

1 **Mortality of native and invasive ladybirds co-infected by ectoparasitic and**
2 **entomopathogenic fungi**

3

4 Danny Haelewaters^{1,2,3*}, Thomas Hiller⁴, Emily A. Kemp⁵, Paul S. van Wielink⁶, David I.
5 Shapiro-Ilan⁵, M. Catherine Aime³, Oldřich Nedvěd², Donald H. Pfister¹, Ted E. Cottrell⁵

6

7 ¹Harvard University, Farlow Reference Library and Herbarium of Cryptogamic Botany,
8 Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

9 ²University of South Bohemia, Faculty of Science, České Budějovice, Czech Republic.

10 ³Purdue University, Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.

11 ⁴University of Ulm, Institute of Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation Genomics, Ulm,
12 Germany.

13 ⁵United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Southeastern Fruit and
14 Tree Nut Research Laboratory, Byron, Georgia, USA.

15 ⁶Natuurmuseum Brabant, Tilburg/De Kaaistoep, The Netherlands.

16

17 *Corresponding author: Danny Haelewaters, danny.haelewaters@gmail.com, 617-608-8974

18

19 **ABSTRACT:** *Harmonia axyridis* is an invasive alien ladybird in North America and Europe.

20 Studies show that multiple natural enemies are using *Ha. axyridis* as a new host. However, thus

21 far, no research has been undertaken to study the effects of simultaneous infections of multiple

22 natural enemies on *Ha. axyridis*. We hypothesized that high thallus densities of the ectoparasitic

23 fungus *Hesperomyces virescens* on a ladybird weaken the host's defenses, thereby making it

24 more susceptible to infection by other natural enemies. We examined mortality of the North
25 American-native *Olla v-nigrum* and *Ha. axyridis* co-infected with *He. virescens* and an
26 entomopathogenic fungus—either *Beauveria bassiana* or *Metarhizium anisopliae*. Laboratory
27 assays revealed that *He. virescens*-infected *O. v-nigrum* individuals are more susceptible to
28 entomopathogenic fungi, but *Ha. axyridis* does not suffer the same effects. This is in line with
29 the enemy release hypothesis, which predicts that invasive alien species in new geographic areas
30 experience reduced regulatory effects from natural enemies compared to native species.
31 Considering our results, we can ask how *He. virescens* affects survival when confronted by other
32 pathogens that previously had little impact on *Ha. axyridis*.

33 **Introduction**

34 In nature and in agricultural ecosystems, hosts may be exploited by more than one natural
35 enemy. These organisms interact with each other and with their hosts¹. These complex
36 interactions shape the population structure and dynamics of all organisms in the system. Natural
37 enemies also compete with one another, and the impact on the host can be either synergistic,
38 additive, or antagonistic². These interactions can be manifested in various aspects of host fitness
39 or mortality. For example, biological control of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera, Drosophilidae), an
40 important pest of fruit and berry crops, can be improved by treatments combining multiple
41 natural enemies, which have an additive effect³. At the same time, dual infections (even if
42 causing an increase in host mortality) may be deleterious to one or both pathogens in terms of
43 pathogen growth, fecundity, or other fitness parameters.

44
45 *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae), native to eastern Asia, has rapidly increased its
46 global range and is now present on all continents except Antarctica^{4,5,6}. Even though it has
47 repeatedly been introduced for its beneficial properties as a biological control agent against aphid
48 pests, its negative effects on native ladybird communities in invaded areas^{7,8,9} and on food
49 production⁷ have raised serious concerns since the early 2000s⁴. It is now a model organism for
50 studying invasive alien species^{10,11} and it has been listed in Europe as “one of the worst” invasive
51 species¹². *Harmonia axyridis* is often reported as host to several natural enemies. These include
52 parasites (*Hesperomyces virescens*, *Coccipolipus hippodamiae*, *Parasitylenchus bifurcatus*),
53 parasitoids (phorid and tachinid flies, *Dinocampus coccinellae*, *Homalotylus* spp., Tetrastichinae
54 spp.), pathogens (bacteria, fungi, nematodes, protozoans), and predators (bugs, lacewings,
55 ladybirds, and spiders)^{13,14,15,16,17,18}. Independent studies show that natural enemies of native

56 ladybirds have recently employed *Ha. axyridis* as a new host, sometimes simultaneously^{16,17,19,20}.
57 Review of the effects of parasites, pathogens, and parasitoids of *Ha. axyridis* shows that they
58 have only limited potential for controlling population densities of their host when acting
59 alone^{14,17,19,21}. Thus far, no studies have focused on the effects of infections of multiple natural
60 enemy on *Ha. axyridis*.

61
62 *Hesperomyces virescens* (Ascomycota, Laboulbeniomycetes, Laboulbeniales) is a common
63 obligate ectoparasite of ladybirds^{4,17}. Contrary to most multicellular fungi, *He. virescens* and
64 other members of Laboulbeniales lack hyphae, instead they form 3-dimensional multicellular
65 thalli by determinate growth^{17,22}. Laboulbeniales, including *He. virescens*, cannot be grown in
66 axenic culture and no asexual stages are known²². Given locally high prevalence of the fungus
67 *Hesperomyces virescens* (Ascomycota, Laboulbeniomycetes, Laboulbeniales) on ladybird
68 hosts^{17,23} and the abundance of entomopathogenic fungal strains in the environment²⁴, we
69 examined mortality of native and invasive *He. virescens*-infected ladybirds exposed to either
70 *Beauveria bassiana* or *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Ascomycota, Sordariomycetes, Hypocreales).
71 Because *He. virescens* forms a branched, non-septate, rhizoidal haustorium²⁵ that penetrates the
72 host's exoskeleton and makes contact with the body fluid for nutrient uptake, we hypothesized
73 that high thallus densities with concomitant haustorial formation by *He. virescens* weaken host
74 defenses, thus increasing the host's susceptibility to infection by other natural enemies. With this
75 experiment, we assess how *He. virescens* affects ladybird survival when exposed to other natural
76 enemies that alone have little impact on *Ha. axyridis* and compare results with a North
77 American-native ladybird of similar body size, *Olla v-nigrum*.

78

79 **Results**

80 Our candidate models for both host species *Ha. axyridis* and *O. v-nigrum* were significantly
81 better at explaining survival relative to chance variation (Chi-squared test, $\chi^2 = 156.7$, $P < 0.001$;
82 $\chi^2 = 153.0$, $P < 0.001$, respectively). The overall model fit was high for both candidate models
83 (*Ha. axyridis*: Nagelkerke's $R^2 = 0.40$; *O. v-nigrum*: Nagelkerke's $R^2 = 0.53$) suggesting the
84 variance is well described by our applied models.

85
86 We found a significant negative effect on ladybird survival of the *M. anisopliae* treatment on *He.*
87 *virescens*-negative *Ha. axyridis* (Fig. 1, Table 1), whereas *B. bassiana* treatments did not affect
88 the survival of *He. virescens*-negative individuals. Infection with *He. virescens* significantly
89 affected *Ha. axyridis* survival over all treatments (Fig. 1, Table 1). However, there was no
90 additional effect detectable among co-infection treatments for *He. virescens*-positive ladybirds.
91 Each treatment applied to *O. v-nigrum* had a significantly negative effect on the survival for both
92 *He. virescens*-negative and -positive ladybirds (Fig. 1, Table 2). Finally, we found an additional
93 negative effect of all co-infection treatments on the survival of *He. virescens*-positive *O. v-*
94 *nigrum* (Fig. 1, Table 2). These results suggest that there is no effect of dual infections on *Ha.*
95 *axyridis*, whereas *O. v-nigrum* is highly affected by simultaneous exposure to both *He. virescens*
96 and an entomopathogenic fungus. Percentages of ladybird mortality by treatment are also
97 presented in tabulated form in Supplementary Table S1.

98
99 When comparing the daily survival of *Ha. axyridis* and *O. v-nigrum*, no significant differences
100 were found in *He. virescens*-positive only treatments. However, when co-infected *O. v-nigrum*

101 showed a significantly lower survival compared to *Ha. axyridis* for native and GHA *B. bassiana*
102 strains (log rank test, $P = 0.0014$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively; Fig. 2).

103

104 **Discussion**

105 Research on the additive effects of multiple natural enemies on a given host is rare, likely
106 because of the complexity involved in designing robust bioassays that include all partners of the
107 system. Combining the natural enemies *Orius insidiosus* (Hemiptera, Anthocoridae) and
108 *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (Rhabditida, Heterorhabditidae) resulted in the largest decline in
109 larvae of *Drosophila suzukii*³, which causes major economic losses to fruit crops in its invasive
110 range, spanning North and South America and Europe²⁶. The addition of *O. insidiosus* resulted in
111 50% fewer *D. suzukii* larvae compared to treatment with only *H. bacteriophora*. *Plutella*
112 *xylostella* (Lepidoptera, Plutellidae), an important cosmopolitan pest of brassicaceous crops,
113 offers another example. This organism shows resistance to almost all chemical insecticides²⁷.
114 *Pandora blunckii* and *Zoophthora radicans* (Zoopagomycota, Entomophthoromycetes,
115 Entomophthorales) both infect *P. xylostella* in the field. In co-inoculation studies with *Pa.*
116 *blunckii* and *Z. radicans* in *P. xylostella* larvae, larval cadavers (three days post mortality) were
117 most frequently found with conidia of a single entomopathogen, usually the one that had been
118 inoculated first (prior “residency”)—meaning that the other species was excluded²⁸. In general,
119 the presence of competing species in the same host resulted in a decreased proportion of *P.*
120 *xylostella* larvae that were infected compared to single inoculations.

121

122 Regarding *Ha. axyridis*, the following co-infections of natural enemies have been observed in
123 nature: *He. virescens* + *Coccipolipus hippodamiae* mites (Acarina, Podapolipidae) in the USA,

124 Austria, and the Netherlands^{14,16,29} and *He. virescens* + *Parasitylenchus bifurcatus* nematodes
125 (Nematoda, Allantonematidae) in the Czech Republic, Germany, and the Netherlands^{16,17,30}.
126 Given the status of *Ha. axyridis* as an invasive alien species, these findings demand a better
127 understanding of interactions among the different natural enemies and their potential role in
128 limiting populations of *Ha. axyridis*. To date, bioassays to determine mortality of ladybirds
129 induced by infection by one or more natural enemies have not yet been performed. Likewise,
130 bioassays including Laboulbeniales have only been carried out in one study³¹.

131
132 When we started this study, *He. virescens* was considered a single species with multiple ladybird
133 hosts, potentially with multiple strains that infect only a single species, or one closely related³².
134 Yet, it was recently shown that *He. virescens* is a complex of multiple species, each with its own
135 ladybird host³³. Isolates of *He. virescens* from *Ha. axyridis* and *O. v-nigrum* represent two
136 different species of *Hesperomyces*. In other words, the experiments in the current study allow us
137 to make comparisons between two host species, each with their own specific fungal parasite. To
138 disentangle the interactions in future experiments, we must infect *Ha. axyridis* and *O. v-nigrum*
139 ladybirds with the species of *Hesperomyces* specific to *Olla* and *Harmonia*, respectively,
140 perform bioassays, and compare mortality rates under different treatments with our current
141 results. Analyzing interactions among natural enemies only make sense when the taxa considered
142 represent single biological species.

143
144 We found a significant negative effect of *Hesperomyces*-only infection on the survival of both
145 ladybird hosts (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table S1). Previous work has shown *Hesperomyces*
146 infections to result in decreased mating frequency of female ladybirds, lower (male) survival

147 rates in winter, and impeded sensing ability and flexibility of legs in heavily infected
148 ladybirds^{14,17,34}. One study³⁵ implicated parasitism by *He. virescens* as the cause of late summer
149 mortality of *Chilocorus bipustulatus* ladybirds but this was later disputed based on controlled
150 laboratory experiments³⁶. Our research is the first to explicitly link *Hesperomyces* infection with
151 increased ladybird mortality.

152

153 Our findings provided a unique opportunity for our dual infection assays—the first such
154 experiments to be conducted on ladybirds. When first infected with *He. virescens* and then
155 exposed to either *B. bassiana* or *M. anisopliae*, *Ha. axyridis* mortality was not increased. This
156 result was unexpected. We had hypothesized that *Ha. axyridis* with high thallus densities of *He.*
157 *virescens* would have lowered host defenses against other pathogens. In contrast, the mechanism
158 fostering low susceptibility of *Ha. axyridis* to entomopathogenic fungi^{20,37} is not compromised
159 by infection with *He. virescens*. Similarly, infection of *O. v-nigrum* by *He. virescens*-only
160 increased mortality but—in contrast to *Ha. axyridis*—there was significantly higher mortality
161 when co-infected by entomopathogenic fungi. Differential susceptibility to entomopathogenic
162 fungi was reported by Cottrell and Shapiro-Ilan³⁷, who showed that native *B. bassiana* was
163 pathogenic to *O. v-nigrum* but not to *Ha. axyridis*. We confirm these results regarding the native
164 strain but we also found the same differential pattern for the GHA strain of *B. bassiana*, whereas
165 in the earlier study this strain was reported to be pathogenic to neither ladybird species³⁷. It is
166 perhaps surprising that we detect the GHA strain to be pathogenic to native ladybirds in contrast
167 to the previous results, but ladybird populations may become more susceptible over time for
168 various reasons and natural enemies also become better adapted²⁰. We note that differential

169 susceptibility has also been reported for entomopathogenic nematodes—again, *Ha. axyridis* was
170 less susceptible compared to *O. v-nigrum*³⁸.

171
172 In addition, our data are the first account of differential susceptibility to *M. anisopliae* between
173 the invasive *Ha. axyridis* and the native *O. v-nigrum*. Whereas infection with *M. anisopliae* had a
174 significantly negative effect on the survival of *He. virescens*-negative *Ha. axyridis*, this effect
175 was not visible in the dual infection treatment. The infection with Laboulbeniales probably
176 decreased the susceptibility of *Ha. axyridis* to infection by *M. anisopliae*, similar to the findings
177 of Konrad and colleagues³¹. These authors found that *Laboulbenia*-infected *Lasius neglectus* ants
178 (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) showed a decreased susceptibility to *Metarhizium brunneum*. This
179 protection against *Metarhizium* was positively correlated with parasite load. Information on the
180 parasite load of *He. virescens* on ladybirds in nature is scarce. In our bioassays, we selected
181 ladybirds bearing 14 or more fungal thalli as *He. virescens*-positive specimens. Previous work
182 from a long-term ATBI project in the Netherlands³⁹ points at an average of 19.8 ± 4.9 thalli and a
183 maximum of 129 thalli per *Ha. axyridis* specimen ($n = 270$). No such data are available for *O. v-*
184 *nigrum*. In other words, based on the available information, the artificial parasite load in our
185 bioassays seems to closely mimic the natural conditions.

186
187 Our results provide direct support for the enemy release hypothesis⁴⁰. This hypothesis is
188 illustrative for the success of invasive alien species and stipulates that an invasive species in new
189 geographic regions will experience reduced regulatory effects from natural enemies compared to
190 native species, resulting in increased population growth of the invasive species^{41,42}. However,
191 invasions are dynamic^{17,42} and this escape-from-enemies could be lost as invasive species acquire

192 new enemies over time⁴⁴. Support for enemy release explaining the success of *Ha. axyridis* has
193 come from two studies that reported decreased susceptibility of *Ha. axyridis* to
194 entomopathogenic fungi³⁷ and entomopathogenic nematodes³⁸ compared to the native American
195 ladybird species. Our work adds another level of complexity by the addition of a second natural
196 enemy to the interactions. Again, we find differential susceptibility between the invasive and
197 native ladybird species—with a reduced regulatory effect of the tested natural enemies on *Ha.*
198 *axyridis*.

199
200 In this paper, we show a negative effect of infection by *Hesperomyces virescens* on the survival
201 of both *Harmonia axyridis* and *Olla v-nigrum* ladybirds (Fig. 1a). This is the first study to
202 unequivocally link *Hesperomyces* infection with increased host mortality and only the second to
203 perform bioassays with hosts co-infected with Laboulbeniales and a second entomopathogenic
204 fungus³¹. However, the susceptibility to a secondary entomopathogenic fungus was only elevated
205 in the native American ladybird species (*O. v-nigrum*), whereas the globally invasive *Ha.*
206 *axyridis* showed no significant increase in mortality when co-infected with either *Beauveria*
207 *bassiana* or *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Figs. 1, 2). These findings are consistent with the enemy
208 release hypothesis³⁸ and highlight the difficulty in controlling this invasive alien species. Future
209 studies are needed to elaborate population-specific effects on native and commercial strains of
210 entomopathogenic fungi used in pest control.

211

212 **Methods**

213 *Field collections and laboratory colonies*

214 *Harmonia axyridis* and *Olla v-nigrum* ladybirds were collected for the purpose of establishing
215 laboratory colonies of *Hesperomyces*-infected and non-infected ladybirds. Specimens were
216 collected at overwintering sites at the 485-ha USDA-ARS, Southeastern Fruit and Tree Nut
217 Research Laboratory, located in Byron, Georgia, USA (32.657792, -83.7383580). Sex and age of
218 field-collected specimens were not determined to reduce dispersal of fungal propagules³². All
219 specimens were brought to the laboratory and housed in individual Petri plates (10 cm diam.)
220 with 1/3 of a piece of a cotton dental wick (Deerpack Products, LLC, Miami, Florida) drenched
221 in water for hydration. Ladybirds were housed in environmental chambers at 25 ± 1 °C and
222 photoperiod of 14:10 (L:D) h. Food was provided 3× per week in the form of *Ephestia*
223 *kuehniella* eggs (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae) and an artificial meat-based diet (Beneficial Insectary,
224 Redding, California). *Olla v-nigrum* and *Ha. axyridis* ladybirds were maintained within the Petri
225 plates for 14d and 21d³², respectively, at which time ladybirds were visually examined for
226 presence of *Hesperomyces* using a dissecting microscope at 50× magnification. Eggs were
227 harvested from ovipositing ladybirds and used to establish clean (free from fungal growth)
228 laboratory-reared colonies of ladybirds with known age.

229

230 *Laboratory rearing of ladybirds*

231 During examination for presence/absence of *Hesperomyces*, ladybirds were divided into two
232 groups, infected and non-infected. Both groups of ladybirds were placed into plastic rearing
233 containers of 19 × 13.5 × 9 cm (Pioneer Plastics, North Dixon, Kentucky), which were modified
234 with two 3-cm diameter circular openings, one that was covered by 1 × 1 mm mesh to allow for
235 air flow; and the second that was covered with a removable #7 rubber stopper to allow for
236 feeding routinely as well as adding newly emerged laboratory-reared ladybirds. Routine

237 maintenance included transferring ladybirds into fresh rearing containers at the end of each 7d
238 period, which included nutrient supplementations of laboratory-reared yellow pecan aphids,
239 *Monelliopsis pecanis* (Hemiptera, Aphididae).

240
241 The first laboratory generation of adults emerged about one month after placement in rearing
242 containers. Emerging adults were placed into fresh rearing containers and stored into a separate
243 incubator (25 ± 1 °C, 14:10 (L:D) h) for 7 days. Similar to field-captured *O. v-nigrum* and *Ha.*
244 *axyridis*, *M. pecanis* aphids were used as a diet augmentation. As the study progressed, we also
245 incorporated black pecan aphids, *Melanocallis caryaefoliae* (Hemiptera, Aphididae), in the
246 ladybird diet (3× per week).

247
248 *Artificial transmissions of Hesperomyces*

249 Exposure to *Hesperomyces* was conducted via tumbling of the field-captured ‘source’ ladybirds
250 (infected with *Hesperomyces*) with randomly selected laboratory-reared ‘target’ ladybirds⁴⁵. A
251 total of 25 target ladybirds were mixed with 5 *Hesperomyces*-infected source ladybirds in a 1.6 ×
252 5.8 cm glass tube, which was placed on a hot-dog roller (Nostalgia Electrics, Green Bay,
253 Wisconsin) for 5 min. This procedure was repeated for at least 160 target ladybirds of both
254 species. Both *Hesperomyces*-exposed target ladybirds and clean (unexposed) ladybirds were fed
255 a diet of *M. pecanis* aphids for 24h. We did a second tumbling experiment using randomly
256 selected emerged adults from the second cohort of laboratory-reared colonies. More tumbling
257 experiments were performed to increase quantities of *Hesperomyces*-infected ladybirds, but
258 source/target numbers were changed to 100/40.

259

260 To reduce competition for food, ladybirds from all laboratory colonies were transferred from the
261 plastic rearing containers to 14-cm diameter Petri plates. Ladybirds were provided with water ad
262 libitum, *E. kuehniella* eggs, and artificial meat-based diet. Finally, for assay preparation, the
263 ladybirds were transferred back to clean 19 × 13.5 × 9 cm plastic rearing containers.

264

265 *Dual fungal infections assay*

266 Within 24 hours preceding the assay, 160 non-infected and 160 *Hesperomyces*-infected ladybirds
267 of each species (*Ha. axyridis* and *O. v-nigrum*) were each placed into sterile test tubes, which
268 were then closed with a sterile foam stopper to prevent ladybirds from escaping while allowing
269 for air flow. Infected ladybirds were divided into categories according to numbers of thalli per
270 specimen. Because the assay would assess potential interactions between fungal infections, we
271 aimed at selecting heavily *Hesperomyces*-infected ladybirds; as a baseline, we only used
272 specimens in our bioassays with 14 or more thalli each.

273

274 The assay started by pipetting a 1 mL of 2.5×10^5 conidia/mL suspension to each test tube^{37,45}.
275 Treatments included native *B. bassiana* (native Bb), a commercial *B. bassiana* strain (GHA Bb;
276 Mycotrol ES, Mycotech, Butte, Montana), *M. anisopliae* strain 52 (Ma, isolated from a tortricid
277 moth, Austria 1971; Novozymes, Franklinton, North Carolina), and double-distilled water
278 (ddH₂O) as a control treatment. Ladybirds were submerged and swirled for 5 s, after which the
279 suspension was removed again using a pipette and each ladybird was placed into a 6 cm-
280 diameter Petri plate. Any remaining droplets of excess suspension was removed by touching only
281 the droplet with a Kimwipe tissue (Kimtech Science Brand, Kimberly-Clark Worldwide,
282 Roswell, Georgia). Petri plates with treated ladybirds were placed into an incubator (25 ± 1 °C,

283 14:10 (L:D) h). Food and cotton rolls drenched in water were provided ad libitum, and Petri
284 plates were replaced as needed in all treatments and replications simultaneously. Ladybirds were
285 observed for mortality and entomopathogen-induced mycosis at day 14. During assay #1, we
286 made daily observations for ladybird mortality and mycosis. Upon death of a given ladybird,
287 ample water was added to the cotton roll to provide moisture for entomopathogen growth and
288 Parafilm was applied around the Petri plate to prevent spreading of the fungus. Deaths of
289 ladybirds and visual confirmations of mycosis were recorded.

290
291 We performed 8 different treatments for each ladybird species: 1) *He. virescens*-positive + native
292 Bb, 2) *He. virescens*-positive + GHA Bb, 3) *He. virescens*-positive + Ma, 4) *He. virescens*-
293 positive + ddH₂O (control), 5) *He. virescens*-negative + native Bb, 6) *He. virescens*-negative +
294 GHA Bb, 7) *He. virescens*-negative + Ma, and 8) *He. virescens*-negative + ddH₂O (double
295 control). In a single assay, we replicated every treatment 3 or 4 times. We performed the entire
296 assay with all treatments and replicated 3 times, using 6–10 ladybirds for each treatment. Note
297 that *M. anisopliae* treatments were used only in assay #3 (Supplementary Table S2). Over all
298 assays done during this study, we used 1,289 specimens of ladybirds (667 *O. v-nigrum* and 622
299 *Ha. axyridis*).

300

301 *Statistical analyses*

302 All statistical analyses were performed in the R language and open-access environment for
303 statistical computing v.3.5.0. We used generalized linear mixed models (function `glmer()`, R-
304 package *lme4*⁴⁶) to analyze the effect of the different treatments (GHA Bb, native Bb, Ma) on the
305 survival of *Ha. axyridis* and *O. v-nigrum* in relation to prior infection with *Hesperomyces*. We

306 modeled the binary response variable survival (alive/dead) of each ladybird individual for both
307 host species separately, and used *Hesperomyces* infection status as well as the interaction of
308 *Hesperomyces* infection status with treatment as explaining variables. Further, to correct for
309 variation within replicates and trials, we included the random effect of treatment nested in
310 replicate nested in trial. We compared our candidate models to a respective Null-model using
311 likelihood ratio tests and, furthermore, calculated pseudo R^2 -values (function `r2()`, R package
312 *sjstats*⁴⁷) to evaluate model fit. To visualize the modeling results and obtained model estimates as
313 forest plots, we used the function `plot_model()` implemented in the R package *sjstats*⁴⁷. For assay
314 #1, we further fitted Kaplan-Meier curves to daily mortality data and tested for significant
315 differences in mortality between ladybird species using the function `survfit()` of the R package
316 *survival*⁴⁸.

317

318 **References**

- 319 1. M. J. Furlong, J. K. Pell, *Interactions between entomopathogenic fungi and other*
320 *arthropods natural enemies in Insect-fungal associations, ecology and evolution*, F. E.
321 Vega, M. Blackwell, Eds. (Oxford University Press, 2005), pp. 51–73.
- 322 2. D. I. Shapiro-Ilan, D. J. Bruck, L. A. Lacey, *Principles of epizootiology and microbial*
323 *control in Insect pathology, 2nd edition*, F. E. Vega, H. K. Kaya, Eds. (Elsevier, 2012), pp
324 29–72.
- 325 3. J. M. Renkema, A. G. S. Cuthbertson, Impact of multiple natural enemies on immature
326 *Drosophila suzukii* in strawberries and blueberries. *BioControl* **63**, 719–728 (2018).
- 327 4. H. E. Roy, P. M. J. Brown, T. Adriaens, N. Berkvens, I. Borges, S. Clusella-Trullas, R. F.
328 Comont, P. De Clercq, R. Eschen, A. Estoup, E. W. Evans, B. Facon, M. M. Gardiner, A.

- 329 Gil, A. A. Grez, T. Guillemaud, D. Haelewaters, A. Herz, A. Honek, A. G. Howe, C. Hui,
330 W. D. Hutchison, M. Kenis, R. L. Koch, J. Kulfan, L. Lawson Handley, E. Lombaert, A.
331 Loomans, J. Losey, A. O. Lukashuk, D. Maes, A. Magro, K. M. Murray, G. San Martin, Z.
332 Martinkova, I. Minnaar, O. Nedved, M. J. Orlova-Bienkowskaja, W. Rabitsch, H. P. Ravn,
333 G. Rondoni, S. L. Rorke, S. K. Ryndevich, M.-G. Saethre, J. J. Sloggett, A. O. Soares, R.
334 Stals, M. C. Tinsley, A. Vandereycken, P. van Wielink, S. Vigišová, P. Zach, T. Zaviero,
335 Z. Zhao, The harlequin ladybird, *Harmonia axyridis*: global perspectives on invasion
336 history and ecology. *Biol. Invasions* **18**, 997–1044 (2016).
- 337 5. M. Camacho-Cervantes, A. Ortega-Iturriaga, E. del-Val, From effective biocontrol agent to
338 successful invader: the harlequin ladybird (*Harmonia axyridis*) as an example of good
339 ideas that could go wrong. *PeerJ* **5**, e3296 (2017).
- 340 6. T. Hiller, D. Haelewaters, A case of silent invasion: citizen science confirms the presence
341 of *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae) in Central America. *Plos One* **14**,
342 e0220082 (2019).
- 343 7. R. L. Koch, R. C. Venette, W. D. Hutchison, Invasions by *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas)
344 (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in the Western Hemisphere: implications for South America.
345 *Neotrop. Entomol.* **35**, 421–434 (2006).
- 346 8. P. M. J. Brown, H. E. Roy, Native ladybird decline caused by the invasive harlequin
347 ladybird *Harmonia axyridis*: evidence from a long-term field study. *Insect Conserv.*
348 *Divers.* **11**, 230–239 (2018).
- 349 9. A. Honěk, Z. Martinkova, A. F. G. Dixon, H. E. Roy, S. Pekar, Long-term changes in
350 communities of native coccinellids: population fluctuations and the effect of competition
351 from an invasive non-native species. *Insect Conserv. Divers.* **9**, 202–209 (2016).

- 352 10. H. E. Roy, E. Wajnberg, From biological control to invasion: the ladybird *Harmonia*
353 *axyridis* as a model species. *Biocontrol* **53**, 1–4 (2008).
- 354 11. P. M. J. Brown, D. B. Roy, C. Harrower, H. J. Dean, S. L. Rorke, H. E. Roy, Spread of a
355 model invasive alien species, the harlequin ladybird *Harmonia axyridis* in Britain and
356 Ireland. *Sci. Data* **5**, 180239 (2018).
- 357 12. W. Nentwig, S. Bacher, S. Kumschick, P. Pyšek, M. Vilà, More than “100 worst” alien
358 species in Europe. *Biol. Invasions* **20**, 1611–1621 (2018).
- 359 13. S. Garcés, R. Williams, First record of *Hesperomyces virescens* Thaxter (Laboulbeniales:
360 Ascomycetes) on *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *J. Kansas*
361 *Entomol. Soc.* **77**, 156–158 (2004).
- 362 14. E. W. Riddick, Ectoparasitic mite and fungus on an invasive lady beetle: Parasite
363 coexistence and influence on host survival. *Bull. Insectol.* **63**, 13–20 (2010).
- 364 15. S. Harding, G. O. Poinar, D. V. Dimitrova, T. Steenberg, *Parasitylenchus* sp.
365 (Tylenchomorpha: Allantonematidae) parasitizing field populations of *Harmonia axyridis*
366 (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Eur. J. Entomol.* **108**, 487–488 (2011).
- 367 16. C. L. Raak-van den Berg, P. S. van Wielink, P. W. de Jong, G. Gort, D. Haelewaters, J.
368 Helder, G. Karssen, J. C. van Lenteren, Invasive alien species under attack: natural
369 enemies of *Harmonia axyridis* in the Netherlands. *BioControl* **59**, 229–240 (2014).
- 370 17. D. Haelewaters, S. Y. Zhao, S. Clusella-Trullas, T. E. Cottrell, A. De Kesel, L. Fiedler, A.
371 Herz, H. Hesketh, C. Hui, R. G. Kleespies, J. E. Losey, I. A. Minnaar, K. M. Murray, O.
372 Nedvěd, W. P. Pfliegler, C. L. Raak-van den Berg, E. W. Riddick, D. I. Shapiro-Ilan, R. R.
373 Smyth, T. Steenberg, P. S. van Wielink, S. Vigišová, Z. Zhao, P. Ceryngier, H. E. Roy,

- 374 Parasites of *Harmonia axyridis*: current research and perspectives. *BioControl* **62**, 355–371
375 (2017).
- 376 18. E. W. Riddick, T. E. Cottrell, K. A. Kidd, Natural enemies of the Coccinellidae: Parasites,
377 pathogens, and parasitoids. *Biol. Control* **51**, 306–312 (2008).
- 378 19. P. Ceryngier, O. Nedvěd, A. A. Grez, E. W. Riddick, H. E. Roy, G. San Martin, T.
379 Steenberg, P. Veselý, T. Zaviezo, Á Zúñiga-Reinoso, D. Haelewaters, Predators and
380 parasitoids of the harlequin ladybird, *Harmonia axyridis*, in its native range and invaded
381 areas. *Biol. Invasions* **20**, 1009–1031 (2018).
- 382 20. M. Knapp, M. Řeřicha, S. Maršíková, F. Harabiš, T. Kadlec, O. Nedvěd, T. Teder,
383 Invasive host caught up with a native parasitoid: field data reveal high parasitism of
384 *Harmonia axyridis* by *Dinocampus coccinellae* in Central Europe. *Biol. Invasions* **21**,
385 2795–2802 (2019).
- 386 21. H. E. Roy, P. M. J. Brown, P. Rothery, R. L. Ware, M. E. N. Majerus, Interactions between
387 the fungal pathogen *Beauveria bassiana* and three species of coccinellid: *Harmonia*
388 *axyridis*, *Coccinella septempunctata* and *Adalia bipunctata*. *Biocontrol* **53**, 265–276
389 (2008).
- 390 22. M. Blackwell, D. Haelewaters, D. H. Pfister, Laboulbeniomyces: Evolution, natural
391 history, and Thaxter’s final word. *Mycologia*, in press; 10.1080/00275514.2020.1718442
392 (2020).
- 393 23. E. W. Riddick, T. E. Cottrell, Is the prevalence and intensity of the ectoparasitic fungus
394 *Hesperomyces virescens* related to the abundance of entomophagous coccinellids? *Bull.*
395 *Insectol.* **63**, 71–78 (2010).

- 396 24. H. E. Roy, T. E. Cottrell, Forgotten natural enemies: Interactions between coccinellids and
397 insect-parasitic fungi. *Eur. J. Entomol.* **105**, 391–398 (2008).
- 398 25. A. Weir, G. W. Beakes, Correlative light- and scanning electron microscope studies on the
399 developmental morphology of *Hesperomyces virescens*. *Mycologia* **88**, 677–693 (1996).
- 400 26. J. C. Lee, D. J. Bruck, A. J. Dreves, C. Ioriatti, H. Vogt, P. Baufeld, In focus: Spotted wing
401 drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*, across perspectives. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **67**, 1349–1351
402 (2011).
- 403 27. M. Sarfraz, A. B. Keddie, L. M. Dossall, Biological control of the diamondback moth,
404 *Plutella xylostella*: A review. *Biol. Sci. Technol.* **15**, 763–789 (2005).
- 405 28. J. A. Sandoval-Aguilar, A. W. Guzmán-Franco, J. K. Pell, S. J. Clark, R. Alatorre-Rosas,
406 M. T. Santillán-Galicia, G. Valdovinos-Ponce, Dynamics of competition and co-infection
407 between *Zoophthora radicans* and *Pandora blunckii* in *Plutella xylostella* larvae. *Fungal*
408 *Ecol.* **17**, 1–9 (2015).
- 409 29. E. Christian, The coccinellid parasite *Hesperomyces virescens* and further species of the
410 order Laboulbeniales (Ascomycotina) new to Austria. *Ann. Naturh. Mus. Wien* **103 B**,
411 599–603 (2001).
- 412 30. A. Herz, R. G. Kleespies, Occurrence of natural enemies in different populations of the
413 invasive ladybird *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas, 1771) (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae) in
414 Germany. *Mitt. Deutsch Ges. allg. angew. Entomol.* **18**, 201–206 (2012).
- 415 31. M. Konrad, A. V. Grasse, S. Tragust, S. Cremer, Antipathogen protection versus survival
416 costs mediated by an ectosymbiont in an ant host. *Proc. Royal Soc. B* **282**, 20141976
417 (2015).

- 418 32. T. E. Cottrell, E. W. Riddick EW, Limited transmission of the ectoparasitic fungus
419 *Hesperomyces virescens* between lady beetles. *Psyche* 10.1155/2012/814378 (2012).
- 420 33. D. Haelewaters, A. De Kesel, D. H. Pfister, Integrative taxonomy reveals hidden species
421 within a common fungal parasite of ladybirds. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 15966 (2018).
- 422 34. C. A. Nalepa, A. Weir, Infection of *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) by
423 *Hesperomyces virescens* (Ascomycetes: Laboulbeniales): role of mating status and
424 aggregation behavior. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **94**, 196–203 (2007).
- 425 35. S. S. Kamburov, D. J. Nadel, R. Kenneth, Observations on *Hesperomyces virescens*
426 Thaxter (Laboulbeniales), a fungus associated with premature mortality of *Chilocorus*
427 *bipustulatus* L. in Israel. *Israel J. Agric. Res.* **17**, 131–134 (1967).
- 428 36. S. W. Applebaum, R. Kfir, U. Gerson, U. Tadmor, Studies on the summer decline of
429 *Chilocorus bipustulatus* in citrus groves of Israel. *Entomophaga* **16**, 433–444 (1971).
- 430 37. T. E. Cottrell, D. I. Shapiro-Ilan, Susceptibility of a native and an exotic lady beetle
431 (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) to *Beauveria bassiana*. *J. Invert. Pathol.* **84**, 137–144 (2003).
- 432 38. D. I. Shapiro-Ilan, T. E. Cottrell, Susceptibility of lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)
433 to entomopathogenic nematodes. *J. Invert. Pathol.* **89**, 150–156 (2005).
- 434 39. P. S. van Wielink, *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): 13 jaar gevolgd met
435 lichtvangsten in De Kaaistoep, Noord-Brabant. *Entomol. Ber.* **77**: 97–105 (2017).
- 436 40. M. J. Jeffries, J. H. Lawton, Enemy free space and the structure of ecological communities.
437 *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **23**, 269–286 (1984).
- 438 41. R. I. Colautti, A. Ricciardi, I. A. Grigorovich, H. J. MacIsaac, Is invasion success
439 explained by the enemy release hypothesis? *Ecol. Lett.* **7**, 721–733 (2004).

- 440 42. H. E. Roy, L-J. Lawson Handley, K. Schönrogge, R. L. Poland, B. V. Purse, Can the
441 enemy release hypothesis explain the success of invasive alien predators and parasitoids?
442 *BioControl* **56**, 451–468 (2011).
- 443 43. E. H. Schultheis, A. E. Berardi, J. A. Lau, No release for the wicked: enemy release is
444 dynamic and not associated with invasiveness. *Ecology* **96**, 2446–2457 (2015).
- 445 44. H. M. T. Hokkanen, D. Pimentel, New associations in biological control: theory and
446 practice. *Can. Entomol.* **121**, 829–840 (1989).
- 447 45. T. E. Cottrell, D. I. Shapiro-Ilan, Susceptibility of endemic and exotic North American
448 ladybirds (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) to endemic fungal entomopathogens. *Eur. J.*
449 *Entomol.* **105**, 455–460 (2008).
- 450 46. D. Bates, M. Maechler, B. Bolker, S. Walker, Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
451 lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **67**, 1–48 (2015).
- 452 47. D. Lüdtke, sjstats: Statistical functions for regression models. R package version 0.15.0.
453 [Cited 2019 Jun 21]. Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=sjstats> (2018).
- 454 48. T. Therneau, T. Lumley, Package ‘survival’, version 2.44-1.1 (2019; [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survival/survival.pdf)
455 [project.org/web/packages/survival/survival.pdf](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survival/survival.pdf)).

456

457 **Acknowledgements**

458 The authors would like to thank Merry Bacon and Chace Morrill (USDA, ARS, Byron, Georgia)
459 for technical assistance. D.H. was supported by the Georgia Entomological Society (GES) in the
460 form of the 2015 Ph.D. Scholarship and by the Department of Organismic and Evolutionary
461 Biology at Harvard University. Publication of this article was funded by the Purdue University
462 Libraries Open Access Publishing Fund.

463

464 **Author contributions**

465 Conceptualization and methodology: D.H., T.E.C. Investigation: D.H., E.A.K., T.E.C. Data
466 curation: D.H., T.H., P.S.v.W., T.E.C. Formal analysis: D.H., T.H., P.S.v.W. Resources,
467 supervision: D.I.S.I., M.C.A., O.N., D.H.P., T.E.C. Visualization: D.H., T.H. Writing: D.H.,
468 T.H., E.A.K. with input from the other co-authors.



469

470 **Competing interests**

471 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

472


473 **Figures & tables**



474

475 **Figure 1: Results of treatment effects on native and invasive ladybirds.**

476 **A.** Percentages of ladybird mortality by treatment (left *Harmonia axyridis*, right *Olla v-nigrum*).
477 **B–C.** Forest plots illustrating the results of our modelling approach showing the treatment effects
478 on survival of ladybirds (negative effect in red (odds ratio < 1), positive effect in blue (odds ratio
479 > 1); ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$). **B.** *Olla v-nigrum*. **C.** *Harmonia axyridis*. Photo credits: *Olla*
480 *v-nigrum*, Roberto Güller (Flickr); *Harmonia axyridis*, Andreas Trepte (Wikimedia Commons).
481 Drawings of *Hesperomyces thalli* by André De Kesel.
482
483



484
485 **Figure 2: Survival of ladybirds under different treatments.**

486 **A.** Survival of *Hesperomyces*-positive ladybirds without dual infection. **B.** *Hesperomyces*-
487 positive ladybirds co-infected with GHA strain of *Beauveria bassiana*. **C.** *Hesperomyces*-
488 positive ladybirds infected with native *Beauveria bassiana*. The survival of ladybirds is
489 significantly different between *Harmonia axyridis* and *Olla v-nigrum* with the dual infection
490 treatments.
491

Table 1. Results of our modeling approach for *Harmonia axyridis*.




Summary of parameters corresponding to *Hesperomyces virescens* infection and respective interactions with GHA *Beauveria bassiana*, native *B. bassiana*, and *Metarhizium anisopliae*.

		Estimate	Std. Error	z value	P-value
(Intercept)		2.977	0.563	5.284	< 0.001 ***
<i>He. virescens</i> infection		-3.147	0.518	-6.071	< 0.001 ***
<i>He. virescens</i> -negative	GHA Bb	-0.179	0.630	-0.284	0.777
	Native Bb	-0.642	0.585	-1.098	0.272
	Ma	-1.820	0.618	-2.946	0.003 **
<i>He. virescens</i> -positive	GHA Bb	0.454	0.305	1.487	0.137
	Native Bb	0.024	0.302	0.080	0.936
	Ma	-0.330	0.406	-0.811	0.417


Table 2. Results of our modeling approach for *Olla v-nigrum*.

Summary of parameters corresponding to *Hesperomyces virescens* infection and respective interactions with GHA *Beauveria bassiana*, native *B. bassiana*, and *Metarhizium anisopliae*.


		Estimate	Std. Error	z value	P-value
(Intercept)		3.407	0.609	5.598	< 0.001 ***
<i>He. virescens</i> infection		-2.757	0.560	-4.925	< 0.001 ***
<i>He. virescens</i> -negative	GHA Bb	-2.831	0.599	-4.728	< 0.001 ***
	Native Bb	-3.723	0.597	-6.234	< 0.001 ***
	Ma	-3.222	0.689	-4.676	< 0.001 ***
<i>He. virescens</i> -positive	GHA Bb	-1.591	0.390	-4.084	< 0.001 ***
	Native Bb	-2.988	0.470	-6.364	< 0.001 ***
	Ma	-3.734	1.084	-3.444	< 0.001 ***

(a)**(b)****(c)**

A) Hvir



B) Hvir:GHA Bb



C) Hvir:native Bb

