1

The phylogenetic and global distribution of extracellular bioplastic degrading genes

V. R. Viljakainen,[1] L. A. Hug[1]†

[1]University of Waterloo, 200 University Ave W, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1

† Address correspondence to Laura A. Hug, laura.hug@uwaterloo.ca.

Abstract

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) are a family of microbially-made polyesters that have been

commercialized as biodegradable plastics. PHA production rates are predicted to increase rapidly

as global concerns around environmental plastic contamination and limited fossil fuel resources

have increased the importance of bio-based plastic alternatives. PHAs are meant to quickly

degrade in the environment, but this degradation is reliant on microbially-secreted PHA

depolymerases, whose taxonomic and environmental distribution have not been well-defined. As

a result, the impact of increased PHA production and disposal on global environments is

unknown. Here we used 3,842 metagenomes to analyze the distribution of PHA depolymerase

genes in microbial communities from diverse aquatic, terrestrial and waste management systems.

Our results indicate that extracellular PHA depolymerases are globally widespread but unevenly

distributed, with certain environments showing little to no evidence for this activity. In tandem,

we screened 5,290 metagenome-assembled genomes to describe the phylogenetic distribution of

this trait, which is substantially broader compared to current cultured representatives. We

identified members of the Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes as key lineages with PHA

biodegradation potential and predict this activity in members of the Actinobacteria, the

Candidate phylum Rokubacteria, Firmicutes, Planctomycetes and Spirochaetes.

Importance: Environmental concerns alongside legislation banning single-use petroleum-based

plastics are expected to promote the production of bio-based plastics, including PHAs. PHAs

represent a novel and emerging waste stream. If PHA disposal follows the precedent set by

conventional plastics, a significant portion will be littered into the environment, or improperly

discarded into landfills instead of composting facilities. Traditionally, the identification of

bioplastic degrading enzymes and organisms has relied on culture-dependent assays. As a result,

the PHA degradation capabilities of the "unculturable" fraction of microorganisms remain

largely unexplored. Here, we leverage large amounts of environmental sequence data to assess

which environments harbor PHA-degrading organisms and to determine the taxonomic

affiliations of bioplastic degraders. Our analyses inform our understanding of the biodegradation

potential in the environment, with implications for the impact of bioplastic pollution. We identify

enzymes and organisms that may be suitable for future bioremediation, chemical processing or

biotechnological applications.

Introduction

Widespread environmental impacts associated with liberal plastic use have led to a

demand for sustainable biodegradable alternatives, including polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) (1).

Conventional plastics rely on fossil resources and are extremely recalcitrant to degradation,

causing them to accumulate in the environment (2). Annual plastic production now exceeds 380

million tons (3) and accounts for approximately 6% of global fossil feedstocks (4). Plastics often

serve only a short service lifespan before being discarded (1). It is estimated that, as of 2015,

humans had generated 6,300 million metric tons of plastic waste (3). According to a recent

global assessment, only 21% of plastic waste has been incinerated or recycled; the remaining

79% has been discarded in landfills or littered into the environment (3). Plastics in the

environment can harm organisms through ingestion or entanglement causing ecological damage

(5). The effects of plastic pollution in the environment is a complex problem and the extent of

these effects remains largely unknown (6).

New legislation aimed at mitigating the environmental impacts of plastics alongside

growing public concern has generated a growing need for new biodegradable alternatives (7–9).

PHAs have garnered substantial academic and industrial interest, and commercially available

PHAs have been developed, for reviews see (10–12). PHAs are considered environmentally

favorable to conventional plastics because they can be made from renewable carbon resources

and have been shown to degrade in many different environments (13–20). 2.11 million tons of

bioplastics were produced in 2018 (8). PHAs currently represent 1.4% of the global bioplastic

market with production rates expected to more than double in the next five years (8).

PHAs are a family of polyesters that are synthesized naturally by many types of bacteria

and archaea as an intracellular energy and carbon storage compound (13, 21). There are over 150

different types of PHA monomers which can be combined in different ways to yield polymers

with a variety of chemical and structural properties (22). PHAs are broadly classified as either

short-chain length (PHAscl) or medium chain length (PHAmcl) depending on the number of

carbon atoms found in the monomer. PHAscl have 3-5 carbon atoms per monomer and PHAmcl

have 6-15 carbon atoms per monomer. PHAs occur in two different biophysical states, which

impacts which enzymes can break them down (13). Intracellular PHAs are amorphous and are

found in protein-associated granules sometimes referred to as carbonosomes (23); extracellular

PHAs become denatured upon extraction and take on a semi-crystalline state (13).

PHA biodegradation in the environment is mediated by microorganisms that produce

PHA depolymerases (24). PHA depolymerases are a diverse family of intracellular and

extracellular carboxylesterases and are members of the alpha/beta-hydrolase fold family (25). To

date, approximately 35 PHA depolymerases have been isolated and biochemically characterized

(Supp Data 1 and references within), from organisms within the phyla Ascomycetes, Firmicutes,

Proteobacteria (most) and Actinobacteria. PHA depolymerases are classified based on

subcellular location (extracellular or intracellular), substrate specificity (mcl [EC 3.1.1.76] or scl

[EC 3.1.1.75]), and features of the catalytic domain (13, 25). Intracellular PHA (inPHA)

depolymerases mobilize amorphous endogenous PHA stores whereas extracellular PHA (ePHA)

depolymerases degrade exogenous semi-crystalline PHAs (24). ePHA depolymerases allow

microorganisms to scavenge PHAs that have been released into the environment from ruptured

cells (13) and are the main avenue for biodegradation of commercial PHAs. Here, we focused

our analyses on ePHA depolymerases because we were interested in enzymes that are secreted

into the environment and have the potential to degrade commercial PHAs.

All known ePHA depolymerases contain a catalytic triad composed of a serine

(embedded in a lipase box motif), histidine and aspartic acid (13, 25). Extracellular short chain

length (ePHAscl) depolymerases typically consist of a signal peptide, a catalytic domain, a linker

domain and a C-terminal substrate binding domain (25). There are two recognized ePHAscl

depolymerase subtypes (denoted type 1 [T1] and type 2 [T2]) which differ in the arrangement of

their catalytic domains (13). In ePHAsclT1 depolymerases the oxyanion hole is N-terminal to the

lipase box, whereas in ePHAsclT2 depolymerases the oxyanion hole is C-terminal to the lipase

box (25). Characterized extracellular medium-chain length (ePHAmcl) depolymerases do not

exhibit a C-terminal substrate binding domain; instead the N-terminal region is presumed to

mediate substrate binding (13). ePHAmcl depolymerases share no significant homology with

ePHAscl depolymerases except for the catalytic triad (25), which is non-specific as it is shared

by all carboxylesterases (27). However, ePHAsclT1 depolymerases do share significant

homology with some biochemically characterized intracellular PHAscl (inPHAscl)

depolymerases (28) and ePHAsclT2 depolymerases share significant similarity with a

periplasmic PHA depolymerase specific for amorphous forms of PHA (29).

Rates of plastic degradation are influenced by polymer properties (e.g., available surface

area, monomeric composition, presence of additives), the extant microbial population, and

abiotic factors (e.g., temperature, UV, pH) (30). PHAs are considered biodegradable, however

the rate of this process depends on the polymer properties and the environmental context (1).

Thus, the term "biodegradable" is ambiguous unless the conditions and rate of degradation are

reported (31). Standardized tests exist to quantify degradation under specified conditions, such as

industrial composts (see ASTM D5338-15) (32), but these tests cannot be applied to non-

engineered environmental systems. Field studies on biodegradability have shown conflicting

results, and there is a growing concern that biodegradable plastics are often not as biodegradable

as claimed (33).

Here, we have focused specifically on determining which environments harbour microbes

with the genetic potential to mediate PHA biodegradation. PHA biodegradation rates are strongly

influenced by the abundance and diversity of microorganisms encoding PHA depolymerases

(24). With PHA production levels expected to increase, a strong understanding of the distribution

of PHA-degrading enzymes and organisms is crucial for understanding the impact of PHAs on

the environment (24). Early culture-based studies have isolated PHAscl degrading

microorganisms from different environments including soil, compost, sewage and aquatic

environments, but indicated that PHAmcl degraders appear to be relatively rare (13, 24).

Reflecting these findings, relatively few ePHAmcl depolymerases have been characterized

compared to ePHAscl depolymerases (25, 33).

Most PHA biodegradation research has relied heavily on culture-based approaches or

black-box burial degradation trials (30). Culture-based research has developed the foundational

understanding of the enzymes and organisms mediating PHA biodegradation reviewed by (13).

However, a global summary of the environmental distribution of PHA depolymerases has not

been reported. Many microbial lineages are currently unamenable to culturing and are only

recognized from culture-independent analyses (35). With the advent of high-throughput

sequencing and metagenomics, there has been a proliferation of publicly available DNA

sequence data from microbial communities inhabiting diverse global environments (36, 37),

enabling broad surveys of trait distributions, see (38, 39). Here, we employed a culture-

independent approach using publicly available environmental sequence data to characterize the

phylogenetic and environmental distribution of PHA-degrading enzymes. We screened 5,290

metagenomes assembled genomes (MAGs) and 3,842 metagenomes sequenced from globally

distributed natural environments and waste-management systems. Biochemically characterized

ePHA depolymerases were used as biomarkers to assess the potential for PHA degradation

activity in different environments and across the bacterial tree of life.

Methods

Identification of ePHA depolymerases

A reference set of biochemically validated ePHA depolymerases were gathered from the

literature (Supp Data 1) and used as queries in a BLAST search (threshold e< 1×10^{-10}) against

3,842 metagenomes (Supp Data 2) and 5,290 MAGs (Supp Data 3) that were publicly available

on the Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) system (https://img.jgi.doe.gov) (37). Associated

IMG metadata was used to classify each dataset by environment. Datasets screened were

publicly available, but permissions were requested for PIs associated with the data. Many

metagenomes came from datasets associated with publications, and we acknowledge the work of

the scientific community in generating such a rich database (40–55). A series of curation steps

were taken to increase PHA depolymerase prediction accuracy and to reduce or eliminate non-

functional homologs. Hits identified in metagenomes and MAGs were curated separately. The

results of the curation process applied to MAG hits (smaller, more tractable dataset) were used to

develop a computationally feasible curation protocol for metagenome hits.

ePHA depolymerase hit curation:

Unique ePHA depolymerase blast hits (threshold $< 1\times10^{-10}$) were gathered and aligned

with the corresponding reference sequences (7 ePHAmcl, 14 ePHAsclT1, and 7 ePHAsclT2)

(Supp Data 4). All alignments were performed using MUSCLE v. 3.8.3 (56) as implemented in

Geneious v. 10.2.6 (https://www.geneious.com) with default parameters. Hits were first sorted

and divided into groups of similarly sized sequences before alignments were performed. This

served to reduce the computational load of performing a single alignment with many dissimilar

sequences and facilitated identification of areas of shared conservation with reference enzymes.

An alignment-based curation was performed to identify hits that shared key catalytic residues

with reference sequences (serine embedded in a lipase box motif [GxSxG], aspartic acid, and

histidine, as well as the oxyanionic histidine for the ePHAscl depolymerases). To prevent

removal of true PHA depolymerases containing insertions or deletions, higher scoring blast hits

(threshold e< $1 \times 10_{-30}$) were retained for further analyses in addition to those retained from the

alignment-based curation. Genes that were highly gapped in the alignment or which were

truncated within the catalytic domain were removed. Next, placement within a maximum

likelihood (ML) tree was used to distinguish PHA depolymerases from other types of closely

related enzymes. ML trees were constructed using RAxML-HPC2 (57) with automatic

bootstopping on the CIPRES webserver (58; Supp. Data 5). ModelTest-NG (59) was

implemented for model selection assuming a uniform rate of evolution. PROTGAMMA WAG,

VT+F, and WAG+F models were used for ePHAmcl, ePHAsclT1 and ePHAsclT2 hits

respectively.

ML-based curation was performed using tree topology and branch length to assess

relatedness to known PHA depolymerases. Representative MAG-encoded sequences for each

major clade occurring in different regions of the ML tree were used as queries in a blast search

against (1) the uniprot / swissprot database (https://www.uniprot.org/) (60); (2) NCBI's refseq

database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/) (61); and (3) the pdb database

(www.wwpdb.org) (62). Top blast hits were retrieved and used to predict clade annotations.

Many MAG-encoded genes could not be confidently assigned to a PHA depolymerase subtype

based on tree placement alone, due to overlapping phylogenetic signals between (1) ePHAsclT1

depolymerases and inPHAscl depolymerases and (2) ePHAsclT2 depolymerases and a

periplasmic PHA depolymerase.

Ribosomal protein tree

A concatenated ribosomal protein tree was constructed to phylogenetically place MAGs with

predicted bioplastic degrading genes. 16 ribosomal proteins (rpL2, L3, L4, L5, L6, L14, L15,

L16, L18, L22, L24, S3, S8, S10, S17 and S19) were gathered from 143 MAGs encoding

predicted PHA depolymerases. Ribosomal proteins were independently aligned to a previously

described bacterial reference set (35). Alignments were masked to remove columns comprised of

95%+ gaps and trimmed to remove ambiguously aligned positions at the N and C termini. The

16 ribosomal protein alignments were concatenated to form a final alignment composed of 2,491

positions and 1,941 taxa. In tandem, MAG quality was estimated using checkM v1.0.13 (63).

Thirteen poor quality MAGs (>10% redundant and/or <50% complete) were excluded from the

final alignment. Fifteen MAGs were also excluded from the final alignment because their

ribosomal protein complement resulted in <50% of the expected number of ungapped residues in

the final alignment. A total of 115 MAGs encoding predicted PHA depolymerases were included

in the final alignment. Phylogenetic placement was inferred using FastTree (64) as implemented

in Geneious v.10.2.6. (https://www.geneious.com) and the tree was visualized using iTOL

(https://itol.embl.de/) (65).

Environmental ePHA depolymerase hit curation

Hits with an e-value $<1\text{x}10_{-25}$ for PHAmcl depolymerases (1,196) and $<1\text{x}10_{-30}$ for

ePHAscl (T1: 54,986, T2: 14,042) depolymerases were gathered and aligned to reference

sequences as for the MAG-derived genes. E-value thresholds were set at least five orders of

magnitude lower than the lowest quality hit that had ultimately been retained during the MAG hit

curation, to ensure a broad search. ePHAscl depolymerase hits missing either the lipase box

motif (GxSxG) and the oxyanion hole (HGC) motif were removed before alignments were

performed. Alignments and phylogenetic tree placement-based curation were performed as for

the MAG-derived sequences, with the following modifications to accommodate large dataset

sizes. High quality blast hits, defined as $<1\text{x}10_{-40}$ for ePHAmcl and $1<10_{-50}$ for ePHAscl datasets,

were retained for inclusion in the tree curation steps. CD-HIT (66) was used to cluster

ePHAsclT1 hits to 90% identity (word size 5) to reduce computational load of alignments and

tree-based curation. After tree-based curation, near-full-length sequences occurring within the

same clustered set for retained predicted ePHAsclT1 depolymerases were identified using a

custom script (Supp Data 6) and included in the final ePHAsclT1 sequence set.

Final sets of curated predicted ePHA depolymerases were independently aligned to the

relevant reference enzymes using MAFFT v.7 (67) (ePHAsclT1) and MUSCLE v. 3.8.3 (56)

(ePHAsclT2 and ePHAmcl). MAFFT was used for the final predicted ePHAclT1 alignment due

to large dataset size. Final protein trees were independently constructed for predicted ePHAmcl,

ePHAsclT1 and ePHAsclT2 depolymerases using FastTree (68) due to dataset size. Final trees

were visualized and annotated using ITOL (https://itol.embl.de/) (65).

Results

Environmental distribution of PHA depolymerases

To characterize the environmental capacity for PHA biodegradation, 3,842 publicly

available assembled and annotated metagenomes from diverse aquatic (n=1,982), terrestrial

(n=1,596), wastewater (n=230) and solid waste management systems (n=34) were screened for

ePHA depolymerases (Supp Data 2). Metagenomes sequenced from all seven continents were

included in our dataset but were unequally represented, with certain regions having more

sampled locations and sample types than others (Supp Fig 1). Biochemically validated ePHA

depolymerase genes were used as biomarkers for biodegradation potential (Supp Data 1).

Homology-based searches using biomarker enzymes followed by rigorous curation of predicted

enzymes resulted in a global distribution for PHA depolymerases (Fig 1a). Metagenomes from

North America were the most heavily represented in our dataset and nearly every environmental

subtype showed some evidence for PHA degradation activity within this region (Fig 1b). A total

of 13,869 PHA depolymerases were predicted in 1,295 metagenomes, sampled from aquatic

(417/1,982 = 21.0%), solid waste (23/34 =67.6 %), terrestrial (764/1,596 =47.8%) and

wastewater (91/230 = 39.5%) environments (Fig 2).

ePHAmcl depolymerization potential was sparsely represented across environments.

Only 222 ePHAmcl depolymerases were predicted out of the 13,869 ePHA identified. ePHAmcl

depolymerases were identified from 153 metagenomes from aquatic (41/1,982 = 2.1%),

terrestrial (94/1,596 = 5.9%), and wastewater (18/230 = 7.8%) environments (Fig 2). Predicted

ePHAmcl depolymerases were placed in a ML tree anchored with biochemically characterized

ePHAmcl enzymes (Supp Fig 2a). ePHAmcl depolymerases recently isolated from *Streptomyces*

species share little sequence homology with other ePHAmcl biomarker enzymes and form a

novel subgroup (69). Based on the ML tree, 14 predicted ePHAmcl depolymerases placed with

the three ePHAmcl depolymerases isolated from *Streptomyces* (69, 70) while the other 208

predicted enzymes formed a second clade with ePHAmcl biomarker enzymes isolated from

species of *Bdellovibrio* (34) and *Pseudomonas* (71, 72). Based on tree placement, only a handful

of predicted enzymes were closely related to biomarker enzymes; the majority of predicted

enzymes formed more distantly related clades. If functional, these enzymes significantly expand

the known sequence diversity of ePHAmcl depolymerases.

In contrast to ePHAmcl depolymerases, ePHAscl depolymerases were more prevalent

across environments. A total of 10,245 ePHAsclT1 depolymerases were predicted from 1,136

metagenomes, from aquatic (338/1,982 =17.1%), solid waste (13/34 = 38.2 %), terrestrial (713

/1,596 = 44.7%), and wastewater (72/230 = 31.3%) environments (Fig 2). For ePHAsclT2

depolymerases, a total of 3,402 enzymes were predicted from 908 metagenomes from aquatic

(264/1,982 = 13.3%), solid waste (22/34 = 64.7%), terrestrial (540/1,596 = 33.8%), and

wastewater (82/230 = 35.6%) environments (Fig 2). Predicted ePHAscl T1 and T2

depolymerases were placed in protein trees anchored with biomarker enzymes (Supp Fig 2b and

2c). For both predicted ePHAscl T1 and T2 depolymerases, considerable sequence diversity was

seen relative to biomarker enzymes, with large clades of putative PHA depolymerases containing

no biomarker enzymes. As for ePHAmcl enzymes, this indicates the known diversity of ePHAscl

enzymes may be a marked underrepresentation of the true protein family.

Aquatic Environments

Plastic contamination is ubiquitous in aquatic environments (6, 73). Plastic debris, either

macroplastics or microplastics (typically <0.5mm) can harm aquatic organisms through

entanglement and ingestion (74). Microplastics are of particular concern because they can be

ingested by organisms and serve as a vector for chemical contaminants (74). As bioplastics

become more popular, it is expected that they will become a larger component of aquatic

contamination. PHAs have been shown to degrade in aquatic environments, however rates can

vary widely depending on polymer properties, temperature, and microbial population, and can be

quite slow (20, 75, 76). For example, in freshwater ponds, samples of PHAscl showed 7% mass

loss after six months (20). In marine water, PHAscl bottles showed only minor disintegration

after a year (76). Relatively little research has characterized the degradation of PHAmcl in

aquatic environments, and PHAmcl degraders are typically scarce relative to PHAscl degrading

organisms (69, 77).

Here, we screened 1,982 aquatic metagenomes for evidence of microbial PHA

biodegradation potential. Our analyses included 946 freshwater, 452 marine, 87 non-marine

saline and alkaline, 114 sediment and 383 thermal spring metagenomes. Less than half of the

aquatic metagenomes we screened contained any type of predicted ePHA depolymerases (Fig 2).

The highest proportion of aquatic metagenomes with predicted PHA depolymerization potential

were from sediments (55/114 = 48.2%) followed by non-marine saline and alkaline (31/87=

35.6%) and marine environments (140/452=31.0%). Only 19.2% of freshwater metagenomes

(182/946) and 2.3% of thermal spring metagenomes (9/383) contained predicted ePHA

depolymerases.

Very few aquatic metagenomes showed evidence for ePHAmcl depolymerization

potential. Only 1.9% (18/946) freshwater, 3.5% (16/452) marine, 2.3% (2/87) non-marine saline

and alkaline, 3.5% (4/114) sediment, and 0.3% (1/383) thermal spring metagenomes had

predicted ePHAmcl depolymerases. In contrast to ePHAmcl, all aquatic environmental subtypes

had predicted ePHAscl depolymerization potential to some degree (ePHAsclT1 and ePHAsclT2

depolymerases). 43.9% (50/114) of sediment, 24.1% (21/87) of non-marine saline and alkaline,

20.4% (92/452) of marine, and 17.5% (166/946) of freshwater metagenomes contained predicted

ePHAsclT1 depolymerases. Very few thermal spring metagenomes (9/383 = 2.34%) had these

predicted depolymerases. For ePHAsclT2 depolymerases, the highest proportion of

metagenomes encoding this activity was sediments (45/114 = 39.5%), followed by 21.7%

(98/452) marine, 20.7% (18/87) non-marine saline and alkaline environments, and only 0.5%

(2/383) thermal spring metagenomes. Thermal spring metagenomes had the lowest PHA

degradation potential across all aquatic environmental subtypes.

In aquatic environments, plastics provide a surface for microbial colonization and biofilm

formation (78). Few studies have characterized how microbial assemblages change and respond

to bioplastic waste, including PHAs. Pinnell & Turner (2017) found that PHA-associated

biofilms in benthic coastal marine environments were distinct from non-biodegradable

polyethylene terephthalate controls and were dominated by sulfate-reducing microorganisms

(79). These results indicate that PHA waste may alter microbial communities and have

unintentional impacts on biogeochemical activities in this environment through the stimulation

of sulfate reducers. Our analyses indicate that the majority of aquatic environments are not

equipped with an abundance of PHA degrading organisms. This may suggest that bioplastics

(particularly PHAmcl products) may persist longer than anticipated in these environments, or

their presence may slowly enrich for bioplastic-degrading organisms, altering the local microbial

community structure.

Terrestrial Environments

Soil microbial communities have been extremely well-sampled globally relative to other environments. From our screen, 51.5% (740/1,437) of soil metagenomes show ePHA depolymerization potential (Fig 2). Other terrestrial environments with evidence for this activity were deep subsurface environments (21/143= 14.7%) and rock-dwelling communities (3/3 = 100.0%). Loam (0/3), peat (0/5) and volcanic (0/5) microbial communities showed no evidence for ePHA depolymerization potential, although this may be an artifact of small sample sizes for these environments.

Rock-dwelling metagenomes were not predicted to contain any ePHAmcl depolymerases, whereas 0.7% (1/143) of deep subsurface and 6.5% (93/1,437) of soil metagenomes did encode one or more predicted ePHAmcl depolymerases. 13.3% (19/143) deep subsurface, 100% (3/3) rock-dwelling, and 48.1% (691/1,437) of soil metagenomes screened positive for ePHAsclT1 depolymerases. ePHAsclT2 depolymerases were predicted in 5.6% (8/143) of deep subsurface, 66.7% (2/3) of rock-dwelling environments, and 36.9% (530/1437) of soil metagenomes.

Recently, culture-independent approaches have revealed the diversity and heterogeneity of soil microbial communities. Soil can have distinct microbial communities across micrometers or millimeters in space (80). PHAscl biodegradation in soil has been well-studied and many PHA degrading organisms, mostly polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) degraders (the most common type of PHA monomer), have been isolated from these environments (14, 17, 30, 81). Again, less work has characterized PHAmcl degradation in soils relative to PHAscl products. However, in tropical soils, PHAmcl has been showed to degrade much more slowly compared to PHB films (77).

 Solid Waste Environments

Over half of metagenomes screened from solid waste environment subtypes contained

predicted ePHAscl depolymerases, with 66.7% (20/30) of compost metagenomes and 75.0%

(3/4) of landfill metagenomes showing ePHA depolymerization potential. When parsed by

ePHAscl depolymerase subtype, 33.3% (10/30) of compost and 75.0% (3/4) of landfill samples

had predicted ePHAsclT1 depolymerases and 63.3% (19/30) of compost and 75.0% (3/4) of

landfill metagenomes had predicted ePHAsclT2 depolymerases. Notably, our analyses did not

predict any ePHAmcl depolymerases from solid waste environments, which may have

implications for bioplastic waste-management.

Composting is considered the ideal way to handle certain types of biodegradable plastic

waste because it allows for energy and material recovery (31). Furthermore, since plastics are

broken down, they are removed as a potential physical threat to organisms in the environment.

Composting expands the end-of-life options available for bioplastics and is particularly

beneficial when mechanical recycling is not an option (31). However, composting requires

existing infrastructure and collection systems and access to these varies based on locale (82).

Commercial PHA products are certified as compostable under various standards, including the

European standard (EN 13432) which stipulates that under industrial composting conditions 90%

of the plastic must be converted to CO_2 after six months (31). It was concerning that a third of

composting samples showed no predicted PHA depolymerases. This may reflect a lack of

bioplastics in the current waste streams, where low abundance degraders are below current

detection limits, or may indicate a future requirement for targeted amendment of bioplastic

degrading organisms to composting facilities to ensure degradation occurs at mandated rates.

A large fraction of plastic waste is disposed of in landfills. Despite landfills being a likely

site of disposal, very little characterization of the biodegradation of biodegradable plastics in

landfills has taken place (82). Very few plastics are recycled due to low consumer compliance,

lack of infrastructure, and contamination of recycling streams (3). Bioplastics will likely follow

this precedent, although because they can be composted, a larger proportion may be diverted

away from landfills. Landfills are not an ideal solution for bioplastic waste; plastics in landfills

represent a lost opportunity as their stored energy cannot be recovered and they take up valuable

space (1, 83). Landfills are complex heterogenous environments and landfill microbial

communities are not well-characterized (84). The landfill metagenomes included in our analyses

originated from a Canadian site and showed the potential for PHAscl degradation, but not

PHAmcl degradation. It is thus possible that PHAmcl polymers will behave similarly to

conventional plastics and remain relatively inert over a long timeframe. This poses little threat to

the environment provided landfills are well-maintained and do not disperse wastes into the

surrounding environments, but this cannot be assumed for 100% of landfilled bioplastics. Our

identification of predicted ePHAscl depolymerases in landfill metagenomes coupled with data

from earlier studies indicates that ePHAscl plastics biodegrade in landfills if amenable abiotic

conditions (temperature, pH, moisture) are maintained. One concern is that anoxic degradation of

PHAs generates greenhouse gases in the form of CO_2 and CH_4 (24). However, most modern

landfills have infrastructure to capture methane as biogas, generating energy and reducing

greenhouse gas emissions, which would mitigate harmful by-products of landfill PHA

degradation (85).

Wastewater Environments

Within wastewater environments, the majority of anaerobic digestors (51/54 = 94.4%)

and nutrient removal communities (27/35=77.1%) were predicted to conduct ePHA degradation,

whereas only a small fraction of activated sludge communities showed evidence for this activity

(13/141=9.2%).

ePHAmcl depolymerases were predicted in 7.8% (11/141) of activated sludge, 18.5%

(10/54) of anaerobic digestors, and 57.1% (20/35) of nutrient removal systems. A high

proportion of anaerobic digestors (38/54=70.4%) and nutrient removal systems (21/35 = 60.0%)

had predicted ePHAsclT1 depolymerases whereas only 9.2% (13/141) of activated sludge

environments had predicted enzymes. ePHAsclT2 depolymerases were also well-represented in

anaerobic digestors (47/54 = 87.0%) and nutrient removal systems (27/35=77.1%), but only

occurred in 8/141, or 5.7% of activated sludge metagenomes.

Interestingly, wastewater can be used as a carbon source for PHA production , and

appears to be a promising avenue for biopolymer production (86). Given the potential presence

of PHA degraders in the majority of wastewater systems, there is the possibility of a circular

system, where wastewater acts as both feedstock and waste processing components of the

bioplastic lifecycle.

Phylogenetic distribution of predicted PHA depolymerases

We screened 5,290 MAGs available on IMG for ePHA depolymerases, comprising 4,927

bacterial and 363 archaeal genomes. Screened MAGs were reconstructed from metagenomes

originating from engineered (443), environmental (4,659), host-associated (186), and

unclassified (2) systems (Supp Data 3). We identified 143 MAGs (2.7%) encoding a total of 231

predicted extracellular PHA depolymerases. CheckM was used to assess MAG quality, with 130

MAGs >50% percent complete and <10% redundant, within which 122 MAGs were >70%

complete and <10% redundant (Supp Data 7). All MAGs encoding predicted ePHA

depolymerases were from the bacterial domain. A number of distantly related genes were

identified in archaeal MAGs but these did not pass our curation steps. These archaeal sequences

may represent true PHA depolymerases that are distantly related from reference enzymes and

thus may be interesting targets for future characterization (Supp Data 8).

A concatenated ribosomal protein tree was created to phylogenetically place the 115

predicted ePHA degrading MAGs that were >50% complete and <10% redundant and had an

appropriate complement of ribosomal proteins (see methods) (Fig 3). From this, 6 pairs of

curated MAGs had identical concatenated ribosomal protein sequences. These MAG pairs often

originated from related samples (e.g., time series samples of the same environment), indicating

they may represent the same bacterial populations (Supp Data 7). From the curated set of 115

MAGs, we identified putative PHA depolymerases from members of the Proteobacteria (92),

Bacteroidetes (14), Actinobacteria (3), Firmicutes (2), Candidate phylum Rokubacteria (2),

Spirochaetes (1), and Planctomycetes (1). Notably, we did not detect PHA biodegradation

potential in any MAGs associated with the Candidate Phyla Radiation/Patescibacteria. Prior to

our screen, bacterial PHA depolymerases were reported from the Proteobacteria, the

Actinobacteria and the Firmicutes, as well as one fungal phylum, the Ascomycetes. Our screen

extends the phylogenetic distribution of this activity to four additional bacterial phyla, doubling

its taxonomic diversity. The distribution of fungal PHA depolymerases was not investigated in

our screen, but is an important avenue for future characterization to holistically characterize PHA

depolymerization potential.

The vast majority of the predicted PHA degrading organisms were Proteobacteria, with

13 Alphaproteobacterial, 51 Betaproteobacterial, 8 Deltaproteobacterial, 18

Gammaproteobacterial and two Oligoflexia MAGs identified. Alphaproteobacterial MAGs with

ePHA depolymerases were from marine, freshwater, and soil environments, with members of the

families Rhizobiales (7), Sphingomonadales (4), and Rhodobacterales (1), and two unclassified

Alphaproteobacteria. Many Betaproteobacterial PHA degraders have been identified through

culture-based studies, particularly from soils (13). As a result, many of the biomarker enzymes

used in our screen were from this class (Supp Data 1 and references within). The

Betaproteobacterial MAGs with predicted PHA degradative capacity were predominantly from

the Burkholderiales (31), but also from the Rhodocyclales (2) and unclassified lineages (13). The

Burkholderiales *Paucimonas lemoignei* is a model organism for PHA degradation and encodes at

least five PHA depolymerases (87). It is not clear whether PHA depolymerases from this class

were so abundant in our screen due to a detection bias based on the closely related biomarker

enzymes in our reference set or because this family is genuinely enriched for this function

compared to other lineages. Eight Deltaproteobacteria with PHA depolymerization potential

were identified, including six Myxococcales, a Desulfobacterales and an unclassified bacterium.

A total of 18 Gammaproteobacteria including species from the Alteromonadales (6),

Chromatiales (1), Methylococcales (1), Oceanospirillales (3), Pseudomonadales (1),

Xanthomonadales (2), and four unclassified organisms were predicted to encode ePHA

depolymerases.

Beyond the Proteobacteria, 13 MAGs from the Bacteroidetes encoded predicted

ePHAsclT1 depolymerase(s). All encoded a single predicted ePHAsclT1 except for two

unclassified bacteria from soil and groundwater which each encoded two predicted ePHAsclT1

enzymes that shared high-level of sequence identities. Bacteroidetes with predicted ePHA

degradation capacities included an unclassified Cryomorphaceae (Flavobacteria) and an

unclassified Saprospiraceae (Saprospiria) from a wastewater nutrient removal system, and nine

unclassified organisms from groundwater. The three Actinobacteria MAGs were predicted to

encode ePHAsclT1 depolymerases. Two were unclassified members of the Pseudonocardiales

from soil. One of these MAGs encoded a single ePHAsclT1 depolymerase whereas the other

encoded two. The third Actinobacteria MAG was from an organism from the Actinomycetales.

Two Firmicutes from the Clostridiales sequenced from an anerobic bioreactor were predicted to

have PHAmcl depolymerization potential. One unclassified Spirochaetes bacterium from

groundwater was predicted to encode three closely related ePHAsclT1 depolymerases. Two

MAGs from the Candidate phylum Rokubacteria from groundwater and soil had predicted

ePHAsclT1 depolymerases. One nutrient removal wastewater system-derived Planctomycetes

MAG from the Phycisphaerales encoded a predicted ePHAsclT1. For a detailed overview of the

PHA depolymerase complement for each MAG, please see Supp Data 7.

MAGs encoding multiple predicted PHA depolymerases

Of the 115 high-quality MAGs with PHA biodegradation potential, 67 were predicted to

encode one PHA depolymerase, 24 were predicted to encode two, 23 were predicted to encode

three, and one, a Burkholderiales, was predicted to encode nine PHA depolymerases (Supp Data

7).

The Burkholderiales MAG encoding nine putative PHA depolymerases originated from

soil and was 98% complete and 5% redundant. Predicted depolymerases included two closely

related ePHAsclT1, four ePHAsclT2 and three closely related ePHAmcl depolymerases.

MAGs from the Proteobacteria (22) and Spirochaetes (1) encoded three predicted ePHA

depolymerases. One Alpha-, 18 Beta-, one Delta- and two Gammaproteobacteria all encoded

three predicted PHA depolymerases. An Alphaproteobacterial MAG (100% complete, 1%

redundant) that placed near the Bradyrhizobiaceae of the Rhizobiales encoded three closely

related ePHAsclT1 enzymes. From the Betaproteobacterial MAGs, eight closely related

Candidatus Accumulibacter organisms encoded two ePHAsclT2 enzymes and one ePHAsclT1

enzyme (all >84% complete, <5% redundant). PHA cycling is a core phenotype to the

Candidatus Accumulibacter lineage, though most focus has been on PHA synthesis to date (49).

Nine Burkholderiales encoded three ePHAscl enzymes and one Rhodocyclales (98% complete

and 0% redundant) encoded one predicted ePHAsclT1 and two predicted ePHAsclT2 enzymes.

For the Gammaproteobacteria, two near-identical *Halomonas* MAGs of the Oceanospirillales

(both 99% complete, 1% redundant) encoded two ePHAsclT1 and one ePHAsclT2. The

Spirochaetes MAG (90% complete, 0% redundant) had three predicted

ePHAsclT1depolymerases that clustered closely within the ML tree.

Distribution of PHA depolymerase subtypes

ePHAmcl depolymerases: We predicted 10 ePHAmcl depolymerase genes from 8 MAGs (Fig

4a). A soil Burkholderiales bacterium from the Betaproteobacteria encoded 3 predicted enzymes.

The remaining putative ePHAmcl depolymerases were found as singletons within MAGs,

including a Pseudomonadales (Gammaproteobacteria) from soil, two Myxococcales

(Deltaprotebacteria) from a wastewater bioreactor and a marine environment, two *Sandaracinus*

spp. (Deltaprotebacteria) from a marine environment, and a *Clostridium* (Firmicutes) from an

anaerobic bioreactor (Fig. 4a). To our knowledge, ePHAmcl depolymerases have not previously

been identified in the Firmicutes. Several *Clostridia* species are human pathogens and obligate

anaerobes (88) which may have hindered recognition of PHA degrading activity in cultivation-

based studies, which have largely been conducted aerobically. Based on ML analyses, the

putative *Clostridium* ePHAmcl depolymerases were more closely related to the *Streptomyces*

ePHAmcl depolymerase subgroup, whereas the other predicted PHAmcl enzymes were more

closely related to those isolated from *Pseudomonas* and *Bdellovibrio* (Fig 4a).

ePHAsclT1 depolymerases: We predicted 156 ePHAsclT1 depolymerases in 120 unique MAGs

from the Actinobacteria (7), Bacteroidetes (17), Candidate phylum Rokubacteria (2),

Planctomycetes (1), Proteobacteria (125), Spirochaetes (3) and Tenericutes (1) (Fig 4b).

ePHAsclT1 depolymerases are the most well-characterized type of PHA depolymerase (13, 24).

Biochemically validated enzymes in our reference set originated from species of *Pseudomonas*

(Gammaproteobacteria), *Ralstonia* (Betaprotebacteria)*, Paucimonas* (Betaprotebacteria)*,*

Alcaligenes (Betaprotebacteria), and *Bacillus* (Firmicutes) (Supp Data 1 and references within).

Our screen extends the distribution of ePHAsclT1 enzymes to a further six phyla. An ePHAsclT1

depolymerase was also identified from a Tenericute MAG, however due to MAG quality (49%

complete, 0% redundant), it was excluded from the final concatenated ribosomal protein tree.

ePHAsclT2 depolymerases*:* We predicted 65 ePHAsclT2 depolymerases in 48 MAGs from

members of the Alpha, Beta and Gammaproteobacteria (Fig 4c). Most ePHAsclT2 biochemically

validated enzymes originated from members of the Burkholderiales family (Betaproteobacteria).

Additional reference enzymes came from *Streptomyces* (Actinobacteria) and a *Penicillium*

(Ascomycota), neither of which had related sequences in our environmentally-derived

ePHAsclT2 depolymerases (Supp Data 1 and references within).

Summary of environmental distribution data

Screening of metagenomic sequence data from diverse aquatic, terrestrial and waste-

management environments revealed that, while PHA-degrading bacteria are globally distributed,

some environments show very little evidence for this activity. In particular, less than a third of

the thermal springs, freshwater and marine environments we screened showed evidence for

degradative capacity. This patchy distribution of PHA depolymerases may have impacts for

pollution mitigation. If biodegradable plastics do not degrade in the environment at an

appreciable rate, they have the potential to cause ecological damage analogous to conventional

plastics. Alternatively, the presence of bioplastic waste may select for low-abundance

community members with PHA degradation capacity and significantly alter microbial

communities, which may have downstream consequences on global biogeochemical cycling.

Notably, PHAmcl depolymerases were poorly represented in the global dataset,

indicating degradation of bioplastics with medium chain monomers may not be robust in most

environments. There are very few reports of isolated PHAmcl degraders (69). PHAmcls are not

easily emulsified into microbiological media, which may have hindered their detection in clear

zone assays (13). This is an unfortunate gap because the more flexible and less brittle properties

of PHAmcl make them more suitable than PHAscl for certain applications (89). Our screen

confirms the sparse environmental distribution of mcl PHA degrading organisms, with less than

5% of environmental samples showing evidence for PHAmcl degradation capacity. This suggests

that commercial PHAs may be substantially more recalcitrant to degradation than current

forecasts.

Summary of phylogenetic distribution data

We predicted PHA depolymerization activity for organisms from seven different phylum-

level lineages, where existing reference enzymes derive from only three bacterial phyla. The

majority of PHA depolymerases were identified from Proteobacteria, and specifically from the

Betaproteobacteria. The majority of MAGs encoded one or two ePHA depolymerases, with a

similarly low proportion of PHAmcl enzymes as were predicted from the environmental

metagenomes. Our ePHAmcl reference set originated from only two Proteobacterial classes and

the Actinobacteria. However, our analyses expanded the predicted distribution of PHAmcl

depolymerases to the Firmicutes as well as an additional class of Proteobacteria. One organism, a

Burkholderiales, encoded a complement of nine ePHA depolymerases, suggesting it may be an

active, efficient, and/or highly versatile degrader.

Conclusions

This work presents the first summary of the global environmental and phylogenetic

distribution of ePHA depolymerases using cultivation-independent approaches. Our analyses

present a carefully curated set of predicted PHA depolymerases which provide a conservative

estimate of the existing sequence diversity and environmental distribution of these protein

families. Our screen predicts that many environments are not well-suited for PHA degradation,

which is a concern as this class of bioplastics increases in production. To fully determine

bioplastic fate in global environments, a combination of metagenomic predictions from public

datasets, burial degradation trials with functional profiling, and biochemical validation of

predicted enzymes (particularly in enzymes distantly related to biomarker enzymes) is needed.

This comprehensive examination would help establish the true diversity of functional PHA

depolymerases and allow for more accurate activity predictions in the future.

Our analyses provide insights into the bioplastic degradation potential of microbial

communities found around the world in unique and relevant environments. Understanding the

limitations for bioplastic degradation in global environments is critical to ensure that the proper

waste-management solutions are developed and enforced. Biodegradable plastics that meet

compost certifications degrade under specified conditions that may not be present in a natural

environment, and therefore rates of biodegradation may be highly variable. It is important that

consumers do not assume that biodegradable plastics can be littered into the environment without

consequence. Polymeric properties such as monomeric composition, surface area, and

crystallinity strongly influence PHA biodegradability, but the underlying microbial community

and the enzymes they secrete is critical for mediating bioplastic depolymerization (1, 13). The

key bioplastic degraders occurring in the environment may aid in the development of future

bioremediation options for these plastics and may include enzymes and organisms suitable for

use as waste-management tools in the processing, degradation or chemical recycling of these

plastics.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Angus Hilts for help with technical infrastructure. We would also like to

extend our gratitude to the scientific community sequencing environmental metagenomes for

their part in developing global data repositories. LAH is supported by a Tier II Canada Research

Chair, and this work was funded by an NSERC Discovery grant (2016-03686) to LAH. A

significant proportion of the datasets used were derived from grant support to Jill Banfield under

DOE Office of Science BER grant DOE-SC10010566 and National Science Foundation grant

CZP EAR-1331940; and to James Tiedje under DOE Office of Science BER DE-FC02-

07ER64494.

Figures

Figure 1: 13,869 PHA depolymerases were predicted in 1,295 globally distributed metagenomes

from aquatic (417), terrestrial (764), solid waste (23) and wastewater (91) environments. **A)**

World map showing geocoordinates of samples with metagenomes containing one or more

predicted PHA depolymerase, colored by environmental subtype. **B)** Detailed view of North

America, which had many samples predicted to contain PHA depolymerases.

Figure 2: Environmental distribution of 13,869 PHA depolymerases (222 ePHAmcl, 10,245

ePHAsclT1 and 3,402 ePHAsclT2 depolymerases) identified by screening 3,842 metagenomes.

Graph depicts the percentage of metagenomes that contained one or more predicted PHA

depolymerase.

Figure 3: The predicted phylogenetic distribution of PHA degrading bacteria. Two concatenated

ribosomal protein trees inferred using FastTree show the placement of 115 high-quality MAGs

encoding predicted PHA depolymerases within the bacterial domain. **A)** All predicted PHA

degrading organisms place within select phyla in a shared region (purple) of bacterial diversity,

excluding the Candidate Phylum Radiation/Patescibacteria. **B)** Detailed phylogeny of the purple

subset of bacteria from (A) containing all MAGs encoding predicted ePHA depolymerases.

Phyla containing members with predicted PHA depolymerases are indicated by the coloured

inner ring, and the number of predicted scl and mcl PHA depolymerases in each MAG are

indicated by the size of the circles in the outer rings.

Figure 4: Environmental origin and phylogenetic relationships of the 231 PHA depolymerases

predicted from 143 MAGs. Outer rings are colored by environmental origin of predicted PHA

depolymerases. Inner rings are colored by phylum of the MAG encoding the predicted PHA

depolymerase. ML trees showing **A)** 10 (8 unique) ePHAmcl depolymerases **B)** 156 (133

unique) ePHAscl T1 depolymerases and **C)** 65 (56 unique) ePHAscl T2 depolymerases.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1: World map showing geocoordinates of sampling locations for the

3,842 metagenomes screened in this analysis, colored by environmental subtype. Several

samples share geocoordinates and represent samples taken at different depths, samples taken

within close proximity to each other, or at different time points.

Supplementary Figure 2: Environmental origin and phylogenetic relationships of the 13,869

predicted PHA depolymerases identified in 1,295 metagenomes. Outer colored ring indicates the

environmental origin of the metagenome encoding each predicted PHA depolymerase.

Biomarker enzymes are indicated with black arrows. Protein trees were inferred with FastTree

and show the sequence diversity of A) 222 predicted ePHAmcl depolymerases B) 10,245

predicted ePHAsclT1 depolymerases and C) 3,402 predicted ePHAsclT2 depolymerases, each

with biochemically confirmed biomarker proteins included as references.

Supplementary Data Files

Supplementary Data 1: Supp_Data_1_PHA_depolymerases_references.xls

Supplementary Data 2: Supp_Data_2_PHA_depolymerase_reference_set_191118.fasta

Supplementary Data 3: Supp_Data_3_3842_IMG_metadata.xls

Supplementary Data 4: Supp_Data_4_5290_IMG_MAGs_metadata.xls

Supplementary Data 5: Supp_Data_5_final_trees_in_newick_format.txt

Supplementary Data 6: Supp_Data_6_cd_hit_uncluster_using_lengths.txt

Supplementary Data 7: Supp_Data_7_PHA_depolymerase_MAGs_CheckM_env.xls

Supplementary Data 8: Supp_Data_8_unique_archaeal_IMG_MAG_hits_e10.xls

<u>References</u>

1.   Shah AA, Hasan F, Hameed A, Ahmed S. 2008. Biological degradation of plastics: A
comprehensive review. Biotechnology Advances 26:246–265.
2.   Barnes D, Galgani F, Thompson RC, Barlaz M. 2009. Accumulation and fragmentation
of plastic debris in global environments. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society
B: Biological Sciences 364:1985–1998.
3.   Geyer R, Jambeck JR, Law KL. 2017. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made.
Science Advances 3:25–29.
4.   Ellen MacAthur Foundation. 2016. The New Plastics Economy: Rethinking the future of
plastics. World Economic Forum, Cologny, Switzerland.
5.   Li WC, Tse HF, Fok L. 2016. Plastic waste in the marine environment: A review of
sources, occurrence and effects. Science of the Total Environment. Elsevier B.V.
6.   Law KL. 2017. Plastics in the marine environment. Annual Review of Marine Science
1:63–67.

7.   Excell C, Salcedo-La Viña C, Worker J, Moses E. 2018. Legal Limits on Single-Use
694   Plastics and Microplastics: A Global Review of National Laws and Regulation. United
695   Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya.
696   8.   European Bioplastics. 2018. Bioplastics market data 2018.European Bioplastics, Berlin,
697   Germany.
698   9.   Aeschelmann F, Carus M. 2015. Biobased building blocks and polymers in the world:
699   Capacities, production, and applications-status quo and trends towards 2020. Industrial
700   Biotechnology 11:154–159.
701   10.   Koller M, Maršálek L, de Sousa Dias MM, Braunegg G. 2017. Producing microbial
702   polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) biopolyesters in a sustainable manner. New Biotechnology
703   37:24–38.
704   11.   Chen GQ, Jiang XR. 2017. Engineering bacteria for enhanced polyhydroxyalkanoates
705   (PHA) biosynthesis. Synthetic and Systems Biotechnology 2:192–197.
706   12.   Park SJ, Kim TW, Kim MK, Lee SY, Lim SC. 2012. Advanced bacterial
707   polyhydroxyalkanoates: Towards a versatile and sustainable platform for unnatural tailor-
708   made polyesters. Biotechnology Advances 30:1196–1206.
709   13.   Jendrossek D, Handrick R. 2002. Microbial Degradation of Polyhydroxyalkanoates.
710   Annual Review of Microbiology 56:403–432.
711   14.   Boyandin AN, Prudnikova S v., Karpov VA, Ivonin VN, Dỗ NL, Nguyễn TH, Lê TH,
712   Filichev NL, Levin AL, Filipenko ML, Volova TG, Gitelson II. 2013. Microbial
713   degradation of polyhydroxyalkanoates in tropical soils. International Biodeterioration and
714   Biodegradation 83:77–84.
715   15.   Nishida H, Tokiwa Y. 1993. Distribution of Poly(ß-hydroxybutyrate) and Poly(€-
716   caprolactone) Aerobic Degrading Microorganisms in Different Environments. Journal of
717   Environmental Polymer Degradation 1:227–233.
718   16.   Dilkes-Hoffman LS, Lant PA, Laycock B, Pratt S. 2019. The rate of biodegradation of
719   PHA bioplastics in the marine environment: A meta-study. Marine Pollution Bulletin
720   142:15–24.
721   17.   Altaee N, El-Hiti GA, Fahdil A, Sudesh K, Yousif E. 2016. Biodegradation of different
722   formulations of polyhydroxybutyrate films in soil. SpringerPlus 5:1–12.
723   18.   Volova TG, Boyandin AN, Vasil'ev AD, Karpov VA, Kozhevnikov I v., Prudnikova S
724   v., Rudnev VP, Xuản BB, Dũng VV, Gitel'zon II. 2011. Biodegradation of
725   polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) in the South China Sea and identification of PHA-
726   degrading bacteria. Microbiology 80:252–260.
727   19.   Voinova O, Gladyshev M, Volova TG. 2008. Comparative study of PHA degradation in
728   natural reservoirs having various types of ecosystems. Macromolecular Symposia 269:34–
729   37.
730   20.   Mergaert J, Wouters A, Anderson C, Swings J. 1995. *In situ* biodegradation of poly(3-
731   hydroxybutyrate) and poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) in natural waters.
732   Canadian Journal of Microbiology 41:154–159.
733   21.   Fernandez-Castillo R, Rodriguez-valera F, Gonzalez-Ramos J, Ruiz-Berraquero F. 1986.
734   Accumulation of Poly(B-Hydroxybutyrate) by Halobacteria 51:214–216.
735   22.   Kadouri D, Jurkevitch E, Okon Y. 2003. Poly β-hydroxybutyrate depolymerase (*PhaZ*)
736   in *Azospirillum brasilense* and characterization of a *phaZ* mutant. Archives of
737   Microbiology 180:309–318.

23.   Bresan S, Sznajder A, Hauf W, Forchhammer K, Pfeiffer D, Jendrossek D. 2016.
Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) granules have no phospholipids. Scientific Reports 6:1–13.
24.   Tokiwa Y, Calabia BP. 2004. Degradation of microbial polyesters. Biotechnology
Letters 26:1181–1189.
25.   Knoll M, Hamm TM, Wagner F, Martinez V, Pleiss J. 2009. The PHA Depolymerase
Engineering Database: A systematic analysis tool for the diverse family of
polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) depolymerases. BMC Bioinformatics 10:1–8.
26.   Sood S, Sharma A, Sharma N, Kanwar SS. 2018. Carboxylesterases: Sources,
Characterization and Broader Applications. Insights in Enzyme Research 01.
27.   Abe T, Kobayashi T, Saito T. 2005. Properties of a novel intracellular poly(3-
hydroxybutyrate) depolymerase with high specific activity (*PhaZd*) in *Wautersia eutropha*
H16. Journal of Bacteriology 187:6982–6990.
28.   Handrick R, Reinhardt S, Kimmig P, Jendrossek D. 2004. The "intracellular" poly(3-
hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) depolymerase of *Rhodospirillum rubrum* is a periplasm-located
protein with specificity for native PHB and with structural similarity to extracellular PHB
depolymerases. Journal of Bacteriology 186:7243–7253.
29.   Tokiwa Y, Calabia BP, Ugwu CU, Aiba S. 2009. Biodegradability of plastics.
International Journal of Molecular Sciences 10:3722–3742.
30.   European Bioplastics. 2019. Frequently Asked Questions on Bioplastics. European
Bioplastics, Berlin, Germany.
31.   ASTM International. 2015. ASTM D5338-15: Standard Test Method for Determining
Aerobic Biodegradation of Plastic Materials Under Controlled Composting Conditions,
Conditions, Incorporating Thermophilic Temperatures. ASTM Standards, Pennsylvania,
United States.
32.   Haider TP, Volker J, Kramm J, Landfester K, Wurm FR. 2019. Plastics of the Future?
The Impact of Biodegradable Polymers on the Environment and on Society. Angewandte
Chemie - International Edition 58:50–62.
33.   Martínez V, de la Peña F, García-Hidalgo J, de la Mata I, García JL, Prieto MA. 2012.
Identification and biochemical evidence of a medium-chain-length polyhydroxyalkanoate
depolymerase in the *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* predatory hydrolytic Arsenal. Applied and
Environmental Microbiology 78:6017–6026.
34.   Hug LA, Baker BJ, Anantharaman K, Brown CT, Probst AJ, Castelle CJ, Butterfield
CN, Hernsdorf AW, Amano Y, Ise K, Suzuki Y, Dudek N, Relman DA, Finstad KM,
Amundson R, Thomas BC, Banfield JF. 2016. A new view of the tree of life. Nature
Microbiology 1:1–6.
35.   Meyer F, Paarmann D, D'Souza M, Olson R, Glass EM, Kubal M, Paczian T, Rodriguez
A, Stevens R, Wilke A, Wilkening J, Edwards RA. 2008. The metagenomics RAST server
- A public resource for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of
metagenomes. BMC Bioinformatics 9:1–8.
36.   Markowitz VM, Chen IMA, Chu K, Szeto E, Palaniappan K, Grechkin Y, Ratner A,
Jacob B, Pati A, Huntemann M, Liolios K, Pagani I, Anderson I, Mavromatis K, Ivanova
NN, Kyrpides NC. 2012. IMG/M: The integrated metagenome data management and
comparative analysis system. Nucleic Acids Research 40:123–129.
37.   Podar M, Gilmour CC, Brandt CC, Soren A, Brown SD, Crable BR, Palumbo A v.,
Somenahally AC, Elias DA. 2015. Global prevalence and distribution of genes and
microorganisms involved in mercury methylation. Science Advances 1:1–13.

38. Holert J, Cardenas E, Bergstrand LH, Zaikova E, Hahn AS, Hallam SJ, Mohn WW.
2018. Metagenomes reveal global distribution of bacterial steroid catabolism in natural,
engineered, and host environments. mBio 9:1–18.
39. Alteio L., Schulz F, Seshadri R, Varghese N, Rodriguez-Reillo W, Ryan E, Goudeau D,
Eichorst SA, Malmstrom RR, Bowers RM, Katz LA, Blanchard JL, Woyke T. 2020.
Complementary Metagenomic Approaches Improve Reconstruction of Microbial
Diversity in a Forest Soil. mSystems 5:1–18.
40. Schulz F, Alteio L, Goudeau D, Ryan EM, Yu FB, Malmstrom RR, Blanchard J, Woyke
T. 2018. Hidden diversity of soil giant viruses. Nature Communications 9:1–9.
41. Sharrar A, Crits-Christoph A, Meheust R, Diamond S, Starr E, Banfield JF. 2019.
Bacterial secondary metabolite biosynthetic potential in soil varies with phylum, depth,
and vegetation type. bioRxiv 818815.
42. Diamond S, Andeer PF, Li Z, Crits-Christoph A, Burstein D, Anantharaman K, Lane
KR, Thomas BC, Pan C, Northen TR, Banfield JF. 2019. Mediterranean grassland soil C–
N compound turnover is dependent on rainfall and depth, and is mediated by genomically
divergent microorganisms. Nature Microbiology 4:1356–1367.
43. Bouma-Gregson K, Olm MR, Probst AJ, Anantharaman K, Power ME, Banfield JF.
2019. Impacts of microbial assemblage and environmental conditions on the distribution
of anatoxin-a producing cyanobacteria within a river network. ISME Journal 13:1618–
1634.
44. Acinas SG, Sánchez P, Salazar G, Cornejo-Castillo FM, Sebastián M, Logares R,
Sunagawa S, Hingamp P, Ogata H, Lima-Mendez G, Roux S, González JM, Arrieta JM,
Alam IS, Kamau A, Bowler C, Raes J, Pesant S, Bork P, Agustí S, Gojobori T, Bajic V,
Vaqué D, Sullivan MB, Pedrós-Alió C, Massana R, Duarte CM, Gasol JM. 2019.
Metabolic Architecture of the Deep Ocean Microbiome. bioRxiv 635680.
45. He S, Malfatti SA, McFarland JW, Anderson FE, Pati A, Huntemann M, Tremblay J, de
Rio TG, Waldrop MP, Windham-Myers L, Tringe SG. 2015. Patterns in wetland
microbial community composition and functional gene repertoire associated with methane
emissions. mBio 6:1–15.
46. Butterfield CN, Li Z, Andeer PF, Spaulding S, Thomas BC, Singh A, Hettich RL, Suttle
KB, Probst AJ, Tringe SG, Northen T, Pan C, Banfield JF. 2016. Proteogenomic analyses
indicate bacterial methylotrophy and archaeal heterotrophy are prevalent below the grass
root zone. PeerJ 2016:1–28.
47. Anantharaman K, Brown CT, Hug LA, Sharon I, Castelle CJ, Probst AJ, Thomas BC,
Singh A, Wilkins MJ, Karaoz U, Brodie EL, Williams KH, Hubbard SS, Banfield JF.
2016. Thousands of microbial genomes shed light on interconnected biogeochemical
processes in an aquifer system. Nature Communications 7:1–11.
48. Oyserman BO, Noguera DR, del Rio TG, Tringe SG, McMahon KD. 2016.
Metatranscriptomic insights on gene expression and regulatory controls in *Candidatus*
Accumulibacter phosphatis. ISME Journal 10:810–822.
49. Yao Q, Li Z, Song Y, Wright SJ, Guo X, Tringe SG, Tfaily MM, Paša-Tolić L, Hazen
TC, Turner BL, Mayes MA, Pan C. 2018. Community proteogenomics reveals the
systemic impact of phosphorus availability on microbial functions in tropical soil. Nature
Ecology and Evolution 2:499–509.

50.   Flores-Núñez VM, Fonseca-García C, Desgarennes D, Eloe-Fadrosh E, Woyke T,
829   Partida-Martínez LP. 2020. Functional Signatures of the Epiphytic Prokaryotic
830   Microbiome of Agaves and Cacti. Frontiers in Microbiology 10:1–13.
831   51.   Hug LA, Thomas BC, Sharon I, Brown CT, Sharma R, Hettich RL, Wilkins MJ,
832   Williams KH, Singh A, Banfield JF. 2016. Critical biogeochemical functions in the
833   subsurface are associated with bacteria from new phyla and little studied lineages.
834   Environmental Microbiology 18:159–173.
835   52.   Lavy A, McGrath DG, Matheus Carnevali PB, Wan J, Dong W, Tokunaga TK, Thomas
836   BC, Williams KH, Hubbard SS, Banfield JF. 2019. Microbial communities across a
837   hillslope-riparian transect shaped by proximity to the stream, groundwater table, and
838   weathered bedrock. Ecology and Evolution 9:6869–6900.
839   53.   Hartman WH, Ye R, Horwath WR, Tringe SG. 2017. A genomic perspective on
840   stoichiometric regulation of soil carbon cycling. ISME Journal 11:2652–2665.
841   54.   Kimbrel JA, Ballor N, Wu YW, David MM, Hazen TC, Simmons BA, Singer SW,
842   Jansson JK. 2018. Microbial community structure and functional potential along a
843   hypersaline gradient. Frontiers in Microbiology 9:1–15.
844   55.   Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
845   throughput. Nucleic Acids Research 32:1792–1797.
846   56.   Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic
847   analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22:2688–2690.
848   57.   Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for
849   inference of large phylogenetic trees2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop,
850   GCE 2010.
851   58.   Darriba Di, Posada D, Kozlov AM, Stamatakis A, Morel B, Flouri T. 2020. ModelTest-
852   NG: A New and Scalable Tool for the Selection of DNA and Protein Evolutionary
853   Models. Molecular Biology and Evolution 37:291–294.
854   59.   Bateman A. 2019. UniProt: A worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids
855   Research 47:506–515.
856   60.   O'Leary NA, Wright MW, Brister JR, Ciufo S, Haddad D, McVeigh R, Rajput B,
857   Robbertse B, Smith-White B, Ako-Adjei D, Astashyn A, Badretdin A, Bao Y, Blinkova
858   O, Brover V, Chetvernin V, Choi J, Cox E, Ermolaeva O, Farrell CM, Goldfarb T, Gupta
859   T, Haft D, Hatcher E, Hlavina W, Joardar VS, Kodali VK, Li W, Maglott D, Masterson P,
860   McGarvey KM, Murphy MR, O'Neill K, Pujar S, Rangwala SH, Rausch D, Riddick LD,
861   Schoch C, Shkeda A, Storz SS, Sun H, Thibaud-Nissen F, Tolstoy I, Tully RE, Vatsan
862   AR, Wallin C, Webb D, Wu W, Landrum MJ, Kimchi A, Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Kitts
863   P, Murphy TD, Pruitt KD. 2016. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: Current
864   status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Research 44:733–
865   745.
866   61.   Burley SK, Berman HM, Bhikadiya C, Bi C, Chen L, Costanzo L di, Christie C, Duarte
867   JM, Dutta S, Feng Z, Ghosh S, Goodsell DS, Green RK, Guranovic V, Guzenko D,
868   Hudson BP, Liang Y, Lowe R, Peisach E, Periskova I, Randle C, Rose A, Sekharan M,
869   Shao C, Tao YP, Valasatava Y, Voigt M, Westbrook J, Young J, Zardecki C, Zhuravleva
870   M, Kurisu G, Nakamura H, Kengaku Y, Cho H, Sato J, Kim JY, Ikegawa Y, Nakagawa A,
871   Yamashita R, Kudou T, Bekker GJ, Suzuki H, Iwata T, Yokochi M, Kobayashi N,
872   Fujiwara T, Velankar S, Kleywegt GJ, Anyango S, Armstrong DR, Berrisford JM, Conroy
873   MJ, Dana JM, Deshpande M, Gane P, Gáborová R, Gupta D, Gutmanas A, Koča J, Mak

L, Mir S, Mukhopadhyay A, Nadzirin N, Nair S, Patwardhan A, Paysan-Lafosse T, Pravda
L, Salih O, Sehnal D, Varadi M, Vǎreková R, Markley JL, Hoch JC, Romero PR,
Baskaran K, Maziuk D, Ulrich EL, Wedell JR, Yao H, Livny M, Ioannidis YE. 2019.
Protein Data Bank: The single global archive for 3D macromolecular structure data.
Nucleic Acids Research 47:520–528.

62.   Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM:
Assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and
metagenomes. Genome Research 25:1043–1055.

63.   Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2 - Approximately maximum-likelihood
trees for large alignments. PLoS ONE 5:1–10.

64.   Letunic I, Bork P. 2016. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display
and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. Nucleic acids research 44:1–4.

65.   Li W, Godzik A. 2006. Cd-hit: A fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of
protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 22:1658–1659.

66.   Kuraku S, Zmasek CM, Nishimura O, Katoh K. 2013. aLeaves facilitates on-demand
exploration of metazoan gene family trees on MAFFT sequence alignment server with
enhanced interactivity. Nucleic acids research 41:22–28.

67.   Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2 - Approximately maximum-likelihood
trees for large alignments. PLoS ONE 5.

68.   Martínez V, de Santos PG, García-Hidalgo J, Hormigo D, Prieto MA, Arroyo M, de la
Mata I. 2015. Novel extracellular medium-chain-length polyhydroxyalkanoate
depolymerase from *Streptomyces exfoliatus* K10 DSMZ 41693: a promising biocatalyst
for the efficient degradation of natural and functionalized mcl-PHAs. Applied
Microbiology and Biotechnology 99:9605–9615.

69.   Santos M, Gangoiti J, Keul H, Möller M, Serra JL, Llama MJ. 2013. Polyester hydrolytic
and synthetic activity catalyzed by the medium-chain-length poly(3-hydroxyalkanoate)
depolymerase from *Streptomyces venezuelae* SO1. Applied Microbiology and
Biotechnology 97:211–222.

70.   Kim DY, Hyun CK, Sun YK, Young HR. 2005. Molecular characterization of
extracellular medium-chain-length poly(3-hydroxyalkanoate) depolymerase genes from
Pseudomonas alcaligenes strains. Journal of Microbiology 43:285–294.

71.   Schirmer A, Jendrossek D. 1994. Molecular characterization of the extracellular poly(3-
hydroxyoctanoic acid) [P(3HO)] depolymerase gene of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* GK13
and of its gene product. Journal of Bacteriology 176:7065–7073.

72.   Lebreton LCM, van der Zwet J, Damsteeg JW, Slat B, Andrady A, Reisser J. 2017.
River plastic emissions to the world's oceans. Nature Communications 8:1–10.

73.   Wright SL, Thompson RC, Galloway TS. 2013. The physical impacts of microplastics
on marine organisms: a review. Environmental Pollution 178:483–492.

74.   Volova TG, Prudnikova S v., Vinogradova ON, Syrvacheva DA, Shishatskaya EI. 2017.
Microbial Degradation of Polyhydroxyalkanoates with Different Chemical Compositions
and Their Biodegradability. Microbial Ecology 73:353–367.

75.   California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery. 2012. Report Topic: PLA
and PHA biodegradation in the marine environment. CalRecycle: California Department
of Resources Recycling and Recovery, California, United States.

76.   Lim SP, Gan SN, Tan IKP. 2005. Degradation of medium-chain-length
polyhydroxyalkanoates in tropical forest and mangrove soils. Applied Biochemistry and
Biotechnology 126:23–33.
77.   Zettler ER, Mincer TJ, Amaral-Zettler LA. 2013. Life in the "plastisphere": Microbial
communities on plastic marine debris. Environmental Science and Technology 47:7137–
7146.
78.   Pinnell LJ, Turner JW. 2019. Shotgun metagenomics reveals the benthic microbial
community response to plastic and bioplastic in a coastal marine environment. Frontiers in
Microbiology 10:1–15.
79.   Fierer N. 2017. Embracing the unknown: Disentangling the complexities of the soil
microbiome. Nature Reviews Microbiology 15:579–590.
80.   Mergaert J, Swings J. 1996. Biodiversity of microorganisms that degrade bacterial and
synthetic polyesters. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology 17:463–469.
81.   Emadian SM, Onay TT, Demirel B. 2017. Biodegradation of bioplastics in natural
environments. Waste Management 59:526–536.
82.   Quecholac-Piña X, Hernández-Berriel M del C, Mañón-Salas M del C, Espinosa-
Valdemar RM, Vázquez-Morillas A. 2020. Degradation of Plastics under Anaerobic
Conditions: A Short Review. Polymers 12:1–18.
83.   Stamps BW, Lyles CN, Suflita JM, Masoner JR, Cozzarelli IM, Kolpin DW, Stevenson
BS. 2016. Municipal solid waste landfills harbor distinct microbiomes. Frontiers in
Microbiology 7:1–16.
84.   Spokas K, Bogner J, Chanton JP, Morcet M, Aran C, Graff C, Golvan YM le, Hebe I.
2006. Methane mass balance at three landfill sites: What is the efficiency of capture by
gas collection systems? Waste Management 26:516–525.
85.   Pittmann T, Steinmetz H. 2017. Polyhydroxyalkanoate production on waste water
treatment plants: Process scheme, operating conditions and potential analysis for German
and European municipal waste water treatment plants. Bioengineering 54:1–24.
86.   Handrick R, Reinhardt S, Focarete ML, Scandola M, Adamus G, Kowalczuk M,
Jendrossek D. 2001. A New Type of Thermoalkalophilic Hydrolase of *Paucimonas*
lemoignei with High Specificity for Amorphous Polyesters of Short Chain-length
Hydroxyalkanoic Acids. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276:36215–36224.
87.   Hatheway CL. 1990. Toxigenic Clostridia. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 3:66–98.
88.   Pérez-Rivero C, López-Gómez JP, Roy I. 2019. A sustainable approach for the
downstream processing of bacterial polyhydroxyalkanoates: State-of-the-art and latest
developments. Biochemical Engineering Journal 150:1–14.
953

A)

B)

Environmental Subtype

Aquatic
- Freshwater
- Marine
- Non−marine saline & alkaline
- Sediment
- Thermal springs

Wastewater
- Activated sludge
- Anaerobic digestor
- Nutrient removal

Terrestrial
- Deep subsurface
- Loam
- Peat
- Rock−dwelling
- Soil
- Volcanic

Solid waste
- Compost
- Landfill

Fig 1

Metagenomes with predicted PHA depolymerase(s) (%)

Environment	PHAsclT1	PHAsclT2	PHAmcl	Total	Metagenomes (n)
Aquatic					
Freshwater	18%	11%	2%	19%	946
Marine	20%	22%	4%	31%	452
Saline and Alkaline	24%	21%	2%	36%	87
Sediment	44%	39%	4%	48%	114
Thermal springs	2%	1%	0%	2%	383
	17%	**13%**	**2%**	**21%**	**1,982**
Solid Waste					
Compost	33%	63%	0%	67%	30
Landfill	75%	75%	0%	75%	4
	38%	**65%**	**0%**	**68%**	**34**
Terrestrial					
Deep subsurface	13%	6%	1%	15%	143
Loam	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
Peat	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
Rock-dwelling	100%	67%	0%	100%	3
Soil	48%	37%	6%	51%	1,437
Volcanic	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
	45%	**34%**	**6%**	**48%**	**1,596**
Wastewater					
Activated Sludge	9%	6%	5%	9%	141
Anaerobic digestor	70%	87%	13%	94%	54
Nutrient removal	60%	77%	11%	77%	35
	31%	**36%**	**8%**	**40%**	**230**
Total	**30%**	**24%**	**4%**	**34%**	**3,842**

Fig 2

Phylum
- Actinobacteria
- Bacteroidetes
- Candidatus Rokubacteria
- Firmicutes
- Planctomycetes
- Proteobacteria
- Spirochaetes

Tree scale 0.1

Predicted Enzymes
- 1 PHA depolymerase
- 2 PHA depolymerases
- 3 PHA depolymerases
- 4 PHA depolymerases

Tree scale 0.1

Fig 3

Fig 4