Viral manipulation of a novel mechanoresponsive signaling axis disassembles processing bodies

Elizabeth L. Castle[1], Pauline Douglas[3,5], Kristina D. Rinker[3,4,5], and Jennifer A. Corcoran[1,2,5]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada
[2]Current address, Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases Department and Charbonneau Cancer Research Institute, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada.
[3]Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada.
[4]Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering and Centre for Bioengineering Research and Education, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada.
[5]Charbonneau Cancer Institute, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada.

Abstract

Processing bodies (PBs) are ribonucleoprotein granules important for cytokine mRNA

decay that are targeted for disassembly by many viruses. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus is the etiological agent of the inflammatory endothelial cancer, Kaposi's sarcoma,

and a PB-regulating virus. The virus encodes Kaposin B (KapB), which induces actin stress

fibres (SFs) and cell spindling as well as PB disassembly. We now show that KapB-mediated PB

disassembly requires actin rearrangements, RhoA effectors and the mechanoresponsive

transcription activator, YAP. Moreover, ectopic expression of active YAP or exposure of ECs to

mechanical forces caused PB disassembly in the absence of KapB. We propose that the viral

protein KapB activates a novel mechanoresponsive signaling axis and links changes in cell shape

and cytoskeletal structures to enhanced inflammatory molecule expression using PB

disassembly. Our work implies that cytoskeletal changes in other pathologies will similarly

impact the inflammatory environment.

Importance

For the first time, we demonstrate that processing bodies (PBs), cytoplasmic sites of

RNA decay, are regulated by mechanical signaling events that alter actin dynamics. Using the

overexpression of a viral protein called KapB, known previously to mediate PB disassembly, we

show that actin stress fibers (SFs) and the mechanoresponsive transcription factor, YAP, are

required for PB loss. We also show that other established mechanical signals (shear stress or stiff

extracellular matrix) that lead to the formation of SFs and activate YAP also cause PB

disassembly. This is important because it means that KapB activates, from the inside out, a

pathway that links cell shape to post-transcriptional gene regulation via cytoplasmic PBs.

Introduction

Cells are exposed to a variety of environments and they respond to changes in external force by adjusting internal tension. These mechanical cues can be transmitted to the cell through changes to extracellular contact nodes (focal adhesions) and contractile actomyosin structures to maintain tension homeostasis (Friedland, Lee, and Boettiger 2009; Kong et al. 2009; del Rio et al. 2009; Grashoff et al. 2010; reviewed in Finch-Edmondson and Sudol 2016). Actin stress fibres (SFs) are cytoskeletal structures composed of thick actin bundles, often associated with focal adhesions (Vallenius 2013), that are force-responsive, maintaining cytoskeletal integrity in changing mechanical environments (Burridge and Guilluy 2016). SF formation is coordinated by the GTPase, RhoA; it activates the formin, mammalian diaphanous protein-1 (mDia1) to promote actin filament growth and Rho-associated coiled-coil kinase (ROCK) to promote actomyosin contractility through non-muscle myosin II (Watanabe et al. 1997; Amano et al. 1997; Kimura et al. 1996). These RhoA-effectors act together to promote the formation of contractile and stable actin filaments in response to mechanical and chemical stimuli (Watanabe et al. 1999).

External forces elicit a cascade of signals using actin as force transducers to alter gene expression. Activated serum response factor (SRF) transcription responds to actin polymerization (reviewed in Chai and Tarnawski 2002). SRF activation is negatively regulated by the cytoplasmic concentration of monomeric G-actin (Sotiropoulos et al. 1999). However, inducers of filamentous actin (e.g. active RhoA) deplete G-actin levels leading to SRF nuclear translocation and transcription (Sotiropoulos et al. 1999). A more recent example is the mechanoresponsive transcriptional coactivator Yes-associated protein (YAP), whose activity can be controlled by cell shape and cytoskeletal structure (Dupont et al. 2011; Wada et al. 2011; Halder, Dupont, and Piccolo 2012; Yu et al. 2012). YAP is nuclear and active in response to low cell-cell contact (Zhao et al. 2007), high stiffness of the extracellular matrix (ECM) (Dupont et al. 2011), in shear stress due to fluid flow (K.-C. Wang et al. 2016; Nakajima et al. 2017; Lai and Stainier 2017; H. J. Lee et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2016), or after G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) activation (Yu et al. 2012). Most of these signals induce the activity of RhoA and promote the formation of SFs, (Noria et al. 2004; Lee and Kumar 2016), implicating actin cytoskeletal structures as requisite intermediates for YAP activation.

Nuclear YAP associates with its coactivators to mediate transcription of genes involved in cell proliferation, differentiation, survival and migration (Halder, Dupont, and Piccolo 2012).

Consistent with this, nuclear YAP is often pro-tumourigenic and drives progression of many

oncogenic traits in a variety of cancers. These include the induction of cell stemness (Panciera et

al. 2016), altered metabolism (C. Yang et al. 2018), cancer cell invasion/vascular remodeling

(Calvo et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2018; Kimura et al. 2020), and altered growth and proliferation

(Kapoor et al. 2014; Zanconato et al. 2015; Jang et al. 2017). Kaposi's sarcoma (KS) is an

endothelial cell (EC) cancer that is strongly linked to Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus

(KSHV) (Chang et al. 1994; Russo et al. 1996; Zhong et al. 1996; Ganem 1997). KSHV

establishes persistent, life-long infection of its human host, and displays two types of infection,

latent and lytic. In KS, the majority of the tumour ECs are latently infected while lytic replication

is rare; in part, because these cells die as a result of viral replication (Boshoff et al. 1995; Staskus

et al. 1997; Umbach et al. 2010; Speck and Ganem 2010; Arias et al. 2014). That said, during

their short lifetime lytic cells expel progeny virus and secrete large quantities of pro-

inflammatory and angiogenic molecules, making even infrequent lytic replication an important

driver of KS. A key contributor to this secretory phenotype is the constitutively active viral G

protein-coupled receptor (vGPCR), a lytic viral protein (Montaner et al. 2006; Corcoran et al.

2012). Despite the paracrine contributors like vGPCR, the few gene products that are expressed

during the KSHV latent cycle are central for viral tumourigenesis. Many features of *in vivo* KS

are recapitulated by *in vitro* latent infection of primary ECs, or ectopic expression of individual

KSHV latent genes, including enhanced proliferation and an elongated or 'spindled' morphology

characteristic of KS. Spindling is induced by two KSHV latent genes, vFLIP (Grossmann et al.

2006) and Kaposin B (KapB; (Corcoran, Johnston, and McCormick 2015)). Spindled cells also

secrete a variety of proinflammatory cytokines and angiogenic factors, to further promote tumour

progression through inflammatory cytokine production (Ensoli 1998; Ciufo et al. 2001; Naranatt

et al. 2003; Grossmann et al. 2006; Ojala and Schulz 2014). However, no information exists to

demonstrate pro-tumourigenic YAP activation in KSHV latency, despite the fact that the vGPCR

has been shown to activate YAP during KSHV lytic infection (Liu et al. 2015).

One way that KSHV latency promotes the pro-inflammatory and pro-tumourigenic KS

microenvironment is via KapB-mediated disassembly of cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein granules

called processing bodies (PBs) (Corcoran, Johnston, and McCormick 2015). PBs are involved in

many RNA regulatory processes such as RNA silencing, nonsense-mediated decay and mRNA

decay (Eulalio, Behm-Ansmant, and Izaurralde 2007). We and others have shown that PBs are

the major site for the translational suppression or constitutive decay of human mRNAs that code

for potent regulatory molecules such as proinflammatory cytokines (Franks and Lykke-Andersen

2007; Corcoran, Johnston, and McCormick 2015; Vindry et al. 2017; Blanco et al. 2014). There

are ~4500 of these transcripts, all of which bear destabilizing AU-rich elements (AREs) in their

3'-untranslated regions (3'-UTRs) (Shaw and Kamen 1986; Shyu, Greenberg, and Belasco 1989;

Chen and Shyu 1995; Winzen et al. 1999; Stoecklin, Mayo, and Anderson 2006; Franks and

Lykke-Andersen 2007; Bakheet, Williams, and Khabar 2006; Bakheet, Hitti, and Khabar 2017).

PB abundance and composition is extremely dynamic and responds to cellular stress (Sheth

2003; Kedersha and Anderson 2007; Aizer et al. 2008; Takahashi et al. 2011). Specifically,

activation of the stress-responsive p38/MK2 MAP kinase pathway by KapB elicits PB

disassembly and prevents constitutive ARE-mRNA turnover (Winzen et al. 1999; Docena et al.

2010; Corcoran et al. 2012; Corcoran and McCormick 2015; Corcoran, Johnston, and

McCormick 2015). This is an important yet underappreciated regulatory mechanism that fine

tunes the production of potent proinflammatory cytokines and angiogenic factors in KS.

Though PBs are dynamic and stress-responsive, the precise signaling events that lead to

PB assembly or disassembly are not well understood. We showed previously that KapB binds

and activates MK2, which then phosphorylates hsp27, complexes with p115RhoGEF, and

activates RhoA to elicit PB disassembly (Corcoran, Johnston, and McCormick 2015; Garcia et

al. 2009; McCormick and Ganem 2005). While it is well-established that RhoA coordinates SF

formation (Ridley and Hall 1992; Watanabe et al. 1999; Schmitz et al. 2000; Hotulainen and

Lappalainen 2006), the precise mechanism of how RhoA promotes PB disassembly is not

appreciated (Corcoran, Johnston, and McCormick 2015; Takahashi et al. 2011). In an effort to

better understand the regulation of PB disassembly by KapB and RhoA, we began by targeting

downstream RhoA effectors reported to promote SF formation to determine if the proteins

known to mediate cytoskeletal remodeling were also necessary for PB disassembly. We reasoned

that at some point we would be able to uncouple the signaling events that led to SFs from those

that led to PB disassembly. We were not. We now present data that conclusively shows KapB-

mediated PB disassembly is dependent not only on RhoA, but on cytoskeletal structures,

actomyosin contractility and the presence of the mechanoresponsive transcription transactivator,

YAP. We also present the first evidence of elevated YAP levels in response to expression of a

KSHV latent gene, KapB. We also extend these studies beyond their impact on viral

tumourigenesis, by determining the mechanical regulation of PB dynamics in the absence of

KapB expression, and show that induced cell contractility, cytoskeletal structures and active

YAP all precede PB disassembly. Using a viral protein from an oncogenic virus, we have

discovered a novel mechanoresponsive signaling pathway that transduces signals from cell shape

and cytoskeletal structures to YAP to control PBs, post-transcriptional regulators of cellular gene

expression.

Results

RhoA effectors controlling SF formation are required for PB disassembly

We previously showed that KapB-mediated PB disassembly required RhoA (Corcoran,

Johnston, and McCormick 2015). In this work, we investigated whether downstream RhoA

effectors known to control SF formation also control PB disassembly. Mammalian diaphanous

protein 1 (mDia1) and Rho-associated coiled-coil kinase (ROCK) are considered the main

coordinators of RhoA-mediated SF formation (Watanabe et al. 1999; Tojkander, Gateva, and

Lappalainen 2012). mDia1 is a formin that promotes actin filament polymerization (Watanabe et

al. 1999). To examine whether mDia1 was required for KapB-mediated PB disassembly, we

designed short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) to silence mDia1 mRNA. KapB- and vector- expressing

human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were transduced with mDia1-targeting

shRNAs and selected. Silencing efficacy was confirmed with immunoblotting (Fig 1A). PB

analysis was performed using CellProfiler to quantify immunofluorescence images stained for

the hallmark PB-resident protein, Hedls, as described in detail in the methods (J. H. Yu et al.

2005; Kedersha et al. 2008). Knockdown of mDia1 increased PBs in KapB-expressing cells (Fig

1B, D). mDia1-sh1 showed a greater increase in PBs in comparison to mDia1-sh2 (Fig 1B),

likely because mDia1-sh1 reduced protein expression by 90% whereas mDia1-sh2 reduced it by

40-50% (Fig 1A). To ensure that the loss of mDia1 did not increase PBs globally but rather that

mDia1 contributed specifically to KapB-mediated PB disassembly, we calculated the ratio of

PBs per cell in KapB-expressing cells and normalized to PBs per cell in vector controls. This is

important because this calculation shows whether KapB is still able to disassemble PBs, relative

to vector, in the context of mDia silencing. If the ratio is ≥1 after sh-mDia treatment, it indicates

that KapB is no longer able to disassemble PBs in comparison to the vector control, and that

mDia contributes directly to KapB-mediated PB disassembly. Conversely, if the ratio is ~ 0.4 to

0.6, it indicates that KapB can still disassemble PBs even in the context of sh-mDia treatment. In

this case, we determined that silencing using both mDia1-sh1 and mDia1-sh2 restored the PB

ratio in KapB:Vector cells to ~1, indicating that the ability of KapB to disassemble PBs is lost

after mDia silencing and that this is a specific effect (Fig 1C). We note that this ratio will be

reported in subsequent figures for every RNA silencing or drug treatment applied to test KapB-

mediated PB disassembly. We also observed that mDia1 silencing did not eliminate SF

formation (Fig 1D) but, instead, increased elongated cells with visible actin SFs across the cell in

both vector and KapB conditions. The visible actin structures may represent different SF

subtypes or actin bundles that compensate for the loss of mDia1 (Hotulainen and Lappalainen

2006).

ROCK promotes SF formation by increasing actin contractility and inhibiting actin severing

activity (Julian and Olson 2014). Chemical inhibition of both isoforms of ROCK, ROCK1 and

ROCK2, with Y-27632 (Ishizaki et al. 2000) restored PBs in KapB-expressing cells and

increased the ratio of KapB:Vector PBs (Fig 2A-C). To determine whether PB disassembly is

dependent on a single ROCK isoform, both ROCK1 and ROCK2 were knocked down with

isoform-specific shRNAs. Knockdown efficacy was confirmed with immunoblotting (Fig S1).

Independent knockdown of ROCK1 and 2 increased PBs counts in KapB-expressing cells (Fig

2D, F) and restored the ratio of KapB:Vector PBs counts (Fig 2E). This indicated that both

ROCK1 and ROCK2 can contribute to KapB-mediated PB disassembly. ROCK2 knockdown

showed more robust PB restoration, both in terms of PB counts and PB size, than that seen with

ROCK1 knockdown (Fig 2D, F). Quantification of PB counts in control cells for both pan-

ROCK inhibition and ROCK knockdown experiments is reported in Figure S1. While pan-

ROCK inhibition and ROCK1 knockdown treatments both eliminate SFs, ROCK2 knockdown

retains pronounced actin fibres in the cells (Fig 2F). Similar to mDia1 knockdown, this may

indicate a compensatory mechanism to retain cell shape and suggests that only a subset of SFs

may be required for PB disassembly. Taken together, these data show that inhibition of RhoA

effectors that mediate SF formation can reverse KapB-mediated PB disassembly. Put another

way, we have been unable to uncouple KapB-mediated SF formation from KapB-mediated PB

disassembly.

ROCK phosphorylates and activates LimK, which then phosphorylates and inactivates

cofilin, an actin-severing protein (Ohashi et al. 2000). In this way, ROCK promote SF formation.

To investigate the role of cofilin in KapB-mediated PB disassembly, shRNAs to knockdown

cofilin expression were used (Fig S2A). Since ROCK activation results in less cofilin activity

and reduced actin severing, we hypothesized that knockdown of cofilin in KapB-expressing cells

would augment KapB-mediated PB disassembly. Knockdown of cofilin resulted in elongated

cells with more SFs (Fig S2D). Cofilin knockdown also augmented PBs disassembly in KapB-

expressing cells (Fig S2B, C). This indicates that inhibition of cofilin elicits PB disassembly and

supports the hypothesis that by reducing cofilin activity to promote KapB-mediated SF

formation, PB disassembly is enhanced.

G-actin concentration does not influence PB disassembly

191   Since we could not uncouple the signalling controlling SF formation from PB

disassembly, we investigated whether changes in the concentration of monomeric G-actin,

known to control cellular stress and SRF transcriptional responses (Sotiropoulos et al. 1999;

Chambers et al. 2015), could be controlling PBs. Several studies have shown that increasing the

proportion of filamentous actin decreases the cytoplasmic concentration of monomeric G-actin

(Rasmussen et al. 2010; Bunnell et al. 2011; Chambers et al. 2015). We investigated if our

phenotype, PB disassembly, was controlled by changes in the proportion of monomeric G-actin.

To determine this, cells were treated with drugs known to either decrease or increase the

proportion of monomeric G-actin. Jasplakinolide (Jasp) treatment decreases the G-actin fraction

by facilitating actin nucleation and aberrant polymerization of actin (Bubb et al. 1999).

Conversely, the actin polymerization inhibitor Cytochalasin D (CytD) caps the barbed end of

actin filaments, preventing further elongation of the actin filament and increasing the free G-

actin concentration (Wakatsuki et al. 2001). If the level of G-actin is the signal, we hypothesized

that jasplakinolide, which decreases G-actin levels, would mediate PB disassembly, while

cytochalasin D would do the opposite, and promote PB assembly. However, both treatments

increased the PB count per cell (Fig S3A-C); these data indicate that the concentration of G-actin

does not influence PB disassembly, and this is not the mechanism by which actin SF formation

or enhanced activity of RhoA alters PB dynamics. These data are congruent with our mDia1 and

ROCK knockdown experiments that show retention of visible F-actin bundles despite PB

restoration.

α −actinin-1 activity promotes PB disassembly

The actinins are primarily known for their role in bundling actin fibres, though in non-

muscle cells, α -actinin-1 and 4 do not mediate actin bundling to the same extent (Pellegrin and

Mellor 2007). α -actinin-4 can, at times, localize to dorsal SFs, but it primarily mediates focal

adhesion turnover and can act as a transcriptional regulator of genes associated with cell

proliferation and differentiation (Honda et al. 1998; Kovac 2010; Honda 2015). α -actinin-1

primarily mediates SF bundling and formation, as well as focal adhesion maturation (Honda et

al. 1998; Kovac 2010). Using immunofluorescence, we observed that the localization of the two

isoforms seen in HUVECs (Fig S4A, B) was consistent with the reported localization and

function, as α -actinin-1 was localized to actin fibres and α -actinin-4 was more diffusely

cytoplasmic and nuclear, with some actin fibre localization (Honda et al. 1998; Kovac 2010).

Since α -actinin-1 associated with SFs in HUVECs and overexpression of alpha-actinin-GFP has

been shown to localize and reinforce SFs (Edlund, Lotano, and Otey 2001; Jackson et al. 2008),

we asked whether its overexpression would promote PB disassembly. This was indeed the case,

suggesting that enhancing SF bundling and focal adhesion maturation positively regulates PB

disassembly (Fig S4C, D).

Changes in cytoskeletal contractility control PB disassembly

One of the downstream activities of the kinase, ROCK, is to phosphorylate myosin light

chain to induce non-muscle myosin II (NMII)-mediated actomyosin contraction (Mutsuki

Amano et al. 1996). Since ROCK is required for KapB-mediated PB disassembly, we

determined whether functional actomyosin contractility is also required. KapB-expressing cells

were treated with blebbistatin, which inhibits NMII-mediated actomyosin contractility by

maintaining NMII in a conformation that is unable to bind actin filaments (Kovacs et al. 2004).

Treatment of KapB-expressing cells with blebbistatin restored both PBs levels in KapB-

expressing cells, as well as the KapB:Vector ratio of PBs (Fig 3A-C), indicating that

contractility is required for KapB-induced PB disassembly. To determine if contraction would

elicit the same phenotype in the absence of KapB, cells were treated with Calyculin A (CalA),

an inhibitor of myosin light chain phosphatase that promotes NMII phosphorylation and

actomyosin contraction (Asano and Mabuchi 2001). Inducing contraction with CalA decreased

counts of PBs (Fig 3D, E), again consistent with the hypothesis that actomyosin contractility

controls PB disassembly.

244   Actomyosin contractility impacts cytoskeletal tension in adherent cells with SFs (Katoh

et al. 1998; Tan et al. 2003). Additionally, both Jasp and CytD interfere with cytoskeletal

tension (Rotsch and Radmacher 2000), and both increased PB counts (Fig S3). Since the

mechanoresponsive transcription activator, YAP, is activated by increases to cytoskeletal

tension via actomyosin contractility (Dupont et al. 2011), we predicted the following: 1) KapB

expression increases cytoskeletal tension, 2) KapB expression will activate YAP and 3) both

cytoskeletal tension and YAP will be required for PB disassembly. Though unable to directly

test the first prediction, we now consider the role of YAP in KapB-mediated PB disassembly.

YAP activation induces PB disassembly

254   We investigated the cellular localization of YAP in KapB-expressing cells. KapB-

transduced human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) showed increased levels of

nuclear YAP, as well as increased total YAP intensity by immunofluorescence, though the ratio

of nuclear:cytoplasmic YAP was not markedly increased (Fig 4A). When YAP is phosphorylated

by LATS, it is sequestered in the cytoplasm and transcriptionally inactive (Zhao et al. 2007).

While YAP has multiple phosphorylation sites, phosphorylation at serine 127 is the most potent

LATS-mediated phosphorylation site that promotes cytoplasmic distribution of YAP (Zhao et al.

2007). To investigate the phosphorylation status of YAP in KapB-expressing cells, levels of

P(S127)-YAP and total YAP were measured by immunoblot. In KapB-expressing cells, there

was a decrease in the ratio of P(S127)-YAP to total YAP suggesting that YAP is active when

KapB is expressed (Fig 4B). We also observed an increase in total steady-state levels of YAP by

immunoblotting, corroborating the increase in total YAP intensity seen by microscopy (Fig 4A,

B). Taken together, these observations are the first evidence of enhanced YAP activity in

response to expression of a KSHV latent gene. We next asked if active YAP in KapB-expressing

cells can interact with TEAD and other transcription factors to elicit changes in gene expression

(Vassilev et al. 2001). We used a TEAD-element luciferase assay to assess if canonical YAP

transcription was activated. As a positive control, we used YAP 5SA, a mutant version of YAP

that is unable to be phosphorylated and inactivated by the inhibitory kinase LATS (Zhao et al.

2007) and is thus considered constitutively active. YAP 5SA robustly activated the TEAD

element-containing firefly luciferase reporter (Fig S5A). Despite our observations of increased

nuclear and total YAP, KapB did not induce the transcription of the TEAD element-containing

firefly luciferase reporter (TEAD-Fluc; Fig S5A). Further, KapB did not increase steady-state

mRNA levels of common YAP target genes CTGF, CYR61 and ANKRD1 by RT-qPCR,

although these genes were elevated by YAP 5SA (Fig S5B). These data indicate despite the

observation that YAP appears more abundant and nuclear in KapB-expressing cells, it is not

activating transcription of its canonical gene targets.

We expressed shRNAs targeting YAP in KapB-expressing HUVECs to assess whether

the altered levels of YAP impacted PB disassembly. Immunoblotting confirmed knockdown

efficiency (Fig 4C). Knockdown of YAP increased PBs in KapB-expressing cells (Fig 4D-F). In

the context of YAP knockdown, the KapB:Vector ratio of PBs counts was restored, indicating

that YAP is required for KapB-mediated PB disassembly (Fig 4E) and suggesting that KapB is

activating a mechanoresponsive signalling axis to elicit PB disassembly via YAP. We wondered

if YAP was central to PB disassembly in the absence of KapB expression. To this end, we

examined PBs after YAP 5SA expression. These cells displayed decreased number of PBs per

cell, indicating that YAP 5SA elicited disassembly of PBs (Fig 5A, B). KapB-mediated PB

disassembly correlates with increases in stability and levels of ARE-mRNA (Corcoran, Johnston,

and McCormick 2015; McCormick and Ganem 2005). To examine whether YAP 5SA-mediated

PB disassembly elicits the same changes in ARE-mRNAs, we used a luciferase assay previously

established to measure the stability of ARE-mRNAs by measuring luminescence of an ARE-

containing firefly luciferase reporter (Corcoran, Khaperskyy, and McCormick 2011). In this

assay, as previously shown in Corcoran, Johnston, and McCormick (2015), KapB increased level

of firefly luminescence indicating enhanced stability of its RNA transcript (Fig 5C). However,

despite also inducing pronounced PB disassembly, YAP 5SA does not increase Fluc

luminescence significantly more than the control construct (Fig 5C). This points to a divergence

of KapB and active YAP outcome. Although PB disassembly is induced by the expression of

both constitutively active YAP and KapB, active YAP increases the transcriptional activation of

genes CTGF, CYR61 and ANKRD1 while KapB does not; conversely, KapB enhances the

stability of ARE-mRNAs while active YAP does not.

YAP activators disassemble PBs

Since overexpression of constitutively active YAP leads to disassembly of PBs, we

wanted to determine whether activation of endogenous YAP could do the same in the absence of

KapB. We tested various upstream mechanical signals described to activate YAP for their ability

to elicit PB disassembly: shear stress, low cell confluence and high ECM stiffness (Nakajima et

al. 2017; Lee et al. 2017; Noria et al. 2004; Zhao et al. 2007; Dupont et al. 2011). For the first,

we subjected HUVECs to shear stress by fluid flow (shear forces of 2 and 10 dyn/cm^2) and PBs

were examined via immunofluorescence. Both treatments showed prominent cell elongation and

resulted in robust PB disassembly (Fig 6A, B). To test if cell confluence regulates PB levels,

HUVECs were seeded at low, medium and high densities. Cells at low confluence are reported to

have active YAP and we predicted PBs would disassembly; however, the low-density monolayer

displayed more PBs per cell then those at medium and high densities (Fig 6C, D). To test the

impact of collagen stiffness on PB disassembly, HUVECs were plated on coverslips coated with

increasing densities of collagen (0 to 64 μg/ cm^2). While collagen density does not perfectly

reproduce matrix stiffness as it does not eliminate effects from increasing collagen-binding sites,

increasing collagen densities correlate with increases in matrix stiffness (Yang, Leone, and

Kaufman 2009; Lee et al. 2014; Joshi, Mahajan, and Kothapalli 2018). As collagen density

increased, PBs decreased (Fig 6E, F). Taken together, these data indicate that PB disassembly

occurred in response to mechanical stimuli known to require RhoA and altered cytoskeletal

structures to activate YAP (shear stress and increased ECM concentration) (Zhao et al. 2012;

Huang et al. 2016; Lee and Kumar 2016; Moreno-Vicente et al. 2018). Again, our model points

to the importance of actin SF formation as a requisite precursor to PB disassembly irrespective of

YAP activation status.

Shear stress mediated PB disassembly requires YAP

YAP responds to external forces that induce active RhoA, actin SFs, and pronounced cell

elongation; in short, the typical behaviour of ECs in response to fluid flow. However, how YAP

responds to shear stress is controversial (Wang et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2016; Lee et al. 2017;

Nakajima and Mochizuki 2017). To verify YAP activation by continuous, unidirectional fluid

flow in our system, HUVECs subjected to 2 and 10 dyn/cm^2 of shear stress were lysed and used

for immunoblotting for P(S127)-YAP and total YAP. Shear stress the ratio of phosphor-

YAP/YAP in both conditions, suggesting a higher proportion of active YAP (Fig 7A). To assess

if YAP was required for PB disassembly in response to shear stress, HUVECs transduced with YAP-targeting shRNA were subjected to 10 dyn/cm^2 shear stress. PBs disassembled in cells treated with a non-targeting shRNA when subjected to shear stress (Fig 7B, C), consistent with earlier experiments (Fig 6A, B). When YAP was reduced by shRNA expression, ECs exposed to shear stress had more PBs than control cells without shear (Fig 7B, C). Therefore, YAP is required to disassemble PBs in response to shear stress. Taken together with our analysis of KapB-mediated PB disassembly, these data suggest that when KapB is expressed, it turns on the same mechanoresponsive signals that endothelial cells use to withstand mechanical forces like shear, in the absence of an external stimulus. The outcome of both scenarios is YAP-dependent disassembly of cytoplasmic PBs.

Discussion

In this manuscript, we have used a viral protein from an oncogenic virus to uncover the relationship between cytoplasmic PBs and the mechanical regulation of actin SF formation. We present data to support the existence of a novel mechanoresponsive pathway that links actin SFs, actomyosin contractility, and the transcription transactivator YAP to the disassembly of PBs and show that this pathway is hijacked by KapB during KSHV latency. Our major findings are as follows. i) KapB-mediated PB disassembly requires actin SF effectors ROCK1/2 /mDia1 and is enhanced by loss of the actin-severing protein, cofilin. ii) KapB-mediated PB disassembly is reversed when blebbistatin is used to inhibit actomyosin contractility or after knockdown of the mechanoresponsive transcription transactivator, YAP. iii) In the absence of KapB, we can induce PB disassembly when we promote the formation of actin SFs, actomyosin contractility, and YAP activity using overexpression of α−actinin-1 (promotes actin bundling into SFs and increases cytoskeletal tension (Jackson et al. 2008)), Calyculin A (inhibits myosin light chain phosphatase to promote actomyosin contraction (Asano and Mabuchi 2001)), or overexpression of active YAP (YAP 5SA). Exposure of endothelial cells to the external forces created by shear stress or a stiff extracellular matrix also induces PB disassembly in the absence of KapB. Together, these data show for the first time, that PBs disassemble in response to mechanical signals that transduce external forces from outside the cell to the actin cytoskeleton and that this is a pathway used by endothelial cells to regulate gene expression in response to diverse stimuli. Moreover, this work also highlights the remarkable pizzazz used by viruses to hijack cellular pathways. In

this case, we reveal that the viral protein KapB taps into this mechanoresponsive pathway to

trigger mechanical changes to cytoskeletal structures and downstream effectors that would

normally respond to force, thereby inducing PB disassembly from within the cell, rather than

from without.

During the process of actin polymerization, the monomeric form of actin, globular actin

(G-actin), aggregates in groups of three subunits or more to nucleate an actin filament, which

extends into filaments via addition of further G-actin monomers through ATP-dependent

polymerization (reviewed in Pollard 2016). 10 to 30 actin filaments (F-actin) bundle together

into SFs, primarily using the α-actinin family for crosslinking (Small et al. 1998; Cramer,

Siebert, and Mitchison 1997; Lazarides and Burridge 1975; Pellegrin and Mellor 2007). SFs with

periodic distribution of actin-crosslinking proteins and non-muscle myosin II (NMII) are

contractile structures (Katoh et al. 1998; Tan et al. 2003), but not all actin SFs function equally in

this regard. For any structure to be able to generate tension, it must be tethered at the ends. Of the

types of SFs (ventral SFs, dorsal SFs and transverse arcs; (Small et al. 1998)), ventral SFs are

attached at both termini to the extracellular matrix (ECM) through focal adhesions and contain

NMII, which imparts a contractile phenotype (Hotulainen and Lappalainen 2006; Small et al.

1998; Vallenius 2013). Dorsal SFs are attached through focal adhesions but do not contain NMII,

and thus are not contractile (Small et al. 1998; Vallenius 2013). However, dorsal SFs are thought

to work in concert with transverse arcs, which contain NMII but are not attached to focal

adhesions, to mediate cellular contractility.

In this work, while we did not directly determine the subtype of actin SF structures that

form in response to KapB-mediated RhoA activation, several features of our data suggest that the

structures that are important for PB disassembly must be contractile and cytoskeletal tension.

When both mDia1 and ROCK2 were silenced in KapB-expressing cells (Fig 1, 2), visible actin

bundles are still apparent despite PB restoration in both contexts. This suggests that not all SF

subtypes are required for our phenotype. In addition, blebbistatin treatment of KapB-expressing

cells dramatically restored PBs; these data suggest that PB disassembly requires actin-mediated

contractility rather than merely structural support (Fig 3). Furthermore, overexpression of α-

actinin and shear stress increase cell stiffness (Lee et al. 2006; Jackson et al. 2008). Both

treatments induced PB disassembly (Fig S4, 6), reinforcing the correlation between increasing

cell tension and PB disassembly. Finally, our data show that YAP is required for PB disassembly

(Figs 4, 5, 7). YAP is mechanoresponsive; it becomes active when tension-forming actin

structures are induced by external forces e.g. focal adhesion engagement by stiff ECM (Dupont

et al. 2011; Sugimoto et al. 2018). As YAP activation and PB disassembly both rely on RhoA-

induced cytoskeletal contractility, any activator of YAP that induces cytoskeletal tension through

RhoA should mediate PB disassembly. Our data supports this notion, as shear stress forces and

increasing collagen density both cause PB disassembly in the absence of KapB, while low

confluence does not (Fig 6). We also know that GPCRs ($G_{11/12}$ and $G_{q}/11$) activate YAP in a

RhoA-dependent manner (Yu et al. 2012) and LPA treatment or overexpression of KSHV-

derived constitutively active vGPCR (both activate $G_{11/12}$) both induce PB disassembly (Corcoran

et al. 2012; Corcoran and McCormick 2015), these findings support the conclusion that PB

disassembly requires the formation of contractile actin structures like those associated with YAP

transactivation responses.

KSHV is an oncogenic virus associated with the endothelial neoplasm, Kaposi's sarcoma

(KS). Cells within the KS lesion display latent KSHV infection, proliferate abnormally, spindle,

and release many pro-inflammatory and pro-tumourigenic mediators into the microenvironment.

KapB expression alone recapitulates two of these key features, cell spindling and pro-

inflammatory mediator production that results from enhanced stability of ARE-containing

cytokine mRNAs that would normally shuttle to PBs for constitutive turnover (Corcoran,

Johnston, and McCormick 2015). Our previous work showed that both phenotypes require KapB

activation of the stress-responsive kinase, MK2, and the downstream activation of the GTPase

RhoA (Corcoran, Johnston, and McCormick 2015; Corcoran and McCormick 2015). We also

showed that the lytic vGPCR protein mediates PB disassembly and the concomitant stabilization

of ARE-mRNAs; more recently ORF57 has been also reported to disrupt PBs (Corcoran et al.

2012; Sharma et al. 2019). The observation that KSHV encodes at least three separate gene

products sufficient to drive PB disassembly suggests that PB disassembly is beneficial for some

aspect of the infectious cycle. Further research is required to definitively address how PBs

influence the KSHV infectious cycle and the fate of infected cells.

We and others observed that the presence or absence of PB punctae visible by

microscopy directly correlates with the stability of ARE-mRNAs (Corcoran, Johnston, and

McCormick 2015; Vindry et al. 2017; Blanco et al. 2014). We predicted that YAP- mediated PB

disassembly would also promote ARE-mRNA stability. Indeed, several YAP-target genes

contain ARE elements in their 3'UTR, including CTGF and ANKRD1 (Shen and Stanger 2015;

Bakheet, Hitti, and Khabar 2017). Shear forces also cause YAP-dependent PB disassembly and

have previously been shown to upregulate many genes containing ARE-mRNAs (Vozzi et al.

2018; Bakheet, Hitti, and Khabar 2017). Comparison of the transcriptomic data from HUVECs

subjected to shear stress from Vozzi et al (2018) (Accession: GEO, GSE45225) to entries in the

ARE-mRNA database (Bakheet, Hitti, and Khabar 2017) showed a 20% enrichment in the

proportion of genes that contained AREs in those transcripts that were upregulated by shear

stress. This suggests that PB disassembly enables efficient translation of YAP targets by

preventing recruitment of the ARE-containing transcripts to PBs. That said, overexpression of

constitutively active YAP (YAP 5SA) disassembles PBs but does not increase stability of an

ARE-containing firefly luciferase reporter (Fig 5) (Corcoran, Khaperskyy, and McCormick

2011)). This discrepancy may be due to different functional responses for different classes of

AU-rich elements. Our ARE-containing luciferase reporter contains the AU-rich sequence

derived from the 3'-UTR of GM-CSF, categorized in Cluster 5, whereas canonical YAP genes

CTGF and ANKDR1 are in Clusters 1 and 2, respectively (Bakheet, Hitti, and Khabar 2017).

Data presented herein clearly implicate a requirement for YAP in the PB disassembly

phenotype that is induced by KapB and by the external force, shear stress (Fig 4,7). However, the

precise connection between YAP and PB disassembly is unclear. What we do know is that

despite the clear reliance on YAP for PB disassembly, KapB does not increase expression of

canonical YAP-regulated transcripts (Fig S5). Our data also show increases in total YAP,

decreases in phosphorylated YAP; however, the ratio of nuclear:cytoplasmic YAP is not

markedly altered (Fig 4). Taken together, these data suggest that PB disassembly is independent

of YAP's role as a gene transactivator and may also be independent of YAP nuclear

translocation. In the discussion that follows, we explore two possible models for how YAP may

promote PB disassembly that are independent of its transactivation of canonical genes. *i)*

Cytoplasmic YAP promotes autophagic flux to promote PB catabolism. Several studies link YAP

with the regulation of the catabolic process of autophagy, though many of these are contradictory

and suggest YAP-mediated autophagy control is cell type and context-dependent (Song et al.

2015; Liu et al. 2017; Pei et al. 2019; Totaro et al. 2019). Totaro et al. provided strong evidence

to support that YAP promotes autophagic flux by promoting the expression of Armus, a Rab7-

GAP that is required to mediate the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes in the final

degradative step of autophagy (Totaro et al. 2019). Their data also show that autophagic flux is a

mechanoresponsive process; this supported by other studies wherein endothelium exposed to

unidirectional shear stress upregulates autophagy (Liu et al. 2015; Yao et al. 2015; Wang et al.

2018; Das et al. 2018). These data are also consistent with preliminary data from our group that

suggests that PB disassembly mediated by KapB requires autophagy (knockdown of Atg5

restores PBs [Robinson, Singh, Corcoran, unpublished data]) and the work of others (Hardy et al.

2017). In this model, we propose that the intermediate step(s) linking the requirement of YAP to

the disappearance of PBs is the upregulation of autophagic flux, which results in the autophagic

degradation of PB granules or PB components. *ii) YAP and PBs are antiviral* PBs are sites

where innate immune factors congregate that are disrupted by most viruses during infection

(Burdick et al. 2010; Li et al. 2012; Ostareck, Naarmann-de Vries, and Ostareck-Lederer 2014;

Burgess and Mohr 2015; Cuevas et al. 2016; H. Wang et al. 2016; Lumb et al. 2017; Balinsky et

al. 2017; Núñez et al. 2018; Ng et al. 2020). Indeed, KSHV encodes three separate proteins that

all induce PB disassembly (Corcoran et al. 2012; Corcoran, Johnston, and McCormick 2015;

Sharma et al. 2019). PBs are likely playing an as yet undefined and underappreciated role in

regulating innate antiviral responses. YAP is also a novel and unappreciated negative regulator

of innate immune signaling pathways. YAP blocks the ability of the innate immune kinase,

TBK1, a downstream effector for several innate signaling pathways, to associate and activate its

substrates (Zhang et al 2017). In so doing, YAP blocks downstream induction of interferons and

increases viral replication (Zhang et al. 2017). This feature of YAP is independent of its ability to

act as a transcriptional transactivator (Zhang et al. 2017). We speculate that KapB-induced PB

disassembly, like active YAP, favours viral replication and survival and is promoted by KSHV in

order to reshape subsequent antiviral innate immune responses.

In this manuscript, we describe the surprising convergence of two previously unrelated

yet essential regulators of cellular gene expression – the mechanoresponsive transactivator YAP

and cytoplasmic PBs, known regulators of AU-rich mRNA decay. We show that PB disassembly

is mechanoresponsive; external forces that change cell shape and tension-forming cytoskeletal

structures cause PB disassembly in a YAP-dependent manner. This discovery was made courtesy

of the unique KSHV protein, KapB, and provides yet another example of how viruses have

evolved surprising ways to manipulate their host and ensure their survival. In this case, KapB

induces, from the inside out, a mechanoresponsive pathway to cause PB disassembly and

elevated YAP. Future study will untangle how these related mechanoresponsive events are

induced by KSHV to better promote viral replication.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies, Plasmids and Reagents

The antibodies used in this study can be found in Table S1. The plasmids used in this

study can be found in Table S2. Forward and reverse shRNA sequences were selected from the

TRC Library Database in the Broad Institute RNAi consortium. YAP target shRNAs in pLKO.1

were obtained from Dr. C. McCormick (Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada). Sequences for

all shRNA oligonucleotides used for cloning are listed in Table S3. Cloning of shRNAs was

conducted according to the pLKO.1 protocol (Addgene 2006). The chemical inhibitors used in

this study can be found in Table S4.

Cell Culture

Human embryonic kidney 293T and 293A cells (HEK-293T/A, ATCC, Manassas,

Virginia, US) and human cervical adenocarcinoma cells expressing a tetracycline-inducible

repressor (HeLa Tet-Off, Clontech, Mountain View, California, US) were cultured in Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco, Carlsbad, California, US) supplemented with 10%

heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and

2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco). Pooled human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs, Lonza,

Basel, Switzerland) were cultured in endothelial cell growth medium 2 (EGM-2, Lonza)). For

HUVEC passaging, tissue culture plates were precoated for 30 min at 37°C with 0.1% (w/v)

porcine gelatin (Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri, US) in 1X PBS (Gibco).

Transfection for Lentivirus Production

HEK-293T cells at 70-80% confluence were transfected using 3.3 μg of the target

lentiviral construct, 2 μg pSPAX2 and 1 μg pMD2.G with 1 mg/mL polyethyenimine (PEI,

Sigma) in serum-free DMEM. After 5 to 6 h, media was replaced with antibiotic-free DMEM

containing 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco). Transfected cells were incubated for 48 h

at 37°C to allow lentivirus production. The supernatant media containing viral particles was

harvested and filtered through a 0.45 μm polyethersulfone (PES) filter (VWR, Randor,

Pennsylvania, US) and aliquoted. Virus was stored at -80°C until use.

Lentiviral Transduction

Lentivirus was supplied into wells of plated HUVECs in EGM-2 supplemented with 5

μg/mL hexadimethrine bromide (polybrene). After 24 h incubation, cells were selected with

either 5 μg/mL blasticidin (Sigma) for 96 h, replacing the media and antibiotic at 48 h, or 1

μg/mL puromycin (Sigma) for 48 h. Following selection, HUVEC medium was replaced with

EGM-2 without selection for at least 24 h recovery before further use.

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence was performed as described previously (Corcoran, Johnston, and

McCormick 2015). Briefly, cells were grown on coverslips (no. 1.5, Electron Microscopy

Sciences, Hatfield, Pennsylvania, US). Following treatment, coverslips were fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA, Electron Microscopy Sciences) in PBS at 37°C for 10 min,

permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X100 (Sigma) in 1X PBS for 10 min at RT, and blocked in 1%

Human AB serum (blocking buffer, Sigma) in 1X PBS for 1 h at RT. Coverslips were then

incubated with diluted primary antibody in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C in a humidified

chamber. After primary antibody incubation, coverslips were washed with 1X PBS and then

incubated in fluorescently-tagged secondary antibody diluted in blocking buffer for 1 h at RT. If

applicable, coverslips were stained with Phalloidin-conjugated Alexa-Fluor 647 (Invitrogen,

1:100) in 1X PBS for 1.5 h. Coverslips were mounted onto microscope slides (FisherBrand,

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, US) using Prolong Gold Antifade Mounting Media (Invitrogen,

Carlsbad, California, US). For coverslips that were used for Hedls puncta quantification, the

following modifications to immunofluorescence were made: (1) Prior to permeabilization,

coverslips were stained with wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) Alexa-647 conjugate (Invitrogen,

1:400) in 1X PBS for 10 min at RT. (2) Following secondary antibody incubation, coverslips

were stained with 4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole (DAPI, Invitrogen, 1:10,000) in 1X PBS for 5

min.

Confocal imaging was performed on the Zeiss LSM 880 Confocal Microscope

(Charbonneau Microscopy Facility, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada) at the 63X oil

objective. CellProfiler imaging was performed on the Zeiss AxioImager Z2 (CORES facility,

Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada) or Zeiss AxioObserver (Charbonneau Microscopy

Facility, University of Calgary) at the 40X oil objective.

Quantification of Processing Bodies Using CellProfiler Analysis

CellProfiler (cellprofiler.org) is an open source software for high-content image analysis

(Kamentsky et al. 2011) and was used to develop an unbiased method for quantifying changes to

PB dynamics. The pipeline used for quantifying PBs was structured as follows: To detect nuclei,

the DAPI image was thresholded into a binary image. In the binary image, primary objects

between 30 to 200 pixels in diameter were detected and defined as nuclei. Cells were identified

as secondary objects in the WGA image using a propagation function from the identified nuclei,

which determined the cell's outer edge. Using the parameters of a defined nucleus and cell

border, the cytoplasm was then defined as a tertiary object. The Hedls channel image was

enhanced using an "Enhance Speckles" function to identify distinct puncta and eliminate

background staining. The cytoplasm image was then applied as a mask to the enhanced puncta

image to ensure quantitation of only cytoplasmic puncta. Hedls puncta were measured in the

cytoplasm of cells using a 'global thresholding with robust background adjustments' function as

defined by the program. The threshold cut-off for identified Hedls puncta remained constant

between all experiments with identical staining parameters. Puncta number per cell, intensity and

locations with respect to the nucleus were measured and exported as .csv files and analyzed in

RStudio. A template of the RStudio analysis pipeline is attached in Appendix A. Data was

represented as fold change in Hedls puncta count per cell normalized to the vector puncta count.

'Relative Hedls Puncta/Cell (KapB/Vector)' demonstrates the KapB puncta count divided by

vector puncta count, a ratio that was calculated within each treatment group for each biological

replicate.

Protein Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting

Cells were lysed in 2X Laemmli buffer (20% glycerol, 4% SDS, 120 mM Tris-HCl),

between 150 to 300 μL, depending on cell density. Lysates were homogenized with a 0.21-gauge

needle, and supplemented to contain 0.02% (w/v) bromophenol blue (Sigma) and 0.05 M

dithiothreitol (DTT, Sigma), then heated at 95°C for 5 min. 7.5 or 12% TGX Stain-Free SDS-

polyacrylamide gels (BioRad) were cast according to the instructions of the manufacturer and 5

to 15 μg of total protein were subjected to SDS gel electrophoresis using 1X SDS running buffer

(25 mM Tris, 192 mM Glycine, 0.1% SDS). Precision Plus Protein All Blue Prestained Protein

Standards (BioRad, Hercules, California, US) was used as a molecular weight marker. After

electrophoresis, gels were UV-activated using the ChemiDocTouch (BioRad) Stain-Free Gel

setting with automated exposure for 45 s. The protein was transferred to low-fluorescence

polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (BioRad) on the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer

System (BioRad) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Following transfer, total

protein amounts on membranes were imaged on the ChemiDocTouch using the Stain-Free

Membrane setting with automated exposure. Membranes were then blocked using 5% BSA

(Sigma) in 1X TBS-T (150 nM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 0.01% Tween-20) for 1 h at RT.

Primary antibody was diluted in 2.5% BSA in 1X TBS-T. Membranes were incubated in

primary antibody solution overnight at 4°C with rocking. The following day, membranes were

washed 3 times for 5 min in 1X TBS-T. Membranes were incubated with the appropriate

secondary antibody, conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) for 1 h at RT. Membranes were

washed 4 times for 5 min in 1X TBS-T. Clarity™ Western ECL Blotting Substrate (BioRad) was

mixed at a 1:1 ratio and applied to the membrane for 5 min. Chemiluminescent signal was

imaged on ChemiDocTouch Chemiluminescence setting. Band intensity was quantified using

ImageLab software (BioRad), normalizing to total protein.

Quantitative Reverse-Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)

Cells were lysed in 250 μL RLT buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and RNA was

extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA using the qScript cDNA

SuperMix (QuantaBio, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-

time quantitative PCR with SsoFast EvaGreen qPCR MasterMix (BioRad) was used to quantify

the fold-change in mRNA abundance. Relative fluorescence was quantified using CFX Connect

(BioRad). All qRT-PCR primers efficiencies were between 90-110% in HUVECs and sequences

are found in Table S5.

Luciferase Assay for TEAD Transcriptional Activity

HEK-293A cells were seeded in antibiotic-free DMEM at 75,000 cells/well. Mixtures of

500 ng of the target construct (pcDNA (Vector), pcDNA-KapB (KapB), p1XFLAG or

p2XFLAG-YAP 5SA), 450 ng 8X-GTIIC luciferase reporter, 50 ng TREX-Renilla luciferase

reporter and 3 μL FuGENE HD Transfection Reagent (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, US) were

transfected into HEK-293A cells. After 36 h, DMEM containing only 2 mM L-glutamine

(starvation media) was supplied to the cells. Twelve hours after addition of starvation media,

cells were lysed in 200 μL passive lysis buffer (Promega) and luciferase activity was assayed

using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Luminescence was measured using the GloMax Luminometer (Promega).

Luciferase Assay for Stability of mRNA with AU-Rich Elements

This technique is described in Corcoran, Khaperskyy, and McCormick (2011). Briefly,

Hela-Tet Off cells were seeded in antibiotic-free DMEM at 100,000 cells/well. Mixtures of 900

ng of the target construct (pcDNA (Vector), pcDNA-KapB (KapB), p1XFLAG or p2XFLAG-

YAP 5SA), 90 ng TREX-Firefly ARE luciferase, 10 ng TREX-Renilla luciferase and 3 μL

FuGENE HD Transfection Reagent (Promega) were transfected into Hela Tet-Off cells. After 36

h, 1 μg/mL doxycycline was supplied to the cells to inhibit further transcription of each reporter.

Twelve hours after addition of doxycycline, cells were lysed in 200 μL passive lysis buffer

(Promega) and luciferase activity was assayed using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System

(Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Luminescence was measured using the

GloMax Luminometer (Promega).

Collagen-Coating for Altering Matrix Stiffness

Coverslips (no. 1.5, Electron Microscopy Sciences) were coated with a dilution series (0

to 64 μg/cm^2) of rat-tail collagen-1 (Gibco) in 0.02 M acetic acid for 4 h at RT. Slides were

sterilized with UV irradiation and washed with 2 times with sterile 1X PBS prior to seeding

cells.

Unidirectional Fluid Flow for Endothelial Cell Shear Stress

A parallel-plate flow chamber was used to expose ECs to shear stress. The system was

described in detail in Gomez-Garcia et al. (2018). Briefly, cleaned, unfrosted microscope slides

(Cole-Parmer, Vernon Hills, Illinois, US) were coated for 4 h at RT with rat-tail collagen-1

(Gibco) in 0.02 M acetic acid for a resultant 8.3 μg/cm^2 collagen density. Slides were sterilized

with UV irradiation and washed 2 times with sterile 1X PBS. HUVECs were seeded at a density

of 350,000 cells/slide and cultured for 24 h. Forty-five mL of EGM-2 supplemented with dextran

(Spectrum Chemical, New Brunswick, New Jersey, US) for a resultant 3 cP viscosity was added

to the stock media bottle. The stock media bottle was connected with the associated tubing and

pulse dampener. Slides with seeded cells were inserted onto the flow chamber, a gasket

(Specialty Manufacturing, Calgary, Canada) was added, and the system was sealed shut and

attached to the flow loop following the outlet of a pulse dampener. The rate of fluid flow was

started at 0.3 L/min and doubled every 15 min until final flow rates of 0.6 L/min and 2.7 L/min

were reached, corresponding to shear stress rates of 2 and 10 dyn/cm^2. Following 21 h, cells were

removed and immediately fixed for immunofluorescence or lysed for immunoblotting.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism 8.0 software. Significance was

determined using a ratio paired t-test or repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). One-

tailed ratio paired t-tests were applied in comparisons specifically examining PB restoration in

KapB-expressing cells as a directional hypothesis. In all other comparisons, two-tailed ratio

paired t-tests were applied. Significance was determined at $p = 0.05$. Each biological replicate

for CellProfiler quantification consisted of 6 images of each treatment in a given experiment,

counting approximately 100 to 200 cells per treatment.

References

Aizer, Adva, Yehuda Brody, Lian Wee Ler, Nahum Sonenberg, Robert H. Singer, and Yaron Shav-Tal. 2008. "The Dynamics of Mammalian P Body Transport, Assembly, and Disassembly In Vivo." *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 19 (11): 4154–66. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E08.

Amano, M, K Chihara, K Kimura, Y Fukata, N Nakamura, Y Matsuura, and K Kaibuchi. 1997. "Formation of Actin Stress Fibers and Focal Adhesions Enhanced by Rho-Kinase." *Science* 275 (5304): 1308–11. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5304.1308.

Amano, Mutsuki, Masaaki Ito, Kazushi Kimura, Yuko Fukata, Kazuyasu Chihara, Takeshi Nakano, Yoshiharu Matsuura, and Kozo Kaibuchi. 1996. "Phosphorylation and Activation of Myosin by Rho-Associated Kinase (Rho-Kinase)." *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 271 (34): 20246–49. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.34.20246.

Arias, Carolina, Ben Weisburd, Noam Stern-Ginossar, Alexandre Mercier, Alexis S. Madrid, Priya Bellare, Meghan Holdorf, Jonathan S. Weissman, and Don Ganem. 2014. "KSHV 2.0: A Comprehensive Annotation of the Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Genome Using Next-Generation Sequencing Reveals Novel Genomic and Functional Features." *PLoS Pathogens* 10 (1). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003847.

Asano, Yukako, and Issei Mabuchi. 2001. "Calyculin-A, an Inhibitor for Protein Phosphatases, Induces Cortical Contraction in Unfertilized Sea Urchin Eggs." *Cell Motility and the Cytoskeleton* 48 (4): 245–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/cm.1013.

Bakheet, Tala, Edward Hitti, and Khalid S A Khabar. 2017. "ARED-Plus: An Updated and Expanded Database of AU-Rich Element-Containing MRNAs and Pre-MRNAs." *Nucleic Acids Research* 46 (October 2017): 2017–19. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx975.

Bakheet, Tala, Bryan R G Williams, and Khalid S a Khabar. 2006. "ARED 3.0: The Large and Diverse AU-Rich Transcriptome." *Nucleic Acids Research* 34 (Database issue): D111–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj052.

Balinsky, Corey A., Hana Schmeisser, Alexandra I. Wells, Sundar Ganesan, Tengchuan Jin, Kavita Singh, and Kathryn C. Zoon. 2017. "IRAV (FLJ11286), an Interferon-Stimulated Gene with Antiviral Activity against Dengue Virus, Interacts with MOV10." Edited by Michael S. Diamond. *Journal of Virology* 91 (5). https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01606-16.

Blanco, Fernando F, Sandhya Sanduja, Natasha G Deane, Perry J Blackshear, and Dan a Dixon.

2014. "Transforming Growth Factor β Regulates P-Body Formation through Induction of

the MRNA Decay Factor Tristetraprolin." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 34 (2): 180–95.

https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01020-13.

Boshoff, Chris, Thomas F Schulz, Margaret M Kennedy, Andrew K Graham, Cyril Fisher, Alero

Thomas, J O'D. McGee, Robin a Weiss, and John J O'Leary. 1995. "Kaposi's Sarcoma-

Associated Herpesvirus Infects Endothelial and Spindle Cells." *Nature Medicine* 1 (12):

1274–78. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1295-1274.

Bubb, Michael R, Ilan Spector, Bret B Beyer, and Katina M. Fosen. 2000. "Effects of

Jasplakinolide on the Kinetics of Actin Polymerization." *Journal of Biological Chemistry*

275 (7): 5163–70. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.7.5163.

Bunnell, Tina M., Brandon J. Burbach, Yoji Shimizu, and James M. Ervasti. 2011. "β-Actin

Specifically Controls Cell Growth, Migration, and the G-Actin Pool." Edited by Paul

Forscher. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 22 (21): 4047–58. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e11-

06-0582.

Burdick, Ryan, Jessica L. Smith, Chawaree Chaipan, Yeshitila Friew, Jianbo Chen, Narasimhan

J. Venkatachari, Krista A. Delviks-Frankenberry, Wei-Shau Hu, and Vinay K. Pathak.

2010. "P Body-Associated Protein Mov10 Inhibits HIV-1 Replication at Multiple Stages."

Journal of Virology 84 (19): 10241–53. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00585-10.

Burgess, Hannah M., and Ian Mohr. 2015. "Cellular 5′-3′ MRNA Exonuclease Xrn1 Controls

Double-Stranded RNA Accumulation and Anti-Viral Responses." *Cell Host and Microbe*

17 (3): 332–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.02.003.

Burridge, Keith, and Christophe Guilluy. 2016. "Focal Adhesions, Stress Fibers and Mechanical

Tension." *Experimental Cell Research* 343 (1): 14–20.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2015.10.029.

Calvo, Fernando, Nil Ege, Araceli Grande-Garcia, Steven Hooper, Robert P. Jenkins, Shahid I.

Chaudhry, Kevin Harrington, et al. 2013. "Mechanotransduction and YAP-Dependent

Matrix Remodelling Is Required for the Generation and Maintenance of Cancer-Associated

Fibroblasts." *Nature Cell Biology* 15 (6): 637–46. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2756.

Chai, J., and Andrzej S. Tarnawski. 2002. "Serum Response Factor: Discovery, Biochemistry,

Biological Roles and Implications for Tissue Injury Healing." *Journal of Physiology and*

Pharmacology 53 (2): 147–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-011-9636-x.

Chambers, Joseph E, Lucy E Dalton, Hanna J Clarke, Elke Malzer, Caia S Dominicus, Vruti

Patel, Greg Moorhead, David Ron, and Stefan J Marciniak. 2015. "Actin Dynamics Tune

the Integrated Stress Response by Regulating Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2α

Dephosphorylation." *ELife* 4 (March). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04872.

Chang, Y, E Cesarman, M S Pessin, F Lee, J Culpepper, D M Knowles, and P S Moore. 1994.

"Identification of Herpes-like DNA Sequences in AIDS-Associated Kaposi's Sarcoma."

Science 266: 1865–69. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7997879.

Chen, C Y, and a B Shyu. 1995. "AU-Rich Elements: Characterization and Importance in

MRNA Degradation." *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 20 (11): 465–70.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(00)89102-1.

Ciufo, D M, J S Cannon, L J Poole, F Y Wu, P Murray, R F Ambinder, and G S Hayward. 2001.

"Spindle Cell Conversion by Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus: Formation of

Colonies and Plaques with Mixed Lytic and Latent Gene Expression in Infected Primary

Dermal Microvascular EndCiufo, D. M., Cannon, J. S., Poole, L. J., Wu, F. Y., Murray,

P.,." *Journal of Virology* 75 (12): 5614–26. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.75.12.5614-

5626.2001.

Corcoran, Jennifer A., Benjamin P. Johnston, and Craig McCormick. 2015. "Viral Activation of

MK2-Hsp27-P115RhoGEF-RhoA Signaling Axis Causes Cytoskeletal Rearrangements, P-

Body Disruption and ARE-MRNA Stabilization." Edited by Erle S. Robertson. *PLoS*

Pathogens 11 (1): e1004597. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004597.

Corcoran, Jennifer A., Denys A. Khaperskyy, Benjamin P. Johnston, Christine A. King, David P.

Cyr, Alisha V. Olsthoorn, and Craig McCormick. 2012. "Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated

Herpesvirus G-Protein-Coupled Receptor Prevents AU-Rich-Element-Mediated MRNA

Decay." *Journal of Virology* 86 (16): 8859–71. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00597-12.

Corcoran, Jennifer A., Denys A. Khaperskyy, and Craig McCormick. 2011. "Assays for

Monitoring Viral Manipulation of Host ARE-MRNA Turnover." *Methods* 55 (2): 172–81.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2011.08.005.

Corcoran, Jennifer A, and Craig McCormick. 2015. "Viral Activation of Stress-Regulated Rho-

GTPase Signaling Pathway Disrupts Sites of MRNA Degradation to Influence Cellular

Gene Expression." *Small GTPases* 6 (4): 178–85.

https://doi.org/10.1080/21541248.2015.1093068.

Cramer, Louise P., Margaret Siebert, and Timothy J. Mitchison. 1997. "Identification of Novel
Graded Polarity Actin Filament Bundles in Locomoting Heart Fibroblasts: Implications for
the Generation of Motile Force." *Journal of Cell Biology* 136 (6): 1287–1305.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.136.6.1287.

Cuevas, Rolando A., Arundhati Ghosh, Christina Wallerath, Veit Hornung, Carolyn B. Coyne,
and Saumendra N. Sarkar. 2016. "MOV10 Provides Antiviral Activity against RNA Viruses
by Enhancing RIG-I–MAVS-Independent IFN Induction." *The Journal of Immunology* 196
(9): 3877–86. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1501359.

Das, Joyjyoti, Somnath Maji, Tarun Agarwal, Suman Chakraborty, and Tapas K. Maiti. 2018.
"Hemodynamic Shear Stress Induces Protective Autophagy in HeLa Cells through Lipid
Raft-Mediated Mechanotransduction." *Clinical and Experimental Metastasis* 35 (3): 135–
48. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10585-018-9887-9.

Docena, G., L. Rovedatti, L. Kruidenier, Á Fanning, N. A.B. Leakey, C. H. Knowles, K. Lee, et
al. 2010. "Down-Regulation of P38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Activation and
Proinflammatory Cytokine Production by Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Inhibitors in
Inflammatory Bowel Disease." *Clinical and Experimental Immunology* 162 (1): 108–15.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2010.04203.x.

Dupont, Sirio, Leonardo Morsut, Mariaceleste Aragona, Elena Enzo, Stefano Giulitti,
Michelangelo Cordenonsi, Francesca Zanconato, et al. 2011. "Role of YAP/TAZ in
Mechanotransduction." *Nature* 474 (7350): 179–83. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10137.

Edlund, Magnus, Marc A. Lotano, and Carol A. Otey. 2001. "Dynamics of Alpha-Actinin in
Focal Adhesions and Stress Fibers Visualized with Alpha-Actinin-Green Fluorescent
Protein." *Cell Motility and the Cytoskeleton* 48 (3): 190–200. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-
0169(200103)48:3<190::AID-CM1008>3.0.CO;2-C.

Ensoli, B. 1998. "Kaposi's Sarcoma: A Result of the Interplay among Inflammatory Cytokines,
Angiogenic Factors and Viral Agents." *Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews* 9 (1): 63–83.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6101(97)00037-3.

Eulalio, Ana, Isabelle Behm-Ansmant, and Elisa Izaurralde. 2007. "P Bodies: At the Crossroads
of Post-Transcriptional Pathways." *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 8 (1): 9–22.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2080.

Finch-Edmondson, Megan, and Marius Sudol. 2016. "Framework to Function: Mechanosensitive

Regulators of Gene Transcription." *Cellular & Molecular Biology Letters* 21 (1): 28.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s11658-016-0028-7.

Franks, Tobias M., and Jens Lykke-Andersen. 2007. "TTP and BRF Proteins Nucleate

Processing Body Formation to Silence MRNAs with AU-Rich Elements." *Genes and*

Development 21 (6): 719–35. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1494707.

Friedland, Julie C, Mark H Lee, and David Boettiger. 2009. "Mechanically Activated Integrin

Switch Controls Alpha5 Beta1 Function." *Science* 323 (January): 642–44.

Ganem, Don. 1997. "KSHV and Kaposi's Sarcoma: The End of the Beginning?" *Cell* 91 (2):

157–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80398-0.

Garcia, Melissa C., Denise M. Ray, Brad Lackford, Mark Rubino, Kenneth Olden, and John D.

Roberts. 2009. "Arachidonic Acid Stimulates Cell Adhesion through a Novel P38 MAPK-

RhoA Signaling Pathway That Involves Heat Shock Protein 27." *Journal of Biological*

Chemistry 284 (31): 20936–45. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.020271.

Gomez-Garcia, M. Juliana, Amber L. Doiron, Robyn R. M. Steele, Hagar I. Labouta, Bahareh

Vafadar, Robert D. Shepherd, Ian D. Gates, David T. Cramb, Sarah J. Childs, and Kristina

D. Rinker. 2018. "Nanoparticle Localization in Blood Vessels: Dependence on Fluid Shear

Stress, Flow Disturbances, and Flow-Induced Changes in Endothelial Physiology."

Nanoscale 10 (32): 15249–61. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8NR03440K.

Grashoff, Carsten, Brenton D. Hoffman, Michael D. Brenner, Ruobo Zhou, Maddy Parsons,

Michael T. Yang, Mark A. McLean, et al. 2010. "Measuring Mechanical Tension across

Vinculin Reveals Regulation of Focal Adhesion Dynamics." *Nature* 466 (7303): 263–66.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09198.

Grossmann, Claudia, Simona Podgrabinska, Mihaela Skobe, and Don Ganem. 2006. "Activation

of NF-KB by the Latent VFLIP Gene of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Is

Required for the Spindle Shape of Virus-Infected Endothelial Cells and Contributes to Their

Proinflammatory Phenotype." *Journal of Virology* 80 (14): 7179–85.

https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.01603-05.

Halder, Georg, Sirio Dupont, and Stefano Piccolo. 2012. "Transduction of Mechanical and

Cytoskeletal Cues by YAP and TAZ." *Nature Publishing Group* 13 (9): 591–600.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3416.

Hardy, Shana D., Aparna Shinde, Wen Horng Wang, Michael K. Wendt, and Robert L. Geahlen.

2017. "Regulation of Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition and Metastasis by TGF-β, P-Bodies, and Autophagy." *Oncotarget* 8 (61): 103302–14. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.21871.

Honda, K, T Yamada, R Endo, Y Ino, M Gotoh, H Tsuda, Y Yamada, H Chiba, and S Hirohashi. 1998. "Actinin-4, a Novel Actin-Bundling Protein Associated with Cell Motility and Cancer Invasion.[Erratum Appears in J Cell Biol 1998 Oct 5;143(1):Following 276]." *Journal of Cell Biology* 140 (6): 1383–93. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.140.6.1383.

Honda, Kazufumi. 2015. "The Biological Role of Actinin-4 (ACTN4) in Malignant Phenotypes of Cancer." *Cell and Bioscience* 5 (1): 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-015-0031-0.

Hotulainen, Pirta, and Pekka Lappalainen. 2006. "Stress Fibers Are Generated by Two Distinct Actin Assembly Mechanisms in Motile Cells." *Journal of Cell Biology* 173 (3): 383–94. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200511093.

Huang, Yu, Li Wang, Jiang Yun Luo, Bochuan Li, Xiao Yu Tian, Li Jing Chen, Yuhong Huang, et al. 2016. "Integrin-YAP/TAZ-JNK Cascade Mediates Atheroprotective Effect of Unidirectional Shear Flow." *Nature* 540 (7634): 579–82. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20602.

Ishizaki, T, M Uehata, I Tamechika, J Keel, K Nonomura, M Maekawa, and S Narumiya. 2000. "Pharmacological Properties of Y-27632, a Specific Inhibitor of Rho-Associated Kinases." *Molecular Pharmacology* 57 (5): 976–83. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10779382.

Jackson, Wesley M., Michael J. Jaasma, Andrew D. Baik, and Tony M. Keaveny. 2008. "Over-Expression of Alpha-Actinin with a GFP Fusion Protein Is Sufficient to Increase Whole-Cell Stiffness in Human Osteoblasts." *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 36 (10): 1605–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-008-9533-9.

Jang, Wonyul, Tackhoon Kim, Ja Seung Koo, Sang-kyum Kim, and Dae-Sik Lim. 2017. " Mechanical Cue-induced YAP Instructs Skp2-dependent Cell Cycle Exit and Oncogenic Signaling ." *The EMBO Journal* 36 (17): 2510–28. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201696089.

Joshi, Jyotsna, Gautam Mahajan, and Chandrasekhar R. Kothapalli. 2018. "Three-Dimensional Collagenous Niche and Azacytidine Selectively Promote Time-Dependent Cardiomyogenesis from Human Bone Marrow-Derived MSC Spheroids." *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 115 (8): 2013–26. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26714.

Julian, Linda, and Michael F Olson. 2014. "Rho-Associated Coiled-Coil Containing Kinases

(ROCK)." *Small GTPases* 5 (2): e29846. https://doi.org/10.4161/sgtp.29846.

Kamentsky, Lee, Thouis R Jones, Adam Fraser, Mark-Anthony Bray, David J Logan, Katherine

L Madden, Vebjorn Ljosa, Curtis Rueden, Kevin W Eliceiri, and Anne E Carpenter. 2011.

"Improved Structure, Function and Compatibility for CellProfiler: Modular High-

Throughput Image Analysis Software." *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 27 (8): 1179–80.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr095.

Kapoor, Avnish, Wantong Yao, Haoqiang Ying, Sujun Hua, Alison Liewen, Qiuyun Wang, Yi

Zhong, et al. 2014. "Yap1 Activation Enables Bypass of Oncogenic KRAS Addiction in

Pancreatic Cancer." *Cell* 158 (1): 185–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.003.

Katoh, K., Y. Kano, M. Masuda, H. Onishi, and K. Fujiwara. 1998. "Isolation and Contraction of

the Stress Fiber." *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 9 (7): 1919–38.

https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.9.7.1919.

Kedersha, Nancy, and Paul Anderson. 2007. "Mammalian Stress Granules and Processing

Bodies." *Methods in Enzymology* 431 (07): 61–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-

6879(07)31005-7.

Kedersha, Nancy, Sarah Tisdale, Tyler Hickman, and Paul Anderson. 2008. *Chapter 26 Real-

Time and Quantitative Imaging of Mammalian Stress Granules and Processing Bodies*.

Methods in Enzymology. 1st ed. Vol. 448. Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-

6879(08)02626-8.

Kimura, K, M Ito, M Amano, K Chihara, Y Fukata, M Nakafuku, B Yamamori, et al. 1996.

"Regulation of Myosin Phosphatase by Rho and Rho-Associated Kinase (Rho-Kinase)."

Science (New York, N.Y.) 273 (5272): 245–48.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.273.5272.245.

Kimura, Masahiro, Takahiro Horie, Osamu Baba, Yuya Ide, Shuhei Tsuji, Randolph Ruiz

Rodriguez, Toshimitsu Watanabe, et al. 2020. "Homeobox A4 Suppresses Vascular

Remodeling by Repressing YAP/TEAD Transcriptional Activity." *EMBO Reports* 21 (4):

e48389. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201948389.

Kong, Fang, Andrés J. García, A. Paul Mould, Martin J. Humphries, and Cheng Zhu. 2009.

"Demonstration of Catch Bonds between an Integrin and Its Ligand." *Journal of Cell

Biology* 185 (7): 1275–84. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200810002.

Kovac, Bianca. 2010. "Role and Function of Nonmuscle Alpha-Actinin-1 and -4 in Regulating
Distinct Subcategories of Actin Stress Fibers in Mammalian Cells." University of Helsinki.
Kovacs, M., J. Toth, C. Hetenyi, A. Malnasi-Csizmadia, and J. R. Sellers. 2004. "Mechanism of
Blebbistatin Inhibition of Myosin II." *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279 (34): 35557–63.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M405319200.
Lai, Jason Kuan Han, and Didier Y.R. Stainier. 2017. "Pushing Yap into the Nucleus with Shear
Force." *Developmental Cell* 40 (6): 517–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.03.008.
Lazarides, Elias, and Keith Burridge. 1975. "α-Actinin: Immunofluorescent Localization of a
Muscle Structural Protein in Nonmuscle Cells." *Cell* 6 (3): 289–98.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(75)90180-4.
Lee, Byoungkoo, Xin Zhou, Kristin Riching, Kevin W. Eliceiri, Patricia J. Keely, Scott A.
Guelcher, Alissa M. Weaver, and Yi Jiang. 2014. "A Three-Dimensional Computational
Model of Collagen Network Mechanics." Edited by Sanjay Kumar. *PLoS ONE* 9 (11):
e111896. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111896.
Lee, Hyun Jung, Miguel F. Diaz, Katherine M. Price, Joyce A. Ozuna, Songlin Zhang, Eva M.
Sevick-Muraca, John P. Hagan, and Pamela L. Wenzel. 2017. "Fluid Shear Stress Activates
YAP1 to Promote Cancer Cell Motility." *Nature Communications* 8 (1): 14122.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14122.
Lee, J. S. H. 2006. "Ballistic Intracellular Nanorheology Reveals ROCK-Hard Cytoplasmic
Stiffening Response to Fluid Flow." *Journal of Cell Science* 119 (9): 1760–68.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.02899.
Lee, Stacey, and Sanjay Kumar. 2016. "Actomyosin Stress Fiber Mechanosensing in 2D and
3D." *F1000Research* 5 (0): 2261. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8800.1.
Li, Ying, Rui Chen, Qian Zhou, Zhisheng Xu, Chao Li, Shuai Wang, Aiping Mao, Xiaodong
Zhang, Weiwu He, and Hong Bing Shu. 2012. "LSm14A Is a Processing Body-Associated
Sensor of Viral Nucleic Acids That Initiates Cellular Antiviral Response in the Early Phase
of Viral Infection." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
America 109 (29): 11770–75. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203405109.
Liu, G., F. X. Yu, Y. C. Kim, Z. Meng, J. Naipauer, D. J. Looney, X. Liu, J. S. Gutkind, E. A.
Mesri, and K. L. Guan. 2015. "Kaposi Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Promotes
Tumorigenesis by Modulating the Hippo Pathway." *Oncogene* 34 (27): 3536–46.

https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2014.281.

Liu, Huan, Xiaoming Dai, Xiaolei Cao, Huan Yan, Xinyan Ji, Haitao Zhang, Shuying Shen, et al.

2018. "PRDM4 Mediates YAP-induced Cell Invasion by Activating Leukocyte-specific

Integrin B2 Expression." *EMBO Reports* 19 (6): 1–14.

https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201745180.

Liu, J., X. Bi, T. Chen, Q. Zhang, S. X. Wang, J. J. Chiu, G. S. Liu, Y. Zhang, P. Bu, and F.

Jiang. 2015. "Shear Stress Regulates Endothelial Cell Autophagy via Redox Regulation and

Sirt1 Expression." *Cell Death and Disease* 6 (7). https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2015.193.

Liu, Zeming, Wen Zeng, Shi Wang, Xiangwang Zhao, Yawen Guo, Pan Yu, Xingjie Yin,

Chunping Liu, and Tao Huang. 2017. "A Potential Role for the Hippo Pathway Protein,

YAP, in Controlling Proliferation, Cell Cycle Progression, and Autophagy in BCPAP and

KI Thyroid Papillary Carcinoma Cells." *American Journal of Translational Research* 9 (7):

3212–23.

Lumb, Jennifer H., Lauren M. Popov, Siyuan Ding, Marie T. Keith, Bryan D. Merrill, Harry B.

Greenberg, Jan E. Carette, Qin Li, and Jin Billy Li. 2017. "DDX6 Represses Aberrant

Activation of Interferon-Stimulated Genes." *Cell Reports* 20 (4): 819–31.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.06.085.

McCormick, Craig, and Don Ganem. 2005. "The Kaposin B Protein of KSHV Activates the

P38/MK2 Pathway and Stabilizes Cytokine MRNAs." *Science* 307 (5710): 739–41.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105779.

Montaner, Silvia, Akrit Sodhi, Amanda K. Ramsdell, Daniel Martin, Jiadi Hu, Earl T. Sawai, and

J. Silvio Gutkind. 2006. "The Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus G Protein-Coupled

Receptor as a Therapeutic Target for the Treatment of Kaposi's Sarcoma." *Cancer*

Research 66 (1): 168–74. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-1026.

Moreno-Vicente, Roberto, Dácil María Pavón, Inés Martín-Padura, Mauro Català-Montoro,

Alberto Díez-Sánchez, Antonio Quílez-Álvarez, Juan Antonio López, et al. 2018.

"Caveolin-1 Modulates Mechanotransduction Responses to Substrate Stiffness through

Actin-Dependent Control of YAP." *Cell Reports* 25 (6): 1622-1635.e6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.10.024.

Nakajima, Hiroyuki, and Naoki Mochizuki. 2017. "Flow Pattern-Dependent Endothelial Cell

Responses through Transcriptional Regulation." *Cell Cycle* 16 (20): 1893–1901.

https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2017.1364324.

Nakajima, Hiroyuki, Kimiko Yamamoto, Sobhika Agarwala, Kenta Terai, Hajime Fukui,

Shigetomo Fukuhara, Koji Ando, et al. 2017. "Flow-Dependent Endothelial YAP

Regulation Contributes to Vessel Maintenance." *Developmental Cell* 40 (6): 523-536.e6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.02.019.

Naranatt, Pramod P., Shaw M. Akula, Christopher A. Zien, Harinivas H. Krishnan, and Bala

Chandran. 2003. "Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Induces the

Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase-PKC-ζ-MEK-ERK Signaling Pathway in Target Cells Early

during Infection: Implications for Infectivity." *Journal of Virology* 77 (2): 1524–39.

https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.77.2.1524-1539.2003.

Ng, Chen Seng, Dacquin M. Kasumba, Takashi Fujita, and Honglin Luo. 2020. "Spatio-

Temporal Characterization of the Antiviral Activity of the XRN1-DCP1/2 Aggregation

against Cytoplasmic RNA Viruses to Prevent Cell Death." *Cell Death and Differentiation*.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-020-0509-0.

Noria, Sabrena, Feng Xu, Shannon McCue, Mara Jones, Avrum I Gotlieb, and B Lowell

Langille. 2004. "Assembly and Reorientation of Stress Fibers Drives Morphological

Changes to Endothelial Cells Exposed to Shear Stress." *The American Journal of Pathology*

164 (4): 1211–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63209-9.

Núñez, Rocío Daviña, Matthias Budt, Sandra Saenger, Katharina Paki, Ulrike Arnold, Anne

Sadewasser, and Thorsten Wolff. 2018. "The RNA Helicase DDX6 Associates with RIG-I

to Augment Induction of Antiviral Signaling." *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*

19 (7): 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19071877.

Ohashi, Kazumasa, Kyoko Nagata, Midori Maekawa, Toshimasa Ishizaki, Shuh Narumiya, and

Kensaku Mizuno. 2000. "Rho-Associated Kinase ROCK Activates LIM-Kinase 1 by

Phosphorylation at Threonine 508 within the Activation Loop." *Journal of Biological*

Chemistry 275 (5): 3577–82. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.5.3577.

Ojala, Päivi M., and Thomas F. Schulz. 2014. "Manipulation of Endothelial Cells by KSHV:

Implications for Angiogenesis and Aberrant Vascular Differentiation." *Seminars in Cancer*

Biology 26 (June): 69–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2014.01.008.

Ostareck, Dirk H., Isabel S. Naarmann-de Vries, and Antje Ostareck-Lederer. 2014. "DDX6 and

Its Orthologs as Modulators of Cellular and Viral RNA Expression." *Wiley Interdisciplinary*

Reviews: RNA 5 (5): 659–78. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1237.

Panciera, Tito, Luca Azzolin, Atsushi Fujimura, Daniele Di Biagio, Chiara Frasson, Silvia Bresolin, Sandra Soligo, et al. 2016. "Induction of Expandable Tissue-Specific Stem/Progenitor Cells through Transient Expression of YAP/TAZ." *Cell Stem Cell* 19 (6): 725–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.08.009.

Pei, Tianjiao, Xin Huang, Ying Long, Changling Duan, Tingting Liu, Yujing Li, and Wei Huang. 2019. "Increased Expression of YAP Is Associated with Decreased Cell Autophagy in the Eutopic Endometrial Stromal Cells of Endometriosis." *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 491 (April): 110432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2019.04.012.

Pellegrin, S., and H. Mellor. 2007. "Actin Stress Fibres." *Journal of Cell Science* 120 (20): 3491–99. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.018473.

Pollard, Thomas D. 2016. "Actin and Actin-Binding Proteins." *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* 8 (8): a018226. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a018226.

Rasmussen, Izabela, Line H Pedersen, Luise Byg, Kazuhiro Suzuki, Hideki Sumimoto, and Frederik Vilhardt. 2010. "Effects of F/G-Actin Ratio and Actin Turn-over Rate on NADPH Oxidase Activity in Microglia." *BMC Immunology* 11 (1): 44. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2172-11-44.

Ridley, Anne J., and Alan Hall. 1992. "The Small GTP-Binding Protein Rho Regulates the Assembly of Focal Adhesions and Actin Stress Fibers in Response to Growth Factors." *Cell* 70 (3): 389–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90163-7.

Rio, Armando del, Raul Perez-jimenez, Ruchuan Liu, Pere Roca-cusachs, Julio M Fernandez, and Michael P Sheetz. 2009. "Stretching Single Talin Rod." *Science* 323 (5914): 638–41.

Rotsch, Christian, and Manfred Radmacher. 2000. "Drug-Induced Changes of Cytoskeletal Structure and Mechanics in Fibroblasts: An Atomic Force Microscopy Study." *Biophysical Journal* 78 (1): 520–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76614-8.

Russo, James J, Roy A Bohenzky, M.-C. Chien, Jing Chen, Ming Yan, Dawn Maddalena, J Preston Parry, et al. 1996. "Nucleotide Sequence of the Kaposi Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus (HHV8)." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 93 (25): 14862–67. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.25.14862.

Schmitz, Arndt A.P., Eve-Ellen Govek, Benjamin Böttner, and Linda Van Aelst. 2000. "Rho GTPases: Signaling, Migration, and Invasion." *Experimental Cell Research* 261 (1): 1–12.

https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.2000.5049.

Sharma, Nishi R, Vladimir Majerciak, Michael J Kruhlak, Lulu Yu, Jeong Gu Kang, Acong

Yang, Shuo Gu, Marvin J Fritzler, and Zhi-ming Zheng. 2019. "KSHV RNA-Binding

Protein ORF57 Inhibits P-Body Formation to Promote Viral Multiplication by Interaction

with Ago2 and GW182," 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz683.

Shaw, Gray, and Robert Kamen. 1986. "A Conserved AU Sequence from the 3′ Untranslated

Region of GM-CSF MRNA Mediates Selective MRNA Degradation." *Cell* 46 (5): 659–67.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(86)90341-7.

Shen, Zhewei, and Ben Z. Stanger. 2015. "YAP Regulates S-Phase Entry in Endothelial Cells."

Edited by Deanna M Koepp. *PLOS ONE* 10 (1): e0117522.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117522.

Sheth, Ujwal. 2003. "Decapping and Decay of Messenger RNA Occur in Cytoplasmic

Processing Bodies." *Science* 300 (5620): 805–8. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1082320.

Shyu, A. B., M. E. Greenberg, and J. G. Belasco. 1989. "The C-Fos Transcript Is Targeted for

Rapid Decay by Two Distinct MRNA Degradation Pathways." *Genes & Development* 3 (1):

60–72. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.3.1.60.

Small, J. Victor, K. Rottner, I. Kaverina, and K. I. Anderson. 1998. "Assembling an Actin

Cytoskeleton for Cell Attachment and Movement." *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta -*

Molecular Cell Research 1404 (3): 271–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4889(98)00080-

9.

Song, Qinghe, Beibei Mao, Jinbo Cheng, Yuhao Gao, Ke Jiang, Jun Chen, Zengqiang Yuan, and

Songshu Meng. 2015. "YAP Enhances Autophagic Flux to Promote Breast Cancer Cell

Survival in Response to Nutrient Deprivation." *PLoS ONE* 10 (3).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120790.

Sotiropoulos, Athanassia, Dziugas Gineitis, John Copeland, and Richard Treisman. 1999.

"Signal-Regulated Activation of Serum Response Factor Is Mediated by Changes in Actin

Dynamics." *Cell* 98 (2): 159–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81011-9.

Speck, Samuel H., and Don Ganem. 2010. "Viral Latency and Its Regulation: Lessons from the

γ-Herpesviruses." *Cell Host and Microbe* 8 (1): 100–115.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2010.06.014.

Staskus, K A, W Zhong, K Gebhard, B Herndier, H Wang, R Renne, J Beneke, et al. 1997.

1027 "Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Gene Expression in Endothelial (Spindle)

1028 Tumor Cells." *Journal of Virology* 71 (1): 715–19. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.71.1.715-

1029 719.1997.

1030 Stoecklin, Georg, Thomas Mayo, and Paul Anderson. 2006. "ARE-MRNA Degradation Requires

1031 the 5'-3' Decay Pathway." *EMBO Reports* 7 (1): 72–77.

1032 https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400572.

1033 Sugimoto, Wataru, Katsuhiko Itoh, Yasumasa Mitsui, Takahiro Ebata, Hideaki Fujita, Hiroaki

1034 Hirata, and Keiko Kawauchi. 2018. "Substrate Rigidity-Dependent Positive Feedback

1035 Regulation between YAP and ROCK2." *Cell Adhesion and Migration* 12 (2): 101–8.

1036 https://doi.org/10.1080/19336918.2017.1338233.

1037 Takahashi, Shinya, Kyoko Sakurai, Arisa Ebihara, Hiroaki Kajiho, Kota Saito, Kenji Kontani,

1038 Hiroshi Nishina, and Toshiaki Katada. 2011. "RhoA Activation Participates in

1039 Rearrangement of Processing Bodies and Release of Nucleated AU-Rich MRNAs." *Nucleic*

1040 *Acids Research* 39 (8): 3446–57. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq1302.

1041 Tan, John L, Joe Tien, Dana M Pirone, Darren S Gray, Kiran Bhadriraju, and Christopher S

1042 Chen. 2003. "Cells Lying on a Bed of Microneedles: An Approach to Isolate Mechanical

1043 Force." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 100 (4): 1484–89.

1044 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0235407100.

1045 Tojkander, Sari, Gergana Gateva, and Pekka Lappalainen. 2012. "Actin Stress Fibers -

1046 Assembly, Dynamics and Biological Roles." *Journal of Cell Science* 125 (Pt 8): 1855–64.

1047 https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.098087.

1048 Totaro, Antonio, Qiuyu Zhuang, Tito Panciera, Giusy Battilana, Luca Azzolin, Giulia Brumana,

1049 Alessandro Gandin, Giovanna Brusatin, Michelangelo Cordenonsi, and Stefano Piccolo.

1050 2019. "Cell Phenotypic Plasticity Requires Autophagic Flux Driven by YAP/TAZ

1051 Mechanotransduction." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (36): 17848–

1052 57. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1908228116.

1053 Umbach, Jennifer L., Hui Ling Yen, Leo L M Poon, and Bryan R. Cullen. 2010. "Influenza a

1054 Virus Expresses High Levels of an Unusual Class of Small Viral Leader RNAs in Infected

1055 Cells." *MBio* 1 (4): 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00204-10.

1056 Vallenius, Tea. 2013. "Actin Stress Fibre Subtypes in Mesenchymal-Migrating Cells." *Open*

1057 *Biology* 3 (6): 130001. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.130001.

Vassilev, Alex, Kotaro J. Kaneko, Hongjun Shu, Yingming Zhao, and Melvin L. DePamphilis.

2001. "TEAD/TEF Transcription Factors Utilize the Activation Domain of YAP65, a

Src/Yes-Associated Protein Localized in the Cytoplasm." *Genes and Development* 15 (10):

1229–41. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.888601.

Vindry, Caroline, Aline Marnef, Helen Broomhead, Laure Twyffels, Sevim Ozgur, Georg

Stoecklin, Miriam Llorian, et al. 2017. "Dual RNA Processing Roles of Pat1b via

Cytoplasmic Lsm1-7 and Nuclear Lsm2-8 Complexes." *Cell Reports* 20 (5): 1187–1200.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.06.091.

Vozzi, Federico, Jonica Campolo, Lorena Cozzi, Gianfranco Politano, Stefano Di Carlo, Michela

Rial, Claudio Domenici, and Oberdan Parodi. 2018. "Computing of Low Shear Stress-

Driven Endothelial Gene Network Involved in Early Stages of Atherosclerotic Process."

BioMed Research International 2018 (September): 1–12.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5359830.

Wada, K.-I., K. Itoga, T. Okano, S. Yonemura, and H. Sasaki. 2011. "Hippo Pathway Regulation

by Cell Morphology and Stress Fibers." *Development* 138 (18): 3907–14.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.070987.

Wakatsuki, T, B Schwab, N Thompson, and EL Elson. 2001. "Effects of Cytochalasin D and

Latrunculin B on Mechanical Properties of Cells." *Journal of Cell Science* 114 (5): 1025–

36.

Wang, Huanru, Liang Chang, Xiaohui Wang, Airong Su, Chunhong Feng, Yuxuan Fu, Deyan

Chen, Nan Zheng, and Zhiwei Wu. 2016. "MOV10 Interacts with Enterovirus 71 Genomic

5′UTR and Modulates Viral Replication." *Biochemical and Biophysical Research*

Communications 479 (3): 571–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.09.112.

Wang, Kuei-Chun, Yi-Ting Yeh, Phu Nguyen, Elaine Limqueco, Jocelyn Lopez, Satenick

Thorossian, Kun-Liang Guan, Yi-Shuan J. Li, and Shu Chien. 2016. "Flow-Dependent

YAP/TAZ Activities Regulate Endothelial Phenotypes and Atherosclerosis." *Proceedings*

of the National Academy of Sciences 113 (41): 11525–30.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1613121113.

Wang, Xiaoli, Yingying Zhang, Tang Feng, Guanyue Su, Jia He, Wenbo Gao, Yang Shen, and

Xiaoheng Liu. 2018. "Fluid Shear Stress Promotes Autophagy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Cells." *International Journal of Biological Sciences* 14 (10): 1277–90.

1089 https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.27055.

1090 Watanabe, Naoki, Takayuki Kato, Akiko Fujita, Toshimasa Ishizaki, and Shuh Narumiya. 1999.

1091  "Cooperation between MDia1 and ROCK in Rho-Induced Actin Reorganization." *Nature*

1092  *Cell Biology* 1 (3): 136–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/11056.

1093 Watanabe, Naoki, Pascal Madaule, Tim Reid, Toshimasa Ishizaki, Go Watanabe, Akira

1094  Kakizuka, Yuji Saito, Kazuwa Nakao, Brigitte M. Jockusch, and Shuh Narumiya. 1997.

1095  "P140mDia, a Mammalian Homolog of Drosophila Diaphanous, Is a Target Protein for Rho

1096  Small GTPase and Is a Ligand for Profilin." *EMBO Journal* 16 (11): 3044–56.

1097  https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.11.3044.

1098 Winzen, Reinhard, Michael Kracht, Birgit Ritter, Arno Wilhelm, Chyi Ying A. Chen, Ann Bin

1099  Shyu, Monika Müller, Matthias Gaestel, Klaus Resch, and Helmut Holtmann. 1999. "The

1100  P38 MAP Kinase Pathway Signals for Cytokine-Induced MRNA Stabilization via MAP

1101  Kinase-Activated Protein Kinase 2 and an AU-Rich Region-Targeted Mechanism." *EMBO*

1102  *Journal* 18 (18): 4969–80. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.18.4969.

1103 Yang, Chih-Sheng, Eleni Stampouloglou, Nathan M Kingston, Liye Zhang, Stefano Monti, and

1104  Xaralabos Varelas. 2018. "Glutamine-utilizing Transaminases Are a Metabolic

1105  Vulnerability of TAZ/YAP-activated Cancer Cells." *EMBO Reports* 19 (6): 1–11.

1106  https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201643577.

1107 Yang, Ya Li, Lindsay M. Leone, and Laura J. Kaufman. 2009. "Elastic Moduli of Collagen Gels

1108  Can Be Predicted from Two-Dimensional Confocal Microscopy." *Biophysical Journal* 97

1109  (7): 2051–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2009.07.035.

1110 Yao, Pingbo, Hong Zhao, Wenjuan Mo, and Pingping He. 2015. "Laminar Shear Stress

1111  Promotes Vascular Endothelial Cell Autophagy Through Upregulation with Rab4." *DNA*

1112  *and Cell Biology* 35 (3): 118–23. https://doi.org/10.1089/dna.2015.3041.

1113 Yu, Fa Xing, Bin Zhao, Nattapon Panupinthu, Jenna L. Jewell, Ian Lian, Lloyd H. Wang,

1114  Jiagang Zhao, et al. 2012. "Regulation of the Hippo-YAP Pathway by G-Protein-Coupled

1115  Receptor Signaling." *Cell* 150 (4): 780–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.037.

1116 Yu, Jiang Hong, Wei-Hong Yang, Tod Gulick, Kenneth D Bloch, and Donald B Bloch. 2005.

1117  "Ge-1 Is a Central Component of the Mammalian Cytoplasmic MRNA Processing Body."

1118  *RNA (New York, N.Y.)* 11 (12): 1795–1802. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.2142405.

1119 Zanconato, Francesca, Mattia Forcato, Giusy Battilana, Luca Azzolin, Erika Quaranta, Beatrice

Bodega, Antonio Rosato, Silvio Bicciato, Michelangelo Cordenonsi, and Stefano Piccolo. 2015. "Genome-Wide Association between YAP/TAZ/TEAD and AP-1 at Enhancers Drives Oncogenic Growth." *Nature Cell Biology* 17 (9): 1218–27. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3216.

Zhang, Qian, Fansen Meng, Shasha Chen, Steven W Plouffe, Shiying Wu, Shengduo Liu, Xinran Li, et al. 2017. "Hippo Signalling Governs Cytosolic Nucleic Acid Sensing through YAP / TAZ-Mediated TBK1 Blockade." *Nature Cell Biology* 19 (4): 362–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3496.

Zhao, Bin, Li Li, Lloyd Wang, Cun Yu Wang, Jindan Yu, and Kun Liang Guan. 2012. "Cell Detachment Activates the Hippo Pathway via Cytoskeleton Reorganization to Induce Anoikis." *Genes and Development* 26 (1): 54–68. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.173435.111.

Zhao, Bin, Bin Zhao, Xiaomu Wei, Xiaomu Wei, Weiquan Li, Weiquan Li, Ryan S Udan, et al. 2007. "Inactivation of YAP Oncoprotein by the Hippo Pathway Is Involved in Cell Contact Inhibition and Tissue Growth Control." *Genes and Development* 21: 2747–61. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1602907.Hpo/Sav.

Zhong, W, H Wang, B Herndier, and D Ganem. 1996. "Restricted Expression of Kaposi Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus (Human Herpesvirus 8) Genes in Kaposi Sarcoma." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 93 (13): 6641–46. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.13.6641.

1142

Acknowledgements

We would like to sincerely thank the members of the Corcoran lab for helpful discussions about

this work, notably Carolyn-Ann Robinson. We would like to thank Dr. Craig McCormick

(Dalhousie University) and his lab for plasmids, expertise and invaluable advice. ELC was

supported by a Killam predoctoral scholarship, an NSERC CGS-M scholarship, and a Nova

Scotia Graduate scholarship. Operating funds to support this work derive from an NSERC

Discovery grant RGPIN-2015-04882 to JAC.

1150

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

1153

Author Contributions

Elizabeth L. Castle: Conceptualization, Experimentation, Analysis, Paper Writing

Dr. Pauline Douglas: Experimentation

Dr. Kristina Rinker: Conceptualization

Dr. Jennifer A. Corcoran: Conceptualization, Experimentation, Supervision, Funding

Acquisition, Project Administration, Paper Writing

1160
Figures
1162

1163
1164
Figure 1: The RhoA-effector mDia1 is required for KapB-mediated PB disassembly. KapB-
and vector- expressing HUVECs were transduced with shRNAs targeting mDia1 (shDIA1-1,
shDIA1-2) or with a non-targeting (shNT) control and selected. In parallel, cells were fixed for
immunofluorescence or lysed for immunoblotting. (A) One representative immunoblot of three
independent experiments stained with mDia1-specific antibody is shown. (B, C) Fixed cells were
stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. (B) The number of Hedls puncta per
cell was quantified and normalized to the vector NT control within each replicate. (C)
CellProfiler data was used to calculate the ratio of Hedls puncta counts in KapB-expressing cells
versus the vector control for each treatment condition. (D) Representative images of cells stained
for PB-resident protein Hedls (green), KapB (blue), and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate
area shown in the Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar represents 20 μm. Statistics were determined
using ratio paired t-tests between control and experimental groups; error bars represent standard
deviation; n=3 independent biological replicates; * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01.

1178
1179
1180

Figure 2: The RhoA-effector ROCK is required for KapB-mediated PB disassembly. (A-C)
KapB- and vector- expressing HUVECs were treated with 10 ⎡M Y-27632 or water control for 4
h and fixed for immunofluorescence. (A) Representative images of cells stained for PB-resident
protein Hedls (green), KapB (blue), and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate area shown in
the Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar represents 20 μm. (B, C) Fixed cells were stained for
CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. (B) The number of Hedls puncta per cell was

quantified and normalized to the vector NT control within each replicate. (C) CellProfiler data
was used to calculate the ratio of Hedls puncta counts in KapB-expressing cells versus the vector
control for each treatment condition. (D-F) KapB- and vector- expressing HUVECs were
transduced with shRNAs targeting ROCK1 and ROCK2 (shROCK1-1, shROCK1-2, shROCK2-
1, shROCK2-2) or with a non-targeting (shNT) control and selected. Cells were fixed for
immunofluorescence. (D, E) Fixed cells were stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the
methods. (D) The number of Hedls puncta per cell was quantified and normalized to the vector
NT control within each replicate. (E) CellProfiler data was used to calculate the ratio of Hedls
puncta counts in KapB-expressing cells versus the vector control for each treatment condition.
(F) Representative images of cells stained for PB-resident protein Hedls (green), KapB (blue),
and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate images shown in Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar
represents 20 μm. Statistics were determined using ratio paired t-tests between control and
experimental groups; error bars represent standard deviation from n=3 independent biological
replicates except shROCK1-2, (n=2); * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01 .
1203

1204
1205

Figure 3: Actomyosin contractility controls PB disassembly. (A-C) KapB- and vector-
expressing HUVECs were treated with 10 ⌈M blebbistatin to inhibit actomyosin contractility or
DMSO for 30 min and fixed for immunofluorescence. (A, B) Fixed cells were stained for
CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. (A) The number of Hedls puncta per cell was
quantified and normalized to the vector NT control within each replicate. (B) CellProfiler data
was used to calculate the ratio of Hedls puncta counts in KapB-expressing cells versus the vector
control for each treatment condition. (C) Representative images of cells stained for PB-resident
protein Hedls (green), KapB (blue), and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate area shown in
the Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar represents 20 μm. (D, E) Untransduced HUVECs were treated
with 5 nM Calyculin A (CalA) to stimulate actomyosin contraction or DMSO for 20 min and
fixed for immunofluorescence. (D) Fixed cells were stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed

in the methods. Hedls puncta per cell were quantified and normalized to the DMSO control
within each replicate. (E) Representative images of cells treated with 5 nM CalA and stained for
PB-resident protein Hedls (green) and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate area shown in the
Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar represents 20 μm. Statistics were determined using ratio paired t-
tests between control and experimental groups; error bars represent standard deviation; n=3 (A,
B) and n=5 (D) independent biological replicates; * = p < 0.05.
1223
1224

Figure 4: YAP is required for KapB-mediated PB disassembly. (A, B) KapB- and vector-expressing HUVECs were fixed for immunofluorescence or lysed for immunoblotting. (A) Representative images of cells stained for YAP (red). Scale bar represents 20 μM. (B) One representative immunoblot and quantification of three independent experiments stained with P(S127)-YAP-, YAP- or KapB-specific antibody are shown. Protein levels in each condition were normalized to total protein. All treatments were normalized to vector control within each replicate. (C-F) KapB- and vector- expressing HUVECs were transduced with shRNAs targeting YAP (shYAP-1, shYAP-2) or with a non-targeting (shNT) control and selected. In parallel, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence or lysed for immunoblotting. (C) One representative

immunoblot of three independent experiments stained with YAP-specific antibody is shown. (D,

E) Fixed cells were stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. (D) The number

of Hedls puncta per cell was quantified and normalized to the vector NT control within each

replicate. (E) CellProfiler data was used to calculate the ratio of Hedls puncta count in KapB-

expressing cells to the vector control for each treatment condition. (F) Representative images of

cells stained for PB-resident protein Hedls (green), KapB (blue), and F-actin (red, phalloidin).

Boxes indicate area shown in the Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar represents $20\,\mu$m. Statistics were

determined using ratio paired t-tests between control and experimental groups; error bars

represent standard deviation; n=3 independent biological replicates; * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$,

*** = $p < 0.001$.

1246

1247

1248
1249
Figure 5: Active YAP elicits PB disassembly. (A, B) HUVECs were transduced with YAP
5SA-expressing and empty vector lentivirus and selected. Cells were fixed for
immunofluorescence. (A) Representative images of cells stained for PB-resident protein Hedls
(green) and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate area shown in the Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale
bar represents 20 μm. (B) Fixed cells were stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the
methods. The number of Hedls puncta per cell was quantified and normalized to the vector
control. (C) HeLa Tet-Off cells were seeded and co-transfected with an ARE-containing Firefly
luciferase (Fluc) reporter plasmid, a Renilla luciferase (Rluc) reporter plasmid lacking an ARE,
and either a KapB, YAP 5SA expression plasmid or vector controls. At 36 h post-transfection,
transcription was terminated with doxycycline treatment for 12 h. Fluc and Rluc activity was
measured. Data is normalized to vector control within each replicate. Graphs show the ratio of
Fluc to Rluc, independent Fluc values and independent Rluc values, respectively. Statistics were
determined using ratio paired t-tests between control and experimental groups (B) or repeated
measures ANOVA (C); error bars represent standard deviation; n=3 independent biological
replicates; * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$.
1265

1266
1267
Figure 6: YAP Inputs Mediate PB Disassembly. (A, B) HUVECs were seeded onto collagen-
coated microscope slides and exposed to shear stress of 2 dyn/cm^2, 10 dyn/cm^2 or no shear (static
control) for 21 h. Cells were fixed and stained for immunofluorescence. (A) Representative
images of cells stained for PB-resident proteins Hedls (green) and DDX6 (blue), as well as F-
actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate area shown in Hedls (zoom) and DDX6 (zoom) panels.
Scale bar represents 20 μm. (B) CellProfiler was used to count nuclei, Hedls puncta and DDX6

puncta. In RStudio analysis, puncta with $\geq$ 70% correlation between Hedls and DDX6 (PBs)
were counted and normalized to number of nuclei per condition. PB counts were normalized to
static control within each replicate. (C, D) HUVECs were split and seeded at a high-, medium-
and low-density, cultured for 48 h and fixed for immunofluorescence. (C) Representative images
of cells stained for the PB-resident protein Hedls (green) and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes
indicate images shown in Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar represents 20 μm. (D) Fixed cells were
stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. The number of Hedls puncta per cell
was quantified and normalized to the high confluence condition. (E, F) Coverslips were coated
for 4 h with 0 to 64 μg/cm^2 of collagen. HUVECs were grown for 72 h on coated coverslips and
fixed for immunofluorescence. (E) Representative images of cells stained for PB-resident protein
Hedls (green), DDX6 (blue) and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate images shown in Hedls
(zoom) panel. Scale bar represents 20 μm. (F) Fixed cells were stained for CellProfiler analysis
as detailed in the methods. The number of Hedls puncta per cell was quantified and normalized
to the 0 μg/mL collagen-coating condition. Statistics were determined using repeated measures
ANOVA (A, B) or Pearson's correlation co-efficient (C); error bars represent standard deviation
(A, B) and 95% confidence interval of line of best fit (slope is significantly non-zero, $p = 0.014$)
(C); n=3 independent biological replicates; $* = p < 0.05$, $** = p < 0.01$.
1291

1292

1293
1294

Figure 7: YAP is required for Hedls puncta disassembly in HUVECs subjected to shear
stress. (A) HUVECs were seeded onto collagen-coated microscope slides and exposed to shear
stress of 2 dyn/cm^2, 10 dyn/cm^2 or a static control for 21 h. Cells were lysed for immunoblotting.
One representative immunoblot and quantification of three independent experiments stained with
P(S127)-YAP- and YAP-specific antibody is shown. P(S127)-YAP and YAP protein levels in
each condition were normalized to total protein. All treatments were normalized to static control
within each replicate. (B, C) HUVECs were transduced with shRNAs targeting YAP (shYAP-2)
or with a non-targeting (shNT) control and selected. Cells were seeded onto collagen-coated
microscope slides and exposed to shear stress of 10 dyn/cm^2 or no shear (static control) for 21 h.
Cells were fixed and stained for immunofluorescence. (B) CellProfiler was used to count nuclei
and Hedls puncta. In RStudio analysis, Hedls puncta were normalized to number of nuclei per
condition. Hedls puncta counts were normalized to static control. (C) Representative images of
cells stained for PB-resident protein Hedls (red), DDX6 (green) and DAPI (blue). In parallel,
separate coverslips were stained for F-actin (phalloidin). Boxes indicate area shown in the Hedls
(zoom) panel. Scale bar represents 20 μm. Statistics were determined using repeated measures
ANOVA (A); error bars represent standard deviation (A) ; n=4, except 2 dyn/cm^2 (n=3) (A) and
n = 2 (B, C) independent biological replicates; * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01.

1312
Figure 8: KapB activates a mechanoresponsive pathway from within the cell rather than
without to mediate PB disassembly. Cells respond to external mechanical force by activating
their structural support network, the actin cytoskeleton. The GTPase RhoA and its downstream
effectors coordinate this response, bundling actin filaments into stress fibers (SFs), enhancing
actomyosin contractility and increasing adhesion to the underlying matrix to help withstand
force-induced membrane deformation. Together, these actin-based responses increase
cytoskeletal tension and elicit the dephosphorylation and nuclear translocation of the
mechanoresponsive transcription activator YAP where it collaborates with other transcription
factors to induce TEAD-responsive genes. We present data to support the existence of a novel
mechanoresponsive pathway that links actin SFs, actomyosin contractility, and the transcription
transactivator YAP to the disassembly of PBs. The viral protein KapB taps into this
mechanoresponsive pathway to trigger mechanical changes to cytoskeletal structures and
downstream effectors that would normally respond to force, thereby inducing PB disassembly
from within the cell, rather than from without.
1327

1328 <u>**Supplementary Information**</u>

1329
1330
Figure S1: The RhoA-effector ROCK is required for KapB-mediated PB disassembly,
knockdown confirmation and vector data. (A) KapB- and vector- expressing HUVECs were
treated with 10 μM Y-27632 or water control for 4 h and fixed for immunofluorescence. Fixed
cells were stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. The number of Hedls
puncta per cell was quantified and normalized to the vector control. Vector control data is
shown. (B, C) KapB- and vector- expressing HUVECs were transduced with shRNAs targeting
ROCK1 and ROCK2 (shROCK1-1, shROCK1-2, shROCK2-1, shROCK2-2) or with a non-
targeting (shNT) control and selected. In parallel, cells were lysed for immunoblotting or fixed
for immunofluorescence. (B) One representative immunoblot of three independent experiments
stained using ROCK1- and 2-specific antibodies. (C) Fixed cells were stained for CellProfiler
analysis as detailed in the methods. The number of Hedls puncta per cell was quantified and
normalized to the vector NT control within each replicate. Vector control data is shown.
Statistics were determined using a ratio paired t-test between control and experimental groups;
error bars represent standard deviation; n=3 independent biological replicates; * = p < 0.05.
1345

1346
1347
Figure S2: Cofilin knockdown augments KapB-mediated PB disassembly. KapB- and
vector- expressing HUVECs were transduced with shRNAs targeting cofilin (shCFN-1, shCFN-
2) or with a non-targeting (shNT) control and selected. In parallel, cells were fixed for
immunofluorescence or lysed for immunoblotting. (A) One representative immunoblot of three
independent experiments stained using a cofilin-specific antibody. (B, C) Fixed cells were
stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. (B) The number of Hedls puncta per
cell was quantified and normalized to the vector NT control within each replicate. (C)
CellProfiler data was used to calculate the ratio of Hedls puncta counts in KapB-expressing cells
versus the vector control for each treatment condition. (D) Representative images of cells stained
for PB-resident protein Hedls (green), KapB (blue), and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate
the area of the field of view that is shown in Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar represents 20 μm.
Statistics were determined using a ratio paired t-test between control and experimental groups;
error bars represent standard deviation; n=3 independent biological replicates; * = p < 0.05.

1363
1364

Figure S3: G-actin concentration does not control PB disassembly. (A, B, C) HUVECs were
treated with 1 μM Jasp (polymerizes actin and decreases monomeric G-actin), 1 μg/μL CytD
(actin depolymerization to increase monomeric G-actin) or a DMSO control for 30 min. (A, B)
Fixed cells were stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. The number of
Hedls puncta per cell was quantified and normalized to the DMSO control. (C) Representative
images of cells stained for PB-resident protein Hedls and F-actin (phalloidin). Actin is not seen
in Jasp panel due to Jasp-mediated interference with phalloidin staining (Bubb et al. 1999). Scale
bar represents 20 μm. Statistics were determined using a ratio paired t-test between control and
experimental groups; error bars represent standard deviation; n=3 independent biological
replicates; * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$.

1375
1376
1377

1378

1379

Figure S4: α-actinin-1-overexpression mediated SF formation and PB disassembly.

HUVECs were fixed and stained with antibodies for (A) α-actinin-1 and (B) α-actinin-4. (C, D)

HUVECs transduced with recombinant lentiviruses expressing GFP-tagged α-actinin-1 (ACTN-

GFP) or a GFP control were selected and fixed for immunofluorescence. (C) Fixed cells were

stained for CellProfiler analysis as detailed in the methods. The number of Hedls puncta per cell

was quantified and normalized to the vector GFP control. (D) Representative images of cells

stained for PB-resident protein Hedls (false-coloured green), ACTN-GFP (false-coloured blue),

and F-actin (red, phalloidin). Boxes indicate images shown in Hedls (zoom) panel. Scale bar

represents 20 μm. Statistics were determined using a ratio paired t-test between control and

experimental groups; error bars represent standard deviation; n=3 independent biological

replicates; * = p < 0.05.

1391

1392

1393
1394
Figure S5: KapB does not induce transcription of canonical YAP-responsive genes. (A)
HEK-293A cells were co-transfected with a firefly luciferase (Fluc) reporter plasmid with a
YAP-responsive TEAD promoter element, a TREX-renilla luciferase (Rluc) reporter plasmid,
and overexpression constructs for either a KapB, YAP 5SA or vector control. At 36 h post-
transfection, cells were starved in serum-free DMEM for 12 h, lysed and Fluc and Rluc activity
was recorded. Data is normalized to vector control. Graphs show the ratio of Fluc to Rluc,
independent Fluc values and independent Rluc values, respectively. (B) HUVECs were
transduced with recombinant lentiviruses expressing KapB, a constitutively-active version of
YAP (YAP 5SA) or an empty vector control, selected and lysed for total RNA. qRT-PCR
analysis of steady state mRNA levels of canonical YAP-regulated genes CTGF, ANKRD1 and
CYR61 was performed, and was normalized to steady state HPRT-1 mRNA levels. Statistics
were determined using repeated measures ANOVA; error bars represent standard deviation; n=3
independent biological replicates; * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$.

1419   **Table S1: Antibodies used in this study.**

Antibody	Source	Use	Dilution
Rabbit ⟨-mDia1	Cell Signalling Technologies (Cat#:5486)	Immunoblotting	1:1000 in 2.5% BSA
Rabbit ⟨-ROCK1	Cell Signalling Technologies (Cat#:4035)	Immunoblotting	1:1000 in 2.5% BSA
Rabbit ⟨-ROCK2	Cell Signalling Technologies (Cat#:9029)	Immunoblotting	1:500 in 2.5% BSA
Rabbit ⟨-Cofilin	Cell Signalling Technologies (Cat#:5175)	Immunoblotting	1:1000 in 2.5% BSA
Rabbit ⟨-P-YAP	Cell Signalling Technologies (Cat#: 4911)	Immunoblotting	1:1000 in 2.5% BSA
Rabbit ⟨-YAP	Cell Signalling Technologies (Cat#: 4912)	Immunoblotting	1:1000 in 2.5% BSA
⟨-Mouse IgG, HRP-linked (2°)	Cell Signalling Technologies (Cat#: 7076)	Immunoblotting	1:2000 to 1:4000 in 2.5% BSA
⟨-Rabbit IgG, HRP-linked (2°)	Cell Signalling Technologies (Cat#: 7074)	Immunoblotting	1:2000 to 1:4000 in 2.5% BSA

Mouse ⟨-p70 s6 kinase (detects Hedls)	Santa Cruz (Cat#:sc-8418)	Immunofluorescence	1:1000 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 4°C overnight
Rabbit ⟨-KapB	Gift from D. Ganem and C. McCormick	Immunofluorescence/ Immunoblotting	1:1000 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 30 min RT
Rabbit ⟨-actinin-1	Abclonal (Cat#:A1160)	Immunofluorescence	1:500 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 4°C overnight
Mouse ⟨-actinin-4	Santa Cruz (Cat#:sc-390205)	Immunofluorescence	1:500 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 4°C overnight
Mouse ⟨-YAP	Santa Cruz (Cat#:sc-101199)	Immunofluorescence	1:1000 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 4°C overnight
Rabbit ⟨-DDX6	Bethyl Labs (Cat#:A300-461A)	Immunofluorescence	1:1000 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 4°C overnight
Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated donkey ⟨-mouse IgG (2°)	Invitrogen (Cat#:A31570)	Immunofluorescence	1:1000 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 1h RT
Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated chicken ⟨-rabbit IgG (2°)	Invitrogen (Cat#:A21441)	Immunofluorescence	1:1000 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 1h RT
Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated donkey ⟨-rabbit IgG (2°)	Invitrogen (Cat#:A31572)	Immunofluorescence	1:1000 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 1h RT
Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated chicken ⟨-mouse IgG (2°)	Invitrogen (Cat#:A21200)	Immunofluorescence	1:1000 in blocking buffer (1% Human AB in PBS), 1h RT

1420
1421

1422

1423   **Table S2: Plasmids used in this study.**

Plasmid Name	Use	Source	Bacterial Selection Cassette	Mammalian Selection Cassette (Lentiviral Plasmids Only)
pLJM-1-EV	Control vector for lentiviral expression studies	C. McCormick (Dalhousie University)	Ampicillin	Blasticidin, Puromycin
pLJM-1 KapB	Lentiviral expression of KapB	C. McCormick (Dalhousie University)	Ampicillin	Blasticidin
pLKO-(shRNA)	Lentiviral expression of short hairpin RNAs (shRNA sequences in Table S3)	Cloned from: pLKO-TRC Addgene no.: 26655	Ampicillin	Puromycin
pLJM-1 ⟨-actinin1-GFP	Lentiviral expression of ⟨-actinin1-GFP	Cloned from: pEGFP-N1 ⟨-actinin-1, Addgene no.: 11908	Ampicillin	Puromycin
pLJM-1-YAP-5SA (CA-YAP)	Lentiviral expression of constitutively active YAP	Cloned from: p2XFLAG-YAP-5SA, Donated by C. McCormick (Dalhousie University)	Ampicillin	Blasticidin
pcDNA3.1	Transfection control	Invitrogen	Ampicillin	N/A
pcDNA3.1 KapB	Transfection of KapB	C. McCormick (Dalhousie University)	Ampicillin	N/A

p1XFLAG	Transfection control	Cloned from: p2XFLAG-YAP-5SA, Donated by C. McCormick (Dalhousie University)	Ampicillin	N/A
p2XFLAG-YAP 5SA	Transfection of YAP 5SA	Donated by C. McCormick (Dalhousie University)	Ampicillin	N/A
pMD2.G	Envelope protein for lentiviral production	Addgene no.: 12259	Ampicillin	N/A
psPAX2	Packaging proteins for lentiviral production	Addgene no.: 12260	Ampicillin	N/A

1424
1425
1426
1427

 Table S3: shRNA sequences used in this study.

Target	Sequence (5' – 3')
Non-targeting sense	CCGGAGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTACTCGAGATCAACATGAGGTCGAACACGATTTG
Non-targeting antisense	AATTCAAAAAGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAACTAATCAACATGAGGTCGAACACGATTTG
mDia1 sh1 sense	CCGGCCAATTCTGCTCATAGAAATTCTCGAGAATTTCTATGAGCAGAATTGGTTTTTG
mDia1 sh1 antisense	AATTCAAAAACCAATTCTGCTCATAGAAATTCTCGAGAATTTCTATGAGCAGAATTGG
mDia1 sh2 sense	CCGGAAGATGACGTTGTTACACTTCCTCGAGGAAGTGTAACAACGTCATCTTTTTTTG
mDia1 sh2 antisense	AATTCAAAAAAGATGACGTTGTTACACTTCCTCGAGGAAGTGTAACAACGTCATCTT
ROCK1 sh1 sense	CCGGAAGATGACGTTGTTACACTTCCTCGAGGAAGTGTAACAACGTCATCTTTTTTTG
ROCK1 sh1 antisense	AATTCAAAAAAGATGACGTTGTTACACTTCCTCGAGGAAGTGTAACAACGTCATCTT
ROCK1 sh2 sense	CCGGAAGATGACGTTGTTACACTTCCTCGAGGAAGTGTAACAACGTCATCTTTTTTTG
ROCK1 sh2 antisense	AATTCAAAAAAGATGACGTTGTTACACTTCCTCGAGGAAGTGTAACAACGTCATCTT
ROCK2 sh1 sense	CCGGCGTTGCCATATTAAGTGTCATCTCGAGATGACACTTAATATGGCAACGTTTTTG
ROCK2 sh1 antisense	AATTCAAAAACGTTGCCATATTAAGTGTCATCTCGAGATGACACTTAATATGGCAACG
ROCK2 sh2 sense	CCGGGCCTTGCATATTGGTCTGGATCTCGAGATCCAGACCAATATGCAAGGCTTTTTG
ROCK2 sh2 antisense	AATTCAAAAAGCCTTGCATATTGGTCTGGATCTCGAGATCCAGACCAATATGCAAGGC

Cofilin sh1 sense	CCGGACGACATGAAGGTGCGTAAGTCTCGAGACTTAC GCACCTTCATGTCGTTTTTTG
Cofilin sh1 antisense	AATTCAAAAAACGACATGAAGGTGCGTAAGTCTCGAG ACTTACGCACCTTCATGTCGT
Cofilin sh2 sense	CCGGCCAGATAAGGACTGCCGCTATCTCGAGATAGCG GCAGTCCTTATCTGGTTTTTG
Cofilin sh2 antisense	AATTCAAAAACCAGATAAGGACTGCCGCTATCTCGAG ATAGCGGCAGTCCTTATCTGG
YAP sh1 sense	CCGGCTGGTCAGAGATACTTCTTAACTCGAGTTAAGA AGTATCTCTGACCAGTTTTTC
YAP sh1 antisense	AATTGAAAAACTGGTCAGAGATACTTCTTAACTCGAG TTAAGAAGTATCTCTGACCAG
YAP sh2 sense	CCGGAAGCTTTGAGTTCTGACATCCCTCGAGGGATGT CAGAACTCAAAGCTTTTTTC
YAP sh2 antisense	AATTGAAAAAAGCTTTGAGTTCTGACATCCCTCGAG GGATGTCAGAACTCAAAGCTT

1429
1430
1431

1432 **Table S4: Drug treatments used in this study.**

Drug	Use	Source (Cat#)	Concentration Used	Duration
Y-27623 dihydrochloride (ROCKi)	Non-isoform specific inhibition of ROCK	Sigma-Aldrich (Cat#:Y0503)	$10\,\mu$M	4 h
Jasplakinolide	Aberrant polymerization of actin, decreasing monomeric G-actin	Sigma-Aldrich (Cat#:J4580)	$0.5\,\mu$M, $1\,\mu$M	30 min
Cytochalasin D	Inhibition of actin polymerization, increasing monomeric G-actin	Sigma-Aldrich (C8273)	$1\,\mu$g/mL	30 min
(-)-Blebbistatin	Inhibition of MLC contractility	Sigma-Aldrich (Cat#:B0560)	$10\,\mu$M	30 min
Calyculin A	Inhibition of MLC phosphatase, resulting in cell contraction	Abcam (Cat#: ab141784)	2.5 nM, 5 nM	20 min

1433

1434

1435   **Table S5: qRT-PCR primers used in this study.**

Target	Forward/ Reverse	Sequence	Tm (˚C)	Reference
CYR61	Forward	ATGGTCCCAGTGCTCAAAGA	60	(K.-C. Wang et al. 2016)
CYR61	Reverse	GGGCCGGTATTTCTTCACAC	62	(K.-C. Wang et al. 2016)
CTGF	Forward	CAGCATGGACGTTCGTCTG	60	(K.-C. Wang et al. 2016)
CTGF	Reverse	AACCACGGTTTGGTCCTTGG	62	(K.-C. Wang et al. 2016)
CTGF	Forward	CCCTCGCGGCTTACCG	56	(K.-C. Wang et al. 2016)
CTGF	Reverse	GGACCAGGCAGTTGGCTCT	62	(K.-C. Wang et al. 2016)
ANKRD1	Forward	ACGCCAAAGACAGAGAAGGA	60	(K.-C. Wang et al. 2016)
ANKRD1	Reverse	TTCTGCCAGTGTAGCACCAG	52	(K.-C. Wang et al. 2016)
HPRT-1	Forward	CTTTCCTTGGTCAGGCAGTATAA	66	(Singh, 2019)
HPRT-1	Reverse	AGTCTGGCTTATATCCAACACTTC	60	(Singh, 2019)
HPRT-1	Forward	TGGCGTCGTGATTAGTGATG	64	(Singh, 2019)
HPRT-1	Reverse	GACGTTCAGTCCTGTCCATAAT	68	(Singh, 2019)

1436