Transcriptome Sequencing Reveals Sex Differences in Human Meniscal Cell Response to

Estrogen Based on Dosing Kinetics

Kelsey E. Knewtson[1], Jesus G. Gonzalez Flores[2], Donna M. Pacicca[3,4], Jennifer L. Robinson[1,2]

1. Chemical and Petroleum Engineering Department, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS

2. Bioengineering Program, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS

3. Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO

4. University of Missouri-Kansas City, School of Medicine, Kansas City, MO

Corresponding Author

Jennifer L. Robinson, PhD

1530 West 15th Street Room 4132

Lawrence, KS 66045

Tel: (785) 864-0298

jlrobinson@ku.edu

Running Title

Estrogen affects meniscus gene expression

Author Contributions

K.K. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. J.G.F. designed and performed the experiment

and reviewed the manuscript. D.P. provided critical interpretation of the data and revised the

manuscript. J.R. designed the experiment, supervised the study, and wrote the manuscript. All

authors have read and approved of the submitted manuscript.

ABSTRACT: Osteoarthritis is a disease marked by progressive and irreversible hyaline cartilage

and fibrocartilage breakdown that affects the lives of millions of patients worldwide. Female sex

and menopause are both risk factors for knee osteoarthritis, indicating that estrogen could play a

role in this disease. In this study, RNA sequencing was used to determine the effects of estrogen

treatment on human meniscal cells. Differences in the number and type of differentially expressed

genes were seen based on donor sex, estrogen dose, and dosing kinetics. Significantly more

differentially expressed genes were seen from male meniscal cells in response to all dosing

conditions compared to female cells. Importantly, more genes were differentially expressed in cells

treated with continuous dosing of estrogen, which has been shown to stimulate genomic estrogen

signaling, as compared to pulsed dosing. Additionally, functional enrichment analysis revealed

that many genes of the extracellular matrix, which is important for joint health and injury repair,

were differentially expressed. Overall, this initial study lays the groundwork for future avenues to

pursue the effect of estrogen delivery on regenerative pathways. This critical analysis will then

inform the design and implementation of estrogen replacement therapies to promote meniscal

health and reduce the onset of osteoarthritis.

Keywords: estrogen treatment, meniscus tissue, sex differences, RNA sequencing

INTRODUCTION

An estimated 250 million people have knee osteoarthritis (OA) worldwide,[1] with more than 25

million cases in the United States alone.[2] Patients experience progressive and irreversible cartilage

breakdown that leads to pain, immobility, and eventual joint failure. Meniscal tears are the most

prevalent intra-articular knee injury and pose significant risk in the development of OA.[3] Knee OA

is more common and severe in women than in men, especially post-menopause.[4] Also, tears of

the meniscal body are more common in women than in men.[5] Further, recent epidemiological

studies have found that meniscal injury rates are higher in female high school athletes than in males

competing in comparable sports,[6] and that females require repeat meniscal repair surgeries 2.2x

more frequently.[7] These data suggest that sex hormone levels, including estrogen, may play a role

in meniscal injury and repair.

Estrogen is required for joint health and is used as a therapeutic for joint degenerative

conditions. Several studies have found a protective effect of estrogen replacement therapy (ERT)

against the development and progression of knee OA in post-menopausal women; however, the

effects were modest and did not reach significance.[8-10] Treatment with estrogen or selective

estrogen receptor modulators has been shown to improve OA symptoms in humans in some

studies, but the results are mixed and inconclusive.[11] While clinical data suggests estrogen protects

the knee joint and reduces OA, there is a dearth of *in vitro* and preclinical studies investigating the

role of estrogen on meniscal health and repair. A better understanding of the effects of estrogen

treatment on the knee is needed to develop therapies to improve joint health in different

populations.

The physiological effects of estrogen vary depending on concentration and dosing kinetics.

High and low concentrations of estrogen are known to have opposite effects on inflammation,[12]

gene expression,[13] and stem cell proliferation.[14] Specific 17β-estradiol (E2) effects are dose-

dependent and differ between tissues.[15] Additionally, several studies have found that the effect of

estrogen varies based on dosing kinetics both *in vitro*[16; 17] and *in vivo*.[18] Typically, estrogen exerts

its effects on cells by activating the nuclear estrogen receptors alpha and beta, which then regulate

transcription of many genes. However, it is known that estrogen can also have quicker, non-

genomic effects on cells.[19] There is evidence that controlling dosing kinetics can control the type

of response elicited.[16] Conversely, others have found that if total hormone exposure is kept

constant, dosing kinetics do not alter the effects of estrogen.[20]

The intricacies of the effects of estrogen are significant given the different levels of

estrogen seen in patients of different sexes and stages of life and the different doses and delivery

routes used for ERT. Serum estradiol levels in healthy, menstruating women range from 40-400

pg/mL (150-1,500 pM) depending on the phase of the menstrual cycle,[21] while levels in pregnant

women range from 2,000-20,000 pg/mL (7,300-73,000 pM) depending on trimester.[22] Post-

menopausal women typically have serum estradiol levels below 15 pg/mL (60 pM).[21] Healthy men

under age 55 average serum estradiol levels less than 40 pg/mL (150 pM),[21; 23; 24] and these levels

decrease as men age and their testosterone levels decrease.[24] Average serum levels of estradiol

achieved by ERT typically range from 20-100 pg/mL.[25] Less data is available on synovial fluid

(SF) estradiol levels, which is the most pertinent data for tissues such as the meniscus. The data

available for SF is mostly limited to older patient populations with OA or rheumatoid arthritis

(RA). These studies have found estradiol SF levels ranging from 15-54 pg/mL (60-200 pM) in

male and female patients, with higher levels typically seen in RA patients than in OA patients.[26-

28] Though these levels cannot be applied to other populations, these studies did find strong, positive

linear correlations between serum and SF estradiol levels that could be applicable to other groups.

In terms of ERTs, each treatment type (e.g. formulation and deployment form) is associated with

a different hormone exposure level and kinetic profile. For example, though a 50 μg/day

transdermal reservoir patch, 2 mg oral tablet, and 300 μg nasal dose of E2 all provide similar 24 h

systemic exposures (1080-1400 pg*h/ml), they each have a unique kinetic profile ranging from

continuous over days with a transdermal patch to pulsed over hours with a nasal delivery route.[29]

Further studies are needed to understand the effects of estrogen concentration and dosing kinetics

on tissues of interest from different patient populations.

In this study, we aimed to both determine sex differences and the role of concentration and

dosing kinetics on human knee meniscal cell gene expression changes in response to estrogen

treatment. Cells were isolated from the menisci of two age-matched donors, one of each sex, and

treated with two concentrations of 17β-estradiol (E2) under pulsed and continuous conditions.

Messenger RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) was then used to determine differentially expressed genes

as a function of the E2 treatment groups. Results from this study will inform future work on

developing a mechanistic understanding of estrogen delivery conditions on cell and tissue response

to aid in design and development of treatments for knee injury based on patient sex.

METHODS

Cells and Treatment

The experimental design and workflow are illustrated in Figure 1. Human meniscal tissue was

received from the National Disease Research Interchange (NDRI). Cells were harvested from the

medial meniscus of a human cadaveric male (Black) and a female (Caucasian) (both 47-year old).

Briefly, whole menisci were cut into small pieces (1-2 mm) and digested in DMEM (11996-065,

Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) containing 2% penicillin/streptomycin (15140-122, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA)

and 3 mg/mL collagenase type I (17100-017, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) at 37 °C and 60 rpm for 16 h.

Solutions were filtered through Swinnex Filter Holders (25 mm, SX0002500, Sigma-Aldrich, St.

Louis, MO) loaded with Spectra Mesh Nylon Filters (30 µM, 888-13681, Spectrum Chemical

Manufacturing Corporation, New Brunswick, NJ) to remove undigested tissue. Cells were then

cultured in T75 tissue culture treated flasks in DMEM supplemented with 10% Premium FBS

(511150, Atlanta Biologicals, Minneapolis, MN) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. After reaching

confluency, cells were passaged using trypsin (T4174, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, diluted to 1x before

use) and seeded into T225 tissue culture treated flasks. When passage 1 cells reached 70-80%

confluency, cells were washed with PBS (20012-207, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) and cultured in phenol

red-free DMEM (pfDMEM, 31053-028, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) with 15% charcoal/dextran treated

FBS (S11650, Atlanta Biologicals, Minneapolis, MN) for 3 days to starve them of endogenous

hormones. Cells were then passaged and seeded at 10,000 cells/cm^2 in 24 well plates in pfDMEM

and allowed to adhere overnight. The next day, cells were treated in triplicate with 17β-estradiol

(E2, E2758, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in pfDMEM supplemented with 15% charcoal/dextran treated

FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin under pulsed or continuous dosing. For pulsed dosing, cells

were exposed to E2 (0, 24, or 240 µM) for 1 h, after which the E2 media was replaced with E2-

free media for 23 h. This pattern was repeated for a total of 72 h. For continuous dosing, cells were

exposed to E2 (0, 1, or 10 µM) for the entire treatment period of 72 h. The different concentrations

used for pulsed compared to continuous dosing were used so that the total 24 h exposure was

identical between groups, as reported previously.[16; 17; 20] The concentrations used were chosen to

be above normal physiological levels in order to elicit a significant response. Further, 1 µM is

predominantly used as a high concentration in *in vitro* estrogen dosing studies. Different dosing

kinetics were used to mimic those seen with different types of estrogen replacement therapies such

as pulsed from nasal doses of E2 and continuous from transdermal patches.

RNA Extraction, cDNA Library Construction, and Sequencing

After 72 h, cells were collected in TRIzol, and total RNA was purified using the manufacturer's

protocol. DNA was removed using a DNA-*free* DNA Removal Kit (AM1906, Life Technologies,

Grand Island, NY). Total RNA samples were quality checked with a Qubit Assay and Agilent

TapeStation for quantity and quality, respectively. All RNA preps had an estimated RIN value that

ranged from 8.8-10. Sequencing libraries were constructed using the NEBNext Ultra II

Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (E7760, New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA).

The library preps were started with 92-1000 ng of total RNA. The sequencing library construction

process includes mRNA purification with polyA selection beads, fragmentation to inserts of ~200

bp, strand specific cDNA synthesis, end repair, 3' end adenylation, adapter ligation, and PCR

amplification. The constructed sequencing libraries were validated and quantified with Qubit and

TapeStation assays. Each library was indexed with a barcode sequence and sequenced in a

multiplexed fashion. An Illumina NextSeq 550 system at the University of Kansas's Genome

Sequencing Core was used to generate single-end, 100-base sequence reads from the libraries.

Data Processing

Base calling was carried out by the instrument Real Time Analysis (RTA) software. The base call

files (bcl files) were demultiplexed and converted to compressed FASTQ files by bcl2fastq2. Raw

reads were filtered using fastp,[30] retaining only reads longer than 40 nucleotides. Processed reads

were then pseudoaligned to the human reference transcriptome (ensembl.org, release 97, build

GRCh38) using kallisto,[31] employing 100 bootstraps to estimate transcript abundance. tximport[32]

was then used to import kallisto transcript abundance estimates into DESeq2[33] for differential

expression analysis. Within DESeq2, a series of pairwise likelihood ratio tests to identify genes

showing differential gene expression, considering genes to be differentially expressed for a given

test if they survived a 5% False Discovery Rate criterion, was conducted. GraphPad Prism 8 was

used to generate volcano plots by plotting the $\log_2$FoldChange against the adjusted P value. Venny

2.1[34] was used to generate Venn diagrams of the differentially expressed genes with adjusted p

values (padj) < 0.05. Venn diagrams were then redrawn using Adobe Illustrator to allow for color

control. Significant results were further analyzed using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of

Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING)[35] to detect protein-protein interactions using the confidence

view to display connection thickness based upon strength of the supporting data. Functional

enrichment analysis was performed within STRING, with databases and categories selected based

upon first significance and then ability to highlight gene clusters. The Database for Annotation,

Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID)[36] was used for further functional enrichment

analysis with the human genome used as the background. The Gene Ontology Cellular Component

database was used within the Functional Annotation Chart function of DAVID. Heat maps were

generated using GenePattern.[37] To generate the list of extracellular matrix genes, the genes

identified within this category by DAVID for each treatment group were compiled into a master

list of ECM genes. The log_2FoldChange for these genes were then gathered from each treatment

group and used uploaded to the Heat Map Image module of GenePattern. Column and row sizes

were set to 128 pixels, the color scheme was globally normalized, and color gradient was not used.

RESULTS

Male Meniscal Cells Respond More Robustly to Estrogen Treatment

The number of genes in each treatment group that were differentially expressed (DEGs) as

compared to the sex-matched no E2 control was plotted in terms of E2 concentration relative to

physiological concentrations (Figure 2). This revealed that male cells responded more strongly to

E2 treatment than female cells. In general, more DEGs were seen from groups treated with

continuous dosing than for groups treated with pulsed dosing, though the male high dose pulsed

group had the most DEGs of all treatment groups. Additionally, within the female treatment groups, there were greater differences in the number of DEGs based on dosing kinetics than on concentration. In males, E2 concentration had a large effect on DEG count for the pulsed groups, an effect that does not trend with the other comparisons in which concentration did not play as significant a role when controlling for kinetics.

Volcano plots were generated to visualize the number of DEGs in each treatment group in terms of fold change and adjusted P value (Figure 3A). These plots show that in most treatment groups, the majority of DEGs had a log_2FoldChange between -2 and 2, though most groups had at least one gene that fell far outside this range. Examples include POLR2J3 in the female high dose pulsed group (log_2FoldChange -7.98) and GNL1 in the male high dose pulsed group (log_2FoldChange 9.94)

The Venn diagrams in Figure 3B illustrate more overlap in the DEGs of the male treatment groups compared to the female groups. In fact, 230 genes were common to all 4 groups. Further analysis of these overlapping genes can be seen in Table S1 and Figure S1. This analysis revealed that many groups of genes, including those of the extracellular matrix (ECM), were enriched in this set and that there were many protein-protein interactions among the genes. The highest overlap in DEGs occurred between the male high dose groups, where 823 DEGs were seen in both groups (262 genes that only overlap between the high dose groups and 561 that also overlap with other groups). Comparatively, the highest overlap in female meniscal cell treatment was seen in the continuous treatment groups, where 20 DEGS were seen in both groups.

Types of DEGs Differ Based on Estrogen Dosing Concentration and Kinetics and Sex

The DEGs from each continuous dosing treatment group were then analyzed using STRING[35]

(Figure 4). Additional constraints based on $\log_2$FoldChange were used to limit DEGs to a

reasonable number for visualization and to highlight those with greater fold changes compared to

control. STRING analysis of the other treatment groups and images without $\log_2$FoldChange

constraints can be found in Figures S2-S4. Functional enrichment analysis was also performed

within STRING, as indicated by the color coding of certain proteins. This revealed that the DEGs

of both female continuous dosing groups were enriched for proteins of the ECM, which is of

interest for its importance to joint healing. In the male continuous groups, low dose resulted in

DEGs related to metabolic pathways involving nitrogen and high dose resulted in DEGs involved

in gene expression and thyroid hormone signaling pathways.

Conservation of DEGS in Continuous Treatment Groups of Both Sexes

Additional analysis was done to compare the effects of the same treatment in different sexes

(Figure 5). Venn diagrams (Figure 5a) illustrate that relatively few DEGs were common between

the same treatment of different sexes, with the most overlap occurring between the high dose

continuous groups. Interestingly, in the continuous dosing groups with low and high dose, four

genes are conserved in both female and male cells indicating similar control of estrogen on the

transcription of these genes. The proteins for which these genes encode function as facilitators of

transport across cell membranes (*SLC7AA1 and ABCC1),* protease that functions to cleave insulin-

like growth factor binding proteins necessary for bone formation, inflammation, wound healing,

and fertility (*PAPPA1 and 2)*, and transcription factor and ubiquitin binding (*UBXN7)*. The

complete list of these overlapping DEGs can be found in Table S2. DAVID analysis (Figure 5b)

revealed several groups enriched in these datasets compared to the human genome including

extracellular exosomes in the low dose continuous treatments and extracellular space in the high

dose continuous groups. STRING[35] analysis (Figure 5c) illustrated that there were few protein-

protein interactions between these genes. However, interactions controlling cell cycle processes

(PAPPA/PCNA/TMSB4X) and TGFβR1/SMAD9, both important for meniscus cell proliferation

and phenotype, were highlighted in the high dose continuous groups of both sexes.

Overlapping DEGs as a function of treatment in the female groups are shown in Figure 6.

Overall, continuous dosing exhibited the most conserved DEGs with estrogen concentration

(Figure 6a). In looking at the pathway enrichment (Figure 6b) and protein-protein interactions

(Figure 6c), genes involved in repair and homeostasis of the ECM are reported. All 20 DEGs of

this group are shown with their expression in all other groups in the heat map in Figure 6d. The

major genes regulated were all protein coding genes that are implicated in cell-cell signaling

(*ACKR4, CLDN11),* or cell differentiation (*PAMR1, S100A4, and S100A5*). A full list of these

genes can be found in Table S3.

DEGS Related to the Extracellular Matrix from Estrogen Treatment

Functional enrichment analysis using DAVID[36] showed that the types of genes enriched varied

depending on donor sex, E2 dose, and dosing kinetics (Figure 7). Of interest for joint healing,

genes of the ECM, highlighted in red, were enriched in all treatment groups except the female

pulsed dosing groups. Genes related to extracellular exosomes and focal adhesion were enriched

in all the male treatment groups plus the female low dose continuous group. Membrane, cytoplasm,

and cytosol genes were enriched in all male treatment groups but none of the female treatment

groups. Genes of the actin cytoskeleton were only enriched in both male low dose groups. Nucleus

genes were enriched in all male groups except low dose pulsed. Genes of the basement membrane,

extracellular region, extracellular space, collagen trimer, and endoplasmic reticulum lumen were

enriched in both female continuous dosing groups but not in any of the male treatment groups.

The importance of estrogen in promoting new extracellular matrix for fibrocartilage tissue

regeneration and homeostasis has been previously detailed.[38] For this reason, the relative

expression of genes within the ECM category from each treatment group are illustrated in a heat

map in Figure 8. Separate heat maps for both sexes, doses, and dosing kinetics can be found in

Figures S5-S7. The expression levels of many genes were either up- or down-regulated in all

treatment groups. For example, ABI3BP, ADAMTS3, CANX, CDON, FBN1 and 2, LOXL2,

LTBP2, TIMP2 and 3, and many collagens were down-regulated in all treatment groups and

CALR, CFL1, CRIP2, LOXL1, LTBP3 and 4, and TNXB were up-regulated in all groups. Others

such as ACTG1, COL14A1, COL7A1, HMCN1, mATN2, OGN, and PFKP were split by donor

sex. No clear patterns were present based on low versus high dose, except for the ribosomal

proteins in male treatment groups. Of particular interest to cartilage and fibrocartilage, COMP,

POSTN, and TGFB1 and 3 were differentially regulated based on sex and estrogen dosing kinetics.

Discussion

A number of microarray and sequencing studies have provided important insight on transcriptome

differences in the meniscus including avascular meniscus that heals poorly,[39] in meniscus from

patients with and without osteoarthritis,[40] the progenitor cell phenotype that may reduce

osteoarthritis,[41] and single-cell sequencing to define unique meniscal progenitor populations that

are critical to maintain tissue health and reduce degeneration.[42] Building off this body of work,

this study focuses on transcriptome differences between male and female tissue that will inform

sex differences in natural aging and inform the role of sex hormones in repair and homeostasis.

There is evidence that estrogen replacement therapy can reduce OA incidence and

symptoms.[8-11] ERT can also prevent and treat osteoporosis and osteoarthritis. Unfortunately, ERT

is not without risk and can have negative off-target effects. Oral use of ERT increases the risk of

venous thromboembolism in post-menopausal women.[43] Combination use of estrogen plus

progestin, and to a lesser extent estrogen alone, is linked with an increased risk of breast cancer in

some studies.[44; 45] The results presented here contribute to the pool of evidence supporting the

importance of estrogen dose and kinetics for achieving the desired results in different patient

populations. Further studies could lead to knowledge about estrogen dose and kinetics that are

needed to develop safe and effective treatments for knee injury and mitigate the need for systemic

dosing at high concentrations needed to hit therapeutic dose that lead to deadly, off-target effects.

While more DEGs were reported in all of the male treatment groups, a hypothesized effect

due to the relative naivety of the male cells to high estrogen doses, an important finding is the

interplay between concentration and kinetics in both groups. In most cases, there were more DEGs

seen in treatment groups with continuous dosing than with pulsed dosing. This corresponds with

the findings of Simoncini *et. al.* that continuous E2 dosing of human umbilical vein endothelial

cells (HUVECs) leads to heavier E2 signaling through the traditional genomic pathways than

pulsed dosing.[16] In contrast, Cavailles *et. al.* found no difference in the effects of pulsed compared

to continuous estrogen dosing on the expression levels of 3 estrogen-responsive genes.[20] This

inconsistency can possibly be explained by the different scopes of the studies and different

concentrations of E2 used. Another study found more DEGs in HUVECs treated with pulsed

estrogen than those treated with continuous estrogen,[17] in direct contradiction to the current study.

A possible explanation for this is the differential response to estrogen dosing kinetics based on

tissue type seen by Otto *et. al.*[18] Interestingly, with continuous dosing, concentration did not play

a major role in total DEGs whereas concentration did play a significant role with pulsed dosing.

Also, in the female groups, pulsed dosing resulted in lower total DEGs whereas the total DEGs

was a function of concentration in the male groups. Overall, it is likely that continuous dosing is

required for the robust transcriptional changes necessary for remodeling and homeostasis to

maintain meniscal health and reduce OA.

Functional enrichment analysis using DAVID and STRING revealed that the types of

genes enriched varied by donor sex, E2 dose, and dosing kinetics. Genes related to the extracellular

matrix were enriched in all groups except the female pulsed groups. It is also of interest that the

DEGs common to both male and female cells are related to the extracellular matrix. There is

evidence that increasing ECM production in joint tissues can improve joint function.[38] While all

of the differentially expressed collagens and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) are

downregulated within all treatment groups, growth factors such as in the TGFβ family are

upregulated in all male groups and in the female pulsed groups. This is information that must be

pursued in future studies as TGFβ3 is a major growth factor in meniscus remodeling and repair[46-

50] and activation of this protein enhances differentiation ability of meniscus progenitors to

chondrogenic-like avascular tissue.[41; 51] Additionally, TNXB, LTBP3, and LTBP4, genes that have

each been shown to play a role in the activation, availability, and/or signaling of TGFβ[52; 53], are all

upregulated in all groups. LTBP2, another gene in the LTBP family, is down-regulated, but it is

also the only one in the family that does not bind to latent TGFβ.[53] TNXB is also important for the

correct assembly of other matrix molecules and wound healing.[53] TGFβ stimulates collagen

production at the transcription level, so it is interesting that TGFβ expression was up-regulated but

collagen expression was down-regulated. A possible explanation is found in Fragiadaki *et. al*.'s

report that high doses of TGFβ down-regulate expression of COL1A2.[54]

There are limitations to the current study that should be considered. This study was

performed using 2D culture methods, which are known to promote a more fibroblast-like

phenotype of the meniscal fibrochondrocytes[39; 55] and thus will indicate more of the fibroblast-like

cell response to estrogen. Additionally, this study was performed using cells isolated from only 2

donors, both 47-years old. It is likely that these cells had relatively limited remodeling capability

due to the age of the donors. Furthermore, the 2 donors were of different races (Black and

Caucasian), which could have been a complicating factor, though one study found no difference

in meniscal tear rates between members of white and black racial groups.[56] Future studies on 3D

cultures using tissue from more donors of different ages and more concentrations of estrogen could

be very informative to elucidate more conclusive effects of estrogen on the meniscus and for the

development of treatments for knee injury.

Conclusions

Overall, the estrogen dosing kinetics played a more significant role on total DEG compared to

estrogen concentration in both sexes. There were only four DEGs conserved across sexes in both

low and high concentrations in continuous dosing illustrating that meniscus cell transcriptional

response to estrogen signaling is significantly different between male and females. Future work

will expand on this initial data set to probe the effect of specific pathways, such as TGF-β3 known

to promote meniscus healing, to provide clear insight into the role estrogen plays on meniscus

regeneration and homeostasis. Overall, this initial study lays the groundwork for future avenues

to pursue the effect of estrogen delivery on regenerative pathways to inform the design and

implementation of ERT therapies to promote meniscal health and reduce the onset of osteoarthritis.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the use of tissues procured by the National Disease Research Interchange (NDRI) with support from NIH grant U42OD11158. The authors want to thank Brittney Smith and Jennifer Hackett at the KU Genome Sequencing Center (GSC) for completing the sequencing (NIH NIGMS P20GM103638) and Boryana Koseva and Stuart Macdonald of the K-INBRE Bioinformatics Core for providing computational support (NIH P20 GM103418). Further, the authors are appreciative of the insight and analysis feedback from Dr. Emily Farrow, director of laboratory operations at the Genome Center at Children's Mercy Hospital. This work was supported by startup funds from the University of Kansas (JR) and NIH NIGMS P20GM103638 (KK, JGG, and JLR).

References

1. O'Neill TW, McCabe PS, McBeth J. 2018. Update on the epidemiology, risk factors and disease outcomes of osteoarthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 32:312-326.

2. Zhang Y, Jordan JM. 2010. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. Clin Geriatr Med 26:355-369.

3. Englund M, Roos EM, Lohmander LS. 2003. Impact of type of meniscal tear on radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: a sixteen-year followup of meniscectomy with matched controls. Arthritis Rheum 48:2178-2187.

4. Srikanth VK, Fryer JL, Zhai G, et al. 2005. A meta-analysis of sex differences prevalence, incidence and severity of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 13:769-781.

5.   Ding C, Martel-Pelletier J, Pelletier JP, et al. 2007. Meniscal tear as an

osteoarthritis risk factor in a largely non-osteoarthritic cohort: a cross-sectional

study. J Rheumatol 34:776-784.

6.   Mitchell J, Graham W, Best TM, et al. 2016. Epidemiology of meniscal injuries in

US high school athletes between 2007 and 2013. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol

Arthrosc 24:715-722.

7.   Patel NM, Mundluru SN, Beck NA, et al. 2019. Which Factors Increase the Risk of

Reoperation After Meniscal Surgery in Children? Orthop J Sports Med

7:2325967119842885.

8.   Hannan MT, Felson DT, Anderson JJ, et al. 1990. Estrogen use and radiographic

osteoarthritis of the knee in women. The Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. Arthritis

Rheum 33:525-532.

9.   Zhang Y, McAlindon TE, Hannan MT, et al. 1998. Estrogen replacement therapy

and worsening of radiographic knee osteoarthritis: the Framingham Study. Arthritis

Rheum 41:1867-1873.

10. Hart DJ, Doyle DV, Spector TD. 1999. Incidence and risk factors for radiographic

knee osteoarthritis in middle-aged women: the Chingford Study. Arthritis Rheum

42:17-24.

11. Xiao YP, Tian FM, Dai MW, et al. 2016. Are estrogen-related drugs new

alternatives for the management of osteoarthritis? Arthritis Res Ther 18:151.

12. Straub RH. 2007. The complex role of estrogens in inflammation. Endocr Rev

28:521-574.

13.   Koelling S, Miosge N. 2010. Sex differences of chondrogenic progenitor cells in

late stages of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 62:1077-1087.

14.   Lee WJ, Lee SC, Lee JH, et al. 2016. Differential regulation of senescence and in

vitro differentiation by 17beta-estradiol between mesenchymal stem cells derived

from male and female mini-pigs. J Vet Sci 17:159-170.

15.   Fontaine C, Buscato M, Vinel A, et al. 2020. The tissue-specific effects of different

17beta-estradiol doses reveal the key sensitizing role of AF1 domain in ERalpha

activity. Mol Cell Endocrinol 505:110741.

16.   Simoncini T, Fornari L, Mannella P, et al. 2005. Differential estrogen signaling in

endothelial cells upon pulsed or continuous administration. Maturitas 50:247-258.

17.   Li J, Wang H, Johnson SM, et al. 2008. Differing transcriptional responses to

pulsed or continuous estradiol exposure in human umbilical vein endothelial cells.

J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 111:41-49.

18.   Otto C, Kantner I, Nubbemeyer R, et al. 2012. Estradiol release kinetics determine

tissue response in ovariectomized rats. Endocrinology 153:1725-1733.

19.   Azuma K, Inoue S. 2012. Genomic and non-genomic actions of estrogen: recent

developments. Biomol Concepts 3:365-370.

20.   Cavailles V, Gompel A, Portois MC, et al. 2002. Comparative activity of pulsed or

continuous estradiol exposure on gene expression and proliferation of normal and

tumoral human breast cells. J Mol Endocrinol 28:165-175.

21.   Sluss PM, Hayes FJ, Adams JM, et al. 2008. Mass spectrometric and physiological

validation of a sensitive, automated, direct immunoassay for serum estradiol using

the Architect. Clin Chim Acta 388:99-105.

22.   Schock H, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Lundin E, et al. 2016. Hormone concentrations

414   throughout uncomplicated pregnancies: a longitudinal study. BMC Pregnancy

415   Childbirth 16:146.

416   23.   Dighe AS, Moy JM, Hayes FJ, et al. 2005. High-resolution reference ranges for

417   estradiol, luteinizing hormone, and follicle-stimulating hormone in men and women

418   using the AxSYM assay system. Clin Biochem 38:175-179.

419   24.   Decaroli MC, Rochira V. 2017. Aging and sex hormones in males. Virulence 8:545-

420   570.

421   25.   Stanczyk FZ, Clarke NJ. 2014. Measurement of Estradiol—Challenges Ahead.

422   The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 99:56-58.

423   26.   Rovensky J, Radikova Z, Imrich R, et al. 2004. Gonadal and adrenal steroid

424   hormones in plasma and synovial fluid of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Endocr

425   Regul 38:143-149.

426   27.   Rovensky J, Simorova E, Radikova Z, et al. 2006. Comparison of hormone transfer

427   to pleural and synovial exudates. Endocr Regul 40:29-36.

428   28.   Richette P, Laborde K, Boutron C, et al. 2007. Correlation between serum and

429   synovial fluid estrogen concentrations: comment on the article by Sowers et al.

430   Arthritis Rheum 56:698; author reply 698-699.

431   29.   Devissaguet JP, Brion N, Lhote O, et al. 1999. Pulsed estrogen therapy:

432   pharmacokinetics of intranasal 17-beta-estradiol (S21400) in postmenopausal

433   women and comparison with oral and transdermal formulations. Eur J Drug Metab

434   Pharmacokinet 24:265-271.

30.   Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, et al. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ

preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34:i884-i890.

31.   Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, et al. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq

quantification. Nat Biotechnol 34:525-527.

32.   Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD. 2015. Differential analyses for RNA-seq:

transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res 4:1521.

33.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and

dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.

34.   Oliveros JC. 2007-2015. Venny-An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's

diagrams.

35.   Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, et al. 2019. STRING v11: protein-protein

association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in

genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D607-d613.

36.   Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. 2009. Systematic and integrative

analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc 4:44-

57.

37.   Reich M, Liefeld T, Gould J, et al. 2006. GenePattern 2.0. Nat Genet 38:500-501.

38.   Robinson JL, Soria P, Xu M, et al. 2018. Estrogen Promotes Mandibular Condylar

Fibrocartilage Chondrogenesis and Inhibits Degeneration via Estrogen Receptor

Alpha in Female Mice. Sci Rep 8:8527.

39.   Grogan SP, Duffy SF, Pauli C, et al. 2018. Gene expression profiles of the

meniscus avascular phenotype: A guide for meniscus tissue engineering. J Orthop

Res 36:1947-1958.

40. Brophy RH, Zhang B, Cai L, et al. 2018. Transcriptome comparison of meniscus

from patients with and without osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 26:422-432.

41. Muhammad H, Schminke B, Bode C, et al. 2014. Human migratory meniscus

progenitor cells are controlled via the TGF-β pathway. Stem Cell Reports 3:789-

803.

42. Sun H, Wen X, Li H, et al. 2020. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis identifies meniscus

progenitors and reveals the progression of meniscus degeneration. Ann Rheum

Dis 79:408-417.

43. Rovinski D, Ramos RB, Fighera TM, et al. 2018. Risk of venous thromboembolism

events in postmenopausal women using oral versus non-oral hormone therapy: A

systematic review and meta-analysis. Thromb Res 168:83-95.

44. Beral V. 2003. Breast cancer and hormone-replacement therapy in the Million

Women Study. Lancet 362:419-427.

45. Taylor HS, Manson JE. 2011. Update in hormone therapy use in menopause. J

Clin Endocrinol Metab 96:255-264.

46. Rothrauff BB, Yang G, Tuan RS. 2017. Tissue-specific bioactivity of soluble

tendon-derived and cartilage-derived extracellular matrices on adult mesenchymal

stem cells. Stem Cell Res Ther 8:133.

47. Tarafder S, Gulko J, Kim D, et al. 2019. Effect of dose and release rate of CTGF

and TGFβ3 on avascular meniscus healing. J Orthop Res 37:1555-1562.

48. Yuan X, Wei Y, Villasante A, et al. 2017. Stem cell delivery in tissue-specific

hydrogel enabled meniscal repair in an orthotopic rat model. Biomaterials 132:59-

71.

49.   Huey DJ, Athanasiou KA. 2011. Maturational growth of self-assembled, functional

menisci as a result of TGF-β1 and enzymatic chondroitinase-ABC stimulation.

Biomaterials 32:2052-2058.

50.   MacBarb RF, Makris EA, Hu JC, et al. 2013. A chondroitinase-ABC and TGF-β1

treatment regimen for enhancing the mechanical properties of tissue-engineered

fibrocartilage. Acta Biomater 9:4626-4634.

51.   Wang Q, Tan Q, Xu W, et al. 2018. Postnatal deletion of Alk5 gene in meniscal

cartilage accelerates age-dependent meniscal degeneration in mice. J Cell Physiol

234:595-605.

52.   Valcourt U, Alcaraz LB, Exposito JY, et al. 2015. Tenascin-X: beyond the

architectural function. Cell Adh Migr 9:154-165.

53.   Robertson IB, Horiguchi M, Zilberberg L, et al. 2015. Latent TGF-β-binding

proteins. Matrix Biol 47:44-53.

54.   Fragiadaki M, Ikeda T, Witherden A, et al. 2011. High doses of TGF-β potently

suppress type I collagen via the transcription factor CUX1. Mol Biol Cell 22:1836-

1844.

55.   Son MS, Levenston ME. 2017. Quantitative tracking of passage and 3D culture

effects on chondrocyte and fibrochondrocyte gene expression. J Tissue Eng

Regen Med 11:1185-1194.

56.   Jones JC, Burks R, Owens BD, et al. 2012. Incidence and risk factors associated

with meniscal injuries among active-duty US military service members. J Athl Train

47:67-73.

503
504

Figure 1: Experimental design. Cells were isolated from the medial meniscus of two 47-year old donors, one of each sex, and treated with two concentrations of E2 under pulsed and continuous conditions. RNA-Seq was then used to analyze the samples. Running silhouettes from freepik.com.

Figure 2: Visualization of the number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by treatment group in comparison to physiological serum estrogen concentrations.

Figure 3: Visualization of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Volcano plots and Venn diagrams (Venny 2.1) illustrate the number of differentially expressed genes in each treatment group. Only DEGs with padj<0.05 were used in the Venn diagrams.

Figure 4: STRING analysis of DEGs from all 4 continuous dosing treatment groups. Images are shown as confidence views, where associations with stronger data support are thicker. Only DEGs with padj<0.05 are shown. Additional constraints based on log₂FoldChange were used to limit DEGs to a reasonable number for plotting and to highlight those with greater log₂FoldChanges. For the female groups, only log₂FoldChange >0.5 or <-0.5 are shown. For the male groups, only log₂FoldChange >1.0 or <-1.0 are shown. Color coding is based on functional enrichment analysis within STRING and is indicated for each treatment group. Abbreviations: GO-Gene Ontology, KEGG-Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, ECM-extracellular matrix.

Figure 5: Analysis of the response of both sexes to the same treatment. (A) Venn diagrams (Venny 2.1) were generated to show which genes were altered in both sexes under the same treatment. For treatments with more than one common gene, functional enrichment analysis was performed using

(B) DAVID and (C) STRING analysis to determine what types of genes were differentially

expressed. Functional enrichment analysis shows the Gene Ontology Cellular Component groups

with p values<0.05. STRING analysis shows known and predicted interactions between the

proteins encoded by the genes in confidence views, where associations with stronger data support

are thicker.

Figure 6: Analysis of DEGs that overlap between any two female treatment groups. (A) Venn

diagrams (Venny 2.1) were generated to show which genes were altered in more than one treatment

group. For groups with more than one common gene, functional enrichment analysis was

performed using (B) DAVID and (C) STRING analysis to determine what types of genes were

differentially expressed. (D) A heat map was generated of all 20 DEGs that were present in both

continuous treatment groups. Functional enrichment analysis shows the Gene Ontology Cellular

Component groups with p values<0.05. STRING analysis shows known and predicted interactions

between the proteins encoded by the genes in confidence views, where associations with stronger

data support are thicker. Heat map was generated using GenePattern. Genes are listed in

alphabetical order. Values shown are $\log_2$FoldChange of each gene for each treatment group as

compared to the sex-matched no E2 control.

Figure 7: Functional enrichment analysis of DEGs using DAVID. Categories shown are from the

Gene Ontology Cellular Component database. Where available, the top 15 groups by p value are

shown with only p values < 0.05 considered.

Figure 8: Heat map of extracellular matrix gene expression. Heat map was generated using GenePattern. Genes are listed in alphabetical order. Values shown are log₂FoldChange of each gene for each treatment group as compared to the sex-matched no E2 control.