Open database searching enables the identification and comparison of glycoproteomes

without defining glycan compositions prior to searching

Ameera Raudah Ahmad Izaham[1] and Nichollas E. Scott[1#]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty

Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne 3000, Australia

To whom correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed N.E.S

(Nichollas.scott@unimelb.edu.au).

16   **Running title:** glycopeptide identification independent of glycan databases

ABBREVIATIONS

mass spectrometry (MS)

Luria Bertani (LB)

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)

sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)

normalized collisional energy (NCE)

Zwitterionic Hydrophilic Interaction Liquid Chromatography (ZIC-HILIC)

Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)

Electron-transfer/higher-energy collision dissociation (EThcD)

higher-energy collision dissociation (HCD)

Collision-induced dissociation (CID)

Automatic Gain Control (AGC)

2,4-diacetamido-2,4,6 trideoxyglucopyranose (diNAcBac)

peptide spectrum matches (PSMs)

Glucose (Glc)

galactose (Gal)

N-Acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc)

N-Acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)

N-acetylhexoseamine (HexNAc)

Hexose (Hex)

GlcNAc3NAcA4OAc (2,3-diacetamido-2,3-dideoxy-α-D-glucuronic acid)

ABSTRACT

Mass spectrometry has become an indispensable tool for the characterisation of glycosylation

across biological systems. Our ability to generate rich fragmentation of glycopeptides has

dramatically improved over the last decade yet our informatic approaches still lag behind.

While glycoproteomic informatics approaches using glycan databases have attracted

considerable attention, database independent approaches have not. This has significantly

limited high throughput studies of unusual or atypical glycosylation events such as those

observed in bacteria. As such, computational approaches to examine bacterial glycosylation and

identify chemically diverse glycans are desperately needed. Here we describe the use of wide-

tolerance (up to 2000 Da) open searching as a means to rapidly examine bacterial

glycoproteomes. We benchmarked this approach using *N*-linked glycopeptides of

Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus as well as *O*-linked glycopeptides of *Acinetobacter baumannii*

and *Burkholderia cenocepacia* revealing glycopeptides modified with a range of glycans can be

readily identified without defining the glycan masses prior to database searching. Utilising this

approach, we demonstrate how wide tolerance searching can be used to compare glycan

utilisation across bacterial species by examining the glycoproteomes of eight Burkholderia

species (*B. pseudomallei; B. multivorans; B. dolosa; B. humptydooensis; B. ubonensis, B.*

anthina; B. diffusa; B. pseudomultivorans). Finally, we demonstrate how open searching

enables the identification of low frequency glycoforms based on shared modified peptides

sequences. Combined, these results show that open searching is a robust computational

approach for the determination of glycan diversity within proteomes.

INTRODUCTION

Protein glycosylation, the addition of carbohydrates to proteins, is a widespread and heterogeneous class of protein modifications [1-3]. Within Eukaryotes, multiple glycosylation systems have been identified [1-3] and up to 20% of the proteome is thought to be subjected to this class of modification [4]. Within Eukaryotes, both *N*-linked and *O*-linked glycosylation systems are known to generate highly heterogeneous glycan structures [2, 3] with this glycan heterogeneity important for the function of glycoproteins [5, 6]. Although the glycan repertoire utilised in Eukaryotic systems is thought to be large, the diversity within any given biological sample is constrained by the limited number of monosaccharides used in Eukaryotic systems [7], as well as the expression of proteins required for the construction of glycans such as glycosyltransferases [8]. Experimentally, these constraints lead to only a limited number of glycans being produced across Eukaryotic samples [9, 10] despite the large number of potential glycan structures [11, 12]. This limited diversity within both Eukaryotic *N*-linked and *O*-linked glycans has enabled the development of glycan databases which have facilitated high throughput glycoproteomic studies [13] using tools such as Byonic [14] and pGlyco [15]. Unfortunately, these databases are not suitable for all glycosylation systems and fail to identify glycopeptides modified with novel or atypical glycans such as those found in bacterial glycosylation systems.

Within bacterial systems, glycosylation is increasingly recognised as a common modification [16-19]. While glycosylation in bacteria was first identified in the 1970s [20], it is only within the last two decades that it has become clear that this class of modifications is ubiquitous across

bacterial genera [16, 18, 21]. Unlike Eukaryotic systems, which utilise a relatively small set of

monosaccharides, bacterial glycoproteins are decorated with a diverse range of

monosaccharides [22] leading to a staggering array of glycan structures [23-32]. This glycan

diversity represents a significant challenge to the field as it makes the identification of novel

bacterial glycoproteins a non-trivial analytical undertaking. Yet, through advancements in mass

spectrometry (MS) [28, 30, 33, 34], these once obscure modifications are increasingly

recognisable and are now known to be essential for bacterial fitness [26, 35-38]. Despite our

ability to generate rich bacterial glycopeptide data the field still largely uses manual

interrogation to identify and characterise novel glycosylation systems [23-32]. This dependency

on manual interrogation is not scalable, time-consuming and prone to human error, especially

in the detection of glycoform heterogeneity. This is exemplified in our own experience

characterising glycosylation in *Acinetobacter baumannii* where our initial analysis overlooked

alternative methylated and deacetylated forms of glucuronic acid [26]. Thus, new approaches

are needed to ensure bacterial glycosylation studies can be undertaken in a robust and high-

throughput manner.

Wide precursor mass tolerance database searching, also known as 'open' or wildcard searching,

is an increasingly popular approach for the detection of protein modifications within proteomic

datasets [39-43]. The underlying premise of this approach is that by allowing a wide precursor

mass tolerance, modified peptides can be detected by the difference in their observed mass

from their expected mass. Importantly, this makes the identification of modifications

independent of needing to define the modification in the initial search parameters. This

approach has been utilised to examine chemical modifications such as formylation [44] and

miss-alkylation events [45] as well as large modifications such as DNA-peptide crosslinks [43].

Although this approach is effective, it is not without trade-offs being computationally more

expensive than traditional searches leading to longer search times [46]. To date, these searches

have typically been undertaken using ±500 Da tolerances [39-43] although searches using

±1000Da tolerances have also been reported [43, 46]. Despite the growing application of open

database searching in Eukaryotic proteomics, few bacterial studies have utilised this technique.

That said, alternative strategies such as dependent peptide searching have been used in

bacteria to track misincorporation of amino acids [47] and novel forms of glycosylation such as

arginine-rhammnosylation [48].

In this study, we demonstrate that wide mass (up to 2000 Da) open database searching enables

the rapid identification of bacterial glycopeptides without the need to assign glycan masses

prior to database searching. We benchmark this approach using three previously characterised

bacterial glycosylation systems, the *N*-linked glycosylation system of *Campylobacter fetus*

subsp. fetus NCTC10842 [25], the *O*-linked glycosylation system of *Acinetobacter baumannii*

ATCC17978 [26, 49, 50] and the *O*-linked glycosylation system of *Burkholderia cenocepacia*

J2315 [23, 37]. Each of these bacteria have increasingly complex proteomes (ranging from 1600

proteins to nearly 7000) enabling us to assess the performance of open database searching

across a range of proteome sizes. We find open database searching readily enabled previously

characterised glycoforms and microheterogeneity to be identified across all samples. Applying

this approach to representative species of the *Burkholderia genus* [23, 37], we provide the first

snapshot of glycosylation across this genus. Consistent with the conservation of the

biosynthetic pathway responsible for the Burkholderia *O*-linked glycans [23] all Burkholderia

species examined predominately modify their glycoproteins with two glycan structures of

similar composition. Excitingly, we demonstrate that open searching also enables low

frequency glycoforms to be detected, highlighting that species-specific glycan structures do

exist in Burkholderia. Thus, open database searching provides a new platform to enable the

identification of new glycan structures in a high-throughput manner.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Bacterial strains and growth conditions: *C. fetus subsp. fetus NCTC 10842* was grown on Brain-

Heart Infusion medium (Hardy Diagnostics) with 5% defibrinated horse blood (Hemostat, Dixon,

CA) under microaerobic conditions (10% CO_2, 5% O_2, 85% N_2) at 37 °C as previously reported

[25]. *Burkholderia pseudomallei* K96243 was grown as previously reported [51] in Luria Bertani

(LB) broth. All other bacterial strains were grown overnight LB agar at 37 °C as previously

described [37]. Complete details on the strains, their origins, references and proteome

databases used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Strain list

Strains	Source (Description, Country, Year)	Reference	Proteome database
C. fetus subsp. fetus NCTC 10842	Brain of sheep fetus, France, 1952	[52]	Uniprot: UP000001035

Acinetobacter baumannii ATCC17978	Fatal meningitis of a 4-month old infant, 1951	[53]	GenBank assembly accession: GCA_001593425.2
Burkholderia pseudomallei K96243	Human clinical specimen, Thailand, 1996	[54]	Uniprot: UP000000605
Burkholderia cenocepacia (LMG 16656 / J2315)	Human clinical specimen, United Kingdom, 1989	[55]	Uniprot: UP000001035
Burkholderia multivorans MSMB2008	Soil isolate, Australia, 2012	[56]	Burkholderia Genome Database [57], Strain number: 3016
Burkholderia dolosa AU0158	Human clinical specimen, USA unknown	[58]	Uniprot database: UP000032886
Burkholderia humptydooensis MSMB43	Water isolate, Australia, unknown	[56, 59]	Burkholderia Genome Database [57], Strain number: 4072
Burkholderia ubonensis MSMB22	Soil isolate, Australia, 2001	[58]	Burkholderia Genome Database [57], Strain number: 3404
Burkholderia anthina MSMB649	Soil isolate, Australia, 2010	[56]	Burkholderia Genome Database [57], Strain number: 2849

Burkholderia diffusa MSMB375	Water isolate, Australia, 2008	[56]	Burkholderia Genome Database [57], Strain number: 2966
Burkholderia pseudomultivorans MSMB2199	Soil isolate, Australia, 2011	[56]	Burkholderia Genome Database [57], Strain number: 3251

Generation of bacterial lysates for glycoproteome analysis: Bacterial strains were grown to

confluency on agar plates before being flooded with 5 mL of pre-chilled sterile phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS) and bacterial cells collected by scraping. Cells were washed 3 times in PBS

to remove media contaminates, collected by centrifugation at 10,000 x g at 4°C and then snap

frozen. Frozen whole cell samples were resuspended in 4% SDS, 100mM Tris pH 8.0, 20mM

Dithiothreitol and boiled at 95°C with shaking at 2000rpm for 10 min. Samples were clarified by

centrifugation at 17,000 x g for 10 min, the supernatants then collected, and protein

concentration determined by a bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Scientific). 1mg of protein

from each sample was acetone precipitated by mixing one volume of sample with 4 volumes of

ice-cold acetone. Samples were precipitated overnight at -20°C and then spun down at 16,000G

for 10 min at 0°C. The precipitated protein pellets were resuspended in 80% ice-cold acetone

and precipitated for an additional 4 hours at -20°C. Samples were centrifuged at 17,000 x g for

10 min at 0°C, the supernatant discarded, and excess acetone driven off at 65 °C for 5 min.

Three biological replicates of each bacterial strain were prepared.

Digestion of protein samples: Protein digestion was undertaken as previously described with

minor alterations [28]. Briefly, dried protein pellets were resuspended in 6 M urea, 2 M

thiourea, 40 mM NH4HCO3 and reduced with 20mM Dithiothreitol then alkylated with 40mM

chloroacetamide prior to digestion with Lys-C (1/200 w/w) for 3 hours and trypsin (1/50 w/w)

overnight. Digested samples were acidified to a final concentration of 0.5% formic acid and

desalted with 50 mg tC18 SEP-PAK (Waters corporation, Milford, USA) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. tC18 SEP-PAKs columns were conditioned with 10 bed volumes of

Buffer B (80% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid), then equilibrated with 10 bed volumes of Buffer

A* (0.1% TFA, 2% acetonitrile) before use. Samples were loaded on to equilibrated columns

then columns washed with at least 10 bed volumes of Buffer A* before bound peptides were

eluted with Buffer B. Eluted peptides were dried by using vacuum centrifugation and stored at -

20 ˚C.

ZIC-HILIC enrichment of bacterial glycopeptides: ZIC-HILIC enrichment was performed

according to Scott N E *et al*, 2011 with minor modifications [28]. ZIC-HILIC Stage-tips [60] were

created by packing 0.5cm of 10 µm ZIC-HILIC resin (Millipore, Massachusetts, United States)

into p200 tips containing a frit of C8 Empore™ (Sigma) material. Prior to use, the columns were

washed with ultra-pure water, followed by 95% acetonitrile and then equilibrated with 80%

acetonitrile and 5% formic acid. Digested proteome samples were resuspended in 80%

acetonitrile and 5% formic acid. Samples were adjusted to a concentration of 3 µg/µL (a total of

300 µg of peptide used for each enrichment) then loaded onto equilibrated ZIC-HILIC columns.

ZIC-HILIC columns were washed with 20 bed volumes of 80% acetonitrile, 5% formic acid to

remove non-glycosylated peptides and bound peptides eluted with 10 bed volumes of ultra-

pure water. Eluted peptides were dried by using vacuum centrifugation and stored at -20 ˚C.

Reverse phase LC-MS: ZIC-HILIC enriched samples were re-suspended in Buffer A* and

separated using a two-column chromatography set up composed of a PepMap100 C18 20 mm x

75 µm trap and a PepMap C18 500 mm x 75 µm analytical column (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Samples were concentrated onto the trap column at 5 µL/min for 5 minutes with Buffer A (0.1%

formic acid) and then infused into an Orbitrap Fusion™ Lumos™ Tribrid™ Mass Spectrometer

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 300 nl/minute via the analytical column using a Dionex Ultimate

3000 UPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 185-minute analytical runs were undertaken by altering

the buffer composition from 2% buffer B to 28% B over 150 minutes, then from 28% B to 40% B

over 10 minutes, then from 40% B to 100% B over 2 minutes. The composition was held at

100% B for 3 minutes, and then dropped to 2% B over 5 minutes before being held at 2% B for

another 15 minutes. The Lumos™ Mass Spectrometer was operated in a data-dependent mode

automatically switching between the acquisition of a single Orbitrap MS scan (120,000

resolution) every 3 seconds and Orbitrap HCD scans of precursors (NCE 30%, maximum fill time

80 ms, AGC $1*10^5$ with a resolution of 15000). Scans containing the HexNAc oxonium ion m/z

204.087 triggered three additional scans per precursor; a Orbitrap EThcD scan (NCE 15%,

maximum fill time 250 ms, AGC $2*10^5$ with a resolution of 30000); a ion trap CID scan (NCE

35%, maximum fill time 40 ms, AGC $5*10^4$) and a stepped collision energy HCD scan (using NCE

32%, 40%, 48% for *N*-linked glycopeptide samples and NCE 28%, 38%, 48% for *O*-linked

glycopeptide samples with a maximum fill time of 250 ms, AGC $2*10^5$ with a resolution of

30000). For *B. pseudomallei* K96243 samples, duplicate runs were undertaken as above with

the Orbitrap EThcD scans modified to use the extended mass range setting (200 m/z to 3000

m/z) to improve the detection of high mass glycopeptide fragment ions [61].

Data Analysis: Raw data files were batched processed using Byonic v3.5.3 (Protein Metrics Inc.

[14]) with the proteome databases denoted within Table 1. Data was searched on a desktop

with two 3.00GHz Intel Xeon Gold 6148 processors, a 2TB SDD and 128 GB of RAM using a

maximum of 16 cores for a given search. For all searches, a semi-tryptic N-ragged specificity

was set and a maximum of two miss cleavage events allowed. Carbamidomethyl was set as a

fixed modification of cystine while oxidation of methionine was included as a variable

modification. A maximum mass precursor tolerance of 5 ppm was allowed while a mass

tolerance of up to 10 ppm was set for HCD fragments and 20 ppm for EThcD fragments. For

open searching of *C. fetus fetus* samples (*N*-linked glycosylation), the wildcard parameter was

enabled allowing a delta mass between 200 Da and 1600 Da on asparagine residues. For open

searching of *O*-linked glycosylation samples, the wildcard parameter was enabled allowing a

delta mass between 200 Da and 2000 Da on serine and threonine residues. For focused

searches, all parameters listed above remained constant except wildcard searching which was

disabled and specific glycoforms as identified from open searches included as variable

modifications. To ensure high data quality, separate datasets from the same biological samples

were combined using R and only glycopeptides with a Byonic score >300 were used for further

analysis. This score cut-off is in line with previous reports highlighting that score thresholds

greater than at least 150 are required for robust glycopeptide assignments with Byonic [44, 61].

It should be noted that a score threshold of above 300 resulted in false discovery rates of less

than 1% for all combined datasets. Pearson correlation analysis of delta mass profiles was

undertaken using Perseus [62]. Data visualization was undertaken using ggplot2 within R with

all scripts included in the PRIDE uploaded datasets. All mass spectrometry proteomics data

(Raw data files, Byonic searches outputs, R Scripts and output tables) have been deposited into

the PRIDE ProteomeXchange Consortium repository [63, 64] with the dataset identifier:

PXD018587. Data can be accessed using the **username:** reviewer19225@ebi.ac.uk, **Password:**

KNcCmH98

Experimental Design and Statistical Rationale: For each bacterial strain examined three

biological replicates were prepared and used for glycopeptide enrichments leading to three LC-

MS runs per bacterial strain. Three separate enrichments were prepared and run to ensure an

accurate representation of the observable glycoproteome was generated. *B. pseudomallei*

K96243 biological replicates were run twice with two different instrument methods to improve

the characterisation of the 990 Da glycan. For *C. fetus fetus NCTC 10842* unenriched peptide

samples were run with identical methods as those used for glycopeptide analysis to assess the

presence of formylated glycans prior to enrichment.

RESULTS

Open database searching allows the identification of bacterial *N*-linked glycopeptides

Although open database searching enables the detection of a variety of modifications, to our

knowledge, it has not been applied to bacterial systems or the study of atypical forms of

glycosylation. To enable the identification of glycopeptides with complex glycans, large delta

mass windows are needed as even modest glycans (>three monosaccharides) would be larger

than the 500 Da window typically used [39-43]. Although the tolerance window of open

searching tools can be extended above 500 Da, it has been noted that this leads to increased

search times [46]. As bacterial systems possess small proteomes, we reasoned that for these

samples the overall searching time may not be prohibitive even when large search windows are

used. To assess this, we first examined glycopeptide enrichments of *C. fetus fetus NCTC 10842*.

C. fetus fetus possesses a small proteome (~1600 proteins [65]) and is known to produce two *N*-

linked glycans composed of β-GlcNAc-α1,3-[GlcNAc1,6-]GlcNAc-α1,4-GalNAc-α1,4-GalNAc-α1,3-

diNAcBac (1243.507Da) and β-GlcNAc-α1,3-[Glc1,6-]GlcNAc-α1,4-GalNAc-α1,4-GalNAc-α1,4-

diNAcBac (1202.481Da) where diNAcBac is the bacterial specific sugar 2,4-diacetamido-2,4,6

trideoxyglucopyranose [25].

To assess the viability of open database searching for glycopeptides, we searched *C. fetus fetus*

glycopeptide enrichments allowing a wildcard mass of 200Da to 1600 Da on asparagine. 3hr LC-

MS runs were able to be processed by open searching in less than 2hours (Supplementary

figure 1A). Examination of the detected modifications by binning the observed delta masses in

0.001Da increments demonstrated a clear cluster of modifications with masses >1000Da (Figure

1A). Within these masses 1242.501Da and 1201.475Da were the most numerous delta masses

observed (Figure 1A, Supplementary Table 1) yet these are one Dalton off the expected

glycoforms of *C. fetus fetus* [25]. Close examination of the observed delta masses reveals

evidence of miss-assignments of the mono-isotopic masses by the appearance of satellite peaks

[42, 46] differing by exactly one Dalton (Supplementary Figure 2A). Miss-assignments of the

mono-isotopic peaks of large glycopeptides is common place [66] and within Byonic is

combated by allowing correction for isotope assignment denoted as the "off-by-x" parameter.

Examination of the "off-by-x" masses supports the inappropriate mass correction of the

1243/1202 Da glycans to the observed 1242/1201 delta masses (Supplementary Figure 2B).

These results support that the 1243/1202 Da glycans are readily detected in *C. fetus fetus*

samples using open database searching despite splitting of the delta mass observations across

multiple masses due to errors in mono-isotope assignments.

Surprisingly, our open search also revealed additional glycoforms corresponding to formylated

glycans (+27.99Da) as well as a modification corresponding to the loss of a HexNAc (-

203.079Da) or Hex (-162.053Da) from the 1243Da or 1202Da glycans respectively (Figure 1B).

MS/MS analysis supports these delta masses as unexpected but bona fide glycoforms

(Supplementary Figure 3A to J). Formylated glycans have been previously observed [25, 28]

during ZIC-HILIC enrichment and are most likely artefacts due to the high concentrations of

formic acid [44] used during enrichment. Consistent with glycan formylation being artifactual, it

is not observed on C. *fetus fetus* glycopeptides within unenriched samples (Supplementary

Figure 4). To assess the accuracy of the glycan masses obtained using open searching, we

extracted the mean delta mass of the 1243 and 1202 Da glycans using a density based fitting

approach [67] (Figure 1C and D). We find the open search defined mass of the 1243Da and

1202Da glycans are both within 5 ppm of the known masses [25] supporting that this approach

allows high accuracy determination of large modifications. Finally, we assessed the proteome

coverage of our open database approach to a traditional search using the seven identified

glycoforms (1040.423Da, 1068.419Da, 1202.475Da, 1230.469Da, 1243.501Da, 1271.497Da,

1299.492Da,Figure 1B) as a focused database [68]. Interestingly, focused searches

outperformed the open database search improving the identification of unique glycopeptides

by 35% and glycoproteins by 28% (Figure 1D, Supplementary Table 2). This improvement was

also associated with an increase in the mean Byonic score of identified glycopeptides (from 456

to 491, Supplementary figure 5). Combined, these results demonstrate open searching allows

the detection of heterogeneous bacterial *N*-linked glycopeptides without the need to define

glycans prior to searching.

Open database searching allows the identification of bacterial *O*-linked glycopeptides

To assess open searching's compatibility with bacterial O-linked glycopeptides, we examined

glycopeptide enrichments of *A. baumannii ATCC17978*. The *A. baumannii* proteome is twice the

size of *C. fetus fetus* (~3600 proteins [69]) with glycosylation of both serine and threonine

residues reported to date [49]. Within this system, glycoproteins are modified predominantly

with the glycan GlcNAc3NAcA4OAc-4-(β-GlcNAc-6-)-α-Gal-6-β-Glc-3-β-GalNAc where

GlcNAc3NAcA4OAc corresponds to the bacterial sugar 2,3-diacetamido-2,3-dideoxy-α-D-

glucuronic acid (glycan mass 1030.368 Da [49]). Importantly, this terminal glucuronic acid can

also be found in methylated as well as un-acetylated states (corresponding to the glycan

masses 1044.383 Da and 988.357 Da respectively [26, 49]). *A. baumannii* glycopeptide

enrichments were searched allowing a wildcard mass of 200Da to 2000 Da on serine and

threonine residues. The increased complexity of this search, both in terms of the number of

amino acids potentially modified as well as the size of the proteome, resulted in a marked

increase in the search times per data files to ~10 hours (Supplementary figure 1B). Within these

samples, open searching readily enabled the identification of multiple delta masses of similar

sizes to the expected glycans of *A. baumannii ATCC17978* as well as the unexpected glycoforms

of 827.281 and 1058.358 Da (Figure 2A, Supplementary Table 3). These novel glycan masses are

consistent with formylation (+27.99Da) as well as the loss of HexNAc (-203.079Da) from the

1030Da glycan with MS/MS analysis supporting these assignments (Supplementary Figure 6).

Examination of these masses revealed the most numerous delta masses (1029.362 Da, 987.355

Da and 1043.378 Da) were one Dalton less than the expected *A. baumannii* glycan masses

(Figure 2B [26, 49]). As with *C. fetus fetus,* inspection of these assignments reveals the incorrect

application of the "off-by-x" parameter leading to the splitting of delta masses across multiple

mass assignments separated by one Dalton (Supplementary figure 7). Using the masses

1030.368 Da, 988.357 Da, 1044.383 Da, 827.281 Da and 1058.358 Da, we researched these *A.*

baumannii datasets to assess the performance of open searching to a focused search. In

contrast to the ~35% increase observed in the coverage of the *C. fetus fetus* glycoproteome, we

noted a dramatic improvement in the coverage of the *A. baumannii* glycoproteome with a

>240% increase in the number of unique glycopeptides and glycoproteins identified (Figure 2C).

To understand this dramatic improvement, we examined the 67 glycopeptides unique to the

focused search. Within these glycopeptides we noted a large proportion of PSMs corresponded

to glycopeptides modified with multiple glycans (Figure 2D, Supplementary table 4). In fact,

>20% (494 out of the total 2282 glycopeptide PSMs) corresponded to glycopeptides with

greater than one glycan attached. Within these PSMs, 31 unique peptide sequences are only

observed with >1 glycan attached (Figure 2E). Similar to the *N*-linked glycopeptides of *C. fetus*

fetus, the improvement in the total number of identifications is also associated with an increase

in the mean observed Byonic score (from 555 to 601, Supplementary Figure 8). It is important

to note that the delta masses of multiply glycosylated peptides fall outside the 2000 Da window

used for open searching making the inability to detect these glycopeptides an expected

limitation of the search parameters. Thus, although open searching enables the rapid

identification of glycopeptides, large glycans / multiply glycosylated peptides can be overlooked

supporting the value of a two-step (open followed by focused) searching approach.

Open database searching enables the identification of glycosylation within large proteomes

As open searching enabled the identification of both N and O-linked glycopeptides, we sought

to explore the compatibility of this approach with larger proteomes using the bacteria B.

Cenocepacia J2315 as a model. The *B. Cenocepacia* proteome encodes ~7000 proteins [70] and

possesses an *O*-linked glycosylation system responsible for modifying at least 23 proteins [37].

Previously, we showed that this glycosylation system transfers two glycans composed of β-Gal-

(1,3)-α-GalNAc-(1,3)-β-GalNAc and Suc-β-Gal-(1,3)-α-GalNAc-(1,3)-β-GalNAc where Suc is

Succinyl with these glycans corresponding to the masses 568.211Da and 668.228Da

respectively [23, 37]. As with *A. baumannii,* the increased complexity of this proteome led to an

increase in the search time with individual data files taking ~20hours to process

(Supplementary figure 1C). These open searches revealed the presence of the expected

glycoforms of *B. cenocepacia* (568.207Da and 668.223Da) as well as additional formylated

variants (596.202Da, 624.197, and 696.218Da) leading to the identification of five unique

glycoforms (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 5). Unlike the large glycans of *C. fetus fetus and A.*

baumannii, it is notable that the mono-isotopic mass of the known *B. Cenocepacia* glycans [37]

were correctly assigned (Figure 3A). Thus, this supports that for smaller glycans miss-

assignment of the mono-isotopic masses during open searches does not appear as problematic.

Incorporating these glycoforms into focused searches again led to a dramatic ~4-fold increase

in the number of glycopeptides and a ~2-fold increase in the total number glycoproteins

identified compared to open searches (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table 6). Unlike *C. fetus fetus*

and *A. baumannii,* this improvement in the total number of identifications was associated with

a decrease in the mean Byonic score (from 728 to 700, Supplementary Figure 9). As the

dramatic improvement in the glycoproteome coverage of *A. baumannii* was partially driven by

the detection of multiply glycosylated peptides we examined the amount of glycosylation

within glycopeptide PSMs in *B. Cenocepacia.* As *B. Cenocepacia* glycopeptides modified with

multiple glycans would be less than 2000 Da, we were surprised by the limited number of

multiply glycosylated peptides identified within our open searches (<10% of all identified

glycopeptides, Supplementary figure 10). In contrast, focused searches identified ~40% of all

PSMs (Figure 3C, 1508 out of 3937 identified glycopeptide PSMs) corresponded to multiply

modified peptides. This data supports that, although open searching performs well for singly

modified peptides, this approach appears to underrepresent multiply glycosylated peptides

even if the combined mass of the glycan is within the range of the open search.

Open database searching allows the screening of glycan utilisation across biological samples

Having established that open searching enables the identification of a range of glycans, we sought to explore if this could also facilitate the comparison of glycan diversity across bacterial samples. Recently, we reported that a single-loci was responsible for the generation of the O-linked glycans in *B. Cenocepacia* and that this loci is conserved across the *Burkholderia* [23]. Although these results support that Burkholderia species utilise similar glycans, it has been noted that within other bacterial genera extensive glycan heterogeneity exists [25, 26, 29, 32]. As glycan heterogeneity can be challenging to predict, we reasoned that open searching would provide a means to assess the similarities in glycans used across Burkholderia species. We examined glycopeptide enrichments from eight species of Burkholderia (*B. pseudomallei K96243; B. multivorans MSMB2008; B. dolosa AU0158; B. humptydooensis MSMB43; B. ubonensis MSMB22, B. anthina MSMB649; B. diffusa MSMB375; and B. pseudomultivorans MSMB2199*). Examination of the delta masses observed across these eight species demonstrate that the 568Da and 668Da glycoforms as well as their formylated variants are present in all strains (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure 11, Supplementary Tables 7 to 14). Having generated "delta mass fingerprints" for each species, we assessed if these profiles could enable the comparison across samples using Pearson correlation and hierarchical clustering (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 12). Consistent with the similarities in the delta mass fingerprints Pearson correlation and hierarchical clustering resulted in the grouping of all Burkholderia species compared to the delta mass fingerprints of *C. fetus fetus* and *A. baumannii* (Figure 4B). These result support that consistent with the conservation of the glycosylation loci within Burkholderia, the major glycoforms observed within Burkholderia species, based on mass at

least, are identical. It should be noted that as with the above glycopeptide datasets, focused

searches significantly improved the identification of glycopeptides and glycoproteins in all

Burkholderia species (Supplementary figure 13, Supplementary Table 15 to 22).

Open database searching allows the detection of glycoforms identified at a low frequency

based on known glycosylatable peptides

In addition to allowing the comparison of glycan diversity across species, we reasoned that

open searching would also allow the identification of novel glycans based on the shared

utilization of glycosylatable peptide sequences. Within bacterial glycosylation studies, proteins

compatible with different glycosylation machinery are routinely used to "fish" out glycans used

for protein glycosylation in different bacterial species [26, 32]. As such, we reasoned the

identification of peptides modified with the 568/668Da glycans within Burkholderia species

may provide the means to detect alternative glycans used for glycosylation. To assess this, we

examined the glycopeptide enrichments of *B. pseudomallei* K96243 filtering for delta masses

only observed on peptide sequences also modified with either the 568/668Da glycans (Figure

5A). Examination of these delta masses readily revealed the presence of PSMs matching the

modification of peptides with single (203.077Da) or double (406.158Da) HexNAc residues, two

568Da glycans (1136.422Da) and an unexpected mass at 990.390Da (Figure 5A). Examination of

PSMs assigned to this 990Da delta mass revealed a linear glycan composed of HexNAc-Heptose-

Heptose-188-215 where the 188 Da and 215 Da are moieties of unknown composition (Figure

5B). Incorporation of this unexpected glycan mass into a focused search with the known

Burkholderia glycans demonstrate that less than 6% of all glycopeptide PSMs correspond to this

novel glycan (Figure 5C). Thus, this demonstrates that open searching provides an effective

means to detect unexpected glycoforms which could be overlooked due to the low frequency

of their occurrence in glycoproteomic datasets.

DISCUSSION

MS analysis of glycoproteomic samples typically requires knowledge of both the proteome and

possible glycan compositions to facilitate software-based identification [13]. As bacterial

glycosylation systems do not utilize glycans found in Eukaryotic glycan databases [23-32], we

sought to establish an alternative approach for the high-throughput analysis of bacterial

glycoproteomes. Within this work we demonstrate that wide mass open database searching

enables the identification of glycosylation without the need to define glycan masses prior to

searching. This approach overcomes a significant bottleneck in the identification and

characterization of novel bacterial glycosylation systems. We demonstrate that a range of

diverse glycan structures, both known [25, 26, 37, 49] as well as not previously reported such as

the 990 Da glycan observed in *B. pseudomallei* K96243, can be identified with this approach. In

addition, we also demonstrate that open database searches can be used to provide a simple

means to compare delta mass profiles across biological samples. This enables a straightforward

method to compare and contrast bacterial glycoproteomes, enabling the grouping of

Burkholderia profiles from non-similar glycoproteomes such as those seen in *C. fetus fetus* or *A.*

baumannii.

Within this work we utilized open searching within Byonic, a widely used tool in the

glycoproteomic community for the analysis of glycosylation [61, 71, 72]. This enabled us to

directly compare the performance of open searches to focused glycopeptide searches within

the same platform. We observed a marked improvement in glycopeptide and glycoprotein

identifications within focused searches, especially for glycopeptides modified with multiple

glycans. As a number of unique considerations which are not implemented in open searches,

such as accounting for oxonium ions and glycan fragments [13, 73], are needed for optimal

glycopeptide identification, this improvement in performance is unsurprising. Consistent with

this we observe an increase in the mean Byonic score within focused searches compared to

open searches for most datasets (Supplementary figure 5, 8 and 9). This improvement

translates to an increase in the numbers of unique glycopeptides and glycoproteins identified

by ~35% to 240%. This is in line with previous studies which have shown non-optimized settings

for glycopeptide analysis can lead to a reduction in glycoproteome coverage [68]. Although

Byonic was used within this study it should be noted alternative non-commercial platforms

such as MSfragger [43] also allow open searching. In our hands MSfragger performed

comparably to Byonic for the identification of glycoforms using open searches (Supplementary

Figure 14A to F). Yet as with our open Byonic searches MSfragger did not identify as many

unique glycopeptides / glycoproteins as focused Byonic searches (Supplementary Figure 15A to

F). These results demonstrate that open searching can be used to identify glycopeptides, yet

due to the unique challenges associated with glycopeptide identification open searches can be

less sensitive than focused searches.

At its core, this analytical approach utilizes a "strength in numbers" based strategy for the

detection of glycans. A key strength of this approach is that it does not require the

identification of unmodified versions of a peptide for the modified forms to be identified as

required in dependent peptide based approaches [47, 48]. This independence of the need for

unmodified peptides also makes this approach compatible with enrichment strategies such as

ZIC-HILIC glycopeptide enrichment. This is important as for optimal performance this approach

requires large numbers of PSMs with identical delta masses. Within this work we focused on

bacterial glycosylation systems known to target multiple protein substrates [16, 18], ensuring

large numbers of unique PSMs / peptide sequences would be identified. We found that, within

glycopeptide enrichments, the known glycoforms of *C. fetus fetus*, *A. baumannii* and *B.*

cenocepacia were easily detected while infrequently observed glycans, such as the 990Da

glycan observed within *B. pseudomallei*, required additional filtering to distinguish this from

background signals. This supports that although open searching enables the detection of

glycoforms, it is sensitive to the frequency at which modification events are observed within

datasets. Although we utilized filtering based on glycosylatable peptide sequences to identify

low frequency events, It should be noted that recently Kernel density estimation based fitting /

signal detection approaches were shown to effectively address this issue in a more general

manner [67]. Thus, open database searching provides multiple approaches to identify

modifications even those which are poorly resolved from background.

Although open searching enabled the identification of glycosylation within all bacterial samples,

the analysis of *C. fetus fetus* and *A. baumannii* datasets highlighted the commonality at which

mono-isotopic masses of glycopeptides with large glycans (>1000 Da) are miss-assigned. This

problem has been highlighted previously [66] and is not unique to glycopeptides with the

mono-isotopic mass of other large biomolecules such as cross-linked peptide shown to be miss-

assigned in 50 to 75% of PSMs [74]. This miss-assignment of mono-isotopic masses leads to the

splitting of the number of observed PSMs with a specific glycan mass across multiple mass

channels. Although we demonstrate that these miss-assigned glycopeptides can be readily

identified by examining the "off-by-x" parameter, it should be noted that this splitting dilutes

the observable glycopeptides at a specific mass, complicating the analysis of glycoproteomes

from open searches. This complication, coupled with the lower sensitivity of glycopeptide

identification with open searching compared to focused searches discussed above, supports

that open searching is a useful discovery tool yet typically under reports unique glycopeptides

and glycoproteins within datasets. The simplest solution to this issue is to use open searching as

a means to identify glycans which can then be included as variables within a focused search. As

highlighted above, this significantly improved glycoproteome coverage and in our hands

provided the flexibility of being able to detect novel glycans yet also ensured optimal

identification of glycopeptides. Automated pipelines using multi-step searching have already

been demonstrated [42, 46] yet to our knowledge these have not been optimized or

implemented for glycopeptide identification. Thus, we recommend a multi-step analysis to

enable the identification of atypical glycosylation, using open searching to define glycans which

are then incorporated into focused searches.

Finally, it should be noted that although not the subject of this manuscript, the

glycoproteins/glycopeptides identified in this work are themselves a useful resource for the

bacterial glycosylation community. Previous studies on *C. fetus fetus*, *A. baumannii* and *B.*

cenocepacia identified a total of 26, 26 and 23 unique glycoproteins respectively [25, 26, 37] yet

the majority of these studies were undertaken on previous generations of MS instrumentation.

Within this work, undertaken on a current generation instrument, we observed a marked

improvement in the number of glycoproteins identified with 61 (2.3-fold), 53 (2.0-fold) and 125

(5.4-fold) glycoproteins identified in *C. fetus fetus*, *A. baumannii* and *B. cenocepacia*

respectively. Similarly, our glycoproteomic analysis of the 8 Burkholderia species highlights that

at least 70 proteins are glycosylated within each Burkholderia species. Together, this work

highlights that the glycoproteomes of most bacterial species are likely far larger than earlier

studies suggested with open searching providing an accessible starting point to probe these

systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia

(NHMRC) project grant awarded to NES (APP1100164). We thank the Melbourne Mass

Spectrometry and Proteomics Facility of The Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology

Institute for access to MS instrumentation and Byonic. We would like to thank Christine

Szymanski and Justin Duma for the kind gift of Campylobacter fetus fetus NCTC 10842 lysates;

Mitali Sarkar-Tyson and Nicole Bzdyl for providing the Burkholderia pseudomallei K96243

lysates; Deborah Yoder-Himes, Mark Mayo, Bart Currie and Amy Cain for kindly providing

Burkholderia strains for this analysis as well as Chris McDevitt and Saleh Alquethamy for

providing A. baumannii ATCC17978. We thank Ben Parker and Nick Williamson for their critical

feedback on the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY
All raw data is available through the PRIDE repository, PRIDE accession: PXD018587.

username: reviewer19225@ebi.ac.uk, **Password:** KNcCmH98

REFERENCES:

1.   Struwe WB, Robinson CV. Relating glycoprotein structural heterogeneity to function -

insights from native mass spectrometry. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2019;58:241-8. doi:

10.1016/j.sbi.2019.05.019.

2.   Brockhausen I, Stanley P. O-GalNAc Glycans. In: rd, Varki A, Cummings RD, Esko JD,

Stanley P, Hart GW, et al., editors. Essentials of Glycobiology. Cold Spring Harbor (NY) 2015. p.

113-23.

3.   Stanley P, Taniguchi N, Aebi M. N-Glycans. In: rd, Varki A, Cummings RD, Esko JD, Stanley

P, Hart GW, et al., editors. Essentials of Glycobiology. Cold Spring Harbor (NY) 2015. p. 99-111.

4.   Khoury GA, Baliban RC, Floudas CA. Proteome-wide post-translational modification

statistics: frequency analysis and curation of the swiss-prot database. Sci Rep. 2011;1. doi:

10.1038/srep00090.

5.   Moremen KW, Tiemeyer M, Nairn AV. Vertebrate protein glycosylation: diversity,

synthesis and function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2012;13(7):448-62. doi: 10.1038/nrm3383.

6.   Xu C, Ng DT. Glycosylation-directed quality control of protein folding. Nat Rev Mol Cell

Biol. 2015;16(12):742-52. doi: 10.1038/nrm4073.

7.   Freeze HH, Hart GW, Schnaar RL. Glycosylation Precursors. In: rd, Varki A, Cummings RD,

Esko JD, Stanley P, Hart GW, et al., editors. Essentials of Glycobiology. Cold Spring Harbor (NY)

2015. p. 51-63.

8.   Kawano S, Hashimoto K, Miyama T, Goto S, Kanehisa M. Prediction of glycan structures

from gene expression data based on glycosyltransferase reactions. Bioinformatics.

2005;21(21):3976-82. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti666.

9.   Campbell MP, Royle L, Radcliffe CM, Dwek RA, Rudd PM. GlycoBase and autoGU: tools

for   HPLC-based   glycan   analysis.   Bioinformatics.   2008;24(9):1214-6.   doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btn090.

10.   Bohm M, Bohne-Lang A, Frank M, Loss A, Rojas-Macias MA, Lutteke T. Glycosciences.DB:

an annotated data collection linking glycomics and proteomics data (2018 update). Nucleic

Acids Res. 2019;47(D1):D1195-D201. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky994.

11.   McDonald AG, Tipton KF, Davey GP. A Knowledge-Based System for Display and

Prediction of O-Glycosylation Network Behaviour in Response to Enzyme Knockouts. PLoS

Comput Biol. 2016;12(4):e1004844. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004844.

12.   Akune Y, Lin CH, Abrahams JL, Zhang J, Packer NH, Aoki-Kinoshita KF, et al.

Comprehensive analysis of the N-glycan biosynthetic pathway using bioinformatics to generate

UniCorn: A theoretical N-glycan structure database. Carbohydr Res. 2016;431:56-63. doi:

10.1016/j.carres.2016.05.012.

13.   Hu H, Khatri K, Klein J, Leymarie N, Zaia J. A review of methods for interpretation of

glycopeptide tandem mass spectral data. Glycoconj J. 2016;33(3):285-96. doi: 10.1007/s10719-

015-9633-3.

14. Bern M, Kil YJ, Becker C. Byonic: advanced peptide and protein identification software.

Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2012; Chapter 13:Unit13 20. doi: 10.1002/0471250953.bi1320s40.

15. Liu MQ, Zeng WF, Fang P, Cao WQ, Liu C, Yan GQ, et al. pGlyco 2.0 enables precision N-

glycoproteomics with comprehensive quality control and one-step mass spectrometry for intact

glycopeptide identification. Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):438. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-00535-2.

16. Nothaft H, Szymanski CM. New discoveries in bacterial N-glycosylation to expand the

synthetic biology toolbox. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2019;53:16-24. doi:

10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.05.032.

17. Szymanski CM, Wren BW. Protein glycosylation in bacterial mucosal pathogens. Nature

reviews Microbiology. 2005;3(3):225-37. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1100.

18. Koomey M. O-linked protein glycosylation in bacteria: snapshots and current

perspectives. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2019;56:198-203. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2019.03.020.

19. Joshi HJ, Narimatsu Y, Schjoldager KT, Tytgat HLP, Aebi M, Clausen H, et al. SnapShot: O-

Glycosylation Pathways across Kingdoms. Cell. 2018;172(3):632- e2. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.016.

20. Schaffer C, Messner P. Emerging facets of prokaryotic glycosylation. FEMS microbiology

reviews. 2017;41(1):49-91. doi: 10.1093/femsre/fuw036.

21. Macek B, Forchhammer K, Hardouin J, Weber-Ban E, Grangeasse C, Mijakovic I. Protein

post-translational modifications in bacteria. Nature reviews Microbiology. 2019;17(11):651-64.

doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0243-0.

22. Imperiali B. Bacterial carbohydrate diversity - a Brave New World. Curr Opin Chem Biol.

2019;53:1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.04.026.

23. Fathy Mohamed Y, Scott NE, Molinaro A, Creuzenet C, Ortega X, Lertmemongkolchai G,

et al. A general protein O-glycosylation machinery conserved in Burkholderia species improves

bacterial fitness and elicits glycan immunogenicity in humans. The Journal of biological

chemistry. 2019; 294(36):13248-13268 doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA119.009671.

24. Harding CM, Nasr MA, Kinsella RL, Scott NE, Foster LJ, Weber BS, et al. Acinetobacter

strains carry two functional oligosaccharyltransferases, one devoted exclusively to type IV pilin,

and the other one dedicated to O-glycosylation of multiple proteins. Molecular microbiology.

2015;96(5):1023-41. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12986.

25. Nothaft H, Scott NE, Vinogradov E, Liu X, Hu R, Beadle B, et al. Diversity in the protein N-

glycosylation pathways within the Campylobacter genus. Molecular & cellular proteomics :

MCP. 2012;11(11):1203-19. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M112.021519.

26. Scott NE, Kinsella RL, Edwards AV, Larsen MR, Dutta S, Saba J, et al. Diversity within the

O-linked protein glycosylation systems of acinetobacter species. Molecular & cellular

proteomics : MCP. 2014;13(9):2354-70. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M114.038315.

27. Scott NE, Nothaft H, Edwards AV, Labbate M, Djordjevic SP, Larsen MR, et al.

Modification of the Campylobacter jejuni N-linked glycan by EptC protein-mediated addition of

phosphoethanolamine. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2012;287(35):29384-96. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M112.380212.

28. Scott NE, Parker BL, Connolly AM, Paulech J, Edwards AV, Crossett B, et al. Simultaneous

glycan-peptide characterization using hydrophilic interaction chromatography and parallel

fragmentation by CID, higher energy collisional dissociation, and electron transfer dissociation

MS applied to the N-linked glycoproteome of Campylobacter jejuni. Molecular & cellular

proteomics : MCP. 2011;10(2):M000031-MCP201. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M000031-MCP201.

29.   Hadjineophytou C, Anonsen JH, Wang N, Ma KC, Viburiene R, Vik A, et al. Genetic

determinants of genus-Level glycan diversity in a bacterial protein glycosylation system. PLoS

Genet. 2019;15(12):e1008532. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008532.

30.   Ulasi GN, Creese AJ, Hui SX, Penn CW, Cooper HJ. Comprehensive mapping of O-

glycosylation in flagellin from Campylobacter jejuni 11168: A multienzyme differential ion

mobility mass spectrometry approach. Proteomics. 2015;15(16):2733-45. doi:

10.1002/pmic.201400533.

31.   Jervis AJ, Wood AG, Cain JA, Butler JA, Frost H, Lord E, et al. Functional analysis of the

Helicobacter pullorum N-linked protein glycosylation system. Glycobiology. 2018;28(4):233-44.

doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwx110.

32.   Jervis AJ, Butler JA, Lawson AJ, Langdon R, Wren BW, Linton D. Characterization of the

structurally diverse N-linked glycans of Campylobacter species. Journal of bacteriology.

2012;194(9):2355-62. doi: 10.1128/JB.00042-12.

33.   Madsen JA, Ko BJ, Xu H, Iwashkiw JA, Robotham SA, Shaw JB, et al. Concurrent

automated sequencing of the glycan and peptide portions of O-linked glycopeptide anions by

ultraviolet photodissociation mass spectrometry. Anal Chem. 2013;85(19):9253-61. doi:

10.1021/ac4021177.

34.   Zampronio CG, Blackwell G, Penn CW, Cooper HJ. Novel glycosylation sites localized in

Campylobacter jejuni flagellin FlaA by liquid chromatography electron capture dissociation

tandem   mass   spectrometry.   Journal   of   proteome   research.   2011;10(3):1238-45.   doi:

10.1021/pr101021c.

35.   Cain JA, Dale AL, Niewold P, Klare WP, Man L, White MY, et al. Proteomics reveals

multiple phenotypes associated with N-linked glycosylation in Campylobacter jejuni. Molecular

& cellular proteomics : MCP. 2019 18(4):715-734. doi: 10.1074/mcp.RA118.001199.

36.   Elhenawy W, Scott NE, Tondo ML, Orellano EG, Foster LJ, Feldman MF. Protein O-linked

glycosylation in the plant pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum. Glycobiology. 2016;26(3):301-11.

doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwv098.

37.   Lithgow KV, Scott NE, Iwashkiw JA, Thomson EL, Foster LJ, Feldman MF, et al. A general

protein O-glycosylation system within the Burkholderia cepacia complex is involved in motility

and virulence. Molecular microbiology. 2014;92(1):116-37. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12540.

38.   Abouelhadid S, North SJ, Hitchen P, Vohra P, Chintoan-Uta C, Stevens M, et al.

Quantitative Analyses Reveal Novel Roles for N-Glycosylation in a Major Enteric Bacterial

Pathogen. MBio. 2019;10(2). doi: 10.1128/mBio.00297-19.

39.   Chick JM, Kolippakkam D, Nusinow DP, Zhai B, Rad R, Huttlin EL, et al. A mass-tolerant

database search identifies a large proportion of unassigned spectra in shotgun proteomics as

modified peptides. Nat Biotechnol. 2015;33(7):743-9. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3267.

40.   Na S, Bandeira N, Paek E. Fast multi-blind modification search through tandem mass

spectrometry.   Molecular   &   cellular   proteomics   :   MCP.   2012;11(4):M111   010199.   doi:

10.1074/mcp.M111.010199.

41.   Devabhaktuni A, Lin S, Zhang L, Swaminathan K, Gonzalez CG, Olsson N, et al. TagGraph

reveals vast protein modification landscapes from large tandem mass spectrometry datasets.

Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(4):469-79. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0067-5.

42.   Solntsev SK, Shortreed MR, Frey BL, Smith LM. Enhanced Global Post-translational

Modification Discovery with MetaMorpheus. Journal of proteome research. 2018;17(5):1844-

51. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.7b00873.

43.   Kong AT, Leprevost FV, Avtonomov DM, Mellacheruvu D, Nesvizhskii AI. MSFragger:

ultrafast and comprehensive peptide identification in mass spectrometry-based proteomics.

Nature methods. 2017;14(5):513-20. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4256.

44.   Lenco J, Khalikova MA, Svec F. Dissolving Peptides in 0.1% Formic Acid Brings Risk of

Artificial   Formylation.   Journal   of   proteome   research.   2020;19(3):993-9.   doi:

10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00823.

45.   Muller T, Winter D. Systematic Evaluation of Protein Reduction and Alkylation Reveals

Massive Unspecific Side Effects by Iodine-containing Reagents. Molecular & cellular proteomics

: MCP. 2017;16(7):1173-87. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M116.064048.

46.   Li Q, Shortreed MR, Wenger CD, Frey BL, Schaffer LV, Scalf M, et al. Global Post-

Translational Modification Discovery. Journal of proteome research. 2017;16(4):1383-90. doi:

10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00034.

47.   Cvetesic N, Semanjski M, Soufi B, Krug K, Gruic-Sovulj I, Macek B. Proteome-wide

measurement of non-canonical bacterial mistranslation by quantitative mass spectrometry of

protein modifications. Sci Rep. 2016;6:28631. doi: 10.1038/srep28631.

48.   Lassak J, Keilhauer EC, Furst M, Wuichet K, Godeke J, Starosta AL, et al. Arginine-

rhamnosylation as new strategy to activate translation elongation factor P. Nat Chem Biol.

2015;11(4):266-70. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1751.

49.   Iwashkiw JA, Seper A, Weber BS, Scott NE, Vinogradov E, Stratilo C, et al. Identification

of a general O-linked protein glycosylation system in Acinetobacter baumannii and its role in

virulence   and   biofilm   formation.   PLoS   pathogens.   2012;8(6):e1002758.   doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1002758.

50.   Lees-Miller RG, Iwashkiw JA, Scott NE, Seper A, Vinogradov E, Schild S, et al. A common

pathway for O-linked protein-glycosylation and synthesis of capsule in Acinetobacter

baumannii. Molecular microbiology. 2013;89(5):816-30. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12300.

51.   Bzdyl NM, Scott NE, Norville IH, Scott AE, Atkins T, Pang S, et al. Peptidyl-Prolyl

Isomerase ppiB Is Essential for Proteome Homeostasis and Virulence in Burkholderia

pseudomallei. Infection and immunity. 2019;87(10). doi: 10.1128/IAI.00528-19.

52.   VÉRON MC, R. Taxonomic Study of the Genus Campylobacter Sebald and Véron and

Designation of the Neotype Strain for the Type Species, Campylobacter fetus (Smith and Taylor)

Sebald and Véro. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SYSTEMATIC BACTERIOLOGY. 1973;23(3):122-

34.

53.   Baumann P, Doudoroff M, Stanier RY. A study of the Moraxella group. II. Oxidative-

negative species (genus Acinetobacter). Journal of bacteriology. 1968;95(5):1520-41.

54.   Holden MT, Titball RW, Peacock SJ, Cerdeno-Tarraga AM, Atkins T, Crossman LC, et al.

Genomic plasticity of the causative agent of melioidosis, Burkholderia pseudomallei.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.

2004;101(39):14240-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0403302101.

55.   Vandamme P, Holmes B, Vancanneyt M, Coenye T, Hoste B, Coopman R, et al.

Occurrence of multiple genomovars of Burkholderia cepacia in cystic fibrosis patients and

proposal of Burkholderia multivorans sp. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1997;47(4):1188-200. doi:

10.1099/00207713-47-4-1188.

56.   Sahl JW, Vazquez AJ, Hall CM, Busch JD, Tuanyok A, Mayo M, et al. The Effects of Signal

Erosion and Core Genome Reduction on the Identification of Diagnostic Markers. mBio.

2016;7(5). doi: 10.1128/mBio.00846-16.

57.   Winsor GL, Khaira B, Van Rossum T, Lo R, Whiteside MD, Brinkman FS. The Burkholderia

Genome Database: facilitating flexible queries and comparative analyses. Bioinformatics.

2008;24(23):2803-4. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn524.

58.   Johnson SL, Bishop-Lilly KA, Ladner JT, Daligault HE, Davenport KW, Jaissle J, et al.

Complete genome sequences for 59 burkholderia isolates, both pathogenic and near neighbor.

Genome Announc. 2015;3(2). doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00159-15.

59.   Ginther JL, Mayo M, Warrington SD, Kaestli M, Mullins T, Wagner DM, et al.

Identification of Burkholderia pseudomallei Near-Neighbor Species in the Northern Territory of

Australia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9(6):e0003892. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003892.

60.   Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-

fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. Nature protocols.

2007;2(8):1896-906. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.261.

61.   Lee LY, Moh ES, Parker BL, Bern M, Packer NH, Thaysen-Andersen M. Toward

Automated N-Glycopeptide Identification in Glycoproteomics. Journal of proteome research.

2016;15(10):3904-15. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00438.

62.   Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, et al. The Perseus

computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nature methods.

2016;13(9):731-40. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3901.

63.   Perez-Riverol Y, Csordas A, Bai J, Bernal-Llinares M, Hewapathirana S, Kundu DJ, et al.

The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for

quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(D1):D442-D50. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1106.

64.   Vizcaino JA, Csordas A, del-Toro N, Dianes JA, Griss J, Lavidas I, et al. 2016 update of the

PRIDE database and its related tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D447-56. doi:

10.1093/nar/gkv1145.

65.   Oliveira LM, Resende DM, Dorneles EM, Horacio EC, Alves FL, Goncalves LO, et al.

Complete Genome Sequence of Type Strain Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus ATCC 27374.

Genome Announc. 2016;4(6). doi: 10.1128/genomeA.01344-16.

66.   Shin B, Jung HJ, Hyung SW, Kim H, Lee D, Lee C, et al. Postexperiment monoisotopic

mass filtering and refinement (PE-MMR) of tandem mass spectrometric data increases accuracy

of peptide identification in LC/MS/MS. Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP. 2008;7(6):1124-

34. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M700419-MCP200.

67.   Avtonomov DM, Kong A, Nesvizhskii AI. DeltaMass: Automated Detection and

Visualization of Mass Shifts in Proteomic Open-Search Results. Journal of proteome research.

2019;18(2):715-20. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00728.

68.   Khatri K, Klein JA, Zaia J. Use of an informed search space maximizes confidence of site-

specific assignment of glycoprotein glycosylation. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2017;409(2):607-18. doi:

10.1007/s00216-016-9970-5.

69.   Smith MG, Gianoulis TA, Pukatzki S, Mekalanos JJ, Ornston LN, Gerstein M, et al. New

insights into Acinetobacter baumannii pathogenesis revealed by high-density pyrosequencing

and transposon mutagenesis. Genes Dev. 2007;21(5):601-14. doi: 10.1101/gad.1510307.

70.   Holden MT, Seth-Smith HM, Crossman LC, Sebaihia M, Bentley SD, Cerdeno-Tarraga AM,

et al. The genome of Burkholderia cenocepacia J2315, an epidemic pathogen of cystic fibrosis

patients. Journal of bacteriology. 2009;191(1):261-77. doi: 10.1128/JB.01230-08.

71.   Parker BL, Thaysen-Andersen M, Solis N, Scott NE, Larsen MR, Graham ME, et al. Site-

specific glycan-peptide analysis for determination of N-glycoproteome heterogeneity. Journal

of proteome research. 2013;12(12):5791-800. doi: 10.1021/pr400783j.

72.   Riley NM, Hebert AS, Westphall MS, Coon JJ. Capturing site-specific heterogeneity with

large-scale N-glycoproteome analysis. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):1311. doi: 10.1038/s41467-

019-09222-w.

73.   Darula Z, Medzihradszky KF. Analysis of Mammalian O-Glycopeptides-We Have Made a

Good Start, but There is a Long Way to Go. Molecular & cellular proteomics : MCP.

2018;17(1):2-17. doi: 10.1074/mcp.MR117.000126.

74.   Lenz S, Giese SH, Fischer L, Rappsilber J. In-Search Assignment of Monoisotopic Peaks

Improves the Identification of Cross-Linked Peptides. Journal of proteome research.

2018;17(11):3923-31. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00600.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Open searching analysis of C. fetus fetus NCTC 10842 glycopeptides. A) *C. fetus fetus*

glycopeptide delta mass plot of 0.001 Dalton increments showing the detection of PSMs

modified with masses over 1000 Da. **B)** Zoomed view of *C. fetus fetus* glycopeptide delta mass

plot highlighting the most numerous observed delta masses; red masses correspond to non-

formylated glycans while black masses correspond to formylated glycans. **C)** Density and

zoomed delta mass plot of the *C. fetus fetus* glycan masses 1243.507Da and 1202.481Da. **D)**

Comparison of the glycoproteome coverage observed between open and focused searches

across *C. fetus fetus* datasets.

Figure 2: Open searching analysis of *A. baumannii ATCC17978* glycopeptides. A) *A. baumannii*

glycopeptide delta mass plot of 0.001 Dalton increments showing the detection of PSMs

modified with masses over 800 Da. **B)** Zoomed view of *A. baumannii* glycopeptide delta mass

plot highlighting the most numerous observed modifications. **C)** Comparison of the

glycoproteome coverage observed between open and focused searches across of *A. baumannii*

datasets **D)** Glycan mass plot showing the amount of glycan (in Da) observed on glycopeptides

PSMs within the focused searches. **E)** Venn diagram showing the number of unique peptide

sequences grouped based on the number of glycans observed on these peptides.

Figure 3: Open searching analysis of *B. Cenocepacia* J2315 glycopeptides. A) *B. Cenocepacia*

glycopeptide delta mass plot of 0.001 Dalton increments showing the detection of PSMs

modified with masses over 500 Da. Highlighted area shown in zoomed panel. **B)** Comparison of

the glycoproteome coverage observed between open and focused searches across *B.*

Cenocepacia datasets **C)** Glycan mass plot showing the amount of glycan (in Da) observed on

glycopeptides PSMs within the focused searches. Nearly 40% of all glycopeptide PSMs are

decorated with two or more glycans.

Figure 4: Comparison of Burkholderia glycoproteomes using open searching. A)

Representative delta mass plots of four out of the eight Burkholderia strains examined

demonstrating the 568Da and 668Da glycans are frequently identified delta masses in

Burkholderia glycopeptide enrichments. Formylated glycans are denotated in black while

Burkholderia O-linked glycans are in red. **B)** Pearson correlation and clustering analysis of delta

mass plots enable the comparison and grouping of samples.

Figure 5: Identification of minor glycoforms within B. pseudomallei K96243. A) Delta mass

plot, binned by 0.001 Dalton increments, showing delta masses observed for peptide sequences

also modified with the 568 or 668D glycans. **B)** MS/MS analysis (FTMS-HCD, ITMS-CID and

FTMS-EThcD) supporting the assignment of a linear glycan of HexNAc-Heptose-Heptose-188-

215 attached to the peptide KAATAAPADAASQ. **C)** Glycan mass plot showing the amount of

glycan (in Da) observed on glycopeptides PSMs within focused searches. Only ~6% of all PSMs

observed are modified with the 990 Da glycan.

A) Masses associated with 568/668Da modified peptides

B)

C) Targetted search with Open search defined glycans