Multidimensional trophic niche approach: gut content, digestive enzymes, fatty acids and

stable isotopes in Collembola

Anton M. Potapov[1,2], Melanie M. Pollierer[2], Sandrine Salmon[3], Vladimír Šustr[4], Ting-Wen

Chen[4*]

[1]A.N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninsky

Prospect 33, 119071 Moscow, Russia

[2]J.F. Blumenbach Institute of Zoology and Anthropology, University of Göttingen, Untere

Karspüle 2, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

[3]Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Département Adaptations du Vivant, UMR 7179

MECADEV, 4 avenue du Petit Château, 91800 Brunoy, France

[4]Institute of Soil Biology, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice,

Czech Republic

*Corresponding author: Ting-Wen Chen, email: ting-wen.chen@upb.cas.cz

Abstract

1. The trophic niche of an organism is tightly related to its role in the ecosystem and can explain its coexistence with other species. A number of instrumental methods were developed to describe trophic niches of organisms in natural complex and cryptic ecosystems where direct observation is hampered, such as soil. However, these methods are often applied independently from each other, simplifying the multidimensional nature of the trophic niche. Using the example of Collembola, dominant soil animals consuming a wide range of food resources, here we for the first time quantitatively compared information provided by four different but complementary methods: visual gut content analysis, digestive enzyme analysis, fatty acid analysis and stable isotope analysis.

2. From 43 published studies and unpublished data, we compiled the most comprehensive trophic trait dataset to date including 154 collembolan species, each studied with at least one method. We selected fifteen key trophic niche parameters that provide information about links between Collembola and their potential food resources. Data on different methods were uniformly scaled and connected through the species identity. Focusing on interspecific variability we (1) explored correlations of all trophic niche parameters and (2) described variation of these parameters in collembolan species, families and life forms.

3. Correlation between trophic niche parameters of different methods was weak or absent in 45 out of 64 pairwise comparisons, reflecting that only some parameters provide similar information. Gut content and fatty acids provided comparable information on fungivory and plant feeding in Collembola. By contrast, information provided by digestive enzymes differed from information gained by other methods, suggesting that digestive enzymes

had high additional value when combined with other methods. Stable isotope values were

related to parameters from other methods only when related to plant versus microbial

feeding. Many parameters were affected by family identity but not life form, suggesting

phylogenetic (taxonomic) signal in Collembola trophic niches. We highlighted the

additional value of method combination by providing a complex description of trophic

niches across families and showing emerging evidence that bacterial feeding in

Collembola may be more common than it is often assumed.

4. Different methods estimate different feeding-related processes and thus different

dimensions of the trophic niche, being complementary rather than redundant. A

comprehensive picture of the trophic niche in complex food webs can be drawn by a

combination of different approaches. However, the number of studies that applied even

two methods simultaneously is very low in soil ecology. Controlled laboratory

experiments and description of field populations with several joint methodological

approaches is the way towards understanding of food-web functioning and coexistence of

species in cryptic environments.

Keywords

diet tracing, food webs, biomarkers, trophic interactions, springtails, method comparison

Introduction

Trophic interactions between organisms define structure and stability of ecological communities, channeling of energy through food webs, and functioning of ecosystems (Estes et al., 2011; Rooney & McCann, 2012; Barnes et al., 2018). The trophic interactions of an organism with other coexisting species can be perceived as its 'trophic niche', stemming from the Hutchinsonian 'ecological niche' concept (Chase & Leibold, 2003; Holt, 2009). Trophic niche can be defined in multidimensional space, where each axis represents an aspect, or dimension, of trophic interactions (Hutchinson, 1978; Newsome, Martinez del Rio, Bearhop, & Phillips, 2007; Machovsky-Capuska, Senior, Simpson, & Raubenheimer, 2016). Such dimensions could represent direct evidence of food objects, describe feeding behavior of individuals, and imply basal resources and trophic level of species in food web with potential interactions with predators. For small organisms in cryptic environments, such as invertebrates living in soil, typically only few trophic niche dimensions are known.

Soil food webs rely on dead plant and animal material, soil organic matter, phototrophic microorganisms, roots and root exudates, inseparably mixed with bacteria and fungi, and altogether generalized as 'detritus' (Moore et al., 2004). Hundreds of species of basal soil animal consumers, known as 'detritivores' and 'microbivores', feed on this mixture and locally co-exist with apparently low specialisation, which inspired J.M. Anderson to formalize the 'enigma of soil animal diversity' almost fifty years ago (Anderson, 1975). Trophic niche differentiation is one of the mechanisms, by which different species can coexist. Such differentiation was found e.g. for Collembola, one of the most abundant soil invertebrate groups (Hopkin, 1997). Traditionally considered as generalistic fungivores, different Collembola species in fact occupy

different trophic niches, spanning from algivores to high-level consumers (Rusek, 1998;

Chahartaghi, Langel, Scheu, & Ruess, 2005).

Understanding of trophic niche differentiation in Collembola and other soil invertebrates

was for a long time constrained by the small size and/or cryptic lifestyle of these animals.

Traditionally, trophic niches of Collembola in natural environments were studied with visual gut

content analysis (Anderson & Healey, 1972; Hagvar & Kjondal, 1981; Table 1). This method is

based on microscopic observations of gut content and counting the particles of different types.

Despite being laborious, this method provides reliable data on the food objects that were

ingested. However, visual methods provide only a snapshot of the diet, are biased towards

overestimation of poorly digestible resources, and provide limited information in case of feeding

on fluids or soil organic matter.

To assess which ingested material may be potentially digested in the gut of soil animals,

digestive enzyme analysis was applied (C. O. Nielsen, 1962; Siepel & de Ruiter-Dukman, 1993;

Parimuchová et al., 2018). Digestive enzymes, such as cellulase, chitinase and trehalase,

represent the ability of an animal to decompose corresponding types of complex organic

compounds. Since proportions of different organic compounds differ between resources (Table

1), trophic interactions with some resources could be also inferred using this method. In addition,

digestive enzymes are linked to foraging strategies of animals; 'grazers' have higher activity of

enzymes to degrade structural polysaccharides (cellulose, chitin), while 'browsers' have higher

activity of enzymes to degrade storage polysaccharides (e.g. trehalose) (Siepel & de Ruiter-

Dukman, 1993). According to the existing data on Collembola, most species fall into the group

of 'herbo-fungivorous grazers', i.e. having all three abovementioned enzymes in digestive

system (Berg, Stoffer, & van den Heuvel, 2004), which highlights the difficulty to disentangle

their feeding habits with this method only.

While being potentially informative in revealing foraging strategies, digestive enzyme

analysis provides only little information on the identity of consumed food items and on whether

they were actually assimilated or not. More recently developed biochemical tools, such as neutral

lipid fatty acid (FA) analysis, filled this gap by providing information on the food compounds

that was assimilated and retained in the body over recent period of time (Ruess & Chamberlain,

2010). Plants, fungi and different groups of prokaryotes are able to synthesise specific membrane

lipids, that are often retained unchanged in the fat body of their consumers, a phenomenon called

'dietary routing' (P.M. Chamberlain, Bull, Black, Ineson, & Evershed, 2005a; Ruess &

Chamberlain, 2010). By analysing the composition of biomarker FAs in consumers, basal food

resources of the trophic chain can be tracked over a period of several weeks, even those retaining

in higher trophic levels (Pollierer, Scheu, & Haubert, 2010).

Despite being very informative, FA analysis is laborious and does not provide trophic

level estimation of species in the food web. Quantitative comparison among contribution of

different resources using FA analysis is still very limited (Kühn, Schweitzer, & Ruess, 2019). An

alternative method overcoming these limitations (which also provides information on assimilated

food resources) is stable isotope analysis of carbon and nitrogen (Tiunov, 2007). In soil food

webs low ^{13}C concentration in bulk body tissue indicates a trophic link to freshly fixed plant

carbon (e.g. via herbivory), while high ^{13}C concentration suggests a trophic link to microbially

processed organic matter (e.g. via bacterivory or fungivory), thereby differentiating basal

resources among species or populations (A. M. Potapov, Tiunov, & Scheu, 2019). In turn, ^{15}N

concentration can infer trophic levels in the soil food web, being low in basal resource

consumers and high in predators and mycorrhizal fungi feeders (A. M. Potapov, Tiunov, &

Scheu, 2019). In particular, the method revealed that trophic niches of Collembola species may

correlate with their taxonomic position and life form (i.e. microhabitat specialisation) (A. M.

Potapov, Semenina, Korotkevich, Kuznetsova, & Tiunov, 2016). However, bulk natural stable

isotope composition provides only very general information on the trophic position in food web

and rarely allows to reconstruct exact feeding interactions in soil. This limitation could be

overcome by sequencing of the gut DNA (i.e. molecular gut content analysis), but only few

species of Collembola were studied so far with this method (Anslan, Bahram, & Tedersoo, 2018;

Zhu et al., 2018).

Different methods provide information on different trophic niche dimensions, integrate

information over different time periods, and each has its own advantages and drawbacks.

However, in combination they could help to overcome some of the drawbacks and provide

complementary information. A recent review revealed that only few studies have conducted

quantitative comparisons among the dietary methods, and none of them applied several methods

simultaneously (J. M. Nielsen, Clare, Hayden, Brett, & Kratina, 2017). This motivated us to

compile a large trophic trait dataset across the four different field observational methods

mentioned above (Table 1), focusing on Collembola – one of the most abundant soil arthropod

groups. We aimed to (1) quantitatively assess the complementarity of data provided by each of

the methods; (2) describe multidimensional niches of collembolan species, families and life

forms.

Table 1. Description of the methods and list of the trophic niche parameters used in this study to

assess trophic niches of Collembola.

Parameter	Description	Provided information

Visual gut content analysis

Represents a snapshot of ingestion of food material. Assessed via microscopic observations of the gut content. Data is usually given as proportion of the particles ingested (Ponge, 2000; Addison, Trofymow, & Marshall, 2003).

Parameter	Description	Provided information
Proportion of fungi	Proportion of particles of fungal origin (i.e. spores and hyphae) among the particles ingested	A proxy for fungivory
Proportion of plant particles	Proportion of particles of plant origin (e.g. coarse plant detritus, roots or shoots) among the particles ingested	A proxy for herbivory and litter grazing
Proportion of amorphous material	Proportion of fine particles of unclear origin (i.e. fine detritus) among the particles ingested	A proxy for soil organic matter and faecal pellets feeding

Digestive enzyme analysis

Represents the ability to digest ingested food. Assessed via laboratory analyses of degradation of specific organic substrates. Data are given in masses of reaction products liberated from specific substrate by the homogenate of animal bodies per unit of time and per unit of animal mass (Siepel & de Ruiter-Dukman, 1993; Berg et al., 2004). Non-digestive roles of digestive enzymes are ignored.

Parameter	Description	Provided information
Cellulase activity	Production of glucose per unit of time after cellulose addition. Cellulose is a major component of green plant and algae cell walls	A proxy for herbivory, algivory and litter grazing
Trehalase activity	Production of glucose per unit of time after trehalose addition. Primary storage component of fungal, but also lichen and plant cells	A proxy for fungivore (and herbivore) browsing strategy
Chitinase activity	Production of glucosamine or nitrophenole per unit of time after chitin, or its artificial proxy, addition. Chitin is a major component of fungal cell walls	A proxy for fungivory

Fatty acid (FA) analysis

Represents retention of the assimilated food, integrated over days to weeks. Assessed via chemical neutral lipid extraction and subsequent gas chromatography. Data is given as proportion of a certain biomarker of the total extracted neutral lipids (Ruess & Chamberlain, 2010; T.-W. Chen, Sandmann, Schaefer, & Scheu, 2017).

Sum of gram-positive bacteria biomarkers	Sum of proportion of the FAs synthesized by gram-positive bacteria only: i15:0, a15:0, i16:0, i17:0, a17:0	A proxy for bacterial feeding
Sum of gram-negative bacteria biomarkers	Sum of proportion of the FAs synthesized by gram-negative bacteria and actinomycetes only: 2-OH 10:0, 2-OH 12:0, 3-OH 12:0, 2-OH 14:0, 3-OH 14:0, 2-OH 16:0, and by gram-negative bacteria only: cy17:0, cy19:0	A proxy for bacterial feeding
Sum of non-specific bacterial biomarkers	Sum of proportion of the FAs synthesized by various bacteria: $16:1\omega7$, $18:1\omega7$	A proxy for bacterial feeding
Relative fungal biomarker	Proportion of the FA $18:2\omega6,9$ that is predominantly synthesized by fungi, but also can be synthesized by plants and animals	A proxy for fungivory
Relative and non-specific plant biomarkers	Proportion of the FA $18:1\omega9$ that is predominantly synthesized by plants, but also can be synthesized by fungi and gram-positive bacteria. Includes sum of biomarkers: 21:0, 22:0, 23:0, 24:0	A proxy for herbivory
Non-specific biomarker for arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi	Proportion of the FA $16:1\omega5$ that is synthesized by arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi, but also can by synthesized by various bacteria	A (potential) proxy for mycorrhiza feeding
Animal-synthesized FAs	Sum proportion of the FAs, that are synthesized by animals, and may accumulate in trophic chains as was shown for soil nematodes: $20:1\omega9$, $22:6\omega3$, $22:2\omega6$, $22:1\omega9$, 24:1	A (potential) proxy for predation (e.g. on nematodes)

Bulk stable isotope analysis

Represent retention of the assimilated food, integrated over days to weeks. Assessed via isotope mass spectrometry. Data is given in δ-units, reflecting concentration of the heavier isotope in relation to the international standard (Tiunov, 2007; A. M. Potapov, Semenina, et al., 2016; A. M. Potapov, Tiunov, & Scheu, 2019).

$\Delta^{13}C$	Relative concentration of ^{13}C isotope in the bulk body tissue. Value is calibrated to the local plant litter to account for variability among ecosystems. Parameter varies among basal resources being relatively low in plants and high in saprotrophic microorganisms and animals.	A proxy for herbivory (low values) versus microbial feeding (high values)
$\Delta^{15}N$	Relative concentration of ^{15}N isotope in the bulk body tissue. Value is calibrated to the local plant litter to account for variability among ecosystems. Parameter increases in food chains, being relatively low in primary consumers, especially algivores, and high in predators	A proxy for algivory and detritivory (low values) versus microbial and animal feeding (high values)

Materials and methods

We selected visual gut content, digestive enzyme, FA and stable isotope analyses as the most

commonly used methods that provide estimations of the trophic links between Collembola and

their potential food resources in the field. Most of the published field studies applied only one of

the methods and only two used a combination of two methods (Haubert et al. 2009; Ferlian,

Klarner, Langeneckert, & Scheu, 2015). Therefore, we compiled all available data on each

method separately and used species names as index to link them. Data were collected from the

personal libraries of the authors and complemented with published literature by searching the

Web of Science using keywords "Collembola" or "springtail" and various keywords to identify

the specific method.

Visual gut content

Primary screening of the available literature yielded 31 studies that reported data on the gut

content of Collembola. Out of these, we selected studies that provided quantitative estimates

from natural environments, mostly temperate forests and grasslands. Standardisation of data

from the selected studies was complicated since each author made its own classification of the

particles found in guts. Therefore, we restricted ourselves to the most commonly reported

categories and definitions of these categories – (1) particles of fungal origin, including hyphae

and spores, (2) particles of plant origin, mostly coarse plant detritus (excluding pollen and algae),

(3) amorphous material of unknown nature, i.e. fine detritus such as soil organic matter. The

final dataset included 95 records (species-ecosystem average) on 62 species from 18 studies

(Table S1). Raw data were expressed as proportion of the particles of a certain type among the

total particles ingested.

Digestive enzymes

To our knowledge, digestive enzymes such as cellulase, trehalase and chitinase were reported

only in three published studies on Collembola (Urbasek & Rusek, 1994; Berg et al., 2004;

Parimuchová et al., 2018). Despite using the same method, these studies used different chemical

protocols, including different ways of glucose detection, which resulted in evident differences

(orders of magnitude in some cases) in absolute mean values and variation of substrate

production per unit of animal body mass. Thus, we excluded the study of Urbasek & Rusek

(1994) and normalized data on chitinase activity to the mean value separately for data coming

from Berg et al. (2004) and Parimuchová et al. (2018) before merging them. Z-standardization

was done by subtracting mean and dividing by standard deviation using log-transformed data due

to high data skewedness. This could have introduced biases to our analyses and thus data on

chitinase activity should be interpreted with caution. However, since each study covered the

entire community and included species from all major Collembola orders, the normalization bias

is likely to be small. The final dataset included 45 records on 27 species (Table S2). Raw data

were expressed as mg of reaction products per g of animal mass per hour.

Fatty acids

Screening of the available literature yielded 10 studies that reported data on the neutral lipid FA

composition of Collembola. Those were complemented with unpublished data collected by

Melanie M. Pollierer and students under her supervision. Studies varied in completeness of FA

profiles, but most of them reported data on $16:1\omega7$ and $18:1\omega7$ (general bacteria biomarkers),

$18:2\omega6,9$ (relative fungal biomarker), $18:1\omega9$ (relative plant biomarker) and several gram-

positive and gram-negative bacterial biomarker FAs. If reported, we also used $16:1\omega5$ as the

biomarker related to feeding on arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi (Ngosong, Gabriel, & Ruess, 2012)

and sum of $20:1\omega9$, $22:6\omega3$, $22:2\omega6$, $22:1\omega9$ and $24:1$ FAs that were shown to exist in high

concentrations in metazoan animals (nematodes) (Tanaka et al., 1996; J. Chen, Ferris, Scow, &

Graham, 2001; Paul M Chamberlain & Black, 2005; P.M. Chamberlain, Bull, Black, Ineson, &

Evershed, 2005b). Raw data was compiled as proportions of the total neutral lipid FAs of the

organism. Individual biomarker FAs were summed up to generate seven conventional trophic

niche parameters, which are listed in the Table 1. The final dataset included 130 records on 47

species from 13 studies and unpublished ones (Table S3).

Stable isotopes

The dataset was based on the previous compilation reported by Potapov et al. (2016) and

complemented with data on grassland communities and few recent publications. For each study,

isotopic baseline (i.e. plant litter) was recorded and used to calculate baseline-calibrated $\Delta^{13}C$

and $\Delta^{15}N$ values (A. M. Potapov, Tiunov, & Scheu, 2019). The final dataset included 378 records

on 96 species from 10 studies (Table S4). Raw data were expressed in conventional δ units.

Data analysis

Fifteen trophic niche parameters were collected across methods (Table 1). In total, we collected

data on 154 species, each analysed with at least one of the methods. All calculations were done

in R 3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2019) with R studio interface 1.0.143 (RStudio, Inc.). We conducted

species-based analyses. Data averaging for each parameter of each species followed two steps:

First, individual measurements, if present, were averaged to calculate mean values for each

species in each ecosystem (representing population from a locality with a certain ecosystem

type); second, the records were averaged to calculate overall species average across ecosystems

and studies. Species averages of different parameters were interlinked using species names.

Proportional data (gut content analysis and FA analysis) were logit-transformed using *logit* in the

car package prior to calculation of average values and further analyses; 0 and 1 proportions were

adjusted to 0.025 and 0.975, respectively. Data on digestive enzyme activity were $\log_{10}$-

transformed due to high skewness prior to calculation of average. To align taxonomic names, the

table on each method was compared against the gbif taxonomic backbone using the species

matching tool (https://www.gbif.org/tools/species-lookup). Therefore, in the study we focused on

interspecific variability only, ignoring the variation within species. Raw data used in the study

will be available on Dryad digital repository.

To have the same data representation across all fifteen parameters, we scaled data on each

parameter separately between 0 (lowest observed value of the parameter) and 1 (highest

observed value of the parameter). All the analyses and results were based on the scaled data.

Three analyses were performed. In the first analysis we tested pairwise correlations among all

trophic niche parameters. Spearman rank correlation was applied using *cor* function. The number

of points for each correlation varied among parameters according to the number of shared

species for which the data was present in both parameters (Table S5). Correlation tests were

conducted for those with more than five data points (i.e. at least six shared species in pairwise

parameter comparison).

In the second analysis we selected species with available data for most of the trophic niche

parameters studied. Unfortunately, only two species had data across all fifteen parameters. Thus,

we removed rare parameters, which yielded six species with data across seven parameters

present with focus on gut content and fatty acid data. Data on these species were used to run

principal component analysis (PCA) using *prcomp* function, to explore the association of species

with certain niche parameters and differentiation in their multidimensional trophic niches.

In the third analysis, we tested the effect of family identity (as the proxy of phylogenetic group)

and life form identity (as the proxy of microhabitat preference) on each of fifteen trophic niche

parameters separately using *lm* function (*parameter~family* or *parameter~life form*,

respectively). Groups with fewer than 3 species were removed from the analysis. Surface-living

families of Symphypleona (Dicyrtomidae, Katiannidae, Sminthuridae and Sminthurididae) were

analysed together due to low number of species replicates and trophic similarity (A. M. Potapov,

Semenina, et al., 2016). Life forms followed Gisin (1943) as defined by A. M. Potapov,

Semenina, et al., (2016), so that we included atmobiotic (aboveground and surface dwellers),

epedaphic (surface and upper litter dwellers), hemiedaphic (litter dwellers) and euedaphic (lower

litter and soil dwellers). For each parameter we used R^2 and p values from the model output to

compare predictability of different trophic niche parameters among high-rank phylogenetic

(taxonomic) groups and among ecological classifications (i.e. life-forms). We further divided the

best replicated family Isotomidae in epedaphic versus hemiedaphic and euedaphic species to

assess the effect of microhabitats on this family.

Results

Correlation between trophic niche parameters

In 45 out of total 64 performed pairwise tests (excluding within-method tests), correlation

between trophic niche parameters was weak or absent ($R^2 < 0.15$; Fig. 1, more details provided

in the Supplementary Fig. S1). Among strong positive correlations, species with high proportion

of fungal and plant particles in their guts had high proportions of fungal-synthesised and plant-

synthesised FAs in their bodies ($R^2 = 0.56$ and0.50), respectively. A high proportion of fungal

particles in the gut, however, negatively correlated with retained FA synthesised by gram-

negative bacteria, but the number of replicates was low.

Trehalase activity in guts positively correlated with the proportions of retained FAs synthesized

by fungi ($R^2 = 0.10$), gram-negative bacteria ($R^2 = 0.16$), plants ($R^2 = 0.22$) and animals ($R^2 =$

0.72, low replicates) in the bodies, but negatively with the proportions of FAs synthesised by

gram-positive bacteria ($R^2 = 0.24$) and non-specific bacteria biomarkers ($R^2 = 0.28$). Cellulase

activity positively correlated with the proportion of arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi ($R^2 = 0.37$) and

non-specific bacteria FAs ($R^2 = 0.21$). Similar to trehalase activity, chitinase activity negatively

correlated with the proportion of FAs synthesised by gram-positive bacteria ($R^2 = 0.49$) and

positively with the proportion of FAs synthesised by gram-negative bacteria ($R^2 = 0.22$).

The $\Delta^{13}C$ values were negatively correlated with cellulase activity ($R^2 = 0.64$), chitinase activity

($R^2 = 0.17$) and proportion of plant-synthesised FAs ($R^2 = 0.16$). The $\Delta^{15}N$ values were

negatively correlated with proportion of plant particles in gut ($R^2 = 0.20$) and plant-synthesised

FAs ($R^2 = 0.12$), but positively with trehalase activity ($R^2 = 0.18$).

Figure 1. Correlation among fifteen trophic niche parameters in Collembola. Red colour

represents positive correlations, blue colour represents negative correlations; to display negative

correlations R^2 was multiplied by -1. Correlations based on < 6 species were removed,

correlations based on 6–9 species are given in brackets; in other cases n = 10–88 (Table S5).

Correlations among parameters derived from different methods are framed within black

rectangles. FA data and gut content data are proportional and thus intercorrelations among

parameters within the same method should be interpreted with caution.

Multidimensional trophic niches of Collembola species

The strongest distinction in multidimensional trophic niche space (joint fatty acid and gut content

analysis) on both PC1 and PC2 was observed between *Orchesella flavescens* (surface-dwelling

species of the family Entomobryidae) and *Protaphorura* (soil-dwelling genus belonging to

Onychiuridae; Fig. 2). The former species was associated with high proportions of plant-

synthesised FAs and plant particles in the gut, the latter with high proportions of fungi-

synthesised FAs and fungi in the gut. *Tomocerus minor* (Tomoceridae) was associated with plant

and non-specific bacteria parameters. *Lepidocyrtus lignorum* (litter-dwelling species of

Entomobryidae) was related to fungi in the gut on PC1. *Parisotoma notabilis* (litter-dwelling

species of Isotomidae) and *Onychiurus* (soil-dwelling genus of Onychiuridae) were associated

with gram-positive bacteria FAs and amorphous material in the gut.

Figure 2. Principal component analysis based on seven selected trophic niche parameters that were collected from six common Collembola species. Parameters are shown with red vectors, species are shown in grey.

Multidimensional trophic niches in Collembola families and life forms

Family identity best explained stable isotope composition (Δ^{13}C and Δ^{15}N values), and also explained part of the variation in gram-positive and non-specific bacterial FA parameters,

proportion of plant and fungi in the gut, and trehalase activity ($R^2 \geq 0.24$, $p < 0.05$ except for

trehalase Fig. 3). Cellulase activity and proportion of amorphous material in gut were very

poorly related to the family identity ($R^2 = 0.03$), but only four or five families were analysed for

these parameters due to a low number of replicates in the other families.

Symphypleona species had high average proportion of FAs synthesised by gram-negative

bacteria, plants and fungi, high chitinase and cellulase, but very low trehalase activity in

comparison to other families (Fig. 3). Tomoceridae had high average proportions of plant

particles in the gut, plant-synthesised FAs and non-specific bacteria FAs and the lowest $\Delta^{13}C$

values. Entomobryidae had the highest trehalase activity among the other families but low values

of bacteria-related parameters. In comparison to previous families, Isotomidae had lower values

of fungi-related parameters and enzyme activities. A further separation of Isotomidae into

epedaphic and (hemi)edaphic lifeforms indicated that epedaphic Isotomidae had lower $\Delta^{13}C$

values than edaphic ones (0.35 versus 0.49), lower proportion of plants (0.55 versus 0.72) but

higher proportion of fungi in the gut (0.42 versus 0.20). Hypogastruridae had remarkably high

proportion of fungi in gut and high chitinase activity, but low proportion of plant particles in gut.

Neanuridae had the highest $\Delta^{13}C$ and $\Delta^{15}N$ values and proportions of FAs synthesized by gram-

positive bacteria and arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi. Onychiuroidea had high $\Delta^{15}N$ values, FAs

synthesized by non-specific bacteria and fungi, and high cellulase activity.

Figure 3. Mean values of different trophic niche parameters in Collembola families. All

parameters and scaled between 0 (minimum, intense blue) and 1 (maximum, intense red). Means

based on < 3 species were removed, means based on 3–5 species are given in brackets; in other

cases n = 6–20. Numbers next to parameters show R^2 explained by family identity. Families are

sorted according to the orders; parameters are sorted according to the R^2 values. Surface-living

families of Symphypleona (Dicyrtomidae, Katiannidae, Sminthuridae and Sminthurididae) were

analysed together due to a low number of species replicates and trophic similarity between

families. Onychiuroidea includes Onychiuridae and few Tullbergiidae species.

Life form best explained chitinase activity, but the effect was not significant due to a low number

of replicates. Life form also well explained $\Delta^{15}N$ values and proportion of FA synthesised by

gram-negative bacteria ($R^2 \geq 0.25$; Fig. 4). Most of the other parameters were moderately or not

at all related to life form.

Atmobiotic species had high average activity of all digestive enzymes and high proportion of

FAs synthesized by gram-negative bacteria and plants (Fig. 4). Epedaphic species had in general

intermediate values for all parameters, but high proportions of fungi in the gut and high

proportions of animal-synthesized FAs. Hemiedaphic species had high $\Delta^{13}C$ values and

proportion of FAs synthesized by gram-positive bacteria, but low activity of chitinase and

cellulase. Euedaphic species had the highest $\Delta^{15}N$ values, high trehalase activity, high

proportions of FAs synthesised by fungi and non-specific bacteria biomarker FA.

Figure 4. Median values of different trophic niche parameters in Collembola life forms. All

parameters were scaled between 0 (minimum) and 1 (maximum). Means based on < 3 species

were removed, means based on 3–5 species are given in brackets; in other cases n = 6–36.

Numbers next to parameters show R^2 explained by life form identity. Life forms are sorted

according to the species vertical stratification along the soil profile; parameters are sorted

according to the R^2 values.

Discussion

Different methods provide information on different dimensions of trophic niche. However, studies quantitatively comparing different methods are very scarce, and usually involve two methods only (Nielsen et al., 2017). Here, we compared data on fifteen trophic niche parameters derived from four methods using Collembola as the model organisms. First, we showed that many trophic niche parameters are weakly correlated reflecting complementarity, rather than redundancy, of different methods. This was not surprising because different methods not only reflect different processes, but moreover differ in their currency, in the time interval which they reflect, and likely in the way they evolved. Second, we outlined trophic niche parameters based on species taxonomic cluster (i.e. family identity) and associated with the microenvironment species are living in (i.e. life form). Finally, we provided the most detailed information on the trophic niches of Collembola, cryptic but very abundant soil arthropods, available to date.

The additional value of method combination

It was shown that outcomes provided by different dietary methods only in part overlap (Nielsen et al., 2017). Pessimistically, this reflects biases embedded in each method – optimistically, it means that different methods may inform us on more trophic niche dimensions (Hambäck, Weingartner, Dalén, Wirta, & Roslin, 2016). Different methods track different processes, embrace different time frames, and assess niches on different resolution scales. We found weak correlation among trophic niche parameters derived from different methods in 70% of the performed tests. Some of the correlations may be improved if the material would come from the same animals, but it is unlikely that correlations that are now absent would become

strong. In addition, our results are likely realistic as weak correlations are expected in many

cases. Soil decomposers, including Collembola, ingest heterogeneous detritus with the

assimilation efficiency being on average only 20% (Jochum et al., 2017). In other words, only

about 20% of the ingested material, found during visual gut content observations, is being

assimilated and may be retained in the body. This is likely the case for soil-feeding Collembola,

whereas species feeding on fungi presumably select their food, suggesting that the ingested

material will reflect well what they assimilate. Other methods, such as stable isotope analysis,

are less informative in comparison with visual gut content observations as diet becomes more

heterogeneous – more food items can be visually observed in the gut, but the same number of

isotopic parameters are recorded and used to track many, or few, food items (Nielsen et al.,

2017).

Various types of interactions among the methods and parameters were observed in our

study, including confirmation (redundancy), controversies, complementarity, and clarification.

Some methods provided in part redundant information – for instance, proportion of plant and

fungal particles in gut correlated very well with retention of plant and fungal FAs, respectively.

Thus, both visual gut content analysis and FA analysis reflect well herbivory and fungivory in

Collembola; applying one of the methods can be sufficient to trace these feeding strategies. Both

$\Delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{13}C$ values negatively correlated with plant-related parameters provided by gut

content and FA analyses, with the latter negatively correlated also with cellulase activity. This

result is also confirmatory – high $\Delta^{15}N$ values reflect high trophic level and thus few plant

material in the diet; high $\Delta^{13}C$ values reflect feeding on microbially-decomposed organic matter,

and not freshly-fixed plant carbon, thus also reflecting a small proportion of plants in the diet (A.

M. Potapov, Tiunov, & Scheu, 2019). However, these correlations were not strong reflecting that

bulk stable isotope composition are affected by several different factors (Boecklen, Yarnes,

Cook, & James, 2011) and this method may complement gut content and FA analyses.

Complementarity to other methods was evident for digestive enzyme analysis, although

more data are needed to verify our results. For example, we expected a positive correlation

between chitinase activity (allowing to degrade chitin in fungal cell walls) or cellulase activity

(allowing to degrade cellulose in plant and algae cell walls) and fungi- or plant-related

parameters provided by other methods. Controversially, none of such correlations, especially for

cellulase, were strong. However, the proportion of fungi in gut, and proportions of plant- and

fungi-synthesized FAs were positively correlated with trehalase activity. Since trehalose is the

storage component of fungal, lichen and plant cells, these correlations suggest that Collembola

species most actively feeding on plant material and fungi are getting energy and nutrients from

storage, rather than structural, polysaccharides. In relation to the foraging behaviour, these

species are likely to be browsers, rather than grazers (Siepel & de Ruiter-Dukman, 1993).

As another example for complementarity of methods, activity of trehalase and chitinase

was negatively correlated with proportions of FAs synthesised by non-specific and gram-positive

bacteria. Apparently, some Collembola species have a specific feeding strategy where they rely

more on bacterial feeding and thus can invest less in the fungi-related digestive enzymes.

Detritivores have been shown to largely rely on amino acids synthesised by free-living and gut

symbiotic bacteria if the food quality is low, for example if they feed on soil organic matter

(Larsen et al., 2016; A. M. Potapov, Tiunov, Scheu, Larsen, & Pollierer, 2019). Some soil

animals repeatedly consume decomposing litter and soil, using free-living microorganisms that

produce digestive enzymes to release the nutrients from recalcitrant organic compounds, a

strategy termed "external rumen" (Swift, Heal, & Anderson, 1979). Higher $\Delta^{15}N$ values in soil-

dwelling Collembola species (A. M. Potapov, Semenina, et al., 2016) may indicate repeated

ingestion of soil organic matter and thus trophic level inflation (Steffan et al., 2017). In trend,

$\Delta^{15}N$ values were positively correlated with the proportion of FAs synthesised by non-specific

and gram-positive bacteria in our study, which may point to the utilization of external and gut

symbionts in some Collembola species. Actinobacteria, a gram-positive group of prokaryotes,

were shown to dominate in the gut of *Folsomia candida*, that is adapted to soil lifestyle (Zhu et

al., 2018). Gram-positive bacteria like Actinobacteria and Firmicutes in soil are associated with

small soil fractions, inhabiting small pores (Mummey, Holben, Six, & Stahl, 2006; Hemkemeyer,

Dohrmann, Christensen, & Tebbe, 2018), and thus are likely accessible mostly for soil-feeding

species. As low activity of digestive enzymes was often observed in species with a high

proportion of gram-positive bacteria FAs, this combination could point to feeding on soil organic

matter.

We avoid further extensive discussion of correlations since many of them were based

only on several points. This clearly shows a need for standardized studies, exploring

multidimensional trophic niches of species with several methods simultaneously (J. M. Nielsen

et al., 2017). In summary, our results showed that FA and visual gut content analysis provide

similar estimations of herbivory and fungivory in Collembola. Among these two methods, visual

gut content analysis has lower equipment requirements, but does not allow to trace some feeding

interactions, especially in the case of fluid-feeding, or feeding on soil organic matter. Besides,

data of different studies that recorded gut content of Collembola are very heterogeneous and in

many cases are not comparable. Further studies employing this method should ideally follow a

single described protocol with clearly defined particle categories. Similar improvement is

important for future studies on digestive enzymes since different laboratories are using different

chemical protocols which result in estimations differing over an order of magnitude (see

methods). Digestive enzyme analysis provides not very concrete information about trophic

interactions taken as itself since various food resources can be degraded with the same enzyme.

However, this method has a high additional value in combination with other methods, allowing

insights in foraging behaviour and animal-microbial interactions. Stable isotope analysis

provides integrative parameters, such as estimations of trophic level and plant versus microbial

feeding. Considering that this method is the least laborious among the studied ones, it can serve

as an appropriate tool for rapid assessment of trophic niches. However, to improve its value,

calibration studies with other methods, especially in soil consumers, are needed.

Multidimensional trophic niches of Collembola

In our study we compiled available information on the trophic niche parameters of

Collembola and explored how they vary across different taxa and life forms. Family identity

explained part of the variation in 6 out of 15 trophic niche parameters, suggesting that trophic

niches in Collembola are, at least in part, likely phylogenetically structured (A. M. Potapov,

Semenina, et al., 2016; T.-W. Chen et al., 2017). Life form, as a proxy for the microenvironment

species live in, explained variation only in three parameters with mostly low R^2 values.

However, this result should be interpreted with caution since life form is based on morphology

and provides only limited information on the real microhabitat (Ellers et al., 2018). Nevertheless,

species sharing the same microenvironment may share, or differentiate in their trophic niches.

Part of this variation may be explained by phylogenetic signal; thus a combination of phylogeny

with morphological traits associated with environmental adaptations and mouthpart morphology

(Raymond-Léonard, Gravel, & Handa, 2019) is a promising approach to predict trophic niches in

Collembola. This was demonstrated by the difference observed between epigeic and edaphic

Isotomidae in our study. Unfortunately, for each life form – family combination only few species

were present in the compiled dataset.

Both family and life form identities explained only some trophic niche parameters. The

effect of family explained most variation in stable isotope composition, and in bacteria-related

FA parameters. These parameters are all related to biochemical processes in the body and thus

may in part be affected by shared physiology of the phylogenetically-related species, calling for

controlled experiments exploring how the physiological effects may bias trophic inferences

(Larsen, Ventura, Damgaard, Hobbie, & Krogh, 2009). In turn, cellulase activity was not related

to family identity, suggesting that the ability to degrade cellulose is an evolutionary highly

variable trait in Collembola (although cellulase activity was generally low and more data is

needed to verify this). Life form explained variation in chitinase activity, showing that this

enzyme is synthesised mostly by surface-dwelling species, but the effect was not significant. Life

form also had a large effect on trophic level ($\Delta^{15}N$ values) and proportion of gram-negative

bacteria FAs, which may reflect the vertical distribution of the potential food objects. However,

the majority of trophic niche parameters were poorly related to life form, reflecting that a variety

of feeding strategies are available for Collembola in different microenvironments.

As practical demonstration of the additional value of method combination, in the

following sections we described trophic niches derived from multiple methods in conjunction for

each Collembola family. The order Symphypleona (representing a conglomerate of several

surface-dwelling families) on average had high proportions of fungal FAs, high chitinase activity

allowing to degrade fungal cell walls, and a high proportion of FAs synthesised by gram-

negative bacteria. Lichens are comprised of fungi, microalgae and cyanobacteria, with latter

being able to synthesise hydroxylated FAs, i.e. biomarkers for gram-negative bacteria

(Dembitsky, Shkrob, & Go, 2001; Gugger, 2002) Together with low $\Delta^{15}N$ values typically found

in algivores (A. M. Potapov, Korotkevich, & Tiunov, 2018), these values suggest that lichen

grazing, or a combination of fungivory and herbivory may be widespread among Symphypleona.

Tomoceridae had a relatively well-defined trophic niche; the combination of parameters

points to consumption of plant material with the help of bacteria. They had the highest average

proportion of plant particles in the gut among all families and a relatively high proportion of

plant-synthesised FAs. In addition, they had a high proportion of non-specific bacteria FAs,

suggesting that they may graze on freshly fallen litter and assimilate it with the help of gut

symbionts. Clarification of their trophic niche could be advanced with enzyme analysis, but only

one record of *Tomocerus minor* was present in our database – this species had the highest

cellulase activity among all records in the database, confirming plant litter grazing as the

foraging behaviour.

Entomobryidae include many species that are morphologically resembling Tomoceridae,

however, these two families differed in a number of trophic niche parameters (Fig. 3).

Entomobryidae had more fungi in the gut, remarkably high trehalase activity, but lower

proportion of non-specific bacteria FAs. Overall, these differences suggest that they are likely

browsers, rather than grazers. *Lepidocyrtus lignorum* can serve as a good illustration – this

species preferred fungi (Fig. 2), having high trehalase, but low cellulase and limited chitinase

activity (Table S2).

Isotomidae had lower average values of fungi-related parameters and lower enzymatic

activity than the previous two families. They also had high $\Delta^{13}C$ values, pointing to consumption

of organic material in advanced stages of decomposition (A. M. Potapov, Tiunov, & Scheu,

2019), potentially including invertebrate faeces. All these features were the most expressed in

hemiedaphic and euedaphic Isotomidae species, inhabiting decomposing litter and soil (e.g.

Parisotoma notabilis on Fig. 2). It is likely, that edaphic Isotomidae species rely more on

bacteria, e.g. via using the "external rumen" feeding strategy (detailed discussion is given in the

section above). They usually have a small body size and their gut often contains humus (i.e. soil

and faecal material) (Poole, 1959; Ponge, 2000). Interestingly, hemiedaphic and euedaphic

Isotomidae such as species of the genera *Isotomiella*, *Folsomia*, *Parisotoma, Folsomides*,

dominate in collembolan communities in many ecosystems (M. Potapov, 2001). Thus, bacterial

feeding may be more common in Collembola than it is assumed in traditional soil food web

models (Hunt et al., 1987; de Vries et al., 2013) as also was observed in isotope labeling

experiments with bacteria (Murray et al., 2009; F. V. Crotty, Blackshaw, & Murray, 2011). To

verify this hypothesis further studies employing FA analysis calibrated for absolute comparison

of resource contributions (Kühn et al., 2019), or compound-specific stable isotope analysis

(Pollierer et al., 2019), are needed.

Hypogastruridae had the highest proportion of fungi and the lowest proportion of plants

in the gut across all families and low activity of cellulase, suggesting that they feed selectively

on microorganisms and not the plant material. Species of this family were reported to live and

feed on fungi (Sawahata, Soma, & Ohmasa, 2001), and to have relatively high proportions of

fungi-synthesised FAs (Ferlian, Klarner, Langeneckert, & Scheu, 2015). Taken together it is

likely that many Hypogastruridae are fungivores, or, more broadly, microbivores.

Neanuridae have no molar plate and are thus unable to chew the food. This family was

long recognised to have a distinct trophic niche from other families (Singh, 1969; Berg et al.,

2004; Chahartaghi et al., 2005). However, their exact food objects remain enigmatic. Early

studies hypothesised that Neanuridae feed by sucking up the content of fungal hyphae (Poole,

1959; Singh, 1969). Stable isotope analysis discovered that Neanuridae have an outstandingly

high trophic level, suggesting that they may feed on other animals, such as nematodes

(Chahartaghi et al., 2005). More recently, Neanuridae were show to successfully breed on slime

moulds (protists with mycelial stage) in laboratory cultures (Hoskins, Janion-Scheepers, Chown,

& Duffy, 2015). Since slime moulds are abundant in various ecosystems (Swanson, Vadell, &

Cavender, 1999), and tend to live in the rotten wood, as well as Neanuridae, they potentially

serve as the food for this collembolan family in natural environments. In our study we showed

that Neanuridae have high average values of bacteria- and fungi-related parameters, high $\Delta^{13}C$

and $\Delta^{15}N$ values, but very low plant supplementation, supporting all abovementioned

hypotheses. Interestingly, they also had a very high proportion of FAs synthesized by arbuscular

mycorrhizal fungi, but this biomarker is not specific and can also be synthesized by bacteria

(Table 1; Fig. 1). It is likely that Neanuridae are high-level consumers among Collembola,

feeding on various groups of microfauna and microorganisms, thus receiving energy from both

bacteria and fungi as basal resources.

Onychiuroidea are soil-adapted Collembola that have no eyes, no pigment and no furca.

They are associated with plant roots, presumably by feeding on root tips or mycorrhizae

(Endlweber, Ruess, & Scheu, 2009; Fujii et al., 2016; A. M. Potapov, Goncharov, Tsurikov,

Tully, & Tiunov, 2016). We found intermediate values for the proportion of plant and fungi

particles in their gut in comparison to other families. However, they had high proportions of FAs

synthesised by fungi and bacteria (non-specific) and high cellulase activity. Potentially,

Onychiuroidea may combine root and soil feeding. Besides, small-sized Tullbergiidae that were

analysed together with Onychiuridae to increase replication in our analysis, may not rely much

on root-derived resources (Li et al., 2020), calling for more studies on this superfamily.

Using comparisons across multiple methods, we provide a general overview of high-rank

Collembola taxa trophic niches at the family level. These large-scale patterns will not necessarily

be supported on species-level, but rather represent the average trends. In our study we also

ignored the variability among ecosystems, which does affect trophic positions of soil animals

(Berg et al., 2004; Felicity V. Crotty, Blackshaw, Adl, Inger, & Murray, 2014; Susanti, Pollierer,

Widyastuti, Scheu, & Potapov, 2019). This study is the first cross-method compilation of

available data on trophic traits in Collembola that clearly shows not only the gaps, but also

advantages of the multidimensional trophic niche approach. Different methods are not redundant

but rather have a high additional value by compensating individual drawbacks when combined.

Simultaneous application of several methods to the same population, and across different groups

and ecosystems, is the way to understand the functioning of food webs and the coexistence of

species in cryptic environments, such as soil.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Russian Science Foundation (project 19-74-00154) to AMP. Joint

discussions between the team members were supported by CAS-DAAD mobility project

(DAAD-19-10) co-funded by Czech Academy of Sciences (CAS) and German Academic

Exchange Service (DAAD, Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst) to TWC and AMP. TWC

was supported by the MSM project funded by CAS for research and mobility support of starting

researchers (MSM200962001). MMP was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG,

MA 7145/1-1).

Authors' contributions

AMP and TWC developed the idea and study design. SS compiled data on the gut content

analysis, VS compiled data on the digestive enzyme analysis, MMP compiled data on the fatty

acid analysis, AMP compiled data on the stable isotope analysis. AMP did the analysis and

drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the analysis and text.

Data accessibility

Raw data supporting the results of the study are provided in the Supplementary materials and we

intend to archive it to the Dryad digital repository, should the manuscript be accepted for

publication.

References

Addison, J., Trofymow, J., & Marshall, V. (2003). Functional role of Collembola in

successional coastal temperate forests on Vancouver Island, Canada. *Applied Soil*

Ecology, *24*(3), 247–261. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(03)00089-1

Anderson, J. M. (1975). The enigma of soil animal species diversity. In *Progress in soil*

zoology (pp. 51–58). Springer. Retrieved from

http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-010-1933-0_5

Anderson, J. M., & Healey, I. N. (1972). Seasonal and Inter-Specific Variation in Major

Components of the Gut Contents of Some Woodland Collembola. *The Journal of*

Animal Ecology, *41*(2), 359. doi:10.2307/3473

Anslan, S., Bahram, M., & Tedersoo, L. (2018). Seasonal and annual variation in fungal

communities associated with epigeic springtails (Collembola spp.) in boreal forests.

Soil Biology and Biochemistry, *116*(Supplement C), 245–252.

doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.021

Barnes, A. D., Jochum, M., Lefcheck, J. S., Eisenhauer, N., Scherber, C., O'Connor, M. I., …

Brose, U. (2018). Energy flux: The link between multitrophic biodiversity and

ecosystem functioning. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *33*(3), 186–197.

doi:10.1016/j.tree.2017.12.007

Berg, M. P., Stoffer, M., & van den Heuvel, H. H. (2004). Feeding guilds in Collembola based

on digestive enzymes. *Pedobiologia*, *48*(5–6), 589–601.

doi:10.1016/j.pedobi.2004.07.006

Boecklen, W. J., Yarnes, C. T., Cook, B. A., & James, A. C. (2011). On the Use of Stable Isotopes

in Trophic Ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *42*(1), 411–

440. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144726

Chahartaghi, M., Langel, R., Scheu, S., & Ruess, L. (2005). Feeding guilds in Collembola based

on nitrogen stable isotope ratios. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *37*(9), 1718–1725.

doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.02.006

Chamberlain, Paul M, & Black, H. I. J. (2005). Fatty acid compositions of Collembola:

unusually high proportions of C20 polyunsaturated fatty acids in a terrestrial

invertebrate. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part B, Biochemistry &*

Molecular Biology, *140*(2), 299–307. doi:10.1016/j.cbpc.2004.10.016

Chamberlain, P.M., Bull, I. D., Black, H. I. J., Ineson, P., & Evershed, R. P. (2005a). Fatty acid

composition and change in Collembola fed differing diets: identification of trophic

biomarkers. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *37*(9), 1608–1624.

doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.01.022

Chamberlain, P.M., Bull, I. D., Black, H. I. J., Ineson, P., & Evershed, R. P. (2005b). Fatty acid

composition and change in Collembola fed differing diets: identification of trophic

biomarkers. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *37*(9), 1608–1624.

doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.01.022

Chase, J. M., & Leibold, M. A. (2003). *Ecological niches: Linking classical and contemporary*

approaches. University of Chicago Press.

Chen, J., Ferris, H., Scow, K. ., & Graham, K. . (2001). Fatty acid composition and dynamics of

selected fungal-feeding nematodes and fungi. *Comparative Biochemistry and*

Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, *130*(2), 135–144.

doi:10.1016/S1096-4959(01)00414-6

Chen, T.-W., Sandmann, P., Schaefer, I., & Scheu, S. (2017). Neutral lipid fatty acid

composition as trait and constraint in Collembola evolution. *Ecology and Evolution*,

7(22), 9624–9638. doi:10.1002/ece3.3472

Crotty, F. V., Blackshaw, R. P., & Murray, P. J. (2011). Tracking the flow of bacterially derived

13C and 15N through soil faunal feeding channels. *Rapid Communications in Mass*

Spectrometry, *25*(11), 1503–1513.

Crotty, Felicity V., Blackshaw, R. P., Adl, S. M., Inger, R., & Murray, P. J. (2014). Divergence of

feeding channels within the soil food web determined by ecosystem type. *Ecology*

and Evolution, *4*(1), 1–13. doi:10.1002/ece3.905

de Vries, F. T., Thebault, E., Liiri, M., Birkhofer, K., Tsiafouli, M. A., Bjornlund, L., ... Bardgett,

R. D. (2013). Soil food web properties explain ecosystem services across European

land use systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*

States of America, *110*(35), 14296–14301. doi:10.1073/pnas.1305198110

Dembitsky, V. M., Shkrob, I., & Go, J. V. (2001). Dicarboxylic and Fatty Acid Compositions of

Cyanobacteria of the Genus Aphanizomenon. *Biochemistry*, *66*(1), 5.

Ellers, J., Berg, M. P., Dias, A. T. C., Fontana, S., Ooms, A., & Moretti, M. (2018). Diversity in

form and function: Vertical distribution of soil fauna mediates multidimensional

trait variation. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *87*(4), 933–944. doi:10.1111/1365-

2656.12838

Endlweber, K., Ruess, L., & Scheu, S. (2009). Collembola switch diet in presence of plant

roots thereby functioning as herbivores. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *41*(6), 1151–

1154. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.02.022

Estes, J. A., Terborgh, J., Brashares, J. S., Power, M. E., Berger, J., Bond, W. J., ... Wardle, D. A.

(2011). Trophic Downgrading of Planet Earth. *Science*, *333*(6040), 301–306.

doi:10.1126/science.1205106

Ferlian, O., Klarner, B., Langeneckert, A., & Scheu, S. (2015). Trophic niche differentiation

and utilisation of food resources in collembolans based on complementary analyses

of fatty acids and stable isotopes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *82*, 28–35.

Fujii, S., Mori, A. S., Kominami, Y., Tawa, Y., Inagaki, Y., Takanashi, S., & Takeda, H. (2016).

Differential utilization of root-derived carbon among collembolan species.

Pedobiologia. doi:10.1016/j.pedobi.2016.05.001

Gisin, H. (1943). *Ökologie und Lebensgemeinschaften der Collembolen im schweizerischen*

Exkursionsgebiet Basels. Geneve: A. Kundig. Retrieved from

http://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=1494446119907250369&hl=en&oi=sch

olarr

Gugger, M. (2002). Cellular fatty acids as chemotaxonomic markers of the genera

Anabaena, Aphanizomenon, Microcystis, Nostoc and Planktothrix (cyanobacteria).

International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, *52*(3), 1007–

1015. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.01917-0

Hagvar, S., & Kjondal, B. R. (1981). Succession, diversity and feeding habits of

microarthropods in decomposing birch leaves. *Pedobiologia*, *22*, 385–408.

Hambäck, P. A., Weingartner, E., Dalén, L., Wirta, H., & Roslin, T. (2016). Spatial subsidies in

spider diets vary with shoreline structure: Complementary evidence from molecular

diet analysis and stable isotopes. *Ecology and Evolution*, *6*(23), 8431–8439.

doi:10.1002/ece3.2536

Haubert, D., Birkhofer, K., Fließbach, A., Gehre, M., Scheu, S., & Ruess, L. (2009). Trophic

structure and major trophic links in conventional versus organic farming systems as

indicated by carbon stable isotope ratios of fatty acids. *Oikos, 118*, 1579–1589.

Hemkemeyer, M., Dohrmann, A. B., Christensen, B. T., & Tebbe, C. C. (2018). Bacterial

Preferences for Specific Soil Particle Size Fractions Revealed by Community

Analyses. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *9*, 149. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00149

Holt, R. D. (2009). Bringing the Hutchinsonian niche into the 21st century: Ecological and

evolutionary perspectives. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,

106(Supplement_2), 19659–19665. doi:10.1073/pnas.0905137106

Hopkin, S. P. (1997). *Biology of springtails: (Insecta: Collembola)*. Oxford: Oxford Science

Publications.

Hoskins, J. L., Janion-Scheepers, C., Chown, S. L., & Duffy, G. A. (2015). Growth and

reproduction of laboratory-reared neanurid Collembola using a novel slime mould

diet. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 11957. doi:10.1038/srep11957

Hunt, H. W., Coleman, D. C., Ingham, E. R., Ingham, R. E., Elliott, E. T., Moore, J. C., … Morley,

C. R. (1987). The detrital food web in a shortgrass prairie. *Biology and Fertility of*

Soils, *3*(1–2), 57–68. doi:10.1007/BF00260580

Hutchinson, G. (1978). *An Introduction to Population Biology*. New Haven, CT: Yale

University Press.

Jochum, M., Barnes, A. D., Ott, D., Lang, B., Klarner, B., Farajallah, A., … Brose, U. (2017).

Decreasing stoichiometric resource quality drives compensatory feeding across

trophic levels in tropical litter invertebrate communities. *The American Naturalist*,

190(1), 131–143. doi:10.1086/691790

Kühn, J., Schweitzer, K., & Ruess, L. (2019). Diversity and specificity of lipid patterns in

basal soil food web resources. *PLOS ONE*, *14*(8), e0221102.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0221102

Larsen, T., Ventura, M., Damgaard, C., Hobbie, E. A., & Krogh, P. H. (2009). Nutrient

allocations and metabolism in two collembolans with contrasting reproduction and

growth strategies. *Functional Ecology*, *23*(4), 745–755. doi:10.1111/j.1365-

2435.2009.01564.x

Larsen, T., Ventura, M., Maraldo, K., Triadó-Margarit, X., Casamayor, E. O., Wang, Y. V., …

O'Brien, D. M. (2016). The dominant detritus-feeding invertebrate in Arctic peat

soils derives its essential amino acids from gut symbionts. *Journal of Animal Ecology*,

85(5), 1275–1285. doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12563

Li, Z., Scheunemann, N., Potapov, A. M., Shi, L., Pausch, J., Scheu, S., & Pollierer, M. M. (2020).

Incorporation of root-derived carbon into soil microarthropods varies between

cropping systems. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. doi:10.1007/s00374-020-01467-8

Machovsky-Capuska, G. E., Senior, A. M., Simpson, S. J., & Raubenheimer, D. (2016). The

Multidimensional Nutritional Niche. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *31*(5), 355–365.

doi:10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.009

Moore, J. C., Berlow, E. L., Coleman, D. C., Ruiter, P. C., Dong, Q., Hastings, A., … others.

(2004). Detritus, trophic dynamics and biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, *7*(7), 584–600.

Mummey, D., Holben, W., Six, J., & Stahl, P. (2006). Spatial Stratification of Soil Bacterial

Populations in Aggregates of Diverse Soils. *Microbial Ecology*, *51*(3), 404–411.

doi:10.1007/s00248-006-9020-5

Murray, P. J., Clegg, C. D., Crotty, F. V., de la Fuente Martinez, N., Williams, J. K., & Blackshaw,

R. P. (2009). Dissipation of bacterially derived C and N through the meso-and

macrofauna of a grassland soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *41*(6), 1146–1150.

Newsome, S. D., Martinez del Rio, C., Bearhop, S., & Phillips, D. L. (2007). A niche for isotopic

ecology. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *5*(8), 429–436.

Ngosong, C., Gabriel, E., & Ruess, L. (2012). Use of the Signature Fatty Acid 16:1 ω 5 as a

Tool to Determine the Distribution of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Soil. *Journal*

of Lipids, *2012*, 1–8. doi:10.1155/2012/236807

Nielsen, C. O. (1962). Carbohydrases in soil and litter invertebrates. *Oikos*, 200–215.

Nielsen, J. M., Clare, E. L., Hayden, B., Brett, M. T., & Kratina, P. (2017). Diet tracing in

ecology: Method comparison and selection. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*.

doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12869

Parimuchová, A., Šustr, V., Devetter, M., Vošta, O., Popa, I., & Kováč, Ľ. (2018). The activity of

saccharolytic enzymes in Collembola is associated with species affinity for caves.

International Journal of Speleology, *47*(2). doi:<p>https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-

806X.47.2.2150</p>

Pollierer, M. M., Larsen, T., Potapov, A., Brückner, A., Heethoff, M., Dyckmans, J., & Scheu, S.

(2019). Compound-specific isotope analysis of amino acids as a new tool to uncover

trophic chains in soil food webs. *Ecological Monographs*, *89*(4), e01384.

doi:10.1002/ecm.1384

Pollierer, M. M., Scheu, S., & Haubert, D. (2010). Taking it to the next level: Trophic transfer

of marker fatty acids from basal resource to predators. *Soil Biology and*

Biochemistry, *42*(6), 919–925. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.02.008

Ponge, J.-F. (2000). Vertical distribution of Collembola (Hexapoda) and their food resources

in organic horizons of beech forests. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *32*(6), 508–522.

Poole, T. B. (1959). Studies on the food of Collembola in a Douglas fir plantation.

Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, *132*(1), 71–82.

Potapov, A. M., Goncharov, A. A., Tsurikov, S. M., Tully, T., & Tiunov, A. V. (2016).

Assimilation of plant-derived freshly fixed carbon by soil collembolans: Not only via

roots? *Pedobiologia*, *59*(4), 189–193.

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2016.07.002

Potapov, A. M., Korotkevich, A. Y., & Tiunov, A. V. (2018). Non-vascular plants as a food

source for litter-dwelling Collembola: Field evidence. *Pedobiologia*, *66*, 11–17.

doi:10.1016/j.pedobi.2017.12.005

Potapov, A. M., Semenina, E. E., Korotkevich, A. Y., Kuznetsova, N. A., & Tiunov, A. V. (2016).

Connecting taxonomy and ecology: Trophic niches of collembolans as related to

taxonomic identity and life forms. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *101*, 20–31.

doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.07.002

Potapov, A. M., Tiunov, A. V., & Scheu, S. (2019). Uncovering trophic positions and food

resources of soil animals using bulk natural stable isotope composition. *Biological*

Reviews, *94*(1), 37–59. doi:10.1111/brv.12434

Potapov, A. M., Tiunov, A. V., Scheu, S., Larsen, T., & Pollierer, M. M. (2019). Combining bulk

and amino acid stable isotope analyses to quantify trophic level and basal resources

of detritivores: a case study on earthworms. *Oecologia*, *189*(2), 447–460.

doi:10.1007/s00442-018-04335-3

Potapov, M. (2001). *Synopses on palaearctic Collembola, volume 3: Isotomidae. ed. Wolfram*

Dunger. Goerlitz: Staatliches Museum fur Naturkunde Goerlitz.

R Core Team. (2019). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna,

Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from http://www.R-

project.org

Raymond-Léonard, L. J., Gravel, D., & Handa, I. T. (2019). A novel set of traits to describe

Collembola mouthparts: taking a bite out of the broad chewing mandible

classification. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *138*, 107608.

doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107608

Rooney, N., & McCann, K. S. (2012). Integrating food web diversity, structure and stability.

Trends in Ecology & Evolution, *27*(1), 40–46. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.09.001

Ruess, L., & Chamberlain, P. M. (2010). The fat that matters: Soil food web analysis using

fatty acids and their carbon stable isotope signature. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*,

42(11), 1898–1910. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.07.020

Rusek, J. (1998). Biodiversity of Collembola and their functional role in the ecosystem.

Biodiversity and Conservation, *7*, 1207–1219. doi:10.1023/A:1008887817883

Sawahata, T., Soma, K., & Ohmasa, M. (2001). Number and gut content of Hypogastrura

densiana Yosii (Collembola: Hypogastruridae) on wild mushrooms in relation to

morfological features of the mushrooms. *Nippon Kigakukai Kaiho*, *42*, 77–85.

Siepel, H., & de Ruiter-Dukman, E. M. (1993). Feeding guilds of oribatid mites based on

their carbohydrase activities. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *25*(11), 1491–1497.

Singh, S. B. (1969). Preliminary observations on the food preference of certain Collembola

(Insecta). *Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol*, *6*, 461–467.

Steffan, S. A., Chikaraishi, Y., Dharampal, P. S., Pauli, J. N., Guédot, C., & Ohkouchi, N. (2017).

Unpacking brown food-webs: Animal trophic identity reflects rampant microbivory.

Ecology and Evolution, *7*(10), 3532–3541.

Susanti, W. I., Pollierer, M. M., Widyastuti, R., Scheu, S., & Potapov, A. (2019). Conversion of

rainforest to oil palm and rubber plantations alters energy channels in soil food

webs. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(16), 9027–9039. doi:10.1002/ece3.5449

Swanson, A. R., Vadell, E. M., & Cavender, J. C. (1999). Global distribution of forest soil

dictyostelids. *Journal of Biogeography*, *26*(1), 133–148. doi:10.1046/j.1365-

2699.1999.00250.x

Swift, M. J., Heal, O. W., & Anderson, J. M. (1979). *Decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems*.

Berkeley: University of California Press.

Tanaka, T., Ikita, K., Ashida, T., Motoyama, Y., Yamaguchi, Y., & Satouchi, K. (1996). Effects of

growth temperature on the fatty acid composition of the free- living nematode

Caenorhabditis elegans. *Lipids*. doi:10.1007/BF02524292

Tiunov, A. V. (2007). Stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen in soil ecological studies.

Biology Bulletin, *34*(4), 395–407. doi:10.1134/S1062359007040127

Urbasek, F., & Rusek, J. (1994). Activity of digestive enzymes in seven species of Collembola

(Insecta: Entognatha). *Pedobiologia (Germany)*.

Zhu, D., Chen, Q.-L., An, X.-L., Yang, X.-R., Christie, P., Ke, X., … Zhu, Y.-G. (2018). Exposure of

soil collembolans to microplastics perturbs their gut microbiota and alters their

isotopic composition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *116*, 302–310.

doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.027

.

Supplementary materials

- Table S1 – dataset, gut content data (provided separately)

- Table S2 – dataset, enzyme data (provided separately)

- Table S3 – dataset, FA data (provided separately)

- Tables S4 – dataset, stable isotope data (provided separately)

- Table S5 – overlap between different trophic-niche parameters

- Figure S1 – pairwise correlation between trophic-niche parameters, full version

Table S5. Overlap between different trophic-niche parameters. The numbers of species that has
records on each of two parameters for each pairwise comparison are given.

	FA.Bacteria.gramPos	FA.Bacteria.gramNeg	FA.Bacteria.nonspec	FA.AMfungi.nonspec	FA.Fungi.relative	FA.Plant.relative	FA.Animal	Gut.PlantParticles	Gut.Fungi	Gut.AmorpMaterial	Enzymes.Trehalase	Enzymes.Cellulase	Enzymes.Chitinase	Isotopes.13C	Isotopes.15N
FA.Bacteria.gramPos	41														
FA.Bacteria.gramNeg	34	34													
FA.Bacteria.nonspec	41	34	47												
FA.AMfungi.nonspec	33	33	33	33											
FA.Fungi.relative	41	34	47	33	47										
FA.Plant.relative	41	34	47	33	47	47									
FA.Animal	36	29	40	29	40	40	40								
Gut.PlantParticles	6	2	6	2	6	6	5	40							
Gut.Fungi	11	7	11	7	11	11	9	40	54						
Gut.AmorpMaterial	7	3	7	3	7	7	6	32	34	34					
Enzymes.Trehalase	10	9	10	9	10	10	9	3	7	3	24				
Enzymes.Cellulase	10	9	10	9	10	10	9	3	5	3	22	22			
Enzymes.Chitinase	10	9	11	9	11	11	10	3	7	3	22	20	23		
Isotopes.13C	22	17	24	17	24	24	22	14	19	11	14	13	12	90	
Isotopes.15N	22	17	24	17	24	24	22	14	19	11	14	13	12	88	88

Figure S1. Correlation among fifteen trophic niche parameters in Collembola produced with *ggpairs* in *GGally* package. Number of points varies from 0 ("NA") to 88, depending on the method overlap. Spearman correlation coefficients ("Corr:") are shown in the upper triangle. Diagonal shows density of the data distribution for corresponding parameters. Figure provides more detailed view of the analysis presented on the Fig. 1 in the main text.