A complex resistance locus in *Solanum americanum* recognizes a conserved *Phytophthora* effector

Kamil Witek[1#], Xiao Lin[1#], Hari S Karki[1#$], Florian Jupe[1$], Agnieszka I Witek[1], Burkhard Steuernagel[2], Remco Stam[3], Cock van Oosterhout[4], Sebastian Fairhead[1], Jonathan M Cocker[56], Shivani Bhanvadia[7], William Barrett[1$], Tianqiao Song[1$], Vivianne GAA Vleeshouwers[7], Laurence Tomlinson[1], Brande BH Wulff[2] and Jonathan DG Jones[1*]

[1]The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UH, UK

[2]John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UH, UK

[3]Phytopathology, Technical University Munich, 85354 Freising, Germany

[4]School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK

[5]Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

[6]University of Hull, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK

[7]Plant Breeding, Wageningen University and Research, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work

[$]Current addresses:

HSK: U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service, Madison, WI 53706, U.S.A

FJ: Bayer Crop Science, Chesterfield, MO, USA

WB: The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research Ltd, Nelson, New Zealand

TS: Institute of Plant Protection, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing, 210014, P. R. China

*Corresponding author: Jonathan D. G. Jones (jonathan.jones@tsl.ac.uk)

Abstract

Late blight caused by *Phytophthora infestans* greatly constrains potato production. Many *Resistance (R)* genes were cloned from wild *Solanum* species and/or introduced into potato cultivars by breeding. However, individual *R* genes have been overcome by *P. infestans* evolution; durable resistance remains elusive. We positionally cloned a new *R* gene, *Rpi-amr1,* from *Solanum americanum*, that encodes an NRC helper-dependent CC-NLR protein. *Rpi-amr1* confers resistance in potato to all 19 *P. infestans* isolates tested. Using association genomics and long-read RenSeq, we defined eight additional *Rpi-amr1* alleles from different *S. americanum* and related species. Despite only ~90% identity between Rpi-amr1 proteins, all confer late blight resistance but differentially recognize *Avramr1* orthologs and paralogs. We propose that *Rpi-amr1* gene family diversity facilitates detection of diverse paralogs and alleles of the recognized effector, enabling broad-spectrum and durable resistance against *P. infestans*.

35 # Introduction

36

37 Potato is the fourth most important directly-consumed food crop world-wide[1].
38 *Phytophthora infestans,* an oomycete pathogen, causes late blight disease in potato, and
39 can result in complete crop failure. Disease management is primarily based on repeated
40 fungicide applications (10-25 times per season in Europe). However, fungicide-
41 resistant races have emerged[2].

42

43 To elevate late blight resistance, *Resistance* to *Phytophthora infestans* (*Rpi*) genes were
44 identified in wild relatives of potato and used for resistance breeding[3]. More than 20
45 *Rpi* genes have been mapped and cloned from different *Solanum* species[4]. All encode
46 coiled-coil (CC), nucleotide binding (NB), leucine-rich repeat (LRR) (NLR) proteins[5]
47 and some require helper NLR proteins of the NRC family[6]. However, most cloned *Rpi*
48 genes have been broken by *P. infestans*[7]. Provision of durable late blight resistance for
49 potato remains a major challenge.

50

51 NLR-mediated immunity upon effector recognition activates "effector-triggered
52 immunity" (ETI)[8]. In oomycetes, all identified recognized effectors, or avirulence (*Avr*)
53 genes carry a signal peptide and an RxLR motif[9]. 563 RxLR effectors were predicted
54 from the *P. infestans* genome, enabling identification of the recognized effectors[10,11].
55 Many *P. infestans* effectors show signatures of selection to evade recognition by
56 corresponding NLR proteins[12]. NLR genes also show extensive allelic and
57 presence/absence variation in wild plant populations[13,14] and known *Resistance (R)*
58 gene loci like *Mla*, *L*, *Pi9*, *RPP1* and *RPP13* from barley, flax, rice and Arabidopsis
59 show substantial allelic polymorphism[15-18]. Remarkably, different *Mla* alleles can
60 recognize sequence-unrelated effectors[19].

61

62 Technical advances like RenSeq (Resistance gene enrichment and Sequencing) and
63 PenSeq (Pathogen enrichment Sequencing) enable rapid definition of allelic variation
64 and mapping of plant *NLRs*, or discovery of variation in pathogen effectors[20-22].
65 Combined with single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing, SMRT RenSeq enabled
66 cloning of *Rpi-amr3* from *Solanum americanum*[23]. Similarly, long read and cDNA
67 PenSeq enabled us to identify *Avramr1* from *P. infestans*[24].

In this study, we further explored the genetic diversity of *S. americanum*, and by applying sequence capture technologies, we fine-mapped and cloned *Rpi-amr1* from *S. americanum*, (usually) located on the short arm of chromosome 11. Multiple *Rpi-amr1* homologs were found in different *S. americanum* accessions and in relatives, including *Solanum nigrescens* and *Solanum nigrum*. Functional alleles show extensive allelic variation and confer strong, broad-spectrum resistance to all 19 tested diverse *P. infestans* isolates. Although differential recognition was found between different *Rpi-amr1* and *Avramr1* homologs, all *Rpi-amr1* alleles recognize the *Avramr1* homologs from *Phytophthora parasitica* and *Phytophthora cactorum*. Our study reveals unique properties of genetic variation of *R* genes from "non-host" species.

Results

Rpi-amr1 **maps to the short arm of chromosome 11**

We previously investigated *S. americanum* and isolated *Rpi-amr3*[23]. To discover new *Rpi-amr* genes, we characterized additional 14 lines of *P. infestans*-resistant *S. americanum* and close relatives *S. nigrescens* and *Solanum nodiflorum* by crossing them to a susceptible (S) *S. americanum* line 954750186 (hereafter SP2271) (Table 1). All the corresponding F1 plants (6-10 per cross) were resistant in a detached leaf assay (DLA) (Table 1). Around 60-100 F2 progeny derived from each self-pollinated F1 plant were phenotyped by DLA using *P. infestans* isolate 88069[25]. The F2 progenies that derived from each of the resistant parents with working numbers SP1032, SP1034, SP1123, SP2272, SP2273, SP2360, SP3399, SP3400, SP3406, SP3408 and SP3409 segregated in a ratio suggesting the presence of a single (semi-) dominant resistance gene (fitting 3:1 or 2:1 [likely due to segregation distortion], R:S - resistant to susceptible - ratio). Two crosses showed a 15:1 segregation (resistant parent SP2300 and SP2307), suggesting the presence of two unlinked resistance genes, while SP1101 showed no susceptible plants in 100 individuals, suggesting the presence of three or more resistance genes.

100 **Table 1. *S. americanum*, *S. nodiflorum* and *S. nigrescens* accessions used in this**
101 **study and the corresponding *Rpi-amr1* homologs**

Accession	Working name	Species	Reported origin	Source	Late blight resistance	*Rpi-amr1* homologs	Similarity	Clone method
954750186	SP2271	*S. americanum*	Brazil	RU	Susceptible			
954750184	SP2273	*S. americanum* var. patulum	unknown	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-2273*	100%	Map-based cloning
sn27	SP1032	*S. americanum sensu lato*	China	BGS	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-1032*	92.8%	Association genomics
Veg422	SP1034	*S. americanum sensu lato*	unknown	NN	Resistant	*Rpi-arm1-2273*	100%	Association genomics
A54750014	SP1101	*S. americanum sensu lato*	unknown	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-1101*	89.4%	SMRT RenSeq
A14750006	SP1123	*S. americanum sensu lato*	unknown	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-1123*	91.8%	Association genomics
954750174	SP2272	*S. americanum*	unknown	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-2272*	89.4%	Association genomics
SOLA 226	SP2300	*S. americanum*	Cuba	IPK	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-2300*	90.4%	SMRT RenSeq
SOLA 425	SP2307	*S. americanum*	America	IPK	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-2307*	91.7%	Association genomics
Wang 2059	SP2360	*S. americanum*	China	NHM	Resistant	*Rpi-arm1-2273*	100%	Association genomics
A14750138	SP3399	*S. americanum*	unknown	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-2272*	89.4%	Association genomics
A14750130	SP3400	*S. nodiflorum*	unknown	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-2273*	100%	Association genomics
944750261	SP3406	*S. nigrescens*	Bolivia	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-3406*	92.5%	Association genomics
954750172	SP3408	*S. nigrescens*	Bolivia	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-3408*	92.6%	Association genomics
A14750423	SP3409	*S. nigrescens*	Mauritius	RU	Resistant	*Rpi-amr1-3409*	89.5%	SMRT RenSeq

RU - Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
IPK - IPK Gatersleben, Germany
NHM - Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom
BGS- Botanical garden Shanghai
102 NN- Nickys Nursery Ltd

103

104 To identify *Rpi* genes from these resistant *S. americanum* accessions, we prioritized an
105 F2 population derived from resistant parent SP2273 and named the corresponding gene
106 *Rpi-amr1*. Using markers from RenSeq, genotyping by sequencing (RAD markers) and
107 Whole Genome Shotgun sequencing (WGS), the *Rpi-amr1* gene was mapped in a small
108 population (n=188 gametes) to the short arm of chromosome 11, between markers
109 RAD_3 and WGS_1 (Fig. 1a, Table S1, S2). We expanded the mapping population and
110 developed a PCR marker WGS_2 that co-segregated with resistance in 3,586 gametes
111 (Fig. 1b, Table S2). To generate the physical map of the target interval from SP2273, a
112 BAC library was generated. Two BAC clones (12H and 5G) covering the target interval
113 were isolated and sequenced on the PacBio RSII platform, and assembled into a single
114 contig of 212 kb (Fig. 1c). We predicted 11 potential coding sequences on the BAC_5G,
115 nine of which encode *NLR* genes (Fig. 1c). These *NLR* genes belong to the CNL class
116 and have 80-96% between-paralog identity.

117

118 To define which of these *NLR* genes are expressed, cDNA RenSeq data of the resistant
119 parent SP2273 were generated and mapped to the BAC_5G sequence. Seven out of nine

120 *NLR* genes were expressed. These genes - *Rpi-amr1a, b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, *g* and *h* - were tested
121 as candidate genes for *Rpi-amr1* (Fig. 1c).
122
123



124

125 **Fig. 1. Map-based cloning of *Rpi-amr1* and its resistance to *P. infestans*.**

126 (a) Rapid mapping of *Rpi-amr1* in a small F2 population (n=188 gametes); the names of the
127 markers and genetic distances are shown above or below the bar.
128 (b) Fine mapping of *Rpi-amr1* in the F2 population of 3,586 gametes. The names of the markers
129 and the number of recombinants are shown above or below the bar.
130 (c) Physical map of the target *Rpi-amr1* interval based on the assembled BAC contig. The markers
131 present on the BAC are shown. The predicted NLR genes are depicted as black arrows
132 (expressed NLRs) or empty arrows (pseudogenized NLRs). *Rpi-amr1* (formerly *Rpi-amr1e*) is
133 indicated by a red arrow.
134 (d) Four *Rpi-amr1* transcripts detected by 3' RACE PCR.
135 (e) Leaves of *N. benthamiana* plants were infiltrated with the binary vector
136 pICSLUS0003::*35S* overexpressing either the late blight resistance gene *Rpi-amr3* (positive
137 control), one of seven *Rpi-amr1* candidates, or the non-functional *Rpi-amr3-S* (negative
138 control). Leaves were inoculated with *P. infestans* strain 88069 24 h after infiltration. Only
139 leaves infiltrated with *Rpi-amr3 and Rpi-amr1e* (pictured) showed reduced pathogen growth,
140 whereas *P. infestans* grew well in the presence of the remaining *Rpi-amr1* candidates.
141 Only *Rpi-amr1c* is shown as the phenotype of all other non-functional candidate genes was
142 indistinguishable. Photographs were taken 9 dpi.
143 (f) Transgenic potato cv. Maris Piper which expresses *Rpi-amr1* under the native regulatory
144 elements is resistant to *P. infestans* isolate 88069 (top), displaying no symptoms at the spot of
145 inoculation. Each leaflet was inoculated with a droplet containing approximately 1,000
146 zoospores; photographs were taken 9 dpi.
147 (g) The control plants carrying the non-functional candidate *Rpi-amr1a* show large necrotic lesions
148 and sporulation. Each leaflet was inoculated with a droplet containing approximately 1,000
149 zoospores; photographs were taken 9 dpi.
150
151

152 ***Rpi-amr1e* confers resistance in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and cultivated potato**

153

154 To test the function of the seven candidate genes, we cloned their open reading frames
155 into a binary expression vector under control of the 35S promoter. *Rpi-amr3* was used
156 as a positive control and the non-functional *Rpi-amr3-S* was used as a negative control.
157 The constructs carrying each of the seven candidate genes were transiently expressed
158 after *Agrobacterium* infiltration into *N. benthamiana* leaves, which were subsequently
159 inoculated with the *P. infestans* isolate 88069 as described[23]. *P. infestans* growth was
160 observed six days post inoculation (dpi). Only *35S::Rpi-amr1e*-infiltrated leaves
161 showed reduced pathogen growth at 6 dpi compared to other candidate genes like *Rpi-*
162 *amr1c*, or negative control *Rpi-amr3-S.* (Fig. 1e). Hence, we conclude that *Rpi-amr1e*
163 is the functional *Rpi-amr1* (hereafter) gene from *S. americanum* SP2273.

164

165 To test if *Rpi-amr1* confers late blight resistance in potato, we cloned it with its native
166 promoter and terminator, and generated transgenic potato cultivar Maris Piper plants
167 carrying *Rpi-amr1*. A non-functional paralog *Rpi-amr1a* was also transformed into
168 Maris Piper as a negative control. As in the transient assay, stably transformed *Rpi-*
169 *amr1* lines resisted *P. infestans* 88069 in potato (Fig. 1f), but *Rpi-amr1a*-transformed
170 plants did not (Fig. 1g).

171

172 ***Rpi-amr1* is a four exon CC-NLR**

173

174 To characterize the structure of *Rpi-amr1*, we mapped the cDNA RenSeq data to the
175 full length *Rpi-amr1* gene, and found four alternatively spliced forms of *Rpi-amr1*. The
176 most abundant form, supported by >80% of reads, comprises four exons encoding a
177 protein of 1,013 amino acids. This was confirmed with 3' RACE PCR (Fig. 1d). The
178 Rpi-amr1 is a typical CC-NB-LRR resistance protein, with a coiled-coil domain (CC;
179 amino acids 2-146), nucleotide binding domain (NB-ARC; amino acids 179-457) and
180 leucine-rich repeats (LRR; located between amino acids 504-900) which are all
181 positioned in the first exon (1-918 aa, Fig. 2a). The remaining three short exons (amino
182 acids 919-943, 944-1002 and 1,003-1,013) lack homology to any known domains. No
183 integrated domains[26] were found in the Rpi-amr1 protein.



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of amino acid sequence alignment of *Rpi-amr1* homologs (a) and *P. infestans* resistance in transient assay (b).

(a) The exons and the conserved NLR domains are highlighted at the top of the alignment (exons, grey; CC, orange; NB-ARC, blue; LRR, green). Black bars in the alleles indicate the polymorphic nucleotides and indels as compared with *Rpi-amr1-2273*. The numbers next to the alleles refer to the accession working numbers (Table 1). Figure. drawn to the scale.

(b) Nine *Rpi-amr1* homologs provide resistance to *P. infestans* in transient complementation assay. *Rpi-amr1* genes with native regulatory elements were infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves. At 1 dpi, leaves were cut off and drop inoculated with 10 µl of zoospore suspension (50,000/mL) from *P. infestans* isolate 88069. The non-functional *Rpi-amr1-2271* homolog from susceptible accession SP2271 was used as negative control. Photographs were taken 8 dpi.

Functional *Rpi-amr1* homologs were identified from multiple resistant *S. americanum* and relatives

Previously, we found at least 14 *S. americanum* accessions and related species that resist late blight (Table 1). To test if *Rpi-amr1* contributes to late blight resistance in other resistant *S. americanum* accessions, we genotyped 10-50 susceptible F2 plants of

205 the populations derived from resistant accessions, with *Rpi-amr1* linked markers
206 (markers 3745 and 56766, Fig. 1 and Table S2). We found that in SP1032, SP1034,
207 SP1123, SP2272, SP2307, SP2360, SP3399, SP3400, SP3406 and SP3408 resistance
208 is linked to the *Rpi-amr1* locus. To test if in these accessions the resistance is conferred
209 by functional *Rpi-amr1* homologs, we performed SMRT RenSeq-based *de novo*
210 assembly of each resistant accession, and looked for homologs with the greatest identity
211 to *Rpi-amr1*. For accessions SP2307, SP3399 and SP3406, we also used cDNA RenSeq
212 to monitor their expression. We mapped *de novo* contigs to the coding sequence of *Rpi-*
213 *amr1* allowing for 10% mismatches and gaps, and selected the closest homolog as a
214 candidate *Rpi-amr1* ortholog (Table S3). In three resistant parents, namely SP1034,
215 SP2360 and 3400, the functional alleles showed 100% identity at the amino acid level
216 to Rpi-amr1, while amino acid sequences from the remaining accessions had as little as
217 89% identity to the functional Rpi-amr1 (Table S3). As described previously, we
218 transiently expressed the closest related candidate *Rpi-amr1* homologs in *N.*
219 *benthamiana* leaves followed by DLA with *P. infestans* isolate 88069, and verified their
220 functionality. The unique homologs of *Rpi-amr1-2273* were named as *Rpi-amr1-1032*,
221 *Rpi-amr1-1123*, *Rpi-amr1-2272*, *Rpi-amr1-2307* and *Rpi-amr1-3408.*
222
223 For some accessions, like SP1101 and SP2300, the *Rpi-amr1*-linked markers gave
224 ambiguous results, so we directly performed bulked segregant analysis (BSA) and
225 RenSeq. Additional *Rpi-amr1* co-segregating paralogs, *Rpi-amr1-1101* and *Rpi-amr1-*
226 *2300*, were identified and verified in transient assays as above (Fig. 2b).
227
228 Similarly, we inspected an F2 population derived from *S. nigrescens* accession SP3409
229 (Table 1). We applied BSA RenSeq and SMRT RenSeq to the resistant parents and F2
230 segregating population, and we found five candidate *NLRs* belonging to the same *Rpi-*
231 *amr1* clade, all of which are expressed. The five candidates were cloned, and transient
232 assays verified one of them as a functional *Rpi-amr1* homolog, *Rpi-amr1-3409*.
233 However, *Rpi-amr1-3409* does not co-segregate with *Rpi-amr1*-linked markers. We
234 used GenSeq sequence capture-based genotyping (Chen *et al.* 2018), and found that
235 *Rpi-amr1-3409* locates on chromosome 1, based on the potato DM reference genome
236 [27]. This result suggests that a fragment of DNA that locates on distal end of the short
237 arm of chromosome 11 in other resistant accessions was translocated to the distal end
238 of the long arm of chromosome 1 in SP3409.

239

240 When the full-length amino acid sequences of nine *Rpi-amr1* homologs were aligned,
241 polymorphisms between different functional alleles were found to be distributed
242 through all domains including the LRR region (Fig. 2a and Fig. S1).

243

244 Taken together, by using BSA RenSeq, SMRT RenSeq, cDNA RenSeq, association
245 genomics and GenSeq, we cloned eight additional functional *Rpi-amr1* homologs from
246 different resistant accessions, of which all confer resistance to *P. infestans* 88069 in
247 transient assays. The closest *Rpi-amr1* homolog from susceptible parent SP2271 does
248 not confer resistance (Fig. 2b).

249

250 ***Rpi-amr1* is present in hexaploid *S. nigrum* accessions**

251 Most *S. nigrum* accessions are highly resistant to *P. infestans* and *S. nigrum* has been
252 reported to be a "non-host" to *P. infestans*[28], even though rare accessions are
253 susceptible[29]. *S. americanum* may be the diploid ancestor of hexaploid *S. nigrum*[30]. To
254 test if *Rpi-amr1* also contributes to late blight resistance in *S. nigrum*, we amplified and
255 sequenced the first exon of *Rpi-amr1* from four resistant and one reported susceptible
256 *S. nigrum* accessions[29]. From three resistant accessions (SP1095, SP1088 and SP1097;
257 Table S4), we amplified sequences with >99% nucleotide identity to *S. americanum*
258 *Rpi-amr1-2273* (Fig. S2). *Rpi-amr1-1104* was more polymorphic, with 96.7%
259 nucleotide identity to *Rpi-amr1-2273* and primers used for allele mining did not amplify
260 anything from the susceptible line SP999. These data suggest that *Rpi-amr1* homologs
261 are present in some *S. nigrum* accessions and were most likely inherited from *S.*
262 *americanum*.

263

264 ***Rpi-amr1* confers broad-spectrum late blight resistance in cultivated potato**

265

266 To test the scope of late blight resistance conferred by *Rpi-amr1* and its homologs, we
267 generated stably transformed transgenic potato cv Maris Piper plants carrying *Rpi-*
268 *amr1-2272* and *Rpi-amr1-2273*, the most diverged of the homologs (Table S3), and
269 inoculated them by DLA with 19 *P. infestans* isolates from UK, the Netherlands,
270 Belgium, USA, Ecuador, Mexico and Korea (Table 2). Many of the tested *P. infestans*
271 isolates can defeat multiple *Rpi* genes (Table 2). Our DLAs show that Maris Piper
272 carrying *Rpi-amr1-2272* or *Rpi-amr1-2273* resist all 19 tested *P. infestans* isolates,

273 while the wild-type Maris Piper control is susceptible to all of them. This indicates that
274 *Rpi-amr1* confers broad-spectrum resistance against diverse *P. infestans* races.
275
276 **Table 2. Phenotypes of potato plants stably transformed with *Rpi-amr1-2272* and**
277 ***Rpi-amr1-2273* after inoculation with multiple isolates of *P. infestans*.**
278

Isolate	*Rpi-amr1-2272*	*Rpi-amr1-2273*	Maris Piper	Origin	Race[e]
NL00228	R	R	S	the Netherlands	1.2.4.7
US23	R	R	S	USA	n.a.
3928A[a]	R	R	S	UK	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.10.11[f]
EC3626[b]	R	R	S	Ecuador	n.a.
NL14538[c]	R	R	S	the Netherlands	n.a.
NR47UH[d]	R	R	S	UK	1.3.4.7.10.11[f]
T30-4	R	R	S	the Netherlands	n.a.
USA618	R	R	S	USA	1.2.3.6.7.10.11
KPI15-10	R	R	S	Korea	n.a.
IPO-C	R	R	S	Belgium	1.2.3.4.5.6.7.10.11
PIC99189	R	R	S	Mexico	1.2.5.7.10.11
UK7824	R	R	S	UK	n.a.
PIC99177	R	R	S	Mexico	1.2.3.4.7.9.11
VK98014	R	R	S	the Netherlands	1.2.4.11
NL08645	R	R	S	the Netherlands	n.a.
PIC99183	R	R	S	Mexico	1.2.3.4.5.7.8.10.11
NL11179	R	R	S	the Netherlands	n.a.
EC1[b]	R	R	S	Ecuador	1.3.4.7.10.11
NL01096	R	R	S	the Netherlands	1.3.4.7.8.10.11

279 [a] Clonal lineage EU_13_A2, commonly known as “Blue13”
280 [b] Overcomes *Rpi-vnt1*
281 [c] Overcomes *Rpi-vnt1* and partially *Rpi-blb1*, *Rpi-blb2*
282 [d] Clonal lineage EU_6_A1, aka "Pink6"
283 [e] Summarized in[40]
284 [f] [41]
285
286

287 **Differential recognition by *Rpi-amr1* alleles of *Avramr1* homologs**

288

289 *Avramr1* (*PITG_07569*) was identified in *P. infestans* race T30-4 by long-read and
290 cDNA PenSeq, and multiple *Avramr1* homologs were identified in four *P. infestans*
291 isolates and classified into four subclades[24]. To investigate if all nine cloned *Rpi-amr1*
292 homologs could recognize diverse *Avramr1* homologs from different *P. infestans*
293 isolates, in addition to *Avramr1* from race T30-4 that corresponds to clade A, we
294 synthesized three *Avramr1* homologs *Avramr1-13B1*, *Avramr1-13C2* and *Avramr1-*
295 *13D1* from isolate 3928A (EU_13_A2, commonly known as "Blue 13"), corresponding
296 to clades B, C and D, respectively (Fig. 3). We also synthesized the *Avramr1* homologs
297 from *P. parasitica* and *P. cactorum*[24]. The six *Avramr1* homologs were co-expressed
298 in *N. benthamiana* by agro-infiltration in all possible combinations with nine functional
299 *Rpi-amr1* homologs and the non-functional *Rpi-amr1-2271* as a negative control (Fig.
300 3).

301

302 We found that different combinations of *Rpi-amr1* alleles and *Avramr1* homologs led
303 either to strong, weak or no HR phenotype in transient assay, but the non-functional
304 *Rpi-amr1-2271* allele failed to recognize any *Avramr1* homologs (Fig. 3). *Rpi-amr1-*
305 *2300* and *Rpi-amr1-2307* recognized one *Avramr1* homolog each, but others detected
306 *Avramr1* homologs from more than one clade. Clade C, represented here by *Avramr1-*
307 *13C2*, is usually not expressed[24], and when expressed from 35S promoter, this effector
308 was not recognized by most *Rpi-amr1* homologs, though a weak HR was observed upon
309 co-expression with *Rpi-amr1-2272*. *Avramr1-13D1* belongs to Clade D, which is
310 absent in T30-4 but present in four other sequenced isolates[24], and was recognized by
311 all but one (*Rpi-amr1-2300*) homologs in the transient assay. Surprisingly, two
312 *Avramr1* homologs from *P. parasitica* and *P. cactorum* are strongly recognized by all
313 functional *Rpi-amr1* homologs, apart from *Rpi-amr1-2272* which showed a weaker HR
314 (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Differential recognition of *Rpi-amr1* and *Avr-amr1* homologs.

Four *Avramr1* homologs representing clades A-D, and *P. parasitica* and *P. cactorum* homologs were co-infiltrated with ten Rpi-amr1 homologs, including a non-functional homolog *Rpi-amr1-2271*, into *N. benthamiana* leaves. Colours from green to brown represent the strength of HR scored from 0 to 2 (see bottom panel). N=3.
Left: phylogenetic tree of nine functional *Rpi-amr1* homologs and non-functional homolog *Rpi-amr1-2271*. Top: phylogenetic tree of *Avramr1* homologs from four isolates of *P. infestans*.
* Stable *Rpi-amr1-2307 N. benthamiana* transformants show HR upon transient expression of *Avramr1* and *Avramr1-13B*1.

Collectively, our data shows that *Rpi-amr1*/*Avramr1* homolog pairs provoke quantitatively and qualitatively different HRs, but all functional *Rpi-amr1* homologs detect at least one *Avramr1* homolog from *P. infestans* isolate 3928A.

Both *Rpi-amr1*-mediated resistance and effector recognition are NRC2 or NRC3 dependent

We generated a phylogenetic tree for representative *Solanaceae* NLR proteins. Rpi-amr1 is grouped with clade CNL-3, from which no functional resistance genes were previously cloned (Fig. 4a). This phylogenetic affiliation suggested that Rpi-amr1 is likely to depend on the helper NRC clade (Fig. 4a)[6].

337

338 To test this hypothesis, we transiently expressed *Rpi-amr1-2273* together with
339 *PpAvramr1* in NRC2, NRC2/3 or NRC2/3/4 knock out *N. benthamiana* leaves[31]. The
340 HR phenotype was abolished in NRC2/3 and NRC2/3/4 knockout plants (Fig. S3 C and
341 B), but not in NRC4 knock-out or wild-type plants (Fig. S3 D and A). The HR was
342 recovered when NRC2 or NRC3 was co-expressed in the NRC2/3/4 or NRC2/3 knock
343 out plants, but co-expression of NRC4 did not complement the loss of HR phenotype
344 in NRC2/3/4 knockout plants. (Fig. S3 B and C). We further showed that also *Rpi-amr1*
345 mediated resistance is dependent on NRC2 or NRC3 but not NRC4, as transient
346 expression of *Rpi-amr1-2273* followed by *P. infestans* infection restricted pathogen
347 growth only in NRC4 knockout *N. benthamiana* plants (Fig. 4b). These data indicate
348 that both the effector recognition and resistance conferred by *Rpi-amr1* is NRC2 or
349 NRC3 dependent.
350



Fig. 4. Rpi-amr1 is NRC2 or NRC3 dependent.

(a) Phylogenetic analysis of Rpi-amr1 protein and other functional Solanaceae NLR proteins. The NLR clades shown here are as described previously[23].

(b) Transient expression of *Rpi-amr1-2273* in NRC2/NRC3 double knockout *N. benthamiana*, followed by zoospore inoculation of *P. infestans* isolate 88069, results in large necrotic lesions indicating lack of resistance.

(c) Transient expression of *Rpi-amr1-2273* in NRC2/NRC3/NRC4 triple knockout *N. benthamiana*, followed by zoospore inoculation of *P. infestans* isolate 88069, results in large necrotic lesions indicating lack of the resistance.

(d) Transient expression of *Rpi-amr1-2273* in NRC4 knockout *N. benthamiana*, followed by zoospore inoculation of *P. infestans* isolate 8806 results in small necrotic lesions indicating resistance.

366 **High allelic diversity at *Rpi-amr1* was generated through inter-paralog and**
367 **ortholog sequence exchange**
368
369 *Rpi-amr1* alleles show relatively high nucleotide diversity (π=0.04), which could be an
370 indication of balancing or diversifying selection (Table S5). In addition, *Rpi-amr1*
371 alleles differ in their recognition of the *Avramr1* homologs (Fig. 3) which is also
372 consistent with selection in a host-parasite co-evolutionary arms race. To test the
373 hypothesis that allelic polymorphism at *Rpi-amr1* results from diversifying selection,
374 we calculated diversity statistics and performed a McDonald-Kreitman test on both *Rpi-*
375 *amr1* alleles and *Avramr1* homologs. As expected, *Avramr1* homologs show a signature
376 consistent with balancing selection (Tajima's D = 2.27) (Table S5). Remarkably,
377 despite the high nucleotide diversity, no clear signals of balancing or diversifying
378 selection were detected for *Rpi-amr1* (Tajima's D = 0.09083) (Table S5). Aligning the
379 *Rpi-amr1* alleles against the reference and scrutinizing the sequences in more detail
380 provided further insights. The nucleotide similarity of alleles varies markedly across
381 the *Rpi-amr1* homologs (Fig. 2a and Table S3); this pattern is consistent with occasional
382 recombination between highly diverged alleles or paralogs.
383
384 To test whether recombination could explain the observed polymorphisms in *Rpi-amr1*
385 alleles, we predicted the possible recombination events using 3SEQ. Several
386 recombination events were detected between *Rpi-amr1* orthologs from different *S.*
387 *americanum* accessions, and *Rpi-amr1* paralogs from SP2273 (Table S6). Some
388 sequence exchanges were visualized using HybridCheck (Fig. S4)[32], and these data
389 suggest that sequence exchange occurred between functional *Rpi-amr1* alleles and
390 paralogs. To confirm these findings, we mapped all cloned *Rpi-amr1* CDS back to the
391 BAC_5G sequence from accession SP2273 (Fig. S5). As expected, some *Rpi-amr1*
392 homologs (e.g. SP2300 and SP2272) show a perfect match with the fourth NLR, and
393 show a distribution of high identity that reflects the intron-exon structure. For some
394 homologs (e.g. 2271), 5' end sequences match different NLR sequences on the
395 BAC_5G and for others (e.g. 2275) part of the sequence is highly diverged from
396 BAC_5G. Taken together, our results indicate that the polymorphism of *Rpi-amr1*
397 alleles appears to have arisen partly due to sequence exchange between highly diverged
398 alleles and paralogs, and not just through mutation accumulation.

399 **Discussion**

400

401 Achieving durable resistance is the ultimate goal of resistance breeding. Here, we report
402 significant progress towards durable resistance against potato late blight. Most cloned
403 late blight resistance genes derive from wild potatoes, and many have been overcome
404 by one or more *P. infestans* strains[33]. Conceivably, resistance to *P. infestans* in nearly
405 all *S. americanum* and *S. nigrum* accessions is due to multiple *NLR* genes, as zoospores
406 from *P. infestans* can germinate on *S. nigrum* leaves but penetration is stopped by strong
407 HR[28,34]. *Rpi* genes from plant species that only rarely support pathogen growth have
408 likely not participated, or are no longer participating, in an evolutionary arms race with
409 *P. infestans*, and hence, the pathogen's effectors have not (yet) evolved to evade
410 detection by these *Rpi* genes. Under this scenario, a pre-existing standing variation in
411 the pathogen for overcoming such *Rpi* genes is either absent or extremely rare. This
412 makes such genes promising candidates for provision of broad-spectrum and durable
413 late blight resistance, provided they are not deployed alone which facilitates one-step
414 genetic changes in the pathogen to evade them, but rather in combination with other
415 genes, as in the source plant[35].

416

417 We report here a novel, broad-spectrum *S. americanum* resistance gene, *Rpi-amr1*. We
418 also identified eight additional *Rpi-amr1* alleles from different *S. americanum*
419 accessions and relatives, including one *Rpi-amr1* allele that translocated to the long arm
420 of chromosome 1. Allele mining also suggested the presence of *Rpi-amr1* homologs in
421 *S. nigrum*. All nine cloned *Rpi-amr1* alleles confer late blight resistance in transient
422 assays in *N. benthamiana*, and both *Rpi-amr1*-2272 and *Rpi-amr1-2273* in potato cv
423 Maris Piper background confer resistance to all 19 tested *P. infestans* isolates from
424 different countries, many of which overcome other *Rpi* genes. Thus, *Rpi-amr1* is widely
425 distributed in germplasm of *S. americanum*, its relatives and *S. nigrum*, and may
426 contribute to the resistance of nearly all accessions to *P. infestans*.

427

428 Many plant *R* genes and their corresponding *Avr* genes evolved differential recognition
429 specificities with extensive allelic series for both *R* gene and *Avr* genes. Examples
430 include *ATR1* and *RPP1* or *ATR13* and *RPP13* from *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*
431 and *Arabidopsis*[9], *Avr567* and *L* genes from the rust *Melampsora lini* and flax[36], and

432 multiple and diverse recognized effectors from barley powdery mildew and *Mla* from
433 barley. In the same manner, *Avramr1* and its homologs from several *P. infestans* races[24]
434 were found to be differentially recognized by high allelic variation at the *Rpi-amr1* gene.
435 Remarkably though, the nucleotide diversity of the *R* gene did not show any of the
436 hallmarks of diversifying or balancing selection.
437
438 Rather than through mutation accumulation, the high allelic variation observed at *Rpi-*
439 *amr1* appears to have been generated partly by recombination between significantly
440 diverged alleles and paralogs. The recombination events are likely to be rare relative to
441 the mutation rate, given that the alleles carry many polymorphisms. This evolutionary
442 scenario can explain the observed the mosaic-like structure of high and low sequence
443 similarities when the *Rpi-amr1* alleles were mapped against the contig based on two
444 overlapping BAC clones. The deep coalescence of alleles that is implicit in this scenario
445 can be generated by balancing selection, but we did not find evidence of such selection
446 when analysing the nucleotide substitution patterns. Recombination between *Rpi-amr1*
447 alleles could have eroded this signature of selection, as has been observed also in *Rp1*
448 resistance genes in grasses[37] and in the vertebrate immune genes of the major
449 histocompatibility complex (MHC)[38,39]. Nucleotide sequence diversity across the *Rpi-*
450 *amr1* alleles is correlated with only slight differences in *Avramr1* recognition
451 specificity. *Rpi-amr1* alleles can even recognize multiple *Avramr1* paralogs from a
452 single *P. infestans* strain, a scenario that might elevate durability of resistance. Since
453 the *S. americanum* population recognizes multiple *Avramr1* alleles and paralogs, small
454 mutational changes in *Avramr1* gene are unlikely to suffice to escape detection, which
455 makes resistance-breaking less likely, thus promoting evolutionary durability of *Rpi-*
456 *amr1*. We hypothesise that this enhanced recognition capacity could be key to the
457 evolution of "non-host" resistance, offering an escape from the coevolutionary arms
458 race. Conceivably, stacking *Rpi-amr1* alleles *in cis* could extend the recognition
459 specificities, which could potentially lead to even more durable late blight resistance.
460
461 Intriguingly, two *Avramr1* homologs from *P. parasitica* and *P. cactorum* are
462 recognized by all *Rpi-amr1* homologs. Presumably, these genes have been under even
463 less selection pressure to evade *Rpi-amr1* recognition. This result indicates that *Rpi-*
464 *amr1* has the potential to provide "non-host" type resistance in *S. americanum* against
465 multiple oomycete pathogens like *P. parasitica* and *P. cactorum*, which can infect a

466 wide range of hosts. As both the resistance and effector recognition of *Rpi-amr1* are
467 NRC2 or NRC3 dependent, co-expression of *NRC2* or *NRC3* with *Rpi-amr1* might
468 enable it to confer resistance to other *Phytophthora* species outside the *Solanaceae*.
469
470 In summary, we cloned *Rpi-amr1,* a broad-spectrum *Rpi* gene that contributes to the
471 strong resistance of nearly all *S. americanum* accessions to late blight. The apparent
472 redundancy across the *Rpi-amr1* gene family may serve an evolutionary function by
473 broadening the scope for recognizing multiple *Avramr1* alleles and paralogs, and
474 potentially reducing the probability of evolution of resistance-breaking strains.
475 Stacking this type of *Rpi* gene with additional *Rpi* genes might help to turn host plants
476 such as potato into non-hosts for late blight, enabling broad-spectrum and durable
477 resistance.
478
479
480
481

482 # Methods

483 Methods and associated references are in supplementary information.

484

485 # Accession codes

486 Supporting raw reads and annotated BAC sequences were deposited in European Nucleotide
487 Archive (ENA) under project number PRJEB38240.

488

489 # References

490

491 1. *World Food and Agriculture - Statistical Pocketbook 2019*. 1–254 (2019).
492 2. Saville, A. *et al.* Fungicide sensitivity of U.S. genotypes of *Phytophthora infestans*
493 to six oomycete-targeted compounds. *Plant Disease* **99,** 659–666 (2015).
494 3. Malcolmson, J. F. & Black, W. New *R* genes in *Solanum demissum* lindl. And
495 their complementary races of *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de bary. *Euphytica*
496 **15,** 199–203 (1966).
497 4. Rodewald, J. & Trognitz, B. *Solanum* resistance genes against *Phytophthora*
498 *infestans* and their corresponding avirulence genes. *Molecular Plant Pathology*
499 **14,** 740–757 (2013).
500 5. Jones, J. D. G., Vance, R. E. & Dangl, J. L. Intracellular innate immune
501 surveillance devices in plants and animals. *Science* **354,** aaf6395 (2016).
502 6. Wu, C.-H. *et al.* NLR network mediates immunity to diverse plant pathogens.
503 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114,** 8113–8118 (2017).
504 7. Fry, W. *Phytophthora infestans*: the plant (and *R* gene) destroyer. *Molecular Plant*
505 *Pathology* **9,** 385–402 (2008).
506 8. Jones, J. D. G. & Dangl, J. L. The plant immune system. *Nature* **444,** 323–329
507 (2006).
508 9. Rehmany, A. P. *et al.* Differential recognition of highly divergent downy mildew
509 avirulence gene alleles by *RPP1* resistance genes from two *Arabidopsis* lines. *The*
510 *Plant Cell* **17,** 1839–1850 (2005).
511 10. Vleeshouwers, V. G. A. A. *et al.* Effector genomics accelerates discovery and
512 functional profiling of potato disease resistance and *Phytophthora infestans*
513 *avirulence* genes. *PLoS ONE* **3,** e2875 (2008).
514 11. Haas, B. J. *et al.* Genome sequence and analysis of the Irish potato famine
515 pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*. *Nature* **461,** 393–398 (2009).
516 12. Armstrong, M. R. *et al.* An ancestral oomycete locus contains late blight
517 avirulence gene *Avr3a*, encoding a protein that is recognized in the host cytoplasm.
518 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102,** 7766–7771 (2005).
519 13. Stam, R., Silva Arias, G. A. & Tellier, A. Subsets of *NLR* genes show differential
520 signatures of adaptation during colonization of new habitats. *New Phytol* **224,**
521 367–379 (2019).
522 14. Van de Weyer, A.-L. *et al.* A species-wide inventory of *NLR* genes and alleles in
523 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Cell* **178,** 1260–1272.e14 (2019).

15. McDowell, J. M. *et al.* Intragenic recombination and diversifying selection contribute to the evolution of downy mildew resistance at the *RPP8* locus of Arabidopsis. *THE PLANT CELL* **10,** 1861–1874 (1998).
16. Liu, J. *et al.* Genetic variation and evolution of the *Pi9* blast resistance locus in the AA genome *Oryza* species. *J. Plant Biol.* **54,** 294–302 (2011).
17. Ellis, J. G., Lawrence, G. J., Luck, J. E. & Dodds, P. N. Identification of regions in alleles of the flax rust resistance gene *L* That determine differences in gene-for-gene specificity. *THE PLANT CELL* **11,** 495–506 (1999).
18. Seeholzer, S. *et al.* Diversity at the *Mla* powdery mildew resistance locus from cultivated barley reveals sites of positive selection. *MPMI* **23,** 497–509 (2010).
19. Saur, I. M. *et al.* Multiple pairs of allelic MLA immune receptor-powdery mildew AVRA effectors argue for a direct recognition mechanism. *eLife Sciences* **8,** 1957 (2019).
20. Jupe, F. *et al.* Resistance gene enrichment sequencing (RenSeq) enables reannotation of the *NB-LRR* gene family from sequenced plant genomes and rapid mapping of resistance loci in segregating populations. *The Plant Journal* **76,** 530–544 (2013).
21. Thilliez, G. J. A. *et al.* Pathogen enrichment sequencing (PenSeq) enables population genomic studies in oomycetes. *New Phytol* **4,** 903 (2018).
22. Jouet, A. *et al. Albugo candida* race diversity, ploidy and host-associated microbes revealed using DNA sequence capture on diseased plants in the field. *New Phytol* **93,** 959 (2018).
23. Witek, K. *et al.* Accelerated cloning of a potato late blight–resistance gene using RenSeq and SMRT sequencing. *Nature Biotechnology* **34,** 656–660 (2016).
24. Lin, X. *et al.* Identification of *Avramr1* from *Phytophthora infestans* using long read and cDNA pathogen-enrichment sequencing (PenSeq). Preprint at: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.14.095158 (2020).
25. Kamoun, S., van West, P., Vleeshouwers, V. G. A. A., de Groot, K. E. & Govers, F. Resistance of *Nicotiana benthamiana* to *Phytophthora infestans* is mediated by the recognition of the elicitor protein INF1. *The Plant Cell* **10,** 1413–1425 (1998).
26. Grund, E., Tremousaygue, D. & Deslandes, L. Plant NLRs with integrated domains: unity makes strength. *PLANT PHYSIOLOGY* **179,** 1227–1235 (2019).
27. Xu, X. *et al.* Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature* **475,** 189–195 (2011).
28. Colon, I. T. *et al.* Resistance to potato late blight (*Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary) in *Solanum nigrum*, *S. villosum* and their sexual hybrids with *S. tuberosum* and *S. demissum*. *Euphytica* **66,** 55–64 (1993).
29. Lebecka, R. Host–pathogen interaction between *Phytophthora infestans* and *Solanum nigrum*, *S. villosum*, and *S. scabrum*. *Eur J Plant Pathol* **120,** 233–240 (2007).
30. Poczai, P. & Hyvönen, J. On the origin of *Solanum nigrum*: can networks help? *Mol Biol Rep* **38,** 1171–1185 (2010).
31. Adachi, H. *et al.* An N-terminal motif in NLR immune receptors is functionally conserved across distantly related plant species. *eLife Sciences* **8,** 121 (2019).
32. Ward, B. J. & van Oosterhout, C. HYBRIDCHECK: software for the rapid detection, visualization and dating of recombinant regions in genome sequence data. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **16,** 534–539 (2016).
33. Vleeshouwers, V. G. A. A. *et al.* Understanding and exploiting late blight resistance in the age of effectors. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **49,** 507–531 (2011).

34. Vleeshouwers, V. G. A. A., van Dooijeweert, W., Govers, F., Kamoun, S. & Colon, L. T. The hypersensitive response is associated with host and nonhost resistance to *Phytophthora infestans*. *Planta* **210,** 853–864 (2000).
35. Jones, J. D. G. *et al.* Elevating crop disease resistance with cloned genes. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* **369,** 20130087–20130087 (2014).
36. Dodds, P. N. *et al.* Direct protein interaction underlies gene-for-gene specificity and coevolution of the flax resistance genes and flax rust avirulence genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103,** 8888–8893 (2006).
37. Jouet, A., McMullan, M. & van Oosterhout, C. The effects of recombination, mutation and selection on the evolution of the *Rp1* resistance genes in grasses. *Mol. Ecol.* **24,** 3077–3092 (2015).
38. Ohta, T. Gene conversion vs point mutation in generating variability at the antigen recognition site of major histocompatibility complex loci. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **41,** 115–119 (1995).
39. Spurgin, L. G. *et al.* Gene conversion rapidly generates major histocompatibility complex diversity in recently founded bird populations. *Mol. Ecol.* **20,** 5213–5225 (2011).
40. Champouret, N. *et al. Phytophthora infestans* isolates lacking class I *ipiO* variants are virulent on *Rpi-blb1* potato. *MPMI* **22,** 1535–1545 (2009).
41. Cooke, D. E. L. *et al.* Genome analyses of an aggressive and invasive lineage of the Irish potato famine pathogen. *PLoS Pathog* **8,** e1002940 (2012).

Acknowledgements

This research was financed from BBSRC grant BB/P021646/1 and the Gatsby Charitable Foundation. This research was supported in part by the NBI Computing infrastructure for Science (CiS) group through the provision of a High-Performance Computing Cluster. We would like to thank Chih-Hang Wu and Sophien Kamoun for valuable discussion and NRC knockout *N. benthamiana* plants. We would like to thank TSL bioinformatics team, transformation team and horticultural team for their support. We thank Nijmegen seed bank, IPK Gatersleben, and Sandy Knapp for access to *S. americanum*, *S. nigrescens* and *S. nigrum* genetic diversity, and Geert Kessel, Francine Govers and Paul Birch for providing *P. infestans* isolates.

Author contributions:

K.W., X.L., F.J., R.S., C.O. and J.D.G.J. designed the study. K.W., X.L., H.K., F.J., A.I.W., S.B., W.B., L.T. and T.S., performed the experiments. K.W., X.L., H.S.K., F.J., A.I.W., B.S., R.S., C.O., S.F., and J.M.C. analysed the data. K.W., X.L., H.S.K., F.J. and J.D.G.J. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. V.G.A.A.V. and B.B.H.W contributed resources. K.W., X.L and H.S.K. made equivalent contributions and should be considered joint first authors. All authors approved the manuscript.

613 ## Conflict of interest:

614 KW, HSK, FGJ and JDGJ are named inventors on a patent application (PCT/US2017/066691)
615 pertaining to *Rpi-amr1* that was filed by the 2Blades Foundation on behalf of the Sainsbury
616 Laboratory.

617

618 ## Supplementary files:

619

620 **Fig. S1:** Alignment of Rpi-amr1 proteins, including non-functional homolog from SP2271.
621 **Fig. S2:** Alignment of *Rpi-amr1-2273* and *Rpi-amr1* DNA sequences from *S. nigrum*.
622 **Fig. S3:** The effector recognition of *Rpi-amr1* is NRC2 or NRC3 dependent. The *Rpi-amr1*
623 and *Pp-Avramr1* were co-expressed by agro-infiltration on (a) wild type *N. benthamiana*; (b)
624 NRC2/3/4 knockout line; (c) NRC2/3 knockout line and (d) NRC4 knockout line. *NRC2*, *NRC3*
625 or *NRC4* were co-expressed with *Rpi-amr1* or *Pp-Avramr1* on different knockout lines. *Rpi-*
626 *amr1-2273* or *Avramr1* alone were used as negative controls.
627 **Fig. S4:** Sequence exchange between *Rpi-amr1* homologs. Sequence exchange events were
628 visually checked and highlighted (b and d) or identified by HybridCheck (a and c). For
629 HybridCheck, sequence similarity was visualised using the colours of an RGB colour triangle
630 (top); deviation from the default red, green and blue at positions with the same colour indicates
631 regions where two sequences share the same polymorphisms, which is indicative of intra- or
632 inter-locus recombination. Line plot shows the percentage of SNPs shared at informative sites
633 between sequences in each of the three pairwise combinations for the triplet.
634 **Fig. S5:** Cloned *Rpi-amr1* CDS were mapped back to BAC_5G using BLAT and visualized
635 on the BAC sequence using the Sushi package.

636

637 **Table S1:** Linked RAD markers identified based on tomato reference genome.
638 **Table S2:** Molecular markers used in this study.
639 **Table S3:** Amino acid sequence similarity between Rpi-amr1 homologs.
640 **Table S4:** *S. nigrum* accessions used in this study.
641 **Table S5**: Tajima's D analysis of *Rpi-amr1* and *Avramr1* homologs.
642 **Table S6**: Evidence of sequence exchange between *Rpi-amr1* orthologs and paralogs from
643 SP2273 using 3SEQ.

644

645

646 # Supplementary Materials and Methods:

647

648 **Development of mapping populations**

649

650 14 *P. infestans* resistant diploid *Solanum americanum* and relatives were used in this study
651 (Table 1). The F1 populations were generated by crossing with a susceptible *Solanum*
652 *americanum* accession 954750186 (working name SP2271). Heterozygous F1 progeny was
653 allowed to self-pollinate to generate F_2 segregating populations, or further back-crossed to the
654 susceptible parent and allowed to self-pollinate until resistance to *P. infestans* co-segregated as
655 a monogenic trait.

656

657 ***P. infestans* infection assay**

658

659 *P. infestans* isolates were cultured on rye and sucrose agar (RSA) medium at 18 °C for 10 days.
660 Sporangia were washed off with cold water and incubated at 4°C for 1-2 h to induce zoospore
661 release. Detached leaves were inoculated on the abaxial side with 10 µl droplets of zoospore
662 suspension (50-100,000 per ml). The inoculated leaves were incubated at 18°C in high
663 humidity under 16 h day/8 h night photoperiod conditions. Disease scoring was done at 7 days
664 after infection.

665

666 **DNA and RNA extraction**

667

668 RenSeq experiments (both short- and long-reads protocols) were conducted on gDNA freshly
669 extracted from young leaves using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the
670 manufacturer's protocol. For the cDNA RenSeq experiment, RNA was extracted using TRI-
671 Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) and Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research, CA,
672 USA), following manufacturer's recommendations.

673

674 **Mapping of *Rpi-amr1***

675

676 To map the underlying resistance gene from the resistant parent 954750184 (working name
677 SP2273), we generated an F2 segregating population which was phenotyped with *P. infestans*
678 isolates EC1_3626 and 06_3928A. Selected resistant plants were self-pollinated and up to 100

679 plants from F3 populations were screened for resistance and susceptibility with *P. infestans*
680 isolates EC1_3626 and 06_3928A. gDNA from susceptible F2 and F3 plants (BS pool), as well
681 as gDNA from the resistant (R) and susceptible parent (S) were subjected to RenSeq using
682 Solanaceae bait library[1] and sequenced with Illumina GAII 76 bp paired-end reads. Pre-
683 processing, assembly, mapping and SNP calling was performed as described earlier[1,2].
684
685 The same gDNA samples were used in a RAD-seq experiment using PstI digestion and
686 Illumina HiSeq sequencing, which was outsourced to Floragenex Inc. (OR, USA).
687 Bioinformatic analysis was also performed by Floragenex using *Solanum lycopersicum*
688 genome as a reference[3]. SNP calling resulted in sixteen polymorphic sites with eleven of them
689 locating at the top of chromosome 11 (Supplementary table 1). The remaining ones were
690 randomly distributed on chromosomes 4 and 1.
691
692 We additionally outsourced Whole Genome Shotgun sequencing (WGS) of R and S samples
693 to BGI (BGI, Shenzhen, China) for ~30 deep Illumina HiSeq sequencing with 100PE. Reads
694 from the resistant parent were assembled as described in[2] and we used our previously published
695 *in silico* trait mapping pipelines to perform SNP calling and detection of polymorphisms linked
696 to disease resistance[1,2]. Contigs polymorphic between R and S parents were further aligned to
697 the DM reference genome to identify their position.
698
699 Screening a set of markers derived from these three approaches on gDNA of 94 susceptible F2
700 and F3 plants identified 12 markers linked to resistance response that flank the *R* locus between
701 7.5 cM to one side and 4.3 cM to the other side (WGS, Table S1). Four of these markers were
702 found to co-segregate with the resistance, and two others located around 1 cM on either side,
703 CAPS marker RAD_3 to the distal side and the PCR marker WGS_1 to the proximal side
704 (Figure 1). Both 1 cM markers were subsequently used to genotype 1,793 F2 plants, and we
705 identified 228 recombinants (118 homozygous susceptible to one side and heterozygous to the
706 other, 110 homozygous resistant to one side and heterozygous to the other).
707
708 The 118 informative recombinants (homozygous susceptible / heterozygous) were further
709 genotyped using eight linked markers (Figure 1b), and tested in detached leaf assays for their
710 response to *P. infestans* isolates EC1_3626 and 06_3928A. This revealed that markers CLC_3
711 (WGS_3) and RAD1 are flanking with a single recombination event for each marker, and
712 CLC_2 (WGS_2), 56766 and 46418 are co-segregating with the resistance locus (Figure 1b).

713

714 Comparison of the linkage map (Figure 1) with the potato reference genome[4] identified the
715 homogeneous CNL-3 NLR gene sub-family to be within the co-segregating locus. This cluster
716 comprises 18 members on potato reference chromosome 11.

717

718 **BAC clones identification and analysis**

719

720 Construction and screening of 5x BAC library from resistant parent SP2273 was outsourced to
721 BioS&T company (Quebec, Canada). Two candidate BAC clones (5G and 12H) were
722 identified in PCR screen with WGS_2 marker-specific primers. BAC sequencing with RSII
723 PacBio platform and bioinformatic analysis was outsourced to Earlham Institute (Norwich,
724 UK); both BACs were assembled into single contigs with length of 125,327 bp (5G) and
725 144,006 bp (12H). While the co-segregating marker WGS_2 was present on both derived BAC
726 clones, a further co-segregating marker WGS_3 was only present on 12H. The BACs were
727 further assembled into one 212,773 contig (available in ENA under study number
728 PRJEB38240). NLRs on the contig sequence were annotated using NLR-annotator[5] and
729 Geneious 8.1.2 build-in ORF prediction tool. Gene models were annotated manually using
730 cDNA RenSeq data generated from *S. americanum* accession SP2273 as described below.

731

732 **3' RACE**

733

734 Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and treated with RNase-Free
735 DNase (Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions. First strand cDNA was synthesized
736 from total RNA using SuperScript ™ First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen,
737 CA, USA) with P7-oligoDT primer. The resulting product was amplified with P7- and gene
738 specific primers by using KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix PCR Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Cape
739 Town, SA) and cloned into pCR™-Blunt II-TOPO vector by using Zero Blunt® TOPO® PCR
740 Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) and transformation was performed using One Shot™ TOP10
741 Chemically Competent *E. coli* (Invitrogen). Isolation of plasmid DNA was performed with
742 NucleoSpin® Plasmid kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL, Duren, Germany).

743

744 **Resistance gene enrichment sequencing (RenSeq) and Gene enrichment sequencing**
745 **(GenSeq)**

746 SMRT RenSeq, short-read RenSeq and cDNA RenSeq were performed as described previously
747 (Witek et al., 2016) and enriched libraries were sequenced at Earlham Institute, Norwich, UK
748 (PacBio RSII, Illumina MiSeq) and Novogene, Hong Kong (Illumina HiSeq).
749
750 Illumina GenSeq was performed as described above (Illumina RenSeq) except GenSeq baits[6]
751 were used instead of RenSeq baits.
752
753 PacBio reads were processed and assembled using Geneious R8.1.8[7] as described in[2]. NLR
754 coding sequences were predicted with Geneious and AUGUSTUS[8] and annotated with NLR-
755 parser[5].
756
757 To infer linked polymorphisms, the quality control for Illumina paired-end reads was
758 performed using Trimmomatic[9] with standard settings. For the RenSeq, the paired reads were
759 mapped to PacBio-assembled contigs from the resistant parent, while GenSeq reads were
760 mapped to the reference DM genome (PGSC_DM_v4.03_pseudomolecules.fasta), using BWA
761 mapper[10] with default settings. PCR duplicates and unmapped reads were removed and
762 Mpileup files to find out potential linked SNPs were created using SAMtools[11]. Mpileup files
763 were processed with VarScan[12] set to minimum read depth 20, minimum variant allele
764 frequency threshold 0.1, and minimum frequency to call homozygote 0.98. The candidate SNPs
765 were manually inspected using Savant genome browser[13]. TopHat[14] with default settings was
766 used to map cDNA Illumina reads to assembled PacBio data. All the tools used in this study
767 were embedded in The Sainsbury Laboratory (TSL) customized Galaxy instance, if not stated
768 otherwise.

769 **Transient complementation of a candidate genes in *N. benthamiana***

770

771 The candidate genes were PCR amplified from gDNA with their own promoters (1-2kb
772 upstream of start codon) and up to 1 kb terminator elements and cloned into USER
773 vector as described in Witek *et al.*, 2016.
774 Transient complementation assays followed by *P. infestans* inoculation were performed
775 as described in Witek *et al.*, 2016.

776

777 **Stable transformation of susceptible potato cultivar Maris Piper.**
778 Stable transgenic plants with constructs carrying *Rpi-amr1-2272*, *Rpi-amr1-2273* or
779 *Rpi-amr1a* under the control of their native regulatory elements were created in the
780 background of potato cultivar Maris Piper as described previously[15]. At least 10
781 independent transgenic lines were generated for each construct and tested for the
782 presence of the transgene using gene specific primers. All positive *Rpi-amr1-2272* and
783 *Rpi-amr1-2273* lines showed resistance in DLA with *P. infestans* isolate 88069, while
784 *Rpi-amr1a* transgenic plants were fully susceptible. Selected lines of *Rpi-amr1-2272*
785 and *Rpi-amr1-2273* were tested in DLA with 19 additional *P. infestans* isolates (Table
786 2). WT Maris Piper plants were used as a negative control.

787

788 **Phylogenetic tree construction**

789

790 Phylogenetic tree was generated from protein sequences of the cloned *Solanaceae R*
791 genes obtained from NCBI. Full-length sequences were aligned using ClustalW 1.74[16]
792 and the alignments were imported to the MEGA7[17] to build a maximum-likelihood
793 phylogenetic tree with Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) substitution model and 100
794 bootstraps.

795

796 **Evolutionary analyses of *Rpi-amr1* and *Avramr1* homologs**

797

798 CDS were aligned using MUSCLE[18] as implemented in seaview[19] with and without
799 outgroup (the closest homologs from *S. lycopersicum* and *P. capsici* for *Rpi-amr1* and
800 *Avramr1*, respectively). Calculations of diversity statistics and the MacDonald-
801 Kreitmann Test were executed through DNAsp5.0[20]; DAMBE[21] was used to rule out

802 saturation. For *Rpi-amr1* homologs, the calculations were preformed separately on
803 annotated full-length sequences as well as the individual domains.
804
805 We used 3SEQ[22] to identify break points in the aligned CDS. To confirm gene
806 conversion events in *Rpi-amr1*, we mapped the CDS back to the BAC_5G sequence
807 using BLAT (minScore 1500, minMatch 93)[23]. The resulting .psl files were converted
808 into .bed files using a custom R script, prior to visualization using the R package
809 Sushi[24].
810

811 **HybridCheck**

812
813 For each accession, FASTA files of all *Rpi-amr1e* orthologs or *Rpi-amr1* paralogs in
814 combinations of three (triplets) were generated and aligned using MUSCLE v3.8.31[18].
815 The sequence triplets were analysed using HybridCheck[25] to detect and date
816 recombination blocks between *Rpi-amr1* orthologs (sliding windows = 200bp) or
817 paralogs (sliding windows = 100 bp); non-informative sites were removed from the
818 sequence triplets. Figures showing sequence similarity were plotted (MosaicScale = 50)
819 with HybridCheck and formatted using R v3.2.0 (https://www.r-project.org). The
820 colour of each sequence window was calculated based on the proportion of SNPs shared
821 between pairwise sequences at informative sites.
822

823 **References**

824

825 1. Jupe, F. *et al.* Resistance gene enrichment sequencing (RenSeq) enables
826 reannotation of the *NB-LRR* gene family from sequenced plant genomes and
827 rapid mapping of resistance loci in segregating populations. *The Plant Journal*
828 **76,** 530–544 (2013).
829 2. Witek, K. *et al.* Accelerated cloning of a potato late blight–resistance gene using
830 RenSeq and SMRT sequencing. *Nature Biotechnology* **34,** 656–660 (2016).
831 3. Sato, S. *et al.* The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit
832 evolution. *Nature* **485,** 635–641 (2012).
833 4. Xu, X. *et al.* Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature* **475,**
834 189–195 (2011).
835 5. Steuernagel, B., Jupe, F., Witek, K., Jones, J.2015. NLR-parser: rapid annotation
836 of plant NLR complements. *Bioinformatics* 1665–1667 (2015).
837 6. Chen, X. *et al.* Identification and rapid mapping of a gene conferring broad-
838 spectrum late blight resistance in the diploid potato species *Solanum verrucosum*
839 through DNA capture technologies. *Theor Appl Genet* **131,** 1287–1297 (2018).

7. Kearse, M. *et al.* Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28,** 1647–1649 (2012).
8. Stanke, M. & Morgenstern, B. AUGUSTUS: a web server for gene prediction in eukaryotes that allows user-defined constraints. *Nucleic Acids Research* **33,** W465–W467 (2005).
9. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30,** 2114–2120 (2014).
10. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25,** 1754–1760 (2009).
11. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25,** 2078–2079 (2009).
12. Koboldt, D. C. *et al.* VarScan 2: Somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Research* **22,** 568–576 (2012).
13. Fiume, M., Williams, V., Brook, A. & Bioinformatics, M. B. Savant: genome browser for high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **25,** 1938–1944 (2010).
14. Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. & Salzberg, S. L. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* **25,** 1105–1111 (2009).
15. Kumar, A., Taylor, M. A., Arif, S. A. M. & Davies, H. V. Potato plants expressing antisense and sense S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (SAMDC) transgenes show altered levels of polyamines and ethylene: antisense plants display abnormal phenotypes. *The Plant Journal* **9,** 147–158 (1996).
16. Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. & Gibson, T. J. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* **22,** 4673–4680 (1994).
17. Kumar, S., Nei, M., Dudley, J. & Tamura, K. MEGA: A biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* **9,** 299–306 (2008).
18. Edgar, R. C. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32,** 1792–1797 (2004).
19. Gouy, M., Guindon, S., and, O. G. M. B.2010. SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Mol Biol Evol* (2009).
20. Rozas, J. & Sánchez-DelBarrio, J. C. DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analyses by the coalescent and other methods. *Bioinformatics* (2009).
21. Xia, X. DAMBE: software package for data analysis in molecular biology and evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* (2013).
22. Ratmann, O., Lam, H. M. & Boni, M. F. Improved algorithmic complexity for the 3SEQ recombination detection algorithm. *Mol Biol Evol* (2017).
23. Kent, W. J. BLAT--the BLAST-like alignment tool. *Genome Research* **12,** 656–664 (2002).
24. Phanstiel DH (2020). Sushi: Tools for visualizing genomics data. R (1.26.0.)
25. Ward, B. J. & van Oosterhout, C. HYBRIDCHECK: software for the rapid detection, visualization and dating of recombinant regions in genome sequence data. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **16,** 534–539 (2016).