Inhibitory effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on Na$_v$1.5 channels

Theresa K. Leslie[1], Lotte Brückner [1], Sangeeta Chawla[1,2], William J. Brackenbury[1,2*]

[1]Department of Biology, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

[2]York Biomedical Research Institute, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

* Correspondence: Dr William J. Brackenbury, Department of Biology and York Biomedical Research Institute, University of York, Wentworth Way, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK. Email: william.brackenbury@york.ac.uk. Tel: +44 1904 328284.

Keywords: Anticonvulsant, cancer, epilepsy, eslicarbazepine acetate, Na$_v$1.5, S-licarbazepine, voltage-gated Na$^+$ channel.

Abstract

Eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL) is a dibenzazepine anticonvulsant approved as adjunctive treatment for partial-onset epileptic seizures. Following first pass hydrolysis of ESL, S-licarbazepine (S-Lic) represents around 95 % of circulating active metabolites. S-Lic is the main enantiomer responsible for anticonvulsant activity and this is proposed to be through the blockade of voltage-gated Na$^+$ channels (VGSCs). ESL and S-Lic both have a voltage-dependent inhibitory effect on the Na$^+$ current in N1E-115 neuroblastoma cells expressing neuronal VGSC subtypes including Na$_v$1.1, Na$_v$1.2, Na$_v$1.3, Na$_v$1.6 and Na$_v$1.7. ESL has not been associated with cardiotoxicity in healthy volunteers, although a prolongation of the electrocardiographic PR interval has been observed, suggesting that ESL may also inhibit cardiac Na$_v$1.5 isoform. However, this has not previously been studied. Here, we investigated the electrophysiological effects of ESL and S-Lic on Na$_v$1.5 using whole-cell patch clamp recording. We interrogated two model systems: (1) MDA-MB-231 metastatic breast carcinoma cells, which endogenously express the 'neonatal' Na$_v$1.5 splice variant, and (2) HEK-293 cells stably over-expressing the 'adult' Na$_v$1.5 splice variant. We show that both ESL and S-Lic inhibit transient and persistent Na$^+$ current, hyperpolarise the voltage-dependence of slow inactivation, and slow the recovery from channel inactivation. These findings highlight, for the first time, the potent inhibitory effects of ESL and S-Lic on the Na$_v$1.5 isoform, suggesting a possible explanation for the prolonged PR interval observed in patients on ESL treatment. Given that numerous cancer cells have also been shown to express Na$_v$1.5, and that VGSCs potentiate invasion and metastasis, this study also paves the way for future investigations into ESL and S-Lic as potential invasion inhibitors.

1   Introduction

Eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL) is a member of the dibenzazepine anticonvulsant family of compounds which also includes oxcarbazepine and carbamazepine (1). ESL has been approved by the European Medicines Agency and the United States Federal Drug Administration as an adjunctive treatment for partial-onset epileptic seizures (2). ESL is administered orally and rapidly undergoes first pass hydrolysis to two stereoisomeric metabolites, R-licarbazepine and S-licarbazeine (S-Lic; also known as eslicarbazepine; Figure 1A, B) (3-5). S-Lic represents around 95 % of circulating active metabolites following first pass hydrolysis of ESL and is the enantiomer responsible for

anticonvulsant activity (6, 7). S-Lic also has improved blood brain barrier penetration compared to R-
licarbazepine (8). Although S-Lic has been shown to inhibit T type Ca^{2+} channels, its main activity is
likely through blockade of voltage-gated Na$^+$ channels (VGSCs) (9, 10). ESL offers several clinical
advantages over other older VGSC-inhibiting antiepileptic drugs, e.g. carbamazepine, phenytoin; it
has a favourable safety profile (10, 11), reduced induction of hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes (12),
low potential for drug-drug interactions (13, 14), and takes less time to reach a steady state plasma
concentration (15).

VGSCs are heteromeric ion channels composed of a pore-forming α subunit in association with one
or more auxiliary β subunits, the latter modulating channel gating and kinetics in addition to
functioning as cell adhesion molecules (16). There are nine α subunits (Na$_v$1.1-Na$_v$1.9), and four β
subunits (β1-4) (17, 18). In postnatal and adult CNS neurons, the predominant α subunits are the
tetrodotoxin-sensitive Na$_v$1.1, Na$_v$1.2 and Na$_v$1.6 isoforms (19) and it is therefore on these that the
VGSC-inhibiting activity of ESL and S-Lic has been described. In the murine neuroblastoma N1E-
115 cell line, which expresses Na$_v$1.1, Na$_v$1.2, Na$_v$1.3, Na$_v$1.6 and Na$_v$1.7, ESL and S-Lic both have a
voltage-dependent inhibitory effect on the Na$^+$ current (10, 20). In this cell model, S-Lic has no effect
on the voltage-dependence of fast inactivation, but significantly hyperpolarises the voltage-
dependence of slow inactivation (10). S-Lic also has a lower affinity for VGSCs in the resting state
than carbamazepine or oxcarbazepine, thus potentially improving its therapeutic window over first-
and second-generation dibenzazepine compounds (10). In acutely isolated murine hippocampal CA1
neurons, which express Na$_v$1.1, Na$_v$1.2 and Na$_v$1.6 (21-23), S-Lic significantly reduces the persistent
Na$^+$ current, a very slow-inactivating component ~1 % the size of the peak transient Na$^+$ current (24,
25). Moreover, in contrast to carbamazepine, this effect is maintained in the absence of β1 (24, 26).

In healthy volunteers, ESL has not been associated with cardiotoxicity and the QT interval remains
unchanged on treatment (27). However, a prolongation of the PR interval has been observed (27),
suggesting that caution should be exercised in patients with cardiac conduction abnormalities (13).
Prolongation of the PR interval also suggests that ESL may also inhibit the cardiac Na$_v$1.5 isoform,
although this has not previously been studied. Na$_v$1.5 is not only responsible for the initial
depolarisation of the cardiac action potential (28), but is also expressed in breast and colon carcinoma
cells, where the persistent Na$^+$ current promotes invasion and metastasis (29-32). Inhibition of Na$_v$1.5
with phenytoin or ranolazine decreases tumour growth, invasion and metastasis (33-35). Thus, it is of
interest to specifically understand the effect of ESL on the Na$_v$1.5 isoform.

In the present study we investigated the electrophysiological effects of ESL and S-Lic on Na$_v$1.5 [1]
endogenously expressed in the MDA-MB-231 metastatic breast carcinoma cell line, and [2] stably
over-expressed in HEK-293 cells. We show that both ESL and S-Lic inhibit transient and persistent
Na$^+$ current, hyperpolarise the voltage-dependence of slow inactivation, and slow the recovery from
channel inactivation. These findings highlight, for the first time, the potent inhibitory effects of ESL
and S-Lic on the Na$_v$1.5 isoform.

2   Materials and methods

2.1   Pharmacology

ESL (Tokyo Chemical Industry UK Ltd) was dissolved in DMSO to make a stock concentration of
67 mM. S-Lic (Tocris) was dissolved in DMSO to make a stock concentration of 300 mM. Both
drugs were diluted to a working concentration of 300 μM in extracellular recording solution.

2.2   Cell culture

MDA-MB-231 cells and HEK-293 cells stably expressing Na$_v$1.5 (a gift from L. Isom, University of
Michigan) were grown in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium supplemented with 5 % FBS and 4
mM L-glutamine (36). Molecular identity of the MDA-MB-231 cells was confirmed by short tandem
repeat analysis (37). Cells were confirmed as mycoplasma-free using the DAPI method (38). Cells
were seeded onto glass coverslips 48 h before electrophysiological recording.

2.3   Electrophysiology

Plasma membrane Na$^+$ currents were recorded using the whole-cell patch clamp technique, using
methods described previously (32, 35, 39-41). Patch pipettes made of borosilicate glass were pulled
using a P-97 pipette puller (Sutter Instrument) and fire-polished to a resistance of 3-5 MΩ when
filled with intracellular recording solution. The extracellular recording solution for MDA-MB-231
cells contained (in mM): 144 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 1 MgCl$_2$, 2.5 CaCl$_2$, 5.6 D-glucose and 5 HEPES
(adjusted to pH 7.2 with KOH). For the extracellular recording solution for HEK-293 cells
expressing Na$_v$1.5, the extracellular [Na$^+$] was reduced to account for the much larger Na$^+$ currents
and contained (in mM): 60 NaCl, 84 Choline Cl, 5.4 KCl, 1 MgCl$_2$, 2.5 CaCl$_2$, 5.6 D-glucose and 5
HEPES (adjusted to pH 7.2 with KOH). The intracellular recording solution contained (in mM): 5
NaCl, 145 CsCl, 2 MgCl$_2$, 1 CaCl$_2$, 10 HEPES, 11 EGTA, (adjusted to pH 7.4 with CsOH) (42).
Voltage clamp recordings were made at room temperature using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier
(Molecular Devices) compensating for series resistance by 40–60%. Currents were digitized using a
Digidata 1440A interface (Molecular Devices), low pass filtered at 10 kHz, sampled at 50 kHz and
analysed using pCLAMP 10.7 software (Molecular Devices). Leak current was subtracted using a
P/6 protocol (43). Extracellular recording solution ± drugs was applied to the recording bath at a rate
of ~1.5 ml/min using a ValveLink 4-channel gravity perfusion controller (AutoMate Scientific). Each
new solution was allowed to equilibrate in the bath for ~4 min following switching prior to recording
at steady state.

2.4   Voltage clamp protocols

Cells were clamped at a holding potential of -120 mV or -80 mV for ≥ 250 ms, dependent on
experiment (detailed in the Figure legends). Five main voltage clamp protocols were used, as
follows:

1. To assess the effect of drug perfusion and wash-out on peak current in real time, a simple one-
step protocol was used where cells were held at -120 mV or -80 mV for 250 ms and then
depolarised to -10 mV for 50 ms.

2. To assess the voltage-dependence of activation, cells were held at -120 mV for 250 ms and then
depolarised to test potentials in 10 mV steps between -120 mV and +30 mV for 50 ms.

3. To assess the voltage-dependence of steady-state inactivation, cells were held at -120 mV for 250
ms followed by prepulses for 250 ms in 10 mV steps between -120 mV and +30 mV and a test
pulse to -10 mV for 50 ms.

4. To assess use-dependent block, cells were held at -120 mV for 250 ms and then depolarised to 0
mV at a frequency of 50 Hz, with each depolarisation pulse lasting 50 ms.

5. To assess recovery from fast inactivation, cells were held at -120 mV for 250 ms, and then
depolarised twice to 0 mV for 25 ms, returning to -120 mV for the following intervals between
depolarisations (in ms): 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 70, 100, 150, 200, 250, 350, 500.

2.5   Curve fitting and data analysis

To study the voltage-dependence of activation, current-voltage (I-V) relationships were converted to
conductance using the following equation:

$G = I / (V_m - V_{rev})$, where G is conductance, I is current, V_m is the membrane voltage and V_{rev}
is the reversal potential for Na$^+$ derived from the Nernst equation.

The voltage-dependence of conductance and availability were normalised and fitted to a Boltzmann
equation:

$G = G_{max} / (1 + \exp (V_{1/2} - V_m) / k))$, where G_{max} is the maximum conductance, $V_{1/2}$ is the
voltage at which the channels are half activated/inactivated, V_m is the membrane voltage and k is the
slope factor.

Recovery from inactivation data ($I_t / I_{t=0}$) were normalised, plotted against recovery time (Δt) and
fitted to a single exponential function:

$\tau = A_1 + A_2 \exp (-t / t_0)$, where A_1 and A_2 are the coefficients of decay of the time constant
(τ), t is time and t_0 is a time constant describing the time dependence of τ.

The time course of inactivation was fitted to a double exponential function:

$I = A_f \exp (-t / \tau_f) + A_s \exp (-t / \tau_s) + C$, where A_f and A_s are maximal amplitudes of the slow
and fast components of the current, τ_f and τ_s are the fast and slow decay time constants and C is the
asymptote.

2.6   Statistical analysis

143   Data are presented as mean and SEM unless stated otherwise. Statistical analysis was performed
144   using GraphPad Prism 8.4.0. Pairwise statistical significance was determined with Student's paired *t*-
145   tests. Multiple comparisons were made using ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc tests, unless stated
146   otherwise. Results were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.

3   Results

3.1   Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on transient and persistent Na$^+$ current

Several studies have clearly established the inhibition of neuronal VGSCs (Na$_v$1.1, Na$_v$1.2, Na$_v$1.3,
Na$_v$1.6, Na$_v$1.7 and Na$_v$1.8) by ESL and its active metabolite S-Lic (10, 20, 24, 44). Given that ESL
prolongs the PR interval (27), potentially via inhibiting the cardiac Na$_v$1.5 isoform, together with the
potential interest in inhibiting Na$_v$1.5 in carcinoma cells to reduce invasion and metastasis (33, 34,
45-50), it is also relevant to evaluate the electrophysiological effects of ESL and S-Lic on this
isoform. We therefore evaluated the effect of both compounds on Na$_v$1.5 current properties using
whole-cell patch clamp recording, employing a two-pronged approach: (1) recording Na$_v$1.5 currents

endogenously expressed in the MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line (29, 30, 51), and (2) recording
from Na$_v$1.5 stably over-expressed in HEK-293 cells (HEK-Na$_v$1.5) (52).

Initially, we evaluated the effect of both compounds on the size of the peak Na$^+$ current in MDA-
MB-231 cells. Na$^+$ currents were elicited by depolarising the membrane potential (V$_m$) to -10 mV
from a holding potential (V$_h$) of -120 mV or -80 mV. Application of the prodrug ESL (300 µM)
reversibly inhibited the transient Na$^+$ current by 49.6 ± 3.2 % when the V$_h$ was -120 mV (P < 0.001;
n = 13; ANOVA + Tukey test; Figure 2Ai, Bi). When V$_h$ was set to -80 mV, ESL reversibly inhibited
the transient Na$^+$ current by 79.5 ± 4.5 % (P < 0.001; n = 12; ANOVA + Tukey test; Figure 2Aii,
Bii). We next assessed the effect of ESL in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells. Application of ESL inhibited Na$_v$1.5
current by 74.7 ± 4.3 % when V$_h$ was -120 mV (P < 0.001; n = 14; Figure 2Ci, Di) and by 90.5 ± 2.8
% when V$_h$ was -80 mV (P < 0.001; n = 14; Figure 2Cii, Dii). However, the inhibition was only
partially reversible (P < 0.001; n = 14; Figure 2C, D). Together, these data suggest that ESL
preferentially inhibited Na$_v$1.5 in the open or inactivated state, since the current inhibition was
greater at more depolarised V$_h$.

We next tested the effect of the active metabolite S-Lic. S-Lic (300 µM) inhibited the transient Na$^+$
current in MDA-MB-231 cells by 44.4 ± 6.1 % when the V$_h$ was -120 mV (P < 0.001; n = 9;
ANOVA + Tukey test; Figure 3Ai, Bi). When V$_h$ was set to -80 mV, S-Lic (300 µM) inhibited the
transient Na$^+$ current by 73.6 ± 4.1 % (P < 0.001; n = 10; ANOVA + Tukey test; Figure 3Aii, Bii).
However, the inhibition caused by S-Lic was only partially reversible (P < 0.05; n = 10; ANOVA +
Tukey test; Figure 3A, B). In HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells, S-Lic inhibited Na$_v$1.5 current by 46.4 ± 3.93 %
when V$_h$ was -120 mV (P < 0.001; n = 14; ANOVA + Tukey test; Figure 3Ci, Di) and by 74.0 ± 4.2
% when V$_h$ was -80 mV (P < 0.001; n = 14; ANOVA + Tukey test; Figure 3Cii, Dii). Furthermore,
the inhibition in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells was not reversible over the duration of the experiment. Together,
these data show that channel inhibition by S-Lic was also more effective at more depolarised V$_h$.
However, unlike ESL, channel blockade by S-Lic persisted after washout, suggesting higher target
binding affinity for the active metabolite.

We also assessed the effect of both compounds on the persistent Na$^+$ current measured 20-25 ms after
depolarisation to -10 mV from -120 mV. In MDA-MB-231 cells, ESL inhibited the persistent Na$^+$
current from -0.12 ± 0.06 pA/pF to 0.03 ± 0.08 pA/pF although the reduction was not statistically
significant (P = 0.07; n = 13; paired t test; Table 1). In HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells, ESL inhibited persistent
current from -0.61 ± 0.15 pA/pF to -0.55 ± 0.2 pA/pF (P < 0.01; n = 14; paired t test; Table 1). S-Lic
inhibited the persistent Na$^+$ current in MDA-MB-231 cells from -1.3 ± 0.4 pA/pF to -0.6 ± 0.2 pA/pF
(P < 0.05; n =9; paired t test; Table 2). In HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells, S-Lic inhibited persistent current from -
0.9 ± 0.2 pA/pF to -0.5 ± 0.2 pA/pF (P < 0.05; n = 14; Table 2). In summary, both ESL and S-Lic
also inhibited the persistent Na$^+$ current.

3.2   Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on voltage dependence of activation
and inactivation

We next investigated the effect of ESL (300 µM) and S-Lic (300 µM) on the I-V relationship in
MDA-MB-231 and HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells. A V$_h$ of -120 mV was used for subsequent analyses to ensure
that the elicited currents were sufficiently large for analysis of kinetics and voltage dependence,
particularly for MDA-MB-231 cells, which display smaller peak Na$^+$ currents (Tables 1, 2). Neither
ESL nor S-Lic had any effect on the threshold voltage for activation (Figure 4A-D; Tables 1, 2). ESL
also had no effect on the voltage at current peak in either cell line (Figure 4A-D; Tables 1, 2).
Although S-Lic had no effect on voltage at current peak in MDA-MB-231 cells, it significantly

hyperpolarised in HEK-Nav1.5 cells from -18.0 ± 4.2 mV to -30.0 ± 5.5 mV (P < 0.001; n = 9; paired
t test; Figure 4A-D; Tables 1, 2).

ESL had no significant effect on the half-activation voltage (V½) or slope factor (k) for activation in
MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 5A; Table 1). The activation k and V½ in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells were also
unchanged (Figure 5B; Table 1). S-Lic also had no significant effect on the activation V½ or k in
MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 5C; Table 2). However, the V½ of activation in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells was
significantly hyperpolarised from -32.8 ± 3.1 mV to -40.5 ± 3.4 mV (P < 0.01; n = 9; paired t test;
Figure 5D; Table 2) and k changed from 5.9 ± 0.9 mV to 4.5 ± 1.1 mV (P < 0.05; n = 9; paired t test;
Figure 5D; Table 2).

As regards steady-state inactivation, in MDA-MB-231 cells, ESL significantly hyperpolarised the
inactivation V½ from -80.6 ± 0.7 mV to -86.7 ± 1.2 mV (P < 0.001; n = 13; paired t test) without
affecting inactivation k (Figure 5A; Table 1). ESL also hyperpolarised the inactivation V½ in HEK-
Na$_v$1.5 cells from -78.2 ± 2.5 mV to -88.3 ± 2.7 mV (P < 0.001; n = 10; paired t test), and changed
the inactivation k from -6.9 ± 0.4 mV to -9.8 ± 0.7 mV (P < 0.001; n = 10; paired t test; Figure 5B;
Table 1). S-Lic also significantly hyperpolarised the inactivation V½ in MDA-MB-231 cells from -
71.8 ± 2.5 mV to -76.8 ± 2.2 mV (P < 0.05; n = 7; paired t test) without affecting inactivation k
(Figure 5C; Table 2). However, the inactivation V½ in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells was not significantly
altered by S-Lic, although the inactivation k significantly changed from -6.5 ± 0.4 mV to -8.1 ± 0.5
mV (P < 0.05; n = 9; paired t test; Figure 5D; Table 2). In summary, both ESL and S-Lic affected
various aspects of the voltage dependence characteristics of Na$_v$1.5 in MDA-MB-231 and HEK-
Na$_v$1.5 cells, predominantly hyperpolarising the voltage dependence of inactivation.

3.3 Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on activation and inactivation
kinetics

We next studied the effect of both compounds on kinetics of activation and inactivation. In MDA-
MB-231 cells, ESL significantly accelerated the time to peak current (T$_p$) upon depolarisation to -10
mV from 2.1 ± 0.2 ms to 1.9 ± 0.2 ms (P < 0.01; n = 13; paired t test; Table 1). However, in HEK-
Na$_v$1.5 cells, ESL significantly slowed T$_p$ from 1.4 ± 0.1 ms to 1.5 ± 0.2 ms (P < 0.001; n = 14;
paired t test; Table 1). S-Lic had no significant effect on T$_p$ in MDA-MB-231 cells but significantly
slowed T$_p$ in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells from 1.8 ± 0.5 ms to 2.3 ± 0.6 ms (P < 0.01; n = 14; paired t test;
Table 1).

To study effects on inactivation kinetics, the current decay following depolarisation from -120 mV to
-10 mV was fitted to a double exponential function to derive fast and slow time constants of
inactivation (τ_f and τ_s). Neither ESL nor S-Lic had any significant effect on τ_f or τ_s in MDA-MB-231
cells (Tables 1, 2). However, in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells, ESL significantly slowed τ_f from 0.9 ± 0.1 ms to
1.2 ± 0.1 ms (P < 0.001; n = 14; paired t test; Table 1) and slowed τ_s from 6.6 ± 0.8 ms to 20.8 ± 8.4
ms, although this was not statistically significant. S-Lic significantly slowed τ_f from 1.0 ± 0.0 ms to
1.3 ± 0.1 ms (P < 0.001; n = 14; paired t test; Table 2) and τ_s from 6.3 ± 0.5 ms to 7.3 ± 0.5 ms (P <
0.05; n = 14; paired t test; Table 2). In summary, both ESL and S-Lic elicited various effects on
kinetics in MDA-MB-231 and HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells, predominantly slowing activation and inactivation.

3.4 Use-dependent effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on Na$_v$1.5

To study use-dependent block of Na$^+$ current by ESL and S-Lic, we ran a protocol where cells were
subjected to repeated depolarisations from -120 mV to 0 mV, at a frequency of 50 Hz. In MDA-MB-
231 cells, this depolarisation train caused a rapid decrease in current size, which reached a plateau of

90.1 % of the initial current after the first pulse (Figure 5A). In the presence of ESL, the decrease in
current reached a plateau of 83.7 % after the third pulse (Figure 6A), indicating use-dependent block
of the channel. S-Lic had a similar but less obvious effect where the current declined to 85.1 % in the
presence of S-Lic, compared to 87.5 % without drug (Figure 6B).

Use-dependent block was easier to study in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells due to the larger Na$^+$ current. In these
cells, ESL increased the reduction in current amplitude to 71.6 % of the initial current, compared to
88.9 % without drug (Figure 6C). S-Lic also increased the reduction in current amplitude to 76.1 %
of the initial current, compared to 85.7 % without drug. Together, these results indicate that both ESL
and S-Lic cause use-dependent block of Na$_v$1.5.

3.5   Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on recovery from fast inactivation

To investigate the effect of ESL and S-Lic on channel recovery from fast inactivation, we subjected
cells to two depolarisations from V_h of -120 mV to 0 mV, changing the interval between these in
which the channels were held at -120 mV to facilitate recovery. Significance was determined by
fitting a single exponential curve to the normalised current/time relationship and calculating the time
constant (τ_r). In MDA-MB-231 cells, ESL significantly slowed τ_r from 6.0 ± 0.5 ms to 8.7 ± 0.7 ms
($P < 0.05$; n = 10; paired t test; Figure 7A, Table 1). Similarly, in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells, ESL
significantly slowed τ_r from 4.5 ± 0.4 ms to 7.1 ± 0.6 ms ($P < 0.001$; n = 10; paired t test; Figure 7B,
Table 1). S-Lic also significantly slowed τ_r in MDA-MB-231 cells from 6.8 ± 0.4 ms to 13.5 ± 1.1
ms ($P < 0.01$; n = 7; paired t test; Figure 7C, Table 2). Finally, S-Lic also significantly slowed τ_r in
HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells from 5.7 ± 0.7 ms to 8.0 ± 1.2 ms ($P < 0.01$; n = 10; paired t test; Figure 7D, Table
2). In summary, both ESL and S-Lic slowed recovery from fast inactivation of Na$_v$1.5.

4   Discussion

In this study, we have shown that ESL and its active metabolite S-Lic (both at 300 µM) inhibit the
transient and persistent components of Na$^+$ current carried by Na$_v$1.5. We show broadly similar
effects in MDA-MB-231 cells, which express endogenous Na$_v$1.5 (29, 30, 51), and in HEK-293 cells
over-expressing Na$_v$1.5. Notably, both compounds were more effective when V_h was set to -80 mV
than at -120 mV, suggestive of depolarised state-dependent binding. In addition, the inhibitory effect
of ESL was reversible whereas inhibition by S-Lic was not. As regards voltage-dependence, both
ESL and S-Lic shifted activation and steady-state inactivation curves, to varying extents in the two
cell lines, in the direction of more negative voltages. ESL and S-Lic had various effects on activation
and inactivation kinetics, generally slowing the rate of inactivation. Both ESL and S-Lic also caused
use-dependent block of Na$_v$1.5, although the effect was more obvious in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells due to the
larger Na$^+$ current. Finally, recovery from fast inactivation of Na$_v$1.5 was significantly slowed by
both ESL and S-Lic.

To our knowledge, this is the first time that the effects of ESL and S-Lic have specifically been tested
on the Na$_v$1.5 isoform. A strength of this study is that both the prodrug (ESL) and the active
metabolite (S-Lic) were tested using two independent cell lines, one endogenously expressing
Na$_v$1.5, the other stably over-expressing Na$_v$1.5. Interestingly, MDA-MB-231 cells predominantly
express the developmentally regulated 'neonatal' Na$_v$1.5 splice variant, which differs from the 'adult'
variant over-expressed in the HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells by seven amino acids located in the extracellular
linker between transmembrane segments 3 and 4 of domain 1 (30, 45, 51). Notably, however, there
were no consistent differences in effect of either ESL or S-Lic between the MDA-MB-231 and HEK-
Na$_v$1.5 cells, suggesting that the neonatal vs. adult splicing event does not impact on sensitivity of

Na$_v$1.5 to these compounds. This finding contrasts another report showing different sensitivity of the
neonatal and adult Na$_v$1.5 splice variants to the amide local anaesthetics lidocaine and
levobupivacaine (49). Our findings suggest that the inhibitory effect of S-Lic on Na$_v$1.5 is less
reversible than that of ESL. This may be explained by the differing chemical structures of the two
molecules possibly enabling S-Lic to bind the target with higher affinity than ESL. Most VGSC-
targeting anticonvulsants, including phenytoin, lamotrigine and carbamazepine, block the pore by
binding via aromatic-aromatic interaction to a tyrosine and phenylalanine located in the S6 helix of
domain 4 (53). However, S-Lic has been proposed to bind to a different site given that it was found
to block the pore predominantly during slow inactivation (10). Alternatively, the hydroxyl group
present on S-Lic (but not ESL) may become deprotonated, potentially trapping it in the cytoplasm.

This study used a single concentration for both compounds (300 µM) and the findings presented here
broadly agree with *in vitro* concentrations used elsewhere to study effects of ESL and S-Lic on Na$^+$
currents. For example, using a V$_h$ of -80 mV, 300 µM ESL was shown to inhibit peak Na$^+$ current by
50 % in N1E-115 neuroblastoma cells expressing Na$_v$1.1, Na$_v$1.2, Na$_v$1.3, Na$_v$1.6 and Na$_v$1.7 (20). S-
Lic (250 µM) also blocks peak Na$^+$ current by ~50 % in the same cell line (10). In addition, S-Lic
(300 µM) reduces persistent Na$^+$ current by ~25 % in acutely isolated murine hippocampal CA1
neurons expressing Na$_v$1.1, Na$_v$1.2 and Na$_v$1.6 (21-24). Similar to the present study, ESL was shown
to hyperpolarise the voltage-dependence of steady-state inactivation in N1E-115 cells (20). On the
other hand, similar to our finding in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells, S-Lic has no effect on steady-state
inactivation in H1E-115 cells (10). Again, in agreement with our own findings for Na$_v$1.5, S-Lic
slows recovery from inactivation and causes use-dependent inhibition in H1E-115 cells (10).
Together, these observations suggest that the sensitivity of Na$_v$1.5 to ESL and S-Lic is broadly
similar to that reported for neuronal VGSCs. In support of this, Na$_v$1.5 shares the same conserved
residues proposed for Na$_v$1.2 to interact with ESL (Figure 8) (54). Notably, the concentration used in
this study is high compared with concentrations achieved in clinical use (e.g. ESL 1200 mg QD gives
a peak plasma concentration of ~90 µM) (10). However, it has been argued that the relatively high
concentrations required for channel inhibition *in vitro* are clinically relevant given that S-Lic has a
high (50:1) lipid:water partition co-efficient and thus would be expected to reside predominantly in
the tissue membrane fraction *in vivo* (15).

The data presented here raise several implications for clinicians. The observed tonic and use-
dependent inhibition of Na$_v$1.5 is worthy of note when considering cardiac function in patients
receiving ESL (13). Although the QT interval remains unchanged for individuals on ESL treatment,
prolongation of the PR interval has been observed (27). Further work is required to establish whether
the basis for this PR prolongation is indeed via Na$_v$1.5 inhibition. In addition, it would be of interest
to investigate the efficacy of ESL and S-Lic in the context of heritable arrhythmogenic mutations in
SCN5A, as well as the possible involvement of the β subunits (24, 26, 55, 56). The findings presented
here are also relevant in the context of Na$_v$1.5 expression in carcinoma cells (57). Given that cancer
cells have a relatively depolarised V$_m$, it is likely that Na$_v$1.5 is mainly in the inactivated state with
the persistent Na$^+$ current being functionally predominant (35, 40, 58, 59). Increasing evidence
suggests that persistent Na$^+$ current carried by Na$_v$1.5 in cancer cells contributes to invasion and
several studies have shown that other VGSC inhibitors reduce metastasis in preclinical models (29-
35, 60). Thus, use-dependent inhibition by ESL would ensure that channels in malignant cells are
particularly targeted, raising the possibility that it could be used as an anti-metastatic agent (47). This
study therefore paves the way for future investigations into ESL and S-Lic as potential invasion
inhibitors.

5   Author Contributions

TL, SC and WB contributed to the conception and design of the work. TL, LB and WB contributed
to acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data for the work. TL, SC and WB contributed to
drafting the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content. All authors approved the
final version of the manuscript.

6   Abbreviations

ESL, eslicarbazepine acetate; HEK-Na$_v$1.5, HEK-293 cells stably expressing Na$_v$1.5; I-V, current-
voltage; k, slope factor; PSS, physiological saline solution; S-Lic, S-licarbazepine, T$_p$: time to peak
current; τ_f: fast time constant of inactivation; τ_s: slow time constant of inactivation; τ_r: time constant
of recovery from inactivation; VGSC, voltage-gated Na$^+$ channel; V$_m$, membrane potential; V$_h$,
holding potential; V$_{peak}$: voltage at which current was maximal;V$_{rev}$, reversal potential; V$_{thres}$:
threshold voltage for activation; V$_{1/2}$, half-activation voltage.

7   Acknowledgements

345 This work was supported by Cancer Research UK (A25922) and Breast Cancer Now
346 (2015NovPhD572).

8   Conflict of interest statement

348 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
349 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

9   Data availability statement

351 The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding
352 author on reasonable request.

10   References

1.   Almeida L, Soares-da-Silva P. Eslicarbazepine acetate (BIA 2-093). Neurotherapeutics.
2007;4(1):88-96.

2.   Sperling MR, Abou-Khalil B, Harvey J, Rogin JB, Biraben A, Galimberti CA, et al.
Eslicarbazepine acetate as adjunctive therapy in patients with uncontrolled partial-onset seizures:
Results of a phase III, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Epilepsia. 2015;56(2):244-
53.

3.   Almeida L, Falcao A, Maia J, Mazur D, Gellert M, Soares-da-Silva P. Single-dose and
steady-state pharmacokinetics of eslicarbazepine acetate (BIA 2-093) in healthy elderly and young
subjects. J Clin Pharmacol. 2005;45(9):1062-6.

4.   Almeida L, Minciu I, Nunes T, Butoianu N, Falcão A, Magureanu S-A, et al.
Pharmacokinetics, Efficacy, and Tolerability of Eslicarbazepine Acetate in Children and Adolescents
With Epilepsy. The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2008;48(8):966-77.

5.   Perucca E, Elger C, Halász P, Falcão A, Almeida L, Soares-da-Silva P. Pharmacokinetics of
eslicarbazepine acetate at steady-state in adults with partial-onset seizures. Epilepsy Res.
2011;96(1):132-9.

6.   Potschka H, Soerensen J, Pekcec A, Loureiro A, Soares-da-Silva P. Effect of eslicarbazepine
acetate in the corneal kindling progression and the amygdala kindling model of temporal lobe
epilepsy. Epilepsy Res. 2014;108(2):212-22.

7.   Sierra-Paredes G, Loureiro AI, Wright LC, Sierra-Marcuño G, Soares-da-Silva P. Effects of
eslicarbazepine acetate on acute and chronic latrunculin A-induced seizures and extracellular amino
acid levels in the mouse hippocampus. BMC Neurosci. 2014;15(1):134.

8.   Alves G, Figueiredo I, Falcao A, Castel-Branco M, Caramona M, Soares-Da-Silva P.
Stereoselective disposition of S- and R-licarbazepine in mice. Chirality. 2008;20(6):796-804.

9.   Brady K, Hebeisen S, Konrad D, Soares-da-Silva P. The effects of eslicarbazepine, R-
licarbazepine, oxcarbazepine and carbamazepine on ion transmission Cav3.2 channels. Epilepsia.
2011;52:260.

10.   Hebeisen S, Pires N, Loureiro AI, Bonifacio MJ, Palma N, Whyment A, et al. Eslicarbazepine
and the enhancement of slow inactivation of voltage-gated sodium channels: a comparison with
carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine and lacosamide. Neuropharmacology. 2015;89:122-35.

11.   Brown ME, El-Mallakh RS. Role of eslicarbazepine in the treatment of epilepsy in adult
patients with partial-onset seizures. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2010;6:103-9.

12.   Galiana GL, Gauthier AC, Mattson RH. Eslicarbazepine Acetate: A New Improvement on a
Classic Drug Family for the Treatment of Partial-Onset Seizures. Drugs R D. 2017;17(3):329-39.

13.   Zaccara G, Giovannelli F, Cincotta M, Carelli A, Verrotti A. Clinical utility of
eslicarbazepine: current evidence. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2015;9:781-9.

14.   Falcao A, Fuseau E, Nunes T, Almeida L, Soares-da-Silva P. Pharmacokinetics, drug
interactions and exposure-response relationship of eslicarbazepine acetate in adult patients with
partial-onset seizures: population pharmacokinetic and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analyses.
CNS Drugs. 2012;26(1):79-91.

15.   Bialer M, Soares-da-Silva P. Pharmacokinetics and drug interactions of eslicarbazepine
acetate. Epilepsia. 2012;53(6):935-46.

16.   Catterall WA. Structure and function of voltage-gated sodium channels at atomic resolution.
Exp Physiol. 2014;99(1):35-51.

17.   Goldin AL, Barchi RL, Caldwell JH, Hofmann F, Howe JR, Hunter JC, et al. Nomenclature
of voltage-gated sodium channels. Neuron. 2000;28:365-8.

18.   Brackenbury WJ, Isom LL. Na Channel beta Subunits: Overachievers of the Ion Channel
Family. Front Pharmacol. 2011;2:53.

19.   Van Wart A, Matthews G. Impaired firing and cell-specific compensation in neurons lacking
nav1.6 sodium channels. J Neurosci. 2006;26(27):7172-80.

20.   Bonifacio MJ, Sheridan RD, Parada A, Cunha RA, Patmore L, Soares-da-Silva P. Interaction
of the novel anticonvulsant, BIA 2-093, with voltage-gated sodium channels: comparison with
carbamazepine. Epilepsia. 2001;42(5):600-8.

21.   Royeck M, Horstmann MT, Remy S, Reitze M, Yaari Y, Beck H. Role of axonal NaV1.6
sodium channels in action potential initiation of CA1 pyramidal neurons. J Neurophysiol.
2008;100(4):2361-80.

22.   Yu FH, Mantegazza M, Westenbroek RE, Robbins CA, Kalume F, Burton KA, et al. Reduced
sodium current in GABAergic interneurons in a mouse model of severe myoclonic epilepsy in
infancy. Nat Neurosci. 2006;9(9):1142-9.

23.   Westenbroek RE, Merrick DK, Catterall WA. Differential subcellular localization of the RI
and RII Na+ channel subtypes in central neurons. Neuron. 1989;3(6):695-704.

24.   Doeser A, Soares-da-Silva P, Beck H, Uebachs M. The effects of eslicarbazepine on
persistent Na(+) current and the role of the Na(+) channel beta subunits. Epilepsy Res.
2014;108(2):202-11.

25.   Saint DA. The cardiac persistent sodium current: an appealing therapeutic target? Br J
Pharmacol. 2008;153(6):1133-42.

26.   Uebachs M, Opitz T, Royeck M, Dickhof G, Horstmann MT, Isom LL, et al. Efficacy loss of
the anticonvulsant carbamazepine in mice lacking sodium channel beta subunits via paradoxical
effects on persistent sodium currents. J Neurosci. 2010;30(25):8489-501.

27.   Vaz-Da-Silva M, Nunes T, Almeida L, Gutierrez MJ, Litwin JS, Soares-Da-Silva P.
Evaluation of Eslicarbazepine acetate on cardiac repolarization in a thorough QT/QTc study. J Clin
Pharmacol. 2012;52(2):222-33.

28.   George AL, Jr. Inherited disorders of voltage-gated sodium channels. J Clin Invest.
2005;115(8):1990-9.

29.   Roger S, Besson P, Le Guennec JY. Involvement of a novel fast inward sodium current in the
invasion capacity of a breast cancer cell line. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2003;1616(2):107-11.

30.   Fraser SP, Diss JK, Chioni AM, Mycielska ME, Pan H, Yamaci RF, et al. Voltage-gated
sodium channel expression and potentiation of human breast cancer metastasis. Clin Cancer Res.
2005;11(15):5381-9.

31.   House CD, Vaske CJ, Schwartz AM, Obias V, Frank B, Luu T, et al. Voltage-gated Na+
channel SCN5A is a key regulator of a gene transcriptional network that controls colon cancer
invasion. Cancer Res. 2010;70(17):6957-67.

32.   Nelson M, Yang M, Millican-Slater R, Brackenbury WJ. Nav1.5 regulates breast tumor
growth and metastatic dissemination in vivo. Oncotarget. 2015;6(32):32914-29.

33.   Nelson M, Yang M, Dowle AA, Thomas JR, Brackenbury WJ. The sodium channel-blocking
antiepileptic drug phenytoin inhibits breast tumour growth and metastasis. Mol Cancer.
2015;14(1):13.

34.   Driffort V, Gillet L, Bon E, Marionneau-Lambot S, Oullier T, Joulin V, et al. Ranolazine
inhibits NaV1.5-mediated breast cancer cell invasiveness and lung colonization. Mol Cancer.
2014;13(1):264.

35.   Yang M, Kozminski DJ, Wold LA, Modak R, Calhoun JD, Isom LL, et al. Therapeutic
potential for phenytoin: targeting Na(v)1.5 sodium channels to reduce migration and invasion in
metastatic breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012;134(2):603-15.

36.   Simon A, Yang M, Marrison JL, James AD, Hunt MJ, O'Toole PJ, et al. Metastatic breast
cancer cells induce altered microglial morphology and electrical excitability in vivo. J
Neuroinflammation. 2020;17(1):87.

37.   Masters JR, Thomson JA, Daly-Burns B, Reid YA, Dirks WG, Packer P, et al. Short tandem
repeat profiling provides an international reference standard for human cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 2001;98(14):8012-7.

38.   Uphoff CC, Gignac SM, Drexler HG. Mycoplasma contamination in human leukemia cell
lines. I. Comparison of various detection methods. J Immunol Methods. 1992;149(1):43-53.

39.   Chioni AM, Brackenbury WJ, Calhoun JD, Isom LL, Djamgoz MB. A novel adhesion
molecule in human breast cancer cells: voltage-gated Na+ channel beta1 subunit. Int J Biochem Cell
Biol. 2009;41(5):1216-27.

40.   Yang M, James AD, Suman R, Kasprowicz R, Nelson M, O'Toole PJ, et al. Voltage-
dependent activation of Rac1 by Nav 1.5 channels promotes cell migration. J Cell Physiol.
2020;235(4):3950-72.

41.   Thurber AE, Nelson M, Frost CL, Levin M, Brackenbury WJ, Kaplan DL. IK channel
activation increases tumor growth and induces differential behavioral responses in two breast
epithelial cell lines. Oncotarget. 2017;8(26):42382-97.

42.   Brackenbury WJ, Djamgoz MB. Activity-dependent regulation of voltage-gated Na$^+$ channel
expression in Mat-LyLu rat prostate cancer cell line. J Physiol. 2006;573(Pt 2):343-56.

43.   Armstrong CM, Bezanilla F. Inactivation of the sodium channel. II. Gating current
experiments. J Gen Physiol. 1977;70(5):567-90.

44.   Soares-da-Silva P, Pires N, Bonifácio MJ, Loureiro AI, Palma N, Wright LC. Eslicarbazepine
acetate for the treatment of focal epilepsy: an update on its proposed mechanisms of action.
Pharmacol Res Perspect. 2015;3(2).

45.   Djamgoz MBA, Fraser SP, Brackenbury WJ. In Vivo Evidence for Voltage-Gated Sodium
Channel Expression in Carcinomas and Potentiation of Metastasis. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(11).

46.   Fairhurst C, Watt I, Martin F, Bland M, Brackenbury WJ. Sodium channel-inhibiting drugs
and survival of breast, colon and prostate cancer: a population-based study. Sci Rep. 2015;5:16758.

47.   Martin F, Ufodiama C, Watt I, Bland M, Brackenbury WJ. Therapeutic value of voltage-gated
sodium channel inhibitors in breast, colorectal and prostate cancer: a systematic review. Front
Pharmacol. 2015;6:273.

48.   Baptista-Hon DT, Robertson FM, Robertson GB, Owen SJ, Rogers GW, Lydon EL, et al.
Potent inhibition by ropivacaine of metastatic colon cancer SW620 cell invasion and NaV1.5 channel
function. Br J Anaesth. 2014;113 Suppl 1:i39-i48.

49.   Elajnaf T, Baptista-Hon DT, Hales TG. Potent Inactivation-Dependent Inhibition of Adult
and Neonatal NaV1.5 Channels by Lidocaine and Levobupivacaine. Anesth Analg. 2018;127(3):650-
60.

50.   Dutta S, Lopez Charcas O, Tanner S, Gradek F, Driffort V, Roger S, et al. Discovery and
evaluation of nNav1.5 sodium channel blockers with potent cell invasion inhibitory activity in breast
cancer cells. Bioorg Med Chem. 2018;26(9):2428-36.

51.   Brackenbury WJ, Chioni AM, Diss JK, Djamgoz MB. The neonatal splice variant of Nav1.5
potentiates in vitro metastatic behaviour of MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells. Breast Cancer
Res Treat. 2007;101(2):149-60.

52.   Patino GA, Brackenbury WJ, Bao YY, Lopez-Santiago LF, O'Malley HA, Chen CL, et al.
Voltage-Gated Na+ Channel beta 1B: A Secreted Cell Adhesion Molecule Involved in Human
Epilepsy. J Neurosci. 2011;31(41):14577-91.

53.   Lipkind GM, Fozzard HA. Molecular model of anticonvulsant drug binding to the voltage-
gated sodium channel inner pore. Mol Pharmacol. 2010;78(4):631-8.

54.   Shaikh S, Rizvi SM, Hameed N, Biswas D, Khan M, Shakil S, et al. Aptiom (eslicarbazepine
acetate) as a dual inhibitor of beta-secretase and voltage-gated sodium channel: advancement in
Alzheimer's disease-epilepsy linkage via an enzoinformatics study. CNS Neurol Disord Drug
Targets. 2014;13(7):1258-62.

55.   Brackenbury WJ, Isom LL. Voltage-gated Na+ channels: potential for beta subunits as
therapeutic targets. Expert Opin Ther Targets. 2008;12(9):1191-203.

56.   Rivaud MR, Delmar M, Remme CA. Heritable arrhythmia syndromes associated with
abnormal cardiac sodium channel function: ionic and non-ionic mechanisms. Cardiovasc Res. 2020.

57.   Fraser SP, Ozerlat-Gunduz I, Brackenbury WJ, Fitzgerald EM, Campbell TM, Coombes RC,
et al. Regulation of voltage-gated sodium channel expression in cancer: hormones, growth factors
and auto-regulation. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2014;369(1638):20130105.

58.   Yang M, Brackenbury WJ. Membrane potential and cancer progression. Front Physiol.
2013;4:185.

59.   Patel F, Brackenbury WJ. Dual roles of voltage-gated sodium channels in development and
cancer. Int J Dev Biol. 2015;59:357-66.

60.   Besson P, Driffort V, Bon E, Gradek F, Chevalier S, Roger S. How do voltage-gated sodium
channels enhance migration and invasiveness in cancer cells? Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1848(10
Pt B):2493-501.

10.1 Figure legends

Figure 1. Chemical structures of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine. (A) eslicarbazepine acetate; (9S)-2-carbamoyl-2-azatricyclo[9.4.0.0^{3,8}]pentadeca-1(15),3,5,7,11,13-hexaen-9-yl acetate. (B) S-licarbazepine; (10R)-10-hydroxy-2-azatricyclo[9.4.0.0^{3,8}]pentadeca-1(11),3,5,7,12,14-hexaene-2-carboxamide. Structures were drawn using Chemspider software.

Figure 2. Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate on Na$_v$1.5 currents. (A) Na$^+$ currents in an MDA-MB-231 cell elicited by a depolarisation from (i) -120 mV and (ii) -80 mV to -10 mV in physiological saline solution (PSS; black), eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL; 300 μM; red) and after washout (grey). (B) Normalised Na$^+$ currents in MDA-MB-231 cells elicited by a depolarisation from (i) -120 mV and (ii) -80 mV to -10 mV, in PSS, ESL (300 μM) and after washout. (C) Na$^+$ currents in a HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cell elicited by a depolarisation from (i) -120 mV and (ii) -80 mV to -10 mV in PSS (black), ESL (300 μM; red) and after washout (grey). (D) Normalised Na$^+$ currents in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells elicited by a depolarisation from (i) -120 mV and (ii) -80 mV to -10 mV, in PSS, ESL (300 μM) and after washout. Results are mean + SEM. **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001; one-way ANOVA with Tukey tests (n = 12-14). NS, not significant.

Figure 3. Effect of S-licarbazepine on Na$_v$1.5 currents. (A) Na$^+$ currents in an MDA-MB-231 cell elicited by a depolarisation from (i) -120 mV and (ii) -80 mV to -10 mV in physiological saline

solution (PSS; black), S-licarbazepine (S-Lic; 300 μM; red) and after washout (grey). (B) Normalised
Na$^+$ currents in MDA-MB-231 cells elicited by a depolarisation from (i) -120 mV and (ii) -80 mV to
-10 mV, in PSS, S-Lic (300 μM) and after washout. (C) Na$^+$ currents in a HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cell elicited
by a depolarisation from (i) -120 mV and (ii) -80 mV to -10 mV in PSS (black), S-Lic (300 μM; red)
and after washout (grey). (D) Normalised Na$^+$ currents in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells elicited by a
depolarisation from (i) -120 mV and (ii) -80 mV to -10 mV, in PSS, S-Lic (300 μM) and after
washout. Results are mean + SEM. *P ≤ 0.05; ***P ≤ 0.001; one-way ANOVA with Tukey tests (n =
9-13). NS, not significant.

Figure 4. Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on the current-voltage relationship.
(A) Current-voltage (I-V) plots of Na$^+$ currents in MDA-MB-231 cells in physiological saline
solution (PSS; black circles) and in eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL; 300 μM; red squares). (B) (I-V)
plots of Na$^+$ currents in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells in PSS (black circles) and ESL (300 μM; red squares). (C)
I-V plots of Na$^+$ currents in MDA-MB-231 cells in PSS (black circles) and S-licarbazepine (S-Lic;
300 μM; red squares). (D) I-V plots of Na$^+$ currents in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells in PSS (black circles) and
S-Lic (300 μM; red squares). Currents were elicited using 10 mV depolarising steps from -80 to +30
mV for 30 ms, from a holding potential of -120 mV. Results are mean ± SEM (n = 7-13).

Figure 5. Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on activation and steady-state
inactivation. (A) Activation and steady-state inactivation in MDA-MB-231 cells in physiological
saline solution (PSS; black circles) and in eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL; 300 μM; red squares). (B)
Activation and steady-state inactivation in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells in PSS (black circles) and ESL (300
μM; red squares). (C) Activation and steady-state inactivation in MDA-MB-231 cells in PSS (black
circles) and S-licarbazepine (S-Lic; 300 μM; red squares). (D) Activation and steady-state
inactivation in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells in PSS (black circles) and S-Lic (300 μM; red squares). For
activation, normalised conductance (G/G$_{max}$) was calculated from the current data and plotted as a
function of voltage. For steady-state inactivation, normalised current (I/I$_{max}$), elicited by 50 ms test
pulses at -10 mV following 250 ms conditioning voltage pulses between -120 mV and +30 mV,
applied from a holding potential of -120 mV, was plotted as a function of the prepulse voltage.
Results are mean ± SEM (n = 7-13). Activation and inactivation curves are fitted with Boltzmann
functions.

Figure 6. Use-dependent block by eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine. (A) Rundown of Na$^+$
current in MDA-MB-231 cells in physiological saline solution (PSS; black circles) and in
eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL; 300 μM; red squares). (B) Rundown of Na$^+$ current in HEK-Na$_v$1.5
cells in PSS (black circles) and ESL (300 μM; red squares). (C) Rundown of Na$^+$ current in MDA-
MB-231 cells in PSS (black circles) and S-licarbazepine (S-Lic; 300 μM; red squares). (D) Rundown
of Na$^+$ current in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells in PSS (black circles) and S-Lic (300 μM; red squares). Currents
were elicited by 50 Hz pulse trains to 0 mV, applied from a holding potential of -120 mV, normalised
to the current evoked by the first pulse plotted as a function of the pulse number. Data are fitted with
single exponential functions. Results are mean ± SEM (n = 7-10).

Figure 7. Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate and S-licarbazepine on recovery from inactivation. (A)
Recovery from inactivation in MDA-MB-231 cells in physiological saline solution (PSS; black
circles) and in eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL; 300 μM; red squares). (B) Recovery from inactivation in
HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells in PSS (black circles) and ESL (300 μM; red squares). (C) Recovery from
inactivation in MDA-MB-231 cells in PSS (black circles) and S-licarbazepine (S-Lic; 300 μM; red
squares). (D) Recovery from inactivation in HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells in PSS (black circles) and S-Lic (300
μM; red squares). The fraction recovered (I$_t$/I$_c$) was determined by a 25 ms pulse to 0 mV (I$_c$),

followed by a recovery pulse to -120 mV for 1-500 ms, and a subsequent 25 ms test pulse to 0 mV
(I$_t$), applied from a holding potential of -120 mV, and plotted as a function of the recovery interval.
Data are fitted with single exponential functions which are statistically different between control and
drug treatments in all cases. Results are mean ± SEM (n = 7-10 cells).

Figure 8. Clustal alignment of amino acid sequences of Na$_v$1.2 (*SCN2A*) and Na$_v$1.5 (*SCN5A*). ESL
was proposed previously (54) to interact with the highlighted amino acids in Na$_v$1.2. An alignment of
Na$_v$1.2 (UniProtKB - Q99250 (SCN2A_HUMAN)) and Na$_v$1.5 (UniProtKB - Q14524
(SCN5A_HUMAN)) shows that the interacting amino acids highlighted in yellow are conserved
between the two isoforms (asterisks). Colon indicates conservation between groups of strongly
similar properties - scoring > 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix. Period indicates conservation
between groups of weakly similar properties - scoring ≤ 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix.

Table 1. Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate on Na$^+$ current characteristics in MDA-MB-231 and HEK-
Na$_v$1.5 cells.[1]

A. MDA-MB-231 cells			
Parameter	*Control*	*ESL*	*P value*
V_{thres} (mV)	-45.7 ± 1.7	-45.0 ± 1.4	0.58
V_{peak} (mV)	3.1 ± 2.1	-3.9 ± 2.7	0.056
Activation V½ (mV)	-19.3 ± 1.4	-22.0 ± 1.5	0.095
Activation k (mV)	10.6 ± 0.7	9.3 ± 0.8	0.076
Inactivation V½ (mV)	-80.6 ± 0.7	-86.7 ± 1.2	<0.001
Inactivation k (mV)	-4.8 ± 0.4	-7.4 ± 1.7	0.139
Peak current density at -10 mV (pA/pF)	-14.8 ± 3.9	-8.0 ± 2.5	<0.001
Persistent current density at -10 mV (pA/pF)	-0.12 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.08	0.068
T_p at -10 mV (ms)	2.1 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.2	<0.01
τ_f at -10 mV (ms)	1.3 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.2	0.954
τ_s at -10 mV) (ms)	10.0 ± 2.3	6.9 ± 2.0	0.289
τ_r (ms)	6.0 ± 0.5	8.7 ± 0.7	<0.05
B. HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells			
Parameter	*Control*	*ESL*	*P value*
V_{thres} (mV)	-55.0 ± 1.7	-54.0 ± 2.2	0.758
V_{peak} (mV)	-26.0 ± 2.2	-24.0 ± 4.3	0.591
Activation V½ (mV)	-39.3 ± 1.4	-44.2 ± 1.8	0.102
Activation k (mV)	5.3 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 0.7	0.361
Inactivation V½ (mV)	-78.2 ± 2.5	-88.3 ± 2.7	<0.001
Inactivation k (mV)	-6.9 ± 0.4	-9.8 ± 0.7	<0.001
Peak current density at -10 mV (pA/pF)	-154.4 ± 24.0	-33.1 ± 4.7	<0.001
Persistent current density at -10 mV (pA/pF)	-0.61 ± 0.15	-0.55 ± 0.2	<0.01
T_p at -10 mV (ms)	1.4 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2	<0.001
τ_f at -10 mV (ms)	0.9 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.1	<0.001
τ_s at -10 mV (ms)	6.6 ± 0.8	20.8 ± 8.5	0.128
τ_r (ms)	4.5 ± 0.4	7.1 ± 0.6	<0.001

588   [1]ESL: eslicarbazepine acetate (300 µM); V_{thres}: threshold voltage for activation; V_{peak}: voltage at
589   which current was maximal; V½: half (in)activation voltage; k: slope factor for (in)activation; T_p:
590   time to peak current; τ_f: fast time constant of inactivation; τ_s: slow time constant of inactivation; τ_r:
591   time constant of recovery from inactivation. The holding potential was -120 mV. Results are mean ±
592   SEM (n = 7-14). Statistical comparisons were made with paired t-tests.

Table 2. Effect of S-licarbazepine on Na$^+$ current characteristics in MDA-MB-231 and HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells.[1]

A. MDA-MB-231 cells			
Parameter	*Control*	*S-Lic*	*P value*
V$_{thres}$ (mV)	-34.4 ± 2.0	-35.7 ± 2.0	0.603
V$_{peak}$ (mV)	11.43 ± 4.4	10.0 ± 4.9	0.818
Activation V½ (mV)	-12.9 ± 1.3	-13.7 ± 1.4	0.737
Activation k (mV)	11.0 ± 0.5	11.9 ± 0.8	0.520
Inactivation V½ (mV)	-71.8 ± 2.5	-76.8 ± 2.2	<0.05
Inactivation k (mV)	-6.8 ± 0.9	-6.0 ± 1.2	0.302
Peak current density at -10 mV (pA/pF)	-12.0 ± 3.1	-6.9 ± 2.5	<0.01
Persistent current density at -10 mV (pA/pF)	-1.3 ± 0.4	-0.6 ± 0.2	<0.05
T$_p$ at -10 mV (ms)	4.5 ± 0.4	5.1 ± 0.7	0.103
τ$_f$ at -10 mV (ms)	2.6 ± 0.4	3.8 ± 0.9	0.206
τ$_s$ at -10 mV (ms)	18.5 ± 6.2	29.2 ± 8.2	0.153
τ$_r$ (ms)	6.8 ± 0.4	13.5 ± 1.0	<0.01
B. HEK-Na$_v$1.5 cells			
Parameter	*Control*	*S-Lic*	*P value*
V$_{thres}$ (mV)	-50.0 ± 1.9	-51.3 ± 3.5	0.598
V$_{peak}$ (mV)	-18.0 ± 4.2	-30.0 ± 5.6	<0.001
Activation V½ (mV)	-32.8 ± 3.1	-40.5 ± 3.4	<0.01
Activation k (mV)	5.9 ± 0.9	4.5 ± 1.1	<0.05
Inactivation V½ (mV)	-75.9 ± 2.6	-79.3 ± 4.1	0.116
Inactivation k (mV)	-6.5 ± 0.4	-8.1 ± 0.5	<0.05
Peak current density at -10 mV (pA/pF)	-140.9 ± 26.8	-77.2 ± 17.0	<0.001
Persistent current density at -10 mV (pA/pF)	-0.9 ± 0.2	-0.5 ± 0.2	<0.05
T$_p$ at -10 mV (ms)	1.8 ± 0.5	2.3 ± 0.6	<0.01
τ$_f$ at -10 mV (ms)	1.0 ± 0.0	1.3 ± 0.1	<0.001
τ$_s$ at -10 mV (ms)	6.3 ± 0.5	7.3 ± 0.5	<0.05
τ$_r$ (ms)	5.7 ± 0.7	8.0 ± 1.2	<0.001

[1]S-Lic: S-licarbazepine (300 µM); V$_{thres}$: threshold voltage for activation; V$_{peak}$: voltage at which current was maximal; V½: half (in)activation voltage; k: slope factor for (in)activation; T$_p$: time to peak current; τ$_f$: fast time constant of inactivation; τ$_s$: slow time constant of inactivation; τ$_r$: time constant of recovery from inactivation. The holding potential was -120 mV. Results are mean ± SEM (n = 7-14). Statistical comparisons were made with paired t-tests.

A

B

601   Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

604
605   Figure 4

606
607   Figure 5

608
609   Figure 6

610
611   Figure 7

```
SCN2A ATEESAEPLSEDDFEMFYEVWEKFDPDATQFIEFAKLSDFADALDPPLLIAKPNKVQLIA   1841
SCN5A ATEESTEPLSEDDFDMFYEIWEKFDPEATQFIEYSVLSDFADALSEPLRIAKPNQISLIN   1837
      *****:********:****:******:******:: ********. ** *****::.**

SCN2A MDLPMVSGDRIHCLDILFAFTKRVLGESGEMDALRIQMEERFMASNPSKVSYEPITTTLK   1901
SCN5A MDLPMVSGDRIHCMDILFAFTKRVLGESGEMDALKIQMEEKFMAANPSKISYEPITTTLR   1897
      *************:**************************:*****:***:****:**********:
```

613
614   Figure 8