SIGAR: Inferring features of genome architecture and DNA

rearrangements by split read mapping

Authors: Yi Feng[1], Leslie Y. Beh[1], Wei-Jen Chang[2], Laura F. Landweber[1*]

1 Departments of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics and Biological Sciences, Columbia

University, New York, NY, USA

2 Department of Biology, Hamilton College, Clinton, NY, USA

*Author for Correspondence: Laura Landweber, Departments of Biochemistry and Molecular

Biophysics and Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA, 212-305-3898,

Laura.Landweber@columbia.edu

Abstract

Ciliates are microbial eukaryotes with distinct somatic and germline genomes. Post-zygotic development involves extensive remodeling of the germline genome to form somatic chromosomes. Ciliates therefore offer a valuable model for studying the architecture and evolution of programmed genome rearrangements. Current studies usually focus on a few model species, where rearrangement features are annotated by aligning reference germline and somatic genomes. While many high-quality somatic genomes have been assembled, a high quality germline genome assembly is difficult to obtain due to its smaller DNA content and abundance of repetitive sequences. To overcome these hurdles, we propose a new pipeline SIGAR (**S**plit-read **I**nference of **G**enome **A**rchitecture and **R**earrangements) to infer germline genome architecture and rearrangement features without a germline genome assembly, requiring only short germline DNA sequencing reads. As a proof of principle, 93% of rearrangement junctions identified by SIGAR in the ciliate *Oxytricha trifallax* were validated by the existing germline assembly. We then applied SIGAR to six diverse ciliate species without germline genome assemblies, including *Ichthyophthirius multifilii*, a fish pathogen. Despite the high level of somatic DNA contamination in each sample, SIGAR successfully inferred rearrangement junctions, short eliminated sequences and potential scrambled genes in each species. This pipeline enables pilot surveys or exploration of DNA rearrangements in species with limited DNA material access, thereby providing new insights into the evolution of chromosome rearrangements.

Introduction

Ciliates are model organisms for studying genome rearrangement. They exhibit nuclear dimorphism: each cell contains a somatic macronucleus (MAC) and a germline micronucleus (MIC). The MAC consists of high-copy number chromosomes that are transcriptionally active in vegetative growth. In contrast, the MIC genome is inert, and only involved in sexual conjugation. After mating, a new MAC genome rearranges from a copy of the zygotic MIC, together with massive DNA elimination (Chen et al. 2014; Hamilton et al. 2016). The retained, macronuclear-destined sequences (MDS) must be properly ordered and oriented, and sometimes even descrambled (Chen et al. 2014; Sheng et al. 2020), to form functional MAC chromosomes (Figure 1A).

Most genome rearrangement studies focus on model organisms like *Tetrahymena* (Hamilton et al. 2016), *Paramecium* (Guérin et al. 2017) and *Oxytricha* (Chen et al. 2014), which possess well-assembled MIC and MAC reference genomes for annotation of DNA rearrangements. Recent years have seen a bloom of *de novo* MAC genome assemblies in diverse ciliate species, including *Stentor* (Slabodnick et al. 2017), *Euplotes* (Wang et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2019), hypotrich ciliates (Chen et al. 2015) and *Tetrahymena* genus species (Xiong et al. 2019). MAC chromosomes are generally significantly shorter than MIC chromosomes, with some species exhibiting gene-sized "nanochromosomes". Thus, many high quality MAC genomes have been assembled using short next-generation sequencing reads. By taking advantage of third generation sequencing long reads, some MAC genomes have been assembled with unprecedented completeness (Wang et al. 2020; Sheng et al. 2020), sometimes obviating the need for assembly when the average read length exceeds the typical chromosome length (Lindblad et al. 2019). In contrast, sequencing and assembling the long MIC genomes is

experimentally and computationally complex. Besides having Mbp scale chromosomes at much

lower copy number, the MIC also contains repetitive elements and centromeric regions that can

best be resolved by third generation long reads. Purification of MIC genomic DNA that is free of

MAC contamination is also a challenge. The MAC to MIC DNA ratio in cell ranges from 46 to

800 (Prescott 1994), which means that only 0.1-2% of the DNA in whole cells originates from

the MIC. There do exist experimental methods to separate MIC and MAC nuclei, e.g. sucrose

gradient centrifugation (Chen et al. 2014) and flow cytometry (Guérin et al. 2017). However,

these techniques were developed for specific model ciliates, and are not generalizable across

diverse species. Moreover, some ciliates are not free-living in nature (Coyne et al. 2011), or

uncultivatable in the lab. The difficulty of obtaining high quality MIC-enriched DNA from these

species presents additional obstacles to understanding germline genome architecture. Single-cell

techniques can be helpful to analyze germline scaffolds, but require whole genome and

transcriptome assemblies (Maurer-Alcalá et al. 2018).

To overcome these challenges and provide insight into germline genome architecture in

the absence of a fully-assembled MIC genome, we propose a new pipeline, SIGAR (**S**plit-read

Inference of **G**enome **A**rchitecture and **R**earrangements) using economical short, next generation

reads and MAC genome assemblies. Rather than using a MIC assembly, SIGAR takes advantage

of short MIC reads whose alignment diverges at MDS-MDS junctions in MAC chromosomes

(Figure 1B). Here we validate SIGAR by showing high concordance of its results with published

Oxytricha MIC genome annotations (Burns et al. 2016). We then used SIGAR to infer

rearrangement features in 5 hypotrich ciliates and *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis*, yielding novel

insights into MIC genome architecture in diverse phylogenetic lineages, all without genome

assembly. This new pipeline will promote the use of published datasets to reveal more cases of

DNA rearrangement, and offers the possibility to explore germline genome evolution in diverged

ciliate species and natural isolates.

Results

SIGAR strategy

SIGAR infers MIC genome structure and DNA rearrangement features by identifying

short MIC reads that partially map to MAC chromosomes. It first removes MDS-only reads by

end-to-end mapping to enrich for MIC-specific reads in the dataset (Figure 1B). MIC reads that

pass this filter should contain DNA sequences that are eliminated during rearrangement (IES,

Internal Eliminated Sequence). The MIC reads covering MDS-IES breakpoints will split at MDS

boundaries when mapped to MAC chromosomes (Figure 1B). SIGAR verifies that split read

junctions indeed correspond to rearrangement breakpoints by searching within the split read for

short sequence motifs, called "pointers", which are microhomologous repeated sequences in the

MIC that are retained as a single copy in the MAC after rearrangement (Figure 1A). SIGAR is

able to infer more germline genome information from reads that map to two or more MDSs, even

partially (Figure 1B). If the two blocks map adjacently to each other in the same direction, the

region in between is recognized as a nonscrambled IES. Otherwise, the split read often indicates

the presence of a scrambled region in the MIC.

Validation of SIGAR by genome-assembly based annotations

To validate our proposed strategy to infer MIC genome features using short reads, we

mapped *Oxytricha* Illumina MIC reads (Chen et al. 2014) to the original MAC genome assembly

(Swart et al. 2013). SIGAR was then used to infer pointers from split reads (i.e. partially

mapping reads), and the results were compared with existing MIC genome annotations (Chen et

al. 2014; Burns et al. 2016). Pointer annotations in the MIC genome assembly were previously

generated using MIDAS (Burns et al. 2016) which compares MIC and MAC genomes using

BLAST. We find that 92.9% of the SIGAR-inferred pointers were validated by MIDAS. 59.70%

contain the same first and last nucleotide in the MIDAS annotation, 27.74% share one identical

boundary, and 3.8% share no boundary but have midpoints within 5bp of the MIDAS-inferred

pointer (Table S1). The length distribution of SIGAR inferred pointers is similar to MIDAS,

although fewer pointers were found in sum (Figure 2A). In addition, SIGAR inferred a small

number of "cryptic" pointers, defined as repeats longer than 20bp (Figure 2A) that differ from

MIDAS-annotated MDS-MDS junctions. It is possible that some represent short regions of

paralogy.

SIGAR only annotated half as many pointers as MIDAS (Table S1) because SIGAR was

only applied to uniquely mapping regions of the MAC genome, in order to minimize the rate of

false discovery. Such regions comprise 60.8-63.7% of the MAC genome, and contain 61.0-

62.7% of all pointers (see Methods). SIGAR successfully annotated 80.4-82.3% of these

pointers. We conclude that SIGAR recovers a large majority of pointers from the portion of the

genome to which it was applied, even in the absence of a reference germline genome assembly.

In ciliates, the MIC DNA is significantly less abundant than MAC DNA, which can make

it experimentally challenging to obtain pure micronuclei for DNA isolation. To test the

robustness of SIGAR to variation in MIC coverage, we simulated 100 bp Illumina HiSeq reads

from the *Oxytricha* MIC genome and calculated the number of inferred pointers. With only 20X

MIC coverage, SIGAR was still able to recover nearly half (48.74%) of MIDAS pointers, and

96.16% of SIGAR pointers were also identified by MIDAS (Table S1).

SIGAR can also infer the presence of short IESs that are contained within single Illumina

reads (Figure 1B). Although the small read length constrains the size of IESs that can be detected

from single reads, we were able to infer 20,599 short IESs with maximum length of 41bp using

the 100bp read dataset (Figure 2B).

SIGAR results suggest that pointers can vary between alleles. We identified 11,462

pointers, validated by both MIDAS and SIGAR, which possess at least another pointer at the

same junction inferred by SIGAR with high confidence (at least 2 reads supporting each

boundary). Table S2 shows examples of these pointer alleles in *Oxytricha*. We highlight one

example where pointer alleles correlate with SNPs in the MIC reads (Figure S1). The allele-

specific information enriched in reads could only be detected by SIGAR (which examines

individual sequencing reads) but not assembly-based software like MIDAS, because genome

assemblies tend to collapse alleles into haploid sequences. This finding suggests that MAC

chromosomes in *Oxytricha* arise from both precursor MIC alleles, which use different pointer

sequences during MIC genome rearrangements.

SIGAR infers scrambled genome architecture

Scrambled loci differ in order and/or orientation between the MIC and MAC versions

(Figure 1A). Programmed rearrangements therefore entail MDS inversion and/or translocation.

Scrambled genes have been described in most hypotrich ciliates (Chang et al. 2005; Hogan et al.

2001), *Chilodonella uncinata* (Katz and Kovner 2010) and some Postciliodesmatophora ciliates

(Maurer-Alcalá et al. 2018). A recent report also validated the presence of scrambled loci in

Tetrahymena (Sheng et al. 2020), providing further evidence that this is common to ciliate

genomes. By using split read mapping, we find that SIGAR can infer some scrambled

chromosome architectures and their associated pointers, even in the absence of a MIC genome

assembly. When applied to *Oxytricha* datasets, SIGAR detected 8741 *Oxytricha* pointers at

scrambled junctions. Figure 3 shows an example where SIGAR successfully inferred 3 out of 5

scrambled junctions in an *Oxytricha* MAC chromosome (Figure 3). SIGAR found 12 MIC reads

covering both MDS1 and MDS3, while another 12 reads covered both MDS2 and MDS4 (Figure

3A and Figure S2).

Inferring MIC genome architecture and rearrangement features in diverse ciliate species

SIGAR was developed to provide insights into germline rearrangement features across

ciliates for which pure MIC DNA preparations are not readily available. We therefore applied

SIGAR to 5 hypotrich ciliates published in Chen et al. (2015), all of which have gene-sized

MAC nanochromosomes like *Oxytricha*. Importantly, these sequencing datasets were derived

from small numbers of whole cells, some from species that were not cultivated in the lab. We

were able to infer pointers in all of these species using SIGAR (Table 1). TA is the most favored

pointer among all 5 species. Though *Sterkiella* and *Urostyla* do not have TA as the most

abundant pointer, both include A, T and TA as the three most abundant. With increasing

evolutionary distance from *Oxytricha*, we observed more pointers containing TA as a substring.

The GC content of short IES (under 0.2) is significantly lower than adjacent MDS regions (~0.3),

consistent with surveys from other ciliate MIC genomes (Prescott 1994; Chen et al 2014). The

length distributions of pointers in 5 species are similar, except for *Sterkiella,* which exhibits an

abundance of 5-20bp pointers (Figure S3).

 Table 1. Rearrangement features recovered by SIGAR for 5 surveyed hypotrich ciliates

Phylogeny (Chen et al. 2015)	Species	# of pointers	Most abundant pointer	% of "TA" pointers	% of pointers with "TA" substring	# of scrambled pointers	# of IES	IES G+C%	G+C% of MAC contigs with IES	IES G+C < MDS G+C p-value (t-test)
	Oxytricha trifallax									
	Sterkiella histriomuscorum	12950	A	1.20	46.55	60	601	16	28	1e-69
	Stylonychia lemnae	2509	TA	3.99	43.76	21	945	19	31	1e-120
	Laurentiella sp.	1315	TA	5.10	48.14	19	509	14	28	1e-81
	Paraurostyla sp.	1318	TA	7.28	52.66	17	653	11	30	1e-158
	Urostyla sp.	1489	A	1.61	58.50	29	0	-	-	-

SIGAR also revealed evidence for novel scrambled loci in the 5 hypotrich ciliates (Table

1). Figure S4 shows the mapping view of an inferred scrambled locus in *Paraurostyla*, with

pointers detected on each side. Short IESs were inferred for all species, except *Urostyla* (Table 1,

Figure S3). Though short IESs were annotated in the *Urostyla grandis* genes for DNA pol α

(Chang et al. 2005) and actin I (Hogan et al. 2001), we were unable to recover any short IES

from the current dataset with limited MIC DNA. It is possible that *Urostyla* may contain more

intergenic IESs, which do not reside next to MDSs, as in *Tetrahymena* (Hamilton et al. 2016).

Such regions cannot be detected by SIGAR, which identifies IESs adjacent to MDSs.

We also applied SIGAR to *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis (Ich),* an oligohymenophorean

ciliate related to *Tetrahymena* (Coyne et al. 2011). *Ich* lives a parasitic life in fish epithelia,

leading to "white spot" disease (Coyne et al. 2011). Furthermore, for ciliates that are hard to

cultivate, SIGAR offers an ideal tool to infer properties of MIC genome architecture and DNA

rearrangement, given the lack of availability of high quality MIC DNA preparations. Since the

Ich MAC genome is ~85% A+T, we only considered inferred pointers with at least 5 well-

mapped reads supporting each boundary. We found that the most abundant pointers are not only

AT rich, but also contain surprisingly long TA tandem repeats (Figure S5). The pointer length

distribution shows a peak at 10bp, with the 10bp pointer "TATATATATA" and

"ATATATATAT" among the most abundant pointers in *Ich*.

Discussion

Here, we have developed a novel computational tool, SIGAR, for inferring genome

rearrangements and germline genome structure across diverse ciliates. A separate,

complementary study proposed using short reads to infer the presence of eliminated DNA during

genome rearrangement, but requires a high quality reference MIC genome assembly instead

(Zheng et al. 2020). MIC genome assemblies typically pose the greatest challenge, whereas high

quality MAC genome assemblies are much more accessible and also considerably less expensive

to produce. In this sense, SIGAR will be more broadly applicable to the study of DNA

rearrangements in ciliates.

SIGAR has provided new insights into the architecture of several ciliate MIC genomes,

including *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* and a group of early diverged hypotrichs, relative to

Oxytricha. We observe a widespread preference of TA pointers across all ciliates in this survey.

Studies of the ciliate model systems *Paramecium* and *Euplotes crassus* revealed the exclusive

use of TA pointers in their germline genomes (Klobutcher and Herrick 1995; Arnaiz et al. 2012).

It has also been shown that the terminal consensus sequences in *Paramecium* and *Euplotes* IES

resemble terminal sequences in Tc1/mariner transposons (Klobutcher and Herrick 1995). This

and other observations contributed to the hypothesis that an ancestral wave of transposons

invaded ciliate germline genomes. The transposons then decayed but preserved the flanking

"TA" as a relic and a modern requirement for accurate DNA elimination. Curiously, instead of

this commonly observed 2bp TA pointer (Klobutcher and Herrick 1997, Chen et al. 2014), long

TA repeats are present at *Ich* rearrangement junctions (Figure S5). Given that pointer sequences

may help recruit enzymes that mediate IES removal and are necessary for excision in

Paramecium (Aury et al. 2006), it is plausible that their extended length constitutes an adaptive

feature to improve recognition amidst an AT-rich genome.

In addition to ciliates, many organisms in nature exhibit programmed genome

rearrangement, such as lampreys (Smith et al. 2018) and songbirds (Kinsella et al. 2019).

Furthermore, aberrant structural variations in mammalian cells are frequently observed in

diseases like cancer (Stankiewicz and Lupski 2010; Forment et al. 2012). We expect SIGAR to

be directly applicable across a wide range of genomes that exhibit rearrangements in both

healthy and diseased states. SIGAR, which only requires one reference genome and short reads

from a rearranged genome, could be a convenient tool to investigate all types of DNA

rearrangement, providing insight into genome stability and instability.

Methods

SIGAR pipeline

SIGAR consists of three parts: 1) enrichment of MIC reads, 2) local alignment of MIC

reads to MAC genome, and 3) parsing the split-read alignment report. At each step the pipeline

provides adjustable parameters. All analyses in this paper were performed using the default

parameters.

Step 1. We map input reads to MAC genome by Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012)

end-to-end mapping to detect reads with only MDS. All reads with a mapping quality higher than

threshold (default 3) are removed from the downstream analysis by SAMtools (Li et al. 2009).

Step 2. Filtered reads, which mainly consist of MIC reads are aligned to MAC contigs by

BWA MEM local mapping (Li and Durbin 2009) with lowest mapping quality of 10 (default).

MAC regions with abnormal high coverage, calculated by pileup.sh in BBtools

(sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/), were excluded from downstream analysis. The intermediate

output of this step is used for visualization in this paper by IGV (Robinson et al. 2011).

Step 3. Parsing of the alignment output was implemented by Python. The main idea is

parsing the CIGAR strings and "SA" tag in the alignment output. For example, the alignment of

one read has CIGAR "40S60M", meaning that the initial 40 bp are soft clipped from mapping. A

rearrangement junction is therefore inferred at 40-41bp of the read. The "SA" tag represents

supplementary alignment of the read, indicating that at least two mapping blocks are found in a

single read. These "SA" tagged reads are used for further inference of IES or scrambled loci.

All source codes and manual for SIGAR are available at https://github.com/yifeng-

evo/SIGAR.

Genomes and datasets

The *Oxytricha trifallax* (strain JRB310) MAC genome data in this study are from MDS-

IES-DB (http://knot.math.usf.edu/mds_ies_db/; Swart et al. 2013; Burns et al. 2016) and MIC

Illumina reads are from GenBank SRX365496, SRX385993, SRX385994, SRX385995 and

SRX385996 (Chen et al. 2014). Simulated MIC reads were produced by ART (Huang et al.

2012). To estimate the uniquely mapped region used in SIGAR analysis, we simulated 30bp

reads and 92bp reads using MAC genome as the reference to mimic the partially aligned region

in split reads. The 30bp and 92bp are the minimum and maximum of the SIGAR split reads

alignment length respectively. We mapped these reads to MAC genome by BWA MEM and

filtered by mapq 10, the default setting of SIGAR. The ratio of reference bases covered are

63.69% for 92bp reads and 60.77% for 30bp reads, which indicates that only ~60% MAC

genome is considered in the SIGAR analysis.

The hypotrich MAC genomes and whole cell DNA sequences are from Chen et al. 2015,

accession numbers *Laurentilla sp*. LASS02000000, *Sterkiella histriomuscorum* LAST02000000,

Stylonychia lemnae ADNZ03000000, *Urostyla sp*. LASQ02000000, and *Paraurostyla sp*.

LASR02000000. Only telomeric MAC contigs with "CCCCAAAACCCC" or

"GGGGTTTTGGGG" were used in the analysis. All SIGAR annotations are within the contig

body, which is at least 50bp from contig ends to avoid noisy mapping on telomeric regions.

The Ichthyophthirius multifiliis MAC genome is from Coyne et al. 2011, Genbank

accession number GCF_000220395.1. *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* whole cell DNA sequences

were produced as described in MacColl et al. 2015.

Acknowledgements

We thank Theodore Clark and Donna Cassidy-Hanley for sharing *Ich* data and Sindhuja

Devanapally for comments on the manuscript. We thank Rafik Neme, Jananan Pathmanathan,

Talya Yerlici, Derek Clay, Sandrine Moreira, and all current laboratory members for discussion.

We are grateful for the National Center for Genome Analysis Support (NCGAS) computing

resources (supported by National Science Foundation DBI-1062432, ABI-1458641, ABI-

1759906 to Indiana University). This work was supported by National Institutes of Health [grant

R35GM122555] and National Science Foundation [grant DMS1764366] to L.F.L.

References

Arnaiz, Olivier, et al. "The Paramecium germline genome provides a niche for intragenic

parasitic DNA: evolutionary dynamics of internal eliminated sequences." PLoS genetics 8.10

(2012).

Aury, Jean-Marc, et al. "Global trends of whole-genome duplications revealed by the ciliate

Paramecium tetraurelia." Nature 444.7116 (2006): 171-178.

Burns, Jonathan, et al. "<mds_ies_db>: a database of ciliate genome rearrangements." Nucleic

acids research 44.D1 (2016): D703-D709.

Chang, Wei-Jen, Paul D. Bryson, Han Liang, Mann Kyoon Shin, and Laura F. Landweber. "The

evolutionary origin of a complex scrambled gene." *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences 102, no. 42 (2005): 15149-15154.

Chen, Xiao, et al. "Genome analyses of the new model protist Euplotes vannus focusing on

genome rearrangement and resistance to environmental stressors." Molecular ecology resources

19.5 (2019): 1292-1308.

Chen, Xiao, et al. "The architecture of a scrambled genome reveals massive levels of genomic

rearrangement during development." Cell 158.5 (2014): 1187-1198.

Chen, Xiao, Seolkyoung Jung, Leslie Y. Beh, Sean R. Eddy, and Laura F. Landweber.

"Combinatorial DNA rearrangement facilitates the origin of new genes in ciliates." Genome

biology and evolution 7, no. 10 (2015): 2859-2870.

Coyne, Robert S., et al. "Comparative genomics of the pathogenic ciliate Ichthyophthirius

multifiliis, its free-living relatives and a host species provide insights into adoption of a parasitic

lifestyle and prospects for disease control." Genome biology 12.10 (2011): R100.

Forment, Josep V., Abderrahmane Kaidi, and Stephen P. Jackson. "Chromothripsis and cancer:

causes and consequences of chromosome shattering." Nature Reviews Cancer 12.10 (2012): 663-

670.

Fox, Edward J., Kate S. Reid-Bayliss, Mary J. Emond, and Lawrence A. Loeb. "Accuracy of

next generation sequencing platforms." Next generation, sequencing & applications 1 (2014).

Guérin, Frédéric, et al. "Flow cytometry sorting of nuclei enables the first global characterization

of Paramecium germline DNA and transposable elements." BMC genomics18.1 (2017): 327.

Hamilton, Eileen P., et al. "Structure of the germline genome of Tetrahymena thermophila and

relationship to the massively rearranged somatic genome." Elife 5 (2016): e19090.

Hogan, Daniel J., Elizabeth A. Hewitt, Kindra E. Orr, David M. Prescott, and Kirsten M. Müller.

"Evolution of IESs and scrambling in the actin I gene in hypotrichous ciliates." Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences 98, no. 26 (2001): 15101-15106.

Huang, Weichun, Leping Li, Jason R. Myers, and Gabor T. Marth. "ART: a next-generation

sequencing read simulator." Bioinformatics 28, no. 4 (2012): 593-594.

Katz, Laura A., and Alexandra M. Kovner. "Alternative processing of scrambled genes generates

protein diversity in the ciliate Chilodonella uncinata." Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B:

Molecular and Developmental Evolution 314.6 (2010): 480-488.

Kinsella, Cormac M., et al. "Programmed DNA elimination of germline development genes in

songbirds." Nature communications 10.1 (2019): 1-10.

Klobutcher, Lawrence A., and Glenn Herrick. "Consensus inverted terminal repeat sequence of

Paramecium lESs: resemblance to termini of Tc1-related and Euplotes Tec transposons." Nucleic

acids research 23.11 (1995): 2006-2013.

Klobutcher, Lawrence A., and Glenn Herrick. "Developmental Genome Reorganization in

Ciliated Protozoa: The Transposon Link." Progress in nucleic acid research and molecular

biology 56.5 (1997): 1-62.

Langmead, Ben, and Steven L. Salzberg. "Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2." Nature

methods 9.4 (2012): 357.

Li, Heng, and Richard Durbin. "Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler

transform." Bioinformatics 25.14 (2009): 1754-1760.

Li, Heng, et al. "The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools." Bioinformatics 25.16

(2009): 2078-2079.

Lindblad, Kelsi A., et al. "Capture of complete ciliate chromosomes in single sequencing reads

reveals widespread chromosome isoforms." BMC genomics 20.1 (2019): 1-11.

MacColl, Elisabeth, et al. "Molecular genetic diversity and characterization of conjugation genes

in the fish parasite Ichthyophthirius multifiliis." Molecular phylogenetics and evolution 86

(2015): 1-7.

Maurer-Alcalá, Xyrus X., Ying Yan, Olivia A. Pilling, Rob Knight, and Laura A. Katz. "Twisted

tales: insights into genome diversity of ciliates using single-cell 'omics." Genome biology and

evolution 10, no. 8 (2018): 1927-1938.

Prescott, David M. "The DNA of ciliated protozoa." Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 58.2 (1994):

233-267.

Robinson, James T., et al. "Integrative genomics viewer." Nature biotechnology 29.1 (2011): 24-

26.

Sheng, Yalan, et al. "The completed macronuclear genome of a model ciliate Tetrahymena

thermophila and its application in genome scrambling and copy number analyses." SCIENCE

CHINA Life Sciences (2020).

Slabodnick, Mark M., et al. "The macronuclear genome of Stentor coeruleus reveals tiny introns

in a giant cell." Current Biology 27.4 (2017): 569-575.

Smith, Jeramiah J., et al. "The sea lamprey germline genome provides insights into programmed

genome rearrangement and vertebrate evolution." Nature genetics 50.2 (2018): 270-277.

Stankiewicz, Paweł, and James R. Lupski. "Structural variation in the human genome and its role

in disease." Annual review of medicine 61 (2010): 437-455.

Swart, Estienne C., et al. "The Oxytricha trifallax macronuclear genome: a complex eukaryotic

genome with 16,000 tiny chromosomes." PLoS biology 11.1 (2013).

Wang, Guangying, et al. "A strategy for complete telomere-to-telomere assembly of ciliate

macronuclear genome using ultra-high coverage Nanopore data." bioRxiv (2020)

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.08.898502.

Wang, Ruanlin, Jie Xiong, Wei Wang, Wei Miao, and Aihua Liang. "High frequency of+ 1

programmed ribosomal frameshifting in Euplotes octocarinatus." Scientific Reports 6 (2016):

21139.

Xiong, Jie, et al. "Hidden genomic evolution in a morphospecies—The landscape of rapidly

evolving genes in Tetrahymena." PLoS biology 17.6 (2019): e3000294.

Zheng, Weibo, Jing Chen, Thomas G. Doak, Weibo Song, and Ying Yan. "ADFinder: accurate

detection of programmed DNA elimination using NGS high-throughput sequencing data."

Bioinformatics (2020) https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa226.

Figures

A

B

MIC split reads are captured by local mapping

Figure 1. Schematic of genome rearrangements in ciliates and SIGAR strategy. **A)** Ciliates have

separate germline (MIC) and somatic (MAC) genomes. The MAC chromosomes form from MIC

DNA during development by elimination of intervening DNA sequences (gray) and

reorganization of MDSs (blue). Some rearrangements join neighboring MDSs (e.g. A and B),

whereas scrambled rearrangements require translocation and/or inversion (e.g. 1-4).

Microhomologous pointer sequences, shown in different colors, are present at the end of MDS n

and the beginning of MDS n+1 on the MIC chromosome, with one copy retained in the MDS-

MDS junction on the MAC chromosome. **B)** SIGAR strategy. Reads that only contain MDS are

removed by end-to-end mapping to MAC contigs. The filtered reads are mapped locally to

identify MIC reads that split at MDS-MDS junctions. Such reads that map to both MDS n and

MDS n+1 permit inference of the pointer sequence from the overlapped region and the

eliminated sequence between them. Scrambled features of the germline map can sometimes be

inferred from reads containing at least two mapped blocks.

Figure 2. Comparison of pointer and IES length distributions between methods. **A)** The pointer

length distribution and **B)** IES length distribution inferred for *Oxytricha* by MIDAS vs. SIGAR.

Note that SIGAR used ~110X Illumina reads from Chen et al (2014), but MIDAS used

additional 15X PacBio reads for MIC genome assembly. Only ~60% of the MAC genome is

considered by SIGAR as uniquely mapped regions for analysis, and the inferred IES length is

restricted by read length (100bp in *Oxytricha* dataset). The pointer length distribution is only

shown for 1-25bp, and the IES length distribution is only shown for 10-100bp.

A MIC reads found by **SIGAR**

OXYTRI_MAC_22542 from MAC assembly **MIDAS**

OXYTRI_MIC_68184 from MIC assembly

B

	SIGAR		MIDAS	
Pointers	Positions	Sequences	Positions	Sequences
MDS1-2	243-259	ATAAAGACTTGGCTACA	243-259	ATAAAGACTTGGCTACA
MDS2-3	312-319	GATGGCAC	312-324	GATGGCAC**G**TTAT*
MDS3-4	364-370	TAAAGCT	364-370	TAAAGCT
MDS4-5	386-399	TTACTGGAAGGAAC	386-399	TTACTGGAAGGAAC
MDS5-6	-	-	412-424	CTTAATATTCAAA

*: Mismatches are allowed in pointers predicted by MIDAS, here the bolded "G" is a mismatch

Figure 3. A representative scrambled region in *Oxytricha* inferred by SIGAR. **A)** SIGAR

identified scrambled MIC reads that could be validated by MIDAS. The green, red, purple and

blue reads are diagrams of split reads found by SIGAR mapped to OXYTRI_ MAC_22542 (see

Figure S2 for reads mapping view). Green and red reads show a scrambled structure and the

associated pointers are also inferred. **B)** The pointers on OXYTRI_ MAC_22542 found in

SIGAR are the same as previous annotations by MIDAS.

Significance statement

The current study of genome rearrangement in ciliates is restricted to a few model species due to

the lack of high-quality germline genome assemblies for most species. We present a new

computational pipeline to infer properties of DNA reorganization and germline genome

architecture without the requirement of a germline genome assembly, using only short reads

mapped to a reference somatic genome. The pipeline has the advantage of being applicable to a

range of evolutionarily diverged organisms with limited culturing capacity or DNA sequence

availability.

Data Availability Statement

All source codes and manual for SIGAR are available at https://github.com/yifeng-evo/SIGAR.