Title: Scarless removal of large resistance island AbaR results in antibiotic susceptibility and

increased natural transformability in *Acinetobacter baumannii.*

Running title: Scarless removal of AbaR

Authors: Anne-Sophie Godeux[a,b], Elin Svedholm[a], Agnese Lupo[c], Marisa Haenni[c], Maria-

Halima Laaberki[a,b,#,*], Xavier Charpentier[a,#].

Affiliations :

a. CIRI, Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie, Univ Lyon, Inserm, U1111,

Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR5308, ENS de Lyon, 69100, Villeurbanne,

France

b. Université de Lyon, VetAgro Sup, 69280 Marcy l'Etoile, France.

c. Unité Antibiorésistance et Virulence Bactériennes, Université de Lyon - ANSES Site de

Lyon, Lyon, France

# Contributed equally

* Correspondence to Maria-Halima Laaberki, maria-halima.laaberki@vetagro-sup.fr

ABSTRACT

With a great diversity in gene composition including multiple putative antibiotic-resistance genes, AbaR islands are potential contributors to multi-drug resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. However, the effective contribution of AbaR to antibiotic resistance and bacterial physiology remains elusive. To address this, we sought to accurately remove AbaR islands and restore the integrity of their insertion site. To this end, we devised a versatile scarless genome editing strategy. We performed this genetic modification in two recent *A. baumannii* clinical strains: the strain AB5075 and the nosocomial strain AYE which carry AbaR11 and AbaR1 islands of 19.7 kbp and 86.2 kbp, respectively. Antibiotic susceptibilities were then compared between the parental strains and their AbaR-cured derivatives. As anticipated by the predicted function of the ORF of this island, the antibiotic resistance profiles were identical between the wild type and the AbaR11-cured AB5075 strains. In contrast, AbaR1 carries 25 ORFs with a predicted resistance to several classes of antibiotics and the AYE AbaR1-cured derivative showed restored susceptibility to multiple classes of antibiotics. Moreover, curing of AbaRs restored high levels of natural transformability. Indeed, most AbaR islands are inserted into the *comM* gene involved in natural transformation. Our data indicate that AbaR insertion effectively inactivates *comM* and that the restored *comM* is functional. Curing of AbaR consistently resulted in highly transformable, and therefore, easily genetically tractable strains. Emendation of AbaR provides insight into the functional consequences of AbaR acquisition.

INTRODUCTION

Acinetobacter baumannii is responsible for healthcare-associated infections and concerns because of its intrinsic resistance to many antimicrobials and its ability to acquire resistance genes (1,2). A potential contributor to multi-drug resistance in *A. baumannii* is a genomic island, named AbaR, with a great diversity in gene content and carrying multiple putative antibiotic-resistance genes (3,4). The first report of AbaR described a large genomic island of 86 kbp in the epidemic *A. baumannii* strain AYE in 2006 (5). Since then, analysis of more than 3000 *A. baumannii* genomes deposited in the NCBI database revealed that AbaR are present in nearly 65% of them (4). With a transposon backbone, AbaR islands are considered as mobile genetic elements and present a modular structure (3,6–8). Although AbaR may contain up to 25 putative antibiotic-resistance genes, most AbaR carry three to four genes predicted to confer resistance mainly to aminoglycosides and tetracycline and with a median

length of 20 kbp (4,9). Despite increasing genomic data, the actual contribution of AbaR-type

islands to antibiotic resistance has not been fully evaluated. Deletion mutants of the AbaR23

island were previously obtained and suggested a paradoxical role of AbaR in increasing

susceptibility to ciprofloxacin (9). However, deletions of AbaR23 was obtained by allelic

replacement of AbaR23 with the *aacC1* cassette conferring resistance to gentamicin and

confounded susceptibility testing against aminoglycosides. In addition, the original insertion

site of AbaR was not restored which prevented analysis of the physiological consequence of

the insertion of AbaR in the targeted gene. AbaR islands have been found to insert into 51

chromosome-borne loci, yet the overwhelming majority of AbaR islands are found inserted in

the *comM* gene (4). This later gene encodes for a putative ATPase involved in natural

transformation in a few transformable species including non-pathogenic *Acinetobacter baylyi*

(10,11). Natural transformation allows bacteria to actively import exogenous DNA and, if the

sequence of the imported DNA presents sufficient identity, it integrates the recipient cell's

chromosome by homologous recombination (12,13). Interestingly, the *comM* gene is not

strictly essential for transformation but its mutation decreases the transformation efficiency by

two orders of magnitude (10,11). *A. baumannii* and related species *A. nosocomialis* were

described as transformable in 2013 (14,15). In *A. baumannii*, natural transformation appears

as a conserved trait among clinical and non-clinical isolates of human and animal origin

(14,16), providing the bacteria with a major route for acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes.

In this study, we aim at assessing the contribution of AbaR islands to antibiotic resistance of

two human clinical strains of the main Global Clone 1 bearing small and large AbaRs. We

also analyzed the consequences of AbaR-mediated *comM* gene disruption on the

transformation efficiency of these strains. We bring evidence that interruption of the *comM*

gene by AbaR results in a decrease in natural transformation. Using genetic approaches, we

further confirm a role for the *comM* gene in natural transformation in *A. baumannii*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, typing and growth conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. Unless specified, *A. baumannii*

isolates and strains were grown in lysogeny broth (LB) (Lennox). All experiments were

performed at 37°C. Antibiotic concentrations in selective media were 30 µg/mL for apramycin

and 100 µg/mL for rifampicin.

Construction of bacterial strains

All oligonucleotides used in this study for genetic modification are listed in supplementary
Table S2. Gene disruptions were performed using overlap extension PCR to synthesize large
chimeric DNA fragments carrying the selection marker flanked by 2 kbp-long fragments that
are homologous to sequence flanking the insertion site. The PCRs were performed with a
high-fidelity DNA polymerase (PrimeStarMax, Takara) (see section *Detailed protocol for*
chimeric PCR for mutation in A. baumannii in the supplemental material) . When used as
template for the generation of PCR products, genomic DNA was extracted and purified using
the Wizard® Genomic DNA purification kit (Promega) following the manufacturer's guidelines.
The AB5075 wild type strain was naturally transformed with an assembly PCR product to
generate the AB5075 *comM::sacB_aac* strain. The AYE *comM::sacB_aac* was then obtained
by transforming the AYE wild type strain with genomic DNA extracted from the AB5075
comM::sacB_aac strain. For both strains, transformants were selected on LB plates
supplemented with apramycin and susceptibility to sucrose was verified on M63 plates
supplemented with 10% sucrose. The AB5075 and AYE *comM::sacB_aac* strains were
naturally transformed with an assembly PCR product (obtained from assembly PCR on wild
type genomic DNA) to generate their -T and ∆*comM* derivatives. Transformants were
selected on M63 plates supplemented with 10% sucrose and susceptibility to apramycin was
verified on LB plates supplemented with apramycin. All chromosomal modifications were
verified in transformants using colony PCR (cf. Table S2). Removal of AbaR and restoration
of the *comM* gene were verified by Sanger sequencing.

The AB5075 rifampicin resistant (RifR) strain was generated by selecting spontaneous
mutants after plating an overnight culture of the AB5075 wild type strain on plates
supplemented with rifampicin.

Antibiotic susceptibilities testing

Susceptibility of the strains to a panel of 15 antibiotics (ticarcillin, ticarcillin-clavulanic acid,
piperacillin, piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime, cefepime, meropenem, imipenem,
gentamicin, tobramycin, amikacin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, aztreonam and sulfonamide)
was evaluated by disc diffusion on Muller-Hinton agar (Biorad, France) following the CA-SFM
2013 recommendations

(https://resapath.anses.fr/resapath_uploadfiles/files/Documents/2013_CASFM.pdf). Inhibition
values were interpreted according to CA-SFM 2013 breakpoints for all antibiotics but
aztreonam, for which *Pseudomonas* spp. breakpoints are given. To compare tetracycline
susceptibility (as resistance marker of AbaR1) susceptibilities of wild type AYE and deleted
AbaR1 mutant were compared by E-test (bioMérieux, France). The strain *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa CIP 7110 was used as control.

Transformation assay

The method used in this study was described in (16) with the following modifications. After
overnight incubation at 37°C on Lennox Broth agar, the strains were cultured for a few hours
in 2 mL of Lennox Broth (LB) until an OD600nm of at least 1. The bacterial broths were then
diluted to an OD600nm of 0.01 in PBS. Then, small aliquots of bacterial suspensions (5-10 µl)
were mixed with DNA substrate. To measure transformation frequency, a final PCR
concentration of 50 ng/µl was used. The mixtures (2.5 µl) were deposited on the surface of 1
ml of transformation medium (2% agarose D3 (Euromexdex), 5g/L of tryptone, 2,5g/L NaCl)
/poured in 2-mL micro tubes and incubated overnight at 37°C. The next day, bacteria were
recovered by resuspension in 300 µl of PBS. For genome edition experiments, the
suspensions were spread on selective media (see *Construction of bacterial strains* section for
details). To measure transformation frequency the bacterial suspensions were serial diluted
and spread on LB agar plate without antibiotic or supplemented with rifampicin. All the
transformation assays were performed on at least two separate experiments. On each
occasion, at least three independent transformation reactions were conducted (three different
transformation tubes). All the independent data points are plotted. As normality of the
distribution of transformation frequency does not apply for transformation frequency analysis,
non-parametric tests were performed (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Curing AbaR in A. baumannii using a scarless genome editing strategy

In order to cure *A. baumannii* of the AbaR island while restoring the original insertion site, we
devised a scarless genome editing strategy. In contrast to other methods, the method does
not require cloning, or prior genetic engineering of the target strain (17–19). Rather, it relies
on the use of chimeric PCR products and takes advantage of natural transformation, a
phenotypic trait exhibited by most isolates of *A. baumannii*. The method is based on a two-

step selection (Fig. 1), first the introduction of a selectable/counter-selectable *sacB_aac*
cassette at the loci of interest (Fig. 1B), then the replacement of the cassette by the desired
sequence (Fig. 1C). First, a chimeric PCR product is assembled, consisting of the *sacB_aac*
cassette bearing a selection marker (*aac(3)IV)* conferring resistance to apramycin, flanked by
2 kb-long sequences identical to the target region (Fig. 1B). This chimeric PCR product is
introduced by natural transformation and *A. baumannii* transformants are selected using
apramycin, an antibiotic to which most clinical isolates are sensitive. A second PCR product is
assembled, still carrying the homologous flanking regions but whose sequence is designed to
produce deletion, insertion or single-base mutation (deletion in Fig.1C). Genetic replacement
and the loss of previously inserted *sacB* gene is then selected using sucrose resistance
selection. We exemplified the method by deleting AbaR11 in strain AB5075. The strain
AB5075 is an *A. baumannii* ST1 strain isolated from a bone infection in 2008 with multidrug
resistance to eight antibiotic classes (aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, beta-lactams
including penicillins, third and fourth generation cephalosporins, and carbapenems) (20). This
strain bears a 19.7 kbp-long AbaR11 inserted in the *comM* gene with 19 predicted genes
corresponding to five modules found in other AbaRs (Fig. 2A) (9,21,22). In a previous study,
we identified the strain AB5075 as naturally transformable (16). Using natural transformation
of PCR chimeric products, the AbaR island was replaced upon genetic recombination by the
sacB_aac cassette (Fig. 2B). Then, the strain bearing the *sacB_aac* cassette in the *comM*
gene was subjected to transformation with an assembly PCR encompassing the insertion
region cured of AbaR thereby carrying an intact *comM* gene. Then we performed genetic
analysis of sucrose-resistant and apramycin-susceptible clones to select an AbaR-cured
strain (named AB5075-R) with a restored *comM* gene presumably encoding a full-length
ComM protein (Fig. 2C).
186
Resistance profiles associated with AbaR curing in two clinically relevant MDR strains
We then sought to investigate the role of AbaR island in the multi-drug resistance profile of
strain AB5075. With 19.7 kbp, AbaR11 falls in the median size of AbaRs (4). This AbaR
contains 19 ORFs with, besides transposon-related proteins, seven ORFs predicted to confer
resistance to metals, three of unknown function and one (*sup*) related to sulfonamide
resistance encoding a putative sulfate permease present in the K-module found in most
AbaRs (Fig. 2A). Antibiotic susceptibilities were thus compared between the AB5075 parental
strain and its AbaR-cured derivative, denoted AB5075-T (Table 1). As anticipated, the

resistance profiles to 15 antibiotics belonging to five antibiotic classes were identical between
the wild type and its AbaR-cured derivative including to sulfonamides. We then investigated
the contribution to antibiotic resistance of a larger AbaR found only in another *A. baumannii*
ST1 strain, the clinical strain AYE resistant to aminoglycosides, ß-lactams (excluding
imipenem, piperacillin-tazobactam, and ticarcillin-clavulanate), fluoroquinolones, and
tetracycline and was of intermediate susceptibility to rifampicin (5,23). This strain carries the
largest AbaR described so far, AbaR1, with 86 kbp-long, containing 25 genes putatively
involved in resistance to antibiotic of several classes. By exploiting natural transformation of
the strain AYE (16), we cured this strain of its AbaR1 island and compared the resistance
profiles of the parental strain and its AbaR-cured AYE-T derivative (Table 1). In contrast to
strain AB5075, curing the AbaR island from the strain AYE resulted restored susceptibility to
aminoglycosides, tetracycline, sulfonamide and to some ß-lactams. A partial decreased
resistance to ticarcillin (carboxypenicillin), ceftazidime (3GC), cefepime (4GC), aztreonam
(monobactam) was also observed. The resistance *armamentarium* conferred by the 86 kbp-
long AbaR1 may be attributed to the *aadB*, *aac6*, *aac3* and *aadDA1* genes for the resistance
to amikacin, tobramycin and gentamicin (aminoglycosides), to the two *tetA-R* genes for
tetracycline resistance and, to five copies of the *sulI* gene for the sulfonamide resistance (5).
Partial loss in resistance to ticarcillin, ceftazidime, cefepime and aztreonam may be mainly
attributed to loss of the bla_{VEB-1} gene and to a lesser extent the bla_{oxa-10} gene, this latter
conferring resistance to all ß-lactams but carbapenems and extended spectrum
cephalosporins (5,23). Only a partial loss of resistance to beta-lactams upon AbaR1 curing is
likely explained by the additional resistance mechanisms of the AYE strain possess, in
particular the over-expression of the bla_{ADC} chromosomal cephalosporinase or from other
genomic locations with the prediction of a new class A ß-lactamase on AYE chromosome
(5,24). Contrarily to a previous report, we did not observe for both strains an increased
resistance to fluoroquinolone of the AbaR-cured strains (9).
221
Curing of AbaR and repair of the comM gene result in increased transformation ability
Among the 51 chromosome-borne insertion loci, AbaR islands are predominantly inserted in
the *comM* gene (4). In the non-pathogenic species *A. baylyi*, a mutation of this latter gene is
associated with a reduced transformability (10). We therefore pursued by investigating the
role of *comM* in *A. baumannii* and the physiological consequence of AbaR-island disruption of
this gene. To do so, we performed transformation assays comparing the levels of

transformation efficiency between the wild type and AbaR-cured strains (Fig. 3). For both
clinical strains, curing AbaR and thus restoring the *comM* gene increased significantly the
ability to acquire a genetic marker with a mean increase of about a 1000-fold in strain
AB5075-T (Fig. 3A) and of about 300-fold in strain AYE-T (Fig. 3B). With one bacterium out of
100 that performed natural transformation, strain AB5075-T presented the highest
transformation ability (Fig. 3A). Using the same genome-editing strategy as depicted in Fig. 1,
we generated markerless deletions of the *comM* gene (Δ*comM*) in both *A. baumannii* clinical
strains. Consistently, deletion of the *comM* gene in both strains resulted in transformation
levels comparable to levels observed for the wild type strains bearing a genuine insertion in
the *comM* gene by the AbaR island (Fig. 3A and B). Thus, prior to acquisition of AbaR, both
strains were transformable at higher levels, and the subsequent disruption generates a
transformation defects that is consistent with previous observations made in other species or
genus (10,11). The data show that the AbaR-inactivated *comM* gene, once cured of AbaR, is
still functional. This suggests that it has not been affected by mutational drifts, confirming that
acquisition of AbaR occurred relatively recently (22).

The fact that acquisition of the AbaR element in the *comM* gene alters the natural
transformability of *A. baumannii* and its capacity for further acquisitions by natural
transformation is intriguing. The significance of lower transformability is not known but
theoretical work suggest that intermediate transformation rates allows bacteria to maximize
fitness in fluctuating, stressful environments such as repeated antibiotic stress (25). Our
results then suggest that acquisition of AbaRs, and associated resistance, provide a short-
term benefit in environment with constant exposure to antibiotics but may ultimately lead to an
evolutionary dead end.

CONCLUSION

By exploiting natural transformation, we setup a genetic system to easily edit the genome of
A. baumannii. We used this strategy to perform small gene deletion but also to cure large
genomic islands in two MDR clinical *A. baumannii* strains. Moving beyond predicted
resistance, this system allowed experimental determination of the antibiotic resistance
conferred by the islands. We conclude that acquisition of AbaR islands may increase *A.*
baumannii strains resistance to antibiotics but it comes at the cost of decreasing the cell
ability to perform natural transformation by disrupting the *comM* gene. Thus, acquisition of
AbaR may impact genome evolution of *A. baumannii*. From an applied perspective, the

simultaneous deletion of AbaR and restoration of the *comM* function in two clinically relevant

strains made them even more genetically amenable, blazing the trail for mutational analysis of

these model *A. baumannii* strains.

Funding Information

This work was supported by the LABEX ECOFECT (ANR-11-LABX-0048) of Université de

Lyon, within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007) operated by the

French National Research Agency (ANR). ASG and MHL were also supported by *Programme*

Jeune Chercheur from VetAgro Sup. AL and MH were supported by the French Agency for

Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES).

Acknowledgments

We thank the LABEX ECOFECT for building an "ecofectian" community.

References

1.　　Dijkshoorn L, Nemec A, Seifert H. An increasing threat in hospitals: multidrug-resistant

Acinetobacter baumannii. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2007 Dec;5(12):939–951.

2.　　WHO, news release. WHO publishes list of bacteria for which new antibiotics are

urgently　　　　needed　　　　[Internet].　　　　2017.　　　　Available　　　　from:

http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2017/bacteria-antibiotics-needed/en/

3.　　Bonnin RA, Poirel L, Nordmann P. AbaR-type transposon structures in Acinetobacter

baumannii. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012 Jan;67(1):234–236.

4.　　Bi D, Xie R, Zheng J, Yang H, Zhu X, Ou H-Y, et al. Large-Scale Identification of

AbaR-Type Genomic Islands in Acinetobacter baumannii Reveals Diverse Insertion Sites and

Clonal Lineage-Specific Antimicrobial Resistance Gene Profiles. Antimicrob Agents

Chemother. 2019 Apr 1;63(4):e02526-18.

5.　　Fournier P-E, Vallenet D, Barbe V, Audic S, Ogata H, Poirel L, et al. Comparative

genomics of multidrug resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii. PLoS Genet. 2006 Jan;2(1):e7.

6.　　Šeputienė V, Povilonis J, Sužiedėlienė E. Novel Variants of AbaR Resistance Islands

with a Common Backbone in Acinetobacter baumannii Isolates of European Clone II.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012 Jan 4;56(4):1969–73.

7.   Kim DH, Choi J-Y, Kim HW, Kim SH, Chung DR, Peck KR, et al. Spread of
Carbapenem-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Global Clone 2 in Asia and AbaR-Type
Resistance Islands. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013 Nov;57(11):5239–5246.

8.   Post V, White PA, Hall RM. Evolution of AbaR-type genomic resistance islands in
multiply antibiotic-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010
Jun;65(6):1162–1170.

9.   Kochar M, Crosatti M, Harrison EM, Rieck B, Chan J, Constantinidou C, et al. Deletion
of TnAbaR23 results in both expected and unexpected antibiogram changes in a multidrug-
resistant Acinetobacter baumannii strain. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012
Apr;56(4):1845–1853.

10.   Nero TM, Dalia TN, Wang JC-Y, Kysela DT, Bochman ML, Dalia AB. ComM is a
hexameric helicase that promotes branch migration during natural transformation in diverse
Gram-negative species. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. [cited 2018 Jun 18]; Available from:
https://academic-oup-com.gate2.inist.fr/nar/advance-article/doi/10.1093/nar/gky343/4990784

11.   Gwinn ML, Ramanathan R, Smith HO, Tomb JF. A new transformation-deficient
mutant of Haemophilus influenzae Rd with normal DNA uptake. J Bacteriol. 1998
Feb;180(3):746–748.

12.   Johnston C, Martin B, Fichant G, Polard P, Claverys J-P. Bacterial transformation:
distribution, shared mechanisms and divergent control. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2014
Mar;12(3):181–196.

13.   Dubnau D, Blokesch M. Mechanisms of DNA Uptake by Naturally Competent Bacteria.
Annu Rev Genet. 2019 03;53:217–37.

14.   Wilharm G, Piesker J, Laue M, Skiebe E. DNA uptake by the nosocomial pathogen
Acinetobacter baumannii occurs during movement along wet surfaces. J Bacteriol. 2013
Sep;195(18):4146–4153.

15.   Harding CM, Tracy EN, Carruthers MD, Rather PN, Actis LA, Munson RS.
Acinetobacter baumannii strain M2 produces type IV pili which play a role in natural
transformation and twitching motility but not surface-associated motility. mBio.
2013;4(4):e00360–13.

16.   Godeux A-S, Lupo A, Haenni M, Guette-Marquet S, Wilharm G, Laaberki M-H, et al.
Fluorescence-Based Detection of Natural Transformation in Drug-Resistant Acinetobacter
baumannii. J Bacteriol. 2018 Oct 1;200(19):e00181-18.

17.   Trebosc V, Gartenmann S, Royet K, Manfredi P, Tötzl M, Schellhorn B, et al. A Novel
Genome-Editing Platform for Drug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Reveals an AdeR-
Unrelated Tigecycline Resistance Mechanism. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
2016;60(12):7263–71.

18.   Wang Y, Wang Z, Chen Y, Hua X, Yu Y, Ji Q. A Highly Efficient CRISPR-Cas9-Based
Genome Engineering Platform in Acinetobacter baumannii to Understand the H2O2-Sensing
Mechanism of OxyR. Cell Chem Biol. 2019 Dec 19;26(12):1732-1742.e5.

19.   Biswas I. Genetic tools for manipulating Acinetobacter baumannii genome: an
overview. J Med Microbiol. 2015 Jul 1;64(7):657–69.

20.   Jacobs AC, Thompson MG, Black CC, Kessler JL, Clark LP, McQueary CN, et al.
AB5075, a Highly Virulent Isolate of Acinetobacter baumannii, as a Model Strain for the
Evaluation of Pathogenesis and Antimicrobial Treatments. mBio. 2014;5(3):e01076–14.

21.   Gallagher LA, Ramage E, Weiss EJ, Radey M, Hayden HS, Held KG, et al. Resources
for Genetic and Genomic Analysis of Emerging Pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii. J
Bacteriol. 2015 May;197(12):2027–2035.

22.   Hamidian M, Hall RM. The AbaR antibiotic resistance islands found in Acinetobacter
baumannii global clone 1 – Structure, origin and evolution. Drug Resist Updat. 2018 Nov
1;41:26–39.

23.   Poirel L, Menuteau O, Agoli N, Cattoen C, Nordmann P. Outbreak of Extended-
Spectrum β-Lactamase VEB-1-Producing Isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii in a French
Hospital. J Clin Microbiol. 2003 Aug;41(8):3542–7.

24.   Coyne S, Guigon G, Courvalin P, Périchon B. Screening and quantification of the
expression of antibiotic resistance genes in Acinetobacter baumannii with a microarray.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010 Jan;54(1):333–40.

25.   Carvalho G, Fouchet D, Danesh G, Godeux A-S, Laaberki M-H, Pontier D, et al.
Bacterial Transformation Buffers Environmental Fluctuations through the Reversible
Integration of Mobile Genetic Elements. mBio. 2020 Mar 3;11(2).

Table 1. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of *A. baumannii* strains AB5075 and AYE deleted of

their AbaR island (-T) in comparison to the wild type strains (WT).

Antibiotics[a]	Inhibition zone diameter in mm (category[b])			
	AB5075 WT	AB5075-T	AYE WT	AYE-T
AMK	20 (S)	20 (S)	8 (R)	21 (S)
GEN	11 (R)	12 (R)	6 (R)	19 (S)
TOB	10 (R)	10 (R)	6 (R)	18 (S)
CIP	6 (R)	6 (R)	6 (R)	6 (R)
PIP	6 (R)	6 (R)	6 (R)	6 (R)
TZP	6 (R)	6 (R)	14 (R)	15 (R)
TIC	6 (R)	6 (R)	6 (R)	19 (I)
TIM	6 (R)	6 (R)	15 (I)	18 (I)
CAZ	6 (R)	6 (R)	6 (R)	11 (R)
FEP	6 (R)	6 (R)	6 (R)	16 (I)
IMP	6 (R)	6 (R)	28 (S)	28 (S)
MEM	ND	ND	20 (S)	22 (S)
ATM	6 (R)	6 (R)	6 (R)	11(R)
SUF	6 (R)	6 (R)	6	23
TET	18 (S)	18 (S)	ND	ND
TET (E-test)[c]	ND	ND	128	8

4
[a] Abbreviations. AMK: Amikacin, GEN: Gentamicin, TOB: Tobramycin, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, PIP:

Piperacillin, TZP: Piperacillin + tazobactam, TIC: Ticarcillin, TIM: TIC + clavulanic acid, CAZ:

Ceftazidime, FEP: Cefepime, IMP: Imipenem, MEM: Meropenem, ATM: Aztreonam, TET:

Tetracycline, SUF: sulfonamide.

[b] Categorization (R: resistant, S: susceptible) is detailed in the materials and methods section.

[c] E-test strips were used to determine the MICs of tetracycline for the strains AYE and AYE-T.

ND: Note Done.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. PCR-based genome editing of transformable *A. baumannii*

Schematic representations of steps for gene inactivation (dark blue gene) using natural

transformation (A) Annealing sites for primers of PCR assemblies on their respective

templates: either *A. baumannii* genomic DNA for amplifications of 2 kbp upstream and

downstream of the deleted region (Δ) or the laboratory plasmid pMHL-2 that bears the

counter-selectable cassette (light blue) with a *sacB* gene conferring sucrose sensitivity and

the *aac(3)IV* gene encoding for resistance to apramycin. Note that primers P2, P3 and P7

share annealing sequences with primers P5, P6 and P8 respectively. (B) Chimeric PCR

product (orange) obtained after two steps PCR assembly of products [P1 + P2] with [P5 + P6]

and [P3 + P4]. This chimeric product bears the *sacB_aac* cassette flanked by two 2 kbp-long

regions of homology with the recipient cell's genome (grey areas). These homologous regions

allow the recombination of the chimeric PCR product into the recipient cell's genome

resulting, upon apramycin selection of the transformants, in the intermediary genotype

represented in C. (C) Chimeric PCR product obtained after two steps assembly PCR of

products [P1 + P8] with [P7 + P4] bearing the deleted gene flanked by homologous regions

(grey areas) allowing recombination and replacement of the counter-selectable cassette in the

intermediary recipient genome. The transformants are selected based on their sucrose

resistance resulting in the final scarless edited genome (D).

Figure 2. Genetic engineering of AbaR curing in *A. baumannii*

Schematic representations of (A) AbaR11 inserted in the *comM* gene in *A. baumannii* AB5075

genome. All the sequences and genes in grey color belong to the wild type AB5075

chromosome, the interrupted *comM* gene is however highlighted in dark blue. For the AbaR

island, modules are labeled following previous convention (9). For illustration purposes, scale

of the AbaR island is not respected (B) Intermediary genetic construct with the counter-

selectable cassette replacing the AbaR11 island. (C) Final genetic structure of the locus after

restoring the *comM* gene integrity.

Figure 3. Transformation efficiency of *A. baumannii* strains AB5075 (A) and AYE (B) of a 3,8

kbp-long PCR product carrying a mutation in the *rpoB* gene conferring resistance to

rifampicin. For both strains, either the wild type, their "T" (ΔAbaR / repaired *comM*) or Δ*comM*

derivatives were tested. At least seven independent transformation assays were performed

on at least two separate occasions represented by separate dots and corresponding boxplots.

All results were above the limit of detection (10^{-8}). Pairwise comparisons using the non-

parametric Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (two tailed) gave at least *p*-values <0.005 between

transformation efficiencies obtained for the wild type/$\Delta comM$ strains and the T-derivatives.

Figure 1. PCR-based genome editing of transformable *A. baumannii*

Schematic representations of steps for gene inactivation (dark blue gene) using natural transformation (A) Annealing sites for primers of PCR assemblies on their respective templates: either *A. baumannii* genomic DNA for amplifications of 2 kbp upstream and downstream of the deleted region (Δ) or the laboratory plasmid pMHL-2 that bears the counter-selectable cassette (light blue) with a *sacB* gene conferring sucrose sensitivity and the *aac(3)IV* gene encoding for resistance to apramycin. Note that primers P2, P3 and P7 share annealing sequences with primers P5, P6 and P8 respectively. (B) Chimeric PCR product (orange) obtained after two steps PCR assembly of products [P1 + P2] with [P5 + P6] and [P3 + P4]. This chimeric product bears the *sacB_aac* cassette flanked by two 2 kbp-long regions of homology with the recipient cell's genome (grey areas). These homologous regions allow the recombination of the chimeric PCR product into the recipient cell's genome resulting, upon apramycin selection of the transformants, in the intermediary genotype represented in C. (C) Chimeric PCR product obtained after two steps assembly PCR of products [P1 + P8] with [P7 + P4] bearing the deleted gene flanked by homologous regions (grey areas) allowing recombination and replacement of the counter-selectable cassette in the intermediary recipient genome. The transformants are selected based on their sucrose resistance resulting in the final scarless edited genome (D).

Figure 2. Genetic engineering of AbaR curing in *A. baumannii.*
Schematic representations of (A) AbaR11 inserted in the *comM* gene in *A. baumannii* AB5075
genome. All the sequences and genes in grey color belong to the AB5075 WT chromosome,
the interrupted *comM* gene is however highlighted in dark blue. For the AbaR island, modules
are labeled following previous convention (9). For illustration purposes, scale of the AbaR
island is not respected (B) Intermediary genetic construct with the counter-selectable cassette
replacing the AbaR11 island. (C) Final genetic structure of the locus after restoring the *comM*
gene integrity.

Figure 3. Transformation efficiency of *A. baumannii* strains AB5075 (A) and AYE (B) of a 3,8 kbp-long PCR product carrying a mutation in the *rpoB* gene conferring resistance to rifampicin. For both strains, either the wild type, their "T" (ΔAbaR / repaired *comM*) or Δ*comM* derivatives were tested. At least seven independent transformation assays were performed on at least two separate occasions represented by separate dots and corresponding boxplots. All results were above the limit of detection (10^{-8}). Pairwise comparisons using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (two tailed) gave at least *p*-values <0.005 between transformation efficiencies obtained for the wild type/Δ*comM* strains and the T-derivatives.