Caught in the Act: Anthrax Toxin Translocation Complex Reveals insight into the Lethal Factor Unfolding and Refolding mechanism

Alexandra J Machen[1], Mark T Fisher*[1], Bret D Freudenthal*[1]

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS 66160, USA.

*Co-corresponding Authors. Correspondence to bfreudenthal@kumc.edu

ABSTRACT

Translocation is essential to the anthrax toxin mechanism. Protective antigen, the

translocon component of this AB toxin, forms an oligomeric pore with three key clamp sites that

aid in the efficient entry of lethal factor or edema factor, the enzymatic components of the toxin,

into the cell. LF and EF translocate through the protective antigen pore with the pH gradient

between the endosome and the cytosol acting as the driving force. Structural details of the

translocation process have remained elusive despite their biological importance. To overcome the

technical challenges of studying translocation intermediates, we developed a novel method to

immobilize, transition, and stabilize anthrax toxin. Here, we report a cryoEM snapshot of PA_{pore}

translocating the N-terminal domain of LF. The resulting 3.1 and 3.2 Å structures of the complex

trace LF_N as it unfolds near the α clamp, translocates through the Φ clamp, and begins to refold in

the charge clamp. In addition, helical density inside the β barrel channel suggests LF secondary

structural elements begin to refold at the charge clamp site. We conclude the anthrax toxin uses an

extended β barrel to efficiently fold the enzymatic payload prior to channel exit. This refolding

mechanism has broader implications for pore length of other protein translocating toxins.

INTRODUCTION

The anthrax toxin is not only a deadly *Bacillus anthracis* virulence factor, but also serves as a model system of protein translocation and as a peptide therapeutic delivery platform (Young and Collier 2007, Thoren and Krantz 2011). It's biological importance and biotechnology utility have spurred significant biochemical and biophysical advances in understanding the anthrax intoxication mechanism. In order to gain entry into the cell, this archetypical AB toxin must cross the endosomal membrane. This is accomplished by the B component of anthrax toxin, termed protective antigen (PA). PA forms a translocon pore through which lethal factor (LF) or edema factor (EF), the A component, translocate. Here, we developed an approach to elucidate the structural and mechanistic details of the anthrax toxin during translocation in an effort to understand how LF unfolds in the endosome, translocates through PA, and refolds in the cytosol.

An overview of the anthrax toxin mechanism has been reviewed by the Collier lab (Young and Collier 2007) and is briefly summarized here. The first step in intoxication is the 85 kDa monomeric PA binding to host cell receptors. Then the pro-domain of PA is cleaved leaving the 63 kDa PA to oligomerize into heptameric or octameric prepore (PA$_{prepore}$) (Santelli, Bankston et al. 2004, Kintzer, Thoren et al. 2009). Up to three LF and/or EF components can bind to the PA$_{prepore}$ heptamer (Mogridge, Cunningham et al. 2002, Kintzer, Thoren et al. 2009, Antoni, Quentin et al. 2020). The AB toxin complex is endocytosed through clatherin mediated endocytosis (Abrami, Liu et al. 2003). As the endosome acidifies, PA$_{prepore}$ undergoes a conformational change to a pore (PA$_{pore}$) (Miller, Elliott et al. 1999). This pore inserts into the endosomal membrane to form a channel and create a pH gradient. With the pH gradient as the driving force, LF or EF unfold and translocate into the cytosol using a hypothesized Brownian

ratchet mechanism (Krantz, Finkelstein et al. 2006). Natively refolded LF and EF in the cytosol

are then able to perform their virulent enzymatic functions (Duesbery, Webb et al. 1998).

The overall structure of the PA_{pore} translocon can be divided into two regions: the funnel

and the channel (**Figure 1A**). The first region, the funnel, facilitates binding and unfolding of LF.

LF binds to the rim of the PA_{pore} funnel and is guided down the narrowing structure. The second

region of PA_{pore} is the channel, a β barrel that extends from the funnel and spans the endosomal

membrane. Three nonspecific PA_{pore} clamp sites aid in the translocation of LF (**Figure 1A**). The

α clamp is located at the PA funnel rim, is formed by adjacent PA protomers, and binds helical

portions of LF to position them towards the pore lumen. A crystal structure of the N-terminal

domain of LF (LF_N) bound to the $PA_{prepore}$ revealed the α clamp binding site, but was unable to

resolve the 28 amino acids of LF_N passed the α clamp (Feld, Thoren et al. 2010). The second clamp

site is the Φ clamp, a ring of seven phenylalanine residues that maintain the pH gradient between

the endosome and the cytosol (Krantz, Melnyk et al. 2005). The 2.9 Å structure of apo PA_{pore}

revealed the Φ clamp forms a narrow 6 Å diameter ring (Jiang, Pentelute et al. 2015). Secondary

structural elements, such as α helices, are too wide to fit through this narrow seal. Therefore, it is

hypothesized that peptide substrates must completely unfold and refold in order to translocate

through the PA_{pore} and enter the cytosol of the cell (Jiang, Pentelute et al. 2015). The Φ clamp also

assists in the unfolding of LF as an unfolding chaperone (Thoren and Krantz 2011). The third

clamp site, the charge clamp, is located within the β barrel of PA_{pore}. The charge clamp

deprotonates acidic side chains of LF and ensures unidirectional movement of the polypeptide

(Pentelute, Sharma et al. 2011, Brown, Thoren et al. 2015). Interestingly, the diameter of the PA_{pore}

β barrel is large enough to accomodate an α helix, which would allow for initial refolding to occur

inside the pore prior to LF entering the cytosol. However, it remains unclear what structural state

LF is in when interacting with the charge clamp and within the β barrel channel.

One of the many challenges in studying the anthrax toxin is that it is a dynamic membrane

protein that functions under acidic conditions. Thus many questions remain, such as what path LF

travels down the endosomal pore lumen from the α clamp to the Φ clamp, whether the Φ clamp

adopts multiple states during translocation, and whether LF forms α helices inside the β barrel

pore. To address these questions, we developed a novel toxin immobilization, translocation, and

nanodisc stabilization (TITaNS) method in combination with cryoEM to capture PA_{pore}

translocating the N-terminal domain of LF (LF_N). This approach provides unique mechanistic

insight into how LF_N translocates through the PA_{pore} by visualizing translocating LF_N interacting

with the three clamp sites of PA_{pore}. We observed LF_N unfolding prior to the α clamp, translocating

through the dynamic Φ clamp, and beginning to refold in the charge clamp of the PA_{pore}.

RESULTS

Assembly of Anthrax Translocation Complexes

The anthrax toxin requires a pH gradient or electrostatic potential for translocation with the

difference in pH affecting the rate of translocation (Collier 2009). Previous approaches have

generally used urea to transition from $PA_{prepore}$ to PA_{pore} to avoid aggregation (Katayama, Wang

et al. 2010, Akkaladevi, Hinton-Chollet et al. 2013, Gogol, Akkaladevi et al. 2013, Akkaladevi,

Mukherjee et al. 2015, Machen, Akkaladevi et al. 2017, Hardenbrook, Liu et al. 2020). These

approaches have limitations in that they do not account for the low pH electrostatic

microenvironment in the pore lumen and they assume similar outcomes for chaotrope and acid

induced unfolding. In order to overcome these limitations we have developed a novel assembly

method for toxin immobilization, translocation, and nanodisc stabilization (called TITaNS, **Figure**

1B-G). This approach allows for endosomal pH pore formation, translocation induction, and

imaging of individual complexes in a lipid bilayer in the biologically relevant low pH environment

(Machen, Akkaladevi et al. 2017, Fisher and Naik 2019). TITaNS can be used in combination with

techniques other than cryoEM, including mass spectrometry, nuclear magnetic resonance, surface

plasmon resonance, and biolayer interferometry. TITaNS can also be adapted to screen potential

pharmaceuticals that arrest translocons or prevent endosomal membrane insertion (Fisher and Naik

2019).

91   Reversible immobilization is key to the TITaNS methodology, because it allows the

stabilized complexes to be released from the beads. We began with recombinantly purified, soluble

forms of LF_N and $PA_{prepore.}$ The toxin components were incubated in solution. The binary complex

of LF_N bound to $PA_{prepore}$ was then immobilized onto thiol sepharose beads by covalently coupling

E126C LF_N to the bead surface (**Figure 1B**). The LF_N-$PA_{prepore}$ complex was immobilized on the

beads and oriented such that when the bead slurry was washed in pH 5.5 buffer to transition PA

from prepore to pore, the pore extended away from the bead surface (**Figure 1C**). To initiate

translocation of LF_N through PA_{pore}, the bead slurry was then washed in pH 7.5 buffer (**Figure**

1D). This creates a pH gradient that faciliates translocation of LF_N. Importantly, the covalent

coupling of LF_N to the beads stalled translocation prior to completion while keeping the binary

LF_N-PA_{pore} complex intact. These binary LF_N-PA_{pore} complexes were previously confirmed using

biolayer interferometry coupled with mass spectrometry and negative stain electron microscopy

(Machen, O'Neil et al. 2018). The next step in TITaNS was solublization of LF_N-PA_{pore}

translocation complexes using nanodisc technology (Denisov, Grinkova et al. 2004, Ritchie,

Grinkova et al. 2009, Bayburt and Sligar 2010). Pre-nanodisc micelles were added to the bead

slurry and associated with the transmembrane portion of PA_{pore} (**Figure 1E**). To promote lipid

bilayer formation we dialyzed away excess detergent (**Figure 1F**). The soluble LF_N-PA_{pore}-

nanodisc complexes were then eluted off the thiol sepharose beads using a reducing agent. Eluted

complexes at pH 7.5 were added to the cryoEM grid. Then the pH of solution on the grid was

dropped to pH 5.5 to capture the native complex at low pH prior to blotting and plunge freezing

(**Figure 1G**). Acidification of complexes was time sensitive as unfolded, partially translocated

LF_N would rapidly aggregate in solution at low pH and nanodiscs tended to migrate to the air-

water interface given enough time (data not shown). Therefore, we waited to acidify complexes

until they were on the cryoEM grid and plunge freeze grids within 30 seconds of sample

application.

Using our TITaNS methodology, we were able to reconstruct a 3.1 Å and a 3.2 Å cryoEM

map of LF_N translocating through PA_{pore} (**Figure 2A)**. We traced density of unfolded LF_N peptide

in the PA_{pore} translocon using local refinement, volume subtraction, and 3D variability analysis.

These densities were most notable near the three clamp sites within $PA_{pore,}$ suggesting the α, Φ,

and charge clamps stabilize translocation intermediates. Using the well-defined cryoEM densities

inside the pore, molecular models of LF_N translocating through the PA_{pore} were built (**Figure 2B**).

Unfolding intermediates of LF_N during translocation

Prior to translocation, LF_N is bound to the cap of PA at the interface of two PA protomers

with helix **α**1 bound to the α clamp (Feld, Thoren et al. 2010, Hardenbrook, Liu et al. 2020). In

our translocation complex, we observe density for LF_N at the interface of the two PA protomers

and at the α clamp. In order to explore the heterogeneity of LF_N bound to the PA cap, we used

symmetry expansion in combination with 3D variability analysis. The resulting vectors revealed a

second unfolded LF_N intermediate bound to the rim of PA_{pore} (Supplemental **Figure 1**). The

trajectory of these densities suggests steps in LF_N unfolding during translocation. Prior to

translocation, the density for LF_N is a bean shaped structure with 2 lobes (Feld, Thoren et al. 2010,

Machen, Akkaladevi et al. 2017). The first unfolded state shows two lobes separating. The second

unfolded state shows Lobe 1 translocating down the α clamp and Lobe 2 shifting counter clockwise

towards the α clamp, primed for translocation. Interestingly, this counterclockwise shift would

align full length LF, which extends from Lobe 2, directly above the α clamp for efficient unfolding

and translocation (**Supplemental Figure 1**). Together, these intermediates of LF_N unfolding

indicate LF travels through the α clamp during translocation.

Local refinement of the densities above the pore showed the two unfolded states of LF_N in

greater detail. Specifically, refined tubular densities allowed us to trace secondary structure of LF_N

with helical bundle and beta sheet separation compared to canonical folded LF_N (**Figure 3A**). The

rearrangement of LF_N suggests a translocated state with the immobilized residue E126C halting

further translocation (**Figure 3B-C**). Previous comparisons of folded LF_N bound to $PA_{prepore}$ vs

PA_{pore} noted LF_N moves up and away from the PA binding interface (Hardenbrook, Liu et al.

2020). Our intermediates suggest this motion trajectory continues and is important for unfolding

prior to translocation.

Tracing Translocating LF_N through the clamp sites of the PA_{pore}

To trace LF_N from the α clamp to the Φ clamp, we subtracted PA_{pore} model density from

our unsharpened map to reveal electron density in the pore lumen not accountable for the $PA_{pore.}$

Density started in the α clamp and traveled down the pore lumen, interacting with β sheet 39 of

PA_{pore}. Density near the top of the PA_{pore} cap was in proximity to several hydrophobic residues of

PA$_{pore}$ (**Figure 4A**). Some of these residues, including Phe202, Phe236 and Phe464 were in the α

clamp and have previously been proposed to aid in unfolding LF and stabilizing unfolded

intermediates(Feld, Thoren et al. 2010). Additional hydrophobic residues further down the pore

lumen, such as Trp226, Tyr456, and Tyr375 were also near LF$_N$ density and may help to stabilize

the unfolded peptide. The unfolded peptide also interacts with acidic residues Glu465, Asp426,

and Glu393 closer to the Φ clamp. Previously, molecular modeling using milestoning noted these

residues are important for early translocation events (Ma, Cardenas et al. 2017). Our results are

consistent with these residues facilitating translocation of LF$_N$ into the pore in an unfolded state.

Added asymmetric density in and around the Φ clamp (**Figure 4C**) was seen in the final

refined map without further processing (e.g., local refinement, map subtraction, sharpening).

Compared to the previously published apo PA$_{pore}$ cryoEM structure (Jiang, Pentelute et al. 2015),

the Φ clamp region in our translocating structure has added density. Specifically, density for each

of the Phe427 was smeared in plane with the benzyl ring suggesting rotameric states moving up

and down (**Figure 4D**). There is also density in the center of the Φ clamp (**Figure 4C**). We attribute

this density to the unfolded LF$_N$ interacting with PA$_{pore}$ Φ clamp loop as LF$_N$ is translocating

through the pore.

Density was also observed in the β barrel of the PA$_{pore}$. Focused refinement of the β barrel

interior revealed an α helical density with a portion of unfolded peptide above and below the helix

(**Figure 5**). Notably, the helical density starts in the PA$_{pore}$ charge clamp suggesting the

deprotonated state favors helix formation (Jas, Childs et al. 2019). Canonical charge clamp

residues Asp276, Glu434, and Glu335 are shown in **Figure 5** with each of the seven PA$_{pore}$

subunits contributing one residue and LF$_N$ density translocating through the center of the channel.

This helical density in the charge clamp provides strong evidence for initial refolding of LF

9

secondary structure inside the PA_{pore}. The newly formed helix likley stabilizes unfolded

intermediates of LF as well as contributes to native folding of the enzyme upon exit of the PA_{pore}.

DISCUSSION

The anthrax toxin PA_{pore} is a nano trojan horse that unfolds, translocates, and refolds it's

enzymatic subtrate. Three clamp sites aid in peptide translocation: the α clamp, the Φ clamp, and

the charge clamp. We report here, for the first time, a trace of nascent polypeptide chain

translocating the length of PA_{pore}. LF_N can be seen unfolding prior to the α clamp, passing through

the dynamic φ clamp, and refolding in the charge clamp.

In order to translocate, LF must completely unfold. However, if the entire 90 kDa enzyme

were to unfold at once, deleterious folded intermediates or aggregates would likely block the PA_{pore}

translocon, especially when multiple LF are bound to PA. Therefore, in order to efficiently

translocate and refold, LF unfolds from the N to C terminus(Zhang, Finkelstein et al. 2004). While

the low pH of the endosome destabilizes the enzyme, it does not completely unfold into its primary

sequence (Gupta, Singh et al. 2001, Krantz, Trivedi et al. 2004). We were able to capture two

unfolded intermediate structures of LF_N with density for secondary strucural elements. Our results

suggest that molten globular translocation intermediates of LF rotate towards the α clamp. The α

clamp is then able to apply additional unfolding force on the protein (Thoren and Krantz 2011)

and funnel LF towards the Φ clamp. Translocation requires unfolding and stabilization of the

unfolded intermediates. When LF binds to PA_{pore}, the first helix of LF moves away from the main

body of LF and binds to the α clamp of PA_{pore} (Feld, Thoren et al. 2010). From here, LF has

multiple paths it could take through the PA_{pore} funnel, gated by the Φ clamp. Our results show a

favorable path from the α to Φ clamp involves a series of hydrophobic residues that are amenable

10

to unfolded translocation intermediates and likely serve as checkpoints to verify the unfolded state

of LF prior to the Φ clamp.

The Φ clamp plays a crucial roll in translocation by acting as a hydrophobic seal between

the endosome and cytosol (Krantz, Finkelstein et al. 2006). Multiple Φ clamp states have been

hypothesized at pH 5.5 (Das and Krantz 2016). While our analysis did not reveal multiple distinct

states, the smeared density is indicative of a dynamic clamp. The density does not imply dilation

of the clamp (Das and Krantz 2016), so much as a up and down motion along the pore axis. This

motion could be conserted or individual F427 may move to accommodate various side chains. The

compressive and tensile forces generated by the unfolding LF in the PA_{pore} funnel above and

refolding LF in the β barrel channel below may also contribute to this movement. Too much

flexibility or dialation would cause the seal to be lost. However, this dynamic motion maintains

the pH gradient between the endosome and cytosol, while accomidating any side chain, ensuring

efficient translocation.

Helix formation inside the PA_{pore} β barrel has been hypothesized but, to our knowledge,

never observed (Krantz, Trivedi et al. 2004, Jiang, Pentelute et al. 2015). We report here, evidence

of α helix formation and translocation inside the β barrel of the PA_{pore} (**Figure 5**). Along with

changing the charge state of the peptide substrate, the charge clamp allows for a local folding

environment within the PA_{pore}. Helical portions of LF have previously been shown to dock into

the α clamp,with the periodicity of these helices aiding in efficient unfolding of LF (Das and Krantz

2017). We predict this periodicity is also important for refolding of LF, beginning in the charge

clamp. Our hypothesis is consistent with other anthrax toxin subtrates, such as LF_N fused to the

catalytic chain of diphtheria toxin (LF_N-DTA), that do not have the same helical periodicity and

did not evolve to fold in the PA_{pore} channel. Interestingly, these non-native substrates require

chaperones for enzymatic activity (Dmochewitz, Lillich et al. 2011) indicating the DTA portion

of these proteins do not form helices in the PA channel at optimal intervals. Thus LF$_N$-DTA is less

likely to emerge as folded intermediates therefore requiring chaperones to fold or refold properly.

This model is reminesant of the ribosome, where helix folding in the exit tunnel aids in co-

translational folding of native proteins (Wilson and Beckmann 2011). We predict helix folding in

the PA$_{pore}$ β barrel aids in co-translocational folding by temporally altering LF emersion from the

tunnel allowing regions to fold into tertiary structures.

A proposed unfolding-refolding translocation model is shown in **Figure 6A** starting with

LF$_N$ bound to the funnel rim of PA$_{pore.}$ LF$_N$ lobes 1 and 2 separate and rotate counter clockwise

towards the α clamp as LF$_N$ is unfolded and funnelled towards the Φ clamp, aided by hydrophobic

residues along the funnel slope. LF$_N$ acidic residues are protonated in the acidic environment of

the funnel. Completely unfolded LF$_N$ then passes the Φ clamp. This ring of F427 remains

restrictive enough to maintain a seal while cushioning the mechanical forces of translocation and

chemical interactions of side chains. As the channel widens in the charge clamp, acidic residues

are deprotonated. Folding of α helical portions would place mechanical force on the translocating

peptide, contributing to efficient translocation, and possibly overcoming local energy minimum

that would otherwise stall the complex. The newly formed, secondary structure favors

unidirectional translocation by discouraging retrograde transfer through the narrow Φ clamp.

In order to capture translocating anthrax toxin for cryoEM, we developed a novel toxin

immobilization, translocation and nanodisc stabilization (TITaNS) method. This method can be

adapted to attain intermediates of a wide range of host cell interactions under endosomal

conditions. Currently, the method involves immobilization on a bead based substrate with bulk

solution washes in an eppendorf tube which results in an ensemble of translocation complexes. To

obtain more descrete complexes, the method is being expanded to include immoblization on a

column, allowing for low pH pulse chase. E126C was selected as the immobilized residue for its

location with respect to the PA binding site. It also stalls the complex early in translocation.

Moving the immobilization residue further from the N-terminus would capture mid and late

translocation complexes. In the future, TITaNS could be adapted to time-lapse cryoEM which has

previously been used to characterize ribosome processivity (Frank 2017).

Aromatic gates are found in other toxin and translocation systems. PA Phe427 is equivalent

to Phe454 of *Clostridium perfringens* iota toxin (Knapp, Maier et al. 2015); Phe428 of *Clostridium*

botulinum C2II binary toxin (Neumeyer, Schiffler et al. 2008); and Trp318 of *Vibrio cholerae*

cytolysin (De and Olson 2011). It is not just bacterial toxins that use aromatic gates. Other transport

systems with rings of hydrophobic residues in the pore lumen include mammalian cell entry

(MCE) complexes (Ekiert, Bhabha et al. 2017) and proteasome-activating nucleotidase (PAN)

(Zhang, Hu et al. 2009). We hypothesize a dynamic hydrophobic seal model is a common

translocon mechanism, applicable to these other systems. TITaNS could be adapted to these

systems to investigate other hydrophobic seals.

Our results suggest the anthrax toxin has an extended β barrel channel to effeciently fold

its enzymatic payload. The longer pore is also necessary to interact with a receptor binding site

further removed from the membrane surface. Initial refolding in the pore channel is likely not

unique to the anthrax toxin. Indeed, other translocon shown in **Figure 6B**, such as the iota toxin

and TcA, have extended pores (Piper, Brillault et al. 2019). Not all pore forming toxins translocate

proteins. Some, like *Vibrio cholerae* cytolysin and *Staphylococcus aureus* α hemolysin, form pores

to distrupt ion concentrations (De and Olson 2011, Sugawara, Yamashita et al. 2015). The pore

length of these toxins is noticeably shorter (**Figure 6B**). We predict translocon pores have evolved

extended pores to faciliate substrate refolding inside the translocon for effective intoxication.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Protein expression and purification

Proteins were purified as previously described (Machen, Akkaladevi et al. 2017). Briefly,

His$_6$-SUMO-LF$_N$ E126C was expressed in BL21 cells, purified using anion exchange, and cleaved

by small ubiquitin-related modifier protease (Wigelsworth, Krantz et al. 2004). Recombinant wild-

type PA$_{83}$ was expressed in the periplasm of *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) and purified by

ammonium precipitation and anion exchange chromatography (Miller, Elliott et al. 1999). After

trypsin activation (Wigelsworth, Krantz et al. 2004), PA$_{63}$ heptameric prepores were formed using

anion exchange and size exclusion chromatography. Membrane scaffold protein 1D1 (MSP1D1)

was expressed from the pMSP1D1 plasmid (AddGene) with an N-terminal His-tag and was

purified by affinity chromatography(Ritchie, Grinkova et al. 2009).

LF$_N$-PA-Nanodisc Complex formation for cryoEM with TITaNS

E126C LF$_N$ and PA were incubated in solution at a ratio of 4LF$_N$:1PA. Complexes were

then immobilized by coupling E126C LF$_N$ to activated thiol sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare

Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) in Assembly Buffer (50 mM Tris, 50 mM NaCl, 10mM CaCl$_2$

pH 7.5) at 4 °C for 12 hr. Beads were washed three times with Assembly Buffer to remove any

unbound PA prepores. The immobilized LF$_N$-PA prepore complexes were then incubated in low

pH buffer to transition the PA$_{prepore}$ to PA$_{pore}$. The beads were washed in Assembly buffer at neutral

pH. This wash created a brief pH gradient, with low pH near the bead surface and neutral pH in

14

the bulk solution. LF_N-PA complexes were oriented on the beads such that the cis side was near

the bead surface and the trans side was pointed toward the bulk solution. The dissapating gradient

and immobilization of LF_N to the beads stalled translocation prior to completion. After two more

washes with Assembly Buffer, pre-nanodisc micelles (2.5 µM MSP1D1, 97.5 µM 1-palmitoyl-2-

oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) (Avanti, Alabaster, AL, USA), 65 (POPG) in 25 mM

Na-cholate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 50 mM Tris, and 50 mM NaCl) were added and

bound to the aggregation-prone hydrophobic transmembrane β-barrel of PA_{pore}. The micelles were

collapsed into nanodiscs by removing Na-cholate using dialysis with Bio-Beads (BIO RAD,

Hercules, CA, USA). Soluble complexes were released from the thiol sepharose beads by reducing

the E126C LF_N-bead disulfide bond using 50 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) (Goldbio, St. Louis, MO,

USA) in Assembly Buffer. Assembled complexes were initially confirmed using negative-stain

TEM. Complexes were stored at -80C prior to cryoEM grid preparation.

Grid preparation for cryoEM

Complexes stored at -80C were thawed on ice. A glow discharged Quantifoil R1.2/1.3

300M Cu holey carbon grid was placed inside the FEI Vitrobot Mark IV humidity chamber at

100% humidity. Then, 2ul of thawed sample was applied to the grid followed by 0.5uL of 1M

acetate pH 5.5. The grids were then blotted and plunge frozen in liquid ethane. Frozen grids

were stored in liquid nitrogen prior to use.

cryoEM data collection and image processing

cryoEM grids were loaded into a FEI Titan Krios electron microscope operated at

300 kV for automated image acquisition with serialEM (Mastronarde 2005). cryoEM

15

micrographs were recorded as movies on a Gatan K2 Summit direct electron detection camera

using the electron counting mode in super resolution mode at ×130K nominal magnification, a

pixel size of 0.535 A per pixel, and defocus ranging between −1 and −3 μm. Total dose was

50.76 e-/A^2. Total exposure time was 9s and fractionated into 45 frames with 200 ms exposure

time for each frame. In total, 3701 micrographs were taken in a continuous session. Frames in

each movie were aligned and averaged for correction of beam-induced drift using MotionCor2

and cryoSPARC patch motion correction to generate a micrograph. Micrographs generated by

averaging all frames of each movie were used for defocus determination and particle picking.

Micrographs obtained by averaging frames 2-23 (corresponding to ~30 electrons per square

ångström) were used for two- and three-dimensional image classifications. The best 3,223

micrographs were selected for the following in-depth data processing.

Single particle analysis and structure determination

Single particle analysis was performed using cryoSPARC v2.14.2 (Punjani, Rubinstein et

al. 2017) (**Supplemental Figure 2**). A random subset of micrographs was selected for blob

particle picking. These particles were subjected to 2D classification in order to obtain a set of five

particle templates. Using these templates, 1,772,616 particles were selected from 3,223

micrographs. After multiple rounds of 2D classification, the remaining 209,513 'good' particles

were used to create a C7 symmetric 3D model. C7 symmetry expansion was performed on these

particles followed by 3D variability analysis. Three orthogonal principle modes (i.e. eigenvectors

of the 3D covariance) were solved with a filter resolution of 6Å. 124,919 particle from four

resulting clusters with potential LF$_N$ density were selected for further processing. 3D classification,

consisting of two rounds of heterogenous refinements were performed. 3D classes without LF$_N$

16

density were discarded leaving two classes. A non-uniform refinement on the per particle motion

and CTF corrected particles was performed resulting in a 3.06 Å and 3.24 Å model. Resolution

was determined using gold standard Fourier shell correlation with a cut off of 0.143. Resolution

and particles distribution are shown in **Supplemental Figure 3**.

Local refinement was performed on the cap of the PA pore to further characterize bound

LF_N using a mask of LF_N (PDB 3KWV) low pass filtered to 30Å. To clearly visualize density in

the PA_{pore} lumen not attributable to the $PA_{pore,}$ a 3.0Å volume of PA_{pore} was created using Chimera

molmap (Pettersen, Goddard et al. 2004). This volume was subtracted from the cryoEM map. The

resulting density was sharpened in PHENIX (Adams, Afonine et al. 2010) to a resolution of 6Å

to obtain density of LF_N from the α to the Φ clamp. Local refinement was performed on the β

barrel interior using a cylindrical mask.

Model Building and Refinement

An initial model using PDB 6PSN for the PA_{pore} and PDB 3KWV for LF_N was docked

into the cryoEM map and corresponding LF_N local refinement map, respectively, using Chimera

map to model (Pettersen, Goddard et al. 2004). The PApore coarse model was then refined

using PHENIX real space refine (Adams, Afonine et al. 2010). Individual atomic model side

chains were manually adjusted to fit the density map using Coot (Emsley and Cowtan 2004).

This process was repeated iteratively until an optimal model was obtained. Ramachandran plots

and MolProbity (Chen, Arendall et al. 2010) were used to assess model quality. Supplementary

Table 1 is a summary of cryoEM data collection and processing as well as model building and

validation.

17

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is dedicated to the memory of our friend, mentor, and colleague Dr. Mark T. Fisher. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (R35-GM128562 and R03-AI142361 to B.D.F.), and by University of Kansas Madison and Lila Self Graduate Fellowship to A.J.M. The authors acknowledge the use of instruments at the Electron Imaging Center for NanoMachines supported by NIH (1S10RR23057 and 1S10OD018111), NSF (DBI-1338135) and CNSI at UCLA.

18

REFERENCES

Abrami, L., S. Liu, P. Cosson, S. H. Leppla and F. G. van der Goot (2003). "Anthrax toxin triggers endocytosis of its receptor via a lipid raft–mediated clathrin-dependent process." J Cell Biol **160**(3): 321-328.

Adams, P. D., P. V. Afonine, G. Bunkóczi, V. B. Chen, I. W. Davis, N. Echols, J. J. Headd, L.-W. Hung, G. J. Kapral and R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve (2010). "PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution." Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography **66**(2): 213-221.

Akkaladevi, N., L. Hinton-Chollet, H. Katayama, J. Mitchell, L. Szerszen, S. Mukherjee, E. Gogol, B. Pentelute, R. Collier and M. Fisher (2013). "Assembly of anthrax toxin pore: Lethal-factor complexes into lipid nanodiscs." Protein Science **22**(4): 492-501.

Akkaladevi, N., S. Mukherjee, H. Katayama, B. Janowiak, D. Patel, E. P. Gogol, B. L. Pentelute, R. J. Collier and M. T. Fisher (2015). "Following natures lead: on the construction of membrane-inserted toxins in lipid bilayer nanodiscs." The Journal of membrane biology **248**(3): 595-607.

Antoni, C., D. Quentin, A. E. Lang, K. Aktories, C. Gatsogiannis and S. Raunser (2020). "Cryo-EM structure of the fully-loaded asymmetric anthrax lethal toxin in its heptameric pre-pore state." bioRxiv.

Bayburt, T. H. and S. G. Sligar (2010). "Membrane protein assembly into Nanodiscs." FEBS letters **584**(9): 1721-1727.

Brown, M. J., K. L. Thoren and B. A. Krantz (2015). "Role of the α clamp in the protein translocation mechanism of anthrax toxin." Journal of molecular biology **427**(20): 3340-3349.

Chen, V. B., W. B. Arendall, J. J. Headd, D. A. Keedy, R. M. Immormino, G. J. Kapral, L. W. Murray, J. S. Richardson and D. C. Richardson (2010). "MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography." Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography **66**(1): 12-21.

Collier, R. J. (2009). "Membrane translocation by anthrax toxin." Molecular aspects of medicine **30**(6): 413-422.

Das, D. and B. A. Krantz (2016). "Peptide-and proton-driven allosteric clamps catalyze anthrax toxin translocation across membranes." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **113**(34): 9611-9616.

Das, D. and B. A. Krantz (2017). "Secondary Structure Preferences of the Anthrax Toxin Protective Antigen Translocase." Journal of molecular biology **429**(5): 753-762.

De, S. and R. Olson (2011). "Crystal structure of the Vibrio cholerae cytolysin heptamer reveals common features among disparate pore-forming toxins." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **108**(18): 7385-7390.

Denisov, I., Y. Grinkova, A. Lazarides and S. Sligar (2004). "Directed self-assembly of monodisperse phospholipid bilayer Nanodiscs with controlled size." Journal of the American Chemical Society **126**(11): 3477-3487.

Dmochewitz, L., M. Lillich, E. Kaiser, L. D. Jennings, A. E. Lang, J. Buchner, G. Fischer, K. Aktories, R. J. Collier and H. Barth (2011). "Role of CypA and Hsp90 in membrane translocation mediated by anthrax protective antigen." Cellular microbiology **13**(3): 359-373.

Duesbery, N. S., C. P. Webb, S. H. Leppla, V. M. Gordon, K. R. Klimpel, T. D. Copeland, N. G. Ahn, M. K. Oskarsson, K. Fukasawa and K. D. Paull (1998). "Proteolytic inactivation of MAP-kinase-kinase by anthrax lethal factor." Science **280**(5364): 734-737.

Ekiert, D. C., G. Bhabha, G. L. Isom, G. Greenan, S. Ovchinnikov, I. R. Henderson, J. S. Cox and R. D. Vale (2017). "Architectures of lipid transport systems for the bacterial outer membrane." Cell **169**(2): 273-285. e217.

Emsley, P. and K. Cowtan (2004). "Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics." Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography **60**(12): 2126-2132.

19

Feld, G. K., K. L. Thoren, A. F. Kintzer, H. J. Sterling, Tang, II, S. G. Greenberg, E. R. Williams and B. A. Krantz (2010). "Structural basis for the unfolding of anthrax lethal factor by protective antigen oligomers." Nat Struct Mol Biol **17**(11): 1383-1390.

Fisher, M. T. and S. Naik (2019). Systems and methods for identifying protein stabilizers, Google Patents.

Frank, J. (2017). "Time-resolved cryo-electron microscopy: Recent progress." Journal of structural biology **200**(3): 303-306.

Gogol, E., N. Akkaladevi, L. Szerszen, S. Mukherjee, L. Chollet-Hinton, H. Katayama, B. Pentelute, R. Collier and M. Fisher (2013). "Three dimensional structure of the anthrax toxin translocon–lethal factor complex by cryo-electron microscopy." Protein Science **22**(5): 586-594.

Gupta, P., S. Singh, A. Tiwari, R. Bhat and R. Bhatnagar (2001). "Effect of pH on stability of anthrax lethal factor: correlation between denaturation and activity." Biochemical and biophysical research communications **284**(3): 568-573.

Hardenbrook, N. J., S. Liu, K. Zhou, K. Ghosal, Z. H. Zhou and B. A. Krantz (2020). "Atomic structures of anthrax toxin protective antigen channels bound to partially unfolded lethal and edema factors." Nature communications **11**(1): 1-10.

Jas, G. S., E. W. Childs and K. Kuczera (2019). "Kinetic pathway analysis of an α-helix in two protonation states: Direct observation and optimal dimensionality reduction." The Journal of chemical physics **150**(7): 074902.

Jiang, J., B. L. Pentelute, R. J. Collier and Z. H. Zhou (2015). "Atomic structure of anthrax PA pore elucidates toxin translocation." Nature **521**(7553): 545.

Katayama, H., J. Wang, F. Tama, L. Chollet, E. Gogol, R. Collier and M. Fisher (2010). "Three-dimensional structure of the anthrax toxin pore inserted into lipid nanodiscs and lipid vesicles." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **107**(8): 3453-3457.

Kintzer, A. F., K. L. Thoren, H. J. Sterling, K. C. Dong, G. K. Feld, I. I. Tang, T. T. Zhang, E. R. Williams, J. M. Berger and B. A. Krantz (2009). "The protective antigen component of anthrax toxin forms functional octameric complexes." Journal of molecular biology **392**(3): 614-629.

Knapp, O., E. Maier, E. Waltenberger, C. Mazuet, R. Benz and M. R. Popoff (2015). "Residues involved in the pore-forming activity of the C lostridium perfringens iota toxin." Cellular microbiology **17**(2): 288-302.

Krantz, B. A., A. Finkelstein and R. J. Collier (2006). "Protein translocation through the anthrax toxin transmembrane pore is driven by a proton gradient." Journal of molecular biology **355**(5): 968-979.

Krantz, B. A., R. A. Melnyk, S. Zhang, S. J. Juris, D. B. Lacy, Z. Wu, A. Finkelstein and R. J. Collier (2005). "A phenylalanine clamp catalyzes protein translocation through the anthrax toxin pore." Science **309**(5735): 777-781.

Krantz, B. A., A. D. Trivedi, K. Cunningham, K. A. Christensen and R. J. Collier (2004). "Acid-induced unfolding of the amino-terminal domains of the lethal and edema factors of anthrax toxin." Journal of molecular biology **344**(3): 739-756.

Ma, P., A. E. Cardenas, M. I. Chaudhari, R. Elber and S. B. Rempe (2017). "The impact of protonation on early translocation of anthrax lethal factor: Kinetics from molecular dynamics simulations and milestoning theory." Journal of the American Chemical Society **139**(42): 14837-14840.

Machen, A., N. Akkaladevi, C. Trecazzi, P. O'Neil, S. Mukherjee, Y. Qi, R. Dillard, W. Im, E. Gogol and T. White (2017). "Asymmetric cryo-EM structure of anthrax toxin protective antigen pore with lethal factor N-terminal domain." Toxins **9**(10): 298.

Machen, A. J., P. T. O'Neil, B. L. Pentelute, M. T. Villar, A. Artigues and M. T. Fisher (2018). "Analyzing Dynamic Protein Complexes Assembled On and Released From Biolayer Interferometry Biosensor Using Mass Spectrometry and Electron Microscopy." JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)(138): e57902.

Mastronarde, D. N. (2005). "Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements." Journal of structural biology **152**(1): 36-51.

20

Miller, C. J., J. L. Elliott and R. J. Collier (1999). "Anthrax protective antigen: prepore-to-pore conversion."
Biochemistry **38**(32): 10432-10441.
Mogridge, J., K. Cunningham and R. J. Collier (2002). "Stoichiometry of anthrax toxin complexes."
Biochemistry **41**(3): 1079-1082.
Neumeyer, T., B. Schiffler, E. Maier, A. E. Lang, K. Aktories and R. Benz (2008). "Clostridium botulinum C2
Toxin IDENTIFICATION OF THE BINDING SITE FOR CHLOROQUINE AND RELATED COMPOUNDS AND
INFLUENCE OF THE BINDING SITE ON PROPERTIES OF THE C2II CHANNEL." Journal of Biological
Chemistry **283**(7): 3904-3914.
Pentelute, B. L., O. Sharma and R. J. Collier (2011). "Chemical dissection of protein translocation through
the anthrax toxin pore." Angewandte Chemie International Edition **50**(10): 2294-2296.
Pettersen, E. F., T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, G. S. Couch, D. M. Greenblatt, E. C. Meng and T. E. Ferrin
(2004). "UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis." Journal of
computational chemistry **25**(13): 1605-1612.
Piper, S. J., L. Brillault, R. Rothnagel, T. I. Croll, J. K. Box, I. Chassagnon, S. Scherer, K. N. Goldie, S. A.
Jones and F. Schepers (2019). "Cryo-EM structures of the pore-forming A subunit from the Yersinia
entomophaga ABC toxin." Nature communications **10**(1): 1952.
Punjani, A., J. L. Rubinstein, D. J. Fleet and M. A. Brubaker (2017). "cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid
unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination." Nature Methods **14**(3): 290-296.
Ritchie, T., Y. Grinkova, T. Bayburt, I. Denisov, J. Zolnerciks, W. Atkins and S. Sligar (2009). "Chapter
eleven-reconstitution of membrane proteins in phospholipid bilayer nanodiscs." Methods in enzymology
464: 211-231.
Santelli, E., L. A. Bankston, S. H. Leppla and R. C. Liddington (2004). "Crystal structure of a complex
between anthrax toxin and its host cell receptor." Nature **430**(7002): 905.
Sugawara, T., D. Yamashita, K. Kato, Z. Peng, J. Ueda, J. Kaneko, Y. Kamio, Y. Tanaka and M. Yao (2015).
"Structural basis for pore-forming mechanism of staphylococcal α-hemolysin." Toxicon **108**: 226-231.
Thoren, K. L. and B. A. Krantz (2011). "The unfolding story of anthrax toxin translocation." Molecular
microbiology **80**(3): 588-595.
Wigelsworth, D. J., B. A. Krantz, K. A. Christensen, D. B. Lacy, S. J. Juris and R. J. Collier (2004). "Binding
stoichiometry and kinetics of the interaction of a human anthrax toxin receptor, CMG2, with protective
antigen." Journal of Biological Chemistry **279**(22): 23349-23356.
Wilson, D. N. and R. Beckmann (2011). "The ribosomal tunnel as a functional environment for nascent
polypeptide folding and translational stalling." Current opinion in structural biology **21**(2): 274-282.
Young, J. A. and R. J. Collier (2007). "Anthrax toxin: receptor binding, internalization, pore formation,
and translocation." Annu. Rev. Biochem. **76**: 243-265.
Zhang, F., M. Hu, G. Tian, P. Zhang, D. Finley, P. D. Jeffrey and Y. Shi (2009). "Structural insights into the
regulatory particle of the proteasome from Methanocaldococcus jannaschii." Molecular cell **34**(4): 473-
484.
Zhang, S., A. Finkelstein and R. J. Collier (2004). "Evidence that translocation of anthrax toxin's lethal
factor is initiated by entry of its N terminus into the protective antigen channel." Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences **101**(48): 16756-16761.

500
501

21

Figure 1. Anthrax toxin immobilization, translocation, and nanodisc stabilization (TITaNS)

method (A) PA (blue) pore lumen side view slice showing funnel shape from α clamp (yellow)

to Φ clamp (orange) with charge clamp (red) inside pore β barrel. (B) Immobilization of LF_N

(magenta) and $PA_{prepore}$ (blue) on thiol sepharose beads (grey surface) to prevent aggregation. (C)

$PA_{prepore}$ transition to PA_{pore} under acidic conditions (D) LF_N translocation through PA_{pore}

initiated through diffuse pH gradient. (E) Addition of pre-nanodisc micelle (green). (F) Detergent

dialyzed to form lipid bilayer nanodisc. (G) LF_N-PA_{pore}-Nanodisc complexes released at pH 5.5

on cryoEM grid.

Figure 2.

Overview of the anthrax complex with the N-terminal domain of lethal factor (magenta) translocating through the protective antigen pore (blue gradient). (A) 3.06 Å cryoEM density map of LF_N and PA_{pore} with side view (top) and top down view (bottom). (B) Molecular model of PA_{pore} with side view (top) and top down view (bottom).

Figure 3. Unfolding intermediates of translocating LF$_N$. (A) Folded LF$_N$ (6PSN) (rainbow model) docked on PA$_{pore}$ (grey map) for comparison. (B) Unfolded LF$_N$ 1 shows separation of Lobe 1 and Lobe 2 via helix 4 (green) and 7 (orange) with helix 5 (green-yellow) stretched between the 2 lobes. (C) Unfolded intermediate 2 showing further unfolding, vertical separation from PA, and β hairpin separation. Black arrow indicates immobilized residue E126C.

Figure 4. Translocating LF$_N$ visible at key clamp sites. (A) Overview of the anthrax pore (cyan) with density of tranlsocaitng LF$_N$ (magenta). (B) close up of key hydrophobic residues (yellow) in early translocation from α clamp to φ clamp.(C) Top view of Φ clamp (orange) with LF$_N$ density passing through (D) Individual F427 residues (orange) with associated cryoEM density for each subunit compared to modelled density (grey, 3 Å).

Figure 5. Focused refinement of β barrel interior reveals refolding of LF$_N$ (A) Side slice of PA$_{pore}$ (blue) charge clamp (red) with LF$_N$ density (pink) (B) Top view slices of the PA$_{pore}$ charge clamp at key acidic residues.

Figure 6. Proposed AB toxin translocation mechanism. (A) Unfolding-refolding translocation

model of anthrax toxin. LF_N (magenta) bound to PA_{pore} (blue) with helix bound to α clamp

(yellow). Under acidic conditions of the endosome, LF_N lobes separate and shift toward α clamp

during translocation. Unfolded peptide passes the dynamic Φ clamp (orange and grey) and acidic

residues are deprotonated by three PA charge clamp residues (red) in the channel. Helical portions

of LF_N begin to refold in the channel, disfavoring retrograde transfer, overcoming local energy

barriers, and ensuring proper teritiary folding. (B) Comparison of toxin pore length for toxins that

translocate proteins (anthrax, Tc, iota) vs toxins that disrupt ion gradients (VCC and α-Hemolysin).

Membrane bilayer represented in green. Note only the N-terminal domain of anthrax toxin lethal

factor is shown.