Validation of a Single-step, Single-tube Reverse Transcription-Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Assay for Rapid Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA

Jean Y. H. Lee[1,2], Nickala Best[3], Julie McAuley[1], Jessica L. Porter[1], Torsten Seemann[1,4], Mark B. Schultz[4], Michelle Sait[4], Nicole Orlando[4], Karolina Mercoulia[4], Susan A. Ballard[4], Julian Druce[5], Thomas Tran[5], Mike G. Catton[5], Melinda J. Pryor[6], Huanhuan L. Cui[6], Angela Luttick[6], Sean McDonald[3], Arran Greenhalgh[3], Jason C. Kwong[1,7], Norelle L. Sherry[4,7], Maryza Graham[8], Tuyet Hoang[1,4], Marion Herisse[1], Sacha J. Pidot[1], Deborah A. Williamson[1,4,9], Benjamin P. Howden[1,4,7], Ian R. Monk[1,#], and Timothy P. Stinear[1,*,#]

1. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

2. Department of Infectious Diseases, Monash Health, Clayton, Victoria, Australia.

3. GeneWorks Pty Ltd, Thebarton, South Australia, Australia.

4. Microbiological Diagnostic Unit Public Health Laboratory, University of Melbourne at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

5. Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory, Melbourne Health at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

6. 360Biolabs, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

7. Department of Infectious Diseases, Austin Health, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia

8. Department of Microbiology, Monash Health, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

9. Melbourne Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

* Corresponding author, tstinear@unimelb.edu.au

Joint senior authors

Abstract

In this study we undertook validation of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay using a commercial enzyme mastermix from OptiGene Ltd in combination with a primer set designed to detect the CDC N1 region of the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (N) gene. We implemented a single-tube, single-step fluorescence assay named N1-<u>S</u>ingle-<u>T</u>ube-<u>O</u>ptigene LAMP (*N1-STOP-LAMP*) whereby as little as 1μL of universal transport medium (UTM) from a single nasopharyngeal swab could be used directly as template material, bypassing the requirement for RNA purification. Importantly, amplification and detection could be conducted in any thermocycler that could hold 65°C for 30 minutes and measure fluorescence in the FAM channel at one-minute intervals. Assay evaluation by assessment of 157 clinical specimens previously screened by E-gene RT-qPCR revealed assay sensitivity and specificity of 87% and 100%, respectively. Time-to-results were fast, with an average time-to-positive (Tp) for 93 clinical samples of 14 minutes (SD $\pm$7 minutes). Using dilutions of SARS-CoV-2 virus spiked into UTM, we also evaluated assay performance against FDA guidelines for implementation of emergency-use diagnostics and established a limit-of-detection of 54 $TCID_{50}$/mL, with satisfactory assay sensitivity and specificity. A comparison of 20 clinical specimens between four laboratories showed excellent interlaboratory concordance, performing equally well on three different, commonly used thermocyclers across four laboratories, pointing to the robustness of the assay. With its simplified workflow, N1-STOP-LAMP is a powerful, scalable option for specific and rapid detection of SARS-CoV-2 and an additional resource in the diagnostic armamentarium against COVID-19.

Introduction

The current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has created an unprecedented global demand for rapid diagnostic testing. Most countries are employing real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) for confirmation of infection (1-7). Consequently, a global shortage of RNA extraction kits as well as RT-qPCR assay kits and their associated reagents has ensued (8-10). Thus, there is a need for diagnostics that are not dependent on these commonly used materials. First described 20 years ago by Notomi *et al.*, (2000), the loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay is robust, rapid and straightforward, yet retains high sensitivity and specificity (11). These features have seen the LAMP assay implemented for a broad range of molecular diagnostic applications extending from infectious diseases, including detection of the original SARS-CoV-1 virus (12), bacteria and parasites (13-15) to cancer (16). Using different reagents than RT-qPCR, with the potential for direct processing of samples without the need for prior RNA extraction, improving on an already rapid turn-around, several groups have now described different LAMP assays for detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA (17-24).

In this study, we developed a RT-LAMP assay that targets the CDC N1 region of the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid gene (N-gene) (3) and used a commercial mastermix from OptiGene Ltd. This mix contains a proprietary reverse transcriptase for cDNA synthesis and the thermophilic GspSSD strand-displacing polymerase/reverse transcriptase for DNA amplification (www.optigene.co.uk) with a dsDNA intercalating fluorescent dye. Detection was achieved by measuring the increase in fluorescence as amplification products accumulate. The **N1** gene **S**ingle **T**ube **Op**tigene **LAMP** assay (hereafter called N1-STOP-LAMP) was assessed for the direct detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA, following *FDA Policy for Diagnostic Tests for Coronavirus Disease-2019 during the Public Health Emergency* against the four parameters and acceptance criteria summarized in Table 1 (5).

Validation samples were human upper respiratory tract specimens, collected using

nasopharyngeal flocked swabs, stored in universal transport media (UTM) or rayon dry swabs.

Results

Assessing detection sensitivity of N1-STOP-LAMP using purified RNA.

We began by assessing the limit of detection (LoD) of N1-STOP-LAMP under optimum conditions

using a 10-fold dilution series of purified RNA, prepared from a titred SARS-CoV-2 virus stock.

Although LAMP assays are not strictly quantitative, a positive correlation between time-to-positive

(Tp) and RNA concentration was evident. This was indicated by an absolute detection threshold

between 50 and 500 viral genome copies per reaction. We achieved a reliable detection of 5/5

replicates at 500 viral genome copies and detection of 4/5 replicates at 50 viral genome copies per

reaction (Fig. 1A). Of note, this threshold is likely an underestimate of the true detection limit, as

we assumed all RNA yielded from the viral stock generated from the Vero cell supernatant was of

viral origin. Using Tissue Culture Infectious Dose 50 ($TCID_{50}$), the absolute LoD of N1-STOP-LAMP

was between 0.001 and 0.01 $TCID_{50}$/reaction (equivalent to 1-10 $TCID_{50}$/mL).

Direct detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in clinical specimens and specimen inactivation

The nasopharyngeal specimens received by our public health laboratories were collected using

Copan flocked swabs in either 1mL or 3mL of universal transport media (UTM®). To assess the

impact of sample matrix on the LAMP assay, we performed pilot experiments with UTM from

swabs taken from SARS-CoV-2 negative specimens, adding increasing amounts of UTM to N1-

STOP-LAMP. We observed sterile UTM had no impact on N1-STOP-LAMP (data not shown), but

that 5µL of UTM with nasopharyngeal sample matrix used directly as the source of template RNA

was inhibitory to the assay (Fig 1B). This inhibition was relieved at a 1/5 dilution of UTM, thus 5µL

of a 1/5 UTM dilution or a 1µL neat UTM was selected as the optimum template volume for N1-

STOP-LAMP (Fig. 2A). Experiments were also conducted using dry swabs eluted in PBS. We tested

elution in 0.5mL, 1.0mL and 1.5mL and found that an elution volume of 1.5mL of PBS was a good

compromise between unnecessary dilution of potential virus in the sample and sufficient dilution

to alleviate assay inhibition (data not shown). To reduce the risk associated with handling clinical

specimens containing infectious SARS-CoV-2, we also assessed the impact of a heat inactivation

step on detection sensitivity. Pretreatment of UTM at 60°C for 30min led to a >5-$\log_{10}$ inactivation

of the virus accessed by PFU and $TCID_{50}$ determination (data not shown) and had no observable

impact on N1-STOP-LAMP detection sensitivity, with equivalent Tp between each treatment (Table

2, Fig. 1C).

Comparative detection sensitivity of N1-STOP-LAMP versus E-gene RT-qPCR.

The N1-STOP-LAMP assay was then directly compared with E-gene RT-qPCR, using a dilution of

titred SARS-CoV-2 virus stock in UTM across a 6-$\log_{10}$ dilution series. A RT-qPCR calibration curve

was established from triplicate extraction experiments for each of the six dilutions (Fig. 2A). The

assays were prepared with 5µL of purified viral RNA as template for both the N1-STOP-LAMP and

RT-qPCR assays. A 5µL and 1µL aliquot of each virus dilution in UTM was also tested directly in the

N1-STOP-LAMP assay (*i.e*. without RNA extraction). The side-by-side comparisons showed E-gene

RT-qPCR was up to 1-$\log_{10}$ more sensitive than N1-STOP-LAMP, with RT-qPCR detecting 2/3

replicates at the lowest dilution of 0.54 $TCID_{50}$/mL and N1-STOP-LAMP detecting no viral RNA at

this concentration (Table 3, Fig. 2). At 54 $TCID_{50}$/mL, the RT-qPCR and N1-STOP-LAMP detected 3/3

replicates. There was no difference in N1-STOP-LAMP sensitivity using either 5µL UTM added

directly to the test or purified RNA, but the assay lost another 1-$\log_{10}$ sensitivity where 1µL of neat

UTM was added directly to the N1-STOP-LAMP reaction (Fig. 2B).

Establishing N1-STOP-LAMP limit of detection (LoD)

The FDA guidelines for implementation of emergency-use diagnostics defines LoD as the lowest

concentration at which 19/20 replicates are positive (Table 1). Informed by the previous

experiment (Fig. 2B), we selected a SARS-CoV-2 concentration of 54 $TCID_{50}$/mL (in UTM) and

tested 20x 1µL aliquots by N1-STOP-LAMP. We observed 20/20 positive reactions with an average

Tp of 15.8 mins, inter-quartile range 12-19 mins and coefficient of variation of 31.18% (Fig. 3A).

Evaluation of N1-STOP-LAMP against FDA criteria.

The FDA guidelines for implementation of emergency-use diagnostics also require an assessment

of assay performance using at least 30 contrived positive and 30 negative clinical specimens

(authentic or contrived), with at least 20 of the positives at a concentration of 1-2x the LoD (Table

1). We obtained 30 nasopharyngeal dry swabs from healthy, anonymous volunteers. Swabs were

eluted in 1.5mL of PBS and 1µL aliquots were tested directly by N1-STOP-LAMP. All eluates were

negative. We then spiked the eluates with SARS-CoV-2 virus at 1x and 2x the LoD (approx. 50 and

100 $TCID_{50}$/mL respectively). Results showed that N1-STOP-LAMP detected 20/20 samples spiked

with 1x the assay LoD and 10/10 samples at 2x LoD (Fig. 3B, Table 4). N1-STOP-LAMP thus meets

the FDA *Clinical Evaluation* criteria (Table 1).

Clinical specimen evaluation of N1-STOP-LAMP against E-gene RT-qPCR Gold Standard

We then sought to evaluate N1-STOP-LAMP performance against E-gene RT-qPCR, setting the

latter assay as the current 'gold standard'. We screened 50 negative clinical specimens and 107

positive nasopharyngeal clinical specimens in UTM as established by E-gene RT-qPCR (Table S1).

Results showed N1-STOP-LAMP assay sensitivity of 87%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive

value of 100% and negative predictive value of 78% (Table 5, Fig. 3). As noted during initial

sensitivity experiments (Table 3), a positive correlation was observed between N1-STOP-LAMP Tp

and E-gene RT-qPCR Ct (Fig. 4). The average Tp among the 93 N1-LAMP-STOP positive specimens

was 14 min (SD $\pm$ 7 min). For the false-negative samples with sufficient clinical material remaining

(5 out of 14), RNA extraction using a simple, rapid magnetic bead purification protocol was

performed, then N1-STOP-LAMP repeated. Four of the 5 samples returned a positive result with

Tp range from 10:00 – 25:30 min.sec) (Table S1). Indicating that the reduced sensitivity of N1-

STOP-LAMP compared to RT-qPCR can be improved upon by using samples with a higher RNA

template concentration and purity with only a small increase in time to results.

Confirmation of N1-STOP-LAMP inclusivity and exclusivity

The FDA guidelines for implementation of emergency-use diagnostics also require an assessment

of inclusivity and exclusivity of the oligonucleotide primers used in an assay. To assess the former,

we performed *in silico* screening of the region spanned by the six primers used for the N1-STOP-

LAMP assay against all available SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences (Supplementary data file 1). This

240bp sequence spans the CDC N1 region of the SARS-CoV-2 N-gene (3, 25). Alignment of this

region against 2738 genomes revealed 100% conservation amongst all entries, showing that this a

conserved target sequence and that the primers used will perform equally across all lineages of

the virus.

To assess the exclusivity criterion, we used a nucleotide BLAST search of the N1 region against the

NCBI Genbank *nt* database and observed no non-SARS-CoV-2 sequence matches above 80%

nucleotide identity, in-line with FDA cross-reactivity requirement. Further to this analysis, we also

performed *in vitro* testing with the NATRPC2-BIO (ZeptoMetrix) specificity panel, a control panel

consisting of 22 common respiratory pathogens. None of these pathogens were detected by N1-

STOP-LAMP (Table S3). Thus, both *in silico* and *in vitro* testing confirmed the specificity of N1-

STOP-LAMP for SARS-CoV-2.

Interlaboratory comparisons

In order to explore the ability of other laboratories to readily deploy N1-STOP-LAMP, we prepared

an external-quality-assessment (EQA) panel of 20 clinical specimens (Table S2). These were

previous positive and negative clinical samples as assessed by E-gene RT-qPCR that had been heat-

inactivated for ease-of-handling. These specimens covered a range of virus titres (Ct values 16.3 –

30.6). The panel was tested simultaneously in four different laboratories (named Labs A – D). The

results showed excellent correspondence between all laboratories for the nine positive samples

with E-gene RT-qPCR Ct values <26 (Fig. 5, Table S2). Above this Ct (*i.e.* those samples with lower

virus titres), the laboratories returned variably positive results (Samples 14, 16, 17 & 18), reflecting

that these specimens had virus concentrations at or beyond the N1-STOP-LAMP LoD (Table S2).

Concordance among the seven negative results was overall very good, however one laboratory

(Lab C) returned a false positive result (Sample 2), highlighting the issue of contamination with

sensitive molecular tests (Table S2). Of note, the laboratories used a range of different

instruments for amplification/detection including an OptiGene Genie II, BioRad CFX and

ThermoFisher Quantstudio-7. This trial suggests that N1-STOP-LAMP is a robust and transferrable

assay format.

Discussion

A critical component of an effective COVID-19 pandemic response is the rapid and robust detection of positive clinical samples (26). This has required massively upscaled SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic capabilities. and in particularl, a worldwide focus on developing improved technologies (27). There have been more than 20 molecular tests recently receiving FDA Emergency Use Authorizations (EUAs) (www.fda.gov/medical-devices/emergency-situations-medical-devices/emergency-use-authorizations#covid19ivd, accessed 11/04/2020). In this current study, we demonstrate the potential of N1-STOP-LAMP. We focused efforts on the LAMP assay because it has been previously employed for the rapid and robust detection of numerous RNA viruses including Zika, Chikungunya, Influenza and SARS-CoV-1 (28-30) and was of great assistance during these outbreaks, particularly in resource constrained settings. Advantages of LAMP testing include rapid turnaround time, ease of implementation, non-standard reagent use and potential utility at point of care (19-21). To date, there has been limited detail on the performance characteristics of emerging LAMP-based tests in head-to-head comparisons with gold standard assays. Such information is critical to ensuring the safe deployment of new tests, given the clinical and public health consequences of erroneous test results.

Here we have shown the specific detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA directly from clinical swabs without the need for RNA purification, using a LAMP assay targeting the N1 region of the SARS-CoV-2 N-gene in conjunction with the reverse transcriptase/GspSSD LF DNA polymerase mastermix from OptiGene Ltd. With a detection limit of 54 $TCID_{50}$/mL the N1-STOP-LAMP assay met each of the four FDA criteria for EUA. Based on reported data, as opposed to head-to-head comparisons, the N1-STOP-LAMP detection limit, is higher than several recently reported FDA-EUA COVID-19 tests.

Expressed as virus copies/mL the N1-STOP-LAMP LoD is estimated at 54,000 virus copies/mL

(assumes our $TCID_{50}$ underestimates virus copy number by 1000-fold, see methods), compared to

Cepheid GeneXpert Xpress 250 virus copies/mL or Abbott ID NOW COVID-19 test 125 virus

copies/mL. Other EUA assays reporting LoDs similar to N1-STOP-LAMP include the Luminex ARIES

SARS-CoV-2 assay (75,000 copies/mL) and the GenMark ePlex SARS-CoV-2 test (100,000

copies/mL). Given these performance metrics, and the test's high positive predictive value (100%),

we see N1-STOP-LAMP as well suited for widespread screening of large populations when COVID-

19 prevalence is low. N1-STOP-LAMP could be used in large-scale, national testing programs to

support aggressive COVID-19 contact tracing and disease suppression or elimination activities. The

test could also play a role in near-point-of-care settings, such as outbreak investigation in hospitals

and nursing homes, providing rapid-turnaround-time of results for health-care workers and highly

vulnerable patients. The relatively simple assay format of N1-STOP-LAMP is also suitable for

deployment in lower and middle-income countries, where access to sophisticated laboratory

infrastructure is limited.

During this evaluation we noted opportunities for improvement of N1-STOP-LAMP. We observed

that although 1µL of UTM was tolerated in the OptiGene RT-LAMP formulation, larger quantities

of sample matrix (UTM or PBS containing additional human material from the nasopharyngeal

swab) were inhibitory to the RT-LAMP reaction. If more than 1µL of sample matrix was used in the

assay, no amplification was observed using the purified virus or RNA as template spiked into UTM

or PBS (Fig. 1B). However, under ideal conditions (*i.e.*, no sample inhibition from human

components), the impact of using an increased template volume was shown, where 5µL of UTM

added directly to the N1-STOP-LAMP reaction was equal to the sensitivity of RT-qPCR using

purified RNA (Fig. 2). Thus, the addition of a simple step to purify RNA improves the detection

sensitivity of N1-STOP-LAMP to rival RT-qPCR. Our follow-up of five false-negative results (Table 5, Fig. 3C), demonstrated that inclusion of such a step in the assay is feasible. We used a simple, scalable and rapid magnetic-bead RNA purification step and we were able to detect SARS-CoV-2 RNA with N1-STOP-LAMP in 4/5 UTM specimens that had previously tested negative by the assay (Table S1) (31). Other opportunities for improvement include the use of specific fluorescent probes to detect amplification products, thus facilitating multiplex reactions and the inclusion of an internal amplification control within each test (24, 32).

As mentioned, recognised strengths of the LAMP assay include the rapid time to test result, with the majority of the positive clinical samples yielding a result in under 15 min, and the robust test format, testing directly from the swab eluate. Our preferred specimen type for N1-STOP-LAMP is a dry nasopharyngeal swab. Detailed examination of optimum swabs for the detection of influenza and other RNA viruses has shown dry swabs offer superior performance (33). In the current study we have shown that N1-STOP-LAMP has satisfactory performance using dry swabs eluted in 1.5mL of phosphate-buffered saline (Fig. 3B); a format that potentially doubles the effective concentration of virus in the sample compared to 3mL of UTM in the Copan format. Another strength of N1-STOP-LAMP is that the assay readily scales from small sample numbers (e.g. 8-well with portable detection unit) to high throughput (run with sample robotics and 96-well thermocyclers in a centralized laboratory).

While establishing the assay, we noted susceptibility to contamination that was easily addressed by the standard precautions used to prevent template carryover for any nucleic acid amplification test, such as: physical separation of mastermix preparation from sample inoculation; not opening post-amplification reaction tubes or plates; and inclusion of negative extraction controls. Addition

of uracil-N-glycosylase has been reported as a strategy to limit the risk of amplicon contamination,

however this is associated with a loss of detection sensitivity (34).

In this report we have shown N1-STOP-LAMP is robust diagnostic test for the specific and rapid

detection of SARS-CoV-2. It is an alternative molecular test for SARS-CoV-2 that can be readily and

seamlessly deployed, particularly when access to standard RT-qPCR-based approaches are limited.

Materials and methods:

Specimen collection and handling

Nasopharyngeal swabs were collected by qualified healthcare professionals from patients meeting

the epidemiological and clinical criteria as specified by the Victorian Department of Health and

Human Services (DHHS) at the time of swab collection (1) between the 23rd of March 2020 and 4th

of April 2020. Copan flocked swabs with either 1mL or 3mL of universal transport medium (UTM®)

(Catalogue Nos., 330C and 350C respectively) were used. Samples were collected at metropolitan

hospitals in Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, and transported as per World Health Organization

recommendations (6). All swabs were processed in a class II biological safety cabinet.

Cell culture and SARS-CoV-2 RNA extraction

Vero cells (within 30 passages from the original American Type Culture Collection [ATCC] stock)

were maintained in Minimal Essential Media (MEM) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal

bovine serum (FBS), 10μM HEPES, 2mM glutamine and antibiotics. Cell cultures were maintained

at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 incubator. All virus infection cultures were conducted within the High

Containment Facilities in a PC3 laboratory at the Doherty Institute. To generate stocks of SARS-

CoV-2, confluent Vero cell monolayers were washed once with MEM without FBS (infection

media) then infected with a known amount SARS-CoV-2 virus originally isolated from a patient

(35). After 1h incubation at $37^{o}C$ in a 5% CO2 incubator to enable virus binding, infection media

containing 1 mg/mL TPCK-trypsin was added and flasks returned to the incubator. After 3d

incubation and microscopic confirmation of widespread cytopathic effect (CPE), the supernatants

were harvested, and filter sterilized through a 0.45μm syringe filter. To assess infectious SARS-

CoV-2 viral titres, both $TCID_{50}$ and plaque assays were performed. Briefly, serial dilutions of the

stock virus were added to washed monolayers of Vero cells. After 1h incubation to allow virus to

adhere, for the $TCID_{50}$ assay, infection media containing 1μg/mL TPCK-Trypsin was added, while

for the plaque assay the infected cell monolayer was overlaid with Leibovitz-15 (L15) media

supplemented with 0.9% agarose (DNA grade, Sigma), antibiotics and 2μg/mL TPCK Trypsin. After

3d incubation, the dilution of stock required to cause CPE in at least 50% of wells ($TCID_{50}$) was

determined via back calculation of microscopic confirmation of CPE in wells for a given dilution.

For quantitation via plaque assay, plaques present in the monolayer were macroscopically

visualized, individually counted and back calculated for each given dilution to determine the

number of Plaque Forming Units (PFU) per mL in the original stock. Stocks of SARS-CoV-2 used by

this study had a $TCID_{50}$ of 5.4×10^{5} per mL and plaque assay gave 9.78×10^{5} PFU/mL. To heat

inactivate the virus, 200μL of neat stock was heated to $60^{o}C$ for 30min, then cooled. Inactivation

was confirmed via complete lack of CPE and plaque formation using both $TCID_{50}$ and plaque

assays. To prepare SARS-CoV-2 RNA from stocks, 500μL aliquots were thawed and RNA extracted

using the RNEasy mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's specifications, with an elution

volume of 50μL. Based on RNA concentrations, the total virus harvested from Vero cell culture was

3.1×10^{9} copies/mL, suggesting a high number of non-infectious virus particles in the virus stocks.

RNA extraction from UTM for RT-qPCR

A 200μL aliquot of Copan UTM® was processed through the QIAsymphony DSP Virus/Pathogen

Mini Kit (Qiagen, Cat# 937036) following manufactures instructions on the QIAsymphony SP

instrument. The RNA was eluted in 60μL of recommended buffer.

RNA extraction from UTM SPRI beads for N1-STOP-LAMP

A 50μL aliquot of solid-phase reversible immobilization (SPRI) on carboxylated paramagnetic beads

(Sera-Mag™ Magnetic SpeedBeads™, from GE Healthcare) were prepared for RNA binding as

described (https://openwetware.org/wiki/SPRI_bead_mix). RNA purification was performed in 96-

well plates with initial lysis by the addition of 25μL of 6GTD lysis buffer (7.08g/10mL guanidine

thiocyanate, made up to 8.2mL with 1mL Tris HCL [pH 8.0] and 800 μL of 1M dithiothreitol), mixed

by pipetting ten times and incubation at room temperature for 1 min (31). To this, 75μL of 100%

ethyl alcohol and 20μL of prepared SPRI beads were added, mixed by pipetting ten times and

incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The RNA-bead complex was then immobilized by

placing the 96-well plate on a magnetic rack and incubated again at room temperature for 5 min.

The supernatant was then discarded, and the beads washed twice in 200μL of freshly prepared

80% ethyl alcohol (v/v) with 30 sec room temperature incubation between each wash. The beads

were air-dried for 2 min at room temperature before RNA was eluted by the addition of 20μL of

nuclease-free water.

E-gene RT-qPCR

A one step RT-qPCR was conducted with the primers as described by Corman *et al*., targeting the

viral envelope E-gene of SARS-CoV-2 E_Sarbeco_F: 5'-ACAGGTACGTTAATAGTTAATAGCGT-3', 5'-

E_Sarbeco_R: ATATTGCAGCAGTACGCACACA-3',   E_Sarbeco_P: 5'-FAM-

ACACTAGCCATCCTTACTGCGCTTCG-BHQ1-3') (36). A 20μL reaction was assembled consisting of 1x

qScript XLT One-Step RT-qPCR ToughMix Low ROX (2x) (QuantaBio), 400nM E_Sarbeco_F, 400nM

E_Sarbeco_R 200nM E_Sarbeco_P (Probe) and 5μL of purified RNA. The following program was

conducted on an ABI 7500 Fast instrument: 55°C for 10 min for reverse transcription, 1 cycle of

95°C for 3 min and then 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 58°C for 30 sec.

N1-STOP-LAMP

A 50-reaction bottle of dried Reverse Transcriptase isothermal mastermix (Optigene, ISO-DR004-

RT) was rehydrated with 750μL of resuspension buffer and vortexed gently to mix. Unused

mastermix was stored at -20°C. The mix contains both a proprietary reverse transcriptase and the

GspSSD LF DNA polymerase in the mastermix that has both reverse transcriptase and strand-

displacing DNA polymerase activity. The N1-STOP-LAMP assay uses six standard LAMP

oligonucleotide primers that target the sequence spanning the CDC N1 region of the SARS-CoV-2

nucleocapsid gene. The sequences of the primers are available upon request (GeneWorks Ltd).

Each N1-STOP-LAMP reaction contained, 15μL of mastermix, 5μL of 5x primer stock and 4μL of

water. Mastermix was reconstituted in a separate biological safety cabinet to that used for

template addition. The source of RNA template for the reaction consisted of either 1 μL of purified

SARS-CoV-2 RNA, 1 μL of SARS-CoV-2 purified virus or 1 μL of UTM from a nasopharyngeal swab. A

no-template control (1 μL water) was included in all runs. Reactions were assembled in either 8-

tube Genie strips (OP-00008, OptiGene Ltd) or 96-MicroAmp-Fast-Optical reaction plate (Applied

Biosystems). Reactions were capped or, for 96-MicroAmp-Fast-Optical reaction plates, sealed with

MicroAmp Optical adhesive film (Applied Biosystems) and tapped to remove bubbles. Strip tubes

were loaded onto the Genie-II or Genie-III (OptiGene Ltd) and 96-well plates run on a QuantStudio

7 thermocycler (ThermoFisher). Reactions were incubated at 65°C for 30 min with fluorescence

acquisition every 30 sec (Genie instruments) or 1 min (QuantStudio 7). A positive result was

indicated by an increase in fluorescence at an emission wavelength of 540nm (FAM channel)

above a defined threshold, recorded as time-to-positive (Tp, expressed in min.sec).

Dilution series of purified SARS-CoV-2

A virus stock with of 5.4 x10^5 TCID$_{50}$/mL was serially diluted to 10^{-6} in a generic universal transport

medium (comprising per liter: 8g NaCl, 0.2g KCl, 1.15g Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.2g KH$_2$PO$_4$, 4mL 0.5% phenol

red 0.5%, 5g gelatin, 950mL tissue culture water, 1mL Fungizone [5mg/mL], 20mL

penicillin/streptomycin) in biological triplicates with the 10^{-1} through to the 10^{-6} dilutions tested

by N1-STOP-LAMP (1μL). RNA was extracted from a 200μL aliquot of each dilution as described

above and 5μL of the purified RNA used as template in E-gene qRT-PCR or N1-STOP-LAMP assay.

Interlaboratory comparison of N1-STOP-LAMP

A panel of 20 blinded clinical samples (13 positive and 7 negative) with Ct values previously

established by E-gene RT-qPCR were aliquoted and distributed to three different laboratories for

independent testing by N1-STOP-LAMP assay (Table S2).

Biological specificity

To test for cross reactivity of the N1-STOP-LAMP assay, a control panel of respiratory pathogens

(NATRPC2-BIO, ZeptoMetrix) was screened (Table S3. A 1 μL aliquot of NATrol RP1 or RP2, or

samples spiked with SARS-CoV-2 virus were used as template for N1-STOP-LAMP.

In silico nucleotide sequence comparisons of the N1 region of SARS-CoV-2

To assess the inclusivity and exclusivity of the N1 region targeted by the LAMP assay 2755 publicly

available SARS-CoV-2 genomes were downloaded and filtered to remove entries of less than 29kb

using *Seqtk seq* (v1.3-r106). Sequence gaps were replaced with 'N' using *Seqkit* (v0.12.0). After this

quality control step, homology searches were then conducted using *NCBI BLAST+ blastn* using

Genepuller.pl (https://github.com/tseemann/bioinfo-scripts/blob/master/bin/gene-puller.pl) to

find the region in each of the remaining 2738 genomes matching the 5' region of the N1 sequence.

The resulting sequence coordinates of those hits were then used to extract the 230bp region from

all 2738 genomes using *Genepuller.pl* and aligned with Clustalo (v1.2.4). The alignment was

visualized with *Mesquite* (v3.61).

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was managed using GraphPad Prism (v8.4.1). Sensitivity and specificity testing were

performed using the Wilson/Brown hybrid method as deployed in GraphPad Prism.

Ethics statement

This study was conducted in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council of

Australia National Statement for Ethical Conduct in Human Research 2007 (Updated 2018). The

study was exempt from requiring specific approvals, as it involved the use of existing collections of

data or records that contained non-identifiable data about human beings (37).

Acknowledgements

DAW is supported by an Emerging Leadership Investigator Grant from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia (APP1174555). TPS is supported by NHMRC Research Fellowship (APP1105525). BPH is supported by NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship (APP1105905). The authors declare the following conflict of interest, Nickala Best, Sean McDonald, and Arran Greenhalgh are employees of GeneWorks, a commercial entity that distributes OptiGene reagents in Australia.

References

1. Victorian Department Health and Human Services 2020. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Guidelines for health services and general practitioners- Version 17, 5/04/2020. State Government of Victoria, Australia. https://www.dhhs.vic.gov.au/health-services-and-general-practitioners-coronavirus-disease-covid-19 (accessed 7 April 2020)
2. UK National Health Service 2020. Guidance and standard operating procedure: COVID-19 virus testing in NHS laboratories, 16/03/2020. NHS, London. https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/publication/guidance-and-standard-operating-procedure-covid-19-virus-testing-in-nhs-laboratories/ (accessed 7 April 2020)
3. Center for Disease Control. 2020. A 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) real-time RT-PCR panel primers and probes., 24/01/2020. US Department of Health & Human Services, CDC, Atlanta. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.pdf (accessed 7 April 2020)
4. Centre for Disease Control. 2020. Real-time RT-PCR panel for detection 2019-Novel Coronavirus, 24/01/2020. US Department of Health & Human Services, CDC, Atlanta. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/rt-pcr-panel-for-detection-instructions.pdf (accessed 7 April 2020).
5. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Policy for diagnostic tests for Coronavirus disease-2019 during the public health emergency – Immediately in effect guidance for clinical laboratories, commercial manufacturers, and food and Drug administration staff, 16/03/2020. US Department of Health & Human Services, Food and Drug Administration. https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/policy-diagnostic-tests-coronavirus-disease-2019-during-public-health-emergency (accessed 7 April 2020).
6. World Health Organization. 2020. Laboratory testing for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in suspected human cases, 02/03/2020. World Health Organisation, Geneva. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331329/WHO-COVID-19-laboratory-2020.4-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (accessed 7 April 2020).
7. World Health Organization. 2020. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) technical guidance: Laboratory testing for 2019-nCoV in humans. 3. Molecular assays to diagnose COVID-19, 03/2020. World Health Organisation, Geneva. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance/laboratory-guidance (accessed 7 April 2020)
8. Kelly P. 2020. Deputy Chief Medical Officer's press conference about COVID-19 on 16 March. Australian Government.

9.   Arnold C. 2020. COVID-19: Biomedical research in a world under social-distancing measures. Nat
Med doi:10.1038/d41591-020-00005-1.

10.   Anonymous. 2020. Open for outbreaks. Nat Biotechnol 38:377.

11.   Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H, Yonekawa T, Watanabe K, Amino N, Hase T. 2000. Loop-
mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 28:E63.

12.   Hong TC, Mai QL, Cuong DV, Parida M, Minekawa H, Notomi T, Hasebe F, Morita K. 2004.
Development and evaluation of a novel loop-mediated isothermal amplification method for rapid
detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Clin Microbiol 42:1956-61.

13.   Iwamoto T, Sonobe T, Hayashi K. 2003. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification for direct detection
of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex, *M. avium*, and *M. intracellulare* in sputum samples. J Clin
Microbiol 41:2616-22.

14.   Mori Y, Kanda H, Notomi T. 2013. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP): recent progress
in research and development. J Infect Chemother 19:404-11.

15.   Poon LL, Wong BW, Ma EH, Chan KH, Chow LM, Abeyewickreme W, Tangpukdee N, Yuen KY, Guan
Y, Looareesuwan S, Peiris JS. 2006. Sensitive and inexpensive molecular test for falciparum malaria:
detecting *Plasmodium falciparu*m DNA directly from heat-treated blood by loop-mediated
isothermal amplification. Clin Chem 52:303-6.

16.   Tsujimoto M, Nakabayashi K, Yoshidome K, Kaneko T, Iwase T, Akiyama F, Kato Y, Tsuda H, Ueda S,
Sato K, Tamaki Y, Noguchi S, Kataoka TR, Nakajima H, Komoike Y, Inaji H, Tsugawa K, Suzuki K,
Nakamura S, Daitoh M, Otomo Y, Matsuura N. 2007. One-step nucleic acid amplification for
intraoperative detection of lymph node metastasis in breast cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res
13:4807-16.

17.   Zhang Y, Odiwuor N, Xiong J, Sun L, Nyaruaba RO, Wei H, Tanner NA. 2020. Rapid Molecular
Detection of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Virus RNA Using Colorimetric LAMP medRxiv
doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.26.20028373.

18.   Yu L, Wu S, Hao X, Li X, Liu X, Ye S, Han H, Dong X, Li X, Li J, Liu J, Liu N, Zhang W, Pelechano V, Chen
W, Yin X. 2020. Rapid colorimetric detection of COVID-19 coronavirus using a reverse tran-
scriptional loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) diagnostic plat-form: iLACO. MedRxiv
doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.20.20025874.

19.   Yan C, Cui J, Huang L, Du B, Chen L, Xue G, Li S, Zhang W, Zhao L, Sun Y, Yao H, Li N, Zhao H, Feng Y,
Liu S, Zhang Q, Liu D, Yuan J. 2020. Rapid and visual detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-
2) by a reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay. Clin Microbiol Infect
doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.001.

20.   Park G-S, Ku K, Baek S-H, Kim S-J, Kim S-I, Kim B-T, Maeng J-S. 2020. Development of Reverse
Transcription Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification (RT-LAMP) Assays Targeting SARS-CoV-2.
The Journal of Molecular Diagnostics doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoldx.2020.03.006.

21.   Pang J, Wang MX, Ang IYH, Tan SHX, Lewis RF, Chen JI, Gutierrez RA, Gwee SXW, Chua PEY, Yang Q,
Ng XY, Yap RK, Tan HY, Teo YY, Tan CC, Cook AR, Yap JC, Hsu LY. 2020. Potential Rapid Diagnostics,
Vaccine and Therapeutics for 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV): A Systematic Review. J Clin
Med 9.

22.   Marc F Osterdahl, Lee KA, Lochlainn ML, Wilson S, Douthwaite S, Horsfall R, Sheedy A, Goldenberg
SD, Stanley CJ, Spector TD, Steves C. 2020. Detecting SARS-CoV-2 at point of care: Preliminary data
comparing Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) to PCR. MedRxiv
doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.01.20047357.

23.   Lu R, Wu X, Wan Z, Li Y, Zuo L, Qin J, Jin X, Zhang C. 2020. Development of a Novel Reverse
Transcription Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Method for Rapid Detection of SARS-CoV-2.
Virol Sin doi:10.1007/s12250-020-00218-1.

24.   Broughton JP, Deng X, Yu G, Fasching CL, Servellita V, Singh J, Miao X, Streithorst JA, Granados A,
Sotomayor-Gonzalez A, Zorn K, Gopez A, Hsu E, Gu W, Miller S, Pan CY, Guevara H, Wadford DA,
Chen JS, Chiu CY. 2020. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. Nat Biotechnol
doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0513-4.

25.   Baek YH, Um J, Antigua KJC, Park JH, Kim Y, Oh S, Kim YI, Choi WS, Kim SG, Jeong JH, Chin BS,
Nicolas HDG, Ahn JY, Shin KS, Choi YK, Park JS, Song MS. 2020. Development of a reverse

transcription-loop-mediated isothermal amplification as a rapid early-detection method for novel
SARS-CoV-2. Emerg Microbes Infect doi:10.1080/22221751.2020.1756698:1-31.

26. Nguyen T, Duong Bang D, Wolff A. 2020. 2019 Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): Paving the
Road for Rapid Detection and Point-of-Care Diagnostics. Micromachines (Basel) 11.

27. FIND. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic pipeline, 2020 ed. FIND, Geneva.

28. Jayawardena S, Cheung CY, Barr I, Chan KH, Chen H, Guan Y, Peiris JS, Poon LL. 2007. Loop-
mediated isothermal amplification for influenza A (H5N1) virus. Emerg Infect Dis 13:899-901.

29. Lopez-Jimena B, Wehner S, Harold G, Bakheit M, Frischmann S, Bekaert M, Faye O, Sall AA,
Weidmann M. 2018. Development of a single-tube one-step RT-LAMP assay to detect the
Chikungunya virus genome. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 12:e0006448.

30. Silva S, Paiva MHS, Guedes DRD, Krokovsky L, Melo FL, Silva M, Silva AD, Ayres CFJ, Pena LJ. 2019.
Development and Validation of Reverse Transcription Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (RT-
LAMP) for Rapid Detection of ZIKV in Mosquito Samples from Brazil. Sci Rep 9:4494.

31. He H, Li R, Chen Y, Pan P, Tong W, Dong X, Chen Y, Yu D. 2017. Integrated DNA and RNA extraction
using magnetic beads from viral pathogens causing acute respiratory infections. Sci Rep 7:45199.

32. Bhadra S, Riedel TE, Lakhotia S, Tran ND, Ellington AD. 2020. High-surety isothermal amplification
and detection of SARS-CoV-2, including with crude enzymes. BioRxiv doi:
https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.039941.

33. Moore C, Corden S, Sinha J, Jones R. 2008. Dry cotton or flocked respiratory swabs as a simple
collection technique for the molecular detection of respiratory viruses using real-time NASBA. J
Virol Methods 153:84-9.

34. Kim EM, Jeon HS, Kim JJ, Shin YK, Lee YJ, Yeo SG, Park CK. 2016. Uracil-DNA glycosylase-treated
reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification for rapid detection of avian influenza
virus preventing carry-over contamination. J Vet Sci 17:421-5.

35. Caly L, Druce J, Roberts J, Bond K, Tran T, Kostecki R, Yoga Y, Naughton W, Taiaroa G, Seemann T,
Schultz MB, Howden BP, Korman TM, Lewin SR, Williamson DA, Catton MG. 2020. Isolation and
rapid sharing of the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) from the first patient diagnosed with
COVID-19 in Australia. Med J Aust doi:10.5694/mja2.50569.

36. Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DKW, Bleicker T, Brunink S, Schneider
J, Schmidt ML, Mulders D, Haagmans BL, van der Veer B, van den Brink S, Wijsman L, Goderski G,
Romette JL, Ellis J, Zambon M, Peiris M, Goossens H, Reusken C, Koopmans MPG, Drosten C. 2020.
Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill 25.

37. National Health and Medical Research Council. 2018. National Statement on Ethical Conduct in
Human Research 2007 (Updated 2018). The National Health and Medical Research Council, the
Australian Research Council and Universities Australia. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
https://nhmrc.gov.au/about-us/publications/national-statement-ethical-conduct-human-research-
2007-updated-2018.

Figures

     Fig. 1

Fig. 1: *Limit of detection of N1 STOP-LAMP and establishing optimal sample template volume and inactivation conditions. (A) Plot showing performance of the N1 LAMP assay across 5-log$_{10}$ dilution of purified viral RNA. Y-axis is time-to-positive (Tp) and x-axis is estimate of viral genomes/reaction based on starting RNA concentration. The number of replicates per dilution (n) and number of positive replicates per dilution is indicated. (B) Example N1-STOP-LAMP amplification plots for SARS-CoV-2 positive clinical sample No. 52, showing inhibitory impact of 5uL of a neat sample matrix on LAMP and the effect of diluting the UTM in water. (C) N1-STOP-LAMP amplification plots for three SARS-CoV-2 positive clinical samples, showing no loss in detection sensitivity after specimen heat-treatment of* 21 *60°C for 30min to inactivate virus.*

Fig. 2

Fig. 2: *Comparison of E-gene RT-qPCR with N1-STOP-LAMP and limit of detection. Titred virus was serially diluted 10-fold in Universal Transport Medium (UTM) in triplicate and RNA was extracted from each replicate dilution. A 1μL aliquot of each UTM dilution was also tested directly by N1-STOP-LAMP. (A) Shows calibration curve for the E-gene RT-qPCR. A curve was interpolated using linear regression. (B) Comparative performance of N1-STOP-LAMP with 5μL purified RNA versus 1μL or 5μL of UTM directly added to the reaction.*

535 Fig. 3

Fig. 3: Clinical evaluation of N1-STOP-LAMP. (A) Establishing limit of detection according to FDA Emergency Use Authorizations (EUA) guidelines. Twenty, 1μL replicates of SARS-CoV-2 virus in Universal Transport Medium (UTM) at a concentration of 54 TCID₅₀/mL were tested by direct N1-STOP-LAMP. All data points plotted, with average and 95% CI indicated. (B) Assessment of assay against FDA EUA clinical performance criteria. Thirty healthy volunteer dry nasopharyngeal swabs eluted in 1.5mL of PBS were screened by N1-STOP-LAMP. Shown are time-to-positives (Tp). Mean and 95% CI are indicated. (C). Plot showing correspondence between 107 E-gene RT-qPCR positive and N1-STOP-LAMP, where 1uL of clinical specimen in UTM was added directly to the RT-LAMP reaction. Y-axis is LAMP Tp and x-axis is RT-qPCR cycle threshold (Ct). The dotted line indicates Tp 30 mins. Results plotted above this line (encircled) were RT-qPCR positive but N1-STOP-LAMP negative. (D) Results of 4-way interlaboratory comparison of N1-STOP-LAMP. Nine clinical samples previously tested positive by E-gene RT-qPCR were used to create a test panel for comparing assay performance between laboratories.

Tables

Table 1: FDA validation study recommendations for SARS-CoV-2 molecular diagnostics (5)

Method Validation Parameter	Validation description and acceptance criteria
1. Limit of Detection (LoD)	Test a dilution series of three replicates per concentration. LoD defined as lowest concentration at which 19/20 replicates positive.
2. Clinical evaluation	Test at least 30 contrived reactive specimens and 30 non-reactive specimens. At least 20 specimens should have virus/RNA concentration of 1-2x LoD, remainder spanning the assay range. Acceptance defined as 95% agreement 1-2x LoD, and 100% agreement at other concentrations and for negative specimens.
3. Inclusivity	*In silico* analysis indicating percent identity matches of target region against publicly available SARS-CoV-2 sequences. Acceptance defined as 100% match against all entries with the selected primers/probes.
4. Cross-reactivity	*In silico* analysis of the assay primers/probes compared to respiratory microbiota and other viral pathogens. Acceptance defined as <80% homology between all primers/probes and non-target sequences present in public databases.

Table 2: Assessment of heat treatment of UTM specimens on detection sensitivity

Sample No	E-gene RT-qPCR Ct	Treatment	
		No heat (Tp, min:sec)	Heat (Tp, min:sec)
50	21.76	10:15	10:45
51	24.40	17:45	15:45
52	15.05	7:15	7:45

Table 3: Comparison of N1-STOP-LAMP and RT-qPCR performance using titred virus diluted in

SARS-CoV-2 (TCID$_{50}$/mL)	N	N1-LAMP (1uL direct) Tp[a] (Avg)	N1-LAMP (1uL direct) CV[b] (%)	N1-LAMP (5uL direct) Tp (Avg)	N1-LAMP (5uL direct) CV (%)	N1-LAMP (5uL of 60uL) Tp (Avg)	N1-LAMP (5uL of 60uL) CV (%)	RT-qPCR (5uL of 60uL) Ct (Avg)	RT-qPCR (5uL of 60uL) CV (%)
54000	3	6.33	2.28	6.41	2.25	5.33	2.71	18.41	0.33
5400	3	7.42	1.95	7.58	5.04	6.33	6.03	21.40	3.75
540	3	8.92	11.33	9.25	2.70	7.50	3.33	24.77	1.03
54	3	15.75	12.60	17.67	48.49	10.67	2.71	27.94	1.13
5.4	3	nd[c]	-	16.25	8.70[d]	16.88	7.33[d]	31.10	1.17
0.54	3	nd	-	nd	nd	nd	-	36.96	2.79[d]

UTM

Notes: [a]Tp= time-to-positive; [b]CV=Coefficient of Variation; [c]nd=not detected; [d]2/3 replicates positive at this dilution

Table 4: Summary of clinical evaluation comparisons against FDA criteria

	Negative	1-2x LoD[a]	2x LoD
N1-STOP-LAMP +	0	20 (7:30 – 22:00)	10 (8:30 – 21:00)
N1-STOP-LAMP -	30	0	0

Notes: [a]Parentheses indicate Tp range in min.sec

Table 5: Comparison of N1-STOP-LAMP versus E-gene RT-qPCR using clinical specimens

	RT-qPCR +	RT-qPCR -	Total
N1-STOP-LAMP +	93	0	93
N1-STOP-LAMP -	14	50	64
Total	107	50	157

Supplementary data

Table S1: Clinical data positivity summary (107 E-gene RT-qPCR positives vs N1-STOP-LAMP Tp)

Sample	E-gene RT-qPCR Ct	N1-STOP-LAMP Tp (min.sec)	N1-STOP-LAMP retest of RNA
1	23	17:45	
2	21	8:05	
3	23	9:45	
4	26	28:00	
5	nd	nd	
6	21.198	11:00	
7	17.803	10:00	
8	16.286	8:15	
9	19.312	8:45	
10	18.613	8:30	
11	25.08	20:00	
12	22	13:45	
13	28.1	14:00	
14	23.24	11:00	
15	24.34	20:15	
16	28.27	29:15	
17	23.14	17:30	
18	26.13	28:15	
19	16.43	7:45	
20	20.86	11:45	
21	27.15	16:45	
22	20.11	10:15	
23	20.4	11:00	
24	20	8:45	
25	18.86	9:00	
26	21.6	11:45	
27	27.1	27:00	
28	21.9	16:00	
29	17.04	9:45	
30	15.4	9:00	
31	19.3	17:15	
32	20.6	11:15	
33	15.1	9:30	
34	27.6	20:30	
35	28.5	21:45	
36	16.3	9:15	
37	16.5	9:45	
38	23.1	22:30	

39	31.51	nd
40	30.46	nd
41	25.9	23:15
42	21.3	13:30
43	30.6	29:15
44	25.4	15:45
45	22.8	13:15
46	27.2	29:15
47	21.7	8:30
48	16.5	7:15
49	20.4	8:30
50	21.76	10.15
51	24.4	12:00
52	15.05	8
53	28.75	27
54	18.17	7.45
55	17.24	6
56	26.65	9
57	23.9	11.3
58	16.21	6.3
59	17.76	7.15
60	27.78	24.15
61	27.2	11.45
62	35.8	nd
63	22.8	11.45
64	16.98	8
65	19.96	16.45
66	19.51	8.45
67	24.77	12.15
68	29.2	28.5
69	32.3	nd
70	26.6	20.3
71	33.1	28
72	20	7.3
73	18.6	8.3
74	17.5	7.5
75	30.7	25.3
76	20.8959	13
77	18.9623	7.15
78	26.3013	11.45
79	22.5565	8.3
80	29.298	nd
81	20.8656	8.3
82	21.45	14.15
83	20.02	7.45

84	26.58	nd	
85	28.48	nd	
86	28.21	26.15	
87	30.27	nd	
88	27.63	13.15	
89	20.03	9.15	
90	27.444	27.45	
91	18.65	7.15	
92	29.971	20.50	
93	28.036	nd	10
94	33.232	nd	nd
95	19.745	8.45	
96	28.239	nd	17.45
97	34.66	9.00	
98	25.78	nd	25.3
99	21.28	12.15	
100	16.53	7.45	
101	33.44	25.15	
102	25.13	19.00	
103	20.26	9.75	
104	26.82	nd	12.25
105	25.11	16.00	
106	22.39	12.50	
107	21.39	8.25	

Sample	E-gene RT-qPCR Ct	N1-STOP-LAMP Tp				Agreement with RT-qPCR
		Lab A	Lab B	Lab C	Lab D	
1	16.3	6.31	8	5.2	4.8	4/4
2	nd	nd	nd	19.9	nd	3/4
3	16.5	6.54	4.442	6.1	4.9	4/4
4	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4/4
5	20.4	8.51	8.74	8.2	7.4	4/4
6	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4/4
7	21.7	9.3	5.98	7.2	6.9	4/4
8	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4/4
9	22.1	12.3	12.1	11.6	7	4/4
10	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4/4
11	22.8	8.45	5.31	7.4	7.9	4/4
12	25.4	16.45	16.28	9.5	7.1	4/4
13	25.9	24.3	26.35	20.8	25.2	4/4
14	27.2	nd	24.54	nd	nd	1/4
15	27.6	29.15	25.3	23.3	28.1	1/4
16	28.5	29.15	nd	nd	nd	1/4
17	29	nd	nd	nd	nd	0/4
18	30.6	29.15	23.07	nd	24	3/4
19	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4/4
20	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	4/4

Supplementary Table S2: Results of N1-STOP-LAMP EQA among four laboratories

591 **Supplementary Table S3:** N1-STOP-LAMP screen of NATtrol™ Respiratory Panel 2 (RP2) Controls

Virus/Organism	Strain	RP2 Control1	RP2 Control2	N1-STOP-LAMP
Adenovirus Type 1	N/A	Positive	Negative	Negative
Adenovirus Type 3	N/A	Positive	Negative	Negative
Adenovirus Type 31	N/A	Positive	Negative	Negative
C. pneumoniae	CWL-029	Positive	Negative	Negative
Influenza A 2009 H1N1pdm	A/NY/02/2009**	Positive	Negative	Negative
Influenza A 2009 H3N2	A/Brisbane/10/07	Positive	Negative	Negative
Human Metapneumovirus Type 8	Peru6-2003	Positive	Negative	Negative
M. pneumoniae	M129	Positive	Negative	Negative
Parainfluenza Type 1	N/A	Positive	Negative	Negative
Parainfluenza Type 4	N/A	Positive	Negative	Negative
Rhinovirus Type 1A	N/A	Positive	Negative	Negative
B. parapertussis	A747	Negative	Positive	Negative
B. pertussis	A639	Negative	Positive	Negative
Coronavirus 229E	N/A	Negative	Positive	Negative
Coronavirus HKU-1	Recombinant	Negative	Positive	Negative
Coronavirus NL63	N/A	Negative	Positive	Negative
Coronavirus OC43	N/A	Negative	Positive	Negative
Influenza A H1N1	A/New Cal/20/99	Negative	Positive	Negative
Influenza B	B/Florida/02/06	Negative	Positive	Negative
Parainfluenza Type 2	N/A	Negative	Positive	Negative
Parainfluenza Type 3	N/A	Negative	Positive	Negative
RSV Type A	2006 isolate	Negative	Positive	Negative

597   **Supplementary data file 1:** GISAID submitters table