Title

Exploring phenotyping plasticity for improving deficit irrigation and growth
performance in maize

Mohammad Urfan[1], Haroon Rashid Hakla[1], Shubham Sharma[1], Mansi Sharma[1], Manu
Khajuria[2], Dhiraj Vyas[2], Santosh B. Satbhai[3] and Sikander Pal[1]

[1]Plant Physiology Division, Department of Botany, University of Jammu, Jammu- 180006,
India

[2] Biodiversity and Applied Botany Division, CSIR- Indian Institute of Integrative Medicine,
Canal Road, Jammu 180001, India
[3]Department of Biological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research
(IISER), Mohali, SAS Nagar, Punjab 140406, India

Email addresses:
Mohammad Urfan: urfanbutt1992@gmail.com
Haroon Rashid Hakla : haroonhakla@rediffmail.com
Shubham Sharma : shubhamsharma199425@gmail.com
Mansi Sharma : mansisharmaa246@gmail.com
Manu Khajuria : manukhajuria3@gmail.com
Dhiraj vyas : dvyas@iiim.ac.in
Santosh B. Satbhai : ssatbhai@iisermohali.ac.in
Sikander Pal: sikanderpal@jammuuniversity.in
21
22   ***To whom correspondence should be addressed to:**
23   **Sikander Pal (S.P.)**
24   Email: sikanderpal@jammuuniversity.in

Abstract

Root system architecture (RSAch) is central to drought management in plants. In this study, the mechanism of paclobutrazol (PBZ)-mediated improvement in tolerance to water deficit using five maize varieties was investigated. Comprehensive pot experiments were conducted during 2017, 2018 and 2019 and maize plants were subjected to 60% of evapotranspiration demand both in early deficit (EDI) and terminal deficit (TDI) irrigation regimes. Findings revealed that application of PBZ decreased plant height; while traits like stem diameter, root biomass, root length and root surface area under EDI and TDI improved significantly. Structural equation modelling of root traits revealed PBZ induced increase in root surface area (RSA) and length of seminal roots in EDI tolerance. For TDI, PBZ induced changes in RSA, length of seminal and brace roots and several reproductive attributes of the plant. Altogether, these findings propose improvement of root traits as an effective strategy to increase Water Use Efficiency of maize varieties with minimal impact on agronomic traits.

1.0 Introduction

Maize is mainly a rain fed crop in Indian sub continent. Annual monsoon has been disturbed consistently in recent years, and has become a great concern not only for India, but also other countries in South Asia, where ~1.9 billion people live [1-2]. Maize cultivation is synchronized with monsoon, and few days' late monsoon arrival causes' negative impact on growth of young maize plants[1-4]. Irregular rain patterns and climate change impact maize cultivation pan India[3-4]. Soil water shortage in months of June-September often causes drought conditions or soil water deficit, resulting in poor plant growth and establishment of young maize plants (early water deficit) and successful transition of vegetative stage to reproductive stage[5-6]. Water deficit poses alarming threat to crop loss worldwide, reduces average 50% yield [7-12]. Impact of water deficit is proven more critical during flowering time (terminal water deficit) and results in approximately 40-60% yield loss[7-12].

Maize responses to water deficit or drought are multiple and inter linked with reduced leaf surface area, leaf water potential, relative water content and transpiration rate[13-14]. Sunken stomata, lowered stomatal density and shorter stem and delayed in onset of tassel and ear formation occur under drought[13]. Root adaptations include shallower root system or deep root system depending on soil water availability, reduction or increase in lateral roots on all the three different root types' viz. crown roots (CRs), brace roots (BRs) and seminal roots (SRs). In general, plastic responses of roots under drought or water deficit have been noted in plants[15-21]. Physiological perturbations induced under drought include reduced photosynthesis, photochemical efficiency and net assimilation rate (NAR)[22-23]. Root traits and their prospective role in water deficit management in crop plants are least explored in maize. Root traits such as root biomass, root length, root density, root surface area and root depth contribute towards water stress avoidance and regulate plant growth performance under drought[15-21]. A proliferated root system is helpful in extracting water from considerable depths, while a shallower system accounts for less damage to roots under drought[15-21].

Climate change and its impact on soil water availability and rain patterns across world have posed a serious challenge to crop management and world food security[24-28]. In Indian sub-continent, rain water is a main source of maize irrigation (85% of total maize cultivation); maize cultivators are facing a severe challenge of drought posed by erratic monsoon arrival or rain period[29-31]. India ranked seventh (17,300,000 tonnes) in maize production, with tally topped by USA (333,010,910 tonnes) at the world level in 2016-2017. Productivity of maize /ha is dismal low in India (2.54 million MT, 2016-17) compared to

world average (5.82 MMT, 2016-17) and US (10.96 MMT, 2016-17). Primary reasons for

this low productivity in India include dependence on rain fall (monsoon- south west and north

east monsoon), salinity and other abiotic and biotic stresses[29-31]. Our extensive state-wise data

analyses of maize production in India for the past 10-15 years have revealed interesting

findings. Maize is cultivated throughout the year in different parts of the country mainly for

grain, cobs and fodder. Nearly 15% of area under maize cultivation is irrigated, leaving 85%

rain fed (mainly monsoon) source of irrigation[32-34]. In general, it is obvious to have low

maize productivity in Indian states having irregular or shorter spell of monsoon rains. Our

data analysis of past years (1999- 2018) showed a positive correlation between number of

drought affected districts (DADs) and maize productivity at the National level

(Supplementary Table 1 and 2, Supplementary Fig. 1 a-b). Deep analysis of data further

revealed that, it was irregular monsoon episodes at state levels which brought reduction in

maize productivity. For instance, reduction in monsoon rains (2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-

16) in Andhra Pradesh, with increase in number of DADs showed a linear relationship with

reduction in maize productivity and yield, though area under cultivation increased over

consecutive years in the state (Supplementary Fig. 2 a-b). Similar observations were noted for

Karnataka (Supplementary Fig. 2 a-b), Madhya Pradesh (Supplementary Fig. 3 a-b), Uttar

Pradesh (Supplementary Fig. 3 c-d) and Union territory of Jammu and Kashmir

(Supplementary Fig. 4 a-b) though at different years and DADs. Summing these

observations, drought conditions imposed by irregular monsoon patterns and lack of proper

irrigation facilities are factors responsible for low maize productivity in India compared to

world level. Improving maize productivity offers a new paradigm to increase farmers'

income compared to other crops cultivated in India[35]. It has been speculated that, India would

require 45 MMT of maize by year 2022 and to achieve this target, innovative ideas of

improving maize productivity in rain fed areas require more attention[35].

Deficit irrigation both in terms of early deficit irrigation (EDI) and terminal deficit

irrigation (TDI) offers an alternate method of conventional irrigation, where in a substantial

amount of irrigation water could be saved by reducing evapotranspiration demand of plants

with minimal effects on yield performance[36-38]. Paclobutrazol (PBZ) has been shown to

improve water use efficiency (WUE)[22-23] under drought, deficit irrigation and salinity stress

in tomato and mulberry[22-23]. Our earlier studies showed positive impact of PBZ on WUE of

tomato and mulberry plants *via* increasing root surface area and bringing physiological

changes in both upper and lower parts of tomato and mulberry plant body[22-23]. Understanding

root growth kinetics (RSA, root length and root numbers) in maize and its impact on shoot

growth kinetics and overall plant growth performance under drought or deficit irrigation needs to be explored using structural equation modeling (SEM). Predictive modeling will help to explore the role of different root traits and their potential role in making maize plants more efficient in WUE, water productivity (WP) with minimal effect on yield performance (cob yield) and farmers income. All these questions have been explored in the current study, where in early deficit irrigation (EDI) mimicked late arrival of monsoon, thereby affecting crucial stages of seed germination and seedling establishment and terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) embarking shorter monsoon spell affecting successful transition of vegetative to reproductive stage and crop yield. Studies were conducted on five hybrid maize varieties (DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621) over a period of three years in polyhouse conditions and results showed least explored contributory roles of maize root traits in making few varieties best adapted for deficit irrigation (EDI and TDI) with minimal impact on crop yield.

2.0 Results

2.1 Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol alters plant growth kinetics

EDI alone reduced shoot extension pattern rate (ShVd) in all the five varieties both at 25 and 35 DAS, with maximum reduction 72% and 39% in PG-2320 and minimum 14% in DKC-9144 compared to control. EDI with PBZ improved ShVd in all maize varieties, with maximum increase occurred in PG-2320 at 25 DAS and in PG-2475 at 35 DAS. Only PBZ reduced ShVd in all the varieties compared to control (Fig. 1 a).

For TDI, DDKL, DKC-9144-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 showed maximum reductions in shoot extension pattern rate terminal (ShVdt) 67% (74 DAS), 75% (74 DAS), 70% (74 DAS), 84% (84 DAS) and 85% (84 DAS) compared to control (Fig. 1 b). PBZ with TDI improved ShVdt, with maximum increase 332% (84 DAS), 180% (74 DAS), 87% (74 DAS), 412% (74 DAS) and 266% (74 DAS) for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 respectively over TDI alone. Overall reduction in ShVdt was noted for PBZ in control conditions at all time points in DDKL, DKC-9144 and PG-2475 (except at 94 DAS), while increased Shvdt noted for PG-2320 and Bio-9621 (Fig. 1 b). Besides measuring the shoot growth kinetics, shoot mophpometerics revealed impact of EDI and TDI on shoot height, stem thickness, leaf number and leaf surface area (only for TDI) with or without PBZ (for details Supplementary Results, Supplementary Fig. 5 panel A and B).

Root growth extension rate (RoVd) for seminal root growth (SRRoVd), brace root

growth (BRRoVd) and crown root growth (CRRoVd) were measured for EDI (Fig. 1 c-d).

For SRRoVd, both at 25 and 35 DAS, EDI alone, increased 32% and 34% in DKC-9144

compared to control (Fig. 1 c-d). PBZ with EDI at 25 and 35 DAS increased SRRoVd 46%

and 48% in DDKL compared to control (Fig. 1 c-d). For BRRoVd, at 35 DAS EDI alone, no

significant change in RoVd in all the varieties noted. PBZ in EDI caused small increases in

BRRoVd across all the varieties compared to control and EDI plants (Fig. 1 c-d). No

significant changes in BRRoVd noted for PBZ alone under control conditions. CRRoVd

under EDI alone increased 91% in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 and 68% in DDKL over control.

PBZ in EDI showed significant increases 104% in DKC-9144 CRRoVd over control alone

(Fig. 1 c-d). PBZ alone increased CRRoVd 91% in PG-2475 and reduced it 48% in Bio-9621

compared to control (Fig. 1 c-d).

Root morphometeric observations under EDI and TDI alone with or without PBZ

showed altered root number, root length and root surface areas, with DKC-9144 and DDKL

emerging as best adapted varieties (for details Supplementary Results, Supplementary Fig. 6

panel A and B). Harvested root system of maize plants under EDI and TDI showed visible

differences in the maize root system. Such that EDI and TDI alone showed visible reduction

in root growth compared to control, while PBZ under EDI and TDI improved root growth

over EDI and TDI alone (Fig. 2). Visible differences in the growth of pot grown plants were

also noted (Supplementary Fig. 4A). Besides, root and shoot growth kinetics, root laterals

number (LRNs) were counted only at 35 DAS, (lateral roots on all root types viz. CR, BR and

SR), improved LRNs were observed in all the maize varieties under EDI compared to control.

PBZ under EDI was seen to reduce LRNs in all the varieties, while alone PBZ showed more

inhibitory impact on LRNs across all the varieties over respective controls (Table 1).

2.2 Reproductive traits under deficit irrigation improved by paclobutrazol

TDI with or without PBZ impacted tassel (male inflorescence) developmental attributes

(Fig. 3 a-i). TDI alone reduced tassel diameter (diaT) 7% and 4.5% in DDKL and DKC-9144,

while increased it by 25% and 13% in PG-2475 and PG-2320 (Fig. 3 a). PBZ with TDI

increased diaT 11.5%, 7%, 6.6%, 18% and 6% in DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320

and Bio-9621 compared to TDI. PBZ increased diaT by 37% in PG-2475, while 9%reduction

in DKC-9144 compared to control (Fig. 3 a). Tassel length (LeT) under TDI increased 34%

in DDKL, while reduced 26% in DKC-9144 over control (Fig. 3 b). PBZ with TDI increased

LeT 22% in DDKL and reduced 30% in PG-2475. PBZ in control reduced LeT in all varieties

(Fig. 3 b). TDI reduced dry biomass of tassel (DBT) 55% in PG-2320, while increased it

73%, 54% and 17% in PG-2475, Bio-9621 and DKC-9144 compared to control (Fig. 3 c).

PBZ with TDI increased DBT 64% in DDKL, DKC-9144 and PG-2320, while reduced it

33% and 41% in PG-2475 and Bio-9621 over TDI. Compared to control, PBZ alone

increased DBT 42%, 17% and 98% in DDKL, DKC-9144, Bio-9621, while 44% reduction

PG-2475 and PG-2320 respectively (Fig. 3 c).

TDI reduced number of male flowers/plant and number of anthers/flower 26% and 41% in

PG-2475, while a meager increase 7.5% and 14% in DDKL over control noted (Fig. 3 d-e).

PBZ plus TDI increased both number of male flowers/plant and number of anthers/flower

49% and 75% in DKC-9144 compared to TDI. PBZ under control conditions improved

number of male flowers/plant and number of anthers/flower in all varieties compared to

control (Fig. 3 d-e).

TDI impacted spikelet number, with maximum increase 36% and 25% in Bio-9621 and

DDKL over control (Fig. 3 f). PBZ with TDI reduced spikelet number in all the varieties

(except DKC-9144) over TDI alone (Fig. 3 f). PBZ alone improved spikelet number in all

varieties except PG-2320 compared to control (Fig. 3 f). Spikelet length under TDI reduced

28% in DKC-9144, while increased 27% in PG-2475 over control (Fig. 3 g). PBZ under TDI

increased spikelet length in all varieties, with maximum increase 42% in PG-2320 over TDI

alone. PBZ in control conditions increased spikelet length 60% in PG-2320 (Fig. 3 g).

Silk length under TDI reduced 46% in DDKL; while increased 57% in DKC-9144 over

control (Fig. 3 h). PBZ under TDI reduced silk length 31% in PG-2475 compared to TDI.

PBZ in control conditions improved silk length 38% and 32% in DKC-9144 and PG-2320

over control (Fig. 3 h). cob dry weight (CDW) in TDI alone reduced in all maize varieties,

with reductions of 42% in PG-2320 and 32% in DKC-9144 over control. PBZ under TDI

increased CDW 63% (PG-2320), 34% (DKC-9144) and 51% (PG-2475) compared to TDI

(Fig. 3 i). Under control, PBZ increased CDW in all varieties, with maximum 24% (Bio-

9621) and 23% (DDKL) compared to control (Fig. 3 i).

Reproductive effort (RE%) tassel formation increased 45% (DKC-9144), 107% (PG-

2475), 34% (PG-2320) and 89% (Bio-9621) under TDI over control. Further, RE% tassel

formation increased 44% (DDKL) and 34% (DKC-9144), while decreased RE% 55% (PG-

2475) and 42% (bio-9621) for PBZ plus TDI compared to TDI (Table 1). PBZ under control

enhanced RE% tassel 35% and 18% in Bio-9621 and DDKL; while decreased it 50% and

15% in PG-2320 and PG-2475 over control. RE% ear formation under TDI, reduced 23%

(PG-2475) and increased 16% (PG-2320) to control. For PBZ under TDI, RE% ear formation

increased 42% (PG-2475) and 14% (Bio-9621), while decreased 5% (DKC-9144) compared

to TDI alone. For PBZ under control, RE% ear formation increased 23% in PG-2475; while

no significant decrease noted in other varieties (Table 1).

211 2.3 Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol alters plant dry biomass, water use efficiencies

212 and root hydraulics

For root dry weight (RDW), TDI alone decreased brace root dry weight (BRDW) (30% in

DDKL, 23% in DKC-9144, 43% in PG-2320), crown root dry weight (CRDW) (50% in

DDKL, 24% in DKC-9144, 23% in PG-2475, 51% in PG-2320 and increase 40% in Bio-

9621) and seminal root dry weight (SRDW) (39%, 22%, 56% and 31% in DDKL, DKC-

9144, PG-2320 and Bio-9621) over control (Fig. 4 a-d). PBZ under TDI improved BRDW

(51%, 55%, 55%, 130% and 63% in DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621),

CRDW (75%, 33%, 60%, 66% and 40% in DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-

9621) and SRDW (62%, 62%, 70%, 212% in DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621)

compared to TDI. PBZ alone improved dry weights of all root types compared to control

(Fig. 4 a-d). Lateral root dry biomass (LRDB) is a unique trait for analyzing drought and

deficit irrigation induced response in maize plants. TDI alone reduced LRDB (66%, 34% in

DDKL and DKC-9144) and increased (24%, 150% in PG-2475 and PG-2320) over control.

PBZ under TDI improved LRDB (150% in DDKL) and reduced (12%, 13%, 53% and 14% in

DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621) compared to TDI alone. PBZ alone increased

LRDB (54%, 18% and 75% in DDKL, DKC-9144 and Bio-9621) over control (Fig. 4 a-d).

Reduced shoot dry weight (ShDW) in all varieties noted under TDI, with most

significant reduction 31% and 32% in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 compared to control. PBZ

under TDI improved ShDW 35%, 59% and 53% in DDKL, PG-2320 and Bio-9621; while

reduction of 24% in PG-2475 over TDI. PBZ alone under control increased ShDW 30%, 47%

and 59% in DDKL, PG-2320 and Bio-9621; while reduced 27% in DKC-9144 over control

(Fig. 4 f-g). Under TDI alone, root/shoot ratio (RSR) decreased ~12% each in DDKL and

PG-2320 in a non significant manner, while increased 9% in PG-2475 compared to control.

PBZ with TDI increased RSR 37%, 47% and 29% in PG-2475, Bio-9621 and PG-2320, while

DKC-9144 showed 11% reduction compared to TDI alone. PBZ under control conditions

increased RSR 49% and 18.6% in Bio-9621 and DKC-9144 over control (Fig. 4 h).

The evapotranspiration demand (EvTD) varied across all the varieties at 54 DAS at the

onset of TDI and PBZ. EVTD declined under TDI among all the maize varieties

(Supplementary Fig. 7 a). Enhanced EVTD in PBZ plus TDI in all the varieties could be

attributed to improved plant growth compared to TDI (Supplementary Fig. 7 a). Water use efficiency (WUE) was measured for plant dry weight (PDW) (shoot + root) and CDW. TDI alone increased WUE-PDW 47%, 41%, 32%, 20% and 45% in DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 compared to control. PBZ under TDI improved WUE-PDW 24%, 28% and 41% in DKC-9144, PG-2475 and PG-2320 compared to TDI (Supplementary Fig. 7 b-c). PBZ alone under control increased WUE-PDW in all varieties.

WUE-CDW, under TDI alone increased 43%, 33%, 24% and 62% in DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 over control (Supplementary Fig. 7 b-c). While PBZ under TDI enhanced WUE-CDW 13%, 91% and 52% in DKC-9144, PG-2475 and Bio-9621; while reduction of WUE-CDW 37% noted in PG-2320 compared to control. PBZ alone increased WUE-CDW 20%, 14% and 75% in DDKL, DKC-9144 and Bio-9621 compared to control (Supplementary Fig. 7 b-c). Impact of EDI and TDI was observed on hydraulics conductance (HC) of all root types. PBZ under EDI and TDI was able to improve HCs of all root types compared to EDI and TDI (for details Supplementary results).

2.4 Modulation of root plasticity and physiological markers improve deficit irrigation potential

For root plasticity indices, maximum scope of plastic response (SPR) (5), relative trait range (RTR) (0.16) and response coefficient (RC) (1.2) for CRs noted for DKC-9144 under PBZ+TDI compared to TDI alone (Table 2). For BRs, maximum SPR (3 in DKC-9144), RTR (0.115 in PG-2475) and RC (1.12 in DKC-9144) were measured in PBZ plus TDI compared to TDI alone. For SRs, maximum SPR (8 in PG-2475), RTR (0.318 in Bio-9621) and RC (1.46 in Bio-9621) were noted in PBZ plus TDI over TDI alone (Table 2).

For drought indices under TDI alone, maximum RDI (0.43) noted for PG-2475 over control. PBZ under TDI reduced RDI significantly (24%) in DDKL and DKC-9144 compared to TDI alone. Most TDI susceptible variety noted was PG-2475 with SSI (1.54), while PBZ under TDI reduced SSI maximally (0.46) in DKC-9144 compared to TDI alone. Maximum TOL (5.28) under TDI recorded for DDKL, while PBZ improved TOL in all the varieties. MP under TDI was maximum in DDKL (20.09), followed by DKC-9144 (17.78); while PBZ improved MP maximally 18.70 and 16.50 in DDKL and DKC-9144 respectively over TDI alone. GMP maximum under TDI was observed in DDKL (19.91) and DKC-9144 (17.60); PBZ under TDI improved GMP 18.66 and 16.45 in DDKL and DKC-9144 over TDI alone (Table 3). Maximum STI noted for DDKL (1.67) and DKC-9144 (1.31) in TDI alone; while PBZ under TDI improved STI 2.49 (DDKL) and 1.93 (DKC-9144). Maximum YSI

under TDI noted for DDKL (0.76) and DKC-9144 (0.75), furthermore, PBZ improved YSI in all varieties compared to TDI alone. Maximum YI observed for DDKL (1.13) and DKC-9144 (0.99) under TDI; while PBZ under TDI improved YI (1.29 in DDKL and 1.15 in DKC-9144). For TDI alone, maximum HM 19.74 noted for DDKL and 17.42 in DKC-9144, while PBZ improved HM significantly 18.61 and 16.41 in DDKL and DKC-9144 compared to TDI alone (Table 3). EDI with or without PBZ affected seed germination indices and emergence timings of different root types in all the maize varieties (Supplementary results 2.2).

Ascorbic acid (ASA) and glutathione (GSH) are key regulators of abiotic stress management in plants. Commencement of TDI from 54 DAS, showed progressive increases in ASA and GSH under TDI compared to control, furthermore PBZ improved ASA and GSH levels significantly in DDKL and DKC-9144 over TDI alone. Levels of glycine (Gly) and proline (PL) enhanced in TDI, while PBZ application further improved these markers significantly in DDKL and DKC-9144. Membrane damage in terms of enhanced MDA levels noted for all varieties under TDI (for details Supplementary Fig. 8). PBZ under TDI reduced MDA production in all the varieties tested, most significantly in DDKL and DKC-9144 compared to TDI alone. Activities of antioxidant enzymes such as SOD, CAT, APOX, GPOX and GR and of root health indicator, urease enzyme were altered in TDI conditions, while PBZ modulated activities of these enzymes to improve deficit irrigation tolerance (for details Supplementary Fig. 8). Leaf relative water (LRWC) content declined in TDI conditions in all varieties, whereas PBZ under TDI improved LRWC maximally in DKC-9144 and DDKL (for details Supplementary Fig. 9)

2.5 Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol impacts photosynthetic performance and molecular regulation of root traits

Chlorophyll *a* and *b* contents under TDI declined in all varieties, whereas PBZ under TDI improved these pigments compared to TDI alone (for details Supplementary Fig. 9). In TDI, leaf area index (LAI) reduced in all maize varieties, with maximum reductions ~15% each in DKC-9144 and PG-2320 compared to control. PBZ under TDI increased LAI 8% (DKC-9144) and 10% in PG-2320 compared to TDI alone (Table 1). PBZ alone increased LAI of all maize varieties compared to control. Net assimilation rate (NAR) under TDI increased in all the maize varieties, with maximum increase 17% in DDKL over control. PBZ under TDI improved NAR 7% in DKC-9144 compared to TDI alone. Alone PBZ reduced NAR in all varieties though insignificantly compared to control (Table 1).

Among five varieties, two varieties selected (on the basis of WUE-CDW and cob yield) DKC-9144 (best performing) and PG-2475 (median performing) were examined for changes in photosynthetic parameters using Licor[22-23]. Net photosynthetic rate (P_N) was affected under EDI in both varieties compared to control plants. Reference P_N noted was 21.16 and 25.02 in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 at 15 DAS over control plants (Fig. 5 panel A). After a period of 10 days each of EDI commencement, P_N reduced 10.5%, 3% and 34% and 15% at 25 and 35 DAS in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 over control. PBZ under EDI reduced P_N 5.3% and 8.9% at 25 DAS; while at 35 DAS, improved P_N 5% and 9.6% in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 over EDI plants (Fig. 5 panel A).

Reference intercellular CO_2 (Ci) was 55.40 and 29.39 in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 at 15 DAS over control plants. Ci decreased 26.4%, 23% and 3.7% and 17.7% at 25 and 35 DAS in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 respectively compared to control (Fig. 5 panel A). PBZ under EDI increased Ci 47% and 11.6% at 25 DAS; while at 35 DAS, improved Ci values by 5.8% and 8.3% in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 respectively over EDI alone. Reference stomatal conductance (gs) was 0.123 and 0.124 in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 at 15 DAS over control plants. The gs increased 7.5% and 7.7% at 25 DAS in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 over control (Fig. 5 panel A). At 35 DAS, EDI reduced gs 19.5% and 19.7% in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 over control. PBZ under EDI reduced gs 4.5% and 5.7% at 25 DAS and enhanced gs by 13% and 11% at 35 DAS over EDI alone. Electron transport rate (ETR) was 5.12 and 5.16 in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 at 15 DAS over control. ETR decreased 8.5%, 24% and 27% at 25 and 35 DAS in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 respectively compared to control. No significant change in ETR was noted for EDI plus PBZ over EDI alone. However, PBZ under EDI at 35 DAS improved ETR 8.5% and 8.5% in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 over EDI alone (Fig. 5 panel A). EDI alone increased total soluble sugars (TSS) in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 over control (Fig. 5 panel A). Improved photosynthetic parameters in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 in EDI plus PBZ could be linked with further improvement in sugar content compared to EDI (Fig. 5 panel A).

Expression of key genes regulating architecture of seminal and lateral root initiation was measured at different stages of EDI in DKC-9144 and PG-2475 (Fig. 5 panel B). At 15 DAS, several folds higher expression of *ZmRTCS*, *ZmARF34* and *ZmRTCL* noted in DKC-9144 compared to PG-2475; while 2-fold higher expression of *ZmMel* was observed in PG-2475 compared to DKC-9144 at 15 DAS (Fig. 5 panel B). At 25 DAS, EDI alone improved expressions of *ZmMel* (2.22-fold), *ZmRTCS* (1.12-fold) and *ZmARF34* (1.34-fold); while reduced expression of *ZmRTCL* (15-fold) in DKC-9144 compared to control. However, in

PG-2475 reduced expressions of *ZmMel* (3.21-fold), *ZmARF34* (2.46-fold) and *ZmRTCL* (35-fold); while elevated profile of *ZmRTCS* (2.46-fold) noted compared to control. PBZ under EDI reduced expressions of *ZmMel* (1.20-fold) and *ZmARF34* (3.98-fold) and *ZmRTCL* (3.28-fold); while increased expression of *ZmRTCS* (4.38-fold) over EDI alone in DKC-9144. For PG-2475, PBZ with EDI improved expressions of *ZmMel* (4.15-fold), *ZmRTCS* (9.57-fold), *ZmARF34* (1.99-fold) and *ZmRTCL* (1.85-fold) compared to EDI alone. At 35 DAS, EDI alone up-regulated expressions of *ZmMel* (3-fold) *ZmARF34* (1.22-fold) only and down-regulated *ZmRTCS* (44-fold) and *ZmRTCL* (29-fold) in DKC-9144; while in PG-2475 elevated expressions of *ZmMel* (1.76-fold), *ZmRTCS* (1.47-fold), *ZmARF34* (1.77-fold) and *ZmRTCL* (2.6-fold) compared to EDI alone. PBZ under EDI at 35 DAS up-regulated *ZmRTCS* (13-fold) and *ZmRTCL* (16-fold) and down-regulated *ZmMel* (1.28-fold) and *ZmARF34* (1.88-fold) in DKC-9144 compared to EDI. Under same conditions, PG-2475 showed elevated expressions of *ZmMel* (1.76-fold), *ZmRTCS* (1.47), *ZmARF34* (1.77-fold) and *ZmRTCL* (2.6-fold) over control (Fig. 5 panel B). PBZ under EDI at 35 DAS showed down-regulation of *ZmMel* (0.6-fold) and *ZmARF34* (0.37-fold) and up-regulation of *ZmRTCS* (3.82-fold) and *ZmRTCL* (1.25-fold) compared to EDI alone (Fig. 5 panel B).

2.6 Structural equation modeling reveals root traits required to improve water use efficiencies and growth performances

Response of a root system and its contribution in different irrigation regimes i.e. EDI and TDI was contrasting and showed interested observations.

For EDI, under different conditions, contributions of root types and their traits scored differently as a root factor responsible for WUE, shoot height (SH) and stem thickness (ST) for DKC-9144 and PG-2475 (Fig. 6 a-f). Following points were envisaged:

Under all conditions i.e. CN, EDI, EDI+PBZ

- For DKC-9144 and PG-2475, root traits i.e. RSA, RL and RN are important to construct roots i.e. BR, CR and SR, but RSA showed significantly important trait to roots in terms of accounting the variability.
  - ➢ Under Control conditions
- For DKC-9144, the effect of CR showed more positive significant impact on SH than WUE but had negative impact on ST; while for PG-2475; it had very less impact on explaining the variations for WUE, SH and ST.

• For DKC-9144, the effect of BR is more positive on SH than WUE but negative on ST as

compared to its impact for PG-2475 is very less negative on WUE and ST with 0.16

impacts on SH.

• For DKC-9144, the effect of SR is negative on WUE and SH with little positive (0.04) on

ST while for PG-2475 its effect is positive on WUE (0.08) and ST (0.12) but negative on

SH (-0.19).

➢ Under EDI conditions:

• For DKC-9144, the effect of CR is more positive on SH (1.01) than WUE (0.13) but

negative on ST (-0.16), while for PG-2475, its effect is positive on WUE (0.01) and

negative on SH (-0.22) but no effect on ST.

• For DKC-9144, the effect of BR is positive on SH (1.70) and negative on WUE (-0.8) and

ST (-0.16) but for PG-2475, the effect of BR is negative on SH (-0.33) but no effect on

WUE and ST respectively.

• For DKC-9144, the effect of SR is positive on ST (0.18) and WUE (0.01) but negative on

SH (-1.16), while for PG-2475, its effect is negative on SH (-0.7) and no effect on WUE

and ST.

➢ Under EDI+PBZ conditions:

• For DKC-9144, the effect of CR on WUE, SH and ST are 0.01, -0.08 and -0.07 but for

PG-2475, effects are -0.03, 0.07 and 0.13, respectively.

• For DKC-9144, the effect of BR are 0.04, -0.01 and 0.16 on WUE, SH and ST while for

PG-2475, these are 0.11, -0.09 and -0.03, respectively.

• For DKC-9144, the effect of SR on WUE, SH, and ST are 0.12, -0.08 and 0.09 while for

PG-2475, the effects are -0.10, -0.14 and -0.09, respectively.

From above, we envisaged that root surface area (RSA) is an important measure for roots in

order to accommodate the variability while CR plays an important root type to explain the

variability for WUE and SH. It is to be noted that SR is significantly important root type for

ST to DKC-9144. Also, under control conditions for PG-2475, SR is important root for ST.

For TDI, under different conditions (i.e. CN, TDI and TDI+PBZ), contributions of root

types and their traits for WUE, SH and ST on DKC-9144 and PG-2475 varieties are shown in

Fig. 6 g-l. Following points were noted;

➢ Under all conditions i.e. CN, EDI, EDI+PBZ

- For both varieties, root traits RSA contribute major part to construct roots (BR, CR and SR) under control (CN) and TDI+PBZ conditions. But under TDI, especially for CR to root number (RN) contribution (0.86) is large. Also, for PG-2475, SRL (0.25) contributes more to SR.
  - ➢ Under control conditions
- For DKC-9144, the effect of BR is more positively significant on SH (2.06) than WUE (1.83) and negative on ST while for PG-2475, effect on WUE is 0.08, on SH is 0.02 and on ST is -0.06.
- For DKC-9144, the effect of CR is more on SH (2.36), on WUE (2.12) and on ST (0.29) but for PG-2475, effect on WUE is -0.03, on SH is 0.05 and on STHICK is 0.12.
- For DKC-9144, the effect of SR is negative on SH (-0.53) and on WUE (0.49) but positive on ST (0.04) while for PG-2475, the effect of SR is negative on WUE (-0.09), on SH (-0.05) and on ST (-0.07).
  - ➢ Under TDI conditions
- For DKC-9144, the effect of BR on WUE is -0.05, on SH is 3.69 and on ST is -0.44 while for PG-2475, effect of BR on WUE is 0.11, on SH is 1.95 and on ST is -0.47.
- For DKC-9144, the effect of CR on WUE is -0.11, on SH is 2.19 and on ST is -0.14 while for PG-2475, effect of BR on WUE is -0.17, on SH is 1.77 and on ST is 0.09.
- For DKC-9144, effect of SR on WUE is -0.43, on SH is 2.92 and on ST is -0.48 while the effect of SR on WUE is -0.28, on SH is 1.23 and on ST is -0.70.
  - ➢ Under TDI+PBZ conditions
- For DKC-9144, the effect of BR on WUE is 0.08, on SH is -0.01 and on ST is 0.06 while for PG-2475, effect of BR on WUE is 0.06, on SH is 0.08 and on ST is 0.67.
- For DKC-9144, the effect of CR on WUE is -0.03, on SH is 0.05 and on ST is 0.12 while for PG-2475, effect of BR on WUE is -0.04, on SH is 0.67 and on ST is -0.27.
- For DKC-9144, effect of SR on WUE is -0.01, on SH is -0.05 and on ST is -0.07 while the effect of SR on WUE is 0.08, on SH is -0.27 and on ST is -1.11.

2.7 Water economics and its applications in raising farmers' income under deficit irrigation and palcobutrazol

Amount of water required/plant (evapotranspiration demand, EvTd) in control irrigation varied, with maximum amount ~ 4.54 liter/plant each for DKC-9144 and PG-2475 and minimum 3.27 liter/plant for Bio-9621 (Fig. 7). TDI maximally reduced EvTd to 2.11 liter/plant in Bio-9621 across all the varieties. In general, PBZ plus TDI enhanced EvTd in all

the varieties compared to TDI. Approximate water savings under TDI at hectare (ha) area of
arable land showed maximum water savings 3106, 2819 and 2791 liter/ha for PG-2475, PG-
2320 and DKC-9144 without yield consideration. PBZ with TDI improved water savings
/plant maximally by 2.32 liter/plant for PG-2320, while maximum water savings 429 liter/ha
for DDKL compared to TDI alone (Fig. 7).

Water productivity (WP, Kg/ET for cob yield without drawing cob yield comparison
among varieties) enhanced by 35%, 23%, 29% and 34% in DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2320 and
Bio-9621; while mild reduction of 4% in WP was noted for PG-2475 under TDI alone. PBZ
with TDI improved WP, with maximum increase 69%, 54% and 30% in PG-2320, PG-2475
and DKC-9144 compared to TDI (Fig. 7). PBZ improved WP in all varieties except PG-2320.
Cob yield parameter drew a comparison among varieties, with reductions in cob yield kg/ha
27%, 32%, 41%, 20% and 14% noted for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-
9621 under TDI compared to control (Fig. 7). PBZ improved cob yield kg/ha in all the
varieties, with maximum increase 51% and 34% noted for PG-2475 and DKC-9144
compared to TDI alone. Overall maximum % increase in cob yield kg/ha under TDI and PBZ
application was seen in DKC-9144 compared to TDI (Fig. 7).

TDI impacted cob yield/kg ha, which in turn when approximated affected farmer's
income. Maximum income minimum support price (MSP)/ha under control irrigation was for
DDKL (18990 INR or 246 $ USD) and DKC-9144 (16583 INR or 215 $ USD), while
minimum MSP for PG-2475 (6978 INR or 90 $ USD) and median values noted for PG-2320
(11947 INR or $ 155 USD) and Bio-9621 (8101 INR or 105 $ USD) approximated (Fig. 7).
TDI alone reduced MSPs/ha by 26%, 32%, 41%, 20% and 13% in DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-
2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 compared to control irrigated MSPs. PBZ with TDI improved
MSPs/ha over TDI alone 15%, 34%, 51%, 13.5% and 17% in DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475,
PG-2320 and Bio-9621. PBZ under control improved MSPs 23%, 13%, 21%, 7% and 24% in
DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 compared to control (Fig. 7). It was
speculated that water saved during TDI and TDI plus PBZ if used to irrigate more maize
plants in extra arable land under TDI conditions with or without PBZ could improve MSPs in
DDKL (22% and 42%), DKC-9144 (14% and 53%), PG-2475 (49% PBZ plus TDI only),
PG-2320 (34% and 83%) and Bio-9621 (44% and 69%) both in terms of INR and $ USD
compared to their respective control MSPs/ha (Fig. 7).

3.0 Discussion

Extensive data analyses of monsoon patterns in India for past 18 years indicated a strong correlation between drought induced by irregular monsoon arrival and rain spell with national maize productivity[32-34] (Supplementary Figs. 1-5). Published researches show morphological adaptations in maize seedlings under drought with reduced shoot and root growth kinetics, leaf area, elongation rate and final leaf length[39]. Our study showed altered shoot and root growth kinetics and shoot and root morphometerics in all maize varieties tested under deficit irrigation (EDI and TDI) and PBZ (Figs. 1 and 2, Supplementary Figs. 1-2). Inter varietal impact of EDI and TDI was least for DKC-9144 and more specifically when applied with PBZ (Fig. 1). Flowering and early seed development phases are sensitive to drought and proven detrimental for maize yield[40]. Water deficient conditions lower water potential in both vegetative and reproductive sinks resulting in poor seed formation and flower development. A week-long water deficit could impact floral development and grain number in maize variety DH4866[41]. Compared to control irrigation anthesis-silking interval was 2.53-fold higher, while reduction in ear length, pollen viability and grain number per plant occurred in water deficit conditions[41]. Chilling stress could negatively impact tassel branches and spikelet pair's initiation in maize inbred F53 at end of vegetative phase and start of floral transition stage[42]. Decreased silk growth rate and silk emergence has been noted in maize under water deficit conditions [43]. Yield reduction under drought or water deficit is an outcome of a selective strategy of young reproductive organs abortion to enable older fertilized ovaries to mature and complete the process of ear formation[19]. Both silk emergence and male anthesis are water turgor dependent processes followed by ear biomass accumulation[18]. Current study showed negative impact of TDI on developmental attributes of tassel and silk length and ear formation in all the maize varieties with minimum impact noted for DKC-9144 and DDKL. PBZ with TDI reduced negative impact of TDI on all reproductive developmental attributes compared to TDI alone (Fig. 3). Inter varietal reproductive effort (RE %) for tassel and cob formation was maximum for DKC-9144 in response to PBZ and TDI (Table 1).

Hydraulic conductance plays important role in drought management in plants. Strong relationship between root hydraulics of whole roots (Lp-WR) and drought resistance recorded in maize[44]. A positive correlation between Lpr-WR and leaf water potential and transpiration rate also noted, under water deficit Lpr-WR decreased with associated decrease in leaf photosynthetic parameters and respiration[44]. Correlation between root hydraulics and stomatal opening and closure offers instant water crisis management, while leaf area

development has emerged as a long-term strategy for maximizing WUE in plants[45].

Simulation studies conducted in plants indicate that circadian oscillations of root hydraulic

conductance could play key roles in acclimation to water stress *via* increasing root water

uptake, leading to improved plant growth and photosynthesis [46]. Present study also showed a

correlation between hydraulics of whole roots and TDI (Supplementary Fig. 8). Hydraulics

improved under PBZ and TDI application more specifically in DKC-9144 and DDKL among

all varieties (Supplementary Fig. 8, unpublished results). Deep rooting is a strategy for

drought avoidance in plants. A comprehensive study conducted on maize inbred lines

(CML444, Ac7729, TZSRW, Ac7643) under drought revealed that rooting depth was greater

for CML444 and Ac7729/TZSRW (P2) compared to SC-Malawi and Ac7643 (P1) [47].

Increased number of lateral roots in the upper part of the soil was positively correlated with

increased transpiration, shoot dry weight and stomatal conductance. Further CML444 had

thicker (0.14 mm) and longer (0.32 m) CRs compared to SC-Malawi, thereby suggested that

a combination of high WUE and water acquisition with a deep root system could improve

drought tolerance in CML444 [47]. Reduced LRs number and branching has been associated

with improved deep rooting and reduction in lateral root respiration per unit of axial root

length, which in turns improves leaf relative water content, stomatal conductance and ~ 50%

increase in shoot biomass[20]. Findings strongly advocates reduced lateral root branching

density for improving drought tolerance in maize plants[20]. Under water stress a positive

correlation between SRs length and drought tolerance index has been noted in maize

seedlings[48]. Another study conducted on 22 maize cultivars subjected to water stress at

flowering and grain filling stages showed a strong correlation between root traits and drought

management. A positive association of drought tolerance indices was noted for CRL and

CRN, root circumference and roots dry weight under water stress conditions. While a

negative correlation was noted for brace root whorls, and a positive one for CRs number, BRs

branches and CRs branching in all the maize cultivars[49]. Parallel to these observations, our

study showed a positive correlation between SPR, RTR and RC with crown and brace roots

(Table 2). Inter varietal drought indices showed better performances for DKC-9144 and

DDKL under TDI alone and more so when TDI was accompanied by PBZ (Table 3).

Drought induced physiological perturbations impacts water use efficiency (WUE),

chlorophyll florescence and photosynthesis in maize hybrid lines[39]. Current study showed

reduction in shoot growth and root growth kinetics, reduction in leaf surface area (LSA) and

leaf area index (LAI) and NAR in all maize varieties (Table 1). Increased RSR in maize

seedlings has been recorded under drought [50]; similar observations were noted for TDI in all

the varieties except PG-2475. PBZ under TDI increased RSR except for DKC-9144 (Fig. 4).

Maize cultivars (Rung Nong 35 and Dong Dan 80) subjected to drought (35% of field

capacity) compared to control irrigation (80% of field capacity) showed reduction in growth

and yield in Rung Nong 35 compared to Dong Dan 80 [51]. Higher LRWC, PL and

carbohydrate and antioxidant activity (SOD, POD, CAT and GR) was noted in Dong Dan 80

with lowered membrane damage resulted in better growth of the Dong Dan 80 under drought

compared to Rung Nong 35 [51]. Observations made for EDI and TDI also showed increased

LRWC, PL and Gly accumulation, higher antioxidant activities (ASA, GSH, SOD, POD, GR

and CAT) in DKC-9144 variety (best performing under deficit irrigation) compared to Bio-

9621 and PG-2475. PBZ under TDI also improved these morphological and physiological

attributes with DKC-9144 emerging as best adapted variety for deficit irrigation (Figs. 1 and

3). Severe water deficit could reduce yield, root biomass, root length and increase in root

diameter with least impact on roots surface area in maize plants [52]. Our study also showed

impact of TDI on yield, root biomass and root surface area in all the varieties, with DKC-

9144 showing better attributes under study (Figs. 1 and 3). Among five varieties, DKC-9144

and PG-2475 were selected as best performing varieties under EDI and TDI in terms of

WUE, cob yield and over all plant growth performances.

Improved photosynthetic performance of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 subjected to EDI

and PBZ showed positive impact of PBZ on regulation of photosynthesis and emerged as best

adapted and moderate variety under EDI and TDI (Fig. 5 panel A). Root architecture

specifically initiation of CRs is regulated by *ZmRTCS* and *ZmRTCL* and their binding

patterns *ZmARF34* and *ZmMel* in maize[53]. Improved root system of DKC-9144 over PG-2475

could be attributed to regulation of maize root architecture at the molecular level by *ZmMel*,

ZmRTCS, *ZmRTCL* and *ZmARF34* (Fig. 5 panel B). Structural equation modeling (SEM)

offers a reliable tool in identification and characterization of a variable and its contribution

towards a desired trait [54-55]. SEM on maize root traits has never been performed to-date.

Extensive SEM analysis explored the contribution of root traits for WUE, SH and ST showed

differences among DKC-9144 and PG-2475. RSA of SRs emerged as an important root trait

for improving WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 over PG-2475 in EDI and TDI (Fig. 6).

Drought impacts maize yield and farmer economics world over [56-57]. Impact analysis

of drought and its frequency of occurrence in relation to north east monsoon were studied

over a period 1961 to 2017. Results showed that irregular monsoon patterns could induce

drought conditions in the area, with increase in frequency of drought episodes by 15% and

25% in the period 1998-2017 compared to 1961-1980. These drought episodes declined

maize production by more than 14% particularly in month of September and consequently

reducing farmer's income[58]. Deviation of monsoon from normal pattern in Indian state of

Manipur also showed decline in maize productivity[3]. Delayed arrival of monsoon has been a

causative factor for maize seeds germination and establishment in water deficit soils in India

(Reuters, 2019). Our data analysis spread over five states of India (Andhra Pradesh, Madhya

Pradesh, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh) purported that irregular monsoon patterns in these states

coincided with declaration of drought affected districts (DADs) and annual maize

productivity and yield in kg/ha in these states (Supplementary Figs. 1-5). Water budgeting

showed a substantial amount of water savings under TDI alone and TDI plus PBZ across all

the maize varieties. Further, inter varietal analysis in terms of WUE-PDW and WUE-CDW

showed that DKC-9144 followed by DDKL were most adapted varieties under TDI alone or

in combination PBZ (Fig. 7). Extrapolation of the observations on water savings at hectare

area of arable land showed that TDI particularly with PBZ could improve the net income

generated by the maize growers/ha considering the utilization of saved water for irrigation of

more area under maize cultivation (Fig. 7).

588 4.0 Methods

589 4.1 Plant material and growth conditions

Zea mays L. (maize or corn) seeds of five maize varieties i.e. DDKL, DKC-9144-9144, PG-

2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 were procured from Agricultural Research Station Jammu,

India. Seeds were tested for their germination indices. These varieties are well adapted in the

climatic conditions of North India with average yield grown in irrigated and rain fed areas as

follows: DDKL (5500 kg/ha), DKC-9144-9144 (6386 kg/ha), PG-2475 (3600 kg/ha), PG-

2320 (3500 kg/ha) and Bio-9621 (2600 kg/ha) as per yield reports[59]. Randomized factorial

design, with each variety subjected to control irrigation regime (CN, 100%

evapotranspiration demand (EvTd)), deficit irrigation (DI) with 60% evapotranspiration

demand (EvTd) met and paclobutrazol (PBZ) application at 60 ppm in a soil drench method.

Experiments over a consecutive period of three years (2017, 2018 and 2019) were conducted

in the Polyhouse at Botanic Garden (32.7194° N, 74.8681° E) University of Jammu, Jammu,

India (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Early deficit irrigation (EDI): To mimick late monsoon arrival, seeds were sown at least 10 days later than their usual biological clock (1st week of June, 2017, 2018 and 2019) and subjected to EDI with or without PBZ commencing from 15 days after sowing (DAS) until 35 DAS. Over a three year period, a total of 300 young maize seedlings were grown till establishment of young plants i.e. 35 DAS. In brief, for each variety 60 young maize seedlings were sown in control conditions until 15 DAS, with 20 plants/year in pot conditions. Each variety was subjected to four different treatments CN (100% EvTd), EDI (60% EvTd), EDI plus PBZ (EDI+PBZ) and CN plus PBZ (CN+PBZ).

Terminal deficit irrigation (TDI): To mimick shorter rain spell 54 DAS, plants were segregated into four groups viz. control, TDI, TDI plus PBZ and Control plus PBZ for each maize variety. Experiment was performed on consecutive three years period (2017, 2018 and 2019) in a Polyhouse (30 m^2) in the Botanical garden of University of Jammu, in the months of June –September as a kharif crop. Over a three year period a total of 300 maize plants were grown till harvest. In brief, for each variety 60 plants were sown and fully grown until harvest over a three year period, with 20 plants/year. Each variety was subjected to four different treatments CN (100% EvTd), EDI (60% EvTd), EDI plus PBZ (EDI+PBZ) and CN plus PBZ (CN+PBZ). EvTd was measured using Pen Men and Monneth equation[22] using variables of the local environment on biweekly basis.

4.2 Plant growth kinetics

Impact of deficit irrigation (EDI and TDI) with or without PBZ on growth patterns is a key factor in evaluating potential of the five maize varieties. For EDI, growth kinetics were measured for shoot growth rates (ShVd) at 25 and 35 DAS, while root growth patterns were measured for brace roots (BRs), crown roots (CRs) and seminal roots (SRs) at 25 and 35 DAS using 15 DAS values as a reference line. For TDI, only shoot growth kinetics (ShVdt) was measured on every ten days basis commencing from TDI (at 54 DAS) until harvest (104 DAS) with or without PBZ as described[60].

Besides measuring growth kinetics, shoot morphometerics viz. stem height (cm), stem thickness (cm), leaf number (LN), shoot dry weight (ShDW) and root morphometerics viz. root number (RN), root length (cm), root surface areas (cm^2) were measured for EDI and TDI. While root dry weights (RDW) was measured only for TDI.

4.3 Reproductive traits

Impact of TDI on successful transition of vegetative stage to reproductive stage i.e. 54 DAS to 75 DAS (stage specific for formation of reproductive organs), developmental attributes of male reproductive organs: tassel, male inflorescence included tassel diameter (diaT), length (LeT), dry biomass (DBT), number of male flowers/plant, number of anthers/inflorescence, number of spikelets/flower, spikelet length/flower; for female reproductive organs: silk length and ear number were measured. Cob dry weight (CDW) was measured at the time of harvest. Reproductive effort (RE %) was calculated for tassel and ear formation using standard procedures[61].

4.4 Root plasticity, drought indices and physiological stress markers

Root plasticity indices assess a root response towards a given stressor. Scope of plastic response (SPR), relative trait range (RTR) and response coefficient (RC) for crown roots (CRs), brace roots (BRs) and seminal roots (SRs) were measured in TDI with or without PBZ [62-63]. Drought response indices namely relative drought index (RDI), stress susceptibility index (SSI), tolerance (TOL), mean productivity (MP), geometric mean productivity (GMP), stress tolerance index (STI), yield stability index (YSI), harmonic mean of yield (HM) and yield index (YI)) were determined for TDI to identify best adapted variety (s) as described [64]. Physiological stress indices and antioxidant activities were measured as described elsewhere[23].

4.5 Photosynthetic performance and molecular regulation of root traits

Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll *a* and *b*), carotenoids (CAR), leaf area index (LAI), net assimilation rate (NAR) were measured for TDI with or without PBZ [22-23]. Among five varieties, two varieties i.e. DKC-9144-9144 and PG-2475 were selected on the basis of role of root system architecture (RSAch) on growth performance and water use efficiency (WUE) in terms of cob yield and plant height. Selected varieties were subjected to EDI conditions with or without PBZ and photosynthetic parameters (net photosynthesis, P_N), intercellular CO_2 (Ci), stomatal conductance (gs) and electron transport rate (ETR) were measured using LI-6400 XT infrared gas analyzer (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA)[22-23], starting form 15 DAS, and two time points 25 and 35 DAS.

For quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), total RNA was extracted from root tissues of DKC-9144-9144 and PG-2475 at three time points (15, 25 and 35 DAS) with or without PBZ using Nucleospin RNA plant kit[65] (Macherey-Nagel, Germany). cDNA

synthesis and qPCR was performed as described previously[64] on Roche Light Cycler 96

(Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). *Zea mays elongation factor* (*ZmEF α1*) was used

as a house keeping gene. Three independent biological replicates (*n=3*) with each biological

replicate with three technical replications were performed. Transcript levels of *ZmMel*

(*metallothionein‑like protein*), *ZmRTCS* (*gene regulating rootless crown and seminal roots*),

ZmRTCL (*The RTCS-LIKE gene*), *ZmARF34* (*Zea mays Auxin response factor 34*) were

performed using primers published elsewhere[53] (Supplementary Table 3). Students t-test was

used to evaluate differential gene expression (P ≤ 0.05; *n* = 4).

4.6 Structural equation modeling for water use efficiencies and growth performances

We performed structural equation modeling (SEM) to test how changes in RSA, RL and RN

affected BR, CR and SR which further affected WUE, SH and ST. SEM is an advanced and

robust multivariate statistical method that allows for hypothesis testing of complex path-

relation networks[54-55]. AMOS, statistical software was used for the analysis of a moment

structures. AMOS provided the overall Chi-square (x^2) value, together with its degree of

freedom and probability value. The AMOS output gave various indices viz. Comparative fit

index (CFI ≥ 0.95), Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI ≥ 0.95) and root mean square error of

approximation (RMSEA ≤ 0.05) as defined by various researchers, and these indices were in

acceptable range hence model fit was strengthened. SEM models considered in our study

satisfied above mentioned indices. Further, we have shown the results of significant

relationships in Figure 6 of root types and their traits for WUE, SH and ST under different

conditions.

4.7 Water budgeting and economic attributes

Amount of irrigation water saved using TDI with or without PBZ and its impact on yield, and

farmer's income attributes were measured. WUE/plant height and WUE/cob yield, water

productivity (WP, Kg/ET for cob yield), net water savings/plant, approximate water

savings/1000 plants and approximate water savings/ha were measured for each variety. %

reduction in cob yield and net income generation considering the irrigation water buyers cost,

yield loss under TDI, yield gain with or without PBZ, extra yield with usage of water saved

from TDI alone or with PBZ. Other associated cost (fertilizers, pesticides, harvesting,

transportation and procurement costs) of maize cultivation were approximated to calculate

the income attributes of maize crop growers in Indian rupees and in $ USD using minimum

support price (MSP of maize fixed by the Govt. of India, 2018-2019).

4.8 Statistical analyses

The experiments were arranged in a randomized factorial design with five replications in

each consecutive year (2017, 2018 and 2019). The data obtained were analyzed by ANOVA

and all means were separated at the $p < 0.05$ level using the Duncan test. All calculations and

data analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS 20.0 for Windows software package; the

values were expressed by means and standard error (SE).

Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank Department of Botany, University of Jammu for extending

laboratory facilities and technical support. The research work was financially supported by

UGC-DRS-SAP-PHASE-II, New Delhi. India and CSIR-Junior Research Fellowship,

Government of India to Urfan Mohammad.

Author contributions

S.P. conceived and designed the experiments; M.U., H.R.H., S.S., M.S. and M.K. performed

the experiments. S.P., D.V., S.B.S. performed data analysis, prepared figures and wrote the

article.

References

1. Parmar, H. C., Zala, Y. C., & Mor, V. B. (2019). Economic Analysis of Rainfed
Maize Production in Central Gujarat, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, *8*(8),
2420-2428.

2. Manivasagam, V. S., & Nagarajan, R. (2018). Rainfall and crop modeling-based
water stress assessment for rainfed maize cultivation in peninsular India. *Theoretical*
and applied climatology, *132*(1-2), 529-542.

3. Laitonjam, N., Singh, R., & Feroze, S. M. (2018). Effect of Pre-Monsoon rainfall on
maize yield in Manipur. *Economic Affairs*, *63*(2), 413-417.

4. Thomas, J., & Prasannakumar, V. (2016). Temporal analysis of rainfall (1871–2012)
and drought characteristics over a tropical monsoon-dominated State (Kerala) of
India. *Journal of Hydrology*, *534*, 266-280.

5. Xu, C., Zhao, H., Zhang, P., Wang, Y., Huang, S., Meng, Q., & Wang, P. (2018).
Delaying wheat seeding time and maize harvest improved water use efficiency in a
warm temperature continental monsoon climate. *Agronomy Journal*, *110*(4), 1420-
1429.

6. Deng, K., Yang, S., Ting, M., Tan, Y., & He, S. (2018). Global monsoon
precipitation: Trends, leading modes, and associated drought and heat wave in the
Northern Hemisphere. *Journal of Climate*, *31*(17), 6947-6966.

7. Dowswell, C. (2019). *Maize in the third world*. CRC Press.

8. He, Q., Zhou, G., Lü, X., & Zhou, M. (2019). Climatic suitability and spatial
distribution for summer maize cultivation in China at 1.5 and 2.0° C global
warming. *Science Bulletin*, *64*(10), 690-697.

9. Thatcher, S. R., Danilevskaya, O. N., Meng, X., Beatty, M., Zastrow-Hayes, G.,
Harris, C., ... & Li, B. (2016). Genome-wide analysis of alternative splicing during
development and drought stress in maize. *Plant physiology*, *170*(1), 586-599.

10. Nan, W. A. N. G., Liang, L. I., GAO, W. W., WU, Y. B., YONG, H. J., WENG, J. F.,
... & LI, X. H. (2018). Transcriptomes of early developing tassels under drought stress
reveal differential expression of genes related to drought tolerance in maize. *Journal*
of Integrative Agriculture, *17*(6), 1276-1288.

11. Menkir, A., Crossa, J., Meseka, S., Bossey, B., Muhyideen, O., Riberio, P. F., ... &
Haruna, A. (2020). Staking Tolerance to Drought and Resistance to a Parasitic Weed

in Tropical Hybrid Maize for Enhancing Resilience to Stress Combinations. *Frontiers*

in plant science, *11*, 166.

12. Maize vulnerable to drought. *Nature* 509, 137 (2014).

https://doi.org/10.1038/509137d

13. Sah, R. P., Chakraborty, M., Prasad, K., Pandit, M., Tudu, V. K., Chakravarty, M. K.,

... & Moharana, D. (2020). Impact of water deficit stress in maize: Phenology and

yield components. *Scientific reports*, *10*(1), 1-15.

14. Begcy, K., Nosenko, T., Zhou, L. Z., Fragner, L., Weckwerth, W., & Dresselhaus, T.

(2019). Male sterility in maize after transient heat stress during the tetrad stage of

pollen development. *Plant physiology*, *181*(2), 683-700.

15. Sebastian, J., Yee, M. C., Viana, W. G., Rellán-Álvarez, R., Feldman, M., Priest, H.

D., ... & Mockler, T. C. (2016). Grasses suppress shoot-borne roots to conserve water

during drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(31), 8861-

8866.

16. Comas, L., Becker, S., Cruz, V. M. V., Byrne, P. F., & Dierig, D. A. (2013). Root

traits contributing to plant productivity under drought. *Frontiers in plant science*, *4*,

442.

17. Klein, S. P., Schneider, H. M., Perkins, A., Brown, K., & Lynch, J. (2020). Multiple

integrated root phenotypes are associated with improved drought tolerance. *Plant*

Physiology.

18. Borrás, L., & Vitantonio-Mazzini, L. N. (2018). Maize reproductive development and

kernel set under limited plant growth environments. *Journal of experimental*

botany, *69*(13), 3235-3243.

19. Turc, O., & Tardieu, F. (2018). Drought affects abortion of reproductive organs by

exacerbating developmentally driven processes via expansive growth and

hydraulics. *Journal of experimental botany*, *69*(13), 3245-3254.

20. Zhan, A., Schneider, H., & Lynch, J. P. (2015). Reduced lateral root branching

density improves drought tolerance in maize. *Plant Physiology*, *168*(4), 1603-1615.

21. Hund, A., Ruta, N., & Liedgens, M. (2009). Rooting depth and water use efficiency of

tropical maize inbred lines, differing in drought tolerance. *Plant and Soil*, *318*(1-2),

311-325.

22. Mohan, R., Kaur, T., Bhat, H. A., Khajuria, M., Pal, S., & Vyas, D. (2019).

Paclobutrazol Induces Photochemical Efficiency in Mulberry (Morus alba L.) Under

Water Stress and Affects Leaf Yield Without Influencing Biotic Interactions. *Journal*
of Plant Growth Regulation, 1-11.

23. Pal, S., Zhao, J., Khan, A., Yadav, N. S., Batushansky, A., Barak, S., ... &
Rachmilevitch, S. (2016). Paclobutrazol induces tolerance in tomato to deficit
irrigation through diversified effects on plant morphology, physiology and
metabolism. *Scientific reports*, *6*, 39321.

24. Sloat, L. L., Davis, S. J., Gerber, J. S., Moore, F. C., Ray, D. K., West, P. C., &
Mueller, N. D. (2020). Climate adaptation by crop migration. *Nature*
communications, *11*(1), 1-9.

25. Lesk, C., Rowhani, P., & Ramankutty, N. (2016). Influence of extreme weather
disasters on global crop production. *Nature*, *529*(7584), 84-87.

26. Seneviratne, S. I. (2012). Climate science: Historical drought trends
revisited. *Nature*, *491*(7424), 338-339.

27. Zhang, H., Li, Y., & Zhu, J. K. (2018). Developing naturally stress-resistant crops for
a sustainable agriculture. *Nature plants*, *4*(12), 989-996.

28. Jägermeyr, J., & Frieler, K. (2018). Spatial variations in crop growing seasons pivotal
to reproduce global fluctuations in maize and wheat yields. *Science advances*, *4*(11),
eaat4517.

29. Challinor, A. J., Koehler, A. K., Ramirez-Villegas, J., Whitfield, S., & Das, B. (2016).
Current warming will reduce yields unless maize breeding and seed systems adapt
immediately. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*(10), 954-958.

30. Zaveri, E., Russ, J., & Damania, R. (2020). Rainfall anomalies are a significant driver
of cropland expansion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

31. Murari, K. K., Jayaraman, T., & Swaminathan, M. (2018). Climate change and
agricultural suicides in India. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences, *115*(2), E115-E115.

32. Sharma, S., & Mujumdar, P. (2017). Increasing frequency and spatial extent of
concurrent meteorological droughts and heatwaves in India. *Scientific reports*, *7*(1), 1-
9.

33. https://www.farmer.gov.in/M_cropstaticsmaize.aspx

34. https://mausam.imd.gov.in/

35. Maize India Summit 2018, http://ficci.in/ficci-in-news-page.asp?nid=14261

36. Davies, W. J., & Bennett, M. J. (2015). Achieving more crop per drop. *Nature*
plants, *1*(8), 1-2.

37. Barker, J. B., Bhatti, S., Heeren, D. M., Neale, C. M., & Rudnick, D. R. (2019).
Variable Rate Irrigation of Maize and Soybean in West-Central Nebraska Under Full
and Deficit Irrigation. *Frontiers in Big Data*, *2*, 34.

38. Trout, T. J., & Manning, D. T. (2019). An Economic and Biophysical Model of
Deficit Irrigation. *Agronomy Journal*, *111*(6), 3182-3193.

39. Avramova, V., Nagel, K. A., AbdElgawad, H., Bustos, D., DuPlessis, M., Fiorani, F.,
& Beemster, G. T. (2016). Screening for drought tolerance of maize hybrids by multi-
scale analysis of root and shoot traits at the seedling stage. *Journal of Experimental*
Botany, *67*(8), 2453-2466.

40. Westgate, M. E. (1994). Seed formation in maize during drought. *Physiology and*
determination of crop yield, 361-364.

41. Zhuang, Y., Ren, G., Yue, G., Li, Z., Qu, X., Hou, G., ... & Zhang, J. (2007). Effects
of water-deficit stress on the transcriptomes of developing immature ear and tassel in
maize. *Plant cell reports*, *26*(12), 2137-2147.

42. Bechoux, N., Bernier, G., & Lejeune, P. (2000). Environmental effects on the early
stages of tassel morphogenesis in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant, Cell &*
Environment, *23*(1), 91-98.

43. Oury, V., Tardieu, F., & Turc, O. (2016). Ovary apical abortion under water deficit is
caused by changes in sequential development of ovaries and in silk growth rate in
maize. *Plant Physiology*, *171*(2), 986-996.

44. Mu, Z., Zhang, S., Liang, A., & Liang, Z. (2005). Relationship between maize root
hydraulic conductivity and drought resistance. *Zuo wu xue bao*, *31*(2), 203-208.

45. Vadez, V. (2014). Root hydraulics: the forgotten side of roots in drought
adaptation. *Field Crops Research*, *165*, 15-24.

46. Caldeira, C. F., Jeanguenin, L., Chaumont, F., & Tardieu, F. (2014). Circadian
rhythms of hydraulic conductance and growth are enhanced by drought and improve
plant performance. *Nature Communications*, *5*(1), 1-9.

47. Hund, A., Ruta, N., & Liedgens, M. (2009). Rooting depth and water use efficiency of
tropical maize inbred lines, differing in drought tolerance. *Plant and Soil*, *318*(1-2),
311-325.

48. Guo, J., Li, C., Zhang, X., Li, Y., Zhang, D., Shi, Y., ... & Wang, T. (2020).
Transcriptome and GWAS analyses reveal candidate gene for seminal root length of
maize seedlings under drought stress. *Plant Science*, *292*, 110380.

49. Al-Naggar, A. M. M., Shafik, M. M., & Elsheikh, M. O. A. (2019). Putative
Mechanisms of Drought Tolerance in Maize (Zea mays L.) via Root System
Architecture Traits. *Annual Research & Review in Biology*, 1-19.

50. Studer, C., Hu, Y., & Schmidhalter, U. (2017). Interactive effects of N-, P-and K-
nutrition and drought stress on the development of maize seedlings. Agriculture,
7(11), 90.

51. Anjum, S. A., Tanveer, M., Ashraf, U., Hussain, S., Shahzad, B., Khan, I., & Wang,
L. (2016). Effect of progressive drought stress on growth, leaf gas exchange, and
antioxidant production in two maize cultivars. *Environmental Science and Pollution
Research*, *23*(17), 17132-17141.

52. Cai, R., Dai, W., Zhang, C., Wang, Y., Wu, M., Zhao, Y., ... & Cheng, B. (2017). The
maize WRKY transcription factor ZmWRKY17 negatively regulates salt stress
tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. *Planta*, *246*(6), 1215-1231.

53. Xu, C., Tai, H., Saleem, M., Ludwig, Y., Majer, C., Berendzen, K. W., ... &
Hochholdinger, F. (2015). Cooperative action of the paralogous maize lateral organ
boundaries (LOB) domain proteins RTCS and RTCL in shoot-borne root
formation. *New Phytologist*, *207*(4), 1123-1133.

54. Malaeb, Z.A., Summers, J. K. and Puge sek, B. H. (2000). Using structural equation
modelling to investigate relationships among ecological variables. Environ. Ecol. Stat.
7, 93-111.

55. Grace, J. B., Michael Anderson, T., Smith, M. D., Seabloom, E., Andelman, S. J.,
Meche, G., ... & Knops, J. (2007). Does species diversity limit productivity in natural
grassland communities?. *Ecology Letters*, *10*(8), 680-689.

56. Webber, H., Ewert, F., Olesen, J. E., Müller, C., Fronzek, S., Ruane, A. C., ... &
Ferrise, R. (2018). Diverging importance of drought stress for maize and winter wheat
in Europe. *Nature communications*, *9*(1), 1-10.

57. Zhou, S., Williams, A. P., Berg, A. M., Cook, B. I., Zhang, Y., Hagemann, S., ... &
Gentine, P. (2019). Land–atmosphere feedbacks exacerbate concurrent soil drought
and atmospheric aridity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(38),
18848-18853.

58. Wang, C., Linderholm, H. W., Song, Y., Wang, F., Liu, Y., Tian, J., ... & Ren, G.
(2020). Impacts of Drought on Maize and Soybean Production in Northeast China
During the Past Five Decades. *International Journal of Environmental Research and
Public Health*, *17*(7), 2459.

59. ANNUAL REPORT , 2013-14 and 2016-17, Krishi Vigyan Kendra ,Reasi, Govt of
India

60. Yazdanbakhsh, N., & Fisahn, J. (2010). Analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana root growth
kinetics with high temporal and spatial resolution. *Annals of botany*, *105*(5), 783-791.

61. Kaul, V., Sharma, N., & Koul, A. K. (2002). Reproductive effort and sex allocation
strategy in Commelina benghalensis L., a common monsoon weed. *Botanical Journal*
of the Linnean Society, *140*(4), 403-413.

62. Valladares, F., SANCHEZ-GOMEZ, D. A. V. I. D., & Zavala, M. A. (2006).
Quantitative estimation of phenotypic plasticity: bridging the gap between the
evolutionary concept and its ecological applications. *Journal of ecology*, *94*(6), 1103-
1116.

63. Zhao, J., Sykacek, P., Bodner, G., & Rewald, B. (2018). Root traits of European Vicia
faba cultivars—Using machine learning to explore adaptations to agroclimatic
conditions. *Plant, cell & environment*, *41*(9), 1984-1996.

64. Sánchez-Reinoso, A. D., Ligarreto-Moreno, G. A., & Restrepo-Díaz, H. (2020).
Evaluation of drought indices to identify tolerant genotypes in common bean bush
(*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, *19*(1), 99-107.

65. Pal, S., Kisko, M., Dubos, C., Lacombe, B., Berthomieu, P., Krouk, G., & Rouached,
H. (2017). Transdetect identifies a new regulatory module controlling phosphate
accumulation. *Plant physiology*, *175*(2), 916-926.

Figure graphical Abstract

Life cycle of maize plants (*Zea mays* L.) is sensitive towards soil water availability, in

particular establishment of young maize plants (from 0 days after sowing (DAS) to 35 DAS,

early deficit irrigation, EDI) and 56 DAS to 65 DAS, a phase showing transition of vegetative

to reproductive stage. Maize being a rain fed crop is mainly dependent upon rain water, thus

late arrival of monsoon or shorter spell of monsoon period in Indian sub continent poses

water deficit or drought like conditions, consequently affecting the establishment of young

plants and successful transition of vegetative to reproductive phase. In current study, early

deficit irrigation (EDI) supplying only 60% of the evapotranspiration demand (EVtD)

commenced with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on 15 DAS to young maize plants

mimicked the late arrival of monsoon by a period of 10-15 days. While, terminal deficit

irrigation (TDI) supplying 60% of the EVtD with or without PBZ to the 54 DAS plants

mimicked shorter spell of monsoon, thereby reducing the soil water availability, thus

affecting successful transition of vegetative to reproductive phase and formation of

reproductive structures. Structural equation modeling (SEM) showed improved root traits and

their contribution in enhancing water use efficiency resulting in better adaptation of maize

under EDI and TDI, more specifically when applied with paclobutrazol. Happy maize plants

in terms of improved water use efficiency and yield under TDI resulted in more yield under

TDI conditions specifically with paclobutrazol application, leading to enhanced farmers

income and economic prosperity.

Abbreviations: Brace roots, BR, crown roots, CR, seminal roots, SR, water use efficiency,

WUE, BRSA, brace roots surface area, CRSA, crown roots surface area, SRSA, seminal

roots surface area, BRL, brace roots length, CRL, crown roots length, SRL, seminal roots

length, BRN, brace roots number, CRN, crown roots number, SRN, seminal roots number.

Figure 1. Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol alter growth kinetics in maize.

(a) early deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed on the young maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144,

PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 15 days after sowing (DAS) until 35 DAS, altered shoot

growth kinetics measured at ten days interval on 25 and 35 DAS. (a), showed impact of EDI

with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on shoot growth patterns (Vd, cm/10 days interval) of

maize plants, such that Vd 25 days was calculated using the 15 DAS shoot growth values of

DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line, while Vd 35 days was

calculated using 25 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and

Bio-9621 as base line. Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 15, biological

replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all

combinations, while # indicate significant difference from EDI only (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

(b) terminal deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed on the maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144,

PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until 104 DAS, altered shoot

growth kinetics measured at a gap of ten days each i.e. 64, 74, 84, 94 and 104 days after

sowing. (b), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on shoot growth

patterns (Vd, cm/10 days interval) of maize plants, such that Vd 64 days was calculated using

the 54 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as

base line, Vd 74 days was calculated using 64 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-

9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line, Vd 84 days was calculated using 74

DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base

line, Vd 94 days was calculated using 84 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144,

PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line. Data presented are means ± standard errors (n

= 15, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control

in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

(c) early deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed on the young maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144,

PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 15 days after sowing (DAS) until 35 DAS, altered root

growth kinetics measured at ten days interval on 25 and 35 DAS. (c), showed impact of EDI

with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on root growth extension patterns (Vd, cm/10 days

interval) of maize plants, of brace root and crown root extension pattern kinetics, such that

Vd 35 days of brace roots and crown roots was calculated using the 25 DAS brace and crown

root growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line.

Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol

(*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations, while # indicate

significant difference from EDI only (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

(d) **early deficit irrigation** with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on root growth extension

patterns (Vd, cm/10 days interval) of maize plants, of seminal root extension pattern kinetics,

such that Vd 25 days of seminal roots was calculated using the 15 DAS seminal root growth

values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line, while Vd 35

days of seminal roots was calculated using the 25 DAS seminal root growth values of DDKL,

DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as a base line. Data presented are means ±

standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant

differences from control in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

Abbreviations: control irrigation, CN, control+paclobutrazol CN+PBZ, early deficit

irrigation, EDI, early deficit irrigation+paclobutrazol, EDI+PBZ, terminal deficit irrigation,

TDI, terminal deficit irrigation+paclobutrazol, TDI+PBZ.

Figure 2. Harvested root system of young maize plants (a) and matured maize plants of

DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 subjected to different irrigation

regimes (control irrigation, CN, 100% evapotranspiration demand, EvTd), early deficit

irrigation (EDI, a, 60% EvTd) and terminal deficit irrigation (TDI, b, 60% EvTd) with or

without paclobutrazol at 35 days and 104 after sowing (DAS). Scale bar represents 100 mm.

Figure 3. Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol impacts reproductive attributes of maize

plants.

Terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) imposed on the maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-

2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until 104 DAS, altered

reproductive attributes. (a), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on

the diameter of tassel (diaT, cm), (b) length of tassel (LeT, cm), (c) dry biomass of tassel

(DBT), (d) number of male flowers born/ plant, (e) average number of anthers born/flower

(anthers/flower), (f) number of spikelets/tassel, (g) average spikelet length (cm), (h) silk

length (cm) and agronomic trait viz. average cob dry weight (g)/plant at 104 DAS of DDKL,

DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 104 DAS. Data presented are means ±

standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant

differences from control in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$), while symbol of #

indicate significant difference from TDI irrigation in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq$

0.05).

Abbreviations: control irrigation, CN, control+paclobutrazol CN+PBZ, terminal deficit

irrigation, TDI, terminal deficit irrigation+paclobutrazol, TDI+PBZ.

Figure 4. Dry biomass changes in maize in deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol

applications.

Terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) subjected to maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-

2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until 104 DAS, showed impact

on root dry biomass, emergence of different root types and shoot dry biomass. (a), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the average brace root dry biomass (BRDB, g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. (b), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the average crown root dry biomass (CRDB, g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. (c), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the average lateral root dry biomass (LRDB, g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. (d), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the average seminal root dry biomass (SRDB, g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

(e), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the length (cm, on y-axis) and emergence of primary root, seminal root, crown and brace root (days after sowing, on x-axis) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates).

(f-g), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the shoot dry biomass (g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 (f) and total root dry biomass (g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations, while # indicate significant difference from TDI only (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$)..

(h), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the root/shoot dry weight ratio of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations, while # indicate significant difference from EDI only (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

Abbreviations: control irrigation, CN, control+paclobutrazol CN+PBZ, terminal deficit irrigation, TDI, terminal deficit irrigation+paclobutrazol, TDI+PBZ

Figure 5. Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol impacts photosynthetic efficiencies and molecular regulation of root growth in maize.

Panel A: Early deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the young maize plants of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 altered photosynthetic parameters measured at 10, 25 and 35 DAS. (a-b), showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on

net photosynthesis (P_N, µmol CO2 m^{-2} S^{-1}) of DKC-9144 (a) and PG-2475 (b), (c-d) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on intercellular CO2 (Ci, µmol CO2 mol^{-1} air) of DKC-9144 (c) and PG-2475 (d). (e-f) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on stomatal conductance (g^s, mol H_2O m^{-1} S^{-1}) of DKC-9144 (e) and PG-2475 (f). (g-h) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on electron transport rate (E, mm H_2O m^{-2} S^{-1}) of DKC-9144 (g) and PG-2475 (h). Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 5, biological replicates). Letters (a & b) indicate significant differences from control and early deficit irrigation respectively (Tukey's test, $P \le 0.05$).

(i) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on sugar content (mg/g dry weight) of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Color code indicates differences across the row and column.

Panel B: Early deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the young maize plants of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 altered expression of key genes regulating maize root architecture, viz. *ZmRTCS- Zea mays Rootless Concerning Crown and Seminal roots, ZmRTCL- Zea mays RTCS LIKE , ZmARF34- Zea mays Auxin Response Factor 34*.

(a-e) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on relative expression of *ZmRTCS, ZmRTC* and, *ZmARF34* of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 at 15, 25 and 35 DAS. (a) relative expression of *ZmRTCS, ZmRTC* and, *ZmARF34* of DKC-9144 relative to PG-2475 (base expression) at 15 DAS before the commencement of early deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol application, (b) relative expression of *ZmRTCS, ZmRTC* and, *ZmARF34* of DKC-9144 at 25 DAS after the commencement of early deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol in DKC-9144 (b) and of PG-2475 (c). (d-e) relative expression of *ZmRTCS, ZmRTC* and, *ZmARF34* of DKC-9144 at 35 DAS after the commencement of deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol application in DKC-9144 (d) and of PG-2475 (e). Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 3, biological replicates). Letters (a & b) indicate significant differences from control and early deficit irrigation respectively (Tukey's test, $P \le 0.05$).

Figure 6. Structural equation modeling of maize root traits and their contribution in water use efficiency.

Structural equation modeling (SEM) carried on the root traits of maize plants subjected to early deficit irrigation (EDI) and terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) showed contribution of selected root factors towards improving water use efficiency (WUE-cob dry weight), shoot height (SH) and stem thickness (ST).

(a-b) Under control irrigation regime (CN), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as crown roots (CRs), brace roots (BRs) and seminal roots (SRs) and their different traits such root number (RN), root length (RL) and root surface area (RSA) towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (a) and PG-2475 (b).

(c-d) Under EDI (60% of evapotranspiration demand), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as CRs, BRs and SRs and their different traits such RN, RL and RSA towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (c) and PG-2475 (d).

(e-f) Under application of paclobutrazol (PBZ, 60 ppm) in EDI (EDI, 60% of evapotranspiration demand), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as CRs, BRs and SRs and their different traits such RN, RL and RSA towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (e) and PG-2475 (f).

(g-h) Under control irrigation regime (CN), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as crown roots (CRs), brace roots (BRs) and seminal roots (SRs) and their different traits such root number (RN), root length (RL) and root surface area (RSA) towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (a) and PG-2475 (b).

(i-j) Under TDI (60% of evapotranspiration demand), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as CRs, BRs and SRs and their different traits such RN, RL and RSA towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (c) and PG-2475 (d).

(k-l) Under application of paclobutrazol (PBZ, 60 ppm) in TDI (TDI, 60% of evapotranspiration demand), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as CRs, BRs and SRs and their different traits such RN, RL and RSA towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (e) and PG-2475 (f).

Blue, black and **red** colored lines represents BRs, CRs and SRs, contributions of these root traits i.e. RN, RL and RSA for WUE, SH and ST are given in scores placed over respective colored lines merging into WUE, SH and ST.

Figure 7. Impact analysis of terminal deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol on water budgeting and economic attributes of maize cultivation.

(a) Water savings in liter/plant in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104 DAS for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Values represent mean ± S.E. of 21 individual plants ($n = 21$) grown over a period of three years (2017, 2018 and 2019), with n = 7/ year for each treatment type i.e. control irrigation (100% evapotranspiration demand,

EvTd), terminal deficit irrigation (TDI, 60% of EvTd) and TDI plus paclobutrazol (60 ppm)

and CN plus PBZ.

(b) Approximate water savings in liter/1000 plants in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or

without paclobutrazol (PBZ) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104

DAS for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621.

(c) Approximate water savings in liter/hectare in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or

without paclobutrazol (PBZ) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104

DAS for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621.

(d) % reduction in cob yield/plants in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or without

paclobutrazol (PBZ) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104 DAS for

DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Values represent mean ± S.E. of 21

individual plants ($n = 21$) grown over a period of three years (2017, 2018 and 2019), with n =

7/ year for each treatment type i.e. control irrigation (100% evapotranspiration demand,

EvTd), terminal deficit irrigation (TDI, 60% of EvTd) and TDI plus paclobutrazol (60 ppm)

and CN plus PBZ.

(e) Approximate income generated in minimum support price in Indian rupees fixed at 1700

MSP in terms of yield kg/ha in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or without paclobutrazol

(PBZ) and amount of water saved used with TDI (DI sav) and with TDI plus PBZ (TDI +

PBZ sav) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104 DAS for DDKL,

DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Extra amount of water saved TDI sav and

TDI+PBZ sav projected to use for extra cultivation of maize crop in extra arable land and

additional yield produced and MSP/ha.

Figure graphical Abstract.

Figure graphical Abstract

Life cycle of maize plants (*Zea mays* L.) is sensitive towards soil water availability, in particular establishment of young maize plants (from 0 days after sowing (DAS) to 35 DAS, early deficit irrigation, EDI) and 56 DAS to 65 DAS, a phase showing transition of vegetative to reproductive stage. Maize being a rain fed crop is mainly dependent upon rain water, thus late arrival of monsoon or shorter spell of monsoon period in Indian sub continent poses water deficit or drought like conditions, consequently affecting the establishment of young plants and successful transition of vegetative to reproductive phase. In current study, early deficit irrigation (EDI) supplying only 60% of the evapotranspiration demand (EVtD) commenced with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on 15 DAS to young maize plants mimicked the late arrival of monsoon by a period of 10-15 days. While, terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) supplying 60% of the EVtD with or without PBZ to the 54 DAS plants mimicked shorter spell of monsoon, thereby reducing the soil water availability, thus affecting successful transition of vegetative to reproductive phase and formation of reproductive structures. Structural equation modeling (SEM) showed improved root traits and their contribution in enhancing water use efficiency resulting in better adaptation of maize under EDI and TDI, more specifically when applied with paclobutrazol. Happy maize plants in terms of improved water use efficiency and yield under TDI resulted in more yield under TDI conditions specifically with paclobutrazol application, leading to enhanced farmers income and economic prosperity.

Abbreviations: Brace roots, BR, crown roots, CR, seminal roots, SR, water use efficiency, WUE, BRSA, brace roots surface area, CRSA, crown roots surface area, SRSA, seminal roots surface area, BRL, brace roots length, CRL, crown roots length, SRL, seminal roots length, BRN, brace roots number, CRN, crown roots number, SRN, seminal roots number.

Figure 1

Figure 1

Figure 1. Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol alter growth kinetics in maize.

(a) early deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed on the young maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 15 days after sowing (DAS) until 35 DAS, altered shoot growth kinetics measured at ten days interval on 25 and 35 DAS. (a), showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on shoot growth patterns (Vd, cm/10 days interval) of maize plants, such that Vd 25 days was calculated using the 15 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line, while Vd 35 days was calculated using 25 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line. Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations, while # indicate significant difference from EDI only (Tukey's test, $P \leq$ 0.05).

(b) terminal deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed on the maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until 104 DAS, altered shoot growth kinetics measured at a gap of ten days each i.e. 64, 74, 84, 94 and 104 days after sowing. (b), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on shoot growth patterns (Vd, cm/10 days interval) of maize plants, such that Vd 64 days was calculated using the 54 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line, Vd 74 days was calculated using 64 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line, Vd 84 days was calculated using 74 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line, Vd 94 days was calculated using 84 DAS shoot growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line. Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

(c) early deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed on the young maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 15 days after sowing (DAS) until 35 DAS, altered root growth kinetics measured at ten days interval on 25 and 35 DAS. (c), showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on root growth extension patterns (Vd, cm/10 days interval) of maize plants, of brace root and crown root extension pattern kinetics, such that Vd 35 days of brace roots and crown roots was calculated using the 25 DAS brace and crown root growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line. Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations, while # indicate significant difference from EDI only (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

(d) **early deficit irrigation** with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on root growth extension patterns (Vd, cm/10 days interval) of maize plants, of seminal root extension pattern kinetics, such that Vd 25 days of seminal roots was calculated using the 15 DAS seminal root growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as base line, while Vd 35 days of seminal roots was calculated using the 25 DAS seminal root growth values of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 as a base line. Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$). *Abbreviations:* control irrigation, CN, control+paclobutrazol CN+PBZ, early deficit irrigation, EDI, early deficit irrigation+paclobutrazol, EDI+PBZ, terminal deficit irrigation, TDI, terminal deficit irrigation+paclobutrazol, TDI+PBZ.

a)

CN   CN+PBZ   EDI   EDI+PBZ

DDKL

DKC-9144

PG-2475

PG-2320

Bio-9621

b)

DDKL

DKC-9144

PG-2475

CN   CN+PBZ   TDI   TDI+PBZ

PG-2320

Bio-9621

CN   CN+PBZ   TDI   TDI+PBZ

Figure 2. Harvested root system of young maize plants (a) and matured maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 subjected to different irrigation regimes (control irrigation, CN, 100% evapotranspiration demand, EvTd), early deficit irrigation (EDI, a, 60% EvTd) and terminal deficit irrigation (TDI, b, 60% EvTd) with or without paclobutrazol at 35 days and 104 after sowing (DAS). Scale bar represents 100 mm.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol impacts reproductive attributes of maize plants.

Terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) imposed on the maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until 104 DAS, altered reproductive attributes. (a), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the diameter of tassel (diaT, cm), (b) length of tassel (LeT, cm), (c) dry biomass of tassel (DBT), (d) number of male flowers born/ plant, (e) average number of anthers born/flower (anthers/flower), (f) number of spikelets/tassel, (g) average spikelet length (cm), (h) silk length (cm) and agronomic trait viz. average cob dry weight (g)/plant at 104 DAS of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 104 DAS. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$), while symbol of # indicate significant difference from TDI irrigation in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

Abbreviations: control irrigation, CN, control+paclobutrazol CN+PBZ, terminal deficit irrigation, TDI, terminal deficit irrigation+paclobutrazol, TDI+PBZ.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Dry biomass changes in maize in deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol applications.

Terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) subjected to maize plants of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until 104 DAS, showed impact on root dry biomass, emergence of different root types and shoot dry biomass. (a), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the average brace root dry biomass (BRDB, g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. (b), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the average crown root dry biomass (CRDB, g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. (c), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the average lateral root dry biomass (LRDB, g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. (d), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the average seminal root dry biomass (SRDB, g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

(e), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the length (cm, on y-axis) and emergence of primary root, seminal root, crown and brace root (days after sowing, on x-axis) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates).

(f-g), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the shoot dry biomass (g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 (f) and total root dry biomass (g) of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations, while # indicate significant difference from TDI only (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$)..

(h), showed impact of TDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the root/shoot dry weight ratio of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Data presented are means ± standard errors ($n = 15$, biological replicates). Asterisk symbol (*) indicate significant differences from control in all combinations, while # indicate significant difference from EDI only (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

Abbreviations: control irrigation, CN, control+paclobutrazol CN+PBZ, terminal deficit irrigation, TDI, terminal deficit irrigation+paclobutrazol, TDI+PBZ

Figure 5

Figure 5. Deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol impacts photosynthetic efficiencies and molecular regulation of root growth in maize.

Panel A: Early deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the young maize plants of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 altered photosynthetic parameters measured at 10, 25 and 35 DAS. (a-b), showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on net photosynthesis (P_N, μmol CO2 m^{-2} S^{-1}) of DKC-9144 (a) and PG-2475 (b), (c-d) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on intercellular CO2 (Ci, μmol CO2 mol^{-1} air) of DKC-9144 (c) and PG-2475 (d). (e-f) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on stomatal conductance (g^s, mol H$_2$O m^{-1} S^{-1}) of DKC-9144 (e) and PG-2475 (f). (g-h) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on electron transport rate (E, mm H$_2$O m^{-2} S^{-1}) of DKC-9144 (g) and PG-2475 (h). Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 3, biological replicates). Letters (a & b) indicate significant differences from control and early deficit irrigation respectively (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

(i) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on sugar content (mg/g dry weight) of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 15, biological replicates). Color code indicates differences across the row and column.

Panel B: Early deficit irrigation (EDI) imposed with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on the young maize plants of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 altered expression of key genes regulating maize root architecture, viz. *ZmRTCS- Zea mays Rootless Concerning Crown and Seminal roots, ZmRTCL- Zea mays RTCS LIKE , ZmARF34- Zea mays Auxin Response Factor 34*.

(a-e) showed impact of EDI with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) on relative expression of *ZmRTCS, ZmRTC* and*, ZmARF34* of DKC-9144 and PG-2475 at 15, 25 and 35 DAS. (a) relative expression of *ZmRTCS, ZmRTC* and*, ZmARF34* of DKC-9144 relative to PG-2475 (base expression) at 15 DAS before the commencement of early deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol application, (b) relative expression of *ZmRTCS, ZmRTC* and*, ZmARF34* of DKC-9144 at 25 DAS after the commencement of early deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol in DKC-9144 (b) and of PG-2475 (c). (d-e) relative expression of *ZmRTCS, ZmRTC* and*, ZmARF34* of DKC-9144 at 35 DAS after the commencement of deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol application in DKC-9144 (d) and of PG-2475 (e). Data presented are means ± standard errors (n = 3, biological replicates). Letters (a & b) indicate significant differences from control and early deficit irrigation respectively (Tukey's test, $P \leq 0.05$).

Figure 6

Figure 6. Structural equation modeling of maize root traits and their contribution in water use efficiency.

Structural equation modeling (SEM) carried on the root traits of maize plants subjected to early deficit irrigation (EDI) and terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) showed contribution of selected root factors towards improving water use efficiency (WUE-cob dry weight), shoot height (SH) and stem thickness (ST).

(a-b) Under control irrigation regime (CN), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as crown roots (CRs), brace roots (BRs) and seminal roots (SRs) and their different traits such root number (RN), root length (RL) and root surface area (RSA) towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (a) and PG-2475 (b).

(c-d) Under EDI (60% of evapotranspiration demand), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as CRs, BRs and SRs and their different traits such RN, RL and RSA towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (c) and PG-2475 (d).

(e-f) Under application of paclobutrazol (PBZ, 60 ppm) in EDI (EDI, 60% of evapotranspiration demand), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as CRs, BRs and SRs and their different traits such RN, RL and RSA towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (e) and PG-2475 (f).

(g-h) Under control irrigation regime (CN), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as crown roots (CRs), brace roots (BRs) and seminal roots (SRs) and their different traits such root number (RN), root length (RL) and root surface area (RSA) towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (a) and PG-2475 (b).

(i-j) Under TDI (60% of evapotranspiration demand), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as CRs, BRs and SRs and their different traits such RN, RL and RSA towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (c) and PG-2475 (d).

(k-l) Under application of paclobutrazol (PBZ, 60 ppm) in TDI (TDI, 60% of evapotranspiration demand), SEM model showed contribution of different root types such as CRs, BRs and SRs and their different traits such RN, RL and RSA towards WUE, SH and ST in DKC-9144 (e) and PG-2475 (f).

Blue, black and red colored lines represents BRs, CRs and SRs, contributions of these root traits i.e. RN, RL and RSA for WUE, SH and ST are given in scores placed over respective colored lines merging into WUE, SH and ST.

Figure 7

Figure 7. Impact analysis of terminal deficit irrigation and paclobutrazol on water budgeting and economic attributes of maize cultivation.

(a) Water savings in liter/plant in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104 DAS for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Values represent mean ± S.E. of 21 individual plants ($n = 21$) grown over a period of three years (2017, 2018 and 2019), with n = 7/ year for each treatment type i.e. control irrigation (100% evapotranspiration demand, EvTd), terminal deficit irrigation (TDI, 60% of EvTd) and TDI plus paclobutrazol (60 ppm) and CN plus PBZ.

(b) Approximate water savings in liter/1000 plants in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104 DAS for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621.

(c) Approximate water savings in liter/hectare in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104 DAS for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621.

(d) % reduction in cob yield/plants in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104 DAS for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Values represent mean ± S.E. of 21 individual plants ($n = 21$) grown over a period of three years (2017, 2018 and 2019), with n = 7/ year for each treatment type i.e. control irrigation (100% evapotranspiration demand, EvTd), terminal deficit irrigation (TDI, 60% of EvTd) and TDI plus paclobutrazol (60 ppm) and CN plus PBZ.

(e) Approximate income generated in minimum support price in Indian rupees fixed at 1700 MSP in terms of yield kg/ha in terminal deficit irrigation (TDI) with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ) and amount of water saved used with TDI (DI sav) and with TDI plus PBZ (TDI + PBZ sav) commenced at 54 days after sowing (DAS) until harvest at 104 DAS for DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621. Extra amount of water saved TDI sav and TDI+PBZ sav projected to use for extra cultivation of maize crop in extra arable land and additional yield produced and MSP/ha.

Table 1. Effect of terminal deficit irrigation with or without paclobutrazol on lateral roots number (LRN), reproductive effort (RE%) for tassel formation (RE tassel %) and RE ear formation (RE ear %), leaf surface area (cm^2) and net assimilation rate (NAR g m^{-2} leaf area^{-1} °C d^{-1}) rate of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG2475, PG2320 and Bio-9621 maize varieties subjected to control irrigation (100% evapotranspiration demand, EvTd), terminal deficit irrigation (TDI, 60% EvTd) with or without paclobutrazol (60 ppm). Values indicate mean ± S.E, where number of biological replicates ($n = 15$). Different letters (a, b, & c) in a column indicate significant differences from each other.

		LRN	RE tassel %	RE ear%	LSA (cm^2)	NAR (g m^{-2} leaf area^{-1} °C d^{-1})
DDKL	CN	345±14	1	1	368±18	0.338±0.034
	CN+PBZ	381±12	1.182	1.036	418±23	0.326±0.017
	EDI	727±18a	0.883	1.011	329±17	0.397±0.016
	EDI+PBZ	352±16	1.441a	1.005	330±13	0.394±0.013
DKC-9144	CN	723±29	1	1-	400±26	0.334±0.019
	CN+PBZ	455±8	0.991	0.978	461±8	0.298±0.004
	EDI	1136±49a	1.444a	0.951	339±17a	0.361±0.008
	EDI+PBZ	456±34	1.336	0.947	366±11	0.386±0.014
PG-2475	CN	427±24	1	1	393±24	0.344±0.020
	CN+PBZ	245±19a	0.849	1.015	443±8	0.307±0.004
	EDI	479±21	2.077a	0.772a	364±8	0.362±0.007
	EDI+PBZ	435±27	0.546b	1.421b	380±16	0.351±0.013
PG-2320	CN	218±14	1	1	350±12	0.375±0.011
	CN+PBZ	130±8a	0.489a	0.934	387±9	0.345±0.007
	EDI	759±29b	0.663	1.164	298±13	0.430±0.017
	EDI+PBZ	1187±47c	1.073	1.068	328±9	0.395±0.009
Bio-9621	CN	518±27	1	1	241±12	0.515±0.025
	CN+PBZ	279±29a	1.345	1.228a	275±11	0.460±0.017
	EDI	568±25	1.892a	1.065	238±12	0.515±0.007
	EDI+PBZ	457±21	0.378b	1.136	230±15	0.535±0.024

Table 2. Effect of terminal deficit irrigation with or without paclobutrazol on the root plasticity indices (scope of plastic response, SPR), relative trait range (RTR) and response coefficient (RC) of crown roots, brace roots and seminal roots of DDKL, DKC-9144, PG2475, PG2320 and Bio-9621.

TDI/TDI+PBZ	Scope of Plastic Response	Relative Trait Range	Response Coefficient
Crown Root			
DDKL	0	0	1
DKC	**5**	**0.16**	**1.2**
PG2475	4	0.12	0.9
PG2320	2	0.08	1.08
BIO9621	2	0.09	1.1

TDI/TDI+PBZ	Scope of Plastic Response	Relative Trait Range	Response Coefficient
Brace Root			
DDKL	2	0.083	1.09
DKC	**3**	**0.107**	**1.12**
PG2475	3	0.115	1.13
PG2320	1	0.037	1.03
BIO9621	3	0.111	1.12

TDI/TDI+PBZ	Scope of Plastic Response	Relative Trait Range	Response Coefficient
Seminal Root			
DDKL	1	0.043	1.045
DKC	**1**	**0.045**	**1.047**
PG2475	8	0.266	1.36
PG2320	3	0.107	1.12
BIO9621	7	0.318	1.46

Table 3. Behavior of drought related indices viz. stress susceptibility index (SSI), tolerance (TOL), mean productivity (MP), geometric mean productivity (GMP), Stress tolerance index (STI), yield stability index (YSI), yield index (YI) and harmonic mean (HM) of five maize varieties i.e. DDKL, DKC-9144, PG-2475, PG-2320 and Bio-9621 subjected to control irrigation (100% evapotranspiration demand, EvTd), terminal deficit irrigation (TDI, 60% EvTd) with or without paclobutrazol (PBZ, 60 ppm). Values indicate mean ± S.E, where number of biological replicates ($n = 15$). Values without asterisk indicate ratio of cob yield under TDI over control, while asterisk symbol (*) indicate ratio of paclobutrazol plus TDI over TDI. Letter *a* row wise indicate significant difference between values of TDI vs PBZ plus TDI.

	SSI	SSI*	TOL	TOL*	MP	MP*	GMP	GMP*	STI	STI*	YSI	YSI*	YI	YI*	HM	HM*
DDKL	1.007	0.362a	5.289	2.51a	20.09	18.70	19.91	18.66	1.67	2.49a	0.76	1.14a	1.134	1.841a	19.74	18.61
DKC-9144	1.073	0.407a	5.03	2.47a	17.78	16.50	17.60	16.45	1.311	1.93a	0.75	1.161a	0.993	1.521a	17.42	16.41
PG-2475	1.540	1.854	3	4	6.93	7.43	6.76	7.15	0.193	0.36	0.64	1.736a	0.353	0.658a	6.60	6.89
PG-2320	0.872	1.589a	3.06	7.65a	13.66	15.95	13.57	15.49	0.779	1.71a	0.79	1.630a	0.788	1.054a	13.48	15.03
Bio-9621	-0.428	1.947a	1.372	6.83a	9.52	12.25a	9.50	11.77a	0.381	0.99a	0.86	1.773a	0.574	1.202a	9.47	11.30a