Dopamine neurons promotes wakefulness via the DopR receptor in the Drosophila mushroom body.

Authors: Margaret Driscoll[1], Steven Buchert[2], Victoria Coleman[1], Morgan McLaughlin[1], Amanda Nguyen[1], and Divya Sitaraman[1,2*]

1. Department of Psychological Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, 5998 Alcala Park, University of San Diego, San Diego, CA 92110

2. Department of Psychology, College of Science, 25800 Carlos Bee Blvd, California State University, Hayward, CA 94542

Correspondence should be addressed to: dsitaramanlab@gmail.com or divya.sitaraman@csueastbay.edu

Abstract:

Neural circuits involved in regulation of sleep play a critical role in sleep-wake transition and ability of an organism to engage in other behaviors critical for survival. The fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster is a powerful system for the study of sleep and circuit mechanisms underlying sleep and co-regulation of sleep with other behaviors. In *Drosophila*, two neuropils in the central brain, mushroom body (MB) and central complex (CX) have been shown to influence sleep homeostasis and receive neuromodulator input critical to sleep-wake switch.

Dopamine neurons (DANs) are the primary neuromodulator inputs to the MB but the mechanisms by which they regulate sleep- and wake-promoting neurons within MB are unknown. Here we investigate the role of subsets of DANs that signal wakefulness and project to wake-promoting compartments of the MB. We find that inhibition of specific subsets of PAM and PPL1 DANs projecting to the MB increase sleep in the presence of strong wake-inducing stimuli that reduces GABA transmission, although activity of these neurons is not directly modulated by GABA signaling. Of these subsets we find that DANs innervating the γ5 and β′2 MB compartments require both DopR1 and DopR2 receptors located in downstream Kenyon cells and mushroom body output neurons (MBONs). Further, we report that unlike the activity of wake-promoting MBONs and KCs, whose activity is modulated by sleep-need and PAM-DAN activity is independent of sleep-need. We have characterized a dopamine mediated sleep-circuit providing an inroad into understanding how common circuits within MB regulate sleep, wakefulness and behavioral arousal.

Introduction:

Sleep, wakefulness and arousal represent internal states that control multiple physiological and behavioral processes. Transition between these states and persistence of these individual states involves neural circuits that are dispersed throughout the brain and interact with systems involved in controlling hunger, goal-directed behavior and memory formation.

Sleep and wakefulness are regulated by two processes, known as process S and process C, where Process S is a homeostatic process by which sleep pressure increases during wakefulness and decreases during sleep, and process C is a circadian process that is controlled by circadian pacemaker circuits of the brain and determines the propensity to sleep during specific times of the day and night.[1-3] The process S and C model has provided a critical framework but regulation of sleep, wakefulness and arousal goes beyond these processes. While, sleep provides many benefits, it limits the ability of the organism to engage in other behaviors critical for survival. Sleep and wakefulness are actively balanced and influenced by motivational or cognitive processes that modulate transition and persistence of these states[4,5]. Hence, there are additional processes that regulate sleep and are strongly influenced by conflicting needs (internal and external) which are not accurately represented in the existing models.

At the behavioral level motor output, attention, motivation, reward, and feeding all function on the basis of wakefulness and represent different levels of arousal and involve conserved neuromodulators like dopamine[6-8], serotonin[9-12] and histamine[13-16]. Neuromodulators are highly conserved across species and can target synapses by altering excitability of neurons that generate variable output from defined circuits[17-19]. Hence, a comprehensive understanding of how neuromodulators influence structures involved in sleep, wakefulness and other associated behaviors can provide an inroad into understanding the highly plastic nature of sleep regulation.

Dopamine is a wake-inducing neuromodulator in flies and mammals[20-24]. The ability to manipulate genes and genetically defined neural circuits has allowed identification of distinct sleep-wake microcircuits and their modulation by dopamine in flies and rodents[4,5,20-22,25-28]. Broad manipulations of DANs using genetic and pharmacological approaches shows that dopamine is required for wakefulness and this is further supported by increased sleep in receptors and transporters mutants[25,28].

In the most well-defined dopamine-mediated wake circuit in flies, a cluster of PPL1 neurons

project to the central complex innervating the dorsal fan-shaped body region (dfb) that has been

shown to encode sleep need and critical for sleep homeostasis. Specifically, the dorsal fan

shaped body dependent sleep switch is inhibited by dopamine input via DopR2 signaling and

altered potassium conductance[26,29,30]. Artificial PPL1 cluster activation or direct dopamine

application electrically silence sleep-promoting dfb neurons by altering the receptivity of the

dopamine arousal signal, but it's unclear if the PPL1 neurons themselves signal sleep-need

[26,29,30]. While, DA dependent mechanisms of sleep-regulation have been identified within the

CX[29] and circadian clock system[31], the widely used TH-GAL4 excludes several PAM neurons

which provide key DA inputs to MBs[32].

Targeted thermogenetic activation of DANs projecting to regions outside CX that innervate MB

(PAM and PPL1) are also wake-promoting suggesting that distinct dopamine clusters induce

wakefulness via distinct neural structures[3,33]. However, it is unclear if dopamine projections

outside the CX are also involved in sleep homeostasis and if they use the same or distinct

sleep-wake switching mechanisms.

Two separate clusters of neurons PAM and PPL (~ 130 neurons) account for the majority of

dopamine signaling in the fly brain and represent the most extensive neuromodulator input to

the MB, a key center required for associative learning in insects[34,35].

About 2000 Kenyon cells, send out parallel axonal fibers that form the MB lobes with dendrites

organized within the calyx. 22 MBONs innervate the lobes and receive input from the KC's

forming distinct compartments and each of these compartments receives modulatory input from

one or more of the 20 subsets of dopaminergic neurons (DANs)[3,36-40]. The core KC-MBON

circuits are modulated by DANs, which signal olfactory cues, satiety, wakefulness, negative and

positive valence and novelty/familiarity of stimuli[40-46]. The current model of DAN regulation

posits a model where PPL1 signal punishment or negative valence and PAM DANs signal

reward[36,44,47-50]. But there is growing evidence that DANs signal a wide-range of information to

the MB about novelty[40], satiety[41], locomotion[51,52] and sleep/activity states[3,53]. While, there is

neuroanatomical, physiological and biochemical evidence that DANs adjust and tune synaptic

weights between KCs and MBONs, the mechanisms related to receptors and downstream

signaling are not uniform across compartments[47,54,55].

In our previous work, we comprehensively identified the KCs, MBONs, and DANs that control sleep, by performing an unbiased thermogenetic activation screen using a new library of intersectional split-GAL4 lines[37,56]. We identified several classes of sleep-controlling MBONs with dendrites in distinct lobe compartments: cholinergic sleep-promoting MBON-γ2α′1, and glutamatergic wake-promoting MBON-γ5β′2a/β′2mp/β′2mp_bilateral (MBON 01, 03, and 04) and MBON-γ4 > γ1γ2 (MBON 5). The sleep effects were consistent in both males and females and we did not find any sexually dimorphic sleep phenotypes or neuroanatomical differences in GFP expression[33,56]. We also determined that α′/β′ and γm KCs are wake-promoting and γd KCs are sleep-promoting, and that α′/β′ and γm KCs promote wake by activating MBON-γ5β′2a/β′2mp/β′2mp_bilateral (MBON 01,03,04) and γd KCs promote sleep by activating MBON-γ2α′1(MBON12)[33,56]. Our screen of the MB DAN cell types, revealed that PAM DANs projecting to lobe compartments containing the dendrites of wake-promoting MBONs are wake-promoting. We also found other subsets of PPL1 DANs that were wake-promoting and project to MB compartments that did not regulate sleep based on our screen of all KCs and MBONs[3]. Taken together, DANs projecting to sleep-regulating and neutral compartments to induce wakefulness but the mechanisms by which their activity is regulated and communicated to the core MB circuitry is unknown.

In addition to dopamine, GABA signaling also modulates sleep and wake microcircuits within MB[57]. The key source of GABA in the MB is anterior paired lateral neurons, APL and dorsal paired medial neurons DPM which are electrically coupled and increase sleep by GABAergic inhibition of wake-promoting α′/β′ KCs[57]. In the context of associative learning, there is strong evidence for interactions between KCs, APL and DANs[58,59] but it is not clear if GABA and dopamine signaling represent opposing inputs to the KCs and MBONs in regulation of sleep.

Here we identify the precise circuit, cellular and molecular basis of how subsets of PAM DANs regulate wakefulness and test potential interactions between DA and GABA signaling. Specifically, we report that PAM DANs projecting to γ5, γ4 and β′2 MB compartments are required for sleep initiation and persistence and activity of PAM neurons is not directly modulated by sleep-need. Furthermore, we show that these effects are mediated by two of the four dopamine receptors, DopR1 and DopR2 that function within the KCs and MBONs of wake-regulating MB compartments.

The PAM DAN network with innervations exclusively in the MB represents a critical
neuromodulator dependent sleep node that is distinct from CX. First, unlike dopamine mediated
inhibition of sleep-promoting neurons within the dfb, the circuit we have identified is largely
excitatory and mediates wakefulness by activating key wake-promoting regions (MBONs and
KCs) of the MB. Second, the DANs projecting to CX function via DopR2 receptors, while in MB
we find a role for both DopR1 and DopR2.
The PAM-DANs projecting to γ5, γ4 and β′2 MB compartments are particularly critical in
understanding wakefulness as these neurons have been shown to be responsive to external
stimuli such as sugar reward or electric shock used to reinforce memories in a cell-type specific
manner[38,39,42]. Therefore, it's likely that differential synaptic inputs and mode of communication
to KC-MBONs via these DANs modulates MB function in promoting sleep, wakefulness or
context dependent arousal.
181
Materials and Methods

Fly stocks and rearing conditions
All Fly stocks were maintained on cornmeal-agar-molasses medium
(https://bdsc.indiana.edu/information/recipes/molassesfood.html) in 12 h light: 12 h dark
conditions at 18°C with ambient humidity of 60–70%. The light intensity in the incubator was
between 500-1200 lux measured using a luxmeter (Dr. Meter 1330B-V Digital Illuminance/Light
Meter 0-200,000 Lux, Amazon Inc).
While, the fly stocks were maintained in the molasses media described above, virgin collection,
genetic crosses and progeny collection for behavioral experiments were carried out in cornmeal
dextrose agar media (https://bdsc.indiana.edu/information/recipes/dextrosefood.html). For all
experiments 3-7-day old flies were collected and tested for behavioral experiments and
immunohistochemistry.
All fly stocks were maintained and behavioral experiments were performed in Percival Scientific
Inc. (DR36VL model) incubators. The following stocks used in the experiments were obtained
from Bloomington Drosophila Resource Center:
197
26263   w [*]; P{y[+t7.7] w[+mC] =UAS-TrpA1(B). K} attP16
66600   y [1] w [*]; PBac {y[+mDint2] w[+mC] =20XUAS-TTS-shi[ts1]-p10} VK00005
31765   y [1] v [1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8] =TRiP.HM04077} attP2
55239   y[1] sc[*] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMC02344}attP2/TM3, Sb[1]

62193 y[1] sc[*] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMC05200}attP40

26018 y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF02043}attP2

51423 y[1] sc[*] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMC02893}attP2

65997 y[1] sc[*] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMC06293}attP2

26001 y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF02025}attP2

36824 y[1] sc[*] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.GL01057}attP2

50621 y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.HMC02988}attP40

31981 y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF03415}attP2

32194 w[*]; P{y[+t7.7] w[+mC]=20XUAS-IVS-mCD8::GFP}attP2

39171 w[1118]; P{GMR57C10-GAL4} attP2

Split-GAL4 lines: MB054B, MB312B, MB196B, MB194B, MB213B, MB060B, MB209B,

MB060B, MB308B, MB438B, MB011B, MB010B, MB107B, MB298B, pBDGAL4, 58E02-GAL4,

48B04-GAL4 and 15A04-GAL4 were obtained from Dr. Yoshinori Aso and Dr. Gerry Rubin and

have been described in [3,36,37,56]. For experiments using GAL4 lines, we used BDGAL4U as a

negative control, which contains the vector backbone used to generate each GAL4 line, but

lacks any active enhancer motif to drive GAL4 expression[60,61]. Deletion mutants DopR1

(DopR1[attp]) and DopR2 (DopR2[attp]) were obtained from Todd Laverty and described in[62]. In both

these deletion lines, the first coding exon has been deleted and replaced by an attP site.

CaLexA flies (w*; P{LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8-GFP}2; P{UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT}H2,

P{lexAop-rCD2-GFP}3/TM6B, Tb1) were obtained from Dr. Jing Wang and described in[63].

Sleep assays

For sleep experiments males and females were collected 3-7 days post-eclosion and placed in

65 mm × 5 mm transparent plastic tubes with standard cornmeal dextrose agar media, placed in

a Drosophila Activity Monitoring system (Trikinetics), and locomotor activity data were collected

in 1 min bins. Activity monitors were maintained in a 12 h:12 h light-dark cycle at 65% relative

humidity, and tested flies were given 48 hours to acclimate to the light/dark cycle of the

incubator. Total 24-h sleep quantity for each day of the experiment was extracted from

locomotor activity data and sleep is defined as a contiguous period of inactivity lasting 5 min or

more. Sleep profiles were generated depicting average sleep (minutes per 30 min) for the days

of the experiment and maintained in the same tube. For CBZ experiments flies were placed on

drug food the day prior to shibire inhibition as indicated in the experimental schematics in Figure

1, 2, S2 and S3.

All dTrpA1[64] and Shibire[ts1][65] experiments were conducted using temperature shift of 21°C and

29°C and RNAi experiments were conducted at 24°C in two Percival incubators (I 36VL). For

RNAi experiments data represents an average of 2 days post-entrainment. For Shi and dTrpA1

experiments permissive temperature controls other genotypic controls were used for hit

detection as indicated. Data analysis for sleep experiments was performed using MATLAB

based software SCAMP developed by Dr. Christopher Vecsey (Skidmore College) and an

earlier version of the software was published in[66]. For all screen hits, waking activity was

calculated as the number of beam crossings/min when the fly was awake. Statistical

comparisons between experimental and control genotypes were performed using Prism 7

(Graphpad Inc, CA).

Sleep deprivation was induced by intermittent shaking of the monitors displacing the flies at

random intervals set by the DAM acquisition program as described in[33]. Sleep deprivation can

be assessed by measuring sleep during deprivation in the sleep monitors as described above.

After loading monitors, flies in the DAM monitor are placed on a horizontal vortexer. The

vortexer power cord is attached to a light controller (LC4, Trikinetics Inc) that programs the

power supply to the unit to deliver pulses that can be set using the DAM acquisition software.

The pulse length and frequency can be controlled. We use 10 seconds of shaking per minute

over a 16-hour period.

Carbamazepine and Caffeine Feeding

CBZ (Sigma-Aldrich, C4024) was dissolved in 45% (2-hydroxypropyl)-beta-cyclodextrin (Sigma-

Aldrich, H107) as described in to prepare a stock solution. For CBZ experiments, flies were

loaded in tubes containing 2% agarose (Sigma, A9539) and 5% sucrose (S0389, Sigma-Aldrich)

with 0.1 mg/ml CBZ. For caffeine feeding experiments Caffeine (Sigma-Aldrich, C0750) was

mixed into melted sucrose/agar food at a concentration of 1mg/ml. Drug feeding experiments

were conducted in sucrose-agarose media to allow for consistent mixing of the drug, which was

difficult to monitor in the standard dextrose media because of its thicker consistency and

opacity. All control (no drug) Shi[ts1] experiments were conducted in sucrose/agarose media to

account for sleep differences from different media.

IHC

Dissection and immunohistochemistry of fly brains were performed as previously described with minor modifications (https://www.janelia.org/project-team/flylight/protocols). Brains of 3–7day old male flies were dissected in 1X PBS medium (BPP3920, Fisher Sci) and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Electron Microscopy Sciences #15710) in PBT for 60 min at room temperature (RT). After washing in PBT (0.5% Triton X-100 from Sigma X100 in PBS), brains were blocked in 5% normal goat serum (NGS) (Vector Laboratories, S1000) in PBT overnight. Brains were then incubated in primary antibodies in NGS, nutated for 4 hours at room temperature, then transferred to 4°C for 2 days, washed three times in PBT for 30 min, then incubated in secondary antibodies diluted in NGS, nutated for 4 hours at room temperature, then transferred to 4°C for 2 days. Brains were washed thoroughly in PBT three times for 30 min and mounted in Vectashield (H-1000, Vector laboratories, CA) for imaging. The following antibodies were used: rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1:1000; Invitrogen), mouse anti-nc82 (1:50; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Univ. Iowa), and cross-adsorbed secondary antibodies to IgG (H+L): goat Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit (1:800; Invitrogen) and goat Alexa Fluor 568 (1:400; Invitrogen).

For CaLexA experiment, images were taken under 40X magnification and analyzed using Fiji/ImageJ (https://imagej.net/Fiji) using a Nikon A1R resonant scanning confocal microscope. All the imaging conditions were kept constant within each experiment, except for Z-start and end settings and thickness of sample images was 100-120 microns.

To measure signal intensities, a maximal intensity projection of all the slices obtained from a z-stack comprising the PAM neuron (innervation patterns) was generated for control and experimental groups (sleep-deprived, carbamazepine and caffeine treated). ROI's of projections in the MB region were manually drawn and identical in area for comparison groups. A second ROI in a region with no GFP expression was drawn and average pixel intensity was measures for all samples.

GFP signal was calculated as: Average pixel intensity of desired ROI- Average pixel intensity of background ROI. Comparison groups were scored single blind and GFP signal measured as fluorescence intensity was used for quantifying CaLexA signal.

Statistical Analysis

Different sleep measures (sleep amount, latency, activity, bout length and number of bouts) are presented as bar graphs and represent mean ± SE or. A one-way ANOVA was used for comparisons between two or more treatments or two or more genotypes and post hoc analyses were performed using Dunnett's correction. For data sets that did not follow a gaussian/normal distribution (bout numbers and bout length) we used non-parametric analysis (one-way ANOVA of ranks) and Kruskal Wallis Statistic. For comparisons of calcium levels as reported by CaLexA between genotypes or treatments, t test (two-tailed) was used. All statistical analyses and graphing were performed using Prism software (GraphPad Software 7.04; San Diego, California).

Results:

3.1 PAM and PPL1 DANs signaling is required for CBZ induced wakefulness.

The 130 MB-DANs projects to and represent the primary modulatory input to MB and subdivided into PAM, PPL1 and PPL2 neurons. PAM neurons specifically project to the horizontal lobes (β, β′, and γ); while PPL1 neurons innervate the vertical lobes (α and α′), heel, and peduncle; and PPL2ab neurons project to the calyx[32]. In an unbiased screen of all DANs projecting to the MB using a cell specific split-GAL4 library we found that acute transient thermogenetic activation using the dTRPA1 temperature-gated depolarizing cation channel reveals multiple classes of PAM and PPL1 DANs suppresses sleep[3,33]. While, it's not clear what modulates the activity of PAM and PPL1 DANs, GABA signaling suppresses sleep by APL neuron mediated inhibition of wake-regulating KCs[57]. Further, wake-promoting MBONs (MBON 01, 03, 04 and 05) are downstream of the wake-regulating KCs. Taken together, the KC-MBON network involved in wakefulness are regulated by PAM DANs and GABA signaling that regulates sleep antagonistically.

Carbamazepine or CBZ is a pharmacological agent that reduces GABAergic transmission by accelerating the desensitization of Rdl, Resistance to Dieldrin (GABA$_A$ ionotropic receptor), and shown to suppress total sleep and increase sleep latency in a dose-dependent manner[67]. Further, *Rdl^MDRR* mutants have enhanced GABAergic transmission due to altered channel properties of the Rdl receptors and exhibit shorter sleep latency and increased sleep[67]. While, the CBZ and Rdl effects on sleep are thought to be modulated by Pdf neurons[67,68], the gene *Rdl*

is expressed at high levels in the MB lobes and MBONs[41,69]. Genetic knock down of RDL

enhances learning by increasing calcium influx into Kenyon cells/MB lobes that occurs when a

fly is exposed to an odor[69,70]. Conversely, over-expression of Rdl in the MB lobes using broad

GAL4 drivers increases GABA signaling and impairs aversive olfactory learning, where flies are

conditioned to associate a neutral odor with electric shock [69,71]. Together, these data suggest

GABA and Rdl signaling is prevalent in the MB.

In order to understand potential interactions between dopamine and GABA signaling, we

inhibited synaptic output from sleep-regulating subsets of PAM and PPL1 neurons in the

presence of CBZ, a known regulator of sleep and GABA transmission [57,67]. To investigate the

role of compartment specific dopamine release by neurons we inhibited DANs by expressing

temperature sensitive dominant negative mutation of dynamin, Shibire[ts1][65]. We blocked synaptic

transmission in male and female flies from the sleep-regulating DANs in the presence and

absence of 0.1mg/ml CBZ (carbamazepine) to test if DAN signaling is required for CBZ induced

wakefulness.

We found that blocking dopamine release from smaller subsets of PPL1 neurons labelled by

MB308B (PPL1 α3 and α'3), and MB438B (PPL1 MP1) increased total sleep both daytime and

nighttime sleep, while a broader PPL1 driver MB060B (PPL1 α3, α'3, α'3α2 and γ2α'1) had

stronger effects specific to daytime sleep. In comparison to the PPL1 neuronal inhibition,

blocking dopamine release by specific PAM neurons (MB054B- PAM γ5, and MB312B- PAM γ4

and PAM γ4> γ1,2) resulted in much stronger suppression of the wake-inducing effects of CBZ

(Figure 1A-D). Broader PAM lines (MB196B: PAM γ5, γ4, γ4>γ1,2, β'2a and MB194B: PAM γ5,

β1, β'1, α1) had effects comparable to the broader PPL1 lines and MB213B (PAM β1 and β2)

and MB189B (PAM γ5, β'2a, β'2m and β'2p) did not suppress wake induced by CBZ (Figure 1A-

D). While, MB054B and MB189B label overlapping PAM γ5 neurons, they don't affect sleep the

same way. MB189B labels three β'2 subsets in addition to PAM γ5 and it is not clear if the three

β'2 are wake-promoting or potentially mask the γ5 phenotype. While, several PAM γ5-GAL4

lines are wake-promoting when activated by dTrpA1, combinations of three β'2 subsets

produced variable phenotypes[3]. Alternatively, MB189B could be a weaker driver as compared to

MB054B that is not inhibited strongly by UAS-Shi[ts1] .

Taken together, we found that blocking dopamine release from both PAM and PPL1 neurons

decreased CBZ induced latency or time to sleep after lights off at ZT 12. Like total sleep

phenotype, latency was reduced strongly in multiple PAM drivers (MB054B, 312B and 196B) as

compared to PPL1 (MB308B) (Figure 1E). Both PPL1 (MB060B) and PAM (MB054B and

MB196B) inhibition increased bout length (Figure 1G) and number of bouts (Figure 1H)

suggesting a role for these subsets in sleep initiation and maintenance. Activity measured as

beam counts/waking minute were consistent between genotypes (Figure 1F) suggesting that the

genetic manipulations and temperature elevation did not have differential effects on waking

activity of the tested genotypes.

All Sleep measurements were conducted using the well-established infrared beam-crossing

locomotor assay [72,73] and flies were placed in food containing CBZ between ZT 3 to ZT 8 and

sleep was recorded the day after at 21°C (permissive temperature-no inhibition) or 29°C

(restrictive temperature-inhibition). Statistical significance of total sleep, daytime and nighttime

sleep was determined by performing one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett post-hoc analysis

[for Total Sleep, $F_{(10, 912)}$ =12.52, p<0.0001, for daytime sleep $F_{(10, 912)}$ =9.653, p<0.0001

and nighttime sleep, $F_{(10, 912)}$ =12.92]. For each of the experimental groups we had 73-96

flies which represented 4 independent experimental trials. Sleep latency or time to sleep was

calculated as the time gap between lights off and first sleep bout and analyzed using one-way

ANOVA ($F_{(10,912)}$ =9.97, p<0.0001) followed by post-hoc Dunnett test. Latency [$F_{(10,912)}$ =

9.97, P<0.0001] was reduced in 54B, 312B and 196B which label PAM DANs and 308B that

labels a PPL1 subset. Average bout length [H=90.25, p<0.0001] and bout number [H=163,

p<0.0001] was analyzed by Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's post-hoc test.

The expression patterns with lobe specific innervation of these specific and broad sleep-

regulating dopamine drivers were first described in [3,37] and were confirmed by expression of

GFP (Figure S1).

To test if genotypes tested in the study had differential sensitivity to CBZ we measured sleep in

the presence of the drug at 21°C (permissive temperature). Flies expressing Shi[ts1] in PAM and

PPL1 neurons (60B, 308B, 438B, 54B, 312B, 189B, 196B, 194B, 209B and 213B) had reduced

total sleep (~550-600 minutes, Figure S2A) [$F_{(10, 469)}$ =0.7056, p=0.7195], daytime sleep [F

$_{(10, 469)}$ =0.8935, p=0.5391] Figure S2C, nighttime sleep [$F_{(10, 469)}$ =0.7018, p=0.7230]

Figure S2D and increased latency [$F_{(10, 469)}$ =1.757, p=0.066], Figure S2E at permissive

temperature and were not significantly different from control. Activity was also consistent

between all tested genotypes $F_{(10, 469)}$ =1.240, p=0.2628, Figure S2F. These data (Figure

S2) clearly show that inhibition of PAM/PPL1 at restrictive temperature results in increases in

sleep in CBZ treated flies and is not a result of genotypic differences or differential sensitivity to
CBZ between split-GAL4 lines (Figure S2).

To test if the effects of PAM and PPL1 DAN inhibition on CBZ induced wakefulness are sex-
specific we tested mated females (Figure 2). We find that like males, inhibition of broad PPL1
driver MB060B and PAM driver lines MB196B, MB054B and MB312B suppresses CBZ induced
wakefulness. Most of the sleep induced by DAN inhibition is observed during nighttime and
caused by a combination of reduced latency (specifically in PAM lines MB054B and MB312B)
and increased bout length (specifically in MB060B, MB054B, MB312B and MB196B). In flies
expressing Shi^{ts1} in split-GAL4 lines (60B, 54B, 312B and 196B) total sleep (Figure 2A and B)
was significantly higher as compared to control pBD [F $(7, 238)$ =6.395, p< 0.0001]. Although,
daytime sleep (Figure 2C) was elevated in PAM and PPL1 subsets [F $(7, 238)$ =3.010, p<
0.0048], Dunnett's post-hoc test did not reveal significant differences.

On the other hand, nighttime sleep (Figure 2D) was significantly elevated for PAM drivers
(312B, 54B and 196B) and PPL1 drivers (60B and 438B) [F $(7, 238)$ =9.263, p< 0.0001]. As in
males, elevation in nighttime sleep was a combination of reduced latency [F $(7, 238)$ =2.352, p<
0.02], Figure 2F in PAM drivers (312B and 54B) and increased bout length [H=61.76,
p<0.0001], Figure 2G in PAM (312B, 196B and 54B) and PPL1 driver (60B). The number of
bouts was similar between tested genotypes [H=6.633, ns], Figure 2H and activity was not
significantly different between tested genotypes [F $(7,238)$ =1.474, p=0.1770], Figure 2E. Taken
together, in both males and females the wakefulness induced by CBZ and inhibition of GABA
signaling is suppressed by blocking dopaminergic output from subsets of PAM (MB054B,
MB196B and MB312B) and PPL1 neurons (MB060B).

Additionally, we also tested if the inhibition of subsets of PAM/PPL1 neurons in the absence of
CBZ promotes sleep in both males and females (Figure S4). Acute thermogenetic activation of
these subsets expressing dTrpA1 suppressed sleep with strong effects on nighttime sleep,
when sleep is most consolidated [3]. However, we did not find significant difference in total sleep
between flies at permissive (no inhibition, Day 1) and restrictive (inhibition, Day 2) temperature
(Figure S4) in the absence of CBZ.

This could be a result of ceiling effects observed in nighttime sleep at permissive temperature or

sleep loss occurring during nighttime as a result of temperature elevation to 29°C to block DAN

output that makes it difficult to observe small increases during nighttime. Additionally, it's highly

likely that PAM/PPL1 DANs are inactive at nighttime and GABA sleep promoting effects are

strong to allow the fly to sleep. Lastly, Shi[ts1] is a weak regulator of neural activity[74], Split-GAL4s

are widely considered to be weaker than regular GAL4 lines[75] and DAM measurement of sleep

overestimates sleep amounts specifically during nightime[66] adding to the challenges of

observing increases in nighttime by inhibition of wake-promoting neurons [33].

However, these results do not indicate that PAM-DANs are not required for natural wakefulness.

Silencing of neuronal activity of various PAM DANs including MB196B and MB054B by

expression of the hyperpolarizing kir2.1 K+ channel increases sleep[3]. We also find that

activation of broad PAM GAL4 driver (76F05-GAL4) by dTrpA1 produces wakefulness

specifically during nighttime, which is blocked by electrical silencing of subsets of PAM neurons

targeted by 58E02-LexA (PAM γ5, γ4, γ4>γ1,2, β'2a) by Kir2.1 (an inward rectifying potassium

channel) using the LexA-GAL4 system[3].

In summary, PAM-DANs are required for natural wakefulness and CBZ induced arousal. While

the effects of CBZ on sleep in flies are thought to be specific to GABAergic modulation of the

Pdf neurons[67], our data shows that CBZ effect on sleep is regulated in part by MB. This is

supported by the abundance of Rdl receptors in KCs and their role in regulating calcium

dynamics within MB lobes[69,70]. GABA and dopamine have known to work antagonistically within

MB in regulating sleep and our data shows that CBZ produces wakefulness can be suppressed

by blocking dopamine release to specific MB compartments PAM γ5, γ4 and β'2a.

To test the direct interactions between GABA signaling and activity of sleep-regulating PAM

neurons we directly imaged activity in sleep-regulating PAM DANs MB196B and MB054B by

expressing CaLexA[63]. We focused our attention on these neurons as PAM neurons had a

stronger effect on suppressing sleep duration and latency effects induced by CBZ in both males

and females and our work has previously identified downstream targets of these neurons

allowing for a more detailed functional dissection of PAM mediated sleep regulation within the

MB network[3,33,37,56].

Like PAM-DANs (MB054B, MB312B and MB196B) synaptic silencing of the downstream wake-

promoting MBONs 01,03, 04 and 05 (γ5, γ4 and β'2a)suppresses the wake-promoting effects

of CBZ[3,33,37,56]. PAM DANs targeted by MB054B and MB196B specifically project to the γ5, β′2

and γ4 compartments of the mushroom body lobes and dendrites of wake-promoting MBONs

tile these compartments.

To measure activity in PAM DANs in the presence of CBZ we used CaLexA or Calcium

dependent nuclear import of LexA is a neural activity reporter that functions by Ca^{++} dependent

nuclear import of a transcription factor which then drives GFP expression[63]. Hence, GFP levels

in fixed tissue was used as a marker for neuronal activity to compare DAN activity in flies that

were fed CBZ using the protocol identical to sleep recordings above. ROI's of identical

dimensions (area) were manually drawn to include the wake-regulating γ5, β′2 and γ4 MB

(Figure 3) compartments and were compared in the presence and absence of CBZ which were

dissected, stained and imaged in parallel using a single-blind protocol. MB054B projects to γ5

(Figure 3A and B), while, MB196B projects to γ5, β′2 and γ4 MB compartments (Figure 3A and

C). We did not detect differences in calcium dependent GFP levels in sleep-regulating PAM

DAN innervations in the absence and presence of CBZ for both MB054B and MB196B

suggesting that exposure to the drug or reduced GABA transmission does not alter the directly

activity of these neurons. The control and CBZ fed flies were compared using unpaired t-test

with Welch's correction (assumes unequal variances) for MB054B (t=0.021, df=18, p=0.9835,

n=10) and MB196B (t=0.24, df=18, p=0.81, n=10).

The CaLexA system is well suited for visualizing neural activity in intact animals for long but not

short time scales and used extensively in identifying sleep and wake-related activity within MB,

CX (central complex) and clock neurons [27,76,77]. To ensure that the expression levels in split-

GAL4 lines is strong enough to detect changes, we measured calcium dependent GFP levels in

sleep-regulating PAM DAN's in the absence and presence of caffeine, that was previously

shown to affect sleep by modulating PAM activity. We found that 16-hour administration of

1mg/ml caffeine, increases activity of PAM neurons in MB196B which innervates to γ5, β′2 and

γ4 MB compartments as previously published[78]. The control and caffeine fed flies were

compared using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction (for MB196B t=2.66, df=9.25 and

p=0.025, n=6).

While the behavioral data show that PAM DAN activity to γ5, β′2 and γ4 MB compartments is

required for wakefulness induced by reduced inhibitory GABA transmission, the calcium

signaling or activity in PAM-DANs is not directly modulated by GABA. This suggests that either

CBZ induced changes are small and undetectable by CaLexA or that GABA signaling affects

sleep network at the level of KCs and MBONs that are downstream to PAM-DANs.

3.2: Activity of PAM DANs are not altered by sleep need.

The PAM-DANs that produces the strongest sleep phenotype innervate the to γ5, β′2 and γ4

MB compartment and we recently showed that KCs and MBONs (MBON 01,03,04 and 05)

within this compartment shows decreased electrical activity post sleep-deprivation when there is

an increased sleep-need suggesting that neural activity within this compartment of the MB

network is critical for sensing sleep-need and signaling wakefulness[33]. A recent study using EM

dataset of a Full Adult Fly Brain (FAFB) mapped the inputs into the PAMγ5 DANs and identified

that at least one PAM-γ5 DAN subtype (named γ5fb) receives recurrent synaptic input from

γ5β´2a mushroom body output neurons (MBON 01,03 and 04)[79]

To test if the DANs projecting to wake-active γ5, β′2 and γ4 compartments of the MB alter their

neural states as a function of sleep need like the downstream MBONs, we expressed CaLexA in

these DANs (MB054B and MB196B) and measured neuronal activity post sleep-deprivation

induced by 16-hour mechanical shaking as described in [33]. Sibling flies of the same genotype

placed in the same temperature-controlled environment were used as sleep-replete controls

(Figure 4A and B). There were no significant differences between sleep-deprived and sleep-

replete conditions suggesting that unlike wake-promoting KCs and MBONs, PAM activity within

γ5, β′2 and γ4 compartments is not altered by deprivation induced by sleep-need.

CaLexA signal was compared between sleep-deprived and sleep-replete groups using unpaired

t-test with Welch's correction for MB054B (t=0.75, df=16 and p=0.46, n=9) and MB196B (t=0.68,

df=14 and p=0.504, n=8). Since, GFP was visible in both conditions it is possible that there is

basal activity in these neurons that does not change with sleep-deprivation. Additionally, the

changes in activity could be very small or undetectable because split-GAL4 lines are weaker

drivers of UAS-transgenes.

To address this possibility of expression strength of split-GAL4 lines, we used three additional

GAL4 lines (15A04-, 48B04- and 58E02-) that label these sleep-regulating PAM DANs. Like

split-GAL4 lines, some basal activity was detected in both sleep-deprived and sleep-replete flies

but were not significantly different (Figure 4C). CaLexA signal was compared between sleep-
deprived and sleep-replete groups using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for 15A04-GAL4
(t=0.24, df=24 and p=0.81, n=13), 48B04-GAL4(t=1.8, df=28 and p=0.08, n=15) and 58E02-
GAL4 (t=0.91, df=22 and p=0.38, n=12).
540
Together these data suggest that while dopamine release regulates sleep and wakefulness, the
activity of these neurons is not directly regulated by GABA transmission and homeostatic
mechanisms. These findings are consistent with previously published results where TrpA1-
based stimulation of sleep-regulating PAM neurons (MB054B, MB312B, MB196B) caused a
rapid and robust decrease in nighttime sleep, but were not followed by a positive sleep rebound
the day after stimulation[3]. Since, PAM-DAN activity has to be closely matched by receptivity of
Dopamine signal within the downstream KCs and MBONs that are influenced by GABA
signaling and sleep-need we focussed on exploring the molecular nature of DA receptors
involved in PAM-DAN network.
550
551 **3.3: Sleep regulating DANs signal via DopR1 and DopR2.**
552
Four dopamine receptors (all G-protein coupled receptors) have been identified in the
Drosophila genome: DopR1, DopR2, D2R and DopEcR[80-83]. As in humans, DopR1 and DopR2
are D1-like receptors and functions via activation of the cAMP pathway, while D2-like receptors
inhibit this pathway. Hence, the effect of DA on a specific postsynaptic neuron depends on the
type of DA receptor that is expressed. Dopamine receptors DopR1 and DopR2 are highly
expressed in the MB (KCs and MBONs) and have been shown to increase production of cAMP
through in vitro assays [38,81,84,85]. However, mutant studies highlight a dual, opposing role for
these receptors in regulating memory, in which DopR1 acts to promote memory formation while
DopR2 serves to degrade memory[86,87].
562
Further, loss-of-function mutations of D1 dopamine receptor *DopR* are shown to enhance
repetitive air puff startle-induced arousal (Resh), while increasing nighttime sleep. Expression
and restoration of DopR in the mutant background specifically in the central complex but not the
MB rescues the Resh phenotype suggesting that arousal and wakefulness can be disassociated
in the context of Resh and that *DopR* functions differentially within distinct neural structures in
regulation of wakefulness and stimuli dependent arousal[88]. Several DA receptor or transporter
mutations have been shown to decrease arousal thresholds (to air puffs, light or mechanical

stimuli) in awake flies, independent of their role in sleep[25,89,90]. Hence, both the
compartmentalization of DA clusters in the fly brain and distinct post-synaptic effects exerted by
different receptors within multiple neural substrates underlies the complex role of dopamine in
regulating endogenous arousal (wakefulness) and exogenous arousal (behavioral
responsiveness to sensory stimuli).
575
576 While, the split-GAL4 based neuronal targeting helps identify the specific sources of DA
577 involved in endogenous arousal behaviors like wakefulness, the post-synaptic effects are more
578 complex to pin down. Sleep-regulating PAM DANs innervating the γ5, β′2 and γ4 MB
579 compartments which is composed of KCs and MBONs that have reduced electrical activity post
580 sleep-deprivation[33,91].
581
582 Two of the dopamine receptors, DopR1 and DopR2 are co-expressed at high levels in the
583 specific populations of Kenyon Cells (KCs)and MBONs that form the γ5, β′2 and γ4 MB
584 compartments[86,87,92,93]. While, the role of the DopR2 in sleep regulation and inhibition of dfb
585 neurons within central complex is well characterized, the identity of dopamine receptors
586 involved in MB specific sleep regulation is unknown [23,24].
587
We used a pan-neuronal driver nsyb-GAL4 (R57C10) with dicer expression and targeted all four
dopamine receptors using validated UAS-RNAi lines in the context of sleep regulation. Given
the wide variety of RNAi of lines available to downregulate receptor transcripts we picked at
least two transgenic lines for each receptor that have been previously validated by quantitative
RT PCR [40,41,51,58,94]. Male flies with reduced receptor levels were tested and we found that
downregulation of DopR1 (two RNAi lines: 31765 and 62193) and DopR2 (one RNAi line:
65997) specifically increased total sleep without altering the locomotor activity measured by
beam counts/minute during wake-period (Figure 5A and 5D). Both these receptors had
differential effects on daytime and nighttime sleep such that Dop1R1 affected both daytime and
nighttime sleep while DopR2 effects were restricted to nighttime sleep (Figure 5B and 5C).
Consistent with blocking DA release, we found that one of the DopR1 and DopR2 RNAi lines
(31765 and 65997) reduced sleep latency. Bout length and number of bouts were consistent
between tested genotypes with the exception of one RNAi line targeting DopR2. While, all of
these RNAi lines have been previously validated to reduce receptor expression, it's not clear if
the downregulation is variable or if the receptors have redundant functions. Further, ceiling
effects in nighttime sleep measurements potentially undermines the small increases in sleep

and leads to false negatives even when the RNAi lines are effective in downregulating receptor
levels. Taken together, pan-neuronal knockdown of DopR1 and DopR2 increased sleep and
decreased sleep latency consistent with the role of DAN signaling in the MB.

Statistical significance of total sleep, daytime and nighttime sleep was determined by performing
one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post-hoc analysis [for Total Sleep= F (9, 938) = 13.64,
p<0.0001, for daytime sleep F (9, 938) = 28.45 and for nighttime sleep F (9,938) = 15.65]. For
waking activity, beam counts/ waking was consistent between genotypes F (9,938) =30.50 and
time to sleep after lights off (sleep latency) was reduced in two genotypes F (9,938) =9.505,
p<0.0001. Sleep bout length (H=50.54) and bout number (H=30.61) was not different between
groups.

3.4 DopR1 and DopR2 regulate sleep amount, bout characteristics and sleep latency by
influencing specific MB compartments.

Since, pan-neuronal manipulations affect receptor levels outside of MB we repeated these
experiments with validated RNAi lines that target DopR1 (31765 and 62193) and DopR2
(65997) that increased sleep (Figure 5, DopR1). We targeting DopR1 and DopR2 knockdown to
MB neuronal populations that are potentially downstream to the to wake-active γ5, β′2 and γ4
PAM DANs using highly specific split-GAL4 lines described in[37,56]. All the RNAi lines used were
inserted in the same genomic location on the 3rd chromosome for comparable expression.
Specifically, we targeted two MB output neurons (MBONs) projecting to the γ5 (MB011B), β′2
(MB011B) and γ4 (MB298B) synaptic compartments and Kenyon cell populations projecting to
these lobes (MB010B- all KCs, MB107B- α'β' KCs).

One of the RNAi lines targeting DopR1 transcripts (31765) increased total sleep when
expressed in γ5 β′2 (amp) MBONs (MBON 01, 03 and 04), α'β' KCs and all KCs but not in γ4
MBONs as compared to pBD (control) suggesting that suppression of dopamine signaling via
this receptor subtype increases total sleep [F (4, 196) = 18.79, p<0.0001] in MB011B, MB107B
and MB010B (Figure 6A). Knockdown of DopR1 in MB011B (γ5 β′2 (amp)) increases daytime
sleep but reduced daytime sleep in MB0298B (γ4) ([F (4, 196) = 37.21, p<0.0001] (Figure 6C).
Nightime sleep [F (4, 196) = 42.36, p<0.0001] in all downstream neurons (MB011B, MB10B,
MB107B and MB 298B) was elevated as compared to control (Figure 6D). The most drastic

behavioral effect however was observed in reduced latency (Figure 6E) phenocopying the

effects of reduced DA signaling.

A closer analysis of the sleep structure reveals that latency was not the only driver of increased

nighttime sleep and that average bout length (H=50.28, Figure S4A) was higher in MB010B,

MB107B and MB011B. While, the number of bouts (H=32.97, Figure S4B) were reduced in

MB107B but not the other cell-types. Taken together, these data show that knockdown of

DopR1 receptor affects total sleep by reducing latency and increasing the duration of average

sleep bout in KCs and MBONs. However, the increased sleep phenotype in $\alpha'\beta'$ KCs was

mostly contributed by increased sleep bout length and decreased number of bouts. Activity was

consistent between tested genotypes [F (4,196) =3.424], Figure S4E and that modulating

receptor levels did not affect activity during wake bouts consistent with previous findings of

DopR manipulations in MB[95].

A second RNAi line targeting the same receptor (DopR1) increased total sleep when expressed

in all KCs (MB010B) and γ5 β′2 (amp) MBONs (MB011B) [F (4, 220) = 23.44, p<0.0001]

without altering total sleep in $\alpha'\beta'$ KCs (MB107B) and reducing sleep in γ4 MBONs (MB298B)

(Figure 6B). Daytime sleep [F (4,220) =66.61, p<0.0001) was more variable with the knockdown

of this RNAi line and all tested lines except MB011B had reduced sleep as compared to empty

split-GAL4 control. Sleep duration during nighttime when sleep is more consolidated was

elevated in all the tested genotypes, which is the likely contributor of observed increases in total

sleep [F (4,220= 45.31, p<0.0001)]. Further, we find that the increases in nighttime sleep

resulted from decreased sleep latencies [F (4,220) = 26.84, p<0.0001) in flies where the DopR1

levels were downregulated specifically in all Kenyon cells and γ5 β′2 (amp) MBONs. Like, the

first DopR1 RNAi line (31765), increase in nighttime sleep was also contributed by increase in

length of average sleep bout (H=48.56) in MB107B and MB011B. Sleep bout number (H=49.70)

was mostly consistent between genotypes expect for MB010B which labels all KCs.

In summary, two transgenes encoding RNAi lines targeting DopR1 showed consistent increase

in total sleep, decrease in sleep latency and increased sleep bout length when expressed in

MBON 01-04, $\alpha'\beta'$ KCs and all KCs. However, the effects on γ4 MBONs (MB298B) are

perplexing as it reduces or has no effect on total sleep specifically during daytime, while

decreasing sleep latency. The PAM-DANs projecting to γ4 MBON are labelled by MB312B and

are strongly wake promoting and this is consistent with experiments where activation of γ4

MBON also induce wakefulness[56]. It is likely that MB312B releases a co-transmitter that
influences wakefulness via γ4 MBON in a dopamine independent way. Recent evidence shows
that nitric oxide synthase, NOS an enzyme required for synthesis of NO has been detected in
several DANs and works antagonistically to dopamine in regulating olfactory memory [47].
Whether, such a co-transmitter dependent mechanism operates within MB in sleep regulation is
unclear but could be a potential mechanism in diversifying neural signaling and supporting
multiple outcomes in regulation of endogenous (wakefulness) and exogenous arousal.
677
Using, the above cell type specific regulation of DA receptors we downregulated the second D1
receptor, DopR2 receptor function (65997) in wake-regulating MBON compartments and
Kenyon cell populations. We found that reduction in DA signaling via DopR2 receptor increased
total sleep [F $(4,228=46.49$, p<0.0001] when expressed in γ5 (MB011B), β′2 (MB011B) and
Kenyon cell populations projecting to these lobes (MB010B- all KCs, MB107B- α′β′ KCs) but not
in γ4 (MB298B) MBONs (Figure 7A). This differential effect on MB011B and MB298B was
similar to that observed for DopR1 (Figure 6).
685
As in the case of DopR1 receptor knockdown, daytime sleep (Figure 7B) was largely consistent
between genotypes except MB298B where sleep was lower than control [F $(4,228=65.45$,
p<0.0001)]. Increases in total sleep were largely contributed by nighttime sleep [F $(4, 228) =$
86.04, p<0.0001], Figure 7C and decreased sleep latency (Figure 7D) [F $(4, 228) = 40.17$,
p<0.0001] indicating a consistent role for MB dopamine signaling via DopR1 and DopR2 in
sleep initiation. In addition to decreased sleep latency, we also found that average length of
sleep bout (Figure 7E) was higher in MB010B, MB107B and MB011B as compared to MB 298B
and empty-pBD negative control [H=19.23]. Like DopR1, number of sleep bouts [H=4.293],
Figure 7F and activity [F $(4,228) =3.818$], Figure 7G was consistent between genotypes.
695
696 Taken together, these results show that PAM dopamine signaling to specific MB compartments
697 requires DopR1 and DopR2 receptor signaling specifically within the wake-regulating KCs or γ5
698 β′2 MBONs (MBON01,03 and 04) or both but not γ4 (MBON 05) compartment.
699
700 **3.5 PAM γ5 signal via DopR1 and DopR2 to regulate total sleep and latency**
701

While, UAS-RNAi transgene-induced gene silencing allows a spatial control, the efficacy of

these transgenes and off-target effects are difficult to resolve in determining a clear role for DA

receptors in MB mediated sleep regulation.

In order to directly address and test the coordinated role of PAM γ5 signaling through DopR1

and DopR2 receptor in wake regulation we specifically activated PAM γ5 (MB054B) neurons

using a temperature sensitive cation channel dTrpA1 in DopR1 and DopR2 hypomorph

backgrounds [62,64]. We measured total sleep in flies at 21°C (permissive temperature), the day

before activation (baseline, Figure 8B) at which dTrpA1 channels are closed and the PAM γ5

(MB054B) neurons are inactive in w^{1118}, DopR1, and DopR2 hypomorph background. We did

not find any significant differences between the three tested genotypes during baseline [F

(2,176) =2.815, p=0.08].

However, at 29°C when PAM neurons are activated in w^{1118} background we find significant

decreases in sleep (~1000 minutes at day1/baseline to ~400 minutes on day 2/activation).

Sleep suppression as a result of PAM activation affects both daytime and nighttime sleep, even

though nighttime effects are stronger consistent with the role of PAM-DANs in nighttime sleep

(Figure 8A). The sleep suppression caused by PAM γ5 activation was reversed or blocked in

the DopR1 and DopR2 hypomorph background.

Wakefulness induced by PAM γ5 activation was blocked in the DopR1 and DopR2 background

[F (2,176) =19.60, p<0.0001] and total sleep was significantly higher in flies where MB054B

neurons are activated in DopR1 and DopR2 backgrounds as compared to w^{1118} (Figure 8C).

These effects were reversible and total sleep is consistent between genotypes (Figure 8D),

when temperature is switched back to 21°C (permissive temperature, Day 3), at which dTrpA1

is no longer open [F (2,176) =1.832, p=0.16].

The DopR1 and DopR2 hypomorphs had different effects on daytime and nighttime sleep

induced by activation of PAM γ5 neurons. Both receptors strongly blocked nighttime sleep

(Figure 8F) suppression caused by PAM activation [F (2, 176) = 71.76, p<0.0001]. Daytime

sleep effects (Figure 8E) were differentially affected by the two receptor hypomorphs such that

DopR1 hypomorph suppressed the waking effects of MB054B, while DopR2 did not [F (2, 176)

= 76.2, p<0.0001]. The increased nighttime sleep was accompanied by a decrease in sleep

latency (Figure 8G) consistent with RNAi mediated receptor manipulation of MBONs and KCs [

F (2, 176) = 24.41, p<0.0001]. Waking activity at 29°C was consistent between tested

genotypes [F (2, 176) = 3.868, p=0.023] with a small increase in DopR2 background (Figure

8J).

The wakefulness induced by activation of MB054B PAM DANs significantly reduces the bout

length (H=73.54) and bout number (H=52.39) (Figure 8H and 8I). Both of these effects were

blocked in the DopR1 and DopR2 hypomorph background suggesting that these receptors are

required for regulation of sleep duration, latency and bout structure by PAM DANs.

Discussion

The mushroom body is a key structure involved in associative learning in insects[34,96-102]. The

core-structure of the MB is identified by KC (Kenyon cell)-MBON (output neuron) synaptic

compartments which are modulated by dopamine, octopamine, sNPF, GABA and SIFamide

[37,56,103]. The mushroom body lobes are tiled by discrete anatomic compartments defined by the

axons of a specific subset of DANs and the dendrites of one or two mushroom body output

neurons (MBONs). This anatomical arrangement positions the DANs to strategically to convey

positive and negative reinforced information by changing the synaptic weight of KC-MBONs in

producing aversive and appetitive responses[37,56].

While, the most in-depth analysis of these synapses and distinct DAN-KC-MBON connectivity

and behavioral output comes from studies of olfactory conditioning, there is evidence that these

synapses play a critical role in innate behaviors like feeding and sleep [3,41].

A key defining feature of DAN modulation of MB involves lobe compartments where presynaptic

arbors of the DANs and postsynaptic dendrites of the MBONs overlap defining the 15

compartmental units[37]. Our unbiased screen of PAM and PPL1 DANs projecting to all 15

compartments of the MB reveals that DAN inputs into both wake- and sleep- promoting KC-

MBON microcircuits suppress sleep[3,33]. These wake-promoting MB-DANs were recently

resolved by EM[104] and project to γ5 (PAM01), γ4 (PAM 07,08), β'2amp (PAM02, PAM05 and

PAM06), γ2α'1 (PPL1 103), α'3 (PPL1 104), α3 (PPL1 106) and α'2 α2 PPL1(PPL1 105). The

KCs and MBONs downstream of PAM (01,02,05,06,07 and 08) can be neuroanatomically

resolved and have been shown to be wake-promoting and alter their spontaneous neural activity

in response to sleep-need (induced by mechanical sleep-deprivation). The ability to use EM

connectivity in combination with cell-specific split-GAL4 tools provides opportunity to resolve the

precise circuit mechanisms by which PAM neurons regulate wakefulness.

To understand the requirement of dopamine in MB-dependent sleep regulation we blocked

release from sleep-regulating PAM and PPL1 DANs in the presence of a strong wake-inducing

drug CBZ that blocks GABA transmission. GABA and Rdl based signaling within MB has been

extensively studied in the context of associative learning and are thought to modulate KC and

MBON activity via DANs[58,69,70] but it is not clear if this regulation is generalized or specific to a

few MB compartments. We find that CBZ does not directly change intracellular Ca++ levels in

γ5 (PAM01), γ4 (PAM 07,08), β'2amp (PAM02, PAM05 and PAM06) neurons suggesting that

either GABA mediated effects are downstream of PAM neurons or that the changes are small

and undetectable with the CaLexA approach. While, it has been previously published that PAM

neurons are broadly activated by caffeine using the CaLexA approach[78], our studies show that

caffeine effects are observable in γ5, γ4 and β'2 amp innervations. In addition to caffeine, the

MB sleep-regulating compartment is also modulated by sleep need and thought to play a role in

sleep homeostasis[33,105]. Our previous findings show that the γ5 β'2 MBONs and KCs show

reduced activity post-sleep deprivation, indicating that their activity is negatively correlated to

increased sleep drive during rebound period[33]. PAM01 (γ5) receives feedback activation from

MBON γ5β'2a (MBON 01,03 and 04) mediated by NMDA receptors for the maintenance of

short-term courtship memory[106] and aversive memory extinction [49,79]. But unlike MBON γ5β'2a,

we did not find evidence that PAM01 activity is altered by sleep-need.

A recent study using EM dataset of a Full Adult Female Fly Brain (FAFB) mapped the inputs

and outputs of the PAMγ5 DANs and identified that this cell type is highly heterogenous and in

addition to recurrent feedback from MBON01 γ5β'2a, it receives extensive input from other

MBONs, sub-esophageal output neurons (SEZONs) and lateral horn output neurons[107]. The

EM data also reveals that octopaminergic OA-VPM3 neurons synapse onto PAM01 (γ5),

PAM01 (γ4) and weakly with PAM02 (β'2a) DANs. Whether, these inputs play a role in

wakefulness is unknown but suggests that the PAMγ5 could serve as a key link between

sensory inputs, wake-promoting octopamine signal and core sleep regulating circuitry within the

MB. Each of these inputs could modulate PAM-DAN activity and dopamine release in regulating

wakefulness via MB.

In addition to probing the release and activity of these PAM-DANs we also explored the

dopamine receptors and their location within the MB in signaling wakefulness. To this end we

expressed validated RNAi lines in subsets of KCs and MBONs and find that DopR1 and DopR2

are critical in mediating the wakefulness signal via KCs and γ5β'2 MBONs. The patterning of

daytime and nighttime sleep is variable between tested genotypes but knocking down the

receptor consistently increased total sleep by reducing latency and increasing bout length.

Furthermore, specific manipulations of Dop1R receptors within MB did not directly alter waking

activity as observed by manipulation of these receptors in CX[95]. The two Dop1R receptors

regulate sleep in similar and potentially redundant way and are required for excitatory PAM-

DAN signaling to MB γ5 and β'2 compartment. However, we did not find a role for these

receptors in signaling wakefulness via the γ4 compartment.

In vitro characterization indicates Dop1R signal through distinct G-proteins, with DopR1 via Gαs

to stimulate cAMP production[85,108] and DopR2 coupling to Gαq via increased calcium[84,86]. These

receptors have been studied extensively in the context of associative olfactory memory where

DopR1 is necessary for memory formation, while DopR2 signaling is critical for erasing

appetitive memories[93,109]. These receptors are thought to have differential sensitivity to

dopamine[86] and could be potentially recruited by varying DA release or DAN activity. Imaging

activity in γ4 MBONs and KCs using recently developed GRAB$_{DA1m}$ dopamine sensor[110],

cAMP[111] ,and Ca^{++}[112] shows that these receptors are co-expressed and depending on the

temporal relationship between an odor cue and dopaminergic reinforcement they can control the

synapses bidirectionally[38]. This mechanism of producing different outcomes by differential

temporal and spatial recruitment of receptors and downstream pathways in response to the

same DANs are thought to underlie behavioral flexibility. In the context of sleep regulation, our

work reveals that both DopR1 and DopR2 induce wakefulness in response to PAM γ5 and likely

work synergistically in suppressing sleep.

Based on our results we posit a model (Figure 9) where PAM-DAN activity is not directly

modulated by sleep-need or GABA signaling but activity of PAM-DANs is required for the wake

effects of CBZ and reduced GABA transmission. Like PAM-DANs, previous studies show that

MBON γ5β'2 are also required for wake effects of CBZ and their activity is directly altered by

sleep and wake-need. Furthermore, MBONS and KCs that innervate the wake-active γ5 and

β'2amp compartments require Dop1R's to regulate sleep. From our RNAi and hypomorph

experiments it appears that DopR1 knockdown has a stronger effect on sleep as compared to

DopR2. But it's not clear to what extend these receptors alter Ca++ and cAMP dynamics and
how the downstream signaling affects behavioral output.
840
841   The sleep-regulating PAM γ5 DANs and associated KCs and MBONs identified in our study are
842   also involved in mediating satiety, novelty, punishment and reward associated experiences
843   suggesting that the activity of these neurons is tuned to several wake and arousal associated
844   behaviors. This is further supported by the EM connectome data showing that MB receives
845   extensive gustatory, auditory and visual input in addition to olfactory input[104].
846
Current models of sleep regulation rely on two main processes, the circadian clock and the
sleep homeostat and don't completely account for multiple external and internal factors that
influence wakefulness[113]. The ability to sleep, however, is influenced by motivational or cognitive
stimuli. Like MB-DANs, DA from ventral tegmental area (VTA) and projections to Nucleus
Accumbens (NAc) in the midbrain plays a key role in processing reward and aversive signals
and regulating cognition in rodents and primates[20]. Recent evidence from rodents shows that
sleep and wakefulness are regulated by VTA DANs of the mesolimbic pathway[21]. Indeed, like
PAM-DANs, VTA-dopaminergic neuron activation is sufficient to drive wakefulness and
necessary for the maintenance of wakefulness and inhibition of VTA DANs promoted sleep
specifically in the presence of wake-inducing stimuli and these effects are mediated via
NAc[5,21,114,115]. The dopamine signaling from VTA to NAc co-regulates sleep with other behaviors
in a context dependent manner like the MB, but how this co-regulation is achieved requires
further study.
860
861   We therefore envision that sleep, wakefulness and arousal within MB are not located in distinct
862   circuits, but rather mediated by distinct processes within a common circuit. Hence, encoding
863   distinct activity phases within a common circuit may be an efficient mechanism for integrating
864   long and short-term suppression of sleep to coordinate motivated processes with wakefulness.
865

866   **Acknowledgments**
867
We thank Dr. Gerald Rubin, Dr. Yoshinori Aso and Dr. Jing Wang and for generously providing
fly stocks. Several transgenic lines were obtained from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center.
We also thank Dr. Callen Hyland, Lilian Mworia, Catherine Shorb, Zani Moore, Pomai Murakami
and Austin Pavin for help with the experiments and aspects of fly rearing, behavioral set-ups

and confocal imaging. The project was supported by NIH grant 1R15GM125073-01 awarded to

Dr. Divya Sitaraman and student research awards to Steven Buchert, Margaret Driscoll, Morgan

McLaughlin and Amanda Nguyen.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available on

request from the corresponding author.

Figure 1: Wakefulness induced by pharmacologic suppression of GABA$_A$ receptor, Rdl function requires subsets of MB dopamine neurons. Schematic of experimental protocol showing temperature and drug conditions over a 5-day period. Flies were entrained for Day 1 and 2 in vials. Males flies were loaded on 0.1mg/ml CBZ containing food (Day 3) in incubator maintained at 21°C. Day 4 the temperature was switched to 29°C and sleep was measured using the Drosophila Activity Monitoring system.

(A) Total sleep in MB-DANs (PPL1-blue: MB060B, MB438B, MB308B and PAM-red: MB054B, MB312B, MB194B, MB196B, MB209B, MB213B and MB189B) where neural activity has been suppressed by over-expressing temperature sensitive dominant negative dynamin mutation, Shi^{ts1} in the presence of CBZ (Day 4).

(B) Representative sleep profile of flies with targeted inhibition of specific PAM (MB054B, red, PPL1 (MB060B, blue) neurons with empty/enhancerless-GAL4 control (pBD, black). ZT indicates zeitgeber time where ZT 0: lights on and ZT 12: lights off.

(C) Daytime sleep during the first 12-hour period during inhibition of specific PPL1 (blue), PAM (red) neurons as compared to empty-GAL4 control (pBD, grey). (D) Nighttime sleep during inhibition of specific PPL1 (blue), PAM (red) neurons as compared to empty-GAL4 control (pBD, grey). Statistical significance of total sleep, daytime and nighttime sleep was determined by performing one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett post-hoc analysis [for Total Sleep, $F_{(10, 912)}$ =12.52, p<0.0001, for daytime sleep $F_{(10, 912)}$ =9.653, p<0.0001 and nighttime sleep, $F_{(10, 912)}$ =12.92]. For each of the experimental groups we had 73-96 flies which represented 4 independent experimental trials. Specifically, we had pBD (n=96), 54B (n=98), 60B (n=83), 189B (n=85), 194B (n=77), 209B (n=81), 213B (n=73), 308B (n=95), 312B (n=82), 438B (n=78) and 196B (n=75).

(E) Sleep latency or time to sleep was calculated as the time gap between lights off and first sleep bout and analyzed using one-way ANOVA ($F_{(10,912)}$ =9.97, p<0.0001) followed by post-hoc Dunnett test. Latency [$F_{(10,912)}$ = 9.97, P<0.0001] was reduced in 54B, 312B and 196B which label PAM DANs and 308B that labels a PPL1 subset as compared to pBD.

(F) Activity or average beam counts/waking minute were consistent between PAM, PPL1 and controls [$F_{(10,921)}$ =1.751, p<0.07]

(G and H) Average bout length [H=90.25, p<0.0001] and bout number [H=163, p<0.0001] was analyzed by Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's post-hoc test.

In figure panels A-H, ** indicates P<0.01 and *** indicates P<0.0001.

Figure S1: Lobe specific innervations of PAM and PPL1 DAN subsets. The expression

patterns with lobe specific innervation of these specific and broad sleep-regulating dopamine

drivers was confirmed by expression of UAS-mCD8-GFP.

(A and C) Lobe specific expression of MB-DANs split-GAL4 lines MB060B (broad PPL1),

MB196B (broad PAM) and MB054B (PAM subsets).

(B and D) Maximum intensity projections of confocal stacks (10-15 slices) representing the MB

regions in fire LUT applied to emphasize PPL1 (B i-iii) and PAM (D i-iv) neurons. Cell bodies

(asterisks) and lobe specific innervations (arrowhead) have been indicated to identify MB

compartment innervated by each of the split-GAL4 used. Scale bars indicate 20um or 40um.

(E) Schematic representation of MB showing lobe specific axonal projections of PAM (red) and

PPL1 (blue) DANs. Subset of PAM innervate the MB compartments (red) involved in regulating

wakefulness mediated by KCs and MBONs.

(F) Schematic representation of synaptic interactions between PAM/PPL1 DANs, KCs and

MBONs.

Figure 2: CBZ induced wakefulness requires dopamine signaling and is not sex specific.

Schematic of experimental protocol showing temperature and drug conditions over a 5-day period. Flies were entrained for Day 1 and 2 in vials. Mated female flies were loaded on 0.1mg/ml CBZ containing food (Day 3) in incubator maintained at 21°C. Day 4 the temperature was switched to 29°C and sleep was measured using the Drosophila Activity Monitoring system. (A) Total sleep in MB-DANs (PPL1-blue: MB060B, MB438B and PAM-red: MB054B, MB312B, MB194B, MB196B, MB209B) where neural activity has been suppressed by over-expressing temperature sensitive dominant negative dynamin mutation, Shi^{ts1} in the presence of CBZ.

(B) Representative sleep profile of flies with targeted inhibition of specific PAM (MB054B-black and MB312B-red) neurons with empty/enhancerless-GAL4 control (pBD, grey). ZT indicates zeitgeber time where ZT 0: lights on and ZT 12: lights off.

(C) Daytime sleep during the first 12-hour period during inhibition of specific PPL1 (blue), PAM (red) neurons as compared to empty-GAL4 control (pBD, grey).

(D) Nighttime sleep during inhibition of specific PPL1 (blue), PAM (red) neurons as compared to empty-GAL4 control (pBD, grey). Statistical significance of total sleep, daytime and nighttime sleep was determined by performing one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett post-hoc analysis. For total Sleep [F $(7, 238)$ =6.395, p< 0.0001], daytime sleep [F $(7, 238)$ =3.010, p< 0.0048 and nighttime sleep [F $(7, 238)$ =9.263, p< 0.0001]. For each of the experimental groups we had 28-36 flies which represented 2 independent experimental trials. Specifically, we had pBD (n=30), Shi/+ (n=30), MB060B (n=26), 209B (n=32), 312B (n=32), 438B (n=32), 196B (n=36) and 54B (n=28).

(E) Activity or average beam counts/waking minute were consistent between PAM, PPL1 and controls [F $(7,238)$ =1.474, p=0.17]

(F) Sleep latency or time to sleep was calculated as the time gap between lights off and first sleep bout and analyzed using one-way ANOVA [F $(7, 238)$ =2.352, p< 0.02] followed by post-hoc Dunnett test. Latency was reduced in 54B and 312B which label PAM DANs as compared to control.

(G and H) Average bout length [H=6.633] and bout number [H=61.76] was analyzed by Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's post-hoc test. Bout length was elevated in all tested genotypes except MB438B and MB209B.

In figure panels A-H, ** indicates P<0.01 and *** indicates P<0.0001.

Figure S2: Inhibition of PAM and PPL1 DANs but not genotypic differences promote

sleep in CBZ treated flies. Schematic of experimental protocol showing temperature and drug

conditions over a 5-day period. Mated female flies were loaded on 0.1mg/ml CBZ containing

food (Day 3) in incubator maintained at 21°C. Day 4 the temperature was maintained at 21°C

and sleep was measured using the Drosophila Activity Monitoring system.

(A) Total sleep in MB-DANs (PPL1-blue: MB060B, MB308B, MB438B and PAM-red: MB054B,

MB312B, MB194B, MB196B, MB209B, MB213B, MB189B) expressing temperature sensitive

dominant negative dynamin mutation, Shi^{ts1} in the presence of CBZ (Day 4).

(B) Representative sleep profile of flies expressing Shi^{ts1} specific PAM (MB054B-black and

MB312B-red) neurons with empty/enhancerless-GAL4 control (pBD, grey).

(C) Daytime sleep during the first 12-hour period of specific PPL1 (blue), PAM (red) neurons as

compared to empty-GAL4 control (pBD, grey).

(D) Nighttime sleep during of specific PPL1 (blue), PAM (red) neurons as compared to empty-

GAL4 control (pBD, grey).

Statistical significance of total sleep, daytime and nighttime sleep was determined by performing

one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett post-hoc analysis. For total sleep [$F_{(10, 469)} = 0.7056$,

$p=0.7195$], daytime sleep [$F_{(10, 469)} = 0.8935$, $p=0.5391$] and nighttime sleep [$F_{(10, 469)}$

$=0.7018$, $p=0.7230$]. For each of the experimental groups we had 37-52 flies which represented

2 independent experimental trials. Specifically, we tested pBD (n=45), 54B (n=46), 60B (n=37),

189B (n=45), 194B (n=45), 209B (n=45), 213B (n=38), 308B (n=51), 312B (n=40), 438B (n=48)

and 196B (n=40).

(E) Sleep latency or time to sleep was calculated as the time gap between lights off and first

sleep bout and analyzed using one-way ANOVA [$F_{(10, 469)} = 1.240$, $p=0.263$] followed by post-

hoc Dunnett test.

(F) Activity or average beam counts/waking minute were consistent between PAM, PPL1 and

controls [$F_{(10, 469)} = 1.757$, $p=0.06$]

1019

1020

1021

Day 1 and 2 (entrainment)   Day 3 21°C   Day 4 29°C   Day 5 21°C

Permissive Temperature (at 21°C, Day 3)

Restrictive Temperature (at 29°C, Day 4)

Restrictive Temperature (at 29°C, Day 4)

1022

1023

Figure S3: PAM and PPL1 inhibition does not increase sleep over baseline in the absence

of CBZ in males and females. Schematic of experimental protocol showing temperature and

drug conditions over a 5-day period. Male and female flies were loaded in 5% sucrose and 2%

agarose containing food and sleep was measured post-entrainment on Day 3 (21°C- permissive

temperature) and Day 4 (29°C- permissive temperature). In addition to pBD we also tested Shi/+

flies as an additional negative control.

(A and B) Total sleep in males and females with MB-DANs (PPL1-blue: MB060B and PAM-red:

MB054B, MB312B, MB196B, MB209B, MB213B and MB194B) expressing Shi[ts1] in the absence

of CBZ (Day 3, 21°C). Total sleep was analyzed using one-way ANOVA in males [F (8,233)

=4.35] and females [F (8,241) =3.79]. Post-hoc Dunnett test in males and females did not detect

significant differences between tested genotypes and controls. For each of the experimental

groups tested we had 20-32 flies which represented 2 independent experimental trials. For

males the sample included pBD (n=29), 54B (n=32), 60B (n=28), 189B (n=22), 194B (n=20),

209B (n=28), 213B (n=24), 312B (n=32) and 196B (n=27). For female flies the sample included

pBD (n=28), 54B (n=30), 60B (n=32), 189B (n=26), 194B (n=22), 209B (n=31), 213B (n=25),

312B (n=29) and 196B (n=31).

(C and D) Total sleep in males and females with MB-DANs (PPL1-blue: MB060B and PAM-red:

MB054B, MB312B, MB196B, MB209B, MB213B and MB194B) expressing Shi[ts1] in the absence

of CBZ (Day 4, 29°C). Total sleep was analyzed using one-way ANOVA in males [F (8,233)

=4.416] and females [F (8,241) =5.357]. Post-hoc Dunnett test in males and females did not

detect significant differences between tested genotypes and controls.

(E and F) Activity in males and females with MB-DANs (PPL1-blue: MB060B and PAM-red:

MB054B, MB312B, MB196B, MB209B, MB213B and MB194B) expressing Shi[ts1] in the absence

of CBZ (Day 4, 29°C). Activity was analyzed using one-way ANOVA in males [F (8,233) =1.457,

p=0.1742] and females [F (8,241) =1.291, p=0.249]. Post-hoc Dunnett test in males and

females did not detect significant differences between tested genotypes and controls.

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

Figure 3: Pharmacological blocking of GABA transmission by CBZ does not directly modulate activity of PAM neurons

(A) MB054B projects to γ5 compartment of MB. We measured fluorescence signal in flies with PAM γ5 expressing CaLexA brains co-stained with antibodies against GFP and nc 82 between ZT 0-2 in flies that were treated with CBZ (~16-18 hours). CaLexA driven GFP+ fluorescence signal was quantified and compared between flies in CBZ and non-CBZ food. The control and CBZ fed flies were compared using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for MB054B (t=0.021, df=18, p=0.9835, n=10).

(B) Maximum intensity projections of Z-stacks (8-10 slices) of two conditions (with and without CBZ) was pseudo colored with fire LUT to emphasize differential intensities. ROIs (arrowheads) were manually drawn to include MB compartments and were of equal area between groups. Scale bar represents 50um.

(C) MB196B projects to γ5, γ4 and β'2 compartments of MB. We measured fluorescence signal in flies expressing CaLexA brains co-stained with antibodies against GFP and nc 82 between ZT 0-2 in flies that were treated with CBZ (~16-18 hours). CaLexA driven GFP+ fluorescence signal was quantified and compared between flies in CBZ and non-CBZ food. The control and CBZ fed flies were compared using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for MB196B (t=0.241, df=18 and p=0.81, n=10).

(D) Maximum intensity projections of Z-stacks (10-15 slices) of two conditions (with and without CBZ) was pseudo colored with fire LUT to emphasize differential intensities. ROIs (arrowheads) were manually drawn to include MB compartments (γ5, γ4 and β'2) and were of equal area between groups. Scale bar represents 50um.

(E) MB196B-GAL4-CalexA driven GFP+ fluorescence signal was quantified and compared between flies in caffeine (1mg/ml) and non-caffeine containing food to test the ability of CaLexA to report activity of DANs. The control and caffeine fed flies were compared using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction (for MB196B t=2.66, df=9.25 and p=0.025, n=6).
* indicates p<0.05.

(F) Maximum intensity projections of Z-stacks (10-15 slices) of two conditions (with and without caffeine) was pseudo colored with fire LUT to emphasize differential intensities. ROIs (arrowheads) were manually drawn to include MB compartments (γ5, γ4 and β'2) and were of equal area between groups. Scale bar represents 50um.

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

Figure 4: Unlike wake-regulating KCs and MBONs projecting to γ5, γ4 and β'2 MB compartments, sleep need does not alter activity and intracellular Ca^{2+} of upstream PAM neurons.

(A and B) MB054B-GAL4 and MB196B-GAL4 expressing CaLexA brains were co-stained with antibodies against GFP and nc 82 between ZT 0-2 in flies that were sleep-deprived (for 16 hours) or sleep replete. CaLexA driven GFP+ fluorescence signal was quantified and compared between sleep-deprived and sleep replete controls. CaLexA signal was compared between sleep-deprived and sleep-replete groups using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for MB054B (t=0.75, df=16 and p=0.46, n=9) and MB196B (t=0.68, df=14 and p=0.504, n=8).

(C) Broader PAM-GAL4 drivers, 15A04-GAL4, 48B04-GAL4 and 58E02-GAL4 lines were used to drive CaLexA expression and GFP fluorescence was compared between sleep-deprived and sleep-replete states in fly brains between ZT 0-2. CaLexA signal was compared between sleep-deprived and sleep-replete groups using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for 15A04-GAL4 (t=0.24, df=24 and p=0.81, n=13), 48B04-GAL4 (t=1.8, df=28 and p=0.08, n=15) and 58E02-GAL4 (t=0.91, df=22 and p=0.38, n=12).

1128

Figure 5: Pan-neuronal knockdown of dopamine receptors by validated RNAi lines increases sleep amount without altering waking activity.

(A) Total sleep in male flies expressing validated UAS-RNAi targeting DopR1 (3 lines: 31765, 55239 and 62193-red), DopR2 (26018,51423 and 65997-blue), Dop2R (26001 and 50621-yellow) and DopEcR (31981-black) pan-neuronally using nsyb-GAL4. Sleep data represents 2-day average (Day 3 and 4) at 24°C after 2-day entrainment. nsyb-GAL4/+ (grey bars) was used as negative control.

(B and C) Daytime and nighttime sleep of nine DA receptor RNAi lines and control. Statistical significance of total sleep, daytime and nighttime sleep was determined by performing one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post-hoc analysis [for Total Sleep= $F_{(9, 938)} = 13.64$, $p<0.0001$, for daytime sleep $F_{(9, 938)} = 28.45$, $p<0.0001$ and for nighttime sleep $F_{(9,938)} = 15.65$, $p<0.0001$]. For each of the experimental groups tested we had 88-99 flies which represented 4 independent experimental trials, sample included nsyb/+ (95), nsyb/31765 (96), nsyb/55239 (92), nsyb/62193(98), nsyb/26018(96), nsyb/51423(98), nsyb/65997 (93), nsyb/26001 (96), nsyb/50621(96) and nsyb/31981(88).

(D) Activity or average beam counts/waking minute were consistent between tested genotypes and controls [$F_{(9,938)} =30.50$].

(E and F) Average bout length [H=50.54] and bout number [H=30.61] was analyzed by Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's post-hoc test. Bout length was elevated in nsyb/DopR2-RNAi (65997).

(G) Sleep latency or time to sleep was calculated as the time gap between lights off and first sleep bout and analyzed using one-way ANOVA [$F_{(9, 939)} =9.505$, $p<0.0001$] followed by post-hoc Dunnett test. Latency was reduced in nsyb/DopR1-RNAi (31765) and nsyb/DopR2-RNAi 65997) flies as compared to nsyb/+.

1158

1159

Figure 6: Knockdown of DopR1 MB-KCs and MBONs of the sleep-regulating γ5 and β'2 compartment increased sleep.

(A) Schematic of MB sleep-regulating γ5, γ4 and β'2 compartments that represents the interactions between KCs, MBONs and PAM-DANs.

(B) Total Sleep during a 24-hour period in flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (31765) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONS (MB011B, MB 298B) and pBD. One-way ANOVA [$F_{(4, 196)}$ = 18.79, p<0.0001], post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals increased sleep in MB010B, MB107B, MB011B but not MB 298B. For each of the experimental groups tested we had 37-48 flies which represented 2 independent experimental trials, sample included 31765/pBD (48), 31765/MB010B (40), 31765/MB107B (38),31765/MB011B (38),31765/MB298B (37).

(C) Total Sleep during a 24-hour period in flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (62193) in MB-

KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONS (MB011B, MB 298B) and empty-GAL4 (pBD). One-way

ANOVA [F (4, 220) = 23.44, p<0.0001], post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals increased sleep in

MB010B and MB011B but not in MB107B and MB 298B. For each of the experimental groups

tested we had 39-47 flies which represented 2 independent experimental trials, sample included

62193/pBD (47), 62193/MB010B (39), 62193/MB107B (44), 62193/MB011B (43),

62193/MB298B (47).

(D and E) Daytime and Nighttime Sleep in flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (31765) in MB-

KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONS (MB-11B, MB 298B) and empty-GAL4 (pBD). One-way

ANOVA for daytime [F (4, 196) = 37.21, p<0.0001] and nighttime [F (4, 196) = 42.36,

p<0.0001] followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals increased daytime sleep in MB011B and

reduced daytime sleep in MB298B. Nighttime sleep was significantly different in all tested

genotypes as compared to pBD control.

(F) Sleep latency or time to sleep (minutes) was calculated as the time gap between lights off

and initiation of the first sleep bout for flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (31765) in MB-KCs

(MB010B, MB107B), MBONS (MB-11B, MB 298B) and empty-GAL4 (pBD). One-way ANOVA

for latency [F (4, 196) = 42.08, p<0.0001] followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals

significantly reduced latency in MB010B, MB011B and MB298B but not MB107B.

(G and H) Daytime and Nighttime Sleep in flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (62193) in MB-

KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONS (MB-11B, MB 298B) and empty-GAL4 (pBD). One-way

ANOVA for daytime [F (4, 220) = 66.61, p<0.0001] and nighttime [F (4, 220) = 45.31,

p<0.0001] followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals daytime sleep is reduced in MB010b,

MB107B and MB298B. Nighttime sleep was significantly different in all tested genotypes as

compared to pBD control.

(I) Sleep latency or time to sleep (minutes) was calculated as the time gap between lights off

and initiation of the first sleep bout for flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (62193) in MB-KCs

(MB010B, MB107B), MBONS (MB-11B, MB 298B) and empty-GAL4 (pBD). One-way ANOVA

for latency [F (4, 220) = 26.84, p<0.0001] followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals

significantly reduced latency in MB010B, MB107B, MB011B and MB298B.

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

Figure S4: Knockdown of DopR1 MB-KCs and MBONs increases sleep by changing bout length but does not affect waking activity.

(A and B) Average bout length and bout number in flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (31765) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONs (MB011B, MB 298B) and pBD. Average bout length [H=50.28] and bout number [H=32.97] was analyzed by Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's post-hoc test. Bout length was elevated in M010B, MB107B and MB011B. Bout number was significantly reduced in MB107B and MB298B. For each of the experimental groups tested we had 37-48 flies which represented 2 independent experimental trials, sample included 31765/pBD (48), 31765/MB010B (40), 31765/MB107B (38),31765/MB011B (38),31765/MB298B (37).

(C and D) Average bout length and bout number in flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (62193) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONs (MB011B, MB 298B) and pBD. Average bout length [H=48.56] and bout number [H=49.70] was analyzed by Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's post-hoc test. Bout length was elevated in MB107B and MB011B and reduced for MB298B. Bout number was significantly increased in MB010B and MB298B. For each of the experimental groups tested we had 39-47 flies which represented 2 independent experimental trials, sample included 62193/pBD (47), 62193/MB010B (39), 62193/MB107B (44), 62193/MB011B (43), 62193/MB298B (47).

(E and F) Activity or average beam counts/waking minute were consistent between flies expressing UAS-DopR1 RNAi (62193 and 31765) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONs (MB011B, MB 298B) and pBD. Activity was analyzed using one-way ANOVA for 31765 [F (4,196=3.424)] and for 62193 [F (4,220=0.2261)]. Post hoc Dunnett's test reveals no significant differences in activity between tested genotypes and control.

Dop1R2 (65997)

Figure 7: Knockdown of DopR2 in sleep-regulating MB-KCs and MBONs increased sleep and reduced latency.

(A) Total Sleep during a 24-hour period in flies expressing UAS-DopR2 RNAi (65997) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONs (MB-11B, MB 298B) and empty-GAL4 (pBD). One-way ANOVA [$F_{(4, 228)}$ = 46.49, p<0.0001], post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals increased sleep in MB010B, MB107B, MB011B but not MB 298B. For each of the experimental groups tested we had 44-48 flies which represented 2 independent experimental trials, sample included 65997/pBD (48), 65997/MB010B (46), 65997/MB107B (47), 65997/MB011B (44), 65997/MB298B (48).

(B and C) Daytime and Nighttime Sleep in flies expressing UAS-DopR2 RNAi (65997) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONS (MB-11B, MB 298B) and empty-GAL4 (pBD). One-way ANOVA for daytime sleep [$F_{(4, 228)}$ = 65.45, p<0.0001] and nighttime sleep [$F_{(4, 228)}$ = 86.04, p<0.0001], post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals increased nighttime sleep in MB010B, MB107B, MB011B and decreased daytime sleep as compared to control.

(D) Sleep latency or time to sleep (minutes) was calculated as the time gap between lights off and initiation of the first sleep bout for flies expressing UAS-DopR2 RNAi (65997) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONS (MB011B, MB 298B) and control (pBD). One-way ANOVA for daytime sleep [$F_{(4, 228)}$ = 40.17, p<0.0001] followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals significant decrease in latency in all tested genotypes as compared to control.

(E and F) Average bout length and bout number in flies expressing UAS-DopR2 RNAi (65997) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONs (MB011B, MB 298B) and pBD. Average bout length [H=19.23] and bout number [H=4.293] was analyzed by Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's post-hoc test. Bout length was elevated in MB010B, MB107B and MB011B. Bout number was consistent between genotypes and was no significantly different from control.

(G) Activity or average beam counts/waking minute were consistent between flies expressing UAS-DopR2 RNAi (65997) in MB-KCs (MB010B, MB107B), MBONs (MB011B, MB 298B) and pBD. Activity was analyzed using one-way ANOVA [$F_{(4,228)}$ =3.818]. Post hoc Dunnett's test reveals no significant differences in activity between tested genotypes and control.

Figure 8: Wakefulness induced by PAM γ5 dopamine activation requires DopR1 and DopR2 function.

(A) 3-day Sleep profile of flies expressing temperature sensitive cation channel dTrpA1 in PAM γ5 DANs (MB054B) in the wild type, DopR1 and DopR2 null backgrounds. Day 1 represents baseline at 21°C, Day 2 represent activation at 29°C and Day 3 represents recovery at 21°C.

(B, C and D) Total sleep during Day 1 (baseline, 21°C), Day 2 (activation, 29°C) and Day 3 (recovery, 21°C), of flies expressing dTrpA1 in PAM γ5 in positive control (w[1118]) and receptor null/hypomorph background (DopR1[attp] and DopR2[attp]). Total sleep was analyzed using one-way ANOVA for baseline [$F_{(2,176)} = 2.815$], activation [$F_{(2,176)} = 19.6$] and recovery [$F_{(2,176)} = 1.832$]. Post-hoc Dunnett's test reveals no significant differences between PAM γ5 split-GAL4 in control and receptor null background in baseline and recovery condition. In activation condition (day 2) PAM γ5 activation in w[1118] background induced wakefulness suppressed by receptor deficient background. For each of the experimental groups tested we had 59-61 flies which represented 2 independent experimental trials, sample included MB054B; dTrpA1/+ (59), MB054B; dTrpA1/DopR1[attp] (59) and MB054B; dTrpA1/DopR2[attp] (61)

(E and F) Daytime and nighttime sleep during Day 2 (activation, 29°C) in flies expressing dTrpA1 in PAM γ5 in control and receptor null background (DopR1[attp] and DopR2[attp]). One-way ANOVA for daytime [$F_{(2,176)} = 76.20$] and nighttime [$F_{(2,176)} = 71.76$] was followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test. Daytime sleep was elevated in DopR1[attp] and reduced in DopR2[attp] background, while nighttime sleep was strongly elevated in both receptor null background.

(G) Sleep latency or time to sleep (minutes) was found to be significantly reduced DopR1[attp] and DopR2[attp] background as compared to control background. One-way ANOVA for latency [$F_{(2,176)} = 24.41$, $p < 0.0001$] was followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test.

(H and I) Average bout length and bout number showed significant increases in receptor null background (DopR1[attp] and DopR2[attp]) as compared to control. Average bout length [$H = 73.54$] and bout number [$H = 52.39$] was analyzed by Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by Dunn's post-hoc test.

(J) Activity or average beam counts/waking minute were consistent between flies expressing dTrpA1 in PAM γ5 in control and receptor null background (DopR1[attp] and DopR2[attp]). One-way ANOVA for daytime [$F_{(2,176)} = 3.868$, $p = 0.02$] and post-hoc Dunnett's test revealed an increase in activity in DopR2[attp] background

1300

1301
1302

Figure 9: Schematic of PAM mediated sleep regulation within MBs.

PAM-DANs projecting to KCs and MBONs of the γ5 and β'2 compartments of the MB signals

wakefulness via DopR1 and DopR2 signaling. While the activity of the KCs and MBONS of

these compartments is directly regulated by sleep-need, PAM-DAN activity is not. PAM-DAN

mediated signaling is required for arousal/wakefulness induced by CBZ or altered GABA

signaling.

References

1. Borbely AA. A two process model of sleep regulation. *Hum Neurobiol.* 1982;1(3):195-204.
2. Borbely AA, Daan S, Wirz-Justice A, Deboer T. The two-process model of sleep regulation: a reappraisal. *J Sleep Res.* 2016;25(2):131-143.
3. Sitaraman D, Aso Y, Rubin GM, Nitabach MN. Control of Sleep by Dopaminergic Inputs to the Drosophila Mushroom Body. *Front Neural Circuits.* 2015;9:73.
4. Eban-Rothschild A, Appelbaum L, de Lecea L. Neuronal Mechanisms for Sleep/Wake Regulation and Modulatory Drive. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2018;43(5):937-952.
5. Eban-Rothschild A, Giardino WJ, de Lecea L. To sleep or not to sleep: neuronal and ecological insights. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2017;44:132-138.
6. Phillips AG, Vacca G, Ahn S. A top-down perspective on dopamine, motivation and memory. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2008;90(2):236-249.
7. Wise RA. Dopamine, learning and motivation. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2004;5(6):483-494.
8. Nieoullon A, Coquerel A. Dopamine: a key regulator to adapt action, emotion, motivation and cognition. *Curr Opin Neurol.* 2003;16 Suppl 2:S3-9.
9. Liu C, Meng Z, Wiggin TD, et al. A Serotonin-Modulated Circuit Controls Sleep Architecture to Regulate Cognitive Function Independent of Total Sleep in Drosophila. *Curr Biol.* 2019;29(21):3635-3646 e3635.
10. Sitaraman D, Zars M, Laferriere H, et al. Serotonin is necessary for place memory in Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008;105(14):5579-5584.
11. Yuan Q, Joiner WJ, Sehgal A. A sleep-promoting role for the Drosophila serotonin receptor 1A. *Curr Biol.* 2006;16(11):1051-1062.
12. Yuan Q, Lin F, Zheng X, Sehgal A. Serotonin modulates circadian entrainment in Drosophila. *Neuron.* 2005;47(1):115-127.
13. Hamasaka Y, Nassel DR. Mapping of serotonin, dopamine, and histamine in relation to different clock neurons in the brain of Drosophila. *J Comp Neurol.* 2006;494(2):314-330.
14. Melzig J, Buchner S, Wiebel F, et al. Genetic depletion of histamine from the nervous system of Drosophila eliminates specific visual and mechanosensory behavior. *J Comp Physiol A.* 1996;179(6):763-773.
15. Parks GS, Olivas ND, Ikrar T, et al. Histamine inhibits the melanin-concentrating hormone system: implications for sleep and arousal. *J Physiol.* 2014;592(10):2183-2196.
16. Burgess CR. Histamine and orexin in the control of arousal, locomotion, and motivation. *J Neurosci.* 2010;30(8):2810-2811.
17. Bargmann CI. Beyond the connectome: how neuromodulators shape neural circuits. *Bioessays.* 2012;34(6):458-465.
18. Marder E. Neuromodulation of neuronal circuits: back to the future. *Neuron.* 2012;76(1):1-11.
19. Griffith LC. Neuromodulatory control of sleep in Drosophila melanogaster: integration of competing and complementary behaviors. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2013;23(5):819-823.
20. Oishi Y, Lazarus M. The control of sleep and wakefulness by mesolimbic dopamine systems. *Neurosci Res.* 2017;118:66-73.
21. Eban-Rothschild A, Rothschild G, Giardino WJ, Jones JR, de Lecea L. VTA dopaminergic neurons regulate ethologically relevant sleep-wake behaviors. *Nat Neurosci.* 2016;19(10):1356-1366.
22. Dzirasa K, Ribeiro S, Costa R, et al. Dopaminergic control of sleep-wake states. *J Neurosci.* 2006;26(41):10577-10589.
23. Ueno T, Tomita J, Tanimoto H, et al. Identification of a dopamine pathway that regulates sleep and arousal in Drosophila. *Nat Neurosci.* 2012;15(11):1516-1523.

24.   Liu Q, Liu S, Kodama L, Driscoll MR, Wu MN. Two dopaminergic neurons signal to the dorsal fan-
shaped body to promote wakefulness in Drosophila. *Curr Biol.* 2012;22(22):2114-2123.

25.   Kume K, Kume S, Park SK, Hirsh J, Jackson FR. Dopamine is a regulator of arousal in the fruit fly. *J
Neurosci.* 2005;25(32):7377-7384.

26.   Donlea JM, Pimentel D, Talbot CB, et al. Recurrent Circuitry for Balancing Sleep Need and Sleep.
Neuron. 2018;97(2):378-389 e374.

27.   Potdar S, Sheeba V. Wakefulness Is Promoted during Day Time by PDFR Signalling to
Dopaminergic Neurons in Drosophila melanogaster. *eNeuro.* 2018;5(4).

28.   Deng B, Li Q, Liu X, et al. Chemoconnectomics: Mapping Chemical Transmission in Drosophila.
Neuron. 2019;101(5):876-893 e874.

29.   Pimentel D, Donlea JM, Talbot CB, Song SM, Thurston AJF, Miesenbock G. Operation of a
homeostatic sleep switch. *Nature.* 2016;536(7616):333-337.

30.   Donlea JM, Pimentel D, Miesenbock G. Neuronal Machinery of Sleep Homeostasis in Drosophila.
Neuron. 2014;81(6):1442.

31.   Shang Y, Haynes P, Pirez N, et al. Imaging analysis of clock neurons reveals light buffers the
wake-promoting effect of dopamine. *Nat Neurosci.* 2011;14(7):889-895.

32.   Mao Z, Davis RL. Eight different types of dopaminergic neurons innervate the Drosophila
mushroom body neuropil: anatomical and physiological heterogeneity. *Front Neural Circuits.*
2009;3:5.

33.   Sitaraman D, Aso Y, Jin X, et al. Propagation of Homeostatic Sleep Signals by Segregated Synaptic
Microcircuits of the Drosophila Mushroom Body. *Curr Biol.* 2015;25(22):2915-2927.

34.   Heisenberg M. Mushroom body memoir: from maps to models. *Nat Rev Neurosci.*
2003;4(4):266-275.

35.   Modi MN, Shuai Y, Turner GC. The Drosophila Mushroom Body: From Architecture to Algorithm
in a Learning Circuit. *Annu Rev Neurosci.* 2020;43:465-484.

36.   Aso Y, Rubin GM. Dopaminergic neurons write and update memories with cell-type-specific
rules. *Elife.* 2016;5.

37.   Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, et al. The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic
for associative learning. *Elife.* 2014;3:e04577.

38.   Handler A, Graham TGW, Cohn R, et al. Distinct Dopamine Receptor Pathways Underlie the
Temporal Sensitivity of Associative Learning. *Cell.* 2019;178(1):60-75 e19.

39.   Cohn R, Morantte I, Ruta V. Coordinated and Compartmentalized Neuromodulation Shapes
Sensory Processing in Drosophila. *Cell.* 2015;163(7):1742-1755.

40.   Hattori D, Aso Y, Swartz KJ, Rubin GM, Abbott LF, Axel R. Representations of Novelty and
Familiarity in a Mushroom Body Compartment. *Cell.* 2017;169(5):956-969 e917.

41.   Tsao CH, Chen CC, Lin CH, Yang HY, Lin S. Drosophila mushroom bodies integrate hunger and
satiety signals to control innate food-seeking behavior. *Elife.* 2018;7.

42.   Waddell S. Neural Plasticity: Dopamine Tunes the Mushroom Body Output Network. *Curr Biol.*
2016;26(3):R109-112.

43.   Waddell S. Reinforcement signalling in Drosophila; dopamine does it all after all. *Curr Opin
Neurobiol.* 2013;23(3):324-329.

44.   Burke CJ, Huetteroth W, Owald D, et al. Layered reward signalling through octopamine and
dopamine in Drosophila. *Nature.* 2012;492(7429):433-437.

45.   Waddell S. Dopamine reveals neural circuit mechanisms of fly memory. *Trends Neurosci.*
2010;33(10):457-464.

46.   Keene AC, Waddell S. Drosophila memory: dopamine signals punishment? *Curr Biol.*
2005;15(22):R932-934.

47. Aso Y, Ray RP, Long X, et al. Nitric oxide acts as a cotransmitter in a subset of dopaminergic
neurons to diversify memory dynamics. *Elife.* 2019;8.
48. Liu C, Placais PY, Yamagata N, et al. A subset of dopamine neurons signals reward for odour
memory in Drosophila. *Nature.* 2012;488(7412):512-516.
49. Felsenberg J, Jacob PF, Walker T, et al. Integration of Parallel Opposing Memories Underlies
Memory Extinction. *Cell.* 2018;175(3):709-722 e715.
50. Huetteroth W, Perisse E, Lin S, Klappenbach M, Burke C, Waddell S. Sweet taste and nutrient
value subdivide rewarding dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila. *Curr Biol.* 2015;25(6):751-758.
51. Sun J, Xu AQ, Giraud J, et al. Neural Control of Startle-Induced Locomotion by the Mushroom
Bodies and Associated Neurons in Drosophila. *Front Syst Neurosci.* 2018;12:6.
52. Riemensperger T, Issa AR, Pech U, et al. A single dopamine pathway underlies progressive
locomotor deficits in a Drosophila model of Parkinson disease. *Cell Rep.* 2013;5(4):952-960.
53. Dag U, Lei Z, Le JQ, Wong A, Bushey D, Keleman K. Neuronal reactivation during post-learning
sleep consolidates long-term memory in Drosophila. *Elife.* 2019;8.
54. Hige T, Aso Y, Modi MN, Rubin GM, Turner GC. Heterosynaptic Plasticity Underlies Aversive
Olfactory Learning in Drosophila. *Neuron.* 2015;88(5):985-998.
55. Hige T, Aso Y, Rubin GM, Turner GC. Plasticity-driven individualization of olfactory coding in
mushroom body output neurons. *Nature.* 2015;526(7572):258-262.
56. Aso Y, Sitaraman D, Ichinose T, et al. Mushroom body output neurons encode valence and guide
memory-based action selection in Drosophila. *Elife.* 2014;3:e04580.
57. Haynes PR, Christmann BL, Griffith LC. A single pair of neurons links sleep to memory
consolidation in Drosophila melanogaster. *Elife.* 2015;4.
58. Pavlowsky A, Schor J, Placais PY, Preat T. A GABAergic Feedback Shapes Dopaminergic Input on
the Drosophila Mushroom Body to Promote Appetitive Long-Term Memory. *Curr Biol.*
2018;28(11):1783-1793 e1784.
59. Zhou M, Chen N, Tian J, et al. Suppression of GABAergic neurons through D2-like receptor
secures efficient conditioning in Drosophila aversive olfactory learning. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*
2019;116(11):5118-5125.
60. Dionne H, Hibbard KL, Cavallaro A, Kao JC, Rubin GM. Genetic Reagents for Making Split-GAL4
Lines in Drosophila. *Genetics.* 2018;209(1):31-35.
61. Jenett A, Rubin GM, Ngo TT, et al. A GAL4-driver line resource for Drosophila neurobiology. *Cell
Rep.* 2012;2(4):991-1001.
62. Keleman K, Vrontou E, Kruttner S, Yu JY, Kurtovic-Kozaric A, Dickson BJ. Dopamine neurons
modulate pheromone responses in Drosophila courtship learning. *Nature.* 2012;489(7414):145-
149.
63. Masuyama K, Zhang Y, Rao Y, Wang JW. Mapping neural circuits with activity-dependent nuclear
import of a transcription factor. *J Neurogenet.* 2012;26(1):89-102.
64. Hamada FN, Rosenzweig M, Kang K, et al. An internal thermal sensor controlling temperature
preference in Drosophila. *Nature.* 2008;454(7201):217-220.
65. Kitamoto T. Conditional disruption of synaptic transmission induces male-male courtship
behavior in Drosophila. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2002;99(20):13232-13237.
66. Donelson NC, Kim EZ, Slawson JB, Vecsey CG, Huber R, Griffith LC. High-resolution positional
tracking for long-term analysis of Drosophila sleep and locomotion using the "tracker" program.
PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e37250.
67. Agosto J, Choi JC, Parisky KM, Stilwell G, Rosbash M, Griffith LC. Modulation of GABAA receptor
desensitization uncouples sleep onset and maintenance in Drosophila. *Nat Neurosci.*
2008;11(3):354-359.

68. Chung BY, Kilman VL, Keath JR, Pitman JL, Allada R. The GABA(A) receptor RDL acts in peptidergic
PDF neurons to promote sleep in Drosophila. *Curr Biol.* 2009;19(5):386-390.
69. Liu X, Krause WC, Davis RL. GABAA receptor RDL inhibits Drosophila olfactory associative
learning. *Neuron.* 2007;56(6):1090-1102.
70. Liu X, Buchanan ME, Han KA, Davis RL. The GABAA receptor RDL suppresses the conditioned
stimulus pathway for olfactory learning. *J Neurosci.* 2009;29(5):1573-1579.
71. Harrison JB, Chen HH, Sattelle E, et al. Immunocytochemical mapping of a C-terminus anti-
peptide antibody to the GABA receptor subunit, RDL in the nervous system in Drosophila
melanogaster. *Cell Tissue Res.* 1996;284(2):269-278.
72. Hendricks JC, Finn SM, Panckeri KA, et al. Rest in Drosophila is a sleep-like state. *Neuron.*
2000;25(1):129-138.
73. Shaw PJ, Cirelli C, Greenspan RJ, Tononi G. Correlates of sleep and waking in Drosophila
melanogaster. *Science.* 2000;287(5459):1834-1837.
74. Zhang S, Roman G. Presynaptic inhibition of gamma lobe neurons is required for olfactory
learning in Drosophila. *Curr Biol.* 2013;23(24):2519-2527.
75. Luan H, Peabody NC, Vinson CR, White BH. Refined spatial manipulation of neuronal function by
combinatorial restriction of transgene expression. *Neuron.* 2006;52(3):425-436.
76. Kayser MS, Yue Z, Sehgal A. A critical period of sleep for development of courtship circuitry and
behavior in Drosophila. *Science.* 2014;344(6181):269-274.
77. Liu S, Liu Q, Tabuchi M, Wu MN. Sleep Drive Is Encoded by Neural Plastic Changes in a Dedicated
Circuit. *Cell.* 2016;165(6):1347-1360.
78. Nall AH, Shakhmantsir I, Cichewicz K, Birman S, Hirsh J, Sehgal A. Caffeine promotes wakefulness
via dopamine signaling in Drosophila. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:20938.
79. Otto N, Pleijzier MW, Morgan IC, et al. Input Connectivity Reveals Additional Heterogeneity of
Dopaminergic Reinforcement in Drosophila. *Curr Biol.* 2020;30(16):3200-3211 e3208.
80. Gotzes F, Balfanz S, Baumann A. Primary structure and functional characterization of a
Drosophila dopamine receptor with high homology to human D1/5 receptors. *Recept Channels.*
1994;2(2):131-141.
81. Feng G, Hannan F, Reale V, et al. Cloning and functional characterization of a novel dopamine
receptor from Drosophila melanogaster. *J Neurosci.* 1996;16(12):3925-3933.
82. Hearn MG, Ren Y, McBride EW, Reveillaud I, Beinborn M, Kopin AS. A Drosophila dopamine 2-
like receptor: Molecular characterization and identification of multiple alternatively spliced
variants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2002;99(22):14554-14559.
83. Srivastava DP, Yu EJ, Kennedy K, et al. Rapid, nongenomic responses to ecdysteroids and
catecholamines mediated by a novel Drosophila G-protein-coupled receptor. *J Neurosci.*
2005;25(26):6145-6155.
84. Han KA, Millar NS, Grotewiel MS, Davis RL. DAMB, a novel dopamine receptor expressed
specifically in Drosophila mushroom bodies. *Neuron.* 1996;16(6):1127-1135.
85. Sugamori KS, Demchyshyn LL, McConkey F, Forte MA, Niznik HB. A primordial dopamine D1-like
adenylyl cyclase-linked receptor from Drosophila melanogaster displaying poor affinity for
benzazepines. *FEBS Lett.* 1995;362(2):131-138.
86. Himmelreich S, Masuho I, Berry JA, et al. Dopamine Receptor DAMB Signals via Gq to Mediate
Forgetting in Drosophila. *Cell Rep.* 2017;21(8):2074-2081.
87. Kim YC, Lee HG, Han KA. D1 dopamine receptor dDA1 is required in the mushroom body
neurons for aversive and appetitive learning in Drosophila. *J Neurosci.* 2007;27(29):7640-7647.
88. Lebestky T, Chang JS, Dankert H, et al. Two different forms of arousal in Drosophila are
oppositely regulated by the dopamine D1 receptor ortholog DopR via distinct neural circuits.
Neuron. 2009;64(4):522-536.

89. Seugnet L, Suzuki Y, Thimgan M, et al. Identifying sleep regulatory genes using a Drosophila
model of insomnia. *J Neurosci.* 2009;29(22):7148-7157.
90. Hirsh J, Riemensperger T, Coulom H, Iche M, Coupar J, Birman S. Roles of dopamine in circadian
rhythmicity and extreme light sensitivity of circadian entrainment. *Curr Biol.* 2010;20(3):209-
214.
91. Cao G, Platisa J, Pieribone VA, Raccuglia D, Kunst M, Nitabach MN. Genetically targeted optical
electrophysiology in intact neural circuits. *Cell.* 2013;154(4):904-913.
92. Croset V, Treiber CD, Waddell S. Cellular diversity in the Drosophila midbrain revealed by single-
cell transcriptomics. *Elife.* 2018;7.
93. Berry JA, Cervantes-Sandoval I, Nicholas EP, Davis RL. Dopamine is required for learning and
forgetting in Drosophila. *Neuron.* 2012;74(3):530-542.
94. Ichinose T, Aso Y, Yamagata N, Abe A, Rubin GM, Tanimoto H. Reward signal in a recurrent
circuit drives appetitive long-term memory formation. *Elife.* 2015;4:e10719.
95. Van Swinderen B, Andretic R. Dopamine in Drosophila: setting arousal thresholds in a miniature
brain. *Proc Biol Sci.* 2011;278(1707):906-913.
96. Dubnau J. Neuroscience. Ode to the mushroom bodies. *Science.* 2012;335(6069):664-665.
97. Brembs B. Mushroom bodies regulate habit formation in Drosophila. *Curr Biol.*
2009;19(16):1351-1355.
98. Xi W, Peng Y, Guo J, et al. Mushroom bodies modulate salience-based selective fixation behavior
in Drosophila. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2008;27(6):1441-1451.
99. Gronenberg W, Lopez-Riquelme GO. Multisensory convergence in the mushroom bodies of ants
and bees. *Acta Biol Hung.* 2004;55(1-4):31-37.
100. Zars T. Behavioral functions of the insect mushroom bodies. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.*
2000;10(6):790-795.
101. Liu L, Wolf R, Ernst R, Heisenberg M. Context generalization in Drosophila visual learning
requires the mushroom bodies. *Nature.* 1999;400(6746):753-756.
102. Davis RL. Mushroom bodies and Drosophila learning. *Neuron.* 1993;11(1):1-14.
103. Knapek S, Kahsai L, Winther AM, Tanimoto H, Nassel DR. Short neuropeptide F acts as a
functional neuromodulator for olfactory memory in Kenyon cells of Drosophila mushroom
bodies. *J Neurosci.* 2013;33(12):5340-5345.
104. Li F, Lindsey J, Marin EC, et al. The connectome of the adult Drosophila mushroom
body: implications for function. *bioRxiv.* 2020:2020.008.2029.273276.
105. Joiner WJ, Crocker A, White BH, Sehgal A. Sleep in Drosophila is regulated by adult mushroom
bodies. *Nature.* 2006;441(7094):757-760.
106. Zhao X, Lenek D, Dag U, Dickson BJ, Keleman K. Persistent activity in a recurrent circuit underlies
courtship memory in Drosophila. *Elife.* 2018;7.
107. Otto N, Pleijzier MW, Morgan IC, et al. Input connectivity reveals additional heterogeneity of
dopaminergic reinforcement in Drosophila. *bioRxiv.* 2020:2020.002.2019.952648.
108. Demchyshyn LL, Sugamori KS, Lee FJ, Hamadanizadeh SA, Niznik HB. The dopamine D1D
receptor. Cloning and characterization of three pharmacologically distinct D1-like receptors
from Gallus domesticus. *J Biol Chem.* 1995;270(8):4005-4012.
109. Qin H, Cressy M, Li W, Coravos JS, Izzi SA, Dubnau J. Gamma neurons mediate dopaminergic
input during aversive olfactory memory formation in Drosophila. *Curr Biol.* 2012;22(7):608-614.
110. Sun F, Zeng J, Jing M, et al. A Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Sensor Enables Rapid and Specific
Detection of Dopamine in Flies, Fish, and Mice. *Cell.* 2018;174(2):481-496 e419.
111. Shafer OT, Kim DJ, Dunbar-Yaffe R, Nikolaev VO, Lohse MJ, Taghert PH. Widespread receptivity
to neuropeptide PDF throughout the neuronal circadian clock network of Drosophila revealed by
real-time cyclic AMP imaging. *Neuron.* 2008;58(2):223-237.

112.   Akerboom J, Chen TW, Wardill TJ, et al. Optimization of a GCaMP calcium indicator for neural
1552   activity imaging. *J Neurosci.* 2012;32(40):13819-13840.
1553   113.   Beckwith EJ, French AS. Sleep in Drosophila and Its Context. *Front Physiol.* 2019;10:1167.
1554   114.   Eban-Rothschild A, Borniger JC, Rothschild G, Giardino WJ, Morrow JG, de Lecea L. Arousal
1555   State-Dependent Alterations in VTA-GABAergic Neuronal Activity. *eNeuro.* 2020;7(2).
1556   115.   Eban-Rothschild A, de Lecea L. Neuronal substrates for initiation, maintenance, and structural
1557   organization of sleep/wake states. *F1000Res.* 2017;6:212.

1558