Differential gene expression and alternation of patterns of DNA

methylation in the multidrug resistant strain *Escherichia coli*

ATCC BAA-196 caused by iodine-containing nano-micelle drug FS-

1 that induces antibiotic resistance reversion

Ilya S. Korotetskiy[1*], Ardak B. Jumagaziyeva[2], Sergey V. Shilov[1], Tatyana V. Kuznetsova[1],

Natalya A. Suldina[2], Sabina T. Kenesheva[2,4], Aleksandr I. Ilin[3], Monique Joubert[5], Setshaba

Taukobong[5], Oleg N. Reva[5]

[1]Department of Virology, Scientific Center for Anti-Infectious Drugs, Almaty, Kazakhstan

[2]Department of Microbiology, Scientific Center for Anti-Infectious Drugs, Almaty, Kazakhstan

[3]Department of Chemistry, Scientific Center for Anti-Infectious Drugs, Almaty, Kazakhstan

[4]Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology, al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty,

Kazakhstan

[5]Centre for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology; Department of Biochemistry, Genetics

and Microbiology; University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

*Corresponding author

E-mail: laeda81@gmail.com (Ilya S. Korotetskiy).

Abstract

Recent studies have demonstrated that antibiotic resistance in pathogenic bacteria could be reverted to the drug sensitive phenotype by the treatment with the newly introduced iodine containing nanomolecular complex, FS-1. Antibiotic resistance reversion has been verified as a promising therapeutic approach to combat multidrug resistant infections. The mechanisms of action, however, remain unclear. A collection strain, *Escherichia coli* ATCC BAA-196, showing an extended spectrum of resistance to beta-lactam and aminoglycoside antibiotics was used in this study as a model organism. The FS-1 treated culture and the negative control variant were sequenced by PacBio RS II System following the SMRTbell 20-kb library preparation protocol. Total RNA samples of these strains were sequenced by Ion Torrent. It was shown that the treatment with FS-1 caused a profound gene expression alternation switching the bacterial metabolism to anaerobic respiration, increased anabolism, oxidative/acidic stress response and an inhibition of many nutrient uptake systems. All this leads to an increase in the susceptibility to antibiotics even when FS-1 is removed from the medium. The later fact implies an involvement of epigenetic mechanisms in gene regulation and antibiotic resistance reversion. This hypothesis was investigated by base-call kinetic analysis in PacBio reads and DNA methylation profiling in the sequenced genomes. Several DNA motifs of adenosine and cytosine methylation were identified. While the numbers of methylated sites in chromosomes and plasmids of both genomes, NC and FS, were similar, the distribution of the methylated sites was different. It may explain the observed long lasting effect of the treatment of *E. coli* with FS-1 on antibiotic susceptibility of this model microorganism.

Author summary

The emergence of multidrug resistant bacteria is a great concern, since the misuse of antibiotics have caused a strong selective pressure for these resistant bacteria and various treatment options are becoming ineffective. Drug induced reversion of antibiotic resistant is considering a promising approach to address this problem. This study was set out to investigate genetic mechanisms of action of a new iodine-containing nano-micelle drug FS-1 that induces antibiotic resistance reversion in bacteria. *Escherichia coli* ATCC BAA-196 was used as a model of multidrug resistant microorganisms. With this purpose in mind, we have sequenced the genomic DNA and total RNA samples of *E. coli* cultivated on medium containing FS-1, served as an experimental (FS) variant, and bacteria cultivated on normal medium, served as negative control (NC). RNA sequencing showed a differential gene expression in the FS-1 treated strain that may explain the observed increase in susceptibility to gentamicin and ampicillin. Application of the 3rd generation sequencing technology, SMRT PacBio, allowed an unambiguous whole genome assembly of NC and FS variants, and comparative DNA methylation profiling of bacterial chromosomes and plasmids. This study exemplifies antibiotic resistance reversion mechanisms acting through an epigenetically controlled alternation of gene expression in treated bacteria.

Introduction

Controlling antibiotic resistance development in bacteria is an intriguing area of research. It is generally recognized that pathogens may gain resistance to antibiotics either due to mutations modifying the target proteins or by acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes. The latter includes efflux pumps, alternative variants of target proteins, or antibiotic modifying enzymes [1]. There are various approaches to combat antibiotic resistance, these approaches include: new antibiotic

design or drug repurposing to target alternative key molecular processes [2]; drug combination

therapy, which uses several antibiotics with alternative mechanisms of action [3]; efflux pump

inhibitors, antibiotic depleting enzymes, other mechanisms of persistence and adaptation [4]; and

drug-induced reversion of the selective pressure in bacterial populations towards antibiotic

sensitivity by imposing a direct cost on the resistance. The later approach was discussed by

Baym et al. [5], were antibiotic resistance reversion was explained by several possible

mechanisms. Firstly, co-administrated drugs may have suppressive interactions, which imply that

bacteria getting resistance to drug A can become more sensitive to drug B due to competitiveness

of the drug resistance mechanisms. This causes a shift in the bacterial population towards a

higher sensitivity of bacteria to drug B when drug A is present. Secondly, co-administrated drugs

may impose a direct fitness cost on resistance mechanisms creating a concentration regime

where sensitive bacteria can continue to grow, while the growth of resistant strains is inhibited.

Thirdly, resistance to supplementary drug A can decrease the minimal inhibitory concentration

(MIC) of drug B due to evolutionary trade-offs, allowing selection against the resistant strains

even when drug A was removed.

Clinical practice of the iodine-containing nano-molecular complex, FS-1, which induces

antibiotic resistance reversion *in vitro* and in clinical trials (www.clinicaltrials.gov, acc.

NCT02607449), has progressed the study of the antibiotic resistance reversion mechanisms

beyond the theoretical realm [6, 7]. FS-1 was primarily introduced as new therapeutics against

multidrug resistant tuberculosis [8, 9]. Further laboratory experiments demonstrated antibiotic

resistance reversion on several other multidrug-resistant bacteria including *Staphylococcus*

aureus [10] and *Escherichia coli* [11]. An implication of these results was that there should be

some level of commonality among the mechanisms of antibiotic resistance reversion induced by

FS-1 in these diverse bacteria. It was hypothesized that epigenetic modifications of DNA caused

by the treatment with iodine-containing nanoparticles may be behind these common

mechanisms. It should also be accepted that in many aspects, both *S. aureus* and *E. coli*

multidrug-resistant isolates are more convenient laboratory models to study antibiotic resistance

reversion rather than the extensively drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates where this

phenomenon was reported first [6].

This work presents genetic, transcriptional and epigenetic modifications detected in the

population of the reference strain *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196. This strain was selected in 1988 in

Boston from a clinical isolate showing an extended spectrum of resistance to beta-lactam

antibiotics and first identified as *Klebsiella pneumonia* [12]. The selected strain represents

pathogenic *E. coli*, which are common agents of nosocomial infections [13-15]. Outbreaks of

foodborne pathogenic *E. coli* are being reported around the world with a growing frequency [16,

17]. In addition to the increased virulence of enteropathogenic (EPEC), endometrial pathogenic

(EnPEC), Shiga toxin-producing/enterohemorrhagic (STEC/EHEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC),

enteroaggregative (EAEC), and enterotoxigenic (ETEC) *E. coli*, these isolates often show an

extended spectrum of antibiotic resistance [18]. It is alarming that the drug resistant isolates of

E. coli are also frequent in environmental habitats including water resources [19] and agricultural

products [20]. Complete genome sequencing of the collection strain *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196

(CP042865) showed clustering of this microorganism with three previously sequenced variants

of *E. coli* K-12, NC_000913, W3110 (NC_007779) and DH10B (NC_010473), which are

representatives of the normal avirulent human gut microflora [21-23]. The only difference

between the multidrug resistant strain BAA-196 and the avirulent *E. coli* K-12 isolates is the

presence of a large plasmid (CP042866) comprising multiple drug resistance determinants. This

plasmid shows a significant sequence similarity to the virulence plasmid pKP64477b from

Klebsiella pneumonia [24] and some other similar plasmids frequent in different species of

Enterobacteria. This makes *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 an ideal model organism to study antibiotic

resistance evolution and antibiotic resistance reversion mechanisms, as the K-12 strains are

among the best-studied bacteria in the world in terms of biology, metabolism, and gene

regulation [25, 26]. Moreover, the strain BAA-196 exemplifies multidrug resistance

development associated with an acquisition of a conjugative plasmid that contrasts it against the

previously sequenced antibiotic resistance reversion model organisms: *M. tuberculosis* SCAID

187.0 [9] and *S. aureus* ATCC BAA-39 [10]. In this paper, the results of induced antibiotic

resistance reversion in *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 treated with FS-1 are reported. Ion Torrent

RNASeq and PacBio complete genome sequencing of the FS-1 treated culture are presented and

discussed.

Results

Antibiotic resistance reversion

This study was performed to evaluate the effect of drug induced resistance reversion of the

multidrug resistant strain, *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196, which is resistant to the beta-lactam

antibiotic, ampicillin, and the aminoglycoside antibiotic, gentamicin. The experimental culture

was cultivated for 10 passages with the sub-bactericidal concentration of FS-1 (500 μg/ml). In

parallel, a negative control (NC) culture was cultivated for 10 passages in liquid MH medium

without FS-1 and antibiotics.

Minimal bactericidal concentrations (MBC) of the antibiotics were determined for the

experimental FS-1 treated (FS) and NC cultures by serial dilution method on 96-well plates [27].

Cultivation with FS-1 reduced MBC for ampicillin from 2 – 2.6 mg/ml recorded for the culture

NC to 1.6 – 2 mg/ml recorded for the culture FS. For gentamicin, these numbers were 0.3 – 0.35

mg/ml and 0.2 – 0.25 mg/ml for NC and FS cultures, respectively. This difference in

susceptibility to antibiotics between the initial and FS-1 treated cultures was statistically reliable

as illustrated by OD records for the plates with ampicillin and gentamicin in Fig 1.

Complexation of FS-1 with bacterial DNA

Epigenetic changes in bacterial chromosomes under the effect of the iodine-containing nano-

molecular complex FS-1 suggested a possible complexation of the DNA with nanoparticles

and/or with released iodine, which potentially can halogenate chromosomal nucleotides [28]. To

study the ability of FS-1 to penetrate bacterial cell walls and create complexes with the

chromosomal DNA, the drug was synthesized with the radioactive isotope ^{131}I (20 MBq/ml).

After 1 h cultivation with the radioactive labeled FS-1, bacterial cells were washed twice to

remove the remaining micelles of FS-1 and the residual radioactivity was measured. Then the

residual radioactivity was measured in DNA samples extracted from the washed cells. It was

found that radioactivity of the extracted DNA was 43.46 ± 13.895 Bq/ng that constituted 0.46%

± 0.15 of the residual radioactivity of treated bacterial cells after washing. The residual

radioactivity can be associated with either complexing of FS-1 with the DNA or a direct

halogenation of nucleotides by iodine isotopes.

Complete genome assembly and annotation

Complete sequences of the bacterial chromosome of the negative control (NC) and FS-1 treated

(FS) variants of *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 and several plasmids were obtained by assembly of

PacBio SMRT reads. Chromosomal sequences of the variants NC and FS were 4,682,561 bp and

4,682,572 bp, respectively. Both genomes comprised of large plasmids 266,396 bp of NC and

279,992 bp of FS variants. The FS plasmid is larger due to an insertion of a genomic island in the

region [232952..258265] flanked with two copies of *insH* transposases comprising of 23 phage

and plasmid related genes (Fig 2A). Nine genes including a part of the plasmid conjugation

operon with *traG* and *traF* genes were lost in the plasmid FS compared to the plasmid NC. This

deletion potentially can affect the efficacy of conjugation of the plasmid FS.

The identified plasmids share 90-99% sequence similarity with several known pathogenicity and

drug resistance plasmids from *Klebsiella pneumonia* (pKP64477b), *Serratia marcescens*

(AP014611.1) and *E. coli* (p3521). Four broad range beta-lactamases of TEM, OXA and AmpC

families; five aminoglycoside inactivating enzymes of APH, ANT and AAC families; *catI*

chloramphenicol acetyltransferase; trimethoprim resistant dihydrofolate reductase of the DFR

family and heavy metal resistance genes *merA* and *terY* tellurium resistance operon were

identified on the plasmids NC and FS. Several other genes may additionally contribute to

antibiotic resistance, which include 23 efflux pump proteins of various families and three peptide

antibiotic resistance genes *bacA*, *pmrF* and *ugd* as predicted by RGI 5.1.0/CARD 3.0.5 Web

server.

Pathway Tools predictions showed that several genes located on the large plasmid, sucrose-6F-

phosphate phosphohydrolase, adenine/guanine phosphoribosyltransferase, carbamoylphosphate

synthase large subunit, starvation-induced cysteine protease and citrate lyase beta subunit, may

interfere with several central metabolic pathways.

Two additional smaller plasmids of 44,240 bp and 11,153 bp were assembled from PacBio reads

generated from *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196, variant FS. Alignment of the small plasmids against the

large ones showed that they were generated by fragmentation and rearrangement of the large

plasmid (Fig 2B-D). Middle size plasmid is constituted of two blocks linked by an integrase

retA. This plasmid contains conjugation genes *traDGFIHJ*, *trhH* and some other genes

associated with plasmid replication and gene integration. The smallest plasmid is a transposable

element with a cluster of antibiotic resistant genes aminoglycoside 3"-nucleotidyltransferase

aadA, small multidrug resistance (SMR) efflux transporter *qacE*, sulfonamide-resistant

dihydropteroate synthase *sulI* and a GNAT family N-acetyltransferase, which are enclosed by

several copies of transposases and integrases. Plasmid rearrangements and fragmentation

observed in the genome of the variant FS suggests that cultivation of the strain with sub-

bactericidal concentrations of FS-1 may destabilize plasmids by activation of multiple

transposases and integrases found in the large plasmid genome.

Differential gene regulation in *E. coli* cultivated with FS-1

Gene expression regulation in the cultivar of *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 that survived 10 passages

of cultivation with 500 μg/ml FS-1 in the medium (denoted as FS) was compared to the negative

control (NC) variant cultivated for 10 passages in the same medium without the drug. The

variant FS was characterized with the increased susceptibility to gentamicin and ampicillin

(Fig 1) that may result from an alternated gene regulation.

A volcano plot of regulated genes is shown in Fig 3. The differentially expressed genes are

plotted in the central part of Fig 3 according to the fold change difference of their expression in

the medium with FS-1 compared to NC. Panels on the right part of Fig 3 denoted as −Inf and Inf

present respectively the genes, which are expressed only in the NC medium or in the medium

supplemented with FS-1. Differentially expressed genes of the plasmid origin are highlighted in

red.

Analysis of the regulated genes showed that up- and down-regulation of these genes is strongly

associated with three conditions: strict anaerobic growth, low pH and the oxidative stress.

PheNetic network of transcriptional regulation of differentially expressed genes is shown in

Fig 4A. The genes are grouped in regulons controlled by the following transcriptional regulators:

RpoS, Crp, ArcA, RpoH, RpoN, GcvB, InfAB, Fnr and several others. RpoS is the master

regulator of the general stress response in *E. coli*. The expression of this sigma-factor and

controlled genes was strongly down-regulated, while the genes suppressed by *rpoS* were up-

regulated. RpoS related stressosome is expressed in response to sudden changes in the

environment to allow bacterial cells to adapt to this change [29]. Adaptation of *E. coli* to the

permanent presence of FS-1 in the medium involved a significant alternation of the central

metabolism of the bacterium (Fig 4B). First, and what was unexpected, the metabolic processes

switched completely to anaerobiosis using formate and glycerol-3-phosphate as electron donors

and nitrates and possibly fumarate as the terminal electron acceptor. The later reactions are

controlled by HydN electron carrier protein, Sn-glycerol-3-phosphate anaerobic dehydrogenase

complex GlpABC, selenocysteine containing formate dehydrogenase-H FdhF and formate

hydrogenlyase complex HycBCDEFG, which show no expression at the negative control

condition but are highly expressed with FS-1. All systems associated with the aerobic lifestyle,

for example the aerobic formate dehydrogenase complex FdoGHI-FdhE, are strongly inhibited

directly by ArcA repressor or by associated regulatory pathways (Fig 4A). Transcription from all

genes of cytochrome bo terminal oxidase complex, *cyoA*, *cyoB*, *cyoC* and *cyoE*, was halted

completely. It may be assumed that iodine released from FS-1 either damaged cytochrome

molecules in cell membrane, or the oxidative phosphorylation pathway was restrained to

decrease the oxidation stress caused by the halogen. A previous publication has shown that the

model organism, *Staphylococcus aureus*, experienced an oxidative stress after being exposed to

FS-1 for 5 minutes [30]. Many systems preventing cell damage by peroxides, which are

byproducts of the oxidative phosphorylation, were down-regulated in the culture cultivated with

FS-1, which include Tpx thiol peroxidase, OsmC peroxiredoxin, glutathione reductase Gor,

YodD, YchH and YgaM proteins. Other systems probably helping the cells to cope with halogen

oxidation were activated: periplasmic disulfide oxidoreductase DsbC and membrane bound

superoxide:ubiquinone oxidoreductase CybB. The activation of selenocysteine containing

formate dehydrogenase-H FdhF required an increased uptake of selenium ions from environment

and their intracellular activation by selenium-binding protein YdfZ, tRNA 2-selenouridine

synthase YbbB and SelB selenocysteinyl-tRNA-specific translation factor, which are

significantly activated in FS-1 treated *E. coli*. It suggests that the FS-1 treated pathogen may be

sensitive to the shortage of selenium.

All genes of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) are strongly inhibited in the FS-1 treated *E. coli*

except for MaeA/SfcA malate dehydrogenase that initiates the anabolic gluconeogenic pathway

starting from oxaloacetate. The key enzymes of the gluconeogenic pathway,

phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase PckA, phosphoglyceromutase GpmL, glyceraldehyde-3-

phosphate dehydrogenase GapA, triosephosphate isomerase TpiA, fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase

GlpX and glucose-6-phosphate isomerase Pgi, are significantly upregulated. Contrary, the

catabolic glycolysis pathway is halted by a strong downregulation of the genes 6-

phosphofructokinase *pfkB* and fructose-bisphosphate aldolase *fbaB*. Carbon sources of the

gluconeogenic anabolism are C4-dicarboxylates (fumarate and oxaloacetate) and polyhydric

alcohols. Uptake and intracellular production of these compounds are activated. In contrast to

this, all pathways of acetate uptake and metabolism through the glyoxylate pathway are

completely blocked including the intracellular production of acetyl-CoA through both aerobic

and anaerobic fatty acid β-oxidation pathways. Cell wall fatty acids can be targets for oxidation

by iodine that cause the cell to downregulate the internal fatty acid oxidation systems by

blocking the acetate metabolism. Accumulation of acetate and other organic acids may lead to an

acidic stress that is indicated by upregulation of acidic stress response genes: *yhjX*, *ymgD*, *yfiD*

and *adiABC*. Arginine decarboxylase AdiABC and lactate dehydrogenase LdhA are activated in

anaerobic conditions in response to an extremely acidic environment associated with the

accumulation of byproducts produced during carbohydrate fermentation [31, 32]. Particularly,

trehalose uptake by TreABC complex associated with aerobic or microaerophilic lifestyle [33]

was activated. Due to blocking of glycolysis, which is used FS-1 treated *E. coli* for

gluconeogenesis, carbohydrate catabolism goes through the pentose phosphate pathway that is

confirmed by an activation of 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase Gnd starting the oxidative

branch of the pentose phosphate pathway. The oxidative branch of the pentose phosphate

pathway is the starting points of many biosynthetic pathways of nucleotides and amino acids.

Protein and fatty acid biosynthesis are activated probably by the need to replace cell membrane

compounds damaged by iodine oxidation and halogenation. Proteins PrmC and YjiA controlling

the accuracy of protein translation [34, 35], and the elongation factor P (*efp*) are activated, while

the ribosomal inhibitors *ygiU/mqsR* and *rmf* [36, 37] are strongly downregulated. At the same

time, the uptake and transportation of many amino acids and polypeptides is halted. Iodine in FS-

1 is bound in polypeptide and oligosaccharide micelles [6]. Transmembrane polypeptide and

amino acid transporters, such as low affinity tryptophan transporter TnaAB, oligopeptide

transporter OppABCD, dipeptide transporter DppA, proline transporter PutP, glutamate/aspartate

ABC transporter GltI and glutamine ABC transporter GlnH can be sites of entry of bound iodine

atoms that caused a strong inhibition of all these genes in FS-1 treated *E. coli*. It may be

hypothesized that the activated synthesis of proteins and nucleotides with complications

associated with the transportation of necessary building blocks overloads the intracellular

biosynthetic pathways. The damage of bacterial cell wall structures by FS-1 leading to the

distruction of protective barriers and increased penetrability of the cell wall and cell membrane

was reported before on experiments with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [38]. In the current study

the hypothesis of an increased penetrability of bacterial cells is supported by an observed

activation of heavy metal homeostasis efflux pumps *cueO* and *copA*.

Genes located on the plasmid are transcriptionally silent when the bacterium is growing on the

nutrient medium at the negative control condition. An exception is several transposases showing

a permanent low-level expression. Cultivation of the strain with FS-1 activated most of the

plasmid genes. Among the activated genes, there are four β-lactamases shown in Fig 4A.

However, the highest level of activation was recorded for the genes associated with plasmid

conjugation and transformation from cell to cell. The repressor of the cell-to-cell plasmid transfer

ybaV [39] located on the chromosome is strongly downregulated. Hyperactivation of

transposases and integrases located on the plasmid may explain the fact that two smaller

plasmids were found in the *E. coli* population grown on the medium with FS-1. Gene

comparison showed that these plasmids originated from the large plasmid by genetic

rearrangements (Fig 2).

Despite of the activation of the plasmid born β-lactamases, viability of FS-1 treated *E. coli*

decreased and susceptibility to antibiotics increased (Fig 1). It may be caused by downregulation

of many other genes associated with drug resistance: *yejG* [40], *uspF* [41] and *ygaM* [42]. Strong

inhibition of genes involved in biofilm formation was observed in the FS-1 treated culture that

potentially can reduce pathogenicity of the bacterium. Expression of quorum sensing transporter

lsrABC and quorum sensing regulators *lsrR* and *csrB* [43-45] was strongly downregulated.

Directly or indirectly, the inhibition of regulatory elements has affected many other genes

involved in bacterial motility, adhesion and biofilm formation, which include the AI-2 quorum

sensing signal procession protein YgiU/MqsR [37], AriR transcriptional regulator [46], inducer

of biofilm formation BolA [47], stress induced protein *uspF* [41], biofilm-stress-motility

lipoprotein BsmA [48] and the regulator of biofilm through signal secretion protein BssS [49].

It should be noted that the increased susceptibility of FS-1 treated culture of *E. coli* to gentamicin

and ampicillin (Fig 1) was observed after washing the bacterial cells from the drug FS-1 when

the direct antibacterial effect of the drug was removed. It may be supposed that the cultivation of

E. coli with FS-1 caused profound epistatic and epigenetic adaptive changes in the bacterial

population affecting its susceptibility to other antibiotics, which may persist in several

generations. To investigate this hypothesis, epigenetic modification in chromosomal DNA of FS-

1 treated *E. coli* were studied.

Epigenetic modifications of chromosomal nucleotides

Epigenetic modifications of nucleotides of chromosomal and plasmid sequences of NC and FS

variants of the strain *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 were predicted by the kinetic analysis of base

calling during SMRT PacBio sequencing. The statistical reliability of epigenetic modifications

was calculated as Phred-like quality values termed QV scores. The value above 21 corresponds

to the confidence *p*-value 0.01 or smaller. PacBio sequencing of the control and FS-treated

bacterial samples was performed in tree repetitions followed by average score estimation for

every nucleotide site in the genome. Rank diagrams of distribution QV scores in NC and FS

genomes calculated for different nucleosides are shown in Fig 5. SMRT Link program predicted

that the peaks of high scored adenine residues in both genomes (QV > 80) pertained to an

intensive global methylation of the chromosomal DNA at these sites.

Methylation motifs and types of methylations were predicted by the program *motifMaker*.

Frequencies of methylation motifs in the sequenced genomes are shown in Table 1. Three

adenine methylation motifs were identified: GA*T*C, AAC(N6)G*T*GC and GCAC(N6)G*T*T. The

latter two motifs pertain to the same palindromic sequences. GATC and AAC(N6)GTGC /

GCAC(N6)G*T*T methylation is exhaustive in the sequenced genomes covering 100% of all

available sites; however, only 73-76% of the available motifs are methylated at both DNA

strands. GA*T*C sites were often associated with a more complex pattern of nucleotide

modifications, CRGKGA*T*C, where the first cytosine residue was also methylated. While this

type of cytosine methylation was not the most abundant, these sites of modified cytosines

showed the highest QV scores. Notably, while the total numbers of CRGKGA*T*C sites in NC and

FS genomes remain similar (respectively 105 and 106 out of 745 available CRGKGATC motifs

on both chromosomes), they were found at different loci on the chromosome (Fig 6A-B).

Table 1. Methylation motifs predicted by the program *motifMaker*.

Methylation motifs	*E. coli* BAA-196 NC		*E. coli* BAA-196 FS	
	Chromosome	**Plasmid**	**Chromosome**	**Plasmid**
GA*T*C*	28426 / 38442 (74%)[†]	1151 / 1854 (62%)	28408 / 38442 (74%)	1218 / 1950 (62%)
AAC(N6)G*T*GC	437 / 602 (73%)	14 / 20 (70%)	437 / 602 (73%)	16 / 21 (76%)
GCAC(N6)G*T*T	447 / 602 (74%)	13 / 20 (65%)	447 / 602 (74%)	14 / 21 (66%)
CCAGG	622 / 12113 (5%)	28 / 566 (5%)	651 / 12113	30 / 596 (5%)

			(5%)	
C<u>R</u>GKGA*T*C	105 / 745 (14%)	5 / 39 (13%)	106 / 745 (14%)	3 / 42 (7%)
W<u>C</u>CCTGGYR	109 / 407 (27%)	3 / 21 (14%)	103 / 407 (25%)	1 / 36 (3%)

*In the sequences of motifs, the methylated nucleotide is underlined and, in the case of a double

strand methylation, the nucleotide opposing the methylated nucleotide on the reverse

complement strand is shown in italic typeface;

†Numbers of methylated sites is displayed in the following format:

methylated_motif_namber / total_number_of_motif_sequences (fraction of methylated motifs).

Other predicted cytosine methylation motifs are C<u>C</u>AGGRAH and WCCCTGGYR. Methylation

at C<u>C</u>AGG is typical for *E. coli* isolates; however, *motifMaker* predicted a more complex

methylation motif C<u>C</u>AGGRAH. Only a fraction of methylated sites C<u>C</u>AGG was associated

with 3'-sequence conservation; however, it may explain why this palindromic sequence was

methylated almost always only at one DNA strand. Only 5% of available CCAGG sites were

methylated on the chromosomes and plasmids. While the number was constant, different sites

were methylated in NC and FS genomes. The distribution of methylated C<u>C</u>AGG motifs in

plasmid sequences of two genomes is shown in Fig 6C-D. In sequences WCCCTGGYR, despite

of the obvious palindromic nature of this motif, bipartite methylation at both DNA strands took

place only in 10% of the methylated sites and was asymmetric: W<u>C</u>CCT*G*GYR. Interestingly,

this type of methylation was more common for the chromosomal rather than for the plasmid

DNA. Fractions of methylation of all other motifs were similar on the chromosomes and

plasmids (Table 1).

An important notion of this study is that while the numbers of methylated sites in different motifs

remained similar in the NC and FS genomes, the locations of methylated nucleotides may be

different. On the chromosomes NC and FS, the total numbers of adenosine residues with QV

score > 21 including sporadic sites not associated with the recognized motifs were 43,361 and

43,760, respectively. Among them, 41,352 sites (95%) were the same loci in both genomes. The

numbers of modified adenosine residues with QV > 80 were 39,098 in NC and 39,083 in FS, and

39,045 (99%) were the same loci in both genomes. Only 53 high scored modified adenosine

residues were found in NC and 38 in FS. NC-specific adenosine methylation sites were found

within sequences of 16 genes, but only one of them was significantly downregulated – *yebV*

encoding an uncharacterized protein. Seven genes in the genome FS contained genome-specific

high QV scored adenine residues. One of these genes, nitrite reductase *nitB*, was significantly

upregulated.

There were 10,915 methylated cytosine residues in the genome NC and 11,220 in the genome

FS, 6001 methylated sites (54%) were shared by two genomes. Numbers of modified cytosine

residues with QV > 80 were 201 and 203 respectively, 119 sites (59%) were common for both

genomes. NC-specific modified cytosine residues were found in 33 genes. Two of these genes,

citrate-ACP transferase *citF* and DNA-binding transcriptional activator *gadW*, were significantly

upregulated. One <u>C</u>RGKGA*T*C site with NC-specific cytosine methylation was near the

promoter region of the NADP-dependent malic enzyme *maeB*, which is strongly downregulated

after the treatment with FS-1. FS-specific cytosine methylation was found in 39 genes with three

of them upregulated: biodegradative arginine decarboxylase *adiA*, DNA-directed RNA

polymerase *rpoC* and glucose-6-phosphate isomerase *pgi*; and two genes strongly

downregulated: bifunctional isocitrate dehydrogenase *aceK* and acyl-CoA dehydrogenase *fadE*.

Iodine may halogenate DNA nucleotides, mostly thymidine residues [28]. The number of

thymidine residues with QV > 21 increased insignificantly from 3199 sites in NC to 3306 in FS.

The analysis of expression of genes responsible for DNA reparation showed that the genes of the

SOS-response, *recA* and *lexA*, were downregulated. Strong upregulation was detected for the

gene *yjiA*, which is expressed in response to mitomycin C treatment causing DNA damage [50].

Genes *iraD/yjiD* inhibitor of σ^S activity in response to DNA damage [51] also were upregulated.

Genes of the DNA repair RecFOR complex were differentially regulated. RecF was strongly

upregulated while RecO and RecR were downregulated. RecFOR independent double-strand

break repair protein YegP was downregulated. It indicates that damaging of the chromosomal

DNA of *E. coli* most likely was not the major target of the drug FS-1 and the DNA of this

bacterium was protected from a direct iodination. The activated DNA repair genes may be

responsible for the DNA protection from halogens.

Discussion

Cultivation of the multidrug-resistant strain *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 with a sub-bactericidal

concentration of the iodine-containing nano-molecular complex FS-1 leads to several adaptive

changes in the phenotype leading to an increased sensitivity to antibiotics gentamicin and

ampicillin (Fig 1). The antibiotic sensitivity trials were performed on the media containing no

FS-1 that excludes any direct synergetic effect of the drugs and suggests some genetic, epistatic

and epigenetic changes in the bacterial population associated with the adaptation to the presence

of FS-1 in the medium. The reversion of the drug resistance to the antibiotic sensitive phenotype

may be considered as a promising therapeutic approach to combat multidrug resistant infections

[5, 6].

Complete genome sequencing of the negative control (NC) and FS-1 treated (FS) cultures did not

reveal any significant mutations in these genomes to explain the difference in the sensitivity to

antibiotics. The more sensitive FS strain contains all genetic determinants conferring the

resistance to aminoglycoside and beta-lactam antibiotics represented mostly by the plasmid

associated genes present also in the drug resistant variant NC. It may be hypothesized that the

increased antibiotic sensitivity may result from an alternative gene transcription regulation and

due to population phase changes controlled by epigenetic modifications and/or epistatic

arrangements. Transcriptional analysis showed dramatic changes in the metabolism of bacteria

treated with FS-1. The bacterial population switched completely to anaerobic respiration and

predominant gluconeogenesis instead of glycolysis. It was hypothesized that these metabolic

alternations were caused by a need to cope with an oxidative stress, damaging of cell membrane,

periplasmic proteins and fatty acids by iodine containing in FS-1 nanoparticles. In association

with a strong inhibition of many transmembrane peptide and amino acid transporters, the

activation of bacterial cell anabolism is realized at the expense of weakening of cell defense

systems that makes the bacteria more sensitive to antibiotics despite of the observed activation of

the plasmid born beta-lactamases. The FS-1 treated *E. coli* remained sensitive to antibiotics even

after removal of the drug from the medium. It may be explained by an involvement in gene

regulation of epigenetic and/or epistatic factors, which may persist several bacterial generations

keeping the window open for ridding pathogens off by the treatment with the antibiotics that the

pathogen was resistant to.

This study showed that the treatment of *E. coli* with FS-1 alternated the pattern of methylation of

the chromosomal DNA. In the strain *E. coli* BAA-196, the dominant type of DNA modification

is bipartite adenosine methylation in sequence motifs G<u>A</u>*T*C controlled by S-adenosylmethionine

depended Dam methylase that is common in *E. coli* [52]. Another type of bipartite methylation at

palindromic motifs A<u>A</u>C(N6)G*T*GC and GC<u>A</u>C(N6)G*T*T is under control of *Eco*KI methylase

[53]. In the sequenced genomes these two methylases are encoded by the genes *dam* and *hsdM*

located on the chromosomes. Expression of these genes is not alternated by the treatment with

FS-1. Both enzymes are part of the DNA restriction/modification systems associated with

cognate restriction endonucleases cleaving DNA. This is the mechanism of prevention of

bacteria from infection by foreign DNA, particularly by phages and destructive conjugative

plasmids. Methylation of the corresponding sites protects the host DNA from the cleavage by

cognate endonucleases. Other authors report that partial methylation of these sites naturally

observed in long-term stationary phase [54] may prevent DNA replication [55] and reduces

resistance to antibiotics [56]. Approximately 25% of these motifs are methylated only at one

DNA strand that may be of importance at the methylated nucleotides more likely interfere with

transcription of gene, which are transcribed from the same DNA strand. An example of such

regulation is controlling of the expression from a pyelonephritis-associated pili (pap) operon in

uropathogenic *E. coli* by methylation of a GATC site in the promoter region reported by Hernday

et al. [57]. The operon is transcribed when the methylation occurs proximal to the promoter, and

vice versa. Another role of GATC partially methylated sites is switching between alternative

promoters of an operon as it was shown on an example the *sci1* gene cluster in enteroaggregative

E. coli [58].

The global pattern of cytosine methylation at motifs <u>C</u>RGKGA*T*C, C<u>C</u>AGGRAH and

W<u>C</u>CCT*G*GYR shows more variations between the NC and FS chromosomes and plasmids.

CCAGGRAH and WCCCTGGYR sequences share the same central motif CCWGG.

Nevertheless, they show different patterns of distribution and are likely controlled by different

methyltransferases. Methylation of CCWGG motifs is controlled by Dcm cytosine methylase

also referred to as Mec methylase in early publications [59]. Two alleles of *dcm* genes associated

with *Eco*RII restriction-modification systems were found in the genome of *E. coli* ATCC BAA-

196 with chromosomal and plasmid locations: BAA-196NC_1741 and BAA-196NC_RS24085.

Both these genes are expressed at NC and FS conditions. The role of CCWGG-methylation in

gene regulation in both, eukaryotes and prokaryotes, has been reported in many publications.

This is the mechanism by which Sry protein controls sex development in mammals [60]. Other

authors reported a crucial role of CCWGG-methylation control in cancer development [61]. In

E. coli, CCWGG-methylation is a regulator of stationary phase processes and stress response

[59] that also was confirmed in experiments on *Vibrio cholerae* [62]. CCWGG-methylation also

was shown to increase the rate of spontaneous mutations [63]. However, no phenotypic changes

were reported for *E. coli* cells with under- and over-production of the Dcm methyltransferase

suggesting that the amount of methyltransferase molecules does not affect the methylation

pattern [52]. This doze independence of the Dcm methylation pattern may be explained by the

specificity of *Eco*RII restriction-modification systems in *E. coli*. *Eco*RII restriction enzymes do

not cleave DNA at a single recognition site but require binding to an additional target site serving

as an allosteric effector [64]. Thus, the restriction of CCWGG sites in *E. coli* may depend on the

spatial conformation of the chromosomal and plasmid chromatin, which may be guided by

methylation of CCWGG sites.

The alternative distribution of methylated sites under the effect of FS-1 may contribute to the

specific gene transcription regulation fitting to survival with the drug but to the detriment of the

resistance to antibiotics. The comparison of alternations in the global DNA methylation patterns

of the control and FS-1 treated *E. coli* cultures with the differential gene expression did not allow

deducing any reliable links between presence/absence of methylated sites and up- or

downregulation of the genes. Depending on the location of a methylated nucleotide within

genetic regions recognized by transcriptional activators, inhibitors and/or DNA-dependent RNA

polymerases, the effect of methylation may be ambivalent. Switching between alternative

promoter regions and transcriptional start points may have no effect on the expression level but

on properties and activities of the encoded protein. Lower QV scores of cytosine methylation

compared to adenine methylation may indicate that these sites are methylated only in a fraction

of the bacterial population. It may complicate finding links between the alternative cytosine

methylation and gene regulation. On the other hand, it suggests a possible role of cytosine

methylation in supporting necessary phase-variations and metabolic heterogeneity of bacterial

populations. The highest QV scores of cytosine methylation were observed in sequences

<u>C</u>RGKG<u>A</u>*T*C associated with bipartite adenine methylation. This type of methylation has never

been described before and the involved cytosine methylase is unknown. Repetitive adenine and

cytosine methylation of alternative loci in the NC and FS genomes discovered in three repetitions

of PacBio sequencing demonstrates an obvious importance of the DNA methylation for the

adaptation of the bacterium to the presence of iodine-containing nanoparticles in the medium and

may be associated with the observed increase in susceptibility to gentamicin and ampicillin.

Conclusion

Iodine is one of the oldest antibacterial medicines used by humankind. Acquired resistance to

iodine, in contrast to antibiotics, has never been reported. Application of iodine-containing nano-

molecular complexes, such as FS-1, allows a broader use of iodine against antibiotic resistance

pathogens. This study showed a profound alternation of the gene expression profile in the

antibiotic resistant strain *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 cultivated with a sub-bactericidal

concentration of FS-1 that led to an increase in susceptibility to gentamicin and ampicillin. The

analysis of the differential gene regulation suggested that the possible targets of iodine-

containing particles are cell membrane fatty acids and proteins, particularly the cytochrome

molecules, that leads to an oxidative and acidic stress on the bacterial cells. Damaging of the cell

wall and membrane structures increases penetrability of bacterial cells by toxic compounds and

heavy metal ions. Bacteria responded by an increased activity of anion ion pumps and inhibiting

of permeases that complicates the uptake of nutrient and energy source compounds into cells,

which are already stressed by the necessity to increase the cell anabolism to replace iodine

damaged proteins and fatty acids. All this led to the increased susceptibility to antibiotics which

remains even after the removal of the drug from the medium. Inability of a quick restoration of

the antibiotic resistance by bacteria indicates the involvement of epigenetic and epistatic

mechanisms in adaptation to FS-1. This hypothesis was confirmed by discovering of a steadily

alternated DNA methylation pattern in FS-1 treated *E. coli*. Another hypothesis that iodine may

halogenate the bacterial DNA cannot be ruled out; however, the current results do not confirm

this supposition. Nevertheless, FS-1 suspended antibiotic resistance allows an effective treatment

of drug resistant pathogens that was demonstrated in three rounds of clinical evaluation of FS-1

as a new drug against antibiotic-resistant tuberculosis (www.clinicaltrials.gov, acc.

NCT02607449).

Methods

Bacterial cultures

Model multidrug resistant microorganism *Escherichia coli* ATCC BAA-196™ producing

extended spectrum beta-lactamase was obtained from the ATCC collection

(https://www.lgcstandards-atcc.org/en.aspx) and kept in freezer at minus 80°C. Lyophilized

culture obtained from ATCC was reactivated in two passages in Mueller-Hinton (MH) liquid

medium (Himedia, India) supplemented with ceftazidime 10 μg/ml as recommended in the

ATCC product sheet.

Cultivation with FS-1

Bacteria were inoculated into test-tubes with 10 ml of liquid MH medium supplemented with FS-

1 (500 μg/ml) that corresponds to ½ minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the drug

calculated previously for *E. coli*. As a negative control, the culture was cultivated in the same

medium without FS-1. Test-tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and then 0.1 ml aliquots of the

cultures were transferred to fresh tubes with the corresponding media. After 10 passages of daily

recultivations, the experimental and control bacteria were cross-inoculated in three repetitions

into tubes with FS-1 containing and FS-1 free media for further overnight incubation, followed

by DNA extraction. Sensitivity of bacterial cultures to ampicillin and gentamicin was evaluated

by serial dilution in 100 ml liquid MH medium with 0.05% resazurin (Sigma-Aldrich) using 96

well plates. Plates were inoculated with 20 μl overnight bacterial cultures diluted to 1.5×10^6

CFU/ml. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Optical density (OD) was measured at

540/620 nm using Multiscan Ascent and compared to the negative control well with resazurin.

Radiological study of FS-1complexing with chromosomal DNA

To carry out the radiological study, isotope ^{131}I was used for the synthesis of FS-1. The documented radioactivity of the isotope 20 MBq/ml was controlled at the beginning of the synthesis. Radioactivity was measured by β-spectrometer Hidex 300 SL (Finland).

Bacteria were incubated in a thermo-shaker overnight on MH medium at 37°C. The culture growth was centrifuged at 5,000 g and the cells were resuspended in saline to achieve 1×10^9 CFU/ml. Aliquots of 0.4 ml of the marked FS-1 solution (total radioactivity of an aliquot was 300 Kbq) were added to 7.6 ml of the bacterial suspension and rigorously shaken. Samples were incubated for 1 h at 37°C in a thermo-shaker, Thermomixer comfort (Germany). After incubation, bacterial cells were washed from FS-1 by two rounds of centrifugation at 5,000 g and re-suspended in sterile saline. DNA samples were extracted from bacterial cells using PureLink Genomic DNA Kits (Publication Number: MAN0000601, Revision 2.0), following the manufacturer's recommendations. DNA purity and concentration were controlled by NanoDrop 2000C (USA) at wavelengths 260 and 280 nm. Then the DNA samples were resolved in scintillation solute (ULTIMA GOLD LLT, PerkinElmer) to a final volume of 5 ml. The residual radiation was measured by β-spectrometer Hidex 300 SL (Finland).

DNA extraction and PacBio sequencing

DNA samples were extracted from bacterial cells using PureLink Genomic DNA Kits (MAN0000601, Revision 2.0) following the manufacturer's recommendations.

Samples were prepared according to a guide of preparation of SMRTbell templates for sequencing on the PacBio RS II System. The samples were sequenced at Macrogene (South Korea) on SMRT Cell 8Pac V3 cells using the DNA Polymerase Binding Kit P6 and DNA

Sequencing Reagent 4.0 v2 following the SMRTbell 10-kb library preparation protocol. PacBio

reads generated in three repeats from the NC and FS genomes were deposited at NCBI SRA

database under accession numbers (SRX6842003, SRX6842011, SRX6842012) and

(SRX6842007 – SRX6842009), respectively.

Genome assembly and annotation

The complete genome assembly was performed using SMRT Link 6.0.0 pipeline as published

previously [11]. Two genome variants, NC and FS, were obtained. The NC (CP042865) and FS

(CP042867) chromosomes were 4,682,561 and 4,682,572 bp, respectively. The genomes also

comprise large plasmids of 266,396 and 279,992 bp, for NC (CP042866) and FS (CP042868)

variants, respectively. Moreover, FS-1 treated strain contains two smaller plasmids CP042869

and CP042870 of 44,240 bp and 11,153 bp, respectively. The completeness of the final

assemblies was evaluated using the benchmarking universal single-copy orthologous (BUSCO)

software [65]. Genome annotation was performed using the RAST Server (http://rast.nmpdr.org/;

[66]) and then manually corrected. Involvement of predicted genes in metabolic pathways was

predicted by Pathway Tools [67] and The EcoCyc Database [25]. Genes involved in antibiotic

resistance were predicted by RGI 5.1.0/CARD 3.0.5 Web-portal [68]. Locations of horizontally

transferred genomic islands were identified and general DNA sequence parameters such as GC-

content and GC-skew in 8 kbp sliding windows stepping 2 kbp along the genome sequence were

calculated by the program SeqWord Genome Island Sniffer [69]. The same program was used to

identify the replication origin and terminus on bacterial chromosomes by GC-skew between the

leading and lagging strands [70]. The genomes were deposited at NCBI with the accession

numbers CP042865-CP042866 for the NC variant of the chromosome and large plasmid of this

strain; and CP042867-CP042870 for the chromosome and three plasmids of the FS variant. Raw

PacBio sequences are available from the NCBI BioProject Website under the accession number

PRJNA557356.

RNA extraction and sequencing

Isolation of total RNA from the NC and FS cultures after overnight cultivation (the mid

logarithmic growth phase controlled by OD) on the respective media was performed with the

RiboPure Bacteria Kit (Ambion, Lithuania) according to the developer's guidelines. The quality

and quantity of the resulting RNA were determined using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo

Scientific, USA) and Qubit RNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen, USA). Purification of total RNA from

ribosomal 16S and 23S RNA was carried out using the MICROBExpress Bacterial mRNA

Purification Kit (Ambion, Lithuania), as recommended by the developer. The efficiency of the

template-RNA purification was determined on the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent, Germany) with

the RNA 6000 Nano LabChip Kit (Agilent Technologies, Lithuania).

The RNA fragment library was prepared by enzymatic fragmentation with the Ion Total RNA

Seq Kit V2 (Life Technologies, USA). Barcoding of the formed library was carried out with the

Ion Xpress RNA-Seq Barcode 01-16 Kit (Life Technologies, USA), according to the

manufacturer's instructions. RNA sequencing was performed in three repetitions for each

condition using the Ion Torrent PGM sequencer (Life Technologies, USA) with the Ion 318 Chip

Kit V2. Three repetitions of RNA reads generated from the NC and FS variants were deposited

at NCBI SRA database under accession numbers SRX6842006 and SRX6842002, respectively.

Differential gene expression analysis

The differential expression was done using the R-3.4.4 software. Firstly, a reference index was

built for each reference genome using the *buildindex* function available in the *Rsubreads*

package (Bioconducter). For each bacterium, the obtained RNA fragments were aligned to the

relevant reference genome with the use of the "align" function. The aligned BAM files and

relevant GFF annotation files were then used as input for the *featureCounts* function to obtain

gene counts. The R packages *DESeq2* (Bioconducter) and *GenomicFeatures* was then used in R

studio for the differential expression analyses. The visualization of the volcano plots of

differential expression in the studied genomes was performed by an in-house Python script using

the output excel files generated by R package *DESeq2*. Networks of regulated genes were

constructed using the Web based tool PheNetic [71].

Profiling of epigenetic modifications

SMRT Link 6.0.0 tools (https://www.pacb.com/support/software-downloads/) pipelined by an in-

house Python script was used for the base call kinetic analysis in PacBio reads generated from

chromosomal and plasmid DNA. The pipeline consists of the following steps. The complete

genome consensus sequence in FASTA format obtained by assembling of PacBio reads is

indexed by the program *samtools* to be used as the reference sequence for the alignment of

PacBio reads. PacBio reads are converted from the original BAX.H5 format to BAM format by

the program *bax2bam*. Reads stored in BAM files are aligned against the indexed reference

sequence by the program *blasr*. Aligned reads in BAM format are sorted by locations on the

replicon and indexed by *samtools sort* and *index* functions. On the next step, the sorted and

indexed BAM files of read alignments against the reference genome are analyzed by the program

ipdSummary, which estimates the base call kinetics for every nucleotide in the reference genome

(the output file *_kinetics.csv*). Also, for every nucleotide position significantly delaying the base

calling in multiple overlapping repeats, the program calculates several statistical parameters such

as IPDRatio of exceeding of the base call delay over the expectation and the QV identification

score representing the likelihood of modification of the nucleotide at the given location. QV

score 14 corresponds to p-value 0.05, and QV score 21 – p-value 0.01. The program stores all

estimated parameters together with context sequences into an output file *_basemods.gff.

Contextual motifs of base modifications are searched by the program *motifMaker*. Then the

visualization of profiles of epigenetic modifications in the studied genomes was performed by an

in-house Python script using the output files of the above-mentioned pipeline.

References

1. Reygaert WC. An overview of the antimicrobial resistance mechanisms of bacteria.

AIMS Microbiol. 2018; 4:482-501. doi: 10.3934/microbiol.2018.3.482.

2. López-Jácome E, Franco-Cendejas R, Quezada H, Morales-Espinosa R, Castillo-Juárez I,

González-Pedrajo B, et al, García-Contreras R. The race between drug introduction and

appearance of microbial resistance. Current balance and alternative approaches. Curr.

Opin. Pharmacol. 2019; 48:48-56. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2019.04.016.

3. Brooks BD, Brooks AE. Therapeutic strategies to combat antibiotic resistance. Adv.

Drug Deliv. Rev. 2014; 78:14-27. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2014.10.027.

4. Smith PA, Romesberg FE. Combating bacteria and drug resistance by inhibiting

mechanisms of persistence and adaptation. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2007; 3:549-556. doi:

10.1038/nchembio.2007.27.

5. Baym M, Stone LK, Kishony R. Multidrug evolutionary strategy to reverse antibiotic

resistance. Science. 2016; 351:aad3292. doi: 10.1126/science.aad3292.

6. Ilin AI, Kulmanov ME, Korotetskiy IS, Islamov RA, Akhmetova GK, Lankina MV, Reva

ON. Genomic insight into mechanisms of reversion of antibiotic resistance in multidrug

resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* induced by a nanomolecular iodine-containing

complex FS-1. Front. Cellular and Infect. Microbiol. 2017; 7:151. doi:

10.3389/fcimb.2017.00151.

7. Islamov R, Kerimzhanova B, Ilin A. New antituberculosis drug FS-1 in Vašková J,

Vaško, L. (Eds.), Medicinal Chemistry. IntechOpen, London, UK, 2018; 103-116.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.80795.

8. Ilin AI, Kulmanov ME. Antibacterial agent for treating infectious diseases of bacterial

origin. Patent US20140010782 A1—2014-09-03. 2014;

https://www.google.com/patents/US20140010782.

9. Ilin AI, Kulmanov ME, Korotetskiy IS, Akhmetova GK, Lankina MV, Shvidko SV, Reva

ON. Complete genome sequence of multidrug-resistant clinical isolate *Mycobacterium*

tuberculosis 187.0, used to study the effect of drug susceptibility reversion by the new

medicinal drug FS-1. Genome Announc. 2015; 3:e01272-15. doi:

10.1128/genomeA.01272-15.

10. Joubert M, Reva O.N, Korotetskiy IS, Shvidko SV, Shilov SV, Jumagaziyeva AB, et al.

Assembly of complete genome sequences of negative-control and experimental strain

variants of *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC BAA-39 selected under the effect of the drug

FS-1, which induces antibiotic resistance reversion. Microbiol. Resour. Announc. 2019;

8:e00579-19. doi: 10.1128/MRA.00579-19.

11. Korotetskiy IS, Joubert M, Setshaba T, Jumagaziyeva AB, Shilov SV, Shvidko SV, et al.

Complete genome sequence of a multidrug resistant strain *Escherichia coli* ATCC BAA-

196 as a model to study the induced antibiotic resistance reversion. Microbiol. Resour.

Announc. 2019; 8:e01118-19. doi: 10.1128/MRA.01118-1.

12. Rice LB, Willey SH, Papanicolaou GA, Medeiros AA, Eliopoulos GM, Moellering RC.

Jr Jacoby GA. Outbreak of ceftazidime resistance caused by extended-spectrum beta-

lactamases at a Massachusetts chronic-care facility. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.

1990; 34:2193-2199. https://doi.org/0.1128/aac.34.11.2193.

13. Neu HC. Infections due to gram-negative bacteria: an overview. Rev. Infect. Dis. 1985; 7

Suppl 4:S778-S782. doi: 10.1093/clinids/7.supplement_4.s778.

14. Guerrant RL, Hughes JM, Lima NL, Crane J. Diarrhea in developed and developing

countries: magnitude, special settings, and etiologies. Rev. Infect. Dis. 1990; 12 Suppl

1:S41-50. doi: 10.1093/clinids/12.supplement_1.s41.

15. Emori TG, Gaynes RP. An overview of nosocomial infections, including the role of the

microbiology laboratory. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 1993; 6:428-442. doi:

10.1128/cmr.6.4.428.

16. Bezuidt O, Pierneef R, Mncube K, Lima-Mendez G, Reva ON. Mainstreams of

horizontal gene exchange in enterobacteria: consideration of the outbreak of

enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* O104:H4 in Germany in 2011. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e25702.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0025702

17. Yang SC, Lin CH, Aljuffali IA, Fang JY. Current pathogenic *Escherichia coli* foodborne

outbreak cases and therapy development. Arch. Microbiol. 2017; 199:811-825. doi:

10.1007/s00203-017-1393-y.

18. Downing T. Tackling drug resistant infection outbreaks of global pandemic *Escherichia*

coli ST131 using evolutionary and epidemiological genomics. Microorganisms. 2015;

3:236-267. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms3020236.

19. Odonkor ST, Addo KK. Prevalence of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from

drinking water sources. Int. J. Microbiol. 2018; 2018:7204013. doi:

10.1155/2018/7204013.

20. O'Flaherty E, Solimini AG, Pantanella F, De Giusti M, Cummins E. Human exposure to

antibiotic resistant-*Escherichia coli* through irrigated lettuce. Environ. Int. 2019;

122:270-280. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.11.022.

21. Blattner FR, Plunkett G 3rd, Bloch CA, Perna NT, Burland V, Riley M, et al. The

complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Science. 1997; 277:1453-1462. doi:

10.1126/science.277.5331.1453.

22. Hayashi K, Morooka N, Yamamoto Y, Fujita K, Isono K, Choi S, et al. Highly accurate

genome sequences of *Escherichia coli* K-12 strains MG1655 and W3110. Mol. Syst.

Biol. 2006; 2:2006.0007. doi: 10.1038/msb4100049.

23. Durfee T, Nelson R, Baldwin S, Plunkett G 3rd, Burland V, Mau B, et al. The complete

genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* DH10B: insights into the biology of a laboratory

workhorse. J. Bacteriol. 2008; 190:2597-2606. doi: 10.1128/JB.01695-07.

24. Cassu-Corsi D, Martins WM, Nicoletti AG, Almeida LG, Vasconcelos AT, Gales AC.

Characterisation of plasmid-mediated *rmtB*-1 in Enterobacteriaceae clinical isolates from

São Paulo, Brazil. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz. 2018; 113:e180392. doi: 10.1590/0074-

02760180392.

25. Karp PD, Ong WK, Paley S, Billington R, Caspi R, Fulcher C, et al. The EcoCyc

Database. EcoSal Plus. 2018; 8(1). doi: 10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0006-2018.

26. Freddolino PL, Amini S, Tavazoie S. Newly identified genetic variations in common

Escherichia coli MG1655 stock cultures. J. Bacteriol. 2012; 194:303-306. doi:

10.1128/JB.06087-11

27. Eloff JN. A sensitive and quick microplate method to determine the minimal inhibitory

concentration of plant extracts for bacteria. Planta Med. 1998; 64:711-713. doi:

10.1055/s-2006-957563.

28. Ilin AI, Parsadanyan GG, Nersesyan AK. Interaction of halogens with nucleic acids and

its consequence. The New Armenian Medical Journal. 2013; 7:33-43.

29. Dong T, Yu R, Schellhorn H. Antagonistic regulation of motility and transcriptome

expression by RpoN and RpoS in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 2011; 79(2):375-386.

doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07449.x.

30. Korotetskiy IS, Shilov SV, Shvidko SV, Jumagaziyeva AB, Suldina NA, Korotetskaya

NV, et al. Transcriptional response of the multidrug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

following FS-1 exposure. Eurasian Journal of Applied Biotechnology. 2017; 2017:43-48.

31. Stim KP, Bennett GN. Nucleotide sequence of the adi gene, which encodes the

biodegradative acid-induced arginine decarboxylase of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol.

1993; 175(5):1221-1234.

32. Jiang GR, Nikolova S, Clark DP. Regulation of the *ldhA* gene, encoding the fermentative

lactate dehydrogenase of *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology. 2001; 147(Pt 9):2437-2446.

doi: 10.1099/00221287-147-9-2437.

33. Horlacher R, Boos W. Characterization of TreR, the major regulator of the *Escherichia*

coli trehalose system. J. Biol. Chem. 1997; 272(20):13026-13032.

34. Mora L, Heurgué-Hamard V, de Zamaroczy M, Kervestin S, Buckingham RH.

Methylation of bacterial release factors RF1 and RF2 is required for normal translation

termination *in vivo*. J. Biol. Chem. 2007; 282(49):35638-35645.

35. Gagarinova A, Stewart G, Samanfar B, Phanse S, White CA, Aoki H, et al. Systematic

genetic screens reveal the dynamic global functional organization of the bacterial

translation machinery. Cell Rep. 2016; 17(3):904-916. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.09.040.

36. Wada A, Igarashi K, Yoshimura S, Aimoto S, Ishihama A. Ribosome modulation factor:

stationary growth phase-specific inhibitor of ribosome functions from *Escherichia coli*.

Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1995; 214(2):410-417.

37. Yamaguchi Y, Park JH, Inouye M. MqsR, a crucial regulator for quorum sensing and

biofilm formation, is a GCU-specific mRNA interferase in *Escherichia coli*. J. Biol.

Chem. 2009; 284(42):28746-28753. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.032904.

38. Kerimzhanova BF, Jumagaziyeva A, Ilin AI. Influence of FS-1 drug on resistant

Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Int. Conf. Clinical and Pharmaceutical Microbiology. 2019;

Oct. 23 – 25, Rome, Italy.

39. Matsuda A, Kurono N, Kawano C, Shirota K, Hirabayashi A, Horino M, et al. Genome-

wide screen for *Escherichia coli* genes involved in repressing cell-to-cell transfer of non-

conjugative plasmids. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2012; 428(4):445-450. doi:

10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.10.098.

40. Soo VW, Hanson-Manful P, Patrick WM. Artificial gene amplification reveals an

abundance of promiscuous resistance determinants in *Escherichia coli*. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. U.S.A. 2011; 108(4):1484-1489. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1012108108.

41. Nachin L, Nannmark U, Nyström T. Differential roles of the universal stress proteins of

Escherichia coli in oxidative stress resistance, adhesion, and motility. J. Bacteriol. 2005;

187(18):6265-6272.

42. Pomposiello PJ, Bennik MH, Demple B. Genome-wide transcriptional profiling of the

Escherichia coli responses to superoxide stress and sodium salicylate. J. Bacteriol. 2001;

183(13):3890-3902.

43. Wang X, Dubey AK, Suzuki K, Baker CS, Babitzke P, Romeo T. CsrA post-

transcriptionally represses *pgaABCD*, responsible for synthesis of a biofilm

polysaccharide adhesin of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 2005; 56(6):1648-1663.

44. Jørgensen MG, Nielsen JS, Boysen A, Franch T, Møller-Jensen J, Valentin-Hansen P.

Small regulatory RNAs control the multi-cellular adhesive lifestyle of *Escherichia coli*.

Mol. Microbiol. 2012; 84(1):36-50. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.07976.x.

45. De Lay N, Gottesman S. A complex network of small non-coding RNAs regulate motility

in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 2012; 86(3):524-538. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

2958.2012.08209.x.

46. Attila C, Ueda A, Wood TK. 5-Fluorouracil reduces biofilm formation in *Escherichia*

coli K-12 through global regulator AriR as an antivirulence compound. Appl. Microbiol.

Biotechnol. 2009; 82(3):525-533. doi: 10.1007/s00253-009-1860-8.

47. Dressaire C, Moreira RN, Barahona S, Alves de Matos AP, Arraiano CM. BolA is a

transcriptional switch that turns off motility and turns on biofilm development. mBio.

2015; 6(1):e02352-14. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02352-14.

48. Weber MM, French CL, Barnes MB, Siegele DA, McLean RJ. A previously

768   uncharacterized gene, *yjfO* (*bsmA*), influences *Escherichia coli* biofilm formation and

769   stress response. Microbiology. 2010; 156(Pt 1):139-147. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.031468-0.

770   49. Domka J, Lee J, Wood TK. YliH (BssR) and YceP (BssS) regulate *Escherichia coli* K-12

771   biofilm formation by influencing cell signaling. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2006;

772   72(4):2449-2459.

773   50. Khil PP, Camerini-Otero RD. Over 1000 genes are involved in the DNA damage

774   response of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 2002; 44(1):89-105.

775   51. Bougdour A, Cunning C, Baptiste PJ, Elliott T, Gottesman S. Multiple pathways for

776   regulation of sigmaS (RpoS) stability in *Escherichia coli* via the action of multiple anti-

777   adaptors. Mol. Microbiol. 2008; 68(2):298-313.

778   52. Marinus MG, Løbner-Olesen A. DNA methylation. EcoSal Plus. 2014; 6. doi:

779   10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0003-2013.

780   53. Loenen WA. Tracking *Eco*KI and DNA fifty years on: a golden story full of surprises.

781   Nucleic Acids Res. 2003; 31:7059-7069. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg944.

782   54. Westphal LL, Sauvey P, Champion MM, Ehrenreich IM, Finkel SE. Genomewide Dam

783   methylation in *Escherichia coli* during long-term stationary phase. mSystems. 2016;

784   1:e00130-16. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00130-16.

785   55. Russell DW, Zinder ND. Hemimethylation prevents DNA replication in *E. coli*. Cell.

786   1987; 50:1071-1079. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(87)90173-5.

787   56. Cohen NR, Ross CA, Jain S, Shapiro RS, Gutierrez A, Belenky P, et al. A role for the

788   bacterial GATC methylome in antibiotic stress survival. Nat. Genet. 2016; 48:581-586.

789   doi: 10.1038/ng.3530.

57. Hernday AD, Braaten BA, Low DA. The mechanism by which DNA adenine methylase

and PapI activate the pap epigenetic switch. Mol. Cell. 2003;12(4):947-957. doi:

10.1016/s1097-2765(03)00383-6.

58. Brunet YR, Bernard CS, Cascales E. Fur-Dam regulatory interplay at an internal

promoter of the enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* type VI secretion *sci1* gene cluster. J.

Bacteriol. 2020; 202(10) pii:e00075-20. doi: 10.1128/JB.00075-20.

59. Kahramanoglou C, Prieto AI, Khedkar S, Haase B, Gupta A, Benes V, Fraser GM,

Luscombe N.M, Seshasayee A.S. Genomics of DNA cytosine methylation in *Escherichia*

coli reveals its role in stationary phase transcription. Nat. Commun. 2012; 3:886. doi:

10.1038/ncomms1878.

60. Nishino K, Hattori N, Sato S, Arai Y, Tanaka S, Nagy A, Shiota K. Non-CpG

methylation occurs in the regulatory region of the Sry gene. J. Reprod. Dev. 2011;

57:586-593. doi: 10.1262/jrd.11-033a.

61. Agirre X, Vizmanos JL, Calasanz MJ, García-Delgado M, Larráyoz M, Novo FJ.

Methylation of CpG dinucleotides and/or CCWGG motifs at the promoterof TP53

correlates with decreased gene expression in a subset of acutelymphoblastic leukemia

patients. Oncogene. 2003; 22:1070-1072. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1206236.

62. Chao MC, Zhu S, Kimura S, Davis BM, Schadt EE, Fang G, Waldor MK. A cytosine

methytransferase modulates the cell envelope stress response in the cholera pathogen.

PLOS Genet. 2015; 11:e1005666. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005739.

63. Krokan HE, Drabløs F, Slupphaug G. Uracil in DNA – occurrence, consequences and

repair. Oncogene. 2002; 21:8935-8948. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205996.

64. Golovenko D, Manakova E, Tamulaitiene G, Grazulis S, Siksnys V. Structural

mechanisms for the 5'-CCWGG sequence recognition by the N- and C-terminal domains

of *Eco*RII. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009; 37:6613-6624. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp699.

65. Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation

completeness. Methods Mol. Biol. 2019; 1962:227-245. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-9173-

0_14.

66. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, et al. The RAST

Server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics. 2008; 9:75.

doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.

67. Karp PD, Latendresse M, Paley SM, Krummenacker M, Ong QD, Billington R, et al.

Pathway Tools version 19.0 update: software for pathway/genome informatics and

systems biology. Brief Bioinform. 2016; 17:877-890. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbv079.

68. Jia B, Raphenya A.R, Alcock B, Waglechner N, Guo P, Tsang K.K, et al. CARD 2017:

expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic resistance

database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017; 45(D1):D566-D573. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1004.

69. Bezuidt O, Lima-Mendez G, Reva ON. SEQWord Gene Island Sniffer: a program to

study the lateral genetic exchange among bacteria. World Academy of Science,

Engineering and Technology. 2009; 58:1169-1274.

70. Freeman JM, Plasterer TN, Smith TF, Mohr SC. Patterns of genome organization in

bacteria. Science. 1998; 279:1827-1827. doi: 10.1126/science.279.5358.1827a.

71. De Maeyer D, Renkens J, Cloots L, De Raedt L, Marchal K. PheNetic: network-based

interpretation of unstructured gene lists in *E. coli*. Molecular bioSystems. 2013, 9

(7):1594-1603. doi:10.1039/c3mb25551d.

Figure legends

Fig 1. Growth of *Escherichia coli* ATCC BAA-196 in plate wells with different concentration of antibiotics measured in 3 repetitions. X axis depicts antibiotic concentration and Y axis shows OD values at 540/620 nm light wave normalized by OD values in negative control well with no bacterial growth.

Fig 2. Mauve alignments of genome sequences of the plasmids of *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196. Sequence identity of homologous blocks is depicted by colored histograms. Gene locations are represented by open blocks. (A) Alignment of two large plasmids of NC and FS variants. (B) Alignment of the plasmid 2 of the variant FS against the large plasmid of the variant NC. (C) Alignment of the plasmid 2 of the variant FS against the large plasmid of the variant FS. (D) Alignment of the plasmid 3 of the variant FS against the large plasmid of the variant FS.

Fig 3. Volcano plot of gene regulation in the FS-1 treated variant (FS) of *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 in comparison to the negative control variant (NC). Dots on the plots depict the negative (blue – CDS, green - ncRNA) and positive (red – CDS, yellow - ncRNA) gene regulation. Plasmid born genes are depicted by red cycles and beta-lactamase genes located on the plasmids are highlighted by a thick yellow outline. Log2 fold gene expression changes are on the X axes, with the common p-values logarithms of gene expression estimations on the Y axes.

P-values were calculated by DESeq2 algorithm on three repeats of gene expression counts.

Genes expressed only in the variant FS are plotted along the axis of expression counts in the

positive infinity columns (Inf), and the genes expressed only in the NC variant are in the

negative infinity columns (-Inf). Size of the cycles and the color intensity depict estimated p-

value above 0.05 and above 0.01 (bigger and brighter cycles). Numbers of regulated CDS and

ncRNA genes of different categories are indicated. Highly regulated genes are labelled.

Fig 4. PheNetic networks of regulated genes. (A) Network of genes clustered according to the

common regulation of these genes by higher level transcriptional regulators; (B) Network of

regulated genes functionally link to each other. Several functional groups of genes are labelled.

Upregulated genes are depicted by yellow nodes and downregulated genes – by blue nodes

(vertices). Color intensity indicates the level of the regulation. Grey nodes are genes of

transcriptional regulators involved in the network, which expression was not reliable changed at

the aforementioned conditions. Green edges show activation relations; blue edges – inhibitory

relations; and brown edges – ambivalent or neutral relations. Direct regulations by transcriptional

regulators are indicated by arrowheads.

Fig 5. Distribution of QV scores of modified nucleotides. Plot (A) shows the distribution in

the negative control (NC) variant of *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196; plot (B) shows the distribution in

the FS-1 treated (FS) variant. Axis X depicts score ranks stepping 5 score units. Axis Y indicates

decimal logarithms of rank numbers.

Fig 6. Alternative cytosine methylation. Patterns associated with C̲RGKGA̲*T*C motifs in the

chromosomes (A-B) and CC̲AGG motifs in the large plasmids (C-D) of the NC and FS variants

of *E. coli* ATCC BAA-196 are shown. Triangle marks indicate locations of methylated sites on

the direct (blue marks) and complement (red marks) DNA strands. Fluctuations of GC-content

and GC-skew parameters calculated for 8 kbp sliding window stepping 2 kbp are shown by

corresponding histograms. Locations of identified genomic islands in bacterial chromosomes are

indicated by yellow blocks.

(A) *Escherichia coli* ATCC BAA-196

(B)

Figure 1

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

E. coli ATCC BAA-196 NC pl 1

E. coli ATCC BAA-196 FS pl 1

E. coli ATCC BAA-196 NC pl 1

E. coli ATCC BAA-196 FS pl 2

E. coli ATCC BAA-196 FS pl 1

E. coli ATCC BAA-196 FS pl 2

E. coli ATCC BAA-196 FS pl 1

E. coli ATCC BAA-196 FS pl 2

Figure 2