Synergistic

E OBIF Summary

iDEMs
EPs
DEMs

A

Data Distribution

Original Dataset

PBS   Pam2

Analysis Ready

ODN   Pam2-ODN

Outliers

Outliers:
GSM718370
GSM718374

C   Full Factorial Analysis

Expression Analysis

Pam2

ODN

Pam2-ODN

$-Log_{10}$ (P-value)

Log_2FC

Contrast Analysis

Pam2 SME

ODN SME

Interaction Effect

Sample Quantiles

Theoretical Quantiles

B

Interaction Analysis

Wildtype mouse lungs
Pam2CSK4 + ODN M362

Feature expression

Pam2CSK4 **   ODN M362 ***
Interaction n.s.
Adj. R^2 = 0.5314
F-test = 0.00001382

Wildtype mouse lungs
Pam2CSK4 + ODN M362

Feature expression

Pam2CSK4 ***   ODN M362 ***
Interaction **
Adj. R^2 = 0.7245
F-test = 0.00000002495

D

iDEMs

EPs

DEMs

OBIF Summary

F_B SME F_A SME

Interaction
Effect

Log_2FC DEMs

Shared
Feature

EPs   Interaction
Score
(iDEMs)

A Interaction Analysis

Pam2CSK4 + ODN M362

Feature expression

Pam2CSK4 **   ODN M362 **

Interaction *
Adj. R² = 0.6193
F-test = 0.001266

B

Pam2-ODN

ODN

Pam2

C

I II III IV V VI VII VIII

Principal Component 2 (13.9%)

Principal Component 1 (82.1%)

D

OBIF Summary

E

Log₂FC

Expression Profiles

Pam2   Pam2-ODN   ODN

STAT3 (pY705)
NF−κB/RelA (pS536)
cJun (pS73)
YB1 (pS102)
p38 (pT180+Y182)
p38 MAPK
Bad (pS112)
ACC (pS79)
AMPK (pT172)
EGFR (pY1068)
YAP
cRaf (pS338)
Caspase 9 (cleavedD330)
Akt (pT308)
Akt (pS473)
HER3 (pY1298)
Chk2 (pT68)
Collagen VI

J Pantaleón García, Figure EV3

J Pantaleón García, Figure EV4