Transcriptome Sequencing Reveals Sex Differences in Human Meniscal Cell Response to

Estrogen Based on Dosing Kinetics

Kelsey E. Knewtson[1], Jesus G. Gonzalez Flores[2], Donna M. Pacicca[3,4], Jennifer L. Robinson[1,2]

1. Chemical and Petroleum Engineering Department, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS

2. Bioengineering Program, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS

3. Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO

4. University of Missouri-Kansas City, School of Dentistry, Kansas City, MO

Corresponding Author

Jennifer L. Robinson, PhD

1530 West 15th Street Room 4132

Lawrence, KS 66045

Tel: (785) 864-0298

jlrobinson@ku.edu

Running Title

Estrogen affects meniscus gene expression

Author Contributions

K.K. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. J.G.F. designed and performed the experiment

and reviewed the manuscript. D.P. provided critical interpretation of the data and revised the

manuscript. J.R. designed the experiment, supervised the study, and wrote the manuscript. All

authors have read and approved of the submitted manuscript.

ABSTRACT: Osteoarthritis is a disease marked by progressive and irreversible hyaline cartilage

and fibrocartilage breakdown that affects the lives of millions of patients worldwide. Female sex

and menopause are both risk factors for knee osteoarthritis, indicating that estrogen could play a

role in this disease. In this study, RNA sequencing was used to determine the effects of estrogen

treatment on human meniscal cells. Differences in the number and type of differentially

expressed genes were seen based on donor sex, estrogen dose, and dosing kinetics. Significantly

more differentially expressed genes were seen from male meniscal cells in response to all dosing

conditions compared to female cells. Importantly, more genes were differentially expressed in

cells treated with continuous dosing of estrogen, which has been shown to stimulate genomic

estrogen signaling, as compared to pulsed dosing. Additionally, functional enrichment analysis

revealed that many genes of the extracellular matrix, which is important for joint health and

injury repair, were differentially expressed. Overall, this initial study lays the groundwork for

future avenues to pursue the effect of estrogen delivery on regenerative pathways. This critical

analysis will then inform the design and implementation of estrogen replacement therapies to

promote meniscal health and reduce the onset of osteoarthritis.

Keywords: estrogen treatment, meniscus tissue, sex differences, RNA sequencing

INTRODUCTION

An estimated 250 million people have knee osteoarthritis (OA) worldwide,[1] with more than 25

million cases in the United States alone.[2] Patients experience progressive and irreversible

cartilage breakdown that leads to pain, immobility, and eventual joint failure. Meniscal tears are

the most prevalent intra-articular knee injury and pose significant risk in the development of

OA.[3] Knee OA is more common and severe in women than in men, especially post-menopause.[4]

Also, tears of the meniscal body are more common in women than in men.[5] Further, recent

epidemiological studies have found that meniscal injury rates are higher in female high school

athletes than in males competing in comparable sports,[6] and that females require repeat meniscal

repair surgeries 2.2x more frequently.[7] These data suggest that sex hormone levels, including

estrogen, may play a role in meniscal injury and repair.

Estrogen is required for joint health and is used as a therapeutic for joint degenerative

conditions. Several studies have found a protective effect of estrogen replacement therapy (ERT)

against the development and progression of knee OA in post-menopausal women; however, the

effects were modest and did not reach significance.[8-10] Treatment with estrogen or selective

estrogen receptor modulators has been shown to improve OA symptoms in humans in some

studies, but the results are mixed and inconclusive.[11] While clinical data suggests estrogen

protects the knee joint and reduces OA, there is a dearth of *in vitro* and preclinical studies

investigating the role of estrogen on meniscal health and repair. A better understanding of the

effects of estrogen treatment on the knee is needed to develop therapies to improve joint health in

different populations.

The physiological effects of estrogen vary depending on concentration and dosing

kinetics. High and low concentrations of estrogen are known to have opposite effects on

inflammation,[12] gene expression,[13] and stem cell proliferation.[14] Specific 17β-estradiol (E2)

effects are dose-dependent and differ between tissues.[15] Additionally, several studies have found

that the effect of estrogen varies based on dosing kinetics both *in vitro*[16; 17] and *in vivo*.[18]

Typically, estrogen exerts its effects on cells by activating the nuclear estrogen receptors alpha

and beta, which then regulate transcription of many genes. However, it is known that estrogen

can also have quicker, non-genomic effects on cells.[19] There is evidence that controlling dosing

kinetics can control the type of response elicited.[16] Conversely, others have found that if total

hormone exposure is kept constant, dosing kinetics do not alter the effects of estrogen.[20]

The intricacies of the effects of estrogen are significant given the different levels of

estrogen seen in patients of different sexes and stages of life and the different doses and delivery

routes used for ERT. Serum estradiol levels in healthy, menstruating women range from 40-400

pg/mL (150-1,500 pM) depending on the phase of the menstrual cycle,[21] while levels in pregnant

women range from 2,000-20,000 pg/mL (7,300-73,000 pM) depending on trimester.[22] Post-

menopausal women typically have serum estradiol levels below 15 pg/mL (60 pM).[21] Healthy

men under age 55 average serum estradiol levels less than 40 pg/mL (150 pM),[21; 23] and these

levels decrease as men age and their testosterone levels decrease.[23] Average serum levels of

estradiol achieved by ERT typically range from 20-100 pg/mL.[24] Less data is available on

synovial fluid (SF) estradiol levels, which is the most pertinent data for tissues such as the

meniscus. The data available for SF is mostly limited to older patient populations with OA or

rheumatoid arthritis (RA). These studies have found estradiol SF levels ranging from 15-54

pg/mL (60-200 pM) in male and female patients, with higher levels typically seen in RA patients

than in OA patients.[25; 26] Though these levels cannot be applied to other populations, these

studies did find strong, positive linear correlations between serum and SF estradiol levels that

could be applicable to other groups. In terms of ERTs, each treatment type (e.g. formulation and

deployment form) is associated with a different hormone exposure level and kinetic profile. For

example, though a 50 µg/day transdermal reservoir patch, 2 mg oral tablet, and 300 µg nasal

dose of E2 all provide similar 24 h systemic exposures (1080-1400 pg*h/ml), they each have a

unique kinetic profile ranging from continuous over days with a transdermal patch to pulsed over

hours with a nasal delivery route.[27] Further studies are needed to understand the effects of

estrogen concentration and dosing kinetics on tissues of interest from different patient

populations.

In this study, we aimed to both determine sex differences and the role of concentration

and dosing kinetics on human knee meniscal cell gene expression changes in response to

estrogen treatment. Cells were isolated from the menisci of two age-matched donors, one of each

sex, and treated with two concentrations of 17β-estradiol (E2) under pulsed and continuous

conditions. Messenger RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) was then used to determine differentially

expressed genes as a function of the E2 treatment groups. Results from this study will inform

future work on developing a mechanistic understanding of estrogen delivery conditions on cell

and tissue response to aid in design and development of treatments for knee injury based on

patient sex.

METHODS

Cells and Treatment

The experimental design and workflow are illustrated in Figure 1. Human meniscal tissue was

received from the National Disease Research Interchange (NDRI). Cells were harvested from the

medial meniscus of a human cadaveric male (Black) and a female (Caucasian) (both 47-year

old). Briefly, whole menisci were cut into small pieces (1-2 mm) and digested in DMEM

(11996-065, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) containing 2% penicillin/streptomycin (15140-122, Gibco,

Carlsbad, CA) and 3 mg/mL collagenase type I (17100-017, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) at 37 °C and

60 rpm for 16 h. Solutions were filtered through Swinnex Filter Holders (25 mm, SX0002500,

Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) loaded with Spectra Mesh Nylon Filters (30 μM, 888-13681,

Spectrum Chemical Manufacturing Corporation, New Brunswick, NJ) to remove undigested

tissue. Cells were then cultured in T75 tissue culture treated flasks in DMEM supplemented with

10% Premium FBS (511150, Atlanta Biologicals, Minneapolis, MN) and 1%

penicillin/streptomycin. After reaching confluency, cells were passaged using trypsin (T4174,

Sigma, St. Louis, MO, diluted to 1x before use) and seeded into T225 tissue culture treated

flasks. When passage 1 cells reached 70-80% confluency, cells were washed with PBS (20012-

207, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) and cultured in phenol red-free DMEM (pfDMEM, 31053-028,

Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) with 15% charcoal/dextran treated FBS (S11650, Atlanta Biologicals,

Minneapolis, MN) for 3 days to starve them of endogenous hormones. Cells were then passaged

and seeded at 10,000 cells/cm^2 in 24 well plates in pfDMEM and allowed to adhere overnight.

The next day, cells were treated in triplicate with 17β-estradiol (E2, E2758, Sigma, St. Louis,

MO) in pfDMEM supplemented with 15% charcoal/dextran treated FBS and 1%

penicillin/streptomycin under pulsed or continuous dosing. For pulsed dosing, cells were

exposed to E2 (0, 24, or 240 μM) for 1 h, after which the E2 media was replaced with E2-free

media for 23 h. This pattern was repeated for a total of 72 h. For continuous dosing, cells were

exposed to E2 (0, 1, or 10 μM) for the entire treatment period of 72 h. The different

concentrations used for pulsed compared to continuous dosing were used so that the total 24 h

exposure was identical between groups, as reported previously.[16; 17; 20] The concentrations used

were chosen to be above normal physiological levels in order to elicit a significant response.

Further, 1 μM is predominantly used as a high concentration in *in vitro* estrogen dosing studies.

Different dosing kinetics were used to mimic those seen with different types of estrogen

replacement therapies such as pulsed from nasal doses of E2 and continuous from transdermal

patches.

RNA Extraction, cDNA Library Construction, and Sequencing

After 72 h, cells were collected in TRIzol, and total RNA was purified using the manufacturer's

protocol. DNA was removed using a DNA-*free* DNA Removal Kit (AM1906, Life

Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Total RNA samples were quality checked with a Qubit Assay

and Agilent TapeStation for quantity and quality, respectively. All RNA preps had an estimated

RIN value that ranged from 8.8-10. Sequencing libraries were constructed using the NEBNext

Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (E7760, New England BioLabs, Ipswich,

MA). The library preps were started with 92-1000 ng of total RNA. The sequencing library

construction process includes mRNA purification with polyA selection beads, fragmentation to

inserts of ~200 bp, strand specific cDNA synthesis, end repair, 3' end adenylation, adapter

ligation, and PCR amplification. The constructed sequencing libraries were validated and

quantified with Qubit and TapeStation assays. Each library was indexed with a barcode sequence

and sequenced in a multiplexed fashion. An Illumina NextSeq 550 system at the University of

Kansas's Genome Sequencing Core was used to generate single-end, 100-base sequence reads

from the libraries.

Data Processing

Base calling was carried out by the instrument Real Time Analysis (RTA) software. The base

call files (bcl files) were demultiplexed and converted to compressed FASTQ files by bcl2fastq2.

Raw reads were filtered using fastp,[28] retaining only reads longer than 40 nucleotides. Processed

reads were then pseudoaligned to the human reference transcriptome (ensembl.org, release 97,

build GRCh38) using kallisto,[29] employing 100 bootstraps to estimate transcript abundance.

tximport[30] was then used to import kallisto transcript abundance estimates into DESeq2[31]

for differential expression analysis. Within DESeq2, a series of pairwise likelihood ratio tests to

identify genes showing differential gene expression, considering genes to be differentially

expressed for a given test if they survived a 5% False Discovery Rate criterion, was conducted.

GraphPad Prism 8 was used to generate volcano plots by plotting the log$_2$FoldChange against the

adjusted P value. Venny 2.1[32] was used to generate Venn diagrams of the differentially expressed

genes with adjusted p values (padj) < 0.05. Venn diagrams were then redrawn using Adobe

Illustrator to allow for color control. Significant results were further analyzed using the Search

Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING)[33] to detect protein-protein

interactions using the confidence view to display connection thickness based upon strength of the

supporting data. Functional enrichment analysis was performed within STRING, with databases

and categories selected based upon first significance and then ability to highlight gene clusters.

The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID)[34] was used for

further functional enrichment analysis with the human genome used as the background. The

Gene Ontology Cellular Component database was used within the Functional Annotation Chart

function of DAVID. Heat maps were generated using GenePattern.[35] To generate the list of

extracellular matrix genes, the genes identified within this category by DAVID for each

treatment group were compiled into a master list of ECM genes. The log$_2$FoldChange for these

genes were then gathered from each treatment group and used uploaded to the Heat Map Image

module of GenePattern. Column and row sizes were set to 128 pixels, the color scheme was

globally normalized, and color gradient was not used.

RESULTS

Male Meniscal Cells Respond More Robustly to Estrogen Treatment

The number of genes in each treatment group that were differentially expressed (DEGs) as compared to the sex-matched no E2 control was plotted in terms of E2 concentration relative to physiological concentrations (Figure 2). This revealed that male cells responded more strongly to E2 treatment than female cells. In general, more DEGs were seen from groups treated with continuous dosing than for groups treated with pulsed dosing, though the male high dose pulsed group had the most DEGs of all treatment groups. Additionally, within the female treatment groups, there were greater differences in the number of DEGs based on dosing kinetics than on concentration. In males, E2 concentration had a large effect on DEG count for the pulsed groups, an effect that does not trend with the other comparisons in which concentration did not play as significant a role when controlling for kinetics.

Volcano plots were generated to visualize the number of DEGs in each treatment group in terms of fold change and adjusted P value (Figure 3A). These plots show that in most treatment groups, the majority of DEGs had a $\log_2$FoldChange between -2 and 2, though most groups had at least one gene that fell far outside this range. Examples include POLR2J3 in the female high dose pulsed group ($\log_2$FoldChange -7.98) and GNL1 in the male high dose pulsed group ($\log_2$FoldChange 9.94)

The Venn diagrams in Figure 3B illustrate more overlap in the DEGs of the male treatment groups compared to the female groups. In fact, 230 genes were common to all 4 groups. Further analysis of these overlapping genes can be seen in Table S1 and Figure S1. This analysis revealed that many groups of genes, including those of the extracellular matrix (ECM), were enriched in this set and that there were many protein-protein interactions among the genes. The highest overlap in DEGs occurred between the male high dose groups, where 823 DEGs

were seen in both groups (262 genes that only overlap between the high dose groups and 561 that

also overlap with other groups). Comparatively, the highest overlap in female meniscal cell

treatment was seen in the continuous treatment groups, where 20 DEGS were seen in both

groups.

Types of DEGs Differ Based on Estrogen Dosing Concentration and Kinetics and Sex

The DEGs from each continuous dosing treatment group were then analyzed using STRING[33]

(Figure 4). Additional constraints based on $\log_2$FoldChange were used to limit DEGs to a

reasonable number for visualization and to highlight those with greater fold changes compared to

control. STRING analysis of the other treatment groups and images without $\log_2$FoldChange

constraints can be found in Figures S2-S4. Functional enrichment analysis was also performed

within STRING, as indicated by the color coding of certain proteins. This revealed that the

DEGs of both female continuous dosing groups were enriched for proteins of the ECM, which is

of interest for its importance to joint healing. In the male continuous groups, low dose resulted in

DEGs related to metabolic pathways involving nitrogen and high dose resulted in DEGs

involved in gene expression and thyroid hormone signaling pathways.

Conservation of DEGS in Continuous Treatment Groups of Both Sexes

Additional analysis was done to compare the effects of the same treatment in different sexes

(Figure 5). Venn diagrams (Figure 5a) illustrate that relatively few DEGs were common between

the same treatment of different sexes, with the most overlap occurring between the high dose

continuous groups. Interestingly, in the continuous dosing groups with low and high dose, four

genes are conserved in both female and male cells indicating similar control of estrogen on the

transcription of these genes. The proteins for which these genes encode function as facilitators of

transport across cell membranes (*SLC7AA1 and ABCC1),* protease that functions to cleave

insulin-like growth factor binding proteins necessary for bone formation, inflammation, wound

healing, and fertility (*PAPPA1 and 2)*, and transcription factor and ubiquitin binding (*UBXN7)*.

The complete list of these overlapping DEGs can be found in Table S2. DAVID analysis (Figure

5b) revealed several groups enriched in these datasets compared to the human genome including

extracellular exosomes in the low dose continuous treatments and extracellular space in the high

dose continuous groups. STRING analysis (Figure 5c) illustrated that there were few protein-

protein interactions between these genes. However, interactions controlling cell cycle processes

(PAPPA/PCNA/TMSB4X) and TGFβR1/SMAD9, both important for meniscus cell proliferation

and phenotype, were highlighted in the high dose continuous groups of both sexes.

Overlapping DEGs as a function of treatment in the female groups are shown in Figure 6.

Overall, continuous dosing exhibited the most conserved DEGs with estrogen concentration

(Figure 6a). In looking at the pathway enrichment (Figure 6b) and protein-protein interactions

(Figure 6c), genes involved in repair and homeostasis of the ECM are reported. All 20 DEGs of

this group are shown with their expression in all other groups in the heat map in Figure 6d. The

major genes regulated were all protein coding genes that are implicated in cell-cell signaling

(*ACKR4, CLDN11),* or cell differentiation (*PAMR1, S100A4, and S100A5*). A full list of these

genes can be found in Table S3.

DEGS Related to the Extracellular Matrix from Estrogen Treatment

Functional enrichment analysis using DAVID[34] showed that the types of genes enriched varied

depending on donor sex, E2 dose, and dosing kinetics (Figure 7). Of interest for joint healing,

genes of the ECM, highlighted in red, were enriched in all treatment groups except the female

pulsed dosing groups. Genes related to extracellular exosomes and focal adhesion were enriched

in all the male treatment groups plus the female low dose continuous group. Membrane,

cytoplasm, and cytosol genes were enriched in all male treatment groups but none of the female

treatment groups. Genes of the actin cytoskeleton were only enriched in both male low dose

groups. Nucleus genes were enriched in all male groups except low dose pulsed. Genes of the

basement membrane, extracellular region, extracellular space, collagen trimer, and endoplasmic

reticulum lumen were enriched in both female continuous dosing groups but not in any of the

male treatment groups.

The importance of estrogen in promoting new extracellular matrix for fibrocartilage

tissue regeneration and homeostasis has been previously detailed.[36] For this reason, the relative

expression of genes within the ECM category from each treatment group are illustrated in a heat

map in Figure 8. Separate heat maps for both sexes, doses, and dosing kinetics can be found in

Figures S5-S7. The expression levels of many genes were either up- or down-regulated in all

treatment groups. For example, ABI3BP, ADAMTS3, CANX, CDON, FBN1 and 2, LOXL2,

LTBP2, TIMP2 and 3, and many collagens were down-regulated in all treatment groups and

CALR, CFL1, CRIP2, LOXL1, LTBP3 and 4, and TNXB were up-regulated in all groups.

Others such as ACTG1, COL14A1, COL7A1, HMCN1, mATN2, OGN, and PFKP were split by

donor sex. No clear patterns were present based on low versus high dose, except for the

ribosomal proteins in male treatment groups. Of particular interest to cartilage and fibrocartilage,

COMP, POSTN, and TGFB1 and 3 were differentially regulated based on sex and estrogen

dosing kinetics.

Discussion

A number of microarray and sequencing studies have provided important insight on

transcriptome differences in the meniscus including avascular meniscus that heals poorly,[37] in

meniscus from patients with and without osteoarthritis,[38] the progenitor cell phenotype that may

reduce osteoarthritis,[39] and single-cell sequencing to define unique meniscal progenitor

populations that are critical to maintain tissue health and reduce degeneration.[40] Building off this

body of work, this study focuses on transcriptome differences between male and female tissue

that will inform sex differences in natural aging and inform the role of sex hormones in repair

and homeostasis.

There is evidence that estrogen replacement therapy can reduce OA incidence and

symptoms.[8-11] ERT can also prevent and treat osteoporosis and osteoarthritis. Unfortunately,

ERT is not without risk and can have negative off-target effects. Oral use of ERT increases the

risk of venous thromboembolism in post-menopausal women.[41] Combination use of estrogen

plus progestin, and to a lesser extent estrogen alone, is linked with an increased risk of breast

cancer in some studies.[42; 43] The results presented here contribute to the pool of evidence

supporting the importance of estrogen dose and kinetics for achieving the desired results in

different patient populations. Further studies could lead to knowledge about estrogen dose and

kinetics that are needed to develop safe and effective treatments for knee injury and mitigate the

need for systemic dosing at high concentrations needed to hit therapeutic dose that lead to

deadly, off-target effects.

While more DEGs were reported in all of the male treatment groups, a hypothesized

effect due to the relative naivety of the male cells to high estrogen doses, an important finding is

the interplay between concentration and kinetics in both groups. In most cases, there were more

DEGs seen in treatment groups with continuous dosing than with pulsed dosing. This

corresponds with the findings of Simoncini *et. al.* that continuous E2 dosing of human umbilical

vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) leads to heavier E2 signaling through the traditional genomic

pathways than pulsed dosing.[16] In contrast, Cavailles *et. al.* found no difference in the effects of

pulsed compared to continuous estrogen dosing on the expression levels of 3 estrogen-responsive

genes.[20] This inconsistency can possibly be explained by the different scopes of the studies and

different concentrations of E2 used. Another study found more DEGs in HUVECs treated with

pulsed estrogen than those treated with continuous estrogen,[17] in direct contradiction to the

current study. A possible explanation for this is the differential response to estrogen dosing

kinetics based on tissue type seen by Otto *et. al.[18]* Interestingly, with continuous dosing,

concentration did not play a major role in total DEGs whereas concentration did play a

significant role with pulsed dosing. This evidence may indicate the ability to achieve similar

transcriptional effects using continuous dosing at lower concentrations which is important to

reduce the negative, off-target effects to other tissues in ERT. Also, in the female groups, pulsed

dosing resulted in lower total DEGs whereas the total DEGs was a function of concentration in

the male groups. Overall, it is likely that continuous dosing is required for the robust

transcriptional changes necessary for remodeling and homeostasis to maintain meniscal health

and reduce OA.

Functional enrichment analysis using DAVID and STRING revealed that the types of

genes enriched varied by donor sex, E2 dose, and dosing kinetics. Genes related to the

extracellular matrix were enriched in all groups except the female pulsed groups. It is also of

interest that the DEGs common to both male and female cells are related to the extracellular

matrix. While all of the differentially expressed collagens and tissue inhibitors of

metalloproteinases (TIMPs) are downregulated within all treatment groups, growth factors such

as in the TGFβ family are upregulated in all male groups and in the female pulsed groups. This is

information that must be pursued in future studies as TGFβ3 is a major growth factor in

meniscus remodeling and repair[44-46] and activation of this protein enhances differentiation ability

of meniscus progenitors to chondrogenic-like avascular tissue.[39; 47] Additionally, TNXB, LTBP3,

and LTBP4, genes that have each been shown to play a role in the activation, availability, and/or

signaling of TGFβ[48; 49], are all upregulated in all groups. LTBP2, another gene in the LTBP

family, is down-regulated, but it is also the only one in the family that does not bind to latent

TGFβ.[49] TNXB is also important for the correct assembly of other matrix molecules and wound

healing.[49] Further, this study is only assessing transcriptional changes after 72 hours and thus is

likely not capturing all of the transcriptional changes occurring with E2 treatment.

There are limitations to the current study that should be considered. This study was

performed using 2D culture methods, which are known to promote a more fibroblast-like

phenotype of the meniscal fibrochondrocytes[37; 50] and thus will indicate more of the fibroblast-

like cell response to estrogen. Additionally, this study was performed using cells isolated from

only 2 donors, both 47-years old. It is likely that these cells had relatively limited remodeling

capability due to the age of the donors. Furthermore, the 2 donors were of different races (Black

and Caucasian), which could have been a complicating factor. Future studies on 3D cultures

using tissue from more donors of different ages and more concentrations of estrogen could be

very informative to elucidate more conclusive effects of estrogen on the meniscus and for the

development of treatments for knee injury.

Conclusions

Overall, the estrogen dosing kinetics played a more significant role on total DEG compared to

estrogen concentration in both sexes. There were only four DEGs conserved across sexes in both

low and high concentrations in continuous dosing illustrating that meniscus cell transcriptional

response to estrogen signaling is significantly different between male and females. Future work

will expand on this initial data set to probe the effect of specific pathways, such as TGF-β3

known to promote meniscus healing, to provide clear insight into the role estrogen plays on

meniscus regeneration and homeostasis. Overall, this initial study lays the groundwork for

future avenues to pursue the effect of estrogen delivery on regenerative pathways to inform the

design and implementation of ERT therapies to promote meniscal health and reduce the onset of

osteoarthritis.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the use of tissues procured by the National Disease Research

Interchange (NDRI) with support from NIH grant U42OD11158. The authors want to thank

Brittney Smith and Jennifer Hackett at the KU Genome Sequencing Center (GSC) for

completing the sequencing (NIH NIGMS P20GM103638) and Boryana Koseva and Stuart

Macdonald of the K-INBRE Bioinformatics Core for providing computational support (NIH P20

GM103418). Further, the authors are appreciative of the insight and analysis feedback from Dr.

Emily Farrow, director of laboratory operations at the Genome Center at Children's Mercy

Hospital. This work was supported by startup funds from the University of Kansas (JR) and NIH

NIGMS P20GM103638 (KK, JGG, and JLR). This manuscript appears in the pre-print server

bioRxiv (2020.04.27.064451, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.27.064451v1).

References

1.   O'Neill TW, McCabe PS, McBeth J. 2018. Update on the epidemiology, risk factors and

disease outcomes of osteoarthritis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 32:312-326.

2.   Zhang Y, Jordan JM. 2010. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. Clin Geriatr Med 26:355-369.

3.   Englund M, Roos EM, Lohmander LS. 2003. Impact of type of meniscal tear on

radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: a sixteen-year followup of

meniscectomy with matched controls. Arthritis Rheum 48:2178-2187.

4.   Srikanth VK, Fryer JL, Zhai G, et al. 2005. A meta-analysis of sex differences

prevalence, incidence and severity of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 13:769-781.

5.   Ding C, Martel-Pelletier J, Pelletier JP, et al. 2007. Meniscal tear as an osteoarthritis risk

factor in a largely non-osteoarthritic cohort: a cross-sectional study. J Rheumatol 34:776-

784.

6.   Mitchell J, Graham W, Best TM, et al. 2016. Epidemiology of meniscal injuries in US

high school athletes between 2007 and 2013. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc

24:715-722.

7.   Patel NM, Mundluru SN, Beck NA, et al. 2019. Which Factors Increase the Risk of

Reoperation After Meniscal Surgery in Children? Orthop J Sports Med

7:2325967119842885.

8.   Hannan MT, Felson DT, Anderson JJ, et al. 1990. Estrogen use and radiographic

osteoarthritis of the knee in women. The Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. Arthritis

Rheum 33:525-532.

9.   Zhang Y, McAlindon TE, Hannan MT, et al. 1998. Estrogen replacement therapy and

worsening of radiographic knee osteoarthritis: the Framingham Study. Arthritis Rheum

41:1867-1873.

10.   Hart DJ, Doyle DV, Spector TD. 1999. Incidence and risk factors for radiographic knee

osteoarthritis in middle-aged women: the Chingford Study. Arthritis Rheum 42:17-24.

11.   Xiao YP, Tian FM, Dai MW, et al. 2016. Are estrogen-related drugs new alternatives for

the management of osteoarthritis? Arthritis Res Ther 18:151.

12.   Straub RH. 2007. The complex role of estrogens in inflammation. Endocr Rev 28:521-

574.

13.   Koelling S, Miosge N. 2010. Sex differences of chondrogenic progenitor cells in late

stages of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 62:1077-1087.

14.   Lee WJ, Lee SC, Lee JH, et al. 2016. Differential regulation of senescence and in vitro

differentiation by 17beta-estradiol between mesenchymal stem cells derived from male

and female mini-pigs. J Vet Sci 17:159-170.

15.   Fontaine C, Buscato M, Vinel A, et al. 2020. The tissue-specific effects of different

17beta-estradiol doses reveal the key sensitizing role of AF1 domain in ERalpha activity.

Mol Cell Endocrinol 505:110741.

16.   Simoncini T, Fornari L, Mannella P, et al. 2005. Differential estrogen signaling in

endothelial cells upon pulsed or continuous administration. Maturitas 50:247-258.

17.   Li J, Wang H, Johnson SM, et al. 2008. Differing transcriptional responses to pulsed or

continuous estradiol exposure in human umbilical vein endothelial cells. J Steroid

Biochem Mol Biol 111:41-49.

18.   Otto C, Kantner I, Nubbemeyer R, et al. 2012. Estradiol release kinetics determine tissue

414   response in ovariectomized rats. Endocrinology 153:1725-1733.

415   19.   Azuma K, Inoue S. 2012. Genomic and non-genomic actions of estrogen: recent

416   developments. Biomol Concepts 3:365-370.

417   20.   Cavailles V, Gompel A, Portois MC, et al. 2002. Comparative activity of pulsed or

418   continuous estradiol exposure on gene expression and proliferation of normal and

419   tumoral human breast cells. J Mol Endocrinol 28:165-175.

420   21.   Sluss PM, Hayes FJ, Adams JM, et al. 2008. Mass spectrometric and physiological

421   validation of a sensitive, automated, direct immunoassay for serum estradiol using the

422   Architect. Clin Chim Acta 388:99-105.

423   22.   Schock H, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Lundin E, et al. 2016. Hormone concentrations

424   throughout uncomplicated pregnancies: a longitudinal study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth

425   16:146.

426   23.   Decaroli MC, Rochira V. 2017. Aging and sex hormones in males. Virulence 8:545-570.

427   24.   Stanczyk FZ, Clarke NJ. 2014. Measurement of Estradiol—Challenges Ahead. The

428   Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 99:56-58.

429   25.   Rovensky J, Radikova Z, Imrich R, et al. 2004. Gonadal and adrenal steroid hormones in

430   plasma and synovial fluid of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Endocr Regul 38:143-

431   149.

432   26.   Richette P, Laborde K, Boutron C, et al. 2007. Correlation between serum and synovial

433   fluid estrogen concentrations: comment on the article by Sowers et al. Arthritis Rheum

434   56:698; author reply 698-699.

435   27.   Devissaguet JP, Brion N, Lhote O, et al. 1999. Pulsed estrogen therapy: pharmacokinetics

436   of intranasal 17-beta-estradiol (S21400) in postmenopausal women and comparison with

437   oral and transdermal formulations. Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 24:265-271.

438   28.   Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, et al. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

439   Bioinformatics 34:i884-i890.

440   29.   Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, et al. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq

441   quantification. Nat Biotechnol 34:525-527.

442   30.   Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD. 2015. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-

443   level estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res 4:1521.

444   31.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

445   for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.

446   32.   Oliveros JC. 2007-2015. Venny-An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's

447   diagrams.

448   33.   Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, et al. 2019. STRING v11: protein-protein association

449   networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide

450   experimental datasets. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D607-d613.

451   34.   Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. 2009. Systematic and integrative analysis of

452   large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc 4:44-57.

453   35.   Reich M, Liefeld T, Gould J, et al. 2006. GenePattern 2.0. Nat Genet 38:500-501.

454   36.   Robinson JL, Soria P, Xu M, et al. 2018. Estrogen Promotes Mandibular Condylar

455   Fibrocartilage Chondrogenesis and Inhibits Degeneration via Estrogen Receptor Alpha in

456   Female Mice. Sci Rep 8:8527.

457   37.   Grogan SP, Duffy SF, Pauli C, et al. 2018. Gene expression profiles of the meniscus

458   avascular phenotype: A guide for meniscus tissue engineering. J Orthop Res 36:1947-

459   1958.

460   38.   Brophy RH, Zhang B, Cai L, et al. 2018. Transcriptome comparison of meniscus from

461   patients with and without osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 26:422-432.

462   39.   Muhammad H, Schminke B, Bode C, et al. 2014. Human migratory meniscus progenitor

463   cells are controlled via the TGF-β pathway. Stem Cell Reports 3:789-803.

464   40.   Sun H, Wen X, Li H, et al. 2020. Single-cell RNA-seq analysis identifies meniscus

465   progenitors and reveals the progression of meniscus degeneration. Ann Rheum Dis

466   79:408-417.

467   41.   Rovinski D, Ramos RB, Fighera TM, et al. 2018. Risk of venous thromboembolism

468   events in postmenopausal women using oral versus non-oral hormone therapy: A

469   systematic review and meta-analysis. Thromb Res 168:83-95.

470   42.   Beral V. 2003. Breast cancer and hormone-replacement therapy in the Million Women

471   Study. Lancet 362:419-427.

472   43.   Taylor HS, Manson JE. 2011. Update in hormone therapy use in menopause. J Clin

473   Endocrinol Metab 96:255-264.

474   44.   Rothrauff BB, Yang G, Tuan RS. 2017. Tissue-specific bioactivity of soluble tendon-

475   derived and cartilage-derived extracellular matrices on adult mesenchymal stem cells.

476   Stem Cell Res Ther 8:133.

477   45.   Tarafder S, Gulko J, Kim D, et al. 2019. Effect of dose and release rate of CTGF and

478   TGFβ3 on avascular meniscus healing. J Orthop Res 37:1555-1562.

479   46.   Yuan X, Wei Y, Villasante A, et al. 2017. Stem cell delivery in tissue-specific hydrogel

480   enabled meniscal repair in an orthotopic rat model. Biomaterials 132:59-71.

481   47.   Wang Q, Tan Q, Xu W, et al. 2018. Postnatal deletion of Alk5 gene in meniscal cartilage

482   accelerates age-dependent meniscal degeneration in mice. J Cell Physiol 234:595-605.

483   48.   Valcourt U, Alcaraz LB, Exposito JY, et al. 2015. Tenascin-X: beyond the architectural

484   function. Cell Adh Migr 9:154-165.

485   49.   Robertson IB, Horiguchi M, Zilberberg L, et al. 2015. Latent TGF-β-binding proteins.

486   Matrix Biol 47:44-53.

487   50.   Son MS, Levenston ME. 2017. Quantitative tracking of passage and 3D culture effects on

488   chondrocyte and fibrochondrocyte gene expression. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 11:1185-

489   1194.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Experimental design. Cells were isolated from the medial meniscus of two 47-year old

donors, one of each sex, and treated with two concentrations of E2 under pulsed and continuous

conditions. RNA-Seq was then used to analyze the samples. Running silhouettes from

freepik.com.

Figure 2: Visualization of the number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by treatment

group in comparison to physiological serum estrogen concentrations.

Figure 3: Visualization of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Volcano plots and Venn

diagrams (Venny 2.1) illustrate the number of differentially expressed genes in each treatment

group. Only DEGs with padj<0.05 were used in the Venn diagrams.

Figure 4: STRING analysis of DEGs from all 4 continuous dosing treatment groups. Images are shown as confidence views, where associations with stronger data support are thicker. Only DEGs with padj<0.05 are shown. Additional constraints based on log$_2$FoldChange were used to limit DEGs to a reasonable number for plotting and to highlight those with greater log$_2$FoldChanges. For the female groups, only log$_2$FoldChange >0.5 or <-0.5 are shown. For the male groups, only log$_2$FoldChange >1.0 or <-1.0 are shown. Color coding is based on functional enrichment analysis within STRING and is indicated for each treatment group. Abbreviations: GO-Gene Ontology, KEGG-Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, ECM-extracellular matrix.

Figure 5: Analysis of the response of both sexes to the same treatment. (A) Venn diagrams

(Venny 2.1) were generated to show which genes were altered in both sexes under the same

treatment. For treatments with more than one common gene, functional enrichment analysis was

performed using (B) DAVID and (C) STRING analysis to determine what types of genes were

differentially expressed. Functional enrichment analysis shows the Gene Ontology Cellular

Component groups with p values<0.05. STRING analysis shows known and predicted

interactions between the proteins encoded by the genes in confidence views, where associations

with stronger data support are thicker.

Figure 6: Analysis of DEGs that overlap between any two female treatment groups. (A) Venn

diagrams (Venny 2.1) were generated to show which genes were altered in more than one

treatment group. For groups with more than one common gene, functional enrichment analysis

was performed using (B) DAVID and (C) STRING analysis to determine what types of genes

were differentially expressed. (D) A heat map was generated of all 20 DEGs that were present in

both continuous treatment groups. Functional enrichment analysis shows the Gene Ontology

Cellular Component groups with p values<0.05. STRING analysis shows known and predicted

interactions between the proteins encoded by the genes in confidence views, where associations

with stronger data support are thicker. Heat map was generated using GenePattern. Genes are

listed in alphabetical order. Values shown are log_2FoldChange of each gene for each treatment

group as compared to the sex-matched no E2 control.

Figure 7: Functional enrichment analysis of DEGs using DAVID. Categories shown are from

the Gene Ontology Cellular Component database. Where available, the top 15 groups by p value

are shown with only p values < 0.05 considered.

Figure 8: Heat map of extracellular matrix gene expression. Heat map was generated using GenePattern. Genes are listed in alphabetical order. Values shown are log$_2$FoldChange of each gene for each treatment group as compared to the sex-matched no E2 control.

log₂FoldChange