Transcriptional Difference between SARS-COV-2 and other Human Coronaviruses Revealed by Sub-

genomic RNA Profiling

Lin Lv[#], Xiaoqing Xie[#], Qiyu Gong, Ru Feng, Xiaokui Guo, Bing Su, Lei Chen*

Shanghai Institute of Immunology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025,

China

# These authors contributed equally.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: Lei Chen (lei.chen@sjtu.edu.cn).

Abstract

SARS-COV-2 and all other coronaviruses express its 3 prime genes by forming sub-genomic RNA. As

the genome of these virus exist in RNA form, only by profiling the relative abundance of these sgRNAs, can

the viral transcriptome be revealed. Utilizing publically available meta-transcriptomic data generated from

patient samples, we were able to infer the viral transcriptome in vivo, which is distinct from the *in vitro* one

derived from cell culture. Inter-sample diversity was also observed and a sample specific transcript was

identified. By doing the same analysis to MERS and SARS data, we were able to compare the three in terms

of transcription. Among the differences, SARS-COV-2 has significantly elevated expression of the Spike gene,

which may contribute to its high transmissibility.

Highlights

1)   The *in vivo* transcriptome of SARS-CoV-2 revealed by sgRNA profiling, for 25 patient samples around

the globe.

2)   The Spike protein expression is an order of magnitude higher in SARS-CoV-2 than MERS-CoV or SARS-

CoV, possibly contributing to the virus' elevated transmissibility.

3)   The *in vivo* SARS-CoV-2 transcriptomes, as inferred from human patient data was distinct from the *in*

vitro one derived from cell line culture, all the accessory genes were up-regulated *in vivo*, suggesting

intricate expression regulation mechanism for the small viral genome.

Introduction

COVID-19 has reached global pandemic levels since March 2020, brought unprecedented devastation to human lives and to global economy as well [1]. Like other coronaviruses, the genome of SARS-COV-2, the virus responsible for COVID-19, exists in the form of a single positive strand of RNA. Upon entrance into host cells, the open reading frame (ORF) closest to 5' end can be readily translated from the genome, in reality this ORF is divided into two segments—ORF1a and ORF1ab, due to a ribosome skipping mechanism involved [2]. All other 3' ORFs, including ones coding for the structural proteins: S (Spike), E (Envelope), M (Membrane) and N (Nucleocapsid) and a number of accessory genes, can only be translated by first forming the so called sub-genomic RNAs or sgRNAs. These sgRNAs are formed by recombination of the 3' portion of the genome with a leader sequence of around 70 nt. The recombination is usually thought to be based on a repetitive sequence called Transcription Regulation Sequence (TRS), Fig 1A.

The transcriptome of the virus is a fundamental aspect of its biology. For example, knowing which accessory protein is expressed and in which strain can greatly help us combating it. Also in the 21 century, two previous episodes of coronavirus outbreaks had plagued us: the SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome) outbreak in 2003 and MERS (Mid-Eastern Respiratory Syndrome) outbreak in 2012. These two outbreaks caused far fewer cases, 8071 and 2499 respectively, whereas the fatality rates were much higher, 9.6% for SARS and 37.0% for MERS, compared with a current estimate of 4.5% for SARS-COV-2 [1, 3, 4]. Studying the difference between the three can reveal valuable information on their different transmissibility and virulence. But it's currently lacking beyond clinical information or simple genome comparison due to the difficulty in handling these deadly viruses.

Sequencing has played essential role in identifying this particular virus and are still contributing to the diagnosis and strain typing to keep the spread and evolution of it under close monitoring [5, 6]. The vast majority of these sequencing data come in the form of meta-transcriptomics sequencing, i.e. the sequencing of the RNA of all organisms within a biological sample, mostly bronchoaveolar lavage fluid or BALF. Usually the viral reads within these data were extracted and assembled or used to call SNPs, so the underlying strain would be typed and compared to existing ones. Once sequenced, early annotation of the viral genome were made based on sequence homology [5, 6]. However, homology alone does not warrant expression of the underlying gene as these highly mutable RNA virus can have other sequence features altered that rendering ORFs that looked intact not expressed. Recently, direct profiling of viral RNAs were made using nanopore technology that revealed the transcriptome of the virus, these study all used isolated

virus strain to infect VERO cells to generate the sample for sequencing [7-9]. In this paper, we turned to the

readily available public data. By profiling the sgRNA population underlying the data using an alignment

break point analysis method, we were able to reconstruct viral transcriptome in vivo for a large number of

samples, and study their diversity. Doing the same to MERS and SARS, we were able to compare the

transcriptomes of these human coronaviruses.

Results

As of 2020/03/31, there are more than 2800 viral genome sequences submitted to GISAID. However

there are only 11 Bioprojects containing almost 60 samples with raw data deposited in NCBI SRA. After

filtering out samples that contained too few viral reads and adding ones for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, we

obtained 8 projects with 42 samples and 698 million Illumina reads in total, Supplementary Table 1. All the

SARS-Cov-2 samples came from human, while SARS and MERS ones came from mouse or cultured cells.

We developed an informatics pipeline to infer sgRNA profiles from these short reads samples. In short,

reads were first aligned to a combined reference of human (hg38) and either SARS-CoV-2 (GeneBank ID

MT121215.1), SARS (GeneBank ID NC_004718.3) or MERS (GeneBank ID NC_019843.3). The relative

abundance of the sgRNA species can then be inferred from alignment break point analysis. I.e. the reads

that derive from the 5' end of the sgRNAs, when mapped to whole genome reference, will have one end

mapped to the 5' leader and one end mapped far away from it, Fig 1A. See supplementary methods for

more details.

For SARS-CoV-2, there are 25 samples in total, between 2.99% and 99.97% of the reads were mapped

to SARS-CoV-2 (84.76% average, the large variation arose from different sequencing library construction

methods used). The sgRNA profiling identified 8 cannonical break points corresponding to 8 sgRNA species:

S, E, M, N, ORF3a, ORF6, ORF7a and ORF8, Fig1B. N is the most abundant at 28% of the canonical sgRNAs.

It's followed by ORF7a, M, ORF3a and S, all just above 10%. E is the lowest of the eight at about 1%. All of

them also have TRS except E. The break point for these ORFs were situated between 9 to 146 nt upstream

of the ORF start, and have no start codon (ATG) in-between. ORF7b and ORF10 are two ORFs that were

supported in a recent proteomics study on SARS-CoV-2. However, we did not see supporting break

points/sgRNA in our first scan-through. We then looked extra hard by lowing our threshold. The few extra

break points emerged were still very far away from those ORF start or has out-of-frame start codon between

the break point and the ORF start. Thus ORF7b and ORF10 were not supported by our data, this could be

due to lack of read depth or difference between *in vivo* and *in vitro* situations.

We also examined a few well supported break point without corresponding canonical ORF annotations

and found a novel ORF starting at 22614, encoding a 36 amino acid peptide. This break point was only

identified in one of the samples but reached high frequency in it. Interestingly, this novel break point has

an underlying TRS that's shifted several bases from the canonical one and all the samples contain this

shifted TRS. The underlying sample (SRA ID SRR11278164) has a genomic sequence differs from other

samples mostly at ORF1ab, suggesting replication/transcription machinery change rather than TRS

alteration contributed to this novel sgRNA. Although if this novel sgRNA or viral transcript was translated

or not remain to be seen.

Figure 1. The sgRNA profile for SARS-COV-2. A) schematic showing of gRNA and sgRNAs for coronaviruses,

the gRNA translate the 5' ORF, while sgRNAs are formed by concatenation of variable lengths of the 3'

portion of gRNA with its leader, usually through a TRS (Transcription Regulation Sequence), all the 3' ORFs

are translated from their respective sgRNAs, as usually only one ORF is translated from a messenger RNA.

B) Top, the break point ratios; middle, the TRS sequences within the SARS-COV-2 genome, solid dots

indicate a matching base to leader TRS sequence while a hollowed one indicate a mismatch; bottom, the

schematic showing of the SARS-COV-2 annotation, the ORF supported by sgRNAs indicated by orange color.

For SARS, there are 10 samples in total, between 0.10% and 55.37% of reads were mapped to

SARS(14.59% average). The number of 3' ORFs annotated by homology alone is 12 while sgRNA profiling

suggest 8, Suppl. Fig 1. For MERS, there are 7 samples in total, between 0.04% and 96.21% of reads were

mapped to MERS (14.78% average). Homology alone suggest there is 9 3' ORFs in this genome, while sgRNA

profiling suggest 7, Suppl. Fig 2. This makes MERS the one with fewest genes amongst the three. When the

annotation of the 3 viruses were compared, both homology based and sgRNA based, SARS comes closest

to SARS-CoV-2, as expected. Also, sgRNA evidence suggest both express 8 3' ORFs, with an almost perfect

correspondence, with ORF8 from SARS-CoV-2 being the exception. It shares weak and partial homology

with SARS-CoV ORF8b, not the well express 8a, Fig 2A.

Since we have multiple samples for each of the three viruses, we can compare their transcriptome

across samples, Fig 2B. Note that after imposing a minimum break point read requirement, the number of

samples for the SARS-CoV-2 group was down to eight. The most striking different came from S. The relative

expression of S gene in SARS-Cov-2 is 14.8%, an order of magnitude higher than that in SARS and MERS,

1.6% and 1.25% respectively. We notice this difference is in accordance with the three's capability to spread

among hosts: MERS being the most deadly, has a R0 of 0.69, SARS-CoV-2 having the lowest fatality rate,

spread very rapidly and SARS comes in between in terms of both transmissibility and fatality rate [10-12].

SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV both use ACE2 as the receptor for celluar invasion. Cryo-EM study suggested

that the SARS-CoV-2 has higher binding affinity to ACE2 [13]. Our study suggest yet another possibility. The

elevated S expression, may make an average SARS-COV-2 having more Spikes on surface or just assemble

more efficiently.

We also compared the recent in vitro transcriptome with our in vivo one, Fig 2B, green dashed lines.

An interesting observation is that all accessory genes were down-regulated in vitro. This could make

biological sense as in defenseless cultured cells, viruses devote more resource into reproduction, while to

cope with more host defense *in vivo*, accessory genes have to more important roles to play. This also

suggested there was intricate expression regulation mechanism at work here, even for this small 30 Kb

genome.

Figure 2. Comparison between SARS-COV-2, SARS and MERS in terms of sgRNA profiles. A) The schematic

showing of correspondence between the three viruses, the orange colored ORFs were supported by sgRNA

analysis; B) The sgRNA profiles of the 3 viruses compared; C) The heatmaps of the viral expression matrices,

showing clustering of samples as well as genes.

Lastly, we made clustered heatmaps for the viral transcriptome matrices, Fig 2C. The samples did not

cluster by Bioproject ID, suggesting low batch effect across a wide range of library processing methods,

validating this type of short reads break point profiling as relatively unbiased and the inferred transcriptome

can be compared. Clustering of the genes suggest that for SARS-CoV-2, the E gene and ORF6 gene were

highly correlated. Interestingly these two genes are of similar length, having similarly sized TRS and similar

sgRNA abundance.

Discussion

SARS-COV-2 were identified very early on by sequencing technology. The sequence data on this virus

were still generated in high volumes around the globe as of this paper. These sequencing data were so far

used mostly for strain typing the virus. This is an under-utilization of a valuable information. By developing

an informatics pipeline, we were able to infer the sgRNA populations from these data, essentially recover

the viral transcriptome. This present an added layer of information on top of the genome sequence. Our

results suggest that different strains of virus may even have a different repertoire of genes expressed,

highlighting the need for more in-depth case examination and individual patient care.

Spike protein received tremendous amount of research attention as it determines the host specificity

and receptor affinity thus transmissibility. Our result show that the SARS-CoV-2 Spike gene is highly

expressed in at least a large number of virus strains, on average an order of magnitude higher than SARS-

CoV or MERS-CoV. This may also contribute to the elevated transmissibility of this virus. Carefully controlled

electron microscopy comparison of these virion particles may be able to answer if the relative amount of

the Spike is really different

Finally, there are more than just viral information within this type of meta-transcriptomic data. Host

RNA and RNA from other microbes were also there, though not optimally captured. In a time of urgent

need, these valuable information should not be wasted, and could be made more useful if more

accompanying clinical information could be shared. Most GISAID entries for SARS-CoV-2 has a meta-

transcriptomic dataset behind it and currently GISAID entries far out-numbered the raw reads entries in say

SRA. Sharing these information will greatly help researchers study this virus and ultimately curb it.

References

1. **World Health Organization:Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report** [https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports]

2. Straus SE: **Coronaviridae.** In *Fields Virology.* Edited by Knipe DM, Howley PM. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013: 825-859

3. Memish ZA, Perlman S, Van Kerkhove MD, Zumla A: **Middle East respiratory syndrome.** *Lancet* 2020, **395:**1063-1077.

4. Wang L, Wang Y, Jin S, Wu Z, Chin DP, Koplan JP, Wilson ME: **Emergence and control of infectious diseases in China.** *Lancet* 2008, **372:**1598-1605.

5. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, Chen Y-M, Wang W, Song Z-G, Hu Y, Tao Z-W, Tian J-H, Pei Y-Y, et al: **A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China.** *Nature* 2020, **579:**265-269.

6. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, Si HR, Zhu Y, Li B, Huang CL, et al: **A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin.** *Nature* 2020, **579:**270-273.

7. Kim D, Lee J-Y, Yang J-S, Kim JW, Kim VN, Chang H: **The architecture of SARS-CoV-2 transcriptome.** *bioRxiv* 2020.

8. Davidson AD, Williamson MK, Lewis S, Shoemark D, Carroll MW, Heesom K, Zambon M, Ellis J, Lewis PA, Hiscox JA, Matthews DA: **Characterisation of the transcriptome and proteome of SARS-CoV-2 using direct RNA sequencing and tandem mass spectrometry reveals evidence for a cell passage induced in-frame deletion in the spike glycoprotein that removes the furin-like cleavage site.** *bioRxiv* 2020.

9. Taiaroa G, Rawlinson D, Featherstone L, Pitt M, Caly L, Druce J, Purcell D, Harty L, Tran T, Roberts J, et al: **Direct RNA sequencing and early evolution of SARS-CoV-2.** *bioRxiv* 2020.

10. Bauch CT, Lloyd-Smith JO, Coffee MP, Galvani AP: **Dynamically modeling SARS and other newly emerging respiratory illnesses: past, present, and future.** *Epidemiology* 2005, **16:**791-801.

11. Zhang S, Diao M, Yu W, Pei L, Lin Z, Chen D: **Estimation of the reproductive number of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) and the probable outbreak size on the Diamond Princess cruise ship: A data-driven analysis.** *Int J Infect Dis* 2020, **93:**201-204.

12. Breban R, Riou J, Fontanet A: **Interhuman transmissibility of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: estimation of pandemic risk.** *Lancet* 2013, **382:**694-699.

13. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh CL, Abiona O, Graham BS, McLellan JS: **Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation.** *Science* 2020, **367:**1260-1263.

202