Inhibition of PIKfyve kinase prevents infection by EBOV and SARS-CoV-2

Yuan-Lin Kang[1,2,3], Yi-Ying Chou[1,2,4], Paul W. Rothlauf[5,6], Zhuoming Liu[5], Silvia Piccinotti[6], Timothy K. Soh[6], David Cureton[6,7], James Brett Case[8], Rita E. Chen[5,8], Michael S. Diamond[5,8], Sean P. J. Whelan[5,*], Tom Kirchhausen[1,2,9,*]

[1]Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Av, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[2]Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, 200 Longwood Av, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[3]Present Address: Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, 7 Divinity Ave, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

[4]Present Address: Biogen, 225 Binney Street, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

[5]Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University in Saint Louis, 660 South Euclid Avenue, Saint Louis, MI 63110, USA

[6]Program in Virology, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[7]Boehringer Ingelheim Animal Health, Inc. 3239 Satellite Blvd Duluth, GA 30096, USA

[8]Departments of Medicine and Pathology & Immunology, Washington University in Saint Louis, 660 South Euclid Avenue, Saint Louis, MI 63110, USA

[9]Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Av, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[*] Corresponding authors:

Dr. Tom Kirchhausen

Harvard Medical School

kirchhausen@crystal.harvard.edu

phone: 617.713.8888 / fax: 617.713.8898

Dr. Sean Whelan

spjwhelan@wustl.edu

Washington University in Saint Louis

phone: 314.286.1585

36   *Running title:* Drug inhibitors of EBOV and SARS-CoV-2 virus infection

38   *Keywords:* EBOV, SARS-CoV-2, inhibition, Apilimod, Vacuolin-1, PIKfyve,

39   pandemic

ABSTRACT

Virus entry is a multistep process. It initiates when the virus attaches to the host cell and ends when the viral contents reach the cytosol. Genetically unrelated viruses can subvert analogous subcellular mechanisms and use similar trafficking pathways for successful entry. Antiviral strategies targeting early steps of infection are therefore appealing, particularly when the probability for successful interference through a common step is highest. We describe here potent inhibitory effects on content release and infection by chimeric VSV containing the envelope proteins of EBOV (VSV-EBOV) or SARS-CoV-2 (VSV-SARS-CoV-2) elicited by Apilimod and Vacuolin-1, small molecule inhibitors of the main endosomal Phosphatidylinositol-3-Phosphate/Phosphatidylinositol 5-Kinase, PIKfyve. We also describe potent inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 strain 2019-nCoV/USA-WA1/2020 by Apilimod. These results define new tools for studying the intracellular trafficking of pathogens elicited by inhibition of PIKfyve kinase and suggest the potential for targeting this kinase in developing a small-molecule antiviral against SARS-CoV-2.

INTRODUCTION

Membrane-enveloped viruses deliver their contents to cells via envelope protein-catalyzed membrane fusion. Binding of virus to specific host cell receptor(s) triggers membrane fusion, which can occur directly at the plasma membrane or following endocytic uptake. Viruses that require endocytic uptake can use different initial trafficking routes to reach the site of membrane fusion. In endosomes, acidic pH serves to triggers conformational rearrangements in the viral envelope proteins that catalyze membrane fusion, as seen for influenza A virus (IAV) and vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV). For Ebola virus (EBOV), proteolytic processing of the envelope protein by host cell proteases (Chandran et al., 2005) is necessary to expose the receptor binding domain prior to engagement of Neiman Pick C1 (NPC1 or NPC Intracellular Cholesterol Transporter 1) – the late endosomal-lysosomal receptor protein (Carette et al., 2011). Proteolytic processing is also required for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) {Wang:2008jt}, and for the current pandemic SARS-CoV-2 (Ou et al., 2020). Lassa fever virus (LASV) uses a different mechanism, binding alpha-dystroglycan at the plasma membrane (Cao et al., 1998), for internalization with a subsequent pH-regulated switch that leads to engagement of lysosomal associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1) for membrane fusion (Jae et al., 2014). Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) also uses alpha-dystroglycan (Cao et al., 1998) and is internalized in a manner that depends on endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) proteins (Pasqual et al., 2011), although it remains unknown whether a second receptor is required.

A hallmark of the endolysosomal system is controlled dynamic trafficking of vesicular carriers among its various sub-compartments. Phophoinositides are markers for defining the identity of these sub-compartments because they are restricted in their distribution to specific intracellular membranes [reviewed in (Carlton and Cullen, 2005)]. Although it is one of the least abundant of the phosphoinositides in cells, PI(3,5)P2 is particularly important for endomembrane homeostasis. It is produced by PIKfyve, which phosphorylates the D-5 position in phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI3P) to yield phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate (PI(3,5)P2) (Sbrissa et al., 1999). First cloned as mammalian p235 (Shisheva et al., 1999), PIKfyve is a 240 kDa class III lipid kinase, present on the cytosolic face of endosomal membranes (Ikonomov et al., 2001; Rutherford et al., 2006) as part of a ternary complex with SAC and ArPIKfyve (Sbrissa et al., 2007).

Ablation of PIKfyve function by genetic (Ikonomov et al., 2001; 2002) or
pharmacological means (Cai et al., 2013; Cerny et al., 2004; Jefferies et al., 2008; Sano
et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2019) causes endosomal swelling and vacuolation of late
endosomes and endolysosomes. It is thought that these changes result from decreased
membrane fission and concomitant interference in endosomal traffic (Ikonomov et al.,
2003; Rutherford et al., 2006).

Small-molecule inhibitors of PIKfyve, all of which have some structural resemblance to
each other, have been studied as potential drugs for treating cancer and autoimmune
diseases. These inhibitors include Apilimod (Ikonomov et al., 2011), Vacuolin-1 (Cai et
al., 2013), a series of 30 Vacuolin-related molecules (Cerny et al., 2004; Sano et al.,
2016), YM201636 (Jefferies et al., 2008), and WX8 chemical family members (Sharma
et al., 2019). Physiological effects of these compound in cells include inhibition of
autophagy (Chen et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2014; Sano et al., 2016), reduced generation of
IL-12/IL-23, and reduced dendritic cell infiltration in psoriasis (Wada et al., 2012).

Apilimod also inhibits infection by several viruses, including ZEBOV. Although it does
not alter the pH of endosomes nor inhibit cathepsin B or L (Nelson et al., 2017),
Apilimod blocks entry of ZEBOV and other pathogenic filoviruses (Qiu et al., 2018).
Several groups reported that Apilimod prevents colocalization of VSV-ZEBOV
pseudoviruses with the EBOV endosomal receptor NPC1, but does not prevent
colocalization with early endosomal antigen 1 (EEA1) (Ou et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2018;
Spence et al., 2016). Apilimod also inhibits entry of pseudotyped viruses bearing the
spike proteins of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2, as well as of authentic
mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) particles (Ou et al., 2020).

Here, we have studied the effects of Apilimod on infection of VSV-eGFP-SARS-CoV-2
and VSV-eGFP-ZEBOV chimeras and showed that Apilimod blocks infection of both,
with an IC50 of ~50 nM. Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 also prevented entry and infection of
VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV and many of the internalized VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV virions
colocalized with NCP1 in the distended, vacuolated endosomes. This suggests that
blocking PIKfyve kinase has the same downstream effects on these viruses, even
though VSV-eGFP-SARS-CoV-2 does not require interaction with NPC1 for membrane
fusion. Apilimod also inhibits infection by authentic SARS-CoV-2 strain 2019-
nCoV/USA-WA1/2020 virus, with an IC50 slightly lower than its IC50 for the VSV-eGFP-
SARS-CoV-2. We suggest that Apilimod, which has passed safety tests in previous

human clinical trials for non-viral indications (Burakoff et al., 2006; Krausz et al., 2012;
Sands et al., 2010; Wada et al., 2012), is a potential starting point for developing small-
molecule entry inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 that could limit infection and disease
pathogenesis.
133

RESULTS

Apilimod inhibits infection of VSV-MeGFP-LCVM and VSV-EBOV. We inoculated SVG-A cells with vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) chimeras expressing the viral matrix protein (M) fused to eGFP (MeGFP). The chimeras include VSV (VSV-MeGFP, which initiates fusion at pH<6.2), VSV-V269H G (VSV-MeGFP-V269H, a variant of VSV G that initiates fusion at pH<5.8), rabies virus G (VSV-MeGFP-RABV), Lassa virus GP (VSV-MeGFP-LASV), lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus GP (VSV-MeGFP-LCMV) or Zaire Ebola virus GP (VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV). Following the incubation protocol summarized in **Fig 1A**, we tested the effects on infection of Apilimod or Vacuolin-1; both compounds are small-molecule inhibitors of PIKfyve kinase, which generates PI(5)P and PI(3,5)P2 in late endosomes and lysosomes. Using a flow cytometry based-assay to monitor a single round of infection determined by expression of viral MeGFP (**Fig. 1B**), we found that Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 potently inhibit VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV infection (**Fig. 1C**). These results agree with results obtained by others with Apilimod (Hulseberg et al., 2019; Nelson et al., 2017), in different cell types infected with MLV virus pseudotyped with EBOV G or with Ebola virus itself (Dyall et al., 2018; Nelson et al., 2017; Qiu et al., 2018). Apilimod was a less effective inhibitor of VSV-MeGFP-LCMV infection, and Vacuolin-1 had no effect at the concentration used. In contrast, Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 failed to prevent infection by VSV-MeGFP, VSV-MeGFP-V269H, VSV-MeGFP-RABV, or VSV-MeGFP-LASV (**Fig. 1C**). IN1 (Bago et al., 2014), an inhibitor of the phosphoinositide kinase Vps34, the main endosomal generator of PI3P, also interfered with VSV-MeGFP-LCMV and VSV-MEGFP-ZEBOV infection (**Fig. 1C**). All of these viruses require low pH to trigger viral membrane fusion with the endosomal membranes, and as expected, infection was fully blocked by Bafilomycin A1, which inhibits the vacuolar type H$^+$-ATPase (V-ATPase) acidification activity (**Fig. 1C**).

Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 prevent cytoplasmic entry of VSV-MEGFP-ZEBOV. Productive infection requires delivery of the viral ribonucleoprotein core (RNP) into the cytosol**.** In these experiments, we deemed RNP delivery, as monitored by single cell fluorescence microscopy imaging (experimental protocol summarized in **Fig. 2A** and **3A**), to be successful when fluorescent MeGFP encapsulated in the incoming virus appeared at the nuclear margin of infected cells. The representative examples of VSV infection and RNP core release shown in **Fig. 2B** were obtained in the absence or presence of cycloheximide, which prevents viral protein expression. In the absence of cycloheximide (*left panel*), large amounts of newly synthesized MeGFP are present throughout the cell. In the presence of cycloheximide (*right panel*), we observed MeGFP

in virions (fluorescent spots) as well as released MeGFP concentrated at the nuclear
margin. We scored the effect of Apilimod, Vacuolin-1 or IN1 on RNP delivery by VSV-
MeGFP, VSV-MeGFP-V269H and VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV by determining the appearance
of MeGFP at the nuclear margin in cycloheximide-treated cells. Consistent with the
infection results, Apilimod, Vacuolin-1 and IN1 prevented entry of VSV-Me-GFP-EBOV
but not of VSV-MeGFP or VSV-MeGFP-V296H. As expected, Bafilomycin A1blocked
entry of all viruses (images in **Fig. 2C** and quantification in **Fig. 2D**).

Intracellular trafficking of virus particles in the presence of Apilimod or Vacuolin-
1. Internalized virus particles traffic along the endocytic pathway to reach the
endosomal compartment(s) from which membrane fusion and genome entry into the
cytosol occur. To establish the identity of the endosomal compartments, we used
genome-editing in SVG-A cells to replace expression of a subset of proteins enriched in
different endosomal compartments (the small GTPases Rab5c and Rab7a, EEA1, or
NPC1) with their corresponding fluorescent chimeras obtained by fusion with TagRFP,
mScarlet, or Halo (**Fig. 3B, 3C, 3E, 3F, 4B-E**). The lack of fluorescently tagged fillipin (a
cholesterol binder) in the endolysosomal compartment in the absence but not in the
presence of U18666A, a potent inhibitor of NPC1 (**Fig 4F**), showed that NPC1-Halo
remained active as a cholesterol transporter.

Using live-cell spinning disk confocal microscopy, we monitored the presence of virus
particles in the fluorescently tagged endosomes by colocalization with the fluorescent
spots from the virus-incorporated MeGFP. We monitored entry by carrying out the
experiments in the presence of cycloheximide, thus ensuring that any MeGFP
fluorescent signal at the nuclear margin originated only from MeGFP molecules carried
by incoming viral particles (**Fig. 3C**). All cells were maintained at 37°C throughout all
phases of the experiment to ensure normal and undisturbed intracellular trafficking. All
control experiments performed in the absence of inhibitors showed arrival of VSV-
MeGFP, VSV-MeGFP-V296H, or VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV virus particles to early (Rab5c
and EEA1) (**Fig. 3D, 4E**) or late endosomes and lysosomes (Rab7a or NPC1) (**Fig. 3G,**
4C, 4E). MeGFP released from all viruses appeared at the nuclear margin, showing
effective RNP release. NPC1, the receptor for VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV entry is required for
fusion from endosomes (Carette et al., 2011). The successful VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV
infection observed in the absence of drug in cells expressing NPC1-Halo alone or in
combination with mScarlet-EEA1 indicates that NPC1-Halo is capable of facilitating
infection and that VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV trafficked to NPC1-Halo-containing endosomes.

Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 treatment of the SVG-A cells led to enlargement and vacuolization of their endosomes and lysosomes tagged with fluorescent EEA1, Rab5c, Rab7a or NPC1 (**Fig. 3-5**), in agreement with earlier PIKfyve ablation studies (Ikonomov et al., 2003; Rutherford et al., 2006). VSV-MeGFP and VSV-MeGFP-V296H (fluorescent dots, white) reached all tagged species of enlarged endolysosomes and successfully penetrated into the cytosol, as indicated by MeGFP at the nuclear margin (**Fig. 3E, 3F and 4C, 4D**). VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV also trafficked to all tagged species of enlarged endolysosomes, often reaching one of the numerous NPC1-containing vacuoles enriched in EEA1 (**Fig. 5C**). VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV in EEA1-containing endosomes increased in the presence of Apilimod, as also reported for VLP EBOV (Qiu et al., 2018). While able to reach NPC1-containing functional endosomes in cells treated with Apilimod, VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV failed to penetrate into the cytoplasm, as reflected by absence of MeGFP in the nuclear margin (**Fig. 5B**).

Apilimod blocks infection of VSV SARS-CoV-2. Using a recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) expressing soluble eGFP (VSV-eGFP) where the glycoprotein (G) was replaced with that of ZEBOV G (VSV-eGFP-EBOV) or SARS-CoV-2 (VSV-eGFP-SARS-Cov-2). We inoculated MA104 cells with these chimera viruses and tested the effects of Apilimod on infection by flow cytometry (**Fig. 6A**). We found potent inhibition of VSV-eGFP-SARS-CoV-2 infection by Apilimod and confirmed that the compound also inhibits VSV-eGFP-ZEBOV infection (**Fig. 6B, 6C**). The dose-response curves indicated similar effects for VSV-eGFP-ZEBOV and VSV-eGFP-SARS-CoV-2 (IC50s ~ 50 nM), in contrast to the absence of any detectable inhibition of VSV-eGFP infection, used here as a negative control.

Apilimod blocks infection of SARS-CoV-2 virus.

To test the effect of Apilimod on bona fide SARS-CoV-2 infection, we exposed Vero E6 cells to fully infectious SARS-CoV-2 (strain 2019-nCoV/USA-WA1/2020); after 24 h incubation, supernatants were harvested and tittered by focus-forming assay on a separate set of Vero E6 cells (**Fig. 7A**). Apilimod strongly inhibited SARS-CoV-2 infection, and the dose-response curve was similar or better than those observed for VSV-eGFP-ZEBOV or VSV-eGFP-SARS-CoV-2 (IC50s ~ 10 nM) (**Fig. 7B**).

DISCUSSION

Coronaviruses, filoviruses, and arenaviruses have different replication strategies and unrelated surface glycoproteins that engage different receptor molecules during entry (Cao et al., 1998; Carette et al., 2011; Chandran et al., 2005; Jae et al., 2014; Ou et al., 2020; Pasqual et al., 2011). Coronavirus and filovirus surface glycoproteins share a requirement for entry associated proteolytic processing for activation as fusogens (Chandran et al., 2005) Filoviruses require passage through low pH compartments where cathepsins are active,. Coronaviruses may enter directly by fusion at the plasma membrane or following receptor mediated endocytosis. Cell entry of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 depends on the protease TMPRSS2 in conjunction with ACE2 (Glowacka et al., 2011; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Matsuyama et al., 2010; Shulla et al., 2011), and when TMPRSS2 is present, the entry pathway becomes insensitive to cathepsin inhibition (Glowacka et al., 2011; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Simmons et al., 2004).

The common inhibition of viruses from all three groups by Apilimod is a consequence of perturbing their shared entry pathway. Moreover, it is not the cathepsin activity itself that these compounds affect, judging from the outcome of the assays with Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 showing they inhibit VSV chimeras bearing the surface glycoproteins of ZEBOV and LCMV and to a lesser extent LASV. Apilimod also inhibits infection of cells by VSV-SARS-CoV-2 as well as by authentic SARS-CoV-2; neither compound blocks infection by wild-type VSV. For VSV-ZEBOV, we have shown that the virus reaches a compartment enriched in NPC1, the EBOV co-receptor, and often also enriched in EEA1, but that it nonetheless fails to release internal proteins into the cytosol. Apilimod does not inhibit cathepsin (Nelson et al., 2017) but Apilimod (Baranov et al., 2019) and Vacuolin-1 (Lu et al., 2014; Sano et al., 2016) can interfere with cathepsin maturation as evidenced by an increase in pro-cathepsin in treated cells (Nelson et al., 2017); they don't influence endosomal pH (Cerny et al., 2004; Nelson et al., 2012) although other studies report Vacuolin-1 increases pH (Lu et al., 2014; Sano et al., 2016). Irrespective of this discrepancy, both Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 inhibit PI-3P-5-kinase (PIKfyve) (Cai et al., 2013; Sano et al., 2016), a three-subunit complex (Sbrissa et al., 2007) with a PI-3P-binding FYVE domain (Sbrissa et al., 1999; Shisheva et al., 1999) that recognizes the endosomal marker, PI-3-P. Functional ablation of this enzyme by genetic means (Ikonomov et al., 2001; 2002) gives rise to the same cellular phenotype as treatment with either compound (Cai et al., 2013; Cerny et al., 2004; Sano et al., 2016). The similar dose-response curves for Apilimod inhibition of the ZEBOV and SARS-CoV-2 chimeras (IC50 of ~ 50 nM) and of authentic SARS-CoV-2 virus (IC50 ~ 10 nM) are in

good agreement with the IC50 of ~ 15 nM for Apilimod inhibition of PIKfyve *in vitro* (Cai

et al., 2013). Thus, perturbing normal endosomal trafficking by inhibiting PIKfyve activity

suggests it is the mechanism by which Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 block entry of such a

diverse set of viral pathogens.

One of the most striking consequence of PIKfyve inhibition, and hence of PI-3,5-P_2

restriction in endosomal membranes, is the swelling of endosomes into small, spherical

vacuoles - the phenomenon that gave Vacuolin-1 its name (Cerny et al., 2004). Our

imaging data with VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV chimeras show that the virus particles

accumulating in these structures, many of which also contain the NPC1 co-receptor

(Carette et al., 2011; Côté et al., 2011), often appear to be relatively immobile and

adjacent to the endosomal limiting membrane. One possible explanation is that when a

virion reaches these distended endosomes, it can bind or remain bound to the limiting

membrane, but not fuse. Another is that virions may fuse with smaller intraluminal

vesicles in the endosomal lumen (Le Blanc et al., 2005), but that PI-3,5-P2 depletion

prevents back fusion of these vesicles with the endosomal limiting membrane and

inhibits release into the cytosol of the viral genome.

Inhibition of infection by authentic SARS-CoV-2 shows that the blocked release of the

viral genome from a vacuolated endosome is independent of the shape, size, and

distribution of spike protein on the virion. The assay we used to determine effects on

infectivity of authentic virus measured release of virions after multiple rounds of

infection, rather than entry, which we monitored in the VSV-SARS-CoV-2 experiments

by detecting eGFP synthesis in the cytosol. Nevertheless, the IC50 of Apilimod in

experiments with authentic virus is remarkably similar (or even better) to that obtained

with chimeric VSV-SARS-CoV-2.

Although cathepsin L inhibitors block SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infection in cell

culture (Ou et al., 2020; Simmons et al., 2005), they have less pronounced effects when

tested in animals. This may because another protease, TMPRSS2 on the surface of

cells in relevant tissues, appears to prime SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins for efficient entry

(Hoffmann et al., 2020). As the effectiveness of Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 does not

depend on cathepsin inhibition, their capacity to block entry of several distinct families of

viruses is likely to be independent of the identity of the protease that primes their

surface glycoprotein for fusion. Phase I and phase II clinical trials have shown that

Apilimod is safe and well-tolerated (Burakoff et al., 2006; Krausz et al., 2012; Sands et

al., 2010; Wada et al., 2012). The trials were discontinued because of lack of
effectiveness against the autoimmune condition for which the drug was tested. We
suggest that one of these compounds, or a potential derivative, would be a candidate
broad-spectrum therapeutic for several emerging human viral pathogens, including
SARS-CoV-2.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 inhibit VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV infection.

(A) Schematic of infectivity assay, where SVG-A cells were pretreated for 1 hour with 5 µM Vacuolin, 5 µM Apilimod, 5 µM IN1, or 10 nM BAF A1 and subsequently infected with VSV-MeGFP (multiplicity of infection, MOI = 2), VSV-MeGFP-V296H (MOI = 1), VSV-MeGFP-RABV (MO I= 0.6), VSV-MeGFP-LASV (MOI = 0.6), VSV-MeGFP-LCVM (MOI = 0.6) or VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV (MOI = 0.6) for 1 hour in the presence of drugs. The cells were then washed to remove unbound virus and incubated for the indicated times in the presence of drugs. The cells were then fixed and the percentage of cells expressing viral MeGFP was measured by flow cytometry.

(B) Representative flow cytometry results of an infection assay using VSV-MeGFP-EBOV.

(C) Quantification of the infectivity is shown with averages from three independent experiments per condition each determined as a duplicate measurement (error bars show SEM). The statistical significance was determined using a one-way ANOVA and Tukey *post-hoc* test (*, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$).

Figure 2. Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 inhibit VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV.

(A) Schematic of entry assay where SVG-A cells were infected with VSV-MeGFP (MOI = 4), VSV-MeGFP-V296H (MOI = 4), or VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV (MOI = 4). Experiments were performed in the presence of 5 µg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) to prevent protein synthesis. Entry assay was based on the appearance of MeGFP fluorescence on the nuclear margin on a per cell basis, of fixed infected cells visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Staining the fixed cells with Alexa647 labeled wheat germ agglutinin identified the plasma membrane of each cell (dashed outlines in **C**).

(B) Virus infection in the absence of CHX (left panel) resulted in the appearance of MeGFP fluorescence throughout the cell volume. The presence of CHX resulted in virus entry being observed by MeGFP fluorescence at the nuclear margin, which was released from incoming viral particles (right panel, white arrows). Scale bar indicates 10 µm.

(C) Representative examples of maximum-Z projections images from the whole cell volume obtained with optical sections separated by 0.3 um using spinning disc confocal microscopy. Scale bar indicates 10 µm.

(D) Quantification of the number of cells with nuclear margin labeling from three independent experiments each determined from fields containing 59-90 cells (error bars

show SEM). The statistical significance of the entry data was analyzed for statistical
significance by one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test (***, P ≤ 0.001).

Figure 3. Endolysosomal traffic of VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV in cells expressing
TagRFP-Rab5c or TagRFP-Rab7a in the presence of Apilimod or Vacuolin-1.
(Associated Videos 1 and 2).

(A) Schematic of live cell imaging experiment using SVG-A cells expressing
fluorescently tagged TagRFP-Rab5c or TagRFP-Rab7a. Cells were infected with VSV-
MeGFP, VSV-MeGFP-V296H or VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV (MOI = 4). Viruses trafficking
(monitored with MeGFP) to the endo-lysosomal system (recognized by their labeling
with TagRFP-Rab5c or TagRFP-Rab7a) and virus entry (established by MeGFP at the
nuclear margin) were ascertained by live-cell florescence imaging using a spinning disc
confocal microscope.

(B) Visualization of VSV-MeGFP infection in TagRFP-Rab5c cells in the absence (left
panel) or presence of CHX (right panel, white arrows) using live-cell imaging. Scale bar
represents 10 μm.

(C) Genomic PCR analysis of SVG-A cells showing biallelic integration of TagRFP into
the *RAB5C* genomic locus by cotransfection of a plasmid coding for Cas9, a linear PCR
product coding for the specific gRNAs targeting a region near the ATG codon of Rab5c
under the control of the U6 promoter, and a template plasmid containing the RFP
sequence flanked by 800 base pairs upstream and downstream of the targeted region
(see materials and methods for more details) to generate a clonal gene-edited cell-line
expressing TagRFP-Rab5c.

(D) Representative examples of maximum-Z projection images from four optical
sections spaced 0.35 um apart of virus entry in the absence of drug, or in the presence
of IN1, Vacuolin, or Apilimod (from left to right) for VSV (top), VSV-V269 (middle), and
VSV EBOV (bottom). Each condition is in the presence of CHX. All viruses reach
Rab5c-containing endosomes but only VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV fails to penetrate in the
presence of IN1, Vacuolin-1, or Apilimod. Scale bars are 10 μm. Insets correspond to a
single optical section with scale bars of 3 μm.

(E) Visualization of VSV infection in TagRFP-Rab7a cells in the absence of CHX (left
panel) and entry in the presence of CHX (right panel, white arrows) with scale bar
indicating 10 μm.

(F) Genomic PCR analysis showing biallelic integration of RFP into the *RAB7A* genomic
locus to generate a clonal gene-edited cell-line expressing TagRFP-Rab7a, using the
same approach as used for Rab5C.

(G) Representative examples of maximum-Z projection images of virus entry (in the
presence of CHX) in the absence of drug, or in the presence of IN1, Vacuolin, or
Apilimod (from left to right) for VSV-MeGFP (top), VSV-MeGFP-V296H (middle), and
VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV (bottom). All viruses reach Rab7a-containing endosomes but
only VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV fails to penetrate in the presence of IN1, Vacuolin-1 or
Apilimod. Scale bars are 10 μm. Insets correspond to a single optical section with scale
bars of 3 μm.
395
Figure 4. Endolysosomal traffic of VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV in cells expressing NPC1-
Halo or coexpressing mScarlet-EEA1 and NPC1-Halo in the presence of Apilimod.
(Associated Video 3).
(A) Schematic of live cell imaging experiment with gene-edited SVG-A cells expressing
NPC1-Halo or NPC1-Halo together with mScarlet-EEA1. Halo was labeled with either
JF549 or JF647. Cells were infected with VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV (MOI = 3).
(B) Genomic PCR analysis showing biallelic integration of Halo into the *NPC1* genomic
locus to generate a clonal gene-edited cell-line expressing NPC1-Halo, using the same
approach as for Rab5C and Rab7A.
(C) Representative examples of maximum-Z projection images from four optical
sections spaced 0.25 um apart in the absence (left) and presence of Apilimod (right)
showing that VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV reached NPC1-Halo-containing endosomes even in
the presence of Apilimod, while failing to penetrate and infect. Scale bar indicates 10
μm. Insets correspond to a single optical section with the scale bar indicating 3 μm.
(D) SVG-A cells with genomic NPC1-Halo were further gene edited to contain EEA1
tagged with mScarlet. Genomic PCR analysis shows biallelic integration into the *EEA1*
locus of mScarlet-EEA1 (left) and into the *NPC1* locus of NPC1-Halo (right).
(E) Representative examples of maximum-Z projection images in the absence (left) and
presence of Apilimod (right) showing that VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV reached endosomes
containing mScarlet-EEA1 and endosomes containing both mScarlet-EEA1 and NPC1-
Halo in the presence of Apilimod, while failing to penetrate and infect. Scale bar
indicates 10 μm. Insets correspond to a single optical section with scale bar indicating 3
μm.
(F) Representative images of parental (top) and gene-edited SVG-A cells expressing
NPC1-Halo (bottom) incubated with filipin III (naturally fluorescent polyene antibiotic
that binds to cholesterol) in the absence (left) and presence of U18666A (right, NPC1
inhibitor of cholesterol export) showing NPC1-Halo is a functional cholesterol
transporter.

Figure 5. Extent of VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV traffic into endosomes enriched in in NPC1-Halo or NPC1-Halo and mScarlet-EEA1.

(A) Schematic of imaging experiment of VSV-EBOV trafficking in NPC1-Halo or NPC1-Halo and mScarlet-EEA1 gene edited SVG-A cells.

(B) Representative examples of maximum-Z projection images from four optical sections spaced 0.25 um apart in the absence and presence of Apilimod after 2 or 4 hours post infection.

(C) Quantification of VSV-MeGFP-EBOV colocalization with mScarlet-EEA1 alone, both mScarlet-EEA1 and NPC1-Halo, or NPC1-Halo alone. At 2 hours post infection, 73 and 95 cells were quantified in the absence or presence of 5 µM Apilimod, respectively. At 4 hours, 55 and 147 cells were quantified in the absence or presence of 5 µM Apilimod, respectively. Data is presented as % colocalization within the three types of labeled endosomes.

Figure 6. Apilimod and Vacuolin-1 inhibit infection of VSV-eGFP-SARS-Cov-2

(A) Schematic of infectivity assay of VSV-eGFP, VSV-eGFP-ZEBOV, and VSV-eGFP-SARS-CoV-2 in MA104 cells. MA104 cells were pretreated for 1 h with the indicated concentration Apilimod. Pretreated cells were inoculated with the indicated virus (MOI = 1) for 1h at 37°C. At 6 hours post infection cells were harvested and the fraction of cell expressing eGFP cells quantified by flow cytometry.

(B) Quantification of the infectivity is shown with averages +/- SEM from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined using a T-test (*, P ≤ 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01).

Figure 7. Apilimod inhibits infection of SARS-CoV-2 virus

(A) Schematic of infectivity assay of fully infectious Sars-CoV-2 (strain 2019-nCoV/USA-WA1/2020). Vero E6 cell monolayers were pretreated with medium containing DMSO or serial dilutions of Apilimod at the indicated concentrations. SARS-CoV-2 was diluted (MOI = 0.01) in Apilimod-containing medium and added to Vero E6 cells for 1 h at 37°C. After adsorption, the viral inocula were removed, and medium containing the respective concentration of Apilimod was reapplied. After 24 h incubation, supernatants were harvested and tittered by focus-forming assay on a separate set of Vero E6 cells.

(B) Quantification of the infectivity is shown with averages +/- SEM from three independent experiments per condition and expressed as the percent inhibition relative to mock-treated SARS-CoV-2 infected cells.

Video 1. Apilimod doesn't inhibit VSV-MeGFP entry. Maximal Z-projection from four
optical sections separated 0.25 um apart of SVG-A cells gene-edited to express
TagRaFP-Rab5c imaged by spinning disc confocal microscopy every 3 seconds for 3
min. Cells were infected with VSV-MeGFP (MOI = 4) in the presence of CHX with or
without 5 uM Apilimod and imaged ~ 3-4 hr pot-infection.

Video 2. Apilimod inhibits VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV entry. Maximal Z-projection from four
optical sections separated 0.25 um apart of SVG-A cells gene-edited to express
TagRFP-Rab5c imaged by spinning disc confocal microscopy every 3 seconds for 3
min. Cells were infected with VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV (MOI = 3) in the presence of CHX
with or without 5 uM Apilimod and imaged ~ 6-7 hr post infection.

Video 3. Apilimod inhibits VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV entry. Maximal Z-projection from four
optical sections separated 0.25 um apart of SVG-A cells gene-edited to express NPC1-
Halo imaged by spinning disc confocal microscopy every 3 seconds for 3 min. Cells
were infected with VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV (MOI = 3) with or without 5 uM Apilimod and
imaged ~ 5 hr post infection.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human astroglial SVG-A derived cells (a kind gift from Walter J. Atwood) were grown at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) (10-010-CV; Corning) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (S11150; Atlanta Biologicals), penicillin and streptomycin (1406-05-9; VWR International). African Green Monkey kidney epithelial MA104 cells (a kind gift from Siyuan Ding, WUSM) were grown at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in Medium 199 supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum. Vero C1008 [Vero 76, clone E6, Vero E6] (ATCC CRL-1586) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, and penicillin and streptomycin. Vero CCL-81 (ATCC CCL-81) cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 10mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1% Glutamax, and penicillin/streptomycin.

Reagents

Vacuolin-1 (Cerny et al., 2004) was custom synthesized; Apilimod (HY-14644) was from MedChem Express, IN1 was a kind gift from Dr. N. Gay (Bago et al., 2014), U-18666A (10009085), and Fillipin III (70440) were from Cayman Chemical, Bafilomycin A1 (B1793-2UG) was from Sigma-Aldrich, Cycloheximide (239764) was from Calbiochem, and wheat germ agglutinin conjugated with Alexa Fluor®-647 (W32466) was from ThermoFisher.

Viruses

Recombinant VSV (Indiana serotype) expressing MeGFP alone which initiates fusion at pH<6.2 (VSV-MeGFP) (Soh and Whelan, 2015) (or in combination with V269H G, VSV-MeGFP-V296H), RABV G (VSV-MeGFP- RABV), LASV G (VSV-MeGFP-LASV) (Jae et al., 2014), LCMV G (VSV-MeGFP-LCMV), Zaire EBOV G (VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV) (Wong et al., 2010) or SARS-CoV-2 S Wuhan-Hu-1 strain (VSV-eGFP-SARS-CoV-2 – description to be published elsewhere) were used for infection, entry and live cell imaging assays. All viruses were generated and recovered according to (Whelan et al., 1995).

SARS-CoV-2 strain 2019-nCoV/USA-WA1/2020 was obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (gift of Natalie Thornburg). Virus was passaged once in Vero CCL81 cells (ATCC) and titrated by focus-forming assay also on Vero E6 cells.

Genome-editing

Individual cell lines of SVG-A were gene edited in both alleles using the CRISPR/Cas9 system to incorporate fluorescent tags into the N-terminus of Rab5c (TagRFP), Rab7a (TagRFP), EEA1 (mScarlet) or the C-terminus of NPC1 (Halo). The NPC1-Halo expressing cells were further gene edited to incorporate mScarlet-EEA1 creating SVG-A cells simultaneously expressing mScarlet-EEA1 and Halo-NPC1-Halo.

A free PCR strategy (Chou et al., 2016; Ran et al., 2013) was used to generate small guide RNAs (sgRNA) with target sequences for either Rab5c, Rab7a, NPC1, or EEA1.

The genomic DNA fragment of Rab5c, Rab7a, NPC1, or EEA1 genes fused with either RFP, Halo, or mScarlet were cloned into the pUC19 vector (donor constructs) which then served as homologous recombination repair templates for the Cas9 enzyme-cleaved genomic DNA. Donor constructs were obtained by a ligation of PCR amplification products from the genomic DNA fragments, TagRFP, Halo, and mScarlet sequences. Primers F1-R1 and F3-R3 amplified approximately 800 base pairs of genomic sequences upstream and downstream of the start codon of Rab5c, Rab7a or EEA1, or the stop codon of NPC1, respectively. Primers F1 and R3 contain sequences complementary to the pUC19 vector linearized using the SmaI restriction enzyme (lower case in the primer sequences). The TagRFP sequence containing the GGS peptide linker was amplified using primers F2-R2 from a TagRFP mammalian expression plasmid used as a template. The F2 primer contains complementary sequences to the 3' end of the F1-R1 fragment, while the F3 primer contains complementary sequences to the 3' end of the TagRFP sequences. PCR products (fragments F1-R1, F2-R2, and F3-R3) were subjected to electrophoresis in 1% agarose and gel purified using a purification kit from Zymogen. The PCR fragments were cloned into the linearized pUC19 vector using the Gibson Assembly Cloning Kit (E5510S; New England Biolabs).

Primer sequences used to generate the sgRNAs and corresponding genomic fragments:

Primer Description		Sequence
U6 promoter	forward primer	5' GCCGGTACCTGAGGGCCTATTTCCC 3'
	reverse primer	5'ACCTCTAGAAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACN NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNCGGTGTTTCGTCCTTTCCACAAG 3
target sequence for reverse primer	rab5c	GACCCGCCATTGCCCGTCCA
	rab7a	TCAAACTAAAGGGGGAAAAG
	NPC1	TAAATTTCTAGCCCTCTCGC
	EEA1	GGTGGTGGTTAAACCATG
Rab5c	F1	gaattcgagctcggtacccGAGAGAACTAGGGAAGAAGGATCAG
	R1	TGCCCGTCCAGCTGTAGTG
	F2	CCACTACAGCTGGACGGGCAatggtgtctaagggcgaagagc
	R2	GGAACCACCAGAACCACCAGAA
	F3	GGTTCTGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCCCTGGCGGGTCGGGGAGGCGCA
	R3	gtcgactctagaggatccccCCTCCTACCAAGAGAGTAGAGAAAG
Rab7a	F1	gaattcgagctcggtacccACTGCTGTCAGCCTTGCCTTCA
	R1	CCTTCAAACTAAAGGGGGAAAAGG
	F2	CCTTTTCCCCCTTTAGTTTGAAGGatggtgtctaagggcgaag
	R2	GGAACCACCAGAACCACCAGAA
	F3	TTCTGGTGGTTCTGGTGGTTCCACCTCTAGGAAGAAAGTGTTGCTG
	R3	gtcgactctagaggatccccCCTCACCCAACCTACCACAGAAT
NPC1	F1	gaattcgagctcggtacccCCACTGAGATGAAGGAGTCCAT
	R1	GAAATTTAGAAGCCGTTCGCGC
	F2	CGCGAACGGCTTCTAAATTTCggaggttctggtggttctggtggttccGCAGAAATCGGTACTGGCTTTCCA
	R2	GCCGGAAATCTCGAGCGTCGACAG
	F3	CTGTCGACGCTCGAGATTTCCGGCtagccctctcgcagggcatcc
	R3	gtcgactctagaggatccccGCTGTCTAATGAAACTTCTAGGTC
EEA1	F1	gaattcgagctcggtacccCTCTTTGGCTGAAATTAGAAGCAGG
	R1	CATGGTTTAACCACCACCCGGCG
	F2	CGCCGGGTGGTGGTTAAACCATGgtgagcaagggcgaggcagtgat
	R2	cttgtacagctcgtccatgccgc
	F3	GCGGCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGggaggttctggtggttctggtggttccTTAAGGAGGATTTTACAGAGGGTAAGAG
	R3	gtcgactctagaggatccccGCTCTAATCTTTCTATCCTCAAGGTTTTC

SVG-A cells (1.5 x 10^5 cells) were co-transfected with 0.8 µg of *Streptococcus*
pyogenes Cas9, 0.8 µg free PCR product coding for the target sgRNA, and 0.8 µg
pUC19 vector using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to the
manufacturer's instructions. Transfected cells were grown for 7 to 10 days and sorted
for TagRFP, Halo, or mScarlet expression using fluorescence-activated cell sorting
(FACS) (SH-800S; Sony). Prior to FACS, NPC1-Halo cells were labeled for 15 minutes
with Janelia Fluor™ 647 (JF647). Single cells expressing the desired chimera were
isolated, clonally expanded, and then screened by genomic PCR for TagRFP, Halo, or
mScarlet insertion into both alleles.

Primer sequences used for screening:

Primer	Description	Sequence
Rab5C	typing forward	GAGCCTGAAGTTGGGAGACC
	typing reverse	CATGCCCACTCACCTCCAAT
Rab7a	typing forward	GCGGTCACTTCTTTGAGAAAGT
	typing reverse	AAGTGGCAGCACGGACAGTGT
NPC1	typing forward	TCTCCAAAAGAGAGGGAGAGAGAT
	typing reverse	AAGTTTAGTGTCCTGTGGTTGCCT
EEA1	typing forward	CATCTGTCAGTTACGGGGGCTG
	typing reverse	CGGCACCACACCCTCCAGCTC

Infection assays

SVG-A cells were plated at about 30-40 % confluency into 24-well plates and incubated for 1 day at 37°C and 5% CO_2. At the start of the experiment, cells were incubated with the indicated drug or DMSO at 37°C for one hour. Following this, cells were incubated for one hr at 37°C with VSV, VSV-MeGFP-V296H, VSV-MeGFP-RABV, VSV-MeGFP-LASV, VSV-MeGFP-LCVM or VSV-MeGFP-ZEBOV in drug or DMSO-containing infection medium (α-MEM, 50mM HEPES, 2% FBS). Cells were then washed to remove non-adsorbed viruses and further incubated at 37°C in medium containing the drug or DMSO with experiments ending at the indicated times by fixation with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS. Fluorescent intensity from 20,000 single cells from a single round of infection was determined by flow cytometry using a BD FACSCanto™ II equipped with DIVA software package.

MA104 cells were pretreated for one h with the indicated concentration Apilimod or DMSO. Pretreated cells were inoculated with VSV-eGFP, VSV-eGFP-EBOV or VSV-eGFP-SARS-Cov-2 at an MOI = 1 (based on titers in MA104 cells) in the presence of Apilimod or DMSO for one hour at 37°C. Six to 8 hour post infection, cells were collected and fixed in 2% PFA and then subjected to flow cytometry. The percentage of GFP cells was determined using FlowJo software (Tree Star Industries, Ashland, OR).

Vero E6 cell monolayers were pretreated for 1 h at 37°C with serial dilutions of Apilimod at the indicated concentrations. Next, SARS-CoV-2 was diluted to an MOI of 0.01 focus-forming units (FFU)/cell in Apilimod-containing medium and added to Vero E6 cells for 1 h at 37°C. After adsorption, cells were washed once with PBS, and medium containing the respective concentration of Apilimod was added. Cell were incubated for a 24 h at 37°C, and at which time cell culture supernatants were removed and used for determination of viral titer by focus forming assay.

SARS-CoV-2 focus forming assay

Cell culture supernatants from virus-infected cells were diluted serially 10-fold and added to Vero E6 cell monolayers in 96-well plates and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Subsequently, cells were overlaid with 1% (w/v) methylcellulose in MEM supplemented with 2% FBS. Plates were harvested 30 h later by removing overlays and fixed with 4% paraformaldehdye in PBS for 20 min at room temperature. Plates were washed and sequentially incubated with 1 µg/mL of CR3022 anti-spike antibody (Yuan et al., 2020) and HRP-conjugated goat anti-human IgG in PBS supplemented with 0.1% saponin and

593 0.1% BSA. SARS-CoV-2-infected cell foci were visualized using TrueBlue peroxidase
594 substrate (KPL) and quantitated on an ImmunoSpot microanalyzer (Cellular
595 Technologies). Data were processed using Prism software (GraphPad Prism 8.0) and
596 viral titers are reported as percent inhibition relative to mock-treated SARS-CoV-2
597 infected cells.

Entry assay and intracellular traffic

599
SVG-A cells plated on glass #1.5 coverslips at about 30-40 % confluency one day prior
to experiment were treated with drug or DMSO for one hr at 37°C. Following this, cells
were incubated at 37°C with VSV, VSV-MeGFP-V296H, VSV-MeGFP-RABV, VSV-
MeGFP-LASV, VSV-MeGFP-LCVM or VSV-EBOV (also expressing MeGFP) in drug or
DMSO containing infection medium. After this, cells were washed then further incubated
in medium containing the drug or DMSO at 37°C with the experiment ending at the
indicated time by fixation for 20 min at room temperature with 3.7% formaldehyde in
PBS. This was followed with a10-minute incubation of 5µg/mL of Alexa647-labeled
wheat germ agglutinin in PBS to label the outline of the cells.

Cells were imaged using a spinning disk confocal microscope with optical planes
spaced 0.3 µm apart (Cocucci et al., 2012). The entry assay scored the presence of
MeGFP at the nuclear margin in each cell. Trafficking of viruses to endosomal
compartments was observed using live-cell imaging using the spinning disc confocal
microscope. Time series with images taken every three seconds for two minutes in a
single optical plane with the appropriate fluorescent channels (Cocucci et al., 2012)
were acquired from non-fixed samples imaged at the end of the experimental period.
For experiments containing NPC1-Halo, the Halo-tagged cells were labeled with either
250 nM JF549 or JF647 dye in media for 30 minutes at 37°C. Following labeling, cells
were washed three times with media. The microscope was operated using the
Slidebook 6.4 software package (3I) and images were displayed also using this
software.

Statistical tests

623
624 To compare the means from cells with different treatments, one-way ANOVA and the
625 *post-hoc* Tukey test analysis were used to take into account unequal sample sizes as
626 indicated in figure legends.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Walter J. Atwood for providing the parental SVG-A cells, Eric Marino, Justin H. Houser and Tegy John Vadakkan for maintaining the spinning disc confocal microscope (T.K. Lab), Marina Cella and Erica Lantelme from the flow cytometry facility, Department of Pathology and Immunology, WUSM for help with flow cytometry, Stephen C. Harrison for helpful discussions and editorial assistance and Alex J. B. Kreutzberger for editorial help. This research was supported by National Institutes of Health funding (AI109740) to S.P.W. and T.K, by MIRA NIH award (GM130386) to T.K., by National Institutes of Health funding (AI059371) and unrestricted funds from WUSM to S.P.W. and by (75N93019C00062 and R01 AI127828) to M.S.D.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS (NAMES GIVEN AS INITIALS)

T.K., S.P.W., and M.S.D. were responsible for the overall design of the study; Y.K. carried out virus infection, entry and imaging experiments and prepared figures in the lab of T.K. (Fig. 1-5). P.W.R. designed, generated and characterized VSV-eGFP-SARS-CoV-2 and P.Z.L. carried out VSV-chimera infection experiments in the lab of S.P.W. (Fig. 6). J.B.C. and R.E.C. carried out the experiments with authentic SARS-CoV-2 under BSL3 conditions in the lab of M.S.D. (Fig. 7). Recombinant viruses were generated and characterized by D.K.C., S.P., M.R and T.S. in the lab of S.P.W; T.K. drafted the manuscript and editorially reviewed it in close association with SP.W. and M.S.D; the authors commented on the manuscript.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTEREST STATEMENT

M.S.D. is a consultant for Inbios, Vir Biotechnology, NGM Biopharmaceuticals, and on the Scientific Advisory Board of Moderna. The Diamond laboratory at Washington University School of Medicine has received sponsored research agreements from Moderna and Emergent BioSolutions.

REFERENCES

Bago, R., Malik, N., Munson, M.J., Prescott, A.R., Davies, P., Sommer, E., Shpiro, N., Ward, R., Cross, D., Ganley, I.G., et al. (2014). Characterization of VPS34-IN1, a selective inhibitor of Vps34, reveals that the phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate-binding SGK3 protein kinase is a downstream target of class III phosphoinositide 3-kinase. Biochem J *463*, 413–427.

Baranov, M.V., Bianchi, F., Schirmacher, A., van Aart, M.A.C., Maassen, S., Muntjewerff, E.M., Dingjan, I., Beest, Ter, M., Verdoes, M., Keyser, S.G.L., et al. (2019). The Phosphoinositide Kinase PIKfyve Promotes Cathepsin-S-Mediated Major Histocompatibility Complex Class II Antigen Presentation. iScience *11*, 160–177.

Burakoff, R., Barish, C.F., Riff, D., Pruitt, R., Chey, W.Y., Farraye, F.A., Shafran, I., Katz, S., Krone, C.L., Vander Vliet, M., et al. (2006). A phase 1/2A trial of STA 5326, an oral interleukin-12/23 inhibitor, in patients with active moderate to severe Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis *12*, 558–565.

Cai, X., Xu, Y., Cheung, A.K., Tomlinson, R.C., Alcázar-Román, A., Murphy, L., Billich, A., Zhang, B., Feng, Y., Klumpp, M., et al. (2013). PIKfyve, a class III PI kinase, is the target of the small molecular IL-12/IL-23 inhibitor apilimod and a player in Toll-like receptor signaling. Chem Biol *20*, 912–921.

Cao, W., Henry, M.D., Borrow, P., Yamada, H., Elder, J.H., Ravkov, E.V., Nichol, S.T., Compans, R.W., Campbell, K.P., and Oldstone, M.B. (1998). Identification of alpha-dystroglycan as a receptor for lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus and Lassa fever virus. Science *282*, 2079–2081.

Carette, J.E., Raaben, M., Wong, A.C., Herbert, A.S., Obernosterer, G., Mulherkar, N., Kuehne, A.I., Kranzusch, P.J., Griffin, A.M., Ruthel, G., et al. (2011). Ebola virus entry requires the cholesterol transporter Niemann-Pick C1. Nature *477*, 340–343.

Carlton, J.G., and Cullen, P.J. (2005). Coincidence detection in phosphoinositide signaling. Trends Cell Biol *15*, 540–547.

Cerny, J., Feng, Y., Yu, A., Miyake, K., Borgonovo, B., Klumperman, J., Meldolesi, J., McNeil, P.L., and Kirchhausen, T. (2004). The small chemical vacuolin-1 inhibits Ca(2+)-dependent lysosomal exocytosis but not cell resealing. EMBO Rep *5*, 883–888.

Chandran, K., Sullivan, N.J., Felbor, U., Whelan, S.P., and Cunningham, J.M. (2005).
Endosomal proteolysis of the Ebola virus glycoprotein is necessary for infection. *308*,
1643–1645.

Chen, C., Lu, Y., Siu, H.M., Guan, J., Zhu, L., Zhang, S., Yue, J., and Zhang, L. (2017).
Identification of Novel Vacuolin-1 Analogues as Autophagy Inhibitors by Virtual Drug
Screening and Chemical Synthesis. Molecules *22*, 891.

Chou, Y.-Y., Cuevas, C., Carocci, M., Stubbs, S.H., Ma, M., Cureton, D.K., Chao, L.,
Evesson, F., He, K., Yang, P.L., et al. (2016). Identification and characterization of a
novel broad spectrum virus entry inhibitor. *90*, 4494–4510.

Cocucci, E., Aguet, F., Boulant, S., and Kirchhausen, T. (2012). The first five seconds in
the life of a clathrin-coated pit. *150*, 495–507.

Côté, M., Misasi, J., Ren, T., Bruchez, A., Lee, K., Filone, C.M., Hensley, L., Li, Q., Ory,
D., Chandran, K., et al. (2011). Small molecule inhibitors reveal Niemann-Pick C1 is
essential for Ebola virus infection. Nature *477*, 344–348.

Dyall, J., Nelson, E.A., DeWald, L.E., Guha, R., Hart, B.J., Zhou, H., Postnikova, E.,
Logue, J., Vargas, W.M., Gross, R., et al. (2018). Identification of Combinations of
Approved Drugs With Synergistic Activity Against Ebola Virus in Cell Cultures. J. Infect.
Dis. *218*, S672–S678.

Glowacka, I., Bertram, S., Müller, M.A., Allen, P., Soilleux, E., Pfefferle, S., Steffen, I.,
Tsegaye, T.S., He, Y., Gnirss, K., et al. (2011). Evidence that TMPRSS2 activates the
severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein for membrane fusion and
reduces viral control by the humoral immune response. J. Virol. *85*, 4122–4134.

Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Krüger, N., Herrler, T., Erichsen, S.,
Schiergens, T.S., Herrler, G., Wu, N.-H., Nitsche, A., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 Cell
Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease
Inhibitor. Cell *181*, 271–280.e278.

Hulseberg, C.E., Fénéant, L., Szymańska-de Wijs, K.M., Kessler, N.P., Nelson, E.A.,
Shoemaker, C.J., Schmaljohn, C.S., Polyak, S.J., and White, J.M. (2019). Arbidol and
Other Low-Molecular-Weight Drugs That Inhibit Lassa and Ebola Viruses. J. Virol. *93*,
11.

Ikonomov, O.C., Sbrissa, D., and Shisheva, A. (2001). Mammalian cell morphology and
endocytic membrane homeostasis require enzymatically active phosphoinositide 5-
kinase PIKfyve. J Biol Chem *276*, 26141–26147.

Ikonomov, O.C., Sbrissa, D., Delvecchio, K., Xie, Y., Jin, J.-P., Rappolee, D., and
Shisheva, A. (2011). The phosphoinositide kinase PIKfyve is vital in early embryonic
development: preimplantation lethality of PIKfyve-/- embryos but normality of PIKfyve+/-
mice. Journal of Biological Chemistry *286*, 13404–13413.

Ikonomov, O.C., Sbrissa, D., Mlak, K., Kanzaki, M., Pessin, J., and Shisheva, A. (2002).
Functional dissection of lipid and protein kinase signals of PIKfyve reveals the role of
PtdIns 3,5-P2 production for endomembrane integrity. J Biol Chem *277*, 9206–9211.

Ikonomov, O.C., Sbrissa, D., Mlak, K., Deeb, R., Fligger, J., Soans, A., Finley, R.L., and
Shisheva, A. (2003). Active PIKfyve associates with and promotes the membrane
attachment of the late endosome-to-trans-Golgi network transport factor Rab9 effector
p40. J Biol Chem *278*, 50863–50871.

Jae, L.T., Raaben, M., Herbert, A.S., Kuehne, A.I., Wirchnianski, A.S., Soh, T.K.,
Stubbs, S.H., Janssen, H., Damme, M., Saftig, P., et al. (2014). Virus entry. Lassa virus
entry requires a trigger-induced receptor switch. Science *344*, 1506–1510.

Jefferies, H.B.J., Cooke, F.T., Jat, P., Boucheron, C., Koizumi, T., Hayakawa, M.,
Kaizawa, H., Ohishi, T., Workman, P., Waterfield, M.D., et al. (2008). A selective
PIKfyve inhibitor blocks PtdIns(3,5)P(2) production and disrupts endomembrane
transport and retroviral budding. EMBO Rep *9*, 164–170.

Krausz, S., Boumans, M.J.H., Gerlag, D.M., Lufkin, J., van Kuijk, A.W.R., Bakker, A., de
Boer, M., Lodde, B.M., Reedquist, K.A., Jacobson, E.W., et al. (2012). Brief report: a
phase IIa, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of apilimod mesylate, an
interleukin-12/interleukin-23 inhibitor, in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis
Rheum. *64*, 1750–1755.

Le Blanc, I., Luyet, P.-P., Pons, V., Ferguson, C., Emans, N., Petiot, A., Mayran, N.,
Demaurex, N., Fauré, J., Sadoul, R., et al. (2005). Endosome-to-cytosol transport of
viral nucleocapsids. Nat Cell Biol *7*, 653–664.

Lu, Y., Dong, S., Hao, B., Li, C., Zhu, K., Guo, W., Wang, Q., Cheung, K.-H., Wong,

C.W., Wu, W.-T., et al. (2014). Vacuolin-1 potently and reversibly inhibits
autophagosome-lysosome fusion by activating RAB5A. Autophagy *10*, 1895–1905.

Matsuyama, S., Nagata, N., Shirato, K., Kawase, M., Takeda, M., and Taguchi, F.
(2010). Efficient activation of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike
protein by the transmembrane protease TMPRSS2. J. Virol. *84*, 12658–12664.

Nelson, C.D.S., Derdowski, A., Maginnis, M.S., O'Hara, B.A., and Atwood, W.J. (2012).
The VP1 subunit of JC polyomavirus recapitulates early events in viral trafficking and is
a novel tool to study polyomavirus entry. Virology *428*, 30–40.

Nelson, E.A., Dyall, J., Hoenen, T., Barnes, A.B., Zhou, H., Liang, J.Y., Michelotti, J.,
Dewey, W.H., DeWald, L.E., Bennett, R.S., et al. (2017). The phosphatidylinositol-3-
phosphate 5-kinase inhibitor apilimod blocks filoviral entry and infection. PLoS Negl
Trop Dis *11*, e0005540.

Ou, X., Liu, Y., Lei, X., Li, P., Mi, D., Ren, L., Guo, L., Guo, R., Chen, T., Hu, J., et al.
(2020). Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its
immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat Commun *11*, 1–12.

Pasqual, G., Rojek, J.M., Masin, M., Chatton, J.-Y., and Kunz, S. (2011). Old world
arenaviruses enter the host cell via the multivesicular body and depend on the
endosomal sorting complex required for transport. PLoS Pathog *7*, e1002232.

Qiu, S., Leung, A., Bo, Y., Kozak, R.A., Anand, S.P., Warkentin, C., Salambanga,
F.D.R., Cui, J., Kobinger, G., Kobasa, D., et al. (2018). Ebola virus requires
phosphatidylinositol (3,5) bisphosphate production for efficient viral entry. Virology *513*,
17–28.

Ran, F.A., Hsu, P.D., Wright, J., Agarwala, V., Scott, D.A., and Zhang, F. (2013).
Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Protoc *8*, 2281–2308.

Rutherford, A.C., Traer, C., Wassmer, T., Pattni, K., Bujny, M.V., Carlton, J.G.,
Stenmark, H., and Cullen, P.J. (2006). The mammalian phosphatidylinositol 3-
phosphate 5-kinase (PIKfyve) regulates endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport. J Cell
Sci *119*, 3944–3957.

Sands, B.E., Jacobson, E.W., Sylwestrowicz, T., Younes, Z., Dryden, G., Fedorak, R.,
and Greenbloom, S. (2010). Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the

oral interleukin-12/23 inhibitor apilimod mesylate for treatment of active Crohn's

disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis *16*, 1209–1218.

Sano, O., Kazetani, K., Funata, M., Fukuda, Y., Matsui, J., and Iwata, H. (2016).

Vacuolin-1 inhibits autophagy by impairing lysosomal maturation via PIKfyve inhibition.

FEBS Lett *590*, 1576–1585.

Sbrissa, D., Ikonomov, O.C., and Shisheva, A. (1999). PIKfyve, a mammalian ortholog

of yeast Fab1p lipid kinase, synthesizes 5-phosphoinositides. Effect of insulin. J Biol

Chem *274*, 21589–21597.

Sbrissa, D., Ikonomov, O.C., Fu, Z., Ijuin, T., Gruenberg, J., Takenawa, T., and

Shisheva, A. (2007). Core protein machinery for mammalian phosphatidylinositol 3,5-

bisphosphate synthesis and turnover that regulates the progression of endosomal

transport. Novel Sac phosphatase joins the ArPIKfyve-PIKfyve complex. J Biol Chem

282, 23878–23891.

Schornberg, K., Matsuyama, S., Kabsch, K., Delos, S., Bouton, A., and White, J. (2006).

Role of endosomal cathepsins in entry mediated by the Ebola virus glycoprotein. *80*,

4174–4178.

Sharma, G., Guardia, C.M., Roy, A., Vassilev, A., Saric, A., Griner, L.N., Marugan, J.,

Ferrer, M., Bonifacino, J.S., and DePamphilis, M.L. (2019). A family of PIKFYVE

inhibitors with therapeutic potential against autophagy-dependent cancer cells disrupt

multiple events in lysosome homeostasis. Autophagy *15*, 1694–1718.

Shisheva, A., Sbrissa, D., and Ikonomov, O. (1999). Cloning, characterization, and

expression of a novel Zn2+-binding FYVE finger-containing phosphoinositide kinase in

insulin-sensitive cells. Mol Cell Biol *19*, 623–634.

Shulla, A., Heald-Sargent, T., Subramanya, G., Zhao, J., Perlman, S., and Gallagher, T.

(2011). A transmembrane serine protease is linked to the severe acute respiratory

syndrome coronavirus receptor and activates virus entry. J. Virol. *85*, 873–882.

Simmons, G., Gosalia, D.N., Rennekamp, A.J., Reeves, J.D., Diamond, S.L., and

Bates, P. (2005). Inhibitors of cathepsin L prevent severe acute respiratory syndrome

coronavirus entry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *102*, 11876–11881.

Simmons, G., Reeves, J.D., Rennekamp, A.J., Amberg, S.M., Piefer, A.J., and Bates, P.

(2004). Characterization of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus
(SARS-CoV) spike glycoprotein-mediated viral entry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *101*,
4240–4245.

Soh, T.K., and Whelan, S.P.J. (2015). Tracking the Fate of Genetically Distinct
Vesicular Stomatitis Virus Matrix Proteins Highlights the Role for Late Domains in
Assembly. *89*, 11750–11760.

Spence, J.S., Krause, T.B., Mittler, E., Jangra, R.K., and Chandran, K. (2016). Direct
Visualization of Ebola Virus Fusion Triggering in the Endocytic Pathway. MBio *7*,
e01857–15.

Wada, Y., Cardinale, I., Khatcherian, A., Chu, J., Kantor, A.B., Gottlieb, A.B., Tatsuta,
N., Jacobson, E., Barsoum, J., and Krueger, J.G. (2012). Apilimod inhibits the
production of IL-12 and IL-23 and reduces dendritic cell infiltration in psoriasis. PLoS
ONE *7*, e35069.

Wang, H., Yang, P., Liu, K., Guo, F., Zhang, Y., Zhang, G., and Jiang, C. (2008). SARS
coronavirus entry into host cells through a novel clathrin- and caveolae-independent
endocytic pathway. Cell Res *18*, 290–301.

Whelan, S.P., Ball, L.A., Barr, J.N., and Wertz, G.T. (1995). Efficient recovery of
infectious vesicular stomatitis virus entirely from cDNA clones. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
92, 8388–8392.

Wong, A.C., Sandesara, R.G., Mulherkar, N., Whelan, S.P., and Chandran, K. (2010). A
forward genetic strategy reveals destabilizing mutations in the Ebolavirus glycoprotein
that alter its protease dependence during cell entry. J. Virol. *84*, 163–175.

Yuan, M., Wu, N.C., Zhu, X., Lee, C.-C.D., So, R.T.Y., Lv, H., Mok, C.K.P., and Wilson,
I.A. (2020). A highly conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor-binding domains of
SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Science eabb7269.

Figure 1

A

VSV
VSV-V269H

Entry Assay

Inhibitor

VSV-EBOV

Inhibitor

-1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 hpi

B

VSV-MeGFP

DMSO   CHX

C

CHX   IN1/CHX   BAF A1/CHX   Vacuolin-1/CHX   Apilimod/CHX

VSV-MeGFP

VSV-MeGFP-V269H

VSV-MeGFP-EBOV

D

Entry
% cells with MeGFP at nuclear margin

VSV-MeGFP   VSV-MeGFP-V269H   VSV-MeGFP-EBOV

DMSO, CHX, Vacuolin/CHX, Apilimod/CHX, IN1/CHX, BAF A1/CHX

Figure 2

A

VSV / VSV-V269H

Live-cell imaging

Inhibitor

VSV-EBOV

Inhibitor

-1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 hpi

B

TagFPR-ab5c / VSV MeGFP

DMSO   CHX

C

tagRFP-Rab5c

-/-   +/+

Edited

Endogenous

D

	CHX	IN1/CHX	Vacuolin/CHX	Apilimod/CHX

VSV-MeGFP

Rab5c MeGFP

VSV-MeGFP-V269H

VSV-MeGFP-EBOV

E

DMSO | CHX

TagRFP-Rab7a
VSV MeGFP

F

TagRFP-Rab7a

-/- | +/+

Edited

Endogenous

G

CHX | IN1/CHX | Vacuolin/CHX | Apilimod/CHX

VSV-MeGFP

Rab7a | MeGFP

VSV-MeGFP-V269H

VSV-MeGFP-EBOV

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

B

Figure 6

A

Infectivity Assay

2019-nCoV/USA-WA1/2020

Inhibitor

-1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 24 25   hip

B

Figure 7