The SARS-CoV-2 conserved macrodomain is a mono-ADP-ribosylhydrolase

Yousef M.O. Alhammad[a], Maithri M. Kashipathy[b], Anuradha Roy[c], Jean-Philippe Gagné[d,e], Peter McDonald[c], Philip Gao[f], Louis Nonfoux[d,e], Kevin P. Battaile[g], David K. Johnson[h], Erik D. Holmstrom[a], Guy G. Poirier[d,e], Scott Lovell[b] and Anthony R. Fehr[a,#]

[a]Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA
[b]Protein Structure Laboratory, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66047, USA
[c]High Throughput Screening Laboratory, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66047, USA
[d]Department of Molecular Biology, Medical Biochemistry and Pathology, Laval University Cancer Research Center, Québec City, QC, G1V 0A6, Canada
[e]CHU de Québec Research Center, CHUL Pavilion, Oncology Division, Québec City, QC, G1V 4G2, Canada
[f]Protein Production Group, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66047, USA
[g]NYX, New York Structural Biology Center, Upton, NY 11973, USA
[h]Molecular Graphics and Modeling Laboratory and the Computational Chemical Biology Core, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66047, USA

[#]Correspondence: arfehr@ku.edu; Tel.: +1- (785) 864-6626 (K.S.)

Running title: SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 removes ADP-ribose from protein

Keywords: Coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, macrodomain, ADP-ribose, poly-ADP-ribose,

ABSTRACT

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and other SARS-like-CoVs encode 3 tandem macrodomains within non-structural protein 3 (nsp3). The first macrodomain, Mac1, is conserved throughout CoVs, and binds to and hydrolyzes mono-ADP-ribose (MAR) from target proteins. Mac1 likely counters host-mediated anti-viral ADP-ribosylation, a posttranslational modification that is part of the host response to viral infections. Mac1 is essential for pathogenesis in multiple animal models of CoV infection, implicating it as a virulence factor and potential therapeutic target. Here we report the crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 in complex with ADP-ribose. SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV Mac1 exhibit similar structural folds and all 3 proteins bound to ADP-ribose with low μM affinities. Importantly, using ADP-ribose detecting binding reagents in both a gel-based assay and novel ELISA assays, we demonstrated de-MARylating activity for all 3 CoV Mac1 proteins, with the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein leading to a more rapid loss of substrate compared to the others. In addition, none of these enzymes could hydrolyze poly-ADP-ribose. We conclude that the SARS-CoV-2 and other CoV Mac1 proteins are MAR-hydrolases with similar functions, indicating that compounds targeting CoV Mac1 proteins may have broad anti-CoV activity.

IMPORTANCE

SARS-CoV-2 has recently emerged into the human population and has led to a worldwide

pandemic of COVID-19 that has caused greater than 900 thousand deaths worldwide. With, no

currently approved treatments, novel therapeutic strategies are desperately needed. All

coronaviruses encode for a highly conserved macrodomain (Mac1) that binds to and removes

ADP-ribose adducts from proteins in a dynamic post-translational process increasingly

recognized as an important factor that regulates viral infection. The macrodomain is essential for

CoV pathogenesis and may be a novel therapeutic target. Thus, understanding its biochemistry

and enzyme activity are critical first steps for these efforts. Here we report the crystal structure of

SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 in complex with ADP-ribose, and describe its ADP-ribose binding and

hydrolysis activities in direct comparison to SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV Mac1 proteins. These

results are an important first step for the design and testing of potential therapies targeting this

unique protein domain.

 INTRODUCTION

The recently emerged pandemic outbreak of COVID-19 is caused by a novel coronavirus

named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (1, 2). As of September

16, 2020, this virus has been responsible for ~ 30 million cases of COVID-19 and >900,000

deaths worldwide. SARS-CoV-2 is a member of the lineage B β-CoVs with overall high

sequence similarity with other SARS-like CoVs, including SARS-CoV. While most of the

genome is >80% similar with SARS-CoV, there are regions where amino acid conservation is

significantly lower. As expected, the most divergent proteins in the SARS-CoV-2 genome from

SARS-CoV include the Spike glycoprotein and several accessory proteins including 8a (absent),

8b (extended), and 3b (truncated). However, somewhat unexpectedly, several non-structural

proteins also show significant divergence from SARS-CoV, including non-structural proteins 3,

4, and 7, which could affect the biology of SARS-CoV-2 (3, 4).

Coronaviruses encode 16 non-structural proteins that are translated from two open

reading frames (ORFs), replicase 1a and 1ab (rep1a and rep1ab) (5). The largest non-structural

protein is the non-structural protein 3 (nsp3) that encodes for multiple modular protein domains.

These domains in SARS-CoV-2 diverge in amino acid sequence from SARS-CoV as much as

30%, and SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 includes a large insertion of 25-41 residues just upstream of the

first of three tandem macrodomains (Mac1, Mac2, and Mac3) (Fig. 1A) (3). In addition to this

insertion, the individual macrodomains show large amounts of amino acid divergence. Mac1

diverges 28% from SARS-CoV and 59% from MERS-CoV, while Mac2 and Mac3 diverge 24%

from SARS-CoV. It is feasible that these significant sequence differences could impact the

unique biology of SARS-CoV-2. However, macrodomains have a highly conserved structure,

and thus sequence divergence may have little impact on their overall function. Mac1 is present in

all CoVs, unlike Mac2 and Mac3, and early structural and biochemical data demonstrated that it

contains a conserved three-layered $\alpha/\beta/\alpha$ fold and binds to mono-ADP-ribose (MAR) and other

related molecules (6-10). This is unlike Mac2 and Mac3, which fail to bind ADP-ribose and

instead appear to bind to nucleic acids (11, 12). ADP-ribose is buried in a hydrophobic cleft of

Mac1 where the ADP-ribose binds to several highly-conserved residues such as aspartic acid at

position 23 (D23) and asparagine at position 41 (N41) of SARS-CoV (Fig. 1B) (6). Mac1

homologs are also found in alphaviruses, Hepatitis E virus, and Rubella virus, and structural

analysis of these macrodomains have demonstrated that they are very similar to CoV Mac1 (13,

14). All are members of the larger MacroD-type macrodomain family, which includes human

macrodomains Mdo1 and Mdo2 (15).

The CoV Mac1 was originally named ADP-ribose-1"-phosphatase (ADRP) based on data

demonstrating that it could remove the phosphate group from ADP-ribose-1"-phosphate (6-8).

However, the activity was rather modest, and it was unclear why this would impact a virus

infection. More recently it has been demonstrated that CoV Mac1 can hydrolyze the bond

between amino acid chains and ADP-ribose molecules (16-18), indicating that it can reverse

protein ADP-ribosylation (6, 8). ADP-ribosylation is a post-translational modification catalyzed

by ADP-ribosyltransferases (ARTs, also known as PARPs) through transferring an ADP-ribose

moiety from NAD$^+$ onto target proteins (19). The ADP-ribose is transferred as a single unit of

MAR, or single units of MAR are transferred consecutively to form a PAR chain. Several Mac1

proteins have been shown to hydrolyze MAR, but have minimal activity towards PAR (16, 17).

Several MARylating PARPs are induced by interferon (IFN) and are known to inhibit virus

replication, implicating MARylation in the host-response to infection (20).

Several reports have addressed the role of Mac1 on the replication and pathogenesis of

CoVs, mostly using the mutation of a highly conserved asparagine to alanine (N41A-SARS-

CoV). This mutation abolished the MAR-hydrolase activity of SARS-CoV Mac1 (18). This

mutation has minimal effects on CoV replication in transformed cells, but reduces viral load,

leads to enhanced IFN production, and strongly attenuates both murine hepatitis virus (MHV)

and SARS-CoV in mouse models of infection (7, 18, 21, 22). MHV Mac1 was also required for

efficient replication in primary macrophages, which could be partially rescued by the PARP

inhibitors XAV-939 and 3-AB or siRNA knockdown of PARP12 or PARP14 (23). These data

suggest that Mac1's likely function is to counter PARP-mediated anti-viral ADP-ribosylation

(24). Mutations in the alphavirus and HEV macrodomain also have substantial phenotypic

effects on virus replication and pathogenesis (16, 25-28). As viral macrodomains are clearly

important virulence factors, they are considered to be potential targets for anti-viral therapeutics

(24).

Based on the close structural similarities between viral macrodomains, we hypothesized

that SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 has similar binding and hydrolysis activity as other CoV Mac1

enzymes. In this study, we determined the crystal structure of the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein

bound to ADP-ribose. Binding to and hydrolysis of MAR was tested and directly compared to a

human macrodomain (Mdo2) and the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV Mac1 proteins by several *in*

vitro assays. All CoV Mac1 proteins bound to MAR and could remove MAR from a protein

substrate. However, the initial rate associated with the loss of substrate was largest for the

SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein , especially under multi-turnover conditions. In addition, none of

these enzymes could remove PAR from a protein substrate. These results indicate that Mac1

protein domains likely have similar functions, and will be instrumental in the design and testing

of novel therapeutic agents targeting the CoV Mac1 protein domain.

RESULTS

Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 complexed with ADP-ribose. To create recombinant

SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 for structure determination and enzyme assays, nucleotides 3348-3872 of

SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-hu-1 (accession number NC_045512), representing amino acids

I1023-K1197 of rep1a, were cloned into a bacterial expression vector containing an N-terminal

6X-His tag and TEV cleavage site. We obtained large amounts (>100 mg) of purified

recombinant protein (Fig. S1A). A small amount of this protein was digested by the TEV

protease to obtain protein devoid of any extra tags for crystallization and used to obtain crystals

from which the structure was determined (Fig. S1B). Our crystallization experiments resulted in

the same crystal form (needle clusters) from several conditions, but only when ADP-ribose was

added to the protein. This represents an additional crystal form ($P2_1$) amongst the recently

determined SARS-CoV-2 macrodomain structures (29-31).

The structure of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 complexed with ADP-ribose was obtained using X-

ray diffraction data to 2.2 Å resolution and contained four molecules in the asymmetric unit that

were nearly identical. The polypeptide chains could be traced from V3-M171 for subunits A/C

and V3-K172 for subunits B/D. Superposition of subunits B-D onto subunit A (169 residues

aligned) yielded RMSD deviations of 0.17 Å, 0.17 Å and 0.18 Å respectively between Cα atoms.

As such, subunit A was used for the majority of the structure analysis described herein. The

SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein adopted a fold consistent with the MacroD sub-family of

macrodomains that contains a core composed of a mixed arrangement of 7 β-sheets (parallel and

antiparallel) that are flanked by 6 α-helices (Fig. 2A-B).

As mentioned above, apo crystals were never observed for our construct, though the apo

structure has been solved by researchers at The Center for Structural Genomics of Infectious

Diseases (PDB 6WEN) (30) and the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee (PDB 6WEY) (32).

Further analysis of the amino acid sequences used for expression and purification revealed that

our construct had 5 additional residues at the C-terminus (MKSEK) and differs slightly at the N-

terminus as well (GIE vs GE) relative to 6WEN. In addition, the sequence used to obtain the

structure of 6WEY is slightly shorter than SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 at both the N and C-terminal

regions (Fig. S2A). To assess the effect of these additional residues on crystallization, chain B

of the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1, which was traced to residue K172, was superimposed onto subunit A

of PDB 6W02 (31), a previously determined structure of ADP-ribose bound SARS-CoV-2 Mac1.

Analysis of the crystal packing of 6W02 indicates that the additional residues at the C-terminus

would clash with symmetry related molecules (Fig. S2B). This suggests that the presence of

these extra residues at the C-terminus likely prevented the generation of the more tightly packed

crystal forms obtained for 6W02 and 6WEY, which diffracted to high resolution.

The ADP-ribose binding pocket contained large regions of positive electron density

consistent with the docking of ADP-ribose (Fig. 3A). The adenine forms two hydrogen bonds

with D22-I23, which makes up a small loop between β2 and the N-terminal half of α1. The side

chain of D22 interacts with N6, while the backbone nitrogen atom of I23 interacts with N1, in a

very similar fashion to the SARS-CoV macrodomain (6). This aspartic acid is known to be

critical for ADP-ribose binding for alphavirus macrodomains (26, 27). A large number of

contacts are made in the highly conserved loop between β3 and α2 which includes many highly-

conserved residues, including a GGG (motif) and N40, which is completely conserved in all

enzymatically active macrodomains (33). N40 is positioned to make hydrogen bonds with the 3'

OH groups of the distal ribose, as well as a conserved water molecule (Fig. 3B-C). K44 and G46

also make hydrogen bonds with the 2' OH of the distal ribose, G48 makes contact with the 1'

OH and a water that resides near the catalytic site, while the backbone nitrogen atom of V49

hydrogen bonds with the α-phosphate. The other major interactions with ADP-ribose occur in

another highly conserved region consisting of residues G130, I131, and F132 that are in the loop

between β6 and α5 (Fig. 3B). The α-phosphate accepts a hydrogen bond from the nitrogen atom

of I131, while the β-phosphate accepts hydrogen bonds from the backbone nitrogen atom of

G130 and F132. The phenyl ring of F132 may make van der Waals interactions with the distal

ribose to stabilize it, which may contribute to binding and hydrolysis (34). Loops β3-α2 and β6-

α5 are connected by an isoleucine bridge that forms a narrow channel around the diphosphate

which helps position the terminal ribose for water-mediated catalysis (6). Of all these residues, is

not exactly clear which ones are important for ADP-ribose binding, hydrolysis, or both.

Additionally, a network of direct contacts of ADP-ribose to solvent along with water mediated

contacts to the protein are shown (Fig. 3C).

Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 with other CoV macrodomain structures. We

next sought to compare the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 to other deposited structures of this protein.

Superposition with Apo (6WEN) and ADP-ribose complexed protein (6W02) yielded RMSD of

0.48 Å (168 residues) and 0.37 Å (165 residues), respectively, indicating a high degree of

similarity (Fig. S3A-B). Comparison of the ADP-ribose binding site of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 with

that of the apo structure (6WEN) revealed minor conformational differences in order to

accommodate ADP-ribose binding. The loop between β3 and α2 (H45-V49) undergoes a change

in conformation and the sidechain of F132 is moved out of the ADP-ribose binding site (Fig.

S3C). Our ADP-ribose bound structure is nearly identical to 6W02, except for slight deviations

in the β3-α2 loop and an altered conformation of F156, where the aryl ring of F156 is moved

closer to the adenine ring (Fig. S3 C-D). However, this is likely a result of crystal packing as

F156 adopts this conformation in each subunit and would likely clash with subunit residues

related by either crystallographic or non-crystallographic symmetry.

We next compared the ADP-ribose bound SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 structure with that of

SARS-CoV (PDB 2FAV) (6) and MERS-CoV (PDB 5HOL) (35) Mac1 proteins. Superposition

yielded RMSD deviations of 0.71 Å (166 residues) and 1.06 Å (161 residues) for 2FAV and

5HOL, respectively. Additionally, the ADP-ribose binding mode in the SARS-CoV and SARS-

CoV-2 structures almost perfectly superimposed (Fig. 4A-D). The conserved aspartic acid

residue (D22, SARS-CoV-2) that binds to adenine, is localized in a similar region in all 3

proteins although there are slight differences in the rotamers about the $C\beta$-$C\gamma$ bond. The angles

between the mean planes defined by the OD1, CG and OD2 atoms relative to SARS-CoV-2

Mac1 is 23.1° and 46.5° for the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV Mac1 structures, respectively.

Another notable difference is that SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 macrodomains have an

isoleucine (I23) following this aspartic acid while MERS-CoV has an alanine (A22). Conversely,

SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV Mac1 have a valine instead of an isoleucine immediately

following the GGG motif (V49/I48). From these structures it appears that having two isoleucines

in this location would clash, and that lineage B and lineage C β-CoVs has evolved in unique

ways to create space in this pocket (Fig. 4D and data not shown). Despite these small differences

in local structure, the overall structure of CoV Mac1 domains remain remarkably conserved, and

indicates they likely have similar biochemical activities and biological functions.

SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and MERS-CoV bind to ADP-ribose with similar

affinities. To determine if the CoV macrodomains had any noticeable differences in their ability

to bind ADP-ribose, we performed isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), which measures the

energy released or absorbed during a binding reaction. Macrodomain proteins from human

(Mdo2), SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 were purified (Fig. S1A) and tested for

their affinity to ADP-ribose. All CoV Mac1 proteins bound to ADP-ribose with low micromolar

affinity (7-16 μM), while human Mdo2 bound with an affinity about 10-times stronger (~220

nM) (Fig. 5A-B). As a control we tested the ability of the MERS-CoV macrodomain to bind to

ATP, and only observed minimal binding with mM affinity (data not shown). At higher

concentrations, the SARS-CoV-2 macrodomain caused a slightly endothermic reaction,

potentially the result of protein aggregation or a change in conformation (Fig. 5A). The MERS-

CoV Mac1 had a greater affinity for ADP-ribose than SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 in the

ITC assay (Fig. 5A-B), however, our results found the differences between these macrodomain

proteins to be much closer than previously reported (9). As an alternate method to confirm ADP-

ribose binding, we conducted a thermal shift assay. All 4 macrodomains tested denatured at

higher temperatures with the addition of ADP-ribose (Fig. S4). We conclude that lineage B and

lineage C β-CoV Mac1 proteins bind to ADP-ribose with similar affinities.

CoV macrodomains are MAR-hydrolases. To examine the MAR-hydrolase activity of

CoV Mac1, we first tested the viability of using ADP-ribose binding reagents to detect

MARylated protein. Previously, radiolabeled NAD$^+$ has been the primary method used to label

MARylated protein (16, 17). To create a MARylated substrate, the catalytic domain of the

PARP10 (GST-PARP10 CD) protein was incubated with NAD$^+$, leading to its automodification.

PARP10 CD is a standard substrate that has been used extensively in the field to analyze the

activity of macrodomains (16, 18, 26, 27). PARP10 is highly upregulated upon CoV infection

(23, 36) and is known to primarily auto-MARylate itself on acidic residues, which are the targets

of the MacroD2 class of macrodomains (27). We then tested a panel of anti-MAR, anti-PAR, or

both anti-MAR and anti-PAR binding reagents/antibodies for the ability to detect MARylated

PARP10 by immunoblot. The anti-MAR and anti-MAR/PAR binding reagents, but not anti-PAR

antibody, bound to MARylated PARP10 (Fig. S5). Therefore, in this work we utilized the anti-

MAR binding reagent to detect MARylated PARP10.

We next tested the ability of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 to remove ADP-ribose from

MARylated PARP10. SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 and MARylated PARP10 were incubated at

equimolar amounts of protein at 37°C and the reaction was stopped at 5, 10, 20, 30, 45 or 60

minutes (Fig. 6A). As a control, MARylated PARP10 was incubated alone at 37°C and collected

at similar time points (Fig. 6A and Fig. S6). Each reaction had equivalent amounts of

MARylated PARP10 and Mac1 which was confirmed by Coomassie Blue staining (Fig. 6A). An

immediate reduction of more than 50% band intensity was observed within five minutes, and the

ADP-ribose modification was nearly completely removed by SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 within 30

minutes (Fig. 6A). The MARylated PAPR10 bands intensities were calculated, plotted, and were

fit using non-linear regression (Fig. 6B). This result indicates that the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1

protein is a mono-ADP-ribosylhydrolase enzyme.

Next, we compared the MAR-hydrolase activity of Mac1 proteins from SARS-CoV-2,

SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV and human (i.e., Mdo2). Specifically, we monitored the time-

dependent loss of substrate using immunoblotting (Fig. 6C) under equimolar (i.e., 1 μM

[Mac1]:1 μM [substrate]) and multiple-turnover conditions (i.e., 0.5 μM [substrate]:0.1 μM

[Mac1] and 1.0 μM [substrate]:0.1 μM [Mac1]), with total protein amounts confirmed by

Coomassie blue staining (Fig. S7). The resulting substrate decay plots (Fig. 6D) were fit using

non-linear regression to determine the initial rate (k) of substrate decay. Our results indicate that

the three CoV Mac1 proteins give rise to similar, but not identical, values of k (Fig. 6D). The

SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein has a greater k than the SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV Mac1 proteins,

especially under multiple-turnover conditions, and all 3 viral macrodomains gave rise to a more

rapid loss of substrate than the human Mdo2 enzyme (Fig. 6F). However, further enzymatic

analyses of these proteins are warranted to more thoroughly understand their kinetics and

binding affinities associated with various MARylated substrates.

CoV Mac1 proteins do not hydrolyze PAR. To determine if the CoV Mac1 proteins

could remove PAR from proteins, we incubated these proteins with an auto-PARylated PARP1

protein. PARP1 was incubated with increasing concentrations of NAD^+ to create a range of

modification levels (Fig. S8). We incubated both partially and heavily modified PARP1 with all

four macrodomains and PARG as a positive control for 1 hour. While PARG completely

removed PAR, none of the macrodomain proteins removed PAR chains from PARP1 (Fig. 7).

We conclude that macrodomain proteins are unable to remove PAR from an automodified

PARP1 protein under these conditions.

ELISA assays can be used to measure ADP-ribosylhydrolase activity of

macrodomains. Gel based assays as described above suffer from significant limitations in the

number of samples that can be done at once. A higher throughput assay will be needed to more

thoroughly investigate the activity of these enzymes and to screen for inhibitor compounds.

Based on the success of our antibody-based detection of MAR, we developed an ELISA assay

that has a similar ability to detect de-MARylation as our gel-based assay, but with the ability to

do so in a higher throughput manner (Fig. 8A). First, MARylated PARP10 was added to ELISA

plates. Next, the wells were washed and then incubated with different concentrations of the

SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein for 60 min. After incubation, the wells were washed and treated with

anti-MAR binding reagent, followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibody and the detection

reagent. As controls, we detected MARylated and non-MARylated PARP10 proteins bound to

glutathione plates with anti-GST antibody and anti-MAR binding reagents and their

corresponding secondary antibodies (Fig. 8B). SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 was able to remove MAR

signal in a dose-dependent manner and plotted to a linear non-regression fitted line (Fig. 8C).

Based on these results, we believe that this ELISA assay will be a useful tool for screening

potential inhibitors of macrodomain proteins.

DISCUSSION

Here we report the crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 and its enzyme activity *in*

vitro. Structurally, it has a conserved three-layered α/β/α fold typical of the MacroD family of

macrodomains, and is extremely similar to other CoV Mac1 proteins (Fig. 2-4). The conserved

CoV macrodomain (Mac1) was initially described as an ADP-ribose-1"-phosphatase (ADRP), as

it was shown to be structurally similar to yeast enzymes that have this enzymatic activity (37).

Early biochemical studies confirmed this activity for CoV Mac1, though its phosphatase activity

for ADP-ribose-1"-phosphate was rather modest (6-8). Later, it was shown that mammalian

macrodomain proteins could remove ADP-ribose from protein substrates, indicating protein de-

ADP-ribosylation as a more likely function for the viral macrodomains (33, 38, 39). Shortly

thereafter, the SARS-CoV, hCoV-229E, FIPV, several alphavirus, and the hepatitis E virus

macrodomains were demonstrated to have de-ADP-ribosylating activity (16-18). However, this

activity has not yet been reported for the MERS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein.

In this study, we show that the Mac1 proteins from SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-

CoV-2 hydrolyze MAR from a protein substrate (Fig. 6). Their enzymatic activities were similar

despite sequence divergence of almost 60% between SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV. However,

the initial rate associated with the loss of substrate was largest for the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1

protein, particularly under multiple-turnover conditions. It is unclear what structural or sequence

differences may account for the increased activity of the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein under these

conditions, especially considering the pronounced structurally similarities between these

proteins, specifically the SARS-CoV Mac1 (0.71 Å RMSD). It is also unclear if these differences

would matter in the context of the virus infection, as the relative concentrations of Mac1 and its

substrate during infection is not known. We also compared these activities to the human Mdo2

macrodomain. Mdo2 had a greater affinity for ADP-ribose than the viral enzymes, but had

significantly reduced enzyme activity in our experiments. Due to its high affinity for ADP-

ribose, it is possible that the Mdo2 protein was partially inhibited by rebinding to the MAR

product in these assays. Regardless, these results suggest that the human and viral proteins likely

have structural differences that alter their biochemical activities *in vitro*, indicating that it may be

possible to create viral macrodomain inhibitors that don't impact the human macrodomains. We

also compared the ability of these macrodomain proteins to hydrolyze PAR. None of the

macrodomains were able to hydrolyze either partially or heavily modified PARP1, further

demonstrating that the primary enzymatic activity of these proteins is to hydrolyze MAR (Fig.

7).

When analyzing viral macrodomain sequences, it is clear that they have at least 3 highly

conserved regions (Fig. 1B)(24). The first region includes the NAAN (37-40) and GGG (residues

46-48) motifs in the loop between β3 and α2. The second domain includes a GIF (residues 130-

132) motif in the loop between β6 and α5. The final conserved region is a VGP (residues 96-98)

motif at the end of β5 and extends into the loop between β5 and α4. Both of the first two

domains have well defined interactions with ADP-ribose (Fig. 3). However, no one has

addressed the role of the VGP residues, though our structure indicates that the glycine may

interact with a water molecule that makes contact with the β-phosphate. Identifying residues that

directly contribute to ADP-ribose binding, hydrolysis, or both by CoV Mac1 proteins will be

critical to determining the specific roles of ADP-ribose binding and hydrolysis in CoV

replication and pathogenesis.

While all previous studies of macrodomain de-ADP-ribosylation have primarily used

radiolabeled substrate, we obtained highly repeatable and robust data utilizing ADP-ribose

binding reagents designed to specifically recognize MAR (40, 41). The use of these binding

reagents should enhance the feasibility of this assay for many labs that are not equipped for

radioactive work. Utilizing these binding reagents, we further developed an ELISA assay for de-

MARylation that has the ability to dramatically increase the number of samples that can be

analyzed compared to the gel-based assay. To our knowledge, previously developed ELISA

assays were used to measure ADP-ribosyltransferase activities (42) but no ELISA has been

established to test the ADP-ribosylhydrolase activity of macrodomain proteins. This ELISA

assay should be useful to those in the field to screen compounds for macrodomain inhibitors that

could be either valuable research tools or potential therapeutics.

The functional importance of the CoV Mac1 domain has been demonstrated in several

reports, mostly utilizing the mutation of a highly conserved asparagine that mediates contact with

the distal ribose (Fig. 3B) (18, 21, 22). However, the physiological relevance of Mac1 during

SARS-CoV-2 infection has yet to be determined. In addition, the proteins that are targeted by the

CoV Mac1 for de-ADP-ribosylation remains unknown. Unfortunately, there are no known

compounds that inhibit this domain that could help identify the functions of this protein during

infection. The outbreak of COVID-19 has illustrated an urgent need for developing multiple

therapeutic drugs targeting conserved coronavirus proteins. Mac1 appears to be an ideal

candidate for further drug development based on: *i)* its highly conserved structure and

biochemical activities within CoVs; and *ii)* its importance for multiple CoVs to cause disease.

Targeting Mac1 may also have the benefit of enhancing the innate immune response, as we have

shown that Mac1 is required for some CoVs to block IFN production (18, 23). Considering that

Mac1 proteins from divergent αCoVs such as 229E and FIPV also have de-ADP-ribosylating

activity (16, 17), it is possible that compounds targeting Mac1 could prevent disease caused by

of wide variety of CoV, including those of veterinary importance like porcine epidemic diarrhea

virus (PEDV). Additionally, compounds that inhibit Mac1 in combination with the structure

could help identify the mechanisms it uses to bind to its biologically relevant protein substrates,

remove ADP-ribose from these proteins, and potentially define the precise function for Mac1 in

SARS-CoV-2 replication and pathogenesis. In conclusion, the results described here will be

critical for the design and development of highly-specific Mac1 inhibitors that could be used

therapeutically to mitigate COVID-19 or future CoV outbreaks.

METHODS

Plasmids

The SARS-CoV macrodomain (Mac1) (residues 1000-1172 of pp1a) was cloned into the pET21a+ expression vector with an N-terminal His tag. The MERS-CoV Mac1 (residues 1110-1273 of pp1a) was also cloned into pET21a+ with a C-terminal His tag. SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 (residues 1023-1197 of pp1a) was cloned into the pET30a+ expression vector with an N-terminal His tag and a TEV cleavage site (Synbio). The pETM-CN Mdo2 Mac1 (residues 7-243) expression vector with an N-terminal His-TEV-V5 tag and the pGEX4T-PARP10-CD (residues 818-1025) expression vector with an N-terminal GST tag were previously described (33). All plasmids were confirmed by restriction digest, PCR, and direct sequencing.

Protein Expression and Purification

A single colony of *E. coli* cells (C41(DE3)) containing plasmids harboring the constructs of the macrodomain proteins was inoculated into 10 mL LB media and grown overnight at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. The overnight culture was transferred to a shaker flask containing 2X 1L TB media at 37°C until the OD600 reached 0.7. The proteins were either induced with 0.4 mM IPTG at 37°C for 3 hours, or 17°C for 20 hours. Cells were pelleted at 3500 × g for 10 min and frozen at -80°C. Frozen cells were thawed at room temperature, resuspended in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl, and sonicated using the following cycle parameters: Amplitude: 50%, Pulse length: 30 seconds, Number of pulses: 12, while incubating on ice for >1min between pulses. The soluble fraction was obtained by centrifuging the cell lysate at 45,450 × g for 30 minutes at 4°C. The expressed soluble proteins were purified by affinity chromatography using a 5 ml prepacked HisTrap HP column on an AKTA Pure protein purification system (GE Healthcare). The fractions were further purified by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) with a

Superdex 75 10/300 GL column equilibrated with 20mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl and the

protein sized as a monomer relative to the column calibration standards. To cleave off the His tag

from the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1, purified TEV protease was added to purified SARS-CoV-2 Mac1

protein at a ratio of 1:10 (w/w), and then passed back through the Ni-NTA HP column. Protein

was collected in the flow through and equilibrated with 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl.

The SARS-CoV-2 Mac1, free from the N-terminal 6X-His tag, was used for subsequent

crystallization experiments.

For the PARP10-CD protein, the cell pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH

8.0), 500 mM NaCl, 0.1mM EDTA, 25% glycerol, 1 mM DTT and sonicated as described above.

The cell lysate was incubated with 10 ml of Glutathione Sepharose 4B resin from GE Healthcare,

equilibrated with the same buffer for 2 hours, then applied to a gravity flow column to allow

unbound proteins to flow through. The column was washed with the resuspension buffer till the

absorbance at 280 nm reached baseline. The bound protein was eluted out of the column with

resuspension buffer containing 20 mM reduced glutathione and then dialyzed back into the

resuspension buffer overnight at 4°C.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry

All ITC titrations were performed on a MicroCal PEAQ-ITC instrument (Malvern

Pananalytical Inc., MA). All reactions were performed in 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl

using 100 μM of all macrodomain proteins at 25°C. Titration of 2 mM ADP-ribose or ATP

(MilliporeSigma) contained in the stirring syringe included a single 0.4 μL injection, followed by

18 consecutive injections of 2 μL. Data analysis of thermograms was analyzed using one set of

binding sites model of the MicroCal ITC software to obtain all fitting model parameters for the

experiments.

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry (DSF)

Thermal shift assay with DSF involved use of LightCycler® 480 Instrument (Roche Diagnostics). In total, a 15 µL mixture containing 8X SYPRO Orange (Invitrogen), and 10 µM macrodomain protein in buffer containing 20 mM Hepes, NaOH, pH 7.5 and various concentrations of ADP-ribose were mixed on ice in 384-well PCR plate (Roche). Fluorescent signals were measured from 25 to 95 °C in 0.2 °C/30-s steps (excitation, 470-505 nm; detection, 540-700 nm). The main measurements were carried out in triplicate. Data evaluation and Tm determination involved use of the Roche LightCycler® 480 Protein Melting Analysis software, and data fitting calculations involved the use of single site binding curve analysis on Graphpad Prism.

MAR Hydrolase Assays

Automodification of PARP10-CD protein: A 10 µM solution of purified PAPR10-CD protein was incubated for 20 minutes at 37°C with 1 mM final concentration of β-Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide (β NAD$^+$) (Millipore-Sigma) in a reaction buffer (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM DTT, and 0.02% NP-40). MARylated PARP10 was aliquoted and stored at -80°C.

PAPR10-CD ADP-ribose hydrolysis: All reactions were performed at 37°C for the designated time. A 1 µM solution of MARylated PARP10-CD and purified Mac1 protein was added in the reaction buffer (50 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM DTT, and 0.02% NP-40). The reaction was stopped with addition of 2X Laemmli sample buffer containing 10% β-mercaptoethanol.

Protein samples were heated at 95°C for 5 minutes before loading and separated onto SDS-PAGE cassette (Thermo Fisher Scientific Bolt™ 4-12% Bis-Tris Plus Gels) in MES

running buffer. For direct protein detection, the SDS-PAGE gel was stained using InstantBlue®

Protein Stain (Expedeon). For immunoblotting, the separated proteins were transferred onto

polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane using iBlot™ 2 Dry Blotting System

(ThermoFisher Scientific). The blot was blocked with 5% skim milk in PBS containing 0.05%

Tween-20 and probed with anti-mono or poly ADP-ribose binding reagents/antibodies

MABE1076 (α-MAR), MABC547 (α-PAR), MABE1075 (α-MAR/PAR) (Millipore-Sigma) and

anti-GST tag monoclonal antibody MA4-004 (ThermoFisher Scientific). The primary antibodies

were detected with secondary infrared anti-rabbit and anti-mouse antibodies (LI-COR

Biosciences). All immunoblots were visualized using Odyssey® CLx Imaging System (LI-COR

Biosciences). The images were quantitated using Image J (National Institutes for Health (NIH))

or Image Studio software.

Kinetic analysis of ADP-ribose hydrolysis: To quantify the initial rate (k) of substrate

decay associated with the four macrodomains, each data set represented in the substrate decay

immunoblots in Fig. 6C, were fitted to a decaying exponential with the following functional

form: $([S]_{initial}-[S]_{final})e^{(-[k/[S]_{initial})t]}+[S]_{final}$ (Mathematica 12, Wolfram Alpha). The decay plots

and resulting values for the fitted parameter k along with statistic uncertainty (SD) are shown in

Fig. 6D.

ELISA-based MAR hydrolysis: ELISA Well-Coated™ Glutathione plates (G-Biosciences,

USA) were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.05% Tween-20 (PBS-T)

and incubated with 50 μL of 100 nM automodified MARylated PARP10-CD in PBS for one

hour under room temperature. Following four washes with PBS-T, variable concentrations of

SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 were incubated with MARylated PARP10-CD for 60 minutes at 37ºC.

Purified macrodomains were 2-fold serially diluted starting at 100 nM in reaction buffer prior to

addition to MARylated PARP10-CD. Subsequently, ELISA wells were washed four times with

PBS-T and incubated with 50 µL/well of anti-GST (Invitrogen MA4-004) or anti-MAR

(Millipore-Sigma MAB1076) diluted 1:5,000 in 5 mg/ml BSA in PBS-T (BSA5-PBS-T) for 1

hour at room temperature. After four additional washes with PBS-T, each well was incubated

with 50 µL diluted 1:5,000 in BSA5-PBS-T of anti-rabbit-HRP (SouthernBiotech, USA) or anti-

mouse-HRP (Rockland Immunochemicals, USA) conjugate for 1 hour at room temperature. The

plate was washed four times with PBS-T and 100 µL of TMB peroxidase substrate solution

(SouthernBiotech, USA) was added to each well and incubated for 10 minutes. The peroxidase

reaction was stopped with 50 µL per well of 1 M HCl before proceeding to reading. Absorbance

was measured at 450 nm and subtracted from 620 nm using Biotek Powerwave XS plate reader

(BioTek). As controls, MARylated PARP10-CD and non-MARylated PARP10 were detected

with both anti-MAR and anti-GST antibodies. The absorbance of non-MARylated PARP10-CD

detected with anti-MAR antibody was used to establish the background signal. The % signal

remaining was calculated by dividing the experimental signal (+ enzyme) minus background by

the control (no enzyme) minus the background.

PAR Hydrolase Assay

Automodification of PARP1 protein: PARP1 was incubated with increasing

concentrations of NAD^+ to generate a range of PARP1 automodification levels. Highly purified

human 6X-His-PARP1 (43) (5 µg) was incubated for 30 min at 30°C in a reaction buffer

containing 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 10 mM DTT, 0 to 500

µM NAD+, 10% (v/v) ethanol and 25 µg/mL calf thymus activated DNA (Sigma-Aldrich).

PARP1 ADP-ribose hydrolysis: To evaluate the PAR hydrolase activity of CoV

macrodomains, 200 ng of slightly automodified PARP1 with 5 µM NAD^+ or highly

automodified with 500 µM NAD$^+$ were used as substrates for the de-PARylation assays.

Recombinant macrodomain protein (1 µg) was supplemented to the reaction buffer (100 mM

Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10% (v/v) glycerol and 10 mM DTT) containing automodified PARP1 and

incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. Recombinant PARG (1 µg) was used as a positive control for PAR

erasing (44). Reaction mixtures were resolved on 4–12% Criterion™ XT Bis-Tris protein gels,

transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane and probed with the anti-PAR polyclonal antibody 96-

10.

Structure Determination

Crystallization and Data Collection: Purified SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 in 150 mM NaCl, 20

mM Tris pH 8.0 was concentrated to 13.8 mg/mL for crystallization screening. All crystallization

experiments were setup using an NT8 drop-setting robot (Formulatrix Inc.) and UVXPO MRC

(Molecular Dimensions) sitting drop vapor diffusion plates at 18°C. 100 nL of protein and 100

nL crystallization solution were dispensed and equilibrated against 50 µL of the latter. The

SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 complex with ADP-ribose was prepared by adding the ligand, from a 100

mM stock in water, to the protein at a final concentration of 2 mM. Crystals that were obtained in

1-2 days from the Salt Rx HT screen (Hampton Research) condition E10 (1.8 M

NaH$_2$PO$_4$/K$_2$HPO$_4$, pH 8.2). Refinement screening was conducted using the additive screen HT

(Hampton Research) by supplementing 10% of each additive to the Salt Rx HT E10 condition in

a new 96-well UVXPO crystallization plate. The crystals used for data collection were obtained

from Salt Rx HT E10 supplemented with 0.1 M NDSB-256 from the additive screen (Fig. S1).

Samples were transferred to a fresh drop composed of 80% crystallization solution and 20%

(v/v) PEG 200 and stored in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the

Advanced Photon Source, IMCA-CAT beamline 17-ID using a Dectris Eiger 2X 9M pixel array

detector.

Structure Solution and Refinement: Intensities were integrated using XDS (45, 46) via

Autoproc (47) and the Laue class analysis and data scaling were performed with Aimless (48).

Notably, a pseudo-translational symmetry peak was observed at (0, 0.31 0.5) that was 44.6% of

the origin. Structure solution was conducted by molecular replacement with Phaser (49) using a

previously determined structure of ADP-ribose bound SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 (PDB 6W02) as the

search model. The top solution was obtained in the space group $P2_1$ with four molecules in the

asymmetric unit. Structure refinement and manual model building were conducted with Phenix

(50) and Coot (51) respectively. Disordered side chains were truncated to the point for which

electron density could be observed. Structure validation was conducted with Molprobity (52) and

figures were prepared using the CCP4MG package (53). Superposition of the macrodomain

structures was conducted with GESAMT (54).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were done using an unpaired two-tailed student's t-test to assess

differences in mean values between groups, and graphs are expressed as mean ±SD. Significant p

values are denoted with *p≤0.05.

ACCESSION CODES

The coordinates and structure factors for SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 were deposited to the

Worldwide Protein Databank (wwPDB) with the accession code 6WOJ.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We'd like to thank Ivan Ahel and Gytis Jankevicius (Oxford University) for providing

protein expression plasmids; John Pascal (University of Montreal) and Marie-France Langelier

(Universite de Montreal) for providing PARP1; and Wenqing Xu (University of Washington) for

providing PARG. This research was funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant

numbers P20 GM113117, P30GM110761, and AI134993-01, and University of Kansas start-up

funds to A.R.F, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research grant number MOP-418863 to

G.G.P. Use of the IMCA-CAT beamline 17-ID at the Advanced Photon Source was supported by

the companies of the Industrial Macromolecular Crystallography Association through a contract

with Hauptman-Woodward Medical Research Institute. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was

supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy

Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: ARF, YMOA, GGP

Data curation: YMOA, SL, JPG, ARF, EDH

Formal analysis: YMOA, DKJ, AR, SL, ARF, EDH

Funding acquisition: GGP, SL, ARF

Investigation: YMOA, MMK, AR, JPG, LN, PM, KPB

Methodology: YMOA, GGP, AR, JPG, EDH, SL, ARF

Project administration: GGP, SL, ARF

Resources: AR, SL, PG, ARF

Supervision: AR, GGP, SL ARF

Validation: YMOA, SL, AR, JPG, GGP, ARF

Visualization: YMOA, ARF, AR, SL, JPG

Writing – original draft: YMOA, SL, ARF

Writing – review & editing: all authors

552   **REFERENCES**
553
1.   Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, Si HR, Zhu Y, Li B, Huang CL,
Chen HD, Chen J, Luo Y, Guo H, Jiang RD, Liu MQ, Chen Y, Shen XR, Wang X, Zheng
XS, Zhao K, Chen QJ, Deng F, Liu LL, Yan B, Zhan FX, Wang YY, Xiao GF, Shi ZL.
2020. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin.
Nature 579:270-273.
2.   Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of V. 2020.
The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-
nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol 5:536-544.
3.   Srinivasan S, Cui H, Gao Z, Liu M, Lu S, Mkandawire W, Narykov O, Sun M, Korkin D.
2020. Structural Genomics of SARS-CoV-2 Indicates Evolutionary Conserved
Functional Regions of Viral Proteins. Viruses 12:360.
4.   Wu C, Liu Y, Yang Y, Zhang P, Zhong W, Wang Y, Wang Q, Xu Y, Li M, Li X, Zheng
M, Chen L, Li H. 2020. Analysis of therapeutic targets for SARS-CoV-2 and discovery
of potential drugs by computational methods. Acta Pharm Sin B
doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2020.02.008.
5.   Fehr AR, Perlman S. 2015. Coronaviruses: An Overview of Their Replication and
Pathogenesis, p 1-23. *In* Maier HJ, Bickerton E, Britton P (ed), Coronaviruses, vol 1282.
Springer New York.
6.   Egloff MP, Malet H, Putics A, Heinonen M, Dutartre H, Frangeul A, Gruez A,
Campanacci V, Cambillau C, Ziebuhr J, Ahola T, Canard B. 2006. Structural and
functional basis for ADP-ribose and poly(ADP-ribose) binding by viral macro domains. J
Virol 80:8493-502.
7.   Putics A, Filipowicz W, Hall J, Gorbalenya AE, Ziebuhr J. 2005. ADP-ribose-1"-
monophosphatase: a conserved coronavirus enzyme that is dispensable for viral
replication in tissue culture. J Virol 79:12721-31.
8.   Saikatendu KS, Joseph JS, Subramanian V, Clayton T, Griffith M, Moy K, Velasquez J,
Neuman BW, Buchmeier MJ, Stevens RC, Kuhn P. 2005. Structural basis of severe acute
respiratory syndrome coronavirus ADP-ribose-1"-phosphate dephosphorylation by a
conserved domain of nsP3. Structure 13:1665-75.
9.   Cho CC, Lin MH, Chuang CY, Hsu CH. 2016. Macro Domain from Middle East
Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) Is an Efficient ADP-ribose Binding
Module: CRYSTAL STRUCTURE AND BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES. J Biol Chem
291:4894-902.
10.   Xu Y, Cong L, Chen C, Wei L, Zhao Q, Xu X, Ma Y, Bartlam M, Rao Z. 2009. Crystal
structures of two coronavirus ADP-ribose-1"-monophosphatases and their complexes
with ADP-Ribose: a systematic structural analysis of the viral ADRP domain. J Virol
83:1083-92.
11.   Tan J, Vonrhein C, Smart OS, Bricogne G, Bollati M, Kusov Y, Hansen G, Mesters JR,
Schmidt CL, Hilgenfeld R. 2009. The SARS-unique domain (SUD) of SARS coronavirus
contains two macrodomains that bind G-quadruplexes. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000428.
12.   Chatterjee A, Johnson MA, Serrano P, Pedrini B, Joseph JS, Neuman BW, Saikatendu K,
Buchmeier MJ, Kuhn P, Wuthrich K. 2009. Nuclear magnetic resonance structure shows
that the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-unique domain contains a
macrodomain fold. J Virol 83:1823-36.

13.   Makrynitsa GI, Ntonti D, Marousis KD, Birkou M, Matsoukas MT, Asami S, Bentrop D,
599   Papageorgiou N, Canard B, Coutard B, Spyroulias GA. 2019. Conformational plasticity
600   of the VEEV macro domain is important for binding of ADP-ribose. J Struct Biol
601   206:119-127.
602   14.   Malet H, Coutard B, Jamal S, Dutartre H, Papageorgiou N, Neuvonen M, Ahola T,
603   Forrester N, Gould EA, Lafitte D, Ferron F, Lescar J, Gorbalenya AE, de Lamballerie X,
604   Canard B. 2009. The crystal structures of Chikungunya and Venezuelan equine
605   encephalitis virus nsP3 macro domains define a conserved adenosine binding pocket. J
606   Virol 83:6534-45.
607   15.   Rack JG, Perina D, Ahel I. 2016. Macrodomains: Structure, Function, Evolution, and
608   Catalytic Activities. Annu Rev Biochem 85:431-54.
609   16.   Li C, Debing Y, Jankevicius G, Neyts J, Ahel I, Coutard B, Canard B. 2016. Viral Macro
610   Domains Reverse Protein ADP-Ribosylation. J Virol 90:8478-86.
611   17.   Eckei L, Krieg S, Butepage M, Lehmann A, Gross A, Lippok B, Grimm AR, Kummerer
612   BM, Rossetti G, Luscher B, Verheugd P. 2017. The conserved macrodomains of the non-
613   structural proteins of Chikungunya virus and other pathogenic positive strand RNA
614   viruses function as mono-ADP-ribosylhydrolases. Sci Rep 7:41746.
615   18.   Fehr AR, Channappanavar R, Jankevicius G, Fett C, Zhao J, Athmer J, Meyerholz DK,
616   Ahel I, Perlman S. 2016. The Conserved Coronavirus Macrodomain Promotes Virulence
617   and Suppresses the Innate Immune Response during Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
618   Coronavirus Infection. mBio 7:e01721-16.
619   19.   Kim DS, Challa S, Jones A, Kraus WL. 2020. PARPs and ADP-ribosylation in RNA
620   biology: from RNA expression and processing to protein translation and proteostasis.
621   Genes Dev 34:302-320.
622   20.   Fehr AR, Singh SA, Kerr CM, Mukai S, Higashi H, Aikawa M. 2020. The impact of
623   PARPs and ADP-ribosylation on inflammation and host-pathogen interactions. Genes
624   Dev 34:341-359.
625   21.   Eriksson KK, Cervantes-Barragan L, Ludewig B, Thiel V. 2008. Mouse hepatitis virus
626   liver pathology is dependent on ADP-ribose-1"-phosphatase, a viral function conserved in
627   the alpha-like supergroup. J Virol 82:12325-34.
628   22.   Fehr AR, Athmer J, Channappanavar R, Phillips JM, Meyerholz DK, Perlman S. 2015.
629   The nsp3 macrodomain promotes virulence in mice with coronavirus-induced
630   encephalitis. J Virol 89:1523-36.
631   23.   Grunewald ME, Chen Y, Kuny C, Maejima T, Lease R, Ferraris D, Aikawa M, Sullivan
632   CS, Perlman S, Fehr AR. 2019. The coronavirus macrodomain is required to prevent
633   PARP-mediated inhibition of virus replication and enhancement of IFN expression. PLoS
634   Pathog 15:e1007756.
635   24.   Alhammad YMO, Fehr AR. 2020. The Viral Macrodomain Counters Host Antiviral
636   ADP-Ribosylation. Viruses 12:384.
637   25.   Abraham R, Hauer D, McPherson RL, Utt A, Kirby IT, Cohen MS, Merits A, Leung
638   AKL, Griffin DE. 2018. ADP-ribosyl-binding and hydrolase activities of the alphavirus
639   nsP3 macrodomain are critical for initiation of virus replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
640   A 115:E10457-E10466.
641   26.   Abraham R, McPherson RL, Dasovich M, Badiee M, Leung AKL, Griffin DE. 2020.
642   Both ADP-Ribosyl-Binding and Hydrolase Activities of the Alphavirus nsP3
643   Macrodomain Affect Neurovirulence in Mice. mBio 11.

644   27.   McPherson RL, Abraham R, Sreekumar E, Ong SE, Cheng SJ, Baxter VK, Kistemaker
645   HA, Filippov DV, Griffin DE, Leung AK. 2017. ADP-ribosylhydrolase activity of
646   Chikungunya virus macrodomain is critical for virus replication and virulence. Proc Natl
647   Acad Sci U S A 114:1666-1671.
648   28.   Parvez MK. 2015. The hepatitis E virus ORF1 'X-domain' residues form a putative
649   macrodomain protein/Appr-1"-pase catalytic-site, critical for viral RNA replication. Gene
650   566:47-53.
651   29.   Vuksanovic N, Silvaggi, N.R. National Science Foundation (NSF, United States). 2020.
652   High-resolution structure of the SARS-CoV-2 NSP3 Macro X domain doi:
653   10.2210/pdb6WEY/pdb. doi:10.2210/pdb6WEY/pdb.
654   30.   Michalska K, Stols, L., Jedrzejczak, R., Endres, M., Babnigg, G., Kim, Y., Joachimiak,
655   A., Center for Structural Genomics of Infectious Diseases (CSGID). 2020. Crystal
656   Structure of ADP ribose phosphatase of NSP3 from SARS-CoV-2 in the apo doi:
657   10.2210/pdb6WEN/pdb. doi:10.2210/pdb6wen/pdb.
658   31.   Michalska K, Kim, Y., Jedrzejczak, R., Maltseva, N., Endres, M., Mececar, A.,
659   Joachimiak, A., Center for Structural Genomics of Infectious Diseases (CSGID). 2020.
660   Crystal Structure of ADP ribose phosphatase of NSP3 from SARS CoV-2 in the complex
661   with ADP ribose doi: 10.2210/pdb6W02/pdb. doi:10.2210/pdb6W02/pdb.
662   32.   Frick DN, Virdi RS, Vuksanovic N, Dahal N, Silvaggi NR. 2020. Molecular Basis for
663   ADP-Ribose Binding to the Mac1 Domain of SARS-CoV-2 nsp3. Biochemistry (Mosc)
664   59:2608-2615.
665   33.   Jankevicius G, Hassler M, Golia B, Rybin V, Zacharias M, Timinszky G, Ladurner AG.
666   2013. A family of macrodomain proteins reverses cellular mono-ADP-ribosylation. Nat
667   Struct Mol Biol 20:508-14.
668   34.   Leung AKL, McPherson RL, Griffin DE. 2018. Macrodomain ADP-ribosylhydrolase and
669   the pathogenesis of infectious diseases. PLoS Pathog 14:e1006864.
670   35.   Lei J, Kusov Y, Hilgenfeld R. 2018. Nsp3 of coronaviruses: Structures and functions of a
671   large multi-domain protein. Antiviral Res 149:58-74.
672   36.   Heer CD, Sanderson DJ, Alhammad YMO, Schmidt MS, Trammell SAJ, Perlman S,
673   Cohen MS, Fehr AR, Brenner C. 2020. Coronavirus and PARP expression dysregulate
674   the NAD Metabolome: a potentially actionable component of innate immunity. bioRxiv
675   doi:10.1101/2020.04.17.047480.
676   37.   Shull NP, Spinelli SL, Phizicky EM. 2005. A highly specific phosphatase that acts on
677   ADP-ribose 1"-phosphate, a metabolite of tRNA splicing in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
678   Nucleic Acids Res 33:650-60.
679   38.   Rosenthal F, Feijs KL, Frugier E, Bonalli M, Forst AH, Imhof R, Winkler HC, Fischer D,
680   Caflisch A, Hassa PO, Luscher B, Hottiger MO. 2013. Macrodomain-containing proteins
681   are new mono-ADP-ribosylhydrolases. Nat Struct Mol Biol 20:502-7.
682   39.   Sharifi R, Morra R, Appel CD, Tallis M, Chioza B, Jankevicius G, Simpson MA, Matic I,
683   Ozkan E, Golia B, Schellenberg MJ, Weston R, Williams JG, Rossi MN, Galehdari H,
684   Krahn J, Wan A, Trembath RC, Crosby AH, Ahel D, Hay R, Ladurner AG, Timinszky G,
685   Williams RS, Ahel I. 2013. Deficiency of terminal ADP-ribose protein glycohydrolase
686   TARG1/C6orf130 in neurodegenerative disease. EMBO J 32:1225-37.
687   40.   Gibson BA, Conrad LB, Huang D, Kraus WL. 2017. Generation and Characterization of
688   Recombinant Antibody-like ADP-Ribose Binding Proteins. Biochemistry (Mosc)
689   56:6305-6316.

41. Affar EB, Duriez PJ, Shah RG, Winstall E, Germain M, Boucher C, Bourassa S, Kirkland
JB, Poirier GG. 1999. Immunological determination and size characterization of
poly(ADP-ribose) synthesized in vitro and in vivo. Biochim Biophys Acta 1428:137-46.
42. Asokanathan C, Tierney S, Ball CR, Buckle G, Day A, Tanley S, Bristow A, Markey K,
Xing D, Yuen CT. 2018. An ELISA method to estimate the mono ADP-
ribosyltransferase activities: e.g in pertussis toxin and vaccines. Anal Biochem 540-
541:15-19.
43. Langelier MF, Planck JL, Servent KM, Pascal JM. 2011. Purification of human PARP-1
and PARP-1 domains from Escherichia coli for structural and biochemical analysis.
Methods Mol Biol 780:209-26.
44. Wang Z, Gagne JP, Poirier GG, Xu W. 2014. Crystallographic and biochemical analysis
of the mouse poly(ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase. PLoS One 9:e86010.
45. Kabsch W. 1988. Evaluation of Single-Crystal X-Ray-Diffraction Data from a Position-
Sensitive Detector. J Appl Crystallogr 21:916-924.
46. Kabsch W. 2010. Xds. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66:125-32.
47. Vonrhein C, Flensburg C, Keller P, Sharff A, Smart O, Paciorek W, Womack T,
Bricogne G. 2011. Data processing and analysis with the autoPROC toolbox. Acta
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 67:293-302.
48. Evans PR. 2011. An introduction to data reduction: space-group determination, scaling
and intensity statistics. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 67:282-92.
49. McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ. 2007.
Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Crystallogr 40:658-674.
50. Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung
LW, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R, Read RJ,
Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. 2010. PHENIX: a
comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66:213-21.
51. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of Coot.
Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66:486-501.
52. Chen VB, Arendall WB, 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray
LW, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. 2010. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for
macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66:12-21.
53. Potterton L, McNicholas S, Krissinel E, Gruber J, Cowtan K, Emsley P, Murshudov GN,
Cohen S, Perrakis A, Noble M. 2004. Developments in the CCP4 molecular-graphics
project. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 60:2288-94.
54. Krissinel E. 2012. Enhanced fold recognition using efficient short fragment clustering. J
Mol Biochem 1:76-85.
55. Evans P. 2006. Scaling and assessment of data quality. Acta Crystallogr D Biol
Crystallogr 62:72-82.
56. Diederichs K, Karplus PA. 1997. Improved R-factors for diffraction data analysis in
macromolecular crystallography. Nat Struct Biol 4:269-75.
57. Weiss MS. 2001. Global indicators of X-ray data quality. J Appl Crystallogr 34:130-135.
58. Evans P. 2012. Resolving some old problems in protein crystallography. Science
336:986-7.
59. Karplus PA, Diederichs K. 2012. Linking crystallographic model and data quality.
Science 336:1030-3.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. The SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 is a small domain within nsp3 and is highly conserved

between other human CoV Mac1 protein domains. (A) Cartoon Schematic of the SARS-CoV-2

non-structural protein 3. The conserved macrodomain, or Mac1, is highlighted in yellow. (B)

Sequence alignment of Mac1 from CoVs; SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and mouse

hepatitis virus (MHV), and from alphaviruses Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV) and

sindbis virus (SINV), and hepatitis E virus (HEV). Sequences were aligned using the ClustalW

method from Clustal Omega online tool with manual adjustment. Identical residues are bolded,

shaded in grey, and marked with asterisks; semiconserved residues were shaded in grey and

marked with two dots (one change amongst all viruses) or one dot (2 changes or conserved

within CoV family).

Figure 2. Structure of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 complexed with ADP-ribose. **A)** The structure was

rendered as a blend through model from the N-terminus (blue) to the C-terminus (red). **B)** The

structure was colored by secondary structure showing sheets (magenta) and helices (green). The

ADP-ribose is rendered as gray cylinders with oxygens and nitrogens colored red and blue,

respectively.

Figure 3. Binding mode of ADP-ribose in SARS-CoV-2 Mac1. **A)** Fo-Fc Polder omit map

(green mesh) contoured at 3σ. **B)** Hydrogen bond interactions (dashed lines) between ADP-

ribose and amino acids. **C)** Interactions with water molecules. Direct hydrogen bond interactions

are represented by dashed lines and water mediated contacts to amino acids are drawn as solid

lines.

Figure 4. Structural comparison of the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein with the SARS-CoV and

MERS-CoV Mac1 proteins. **A-B)** Superposition of SARS-CoV-2 macrodomain (magenta) with

coronavirus macrodomain structures. **A**) SARS-CoV Mac1 with ADP-ribose (gold) (2FAV) and

B) MERS-CoV Mac1 with ADP-ribose (teal) (5HOL). **C-D)** Superposition of SARS-CoV-2

Mac1 (magenta) with other coronavirus Mac1 structures highlighting the ADP-ribose binding

site. **C**) SARS-CoV (gold), **D**) MERS-CoV (teal). The ADP-ribose molecules are colored gray

for SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 (**A-D**) and are rendered as green cylinders for SARS-CoV Mac1 (panel

A,C) and MERS-CoV Mac1 (panel **B,D**).

Figure 5. Human CoVs bind to ADP-ribose with similar affinity. **A-B)** ADP-ribose binding of

human Mdo2 and SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 proteins by ITC. Images in

(A) are of one experiment representative of at least 2 independent experiments. Data in **(B)**

represent the combined averages of multiple independent experiments for each protein. Mdo2

n=2; SARS-CoV n=5; MERS-CoV n=6; SARS-CoV-2 n=2.

Figure 6. Coronavirus Mac1 proteins are ADP-ribosylhydrolases. **A)** The SARS-CoV-2

macrodomain was incubated with MARylated PARP10 CD *in vitro* at equimolar ratios (1 μM)

for the indicated times at 37°C. ADP-ribosylated PARP10 CD was detected by immunoblot (IB)

with anti-ADP-ribose binding reagent (Millipore-Sigma MAB1076). Total PARP10 CD and

macrodomain protein levels were determined by Coomassie Blue (CB) staining. PARP10 CD

incubated alone at 37°C was stopped at 0 or 60 minutes. **B)** The level of de-MARylation was

measured by quantifying band intensity using Image J software. Intensity values were plotted

and fit to a non-linear regression curve with error bars representing standard deviation. Results in

A are representative experiments of two independent experiments and data in **B** represent the

combined results of the two independent experiments. **C)** The Mdo2, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV,

and SARS-CoV-2 macrodomains were incubated with MARylated PARP10 CD *in vitro* at the

following ratios of [substrate]:[Mac1]: 1:1 (1 μM), 5:1 (500 nM, 100 nM), or 10:1 (1 μM, 100

nM) for the indicated times at 37°C. ADP-ribosylated PARP10 CD was detected as described

above, and total PARP10 CD and macrodomain protein levels were determine by Coomassie

Blue (Fig. S6). **D)** Time-dependent substrate concentrations were determined by quantifying

band intensity using Image Studio software. The data were then analyzed using Mathematica 12,

as described in Methods, to determine the initial rate (k) of substrate decay. Results in **C** are

representative experiments of three independent experiments and data in **D** represent the

combined results of the three independent experiments.

Fig. 7. Coronavirus Mac1 proteins do not hydrolyze PAR. PAR hydrolase assays were performed

with PARP1 either extensively poly-ADP-ribosylated (500 μM NAD$^+$) or partially poly-ADP-

ribosylated (5 μM NAD$^+$) to produce oligo-ADP-ribose. Macrodomains were incubated with

both automodified PARP1 substrates for 1 hour. PAR was detected by immunoblot with the anti-

PAR antibody 96-10. PARG (catalytically active 60 kD fragment) was used as a positive control.

The results are representative of 2 independent experiments.

Figure 8. Development of an ELISA assay to detect de-MARylation. **A)** Cartoon schematic of

the ELISA assay. ELISA plates pre-coated with glutathione and pre-blocked were used capture

GST-tagged PARP10 proteins, which was used as a substrate for de-MARylation. The removal

of MAR was detected by anti-MAR antibodies. **B)** MARylated PARP10 (MAR+) and non-

MARylated PARP10 (MAR-) with no SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 as controls were detected with anti-

mono-ADP-ribose binding reagent (α-MAR) (Millipore-Sigma MAB1076) or with anti-GST (α-

GST) (Invitrogen, MA4-004). **C)** Starting at 12.5 nM, 2-fold serial dilutions of the SARS-CoV-2

Mac1 protein was incubated in individual wells with MARylated PARP10-CD for 60 min at

37°C**.** The graph represents the combined results of 2 independent experiments.

 SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1. Purification and crystallization of macrodomain proteins. **A)** Macrodomain proteins

were purified as described in Methods. Equimolar amounts of the recombinant proteins were run

on a polyacrylamide gel and visualized by Coomassie staining. **B)** Crystals of SARS-CoV-2

Mac1 obtained with Salt Rx HT E10 supplemented with 0.1 M NDSB-256.

Figure S2. Extended residues at the C-terminus of the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 clashed with

symmetry related molecules. **A)** Comparison of the amino acid sequence of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1,

6W02 and 6WEY. **B)** Superposition of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 (magenta) subunit B onto subunit A

of 6W02 reveals that the C-terminus would clash with symmetry related molecules (coral).

Figure S3. Comparison of the SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 protein with homologous structures. **A-B)**

Superposition of SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 (magenta) with other recently determined homologous

structures. **A)** SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 apo structure (6WEN), **B)** SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 complexed

with ADP-ribose (6W02). The ADP-ribose molecule is colored gray for SARS-CoV-2 and is

represented as green cylinders for 6W02 in panel **B**. **C-D)** Comparison of the residues in the

ADP-ribose binding site. **C)** SARS-CoV-2 Mac1 apo structure (blue, 6WEN), **D)** SARS-CoV-2

Mac1 complexed with ADP-ribose (green, 6W02). The ADP-ribose of SARS-CoV-2 is rendered

as gray cylinders, and is represented as green cylinders for 6W02 in panel **B**.

Figure S4. ADP-ribose binding of macrodomain proteins by DSF assay. The macrodomain

proteins (10 μM) were incubated with increasing concentrations of ADP-ribose and measured by

DSF as described in Methods. Mdo2 n=4; SARS-CoV n=6; MERS-CoV n=5; SARS-CoV-2 n=3.

Figure S5. Affinity of ADP-ribose binding antibodies for ADP-ribosylated PARP10 CD.

MARylated PARP10 and non-MARylated PARP10 CD were detected by immunoblot (IB) with

anti-GST (Invitrogen, MA4-004), anti-ADP-ribose binding reagents: anti-MAR (Millipore-

Sigma MAB1076), anti-PAR (Millipore-Sigma MABC547), and anti-MAR/PAR (Millipore-

Sigma MABE1075) antibodies.

Figure S6. MARylated PARP10 stability over time. The presence of mono-ADP-ribose of

automodified PARP10 without any macrodomain was detected at 6 time points by immunoblot

analysis with the anti-GST (Invitrogen, MA4-004) and anti-ADP-ribose binding reagent anti-

MAR (Millipore-Sigma MAB1076).

Figure S7. The CoVs and human Mdo2 macrodomain proteins were incubated with MARylated

PARP10 CD *in vitro* for the indicated times at 37°C. Total PARP10 CD and macrodomain

protein levels were determined by Coomassie Blue (CB) staining. Results showone experiment

of three independent experiments.

Figure S8. Differential PARylation of PARP1 by varying concentrations of NAD^+. Recombinant

human PARP1 was automodified in a reaction buffer supplemented with increasing

concentrations of NAD^+ to generate substrates for the PAR hydrolase assays. PAR was detected

by immunoblot analysis of reaction products with the anti-PAR antibody 96-10.

β1: L10-K11
β2: V16-A21
α1: I23-V30
β3: V34-A39
α2: V49-T57
α3: A60-N72
β4: S79-G85
β5: A89-V96
α4: L108-Q118
β6: V121-A124
α5: P136-T146
β7: N150-V155
α6: K158-F168

A

B

Macrodomain	Stoichiometry (N)	Kd (µM)	ΔH (kcal/mol)	ΔG (kcal/mol)
Mdo2	0.92 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.02	-66 ± 1	-38 ± 2
SARS-CoV	0.89 ± 0.04	10.8 ± 1.7	-40 ± 1.2	-28 ± 0.4
MERS-CoV	0.97 ± 0.04	7.9 ± 0.15	-47 ± 3	-29 ± 0.4
SARS-CoV-2	1.14 ± 0.06	16.8 ± 0.04	-28 ± 0.1	-27 ± 0.1

A

Signal detected at 450nm   No Signal

TMB HRP Substrate

α-Rabbit HRP

Rabbit α-MAR

MAR

MARylated PARP10
113ng/well (100nM)

PARP10   PARP10

GST tag   Macrodomain   GST tag

Glutathione   MAR hydrolysis
coated plate

B   **Controls**   **C**   **SARS-CoV-2 Mac1**