Characterization of an α-glucosidase enzyme conserved in *Gardnerella* spp. isolated from the

human vaginal microbiome

Pashupati Bhandari[a], Jeffrey P. Tingley[b,c], David R. J. Palmer[d], D. Wade Abbott[b,c]

and Janet E. Hill[a,#]

[a]Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Western College of Veterinary Medicine, University of

Saskatchewan, 52 Campus Drive, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7N 5B4, Canada

[b]Lethbridge Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 5401-1st Ave

S, Lethbridge, Alberta, T1J 4B1, Canada

[c]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Lethbridge, 4401 University Dr W,

Lethbridge, AB T1K 3M4, Canada

[d]Department of Chemistry, University of Saskatchewan, 110 Science Place, Saskatoon, SK S7N

5C9, Canada

[#]To whom correspondence should be addressed

e-mail addresses:

PB: pab230@mail.usask.ca

JPT: jeffrey.tingley@uleth.ca

DRJP: dave.palmer@usask.ca

DWA: wade.abbott@canada.ca

JEH: Janet.Hill@usask.ca

Abstract

Gardnerella spp. in the vaginal microbiome are associated with bacterial vaginosis, a dysbiosis in which a lactobacilli dominant microbial community is replaced with mixed aerobic and anaerobic bacteria including *Gardnerella* species. The co-occurrence of multiple *Gardnerella* species in the vaginal environment is common, but different species are dominant in different women. Competition for nutrients, particularly glycogen present in the vaginal environment, could play an important role in determining the microbial community structure. Digestion of glycogen into products that can be taken up and further processed by bacteria requires the combined activities of several enzymes collectively known as amylases, which belong to glycoside hydrolase family 13 (GH13) within the CAZy classification system. GH13 is a large and diverse family of proteins, making prediction of their activities challenging. SACCHARIS annotation of the GH13 family in *Gardnerella* resulted in identification of protein domains belonging to eight subfamilies. Phylogenetic analysis of predicted amylase sequences from 26 *Gardnerella* genomes demonstrated that a putative α-glucosidase-encoding sequence, CG400_06090, was conserved in all species in the genus. The predicted α-glucosidase enzyme was expressed, purified and functionally characterized. The enzyme was active on a variety of maltooligosaccharides over a broad pH range (4.0 - 8) with maximum activity at pH 7. The K_m, k_{cat} and k_{cat}/K_m values for the substrate 4-nitrophenyl α-D-glucopyranoside were 8.3 μM, 0.96 min^{-1} and 0.11 μM^{-1}min^{-1} respectively. Glucose was released from maltose, maltotriose, maltotetraose and maltopentaose, but no products were detected on thin layer chromatography when the enzyme was incubated with glycogen. Our findings show that *Gardnerella* spp. produce an α-glucosidase enzyme that may contribute to the complex and multistep process of glycogen metabolism by releasing glucose from maltooligosaccharides.

Keywords: glycogen; *Gardnerella;* vaginal microbiome; α-glucosidase; glycoside hydrolase

Introduction

Gardnerella spp. in the vaginal microbiome are hallmarks of bacterial vaginosis, a

condition characterized by replacement of the lactobacilli dominant microbial community with

mixed aerobic and anaerobic bacteria including *Gardnerella*. This dysbiosis is associated with

increased vaginal pH, malodorous discharge and the presence of biofilm (1). In addition to

troubling symptoms, the presence of abnormal vaginal microbiota is associated with increased risk

of HIV transmission and infection with other sexually transmitted pathogens such as *Neisseria*

gonorrhoeae and *Trichomonas* spp. (2, 3). Historically, *Gardnerella* has been considered a single

species genus. Jayaprakash *et al*. used cpn60 barcode sequences to divide *Gardnerella* spp. into

four subgroups (A-D) (4), and this framework was supported by whole genome sequence

comparison (5, 6). More recently, Vaneechoutte *et al*. emended the classification of *Gardnerella*

based on whole genome sequence comparison, biochemical properties and matrix-assisted laser

desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry and proposed the addition of three novel

species: *Gardnerella leopoldii*, *Gardnerella piotii* and *Gardnerella swidsinskii* (7).

Colonization with multiple *Gardnerella* species is common, and different species are

dominant in different women (8). Understanding factors that contribute to differential abundance

is important since the species may differ in virulence (6) and they are variably associated with

clinical signs (8, 9). Several factors, including inter-specific competition, biofilm formation and

resistance to antimicrobials could contribute to the differential abundance of the different species.

Khan *et al.* showed that resource-based scramble competition is frequent among *Gardnerella*

subgroups (10). Glycogen is a significant nutrient for vaginal microbiota, but previous reports on

the growth of *Gardnerella* spp. on glycogen containing medium are inconsistent (11). Species may

differ in their ability to digest glycogen and utilize the breakdown products, which may in turn

contribute to determining microbial community structure.

Glycogen is an energy storage molecule, which consists of linear chains of approximately

13 glucose molecules covalently linked with α-1,4 glycosidic linkages, with branches attached

through α-1,6 glycosidic bonds (12, 13). A single glycogen molecule consists of approximately

55,000 glucose residues with a molecular mass of ~10^7 kDa (14). The size of glycogen particles

can vary with source; from 10-44 nm in human skeletal muscle to approximately 110-290 nm in

human liver (15). Glycogen is deposited into the vaginal lumen by epithelial cells under the

influence of estrogen (16), and the concentration of cell-free glycogen in vaginal fluid varies

greatly, ranging from 0.1 - 32 μg/μL (17). This long polymeric molecule must be digested into

smaller components that can be taken up by bacterial cells and metabolized further (18, 19).

Glycogen digestion is accomplished by the coordinated action of enzymes collectively

described as amylases (20). A large majority of these enzymes belong to family 13 within the

glycosyl hydrolase class (GH13) of carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZymes) (21). GH13 enzymes

are further classified into more than 43 subfamilies based on structure and activity (22). For

example, subfamily 23 is primarily composed of α-glucosidases, which are exo-acting enzymes

that act on α-1,4 glycosidic bonds from the non-reducing end to release glucose; subfamily 32 is

composed of α-amylases, endo-acting enzymes that cleave α-1,4 glycosidic bonds to produce

maltose and α-limit dextrin; and subfamily 14 contains debranching enzymes, such as pullulanase,

which target α-1,6 glycosidic bonds (15, 23). Limited information is available on glycogen

degradation mechanisms in the vaginal microbiome. Although it has been demonstrated that

vaginal secretions exhibit amylase activity that can degrade glycogen (19), the role of bacterial

amylase enzymes in this process is unknown and there is limited information about glycogen

metabolism by clinically important bacteria such as *Gardnerella* spp..

The objective of the current study was to annotate GH13 enzymes in *Gardnerella* spp. and

to characterize the activity of a putative α-glucosidase conserved among all *Gardnerella* spp..

Methods

***Gardnerella* isolates**

Isolates of *Gardnerella* used in this study were from a previously described culture

collection (6) and included representatives of *cpn*60 subgroup A (*G. leopoldii* (n = 4), *G.*

swidsinskii (n = 6), other (n = 1)), subgroup B (*G. piotii* (n = 5), Genome sp. 3 (n = 2)), subgroup

C (*G. vaginalis* (n = 6)), and subgroup D (Genome sp. 8 (n = 1), Genome sp. 9 (n = 1)). Whole

genome sequences of these isolates had been determined previously (BioProject Accession

PRJNA394757) and annotated by the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP)

(24).

Identification and functional annotation of putative amylase sequences

Putative amylase sequences were identified in proteomes of 26 *Gardnerella* isolates used

in this study based on the PGAP annotations. Multiple sequence alignments of predicted amylase

sequences were performed using CLUSTALw with results viewed and edited in AliView (version

1.18) (25) prior to phylogenetic tree building using PHYLIP (26). SignalP v 5.0 (27) and

SecretomeP 2.0 (28) were used to identify signal peptides.

Putative amylolytic enzymes in *G. vaginalis* ATCC 14018 and *G. swidsinskii* GV37

(genomes available in the CAZy database) were identified using dbCAN, and predicted sequences

were run through the SACCHARIS pipeline. SACCHARIS combines user sequences with CAZy

derived sequences and trims sequences to the catalytic domain using dbCAN2 (29). Domain

sequences were aligned with MUSCLE (30), and a best-fit model was generated with ProtTest

(31). Final trees were generated with FastTree (32) and visualized with iTOL (33). Alignment of

CG400_06090 from *G. leopoldii* NR017 to orthologues from *Halomonas* sp. (BAL49684.1),

Xanthomonas campestris (BAC87873.1), and *Culex quinquefasciatus* (ASO96882.1) was done

using CLUSTALw and visualized in ESPript (34). A predicted structure model of CG400_06090

was aligned with *Halomonas* sp. HaG (BAL49684.1, PDB accession 3WY1) (35) to identify

putative catalytic residues using PHYRE2 (36). BLASTp (37) alignment of CAZy derived

sequences from reference genomes to a database of the predicted proteomes of 26 study isolates

was conducted to identify orthologs.

Expression and purification of the CG400_06090 gene product

Genomic DNA from *G. leopoldii* NR017 was extracted using a modified salting out

procedure, and the CG400_06090 open reading frame (locus tag CG400_06090 in GenBank

accession NNRZ01000007, protein accession RFT33048) was PCR amplified with primers

JH0729-F (5'AT<u>G CAT GC</u>G CAT TAT ACG ATC ATG CTC-3') and JH0730-R (5'AT<u>G GTA</u>

<u>CC</u>T TAC ATT CCA AAC ACT GCA-3'). Underlined sequences indicate *Sph*I and *Kpn*I

restriction enzyme sites. PCR reaction contained 1 × PCR reaction buffer (0.2 M Tris-HCl pH 8.4,

0.5 M KCl), 200 μM each dNTPs, 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 400 nM each primer, 1 U/reaction of Platinum

Taq DNA polymerase high-fidelity (5 units/μL in 50% glycerol, 20 mM Tris-HCl, 40 mM NaCl,

0.1 mM EDTA, and stabilizers) (Life Technologies) and 2 µL of template DNA, in a final volume

of 50 µL. PCR was performed with following parameters: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3

minutes followed by (denaturation at 94 °C, 15 seconds; annealing at 60 °C, 15 seconds and

extension at 72 °C, 2 minutes; 35 cycles), and final extension at 72 °C for 5 minutes. Purified PCR

products were digested with *Kpn*I and *Sph*I and ligated into expression vector pQE-80L (Qiagen,

Mississauga, ON) digested with same restriction endonucleases. The resulting recombinant

plasmid was used to transform One Shot TOP10 chemically competent *E. coli* cells (Invitrogen,

Carlsbad, California). Colony PCR was performed to identify transformants containing vector with

insert. Insertion of the putative amylase gene in-frame with the N-terminal 6×Histidine tag was

confirmed by sequencing of the purified plasmid.

E. coli cells containing the plasmid were grown overnight at 37 °C in LB medium with 100

µg/ml ampicillin. Overnight culture was diluted 1:60 in fresh medium to a final volume of 50 mL,

and the culture was incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 225 rpm until it reached an OD_{600} of 0.6.

At this point, expression was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and a further 4 hours of incubation was

done at 37°C at 225 rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 30 mins and the

pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, pH

8.0). Lysozyme was added to 1 mg/mL and cells were lysed by sonication (8 mins total run time

with 15 sec on and 30 sec off). The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 30 mins

at 4 °C and the supernatant was applied to an Ni-NTA affinity column according to the

manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Germany). Bound proteins were washed twice with wash

buffer (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) and eluted with elution buffer

(50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0). Eluted protein was buffer

exchanged with the same elution buffer but without imidazole using 30 kDa MWCO protein

concentrator (ThermoFisher Scientific). Final protein concentration was measured by

spectrophotometry.

Enzyme assay and kinetics

Purified protein was tested for α-glucosidase activity by measuring the release of 4-

nitrophenol from a chromogenic substrate 4-nitrophenyl α-D-glucopyranoside as described

elsewhere (38). Briefly, 200 μL of enzyme solution (50 nM) was added to 200 μL of 20 mM 4-

nitrophenyl α-D-glucopyranoside substrate and the reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C. A 50-

μL aliquot of the reaction mixture was removed and added to 100 μL of 1 M Na_2CO_3 solution

every 90 seconds up to 7.5 mins (total 6 different time points). The absorbance of this solution was

measured at 420 nm, and the amount of 4-nitrophenol released was calculated from a standard

curve. To determine activity at different pH values, the substrate was prepared in 50 mM sodium

phosphate buffer (pH 4.0-8.0). Kinetic constants were determined for 4-nitrophenyl α-D-

glucopyranoside by measuring rate of reaction of enzyme (50 nM) with substrate concentrations

of 0.05, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 25 mM at pH 7. Values were fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation, $v =$

k_{cat} $[E]_0[S]/(K_m + [S])$, where v is the observed rate of reaction, $[E]_0$ is the initial enzyme

concentration, [S] is the substrate concentration, K_m is the Michaelis constant, and k_{cat} is the

turnover number. Kinetics calculations were performed in GraphPad Prism 8.

Enzymatic activity on different substrates

The activity of the purified enzyme was examined with different substrates. Briefly, 750

μL of enzyme solution (50 nM) was added to 750 μL of 3 mM maltose, maltotriose, maltotetraose,

maltopentaose, or 0.1% (w/v) of maltodextrins (MD 4-7, MD 13-17 and MD 16.5-19.5) or bovine

liver glycogen in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0, and the reaction mixture was incubated

aerobically at 37 °C. Aliquots of the reaction mixture (250 μL) were removed at various time

intervals of incubation and were heat-inactivated at 93 °C for 1 minute. The reaction mixtures were

centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 1 min and supernatant was stored at -20 ºC and analyzed by thin layer

chromatography (TLC) and high performance anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC) with

pulsed amperometric detection (PAD). TLC was performed on silica plates in 1-butanol: acetic

acid: distilled water (2:1:1 v/v/v) mobile phase and stained using ethanol: sulfuric acid (70:3 v/v)

solution containing 1% (w/v) orcinol monohydrate (Sigma). HPAEC-PAD samples were diluted

to 50 μM and resolved on a Dionex PA20 column in a mobile phase of 30 mM NaOH and gradient

of 10 mM – 120 mM sodium acetate over 40 min at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min^{-1}. Data was analyzed

using Chromeleon v6.80 chromatography data system software. Maltooligosaccharide standards

ranging from maltose to maltopentaose (Carbosynth) were used for both TLC and HPAEC-PAD

analysis; whereas, isomaltotriose, D-panose, and 6-o-a-D-glucosyl-maltose (Carbosynth) were

used to identify minor peaks resolved by HPAEC-PAD.

Results

Identification of putative amylase sequences

A total of 60 proteins from the 26 study isolates were annotated by PGAP as amylases. After

removal of severely truncated sequences, a multiple sequence alignment of the remaining 42

sequences was trimmed to the uniform length of 290 amino acids. Phylogenetic analysis of these

predicted amylase sequences revealed five clusters, but only one of these clusters contained

orthologous sequences from all 26 isolates (Figure 1). Sequence identities within this cluster were

all 91-100% identical. This conserved sequence (corresponding to GenBank accession

WP_004104790) was annotated as an GH13 α-amylase (EC 3.2.1.1) in all genomes, suggesting it

was an endo-acting enzyme that releases maltooligosaccharides from glycogen.

The GH13 family in the CAZy database is a large, polyspecific family targeting α-glucosyl

linkages. The functional diversity of members in this family can make annotation of new sequences

challenging. In order to predict the activity of the conserved protein identified among the study

isolates, we employed SACCHARIS, which combines CAZyme family trees generated from

biochemically characterized proteins with related sequences of unknown function. Currently, two

Gardnerella reference genomes are available in CAZy: *G. vaginalis* ATCC 14018 and *G.*

swidsinkii GV37. GH13 family proteins encoded in these *Gardnerella* genomes were identified

and a family tree including all characterized GH13 sequences in the CAZy database was generated

(Figure S1). SACCHARIS annotation of the GH13 family in *Gardnerella* resulted in identification

of protein domains belonging to eight subfamilies (2, 9, 11, 14, 20, 23, 30, 31 and 32). Alignment

with characterized GH13 enzymes from the CAZy database (22) suggested that the conserved

amylase identified in the study isolates was an α-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.20), closely related to

subfamilies 23 and 30 of GH13, and not an α-amylase as annotated in GenBank. Subsequent

examination of the α-glucosidase with SignalP and SecretomeP indicated that no signal peptide

was present, and that the protein was not likely secreted through a Sec-independent pathway.

Relationship to other α-glucosidases

A representative sequence of the predicted α-glucosidase was selected from *G. leopoldii*

(NR017). This sequence (CG400_06090) was combined with functionally characterized members

of the GH13 CAZy database using SACCHARIS (Figure 2A). CG400_06090 partitioned with

members of GH13 subfamilies 17, 23 and 30, of which the prominent activity is α-glucosidase.

This clade was expanded to clarify the relationship of CG400_06090 with related characterized

sequences as an apparently deep-branching member of subfamily 23, although with low bootstrap

support (Figure 2B). When additional, uncharacterized sequences from the CAZy database were

included, CG400_06090 clustered within subfamily 23 (Figure S2).

To identify conserved catalytic residues between CG400_06090 and characterized

members of GH13, CG400_06090 was aligned with structurally characterized members of

subfamily 23 (BAL49684.1 and BAC87873.1) and subfamily 17 (ASO96882.1) (Figure 2C). Of

the GH13 catalytic triad, the aspartate nucleophile and the aspartate that stabilizes the transition

state are conserved among the four sequences (39). The glutamate general acid/base, however,

does not appear to be sequence conserved between CG400_06090 and the GH13 subfamily 23

members. Upon modelling CG400_06090 in PHYRE2 and aligning with BAL49684.1 (PDBID

3WY1), CG400_06090 Glu256 did appear to be spatially conserved with the general acid/base

(not shown).

Expression and purification of α-glucosidase protein

The *G. leopoldi* NR017 CG400_06090 open reading frame comprises 1701 base pairs

encoding a 567 amino acid protein, with a predicted mass of 62 kDa. The full-length open reading

frame encoding amino acids 2-567 was PCR-amplified and ligated into vector pQE-80L for

expression in *E. coli* as an N-terminal hexahistidine-tagged protein. A distinct protein band in

SDS-PAGE between 50 kDa and 75 kDa was obtained from IPTG-induced *E. coli* cells compared

with non-induced cells, indicating the expression of the α-glucosidase protein (Figure 3A). The

recombinant protein was soluble, and was purified using a Ni-NTA spin column (Figure 3B). From

a 50 mL broth culture, approximately 700 µL of purified protein at 4.0 mg/mL was obtained.

Effect of pH on enzyme activity

α-Glucosidase activity of the purified protein was demonstrated by release of 4-nitrophenol from the chromogenic substrate 4-nitrophenyl α-D-glucopyranoside. A preliminary analysis of enzyme activity over pH 3-8 showed that product was produced over a broad pH range from 4.0 to 8.0 (Figure S3). To more precisely examine effects of pH on activity a pH rate profile was determined and the maximum rate was observed at pH 7.0 (Figure 4A). The dependence of rate on substrate concentration fit the Michaelis-Menten equation (Figure 4B), with a Michaelis constant (K_m) of 8.3 μM, and a V_{max} 96 μM/min, corresponding to a k_{cat} value of 0.96 (± 0.01) min^{-1} and k_{cat}/K_m of 0.11 μM^{-1}min^{-1}.

Analysis of substrate hydrolysis

Production of glucose was detected when the purified α-glucosidase was incubated with maltose (M2), maltotriose (M3), maltotetraose (M4) and maltopentaose (M5) (Figure 5). Samples analyzed by HPAEC-PAD contained large peaks corresponding to maltooligosaccharides ranging from maltose to maltopentaose; minor peaks, especially in the maltotetraose and maltopentaose samples, resolved products not observed in the TLC analysis. These peaks did not align to isomaltotriose, panose, or 6-o-a-D-glucosyl-maltose standards in HPAEC-PAD (not shown), and likely represent larger, mixed linkage products. No appreciable activity was detected on maltodextrins (MD 4-7, MD 13-17 and MD 16.5-19.5) or glycogen (Figure S4).

Discussion

Glycogen is a major nutrient available to vaginal microbiota and its utilization likely plays

an important role in the survival and success of *Gardnerella* spp. in the vaginal microbiome. The

ability of *Gardnerella* spp. to utilize glycogen has been reported in previous studies. Dunkelburg

et al. described the growth of four strains of what was then known as *Haemophilus vaginalis* in

buffered peptone water with 1% glycogen suggesting their ability to ferment glycogen, but no

additional details were provided (40). Similarly, Edmunds reported the glycogen fermenting ability

of 14 out of 15 *Haemophilus vaginalis* isolates, although serum was included in the media (41).

Piot *et al.* examined the starch fermentation ability of 175 *Gardnerella* isolates on Mueller Hinton

agar supplemented with horse serum and found that all *Gardnerella* strains were capable of

hydrolysing starch (42). They did not, however, assess glycogen degradation and further, it is not

clear if serum amylase contained in the media contributed to the observed amylase activity.

Robinson, in his review, reported that starch can be utilized by *Gardnerella* (43) while Catlin in

another review, reported that glycogen utilization is inconsistent in *Gardnerella* (11).

Glycogen is digested into smaller products, such as maltose and maltodextrins, by a group

of enzymes collectively known as amylases. Amylases that contribute to glycogen utilization in

the vaginal environment are not well studied. Spear *et al.* (19) suggested a role for a human

amylase enzyme in the breakdown of vaginal glycogen. They detected host-derived pancreatic α-

amylase and acidic α-glucosidase in genital fluid samples collected from women and showed that

genital fluid containing these enzymes can degrade the glycogen. The presence of these enzyme

activities alone in vaginal fluid, however, was not correlated with glycogen degradation,

suggesting contributions of activity from the resident microbiota. Many vaginal bacteria likely

produce amylases that contribute to this activity as various anaerobic taxa are known to encode

amylases and digest glycogen (44), however, the contributions of the vaginal microbiota to this

process and the importance of these processes to vaginal microbial community dynamics are not

yet well understood. Regardless of the source, amylases digest glycogen into maltose and or

maltooligosaccharides which are transported inside bacteria via ABC transporter systems for

further processing (45).

Protein sequences belonging to eight different GH13 subfamilies that could have roles in

glycogen degradation were identified in *G. vaginalis* ATCC 14018 and *G. swidsinskii* GV37

(Figure S1), and multiple amylase-like proteins were detected in the proteomes of additional

Gardnerella spp. (Figure 1), all of which were annotated as "alpha-amylase" in the GenBank

records. Our results demonstrate the value of using more nuanced analyses, including dbCAN and

SACCHARIS, to guide discovery of CAZymes based on comparison at a catalytic domain level

to functionally characterized enzymes. Further investigation will be required to demonstrate the

actual functions of these proteins, and how they contribute to carbohydrate-degrading activities of

Gardnerella spp..

In the phylogenetic analysis of putative amylase sequences, one cluster contained

sequences common to all 26 isolates (Figure 1). Although this protein sequence was annotated as

an α-amylase, suggesting it would produce maltooligosaccharides from glycogen, SACCHARIS

predicted it to be closely related to α-glucosidases, which cleave glucose from the non-reducing

end of its substrate. Automated annotation of sequences deposited in primary sequence databases

such as GenBank has resulted in significant problems with functional mis-annotation (46). This

problem is exacerbated by the increasing rate at which whole genome sequences are being

generated and deposited. The genome sequences of the study isolates were annotated using the

NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline, which identifies genes and annotates largely

based on sequence similarity to previously annotated sequences, thus potentially propagating

incorrect functional annotations.

Phylogenetic analysis of GH13 family enzymes showed that the conserved α-glucosidase

from *Gardnerella* spp. appears to be most closely related to GH13 subfamily 23 (Figure S2).

Primary sequences of GH13 members of amylases have seven highly conserved regions and three

amino acids that form the catalytic triad (23). Comparison of the primary sequence and three-

dimensional model of CG400_06090 with characterized α-glucosidases from GH13 subfamily 17

and 23 did show sequence conservation of nucleophile and spatial conservation of the catalytic

triad.

Our findings suggest that, although α-glucosidase does not hydrolyze glycogen, it can

digest smaller maltooligosaccharides. The purified α-glucosidase enzyme was able to completely

digest maltose and maltotriose to glucose, but digestion of maltotetraose and maltopentaose was

incomplete, suggesting a preference for smaller oligosaccharides (Figure 5). Previously

characterized α-glucosidase (*algB*) from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* DSM20083 also showed

higher activity against maltose and maltotriose while no activity was reported against

maltotetraose and maltopentaose (47). α-Glucosidases can have different substrate specificities

due to the variable affinity of the substrate binding site for particular substrates (48).

Most secreted proteins have a short N-terminal signal peptide to guide for extracellular

translocation of the newly synthesized protein (49), however, bacterial proteins can be also

secreted via non-classical secretion pathways in a Sec-independent manner, without having a

signal peptide (28). Analysis of the *Gardnerella* α-glucosidase sequence with SignalP and

SecretomeP showed that the protein is unlikely to be secreted by either route and thus likely acts

on intracellular substrates including products of extracellular glycogen degradation transported

into the cell. Many free-living and host-associated bacteria synthesize glycogen as a storage

molecule (50) but the extent to which this occurs in *Gardnerella* is not known. The possibility that

products of digestion of intracellular glycogen stores are substrates for the α-glucosidase

characterized in this study remains a question for future study. Purified α-glucosidase from *G.*

leopoldii NR017 was active across a broad pH range of 4.0 to 8.0 (Figure S3) with the highest rate

detected at pH 7.0 (Figure 4A). This is not unexpected for a cytoplasmic enzyme in a host-

associated bacterium, and consistent with at least one other report of an α-glucosidase from a

commensal bacterium, *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* (47).

Our findings show that *Gardnerella* spp. have an α-glucosidase enzyme that likely

contributes to the complex and multistep process of glycogen utilization by releasing glucose from

maltooligosaccharides. Identification and biochemical characterization of additional enzymes

involved in glycogen metabolism will provide insight into whether utilization of this abundant

carbon source is an important factor in population dynamics and competition among *Gardnerella*

spp.. The functional annotation strategy demonstrated here provides a powerful approach to guide

future experiments aimed at determining enzyme substrates and activities.

Funding

This research is supported by an NSERC Discovery grant to JEH, and Agriculture and Agri-Food

Canada project number: J-001589 (JPT and DWA). PB is supported by a Devolved Scholarship

from the University of Saskatchewan.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing interest.

Acknowledgements

369 The authors are grateful to Josseline Ramos-Figueroa, Douglas Fansher and Natasha Vetter

370 (Department of Chemistry, University of Saskatchewan) for guidance with thin layer

371 chromatography and Champika Fernando for technical support.

References

1. Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. 1991. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is

improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. J Clin Microbiol 29:297–

301.

2. Martin HL, Richardson BA, Nyange PM, Lavreys L, Hillier SL, Chohan B, Mandaliya K,

Ndinya-Achola JO, Bwayo J, Kreiss J. 1999. Vaginal *Lactobacilli*, microbial flora, and risk

of Human Immunodeficiency virus Type 1 and sexually transmitted disease acquisition. J

Infect Dis 180:1863–1868.

3. Taha TE, Hoover DR, Dallabetta GA, Kumwenda NI, Mtimavalye LAR, Yang L-P, Liomba

GN, Broadhead RL, Chiphangwi JD, Miotti PG. 1998. Bacterial vaginosis and disturbances

of vaginal flora: association with increased acquisition of HIV. AIDS 12.

4. Paramel Jayaprakash T, Schellenberg JJ, Hill JE. 2012. Resolution and characterization of

distinct cpn60-based subgroups of *Gardnerella vaginalis* in the vaginal microbiota. PloS

One 7:e43009–e43009.

5. Ahmed A, Earl J, Retchless A, Hillier SL, Rabe LK, Cherpes TL, Powell E, Janto B, Eutsey

R, Hiller NL, Boissy R, Dahlgren ME, Hall BG, Costerton JW, Post JC, Hu FZ, Ehrlich

GD. 2012. Comparative genomic analyses of 17 clinical isolates of *Gardnerella vaginalis*

provide evidence of multiple genetically isolated clades consistent with subspeciation into

genovars. J Bacteriol 194:3922–3937.

6. Schellenberg JJ, Paramel Jayaprakash T, Withana Gamage N, Patterson MH, Vaneechoutte

M, Hill JE. 2016. *Gardnerella vaginalis* subgroups defined by cpn60 sequencing and

Sialidase activity in isolates from Canada, Belgium and Kenya. PloS One 11:e0146510.

7. Vaneechoutte M, Guschin A, Van Simaey L, Gansemans Y, Van Nieuwerburgh F, Cools P.

2019. Emended description of *Gardnerella vaginalis* and description of *Gardnerella*

leopoldii sp. nov., *Gardnerella piotii* sp. nov. and *Gardnerella swidsinskii* sp. nov., with

delineation of 13 genomic species within the genus *Gardnerella*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol

69:679–687.

8. Hill JE, Albert AYK. 2019. Resolution and cooccurrence patterns of *Gardnerella leopoldii*,

G. swidsinskii, *G. piotii*, and *G. vaginalis* within the vaginal microbiome. Infect Immun

87:e00532-19.

9. Balashov SV, Mordechai E, Adelson ME, Gygax SE. 2014. Identification, quantification and

subtyping of *Gardnerella vaginalis* in noncultured clinical vaginal samples by quantitative

PCR. J Med Microbiol 63:162–175.

10. Khan S, Voordouw MJ, Hill JE. 2019. Competition among *Gardnerella* subgroups from the

human vaginal microbiome. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:374.

11. Catlin BW. 1992. *Gardnerella vaginalis*: characteristics, clinical considerations, and

controversies. Clin Microbiol Rev 5:213–237.

12. Meléndez-Hevia E, Waddell TG, Shelton ED. 1993. Optimization of molecular design in the

evolution of metabolism: the glycogen molecule. Biochem J 295 (Pt 2):477–483.

13. Deng B, Sullivan MA, Chen C, Li J, Powell PO, Hu Z, Gilbert RG. 2016. Molecular

structure of human liver glycogen. PloS One 11:e0150540–e0150540.

14. Roach PJ, Depaoli-Roach AA, Hurley TD, Tagliabracci VS. 2012. Glycogen and its

metabolism: some new developments and old themes. Biochem J 441:763–787.

15. Adeva-Andany MM, González-Lucán M, Donapetry-García C, Fernández-Fernández C,

Ameneiros-Rodríguez E. 2016. Glycogen metabolism in humans. BBA Clin 5:85–100.

16. Cruickshank R, Sharman A. 1934. The biology of the vagina in the human subject. BJOG Int

J Obstet Gynaecol 41:208–226.

17. Mirmonsef P, Hotton AL, Gilbert D, Gioia CJ, Maric D, Hope TJ, Landay AL, Spear GT.

2016. Glycogen levels in undiluted genital fluid and their relationship to vaginal pH,

estrogen, and progesterone. PloS One 11:e0153553.

18. Flint HJ, Scott KP, Duncan SH, Louis P, Forano E. 2012. Microbial degradation of complex

carbohydrates in the gut. Gut Microbes 3:289–306.

19. Spear GT, French AL, Gilbert D, Zariffard MR, Mirmonsef P, Sullivan TH, Spear WW,

Landay A, Micci S, Lee B-H, Hamaker BR. 2014. Human α-amylase present in lower-

genital-tract mucosal fluid processes glycogen to support vaginal colonization by

Lactobacillus. J Infect Dis 210:1019–1028.

20. Berg JM, Tymoczko JL, Stryer L. 2002. Glycogen breakdown requires the interplay of

several enzymes.Biochemistry, 5th ed. W H Freeman, New York.

21. Cantarel BL, Coutinho PM, Rancurel C, Bernard T, Lombard V, Henrissat B. 2009. The

Carbohydrate-Active EnZymes database (CAZy): an expert resource for Glycogenomics.

Nucleic Acids Res 37:D233–D238.

22. Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B. 2014. The

carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D490–D495.

23. Mehta D, Satyanarayana T. 2016. Bacterial and archaeal α-amylases: Diversity and

amelioration of the desirable characteristics for industrial applications. Front Microbiol 7.

24. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze

A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation

pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624.

25. Larsson A. 2014. AliView: a fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large

datasets. Bioinforma Oxf Engl 30:3276–3278.

26. Felsenstein J. 1989. PHYLIP - phylogeny inference package (version 3.2). Cladistics 5:164–

166.

27. Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S,

von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2019. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep

neural networks. Nat Biotechnol 37:420–423.

28. Bendtsen JD, Kiemer L, Fausbøll A, Brunak S. 2005. Non-classical protein secretion in

bacteria. BMC Microbiol 5:58.

29. Zhang H, Yohe T, Huang L, Entwistle S, Wu P, Yang Z, Busk PK, Xu Y, Yin Y. 2018.

dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. Nucleic

Acids Res 46:W95–W101.

30. Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and

space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5:113.

31. Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2011. ProtTest 3: fast selection of best-fit

models of protein evolution. Bioinformatics 27:1164–1165.

32. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-Likelihood

Trees for Large Alignments. PLOS ONE 5:e9490.

33. Letunic I, Bork P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new

developments. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W256–W259.

34. Robert X, Gouet P. 2014. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new

ENDscript server. Nucleic Acids Res, 2014/04/21 ed. 42:W320–W324.

35. Shen X, Saburi W, Gai Z, Kato K, Ojima-Kato T, Yu J, Komoda K, Kido Y, Matsui H, Mori

H, Yao M. 2015. Structural analysis of the α-glucosidase HaG provides new insights into

substrate specificity and catalytic mechanism. Acta Crystallogr Sect D 71:1382–1391.

36. Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal

for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10:845–858.

37. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search

tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.

38. Angelov A, Putyrski M, Liebl W. 2006. Molecular and biochemical characterization of

alpha-glucosidase and alpha-mannosidase and their clustered genes from the

thermoacidophilic archaeon *Picrophilus torridus*. J Bacteriol 188:7123–7131.

39. Uitdehaag JCM, Mosi R, Kalk KH, van der Veen BA, Dijkhuizen L, Withers SG, Dijkstra

BW. 1999. X-ray structures along the reaction pathway of cyclodextrin glycosyltransferase

elucidate catalysis in the α-amylase family. Nat Struct Biol 6:432–436.

40. Dunkelberg WE, McVeigh I. 1969. Growth requirements of *Haemophilus vaginalis*. Antonie

Van Leeuwenhoek 35:129–145.

41. Edmunds PN. 1962. The biochemical, serological and haemagglutinating reactions of

Haemophilus vaginalis. J Pathol Bacteriol 83:411–422.

42. Piot P, Van Dyck E, Totten PA, Holmes KK. 1982. Identification of *Gardnerella*

(*Haemophilus*) *vaginalis*. J Clin Microbiol 15:19–24.

43. Taylor-Robinson D. 1984. The bacteriology of *Gardnerella vaginalis*. Scand J Urol Nephrol
Suppl 86:41.

44. Nunn KL, Forney LJ. 2016. Unraveling the dynamics of the human vaginal microbiome.
Yale J Biol Med 89:331–337.

45. Boos W, Shuman H. 1998. Maltose/Maltodextrin System of Escherichia coli: Transport,
Metabolism, and Regulation. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62:204–229.

46. Schnoes AM, Brown SD, Dodevski I, Babbitt PC. 2009. Annotation error in public
databases: misannotation of molecular function in enzyme superfamilies. PLoS Comput
Biol 5:e1000605–e1000605.

47. Van den Broek L, Struijs K, Verdoes J, Beldman G, Voragen A. 2003. Cloning and
characterization of two α-glucosidases from *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* DSM20083.
Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 61:55–60.

48. Okuyama M, Saburi W, Mori H, Kimura A. 2016. α-Glucosidases and α-1,4-glucan lyases:
structures, functions, and physiological actions. Cell Mol Life Sci 73:2727–2751.

49. Owji H, Nezafat N, Negahdaripour M, Hajiebrahimi A, Ghasemi Y. 2018. A comprehensive
review of signal peptides: Structure, roles, and applications. Eur J Cell Biol 97:422–441.

50. Preiss J. 1984. Bacterial glycogen synthesis and its regulation. Annu Rev Microbiol 38:419–
458.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of predicted extracellular amylases protein sequences from 26

Gardnerella genomes. Trees are consensus trees of 100 bootstrap iterations. Bootstrap values are

shown for major branch points. Species is indicated by label colour according to the legend.

Figure 2. (A) Phylogenetic tree of characterized GH13 members in the CAZy database and predicted *G. leopoldii* NR017 GH13 sequence CG400_06090. (B) Expanded tree of subfamilies 17, 23 and 30. Stars indicate structurally characterized sequences and bootstrap values were included. (C) CLUSTAL alignment of the catalytic domain of CG400_06090 with catalytic

domains of GH13 subfamily 23 proteins from *Halomonas* sp. (BAL49684.1) and *Xanthomonas*

campestris (BAC87873.1), and subfamily 17 protein from *Culex quinquefasciatus* (ASO96882.1).

Red arrows indicate the conserved members of the catalytic triad, and a red circle indicates the

acid/base (Glu242) in BAL49684.1 and BAC87873.1. White circle indicates the predicted

acid/base in CG400_06090. Invariant sequences are highlighted in black.

Figure 3. Production and purification of α-glucosidase protein. (A) A protein of the predicted

mass of 62 kDa was observed in induced cultures. M: size marker, NP: *E. coli* with no vector, UN:

uninduced culture, IN: induced with IPTG. Numbers on the left indicate the marker size in kDa.

(B) Fractions from Ni-NTA affinity purification of His-tagged recombinant protein. M: size

marker, L: lysate, P: pellet, F: flow-through, W1: first wash, W2: second wash, E1: first elution,

E2: second elution.

Figure 4. (A) pH-rate profile of α-glucosidase. Each point represents the average of two technical

replicates for each of three independent experiments as indicated by different shapes. Horizontal

lines indicate the mean. (B) Michaelis-Menten plot. Results shown are the average of three

independent experiments, with error bars indicating standard deviation. The line represents the fit

to the Michaelis-Menten equation.

Figure 5. α-glucosidase CG400_06090 digests of maltose to maltopentaose (A-D). Each panel

consists of a TLC plate and HPAEC-PAD trace of CG400_06090 digests of M2-M5 between 0hr

and 48hr. Major peaks from M2-M5 standards are denoted with black triangles while stars

represent unique, undefined oligosaccharides.

Figure S1. Phylogenetic tree of GH13 functionally characterized members with predicted GH13 domains from *G. swindsinskii* GV37 (red arrow) and *G. vaginalis* ATCC 14018 (blue arrow). Tree branches are coloured based on GH13 subfamily. The conserved α-glucosidase between the 26 proteomes is denoted by a white star. Trees were generated using SACCHARIS and viewed in iTOL**.**

Figure S2. (A) Phylogenetic tree of all GH13 subfamily 17, 23 and 30 members in the CAZy database and *G. leopoldii* CG400_06090. Branch colour is based on GH13 subfamily and functionally characterized proteins are indicated with stars. The clade highlighted in grey including *G. leopoldii* CG400_06090 and its closest relatives is expanded in (B).

Figure S3. Release of 4-nitrophenol from chromogenic substrate 4-nitrophenyl-α-D-glucopyranoside at pH 3-8. Results from four independent experiments each with two technical replicates are shown. The α-glucosidase (0.8 mM) was incubated with 10 mM substrate at different pH and amount of 4-nitrophenol released in 10 minutes was measured.

Figure S4. (A) TLC of products of glycogen hydrolysis by α-glucosidase enzyme. Reaction mixtures were assessed at 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h. N = Substrate with no enzyme. (B) TLC of products hydrolysis by α-glucosidase enzyme of maltodextrins MD 4-7 (left panel), MD 13-17 (middle panel) and MD 16.5- 19.5 (right panel). Reaction mixtures were assessed at 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h. N = Substrate with no enzyme.