Anthrax Toxin Translocation Complex Reveals insight into the Lethal Factor Unfolding and Refolding Mechanism

Alexandra J Machen[1], Mark T Fisher*[1], Bret D Freudenthal*[1]

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Kansas Medical Center,

Kansas City, KS 66160, USA.

*Co-corresponding Authors. Correspondence to bfreudenthal@kumc.edu

Abstract

Translocation is essential to the anthrax toxin mechanism. Protective antigen (PA), the

translocon component of this AB toxin, forms an oligomeric pore with three key clamp sites that

aid in the efficient entry of lethal factor (LF) or edema factor (EF), the enzymatic components of

the toxin, into the cell. LF and EF translocate through the PA pore (PA_{pore}) with the pH gradient

between the endosome and the cytosol facilitating rapid translocation *in vivo*. Structural details of

the translocation process have remained elusive despite their biological importance. To overcome

the technical challenges of studying translocation intermediates, we developed a novel method

to immobilize, transition, and stabilize anthrax toxin to mimic important physiological steps in the

intoxication process. Here, we report a cryoEM snapshot of PA_{pore} translocating the N-terminal

domain of LF (LF_N). The resulting 3.3 Å structure of the complex shows density of partially

unfolded LF_N near the canonical PA_{pore} binding site as well as in the α clamp, the Φ clamp, and

the charge clamp. We also observe density consistent with an α helix emerging from the 100 Å β

barrel channel suggesting LF secondary structural elements begin to refold in the pore channel.

We conclude the anthrax toxin β barrel aids in efficient folding of its enzymatic payload prior to

channel exit. Our hypothesized refolding mechanism has broader implications for pore length of

other protein translocating toxins.

Keywords: Translocation, Anthrax, CryoEM, Refolding

Significance Statement

Toxins like the anthrax toxin aid bacteria in establishing an infection, evading the immune system,

and proliferating inside a host. The anthrax toxin, a proteinaceous AB toxin secreted by *Bacillus*

anthracis, consists of lethal factor and protective antigen. In this work, we explore the molecular

details of lethal factor translocation through protective antigen pore necessary for cellular entry.

Our cryo electron microscopy results provide evidence of lethal factor secondary structure

refolding prior to protective antigen pore exit. Similar to the ribosome exit tunnel, the toxin pore

channel likely contributes to native folding of lethal factor. We predict other AB toxins with

extended pores also initiate substrate refolding inside the translocon for effective intoxication

during bacterial infection, evasion, and proliferation.

Introduction

The anthrax toxin is not only a deadly *Bacillus anthracis* virulence factor, but also serves as a model system of protein translocation and as a peptide therapeutic delivery platform (1, 2). It's biological importance and biotechnology utility have spurred significant biochemical and biophysical advances in understanding the anthrax intoxication mechanism. In order to gain entry into the cell, this archetypical AB toxin must cross the endosomal membrane. Membrane penetration is accomplished by the B component of anthrax toxin, termed protective antigen (PA). PA forms a translocon pore through which lethal factor (LF) or edema factor (EF), the A component, translocate. Here, we developed an approach to elucidate the structural and mechanistic details of the anthrax toxin during translocation in an effort to understand how LF unfolds in the endosome, translocates through PA, and refolds in the cytosol.

An overview of the anthrax toxin mechanism has been reviewed by the Collier lab (1) and is briefly summarized here. The first step in intoxication is the 85 kDa monomeric PA binding to host cell receptors. Then the pro-domain of PA is cleaved leaving the 63 kDa PA to oligomerize into heptameric or octameric prepore (PA$_{prepore}$) (3, 4). Up to three LF and/or EF components can bind to the PA$_{prepore}$ heptamer (4-6). The AB toxin complex is endocytosed through clathrin mediated endocytosis (7). As the endosome acidifies, PA$_{prepore}$ undergoes a conformational change to a pore (PA$_{pore}$) (8). This pore inserts into the endosomal membrane to form a channel. The low pH of the endosome and the pH gradient between the endosome and the cytosol facilitate LF or EF to unfold and rapidly translocate into the cytosol in a hypothesized Brownian ratchet mechanism (9). Natively refolded LF and EF in the cytosol are then able to perform their virulent enzymatic functions (10).

The overall structure of the PA$_{pore}$ translocon can be divided into two regions: the funnel and the channel (**Fig. 1A**). The first region, the funnel, facilitates binding and unfolding of LF. LF binds to the rim of the PA$_{pore}$ funnel and is guided down the narrowing structure. The second region of PA$_{pore}$ is the channel, a β barrel that extends from the funnel and spans the endosomal

membrane. Three nonspecific PA_{pore} clamp sites (α, Φ, and charge clamp) aid in the translocation

of LF (**Fig. 1A**). The α clamp is located at the PA funnel rim, is formed by adjacent PA protomers,

and binds helical portions of LF to position them towards the pore lumen. Heptameric PA_{pore} has

seven potential α clamp binding sites. A crystal structure of the N-terminal domain of LF (LF_N)

bound to the $PA_{prepore}$ revealed the α clamp binding site, but was unable to resolve the 28 amino

acids of LF_N passed the α clamp (11). The second clamp site is the Φ clamp, a ring of seven

phenylalanine residues that maintain the pH gradient between the endosome and the cytosol (12).

The cryoEM structure of apo PA_{pore} revealed the Φ clamp forms a narrow 6 Å diameter ring (13).

Secondary structural elements, such as α helices, are too wide to fit through this narrow seal.

Therefore, it is hypothesized that peptide substrates must completely unfold and refold in order

to translocate through the PA_{pore} and enter the cytosol of the cell (13). The Φ clamp also assists

in the unfolding of LF as an unfolding chaperone (2). The third clamp site, the charge clamp, is

located within the β barrel of PA_{pore}. The charge clamp deprotonates acidic side chains of LF and

ensures unidirectional movement of the polypeptide (14, 15). Interestingly, the diameter of the

PA_{pore} β barrel is large enough to accomidate an α helix, which would allow for initial refolding to

occur inside the pore prior to LF entering the cytosol. However, it remains unclear what structural

state LF is in when interacting with the charge clamp and within the β barrel channel.

One of the many challenges in studying the anthrax toxin is that it is a dynamic membrane

protein that functions under acidic conditions. Thus many questions remain, such as what path

LF travels down the endosomal pore lumen from the α clamp to the Φ clamp, whether the Φ clamp

adopts multiple states during translocation, and whether LF can partially refold inside the β barrel

pore. To address these questions, we developed a novel toxin immobilization, translocation, and

nanodisc stabilization (TITaNS) method in combination with cryoEM to structurally characterize

PA_{pore} translocating the N-terminal domain of LF (LF_N). This approach provides unique

mechanistic insight into how LF_N interacts with the three clamp sites of PA_{pore}. We observed

density consistent with LF_N unfolding prior to the α clamp, translocating through the dynamic Φ

clamp, and beginning to refold in the channel of the PA_{pore}.

Results

Assembly of Anthrax Translocation Complexes

In vivo, the anthrax toxin undergoes a prepore to pore conformational change under acidic

conditions. Previous approaches have generally used urea to avoid aggregation during the

transition from $PA_{prepore}$ to PA_{pore} (16-21). These approaches have limitations in that they do not

account for the low pH electrostatic microenvironment in the pore lumen predicted to be important

for LF-PA interactions (22) and they assume similar outcomes for chaotrope and acid induced

unfolding. In order to overcome these limitations we have developed a novel assembly method

for toxin immobilization, translocation, and nanodisc stabilization (called TITaNS, **Fig. 1B-G**).

TITaNS was designed to mimic important low pH physiological states during the anthrax

intoxication mechanism. This approach allows for endosomal pH pore formation and imaging of

individual complexes in a lipid bilayer in the biologically relevant low pH environment (16, 23).

TITaNS can be used in combination with techniques other than cryoEM, including mass

spectrometry, nuclear magnetic resonance, surface plasmon resonance, and biolayer

interferometry. TITaNS also has the potential to be adapted to screen prospective

pharmaceuticals that arrest or prevent endosomal membrane insertion (23).

Reversible immobilization is key to the TITaNS methodology, because it allows the

stabilized complexes to be released into solution. We began with recombinantly purified, soluble

forms of LF_N and $PA_{prepore}$ that are mixed together in solution. The binary complex of LF_N bound

to $PA_{prepore}$ was then immobilized onto thiol sepharose beads by covalently coupling E126C LF_N

to the bead surface (**Fig. 1B**). The immobilized LF_N-$PA_{prepore}$ complex was oriented such that when

the bead slurry was washed in pH 5.5 buffer to transition PA from prepore to pore, the pore

extended away from the bead surface (**Fig. 1C**). We predict this low pH environment initiates

translocation of LF_N through PA_{pore} *in vitro* (**Fig. 1D**). We base this prediction on computational

and experimental evidence of early translocation events induced by low pH. Specifically,

molecular simulations of anthrax toxin early translocation events predict the events are strongly

influenced by the protonation state of LF and are highly favorable at low pH (22). Also at low pH,

the partial translocation of LF has been observed in planar lipid bilayers (9). After pore formation,

the next step in TITaNS was the stabilization of LF_N-PA_{pore} translocation complexes using

nanodisc technology (24-26). Pre-nanodisc micelles were added to the bead slurry and

associated with the transmembrane portion of PA_{pore} (**Fig. 1E**). To promote lipid bilayer formation,

we dialyzed away excess detergent (**Fig. 1F**). The soluble LF_N-PA_{pore}-nanodisc complexes were

then eluted off the thiol sepharose beads using the reducing agent dithiothreitol. Eluted complexes

were transferred to the cryoEM grid and the pH was dropped to pH 5.5 to capture the complex at

low pH prior to blotting and plunge freezing (**Fig. 1G**). To prevent aggregation and migration of

the nanodiscs to the air-water interface, we plunge froze the grids within 30 seconds of sample

application. Using our TITaNS methodology, we obtained a 3.3 Å reconstruction of LF_N

translocating through PA_{pore} (**Fig. 2A-D**).

Comparison of LF_N before and during Translocation

Prior to translocation, LF_N is bound to the cap of PA at the interface of two PA protomers

with helix α1 bound to the α clamp (11, 17). Our reconstruction shows a loss of LF_N density in the

canonical binding site above the PA_{pore} (**Fig. 2A,B**). Lethal factor translocates through PA_{pore} from

the N-terminus to the C-terminus. Notably, our reconstruction does not show density for residues

50-135 in the canonical folded LF_N position (**Fig. 2B,D)** (11, 16). However, density for residues

136-250 are apparent. Our model of unfolding, translocating LF_N is consistent with a molten

globular intermediate state at low pH (27). In our translocating LF_N complex, immobilized residue

E126C has not yet translocated suggesting translocation occurred while the residue was coupled

to the bead surface at pH 5.5, stalling the complex at this position (**Fig. 2C,D**).

Molecular Interactions of Translocation Complex

In addition to a loss of LF_N density in the canonical binding site above the PA_{pore}, our

reconstruction shows added density inside the pore lumen indicating a translocating complex

(Fig. 3). Density near the top of the PA_{pore} funnel was in proximity to several hydrophobic residues

of PA_{pore} (**Fig. 3B**). Some of these residues, including Phe202, Phe236 and Phe464 are in the α

clamp and have previously been proposed to aid in unfolding LF and stabilizing unfolded

intermediates as they transition into the pore (11). Additional hydrophobic residues further down

the pore lumen, such as Trp226 and Tyr456 may also help to stabilize the unfolded peptide. Our

results are consistent with these hydrophobic residues facilitating translocation of LF_N into the

pore and toward the Φ clamp in an unfolded state. We observed added asymmetric density in

and around the Φ clamp (**Fig. 3C**) that was not visible when we compared it to the previously

published apo PA_{pore} cryoEM structure (13). Specifically, there is density in the center of the Φ

clamp (**Fig. 3C**). We attribute this density to unfolded LF_N interacting with the Phe ring of the

PA_{pore} Φ clamp loops as LF_N is translocating through the pore. In addition the density for each of

the PA_{pore} Phe427 residues was smeared in plane with the benzyl ring suggesting rotameric states

moving up and down (**Fig. 3D)**.

Translocating LF_N density was also observed in the β barrel of the PA_{pore}. Focused

refinement of the β barrel interior revealed density consistent with α helices along with portions of

unfolded peptide (**Fig. 3E-G**). Notably, density located at the PA_{pore} charge clamp is consistent

with an α helix and suggests the deprotonated state of LF favors helix formation within the pore.

Canonical charge clamp residues Asp276, Glu343, and Asp335 are shown in **Fig. 3E** with the

predicted LF_N density translocating through the center of the channel. Further down the pore we

observe density for helix α1 emerging from the channel exit **(Fig. 3F,G).** Our results provide

evidence for initial refolding of LF secondary structure both inside and upon exit from the PA_{pore}.

These results are consistent with ribosome exit tunnel studies that, using optical tweezers and

molecular dynamics simulations, showed excluded volume effects and electrostatic interactions

contribute to substrate folding (28).

Next, we wanted to determine whether there were any differences between PA$_{pore}$

protomers that interacted directly with the translocating LF$_N$ compared to protomers that did not

interact with translocating LF$_N$. Importantly, symmetry operations were not used during single

particle analysis. To compare the PA$_{pore}$ protomers, we aligned the seven protomer chains that

compose the PA$_{pore}$ **(Fig. S1A)**. Comparison of the chains showed little difference in the backbone

or side chain rotamers for the majority of the residues at the LF$_N$-PA$_{pore}$ interfaces as well as the

protomer-protomer interfaces. This rigidity is likely necessary for the PA$_{pore}$ to maintain a stable β

barrel and perform its function under endosomal conditions. During this analysis, we also

examined the conformation of the receptor binding domain of PA$_{pore}$. The receptor binding domain

is connected to the main body of PA$_{pore}$ by a single loop and is responsible for anchoring the toxin

to the host cell membrane prior to complex endocytosis and pore formation (29). Interestingly, the

receptor binding domain did show different conformations for each protomer and indicates a

degree of conformational flexibility **(Fig. S1A-C)**. This variability between receptor binding

domains likely arose from the acidic conditions and lack of a receptor. Overlaying our PA$_{pore}$

chains with the crystal structure of PA$_{prepore}$ bound to its receptor, capillary morphogenesis protein

2 (CMG2), revealed several conformations not conducive to receptor binding **(Fig. S1C)**.

Specifically, PA E194 was not in position to form the metal ion-dependent adhesion site (MIDAS)

motif in the receptor binding pocket (30). This indicates that without a receptor bound, the receptor

binding domain loops adopt multiple states.

Discussion

The anthrax toxin PA$_{pore}$ unfolds, translocates, and refolds LF, it's enzymatic subtrate.

Three clamp sites aid in peptide translocation: the α clamp, the Φ clamp, and the charge clamp.

We report here, cryoEM density consistent with nascent polypeptide chain translocating the length

of PA$_{pore}$. In our model, LF$_N$ can be seen unfolding prior to the α clamp, passing through the

dynamic Φ clamp, and refolding in the β barrel channel. This model is consistent with LF needing

to completely unfold in order to translocate. However, if the entire 90 kDa enzyme were to unfold

at once, deleterious folded intermediates or aggregates would likely block the PA$_{pore}$ translocon,

especially when multiple LF are bound to PA. Therefore, in order to efficiently translocate and

refold, LF unfolds from the N to C terminus (31). While the low pH of the endosome destabilizes

the enzyme, it does not completely unfold into its primary sequence (27, 32). Our results are

consistent with molten globular translocation intermediates of LF being destabilized in the acidic

environment of the endosome (27) with the α clamp then able to apply additional unfolding force

on the protein and funnel LF towards the Φ clamp (2). Translocation requires step-wise unfolding

and stabilization of the unfolded intermediates to prevent aggregation. When LF binds to PA$_{pore}$,

helix α1 of LF moves away from the main body of LF and binds to the α clamp of PA$_{pore}$ (11). From

here, LF has multiple paths it could take through the PA$_{pore}$ funnel, gated by the Φ clamp. Our

results suggest a favorable path from the α to Φ clamp that involves a series of hydrophobic

residues that are amenable to unfolded translocation intermediates and likely serve as

checkpoints to verify the unfolded state of LF prior to the Φ clamp (**Fig. 3A-B)**.

The Φ clamp plays a crucial roll in translocation by acting as a hydrophobic seal between

the endosome and cytosol (9). Multiple Φ clamp states have been hypothesized at pH 5.5 (33).

Our analysis did not reveal multiple distinct states. However, the smeared density in our structure

is indicative of a dynamic clamp. Our density does not imply dilation of the clamp (33), so much

as a up and down motion along the pore axis. This motion could be conserted or individual F427

residues moving to accommodate various translocating side chains. The compressive and tensile

forces generated by the unfolding LF in the PA$_{pore}$ funnel above and the refolding LF in the β barrel

channel below may also contribute to this movement. However, too much flexibility or dialation

would cause the seal at the Φ clamp to be lost. Therefore, this dynamic motion must still maintain

the pH gradient between the endosome and cytosol, while accomidating any side chain, ensuring

efficient translocation.

Helix formation inside the PA_{pore} β barrel has been hypothesized but, to our knowledge,

never observed (13, 27). We report here, evidence of concomitant α helix formation and

translocation inside the β barrel of the PA_{pore} (**Fig. 3E,F,G)**. We hypothesized that, along with

changing the charge state of the peptide substrate, the charge clamp allows for a local folding

environment within the PA_{pore}. Helical portions of LF have previously been shown to dock into the

α clamp, with the periodicity of these helices aiding in efficient unfolding of LF (34). We predict

this periodicity is also important for hypothesized refolding of LF, beginning at the charge clamp.

Our hypothesis is consistent with other anthrax toxin subtrates, such as LF_N fused to the catalytic

chain of diphtheria toxin (LF_N-DTA), which did not evolve to fold in the PA_{pore} channel.

Interestingly, these non-native substrates require chaperones for enzymatic activity (35)

indicating the DTA portion of these proteins do not form helices in the PA channel at optimal

intervals. Our model is also reminesant of the ribosome, where helix folding in the exit tunnel aids

in co-translational folding of native proteins (36). We predict helix folding in the PA_{pore} β barrel

aids in co-translocational folding by temporally altering LF emersion from the tunnel allowing

regions to fold into tertiary structures.

A proposed unfolding-refolding translocation model is shown in **Fig. 4A** starting with LF_N

bound to the funnel rim of $PA_{pore.}$ LF_N is unfolded and funnelled towards the Φ clamp, aided by

hydrophobic residues along the funnel slope. LF_N acidic residues are protonated in the acidic

environment of the funnel. Completely unfolded LF_N then passes the Φ clamp. This ring of F427

residues remains restrictive enough to maintain a seal while accomdating translocation. As the

channel widens in the charge clamp, acidic residues are deprotonated. Folding of α helical

portions places mechanical force on the translocating peptide, contributing to efficient

translocation, and overcoming local energy minimum that could otherwise stall the complex. The

newly formed, secondary structure favors unidirectional translocation by discouraging retrograde

transfer through the narrow Φ clamp resulting in natively folded LF in the host cell cytosol.

Our results have implications for other toxins. PA Phe427 is equivalent to Phe454 of

Clostridium perfringens iota toxin (37), Phe428 of *Clostridium botulinum* C2II binary toxin (38),

and Trp318 of *Vibrio cholerae* cytolysin (39). We hypothesize a dynamic hydrophobic seal model

is a common mechanism, applicable to these other toxins. Initial refolding in the pore channel is

also likely not unique to the anthrax toxin. Indeed, other translocons, such as the iota toxin and

toxin complex (Tc) toxin (**Fig. 4B**), have pores that extend well passed the membrane bilayer (40,

41). We predict these pore forming toxins have evolved extended pores to faciliate substrate

refolding inside the translocon for effective intoxication. Not all pore forming toxins translocate

proteins. Some, like *Vibrio cholerae* cytolysin (VCC) and *Staphylococcus aureus* α-hemolysin,

form pores to distrupt ion concentrations (39, 42). The pore length of these toxins is noticeably

shorter (**Fig. 4B**).

Materials and Methods

Protein Expression and Purification

Proteins were purified as previously described (16). Briefly, His$_6$-SUMO-LF$_N$ E126C was

expressed in BL21 cells, purified using anion exchange, and cleaved by small ubiquitin-related

modifier protease (43). Recombinant wild-type PA$_{83}$ was expressed in the periplasm

of *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) and purified by ammonium precipitation and anion exchange

chromatography (8). After trypsin activation (43), PA$_{63}$ heptameric prepores were formed using

anion exchange and size exclusion chromatography. Membrane scaffold protein 1D1 (MSP1D1)

was expressed from the pMSP1D1 plasmid (AddGene) with an N-terminal His-tag and was

purified by affinity chromatography (26).

LF$_N$-PA-Nanodisc Complex Formation for CryoEM with TITaNS

263    E126C LF_N and $PA_{prepore}$ were incubated in solution and then immobilized by coupling

264 E126C LF_N to activated thiol sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA,

265 USA) in Assembly Buffer (50 mM Tris, 50 mM NaCl, 10mM $CaCl_2$ pH 7.5) at 4 °C for 12 hr. Beads

266 were washed three times with Assembly Buffer to remove any unbound $PA_{prepore}$. The immobilized

267 LF_N-$PA_{prepore}$ complexes were then incubated in low pH buffer (10 mM acetate, 50 mM Tris, 50

268 mM NaCl, 10mM $CaCl_2$ pH 5.5) to transition the $PA_{prepore}$ to PA_{pore} and initiate translocation of LF_N.

269 The beads were then washed in Assembly Buffer at neutral pH three times. Next, pre-nanodisc

270 micelles (2.5 μM MSP1D1, 97.5 μM 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC)

271 (Avanti, Alabaster, AL, USA), 65 (POPG) in 25 mM Na-cholate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO,

272 USA), 50 mM Tris, and 50 mM NaCl) were added and bound to the aggregation-prone

273 hydrophobic transmembrane β-barrel of PA_{pore}. The micelles were collapsed into nanodiscs by

274 removing Na-cholate using dialysis with Bio-Beads (BIO RAD, Hercules, CA, USA). Stabilized

275 complexes were released from the thiol sepharose beads by reducing the E126C LF_N-bead

276 disulfide bond using 50 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) (Goldbio, St. Louis, MO, USA) in Assembly Buffer.

277 Assembled complexes were initially confirmed using negative-stain TEM. Complexes were stored

278 at -80C prior to cryoEM grid preparation. Complex formation has also previously been confirmed

279 using mass spectrometry and biolayer interferometry (44).

281 *Grid Preparation for CryoEM*

282    Complexes stored at -80C were thawed on ice. A glow discharged Quantifoil R1.2/1.3

283 300M Cu holey carbon grid was placed inside the FEI Vitrobot Mark IV humidity chamber at

284 100% humidity. Then, 2ul of thawed sample was applied to the grid followed by 0.5uL of 1M

285 acetate pH 5.5. The grids were then blotted and plunge frozen in liquid ethane. Frozen grids

286 were stored in liquid nitrogen prior to use.

288 *CryoEM Data Collection and Image Processing*

CryoEM grids were loaded into a FEI Titan Krios electron microscope operated at

300 kV for automated image acquisition with serialEM (45). cryoEM micrographs were

recorded as movies on a Gatan K2 Summit direct electron detection camera using the electron

counting mode in super resolution mode at ×130K nominal magnification, a pixel size of 0.535

Å per pixel, and defocus ranging between −1 and −3 μm. Total dose was 50.76 e-/ $Å^2$. Total

exposure time was 9s and fractionated into 45 frames with 200 ms exposure time for each

frame. In total, 6,515 micrographs were taken in a continuous session. Frames in each movie

were aligned and averaged for correction of beam-induced drift using MotionCor2 and

cryoSPARC patch motion correction to generate a micrograph (46, 47). Micrographs generated

by averaging all frames of each movie were used for defocus determination and particle

picking. Micrographs obtained by averaging frames 2-36 (corresponding to ~40 e/$Å^2$) were

used for two- and three-dimensional image classifications. The best 4,488 micrographs were

selected for the following in-depth data processing.

Single Particle Analysis and Density Modification

Single particle analysis was performed using cryoSPARC v2.15 (46) **(Fig. S2)**. A random

subset of micrographs was selected for blob particle picking. These particles were subjected to

2D classification in order to obtain a set of five particle templates. Using these templates,

2,076,581 particles were selected from 4,488 micrographs. After multiple rounds of 2D

classification, the remaining 671,090 'good' particles were used to create an *ab initio* model.

Heterogenous classification with four classes was then performed and the class with full length β

barrel and nanodisc was selected. To select particles with LF_N, 3D variability analysis was

performed with three orthogonal principle modes (i.e. eigenvectors of 3D covariance) and a mask

of LF_N bound in each of the seven possible binding sites filtered to 30 Å and gaussian blurred.

122,651 particles from three resulting clusters with potential LF_N density were selected for further

processing. A non-uniform refinement on the per particle motion and CTF corrected particles was

performed resulting in a 3.3 Å cryoEM density map. Resolution was determined using gold

standard Fourier shell correlation with a cut off of 0.143. Next, local refinements and density

modifications were performed (**Fig. S3**). To further characterize bound LF_N, local refinement of

the cap of the PA_{pore} was performed using a mask of LF_N (PDB 3KWV) low pass filtered to 30 Å.

For the β barrel interior, a cylindrical mask was used. Phenix density modification was perfomed

on the non-uniform refinement and local refinement half maps to further improve the density for

PA_{pore} and LF_N, respectively (48). Phenix combined focused maps was then used to create a

composite map (**Fig. S3**) (49). The map showed density surrounding the transmembrane region

of the beta barrel that we interpret as nanodisc density. As has been reported previously (13),

there was also disordered density surrounding the outside of the middle of the β barrel. This

additional density was masked out of the final map using a 30 Å mask of LF_N-PA_{pore} inserted into

a nanodisc.

Model Building and Refinement

An initial model using PDB 6PSN was docked into the cryoEM map using Chimera map

to model (50). The LF_N coarse model was adjusted manually using Coot (51) to fit the density

starting at the C-terminus. Model α helical assignments were based on helicity in original

model, cryoEM density diameter, and consistency with previously published helical density.

The PA_{pore} coarse model was refined using PHENIX real space refine (49). Individual atomic

model side chains were manually adjusted to fit the density map using Coot (51). This process

was repeated iteratively until an optimal model was obtained. Ramachandran plots and

MolProbity (52) were used to assess model quality. **Supplementary Table 1** is a summary of

cryoEM data collection and processing as well as model building and validation.

Acknowledgements

This work is dedicated to the memory of our friend, mentor, and colleague Dr. Mark T. Fisher.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (R35-GM128562 and R03-AI142361 to

B.D.F.), and by University of Kansas Madison and Lila Self Graduate Fellowship to A.J.M. The

authors acknowledge the use of instruments at the Electron Imaging Center for NanoMachines

supported by NIH (1S10RR23057 and 1S10OD018111), NSF (DBI-1338135) and CNSI at

UCLA.

References

1. J. A. Young, R. J. Collier, Anthrax toxin: receptor binding, internalization, pore formation, and translocation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **76**, 243-265 (2007).

2. K. L. Thoren, B. A. Krantz, The unfolding story of anthrax toxin translocation. *Molecular microbiology* **80**, 588-595 (2011).

3. E. Santelli, L. A. Bankston, S. H. Leppia, R. C. Liddington, Crystal structure of a complex between anthrax toxin and its host cell receptor. *Nature* **430**, 905 (2004).

4. A. F. Kintzer *et al.*, The protective antigen component of anthrax toxin forms functional octameric complexes. *Journal of molecular biology* **392**, 614-629 (2009).

5. J. Mogridge, K. Cunningham, R. J. Collier, Stoichiometry of anthrax toxin complexes. *Biochemistry* **41**, 1079-1082 (2002).

6. C. Antoni *et al.*, Cryo-EM structure of the fully-loaded asymmetric anthrax lethal toxin in its heptameric pre-pore state. *bioRxiv* (2020).

7. L. Abrami, S. Liu, P. Cosson, S. H. Leppla, F. G. van der Goot, Anthrax toxin triggers endocytosis of its receptor via a lipid raft–mediated clathrin-dependent process. *J Cell Biol* **160**, 321-328 (2003).

8. C. J. Miller, J. L. Elliott, R. J. Collier, Anthrax protective antigen: prepore-to-pore conversion. *Biochemistry* **38**, 10432-10441 (1999).

9. B. A. Krantz, A. Finkelstein, R. J. Collier, Protein translocation through the anthrax toxin transmembrane pore is driven by a proton gradient. *Journal of molecular biology* **355**, 968-979 (2006).

10. N. S. Duesbery *et al.*, Proteolytic inactivation of MAP-kinase-kinase by anthrax lethal factor. *Science* **280**, 734-737 (1998).

11. G. K. Feld *et al.*, Structural basis for the unfolding of anthrax lethal factor by protective antigen oligomers. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **17**, 1383-1390 (2010).

12. B. A. Krantz *et al.*, A phenylalanine clamp catalyzes protein translocation through the anthrax toxin pore. *Science* **309**, 777-781 (2005).

13. J. Jiang, B. L. Pentelute, R. J. Collier, Z. H. Zhou, Atomic structure of anthrax PA pore elucidates toxin translocation. *Nature* **521**, 545 (2015).

14. B. L. Pentelute, O. Sharma, R. J. Collier, Chemical dissection of protein translocation through the anthrax toxin pore. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **50**, 2294-2296 (2011).

15. M. J. Brown, K. L. Thoren, B. A. Krantz, Role of the α clamp in the protein translocation mechanism of anthrax toxin. *Journal of molecular biology* **427**, 3340-3349 (2015).

16. A. Machen *et al.*, Asymmetric cryo-EM structure of anthrax toxin protective antigen pore with lethal factor N-terminal domain. *Toxins* **9**, 298 (2017).

17. N. J. Hardenbrook *et al.*, Atomic structures of anthrax toxin protective antigen channels bound to partially unfolded lethal and edema factors. *Nature Communications* **11**, 1-10 (2020).

18. N. Akkaladevi *et al.*, Assembly of anthrax toxin pore: Lethal-factor complexes into lipid nanodiscs. *Protein Science* **22**, 492-501 (2013).

19. N. Akkaladevi *et al.*, Following natures lead: on the construction of membrane-inserted toxins in lipid bilayer nanodiscs. *The Journal of membrane biology* **248**, 595-607 (2015).

20. E. Gogol *et al.*, Three dimensional structure of the anthrax toxin translocon–lethal factor complex by cryo-electron microscopy. *Protein Science* **22**, 586-594 (2013).

21. H. Katayama *et al.*, Three-dimensional structure of the anthrax toxin pore inserted into lipid nanodiscs and lipid vesicles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 3453-3457 (2010).

22. P. Ma, A. E. Cardenas, M. I. Chaudhari, R. Elber, S. B. Rempe, The impact of protonation on early translocation of anthrax lethal factor: Kinetics from molecular dynamics simulations and milestoning theory. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **139**, 14837-14840 (2017).

394   23.   M. T. Fisher, S. Naik (2019) Systems and methods for identifying protein stabilizers. (Google
395   Patents).
396   24.   T. H. Bayburt, S. G. Sligar, Membrane protein assembly into Nanodiscs. *FEBS letters* **584**, 1721-
397   1727 (2010).
398   25.   I. Denisov, Y. Grinkova, A. Lazarides, S. Sligar, Directed self-assembly of monodisperse
399   phospholipid bilayer Nanodiscs with controlled size. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*
400   **126**, 3477-3487 (2004).
401   26.   T. Ritchie *et al.*, Chapter eleven-reconstitution of membrane proteins in phospholipid bilayer
402   nanodiscs. *Methods in enzymology* **464**, 211-231 (2009).
403   27.   B. A. Krantz, A. D. Trivedi, K. Cunningham, K. A. Christensen, R. J. Collier, Acid-induced unfolding
404   of the amino-terminal domains of the lethal and edema factors of anthrax toxin. *Journal of*
405   *molecular biology* **344**, 739-756 (2004).
406   28.   F. Wruck *et al.*, The ribosome modulates folding inside the ribosomal exit tunnel. *BioRxiv*
407   (2020).
408   29.   J. Sun, P. Jacquez, Roles of anthrax toxin receptor 2 in anthrax toxin membrane insertion and
409   pore formation. *Toxins* **8**, 34 (2016).
410   30.   D. B. Lacy, D. J. Wigelsworth, R. A. Melnyk, S. C. Harrison, R. J. Collier, Structure of heptameric
411   protective antigen bound to an anthrax toxin receptor: a role for receptor in pH-dependent pore
412   formation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **101**,
413   13147-13151 (2004).
414   31.   S. Zhang, A. Finkelstein, R. J. Collier, Evidence that translocation of anthrax toxin's lethal factor is
415   initiated by entry of its N terminus into the protective antigen channel. *Proceedings of the*
416   *National Academy of Sciences* **101**, 16756-16761 (2004).
417   32.   P. Gupta, S. Singh, A. Tiwari, R. Bhat, R. Bhatnagar, Effect of pH on stability of anthrax lethal
418   factor: correlation between denaturation and activity. *Biochemical and biophysical research*
419   *communications* **284**, 568-573 (2001).
420   33.   D. Das, B. A. Krantz, Peptide-and proton-driven allosteric clamps catalyze anthrax toxin
421   translocation across membranes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 9611-
422   9616 (2016).
423   34.   D. Das, B. A. Krantz, Secondary Structure Preferences of the Anthrax Toxin Protective Antigen
424   Translocase. *Journal of molecular biology* **429**, 753-762 (2017).
425   35.   L. Dmochewitz *et al.*, Role of CypA and Hsp90 in membrane translocation mediated by anthrax
426   protective antigen. *Cellular microbiology* **13**, 359-373 (2011).
427   36.   D. N. Wilson, R. Beckmann, The ribosomal tunnel as a functional environment for nascent
428   polypeptide folding and translational stalling. *Current opinion in structural biology* **21**, 274-282
429   (2011).
430   37.   O. Knapp *et al.*, Residues involved in the pore-forming activity of the C lostridium perfringens
431   iota toxin. *Cellular microbiology* **17**, 288-302 (2015).
432   38.   T. Neumeyer *et al.*, Clostridium botulinum C2 Toxin IDENTIFICATION OF THE BINDING SITE FOR
433   CHLOROQUINE AND RELATED COMPOUNDS AND INFLUENCE OF THE BINDING SITE ON
434   PROPERTIES OF THE C2II CHANNEL. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **283**, 3904-3914 (2008).
435   39.   S. De, R. Olson, Crystal structure of the Vibrio cholerae cytolysin heptamer reveals common
436   features among disparate pore-forming toxins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
437   **108**, 7385-7390 (2011).
438   40.   S. J. Piper *et al.*, Cryo-EM structures of the pore-forming A subunit from the Yersinia
439   entomophaga ABC toxin. *Nature communications* **10**, 1952 (2019).
440   41.   T. Yamada *et al.*, Cryo-EM structures reveal translocational unfolding in the clostridial binary iota
441   toxin complex. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **27**, 288-296 (2020).

42. T. Sugawara *et al.*, Structural basis for pore-forming mechanism of staphylococcal α-hemolysin.
Toxicon **108**, 226-231 (2015).
43. D. J. Wigelsworth *et al.*, Binding stoichiometry and kinetics of the interaction of a human anthrax
toxin receptor, CMG2, with protective antigen. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **279**, 23349-23356
(2004).
44. A. J. Machen *et al.*, Analyzing Dynamic Protein Complexes Assembled On and Released From
Biolayer Interferometry Biosensor Using Mass Spectrometry and Electron Microscopy. *JoVE*
(Journal of Visualized Experiments), e57902 (2018).
45. D. N. Mastronarde, Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of
specimen movements. *Journal of structural biology* **152**, 36-51 (2005).
46. A. Punjani, J. L. Rubinstein, D. J. Fleet, M. A. Brubaker, cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid
unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nature Methods* **14**, 290-296 (2017).
47. S. Q. Zheng *et al.*, MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved
cryo-electron microscopy. *Nature methods* **14**, 331-332 (2017).
48. T. C. Terwilliger, S. J. Ludtke, R. J. Read, P. D. Adams, P. V. Afonine, Improvement of cryo-EM
maps by density modification. *Nature Methods* **17**, 923-927 (2020).
49. P. D. Adams *et al.*, PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure
solution. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography* **66**, 213-221 (2010).
50. E. F. Pettersen *et al.*, UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and
analysis. *Journal of computational chemistry* **25**, 1605-1612 (2004).
51. P. Emsley, K. Cowtan, Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallographica
Section D: Biological Crystallography* **60**, 2126-2132 (2004).
52. V. B. Chen *et al.*, MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography.
Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography **66**, 12-21 (2010).
466
467

Fig. 1. Anthrax toxin immobilization, translocation, and nanodisc stabilization (TITaNS)

method (A) PA$_{pore}$ side view slice with funnel shape from α clamp (yellow) to Φ clamp (orange)

and charge clamp (red) inside pore β barrel channel indicated. (B) Immobilization of LF$_N$

(magenta) PA$_{prepore}$ (blue) complexes on thiol sepharose beads (grey surface). (C) PA$_{prepore}$

transitioned to PA$_{pore}$. (D) Predicted translocation complex of LF$_N$ -PA$_{pore}$ at low pH. (E) Addition

of pre-nanodisc micelle (green) to complex. (F) Nanodisc formation. (G) LF$_N$-PA$_{pore}$-Nanodisc

translocation complexes at pH 5.5 on cryoEM grid.

Fig. 2. Overview of the anthrax toxin translocation complex. CryoEM density map (A) side

and (B) top view of LF$_N$ translocating through PA$_{pore}$. Inset: folded LF$_N$ model density (PDB 6PSN)

compared to translocating LF$_N$ density. Molecular model (C) side and (D) top view of LF$_N$

translocating through PA$_{pore}$. Inset: folded LF$_N$ model (PDB 6PSN) compared to translocating LF$_N$

model.

Figure 3. Unfolding and refolding of LF$_N$ at key clamp sites during translocation through PA$_{pore}$. (A) Model of PA$_{pore}$ (blue) translocating LF$_N$ (magenta) with α clamp, Φ clamp, and charge clamp residues shown in yellow, orange, and red respectively. (B) Hydrophobic residues predicted to facilitate unfolding in early translocation from α clamp to Φ clamp. (C) Φ clamp ring with LF$_N$ density. (D) Individual F427 residues (orange) with associated cryo-EM density (grey) for each subunit compared to modelled density (orange). (E) LF$_N$ model (magenta) and density (grey) in β barrel charge clamp (F) PA$_{pore}$ channel exit into the cytosol with LF$_N$ α1 helical model (magenta) and density (grey). (G) 90° rotation of F.

Fig. 4. Proposed AB toxin extended pore refolding mechanism. (A) Unfolding-refolding translocation model of anthrax toxin. LF_N (magenta) translocates through PA_{pore} (blue) passing the α clamp (yellow), the Φ clamp (orange and grey), and the charge clamp (red). Helical portions of LF_N begin to refold in the channel ensuring proper tertiary refolding of LF_N. (B) Comparison of toxin pore length between toxins that translocate proteins vs toxins that disrupt ion gradients. Membrane bilayer represented in green.

Fig. S1. Comparison of PA_pore protomers. (A) PA_pore protomer chains are shown in rainbow N

to C termini for chains A-G. The receptor binding domain is shown in yellow, red, and orange.

(B) Bottom up view of receptor binding domain with PA_prepore receptor binding domain shown in

grey (PDB 1T6B). (C) Comparison of PA_pore protomers to PA_prepore bound to receptor CMG2

(grey).

Fig. S2. Single particle analysis of anthrax toxin translocating complexes. After micrograph

curation and 2D classification, 'good' particles were subjected to 3D classification (four classes)

followed by 3D variability analysis on particles that contained intact β barrel. 3D variability analysis

focused on potential LF_N binding sites (blue mask) above PA_{pore} (grey). Results of 3D variability

analysis are shown in pastels filtered to 20 Å (pink, orange, green, purple, blue, yellow) with

potential LF_N density containing maps boxed in red. 3.3 Å Non-uniform refinement of PA_{pore} with

intact β barrel and potential LF_N density is shown in grey (FSC cutoff 0.143). Euler angle

distribution of particles shows diverse particle orientations.

Fig. S3. Density modification of cryoEM map. Focused refinement and density modification of

non-uniform refined cryoEM map were perfomed in cryoSPARC and Phenix, respectively. Density

for LF_N above PA_{pore}, PA_{pore}, and β barrel interior were combined using Phenix combine focus

maps. Resolution was determined for each density prior to combining using FSC cutoff of 0.143.

518 **Table S1. cryoEM Data Collection and Processing Statistics**

Data collection and processing	
Magnification	130,000X
Voltage (kV)	300
Electron exposure (e⁻/Å²)	1.79 per frame
Defocus range (µm)	1-3um
Pixel size (Å)	0.535
Symmetry imposed	C1
Initial particle images (no.)	2076581
Final particle images (no.)	122651
Map resolution (Å)	3.3
FSC threshold	0.143
Refinement	
Initial model used (PDB ID)	6PSN
Model resolution (Å)	3.3
FSC threshold	0.143
Model composition	
Nonhydrogen atoms	32826
Protein residues	4154
Ligands	14
B factors (Å²)	
Protein	395
Ligand	202
r.m.s. deviations	
Bond Length (Å) (# > 4σ)	0.009 (2)
Bond Angles (°) (# > 4σ)	1.311 (37)
Validation	
MolProbity score	2.75
Clashscore	26
Poor rotamers (%)	4.1
Ramachandran plot	
Favored (%)	94.25
Allowed (%)	5.32
Disallowed (%)	0.43

519