1	<u>Title:</u> Population structure and genomic evidence for local adaptation to freshwater and marine
2	environments in anadromous Arctic Char (Salvelinus alpinus) throughout Nunavik, Canada
3	<u>Authors:</u> Xavier Dallaire <sup>1,2</sup> , Éric Normandeau <sup>1</sup> , Julien Mainguy <sup>3</sup> , Jean-Éric Tremblay <sup>4</sup> , Louis
4	Bernatchez <sup>1</sup> and Jean-Sébastien Moore <sup>1,2</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes (IBIS), Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada
7	G1V 0A6
8	<sup>2</sup> Centre d'Études Nordiques (CEN), Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada G1V0A6
9	<sup>3</sup> Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs, Québec, Québec, Canada G1S 4X4
10	<sup>4</sup> Québec Océan, Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada G1V0A6
11	
12	Coresponding author email: xavier.dallaire.2@ulaval.ca
13	Co-authors emails: eric.normandeau@bio.ulaval.ca, julien.mainguy@mffp.gouv.qc.ca, jean-
14	eric.tremblay@bio.ulaval.ca, louis.bernatchez@bio.ulaval.ca, jean-
15	sebastien.moore@bio.ulaval.ca
16	

# <u>Abstract</u>

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Distinguishing neutral and adaptive genetic variation is one of the main challenges in investigating processes shaping population structure in the wild. Despite marine environments being key habitats for the growth of anadromous fishes, landscape genomics studies on salmonids have generally focused on identifying signatures of adaptation to freshwater habitats. Unlike most other anadromous salmonids, Arctic Char (Salvelinus alpinus) occupy coastal habitats near their overwintering rivers during their marine phase, thus making adaptation to marine habitats possible. The aim of this study was to document the neutral and adaptive variation of populations among anadromous Arctic Char in Nunavik and bordering regions. We used GBS to genotype 20,327 filtered single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for 650 individuals sampled in 23 locations along >2,000 km of coastline. Our results reveal a hierarchical genetic structure, whereby neighboring hydrographic systems harbour distinct populations grouping within major oceanographic basins, namely the Hudson Bay, Hudson Strait, Ungava Bay and Labrador Sea. We found genetic diversity and differentiation to be influenced by both post-glacial recolonization history and by patterns of isolation-by-distance reflecting contemporary gene flow. Furthermore, using three gene-environment association (GEA) methods we found genomic evidence for local adaptation to both freshwater and marine habitats, especially in relation to sea-surface and air temperatures during summer, precipitation, and salinity. This study is among the first to explicitly explore the genetic basis of marine adaptations in salmonids and highlights the complex interactions in selective pressures over the lifespan of anadromous fishes.

Keywords: population genomics, local adaptation, anadromous salmonid, marine ecosystems,

Arctic

# 1. Introduction

Species experience different environmental conditions over their geographic ranges which may lead to local adaptation (Kawecki & Ebert, 2004; Williams, 1966). Local adaptation has been studied extensively via reciprocal transplant and common-garden field experiments, but these approaches do not provide information on the molecular basis of adaptation (Tiffin & Ross-Ibarra, 2014). New genomic methods are now commonly used to advance our understanding of local adaptation (Grummer et al., 2019; Luikart et al., 2018). Such adaptive genomic variation, as well as contemporary population genetic structure, are of great interest for both conservation and management to ensure actions target biologically significant units (Bernatchez et al., 2017; Funk, McKay, Hohenlohe & Allendorf, 2012).

Salmonids are a diverse family of fishes with high economic and cultural importance. Many populations have an anadromous life cycle, whereby individuals are born and reproduce in freshwater and migrate to the sea to feed and grow. Anadromous salmonids exhibit a homing behaviour, i.e. returning to their natal habitat for spawning (Quinn, 1993) and this behaviour reduces gene flow between populations, promoting genetic differentiation and local adaptation at fine spatial scales (Fraser, Weir, Bernatchez, Hansen & Taylor, 2011). Recent studies have identified genomic regions associated with many environmental parameters, including air temperature (Bourret, Dionne, Kent, Lien & Bernatchez, 2013; Hand et al., 2016; Perrier, Ferchaud, Sirois, Thibault & Bernatchez, 2017; Sylvester et al., 2018), precipitation (Hecht, Matala, Hess & Narum, 2015; Micheletti, Matala, Matala & Narum, 2018), geology (Bourret et al., 2013), upstream catchment area (Pritchard et al., 2018) and migration distances (Hecht et al., 2015; Micheletti et al., 2018) in many species of salmon and trout.

On the other hand, little is known about the genomic basis of marine adaptations for these species despite the fact that they spend a significant proportion of their lives at sea. The capacity to identify divergent selective pressures on salmonid populations at sea is limited by their use of geographically extensive and shared offshore feeding grounds (Hecht et al., 2015; Quinn, 2005). However, other studies have shown populations of salmonids consistently occupying different marine distribution ranges that could be genetically determined (Fraser et al., 2011; Kallio-Nyberg et al., 2000). Moreover, sea surface temperature (SST) and salinity have been argued to be associated to adaptive genetic diversity in cold-water marine fishes (Atlantic Cod *Gadus morhua*: Barth et al., 2017; Atlantic Herring *Clupea harengus*: Guo, Li & Merilä, 2016; Three-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*: Guo, DeFaveri, Sotelo, Nair & Merilä, 2015), reinforcing the possible importance of marine habitats to local adaptation.

The Arctic Char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) is a salmonid fish with a circumpolar distribution and is known for its great diversity in life-history characteristics (Klemetsen, 2010). Anadromous individuals spend 3 to 9 years in cold oligotrophic freshwater at birth (Johnson, 1980), then complete annual migrations between marine habitats for summer foraging and lakes for overwintering. Although straying (i.e., an upstream migration in a non-natal river system) can occur, several studies have shown that Arctic Char maintained philopatric behaviour during reproductive years, limiting effective dispersal (Gyselman, 1994; Moore, Harris, Tallman & Taylor, 2013; Moore et al. 2017). Moore et al. (2013) also argued that gene flow could be sufficiently low to allow for local adaptation among populations of eastern Baffin Island in the Canadian Arctic, while Moore et al. (2017) provided some genomic evidence for local adaptation to natal rivers at a fine spatial scale.

In marine environments, Arctic Char tend to stay near the surface (< 3m), with occasional dives up to 50m (Harris et al. 2020; Spares, Stokesbury, O'Dor & Dick, 2012) and preferably use nearshore habitats within 100 km from their natal river's mouth (Dempson & Kristofferson, 1987; Layton et al., 2020; Moore et al. 2016). Thus, one could expect this behaviour to lead to Arctic Char populations experiencing more diverse marine conditions than other anadromous species. Madsen et al. (2019), for example, found that variation at a phenology-related locus among anadromous Greenlandic populations of Arctic Char was associated with the duration of the period spent foraging at sea, suggesting local adaptation to temperature regimes.

During the last glacial maximum (LGM), around 21,000 years ago, most of modern-day Canada was covered by glaciers (Dyke, 2004), contracting species' ranges to ice-free glacial refugia. For most extant species in North America, contemporary intraspecific diversity was heavily impacted by post-glacial recolonization (Hewitt, 2000). Potentially strong demographic bottlenecks during glaciations has greatly reduced intraspecific genetic diversity and lead to divergent glacial lineages that survived in different refugia (Hewitt, 2000). For example, it is documented that glaciations have had an important impact on fish lineages and their genetic diversity (April et al. 2013; Bernatchez & Wilson, 1998). In addition, secondary contact between intraspecific glacial lineages have been shown to have commonly occurred among temperate freshwater fishes (e.g. Atlantic Salmon Salmo salar: Bradbury et al., 2015; Lake Cisco Coregonus artedi: Turgeon & Bernatchez, 2001; Lake Whitefish Coregonus clupeaformis: Bernatchez & Dodson 1990)

The contemporary distribution of Arctic Char closely matches the Weichsel-Winsconsin glaciation extent (Klemetsen et al., 2003). In North America, Arctic Char comprises four known

mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) lineages associated with distinct glacial refugia (Brunner, Douglas, Osinov, Wilson & Bernatchez, 2001; Moore, Bajno, Reist & Taylor, 2015). Nunavik, situated in northern Québec (Canada), is one of the last regions in North America to have deglaciated following LGM (Dyke, 2004). It is bordered by the Hudson Bay, Hudson Strait, and Ungava Bay. These three marine regions are contrasted in their surface temperature, salinity, productivity and tidal regimes (Prisenberg, 1984; Savard et al., 2014). The Arctic and Atlantic mitochondrial lineages are predominant in Nunavik and bordering regions (Brunner et al., 2001, Moore et al., 2015). The exact extent of secondary contact between those two lineages is still poorly understood, but Salisbury, McCrakcen, Keefe, Perry and Ruzzante (2019) recently reported fully admixed populations across northern Labrador.

The current study applies population genomic methods in order to investigate the relative role of neutral (demographical and historical) vs. adaptative processes in shaping contemporary population structure of anadromous Arctic Char over 3,000 km of coastline in Nunavik, Québec, Canada. Specifically, we first document its neutral genetic structure in relation to post-glacial history by i) delimiting putative populations via a clustering analysis, ii) comparing levels of genetic diversity between geographic regions, and iii) investigating patterns of isolation-by-distance and the importance of potential barriers to migration. Second, since we expected broadscale variation in both freshwater and marine environments to be the source of divergent selective pressures, we attempt to detect evidence of local adaptation in Arctic Char around Nunavik and bordering regions. We extracted various environmental variables representing the different habitats occupied by Arctic Char to determine i) if marine and freshwater conditions

both explained significant proportions of the observed genetic variation, and ii) whether candidate SNPs associated with environmental factors in both habitats could be identified.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Sampling

Arctic Chars were sampled in 23 water bodies across Nunavik, Southern Baffin Island, and Labrador (Figure 1). In Nunavik and Baffin Island, adult fish were harvested during their upstream migration using gillnets or counting weirs. In most localities throughout Nunavik, sampling locations were selected in concert with local and regional Inuit wildlife managers, and sampling was done with the assistance of local Inuit guides, in order to prioritize fish populations with an importance for traditional fishing. In two locations (Deception Bay and Hopes Advance Bay), samples were taken in the estuary as well as in two tributary rivers. Juvenile fish were captured by electrofishing in two rivers near Nain, Labrador. Adipose fin clips were collected from each fish and preserved in ethanol 95%.

### 2.2 Library preparation, sequencing and SNP calling

DNA was extracted from fin clips using a modified version of Aljanabi & Martinez (1997). Agarose gel electrophoresis was used to assess DNA quality and the quantity and quality of DNA was evaluated by NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Ten (10)  $\mu$ l of DNA samples were normalized to a concentration of 10 ng/ $\mu$ l using Quant-iT Picogreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen) for precision quantification. Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) libraries were prepared with a modified version of the Abed et al. (2019) two-enzyme GBS protocol, using *Pst*I and *Msp*I restriction enzymes. Samples were randomly assigned to libraries to limit batch effects.

Sequencing was done on Ion Torrent p1v3 chips with a median target of 80 million single-end reads per chip. Each library was sequenced on 3 separate chips, and the volume of DNA from each sample was adjusted after the first chip to reduce the unbalanced representation of individuals in sequences.

We processed the data and filtered the SNP dataset using a RADseq workflow (https://github.com/enormandeau/stacks\_workflow) built around STACKS2 (Rochette, Rivera-Colón & Catchen, 2019). In short, the sequences were trimmed at 80 base pairs and aligned on the Arctic Char reference genome (ASM291031v2; NCBI RefSeq: GCF\_002910315.2; Christensen et al., 2018) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA; Li & Durbin, 2009). SNPs were called on polymorphic genotypes with at least 4X coverage, present in at least 60% of samples of all sampling sites and with the minor allele present in a minimum of 3 samples. Samples with more than 20% of missing data or with heterozygosity (F<sub>IS</sub>) under -0.2 were removed.

Salmonid fishes have a common ancestor that experienced a whole-genome duplication approximately 60 MYA (Crête-Lafrenière, Weir & Bernatchez, 2012), and many genetic markers identified in our analyses are expected to be situated on paralogous loci of similar sequences. While these loci may be important for adaptation (Kondrashov, 2012), they were removed due to the fact that these markers do not behave like bi-allelic SNPs and because genotyping is difficult without very high coverage (>100 reads; Dufresne, Stift, Vergilino & Mable, 2014). SNPs on duplicated loci were categorized and filtered using an adapted *HDplot* procedure (McKinney, Waples, Seeb & Seeb, 2017), which identifies paralogs by visually comparing the allelic ratio, the proportion of heterozygotes and homozygotes of the rare allele, and the F<sub>IS</sub> value for each SNP. We also filtered the markers to avoid linked SNPs while keeping a maximum of information: for

each pair of SNPs on the same locus, we assessed linkage considering samples without missing data where at least one of the two genotypes contains the rare variant. If the two markers have identical genotypes in more than 50% of these samples, the pair were considered linked and only the first SNP was kept.

### 2.3 Identification of putative neutral markers

Markers potentially under selection were identified using two methods: pcadapt (Luu, Bazin & Blum, 2017) and Baypass (Gautier, 2015). SNPs identified as outliers by at least one method were removed to produce a putatively neutral dataset. The R package pcadapt identifies outlier SNPs in relation to population structure using principal components analyses (PCA). The first 11 PCs were used, based on visual evaluation of PCA scores and scree plots, and SNPs with minor allele frequencies under 0.05 were ignored, as recommended by pcadapt authors. The core model implemented in Baypass v 2.1 computes a differentiation measure called XtX, which is an analog to an SNP-specific FST corrected for the allele frequency covariance between populations (Günther & Coop, 2013). The threshold to distinguish neutral from potentially selected markers was set as the 5th (balancing selection) and 95th (divergent selection) percentile of the XtX distribution obtained by running the core model on a pseudo-observed SNP dataset produced following the Baypass manual. Briefly, this 100,000-SNPs dataset was simulated with the function simulate.baypass, which allows for the inclusion of the allele frequency covariance matrix of our original dataset in order to emulate its demographic history.

## 2.4 Basic statistics and population structure

Population genetic statistics were computed from the putatively neutral dataset. Observed and expected heterozygosity were calculated by population using GenoDive v3.0 (Meirmans & Van Tienderen, 2004). We used vcftools v0.1.13 (Danecek et al., 2011) to measure the proportion of heterozygous SNPs for each individual, and we calculated the number of polymorphic SNPs in each population. Effective population sizes (N<sub>e</sub>) and 95% confidence intervals were estimated with Neestimator v2.01 (Do et al., 2014) using the linkage disequilibrium method on markers with minor allele frequencies over 0.05.

Spatial patterns of genetic diversity were compared between putative regions, using the individual proportion of heterozygous SNPs. A nested ANOVA was performed with the regions as groups and the non-estuarine sampling sites as subgroups. To account for our unbalanced sampling design, we did a comparison of estimated marginal means (or least-square means) with the Satterthwaite approximation of degrees of freedom (Satterthwaite, 1946) on a linear mixed-effect model with a random effect of the sampling site, in the R packages *Ime4* (Bates, Mächler, Bolker & Walker, 2015) and *emmeans* (Lenth, 2019).

We used a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) in the R package *dartR* (Gruber, Unmack, Berry & Georges, 2018) to document population structure using the neutral data set. We also estimated ancestry with the maximum likelihood approach implemented in ADMIXTURE (Alexander, Novembre & Lange, 2009) with the number of genetic clusters (K) ranging from 1 to 20. To mitigate the effects of imbalance in sample size among geographical regions, only non-estuarine sampling sites were included in the global ADMIXTURE analysis. We considered the value of K yielding the lowest cross-validation error to be the number of genetic groups best

supported by ADMIXTURE. Based on this K value, we identified contiguous sampling sites where most individuals shared a common cluster membership and repeated the ADMIXTURE analysis within those sites with K ranging from 1 to 6. Estuarine sampling sites were included in this second round of analyses.

### 2.5 Landscape genetics

Pairwise population F<sub>ST</sub> were calculated with the R package *StAMPP* (Pembleton et al., 2013), and a 1000-permutations bootstrap estimated their significance value. F<sub>ST</sub> calculations were performed on both the complete and neutral datasets. The geographic marine distance separating populations was measured between the coordinates at the mouth of sampled rivers by a least-cost path in the R package *marmap* (Simon-Bouhet, 2013), using NOAA bathymetric data at a 4-minutes resolution to discriminate land and sea.

We tested for the presence of isolation-by-distance (IBD) with a linear mixed-effect model. Linearized F<sub>ST</sub> (F<sub>ST</sub>/(1-F<sub>ST</sub>)), based on the neutral dataset, was used as the dependent variable and marine distance as a fixed effect. Patterns of IBD between pairs of populations on the same coast versus pairs on either side of Hudson Strait were compared by the inclusion of a categorical fixed effect. To characterize the impact of Hudson Strait as a barrier to gene flow both locally and globally, analyses were performed on all non-estuarine sites, then only on non-estuarine sites within 250 km of the Hudson Strait. To account for the non-independence of pairwise distances, the model was run with a maximum likelihood population effect parameterization (MLPE) (Clarke, Rothery & Raybould, 2002) with and without restricted maximum likelihood (REML), using the MLPE.Imm function in the R package *ResistanceGA* (Peterman, 2018). Models without REML were compared with conditional Akaike Information

Criterion (cAIC; Vaida & Blanchard, 2005) in the R package *cAIC4* (Säefken, Ruegamer, Baumann & Kruse, 2019), and with marginal R<sup>2</sup> (Nakagawa & Schielzeth, 2013) in the R package *MuMIn* (Barton, 2019) for models with REML.

#### 2.6 Gene-environment association

We used the ArcGIS software v10.4 (ESRI, 2011) to extract environmental data from BIO-Oracle v2.0 (Assis et al., 2018), Marspec (Sbrocco & Barber, 2013), and WorldClim v2.0 (Fick & Hijmans, 2017) (Table 1). Tide data were obtained from FES2014, produced by Noveltis, Legos and CLS and distributed by Aviso+, with support from Cnes (https://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/). Marine variables represent sea-surface values for factors of potential biological importance for Arctic Char and were aggregated in a 20 km radius around each river mouth and within 5 km from the coast, as to best represent the local coastal environment based on existing knowledge of Arctic Char marine habitat use from other geographical regions (Spares, Stokesbury, Dadswell, O'Dor & Dick, 2015; Moore et al., 2016). Freshwater variables comprise the area of the watershed upstream of the sampling site, as well as air temperature and precipitation statistics on these areas. Air temperature is commonly used as a proxy for freshwater temperature in remote areas where, as supported by studies linking the growth rate of Lake Trout to air temperature (Black, von Biela, Zimmerman & Brown, 2013; Torvinen, 2017).

To deal with co-linearity, a PCA was performed on each set of environmental factors, and the PCs explaining more than 10% of the total variance were used as explanatory variables in gene-environment association (GEA) analyses. Candidate SNPs associated with environmental variables were identified with a combination of three methods: RDA, LFMM, and Baypass. Genes within 10,000 base pairs were recorded using bedtools (Quinlan, 2014) for candidate SNPs

identified by at least 2 methods. We consulted the UniProt database (The Uniprot Consortium, 2019) for information on biological gene function in Atlantic Salmon, Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), or other organisms.

### 2.6.1 RDA

We used a redundancy analysis (RDA, Legendre & Gallagher, 2001), a form of constrained ordination, implemented in R package *vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2012) to investigate multivariate correlations of genotypes (in the form of allele frequencies by population) with environmental variables. We took into account structure in the data which derives from spatial patterns with a distance-based Moran's eigenvector map (dbMEM; Borcard & Legendre, 2002; Peres-Neot & Legendre, 2010). In order to build this map, we transformed pairwise marine distances measured in the section above in Euclidian distances by creating a Delaunay graph with the function chooseCN in the R package *adegenet* (Jombart, 2008). We then used the R package *adespatial* (Dray et al., 2016) to make the dbMEM. Eigenvector reflecting positive spatial autocorrelation and not correlated with environmental dimensions were used as covariables in a partial RDA. Significant spatial eigenvectors uncorrelated with environmental factors were included for these analyses, as suggested by Forester, Lasky, Wagner and Urban (2018).

Spatial and environmental factors were separately submitted to a backward model selection with the function ordistep in the R package *vegan*, and only significant (p < 0.05) covariables were included in the final RDA. Proportions of genetic variance explained solely or jointly by each set of covariables were estimated by comparing adjusted R<sup>2</sup> in a series of partial RDAs (Peres-Neto & Legendre, 2010), of which we assessed significance using an ANOVA-like

permutation test with 1000 steps. Z-scores were obtained for the distribution of individual SNP loadings on RDA axes explaining a significant portion of genetic variation (p < 0.05), and SNPs were defined as outliers if their absolute maximal Z-score was over 2.5 (p < 0.012).

### 2.6.2 LFMM

Latent factor mixed models (LFMM; Frichot, Schoville, Bouchard & François, 2013) determine loci-environment associations using a Bayesian mixed-model with environmental variables as fixed effects. Latent factors are derived from a principal components analysis and used as random effects to control for population structure. Missing data in the genetic dataset were imputed based on the most frequent genotype in the sampling site and we used the lfmm\_ridge function of the R package *lfmm* (Caye, Jumentier, Lepeule & François, 2019). The optimal number of latent factors (K-value) and regularization parameters (lambda) were chosen to minimize predictor error by using a cross-validation method as advised in the *lfmm* manual. P-values were calibrated using the genomic control method and false discovery rate (q-value) was calculated following the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure in the *qvalue* R package. Associations between a SNP and environmental factor with q-value < 0.05 were considered significant.

### 2.6.3 Baypass

The standard covariate (STD) model implemented in Baypass assesses associations between allele counts and population-specific covariates using Bayesian Factors (BFs) (Gauthier 2015). We estimated BFs by calculating the median of 5 runs of the STD model with the importance sampling algorithm (Coop et al., 2010). We controlled for the neutral population structure by providing the omega matrix computed by the core model implemented in Baypass.

Pairs of markers and covariates with a BF > 10 decibans (dB) had strong evidence for their association (Jeffreys, 1961, revised by Lee & Wagenmakers, 2013).

## 3. Results

We sequenced a total of 864 samples, of which 95 did not pass our filtering criteria. We discarded 3 sampling sites (119 individuals) that either had too few samples, had no access to sea, or targeted a population reintroduced from a hatchery brood. Retained individuals (n = 650) had 2.217 million reads on average. A total of 31,535 SNPs was called and passed basic filtering in STACK2. Among these, 7,244 SNPs were categorized as duplicates and removed (Figure S1) and 3,130 SNPs were pruned during linkage disequilibrium assessment. The final dataset used in subsequent analyses comprised 20,327 SNPs (see Table S1 for exact criteria and number of filtered SNPs), with a global 7.02% missing genotypes. The core model in Baypass identified 857 SNPs under balancing selection (XtX < 17.95), 643 SNPs under divergent selection (XtX > 28.02), and pcadapt marked 198 SNPs as outliers (q-value < 0.05), for a total of 1,698 markers identified as putatively adaptive by at least one method. The remaining 18,703 SNP markers were considered as putatively neutral.

## 3.1 Population structure

Population statistics are presented in Table 2.  $N_e$  ranged from 61.9 to 734.5 and was correlated with catchment area (30 – 43,496 km², log-transformed, r = 0.43, p = 0.0499), but the Payne River (PAY) had among the lowest  $N_e$  value (96.0) despite having the largest catchment area. The first axis of the PCoA reflected the longitude of the sampling sites, while the second axis differentiated populations in Western Ungava Bay from all other sampling sites (Figure 2).

Genetic diversity ( $H_e$ ) and mean proportion of heterozygous markers in individuals were highly correlated (r = 0.90) and varied regionally (Figure 3). Notably, southern Hudson Bay displayed the lowest observed proportion of heterozygous markers, and both southern and northern Hudson Bay had lower values than Hudson Strait (a = 0.05). In Ungava Bay, eastern sampling sites had a diversity similar to Labrador, and higher diversity than western sampling sites. Mean individual proportion of heterozygous markers was also correlated with number of polymorphic SNPs (r = 0.78) at sampling site, but not to  $N_e$  (r = 0.16, p = 0.46).

The number of genetic clusters best supported by an ADMIXTURE analysis with all non-estuarine sampling sites was 13 (Figure S2 for comparison of cross-validation errors). At this level, individuals within a sampling site were generally homogenous in their membership to clusters (Figure 4). Sampling sites sharing a similar membership to clusters included sites in Labrador, two groups of 3 sampling sites in Northern and Southern Hudson Bay, and all pairs of rivers with a common estuary except the Leaf (FEU) and Bérard (BER) rivers. ADMIXTURE best supported K = 1 within those groups, but cross-validation errors for K = 2 were in some cases only slightly higher (Table S2), which hints at weak population structure at a local scale. Individual cluster membership for K = 2 and K = 3 was then only loosely linked to the sampling site, except in Labrador sites, where samples were collected as juveniles.

## 3.2 Landscape genetics

 $F_{ST}$  calculated by pairs of sampling sites ranged between -0.002 and 0.329 (Table S3). All p-values for comparisons with neutral loci were < 0.001, except for a pair of sampling sites on rivers sharing an estuary ( $p_{CHR-VOL} = 0.568$ ) and some pairs of sampling sites on a river and its

estuary ( $p_{BDE-FRM} = 0.015$ ;  $p_{BDE-DUQ} = 0.003$ ). These results suggest that all unlinked systems likely harbour genetically distinct populations, although the extent of differentiation is highly variable.

When examining IBD over all populations, a positive correlation was found between linearized  $F_{ST}$  and marine distances (Table 3), but the inclusion of the effect of crossing the Hudson Bay (CROSSHS) did not improve the model, based on marginal  $R^2$ . However, similar analyses focusing on sampling sites within 250 km of the Hudson Strait showed higher linearized  $F_{ST}$  in pairs of populations on either side of the Hudson Strait than in pairs on the same coast (Figure 5).

### 3.3 Gene-Environment association

Freshwater and marine environmental factors were each summarized with 3 PC axes (Table 2), and correlation coefficients between components of either environment ranged between 0.05 and 0.62 (Figure S4). The distance-based Moran's eigenvector map produced 12 eigenvectors reflecting negative autocorrelation and 4 eigenvectors for positive autocorrelation (MEM1 – 4, see Figure S5). Model selection for the RDA excluded MEM3 (p = 0.12), MEM4 (p = 0.32), as well as the third freshwater component (F3, p = 0.07). All three sets of covariables (spatial, marine and freshwater) explained a significant component (p < 0.05) of the genetic variation, with 17.0% explained exclusively by one set, 14.9% jointly by two sets, and 6.7% by all three sets (Figure 6A).

MEM1 was highly correlated with the first marine components (M1, r = -0.94) and MEM2 was linked to the first freshwater component (F1, r = 0.81). Thus, no spatial covariable was included in the RDA for the identification of candidate SNPs associated with the environment.

The three first axes of the RDA comprised a total of 41.5% of the explained variance (adj  $R^2$  = 0.36, Figure 6B-E). No outliers (Z > 2.5) were identified on RDA1, but genetic markers detected on RDA2 were most correlated with either M1 (n = 53) or F1 (n = 4), while markers on RDA3 were most correlated with F2 (n = 79) or M2 (n = 17).

LFMM identified a total of 228 outlier SNPs (q < 0.05), 122 of which were associated with at least one marine component and 119 with a freshwater component. A total of 22 SNPs was significantly associated with more than one environmental component. The IS model under Baypass identified 185 SNPs (BF > 10 dB). Of these, 113 being associated with a marine variable, 77 with a freshwater variable, and only 5 linked to both M2 and F2 (Figure S6).

Of the markers considered, 52 were identified as associated with an environmental factor by at least two GEA methods (Figure 7). Of those markers, 23 were related to M1 (summer SST, tides, turbidity), 18 were related to F2 (summer air temperature), and 3 were associated with different environmental components depending on the method. We identified a total of 51 named genes within 10,000 base pairs of candidate SNPs, covering a wide range of biological functions. Among those were genes related to immune response (3.5% of genes), development of the nervous system (7.1%), heart (5.3%) and skeletal muscle (3.5%), as well as processes affecting gene expression (Table S5).

# 4. Discussion

Anadromous salmonids have recently been the subject of many studies in landscape genomics, as these provide key information for their conservation and management. We document patterns of neutral and adaptive genetic variation in anadromous Arctic Char

populations in the Nunavik region using genomic data. This is the broadest assessment of genomic variation in this important species to date. By combining fine- and broad-scale sampling, we clearly reveal a hierarchical genetic structure. Neighboring hydrographic systems generally harbor distinct populations that can be regrouped within oceanographic basins, while differentiation was also influenced by isolation-by-distance. To assess the potential for local adaptation to the contrasted environments in our study area, we combined three geneenvironment association (GEA) methods. We found genomic evidence for local adaptation to both freshwater and marine habitats, a novel finding given that studies of local adaptation in salmonids usually focus solely on freshwater habitat characteristics

## 4.1 Neutral structure in a post-glacial context

The entire area surveyed in this study was covered by ice from the last glacial maximum up to approximately 9,000-11,000 years ago (Dyke, 2004). Arctic Char are thought to have rapidly recolonized habitats following the retreat of the glaciers (Power, Pope & Goad, 1973; Hammar, 1987) and have relatively long generation time (Gulseth & Nilssen, 2001). We thus expected the contemporary neutral genetic structure of Arctic Char populations in Nunavik to be heavily influenced by their recent post-glacial recolonization history.

Here, fish from the western Arctic glacial refugium would have crossed the Canadian Arctic Archipelago from west to east through the Lancaster Sound, which broke free of ice around 9,000 years ago (Dyke, 2004), then by following the eastern coast of Baffin Island (Brunner et al., 2001; Moore et al., 2015). Access to Nunavik via Foxe Basin would have been impossible until less than 6,500 years ago. Fish from the Atlantic lineage, which we expect to have crossed the Atlantic Ocean from the Palearctic during the last deglaciation (Brunner et al., 2001; Wilson,

Hebert, Reist & Dempson, 1996), could have been present in southern Labrador and Newfoundland over 10,000 YBP (Dyke, 2004). Salisbury et al. (2019), through analysis of mitochondrial DNA, showed an overlap of the Arctic and Atlantic lineages in Northern Labrador (55 – 59° latitude), and an absence of correlation between latitude and lineage prevalence suggests a complete introgression of fish from the Arctic and Atlantic lineage origins in the region. While our results do not inform on the extent of the secondary contact between lineages, admixture in the eastern part of our study area could explain the higher genetic diversity, as expected during secondary contact of marine fishes (Bay & Caley, 2011; Grant & Bowen, 1998)

By 8,000 YBP, most of Hudson Strait and most of the coast of Labrador were free of ice while most of the Hudson Bay and the Ungava Bay remained glaciated. Finally, by 6,500 – 7,200 YBP, the coasts of Hudson Bay and Ungava Bay deglaciated, meaning those regions would be the last to have been colonized by Arctic Char. The loss of genetic diversity we observed along the coast from Hudson Strait to southern Hudson Bay, as well as low F<sub>ST</sub> values between populations within the Hudson Bay region, are consistent with expectations of a recent range expansion, perhaps associated with successive founder effects and loss of heterozygosity (Eckert, Samis & Lougheed, 2008; Goodsman, Cooke, Coltman & Lewis, 2014). Similar patterns were found in European lamprey species (*Lampetra* spp.), with diversity and differentiation decreasing in populations far from the Iberic glacial refugia (Mateus et al., 2018), and in Scottish populations of Atlantic Salmon, where genetic diversity was lower in more recently deglaciated regions (Cauwelier et al., 2018).

## 4.2 Contemporary gene flow

Our results revealed a hierarchical genetic structure with most geographic regions containing distinct populations of Arctic Char in every sampled river not sharing an estuary. However, population structure in Hudson Bay appeared weaker, as there were signs of admixture between rivers within 100 km. As discussed earlier, other studies on Arctic Char have attributed low genetic differentiation over long distances to recent post-glaciation colonization events (Moore et al., 2013; O'Malley et al., 2019). However, lower salinity and a longer summer period in Hudson Bay could also lead to higher connectivity between estuaries.

As migration in Arctic Char has been found to be predominantly coastal (Moore, 1975; Moore et al., 2013, 2015; Spares et al., 2012), we expected the Hudson Strait, a 120-km wide open water area, to restrict gene flow between populations on opposite shores. However, those pairs of populations only deviated from IBD patterns when we restricted analyses to sampling sites around the Hudson Strait area. In other words, the effect of Hudson Strait as a barrier to gene flow could only be observed at a regional scale. Differentiation between populations separated by the Hudson Strait was lower than, for example, pairwise F<sub>ST</sub> between populations in western and eastern Ungava Bay, despite those populations being connected by a near-shore migration route. We cannot exclude the possibility of other barriers to gene flow in our study area, as inhospitable coastal habitats have been found to act as barriers to migration along the shore in anadromous Brown Trout (*Salmo trutta*; Quéméré et al., 2015). Alternatively, differentiation in the Ungava Bay could be also be driven by the admixture of the Arctic and Atlantic lineages in the eastern sampling sites (Moore et al., 2015; Salisbury et al. 2019), while populations on either side of Hudson Strait could share ancestral polymorphism rather than

exhibit contemporary gene flow. In this way, our results hint again at the relative importance of glacial lineages over contemporary restrictions to gene flow in this recently recolonized area.

Arctic Char is known for its higher straying rates than other salmonids, but many studies argue that this dispersal does not necessarily lead to gene flow, as individuals are more prone to straying when overwintering than when breeding (Moore et al., 2013; Moore et al. 2017; Sévigny, Harris, Normandeau, Cayuela, Gilbert & Moore, in prep). This case of reproductive isolation with migration could explain our clustering results for some pairs of sites sharing an estuary. In sampling sites around Deception Bay (BDE) and Hopes Advance Bay (HAB), individuals with a high probability of membership to two subgroups were independently distributed in tributary rivers. As expected, this was not the case with the pair of sampling sites in Labrador, where individuals were sampled at a juvenile stage and did not have the chance to stray yet. In another more surprising case, there was no signs of adult dispersers between the Leaf River (FEU) and the Bérard River (BER), which also share an estuary. Interestingly, those two rivers are on either side of the limit of two major geological provinces (Thériaut & Beauséjour, 2012). The Leaf River, in the Superior Province, sits on plutonic rocks of the Neoarchean era (2,800 to 2,500 million years BP), while the Bérard River, in the Churchill Province, sits on the sedimentary, volcanic, and more ferrous rocks of the Labrador Trough, dated to the Paleoproterozoic (2,500 to 1,600 millions years BP). As salmonids are thought to use their olfaction to recognize their natal rivers (Keefer & Caudill, 2014), the distinct geologies of the Leaf and Bérard systems could limit straying. Outside this specific case, our results highlight the limitations for assessing fine-scale Arctic Char population structure when sampling non-breeding adults.

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

### 4.3 Genomic evidence for local adaptation

We explored how genetic variation in Arctic Char was linked to a range of climatic and physical environmental predictors in both marine and freshwater habitats, something that has rarely been done in salmonids. Through a constrained ordination, we found that environmental variables in both habitats independently explained significant proportions of the genetic variation, even when correcting for spatial patterns expected to be linked to neutral variation. While different GEA methods identified candidate SNPs with every environmental component considered, we found that the best-supported candidates were mainly associated with the components reflecting summer SST, tides, and turbidity (M1), as well as air temperature during summer (F2).

Several studies have found temperature to be a driver of genetic structure and local adaptation in salmonids, with most studies focusing on freshwater temperatures (Dionne et al., 2008; Bourret et al., 2013; Perrier et al. 2017; Silvester et al. 2018). However, SST near the mouth of spawning river was found to be correlated with migration time in species of Pacific salmon (Kovach, Ellison, Pyare & Tallmon, 2015) and to phenology-related genes in Arctic Char (Madsen et al., 2019). In our study, the air temperature gradient was latitudinal, while SST varied with longitude, and different candidate SNPs were identified on marine and freshwater axes of variation related to temperature. This is expected given that temperature in freshwater and marine habitats causes selective pressures on different life stages. For example, survival rates of fertilized eggs were lower when exposed to non-natal temperature conditions in Atlantic Salmon (Hendry et al., 1998) and Chum Salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*; Beacham & Murray, 1986). In contrast, selective pressures in marine habitats have been argued to be weaker since observed

mortality rates are lower at sea (Garcia de Leaniz et al., 2007; Quinn, 2005). Furthermore, Spares et al. (2012) maintained that Arctic Char's diving behavior in Frobisher Bay (Nunavut) could be linked to optimal digestion temperature, suggesting behavioral thermoregulation, a plastic response that could limit local adaptation to temperature (Buckley, Ehrenberger & Angilletta, 2015). Nevertheless, Harris et al. (2020) showed seasonality in Arctic Char diving behavior that followed the warming of surface waters in Cambridge Bay (Nunavut), but little year-to-year variation in thermal habitat use despite annual differences in climatic conditions, which could suggest limited plasticity. Sampling sites across our study area displayed considerably different SST conditions: while coastal waters surrounding sampling sites in Hudson Bay reached mean summer SSTs ranging from 5.5 – 7.5°C, Hudson Strait stayed closer to the freezing point (0.5 – 2°C). Such contrasts in surface temperature, coupled with a discrepancy in tidal regimes, likely produce widely different coastal habitats, which we argue could result in local adaptation of Arctic Char populations.

Our GEA results also identified candidate SNPs linked to the environmental component related to salinity, a factor that has a major impact on the capacity of Arctic Char to use marine habitats (Spares et al., 2012). In our study area, mean annual salinity was highest at Hudson Strait sampling sites (30-32 PSU) and lowest in Ungava Bay (21-26 PSU). It is debated whether low marine temperatures or high salinity is the main reason why Arctic Char must migrate annually to spend winter in fresh water (Bystriansky, Frick, Richards, Schulte & Ballantyne, 2007). Jensen and Rickardsen (2008) noted, however, that some Arctic Chars in northern Norway are able to go back to estuarine waters during winter, surviving salinities over 30 PSU. Accordingly, Larsen et al. (2008) found differential expression of candidate genes for salinity tolerance among populations

of winter migrating anadromous Brown Trout, which suggests that adaptation to salinity is associated with gene regulation. Moreover, many studies showed that landlocked Arctic Char populations, which lost access to the sea since their recolonization of freshwater habitat, have lost the ability to tolerate seawater (e.g. Eliassen et al., 1998; Bystriansky et al., 2007). Salinity can thus act as a strong selective pressure by restraining foraging to coastal environments.

Some recent studies of local adaptation in salmonids have focused on tributary-specific variation in freshwater conditions within a single catchment, (e.g. the Columbia River; Hand et al., 2016; Hecht et al., 2015, Micheletti et al., 2018). However, the freshwater environmental factors used in our study are catchment-based and could limit our interpretations. This is due to sampling having been carried out during the upstream migration, which prevents us from knowing the precise spawning site or overwintering lake used by the sampled individuals. In marine systems, genomic evidence for local adaptation and isolation-by-environment has been found both at local scales in heterogeneous habitats (e.g. Lenhert et al., 2019; Miller et al., 2019) and over large geographic distances (e.g. Clucas, Lou, Therkildsen & Kovach, 2019). Arctic Char is expected to use preferred habitats based on temperature, salinity, and prey availability (Spares et al., 2012, 2015; Harris et al. 2020). As we averaged near-shore marine conditions around river mouths, this study is limited to broad-scale environmental heterogeneity, which is in line with Fraser et al. (2011), who suggest that local adaptation of anadromous salmonids to the marine environment should occur at a larger spatial scale that in fresh water.

No matter the geographic scale being studied, an ideal sampling design for detecting local adaptation should maximize the environmental variation while minimizing its collinearity with neutral genetic patterns. For example, Lotterhos and Whitlock (2015) suggest sampling pairs of

populations with similar ancestry and contrasting environments. Such a design is suitable when studying variables that may change drastically over short distances, (e.g. catchment area and upstream migration distances). However, climatic and physicochemical conditions experienced by geographically close populations of Arctic Char are more likely to be similar than in distant ones. Similar considerations were discussed in Nadeau, Meirmans, Aitken, Ritland and Isabel (2016), where patterns of isolation-by-distance, isolation-by-environment, and isolation-bycolonization were hard to disentangle for two pine species in a recently recolonized range. In our study, inspection of genotypes for GEA candidates (Figure S7) reveals that at least some have varying allele frequencies that follow spatial patterns reminiscent of the neutral structure we described earlier, especially when environmental variation followed a longitudinal gradient. In some of those cases, it is possible that a GEA was detected even though neutral processes could better explain the distribution of the observed allele frequencies. For example, the introgression of the Arctic glacial lineage in the eastern part of the study area (Moore et al. 2015, Salisbury et al. 2019) could also have led to divergence due to drift during the LGM to be falsely identified as linked to environmental variation (e.g. Figure 8A). Alternatively, if colonization of Hudson Bay did occur by rapid demographic expansion as we discussed earlier, allele-surfing events, where a mutation can reach high frequency by chance alone (Edmonds, Lile & Cavalli, 2004), could explain differential allele frequencies, regardless of whether they are adaptive or not (e.g. Figure 8C). Nonetheless, local adaptation can also contribute to genetic structure across contrasting environments, e.g. by selecting against maladapted migrants (Wang & Barburd, 2014), and we found a significant part of genetic variation to be explained jointly by environmental variation

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

and spatial patterns, as well as by environment alone. In that sense, local adaptation in the system studied here could reinforce the neutral structure discussed earlier.

Evidence for local adaptation was detected using three GEA methods, but the overlap of candidates across methods was relatively low (respectively 14.4%, 14.9% and 26.5% of RDA, LFMM and Baypass candidates were detected by at least one other method). While the combination of methods is often used to mitigate false-positive rate, Forester et al. (2018) argued that this approach is biased toward detection of strong selective sweeps. Accordingly, the univariate GEA methods (LFMM, Baypass) used here individually identified genomic regions dense in SNPs associated with environmental component (Figure S9, S10). However, candidate markers, especially those identified by a multivariate RDA (Figure S11), were also distributed across most linkage groups and many genomic regions, which fits with the expectation of adaptation being mainly shaped by polygenic traits (Wellenreuther & Hansson, 2016). This expectation would apply particularly in the case of rapid adaptation (Barton & Keightley, 2002), which would be expected given the recent establishment of the Arctic Char populations studied here (Moore et al., 2015). In our study, different approaches to gene-environment association seem to highlight distinct signal of adaptation. We therefore suggest that the use of multiple GEA methods could not only serve to augment confidence in candidates, but also paint a more complete picture of different evolutionary mechanisms.

### 1.6.4 Implications for conservation and management

With the increasing availability of genomic data, it has become common practice to define management and conservation units based on neutral genetic structure while also accounting for local adaptation (Funk et al., 2012). Since Arctic Char populations in Nunavik support mainly

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

small-scale subsistence fisheries, stocks are managed on a river-by-river basis, on the premise that each river contains a single and distinct population (Johnson, 1980). However, our results add to the evidence for the prevalence of mixed stocks of Arctic Char in adjacent rivers (Boguski, Gallagher, Howland & Harris, 2016; Moore, Lewis & Tallman, 2014; Moore et al., 2017). While most pairs of sampled rivers sharing an estuary had very low genetic differentiation, we found evidence of substructure within those systems, suggesting that genomic tools could be used for stock assignment of adult fish (e.g. Meek et al., 2016; Moore et al. 2017). The evidence we found for local adaptation to marine environments is in concordance with the neutral genetic structure at a broader scale, as major oceanographic basins around Nunavik are contrasted both in their environments and ancestry of their Arctic Char populations. We therefore suggest that this species could be managed on a regional basis in Hudson Bay, Hudson Strait, and Ungava Bay, as it may already be the case, with distinction of the eastern and western coasts in Ungava Bay.

There is growing interest in Arctic Char hatchery projects in Nunavik, both for supplementation and reintroduction of Arctic Char in traditional fishing locations (George, 2007; Rogers, 2015). The genetic information gathered here could be of great use for those initiatives, and the adaptative variation explored in this study highlight the need for careful choices of source populations for broodstocks, as maladapted domesticated individuals can waste efforts and resources, in addition of likely being detrimental to wild populations (Fraser, Minto, Calvert, Eddington & Hutchings, 2010; Tymchuk, Biagi, Withler & Devlin, 2005).

As the Arctic warms at a greater pace than any other regions on earth (Cohen et al., 2014), there might be concern about the response of Arctic Char populations to their changing environment. Traits that are currently optimally adaptive in the present environment could

eventually become maladaptive. Species will thus likely need to shift their distributions poleward and/or will depend on the presence of appropriate genetic diversity/phenotypic plasticity to adapt and persist in their current distribution. A temporal study recently showed that Arctic Char populations in Greenland have exhibited stable genetic structure over the last 60 years in face of rapid climate change, and argued that gene flow, although low, could allow for a modest level of evolutionary rescue in the short term (Christensen, Jacobsen, Nygaard & Hansen, 2018). Our study shows potential for local adaptation of Arctic Char populations to both their marine and freshwater habitats. As changes in climate might operate at a different pace, scale, and stability in marine and terrestrial ecosystems (Burrows et al., 2011), there is a need for continued research about the interaction of selective pressures over the lifespan of anadromous organisms.

# **Acknowledgements**

This study was funded as part of the BriGHT project (Bridging the Global change, Inuit Health and the Transforming Ocean) by Sentinel North through the Canada First Research Excellence Fund. We thank the logistical support of Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec and Imalirijiit Science Landcamp. We are especially grateful to L. Beaupré (MFFP), G. Fortin (Laval University) and L. Tran (Makivik Corporation) for the coordination of sampling. We would also like to thank our numerous partners in the northern villages of Inukjuak, Akulivik, Ivujivik, Salluit, Quaqtaq, Kangirsuk, Aupaluk, Tasiujaq, and Kangiqsualujjuaq for their hospitality, knowledge, and logistic support. Nakurmiik! We also thank I. Caza-Allard for her help regarding labwork, as well as H. Cayuela, Y. Dorant, A.-L. Ferchaud, M. Laporte and K. Wellband for support with genomic analyses.

# References

- Abed, A., Légaré, G., Pomerleau, S., St-Cyr, J., Boyle, B., & Belzile, F. J. (2019). Genotyping-by-Sequencing on the Ion Torrent Platform in Barley. Methods in Molecular Biology, 1900, 233–252. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8944-7 15
- Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J., & Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Research*, 19(9), 1655–1664. http://doi.org/10.1101/gr.094052.109
  - Aljanabi, S., & Martinez, I. (1997). Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality genomic DNA for PCR-based techniques. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 25(22), 4692–4693. http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.22.4692
  - April, J., Hanner, R. H., Mayden, R. L., & Bernatchez, L. (2013). Metabolic rate and climatic fluctuations shape continental wide pattern of genetic divergence and biodiversity in fishes. *PlosOne*. 8(7): e70296. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070296
  - Assis, J., Tyberghein, L., Bosch, S., Verbruggen, H., Serrão, E. A., & De Clerck, O. (2018). Bio-ORACLE v2.0: Extending marine data layers for bioclimatic modelling. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *27*(3), 277–284. http://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12693
  - Barth, J. M. I., Berg, P. R., Jonsson, P. R., Bonanomi, S., Corell, H., Hemmer-Hansen, J., Jakobsen, K. S., Johannesson, K., Jorde, P. E., Knutsen, H. Moksnes, P.-O., Star, B., Stenseth, N. C., Svedäng, H., Jentoft, S., & André, C. (2017). Genome architecture enables local adaptation of Atlantic cod despite high connectivity. *Molecular Ecology*, *26*(17), 4452–4466. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14207
  - Barton, K. (2019, April 9). Package 'MuMIn'. Retreived from <a href="https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MuMIn/MuMIn.pdf">https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MuMIn/MuMIn.pdf</a>
  - Barton, N. H., & Keightley, P. D. (2002). Understanding quantitative genetic variation. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 3(1), 11-21.
  - Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., Christensen, R. H. B. (2016, April 16). Package 'lme4'. Retrieved from: <a href="https://cran.microsoft.com/snapshot/2016-08-05/web/packages/lme4/lme4.pdf">https://cran.microsoft.com/snapshot/2016-08-05/web/packages/lme4/lme4.pdf</a>.
  - Bay, L. K., & Caley, M. J. (2011). Greater genetic diversity in spatially restricted coral reef fishes suggests secondary contact among differentiated lineages. *Diversity*, *3*(3), 483-502. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/d3030483">https://doi.org/10.3390/d3030483</a>
  - Beacham, T. D., & Murray, C. B. (1986). Comparative Development Biology of Chum Salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) from the Fraser River. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 43, 252–262. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1139/f86-032">https://doi.org/10.1139/f86-032</a>
  - Bernatchez, L., & Dodson, J. J. (1990). Allopatric origin of sympatric populations of lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) as revealed by mitochondrial DNA restriction analysis. *Evolution*. 44: 1263–1271. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1990.tb05230.x
  - Bernatchez, L., Wellenreuther, M., Araneda, C., Ashton, D. T., Barth, J. M. I., Beacham, T. D., Maes, G. E., Martinsohn, J. T., Miller, K. M., Naish, K., Ovenden, J. R., Primmer, C. R., Suk, H. Y., Therkildsen, N. O., & Withler, R. E. (2017). Harnessing the power of genomics to secure the future of seafood. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 32, 665–680. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.06.010
  - Bernatchez, L., & Wilson, C. C. (1998). Comparative phylogeography of Nearctic and Palearctic fishes. *Molecular Ecology*, 7(4), 431–452. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00319.x">http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00319.x</a>
  - Black, B. A., von Biela, V. R., Zimmerman, C. E., & Brown, R. J. (2013). Lake trout otolith chronologies as multidecadal indicators of high-latitude freshwater ecosystems. *Polar Biology*, *36*(1), 147–153. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-012-1245-9">http://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-012-1245-9</a>
- Boguski, D. A., Gallagher, C. P., Howland, K. L., & Harris, L. N. (2016). Genetic stock identification and mixed-stock fishery analysis of Arctic Char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) in Darnley Bay, Northwest Territories.

- - Bourret, V., Dionne, M., Kent, M. P., Lien, S., & Bernatchez, L. (2013). Landscape genomics in atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): searching for gene-environment interactions driving local adaptation. *Evolution*, 67(12), 3469–3487. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12139">http://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12139</a>
  - Bradbury, I. R., Hamilton, L. C., Dempson, B., Robertson, M. J., Bourret, V., Bernatchez, L., & Verspoor, E. (2015). Transatlantic secondary contact in Atlantic Salmon, comparing microsatellites, a single nucleotide polymorphism array and restriction-site associated DNA sequencing for the resolution of complex spatial structure. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(20), 5130–5144. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13395
  - Brunner, P. C., Douglas, M. R., Osinov, A., Wilson, C. C., & Bernatchez, L. (2001). Holarctic Phylogeography of Arctic Charr (*Salvelinus alpinus* L.) Inferred From Mitochondrial DNA Sequences. *Evolution*, *55*(3), 573–586. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb00790.x
  - Buckley, L. B., Ehrenberger, J. C., & Angilletta, M. J. (2015). Thermoregulatory behaviour limits local adaptation of thermal niches and confers sensitivity to climate change. *Functional Ecology*, *29*(8), 1038–1047. http://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12406
  - Burrows, M. T., Schoeman, D. S., Buckley, L. B., Moore, P., Poloczanska, E. S., Brander, K. M., Brown, C., Bruno, J. F., Duarte, C. M., Halpern, B. S., Holding, J., Kappel, C. V., Kiessling, W., O'Connor, M. I., Pandolfi, J. M., Parmesan, C., Schwing, F. B., Sydeman, W. J., & Richardson, A. J. (2011). The pace of shifting climate in marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Science*, *334*(6056), 652–655. http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1210288
  - Bystriansky, J. S., Frick, N. T., Richards, J. G., Schulte, P. M., & Ballantyne, J. S. (2007). Failure to up-regulate gill Na+,K+-ATPase α-subunit isoform α1b may limit seawater tolerance of land-locked Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A Molecular and Integrative Physiology*, 148(2), 332–338. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2007.05.007
  - Cauwelier, E., Verspoor, E., Coulson, M. W., Armstrong, A., Knox, D., Stradmeyer, L., ... Gilbey, J. (2018). Ice sheets and genetics: Insights into the phylogeography of Scottish Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L. *Journal of Biogeography*, 45(1), 51–63. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13097">http://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13097</a>
  - Caye, K., Jumentier, B., Lepeule, J., & François, O. (2019). LFMM 2: Fast and accurate inference of gene-environment associations in genome-wide studies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *36*(4), 852–860. http://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz008
  - Christensen, C., Jacobsen, M. W., Nygaard, R., & Hansen, M. M. (2018). Spatiotemporal genetic structure of anadromous Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) populations in a region experiencing pronounced climate change. *Conservation Genetics*, 19(3), 687–700. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-018-1047-x
  - Christensen, K., Rondeau, E., Minkley, D., Leong, J., Nugent, C., Danzmann, R., Ferguson, M. M., Stadnik, A, Devlin R. H., Muzzerall, R., Edwards, M., Davidson W. S., & Koop B. F. (2018). The Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) genome and transcriptome. *PLoS ONE*, 13(9), 1–30. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204076">http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204076</a>
  - Clarke, R. T., Rothery, P., & Raybould, A. F. (2002). Confidence limits for regression relationships between distance matrices: Estimating gene flow with distance. *Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics*, 7(3), 361–372. http://doi.org/10.1198/108571102320
  - Clucas, G. V., Lou, R. N., Therkildsen, N. O., & Kovach, A. I. (2019). Novel signals of adaptive genetic variation in northwestern Atlantic cod revealed by whole-genome sequencing. *Evolutionary Applications*, 12(10), 1971–1987. http://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12861
- Cohen, J., Screen, J. A., Furtado, J. C., Barlow, M., Whittleston, D., Coumou, D., Francis, J., Dethloff, K.,
   Entekhabi, D., Overland, J., & Jones, J. (2014). Recent Arctic amplification and extreme mid-latitude
   weather. *Nature geoscience*, 7(9), 627-637. https://doi-org/10.1038/ngeo2234

- 709 Crête-Lafrenière, A., Weir, L. K., & Bernatchez, L. (2012). Framing the salmonidae family phylogenetic 710 portrait: a more complete picture from increased taxon sampling. *Plos One*, 7: e46662. 711 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046662
- Dempson, J. B., & Kristofferson, A. H. (1987). Spatial and Temporal Aspects of the Ocean Migration of Anadromous Arctic Char. *American Fisheries Society Symposium*, *1*, 340–357.
  - Do, C., Waples, R. S., Peel, D., Macbeth, G. M., Tillett, B. J., & Ovenden, J. R. (2014). NeEstimator v2: Reimplementation of software for the estimation of contemporary effective population size (Ne) from genetic data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 14(1), 209–214. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12157">http://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12157</a>
  - Dray, S. Bauman, D., Blanchet, G. Borcard, D., Clappe, S., Geunard, G., Jombart, T., Larocque, G., Legendre, P., Madi, N., & Wagner, H. H. (2020, February 6). Package 'adespatial'. Retrieved from <a href="https://cran.microsoft.com/web/packages/adespatial/adespatial.pdf">https://cran.microsoft.com/web/packages/adespatial/adespatial.pdf</a>
  - Dyke, A. S. (2004). An outline of North American deglaciation with emphasis on central and northern Canada. *Developments in Quaternary Sciences*, *2B*, 373–424. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571-0866(04)80209-4">https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571-0866(04)80209-4</a>
  - Eckert, C. G., Samis, K. E., & Lougheed, S. C. (2008). Genetic variation across species' geographical ranges: The central-marginal hypothesis and beyond. *Molecular Ecology*, 17(5), 1170–1188. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03659.x">http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03659.x</a>
  - Edmonds, C. A., Lillie, A. S., & Cavalli-Sforza, L. L. (2004). Mutations arising in the wave front of an expanding population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101(4), 975–979. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308064100
  - Eliassen, R. A., Johnsen, H. K., Mayer, I., & Jobling, M. (1998). Contrasts in osmoregulatory capacity of two Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.), strains from northern Norway. Aquaculture, 168(1–4), 255–269. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00353-6
  - Esri Inc. (2019). ArcGIS Pro (Version 2.4.2). Esri Inc. <a href="https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/">https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/</a>.
  - Fick, S. E., & Hijmans, R. J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, *37*(12), 4302–4315. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086">http://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086</a>
  - Fraser, D. J., Weir, L. K., Bernatchez, L., Hansen, M. M., & Taylor, E. B. (2011). Extent and scale of local adaptation in salmonid fishes: review and meta-analysis. *Heredity*, 106(3), 404–420. http://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2010.167
  - Fraser, D. J., Minto, C., Calvert, A. M., Eddington, J. D., & Hutchings, J. A. (2010). Potential for domesticated-wild interbreeding to induce maladaptive phenology across multiple populations of wild Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *67*(11), 1768–1775. http://doi.org/10.1139/F10-094
  - Frichot, E., Schoville, S. D., Bouchard, G., & François, O. (2013). Testing for associations between loci and environmental gradients using latent factor mixed models. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *30*(7), 1687–1699. http://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst063
  - Funk, W. C., McKay, J. K., Hohenlohe, P. A., & Allendorf, F. W. (2012). Harnessing genomics for delineationg conservation units. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 27(9), 489–496. http://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2014.371
  - Garcia De Leaniz, C., Fleming, I. A., Einum, S., Verspoor, E., Jordan, W. C., Consuegra, S., ... Quinn, T. P. (2007). A critical review of adaptive genetic variation in Atlantic salmon: Implications for conservation. *Biological Reviews*, 82(2), 173–211. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2006.00004.x
  - Gautier, M. (2015). Genome-wide scan for adaptive divergence and association with population-specific covariates. *Genetics*, 201(4), 1555–1579. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.181453">http://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.181453</a>
- George, J. (2007, October 18). 'We are trying to cheat nature and create a char population from zero.'

  Nunatsiaq

  News.

  Retrieved

  from

- https://nunatsiaq.com/stories/article/We\_are\_trying\_to\_cheat\_nature\_and\_create\_a\_char\_popul ation from zero/
  - Goodsman, D. W., Cooke, B., Coltman, D. W., & Lewis, M. A. (2014). The genetic signature of rapid range expansions: How dispersal, growth and invasion speed impact heterozygosity and allele surfing. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *98*, 1–10. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2014.08.005
  - Grant, W. A. S., & Bowen, B. W. (1998). Shallow population histories in deep evolutionary lineages of marine fishes: insights from sardines and anchovies and lessons for conservation. *Journal of heredity*, 89(5), 415-426. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/89.5.415
  - Gray J., Lauriol, B., Bruneau, D., & Ricard, J. (1993). Postglacial emergence of Ungava Peninsula, and its relationship to glacial history. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 30, 1676–1696. https://doi.org/10.1139/e93-147
  - Gruber, B., Unmack, P. J., Berry, O. F., & Georges, A. (2018). DARTR: An R package to facilitate analysis of SNP data generated from reduced representation genome sequencing. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 18, 691–699. http://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12745
  - Grummer, J. A., Beheregaray, L., Bernatchez, L., Hand, B., Luikart, G., Narum, S. R., Taylor, E. B. (2019). Aquatic landscape genomics and environmental effects on genetic variation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34(7): 641–654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.02.013
  - Gulseth, O. A., & Nilssen, K. J. (2001). Life-history traits of charr, *Salvelinus alpinus*, from a high arctic watercourse on Svalbard. *Arctic*, *54*(1), 1–11. <a href="http://doi.org/10.14430/arctic758">http://doi.org/10.14430/arctic758</a>
  - Günther, T., & Coop, G. (2013). Robust identification of local adaptation from allele frequencies. *Genetics*, 195(1), 205–220. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.152462">http://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.152462</a>
  - Guo, B., DeFaveri, J., Sotelo, G., Nair, A., & Merilä, J. (2015). Population genomic evidence for adaptive differentiation in Baltic Sea three-spined sticklebacks. *BMC Biology*, *13*(1), 1–18. http://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-015-0130-8
  - Guo, B., Li, Z., & Merilä, J. (2016). Population genomic evidence for adaptive differentiation in the Baltic Sea herring. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(12), 2833–2852. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13657
  - Gyselman, E. C. (1994). Fidelity of Anadromous Arctic Char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) to Nauyuk Lake, N.W.T., Canada. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *51*, 1927–1934.
  - Hammar, J. (1987). Zoogeographical zonation of fish communities in insular Newfoundland; A preliminary attempt to use the Arctic char population ecology to describe early postglacial colonization interactions. In: Proceedings of the fourth ISACF Workshop on Arctic Char, 1986. ISACF: Drottningholm, Sweden, pp. 31-38.
  - Hand, B. K., Muhlfeld, C. C., Wade, A. A., Kovach, R. P., Whited, D. C., Narum, S. R., Matala, A. P., Ackerman, M. W., Garner, B. A., Kimball, J. S., Standford, J. A., & Luikart, G. (2016). Climate variables explain neutral and adaptive variation within salmonid metapopulations: The importance of replication in landscape genetics. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(3), 689–705. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13517
  - Harris, L., Yurkowski, D., Gilbert, M., Else, B., Duke, P., Ahmed, M., Tallman, R. F., Fisk, A., & Moore, J.-S. (2020). Depth and temperature preference of anadromous Arctic Char, *Salvelinus alpinus*, in the Kitikmeot Sea: a shallow and low salinity area of the Canadian Arctic. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 634, 175–197. <a href="http://doi.org/10.3354/meps13195">http://doi.org/10.3354/meps13195</a>
  - Hendry, A. P., Hensleigh, J. E., & Reisenbichler, R. R. (1998). Incubation temperature, developmental biology, and the divergence of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) within Lake Washington. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 55(6), 1387–1394. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1139/f98-020">http://doi.org/10.1139/f98-020</a>
- Hewitt, G. M. (2000). The genetic legacy of the quaternary ice ages. *Nature*, *405*(6789), 907–913. http://doi.org/10.1038/35016000

- Jeffreys, H. (1961). Theory of probability (3rd Ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Jensen, J. L. A., & Rikardsen, A. H. (2008). Do northern riverine anadromous Arctic charr Salvelinus alpinus and sea trout *Salmo trutta* overwinter in estuarine and marine waters? *Journal of Fish Biology*, *73*(7), 1810–1818. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2008.02042.x
  - Johnson, L. 1980. The Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus*. In E. K. Balon (Ed.), Charrs, Salmonid Fishes of the Genus Salvelinus (pp 15-98). The Hague, Netherlands: Springer.
    - Jombart, T. (2008). Adegenet: A R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. *Bioinformatics*, 24(11), 1403–1405. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129">http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129</a>
    - Kallio-Nyberg, I., Koljonen, M. L., & Saloniemi, I. (2000). Effect of maternal and paternal line on spatial and temporal marine distribution in Atlantic salmon. *Animal Behaviour*, 60(3), 377–384. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2000.1465">http://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2000.1465</a>
    - Kawecki, T. J., & Ebert, D. (2004). Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecology Letters*, 7(12), 1225–1241. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00684.x
    - Keefer, M. L., & Caudill, C. C. (2014). Homing and straying by anadromous salmonids: a review of mechanisms and rates. *Reviews in fish biology and fisheries*, 24, 333-368. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-013-9334-6
    - Klemetsen, A., Amundsen, P.-A., Dempson, J. B., Jonsson, B., Jonsson, N., O'Connell, M. F., & Mortensen, E. (2003). Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* L., brown trout *Salmo trutta* L. and Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.): a review of aspects of their life histories. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, *12*(1), 1–59. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0633.2003.00010.x">http://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0633.2003.00010.x</a>
    - Klemetsen, A. (2010). The Charr Problem Revisited: Exceptional Phenotypic Plasticity Promotes Ecological Speciation in Postglacial Lakes. *Freshwater Reviews*, *3*(1), 49–74. <a href="http://doi.org/10.4290/frj-3.1.3">http://doi.org/10.4290/frj-3.1.3</a>
    - Kondrashov, F. A. (2012). Gene duplication as a mechanism of genomic adaptation to a changing environment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *279*(1749), 5048–5057. http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1108
    - Kovach, R. P., Ellison, S. C., Pyare, S., & Tallmon, D. A. (2015). Temporal patterns in adult salmon migration timing across southeast Alaska. *Global Change Biology*, 21(5), 1821–1833. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12829">http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12829</a>
    - Layton, K., Dempson, J., Snelgrove, P., Duffy, S., Messmer, A., Paterson, I., Jeffery, N. W., Kess, T., Horne, J. B., Salisbury, S. J., Ruzzante, D. E., Bentzen, P., Côté, D., Nugent, C. M., Ferguson, M. M., Leong, J. S., Koop, B. F., & Bradbury, I. (2020). Resolving fine-scale population structure and fishery exploitation using sequenced microsatellites in a northern fish. *Evolutionary Applications*. Advance Online Publication. http://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12922
    - Lee, M. D., Wagenmakers E. (2014). Bayesian Cognitive Modeling: A Practical Course. Cambridge, UK: Camdridge University Press.
    - Legendre, P., & Gallagher, E. D. (2001). Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. *Oecologia*, 129(2), 271–280. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100716">http://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100716</a>
    - Length, R. (2020, January 28). Packcage 'emmeans'. Retrieved from <a href="https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/emmeans.pdf">https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/emmeans.pdf</a>.
    - Lehnert, S. J., Dibacco, C., Wyngaarden, M. Van, Jeffery, N. W., Lowen, J. Ben, Sylvester, E. V. A., Wringe, B. F., Stanley, R. R. E., Hamilton, L. C, & Bradbury, I. R. (2019). Fine-scale temperature-associated genetic structure between inshore and offshore populations of sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*). *Heredity*, 122, 69–80. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-018-0087-9">http://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-018-0087-9</a>
    - Li, H., & Durbin R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler Transform. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 1754-60. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324">https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324</a>
- Lotterhos, K. E., & Whitlock, M. C. (2015). The relative power of genome scans to detect local adaptation depends on sampling design and statistical method. *Molecular Ecology*, *24*(5), 1031–1046. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13100

- Luikart, G., Kardos, M., Hand, B. K., Rajora, O. P., Aitken, S. N., & Hohenlohe, P. A. (2018). Population Genomics: Advancing Understanding of Nature. In *Population Genomics* (pp. 3–79). http://doi.org/10.1007/13836\_2018\_60
  - Luu, K., Bazin, E., & Blum, M. G. B. (2017). pcadapt: an R package to perform genome scans for selection based on principal component analysis. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 17(1), 67–77. http://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12592
  - Madsen, R. P. A., Jacobsen, M. W., O'Malley, K. G., Nygaard, R., Præbel, K., Jónsson, B., Pujolar, J. M., Fraser, D. J., Bernatchez L., & Hansen, M. M. (2019). Genetic population structure and variation at phenology-related loci in anadromous Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*, *29*, 170–183. http://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12504
  - Mateus, C. S., Almeida, P. R., Mesquita, N., Quintella, B. R., & Alves, M. J. (2016). European lampreys: New insights on postglacial colonization, gene flow and speciation. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(2), 1–22. http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148107
  - Meek, M. H., Baerwald, M. R., Stephens, M. R., Goodbla, A., Miller, M. R., Tomalty, K. M. H., & May, B. (2016). Sequencing improves our ability to study threatened migratory species: Genetic population assignment in California's Central Valley Chinook salmon. *Ecology and Evolution*, 6(21), 7706–7716. http://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2493
  - Meirmans, P. G., & Van Tienderen, P. H. (2004). GENOTYPE and GENODIVE: Two programs for the analysis of genetic diversity of asexual organisms. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, *4*(4), 792–794. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00770.x">http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00770.x</a>
  - Micheletti, S. J., Matala, A. R., Matala, A. P., & Narum, S. R. (2018). Landscape features along migratory routes influence adaptive genomic variation in anadromous steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Molecular Ecology*, *27*, 128–145. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14407
  - Miller, A. D., Hoffmann, A. A., Tan, M. H., Young, M., Ahrens, C., Cocomazzo, M., ... Sherman, C. D. H. (2019). Local and regional scale habitat heterogeneity contribute to genetic adaptation in a commercially important marine mollusc (*Haliotis rubra*) from southeastern Australia. *Molecular Ecology*, (November 2018), 3053–3072. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15128
  - Moore, J. W. (1975). Reproductive biology of anadromous arctic char, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.), in the Cumberland Sound area of Baffin Island. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 7(2), 143–151. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1975.tb04584.x
  - Moore, J.-S., Bajno, R., Reist, J. D., & Taylor, E. B. (2015). Post-glacial recolonization of the North American Arctic by Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*): Genetic evidence of multiple northern refugia and hybridization between glacial lineages. *Journal of Biogeography*, 42(11), 2089–2100. http://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12600
  - Moore, J.-S., Harris, L. N., Kessel, S. T., Bernatchez, L., Tallman, R. F., & Fisk, A. T. (2016). Preference for near-shore and estuarine habitats in anadromous Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) from the Canadian high Arctic (Victoria Island, NU) revealed by acoustic telemetry. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 73, 1434–1445. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2015-0436
  - Moore, J.-S., Harris, L. N., Le Luyer, J., Sutherland, B., Rougemont, Q., Tallman, R. F., ... Bernatchez, L. (2017). Genomics and telemetry suggest a role for migration harshness in determining overwintering habitat choice, but not gene flow, in anadromous Arctic Char. *Molecular Ecology*, 1–14. http://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22357
  - Moore, J.-S., Harris, L. N., Kessel, S. T., Bernatchez, L., Tallman, R. F., & Fisk, A. T. (2016). Preference for near-shore and estuarine habitats in anadromous Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) from the Canadian high Arctic (Victoria Island, NU) revealed by acoustic telemetry. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *53*(9), 1689–1699. http://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- Moore, J.-S., Harris, L. N., Tallman, R. F., & B, T. E. (2013). The interplay between dispersal and gene flow in anadromous Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*): implications for potential for local adaptation.

- *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 70*(9). 1327–1338. Retrieved from 902 <a href="http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/cjfas-2013-0138">http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/abs/10.1139/cjfas-2013-0138</a>
  - Moore, J.-S., Lewis, C., & Tallman, R. F. (2014). Population structure, genetic diversity, and dispersal of anadromous Arctic Char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) in Frobisher Bay, Nunavut, inferred from microsatellite markers. Fisheries and Ocean Canada. <a href="https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/3d9a/2698b30559aa57f2794076b6af8ac5cb6897.pdf">https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/3d9a/2698b30559aa57f2794076b6af8ac5cb6897.pdf</a>? ga=2.6639 9022.1402800977.1584041366-316585798.1574895993
  - Nadeau, S., Meirmans, P. G., Aitken, S. N., Ritland, K., & Isabel, N. (2016). The challenge of separating signatures of local adaptation from those of isolation by distance and colonization history: The case of two white pines. *Ecology and Evolution*, 6(24), 8649–8664. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2550">http://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2550</a>
  - Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2013). A general and simple method for obtaining R<sup>2</sup> from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4(2), 133–142. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x
  - Occietti, S., Parent, M., Lajeunesse, P., Robert, F. & Govare, É. (2011). Late Pleistocene–Early Holocene Decay of the Laurentide Ice Sheet in Québec–Labrador. *Developments in Quaternary Sciences*, 15, 601–630. http://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53447-7.00047-7
  - Oksanen, J. (2012). Constrained ordination: tutorial with R and vegan. R-Package Vegan, 1–10.
  - O'Malley, K. G., Vaux, F., & Black, A. N. (2019). Characterizing neutral and adaptive genomic differentiation in a changing climate: The most northerly freshwater fish as a model. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(4), 2004–2017. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4891">http://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4891</a>
  - Pante, E., & Simon-Bouhet, B. (2013). marmap: A Package for Importing, Plotting and Analyzing Bathymetric and Topographic Data in R. *PLoS ONE*, 8(9), 6–9. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073051">http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073051</a>
  - Pembleton, L. W., Cogan, N. O. I., & Forster, J. W. (2013). StAMPP: An R package for calculation of genetic differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *13*(5), 946–952. http://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12129
  - Peres-Neto, P. R., & Legendre, P. (2010). Estimating and controlling for spatial structure in the study of ecological communities. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 19(2), 174–184. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00506.x
  - Perrier, C., Ferchaud, A.-L., Sirois, P., Thibault, I., & Bernatchez, L. (2017). Do genetic drift and accumulation of deleterious mutations preclude adaptation? Empirical investigation using RADseq in a northern lacustrine fish. *Molecular Ecology*, 26, 6317–6335. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14361
  - Peterman, W. E. (2018). ResistanceGA: An R package for the optimization of resistance surfaces using genetic algorithms. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(6), 1638–1647. http://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12984
  - Power, G., Pope, G. F., & Coad, B. W. (1973). Postglacial Colonization of the Matamek River, Quebec, by Fishes. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada*, 30(10), 1586–1591.
  - Prinsenberg, S. J. (1984). Freshwater contents and heat budgets of James Bay and Hudson Bay. *Continental Shelf Research*, *3*(2), 191–200.
  - Pritchard, V. L., Mäkinen, H., Vähä, J. P., Erkinaro, J., Orell, P., & Primmer, C. R. (2018). Genomic signatures of fine-scale local selection in Atlantic salmon suggest involvement of sexual maturation, energy homeostasis and immune defence-related genes. *Molecular Ecology*, 27(11), 2560–2575. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14705">http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14705</a>
- 944 Quéméré, E., Baglinière, J. L., Roussel, J. M., Evanno, G., Mcginnity, P., & Launey, S. (2016). Seascape and 945 its effect on migratory life-history strategy influences gene flow among coastal brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) populations in the English Channel. *Journal of Biogeography*, 43(3), 498–509. 947 http://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12632

- 948 Quinlan, A. R. (2014). BEDTools: the Swiss-army tool for genome feature analysis. *Current protocols in bioinformatics*, *47*(1), 11-12.
  - Quinn, T. P. (1993). A review of homing and straying of wild and hatchery-produced salmon. *Fisheries Research*, 18(1–2), 29–44. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1016/0165-7836(93)90038-9">http://doi.org/10.1016/0165-7836(93)90038-9</a>
  - Quinn, T. P. 2005. The Behavior and Ecology of Pacific Salmon and Trout. Vancouver, Canada: UBC Press.
  - Rochette, N. C., Rivera-Colón, A. G., & Catchen, J. M. (2019). Stacks 2: Analytical methods for paired-end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, *28*(21), 4737–4754. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15253.
  - Roff, D. A. (2007). A centennial celebration for quantitative genetics. Evolution, 61, 1017–1032.
  - Rogers, S. (2015, May 26). Local food production plan aims to feed Nunavik communities. *Nunatsiaq News*.

    Retrieved from <a href="https://nunatsiaq.com/stories/article/65674food">https://nunatsiaq.com/stories/article/65674food</a> production aims to feed nunavik communities /
  - Saefken, B, Ruegamer, D., Baumann P. P., & Kruse R. (2019, December 17) Package 'cAlC4'. Retrieved from <a href="https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/cAlC4/cAlC4.pdf">https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/cAlC4/cAlC4.pdf</a>.
  - Salisbury, S. J., McCracken, G. R., Keefe, D., Perry, R., & Ruzzante, D. E. (2019). Extensive secondary contact among three glacial lineages of Arctic Char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) in Labrador and Newfoundland. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(4), 2031–2045. http://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4893
  - Satterthwaite, F. E. (1946). An approximate distribution of estimates of variance components. *Biometrics Bulletin*, *2*(6), 110–114. http://doi.org/10.1002/9780470057339.vai016
  - Savard, J.-P., Gachon, P., Rosu, C., Aider, R., Martin, P., & Saad, C. (2014). *Impact des changements climatiques sur le régime des tempêtes, les niveaux d'eau et les vagues dans le Nunavik*. Ministère des Transports du Québec. <a href="http://www.bv.transports.gouv.qc.ca/mono/1161055.pdf">http://www.bv.transports.gouv.qc.ca/mono/1161055.pdf</a>
  - Sbrocco, E. J., & Barber, P. H. (2013). MARSPEC: ocean climate layers for marine spatial ecology. *Ecology*, 94(4), 979–979. http://doi.org/10.1890/12-1358.1
  - Sévigny, M., Harris, L. M., Normandeau, É., Cayuela, H., Gilbert, M. J. H., & Moore, J.-S. (2020). Fine-scale genetic differentiation between spawning Arctic Char (Salvelinus alpinus) sites within a watershed in Nunavut. Manuscript in preparation.
  - Spares, A. D., Stokesbury, M. J. W., Dadswell, M. J., O'Dor, R. K., & Dick, T. A. (2015). Residency and movement patterns of Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus* relative to major estuaries. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 86(6), 1754–1780. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12683">http://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12683</a>
  - Spares, A. D., Stokesbury, M. J. W., O'Dor, R. K., & Dick, T. A. (2012). Temperature, salinity and prey availability shape the marine migration of Arctic char, *Salvelinus alpinus*, in a macrotidal estuary. *Marine Biology*, 159(8), 1633–1646. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-1949-y">http://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-1949-y</a>
  - Sylvester, E. V. A., Beiko, R. G., Bentzen, P., Paterson, I., Horne, J. B., Watson, B., Lehnert, S., Duffy, S., Clément, M., Robertson, M. J., & Bradbury, I. R. (2018). Environmental extremes drive population structure at the northern range limit of Atlantic salmon in North America. *Molecular Ecology*, 27(20), 4026–4040. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14849">http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14849</a>
  - The UniProt Consortium. (2019). UniProt: A worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(D1), D506–D515. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049">http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049</a>
  - Tiffin, P., & Ross-Ibarra, J. (2014). Advances and limits of using population genetics to understand local adaptation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 29(12), 673–680. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.004">http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.004</a>
- 991 Thériault, R., & Beauséjour, S. (2012). *Carte Géologique du Québec Edition 2012*. Ministère des 992 Ressources naturelles du Québec. 993 <a href="http://gq.mines.gouv.qc.ca/documents/examine/DV201206/DV201206.pdf">http://gq.mines.gouv.qc.ca/documents/examine/DV201206/DV201206.pdf</a>
  - Torvinen, E. S. (2017). Lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush) otoliths as indicators of past climate patterns and growth in Arctic lakes. [Master's thesis, University of Alaska Fairbanks]. ScholarWorks@UA

Turgeon, J., & Bernatchez, L. (2001). Mitochondrial DNA phylogeography of lake cisco (*Coregonus artedi*): Evidence supporting extensive secondary contacts between two glacial races. *Molecular Ecology*, 10(4), 987–1001. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2001.01248.x">http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2001.01248.x</a>

Tymchuk, W. E., Biagi, C., Withler, R., & Devlin, R. H. (2006). Growth and behavioral consequences of introgression of a domesticated aquaculture genotype into a native strain of coho salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 135(2), 442–455. https://doi.org/10.1577/T05-181.1

- Vaida, F., & Blanchard, S. (2005). Conditional Akaike Information for Mixed-Effects Models. *Biometrika*, 92(2), 351–370. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/92.2.351">https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/92.2.351</a>
- Wellband, K., Mérot, C., Linnansaari, T., Elliott, J. A. K., Curry, R. A., & Bernatchez, L. (2019). Chromosomal fusion and life history-associated genomic variation contribute to within-river local adaptation of Atlantic salmon. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(6), 1439–1459. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14965">https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14965</a>
- Wellenreuther, M. & Hansson, B. (2016). Detecting polygenic evolution: problems, pitfalls, and promises. *Trends in Genetics*, *32*(3), 155-164.
- Williams, G.C. (1966). Adaptation and Natural Selection. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Wilson, C. C., Hebert, P. D. N., Reist, J. D., Dempsont, J. B., & Dempson, J. B. (1996). Phylogeography and postglacial dispersal of arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus* in North America. *Molecular Ecology*, *5*(2), 187–197. <a href="http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.1996.00265.x">http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.1996.00265.x</a>

## **Data accessibility**

Raw sequences that support the findings of this study will be openly available on NCBI SRA. Due to the current measures of social distancing surrounding COVID-19, the data were unreachable and couldn't be uploaded to SRA in time for manuscript submission (end of April 2020). This will be done upon acceptance of the article.

## **Author's contribution**

J.-S.M., L.B., J.M., J.-É.T. and X.D. conceived and planned the research. X.D. and J.M. performed the sampling, and X.D. and É.N. produced the dataset. X.D., É.N., J.-S.M., L.B. and J.M. contributed to the interpretation of the results. X.D. performed most of the analyses and led the writing of the manuscript, under the supervision of J.-S.M. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis and manuscript.

**Table 1.** Value range and source of environmental factors considered in gene-environment associations. PCA axis most associated to marine (M1-M3) and freshwater (F1-F3) factors are indicated.

Variable	Description	Value range	Unit	Temporal range	Database	Source	PCA axis
SST_summer	Mean sea-surface temperature (July to September)	0.5 – 6.8	°C	2002 – 2010	Marspec	WOA09	M1
Tide_M2	Amplitude of M2 tidal constituant	17.5 – 372.6			FES2014	AVISO+	M1
Turbidity	Annual mean diffuse attenuation	0.8 – 4.8	m <sup>-1</sup>	2002 – 2009	Bio-ORACLE	Aqua- MODIS	M1
Salinity	Annual mean sea- surface salinity	21.0 – 31.8	PSS	2000 – 2014	Bio-ORACLE	ARMOR	M2
O2	Annual mean dissolved molecular oxygen	327 – 364	mmol. m <sup>-3</sup>	2000 – 2014	Bio-ORACLE	PISCES	M2
Productivity	Annual mean primary productivity (carbon)	1.2 – 12.0	g.m <sup>-3</sup> . day <sup>-1</sup>	2000 – 2014	Bio-ORACLE	PISCES	M3
T_winter	Mean air temperature of the coldest quarter	-25.0 – -19.7	°C	1970 – 2000	WorldClim	MODIS	F1
T_summer	Mean air temperature of the warmest quarter	4.9 – 10.7	°C	1970 – 2000	WorldClim	MODIS	F1
P_winter	Mean precipitation of the coldest quarter	40.2 – 171.5	mm	1970 – 2000	WorldClim	GHCN	F1
P_summer	Mean precipitation of the warmest quarter	114.7 – 250.1	mm	1970 – 2000	WorldClim	GHCN	F2
WS_AREA	Upstream catchment area (watershed, log-transformed)	30 – 43,496	km²		NHN		F3

ARMOR = Global Observed Ocean Physics Reprocessing; AVISO+ = Archiving, Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic data; GHCN = Global Historic Climate Network; MODIS = Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer; NHN = National Hydrographic Network; ORAP = Global Ocean Physics Reanalysis ECMWF; PISCES = Global Ocean Biogeochemistry Non-assimilative Hindcast; WOA09 = World Oceanographic Atlas 2009

**Table 2.** Summary of sampling and basic statistics. Samples were collected on adult in rivers and lakes, with exceptions († in estuaries, ‡ on juveniles).

•	•	•	,	•	,				
Region	Code	Name	LON	LAT	n	H <sub>o</sub>	H <sub>e</sub>	Polymorphic SNPs	N <sub>e</sub> (IC 95%)
Nunavik									
Hudsor	n Bay								
Sou	uthern								
	IPI	lpikituk River	-78.38	58.73	24	0.178	0.167	11,408	187.6 (3.2)
	SAP	Saputaliuk River	-78.36	58.7	17	0.19	0.171	11,139	61.9 (0.6)
	FMI	Five Mile Inlet	-78.21	58.56	24	0.163	0.168	11,739	105.0 (1.0)
Nor	thern								
	KOA	Korak River	-77.63	60.75	28	0.181	0.183	13,015	320.9 (6.8)
	CHU	Chukotat River	-78.02	60.79	15	0.185	0.189	12,286	394.4 (21.2)
	KOV	Kovik River	-77.7	61.36	21	0.174	0.184	12,446	81.2 (0.7)
Hudsor	n Strait								
	BDE†	Déception Bay	-74.62	62.13	30	0.205	0.207	14,283	327.3 (6.0)
	DUQ	Duquet Lake	-74.53	62.06	44	0.202	0.206	14,665	446.7 (6.9)
	FRM	François- Malherbe Lake	-74.25	62.04	38	0.205	0.207	14,611	589.0 (14.0)
	DOU	Douglas Harbour	-72.65	62.06	29	0.2	0.205	13,736	170.6 (1.8)
Ungava	a Bay								
We	stern								
	PAY	Payne River	-70.7	60.01	30	0.194	0.201	13,614	96.0 (0.5)
	CHR	Red Dog River	-69.79	59.3	39	0.19	0.191	13,324	167.2 (1.3)
	VOL	Voltz River	-69.66	59.25	25	0.196	0.19	12,448	207.4 (3.3)
	HAB <sup>†</sup>	Hopes Advance Bay	-69.63	59.32	24	0.191	0.192	12,638	205.3 (3.5)
	FEU	Leaf River	-70.11	58.77	40	0.173	0.17	11,303	616.5 (17.9)
	BER	Bérard River	-69.97	58.65	36	0.202	0.208	14,279	92.0 (0.4)
Eas	stern								
	GEO	George River	-65.95	58.69	37	0.207	0.208	13,858	734.5 (22.4)
	AKI	Akilasaaluk River	-65.4	59.06	17	0.211	0.21	13,241	188.1 (3.9)
Baffin Islar	nd								
	AVA	Ava's Inlet	-72.64	64.01	23	0.187	0.189	12,871	233.3 (4.8)
	LHO	Lake Harbour	-69.82	62.82	38	0.206	0.192	13,114	297.0 (4.1)
	PRZ	Pritzler Harbour	-67.32	62.12	27	0.199	0.202	13,669	134.1 (1.3)
Labrador									
	KAM <sup>‡</sup>	Kamanatsuk River	-62.54	56.74	19	0.211	0.209	13,996	269.7 (6.9)
	ANA <sup>‡</sup>	Anaktalik River	-62.15	56.49	26	0.206	0.204	13,732	74.7 (0.4)

**Table 3.** Parameters of isolation-by-distance mixed effect models, displaying degrees of freedom (df), conditional Akaike information criteria (cAIC) and marginal R-Squared (R<sup>2</sup>m) compared to the null model.

	All sites			Sites within 250 km of Hudson Strait			
	df	cAIC	R <sup>2</sup> m	df	cAIC	R <sup>2</sup> m	
FST~0	20.25	-501.20		8.38	-133.68		
FST ~ DIST	21.91	-761.68	0.661	9.25	-142.54	0.298	
FST ~ DIST + CROSSHS	22.74	-761.35	0.660	10.57	-163.65	0.447	
FST ~ DIST * CROSSHS	23.75	-761.59	0.659	11.68	-171.01	0.464	

Figure 1: Sampling locations in Nunavik (Québec) and bordering regions. Red extents numbered 1 to 6 are magnified to show neighboring sampling locations. Catchment area for each site is displayed in green.




Figure 2: (A) Population structure assessed by a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). Individual scores on PCoA axes 1 and 2 are presented as points and colored by geographical region. An ellipse representing a 95% confidence interval was drawn around each sampling site. The percentage of genetic variance explained by each axis is in parentheses. (B) Pairwise  $F_{ST}$  between neighboring sampling sites are shown, with line thickness proportional to values. Red extent is magnified to contrast  $F_{ST}$  between Leaf (FEU) and Bérard (BER) rivers (pairwise  $F_{ST}$  = 0.135). Other pairs of grouped sampling sites had their  $F_{ST}$  values averaged for better visualization.




Figure 3: (A) Results of the hierarchical Bayesian clustering analysis implemented in ADMIXTURE for a number of genetic clusters (K) of 4, 6 and 13 (see Figure S3 for K = 2 to 16). Lower rows display the results for separate analyses on sampling sites sharing similar membership to clusters at K = 13, which yielded the lowest cross-validation error (CV). (B) Results of ADMIXTURE for K = 4 clusters, with individual ancestry averaged by sampling site and represented by pie charts. Approximative extent of glaciers, adapted from Dyke (2004), are represented by blue dashed lines for 6,000 - 11,000 years before present (BP).




Figure 4: Individual proportion of heterozygous SNP markers in sampling sites, ordered following the coast from west to east, and colored by region. For each boxplot, bold line indicates mean, the box limits  $25^{th}$  and  $75^{th}$  percentile, and whiskers represent  $10^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  percentile. Letters indicate group membership based on a comparison of least square means in a mixed-effect model (alpha = 0.05).




Figure 5: Isolation-by-distance represented by relation between marine distances and linearized pairwise  $F_{ST}$ , estimated between pairs of populations separated by Hudson Strait (red points) and on the same coast (blue triangles). Regression lines and 95% confidence intervals are plotted for mixed-effect models with fixed effects including marine distance and crossing of Hudson Strait. Models were fitted using (A) all sampling sites and (B) only sampling sites within 250 km of Hudson Strait.




Figure 6: (A) Percentage of genetic variation explained by spatial (orange), marine (blue) and freshwater (green) factors in a redundancy analysis (RDA). Triplots for (B) axes 1 and 2, and (C) axes 2 and 3 in a RDA excluding spatial components. The dark grey cloud of points at the center of each plot represents the SNPs, and coloured points represent sampling sites with color coding by region. Triplots are magnified to highlight SNP loadings on (D) RDA axes 1 and 2, and (E) axes 2 and 3. Candidate SNPs are shown as colored points with coding by most highly correlated environmental predictor (see text for description). Vectors represent environmental predictors, according to the scales on top and right axes.




Figure 7: Intersection of candidate SNPs detected by three GEA methods. For each intersection, the box indicates the distribution of environmental components associated to candidate SNPs.




Figure 8: Allele frequency distribution of four candidate SNPs detected in GEA. Environmental components significantly associated to candidate SNPs are listed for each GEA method.

