Reference-based QUantification Of gene Dispensability (QUOD)

Katharina Frey[1,2,*], Bernd Weisshaar[1] and Boas Pucker[1,3]

[1]Genetics and Genomics of Plants, Center for Biotechnology (CeBiTec), Bielefeld University, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany

[2]Graduate School DILS, Bielefeld Institute for Bioinformatics Infrastructure (BIBI), Bielefeld University, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany

[3]Evolution and Diversity, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

*Correspondence: kfrey@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de

Abstract

Dispensability of genes in a phylogenetic lineage, e.g. a species, genus, or higher-level clade, is gaining relevance as most genome sequencing projects move to a pangenome level. Most analyses classify genes as core genes, which are present in (almost) all investigated individual genomes, and dispensable genes, which only occur in a single or a few investigated genomes. The binary classification as 'core' or 'dispensable' is often based on arbitrary cutoffs of presence/absence in the analysed genomes. Even when extended to 'conditionally dispensable', this concept still requires the assignment of genes to distinct groups.

Here, we present a new method which overcomes this distinct classification by quantifying gene dispensability and present a dedicated tool for reference-based QUantification Of gene Dispensability (QUOD). As a proof of concept, sequence data of 966 *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions were processed to calculate a gene-specific dispensability score based on normalised coverage in read mappings. We validated this score by comparison of highly conserved Benchmarking Universal Single Copy Orthologs (BUSCOs) to all other genes. The average scores of BUSCOs were significantly higher than the scores of non-BUSCOs. Scores of genes involved in specialised metabolism were generally lower than scores of primary metabolite genes, indicating higher dispensability of genes involved in secondary metabolic processes. Analysis of variation demonstrated lower variation values between replicates of a single accession than between iteratively, randomly selected accessions from the whole dataset.

Instead of classifying a gene as core or dispensable, QUOD assigns a dispensability score to each gene. Hence, QUOD facilitates the identification of candidate dispensable genes which often underlie lineage-specific adaptation to varying environmental conditions.

Introduction

Genetic variation is not restricted to single nucleotide polymorphisms or small insertions and deletions but extends also to (large) structural variations. These structural variations include copy number variations (CNVs) and presence/absence variations (PAVs), which can cause substantial variation of the gene content among individual genomes [1,2]. The comparative analysis of multiple genomes of the same phylogenetic clade allows the identification of PAVs underlying phenotypic traits. In the case of crop species, the identification of PAVs underlying specific agronomic traits which only occur in a single or a few species is feasible [3–5]. As more highly contiguous genome sequences become available, pangenomes are suitable to describe and investigate the gene set diversity of a biological clade, e.g. species, genus or higher [6,7].

Genes of a pangenome are thought to be divided into a core and a dispensable gene set, the latter is also often referred to as 'accessory' in the literature. Core genes occur in all or almost all investigated genomes, whereas dispensable genes only occur in a single or a few genomes [8]. Sometimes, a third category of 'conditionally dispensable' genes is invoked [9]. However, this distinct classification relies on one or multiple arbitrary cutoffs. Some studies consider genes as 'core' if these genes occur in at least 90 % of the investigated genomes [10]; in other studies, only genes which are found in all genomes are part of the core genome [11]. In addition, dependency groups might influence the dispensability of certain genes. The possibility that two genes might be 'replaced' by a specific number of other genes has to be considered. Some genes, of e.g. a gene family, might be required in a specific proportion and therefore are only conditionally dispensable [9]. Further, assemblies of genomes or transcriptomes might be incomplete leading to artificially missing genes [12]. One way to circumvent this is to rely on a high-quality reference genome sequence, thus avoiding additional assemblies which are potential sources of errors.

Here, we present QUOD - a bioinformatic tool to quantify gene dispensability. An *A. thaliana* dataset of about 1,000 accessions was used to calculate a per gene dispensability score derived from the coverage of all genes in the given genomes. This score was validated by comparison of scores of BUSCOs and scores of secondary metabolite genes. Our tool is easy to use for all kinds of plant species and datasets of different levels of phylogenetic resolution. QUOD extends the distinct

classification of genes as 'core' and 'dispensable' based on an arbitrary threshold to
a continuous dispensability score.

Methods

Selection and preprocessing of datasets

Genomic reads (FASTQ format) of the investigated genomes were retrieved from the
Sequence Read Archive (SRA) [13] via fastq-dump. BWA-MEM [14] was applied to map
all genomic paired-end Illumina reads to the corresponding reference genome
sequence. For *A. thaliana*, all available 1,135 datasets [15] (File S1) were subjected to
a mapping against the AthNd-1_v2c genome sequence [16]. The resulting BAM files of
these mappings were subjected to QUOD (https://github.com/KatharinaFrey/QUOD).

Calculation of gene dispensability scores – QUOD

QUOD calculates a reference-based gene dispensability score for each annotated
gene based on a supplied mapping file (BAM) and annotation of the reference
sequence (GFF) (https://github.com/KatharinaFrey/QUOD). The tool is written in
Python3 and consists of six different modules. During the first part of the analysis, the
read coverage per position (1) as well as the read coverage per gene (2) are
calculated. In the next step, genomes with an average coverage below a given cutoff
(default=10) are discarded and excluded from further analyses (3). Finally, an input
matrix is constructed (4) and a dispensability score is determined for each gene (5).
Optionally, the results can be visualized as a colored histogram and a violin plot (6).

The dispensability score (ds(g)) is calculated as follows:

$$\text{dispensability score (gene g)} = \frac{\Sigma \left(\frac{\text{average coverage of gene g}}{\text{mean coverage over all genes in genome x}} \right)}{\text{total number of genomes}}$$

Identification of plastid sequences

Genes of the *A. thaliana* test set with high similarity to plastid sequences were

flagged via BLASTp [17] of the encoded peptides against all organelle peptide

sequences obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI).

As a control, the sequences were also searched against themselves. Peptide

sequences of Nd-1 with a score ratio ≥ 0.8 were considered plastid-like sequences

when comparing BLAST hits against self-hits [16].

Score comparison between contrasting gene sets

Genes annotated in AthNd-1_v2c were classified with Benchmarking Universal

Single Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) v3 [18] running in protein mode on the encoded

peptide sequences using 'brassicales odb10' as reference [19]. BUSCOs include

single-copy genes and universal genes which are present in > 90% of all species in

the reference dataset and are used to measure the completeness of assemblies and

annotations [18]. The scores (≤ 2) of BUSCO and non-BUSCO genes were compared

using matplotlib [20] for visualization (boxplot) and a Mann–Whitney U test

implemented in the Python package SciPy [21] for determination of the significance.

Primary and secondary metabolite genes were identified using the KEGG Orthology

for *A. thaliana* (ath00001.keg) downloaded from the KEGG database [22] and a list of

primary and secondary metabolic pathways obtained from Mukherjee *et al.* [23]. In

total, 1,887 genes of Nd-1 were assigned to the primary metabolism, whereas 440

genes were assigned to the secondary metabolism. The average scores of these

groups of genes were compared statistically by a Mann-Whitney U test within a

custom Python script using the package SciPy [21] and a boxplot was created using

matplotlib.

A list of Nd-1 transposable element (TE) genes was obtained from Pucker *et al.* [16].

First, the score distribution (ds > 2 is set to ds = 2) of TE and non-TE genes was

determined using a Mann–Whitney U test implemented in the Python package SciPy

[21]. Next, the minimal distance of each gene to its closest TE gene was calculated

after extracting the gene positions from the Nd-1 annotation file. Pearson's

correlation coefficient between per gene distance to TEs and the gene dispensability

score (ds > 2 is set to ds = 2) was determined.

Correlation of gene length and exon number with the dispensability score

Length and number of exons per gene were extracted from the Nd-1 annotation file. The Pearson's correlation coefficients of gene length and exon number, respectively, with the gene dispensability score (ds $>$ 2 is set to ds = 2) were calculated via SciPy [21] for the whole dataset as well as for three large *A. thaliana* gene families (TAPscan [24]), namely MYBs, AP2/EREBP and WRKYs .

Variation between replicates

A total of 14 genomic datasets of the *A. thaliana* accession Col-0 were received from the SRA (File S2) to assess the technical variation between replicates of the same accession. Each dataset was mapped to the TAIR10 reference genome sequence using BWA-MEM. The mappings were then subjected to QUOD expecting a dispensability score close to one for each gene. As the distributions are different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p $\approx$ 3e-27) and the sample size (n) is high, the Levene's test was selected to test for equal variances, regarding the gene dispensability scores. The test was applied for (1) the dataset including replicates only and (2) iteratively (100x), randomly chosen subsets (n=14) of the dataset including all available *A. thaliana* accessions.

Functional annotation

All genes of the *A. thaliana* Nd-1 genome sequence were functionally annotated via reciprocal best blast hits (RBHs) and best BLAST hits against Araport11 [16].

Data Availability

The tool QUOD (QUOD.py) can be downloaded from GitHub (https://github.com/KatharinaFrey/QUOD).

Results

In this study, a bioinformatic tool was developed to calculate a gene-specific
dispensability score based on the normalised coverage in a read mapping. QUOD
allows the quantification of dispensability by calculation of a score for each gene
(Figure 1). The binary classification of gene dispensability can be compared to the
original method of mRNA detection by endpoint RT-PCR providing only qualitative
results [25–27] which was replaced by quantitative analyses like RNA-Seq.

The gene dispensability score would initially be dependent on the sequencing depth
per genome. By division of the average coverage of gene g by the mean coverage
over all genes in genome x, the score is normalised for differences in the sequencing
read depth of the investigated genomes. A low value indicates that a gene is likely to
be missing in some genomes and therefore more likely dispensable than a gene with
a higher dispensability score. Due to this quantification approach, this method is not
based on an arbitrary cutoff to determine the core genome and the dispensable
genome of any given pangenome dataset. An example: Using a cutoff of 'gene x
occurs in at least 90 % of all genomes' to be considered a 'core' gene, genes 1,2,4,5
and 6 (dark grey) would be considered 'dispensable' (Figure 1). However,
considering the coverage (right panel), is e.g. gene 1 truly dispensable?

Figure 1: Illustration of the QUOD method using an artificial dataset. On the left side,
genes are classified as 'core' or 'dispensable' according to a cutoff. On the right side,
gene dispensability is quantified according to a dispensability score based on the
normalised coverage in a read mapping (a-f: investigated genomes). Coloring of
genes (right side) indicates different dispensability scores. Extremely rare genes can
be easily detected using QUOD.

As a proof of concept, *A. thaliana* sequencing datasets of 1,135 accessions were
downloaded and mapped to the Nd-1 genome sequence. All genomes with less than
10-fold read coverage were discarded. The remaining sequencing datasets covering

966 accessions were analysed with QUOD to calculate a dispensability score for
each gene (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Distribution of the gene dispensability scores for the *A. thaliana* datasets. A)
Histogram colored according to the dispensability score. The x-axis represents the
dispensability score, whereas the y-axis shows the number of genes in each bin. B)
Violin plot representing the dispensability score (y-axis) of all genes (x-axis).

Further validation of the reliability of the gene dispensability quantification was
achieved by comparison of BUSCOs and non-BUSCOs (Figure 3A) as well as
primary and secondary metabolite genes (Figure 3B). BUSCO genes show
significantly higher scores than non-BUSCO genes (U test, p ≈ 1e-122). Secondary
metabolite genes show significantly lower scores than primary metabolite genes (U
test, p ≈ 6e-11).

Figure 3: Box plot of the gene dispensability scores of (A) BUSCO and non-BUSCO
genes and (B) primary and secondary metabolite genes. The mean is represented by
the dashed, blue line, the other blue line represents the median of the scores. A)

BUSCO genes (n = 4586, mean ds ≈ 0.991) show significantly higher scores than
non-BUSCO genes (n = 25354, mean ds ≈ 0.934) (U test, p≈1e-122). B) Secondary
metabolite genes (n = 440, mean ds ≈ 0.957) show significantly lower scores than
primary metabolite genes (n = 1,887, mean ds ≈ 0.975) (U test, p≈6e-11).

Functional annotation of BUSCO outliers, which include genes with dispensability
scores below 0.75 or above 1.25, revealed, amongst others, several repeat proteins,
transmembrane proteins, a 'stress induced protein', and multiple hypothetical
proteins (File S3).

Next, the genome-wide distribution of genes with specific gene dispensability scores
was investigated in *A. thaliana*. A high dynamic across centromeric regions is visible
based on the colored heatmap (Figure 4). High and low scoring genes cluster in
centromeric and telomeric regions.

Figure 4: Genome-wide distribution of genes with different dispensability scores in *A.*
thaliana Nd-1. The colored heatmap shows the respective gene dispensability
scores. There are high (pink) and low (blue) scoring genes clustered in repetitive
regions, including centromeric and telomeric areas. The x-axis represents the size (in
Mbp) of each pseudochromosome in the assembly. The black dots represent the
position of the centromeres of the five chromosomes in the AthNd1_v2c assembly [16].

As high and low scoring genes cluster in repetitive regions (mainly centromeres), the
score distribution of TEs was investigated (File S4). Scores of TE genes are evenly
distributed across all dispensability scores. In total, the mean score of TE genes
(mean ds ≈ 0.919) is significantly lower when compared to non-TE genes (mean ds ≈
0.953) (U test, p ≈ 6e-08), which are more frequent across scores close to one.
Moreover, the minimal distance of each gene to its closest TE gene and the
dispensability scores revealed no correlation (File S4).

To test the hypothesis whether genes with lower dispensability scores/more likely
dispensable genes are shorter and whether introns accumulate in core genes, the
correlation of the gene dispensability score with gene length and exon number,
respectively, were determined for the whole dataset and for three selected gene
families separately. However, no clear trend was detectable (File S5).

Further, the variation between replicates of the same accession (Col-0) was
determined (File S6). The variation of the gene dispensability score distribution of the
replicate dataset (one accession) ($\sigma^2 \approx 0.0226$) is significantly lower than the
variation between all iteratively, randomly selected subsets of *A. thaliana* accessions
($\sigma^2 \approx 0.0392$) (Levene's test, $p \approx 4e\text{-}19$).

The function of the 100 genes with the lowest gene dispensability scores was
examined in detail for the *A. thaliana* (File S7) dataset. Fourteen genes of this *A.*
thaliana test set are annotated as "disease resistance proteins", whereas seven
genes are annotated as transposons/transposases. Four genes are described as
hypothetical proteins and 24 genes have no functional annotation.

Discussion

QUOD was developed for the quantification of gene dispensability in plant
pangenome datasets, but the application is not limited to the plant kingdom.
However, an accurate determination of gene dispensability scores free of systematic
biases might rely on a uniform selection of genomes from the respective taxonomic
group and on uniform read coverage of genes. In addition, non-random
fragmentation of DNA prior to sequencing [28] may cause biases. The variation among
replicates of the same accession (Col-0; $\sigma^2 \approx 0.0226$) might be attributed to technical
biases, e.g. during library preparation. We validated the reliability of the gene
dispensability score by showing that BUSCO genes are more conserved and can be
more likely considered core genes than non-BUSCOs as BUSCOs are associated
with significantly higher scores (Figure 3A). In addition, secondary metabolite genes
are more likely dispensable as shown by the significantly lower dispensability scores
in comparison to genes of primary metabolic processes (Figure 3B).

Based on the distribution of the scores in the boxplot (Figure 3), the difference
between BUSCOs and non-BUSCOs appears small, even though the difference is
significant (U test, p ≈ 1e-122). The expected difference in the dispensability scores
of BUSCOs and non-BUSCOs might not be exceptionally high as multiple-copy
genes are not included in the BUSCO gene set [18]. Further, functional annotation of
BUSCO outliers revealed several repeat proteins and transmembrane proteins.
Repeat proteins might lead to read mapping errors and consequently artificial
variations in coverage and dispensability scores. Transmembrane proteins could be
involved in biotic stress response and therefore might not be essential for some
accessions [29]. This could explain the absence in some genomes resulting in low
dispensability scores of these genes. Therefore, many important, high-scoring genes
might lie outside of the BUSCO sampling space. The difference between scores of
primary and secondary metabolite genes might be higher as well, as strongly variable
secondary metabolite genes might not be detected in our analyses due to the RBH-
based annotation.

The genome-wide distribution of all gene dispensability scores of the *A. thaliana*
genomes reveals the origin of exceptionally high dispensability scores (Figure 4).
High and low scoring genes cluster in repetitive regions, like e.g. centromeres or
telomeres, due to variations in the recombination rate [30] and active transposable
elements in these regions.

It was previously proposed, that likely dispensable genes are located closer to
transposable elements which are important factors in pangenome evolution [31]. In our
study, TE genes are widely distributed across all dispensability scores as TEs can
occur in a high copy number in genomes leading to high scores and can as well be
dispensable. Other studies detected a high number of TEs in the dispensable
genome [32], however, only certain TE families might be truly dispensable. A high-
quality annotation of transposons and a following exclusion of these genes from the
analysis or improved read mapping to the consensus sequence might improve the
results. Moreover, heterochromatin or genome-purging mechanisms [33] could
influence the gene dispensability scores in these regions. Additionally some of the
high scoring genes were flagged as plastid-like sequences as original sequencing
data from plants contain a high amount reads originating from plastid sequences [34,35].
Biases due to this plastid read contamination inflate the coverage of sequences with

high similarity to plastid sequences, resulting in an exceptionally high gene
dispensability score. Therefore, especially genes with dispensability scores above
two must be investigated carefully. Very similar sequences, e.g. members of a gene
family or close paralogs, might cause read mapping errors confounding biases in the
dispensability scores of these genes.

Even though it was previously proposed that dispensable genes are located close to
transposable elements [31], this result was not observed in our study. One limitation is
the accurate assignment of reads to repetitive sections of the reference sequence
during the read mapping [12]. Further, only a fraction of transposons might be correctly
assembled and annotated due to several computational challenges in highly
repetitive and peri-centromeric regions [36]. Therefore, a different strategy might be
needed to accurately quantify dispensability of transposable elements.

Functional annotation of the 100 most likely dispensable genes revealed a high
number of uncharacterised proteins, disease resistance proteins as well as
transposons and transposases in the *A. thaliana* genomes. Transposable elements
were detected in other studies as contributors to large structural variations between
species and individuals and substantial part of the dispensable genome [32]. Previous
pangenome analyses also revealed that the dispensable genome comprises
functions like e.g. 'defense response', 'diseases resistance', 'flowering time' and
'adaptation to biotic and abiotic stress' [10,31,37]. Therefore, in depth investigation of
genes with low dispensability scores can result in the identification and
characterisation of phenotypic variation [38] and important agronomic traits [37]. We
envision several applications for the gene dispensability score generated by QUOD:
(1) more accurate prediction if a PAV is associated with a specific trait, (2)
development of dependency gene networks, and (3) improved modeling of the
evolutionary value of genes.

Conclusion

QUOD (reference-based QUantification Of gene Dispensability) overcomes the
problem of labeling genes as 'core' or 'dispensable' through implementation of a
quantification approach. Instead of a distinct classification, QUOD provides a ranking

of all genes based on assigned gene-specific dispensability scores and therefore
does not rely on any thresholds.

Author Contributions

344　KF, BW and BP designed the study, performed the experiments, analysed the data,
345　and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of this
346　manuscript.

Funding

348　This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of Genetics and Genomics of Plants for discussion of preliminary
results. We are very grateful to Janik Sielemann and Nathanael Walker-Hale for
helpful comments on the manuscript. We acknowledge support for the Article
Processing Charge by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Open Access
Publication Fund of Bielefeld University. We thank the CeBiTec Bioinformatic
Resource Facility team for great technical support.

Conflicts of Interest

357　The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary Files

File S1: SRA IDs of datasets downloaded to conduct the QUOD analysis of the *A.*
thaliana genomes.

File S2: SRA IDs of datasets downloaded to conduct the analysis of replicates (Col-
0).

File S3: Functional annotation of BUSCO outliers with a dispensability score smaller
than 0.75 or greater than 1.25.

File S4: Distribution of scores of TE genes and non-TE genes and correlation of the
distance to the closest TE gene with the gene dispensability score of the *A. thaliana*
genomes.

File S5: Correlation of gene length and exon number with the dispensability scores of
the *A. thaliana* genomes.

File S6: Analysis of variance of the gene dispensability score calculated for replicates
of the *A. thaliana* Col-0 accession and iteratively, randomly chosen subsets of the
whole dataset.

File S7: Functional annotation of the 100 most likely dispensable genes of the *A.*
thaliana genomes.

References

1. Springer, N. M. *et al.* Maize Inbreds Exhibit High Levels of Copy Number Variation
(CNV) and Presence/Absence Variation (PAV) in Genome Content. *PLoS Genet* **5**,
e1000734 (2009).

2. Scherer, S. W. *et al.* Challenges and standards in integrating surveys of structural
variation. *Nat Genet* **39**, S7–S15 (2007).

3. Tao, Y., Zhao, X., Mace, E., Henry, R. & Jordan, D. Exploring and Exploiting Pan-
genomics for Crop Improvement. *Molecular Plant* **12**, 156–169 (2019).

4. Lu, F. *et al.* High-resolution genetic mapping of maize pan-genome sequence
anchors. *Nat Commun* **6**, 6914 (2015).

5. Swanson-Wagner, R. A. *et al.* Pervasive gene content variation and copy number
variation in maize and its undomesticated progenitor. *Genome Research* **20**,
1689–1699 (2010).

390 6. Tettelin, H. *et al.* Genome analysis of multiple pathogenic isolates of Streptococcus

391 agalactiae: Implications for the microbial 'pan-genome'. *Proceedings of the*

392 *National Academy of Sciences* **102**, 13950–13955 (2005).

393 7. Vernikos, G., Medini, D., Riley, D. R. & Tettelin, H. Ten years of pan-genome

394 analyses. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **23**, 148–154 (2015).

395 8. Golicz, A. A., Batley, J. & Edwards, D. Towards plant pangenomics. *Plant*

396 *Biotechnol J* **14**, 1099–1105 (2016).

397 9. Marroni, F., Pinosio, S. & Morgante, M. Structural variation and genome

398 complexity: is dispensable really dispensable? *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **18**,

399 31–36 (2014).

400 10. Zhao, Q. *et al.* Pan-genome analysis highlights the extent of genomic variation

401 in cultivated and wild rice. *Nat Genet* **50**, 278–284 (2018).

402 11. Li, Y. *et al.* De novo assembly of soybean wild relatives for pan-genome

403 analysis of diversity and agronomic traits. *Nat Biotechnol* **32**, 1045–1052 (2014).

404 12. Alkan, C., Sajjadian, S. & Eichler, E. E. Limitations of next-generation genome

405 sequence assembly. *Nat Methods* **8**, 61–65 (2011).

406 13. Leinonen, Rasko and Sugawara, Hideaki and Shumway, Martin and

407 International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration. The sequence read

408 archive. *Nucleic Acids Research* **39**, D19–D21 (2010).

409 14. Li, H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with

410 BWA-MEM. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.3997* (2013).

411 15. Alonso-Blanco, C. *et al.* 1,135 Genomes Reveal the Global Pattern of

412 Polymorphism in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Cell* **166**, 481–491 (2016).

413 16. Pucker, B. *et al.* A chromosome-level sequence assembly reveals the

414 structure of the Arabidopsis thaliana Nd-1 genome and its gene set. *PLoS ONE*

415 **14**, e0216233 (2019).

17.   Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local

alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**, 403–410 (1990).

18.   Simão, Felipe A and Waterhouse, Robert M and Ioannidis, Panagiotis and

Kriventseva, Evgenia V and Zdobnov, Evgeny M. BUSCO: assessing genome

assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics*

31, 3210–3212 (2015).

19.   Zdobnov, E. M. *et al.* OrthoDB v9.1: cataloging evolutionary and functional

annotations for animal, fungal, plant, archaeal, bacterial and viral orthologs.

Nucleic Acids Res **45**, D744–D749 (2017).

20.   Hunter, J. D. Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Comput. Sci. Eng.* **9**, 90–

95 (2007).

21.   Jones, E., Oliphant, T., Peterson, P. & others. SciPy: Open source scientific

tools for Python. (2001).

22.   Kanehisa, M. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic*

Acids Research **28**, 27–30 (2000).

23.   Mukherjee, D., Mukherjee, A. & Ghosh, T. C. Evolutionary Rate Heterogeneity

of Primary and Secondary Metabolic Pathway Genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Genome Biol Evol **8**, 17–28 (2016).

24.   Wilhelmsson, P. K. I., Mühlich, C., Ullrich, K. K. & Rensing, S. A.

Comprehensive Genome-Wide Classification Reveals That Many Plant-Specific

Transcription Factors Evolved in Streptophyte Algae. *Genome Biology and*

Evolution **9**, 3384–3397 (2017).

25.   Wang, A. M., Doyle, M. V. & Mark, D. F. Quantitation of mRNA by the

polymerase chain reaction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **86**,

9717–9721 (1989).

26. Gilliland, G., Perrin, S., Blanchard, K. & Bunn, H. F. Analysis of cytokine

mRNA and DNA: detection and quantitation by competitive polymerase chain

reaction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **87**, 2725–2729 (1990).

27. Chiang, P. W. *et al.* Use of a fluorescent-PCR reaction to detect genomic

sequence copy number and transcriptional abundance. *Genome Research* **6**,

1013–1026 (1996).

28. Poptsova, M. S. *et al.* Non-random DNA fragmentation in next-generation

sequencing. *Sci Rep* **4**, 4532 (2015).

29. Dodds, P. N. & Rathjen, J. P. Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of

plant–pathogen interactions. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**, 539–548 (2010).

30. Nachman, M. Variation in recombination rate across the genome: evidence

and implications. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* **12**, 657–663 (2002).

31. Gordon, S. P. *et al.* Extensive gene content variation in the Brachypodium

distachyon pan-genome correlates with population structure. *Nat Commun* **8**, 2184

(2017).

32. Morgante, M., Depaoli, E. & Radovic, S. Transposable elements and the plant

pan-genomes. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **10**, 149–155 (2007).

33. Lee, S.-I. & Kim, N.-S. Transposable Elements and Genome Size Variations in

Plants. *Genomics Inform* **12**, 87 (2014).

34. Yu, J. *et al.* A draft sequence of the rice genome (Oryza sativa L. ssp. indica).

Science **296**, 79–92 (2002).

35. Tang, J. *et al.* A Comparison of Rice Chloroplast Genomes. *Plant Physiol.*

135, 412–420 (2004).

36. Platt, R. N., Blanco-Berdugo, L. & Ray, D. A. Accurate Transposable Element

Annotation Is Vital When Analyzing New Genome Assemblies. *Genome Biol Evol*

8, 403–410 (2016).

37.   Golicz, A. A. *et al.* The pangenome of an agronomically important crop plant

Brassica oleracea. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13390 (2016).

38.   Hirsch, C. N. *et al.* Insights into the Maize Pan-Genome and Pan-

Transcriptome. *Plant Cell* **26**, 121–135 (2014).