1 **Genetic variations (eQTLs) in muscle transcriptome and mitochondrial genes, and trans-**

2 **eQTL molecular pathways in feed efficiency from Danish breeding pigs**

3

4 **Victor AO. Carmelo[1] and Haja N. Kadarmideen[1]***

5 [1]Quantitative Genomics, Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Group, Department of Applied

6 Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark, Richard Petersens Plads, Building

7 324, 2800, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

8 ***Correspondence:**

9 Haja N. Kadarmideen

10 hajak@dtu.dk

11

Abstract

13 **Feed efficiency (FE) is a key trait in pig production, as it has both high economic and**

14 **environmental impact. FE is a challenging phenotype to study, as it is complex and affected by**

15 **many factors, such as metabolism, growth and activity level. Furthermore, testing for FE is**

16 **expensive, as it requires costly equipment to measure feed intake of individual animals, making**

17 **FE biomarkers valuable. Therefore, there has been a desire to find single nucleotide**

18 **polymorphisms (SNPs) as biomarkers, to assist with improved selection and improve our**

19 **biological understanding of FE. We have done a cis- and trans- eQTL (expressed quantitative**

20 **trait loci) analysis, in a population of Danbred Durocs (N=11) and Danbred Landrace (N=27)**

21 **using both a linear and Anova model. We used bootstrapping and enrichment analysis to**

validate and analyze our detected eQTLs. We identified 15 eQTLs with FDR < 0.01, affecting several genes found in previous studies of commercial pig breeds. Examples include IFI6, PRPF39, TMEM222, CSRNP1,PARK7 and MFF. The bootstrapping results showed statistically significant enrichment of eQTLs with p-value < 0.01 (p-value < $2.2x0^{-16}$) in both cis and trans-eQTLs. Based on this, enrichment analysis of top trans-eQTLs revealed high enrichment for gene categories and gene ontologies associated with genomic context and expression regulation. This includes transcription factors (p-value=$1.0x10^{-13}$), DNA-binding (GO:0003677, p-value=$8.9x10^{-14}$), DNA-binding transcription factor activity (GO:0003700,) nucleus gene (GO:0005634, p-value<$2.2x10^{-16}$), positive regulation of expression (GO:0010628), negative regulation of expression (GO:0010629, p-value<$2.2x10^{-16}$). These results would be useful for future genome assisted breeding of pigs to improve FE, and in the improved understanding of the functional mechanism of trans-eQTLs.

Introduction

The biological background of complex traits is expressed through molecular processes triggered by a combination of genetics, epigenetics and the environment. While ample genetic markers have been identified for complex traits, the understanding of the functional effect of identified genetic markers is challenging to identify(1). Almost per definition, complex traits are controlled by multiple genetic factors (2-4), thus further complicating the biological control mechanisms. One way of tackling this issue, is to look at direct causal links between genetics and gene expression, thus identifying a direct effect of genetic variation. This allows for a straightforward interpretation of the effect of genetic variation based on pathway and functional knowledge of implicated genes. This can be done through the identification of expressed quantitative loci (eQTL), mapping genetic variants that influence gene

expression patterns of genes in various tissues, originally termed as systems genetics (5, 6). The usage

of both the genetic and the transcriptomic information, combined with pathway and phenotype data

can be a powerful way of identifying biomarkers for traits of interest. There are however, several

challenges with eQTL analysis. Firstly, if one wanted to map all possible SNP-gene pairs in a modern

data set, which typically has thousands of expressed genes and at the minimum several tens of

thousands of SNP, the total amount of tests will be at least in the order of 10^8. This can pose

computational challenges, but even worse, multiple testing problems. This is especially relevant as a

cursory search of the Gene Expression Omnibus database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) for

RNA-seq studies reveals most studies having less than 100 samples. Therefore, it is important to have

strategies for these issues when doing eQTL analysis. Example strategies used for filtering the

expression data in previous studies include: filtering by estimated heritability of gene expression (7-

9) or using only a limited set of genes(10), and there are many more possibilities.

Feed efficiency (FE) has been known for decades to be an important complex trait in pig breeding.

Cost of feed is the largest economic burdens in commercial pig production (11, 12), and lower feed

consumption leads to more environmentally friendly production. The two main metrics for feed

efficiency are residual feed intake (RFI) (13) and feed conversion rate (FCR), which isthe ratio

between feed consumed and growth) , with the latter being the most used in pig production. Selective

breeding has improved FCR in pigs, but this has not led to direct gains in knowledge of the biological

drivers of FE in pigs. Even with many studies being done on the subject, the genetic and biological

background of FE in pigs is still not well understood (14). The cost and difficulty of measuring FE

likely plays into this, as it cannot be easily measured without expensive equipment and setup, unlike

meat quality or litter size. One concrete example of the usage of FCR is in the Danish pig production

where, FCR is improved through a centralized breeding program were potential breeding sires are

tested for efficiency via accurate calculations based on measured feed intake and growth.

Muscle is the most important tissue in pig production in regards to economic value.   Muscle plays a

large role in energy metabolism and energy storage (15-17). As such, there have been multiple studies

on the muscle transcriptome in a FE context (11, 18-20). In comparison, while there are several eQTL

studies performed in pig muscle (7, 10, 21, 22), there are none based on FE traits. A connection

between FE and mitochondria in muscle has been reported several times, in several species in the

literature (11, 19, 20, 23-25). In general, it is reported that higher mitochondrial activity is related to

increased FE. Given the evidence for mitochondrial effects, identifying genetic regulation of

mitochondrial genes could assist in efforts to develop biomarkers for FE.

Trans-eQTLs are per definition distally located in relation to the genes they are affecting. This means

that true trans-eQTLs should have a mechanism that mediates the correlation between expression and

genetic variation. There has been evidence that trans-eQTLs can be mediated by local cis effects of

the eQTL(26, 27). One proposed method for the mediation is through cis affected transcription factors

(27). What is then the gene ontological nature of genes that are regulated by trans-eQTLs? We could

hypothesize that the genes should be enriched for gene ontology categories that can interact with

genomic context or regulate expression, as seen previously in genes near trans-eQTLs.

Here we aimed to perform both cis and trans-eQTL analysis on a previously identified set of FCR

related differentially expressed genes (DEG) and mitochondrial genes (known to be involved in

energy metabolism and nutrient utilization), in a pig population comprised of Danbred Duroc and

Landrace purebred pigs. The two-breed analysis provides genetic variations that can aid in the

detection of eQTLs (28), particularly as the Durocs were more heavily selected for FCR. By focusing

on DEG and mitochondrial genes, we applied a targeted approach for underpinning systems genetics

of our phenotype of interest (FE). To improve the statistical and computational analysis, we reduced

genotype input space through linkage disequilibrium (LD) and loci variation filtering.   Finally, we

tested the hypothesis that genes that were associated with SNPs identified as trans-eQTLs would

belong to pathways that could mediate such effects, including expression regulation and DNA

binding.

Material and Methods

Sampling and Sequencing

The pigs in this study were the intersection between the pigs genotyped in Banerjee et al. (29) and

Carmelo et al (30), resulting in a selection of 38 pigs. All data processing steps follow those two

studies, unless otherwise stated. Of the 38 male uncastrated pigs included in this study,11 were

purebred Danbred Duroc and 27 were purebred Danbred Landrace. The pigs were sent to the

commercial breeding station at Bøgildgård, which is owned by the pig research Centre of the Danish

Agriculture and Food Council (SEGES) at ~7kg. The pigs were regularly weighed, and feed intake

was measured based on a single feeder setup in a test period from ~28kg of weight until ~100kg. The

period of measurement was determined by each pig's commercial viability.

Data selection and filtering

All gene annotation and analysis was done using *Sus scrofa* annotation version 11.1.96 from

Ensembl.

Gentoype Data and Filtering

The DNA isolation from collected blood and genotyping was performed by GeneSeek (Neogen

company - https://www.neogen.com/uk/). The Genotyping was based on the GGP Porcine HD array

(GeneSeek, Scotland, UK), which includes 68,516 SNPs on 18 autosomes and both sex

chromosomes. The SNPs were mapped to the *Sus scrofa* genome version 11.1 using the NCBI

Genome Remapping from the *Sus scrofa* genome version 10.2. This was done using default settings.

To insure that we had a sufficient representation of genotypes for each SNP, we used a MAF (minor

allele frequency) threshold of 0.3. This removes SNPs that would be underpowered for our eQTL

analysis given our, or that cannot be related to expression changes due to lack of variation. It also has

the advantage of reducing the overall testing space to a more conservatively sized set. This reduced

the initial set of SNPs to a total of 27531. The next step performed was to remove groups of SNPs in

high LD To do this, we used the *LD_blocks* function from the *WISH-R* R package(31), which was

applied with an R^2 of 0.9. This grouped SNPs linearly across chromosomes into blocks based on a

minimum pairwise R^2 value of 0.9 between all SNPs in a block. After this step, 19179 SNPs remained.

The genotypes were coded as 0 (homozygote major), 1 (heterozygote) and 2 (homozygote minor) for

the eQTL analysis.

Expression data, Gene selection and filtering

Muscle tissue samples were extracted from the psoas major muscle immediately post slaughter, and

the samples were kept at -25 C in RNA later (Ambion, Austin, Texas). The data was sequenced on

the BGISEQ platform using the PE100 (pair end, 100bp length) with RNA extraction and sequencing

performed by BGI Genomics (https://www.bgi.com/global/). The mean number of total reads was

64.5 million with standard deviation of $7,4 \times 10^5$. The mean number of uniquely mapped reads was

95.3% with a standard deviation of 0.33%. The reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic (32) version

0.39, with the default setting for paired end reads. Data QC was performed pre- and post-trimming

using FastQC v0.11.9. Mapping was done with STAR aligner(33) version 2.7.1a, with default

parameters and genome and annotation 11.1 version 96. Beside default parameters, the --quantMode

GeneCounts setting was used for read quantification. Our main interest was to investigate genes that

could be related to FCR. We therefore based our set of genes on the methods in Carmelo et. al (30).

In brief, Differential Expression analysis (DEA) was performed using three different DE methods

(Limma, EdgeR, Deseq2)(34-36) with FCR as the phenotype of interest. We then calculated the

divergence between our observed p-value distribution for FCR and the uniform distribution for each method, enabling us to select a list of genes that are related FCR. This was motivated by the fact that we had a large overrepresentation of low p-values in the DEA, meaning the distribution was anti-conservative. This resulted in a set of 853 genes. As mitochondrial genes have been implicated in FE in muscle in both our previous study and in several studies in multiple species(11, 19, 20, 23-25), we also selected all genes with a mitochondrial gene ontology (gene ontology id GO:0005739) and included them in the analysis. All genes were filtered to have a minimum of 5 reads in at least 11 samples, as 11 was the size of the Duroc group. Testing revealed that genes with a single expression outlier could result in likely false positives. Therefore, all genes with a single gene with a Z-score above 3 were removed, corresponding to a single observation with normalized expression further than 3 standard deviations from the mean. This resulted in a final gene set of 1425 genes.

eQTL Analysis

Calculation of eQTLs

All of the eQTL analysis was performed using R version 3.5.3. Gene expression was normalized using the *calcNormFactors* from the R package edgeR version 3.34.3. We performed eQTL analysis using the R package MatrixEQTL version 2.3(37). We added the following covariates in the model: RNA integrity values (RIN), breed, batch and age (days). Given that the samples were collected in slaughterhouse setting, it was necessary to include RIN in the model, but this should not be an issue if appropriately corrected for (38). As samples were collected on different days, it was necessary to correct for this using the batch effect. Breed and age have an effect on expression, as seen in our previous study (30) and thus must be corrected for. While the samples come from a selection of 28 different breeders in Denmark, there is still some relationship between some pigs, especially if they came from the same breeder. Therefore, a kinship matrix based on 4 generations of pedigree was

added as the error covariance matrix instead of using the default identity matrix. The cis-distance

was set to 10^6 bp. The analysis was done using both the *modelANOVA* (Anova) and *modelLINEAR*

(linear) options, giving both a factor based model and a linear model fit.

Statistical Significance

After the model was fit, based on the empirical p-value distribution, pathway enrichment analysis

was performed on the top putative eQTLs based on the results from the trans-eQTL linear model. The

linear model was chosen over the Anova as the empirical p-value distribution for the Anova had an

overweight of low p-values, which means that we should avoid using the overall distribution of p-

values for conclusions. In the linear version, we observed that the p-values were nearly uniform with

a slight overweight of low p-values. To show the significance of this result, we performed

bootstrapping by shuffling the genotype values of each SNP while maintaining the same expression

values and covariates. We then calculated the number of random eQTLs with $p\text{-value} < 0.01$, for both

the cis- and trans-eQTLs. Assuming the shuffled values are normally distributed, we calculated the

probability of observing our empirical number of $p\text{-values} < 0.01$. We also saved the lowest, the 10th

lowest and the 100th lowest observed p-value for both trans and cis bootstrapped eQTLs for each

iteration. The bootstrapping procedure was done 500 times with both Anova and the linear model.

Orthonormalization

To visualize the expression and genotype values on the scale used by Matrix eQTL, we scaled and

centered both the design matrix of the covariates, the expression and the genotypes. After this, we

used the *mlr.orthogonalize* function from the MatchLinReg package version 0.7.0 to orthogonilize

the expression values and genotypes of each relevant gene and SNP in relation to the covariates,

respectively, using *normalize=True* as an option. This procedure was done mimicking the method

reported in the Matrix eQTL(37).

 QTL region and relation to FCR

 To verify if our eQTLs were in know quantitative trait loci (QTL) regions, we first defined a region

 of 100kb upstream and downstream of each SNP to overlap with. The region size was conservatively

 defined based on reported haplotype block sizes in commercial pigs(39). We then checked if the SNP

 coordinate had any overlaps with FCR quantitative trait loci (QTL) from the Pig QTL database(40).

 We also did the same procedure with the the gene associated with each eQTL, except we did not

 extend the region beyond the gene boundaries.

 Pathway Analysis

 We hypothesized that, if trans-eQTLs are not false positives, they should be enriched for functional

 categories which could relevantly cause distal interactions, in comparison to the background.

 Therefore, to analyze our top trans-eQTLs, we calculated the number of additional empirically

 observed low p-values under 0.01, by subtracting the expected number of p-values < 0.01 given a

 uniform p-value distribution, from the observed number of p-values < 0.01. We then tested the

 enrichment of the genes in our top eQTL group for the following gene categories/ontologies:

 transcription factors(TF) (based on the AnimalTFDB 3.0 pig transcription factors (41)), DNA-

 binding (GO:0003677), DNA-binding transcription factor activity **(**GO:0003700) nucleus gene

 (GO:0005634), positive regulation of expression (GO:0010628), negative regulation of expression

 (GO:0010629) and membrane gene (GO:0016020). Each category was selected based on a biological

 hypothesis, with membrane gene serving as a kind of reference background category. All GO terms

 were retrieved using biomart 2.42.0 with annotation from *Sus scrofa* 11.1. 96

 # Results

 eQTL analysis

 In figure 1 we can see the overall p-value distribution for both the linear and the Anova eQTL

 analysis. The linear model is overall well behaved, with uniform p-values and a small increase of low

 p-values. In the Anova model, the spike of high p-values may be due to issues with model assumptions

 in some cases, but as there large number of eQTLs it is not practical to do model diagnostics on each

 eQTL. This does not mean individual Anova based eQTL cannot be valid, but we should be careful

 with drawing results based on the overall distribution. The cis-eQTLs have a more uneven overall

 distribution, but this likely due to the lower amount of tests combined with the histogram binning.

 Looking at the individual results using a threshold for FDR of 0.1, the only analysis that give any

 significant results was the Anova analysis, yielding 14 significant trans-eQTLs and 1 cis-eQTL. It

 should be noted, that in our linear analysis, due to the left skewing of the p-value distribution, all

 trans-eQTLs with p-value < 0.01 (N=301213) have and FDR value of 0.9 or better. This means that

 it is very likely that we have real trans-eQTLs, we just lack the power to identify them individually.

 Given this, and the results from the bootstrapping analysis (see below), we elected to show the top

 ten 10 eQTLs for each analysis, except the Anova trans, were we selected all with FDR < 0.1, which

 can be seen in table 1. In figure 2 we can see the visualization of the top 6 eQTLs in the linear trans

 model, ordered from lowest p-value (top left) to highest (bottom right). Given the low p-values

 reported, the visualization, especially of the lowest p-value, does not seem to support the results. The

 explanation is found in the way the Matrix eQTL implementation deals with covariates. In Matrix

 eQTL, all covariates, expression and genotypes are centered and scaled, and then the expression and

 genotype vectors are both orthogonized in relation to the covariate matrix. Only after this step is the

 linear relationship between expression and genotype calculated. In figure 3, we can see the same

 results as in figure 2, based on the transformed values. Here we can see a clear linear relationship

 between the transformed expression values and genotype values.

Figure0 1. Histograms of the p-value distribution of all cis (a,b) and trans(c,d) eQTL pairs in the

linear(a,b) and Anova(c,d) models. Based on the overall distribution, we see a slight anti-

conservative trend in the linear p-values in both cis and trans-eQTLs.

Figure0 2. Boxplot of the top 6 trans-eQTLs from linear analysis. Comparing with the summary from

table 1, it seems unexpected that the top left boxplot is of the most significant eQTL. Overall, the 3rd

and the 6th ranked eQTLs look visually more appealing. This because the genotype here are the raw

values, and the expression values are normalized but without taking covariates into consideration.

Snp	Gene	P-value	FDR	Chr	Position	Analysis
WU_10.2_7_1320670	SLC20A2	2.36e-11	0.00064	7	1132634	Anova trans
WU_10.2_7_115152142	SLC20A2	1.21e-10	0.00096	7	108750676	Anova trans
ASGA0040859	SLC20A2	1.35e-10	0.00096	9	3330061	Anova trans
WU_10.2_18_2886712	SLC20A2	1.41e-10	0.00096	18	2875724	Anova trans
ASGA0042452	IFI6	7.68e-10	0.0042	9	31552392	Anova trans
WU_10.2_14_148897646	PRPF39	3.89e-09	0.018	14	137024504	Anova trans
ALGA0109564	DNAJB1	1.23e-08	0.048	15	68774343	Anova trans
ALGA0053497	TMEM222	1.40e-08	0.048	9	60196621	Anova trans
WU_10.2_12_33709155	GCAT	2.01e-08	0.058	12	32811156	Anova trans
ASGA0013363	DLC1	2.11e-08	0.058	3	11038140	Anova trans
ASGA0091484	CSRNP1	2.55-08	0.059	4	118914325	Anova trans
ALGA0009614	CSRNP1	2.58e-08	0.059	1	256190584	Anova trans
WU_10.2_13_216907306	POGZ	3.21e-08	0.063	13	207030935	Anova trans
ASGA0083137	DLC1	3.22e-08	0.063	9	138505307	Anova trans
ALGA0018160[1]	INTS7	5.61e-09	0.15	3	27307613	Linear trans
MARC0081581[1]	INTS7	3.25e-08	0.31	3	27346598	Linear trans

ALGA0015229[2]	ACOX3	3.39e-08	0.31	2	116633408	Linear trans
ALGA0056299[2]	PARK7[1]	5.21e-08	0.36	10	1400269	Linear trans
ASGA0091638[2]	CPT1B	8.19e-08	0.38	4	626787	Linear trans
WU_10.2_12_3964486	MFF[1]	8.38e-08	0.38	12	4217210	Linear trans
ALGA0115669[2]	PARK7[1]	9.79e-08	0.38	10	1187360	Linear trans
DRGA0015709[1]	COMTD1	1.22e-07	0.42	16	2090820	Linear trans
ALGA0087901[1]	NSUN2	1.63e-07	0.45	15	129751572	Linear trans
WU_10.2_7_740616	Glycine N-phenylacetyltransferase	1.64e-07	0.45	7	618465	Linear trans
INRA0015708[1]	NES	4.07e-06	0.096	4	94242001	Anova cis
WU_10.2_15_134661069	ABCB6	1.31e-05	0.11	15	121481724	Anova cis
WU_10.2_6_27531636	NUDT21	1.36e-05	0.11	6	30064483	Anova cis
MARC0009689	HDHD5	3.01e-05	0.18	5	69473204	Anova cis
WU_10.2_15_150992806	RAMP1	6.83e-05	0.32	15	136516507	Anova cis
MARC0112128	KCNMA1	0.00010	0.41	14	79922641	Anova cis
WU_10.2_15_91334711	MTX2	0.00022	0.55	15	81867240	Anova cis
WU_10.2_2_4374745	SYT12	0.00022	0.55	2	5445193	Anova cis
ALGA0019808[1]	MEIS1	0.00026	0.55	3	76307479	Anova cis
WU_10.2_3_18580686	STX4	0.00027	0.55	3	18010007	Anova cis
ASGA0054417	MYO19	0.00018	0.63	12	38196853	Linear cis
WU_10.2_X_128169493	RBMX	0.00018	0.63	X	112221790	Linear cis
WU_10.2_12_39624033	MYO19	0.00026	0.63	12	37981199	Linear cis
WU_10.2_3_183721	C7orf50	0.00031	0.63	3	335933	Linear cis
ALGA0108896[1]	CRYM	0.00035	0.63	3	24920076	Linear cis
ALGA0061099	MRPS31	0.00035	0.63	11	16202962	Linear cis
ALGA0061107	MRPS31	0.00035	0.63	11	16236530	Linear cis

ASGA0030240	NSUN4	0.00042	0.63	6	165835717	Linear cis
WU_10.2_14_153092095	ECHS1	0.00047	0.63	14	141129811	Linear cis
WU_10.2_14_153836231	ECHS1	0.00047	0.63	14	141357898	Linear cis

Table 1. Overview over top cis and trans-eQTLs in all 4 four sub-analyses. [1]Genes or SNPs in known FCR QTL regions. [2]Snps with p-value < 0.05 for linear association with FCR

Figure0 3. Scatter-plot of the orthonormalized expression and genotype values for the top 6 trans-eQTLs in the linear analysis. The linear relationship is quite clear on the transformed values, in comparison to the boxplots of the untransformed values.

Bootstrapping

Bootstrapping is a useful tool when dealing with complex data, allowing us to get estimates of the likelihood of our observations without explicit probability calculations. Here, we wanted to show that our spike in low p-values in the linear analysis was statistically unlikely to happen by chance. Based on 500 bootstraps, we estimated the mean and the variance of the number of p-values < 0.01, and compared this with our observed number. Assuming normally distributed counts, which is a fair assumption given our individual bootstrapping sample size and the scale, the probability of our observed value is essentially 0, which is also visualized in figure 4. In table 2 we can see the comparison of the 1st, 10th and 100th p-values in our bootstrapped data with our empirical data. Overall, the real data was significantly more left skewed as we go down in rank to the 100th p-value. This indicates that the real data has lower bound on significance, but overall the results are not achievable by chance.

Model	Min P-value	10th P-value	100th P-value
Anova Cis	0.13	0.126	0

Anova Trans	0.044	0.062	0
Linear Cis	0.984	0.688	0
Linear Trans	0.148	0.024	0.018

Table 2. Probability of observing a lower p-value than the lowest, 10th lowest p-value and 100th lowest p-values in our bootstrapping. In general, in relation to our random eQTLs the empirical data was in the lower end of the bootstrapping, except in the linear cis analysis, but not very significantly. It is interesting to note that by the 100th p-value all the analysis outperform random data. This indicates that we do have true, but perhaps weak effects.

Figure0 4. Histograms of the number of p-values below 0.01 in our 500 bootstrapped linear trans and cis-eQTLs analysis. The red dotted line represents the observed values. The likelihood of observing such extreme values by chance is essentially 0 in both cases, if we model the likelihood based of the normal distribution.

Pathway enrichment analysis

As our genes were pre-selected, there is no a-priori reason to perform enrichment analysis. In particular, there is no particular meaning in finding that the cis-eQTLs are enriched for some pathway. The cis-eQTLs are simply tests of correlation between local genomic context and expression, and significance denotes the identification of possible genetic expression regulatory mechanisms, not underlying pathways. In contrast, for the trans-QTL, there are meaningful hypothesis we could state pathways of genes affected by trans-eQTLs . Why would a gene have significant association to a distal genetic element? Previous studies have looked at the local context of trans-eQTLs (26, 27), however we were not able to find any overrepresentation of our pathways in our data (see table S2). Thus, we hypothesized that genes that interact with genomic context and/or are regulate expression would be enriched in the low p-value group in comparison to the overall genes used in genes targeted by trans-eQTLs. This includes genes that directly interact with genomic context, such as DNA

binding genes, and regulatory genes, such as transcription factors and positive or negative expression

regulators. To test our hypothesis, we selected the top 28147 SNP-gene pairs from our linear trans-

eQTL analysis. This represented our observed surplus of low p-values found when comparing with a

uniform p-value distribution for eQTLs with a p-value < 0.01, motivated by our results in from the

bootstrapping (figure 2). Traditionally, one might test our hypothesis using a pathway enrichment

tool, but given that the eQTL data had a special structure, including repeated entries of the same genes

from a smaller background set and a large overall number of genes, it was not suitable for typical

methods. Instead, we used a more targeted approach, selecting specific categories we believed tested

our hypothesis. In table 3, you can see the result for the enrichment of our top linear trans-eQTL

genes compared to the initial background set, based on the exact Fisher test, with selected gene

ontologies and categories. The results from the enrichment are quite striking, as we get very

significant enrichment for DNA binding genes, transcription factors and DNA binding transcription

factor activity. All these categories fit our hypothesis, as they engage directly with distal genomic

context. We also tested for nucleus genes, as we expect genes that are active in the nucleus to be more

likely to interact with genomic context. Furthermore, we tested for general expression regulation,

with the positive and negative expression regulation categories. Intriguingly, positive regulation was

slightly depleted or unchanged, while negative expression regulatory genes was the most enriched

category. Finally, we included membrane genes, as a control category that includes a large number

of genes, as we do not believe they have a reason to be enriched which they are not in comparison to

the set of genes we used in the eQTL analysis.. As a control of the enrichment, we also compared

with all expressed genes in our samples, beyond our selected eQTL analysis set. This aids in the

interpretation, and acts as a safeguard, as if there was high divergence in the two comparisons the

results might just be an artefact of our methodology. We see similar results comparing with all genes,

and due to the large number of genes in both the expressed set and the trans-eQTLs, we get very

significant p-values. When comparing to the expressed gene-set, we do see slight enrichment for

membrane genes, but it should be noted that the baseline ratio between our test set and expressed set

for membrane genes is already 1.05 (see table S1), and small effect are significant with the large

number of genes included.

Category	N hits in P<0.01	Odds Ratio	P-value	Odds ratio expressed genes	P-value expressed genes
Transcription Factor	3145	2.27	1.0×10^{-13}	1.40	$<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$
DNA binding	3394	2.20	8.9×10^{-14}	1.73	$<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$
DNA-binding transcription factor activity	2721	3.36	$<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$	2.36	$<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$
Positive regulation of expression	346	0.67	0.07	0.67	8.9×10^{-6}
Negative regulation of expression	1887	4.34	$<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$	5.39	$<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$
Nucleus gene	8811	1.33	2.4×10^{-6}	1.18	1.8×10^{-12}
Membrane gene	7707	1.06	0.30	1.15	1.8×10^{-8}

305   *Table 3. Enrichment analysis based on the linear trans-eQTLs with p-value < 0.01, based on the*

306   *Fisher exact test. The enrichment was compared with the original input set of 1425 genes, and to the*

307   *set of expressed genes (N=13202) in our muscle samples for additional comparison.*

Discussion

In this study, we applied Matrix eQTL to a set of genes previously identified as having potential

relations to FCR. We have presented that top results of both cis and trans-eQTLs based on both a

linear association and a factor based analysis (Anova). There have been several muscle eQTL studies

in pig before (7, 10, 22, 42-46). However, direct comparison of results is quite challenging, for several

reasons. None of the other studies where applied to FCR, as the genes and SNPs selected were

generally selected based on the phenotype of interest, this limits the overlap. Furthermore, due to the

statistical challenges, many divergent strategies were employed, for example using a pre-GWAS(43),

picking a limited set of pathway specific genes(10) or using a limited set of microsatellites(46). Some

studies also included heritability analysis (7, 22). The studies above include both crossed, purebred

and F2 half-sib pig populations. Given all these factors, and the novelty of FCR in an eQTL context,

we cannot compare our study very specifically to others, and one should view our study as a pilot

study for FCR eQTLs. We do however present novel strategies in an eQTL context, which show

promising results, and could be generally applicable to other eQTL studies.

We have included two pure breeds in our analysis, Duroc and Landrace, which in of itself is an

unusual choice. Many studies published have inbred lines, but it has been suggested that it would be

advantageous to do eQTL analysis on a natural genetically varying population (28), such as two

separate breeds. For the input SNPs, we made several choices for maximizing the number of relevant

SNPs to include. First, we selected a quite high cutoff of 0.3 MAF. This allows us to have high enough

variation at each included SNP, given our low sample size. It has also been shown, that in chip-based

data such as ours, the overall structure in the data is robust to different MAF cutoffs(47), thus this

should not impart any biases into the results. Finally, we grouped SNPs in high LD ($R^2 > 0.9$) into

blocks and used tagging variants to represent blocks. This allowed us to reduce the space further,

removing redundant genetic information, thus relaxing our multiple testing thresholds. In regards to

our cis-eQTL distance, we chose a 1mb window, which is on the lower end for pig studies (22). Given

our relatively low samples size we wanted to keep the cis analysis as conservative as possible.

In regards to individual eQTLs, one should be careful with over interpreting the results, but instead

view the eQTLs as candidates for further study. Based on a qualitative analysis, we do find several

interesting genes among our top eQTL candidates. IFI6, a gene implicated in apoptosis regulation

through mitochondrial pathways(48), has been previously related to meat and carcass quality(49).

PRPF39, a pre-mRNA processing gene, has previously been related to a trans-eQTL in Durocs with

divergent fatness(46). DNAJB1, a heatshock gene, was found to be downregulated in lean pigs (50).

TMEM222, a transmembrane protein, was found to be differentially expressed between tissues and

genotypes between Korean native pigs and Yorkshires(51). CSRNP1, the Cysteine And Serine Rich

Nuclear Protein 1 gene, was found to be a metabolic response gene in relation to feed intake in Durocs.

INTS7, an RNA processing gene, was associated with a SNP significant for meat quality in Chinese

pigs(52). The ACOX3 gene, a fatty acid metabolism gene, had previous cis-eQTLs identified

associated with it (10). The PARK7 gene, a gene that codes for a protein that protects from oxidative

stress(53), does not appear in a pig related context in the literature, but it is found in a known FCR

QTL region, as well as the mitochondrial fission factor (MFF). CPT1B, the arnitine palmitoyl

transferase 1B gene, was differentially expressed in large whites versus an indigenous high-fat

breed(54). The Potassium Calcium-Activated Channel Subfamily M Alpha 1 gene, KCNMA1, had

been previously found to diverge in expression between Large White and Basque pigs(55). Metaxin

(MTX2), a mitochondrial gene, was a candidate gene for red blood cell count in a Duroc x Erhualian

population based on a nearby genome wide significant SNP (56). Synaptotagmin 12 (SYT12) was

found in the area with which explained the largest variance in piglets porn in 3520 Durocs(57).

Myosin XIX (MYO19) was a candidate gene for eating behavior traits due to a nearby significant SNP in the same Duroc population our pigs come from(58). The Uncharacterized Protein C7orf50 had a previous cis-eQTLs identified in a behavioral context in humans(59). While this might seem like a mixed group of results, the main takeaway is that each of the genes mentioned above have appeared in previous contexts that demonstrate genetic regulation and association with traits under selection in commercial pigs, thus giving qualitative evidence that increases the likelihood of our eQTLs being true positives.

The final and perhaps most interesting result in our analysis stems from the enrichment analysis in the linear trans-eQTL analysis. We had initially hypothesized that we would find enrichment for genes that interact with genomic context and highly interacting genes. The findings, and their significance level, show a strong overrepresentation of DNA-binding genes, DNA-binding with transcription factor activity genes and transcription factors. These results have a quite straightforward interpretation - genes that interact on a genomic level have a higher chance of having trans-eQTL activity. This can be both mediated through direct interactions, but also through indirect effects, such as transcription factor acting on each other, thus mediating their own genetic effect to other genes. The more intriguing result is the contrast between negative and positive gene regulation. It may be that there is a gene regulation mechanism correlated to trans-eQTLs that explains why we have such a high enrichment of negative regulation, but given our sample size and study power, it is difficult to assess individual genes, and thus properly grasp specific interpretation of these results. The general pathway results are very statistically significant, indicating that these effects should be observable in other eQTL studies. In general, given the complexity of gene expression regulation, further specific study is needed before we have a proper understanding of the contrast between negative and positive expression, and the rest of the enrichment results. Based on our analysis, we propose that these enrichments could be general effects, and thus can assist us in the validation of true trans-eQTLs.

Essentially, identification of such biologically relevant effects can be used as an extra layer of

evidence for true positive trans-eQTLs. If we view these results in an animal breeding and selection

context, it shows that there may be a merit to weigh the importance of genetic variation based on the

gene and pathway context, and weighted methods have been shown to improve the accuracy of

breeding value estimates.

Conclusion

The analysis of eQTLs is a statistically challenging but powerful method for the functional analysis

of genetic variation. Here, using pigs from two breeds and different selection goals, we were able

show that is possible to identify signal of true positive cis and trans-eQTLs by applying meaningful

filtering measures and bootstrapping to show significance. Furthermore, we were able to show highly

significant enrichment of regulatory and DNA binding genes in trans-eQTLs, acting as strong

evidence for the validity of our trans-eQTLs, and as evidence for a general hypothesis of the nature

of trans-eQTLs.

Acknowledgements

The Authors thank the SEGES – Pig Research Centre (VSP) Denmark and Danish Crown for access

to samples and phenotype datasets.

Contributions

HK conceived and designed this "FeedOMICS" project, obtained funding as the main applicant Independent

Research Fund Denmark (DFF). VC and HK designed the blood sampling experiments, phenotype data

collection, and biostatistical/bioinformatics analyses. VC carried out bioinformatic data analyses. All authors

collaborated in the interpretation of results, discussion, and write up of the manuscript. All authors have read,

reviewed, and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by the Independent Research Fund Denmark (DFF) – Technology and Production (FTP) grant (grant number: 4184-00268B). VAOC received partial Ph.D. stipends from the University of Copenhagen and Technical University of Denmark.

References

1.   Gallagher MD, Chen-Plotkin AS. The Post-GWAS Era: From Association to Function. American journal of human genetics. 2018 May 3;102(5):717-30. PubMed PMID: 29727686. Pubmed Central PMCID: 5986732.

2.   Wood AR. Defining the role of common variation in the genomic and biological architecture of adult human height. Nature genetics. 2014 Nov;46(11):1173-86. PubMed PMID: 25282103. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4250049.

3.   Hirschhorn JN. Genetic approaches to studying common diseases and complex traits. Pediatric research. 2005 May;57(5 Pt 2):74R-7R. PubMed PMID: 15817501.

4.   Johnson GC, Todd JA. Strategies in complex disease mapping. Current opinion in genetics & development. 2000 Jun;10(3):330-4. PubMed PMID: 10826983.

5.   Kadarmideen HN, von Rohr P, Janss LL. From genetical genomics to systems genetics: potential applications in quantitative genomics and animal breeding. Mammalian genome : official journal of the International Mammalian Genome Society. 2006 Jun;17(6):548-64. PubMed PMID: 16783637. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3906707.

6.   Kadarmideen HN. Genomics to systems biology in animal and veterinary sciences: Progress, lessons and opportunities. Livest Sci. 2014 2014/08/01/;166:232-48.

7.   Liaubet L, Lobjois V, Faraut T, Tircazes A, Benne F, Iannuccelli N, et al. Genetic variability of transcript abundance in pig peri-mortem skeletal muscle: eQTL localized genes involved in stress response, cell death, muscle disorders and metabolism. BMC genomics. 2011 Nov 4;12:548. PubMed PMID: 22053791. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3239847.

8.   Kadarmideen HN. Genetical systems biology in livestock: application to gonadotrophin releasing hormone and reproduction. IET systems biology. 2008 Nov;2(6):423-41. PubMed PMID: 19045837.

9.   Kadarmideen HN, Watson-Haigh NS, Andronicos NM. Systems biology of ovine intestinal parasite resistance: disease gene modules and biomarkers. Molecular bioSystems. 2011 Jan;7(1):235-46. PubMed PMID: 21072409.

10.   González-Prendes R, Quintanilla R, Amills M. Investigating the genetic regulation of the expression of 63 lipid metabolism genes in the pig skeletal muscle. Animal genetics. 2017 2017/10/01;48(5):606-10.

11.   Jing L, Hou Y, Wu H, Miao YX, Li XY, Cao JH, et al. Transcriptome analysis of mRNA and miRNA in skeletal muscle indicates an important network for differential Residual Feed Intake in pigs. Scientific reports. 2015 Jul 7;5. PubMed PMID: WOS:000357450700002. English.

12.   Gilbert H, Billon Y, Brossard L, Faure J, Gatellier P, Gondret F, et al. Review: divergent selection for residual feed intake in the growing pig. Animal : an international journal of animal bioscience. 2017 Sep;11(9):1427-39. PubMed PMID: 28118862. Pubmed Central PMCID: 5561440.

13.   Koch RM, Swiger, L. A., Chambers, D. J. & Gregory K. E. Efficiency of feed use in beef cattle. Journal of animal science. 1963;22(2):486-94.

14.   Ding R, Yang M, Wang X, Quan J, Zhuang Z, Zhou S, et al. Genetic Architecture of Feeding Behavior and Feed Efficiency in a Duroc Pig Population. Frontiers in genetics. 2018;9:220. PubMed PMID: 29971093. Pubmed Central PMCID: 6018414.

15.   Morales PE, Bucarey JL, Espinosa A. Muscle Lipid Metabolism: Role of Lipid Droplets and Perilipins. Journal of Diabetes Research. 2017;2017:10.

16.   Muscle as a Secretory Organ. Comprehensive Physiology. p. 1337-62.

17.   Turner N, Cooney GJ, Kraegen EW, Bruce CR. Fatty acid metabolism, energy expenditure and insulin resistance in muscle. The Journal of endocrinology. 2014 Feb;220(2):T61-79. PubMed PMID: 24323910.

18.   Horodyska J, Wimmers K, Reyer H, Trakooljul N, Mullen AM, Lawlor PG, et al. RNA-seq of muscle from pigs divergent in feed efficiency and product quality identifies differences in immune response, growth, and macronutrient and connective tissue metabolism. BMC genomics. 2018 Nov 1;19(1):791. PubMed PMID: 30384851. Pubmed Central PMCID: 6211475.

19.   Gondret F, Vincent A, Houee-Bigot M, Siegel A, Lagarrigue S, Causeur D, et al. A transcriptome multi-tissue analysis identifies biological pathways and genes associated with variations in feed efficiency of growing pigs. BMC genomics. 2017 Mar 21;18(1):244. PubMed PMID: 28327084. Pubmed Central PMCID: 5361837.

20.   Vincent A, Louveau I, Gondret F, Trefeu C, Gilbert H, Lefaucheur L. Divergent selection for residual feed intake affects the transcriptomic and proteomic profiles of pig skeletal muscle. Journal of animal science. 2015 Jun;93(6):2745-58. PubMed PMID: 26115262.

21.   Ponsuksili S, Murani E, Trakooljul N, Schwerin M, Wimmers K. Discovery of candidate genes for muscle traits based
on GWAS supported by eQTL-analysis. International journal of biological sciences. 2014;10(3):327-37. PubMed PMID: 24643240.
Pubmed Central PMCID: 3957088.
22.   Velez-Irizarry D, Casiro S, Daza KR, Bates RO, Raney NE, Steibel JP, et al. Genetic control of longissimus dorsi muscle
gene expression variation and joint analysis with phenotypic quantitative trait loci in pigs. BMC genomics. 2019 2019/01/03;20(1):3.
23.   Connor EE, Kahl S, Elsasser TH, Parker JS, Li RW, Van Tassell CP, et al. Enhanced mitochondrial complex gene function
and reduced liver size may mediate improved feed efficiency of beef cattle during compensatory growth. Funct Integr Genomic. 2010
Mar;10(1):39-51. PubMed PMID: WOS:000275429100004. English.
24.   Bottje WG, Lassiter K, Piekarski-Welsher A, Dridi S, Reverter A, Hudson NJ, et al. Proteogenomics Reveals Enriched
Ribosome Assembly and Protein Translation in Pectoralis major of High Feed Efficiency Pedigree Broiler Males. Frontiers in physiology.
2017;8:306. PubMed PMID: 28559853. Pubmed Central PMCID: 5432614.
25.   Eya JC, Ashame MF, Pomeroy CF. Association of mitochondrial function with feed efficiency in rainbow trout: Diets
and family effects. Aquaculture. 2011 2011/11/16/;321(1):71-84.
26.   Bryois J, Buil A, Evans DM, Kemp JP, Montgomery SB, Conrad DF, et al. Cis and trans effects of human genomic
variants on gene expression. PLoS genetics. 2014;10(7):e1004461-e. PubMed PMID: 25010687. eng.
27.   Bonder MJ, Luijk R, Zhernakova DV, Moed M, Deelen P, Vermaat M, et al. Disease variants alter transcription factor
levels and methylation of their binding sites. Nature genetics. 2017 2017/01/01;49(1):131-8.
28.   Gilad Y, Rifkin SA, Pritchard JK. Revealing the architecture of gene regulation: the promise of eQTL studies. Trends
in genetics : TIG. 2008 Aug;24(8):408-15. PubMed PMID: 18597885. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2583071.
29.   Banerjee P, Carmelo VAO, Kadarmideen HN. Genome-Wide Epistatic Interaction Networks Affecting Feed Efficiency
in Duroc and Landrace Pigs. Frontiers in genetics. 2020 2020-February-28;11(121). English.
30.   Carmelo VAO, Kadarmideen HN. Genome regulation and gene interaction networks inferred from muscle
transcriptome underlying feed efficiency in Pigs. bioRxiv. 2020:2020.03.20.998203.
31.   Carmelo VAO, Kogelman LJA, Madsen MB, Kadarmideen HN. WISH-R– a fast and efficient tool for construction of
epistatic networks for complex traits and diseases. BMC bioinformatics. 2018 2018/07/31;19(1):277.
32.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014
Aug 1;30(15):2114-20. PubMed PMID: 24695404. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4103590.
33.   Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner.
Bioinformatics. 2013 Jan 1;29(1):15-21. PubMed PMID: 23104886. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3530905.
34.   Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-
sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic acids research. 2015 Apr 20;43(7):e47. PubMed PMID: 25605792. Pubmed Central PMCID:
4402510.
35.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital
gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010 Jan 1;26(1):139-40. PubMed PMID: 19910308. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2796818.
36.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2.
Genome biology. 2014;15(12):550. PubMed PMID: 25516281. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4302049.
37.   Shabalin AA. Matrix eQTL: ultra fast eQTL analysis via large matrix operations. Bioinformatics. 2012 May
15;28(10):1353-8. PubMed PMID: 22492648. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3348564.
38.   Gallego Romero I, Pai AA, Tung J, Gilad Y. RNA-seq: impact of RNA degradation on transcript quantification. BMC
biology. 2014 May 30;12:42. PubMed PMID: 24885439. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4071332.
39.   Veroneze R, Lopes PS, Guimarães SEF, Silva FF, Lopes MS, Harlizius B, et al. Linkage disequilibrium and haplotype
block structure in six commercial pig lines. Journal of animal science. 2013;91(8):3493-501.
40.   Hu Z-L, Park CA, Reecy JM. Building a livestock genetic and genomic information knowledgebase through integrative
developments of Animal QTLdb and CorrDB. Nucleic acids research. 2018;47(D1):D701-D10.
41.   Hu H, Miao Y-R, Jia L-H, Yu Q-Y, Zhang Q, Guo A-Y. AnimalTFDB 3.0: a comprehensive resource for annotation and
prediction of animal transcription factors. Nucleic acids research. 2018;47(D1):D33-D8.
42.   Ponsuksili S, Murani E, Trakooljul N, Schwerin M, Wimmers K. Discovery of candidate genes for muscle traits based
on GWAS supported by eQTL-analysis. International journal of biological sciences. 2014;10(3):327-37. PubMed PMID: 24643240. eng.
43.   Steibel JP, Bates RO, Rosa GJM, Tempelman RJ, Rilington VD, Ragavendran A, et al. Genome-wide linkage analysis
of global gene expression in loin muscle tissue identifies candidate genes in pigs. PloS one. 2011;6(2):e16766-e. PubMed PMID:
21346809. eng.
44.   Chen C, Wei R, Qiao R, Ren J, Yang H, Liu C, et al. A genome-wide investigation of expression characteristics of
natural antisense transcripts in liver and muscle samples of pigs. PloS one. 2012;7(12):e52433-e. PubMed PMID: 23285040. Epub
12/20. eng.
45.   Perry KR, Velez-Irizarry D, Steibel JP, Casiro S, Funkhouser SA, Raney NE, et al. P3030 Identification of expression
quantitative trait loci for longissimus muscle microrna expression profiles in the Michigan State University Duroc × Pietrain pig
resource population. Journal of animal science. 2016;94(suppl_4):67-.
46.   Canovas A, Pena RN, Gallardo D, Ramirez O, Amills M, Quintanilla R. Segregation of regulatory polymorphisms with
effects on the gluteus medius transcriptome in a purebred pig population. PloS one. 2012;7(4):e35583. PubMed PMID: 22545120.
Pubmed Central PMCID: 3335821.

47.   Linck E, Battey CJ. Minor allele frequency thresholds strongly affect population structure inference with genomic data sets. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2019;19(3):639-47.

48.   Qi Y, Li Y, Zhang Y, Zhang L, Wang Z, Zhang X, et al. IFI6 Inhibits Apoptosis via Mitochondrial-Dependent Pathway in Dengue Virus 2 Infected Vascular Endothelial Cells. PloS one. 2015;10(8):e0132743.

49.   Kayan A, Uddin MJ, Cinar MU, Große-Brinkhaus C, Phatsara C, Wimmers K, et al. Investigation on interferon alpha-inducible protein 6 (IFI6) gene as a candidate for meat and carcass quality in pig. Meat science. 2011 2011/08/01;88(4):755-60.

50.   Zambonelli P, Gaffo E, Zappaterra M, Bortoluzzi S, Davoli R. Transcriptional profiling of subcutaneous adipose tissue in Italian Large White pigs divergent for backfat thickness. Animal genetics. 2016 2016/06/01;47(3):306-23.

51.   Li X, Lee C-K, Choi B-H, Kim T-H, Kim J-J, Kim K-S. Quantitative gene expression analysis on chromosome 6 between Korean native pigs and Yorkshire breeds for fat deposition. Genes & Genomics. 2010 2010/08/01;32(4):385-93.

52.   Liu X, Xiong X, Yang J, Zhou L, Yang B, Ai H, et al. Genome-wide association analyses for meat quality traits in Chinese Erhualian pigs and a Western Duroc × (Landrace × Yorkshire) commercial population. Genetics Selection Evolution. 2015 2015/05/12;47(1):44.

53.   Zhang Y, Li Y, Han X, Dong X, Yan X, Xing Q. Elevated expression of DJ-1 (encoded by the human PARK7 gene) protects neuronal cells from sevoflurane-induced neurotoxicity. Cell Stress and Chaperones. 2018 September 01;23(5):967-74.

54.   Gao Y, Zhang YH, Jiang H, Xiao SQ, Wang S, Ma Q, et al. Detection of differentially expressed genes in the longissimus dorsi of Northeastern Indigenous and Large White pigs. Genetics and molecular research : GMR. 2011 May 3;10(2):779-91. PubMed PMID: 21563072.

55.   Damon M, Wyszynska-Koko J, Vincent A, Herault F, Lebret B. Comparison of Muscle Transcriptome between Pigs with Divergent Meat Quality Phenotypes Identifies Genes Related to Muscle Metabolism and Structure. PloS one. 2012 Mar 21;7(3). PubMed PMID: WOS:000303857100047. English.

56.   Nan J-h, Yin L-l, Tang Z-s, Chen J-h, Zhang J, Wang H-y, et al. Genetic parameter estimation and genome-wide association study (GWAS) of red blood cell count at three stages in a Duroc×Erhualian pig population. Journal of Integrative Agriculture. 2020 2020/03/01/;19(3):793-9.

57.   Stafuzza NB, Silva RMdO, Fragomeni BdO, Masuda Y, Huang Y, Gray K, et al. A genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism and copy number variation analysis for number of piglets born alive. BMC genomics. 2019 2019/04/27;20(1):321.

58.   Do DN, Strathe AB, Ostersen T, Jensen J, Mark T, Kadarmideen HN. Genome-wide association study reveals genetic architecture of eating behavior in pigs and its implications for humans obesity by comparative mapping. PloS one. 2013;8(8):e71509-e. PubMed PMID: 23977060. eng.

59.   Liao C, Vuokila V, Laporte AD, Spiegelman D, Dion PA, Rouleau GA. Multi-tissue probabilistic fine-mapping of transcriptome-wide association study identifies cis-regulated genes for miserableness. bioRxiv. 2019:682633.

Distribution of Bootstrapped Trans Linear p-values < 0.01

Distribution of Bootstrapped Cis Linear p-values < 0.01