High-resolution cryo-EM structure of urease from the pathogen *Yersinia enterocolitica*

Ricardo D. Righetto[1*], Leonie Anton[2*], Ricardo Adaixo[1*], Roman P. Jakob[2], Jasenko Zivanov[1], Mohamed-Ali Mahi[2,3], Philippe Ringler[1], Torsten Schwede[2,3], Timm Maier[2†] and Henning Stahlberg[1†]

[1] Center for Cellular Imaging and NanoAnalytics, Biozentrum, University of Basel, Mattenstrasse 26, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland.

[2] Biozentrum, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 50/70, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland.

[3] SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Biozentrum, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 50/70, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland.

[*] *these authors contributed equally to this work.*

† Corresponding authors:

    Timm Maier

    Biozentrum, University of Basel

    Klingelbergstrasse 50/70

    CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

    Phone: +41 61 207 21 76

    E-mail: timm.maier@unibas.ch

    Henning Stahlberg

    Center for Cellular Imaging and NanoAnalytics (C-CINA)

    Biozentrum, University of Basel

    WRO-1058, Mattenstrasse 26

    CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland

    Phone: +41 61 387 32 62

    E-mail: henning.stahlberg@unibas.ch

Running title: Cryo-EM structure of *Y. enterocolitica* urease

Keywords: urease; enzyme; metalloprotein; radiation damage; cryo-electron microscopy;

Abstract

Urease converts urea into ammonia and carbon dioxide and makes urea available as a nitrogen source for all forms of life except animals. In human bacterial pathogens, ureases also aid in the invasion of acidic environments such as the stomach by raising the surrounding pH. Here, we report the structure of urease from the pathogen *Yersinia enterocolitica* at better than 2 Å resolution from electron cryo-microscopy. *Y. enterocolitica* urease is a dodecameric assembly of a trimer of three protein chains, ureA, ureB and ureC. The high data quality enables detailed visualization of the urease bimetal active site and of the impact of radiation damage. Our data are of sufficient quality to support drug development efforts.

Introduction

Ureases are nickel-metalloenzymes produced in plants, fungi and bacteria, but not in animals. They facilitate nitrogen fixation by metabolizing urea, but in some pathogenic bacteria they serve a dual function and consume ammonia to promote survival in acidic environments. This function is vital during host infection where the bacteria have to survive the low pH of the stomach (Gripenberg-Lerche *et al.*, 2000), and of phagosomes in host cells (Young *et al.*, 1996; Maroney & Ciurli, 2014). The relevance of ureases in early stages of infection renders them attractive targets for novel anti-microbials.

Ureases catalyze the breakdown of urea into ammonia and carbamate at a rate 10^{14} to 10^{15} times faster than the non-catalyzed reaction **(Fig. 1a)**, and are arguably the most efficient hydrolases (Maroney & Ciurli, 2014). In a second non-catalyzed step, carbamate is spontaneously hydrolyzed to yield another molecule of ammonia as well as one molecule of bicarbonate (Mazzei *et al.*, 2017). Jack bean urease was the first enzyme to be crystallized, offering evidence that enzymes are proteins (Sumner, 1926) and was the first metalloenzyme to be shown to use nickel in its active site (Dixon *et al.*, 1975). All ureases characterized to date share the architecture of their active site (Kappaun *et al.*, 2018). Two Ni^{2+} ions in the active site are coordinated by a carbamylated lysine, four histidines and one aspartate. Urea first interacts with Ni(1) through its carbonyl oxygen, making urea more available for nucleophilic attacks. The amino nitrogen then binds to Ni(2) and a proton is transferred from a water molecule to the amine in a nucleophilic attack on the carbonyl carbon of urea. The active site is closed off during the reaction by a conformationally variable helix-turn-helix motif, referred to as the mobile flap. Despite their common catalytic mechanism, ureases display different chain topologies and higher order oligomeric assemblies **(Fig. 1b,c)**. Jack bean urease assembles into an oligomer of a single type of polypeptide chain with D3 symmetry ($[[\alpha]_3]_2$ D3) **(Fig. 1b,c)** (Maroney & Ciurli, 2014). The

urease of the human pathogen *Helicobacter pylori* is composed of two types of polypeptide

chains (ureA, ureB) and assembles into a dodecamer (tetramer-of-trimers) with tetrahedral

symmetry ($[[\alpha\beta]_3]_4$ T) **(Fig. 1b,c)**. The ability of ureases to raise the pH of their environment

benefits *H. pylori* in colonizing the stomach and downstream gut, causing gastric ulcers

(Kappaun *et al.*, 2018). The urease of the opportunistic pathogen *Klebsiella aerogenes*

(Podschun & Ullmann, 1998) assembles into a heterotrimer of three proteins ureA, ureB and

ureC, which in turn oligomerizes into a trimer ($[\alpha\beta\gamma]_3$ C3) (Jabri *et al.*, 1995) **(Fig 1b,c)**. This

oligomeric assembly is common also to most other structurally characterized bacterial

ureases (Maroney & Ciurli, 2014).

Yersinia enterocolitica is the causative agent of yersiniosis, a gastrointestinal infection,

reactive arthritis and erythema nodosum. The infection spreads to humans through

consumption of contaminated food with pigs being one of the largest reservoirs of *Y.*

enterocolitica. The symptoms of yersiniosis are fever, abdominal pain, diarrhea and/or

vomiting and is one of the most reported enteritis in some countries, although outbreaks are

rare (Drummond *et al.*, 2012). *Y. enterocolitica* is a facultative intracellular bacterium and

can survive in very different environments. In the presence of urea *Y. enterocolitica* can

tolerate extremely acidic conditions (Young *et al.*, 1996). *Y. enterocolitica* urease comprises

three polypeptide chains (ureA, ureB and ureC), an architecture similar to that of *K.*

aerogenes urease **(Fig. 1b)**.

Here we present the structure of *Y. enterocolitica* urease at an overall resolution of 1.98 Å,

which was achieved using recent advances in cryo-EM data collection and processing. The

structure shows that *Y. enterocolitica* urease assembles into a dodecameric hollow sphere

with $[[\alpha\beta\gamma]_3]_4$ T oligomeric assembly structure **(Fig. 2)**. A tightly embedded kinked loop is

interacting with neighboring domains and is potentially responsible for the assembly of the

oligomer. The data allows model building of the active site carbamylated lysine, visualization

of radiation damage to the nickel-metal center, and hydration networks throughout the

protein. Our work highlights the potential of cryo-EM for structure-based drug discovery,

specifically for large enzyme assemblies, which have been historically difficult to analyze by

X-ray crystallography.

Results & Discussion

Structure determination of *Y. enterocolitica* urease by cryo-EM

We have used single particle cryo-EM to determine the structure of the fully assembled *Y.*

enterocolitica urease. We acquired 4,494 movies of urease particles using a Titan Krios

transmission electron microscope (TEM) equipped with a K2 direct electron detector and an

energy filter (see **Methods** for details). Approximately half of the movies (2,243) were

acquired by illuminating three locations ("shots") per grid hole using beam-image shift in

order to speed up the data collection (Cheng *et al.*, 2018), whereas the remaining movies

were recorded without this feature i.e. just a single shot at the center of the hole. This allowed

us to measure and assess the extent of beam tilt and other optical aberrations, as well as

the behavior of sample drift between each condition and beam-image shift position. Typical

micrographs from the imaged grids are shown in **Supp. Fig. 1a** and a summary of data

collection information is given in **Supp. Tab. 1**.

Each dataset was processed separately for 3D reconstruction following the strategy

depicted in **Supp. Fig. 2**. The first obtained 3D map, at an overall resolution of 2.6 Å,

revealed that this urease assembly is a dodecamer of tetrahedral (T) symmetry with a

diameter of approximately 170 Å. The separate processing of each dataset yielded refined

3D maps at nominal resolutions of 2.10 Å and 2.20 Å for the multi-shot and single-shot

cases, respectively (see **Methods**).

For comparison, we also processed the merged set of particles from both datasets

altogether. We observed on the 2D class averages a preferential orientation for the three-

fold symmetric view of urease and also the presence of isolated monomers and broken

assemblies **(Supp. Fig. 1b)**. The presence of such incomplete assemblies was further

confirmed by performing 3D classification without imposing symmetry, as shown in

Supp. Fig. 1c. The 3D class corresponding to the complete dodecameric assembly of

urease contained 119,020 particles, of which 69,512 (58.4%) came from the multi-shot and

49,518 (41.6%) from the single-shot dataset. With respect to the number of particles picked

from each dataset, 64.7% of the particles from the multi-shot and 56.8% from the single-

shot datasets were retained at this stage and throughout the final reconstruction. While

coma-free alignment was performed and active beam-tilt compensation in SerialEM (Schorb

et al., 2019) was used on our data collections, after performing beam tilt refinement in

RELION-3 (Zivanov *et al.*, 2018) we observed that the single-shot case has a residual beam-

tilt higher than the smallest residual observed in the multi-shot case **(Supp. Tab. 2)**. These

two values are however very close to zero and are possibly within the error margin of the

post hoc beam tilt refinement procedure.

The reduced need to move the specimen stage in beam-image shift mode not only speeds

up data collection but also minimizes stage drift. The second and third shots from the multi-

shot dataset have comparatively less drift than both the first multi-shot and the single shot,

as suggested by the parameter values obtained from the Bayesian polishing training

(Zivanov *et al.*, 2019*a*) on each beam-tilt class separately **(Supp. Tab. 3)**. As all the three

multi-shots are taken in nearby areas within the same foil hole, this observation is consistent

with the annealing of the vitreous ice layer and its carbon support after pre-irradiating the

specimen as reported previously (Brilot *et al.*, 2012).

At this point, the nominal resolution of the map after 3D refinement was 2.05 Å. Finally,

correcting for residual higher-order aberrations in CTF refinement (Zivanov *et al.*, 2019*b*)

(Supp. Fig. 3) yielded a map at a global resolution of 1.98 Å **(Supp. Fig. 4a)**. Local

resolution estimation reveals that the core of the map is indeed at this resolution level or

better **(Fig. 2a and Supp. Fig. 4b)**, and the local resolution-filtered map was then used for

model building as explained in the next section. Despite the twelve-fold symmetry of the

urease assembly, a limiting factor in the resolution of the map is the strong presence of

preferential orientation, as confirmed by the plot of the final orientation assignments

(**Supp. Fig. 4c**). An overview of the cryo-EM map and its main features are depicted in

Supp. Mov. 1.

Y. enterocolitica urease assembles as a tetramer of trimers

Model building was initiated from available crystallographic models with subsequent fitting

and refinement against the cryo-EM map. The model was built and refined for one

asymmetric unit containing one copy of the ureA, ureB and ureC protein each. The model

was then expanded using NCS (see **Methods**). The complete model covering the whole

oligomeric assembly contains 9,552 residues, 3,672 waters and 24 nickel ions (two per

active site, twelve active sites) **(Table 1)**. The quality of the model was assessed with the

cryo-EM validation tools in the PHENIX package (Afonine, Klaholz *et al.*, 2018). The map

allowed for the building of all residues of ureA (1-100), and residues 31-162 of ureB and 2-

327/335-572 of ureC **(Supp. Fig. 5)**. The hetero-trimer formed by the three protein chains

(ureA, ureB, ureC) **(Fig. 1b)** oligomerizes into a homo-trimer. The homo-trimer is arranged

in a tetramer-of-trimers making the full complex a dodecamer of the hetero-trimer **(Fig. 2b)**.

There are four large oval shaped holes between the trimers (64 Å long, 12 Å wide, high

electrostatic potential) and four smaller holes at the center of the trimer with a diameter of 6

Å (low electrostatic potential), as shown in **Fig. 2b**, and the center of the enzyme assembly

is hollow **(Fig. 2).** The holes provide ample opportunity for diffusion of the uncharged

substrate and product, and the hollow inside potentially leads to local increase of reaction

product. The assembly has the same symmetry as the urease homologue in *H. pylori*, which

was postulated to increase stability and/or resistance to acidic environments

(Ha *et al.*, 2001).

For analysis of the protein sequences, the ConSurf Server (Berezin *et al.*, 2004; Ashkenazy

et al., 2016) was used with the sample list of homologs option to get a diverse set of 150

sequences. The protein chains of *Y. enterocolitica* urease are highly conserved across

different organisms. The ureA chain is split after a LVTXXXP motif and is 99-100 amino

acids long in most cases, with a sequence identity of 55.7%. The ureB chain of *Y.*

enterocolitica has between 20 and 30 N-terminal amino acids more compared to the other

sequences (except *Kaistia sp.* SCN 65-12), which share an identity of 51.5%. This N-

terminal extension is located on the outside of the holoenzyme where ureA and ureB chain

split occurred and are too disordered to be modeled in the structure **(Supp. Fig. 5)**. They

could be remnants from when ureA and ureB were connected. The charges and properties

of this stretch of amino acids vary and if they still serve a function remains unclear. The last

20 amino acids of the C-terminus of ureB are only represented in half of the compared

sequences and accurate sequence conservation could not be determined in this part. This

stretch contains a loop and a C-terminal helix **(Supp. Fig. 5)**. The ureC protein of the

compared sequences has a shared sequence identity of 60.3%. All amino acids involved in

catalysis are highly conserved **(Supp. Fig. 5)**. The ureA and ureB chains show lower

conservation compared to ureC. They are not involved in catalysis but in scaffolding, so the

differences could stem from their role in different types of oligomeric assembly **(Fig. 3)**.

To investigate this aspect further, we compared the presented structure to the ureases of *H.*

pylori, *S. pasteurii* and *K. aerogenes*. Sequence identity scores among these ureases are

provided in **Supp. Tab. 4**. The *H. pylori* urease is made up of two protein chains ureA (that

contains the equivalent of ureA and ureB in *Y. enterocolitica*) and ureB (that is the equivalent

of ureC in *Y. enterocolitica*) **(Fig. 1c and Fig. 3c)**. It assembles into a T-symmetric oligomer

like in *Y. enterocolitica* and the crystal structure was solved to 3 Å. *S. pasteurii* and *K.*

aerogenes ureases both assemble into a trimer from the hetero-trimeric unit **(Fig. 1c and**

Fig. 3b). *S. pasteurii* was solved in the presence of the inhibitor N-(n-Butyl)thiophosphoric

Triamid (NBPT) to 1.28 Å (Mazzei *et al.*, 2017). The crystal structure of *Klebsiella aerogenes*

was solved to 1.9 Å as in absence of substrate or inhibitors (Jabri *et al.*, 1995).

There are two main regions with high root mean square deviations (RMSDs) when

comparing these three ureases to the *Y. enterocolitica* model **(Supp. Fig. 6 and Supp. Tab.**

5). The first region with high deviation is the mobile flap, which opens and closes over the

active site (residues 312 to 355 of ureC). The residues of its connecting loop could not be

built with confidence in the cryo-EM model (residues 326 to 333 of ureC). The other region

with large differences is on the edges of ureA and ureB where the interactions with the next

protomer occur. The *H. pylori* assembly contains an additional C-terminal loop (residues

224-238 of ureA) after the top alpha helix (residues 206-223 of ureA). This helix (central

helix) forms the three-fold axis of three neighboring trimers and the loop binds in a head-to-

tail fashion to the next trimer forming the tetramer **(Fig. 3a,c)** (Ha *et al.*, 2001). The core of

the assembly is identical in its structure. For whole-chain superposition scores and RMSD

values between the compared models please see **Supp. Tab. 5**.

In the dodecameric assembly seven different interfaces are formed between the hetero-

trimers **(Fig. 4a,b and Supp. Fig. 7)**. Intra-trimer interactions occur between the three basic

hetero-trimers in one assembled trimer, forming a three-fold symmetry axis **(Fig. 4a)**. The

interactions between these trimers to form the tetramer then make up a different three-fold

symmetry axis **(Fig. 4b)**. The three largest interfaces (interfaces 1-3) are formed intra-

trimeric between ureC of one hetero-trimer and ureC, ureA and ureB of the next trimer **(Fig.**

4a). The three ureA proteins make up the intra-trimer-core (first three-fold axis) with interface

4 **(Fig. 4c and Supp. Fig. 7)**. Comparison of the interface areas formed in the trimer

assembly shows no substantial differences between the four organisms **(Supp. Fig. 7)**.

Inter-trimer interfaces (interfaces 5, 6, 7) formed in the dodecameric *Y. enterocolitica* and *H.*

pylori ureases have similar areas **(Fig. 4b and Supp. Fig. 7)**. Part of the interactions occur

between ureB and ureC forming interfaces with each other (interface 4, 6). The other

interaction is between the three ureB proteins and forms interface 7 and the inter-trimer-

core (second three-fold axis) with their central helices **(Fig. 4b)**. *Y. enterocolitica* does not

have the same oligomerization loop after the central helix proposed for *H. pylori*. However,

there is a short loop before the central helix, which is extended in *Y. enterocolitica*. It binds

into a pocket of ureC of the neighboring trimer in interface 6 **(Fig. 4e)**. These types of loops

or extensions are missing from *S. pasteurii* and *K. aerogenes* ureB proteins. *S. pasteurii* has

the central helix, but there is no extended loop before or after it **(Supp. Fig. 6b)**. *K.*

aerogenes urease does not have a helix nor a loop in this region **(Fig. 3b)**. This suggests

that the presence of oligomerization loops in ureB is crucial for determining the oligomeric

state of the enzyme.

The dodecameric holoenzyme structure of ureases might aid in stabilizing the protein at

acidic pH, and in combination with 12 active sites producing ammonia enables the formation

of a pH-neutralizing microenvironment around the assembly (Ha *et al.*, 2001). This ensures

the continued function of the enzyme and makes this type of oligomeric assembly essential

to survival of *Y. enterocolitica* in the host.

The empty active site is filled with water

At the global resolution of 1.98 Å, detailed structural features can be observed. All

throughout the highly resolved areas of the protein, salt bridges, backbone and side chain

hydration and alternative side chain conformations can be visualized **(Supp. Fig. 8a-c)**.

Furthermore, the high resolution allows for a detailed description of the nickel-metallo-center

and the active site. The active site is located on the ureC protein at the edge of the hetero-

trimer and is wedged in between the ureA and ureB proteins of the next hetero-trimer in the

homo-trimeric assembly **(Fig. 5a)**.

The catalysis of ammonia and carbamate from urea occurs in two steps **(Fig. 1a)**. Urea first

interacts with the nickel ions through its carbonyl oxygen and amino nitrogens. The active

site contains two Ni^{2+} ions which are coordinated by six different amino acids **(Fig. 5b)**. Both

Ni^{2+} ions are coordinated by the carbamylated LYS222*. Ni(1) is additionally coordinated by

HIS224, HIS251 and HIS277 and Ni(2) by HIS139, HIS141 and ASP365. Close to the active

site is a methionine (MET369), which can be modelled in different alternative conformations.

One conformation could potentially reach the active site. There is no described function for

this amino acid **(Fig. 5c and Supp. Fig. 9)**.

The active site is protected by a helix-turn-helix motif, called the mobile-flap. Its function is

to coordinate the access of substrate to the catalytic site and the release of the product from

it (Maroney & Ciurli, 2014). After closing of the mobile flap, a proton is transferred from a

water molecule to the other amine in a nucleophilic attack on the carbonyl carbon of urea.

A conserved histidine on the mobile flap (HIS325) is essential for catalysis by possibly acting

as a general acid and aiding in deprotonation **(Fig. 5a)** (Maroney & Ciurli, 2014; Kappaun *et*

al., 2018). By closing of the mobile flap the HIS325 moves closer to the active site, also

stabilizing urea in the active site pocket (Maroney & Ciurli, 2014). Flap opening then releases

ammonia and carbamate, where the latter spontaneously hydrolyses into another molecule

of ammonia and bicarbonate. The mobile flap of the cryo-EM structure presented here is in

an open position, which is explained by the absence of substrate or inhibitors in the sample

(Fig. 5a). Coordinated water molecules can be seen in the empty pocket of the active site,

which do not only form hydrogen bonds with side chains or the protein backbone, but also

with each other constituting a hydration network **(Supp. Fig. 8d)**.

The resolution in the active site is sufficient for complete atomic description of the

coordinated Ni^{2+} ions, including the carbamylated lysine. The protonation states of the active

site residues are also represented in the map **(Fig. 5b)**. One of the hydroxide molecules in

the active site is essential as it performs the nucleophilic attack on urea while other

molecules are displaced by urea and the closing of the mobile flap (Kappaun *et al.*, 2018).

Comparison to the crystal structure of *K. aerogenes* of similar nominal resolution (1.9 Å)

shows differences in the visualization of these features. This crystal structure was solved in

absence of inhibitors or substrate such that the active site is also empty and the mobile flap

in an open conformation **(Fig. 5a)**. The details of the map are better resolved around the

Ni^{2+} ions in the cryo-EM map than in the crystallographic data. The protonation of the

histidines is clearly visible in the cryo-EM density **(Fig. 5b)**. The positions of the side chains

and the Ni^{2+} ions in the active site are very similar to the *Y. enterocolitica* urease structure

with a RMSD of 0.270 Å **(Supp. Tab. 5)**. The *S. pasteurii* crystal structure was solved in

presence of the inhibitor NBPT which displaces the essential water molecules needed for

the reaction from the active site. The closing of the mobile flap displaces the rest of the

waters and brings the catalytic HIS323 closer to the active site. The tight packing of side

chains prevents urea from entering the active site, efficiently blocking it **(Fig. 5b,e)**. The

active site residues and Ni^{2+} ions have a RMSD of 0.293 Å between *S. pasteurii* and *Y.*

enterocolitica.

Nickel atoms come closer together

The distance between the Ni^{2+} ions is 3.7 Å in X-ray structures of *K. aerogenes* and *S.*

pasteurii, but only 3.2 Å in the *Y. enterocolitica* cryo-EM model **(Fig. 5b,d)**. Short distances

of 3.1-3.3 Å were described for *S. pasteurii* and *K. aerogenes* at high resolutions for

structures in presence of β-Mercaptoethanol (β-ME) (Benini *et al.*, 1998). Knowing that

metallic cores are particularly sensitive to radiation (Yano *et al.*, 2005), we tried to determine

the extent to which radiation damage can explain the shorter distance between the Ni^{2+} ions.

For this purpose, we generated per-frame reconstructions for the first 25 frames of our data

collection and refined the model on each of them (see **Methods**) and measured the

distances between the residues involved in ion coordination, shown in **Fig. 6**. At the

beginning of the exposure, in which the frames contribute more to the full reconstruction due

to dose-weighting (Grant & Grigorieff, 2015; Zivanov *et al.*, 2019*a*), there is a trend of the

ions coming closer together (**Fig. 6a-i**). While we cannot determine exactly how this arises

from radiation damage, it is likely a result of several interactions in the active site changing

simultaneously along the exposure. For example, both Ni(1) and Ni(2) tend to come closer

to the carbamylated LYS222 (**Fig. 6a-iv,v**) as ASP365 vanishes (**Fig. 6a-vi**), which can be

seen in the **Supp. Mov. 2**. Aspartic acid is known to have its side chain damaged very early

on (Hattne *et al.*, 2018). The dynamic interplay between residues along the exposure (**Fig.**

6b) is likely due to the different rates at which specific types of bonds and residues are

damaged (Fromm *et al.*, 2015): first negatively charged residues, then positively charged

ones followed by aromatic side chains, as also observed in **Supp. Mov. 2**. However, the

later part of the exposure must be interpreted with caution, as atomic coordinates become

less reliable, which is verified by the overall increase in B-factors in **Fig. 6c** and the error

bars in **Fig. 6a**.

Conclusion

Large urease assemblies have been historically difficult to study by X-ray crystallography

(Ha *et al.*, 2001). We have determined the structure of a dodecameric urease assembly, a

metalloenzyme from the pathogen *Y. enterocolitica* at an overall resolution of 1.98 Å using

cryo-EM. The collection of datasets with and without beam-image shift demonstrates the

advantages of using this feature of modern TEMs and invites further investigations on the

behavior of optical aberrations and specimen drift.

Our results demonstrate the feasibility of cryo-EM as a technique for obtaining structures of

clinically relevant enzymes with sufficient quality for *de novo* model building and drug design.

The cryo-EM map has allowed a detailed description of the active site and the oligomeric

assembly. More specifically, we could observe the putative oligomerization loop that enables

the dodecameric assembly, which was hypothesized to be responsible for the enhanced

survival of *Y. enterocolitica* in highly acidic environments (Young *et al.*, 1996).

Furthermore, in comparison to the *K. aerogenes* structure, which is at approximately the

same nominal resolution, the cryo-EM map offers an improved representation of protons

and Ni^{2+} ions. A possible explanation is that the error in the phases derived in the X-ray

structure determination grows faster towards the limit of observed diffraction. Another aspect

to be considered is that X-rays and electrons probe different properties of matter,

respectively the electron density and the integrated Coulomb potential. Our results prompt

a more detailed investigation of these effects and how they affect the representation of

features at high resolution.

Finally, we noticed that radiation damage can partially explain the shorter distance observed

between the nickel atoms in the active site. Given that ions and charged residues are

damaged very early on in the exposure (Yano *et al.*, 2005; Hattne *et al.*, 2018), this effect

cannot be neglected in structures derived from cryo-EM reconstructions. Novel direct

electron detectors with higher frame rates may allow time-resolved experiments to

investigate these effects in more detail.

Data availability

The model has been deposited at the PDB under accession code 6YL3. The map has been

deposited at the EMDB under accession code EMD-10835. Raw electron microscopy data

is deposited in EMPIAR, accession code EMPIAR-10389.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank L. Kovacik and K. Goldie for assistance in data collection.

R.D.R. acknowledges S. Klumpe and A. Nunes-Alves for discussions on tracking atomic

coordinates. Cryo-EM data processing calculations were performed at sciCORE

(http://scicore.unibas.ch/) scientific computing center at the University of Basel. R.D.R. and

L.A. acknowledge funding from the Fellowships for Excellence program sponsored by the

Werner-Siemens Foundation and the University of Basel. This work was in part supported

by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grants 177195 and 185544, NCCR TransCure).

Author Contributions

R.D.R. and R.A. performed the cryo-EM experiments and data analysis. L.A. built and

analyzed the atomic model. R.P.J. performed X-ray crystallography experiments. M.A.M.

expressed and purified the protein. P.R. prepared and screened EM samples. J.Z.

performed the higher-order aberration corrections and analysis. T.S., T.M. and H.S. initiated

and supervised the project. R.D.R, L.A., R.A., T.M. and H.S. wrote the manuscript with

assistance from all authors.

Methods

Protein expression and purification

The *Y. enterocolitica* urease was purified for cryo-electron microscopy according to the

protocol of (Rokita *et al.*, 2000). The strain was precultured overnight at 37°C for 18 hours

in a medium containing 37 g/l of brain/heart infusion (Oxoid, CM0225), 50 µg/ml

streptomycin sulfate (Applichem, A1852.0100), 35 µg/ml nalidixic acid (Applichem,

A1894.0025), 50 µg/ml meso-diaminopimelic acid (Sigma, D1377) and 100 µM nickel(II)

chloride hexahydrate (Sigma, N6136). 6 x 600ml of expression cultures were inoculated at

OD of 1 at 28°C for 23 hours. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and the cell pellet

resuspended in 0.15M NaCl, 50mM Tris pH 8.0. The cell lysate was applied directly to a

Sephacryl S-300 HR 26/60 column equilibrated with 150 mM NaCl, 50mM TrisHCl pH 8.0.

The active fractions as identified by a phenol-hypochlorite assay (Weatherburn, 1967) were

buffer-exchanged to 50mM Tris pH 7.0 within a centrifugal filter unit (Sartorius, Vivaspin

MWCO 50kDa) and applied to a Mono Q HR 5/5 column pre-equilibrated with 50mM

TrisHCl, pH 7.0. The protein was eluted in 50mM Tris pH 7.0 by a gradient to 1M NaCl,

concentrated on a centrifugal filter unit (Sartorius, Vivaspin MWCO 50 kDa) and purified by

SEC as before. The purity of the urease sample of the two preparations was verified on a

4%/12% SDS-PAGE and by mass spectroscopy.

Sample preparation

Approximately 3 µl of the 0.39 mg/ml urease solution were applied to glow-discharged

Quantifoil holey carbon grids. After 3-second blotting, the grids were flash-frozen in liquid

ethane, using a FEI Vitrobot IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with the environmental chamber

set at 90% humidity and 20 °C temperature.

Data acquisition

Cryo-EM data were collected on a FEI Titan Krios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) transmission

electron microscope, operated at 300 kV and equipped with a Quantum-LS imaging energy

filter (GIF, 20 eV zero loss energy window; Gatan Inc.) and a K2 Summit direct electron

detector (Gatan Inc.) operated in dose fractionation mode. Data acquisition was controlled

by the SerialEM (Schorb *et al.*, 2019) software, performed in counting mode, with a 42 e$^-$/Å^2

total exposure fractioned into 40 frames over 8 seconds. The physical pixel size was 0.639

Å at the sample level. The data was pre-processed via the FOCUS package (Biyani *et al.*,

2017), including drift-correction and dose-weighting using MotionCor2 (Zheng *et al.*, 2017)

(grouping every 5 frames and using 3x3 tiles) and CTF estimation using CTFFIND4 (Rohou

& Grigorieff, 2015) (using information between 30 Å and 5 Å from the movie stacks). With

these settings, we collected two datasets: one using beam-image shift (Cheng *et al.*, 2018),

with three shots per grid hole, comprising 2,243 movies, and a second one taking a single

shot per hole, with 2,252 movies. A summary of data collection information is given in the

Supp. Tab. 1.

Image processing

The two datasets were initially processed separately as shown in the flowchart of **Supp. Fig.**

2. We excluded all movies whose resolution of CTF fitting was worse than 4 Å according to

CTFFIND4, leaving 2,197 movies in the multi-shot dataset or 2,115 in the single-shot dataset

for further processing. Using the template-free LoG-picker algorithm (Zivanov *et al.*, 2018)

we picked an initial set of 157,699 particle coordinates on the multi-shot dataset. These

particles were extracted and subjected to one round of 2D classification with the aim of

removing "bad" or false-positive particles. Best results in 2D classification were observed

when enabling the RELION option "Ignore CTFs until first peak?". Selecting only the classes

displaying views of urease with high resolution features, a new subset containing 60,271

particles was obtained. Using this subset, a first 3D map was obtained by the *ab initio*

stochastic gradient descent (SGD) algorithm (Zivanov *et al.*, 2018; Punjani *et al.*, 2017) with

and without tetrahedral symmetry imposed. The symmetric map was consistent with

previously determined structures of ureases (Arnold *et al.*, 2016; Ha *et al.*, 2001). The

particles were then subjected to 3D refinement using the map from the *ab initio* procedure

as starting reference, resulting in a map at 2.6 Å resolution. We then generated new

templates for particle picking by low-pass filtering the unsharpened map from this first 3D

refinement to 20 Å and calculating evenly oriented 2D projections from it. These templates

were   then   used   for   picking   with   Gautomatch   (K.   Zhang,   http://www.mrc-

lmb.cam.ac.uk/kzhang/), detecting 107,399 particle coordinates on the multi-shot dataset or

87,204 particle coordinates on the single-shot dataset. Visual inspection of randomly

selected micrographs indicated this set of coordinates was better than that previously found

by the LoG-picker, in the sense that it contained fewer false positives and more true

particles. The newly extracted particles were then subjected to 2D and 3D classification

procedures to get rid of false positive, damaged or broken particles, which yielded cleaner

subsets with 62,884 (multi-shot) or 51,173 (single-shot) particles. Using the current best

map from the multi-shot dataset as a starting reference, we then performed masked 3D

refinements on the two datasets separately, interleaved with rounds of CTF refinement and

Bayesian particle polishing (Zivanov *et al.*, 2019*a*). More specifically, we refined defocus *per*

particle, astigmatism *per micrograph* and beam tilt *globally* in CTF refinement. In the multi-

shot dataset, each of the three relative "shooting targets" were assigned a different class for

separate beam tilt refinement. The parameters for Bayesian particle polishing were trained

separately on ~5,000 particles from each dataset at this stage. Each dataset yielded refined

maps at 2.10 Å (multi-shot) and 2.20 Å (single-shot) resolution. Best results, however, were

obtained when merging the particles picked by template-matching on each dataset (194,603

particles in total) and processing them altogether. After 2D classification, 141,069 particles

remained **(Supp. Fig. 1b),** and after 3D classification, there were 119,020 particles

(Supp. Fig. 1c). CTF refinement was then performed using four beam tilt classes, with the

particles from the single-shot dataset belonging to a new, fourth class **(Supp. Tab. 2)**.

Defocus and astigmatism were both refined *per particle* this time, resulting in a map

resolution of 2.05 Å. We compared polishing the full merged dataset at once and each beam

tilt class separately, to verify if there were differences in the patterns of particle motion. For

training the polishing parameters ~10,000 particles were used in each case this time.

Although we did observe different statistics of particle motion **(Supp. Tab. 3)**, resolution and

overall quality of the map did not improve further by performing either merged or separate

polishing of the different shots. Finally, correction of third-order aberrations in RELION-3.1

(Zivanov *et al.*, 2019*b*) **(Supp. Fig. 3)** followed by local 3D refinement resulted in a global

map resolution of 1.98 Å.

All resolution estimates reported were obtained by considering the 0.143 threshold

(Rosenthal & Henderson, 2003) on the Fourier shell correlation (FSC) curve (Harauz & van

Heel, 1986) between independently refined half-maps (Scheres & Chen, 2012). A solvent-

excluding mask was generated by low-pass filtering the maps to 12 Å, binarizing the filtered

map and adding a soft edge consisting of a cosine-shaped falloff to zero. The FSC curve

was corrected for artificial correlations introduced by the mask (Chen *et al.*, 2013). Local

resolution was estimated using the approach implemented in RELION

(Cardone *et al.*, 2013).

Model building, refinement and analysis

After refinement of the map to high resolution it had to be flipped in UCSF Chimera

(Pettersen *et al.*, 2004) to match the correct handedness. The biological assembly from the

crystal structure of *Y. enterocolitica* urease **(Supp. Note 1)** was rigid-body fitted to the map

in Chimera. The non-crystallographic symmetry (NCS) was calculated with PHENIX v1.17

(Adams *et al.*, 2002) from the crystal structure. Only using the hetero-trimer of the three

proteins, backbone and side chains were built, corrected or confirmed in Coot (Emsley &

Cowtan, 2004). After several rounds of manual refinement of the model in Coot, applying

NCS and real-space refinement in PHENIX, the model comprised side chains of residues 1-

100 of ureA, 31-162 of ureB and 2-327, 335-572 of ureC. Residues 328-334 are disordered

and could not be modeled with confidence. NCS constraints were not used during final

refinements as to include alternative side chain conformations. Waters were built manually

and refined in PHENIX and were added to the closest chain by the program

phenix.sort_hetatoms. The quality of the refinement was assessed by cryo-EM Validation

tool **(Table 1)** (Afonine, Klaholz *et al.*, 2018).

Structure analysis

The electrostatic potential was calculated using the APBS plugin in PyMOL (Jurrus et al,

2018). The ConSurf server (Berezin *et al.*, 2004; Ashkenazy *et al.*, 2016) was used to find

150 sequences per urease protein for alignment with ClustalW (Madeira *et al.*, 2019) and

calculate conservation per residue. The "sample the list of homologs" option was used to

get a diverse representation across all species. The sequence identity of the 150 sequences

was determined using BLSM62 in Geneious (Kearse *et al.*, 2012). The PDBePISA server

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/pisa/) was used to find and calculate interface areas (Krissinel

& Henrick, 2007). Figures were created using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen *et al.*, 2004),

ChimeraX (Goddard *et al.*, 2018), PyMol (Schrödinger), Inkscape, Adobe Illustrator and

Adobe Photoshop.

Radiation damage analysis

Bayesian particle polishing in RELION (Zivanov *et al.*, 2019*a*, 2018) was carried out on a

sliding-window basis along the exposure, including 5 frames at a time, starting from frame

1 up to frame 25. Half-set reconstructions were then created from each polished particle

stack and post-processed using the same mask as that applied to the reconstruction from

all frames. On each post-processed reconstruction, real space refinement of chain C (α-

subunit containing the active site) from the full reconstruction was carried out in PHENIX

(Afonine, Poon *et al.*, 2018) for 5 macro-cycles. This procedure was repeated 5 times with

different random seeds. Distance between residues in the resulting refined models were

calculated using BioPython (Cock *et al.*, 2009) and plotted using the NumPy

(https://www.numpy.org) and Matplotlib (https://www.matplotlib.org) Python modules.

References

Adams, P. D., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Hung, L.-W., Ioerger, T. R., McCoy, A. J., Moriarty, N. W., Read, R. J., Sacchettini, J. C., Sauter, N. K. & Terwilliger, T. C. (2002). *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D*. **58**, 1948–1954.

Afonine, P. V, Klaholz, B. P., Moriarty, N. W., Poon, B. K., Sobolev, O. V, Terwilliger, T. C., Adams, P. D. & Urzhumtsev, A. (2018). *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol.* **74**, 814–840.

Afonine, P. V, Poon, B. K., Read, R. J., Sobolev, O. V, Terwilliger, T. C., Urzhumtsev, A. & Adams, P. D. (2018). *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D*. **74**, 531–544.

Arnold, S. A., Albiez, S., Bieri, A., Syntychaki, A., Adaixo, R., Mcleod, R. A., Goldie, K. N., Stahlberg, H. & Braun, T. (2016). *J. Struct. Biol.* **197**, 0–6.

Ashkenazy, H., Abadi, S., Martz, E., Chay, O., Mayrose, I., Pupko, T. & Ben-Tal, N. (2016). *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W344–W350.

Benini, S., Rypniewski, W. R., Wilson, K. S., Ciurli, S. & Mangani, S. (1998). *JBIC J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **3**, 268–273.

Berezin, C., Glaser, F., Rosenberg, J., Paz, I., Pupko, T., Fariselli, P., Casadio, R. & Ben-Tal, N. (2004). *Bioinformatics*. **20**, 1322–1324.

Biyani, N., Righetto, R. D., McLeod, R., Caujolle-Bert, D., Castano-Diez, D., Goldie, K. N. & Stahlberg, H. (2017). *J. Struct. Biol.* **198**, 124–133.

Brilot, A. F., Chen, J. Z., Cheng, A., Pan, J., Harrison, S. C., Potter, C. S., Carragher, B., Henderson, R. & Grigorieff, N. (2012). *J. Struct. Biol.* **177**, 630–637.

Cardone, G., Heymann, J. B. & Steven, A. C. (2013). *J. Struct. Biol.* **184**, 226–236.

Chen, S., McMullan, G., Faruqi, A. R., Murshudov, G. N., Short, J. M., Scheres, S. H. W. & Henderson, R. (2013). *Ultramicroscopy*. **135**, 24–35.

Cheng, A., Eng, E. T., Alink, L., Rice, W. J., Jordan, K. D., Kim, L. Y., Potter, C. S. & Carragher, B. (2018). *J. Struct. Biol.* **204**, 270–275.

Cock, P. J. A., Antao, T., Chang, J. T., Chapman, B. A., Cox, C. J., Dalke, A., Friedberg, I., Hamelryck, T., Kauff, F., Wilczynski, B. & de Hoon, M. J. L. (2009). *Bioinformatics*. **25**, 1422–1423.

Dixon, N. E., Gazzola, C., Blakeley, R. L. & Zerner, B. (1975). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **97**, 4131–4133.

Drummond, N., Murphy, B. P., Ringwood, T., Prentice, M. B., Buckley, J. F. & Fanning, S. (2012). *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **9**, 179–189.

Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. (2004). *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D*. **60**, 2126–2132.

Fromm, S. A., Bharat, T. A. M., Jakobi, A. J., Hagen, W. J. H. & Sachse, C. (2015). *J. Struct. Biol.* **189**, 87–97.

Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Meng, E. C., Pettersen, E. F., Couch, G. S., Morris, J. H. & Ferrin, T. E. (2018). *Protein Sci.* **27**, 14–25.

Grant, T. & Grigorieff, N. (2015). *Elife*. **4**,.

Gripenberg-Lerche, C., Zhang, L., Ahtonen, P., Toivanen, P. & Skurnik, M. (2000). *Infect. Immun.* **68**, 942–947.

Ha, N.-C., Oh, S.-T., Sung, J. Y., Cha, K. A., Lee, M. H. & Oh, B.-H. (2001). *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **8**, 505.

Harauz, G. & van Heel, M. (1986). *Optik (Stuttg).* **78**, 146–156.

Hattne, J., Shi, D., Glynn, C., Zee, C., Gallagher-Jones, M., Martynowycz, M. W., Rodriguez, J. A. & Gonen, T. (2018). *Structure*. **26**, 759-766.e4.

Jabri, E., Carr, M., Hausinger, R. & Karplus, P. (1995). *Science (80-.).* **268**, 998–1004.

Kappaun, K., Regina, A., Regina, C. & Ligabue-braun, R. (2018). *J. Adv. Res.* **13**, 3–17.

Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P. & Drummond, A. (2012). *Bioinformatics*.

28, 1647–1649.

Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. (2007). *J. Mol. Biol.* **372**, 774–797.

Madeira, F., Park, Y. M., Lee, J., Buso, N., Gur, T., Madhusoodanan, N., Basutkar, P., Tivey, A. R. N., Potter,
S. C., Finn, R. D. & Lopez, R. (2019). *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, W636–W641.

Maroney, M. J. & Ciurli, S. (2014). *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 4206–4228.

Mazzei, L., Cianci, M., Contaldo, U., Musiani, F. & Ciurli, S. (2017). *Biochemistry*. **56**, 5391–5404.

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C. & Ferrin, T. E.
(2004). *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–1612.

Podschun, R. & Ullmann, U. (1998). *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **11**, 589–603.

Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. (2017). *Nat. Methods*. **14**, 290–296.

Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. (2015). *J. Struct. Biol.* **192**, 216–221.

Rokita, E., Makristathis, A., Hirschl, A. M. & Rotter, M. L. (2000). *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **737**,
203–212.

Rosenthal, P. B. & Henderson, R. (2003). *J. Mol. Biol.* **333**, 721–745.

Scheres, S. H. W. W. & Chen, S. (2012). *Nat. Methods*. **9**, 853–854.

Schorb, M., Haberbosch, I., Hagen, W. J. H., Schwab, Y. & Mastronarde, D. N. (2019). *Nat. Methods*.

Sumner, J. B. (1926). *J. Biol. Chem.* **69**, 435–441.

Weatherburn, M. W. (1967). *Anal. Chem.* **39**, 971–974.

Yano, J., Kern, J., Irrgang, K.-D., Latimer, M. J., Bergmann, U., Glatzel, P., Pushkar, Y., Biesiadka, J., Loll, B.,
Sauer, K., Messinger, J., Zouni, A. & Yachandra, V. K. (2005). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **102**, 12047–12052.

Young, G. M., Amid, D. & Miller, V. L. (1996). *J. Bacteriol.* **178**, 6487–6495.

Zheng, S. Q., Palovcak, E., Armache, J.-P., Verba, K. A., Cheng, Y. & Agard, D. A. (2017). *Nat. Methods*. **14**,
331–332.

Zivanov, J., Nakane, T., Forsberg, B. O., Kimanius, D., Hagen, W. J., Lindahl, E. & Scheres, S. H. (2018).
Elife. **7**, e42166.

Zivanov, J., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S. H. W. (2019*a*). *IUCrJ*. **6**,.

Zivanov, J., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S. H. W. (2019*b*). *BioRxiv*. 798066.

Figures and legends

Figure 1 Protein architectures and oligomeric assemblies of ureases. **a)** Schematic of biochemical reaction catalyzed by urease. **b)** Protein architecture of urease functional unit of *C. ensiformis* (Jack bean urease), *K. aerogenes, H. pylori* and *Y. enterocolitica.* **c)** Surface representation of oligomeric assembly of urease in *C. ensiformis* (PDB: 3LA4), *K. aerogenes* (PDB: 1EJW)*, H. pylori* (PDB: 1E9Z), respectively. Proteins are color-coded as in **b)**. Oligomeric state of urease assembly is indicated at the bottom and the trimeric assemblies are indicated in roman numerals.

Figure 2 Cryo-EM analysis of the *Y. enterocolitica* dodecameric urease assembly. **a)** The cryo-EM map filtered and colored by local resolution (left), and a slice cut through the map to show the internal details (right). **b)** The assembly architecture highlighted on the map. The three chains that form the basic hetero-trimer are shown in different colors, with the other hetero-trimers shown in shades of gray. Two different views are shown to indicate the location of the small and larger holes at the interfaces, as well as the active site. Scale bars: 20 Å.

Figure 3 Comparison of *Y. enterocolitica* urease chain architecture with ureases with different modes of assembly from other pathogens. Hetero-trimers are shown in tube representation with each chain in the same colors of the sequences in **Fig. 1b**. The black star indicates the central helix of the β subunit. The *Y. enterocolitica* and *H. pylori* ureases form the same dodecameric assembly despite having different types of chain splitting, while *K. aerogenes* urease has the same type of chain splitting as in *Y. enterocolitica* but forms only a trimeric assembly.

Figure 4 Interfaces in dodecameric assembly of *Y. enterocolitica*. **a)** surface model in front view of trimer of *Y. enterocolitica* urease with intra-trimeric interfaces 1-4 indicated with color-coded lines and numbers. **b)** Same model shown from the top (view indicated with eye) and the inter-trimeric interfaces. **c)** Front view with intra-trimeric-core highlighted and three-fold axis indicated with black triangle. Inset for e) in dashed box. **d)** same as c) but from the top view. **e)** Interface 6 with loop from ureB (magenta) binding into pocket of ureC of neighboring trimer. Upper inset shows ureB in cartoon and transparent surface and ureC in surface representation. Lower panel shows ureC as surface and ureB loop as cartoon with density.

Figure 5 Active site of *Y. enterocolitica* urease. **a)** Overview of urease assembly with the active site location indicated. Inset shows in top panel side view of urease crystal structures from *K. aerogenes* mobile flap shown in open conformation in salmon (PDB: 2UBP) and in closed position as light purple (PDB: 3UBP). In gray the cryo-EM structure of *Y. enterocolitica* is overlaid and the green spheres represent the Ni^{2+} ions of the active site. Bottom panel shows top view of the three structures. Arrows indicate movement of helix and catalytic HIS325 is shown as stick. **b)** Model of active site residues and Ni^{2+} ions with the cryo-EM map of *Y. enterocolitica* at 1.98 Å nominal resolution. Yellow line indicates distance between Ni^{2+} ions in Å; **c)** shows the water molecules in the active site. **d)** Crystal structure of *K. aerogenes* urease at 1.9 Å resolution (PDB: 1EJW). Yellow line indicates distance between Ni^{2+} ions in Å. **e)** Crystal structure of *S. pasteurii* at 1.28 Å with inhibitor NBPT (PDB: 5OL4).

Figure 6 Radiation damage affects the distance between residues in the active site. **a)** Distances between the Ni^{2+} ions and selected residues involved in their coordination are plotted against the accumulated exposure. For each reconstruction calculated along the exposure, the model was refined, and distances measured. Dots indicate the average and error bars show +/- one standard deviation across five refinement runs with different random seeds. Horizontal dashed line in panel a-i) shows the distance in the model obtained from the full reconstruction with all frames. Vertical dashed lines show approximately the exposure at which the density for charged residues completely vanishes (see **Supp. Mov. 2**). **b)** Correlation coefficients between distance changes along the exposure for selected residues involved in ion coordination. Distance plots shown in a) are indicated with a star. **c)** Average B-factors of selected residues plotted against the accumulated exposure.

628 **Table 1** Model building and refinement. Statistics shown for full assembly calculated from

629 the asymmetric unit using NCS.

Model composition	
Chains	36
Non-hydrogen atoms	77076
Protein residues	9552
Waters	3672
Ligands (Ni^{2+})	24
Refinement	
Resolution (Å)	1.98
Average B factor ($Å^2$)	14.45
Rms deviations	
Bonds (Å)	0.066
Angles (°)	3.665
Validation (protein)	
Molprobity score	1.93
Clashscore	4.37
Good rotamers	97.26
Ramachandran plot	
Favored (%)	94.35
Allowed (%)	5.27
Outliers (%)	0.38

631 **High-resolution cryo-EM structure of urease from the pathogen**

632 ***Yersinia enterocolitica***

633 ***Supplementary Information***

Supplementary Figures

Complete Urease   Broken Particles / Missing Subunits

Supplementary Figure 1 Cryo-EM data of *Y. enterocolitica* urease. **a)** Two representative

micrographs from the dataset, acquired at -0.64 and -1.36 µm defocus, respectively. Scale

bar: 200 Å. **b)** 2D class averages obtained from 194'603 particles in the merged dataset.

These averages were obtained with RELION's "Ignore CTF until first peak" option enabled

and are sorted by decreasing order of number of particles in each class. Views of urease

with missing subunits are observed. The bottom right average shows a contamination by

GroEL. **c)** 3D class averages obtained from 141'069 particles in the merged dataset. These

averages were obtained without symmetry imposition in RELION. The 3D classes are sorted

by the indicated fraction of particles assigned to it. The first class is a complete dodecameric

urease assembly, while the other classes represent urease structures with at least one

trimer missing from the tetrahedron.

Supplementary Figure 2 Data processing flowchart for the urease cryo-EM map. Masking and postprocessing jobs have been omitted for clarity. All CTF refinement jobs included beam tilt and per-particle defocus refinement (see **Methods** for details). All resolution estimates given correspond to the corrected FSC curves between masked half-maps obtained from postprocessing jobs in RELION.

Supplementary Figure 3 Fits of the anti-symmetrical aberrations arising at the four different

beam-shift positions. The left column shows phase shifts measured independently for each

Fourier pixel, while the center column shows their parametric fits using third-order Zernike

polynomials. The first position (top row, the third beam-shifted multi-shot) corresponds to an

essentially untilted beam **(Supp. Tab. 2)**, while the other two multishots and the single-shot

dataset exhibit tilts to different extents. Note that even the untilted dataset shows a

significant trefoil aberration. In the right column, the parametric fit of the first position has

been subtracted, yielding residuals roughly consistent with the axial coma produced by a

tilted beam.

Supplementary Figure 4 Resolution estimates of the urease cryo-EM map. **a)** FSC curves

between the half-maps when unmasked (solid blue line), masked (dashed orange),

corrected by high-resolution noise substitution after masking (solid green), phase-

randomized (dashed red) and between the atomic model and the full experimental map

(dashed violet). **b)** Histogram of local resolution assigned to each voxel. **c)** Angular

distribution of particles in the urease cryo-EM reconstruction overlaid on the unsharpened

map.

Supplementary Figure 5 Alignment of 150 ureA, ureB and ureC sequences, chosen by

sampling from all homologs found for each protein. ClustalW was used for sequence

alignment and the ConSurf server for conservation analysis. Conservation is shown on a

gradient from dark purple to white to turquoise (arbitrary units). The light blue bar indicates

model completeness. Regions of interest are highlighted: The N-terminal extension in dark

blue, the oligomerization loop and its following helix are indicated in magenta, the mobile

flap is indicated in grey and the blue asterix indicates residues belonging to the active site.

Supplementary Figure 6 Distance comparison between residues of *Y. enterocolitica* urease against ureases with different modes of assembly. Tubes are colored by the pairwise distance of Cα atoms to the corresponding residue in *Y. enterocolitica* urease. Residues without equivalence after sequence alignment are shown in gray color. Segments with particularly high deviations are indicated.

Supplementary Figure 7 Area ($Å^2$) of interfaces 1-7 (numbering as in Figure 4) plotted by organism. Left: intra-trimer interfaces; right: inter-trimer interfaces. The intra-trimer areas of interfaces 2 and 3 in *H. pylori* are higher because they are part of the same chain.

Supplementary Figure 8 High-resolution structural features can be readily seen in the cryo-EM map. **a)** Salt bridge between D69 and R63. **b)** Side chain hydration of S17 and back bone hydration of the oxygen and the nitrogen, respectively. **c)** alternative side chain conformations of L406 and K2. d) network of waters between two ureC proteins (grey, blue).

Supplementary Figure 9 MET369 can adopt different conformations in the absence of the substrate or an inhibitor. *Y. enterocolitica* urease (grey), *S. pasteurii* urease (blue), *K. aerogenes* urease (green). One conformation could potentially reach the active site. There is no described function for this amino acid in the catalysis. The conformation close to the active site is only possible because the pocket is empty, which can be seen with an overlay of the active site from *K. aerogenes* urease (PDB: 1EJW) at 1.9 Å and *S. pasteurii* urease (PDB: 5OL4) at 1.28 Å resolution, respectively **(Figure 5d,e).**

Supplementary Movies

Supplementary Movie 1 Overview and feature highlights of the *Yersinia enterocolitica* urease cryo-EM structure.

Supplementary Movie 2 Morphing between maps and models obtained from different sets of frames along the exposure.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1 Cryo-EM data collection and image processing summary.

	Single shot	Multi shot
Data collection		
Microscope	Titan Krios	Titan Krios
Voltage [kV]	300	300
Direct electron detector	Gatan K2	Gatan K2
Zero-loss energy filter	GIF (20 eV slit width)	GIF (20 eV slit width)
Physical pixel size [Å]	0.639	0.639
Super-resolution mode	No	No
Total exposure [e$^-$/Å^2]	42	42
Exposure time [s]	8	8
Number of frames [per movie]	40	40
Movies acquired	2,252	2,243
Beam-image shift?	No	3 shots per hole
Image processing (before merging)		
Movies processed	2,115	2,197
Pixel size [Å]	0.639	0.639
Box size [pixels^2]	512	512
Particles picked (Gautomatch w/ templates)	87,204	107,399
Particles after 2D classification	87,032	80,239
Particles after 3D classification	51,173	62,884
Unmasked resolution [Å] (FSC 0.143)	2.52	2.39
Masked resolution [Å] (FSC 0.143)	2.20	2.10
Image processing (after merging)		
Particles in final reconstruction		119,020
Unmasked resolution [Å] (FSC 0.143)		2.20
Masked resolution [Å] (FSC 0.143)		1.98

716 **Supplementary Table 2** Beam tilt estimation after merging the two datasets.

	Multi-shot #1	Multi-shot #2	Multi-shot #3	Single-shot
Beamtilt x (mrad)	0.008	-0.218	-0.028	-0.078
Beamtilt y (mrad)	-0.091	-0.127	-0.000	-0.065

718 **Supplementary Table 3** Bayesian polishing training results.

	Multi Shot (before merging)	Single Shot (before merging)	Merged	Multi Shot #1	Multi Shot #2	Multi Shot #3	Single shot
Number of particles in training *(approximate)*	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Sigma for Velocity (Å/dose) *(smaller = shorter tracks)*	0.531	0.819	0.779	0.810	0.693	0.636	0.681
Sigma for Divergence (Å) *(higher = more homogeneous tracks across micrograph, i.e. "rigid block")*	3,600	10,455	10,620	10,260	7,350	5,220	8,355
Sigma for Acceleration (Å/dose) *(smaller = straighter tracks)*	2.175	3.735	1.620	1.485	1.425	1.500	0.795

720 **Supplementary Table 4** Sequence identity between different ureases and *Y. enterocolitica*

721 urease.

Organism	PDB code	Nr. Of Genes	Stoichiometry α-(β)-(γ)	ureA (%)	ureB (%)	ureC (%)
S. pasteurii	5O4L	3	3-3-3	60.6	46.7	57.5
K. aerogenes	1EJW	3	3-3-3	60.6	52.9	58.7
H. pylori	1E9Z	2	12-12	52.0	50.4	57.6

723 **Supplementary Table 5** RMSD values between each chain of our *Y. enterocolitica* urease

724 model versus structures deposited at the PDB. Values are given in Ångstroms. Values in

725 parenthesis indicate the number of Cα atom pairs matched with and without pruning. The

726 program matchmaker from UCSF Chimera was used to calculate sequence alignments and

727 RMSD values between each chain in respective models.

	Chain A	Chain B	Chain C	Active site
1E9Z	0.860/0.942 (98/100)	0.702/4.424 (107/124)	0.642/1.248 (537/561)	0.634
Alignment Score	339.8	376.8	1874.2	-
5OL4	0.706/0.706 (100/100)	0.756/2.999 (108/120)	0.673/1.171 (542/563)	0.293
Alignment Score	369.3	327.5	2002.7	-
1EJW	0.607/0.607 (100/100)	0.622/1.054 (97/101)	0.611/0.916 (538/559)	0.270
Alignment Score	637.2	269.4	1957.0	-

Supplementary Note 1

Supplementary Figure 10 Low resolution crystal structure of *Y. enterocolitica* urease.

Structure determination by X-ray crystallography yielded a 3.01 Å resolution density, which was sufficient to see the higher oligomeric state of *Y. enterocolitica* urease, but not for detailed analysis of the assembly mechanism and active site.

Comparison of the model based on the high-resolution cryo-EM data *vs.* the previous crystal structure shows a few differences. The previous X-ray model did not include residue 100 of ureA and residues 31-33, 148-162 of ureB. Residues 148-162 form a helix, which was previously not observable. This helix sits right at the interface between three ureB proteins and constitutes the interaction between the trimers.

Supplementary Methods

For X-ray crystallographic analysis of the *Y. enterocolitica* urease, *Y. enterocolitica* was differently purified than described in the **Methods** for cryo-EM. The protein was precipitated using 40-60% w/v ammonium sulfate, resuspended and dialyzed in 0.15M NaCl, 50mM Tris pH 8.0. It was further purified using a 45 ml self-packed DEAE FF XK26/20 (Sigma, DFF100) and a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column. The SEC was also used for buffer exchange to 20 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl pH 7. Urease crystals grew at 20°C at 10 mg/ml in 0.1M CHES pH 9.5; 50% (v/v) PEG 200. Urease crystals belonged to space group H32 with unit cell parameters of a= 157.2 Å, b = 157.2 Å and c= 774.6 Å, with four molecules per asymmetric unit. The structure was determined by molecular replacement with PHASER (McCoy *et al.*, 2007) using the urease crystal structure from *Klebsiella aerogenes* (PDB: 1FWB) (Pearson *et al.*, 1997). Model building and structure refinement were performed with Coot (Emsley & Cowtan, 2004) and Buster-TNT (Blanc *et al.*, 2004). The atomic coordinates for this model have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the accession code 4Z42.

Supplementary References

Blanc, E., Roversi, P., Vonrhein, C., Flensburg, C., Lea, S. M. & Bricogne, G. (2004). *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **60**, 2210–2221.

Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. (2004). *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D*. **60**, 2126–2132.

McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C. & Read, R. J. (2007). *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **40**, 658–674.

Pearson, M. A., Michel, L. O., Hausinger, R. P. & Karplus, P. A. (1997). *Biochemistry*. **36**, 8164–8172.