Fussing about fission: defining variety among mainstream and exotic apicomplexan cell division modes

Marc-Jan Gubbels[1][§], Caroline D. Keroack[2], Sriveny Dangoudoubiyam[3]*, Hanna L. Worliczek[1,4,#], Aditya S. Paul[2], Ciara Bauwens[1], Brendan Elsworth[2,5], Klemens Engelberg[1], Daniel K. Howe[3], Isabelle Coppens[6], Manoj T. Duraisingh[2][§]

[1] Department of Biology, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA

[2] Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA

[3] Gluck Equine Research Center, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY

[4] Institute of Parasitology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria

[5] School of Biosciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

[6] Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

[§] **Correspondence:**

Marc-Jan Gubbels

gubbelsj@bc.edu

Manoj T. Duraisingh

mduraisi@hsph.harvard.edu

*present address: Department of Comparative Pathobiology**,** Purdue University College of Veterinary Medicine, West Lafayette, IN

[#]present address: Department of History, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Keywords: Apicomplexa, cell division, cell cycle, karyokinesis, schizogony, endodyogeny,

endopolygeny, binary fission

Abstract

Cellular reproduction defines life, yet our textbook-level understanding of cell division is limited

to a small number of model organisms centered around humans. The horizon on cell division

variants is expanded here by advancing insights on the fascinating cell division modes found in

the Apicomplexa, a key group of protozoan parasites. The Apicomplexa display remarkable

variation in offspring number, whether karyokinesis follows each S/M-phase or not, and whether

daughter cells bud in the cytoplasm or bud from the cortex. We find that the terminology used to

describe the various manifestations of asexual apicomplexan cell division emphasizes either the

number of offspring or site of budding, which are not directly comparable features and has led to

confusion in the literature. Division modes have been primarily studied in two human pathogenic

Apicomplexa, malaria-causing *Plasmodium* spp. and *Toxoplasma gondii*, a major cause of

opportunistic infections. *Plasmodium* spp. divide asexually by schizogony, producing multiple

daughters per division round through a cortical budding process, though at several life-cycle

nuclear amplifications are not followed by karyokinesis. *T. gondii* divides by endodyogeny

producing two internally budding daughters per division round. Here we add to this diversity in

replication mechanisms by considering the cattle parasite *Babesia bigemina* and the pig parasite

Cystoisospora suis. *B. bigemina* produces two daughters per division round by a 'binary fission'

mechanism whereas *C. suis* produces daughters through both endodyogeny and multiple internal

budding known as endopolygeny. In addition, we provide new data from the causative agent of

equine protozoal myeloencephalitis (EPM), *Sarcocystis neurona*, which also undergoes

endopolygeny but differs from *C. suis* by maintaining a single multiploid nucleus. Overall, we

operationally define two principally different division modes: internal budding found in cyst-

forming Coccidia (comprising endodyogeny and two forms of endopolygeny) and external

budding found in the other parasites studied (comprising the two forms of schizogony, binary

fission and multiple fission). Progressive insights into the principles defining the molecular and

cellular requirements for internal versus external budding, as well as variations encountered in

sexual stages are discussed. The evolutionary pressures and mechanisms underlying

apicomplexan cell division diversification carries relevance across Eukaryota.

Contribution to the Field

Mechanisms of cell division vary dramatically across the Tree of Life, but the mechanistic basis

has only been mapped for several model organisms. Here we present cell division strategies

across Apicomplexa, a group of obligate intracellular parasites with significant impact on

humans and domesticated animals. Asexual apicomplexan cell division is organized around

assembly of daughter buds, but division forms differ in the cellular site of budding, number of

offspring per division round, whether each S-phase follows karyokinesis and if mitotic rounds

progress synchronously. This varies not just between parasites, but also between different life-

cycle stages of a given species. We discuss the historical context of terminology describing

division modes, which has led to confusion on how different modes relate to each other.

Innovations in cell culture and genetics together with light microscopy advances have opened up

cell biological studies that can shed light on this puzzle. We present new data for three division

modes barely studied before. Together with existing data, we show how division modes are

organized along phylogenetic lines and differentiate along external and internal budding

mechanisms. We also discuss new insights into how the variations in division mode are regulated

at the molecular level, and possess unique cell biological requirements.

1   Introduction

Reproduction is critical for perpetuating a species and lies at the core of the definition of life.

Yet, the modes by which cell division can occur are very diverse. The molecular and cellular

mechanisms underlying such differences have been dissected for a limited set of model

organisms, most of which carry resemblance to mammalian/human cell division. The

Apicomplexa comprise a protozoan phylum harboring human pathogens, like malaria-causing

Plasmodium spp., opportunistic parasites like *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Cryptosporidium* spp., and

emerging pathogens like *Babesia* spp. (phylogeny in Fig 1). In addition, many are of economic

relevance in agriculture and companion animals such as *Babesia* spp, *Theileria* spp. and *Eimeria*

spp. Furthermore, many Apicomplexa infect other birds, mammals, reptiles, amphibians, fish,

and invertebrates, but their cell biology has only been studied minimally. The asexual

multiplication cycles of the Apicomplexans are diverse, and their sexual cycles add even more

diversity. The well-studied Apicomplexa relevant to humans display a diverse spectrum of

asexual cell division strategies both within and among species, providing an excellent

opportunity for comparative biology. Indeed, we posit that by studying the principles in more

distant organisms such as protozoa, which span a wide breadth of evolutionary history and

correspondingly diverse biology, we can obtain fascinating new insights and principles.

Asexual apicomplexan cell division revolves around variations in budding through the

assembly of a membrane skeleton that ultimately underlies the plasma membrane. The

beginning- and end-point of a cell division round across all Apicomplexa is a "zoite", a cell type

with the phylum-defining complex of apical secretory organelles and cytoskeletal structures

(Leander and Keeling, 2003) (e.g. Fig 2A, 3F1, 4A, 5E1, 6C1). These apical structures uniformly

facilitate host-cell invasion, an essential step in the obligate intracellular life style of

apicomplexan parasites (Gubbels and Duraisingh, 2012; Sharma and Chitnis, 2013; Frenal et al.,

2017a). The cortical cytoskeleton just below the plasma membrane, however, plays an additional

role as a key structure facilitating budding across asexual division modes for Apicomplexa

(Anderson-White et al., 2012; Kono et al., 2016). From the outside in, it is built up from three

uniformly conserved elements: alveolar vesicles (alveoli) that anchor the myosin motor enabling

motility (Frenal et al., 2017a), an epiplastin (a.k.a. alveolar) protein meshwork (Goodenough et

al., 2018), and a series of sub-pellicular, longitudinal microtubules emanating from the apical

end. The number and length of the microtubules vary across parasite species and stage (Spreng et

al., 2019), but the alveoli and epiplastin meshwork are universally conserved and make up the

inner membrane complex (IMC) (Kono et al., 2012). The epiplastin family proteins contain Val-

Pro-Val (VPV) repeats and are generally known as alveolins or IMC proteins (Gould et al., 2008;

Anderson-White et al., 2011; Kono et al., 2012; Al-Khattaf et al., 2015). Cytoskeletal assembly

initiates from the centrosome and all three elements are simultaneously assembled in an apical to

basal direction (Chen and Gubbels, 2013; Francia and Striepen, 2014; Suvorova et al., 2015).

Another important and shared feature is that across asexual division modes, budding appears to

be tied always to a round of S-phase and mitosis (Francia and Striepen, 2014; Suvorova et al.,

2015). A fourth cytoskeleton element that is conserved across division modes is the basal

complex, a ring residing at the basal end of the daughter bud (Ferguson et al., 2008). This ring

contains MORN1, a scaffolding protein that is essential for maintenance of the daughter bud's

basal end integrity (Gubbels et al., 2006; Hu, 2008; Heaslip et al., 2010; Lorestani et al., 2010;

Kono et al., 2016). Proteins with repetitive MORN domains are often found in cilia and flagella

(Mecklenburg, 2007; Shetty et al., 2007; Tokuhiro et al., 2008; Morriswood and Schmidt, 2015);

indeed all apicomplexan division modes share several features with cilia or flagella assembly as

seen across eukaryotes. For example, striated fiber assembly (SFA) fibers are found in flagellar

assembly in algae to orient the basal body in the flagellum, which in Apicomplexa anchor the

centrosome in the daughter bud (Francia et al., 2012). A spindle assembly abnormal protein 6

(SAS6)-like protein found in flagellar basal bodies is also found in the apical polar ring (APR),

the microtubule organizing center (MTOC) nucleating the subpellicular microtubules (and

conoid, if present) (de Leon et al., 2013; Francia et al., 2015; Wall et al., 2016). Finally, the base

of cilia and flagella is often decorated with a contractile centrin and a myosin (Roberts et al.,

2004; Trojan et al., 2008), which, at least in *T. gondii*, are represented by TgCentrin2 and

Myosin I and J (Hu et al., 2006; Hu, 2008; Frenal et al., 2017b).

Despite this central plan, many variations exist on what precedes daughter budding, and how

daughter bud formation is orchestrated. The first variable is the number of offspring per mother

cell in an asexual apicomplexan division round, which can be as few as two or nearly 100,000.

The principle behind the uncoupling of daughter formation from S/M-phase was recently

established in *T. gondii* by the presence of a bipartite centrosome: the centrosome's inner-core

proximal to the nucleus regulates the S/M cycle independently of the centrosome's outer-core

distal from the nucleus, which organizes daughter bud formation (Chen and Gubbels, 2013;

Suvorova et al., 2015; Chen and Gubbels, 2019). Moreover, cyclins and cyclin-dependent

kinases (CDKs), as found in higher eukaryotes, ultimately control cell cycle progression but their

nature and modes of operation are specifically tailored to the needs of different apicomplexan

cell cycle variation (e.g. (Roques et al., 2015; Alvarez and Suvorova, 2017; Ganter et al., 2017;

Naumov et al., 2017), recently reviewed in (Matthews et al., 2018; White and Suvorova, 2018)).

A second variable is whether karyokinesis follows each S-phase and mitosis (S/M-phase) or not.

Finally, daughters can either assemble within the mother's cytoplasm (internal budding), or

assemble and emerge directly from the plasma membrane of the mother cell (cortical or

peripheral budding). Strikingly, these modes can vary not only between Apicomplexa, but also

across different life-cycle stages within a single species.

Although these insights describe the key principles, the details on cell biological mechanisms

facilitating the various division modes are intermittent and often compounded by historical

terminology which either do not accurately capture the shared principles or clearly define

differences. Here we highlight the best-understood cell division modes, schizogony in

Plasmodium spp. and endodyogeny in *T. gondii*, supplemented with new data on emerging

systems displaying different forms of endopolygeny in *Cystoisopora suis* and *Sarcocystis*

neurona, as well as binary fission in *Babesia bigemina*. Emerging insights are subsequently used

to assess their kinship and to chart principles underlying these distinct cell division modes.

2   Material and Methods

2.1   *Babesia* spp. culture

B. bigemina strain JG-29 (Mexico) was kindly provided by Dr. David Allred (University of

Florida) and grown as described previously (Vega et al., 1985) with modifications as described

here. Parasites were culture adapted over a month to grow efficiently in tissue culture media

RPMI-1640 supplemented with 25 mM HEPES, 50 mg/l hypoxanthine, 2.42 mM sodium

bicarbonate, and 4.31 mg/ml AlbuMAX-II (Invitrogen). Before addition of AlbuMAX-II and

sodium bicarbonate, the pH was adjusted to 6.75. Parasites were grown under microaerophilous

stationary phase culture conditions with a hypoxic atmosphere of 1:5:94 oxygen: carbon dioxide:

nitrogen at 4% hematocrit in washed, defibrinated bovine red blood cells (Hemostat Labs, Dixon,

CA).

B. divergens strain Rouen 1987, a kind gift from Drs. Kirk Deitsch and Laura Kirkman

(Weill Cornell Medical College), was grown in human erythrocytes as described (Paul et al.,

2016). Human erythrocytes were purchased from Research Blood Components (Boston, USA).

2.2   *Cystoisospora suis* **culture**

Oocysts of *C. suis* strain Wien-I (Austria) were isolated from porcine fecal samples and used for

in vitro culture in intestinal porcine epithelial cells (IPEC-J2, ACC 701, Leibniz Institute DSMZ

GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany) as described previously (Worliczek et al., 2013) with

modifications as described here. For the production of glass cover slips with confluent layers of

host cells infected with *C. suis*, cover slips were placed into 6-well culture plates for suspension

cultures (PAA, Pasching, Austria). IPEC-J2 were suspended in DMEM/Ham's F12 medium

(PAA, Austria) supplemented with 5 % fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin

and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, and seeded in a density of 4×10^5 cells/well. After overnight

incubation at 37°C, 5 % CO_2 cover slips with attached IPEC-J2 were transferred to 6-well

surface-treated culture plates for adherent cells (PAA, Austria). Sporozoites of *C. suis* were

excysted as described previously (Worliczek et al., 2013), suspended in culture medium and

applied to the host cells in a density of sporozoites:host cells of 1:30. The infected cell cultures

were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO_2 and culture medium was exchanged at 1, 4, and 7 days post

infection (dpi).

2.3   *S. neurona* **culture and immunofluorescence assays**

S. neurona strain SN3 was cultured, transfected and processed for immunofluorescence as

described before (Dubey et al., 2017; Howe et al., 2018). In short, parasites were cultured and

maintained in bovine turbinate (BT) cell monolayers. For IFA, extracellular merozoites were

used to infect BT cells in 24-well plates containing coverslips. Typically, on day 3 post infection,

infected BT cell monolayers were methanol-fixed for downstream immunofluorescence

experiments. Freshly isolated extracellular *S. neurona* merozoites were used for transient

expression of YFP-tagged TgIMC15 (Anderson-White et al., 2011).

2.4   *B. bigemina* **immunofluorescence assays**

B. bigemina indirect immunofluorescence assays were performed on >10% parasitemia bovine

red blood cells by air drying drops in 10-well slides (Electron Microscopy Sciences), followed

by 100% methanol fixation for 5 min at -20ºC, 3 rinses in PBS and blocking in 3% BSA in PBS

for 1 hr at RT. Primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution (rabbit TgCentrin1 1:4000 (Fung

et al., 2012), mouse MAb 12G10 α-tubulin 1:100 (University of Iowa Hybridoma Bank) (Jerka-

Dziadosz et al., 1995); mouse ascites MAb B-5-1-2 α-tubulin 1:200 (Sigma-Aldrich #T5168); rat

TgEB1 1:100 (Chen and Gubbels, 2019); guinea pig BbIMC1a 1:500) were incubated for 1 hr at

RT, followed by 3 washes for 5 min in PBS, Alexa488 or 594 conjugated secondary antisera

(ThermoFisher) diluted 1:400 in 0.1% BSA in PBS for 45 min at RT followed by 1 wash for 5

min in PBS containing 1.5 µg/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) to stain DNA, and two

additional 5 min washes with PBS before mounting with Fluoro-Gel (Electron Microscopy

Sciences). A Zeiss Axiovert 200 M wide-field fluorescence microscope was used to collect

images, which were deconvolved and adjusted for phase contrast using Volocity software

(Quorum Technologies). SR-SIM was performed on a Zeiss ELYRA S.1 system in the Boston

College Imaging Core in consultation with Bret Judson. All images were acquired, analyzed and

adjusted using ZEN software and standard settings.

2.5   *C. suis* indirect immunofluorescence assays

C. suis indirect immunofluorescence assays were performed on infected IPEC-J2 cells grown on

glass cover slips as described above at dpi 7 and 8. Infected cells on cover slips were washed in

PBS, fixed with ice cold methanol for 10 min and subsequently washed twice with PBS.

Blocking was performed for 20 min with Superblock® T20 (PBS) blocking buffer (Thermo

Scientific) with 1% (v/v) normal goat serum at RT. Primary antibodies (guinea pig TgNuf2

(Farrell and Gubbels, 2014); mouse mAb 6-11B-1 acetylated α-tubulin, Invitrogen) were diluted

to 1:1000 (v/v) in blocking solution, and incubated for 90 min at 37°C, followed by 2x10 min

washes with PBS-Tween 20 (0.01%, v/v), incubation with secondary antibodies diluted 1:600 in

blocking solution for 40 min at 37°C, 2x10 min washes with PBS-Tween 20, followed by 1 wash

for 5 min in PBS containing 1 µM DAPI, 4 washes of 5 min at RT with PBS and quick

immersion in ddH$_2$O, before mounting with Aqua Polymount (Fisher Scientific). Mounted

samples were hardened for 2-5 days before imaging. A Zeiss LSM 510 Meta with a 63x plan

apochromat oil immersion objective was used to collect Z-stacks using ZEN 2009 Light Edition

(Carl Zeiss Microimaging GmbH, Jena, Germany). Z-stacks were subsequently deconvoluted

using Huygens Essential 4.3 software (Scientific Volume Imaging Inc., The Netherlands) with

measured point spread functions (PSF) for the respective channels. Z-projections (maximum

intensity projections of split channels) were computed with ImageJ 1.48e (National Institutes of

Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

2.6   Transmission electron microscopy

For thin-section transmission, pellets of >10% parasitemia *B. bigemina* infected bovine red blood

cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate (Sigma) buffer (pH 7.4)

for 1 hr at RT and processed as described (Nishikawa et al., 2005) before examination with a

Philips CM120 Electron Microscope (Eindhoven, The Netherlands) under 80 kV.

Pellets of >10% parasitemia *B. divergens* infected human red blood cells were fixed with 2.5%

glutaraldehyde 1.25% paraformaldehyde and 0.03% picric acid in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer

(pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer and post fixed with 1%

OsO_4/1.5% $KFeCN_6$ for 1 hr, washed 2x in water, 1x maleate buffer (MB) 1x and incubated in 1%

uranyl acetate in MB for 1 hr followed by 2 washes in water and subsequent dehydration in grades

of alcohol (10 min each; 50%, 70%, 90%, 2x10 min 100%). The samples were then put in

propyleneoxide for 1 hr and infiltrated overnight in a 1:1 mixture of propyleneoxide and TAAB

(TAAB Laboratories Equipment Ltd). The following day the samples were embedded in TAAB

Epon and polymerized at 60ºC for 48 hrs. Ultrathin ~60 nm sections) were cut on a Reichert

Ultracut-S microtome, picked up on to copper grids stained with lead citrate and examined in a

JEOL 1200EX Transmission electron microscope and images were recorded with an AMT 2k

CCD camera.

2.7   Phylogenetic analysis.

The following 18S rRNA gene sequences were used: *Cryptosporidium parvum* (GenBank

accession no.: L25642.1), *Cryptosporidium muris* (AB089284.1), *Babesia bovis* (L19077.1),

Babesia bigemina (JQ437261.1), *Babesia divergens* (AJ439713.1), *Babesia duncani*

(HQ285838.1), *Babesia microti* (AB219802.1), *Theileria annulata* (EU083801.1), *Theileria*

parva (L02366.1), *Theileria orientalis* (HM538200.1), *Cytauxzoon felis* (L19080.1), *Theileria*

equi (KM046918.1), *Hepatozoon canis* (KX712124.1), *Plasmodium falciparum*

(XR_002966654.1), *Plasmodium berghei* (XR_002688202.1), *Plasmodium vivax*

(XR_003001225.1), *Toxoplasma gondii* (L24381.1), *Hammondia hammondi* (KT184369.1),

Neospora caninum (U03069.1), *Besnoitia besnoiti* (KJ746531.1), *Cystoisospora suis*

(KF854251.1), *Sarcocystis neurona* (KT184371.1), *Hyaloklossia lieberkuehni* (AF298623.1),

Goussia janae (GU479644.1), *Goussia neglecta* (FJ009242.1), *Cyclospora cayetanensis*

(XR_003297358.1), *Eimeria callospermophili* (JQ993648.1), *Eimeria tenella* (KT184354.1),

Eimeria necatrix (KT184349.1), *Eimeria maxima* (KT184346.1), *Eimeria brunetti*

(KT184337.1), and *Eimeria acervulina* (KT184333.1). Sequences were aligned using Clustal

Omega (Sievers et al., 2011) and a consensus phylogenetic tree was generated using Geneious

Prime V2019.1.3 (Invitrogen) using the Jukes-Cantor genetic distance model, neighbor-joining

tree build method, bootstrapped 100x using *C. parvum* as outgroup.

2.8   Generation of *B. bigemima* IMC1a antiserum

We identified five *B. bigemina* IMC proteins by reciprocal BLASTP searches on EuPathDB

(Warrenfeltz et al., 2018) with the 14 *T. gondii* alveolin-domain containing IMC proteins

(Anderson-White et al., 2011): PiroplasmaDB.org accession number BBBOND_0204530,

BBBOND_0401990, BBBOND_0201220, BBBOND_0208040, BBBOND_0300730). *B.*

bigemina BBBOND_0204530, which we named BbIMC1a, was most conserved and the whole

ORF of 600 bp was synthesized by TwistBioscience (San Francisco, CA), amplified with

primers #4831 pAVA-Gibson-Twist-F gaagctcagacccaggg and #4832 pAVA-Gibson-Twist-R

tgcagaacttgttcgtgctg to remove the linkers and cloned by Gibson assembly into *Pme*I/*Nru*I

digested plasmid pAVA0421 (Alexandrov et al., 2004), expressed as a 6-His tag fusion in

Escherichia coli BL21-RIPL, purified by Ni-NTA chromatography under denaturing conditions

(Invitrogen), refolded, and used to immunize a guinea pig (Covance, Inc). Serum was affinity

purified as described previously (Gubbels et al., 2006) against recombinant His6-BbIMC1a.

3   Results

3.1   External budding

Schizogony is defined as: schizo = split (or cleft); gony = birth (genesis of a class of thing). It is

generally understood as meaning "multi-fission" and is applied to division modes producing

more than two daughter cells per division by peripheral (or cortical) budding from the plasma

membrane of a polyploid, multi-nucleated mother cell (Fig 2). However, confusion enters with

the term "schizont", which is more widely defined and used to describe any polyploid

intermediate cell state regardless of division mode (Fig 4-6): schizo = split (or cleft); -ont = a

being (from Greek einai to be) i.e., "to be split". We forewarn that the term 'schizont' is and will

be used across division modes for polyploid cells, and thus will not always refer to schizogony

per se.

3.1.1   Schizogony with karyokinesis

A wide array of Apicomplexa impacting humans or livestock divide by schizogony, such as

nearly all Eimeriidae family members of the Coccidian parasites (Dubremetz, 1973; Joyner and

Long, 1974; Dubremetz and Elsner, 1979; Ferguson et al., 2008), the genus of *Plasmodium* in the

order of Haemosporida (Arnot et al., 2011; Stanway et al., 2011) as well most (but not all!)

piroplasms compromising *Theileria* and *Babesia* spp. (Mehlhorn and Shein, 1984) (Fig 1). The

piroplasms are tick-transmitted parasites that multiply in red blood cells without forming

pigments (order Achromatorida). Both the piroplasms and the *Plasmodium* spp. (order

Chromatorida: form pigments in red blood cells) reside in the clade Haemosporidia for their

shared residence within red blood cells. Our discussion here is based mostly on *Plasmodium*

schizogony in the red blood cells as it is best studied system, however, insights likely apply

widely. A defining principle of schizogony is the disassembly of the mother's cytoskeleton

shortly following completion of host cell invasion, resulting in an amoeboid or pleomorphic cell

(Hepler et al., 1966; Gruring et al., 2011) (Fig 2A2) which then undergoes several cycles of

DNA replication and nuclear division (Fig 2A3,4). These rounds of DNA replication without

budding are controlled by cdc2-related kinase CRK4 which resides in the nucleoplasm and is

associated with phosphorylation of the DNA replication machinery (Ganter et al., 2017).

Interestingly, the mitotic cycles of the nuclei sharing the same cytoplasm are not synchronous.

Mechanistically, this observation is anchored in a differential maturation model of mother and

daughter centrosomes following their duplication. Although this model has been firmly

demonstrated in higher eukaryotes and is defined by proteins in the centrosome's distal and sub-

distal appendages (Bornens and Gonczy, 2014), it has not been formally confirmed in the

Apicomplexa. The last cycle of S/M-phase, in contrast, is synchronous and coupled to the

synchronous budding of the daughters at the end of schizogony (Ferguson et al., 2008; Kono et

al., 2016; Rudlaff et al., 2019) (Fig 2A4,5). Budding follows the activation of the centrosomes'

outer-cores, which is always tied to simultaneous centrosome inner-core activation. The exact

timing of the nuclear cycle before the onset of budding has not been clearly resolved. Notably,

the level of PfCRK4 drops when the budding cycle is about to start, but it is not clear whether

this is the defining signal synchronizing the nuclear cycles and/or activating the budding cycle.

Potentially, a diffusible signaling protein could respond to the depletion of nutrients, the

accumulation of waste, limited space, or act as a quorum sensor, and subsequently synchronize

or halt the nuclear cycle before activating the synchronous budding phase. Since the number of

offspring varies across *Plasmodium* species, even between different *P. falciparum* strains, across

different development stages (e.g. the liver cell expands and produces up to 90,000 merozoites

from infection by a single sporozoite (Vaughan and Kappe, 2017)) there is also a strong,

programmed genetic component determining the number of offspring (Reilly et al., 2007). Either

way, when the 'commitment to budding' checkpoint is cleared, the centrosomes that anchor the

spindle pole and chromosomes, reorient to associate with the plasma membrane, a step which is

mediated by Cyc1 (Robbins et al., 2017). Note that the centrosomes in *Plasmodium* spp.

technically are centriolar plaques as these parasites lack canonical centriolar structures.

Following plasma membrane docking of the centrosomes, the daughter cytoskeletons start to

assemble in connection with the plasma membrane and the buds move outward (Fig 2A4,5). In

P. falciparum the maturation and release of daughters is mediated by CINCH, a contractile

protein in the basal complex (Rudlaff et al., 2019).

3.1.2   Schizogony with limited karyokinesis

Although not separately recognized in the naming conventions, a variation on the classical

schizogony as described in the preceding section occurs during sporozoite formation in the

invertebrate vector wherein sexual development takes place (Fig 1). This happens in the

mosquito midgut for the *Plasmodium* spp (Simonetti, 1996) and in the tick salivary gland for

most piroplasms, including *Theileria* spp. and many *Babesia* spp. (Mehlhorn and Shein, 1984;

Jalovecka et al., 2018). *P. berghei* and *P. falciparum* sporogenesis have been most extensively

studied, which occurs in an extracellular oocyst residing under the basal lamina of the midgut

wall (Simonetti, 1996). Like in classical schizogony, the mother's cytoskeleton is disassembled

resulting in a pleomorphic cell. Here, DNA replication and mitosis are not always followed by

karyokinesis leading to a patchwork of nuclei, with varying levels of ploidy (Fig 2B). Again,

the individual nuclei are at different levels of S-phase and mitosis, however, within one

polyploid nucleus this cycle is largely synchronous (Howells and Davies, 1971b; a; Schrevel et

al., 1977; Sinden and Strong, 1978). Typically, a round of sporogenesis produces thousands of

individual sporozoites from a single mother cell. Similar to classical schizogony, the budding of

daughters from the cortex occurs simultaneously and is coupled to a synchronized round of S-

phase and mitosis (Howells and Davies, 1971a; Schrevel et al., 1977; Araki et al., 2019; Pandey

et al., 2019). This process is conserved in *Theileria* and *Babesia* spp. as well, where multiple and

multi-lobed nuclei are especially prominent (Moltmann et al., 1983). However, some *Babesia*

spp., produce sporozoites through binary fission (Mehlhorn and Shein, 1984).

In summary, the intriguing phenomenon is that karyokinesis seems to be optional in these

polyploid schizonts. The synchronized cycles of mitosis within a single nucleus suggest that

mitotic cycles are organized on the nucleoplasm level just as in classical schizogony, whereas

commitment to budding is a factor shared across the whole cytoplasm. How these events are

controlled at the molecular level has not been determined.

3.1.3   Binary and multiple fission

Binary fission is defined as "the formation of two daughter cells per division round". Among the

Apicomplexa, binary fission is used to describe the division process in the red blood cell stages

of many *Babesia* and *Theileria* parasites. Most *Babesia* spp. form two daughters per division

round, i.e. classical binary fission. However, in the red blood cell cycle several clades of

Babesia, including *Babesia duncani* (Conrad et al., 2006) and *B. microti* (Rudzinska, 1981)*,*

form four merozoites per division round (Maltese cross), as do all *Theileria* spp. (Conrad et al.,

1985; Conrad et al., 1986; Fawcett et al., 1987; Uilenberg, 2006). This process is known as both

schizogony and 'multiple fission', however it is generally considered distinct from schizogony,

which as mentioned above, is a term historically used when many more daughters are produced.

The term "binary fission" does not imply that budding is involved and does not intuitively

connect with "multiple fission", which produces only four daughters per division round, as

defined in schizogony. Due to the lack of clarity on how these processes are related and defined

cell biologically, the exact nature of *Babesia* cell division in the red blood cell remains to be

elucidated. The ambiguity of the used terms (i.e. binary fission, budding, schizogony) to describe

this process further exacerbates this confusion. In a 1978 publication study using transmission

electron microscopy (TEM) the interpretation was as follows (Potgieter and Els, 1977): "The

trophozoites were surrounded by a single membrane, were pleomorphic in shape and contained

large inclusions of host cell cytoplasm, but no cytostomes or food vacuoles could be identified.

Reproduction took place through a process resembling schizogony resulting in the production of

two merozoites, the cytoplasmic constituents of the original trophozoite (mother cell) being

virtually entirely incorporated into the daughter cells in the process". In essence, the

pleomorphic/ameboid trophozoite in combination with the schizogony reference suggest that the

process is conceptually very similar to schizogony, except that term does not capture it since

only two daughters are formed. Here we combine existing data with new insights from *in vitro*

cultivated *Babesia bigemina* to clarify the mechanistic murkiness surrounding binary fission.

B. bigemina is a cattle-infecting, tick-transmitted apicomplexan causing vast economic losses

(Bock et al., 2004; Suarez et al., 2019). From an experimental point of view, *B. bigemina* cell

division is uncomplicated in that it only produces two daughters per division round, and it is a

relatively large *Babesia* spp., making it an ideal candidate for microscopy. We focused on the

key organizers of cell division: the centrosome (or centriolar plaques) and cortical cytoskeleton.

T. gondii Centrin1 antiserum on *B. bigemina* showed a specific, albeit relatively weak, signal,

but only during daughter budding (Fig 3A). It therefore appears that centrosome composition is

dynamic, which was recently also reported for *P. berghei* Centrin4 as this signal was only on the

centrosome during mitosis and budding, but not in mature or recently invaded parasites (Roques

et al., 2019). In contrast, *Plasmodium* Centrin3 is a popular marker of centrosomes and is always

associated with the centrosome, indicating that the dynamics of different Centrins can vary

(Mahajan et al., 2008; Kono et al., 2012). We detected microtubules with α-tubulin MAb 12G10

generated against ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophila* (Jerka-Dziadosz and Frankel, 1995), which

has shown broad reactivity in the Apicomplexa. A spot focused toward the apical end was

observed (Fig 3A), but we never observed tubulin in the nucleus, suggesting this antibody does

not detect the mitotic spindle. In *Plasmodium* the spindle was successfully visualized with

monoclonal antibody B-5-2-1 generated against sea urchin α-tubulin (Gerald et al., 2011), but in

B. bigemina we observed the same patterns as for MAb 12G10 (not shown). In *T. gondii*,

detecting the spindle microtubules has also been challenging, but a polyclonal serum against

TgEB1, a microtubule (+)-end binding protein, was very specific for the spindle (Chen et al.,

2015b). Reactivity of α-TgEB1 in *B. bigemina* across all parasite stages highlighted a spot basal

of the apical tubulin staining (Fig 3B). We interpret that EB1 does not bind to the spindle

microtubules in *B. bigemina*, but instead associates with the (+)-end of the subpellicular

microtubules emanating from the MTOC at the very apical end. This also indicates these

microtubules are relatively short and only cover the very apical cap of the parasite. To better

visualize the cortical cytoskeletal scaffolds that drive budding we tested polyclonal antisera

generated against *T. gondii* IMC proteins (Gubbels et al., 2004; Anderson-White et al., 2011),

but unfortunately none showed a signal. Therefore, we generated a specific *B. bigemina* IMC

antiserum against BBBOND_0204530, the closest relative to the *T. gondii* alveolin domain

containing IMC proteins (Anderson-White et al., 2011), which we named BbIMC1a (Fig S1).

IFAs with α-PbIMC1a nicely highlighted the cortical cytoskeleton during budding, extending

basally beyond the microtubule signal. In mature parasites we observed signal along the entire

length of the merozoite (Fig 3C). In trophozoites we also observed parasites identified by their

DAPI signal with weak or variable intensities of either the IMC1a or tubulin signals that

appeared disorganized, or at least not to outline a merozoite (Fig 3C top panel and middle panel

marked by an asterisk). We interpreted these amorphic signals to represent trophozoites that are

at the stage between invasion and the start of cell division. However, across all parasites in

division we observed parasites forming next to each other, with apical ends emerging first with

V-shape symmetry.

To obtain a higher resolution of the mechanistic steps in the *B. bigemina* division process we

performed TEM. We focused on stages after the parasites escaped from their vacuole and entered

G1 growth phase (trophozoites). We observed a high frequency of zoites wherein the IMC

breaks into 5-6 pieces, still in curved structures on the edge consistent with an ameboid or

pleomorphic status for the zoites (Fig 3D1). This consistent observation suggests that

disassembly of the mother cytoskeleton is spatially organized resulting in a symmetrical

appearance, which reflect the trophozoites identified by IFA (Fig 3C). Similar observation on

disassembling mother IMC have been reported for *Plasmodium* ookinetes in the mosquito

midgut (Carter et al., 2007), and for sporozoites in liver cells, where regularly spaced breaks

occur in the IMC, although not quite as symmetrically organized as seen here in *B. bigemina*

(Jayabalasingham et al., 2010). In a budding zoite two daughter buds are emerging on one side of

the cell (like rabbit ears), and not on polar opposites (Fig 3D2). The shape of the nucleus is also

consistent with the anchoring of the nucleus at the apical end of the daughters, which, by using

other division modes as a guide, we assume is through the spindle poles (Potgieter and Els,

1977). For the growing stages, we captured many transverse cross sections of two side-by-side

budding daughters with the nucleus at various stages of division (Fig 3D 3-5). Finally,

completely formed daughters appear side by side under a similar angle as the early daughter buds

(compare the orange arrows in Fig 3D2 with 3D6). Additional TEM images of *Babesia* buds in a

V orientation consistent with our data have been reported elsewhere (Friedhoff and Scholtyseck,

1977; Potgieter and Els, 1977; Scholtyseck, 1979). A section through the basal ends of still

connected *Babesia divergens* parasites (predominantly a cattle parasite but opportunistic in

humans) displays an electron dense structure on the basal end of the IMC consistent with a basal

complex (Fig 3E). Although we did not capture a section through this structure in *B. bigemina*, a

similar appearance of the basal complex in *B. bigemina* has been reported previously (Potgieter

and Els, 1977).

Overall, the budding of daughters in *B. bigemina* shares many features seen across asexual

apicomplexan development (Fig 3F). First, the angle between the daughter buds fits with the

pleuromitosis (closed mitosis with the spindle poles in close proximity at one side of the nucleus)

model dictated by closely apposed centrosomes at the apically defining side of the nucleus. This

is observed across the Apicomplexa that have been studied (Gerald et al., 2011; Francia and

Striepen, 2014). As a result, daughter buds are formed next to each other rather than in opposing

orientations (middle panel Fig 3F3-5). The *B. bigemina* trophozoite has an ameboid appearance

after invasion and cytoskeleton is disassembled (Fig 3E1, 3F2; and (Potgieter and Els, 1977)),

which likewise is observed during the corresponding stage of *P. falciparum* (Gruring et al.,

2011). Taken together, these data firmly illustrate that the processes known as binary fission and

schizogony, and by extension multiple fission as seen in *Theileria* spp., are mechanistically the

same and only differ in the number of nuclear replication cycles before the onset of cytokinesis.

Collectively, we now group these division forms together under the umbrella "external (aka

cortical) budding"

3.1.3   Other variations on cortical budding

Schizogony by cortical budding as described in the preceding sections are found in various

asexual stages. Other forms on cortical budding can be found in sexual development stages,

which we will briefly highlight here to illustrate the spectrum of division modes. *Plasmodium*

male gametocytogenesis produces flagellated microgametes in a process known as

"exflagellation". This process occurs in the red blood cell and unfolds by several fast rounds of

S/M-phase resulting in a single polyploid nucleus (Sinden et al., 1978; Sinden, 1983). The

cortical 'budding' of microgametes, which at the cytoskeletal level basically are a single

flagellum and only contain microtubules, progresses while the nuclear material partitions.

Interestingly, a recent study using Ndc80 as a marker for the kinetochores demonstrated that the

genome size universally increases to 8N, but that chromosome replication is asynchronous

(Pandey et al., 2019). This is in contrast to what is observed during asexual schizogony, where

the rule is that the mitotic cycles are synchronous within a shared nucleoplasm.

In addition, microgametocyte formation of *T. gondii* also progresses through a cortical

budding process (Ferguson et al., 1974). As discussed in detail below, it is salient to note that the

asexual division of *T. gondii* is by internal budding, not through cortical budding. *T. gondii*

microgametocytes formation plays out by the association of 1N nuclei in a multi-nucleated

microgamont with plasma membrane of the mother cell. Interestingly, the mother cell

(macrogamont) maintains an IMC where in holes are present to facilitate association of the

nuclei with the plasma membrane. From here, bi-flagellated microgametes bud outward in a

cortical budding process (Ferguson et al., 2008). Interestingly, this process is conserved across

the Coccidia, as it is also reported for *Eimeria* spp. which, in contrast to *T. gondii*, replicate

asexually by schizogony (Ferguson et al., 1980) (Fig 1). Taken together, the notable features here

are maintenance of the cortical cytoskeleton (Dubey et al., 2017) in combination with cortical

budding while S-phase, mitosis and karyokinesis have been completed before the onset

'budding'.

3.2   Internal budding

3.2.1   Endodyogeny

Endodyogeny is defined as: endo = inner/internal; dyo = two; geny = genesis, meaning birth;

production/generation/origin. This term is applied to a type of reproduction in which two

daughters are formed within a parent cell (Goldman et al., 1958; Sheffield and Melton, 1968).

Endodyogeny has been described in detail for *T. gondii* tachyzoites (Nishi et al., 2008;

Anderson-White et al., 2012) and is employed as well by its closest evolutionary neighbors

comprising several genera of the Sarcocystidae (Fig 1, 4). These include *Hammondia*, *Neospora*

and *Besnotia* spp. as well as the tissue cyst-forming stages of *Sarcocystis neurona.* During

endodyogeny, the mother's cytoskeleton is not disassembled following completion of invasion,

and two daughter buds assemble on centrosomes residing within the cytoplasm. Only at the very

last stage of daughter budding is the mother's cytoskeleton disassembled and is the plasma

membrane deposited on the new daughters, which is mediated by recruitment of the "gliding

motor complex" to the IMC. This complex contains a multi-acylated protein glideosome

associated protein, GAP45, that is anchored in both the plasma membrane and the IMC outer

membrane and 'zippers' these structures together in an apical to basal direction (Gaskins et al.,

2004; Frenal et al., 2014). Many of the cell cycle checkpoints throughout endodyogeny have

been resolved. Specifically, two checkpoints have been described upon commitment to mitosis

and budding, one likely dedicated to mitosis and the other to budding. This is thought to facilitate

the uncoupling of S/M cycles from budding in the multi-daughter division modes and

differentially activate the centrosome inner- and outer-cores (Suvorova et al., 2015; Naumov et

al., 2017; White and Suvorova, 2018). Like in schizogony, daughter budding occurs in sync with

S/M-phase and karyokinesis. Upon emergence of daughters, a narrow cytoplasmic bridge at the

basal end remains connected with a residual body containing remnants of the mother cell, which

will be largely resorbed into the daughters (Frenal et al., 2017b; Periz et al., 2019). As a result,

the daughter parasites remain in contact with each other and could therefore have the false

appearance of endopolygeny. To differentiate these two processes, this division process has been

referred to as 'repeated endodyogeny'.

3.2.3   Endopolygeny

Endopolygeny is defined as: endo = inner/internal; poly = multiple; geny= genesis/birth. This

term is used to describe the reproduction types wherein more than two individuals are formed

simultaneously within the cytoplasm (i.e. not from the cortical periphery) of a polyploid parent

cell. One of the key features of internal budding is that the mother's cytoskeleton is maintained

throughout cell division and only dissembles just before the emergence of almost completely

assembled daughters. Although not differentiated in the naming conventions, two sub-forms can

be distinguished: either the polyploid mother cell can be multinucleate, or it can contain one

large polyploid nucleus depending on whether karyokinesis follows each round of S-phase. Both

these forms of budding are found within the tissue cyst-forming Coccidia, more specifically the

Sarcocystidae (Fig 1, 5, 6). These division forms are related to endodyogeny, since the mother's

cytoskeleton is maintained throughout the division cycle until the maturation of the daughter

cells. We define these three forms here collectively as "internal budding". Internal budding by

the Sarcocystidae is unique among the Coccidia as other families, notably the closely related

Eimeriidae, replicate by schizogony (Fig 1). Two emerging systems exist to study the variations

in progression of endopolygeny: *Sarcocystis neurona* is a model for studies representing the

form without completing karyokinesis (Fig 5) (Vaishnava et al., 2005; Dubey et al., 2017),

whereas merogony of *Cystoisospora suis* provides an accessible *in vitro* model for the form

including karyokinesis after each S/M-round (Fig 6) (Worliczek et al., 2013).

3.2.3.1 Endopolygeny with karyokinesis

C. suis is the causative agent of suckling piglet coccidiosis (Shrestha et al., 2015). Although

phylogenetically *C. suis* falls within the cyst-forming Coccidia (Fig 1), tissue cysts have never

been observed and the known coccidian development cycle is monoxenic in pigs: the absence of

tissue cyst forming capacity is likely a secondary loss in this species (Stuart et al., 1982; Shrestha

et al., 2015). *C. suis* asexually replicates in epithelial cells of the porcine small intestine by

endodyogeny and endopolygeny, depending on the generation of asexual stages (alternatively

called types: meronts/merozoites type I, II and subtype II (Matuschka and Heydorn, 1980). Early

asexual division after infection of the gut epithelium is restricted to endodyogeny (often in

consecutive cycles within one parasitophorous vacuole, i.e. 'repeated endodyogeny'), whereas

endopolygeny is described from day 3-4 post infection onwards *in vivo* (Lindsay et al., 1980;

Matuschka and Heydorn, 1980) and from day 7 onward *in vitro* (Worliczek et al., 2013),

concurrent with cells replicating by endodyogeny.

As for *B. bigemina*, we tracked *in vitro* progression of *C. suis* development using the

toolbox of reagents we established for *T. gondii*. In *C. suis* zoites undergoing endopolygeny, the

mother's subpellicular microtubule cytoskeleton is clearly visible in large polyploid cells as an

apically concentrated microtubular accumulation joined in the mother's conoid (Fig 5A-D). This

confirms the mother's cytoskeleton is maintained throughout endopolygeny. To track the

progression of S/M-phase across the nuclei we used the kinetochore component Nuf2 (Farrell

and Gubbels, 2014) in combination with acetylated α-tubulin, which marks the spindle poles

during mitosis (note that spindle microtubules disassemble during interphase (Farrell and

Gubbels, 2014; Chen et al., 2015b)). The first observation is that the status of mitosis (spindle

visible by tubulin stain) and the status of kinetochore separation varies among nuclei within the

same cell (Fig 5A, B). Furthermore, it is evident that parasites expand non-geometrically, as

counted by spindle poles per nucleus varying from 3, 4, 5, to 6 are seen in Fig 5A and B, whereas

12 budding daughters, i.e. non-geometrically expanded, can be discerned in Fig 5C, D. These

two observations indicate that the nuclear division cycles are not synchronous, which is

consistent with expansion numbers described in the literature (e.g. (Lindsay et al., 1980;

Matuschka and Heydorn, 1980)). Here we show for the first time that this is associated with

asynchronous nuclear replication cycles. Finally, we show that the final round of mitosis is

synchronous for all nuclei and is coupled with daughter budding, as seen in schizogony. Our

insights are summarized in the schematic of Fig 5E. Based on the details revealed here, we

conclude that like in schizogony, the S/M-phase progression are controlled at the nuclear level,

most likely differing maturity of the mother and daughter centrosomes, but that the commitment

to budding is synchronized across the cytoplasm.

Reports on *T. gondii* endopolygeny suggest geometric expansion of nuclei: 8–16 progeny per

endopolygeny replication cycle in the cat gut have been observed (Ferguson et al., 1974).

Historically, the sexual cycle of *T. gondii* has been poorly experimentally accessible, but *in vitro*

completion of the sexual cycle in cat intestinal organoids was recently reported, which is

expected to provide experimental accessibility (Martorelli Di Genova et al., 2019). To date, *T.*

gondii endopolygeny studies have not been so comprehensive to support a strong conclusion in

this matter.

An additional notable observation in Fig 5A is the presence of multiple parasites within a

single vacuole undergoing endopolygeny. It has been described before that several multinucleate

meronts can be present in a single vacuole, which indicates that more than one asexual division

occurs in the same cell (Lindsay et al., 1980). As such, this reveals that multiple rounds of

budding within the same vacuole are not exclusively found during endodyogeny as described

above for *T. gondii*. This phenomenon therefore highlights that the timing of budding is

determined by a genetic program, and not controlled by environmental factors, which we also

concluded for schizogony.

3.2.3.1 Endopolygeny without karyokinesis

S. neurona has a sylvatic cycle in the Americas with small mammals as intermediate hosts and

the opossum as the definitive host. However, accidental infection of horses can cause equine

protozoal myeloencephalitis (EPM) (Reed et al., 2016). Using the same strategy as mentioned

above, we observe an apical concentration of microtubules across cells with progressively larger

single nuclei indicating the mother's cytoskeleton is maintained throughout endopolygeny

(arrowheads in Fig 6A). Furthermore, the *S. neurona* IMC can be visualized through

overexpression of TgIMC15-YFP, which highlights the sutures in the IMC of the mother

throughout development (Fig 6B) (Dubey et al., 2016). When the cell prepares for budding

IMC15 also appears on the centrosomes at which point the mother's IMC is still prominently

present (Fig 6B2). Only at the conclusion of the budding process is the mother's cytoskeleton

disassembled, which mimics the dynamics of the IMC during *T. gondii* endodyogeny (Anderson-

White et al., 2011; Dubey et al., 2016).

In contrast, to *C. suis*, the S/M cycles of *S. neurona* are completely synchronized: in Fig 6A2

we observe a cell in interphase characterized by absence of spindle microtubules, whereas the

right cell displays spindle microtubules associated with each centrosome. This confirms previous

observations (Vaishnava et al., 2005), and indicates that when the nucleoplasm is shared, the

individual status of centrosome maturation is overruled, likely by a factor diffusing in the

nucleoplasm. Late in development the large polyploid 32N nucleus shifts into a multi-lobed,

'serpentine' morphology (Fig 6A3), which sets the stage for a final round of S/M-phase now

coupled to karyokinesis and internal budding resulting in 64 daughters (Fig 6A4). Thus, when

the S/M-phase are not followed by karyokinesis the nuclear cycles remain synchronized and

progeny number are the result of geometric expansion.

3.2.4   Budding without multiplication and multiplication without budding

The spectrum of division modes not yet covered spans two more manifestations in sexual

development stages. The first, budding without multiplication, is the formation of kinetes in the

Heamosporidia. The *Plasmodium* spp. generate ookinetes from a fertilized zygote (ploidity of 2-

4N in a single nucleus) that cross the mosquito gut wall (reviewed in (Angrisano et al., 2012;

Bennink et al., 2016)). Although the zygote lacks a cortical cytoskeleton, the ookinete has a

complete cortical cytoskeleton comprising IMC and cortical microtubules. This cortical

cytoskeleton is formed by the ookinete through from the cortex of the zygote in absence of

karyokinesis, though a budding stage dubbed 'retort' (Canning and Sinden, 1973; Carter et al.,

2007). Yet even more exotic variations occur in the piroplasms; both *Theileria* and *Babesia* spp.

produce kinetes, which do not bud from the cortex, but into an internal vacuole formed inside the

zygote (see (Mehlhorn and Shein, 1984) for a detailed review). Thus, cortical budding can be

uncoupled from the nuclear cycle in the zygote stages.

The second variation is multiplication without budding, of which there are three different,

possibly related examples. The first is found in the *Babesia* spp. kinetes, which following their

formation in the tick gut, cross the midgut and migrate to the tick ovary. Here, the cortical

cytoskeleton disassembles and the cell transforms into a pleomorphic cell (Moltmann et al.,

1982; Mehlhorn and Shein, 1984). Subsequently, several rounds of S/M-phase without

karyokinesis occur to produce a multiploid, lobed nucleus. In a process not understood at neither

the mechanistic nor molecular level, these large cells divide into multiple cells, each with a

single nucleus. Surprisingly, neither the mother nor the daughter cells have a cortical

cytoskeleton. This indicates that at this life stage cell division is independent of any form of

budding, and thus indicates that in Apicomplexa the cortical cytoskeleton is not a strict

requirement for cell division. From each of these pleomorphic cells a new kinete then forms by

budding mediated by cortical cytoskeleton formation into an internal vacuole as described above.

The released kinetes then migrate to the salivary glands where they undergo sporogenesis.

The second example of multiplication without budding is a binary variation on the above

process found in some *Babesia* spp. (Mehlhorn and Shein, 1984). Kinetes that invaded the tick

salivary gland disassemble their cytoskeleton and undergo one round of S/M-phase, karyokinesis

and cell division. However, no cortical cytoskeleton is assembled during these binary division

rounds. The cortical cytoskeleton is reportedly assembled slowly during the last couple of

division rounds resulting in mature sporozoites. Thus, the salient details are that this process is

binary and appears to be efficient with resources as the cytoskeleton is only assembled in the last

round (unlike endodyogeny, where a complete parasite is assembled each multiplication round).

This process has been described for *B. canis* (Schein et al., 1979), a dog parasite, and *B. bovis*

(Potgieter and Els, 1976), a cattle parasite, but likely occurs widely across *Babesia* spp.

exclusively replicating by binary fission in the red blood cell (Fig 1) (Mehlhorn and Shein,

1984). Thus, across all life stages, these particular *Babesia* parasites seem to have lost the ability

to produce more than two daughters per division round, providing a model system to unravel the

specifics of the genetic program and regulatory network.

The third example is the process of sporoblast formation in the Coccidia. This occurs within

the oocysts released into the environment. Depending on the species, the zygotes can divide

themselves into 2 to 4 sporoblasts (this number is a defining feature in diagnosis of fecal oocysts;

not dividing is an option as well (Gardiner et al., 1998)). Inside the sporoblasts 2-4 sporozoites

form through a budding mechanism coupled to DNA replication. Although studied in many

parasites, *T. gondii* produces two sporoblasts and four sporozoites per sporoblast and as such is

the simplest form. Consistent with EM data (Ferguson et al., 1979a), it was recently shown that

neither microtubules nor IMC proteins were involved in *T. gondii* sporoblast formation (Dubey

et al., 2016). Considering the process and life stage, this process therefore appears akin to kinete

multiplication in *Babesia* spp., as described above. This thus seems to connect these two

parasites across a large phylogenetic distance, which begs the question whether this is an

ancestral connection, or a case of convergent evolution.

4.   Discussion

The picture of cell division across all life stages of the Apicomplexa is that principle differences

exist between the sexual and asexual cell division strategies. In the sexual stages, cell number

expansion is not necessarily related to budding a daughter cytoskeleton. This shows that budding

is in principle not required for apicomplexan cell division, but there are very few mechanistical

or molecular details available for these division modes. The other insight is that all invasive

zoites with an apical complex form by a budding strategy, which is initiated and coordinated by

the centrosome's outer-core and proceeds in an apical to basal assembly direction. In all the

asexual life cycle stages zoite budding is coupled to a complete nuclear cycle (S/M-phase plus

karyokinesis). Another general rule across asexual development is that budding is synchronized

across the whole cell. This indicates that the centrosome outer-core activation is always coupled

to inner-core activation in asexual stages on a shared cytoplasm-wide level (e.g. a diffusible

kinase or kinase substrate). The exceptions to this rule are only found in sexual stages:

microgametocytogenesis and (oo)kinete formation in the Haemosproridia.

Besides these general rules, there are several variations within asexual division modes which

partition into two mechanistically different strategies: internal budding and external budding (Fig

1). Internal budding comprises endodyogeny and the two variations on endopolygeny, whereas

external budding captures the two variations on schizogony, binary fission, and multiple fission.

The number of offspring in each strategy can vary from two (endodyogeny and binary fission) to

several orders of magnitude higher (>10,000 in schizogony). At the furthest extreme are several

bovine-infecting *Theileria* spp. of which the schizonts in the white bloods that trigger

transformation of their lymphocyte host cells (i.e. leukemia) resulting in division and expansion

of the parasites schizont stage along with their host cell (Luder et al., 2009; Chakraborty et al.,

2017). As remarked throughout, the number of daughter cells per division round in each life

stage is largely genetically controlled, but the details on the controls are just starting to emerge.

Overlapping with the genetic switch committing to budding is the bi-partite centrosome

cycle. The apicomplexan centrosome and mitotic cycles are controlled by Nek and Aurora

kinases (Reininger et al., 2011; Carvalho et al., 2013; Chen and Gubbels, 2013; Berry et al.,

2016; Berry et al., 2018). In *T. gondii* it has been demonstrated that the switch from solely a

nuclear cycle to a combined nuclear and budding cycle is controlled by a MAP kinase-like

protein (Brown et al., 2014; Sugi et al., 2015; Suvorova et al., 2015). Ultimately, cell cycle

progression and the activation of each core is regulated by cyclin and CDK pairs adapted to each

apicomplexan cycle, as they likely act independently on the inner- and outer-centrosome cores

(Le Roch et al., 2000; Merckx et al., 2003; Alvarez and Suvorova, 2017; Ganter et al., 2017;

Naumov et al., 2017; Robbins et al., 2017; White and Suvorova, 2018). An open question is the

identity on the factor(s) controlling the pause of nuclear cycles across parasites prior to the final

round of coupled S/M-phase and budding in the polynucleate division modes.

The control of the nuclear cycle appears to depend on the ploidy of the nucleoplasm. When

each S/M-phase is followed by karyokinesis, then the cycles of individual nuclei are diverging.

Mechanistically, this observation is anchored in differential maturation of mother and daughter

centrosomes following their duplication; the mother centrosome is sooner primed for another

round of replication. This mechanism has been firmly demonstrated in higher eukaryotes and is

defined by proteins in the centrosome's distal and sub-distal appendages (Bornens and Gonczy,

2014). However, this has not been directly demonstrated yet for the apicomplexan centrosome

(Morlon-Guyot et al., 2017; Courjol and Gissot, 2018; Chen and Gubbels, 2019). At first sight,

contrasting insight comes from synchronized endodyogeny observed in *T. gondii* tachyzoites.

Upon completion of cell division, *T. gondii* daughters stay connected through a cytoplasmic actin

bridge maintained by Myosin I (Frenal et al., 2017b; Periz et al., 2017). If this bridge is intact, all

conjoined tachyzoites undergo endodyogeny in synchrony resulting in geometric expansion

numbers. However, the cell division cycles of parasites sharing the same vacuole become

uncoordinated if the bridge is disrupted. Strengthening this conclusion is the observation that 4-

5% of tachyzoites by chance form multiple (3-4) daughters per division round: the timing of

daughter budding is still synchronized with the other parasites in the vacuole despite the fact that

these tachyzoites have undergone two rounds of S/M phase (Hu et al., 2004). For signaling

purposes, these paradoxical "multi-daughter endodyogenic" parasites are still in the

synchronized cycle of "S/M coupled to budding" state consistent with endodyogeny.

Accumulating insights from various mutants displaying increased incidences of "multi-daughter

endodyogenic" parasites (Dubey et al., 2017) suggests that such parasites fail to start budding

because they are missing membrane building blocks to assemble the daughter IMC, and then slip

into the next cell cycle while remaining in the "S/M coupled to budding" state.

When karyokinesis does not follow each S/M phase polyploid nuclei are formed. In *S.*

neurona endopolygeny, karyokinesis does not occur at all till the onset of budding, but in

Heamosporidioan sporogenesis, karyokinesis is more optional, which leads to a mix of nuclei

with various levels of ploidy. However, the mitotic cycle within each nucleoplasm appears to be

coordinated (Gerald et al., 2011; Roques et al., 2015), suggesting this level of control is not at the

centrosome level, but likely the chromatin or nucleoplasm level. The control and mechanism of

karyokinesis are not understood, which is also the case in well-studied model eukaryotes

dividing by closed mitosis such as *Aspergillus nidulans*, fission yeast, and baker's yeast. The

challenge in polyploid nuclei is to keep the multiple sets of chromosomes together so they can be

accurately partitioned into the daughters. The solution is that the chromosomes remain clustered

throughout the cell cycle by tethering the centromeres to the nuclear lamina. For instance, in *T.*

gondii the 13 centromeres and associated kinetochores remain clustered at the centrocone, a

nuclear envelope fold that houses the spindle microtubules during mitosis (Brooks et al., 2011;

Farrell and Gubbels, 2014). Similar observations have been made throughout the *Plasmodium*

life cycle using kinetochore markers (Pandey et al., 2019). Strayed chromosomes are rarely

observed but individual centromeres are seen during interphase in the *Plasmodium* schizogony in

the red blood cell. However, all centromeres cluster together again at the spindle pole before

entering mitosis (Hoeijmakers et al., 2012). Clearly, centromere clustering and sequestration at

the nuclear envelope through the kinetochores is an effective strategy to warrant that complete

sets of chromosomes are maintained in polyploid nuclei.

None of the above addresses why there are two different forms of budding; i.e. internal vs

external. We will try to address this by looking at the phylogeny. The first question is whether

either internal budding or external budding are an innovation or ancestral process. Since not

enough cell division details are known for Apicomplexa outside those groups included in Fig 1, a

firm answer is not possible. In favor of loss of internal budding is the generally more reduced

genome and streamlined biology found in the *Plasmodium* spp. and the piroplasms (e.g. host cell

invasion (Gubbels and Duraisingh, 2012)). Alternatively, in favor of innovation of internal

budding in the cyst-forming Coccidia is the putative advantage evidenced during *T. gondii*

endodyogeny. In this case, the mother parasite remains invasion- and egress-competent

throughout most of the replication cycle, hence increasing the resilience of the parasite in the

dynamic host system (i.e. immune attacks) to move between host cells (Gaji et al., 2011). In

contrast, during *S. neurona* endopolygeny the micronemes disappear halfway through the

division process, which depletes their invasion capacity (Vaishnava et al., 2005); hence, this

model does not hold true for the polyploid internal budding modes. Alternatively, it can be

argued that the maintenance of a cortical cytoskeleton in the mother makes the parasites more

resistant to mechanical stress, but it is not immediately obvious how this sets the tissue cyst-

forming Coccidia apart from parasites dividing by external budding. As all of the Coccidia have

oral transmission routes, each species exhibits asexual stages in gut epithelial cells; indeed, the

non-cyst forming Coccidia complete their whole cycle in the intestinal epithelium. This

revelation suggests that the differentiation of internal from external budding might be coupled to

the innovation of tissue cyst formation. The requirements for cyst formation comprise dispersion

throughout the host beyond the gut-epithelium and assembly of the cyst in neuronal and/or

muscular tissue, which do not have obvious links to division modes. Both the tissue cyst

inhabiting bradyzoite stages of *T. gondii* and *S. neurona* (pre-bradyzoite metrocytes as well)

undergo endodyogeny; only the acute stage of *S. neurona* divides by endopolygeny (Dubey et

al., 2001). In addition, although the acute stage of *S. neurona* dissolves the vacuolar

compartment and replicates in the host cell cytoplasm, bradyzoite multiplication and cyst

formation occur within a vacuole (Jakel et al., 2001). Cyst expansion has to occur gradually so as

not to compromise the cyst wall, which obviously is more compatible with endodyogeny than

endopolygeny. Notably, bradyzoite replication within *T. gondii* cysts is asynchronous and is

consistent with this model (Watts et al., 2015).

An outlier is *Eimeria callospermophili,* a rodent parasite that replicates by endopolygeny

rather than schizogony, and as far as known, does not form tissue cysts (Roberts et al., 1970;

Hammond, 1973). *E. callospermophili* undergoes several rounds of S-phase that are each

followed by karyokinesis to produce 4-10 nuclei per schizont while the mother's cytoskeleton is

maintained. In synchrony, two daughters per nucleus bud internally and the plasma membrane is

acquired when the daughter are about 1/3 developed, resulting in 8-20 merozoites. This suggests

internal budding was likely present before the advent of tissue cyst formation. However, the

phylogenetic position of this parasite is on the edge of the *Eimeria* spp. (Fig 1). To complicate

matters further, genome information has demonstrated that the *Eimeria* spp. and the *Isopora* spp.

branch within each other and that neither are monophyletic clades (Kvicerova and Hypsa, 2013).

Furthermore, the mechanism of cortical budding occurs across the Coccidia sexual cycle during

microgametocyte formation (Ferguson et al., 1974; Ferguson et al., 2008). Hence, parasites can

exhibit both cell division modes at different stages of their life-cycles – internal and external

budding. We also know that sporozoite formation in *T. gondii* occurs through an internal budding

mechanism in the absence of a maternal cytoskeleton (Ferguson et al., 1979b; Dubey et al.,

2017). Interestingly, the timing of plasma membrane association with the cytoskeleton is much

earlier in this situation compared to the other asexual stages occurring in presence of a maternal

cytoskeleton, which indicates the timing of events is flexible. Taken together, there appears to be

a continuum between the morphogenic features of the Coccidia, suggesting that there is a

significant level of plasticity in biology and division modes. Further insights may result from the

investigation of parasite species straddling the two division modes; for example, the *Goussia*

spp. bridging the cyst-forming and non-cyst forming Coccidia (Fig 1) (Barta et al., 2001). The

Goussia spp. are found in fish, reptiles and amphibians, which have not been extensively studied

at the cell biological level (Rosenthal et al., 2016). Overall, why the two different extant forms of

budding exist cannot be answered with satisfaction based on the factors we considered.

This leaves the question of what the mechanistic differences are between internal and

external budding. The key question here is how parasites transitioned between the general

strategies of external vs internal budding. A principal difference is rooted in the localization of

the centrosome. In cortical budding the centrosomes reorient to the plasma membrane, whereas

during internal budding they remain in the cytoplasm. A simple model suggests that the presence

of the mother's cytoskeleton physically prevents access of the centrosome to the plasma

membrane. Yet, it is unlikely such a simplistic model fully describes this complicated process. A

structure anchoring the centrosome to the cortical cytoskeleton has been described for *T. gondii*

endodyogeny; a striated fiber assemblin (SFA), extending from the centrosome to the conoid

(Francia et al., 2012). SFA fibers are typically found in the flagellar assembly of algae, where

they contribute to orientation of the basal body rootlet system relative to other subcellular

structures (Francia et al., 2015). During *Eimeria necatrix* schizogony, a dense structure anchors

the centrosome to the plasma membrane. This structure migrates basally along with the cortical

microtubules during the progression of daughter bud assembly (Dubremetz, 1975), and might be

related to the SFA fiber. Such a structure has not been described for *Plasmodium* schizogony in

the red blood cell, although the SFA genes are conserved in *Plasmodium* spp. (Lechtreck, 2003) .

Thus, SFA-like structures anchoring the centrosome to the plasma membrane have been

observed in *Eimeria* schizogony (Dubremetz, 1971) and even in *Theileria equi* sporozoite

schizogony (Moltmann et al., 1983) and appear to be a differentiating factor between internal and

external budding. Further study of SFA genes across the Apicomplexa may reveal the nature of

how nuclei are anchored in the buds across the different division modes.

Several more principle difference between internal budding relative to cortical budding exist.

For example, the mother and daughter cytoskeletons must be differentially stabilized upon

completion of budding. What are the putative mechanisms? It has been shown that a timely

regulated proteolysis which removes the C-terminus of the major network component, IMC1,

coinciding with conversion of the network from a detergent-labile to a detergent-resistant state

late in *T. gondii* daughter cell development (Mann et al., 2002). On the other hand, ubiquitination

of the mother's cytoskeleton marks it for destruction (Silmon de Monerri et al., 2015; Dhara and

Sinai, 2016). Although the former informs about maturation and the latter about destabilization,

neither informs directly on the basis of differential stability. In parallel, some of the maternal

building blocks (e.g. IMC proteins, glideosome) are recycled in the final growth spurt of the

daughters (Ouologuem and Roos, 2014; Periz et al., 2019). An additional mechanism is provided

by differential components present on either mother (e.g. MSC1b (Lorestani et al., 2012),

GAP45 (Gaskins et al., 2004), or IMC7, 12, 14, 17, 18 and 20 (Anderson-White et al., 2011;

Chen et al., 2015a)) or daughter parasite (e.g. IMC16, 29 (Chen et al., 2015a; Chen et al., 2016)),

or swapping places from mother to daughter (e.g. SPM3, (Samad et al., 2015)). Such differential

composition of mother and daughters may be factors in differential stability, but to date no single

factor provides a satisfactory explanation.

Another potential problem posed by the mother's cytoskeleton during internal budding

occurs in the growth phase, where it restricts access to nutrients and complicates the ability to

expel waste. This is most relevant for the expanding cell during endopolygeny with large

cytoplasmic mass. Although both the apical and basal extremes of the cytoskeleton have

openings toward the plasma membrane, they are relatively small and it is not clear whether they

are sufficient for the level of exchange needed. However, it is not clear whether the sutures

between the alveoli are permeable for diffusion of small molecules, which would void this

argument. Either way, a set of apical annuli largely composed of AAP proteins residing in the

IMC sutures were recently suggested to function as pores across the IMC (Engelberg et al., 2019;

Lentini et al., 2019). In support of this hypothesis is the narrow conservation of AAP protein

orthologs only in the Sarcocystidae, indicating their function is most likely in support of internal

budding. However, disruption of apical annuli structure resulted in a minor fitness loss of *T.*

gondii tachyzoites, which might be because endodyogeny poses rather limited demands on

exchange, especially compared to endopolygenic replication modes where the mother

cytoskeleton could pose a much larger barrier. An alternative role for the apical annuli is as a

gateway for a burst in dense granule secretion following completion of invasion (Carruthers and

Sibley, 1997): electron microscopy studies place dense granule release at this moment in an

apical location consistent with the position of the annuli (Dubremetz et al., 1993). Additional

studies on the role of the annuli during endopolygeny are needed to firmly differentiate between

these two potential roles.

In conclusion, we define two principally different asexual cell division modes, external and

internal budding, which can both produce as few as two daughters per division round, but in

most situations produce many more daughters per division round. The bipartite centrosome

model and the identification of several regulators of cell cycle progression and checkpoints in

recent years provide a framework to explain these division modes, however the evolutionary

history and cell biological features defining, organizing and executing the various division

modes are much less clear. Expanding genetic and cell biological toolboxes for parasites

representing the various division modes provide exciting future avenues toward resolving the

exotic apicomplexan cell division modes and shedding light on the evolutionary pressures that

select for diversification and choices for different division modes at different developmental

stages.

5   Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

6   Author Contributions Statement

MG, HW, DH and MD conceived the experiments, HW performed the *C. suis* experiments, SD

and DH performed the *S. neurona* experiments, MG, CK, AP, BE, and CB performed the

Babesia experiments, KE and MG performed SIM microscopy, BE and IC performed electron

microscopy, MG wrote the manuscript and all authors edited the manuscript.

7   Funding

This study was supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) Major Research

Instrumentation grant 1626072, National Institute of Health grants AI110690 (MJG), AI110638

(MJG), AI128136 (MJG), AI144856 (MJG), and AI128480 (MTD), an American Heart

Association pre-doctoral fellowship19PRE34380106 (CDK), a Profillinien start-up grant of the

University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna PP16110262 (HLW), an Australian NHMRC CJ

Martin fellowship (BE), a post-doctoral fellowship grant 17POST33670577 (KE), a Knights

Templar Eye Foundation Career Starter Award (KE), and USDA NIFA grant 2009-65109-05918

(DKH). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to

publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

8   Acknowledgements

We thank Bret Judson and the Boston College Imaging Core as well as Stephan Handschuh and

the Imaging Core of the University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna for infrastructure and

support, Drs. Naomi Morrissette, Jaime Tarigo, and Jeff Dvorin for discussion, and Drs. David

Allred, Kirk Deitsch and Laura Kirkman for sharing reagents.

9   References

Al-Khattaf, F.S., Tremp, A.Z., and Dessens, J.T. (2015). Plasmodium alveolins possess distinct but structurally and functionally related multi-repeat domains. *Parasitol Res* 114**,** 631-639.

Alexandrov, A., Vignali, M., Lacount, D.J., Quartley, E., De Vries, C., De Rosa, D., Babulski, J., Mitchell, S.F., Schoenfeld, L.W., Fields, S., Hol, W.G., Dumont, M.E., Phizicky, E.M., and Grayhack, E.J. (2004). A facile method for high-throughput co-expression of protein pairs. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 3**,** 934-938.

Alvarez, C.A., and Suvorova, E.S. (2017). Checkpoints of apicomplexan cell division identified in Toxoplasma gondii. *PLoS Pathog* 13**,** e1006483.

Anderson-White, B.R., Beck, J.R., Chen, C.T., Meissner, M., Bradley, P.J., and Gubbels, M.J. (2012). Cytoskeleton assembly in Toxoplasma gondii cell division. *Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.* 298**,** 1-31.

Anderson-White, B.R., Ivey, F.D., Cheng, K., Szatanek, T., Lorestani, A., Beckers, C.J., Ferguson, D.J., Sahoo, N., and Gubbels, M.J. (2011). A family of intermediate filament-like proteins is sequentially assembled into the cytoskeleton of Toxoplasma gondii. *Cell Microbiol* 13**,** 18-31.

Angrisano, F., Tan, Y.H., Sturm, A., Mcfadden, G.I., and Baum, J. (2012). Malaria parasite colonisation of the mosquito midgut--placing the Plasmodium ookinete centre stage. *Int J Parasitol* 42**,** 519-527.

Araki, T., Kawai, S., Kakuta, S., Kobayashi, H., Umeki, Y., Saito-Nakano, Y., Sasaki, T., Nagamune, K., Yasutomi, Y., Nozaki, T., Franke-Fayard, B., Khan, S.M., Hisaeda, H., and Annoura, T. (2019). Three-dimensional electron microscopy analysis reveals endopolygeny-like nuclear architecture segregation in Plasmodium oocyst development. *Parasitol Int* 76**,** 102034.

Arnot, D.E., Ronander, E., and Bengtsson, D.C. (2011). The progression of the intra-erythrocytic cell cycle of Plasmodium falciparum and the role of the centriolar plaques in asynchronous mitotic division during schizogony. *Int J Parasitol* 41**,** 71-80.

Barta, J.R., Martin, D.S., Carreno, R.A., Siddall, M.E., Profous-Juchelkat, H., Hozza, M., Powles, M.A., and Sundermann, C. (2001). Molecular phylogeny of the other tissue coccidia: Lankesterella and Caryospora. *J Parasitol* 87**,** 121-127.

Bennink, S., Kiesow, M.J., and Pradel, G. (2016). The development of malaria parasites in the mosquito midgut. *Cell Microbiol* 18**,** 905-918.

Berry, L., Chen, C.T., Francia, M.E., Guerin, A., Graindorge, A., Saliou, J.M., Grandmougin, M., Wein, S., Bechara, C., Morlon-Guyot, J., Bordat, Y., Gubbels, M.J., Lebrun, M., Dubremetz, J.F., and Daher, W. (2018). Toxoplasma gondii chromosomal passenger complex is essential for the organization of a functional mitotic spindle: a prerequisite for productive endodyogeny. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 75**,** 4417-4443.

Berry, L., Chen, C.T., Reininger, L., Carvalho, T.G., El Hajj, H., Morlon-Guyot, J., Bordat, Y., Lebrun, M., Gubbels, M.J., Doerig, C., and Daher, W. (2016). The conserved apicomplexan Aurora kinase TgArk3 is involved in endodyogeny, duplication rate and parasite virulence. *Cell Microbiol* 18**,** 1106-1120.

Bock, R., Jackson, L., De Vos, A., and Jorgensen, W. (2004). Babesiosis of cattle. *Parasitology* 129 Suppl**,** S247-269.

958   Bornens, M., and Gonczy, P. (2014). Centrosomes back in the limelight. *Philos Trans R Soc*
959   *Lond B Biol Sci* 369.
960   Brooks, C.F., Francia, M.E., Gissot, M., Croken, M.M., Kim, K., and Striepen, B. (2011).
961   Toxoplasma gondii sequesters centromeres to a specific nuclear region throughout the
962   cell cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108**,** 3767-3772.
963   Brown, K.M., Suvorova, E., Farrell, A., Wiley, B.B., Marth, G., Gaffney, P.M., Gubbels, M.-J.,
964   White, M., and J., B.I. (2014). Forward Genetic Screening Identifies a Small Molecule
965   that Blocks Toxoplasma gondii Growth By Inhibiting Both Host- and Parasite-Encoded
966   Kinases. *PLoS Pathog* 10**,** e1004180.
967   Canning, E.U., and Sinden, R.E. (1973). The organization of the ookinete and observations on
968   nuclear division in oocysts of Plasmodium berghei. *Parasitology* 67**,** 29-40.
969   Carruthers, V.B., and Sibley, L.D. (1997). Sequential protein secretion from three distinct
970   organelles of Toxoplasma gondii accompanies invasion of human fibroblasts. *Eur J Cell*
971   *Biol* 73**,** 114-123.
972   Carter, V., Nacer, A.M., Underhill, A., Sinden, R.E., and Hurd, H. (2007). Minimum
973   requirements for ookinete to oocyst transformation in Plasmodium. *Int J Parasitol* 37**,**
974   1221-1232.
975   Carvalho, T.G., Doerig, C., and Reininger, L. (2013). Nima- and Aurora-related kinases of
976   malaria parasites. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1834**,** 1336-1345.
977   Chakraborty, S., Roy, S., Mistry, H.U., Murthy, S., George, N., Bhandari, V., and Sharma, P.
978   (2017). Potential Sabotage of Host Cell Physiology by Apicomplexan Parasites for Their
979   Survival Benefits. *Front Immunol* 8**,** 1261.
980   Chen, A.L., Kim, E.W., Toh, J.Y., Vashisht, A.A., Rashoff, A.Q., Van, C., Huang, A.S., Moon,
981   A.S., Bell, H.N., Bentolila, L.A., Wohlschlegel, J.A., and Bradley, P.J. (2015a). Novel
982   components of the Toxoplasma inner membrane complex revealed by BioID. *MBio* 6**,**
983   e02357-02314.
984   Chen, A.L., Moon, A.S., Bell, H.N., Huang, A.S., Vashisht, A.A., Toh, J.Y., Lin, A.H.,
985   Nadipuram, S.M., Kim, E.W., Choi, C.P., Wohlschlegel, J.A., and Bradley, P.J. (2016).
986   Novel insights into the composition and function of the Toxoplasma IMC sutures. *Cell*
987   *Microbiol*.
988   Chen, C.T., and Gubbels, M.-J. (2019). TgCep250 is dynamically processed through the division
989   cycle and essential for structural integrity of the Toxoplasma centrosome. *Mol Biol Cell*
990   30**,** 1160-1169.
991   Chen, C.T., and Gubbels, M.J. (2013). The Toxoplasma gondii centrosome is the platform for
992   internal daughter budding as revealed by a Nek1 kinase mutant. *J Cell Sci* 126**,** 3344-
993   3355.
994   Chen, C.T., Kelly, M., Leon, J., Nwagbara, B., Ebbert, P., Ferguson, D.J., Lowery, L.A.,
995   Morrissette, N., and Gubbels, M.J. (2015b). Compartmentalized Toxoplasma EB1
996   bundles spindle microtubules to secure accurate chromosome segregation. *Mol Biol Cell*
997   26**,** 4562-4576.
998   Conrad, P.A., Denham, D., and Brown, C.G. (1986). Intraerythrocytic multiplication of Theileria
999   parva in vitro: an ultrastructural study. *Int J Parasitol* 16**,** 223-229.
1000   Conrad, P.A., Kelly, B.G., and Brown, C.G. (1985). Intraerythrocytic schizogony of Theileria
1001   annulata. *Parasitology* 91 (Pt 1)**,** 67-82.
1002   Conrad, P.A., Kjemtrup, A.M., Carreno, R.A., Thomford, J., Wainwright, K., Eberhard, M.,
1003   Quick, R., Telford, S.R., 3rd, and Herwaldt, B.L. (2006). Description of Babesia duncani

n.sp. (Apicomplexa: Babesiidae) from humans and its differentiation from other
piroplasms. *Int J Parasitol* 36**,** 779-789.
Courjol, F., and Gissot, M. (2018). A coiled-coil protein is required for coordination of
karyokinesis and cytokinesis in Toxoplasma gondii. *Cell Microbiol* 20**,** e12832.
De Leon, J.C., Scheumann, N., Beatty, W., Beck, J.R., Tran, J.Q., Yau, C., Bradley, P.J., Gull,
K., Wickstead, B., and Morrissette, N.S. (2013). A SAS-6-like protein suggests that the
Toxoplasma conoid complex evolved from flagellar components. *Eukaryot Cell* 12**,**
1009-1019.
Dhara, A., and Sinai, A.P. (2016). A Cell Cycle-Regulated Toxoplasma Deubiquitinase,
TgOTUD3A, Targets Polyubiquitins with Specific Lysine Linkages. *mSphere* 1.
Dubey, J.P., Lindsay, D.S., Fritz, D., and Speer, C.A. (2001). Structure of Sarcocystis neurona
sarcocysts. *J Parasitol* 87**,** 1323-1327.
Dubey, R., Harrison, B., Dangoudoubiyam, S., Bandini, G., Cheng, K., Kosber, A., Agop-
Nersesian, C., Howe, D.K., Samuelson, J., Ferguson, D.J.P., and Gubbels, M.J. (2017).
Differential Roles for Inner Membrane Complex Proteins across Toxoplasma gondii and
Sarcocystis neurona Development. *mSphere* 2.
Dubey, R., Staker, B.L., Foe, I.T., Bogyo, M., Myler, P.J., Ngo, H.M., and Gubbels, M.J. (2016).
Membrane skeletal association and post-translational allosteric regulation of Toxoplasma
gondii GAPDH1. *Mol Microbiol*.
Dubremetz, J.F. (1971). L'ultrastructure du centriole et du centrocone chez la coccidie eimeria
necatrix. Étude au cours de la schizogonie. *J Microsc.* 23**,** 453–458.
Dubremetz, J.F. (1973). [Ultrastructural study of schizogonic mitosis in the coccidian, Eimeria
necatrix (Johnson 1930)]. *J Ultrastruct Res* 42**,** 354-376.
Dubremetz, J.F. (1975). [Genesis of merozoites in the coccidia, Eimeria necatrix. Ultrastructural
study]. *J Protozool* 22**,** 71-84.
Dubremetz, J.F., Achbarou, A., Bermudes, D., and Joiner, K.A. (1993). Kinetics and pattern of
organelle exocytosis during Toxoplasma gondii/host-cell interaction. *Parasitol Res* 79**,**
402-408.
Dubremetz, J.F., and Elsner, Y.Y. (1979). Ultrastructural study of schizogony of Eimeria bovis
in cell cultures. *J Protozool* 26**,** 367-376.
Engelberg, K., Chen, C.-T., Bechtel, T., Sánchez Guzmán, V., Drozda, A.A., Chavan, S.,
Weerapana, E., and Gubbels, M.-J. (2019). The apical annuli of Toxoplasma gondii are
composed of coiled-coil and signaling proteins embedded in the IMC sutures. *BioRXiv*
doi.org/10.1101/711432
Farrell, M., and Gubbels, M.J. (2014). The Toxoplasma gondii kinetochore is required for
centrosome association with the centrocone (spindle pole). *Cellular Microbiology* 16**,** 78-
94.
Fawcett, D.W., Conrad, P.A., Grootenhuis, J.G., and Morzaria, S.P. (1987). Ultrastructure of the
intra-erythrocytic stage of Theileria species from cattle and waterbuck. *Tissue Cell* 19**,**
643-655.
Ferguson, D.J., Birch-Andersen, A., Hutchison, W.M., and Siim, J.C. (1980). Ultrastructural
observations on microgametogenesis and the structure of the microgamete of Isospora
felis. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand [B]* 88**,** 151-159.
Ferguson, D.J., Birch-Andersen, A., Siim, J.C., and Hutchison, W.M. (1979a). Ultrastructural
studies on the sporulation of oocysts of Toxoplasma gondii. I. Development of the zygote

and formation of the sporoblasts. *Acta pathologica et microbiologica Scandinavica.*
Section B, Microbiology 87B**,** 171-181.
Ferguson, D.J., Birch-Andersen, A., Siim, J.C., and Hutchison, W.M. (1979b). Ultrastructural
studies on the sporulation of oocysts of Toxoplasma gondii. III. Formation of the
sporozoites within the sporocysts. *Acta pathologica et microbiologica Scandinavica.*
Section B, Microbiology 87**,** 253-260.
Ferguson, D.J., Hutchison, W.M., Dunachie, J.F., and Siim, J.C. (1974). Ultrastructural study of
early stages of asexual multiplication and microgametogony of Toxoplasma gondii in the
small intestine of the cat. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand [B] Microbiol Immunol* 82**,** 167-
181.
Ferguson, D.J., Sahoo, N., Pinches, R.A., Bumstead, J.M., Tomley, F.M., and Gubbels, M.J.
(2008). MORN1 has a conserved role in asexual and sexual development across the
Apicomplexa. *Eukaryot Cell* 7**,** 698-711.
Francia, M.E., Dubremetz, J.F., and Morrissette, N.S. (2015). Basal body structure and
composition in the apicomplexans Toxoplasma and Plasmodium. *Cilia* 5**,** 3.
Francia, M.E., Jordan, C.N., Patel, J.D., Sheiner, L., Demerly, J.L., Fellows, J.D., De Leon, J.C.,
Morrissette, N.S., Dubremetz, J.F., and Striepen, B. (2012). Cell division in
Apicomplexan parasites is organized by a homolog of the striated rootlet fiber of algal
flagella. *PLoS biology* 10**,** e1001444.
Francia, M.E., and Striepen, B. (2014). Cell division in apicomplexan parasites. *Nature reviews.*
Microbiology 12**,** 125-136.
Frenal, K., Dubremetz, J.F., Lebrun, M., and Soldati-Favre, D. (2017a). Gliding motility powers
invasion and egress in Apicomplexa. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 15**,** 645-660.
Frenal, K., Jacot, D., Hammoudi, P.M., Graindorge, A., Maco, B., and Soldati-Favre, D. (2017b).
Myosin-dependent cell-cell communication controls synchronicity of division in acute
and chronic stages of Toxoplasma gondii. *Nat Commun* 8**,** 15710.
Frenal, K., Marq, J.B., Jacot, D., Polonais, V., and Soldati-Favre, D. (2014). Plasticity between
MyoC- and MyoA-glideosomes: an example of functional compensation in Toxoplasma
gondii invasion. *PLoS Pathog* 10**,** e1004504.
Friedhoff, K.T., and Scholtyseck, E. (1977). Fine structural identification of erythrocytic stages
of Babesia bigemina, Babesia divergens and Balesia ovis. *Protistologica* 13**,** 195-204.
Fung, C., Beck, J.R., Robertson, S.D., Gubbels, M.J., and Bradley, P.J. (2012). Toxoplasma ISP4
is a central IMC sub-compartment protein whose localization depends on palmitoylation
but not myristoylation. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 184**,** 99-108.
Gaji, R.Y., Behnke, M.S., Lehmann, M.M., White, M.W., and Carruthers, V.B. (2011). Cell
cycle-dependent, intercellular transmission of Toxoplasma gondii is accompanied by
marked changes in parasite gene expression. *Mol Microbiol* 79**,** 192-204.
Ganter, M., Goldberg, J.M., Dvorin, J.D., Paulo, J.A., King, J.G., Tripathi, A.K., Paul, A.S.,
Yang, J., Coppens, I., Jiang, R.H., Elsworth, B., Baker, D.A., Dinglasan, R.R., Gygi, S.P.,
and Duraisingh, M.T. (2017). Plasmodium falciparum CRK4 directs continuous rounds
of DNA replication during schizogony. *Nat Microbiol* 2**,** 17017.
Gardiner, C.H., Fayer, R., and Dubey, J.P. (1998). *An Atlas of Protozoan Parasites in Animal*
Tissues. Armed Forces Institute of Pathology.
Gaskins, E., Gilk, S., Devore, N., Mann, T., Ward, G., and Beckers, C. (2004). Identification of
the membrane receptor of a class XIV myosin in Toxoplasma gondii. *J Cell Biol* 165**,**
383-393.

Gerald, N., Mahajan, B., and Kumar, S. (2011). Mitosis in the human malaria parasite
1096   Plasmodium falciparum. *Eukaryot Cell* 10**,** 474-482.
1097   Goldman, M., Carver, R.K., and Sulzer, A.J. (1958). Reproduction of Toxoplasma gondii by
1098   internal budding. *J Parasitol* 44**,** 161-171.
1099   Goodenough, U., Roth, R., Kariyawasam, T., He, A., and Lee, J.H. (2018). Epiplasts: Membrane
1100   Skeletons and Epiplastin Proteins in Euglenids, Glaucophytes, Cryptophytes, Ciliates,
1101   Dinoflagellates, and Apicomplexans. *MBio* 9.
1102   Gould, S.B., Tham, W.H., Cowman, A.F., Mcfadden, G.I., and Waller, R.F. (2008). Alveolins, a
1103   new family of cortical proteins that define the protist infrakingdom Alveolata. *Mol Biol*
1104   *Evol* 25**,** 1219-1230.
1105   Gruring, C., Heiber, A., Kruse, F., Ungefehr, J., Gilberger, T.W., and Spielmann, T. (2011).
1106   Development and host cell modifications of Plasmodium falciparum blood stages in four
1107   dimensions. *Nat Commun* 2**,** 165.
1108   Gubbels, M.J., and Duraisingh, M.T. (2012). Evolution of apicomplexan secretory organelles. *Int*
1109   *J Parasitol* 42**,** 1071-1081.
1110   Gubbels, M.J., Vaishnava, S., Boot, N., Dubremetz, J.F., and Striepen, B. (2006). A MORN-
1111   repeat protein is a dynamic component of the Toxoplasma gondii cell division apparatus.
1112   *J Cell Sci* 119**,** 2236-2245.
1113   Gubbels, M.J., Wieffer, M., and Striepen, B. (2004). Fluorescent protein tagging in Toxoplasma
1114   gondii: identification of a novel inner membrane complex component conserved among
1115   Apicomplexa. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 137**,** 99-110.
1116   Hammond, D.M. (1973). *The Coccidia: Eimeria, Isospora, Toxoplasma, and related genera.*
1117   University Park Press.
1118   Heaslip, A.T., Dzierszinski, F., Stein, B., and Hu, K. (2010). TgMORN1 Is a Key Organizer for
1119   the Basal Complex of Toxoplasma gondii. *PLoS Pathog* 6**,** e1000754.
1120   Hepler, P.K., Huff, C.G., and Sprinz, H. (1966). The fine structure of the exoerythrocytic stages
1121   of Plasmodium fallax. *J Cell Biol* 30**,** 333-358.
1122   Hoeijmakers, W.A., Flueck, C., Francoijs, K.J., Smits, A.H., Wetzel, J., Volz, J.C., Cowman,
1123   A.F., Voss, T., Stunnenberg, H.G., and Bartfai, R. (2012). Plasmodium falciparum
1124   centromeres display a unique epigenetic makeup and cluster prior to and during
1125   schizogony. *Cell Microbiol* 14**,** 1391-1401.
1126   Howe, D.K., Yeargan, M., Simpson, L., and Dangoudoubiyam, S. (2018). Molecular Genetic
1127   Manipulation of Sarcocystis neurona. *Curr Protoc Microbiol* 48**,** 20D 22 21-20D 22 14.
1128   Howells, R.E., and Davies, E.E. (1971a). Nuclear division in the oocyst of Plasmodium berghei.
1129   *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 65**,** 451-459.
1130   Howells, R.E., and Davies, E.E. (1971b). Post-meiotic nuclear divisions in the oocyst of
1131   Plasmodium berghei. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 65**,** 421.
1132   Hu, K. (2008). Organizational changes of the daughter basal complex during the parasite
1133   replication of Toxoplasma gondii. *PLoS Pathog* 4**,** e10.
1134   Hu, K., Johnson, J., Florens, L., Fraunholz, M., Suravajjala, S., Dilullo, C., Yates, J., Roos, D.S.,
1135   and Murray, J.M. (2006). Cytoskeletal components of an invasion machine--the apical
1136   complex of Toxoplasma gondii. *PLoS Pathog* 2**,** e13.
1137   Hu, K., Roos, D.S., Angel, S.O., and Murray, J.M. (2004). Variability and heritability of cell
1138   division pathways in Toxoplasma gondii. *J Cell Sci* 117**,** 5697-5705.
1139   Jakel, T., Wallstein, E., Muncheberg, F., Archer-Baumann, C., Weingarten, B., Kliemt, D., and
1140   Mackenstedt, U. (2001). Binding of a monoclonal antibody to sporozoites of Sarcocystis

singaporensis enhances escape from the parasitophorous vacuole, which is necessary for
intracellular development. *Infect Immun* 69**,** 6475-6482.
Jalovecka, M., Hajdusek, O., Sojka, D., Kopacek, P., and Malandrin, L. (2018). The Complexity
of Piroplasms Life Cycles. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 8**,** 248.
Jayabalasingham, B., Bano, N., and Coppens, I. (2010). Metamorphosis of the malaria parasite in
the liver is associated with organelle clearance. *Cell Res* 20**,** 1043-1059.
Jerka-Dziadosz, M., and Frankel, J. (1995). The effects of lithium chloride on pattern formation
in Tetrahymena thermophila. *Dev Biol* 171**,** 497-506.
Jerka-Dziadosz, M., Jenkins, L.M., Nelsen, E.M., Williams, N.E., Jaeckel-Williams, R., and
Frankel, J. (1995). Cellular polarity in ciliates: persistence of global polarity in a
disorganized mutant of Tetrahymena thermophila that disrupts cytoskeletal organization.
Dev Biol 169**,** 644-661.
Joyner, L.P., and Long, P.L. (1974). The specific characters of the Eimeria, with special
reference to the coccidia of the fowl. *Avian Pathol* 3**,** 145-157.
Kono, M., Heincke, D., Wilcke, L., Wong, T., Bruns, C., Herrmann, S., Spielmann, T., and
Gilberger, T.W. (2016). Pellicle formation in the malaria parasite. *J Cell Sci*.
Kono, M., Herrmann, S., Loughran, N.B., Cabrera, A., Engelberg, K., Lehmann, C., Sinha, D.,
Prinz, B., Ruch, U., Heussler, V., Spielmann, T., Parkinson, J., and Gilberger, T.W.
(2012). Evolution and Architecture of the Inner Membrane Complex in Asexual and
Sexual Stages of the Malaria Parasite. *Molecular biology and evolution* 29**,** 2113-2132.
Kvicerova, J., and Hypsa, V. (2013). Host-parasite incongruences in rodent Eimeria suggest
significant role of adaptation rather than cophylogeny in maintenance of host specificity.
PLoS One 8**,** e63601.
Le Roch, K., Sestier, C., Dorin, D., Waters, N., Kappes, B., Chakrabarti, D., Meijer, L., and
Doerig, C. (2000). Activation of a Plasmodium falciparum cdc2-related kinase by
heterologous p25 and cyclin H. Functional characterization of a P. falciparum cyclin
homologue. *J Biol Chem* 275**,** 8952-8958.
Leander, B.S., and Keeling, P.J. (2003). Morphostasis in alveolate evolution. *trends in Ecology*
and Evolution 18**,** 395-402.
Lechtreck, K.F. (2003). Striated fiber assemblin in apicomplexan parasites. *Mol Biochem*
Parasitol 128**,** 95-99.
Lentini, G., Dubois, D.J., Maco, B., Soldati-Favre, D., and Frenal, K. (2019). The roles of
Centrin 2 and Dynein Light Chain 8a in apical secretory organelles discharge of
Toxoplasma gondii. *Traffic*.
Lindsay, D.S., Stuart, B.P., Wheat, B.E., and Ernst, J.V. (1980). Endogenous development of the
swine coccidium, Isospora suis Biester 1934. *J Parasitol* 66**,** 771-779.
Lorestani, A., Ivey, F.D., Thirugnanam, S., Busby, M.A., Marth, G.T., Cheeseman, I.M., and
Gubbels, M.J. (2012). Targeted proteomic dissection of Toxoplasma cytoskeleton sub-
compartments using MORN1. *Cytoskeleton* 69**,** 1069-1085.
Lorestani, A., Sheiner, L., Yang, K., Robertson, S.D., Sahoo, N., Brooks, C.F., Ferguson, D.J.,
Striepen, B., and Gubbels, M.J. (2010). A Toxoplasma MORN1 Null Mutant Undergoes
Repeated Divisions but Is Defective in Basal Assembly, Apicoplast Division and
Cytokinesis. *PLoS ONE* 5**,** e12302.
Luder, C.G., Stanway, R.R., Chaussepied, M., Langsley, G., and Heussler, V.T. (2009).
Intracellular survival of apicomplexan parasites and host cell modification. *Int J Parasitol*
39**,** 163-173.

Mahajan, B., Selvapandiyan, A., Gerald, N.J., Majam, V., Zheng, H., Wickramarachchi, T.,
1188   Tiwari, J., Fujioka, H., Moch, J.K., Kumar, N., Aravind, L., Nakhasi, H.L., and Kumar,
1189   S. (2008). Centrins, cell cycle regulation proteins in human malaria parasite Plasmodium
1190   falciparum. *J Biol Chem* 283**,** 31871-31883.
1191 Mann, T., Gaskins, E., and Beckers, C. (2002). Proteolytic Processing of TgIMC1 during
1192   Maturation of the Membrane Skeleton of Toxoplasma gondii. *J Biol Chem* 277**,** 41240-
1193   41246.
1194 Martorelli Di Genova, B., Wilson, S.K., Dubey, J.P., and Knoll, L.J. (2019). Intestinal delta-6-
1195   desaturase activity determines host range for Toxoplasma sexual reproduction. *PLoS Biol*
1196   17**,** e3000364.
1197 Matthews, H., Duffy, C.W., and Merrick, C.J. (2018). Checks and balances? DNA replication
1198   and the cell cycle in Plasmodium. *Parasit Vectors* 11**,** 216.
1199 Matuschka, F.R., and Heydorn, A.O. (1980). "Die Entwicklung von Isospora suis Biester und
1200   Murray 1934 (Sporozoa : Coccidia : Eimeriidae) im Schwein," in *Zoologische Beiträge,*
1201   ed. K. Herter. (Berlin: Duncker & Humblot), 405-476.
1202 Mecklenburg, K.L. (2007). Drosophila retinophilin contains MORN repeats and is conserved in
1203   humans. *Mol Genet Genomics* 277**,** 481-489.
1204 Mehlhorn, H., and Shein, E. (1984). The piroplasms: life cycle and sexual stages. *Adv Parasitol*
1205   23**,** 37-103.
1206 Merckx, A., Le Roch, K., Nivez, M.P., Dorin, D., Alano, P., Gutierrez, G.J., Nebreda, A.R.,
1207   Goldring, D., Whittle, C., Patterson, S., Chakrabarti, D., and Doerig, C. (2003).
1208   Identification and initial characterization of three novel cyclin-related proteins of the
1209   human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. *J Biol Chem* 278**,** 39839-39850.
1210 Moltmann, U.G., Mehlhorn, H., and Friedhoff, K.T. (1982). Ultrastructural study of the
1211   development of Babesia ovis (Piroplasmia) in the ovary of the vector tick Rhipicephalus
1212   bursa. *J Protozool* 29**,** 30-38.
1213 Moltmann, U.G., Mehlhorn, H., Schein, E., Voigt, W.P., and Friedhoff, K.T. (1983).
1214   Ultrastructural study on the development of Babesia equi (Coccidia: Piroplasmia) in the
1215   salivary glands of its vector ticks. *J Protozool* 30**,** 218-225.
1216 Morlon-Guyot, J., Francia, M.E., Dubremez, J.F., and Daher, W. (2017). Towards a molecular
1217   architecture of the centrosome in Toxoplasma gondii. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)* 74**,** 55-71.
1218 Morriswood, B., and Schmidt, K. (2015). A MORN Repeat Protein Facilitates Protein Entry into
1219   the Flagellar Pocket of Trypanosoma brucei. *Eukaryot Cell* 14**,** 1081-1093.
1220 Naumov, A., Kratzer, S., Ting, L.M., Kim, K., Suvorova, E.S., and White, M.W. (2017). The
1221   Toxoplasma Centrocone Houses Cell Cycle Regulatory Factors. *MBio* 8.
1222 Nishi, M., Hu, K., Murray, J.M., and Roos, D.S. (2008). Organellar dynamics during the cell
1223   cycle of Toxoplasma gondii. *J Cell Sci* 121**,** 1559-1568.
1224 Nishikawa, Y., Quittnat, F., Stedman, T.T., Voelker, D.R., Choi, J.Y., Zahn, M., Yang, M.,
1225   Pypaert, M., Joiner, K.A., and Coppens, I. (2005). Host cell lipids control cholesteryl
1226   ester synthesis and storage in intracellular Toxoplasma. *Cell Microbiol* 7**,** 849-867.
1227 Ouologuem, D.T., and Roos, D.S. (2014). Dynamics of the Toxoplasma gondii inner membrane
1228   complex. *J Cell Sci* 127**,** 3320-3330.
1229 Pandey, R., Zeeshan, M., Ferguson, D.J.P., Markus, R., Brady, D., Daniel, E., Stanway, R.R.,
1230   Holder, A.A., Guttery, D.S., and Tewari, R. (2019). Real-time dynamics of Plasmodium
1231   NDC80 as a marker for the kinetochore during atypical mitosis and meiosis. *bioRxiv*
1232   http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/767830.

Paul, A.S., Moreira, C.K., Elsworth, B., Allred, D.R., and Duraisingh, M.T. (2016). Extensive
Shared Chemosensitivity between Malaria and Babesiosis Blood-Stage Parasites.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60**,** 5059-5063.
Periz, J., Del Rosario, M., Mcstea, A., Gras, S., Loney, C., Wang, L., Martin-Fernandez, M.L.,
and Meissner, M. (2019). A highly dynamic F-actin network regulates transport and
recycling of micronemes in Toxoplasma gondii vacuoles. *Nat Commun* 10**,** 4183.
Periz, J., Whitelaw, J., Harding, C., Gras, S., Del Rosario Minina, M.I., Latorre-Barragan, F.,
Lemgruber, L., Reimer, M.A., Insall, R., Heaslip, A., and Meissner, M. (2017).
Toxoplasma gondii F-actin forms an extensive filamentous network required for material
exchange and parasite maturation. *Elife* 6.
Potgieter, F.T., and Els, H.J. (1976). Light and electron microscopic observations on the
development of small merozoites of Babesia bovis in Boophilus microplus larvae.
Onderstepoort J Vet Res 43**,** 123-128.
Potgieter, F.T., and Els, H.J. (1977). The fine structure of intra-erythrocytic stages of Babesia
bigemina. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res* 44**,** 157-168.
Reed, S.M., Furr, M., Howe, D.K., Johnson, A.L., Mackay, R.J., Morrow, J.K., Pusterla, N., and
Witonsky, S. (2016). Equine Protozoal Myeloencephalitis: An Updated Consensus
Statement with a Focus on Parasite Biology, Diagnosis, Treatment, and Prevention. *J Vet*
Intern Med 30**,** 491-502.
Reilly, H.B., Wang, H., Steuter, J.A., Marx, A.M., and Ferdig, M.T. (2007). Quantitative
dissection of clone-specific growth rates in cultured malaria parasites. *Int J Parasitol* 37**,**
1599-1607.
Reininger, L., Wilkes, J.M., Bourgade, H., Miranda-Saavedra, D., and Doerig, C. (2011). An
essential Aurora-related kinase transiently associates with spindle pole bodies during
Plasmodium falciparum erythrocytic schizogony. *Mol Microbiol* 79**,** 205-221.
Robbins, J.A., Absalon, S., Streva, V.A., and Dvorin, J.D. (2017). The Malaria Parasite Cyclin H
Homolog PfCyc1 Is Required for Efficient Cytokinesis in Blood-Stage Plasmodium
falciparum. *MBio* 8.
Roberts, R., Lister, I., Schmitz, S., Walker, M., Veigel, C., Trinick, J., Buss, F., and Kendrick-
Jones, J. (2004). Myosin VI: cellular functions and motor properties. *Philosophical*
transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences 359**,** 1931-
1944.
Roberts, W.L., Hammond, D.M., Anderson, L.C., and Speer, C.A. (1970). Ultrastructural study
of schizogony in Eimeria callospermophili. *J Protozool* 17**,** 584-592.
Roques, M., Stanway, R.R., Rea, E.I., Markus, R., Brady, D., Holder, A.A., Guttery, D.S., and
Tewari, R. (2019). Plasmodium centrin PbCEN-4 localizes to the putative MTOC and is
dispensable for malaria parasite proliferation. *Biol Open* 8.
Roques, M., Wall, R.J., Douglass, A.P., Ramaprasad, A., Ferguson, D.J., Kaindama, M.L.,
Brusini, L., Joshi, N., Rchiad, Z., Brady, D., Guttery, D.S., Wheatley, S.P., Yamano, H.,
Holder, A.A., Pain, A., Wickstead, B., and Tewari, R. (2015). Plasmodium P-Type
Cyclin CYC3 Modulates Endomitotic Growth during Oocyst Development in
Mosquitoes. *PLoS Pathog* 11**,** e1005273.
Rosenthal, B.M., Dunams-Morel, D., Ostoros, G., and Molnar, K. (2016). Coccidian parasites of
fish encompass profound phylogenetic diversity and gave rise to each of the major
parasitic groups in terrestrial vertebrates. *Infect Genet Evol* 40**,** 219-227.

Rudlaff, R.M., Kraemer, S., Streva, V.A., and Dvorin, J.D. (2019). An essential contractile ring
protein controls cell division in Plasmodium falciparum. *Nat Commun* 10**,** 2181.
Rudzinska, M.A. (1981). "Morphologic aspects of host-cell-parasite relationships in babesiosis,"
in *Babesiosis,* eds. M. Ristic & J.P. Kreier. (New York, NY: Academic Press), 87–142.
Samad, N., Hliscs, M., Katris, N.J., Mcfadden, G.I., and Waller, R.F. (2015). Microtubule
associated protein SPM3 shows dynamic repositioning during cytokinesis and is required
for nascent daughter formation. *13th International Congress on Toxoplasmosis &*
Toxoplasma gondii Biology, At Gettysburg College, in Gettysburg PA (USA)
http://hdl.handle.net/11343/194103**,** 190.
Schein, E., Mehlhorn, H., and Voigt, W.P. (1979). Electron microscopical studies on the
development of Babesia canis (Sporozoa) in the salivary glands of the vector tick
Dermacentor reticulatus. *Acta Trop* 36**,** 229-241.
Scholtyseck, E. (1979). *Fine Structure of Parasitic Protozoa.* Springer.
Schrevel, J., Asfaux-Foucher, G., and Bafort, J.M. (1977). [Ultrastructural study of multiple
mitoses during sporogony of Plasmodium b. berghei]. *J Ultrastruct Res* 59**,** 332-350.
Sharma, P., and Chitnis, C.E. (2013). Key molecular events during host cell invasion by
Apicomplexan pathogens. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 16**,** 432-437.
Shaw, M.K., and Tilney, L.G. (1992). How individual cells develop from a syncytium:
merogony in Theileria parva (Apicomplexa). *J Cell Sci* 101 (Pt 1)**,** 109-123.
Sheffield, H.G., and Melton, M.L. (1968). The fine structure and reproduction of Toxoplasma
gondii. *J Parasitol* 54**,** 209-226.
Shetty, J., Klotz, K.L., Wolkowicz, M.J., Flickinger, C.J., and Herr, J.C. (2007). Radial spoke
protein 44 (human meichroacidin) is an axonemal alloantigen of sperm and cilia. *Gene*
396**,** 93-107.
Shrestha, A., Abd-Elfattah, A., Freudenschuss, B., Hinney, B., Palmieri, N., Ruttkowski, B., and
Joachim, A. (2015). Cystoisospora suis - A Model of Mammalian Cystoisosporosis.
Front Vet Sci 2**,** 68.
Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T.J., Karplus, K., Li, W., Lopez, R., Mcwilliam, H.,
Remmert, M., Soding, J., Thompson, J.D., and Higgins, D.G. (2011). Fast, scalable
generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega.
Mol Syst Biol 7**,** 539.
Silmon De Monerri, N.C., Yakubu, R.R., Chen, A.L., Bradley, P.J., Nieves, E., Weiss, L.M., and
Kim, K. (2015). The Ubiquitin Proteome of Toxoplasma gondii Reveals Roles for Protein
Ubiquitination in Cell-Cycle Transitions. *Cell Host Microbe* 18**,** 621-633.
Simonetti, A.B. (1996). The biology of malarial parasite in the mosquito--a review. *Mem Inst*
Oswaldo Cruz 91**,** 519-541.
Sinden, R.E. (1983). Sexual development of malarial parasites. *Adv Parasitol* 22**,** 153-216.
Sinden, R.E., Canning, E.U., Bray, R.S., and Smalley, M.E. (1978). Gametocyte and gamete
development in Plasmodium falciparum. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 201**,** 375-399.
Sinden, R.E., and Strong, K. (1978). An ultrastructural study of the sporogonic development of
Plasmodium falciparum in Anopheles gambiae. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 72**,** 477-491.
Spreng, B., Fleckenstein, H., Kubler, P., Di Biagio, C., Benz, M., Patra, P., Schwarz, U.S.,
Cyrklaff, M., and Frischknecht, F. (2019). Microtubule number and length determine
cellular shape and function in Plasmodium. *EMBO J* 38**,** e100984.

Stanway, R.R., Mueller, N., Zobiak, B., Graewe, S., Froehlke, U., Zessin, P.J., Aepfelbacher, M.,
and Heussler, V.T. (2011). Organelle segregation into Plasmodium liver stage
merozoites. *Cell Microbiol* 13**,** 1768-1782.
Stuart, B.P., Bedell, D.M., and Lindsay, D.S. (1982). Coccidiosis in swine: a search for
extraintestinal stages of Isospora suis. *Vet Rec* 110**,** 82-83.
Suarez, C.E., Alzan, H.F., Silva, M.G., Rathinasamy, V., Poole, W.A., and Cooke, B.M. (2019).
Unravelling the cellular and molecular pathogenesis of bovine babesiosis: is the sky the
limit? *Int J Parasitol* 49**,** 183-197.
Sugi, T., Kawazu, S., Horimoto, T., and Kato, K. (2015). A single mutation in the gatekeeper
residue in TgMAPKL-1 restores the inhibitory effect of a bumped kinase inhibitor on the
cell cycle. *Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist* 5**,** 1-8.
Suvorova, E.S., Francia, M., Striepen, B., and White, M.W. (2015). A novel bipartite centrosome
coordinates the apicomplexan cell cycle. *PLoS Biol* 13**,** e1002093.
Tokuhiro, K., Hirose, M., Miyagawa, Y., Tsujimura, A., Irie, S., Isotani, A., Okabe, M., Toyama,
Y., Ito, C., Toshimori, K., Takeda, K., Oshio, S., Tainaka, H., Tsuchida, J., Okuyama, A.,
Nishimune, Y., and Tanaka, H. (2008). Meichroacidin containing the MORN motif is
essential for spermatozoa morphogenesis. *J Biol Chem*.
Trojan, P., Krauss, N., Choe, H.W., Giessl, A., Pulvermuller, A., and Wolfrum, U. (2008).
Centrins in retinal photoreceptor cells: regulators in the connecting cilium. *Prog Retin*
Eye Res 27**,** 237-259.
Uilenberg, G. (2006). Babesia--a historical overview. *Vet Parasitol* 138**,** 3-10.
Vaishnava, S., Morrison, D.P., Gaji, R.Y., Murray, J.M., Entzeroth, R., Howe, D.K., and
Striepen, B. (2005). Plastid segregation and cell division in the apicomplexan parasite
Sarcocystis neurona. *J Cell Sci* 118**,** 3397-3407.
Vaughan, A.M., and Kappe, S.H.I. (2017). Malaria Parasite Liver Infection and Exoerythrocytic
Biology. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 7.
Vega, C.A., Buening, G.M., Green, T.J., and Carson, C.A. (1985). In vitro cultivation of Babesia
bigemina. *Am J Vet Res* 46**,** 416-420.
Wall, R.J., Roques, M., Katris, N.J., Koreny, L., Stanway, R.R., Brady, D., Waller, R.F., and
Tewari, R. (2016). SAS6-like protein in Plasmodium indicates that conoid-associated
apical complex proteins persist in invasive stages within the mosquito vector. *Sci Rep* 6**,**
28604.
Warrenfeltz, S., Basenko, E.Y., Crouch, K., Harb, O.S., Kissinger, J.C., Roos, D.S.,
Shanmugasundram, A., and Silva-Franco, F. (2018). EuPathDB: The Eukaryotic
Pathogen Genomics Database Resource. *Methods Mol Biol* 1757**,** 69-113.
Watts, E., Zhao, Y., Dhara, A., Eller, B., Patwardhan, A., and Sinai, A.P. (2015). Novel
Approaches Reveal that Toxoplasma gondii Bradyzoites within Tissue Cysts Are
Dynamic and Replicating Entities In Vivo. *MBio* 6**,** e01155-01115.
White, M.W., and Suvorova, E.S. (2018). Apicomplexa Cell Cycles: Something Old, Borrowed,
Lost, and New. *Trends Parasitol* 34**,** 759-771.
Worliczek, H.L., Ruttkowski, B., Schwarz, L., Witter, K., Tschulenk, W., and Joachim, A.
(2013). Isospora suis in an epithelial cell culture system - an in vitro model for sexual
development in coccidia. *PLoS One* 8**,** e69797.
1365
1366

1367   **Legend to Figures**

1368

Figure 1. Select apicomplexan phylogeny and division modes. 18S ribosomal RNA based

phylogeny of species whose division modes have been studied. *Cryptosporidium* spp. were used

as outgroup. Bars on the right indicate different naming and biological relationships, with

asexual division modes in blue and red. "in vacuole" and "in cytoplasm" indicate whether

asexual replication occurs in a parasitophorous vacuole, or whether the parasite escapes from the

vacuole and resides in the cytoplasm of the host cell for its replication. Note that only the acute

stage merozoites of *S. neurona* replicates by endopolygeny in the cytoplasm, whereas metrocytes

preceding the bradyzoites as well as the bradyzoites divide by endodyogeny within a vacuole

supporting a proteoglycan cyst wall. Furthermore, tissue cysts for *C. suis* have not been

described and this lacking ability is likely a secondary loss. In addition, for several *Plasmodium*

spp. (Simonetti, 1996), as well as *Babesia* and *Theileria* spp. (Jalovecka et al., 2018) it has been

shown that sporozoite formation progresses without karyokinesis to produce large polyploid

nuclei while budding is from the cortex. We note that *Plasmodium* sporozoites infect hepatocytes

wherein they divide by schizogony and manipulate the hepatocytes to expand their size, whereas

Theileria sporozoites infect white blood cells, replicate by schizogony (Shaw and Tilney, 1992)

and trigger white blood expansion as well as division (i.e. transformation, which basically is

leukemia (Luder et al., 2009; Chakraborty et al., 2017), which contrasts with *Babesia* sporozoites

as they directly infect red blood cells. "epg with kk" means "endopolygeny with karyokinesis",

in case of *E. callospermophili*;"k.a." means "kinete amplification", in case of select *Babesia* spp.

1388

Figure 2. Apicomplexan asexual cell division by schizogony. A. Schematic representation of

progressive cell division steps during 'classic' schizogony. The mother's cytoskeleton (present in

1) is disassembled following successful host cell invasion resulting in a pleomorphic cell (2)

before onset of mitosis and karyokinesis, which can be asynchronous (3). Daughter cells bud

from the cortex (4/5), positioned by the centrosome anchored at the plasma membrane (4). **B.**

Schematic representation of phases in the cell division during schizogony with limited

karyokinesis as seen during Heamosporidian sprorogenesis. Karyokinesis is inconsistently

following each S/M-phase leading to a nuclei population with varying levels of ploidy. Note

that nuclear cycles within the same nucleoplasm are similar (1), whereas budding is synchronous

for all nuclei and linked to a final round of S/M (2). Note that the number of offspring in both

forms of schizogony can reach into the 1000s, which is not represented in the schematics.

Chromosome condensation does not occur and the chromosomes are only drawn to convey the

principle of spindle pole attachment. Mother cytoplasm represented in grey, daughter cytoplasms

in pink.

1403

Figure 3. Binary fission by *Babesia* spp. **A-D.** *Babesia bigemina* iRBC stages. **A.**

Immunofluorescence using MAb α-tubulin 12G10 (green) and TgCentrin1(red) polyclonal

antibody. Centrin staining is not observed in interphase (G1) while diffuse and weak during cell

division (cyt.). **B.** Immunofluorescence using MAb α-tubulin 12G10 (green) and microtubule

(+)-end binding protein TgEB1 polyclonal antibody (red) demonstrates that the cortical

microtubules are relatively short and do not reach the nucleus. **C.** Immunofluorescence using

polyclonal guinea pig BbIMC1a antiserum (see Fig S1 for validation) and MAb α-tubulin 12G10

shows the IMC is present during G/M, outlines budding daughters (cyt.), and extends along the

length of the mature G1 parasites. * marks a recently invaded parasites wherein the cytoskeleton

is completing disassembly; we observed many trophozoites without detectable PbIMC1a. **D.**

Transmission electron microscopy of progressive iRBC developmental stages (1→6). Panel 1 is

a disassembling merozoite already escaped from the vacuole displaying typical pattern of 6

numbered remnants of the disassembling mother IMC. Panel 2 represents early daughter

formation ('Mickey Mouse') with the nucleus being separated into the daughter buds. Panels 3,

4, and 5 represent different sections though developing daughters where showing parallel

assembly (in contrast to polar assembly) consistent with the angle under which the daughter buds

assemble. Panel 6 displays two just divided daughters under the typical angle. N, nucleus, SB

spherical body. Yellow marks IMC; orange arrows mark the typical angle and direction of

daughter buds. Scale bars represent 500 nm. **E.** *Babesia divergens* basal complex upon

completion of cell division. Scale bar represents 500 nm **F.** Schematic of apicomplexan asexual

cell division by binary fission, which mechanistically represents a binary form of schizogony.

Note that the mother's cytoskeleton is largely disassembled following successful host cell

invasion resulting in a pleomorphic cell (2), but that fragments of the IMC are remaining

throughout the division stages (2-4).

Figure 4. Apicomplexan asexual cell division by endodyogeny. A-E show progressive steps of

cell division as observed for *T. gondii* tachyzoites. Two daughters bud internally, while the

mother's cytoskeleton is maintained and only destabilized just before emergence of nearly

mature daughters (E). A dark blue SFA fiber anchors the centrosomes in the apex of the daughter

buds.

1433

Figure 5. Endopolygeny with karyokinesis during merogony of *Cystoisospora suis*. A-D.

Parasites at different stages of endopolygeny. Acetylated (Ac) α-tubulin (red) marks the mother

cells conoid (arrow heads in A, B), subpellicular microtubules, the spindle poles associated with

nuclei undergoing mitosis, and the daughter conoids and subpellicular microtubules (C, D).

Antiserum generated against TgNuf2 (green) marks the clustered kinetochores at mitotic

spindles. A. Eight parasites at different stages in the division cycle. Green numbers indicate the

number of spindle poles seen per mother cell, which is expanding non-geometrically in five of

the parasites indicating asynchronous nuclear cycles. **B.** Five parasites at different stages of

endopolygeny are shown. The parasite on the bottom has five nuclei: nuclei marked "M" are in

early stages of mitosis as 2 spindle poles flanking a single 2N kinetochore cluster are seen; the

circled nuclei represent just completed mitosis as the kinetochore clusters are relatively weak

intensity (1N vs. 2N) and associated with only a single spindle pole. **C, D.** Two examples of cells

at the synchronous daughter budding stage, which both produce 12 daughters as enumbered by

the number of kinetochore clusters, again, consistent with non-geometric expansion of the nuclei.

E. Schematic of apicomplexan asexual cell division by endopolygeny with karyokinesis. Note

that the mitotic cycles of the nuclei in the same cytoplasm are not synchronous (2) resulting in

non-geometrically expanded daughter numbers (4-5). Also note that the mother's cytoskeleton is

maintained throughout the meront (technically 'schizont') stages and is only destabilized just

before emergence of nearly mature daughters (5).

1453

Figure 6. Endopolygeny without nuclear fission by *Sarcocystis neurona* in the intermediate

host. A. Staining of progressive cell division cycle stages (1-4) with α-tubulin (green) marking

the subpellicular microtubules of the mother cytoskeleton (arrow heads), the mitotic spindles (2b,

right parasite; 3) and daughter merozoites subpellicular microtubules (4). Centrin staining (red)

marks the centrosomes, which due to z-stack selection are not visible for all spindles/parasites.

Scale bar applies across panels. **B.** Overexpression of a *Toxoplasma* YFP-IMC15 fusion protein

(green) highlights the mother cell's cortical IMC sutures in both panels, whereas in further

progressed panel 2 the bright internal spots mark the centrosomes poised for budding.

Arrowhead marks the apical end of the mother parasite. Panel B modified from (Dubey et al.,

2017). Scale bar applies across panels. **C.** Schematic of apicomplexan asexual cell division by

endopolygeny without karyokinesis. Note the polyploid nuclei undergoing synchronized cycles

of M-phase and mitosis resulting in geometrically expanded daughter cell numbers. Also note

that the mother's cytoskeleton is maintained throughout the schizont stages (2-4) and is only

destabilized just before emergence of nearly mature daughters (5).

1468

1469   **Figure 1**

1470

1471

1472 **Figure 2**

1473

1474

1475   **Figure 3**

1476

1477

1478 **Figure 4**

1479

1480

1481   **Figure 5**

1482

E

schizont

1 2 3 4 5

centrocone/apical ring   basal complex   centrosome   nucleus   kinetochores   microtubules   IMC

1483

1484   **Figure 6**

1485

1486

1487   **Supplementary Material**

1488

1489   **Figure S1**

1490

Ponceau S   Affinity purified
α-BbIMC1a

1491

1492

Figure S1. Validation of affinity purified guinea pig antiserum raised against recombinant

His6-BbIMC1a by western blot. Left panel: PonceauS staining of the western blot serving as

loading control; Right panel: serum affinity purified against recombinant His6-BbIMC1a diluted

1:250. RBC indicates cow red blood cell total lysate; Bb iRBC indicates total lysate of cow red

blood cells with a *B. bigemina* parasitemia of 12%. Equal amounts of lysate were loaded across

lanes. The predicted MW of BbIMC1a is 23.3 kDa.