SARS-CoV2 infection in farmed mink, Netherlands, April 2020

Nadia Oreshkova¹, Robert-Jan Molenaar², Sandra Vreman¹, Frank Harders¹, Bas B. Oude Munnink³, Renate Hakze¹, Nora Gerhards¹, Paulien Tolsma⁴, Ruth Bouwstra², Reina Sikkema³, Mirriam Tacken¹, Myrna M.T. de Rooij⁵, Eefke Weesendorp¹, Marc Engelsma¹, Christianne Bruschke⁶, Lidwien A.M. Smit⁵, Marion Koopmans³, Wim H.M. van der Poel^{1,7}, Arjan Stegeman⁸

- 1) Wageningen Bioveterinary Research, Wageningen University and Research, Lelystad, The Netherlands
- 2) GD Animal Health, Deventer, The Netherlands
- 3) Department of Viroscience, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 4) Regional Public Health Service Brabant-Zuid-Oost, Eindhoven, The Netherlands
- 5) Institute for Risk Assessment Sciences (IRAS), Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- 6) Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality, The Hague, The Netherlands
- 7) Corresponding author
- 8) Department of Farm Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Abstract

In April 2020, respiratory disease and increased mortality were observed in farmed mink on two farms in the Netherlands. In both farms, at least one worker had been found positive for SARS-CoV-2. Necropsies of the mink revealed interstitial pneumonia, and organ and swab samples tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA by qPCR. Variations in viral genomes point at between-mink transmission on the farms and lack of infection link between the farms. Inhalable dust in the mink houses contained viral RNA, indicating possible exposure of workers.

Introduction

Currently, humanity is facing a pandemic of a new coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2 (Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2). The virus is spreading efficiently among people, causing predominantly respiratory disease with varying degree of severity. The virus was also shown to infect a number of animal species under experimental conditions. Rhesus and cynomolgus macaques, ferrets, cats and golden Syrian hamsters supported viral replication in respiratory tract [1-9] and some of those species (rhesus macaques, juvenile cats and hamsters) displayed a mild to moderate clinical disease. Besides the experimental infections, occasional spill-over from humans to domestic or captive animals has been reported. In a few isolated cases cats and dogs owned by infected individuals tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA [10, 11], and occasionally, cats also displayed clinical disease. Recently, several tigers in the Bronx zoo with respiratory symptoms were confirmed positive for SARS-CoV-2. In all cases a direct correlation with infected humans was established, or at least other sources of infection were exclude [10].

Here, we report SARS-CoV-2 infection of mink on two farms in the Netherlands, and describe the associated clinical signs, pathological and virological findings. Sequence analysis of mink-derived viruses imply the role of SARS-CoV-2-positive humans as a probable source of the initial infection, point at transmission between mink and reveal possible exposure of workers to virus excreted by mink in the environment.

Disease history and clinical observations

On 19 and 20 of April 2020, signs of respiratory disease were reported on two mink farms (NB1 with two closely situated locations: NB1a and NB1b, and NB2) in the Netherlands, province Noord Brabant. The respiratory signs were mostly limited to watery nasal discharge, but some animals showed severe respiratory distress. Overall mortality between date of reporting and 30 of April was 2.4% at NB1 and 1.2% at NB2, while approximately 0.6% would have been expected. Affected animals were spread throughout the farms. At this time of the year, the mink populations consist mainly of pregnant females. In the few litters that were already present, no increase in pup mortality was noticed.

Lungs from three recently died animals per farm were collected and submitted for qPCR analysis on 21 (NB1) and 25 (NB2) of April. One sample per farm was also sequenced (index samples). In the following week, thirty-six recently dead animals were collected (18 per farm) and necropsied. A throat and rectal swab were taken from each animal for qPCR analysis.

Pathological analysis

Macroscopic findings. The necropsies revealed that 16/18 animals from NB1 and 12/18 from NB2 had diffusely dark to mottled red, wet lung lobes that did not collapse when opening the thoracic cavity, indicating interstitial pneumonia (Table 1 and Figure 1A). Other investigated organs displayed no significant macroscopic changes. Mink without the described lung findings had macroscopic changes consistent with either chronic Aleutian disease, septicaemia, or dystocia. From 7 animals with clear macroscopic lung changes, organs were harvested for histopathological and virological investigation.

Histological findings. A severe diffuse interstitial pneumonia with hyperaemia, alveolar damage and loss of air containing alveolar lumina was detected in all the 7 harvested lungs (Figure 1B). Bacterial cultures from the organs of the 7 animals were negative.

Virus detection and sequencing

Presence of viral RNA was determined by qPCR against the E gene (Table 2) [12]. Viral RNA was detected in the conchae, lung, throat swab and rectal swab of all seven mink from which organs were collected. In addition, viral RNA was detected in the liver of one, and in the intestines of three animals. Spleens of all eight animals were negative for viral RNA (Table 2). In the swabs collected from all 36 necropsied animals, viral RNA was detected in all throat

swabs and 34/36 of the rectal swabs. The Ct values varied, but on average were lower in the throat swabs, as compared to the rectal swabs (average Ct 21.7 and 31.2 respectively), indicating higher viral loads in the throat swabs.

The viral sequences of the index samples and from additional four and five animals from NB1 and NB2 respectively, were determined by NGS and deposited in GenBank (MT396266 and MT457390 – MT457399). Phylogenetic analysis of the sequences suggests two separate introductions into the two farms (Figure 2). The index sequences show 9 (NB1) and 15 (NB2) nucleotide substitutions over the complete genome in comparison with Wuhan-Hu-1 (NC_045512.2, EPI_ISL_402125). The two index sequences diverge at 22 nucleotide positions, but the sequences from each farm cluster together. A deletion of 3 nucleotides at positions 1605-7 of all sequences from NB2 resulted in a deletion of an aspartic acid from Orf1ab (Asp447-).

Covid-19 history in farm workers

Farm owners and their families were interviewed by the public health service for possible history of disease. One person on farm NB1 had disease symptoms since beginning of April, but was not investigated for SARS-CoV-2 infection. At NB2, one worker had been diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection and hospitalized on 31st of March 31. A clinical sample was retrieved, but the viral load was too low for sequencing analysis. The follow-up investigation is ongoing and will be reported elsewhere.

Environmental sampling

Inhalable dust in the air was collected at three locations in each of the mink houses between 28th of April and 2nd of May by active stationary sampling during 5-6 hours, using Gilian GilAir 5 pumps at 3.5 L/min, GSP sampling heads and Teflon filters. Viral RNA was detected in 2/3 samples of NB1a (Ct 35.95 and 38.18), 1/3 of NB1b (Ct 35.03) and 1/3 of NB2 (Ct 35.14).

Discussion

Here we present the first report of infection of two mink farms with SARS-CoV-2. In both farms, Covid-19 like symptoms were present in individuals working on the farms before signs in mink were seen, and infection was confirmed in one hospitalized person. The sequences obtained from the two farms are closely related to known human sequences, and the distance between the sequence clusters from the two farms suggests separate introductions. The most likely explanation of the widespread infection in the mink farms is introduction of the virus by humans and subsequent transmission amongst the minks. In the mink farms, the animals are caged separately with non-permeable partition between cages, precluding direct contact as a mode of transmission. Indirect transmission between minks could either be through fomites (e.g. by feed or bedding material provided by humans), by infectious droplets generated by the infected animals, or by (fecally-) contaminated dust from the bedding. Detection of viral RNA

in the airborne inhalable dust in the mink farms clearly suggests dust and/or droplets as means of transmission between the minks and occupational risk of exposure for the workers on the farms.

In conclusion, this report shows the first SARS-CoV-2 virus outbreak in farmed mink. We demonstrate that mink are susceptible to infection with SARS-CoV-2 virus, may develop respiratory disease with typical pathological findings of viral pneumonia, and can transmit the virus amongst each other. Moreover, humans working in the mink houses are exposed to virus, indicating the need for infection prevention among workers and other biosecurity measures to prevent onward spread of the virus from the affected mink farms.

References

- 1. Shi, J., et al., *Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS-coronavirus 2.* Science, 2020.
- 2. Shan, C., et al., *Infection with Novel Coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) Causes Pneumonia in the Rhesus Macaques*. 2020, Research Square.
- 3. Rockx, B., et al., *Comparative pathogenesis of COVID-19, MERS, and SARS in a nonhuman primate model.* Science, 2020.
- 4. Munster, V.J., et al., *Respiratory disease and virus shedding in rhesus macaques inoculated with SARS-CoV-2.* bioRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.03.21.001628.
- 5. Lu, S., et al., *Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 infections among 3 species of non-human primates.* bioRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.04.08.031807.
- 6. Kim, Y.I., et al., Infection and Rapid Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Ferrets. Cell Host Microbe, 2020.
- 7. Sia, S.F., et al., *Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus in golden Syrian hamsters*. 2020, Research Square.
- 8. Chan, J.F., et al., Simulation of the clinical and pathological manifestations of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in golden Syrian hamster model: implications for disease pathogenesis and transmissibility. Clin Infect Dis, 2020.
- 9. Halfmann, P.J., et al., *Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Domestic Cats.* N Engl J Med, 2020.
- 10. Margaret J. Hosie, K.H., Regina Hofmann-Lehmann, Diane D. Addie, Uwe Truyen, Herman Egberink, Séverine Tasker, Tadeusz Frymus, Maria Grazia Pennisi, Karin Möstl et al. *SARS-Coronavirus (CoV)-2 and cats.* 2020.
- 11. Sit, T.H.C., et al., *Infection of dogs with SARS-CoV-2*. Nature, 2020.
- 12. Corman, V.M., et al., *Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR.* Euro Surveill, 2020. **25**(3).
- 13. Rambaut, A., et al., *A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 to assist genomic epidemiology.* bioRxiv, 2020: p. 2020.04.17.046086.
- 14. Katoh, K. and D.M. Standley, *MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability.* Mol Biol Evol, 2013. **30**(4): p. 772-80.
- 15. Stamatakis, A., *RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies.* Bioinformatics, 2014. **30**(9): p. 1312-3.



Figure 1. Lung from a necropsied mink. A) Representative macroscopic image of an affected lung and B) Representative microscopic image (objective 20x) of a section of the lung, fixed in 10% formalin and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE), showing interstitial pneumonia.

Farm NB1					Farm NB2				
Animal	NB1a or	Date of	Date of	Cause of	Animal	Date of	Date of	Cause of	
#	NB1b	death	necropsy	death	#	death	necropsy	death	
1	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	1	27/4/20	27/4/20	sepsis and lung edema with congestion	
2	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia*	2	27/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial pneumonia*	
3	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	3	27/4/20	27/4/20	Aleutian disease	
4	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	4	27/4/20	27/4/20	Aleutian disease	
5	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	5	27/4/20	27/4/20	sepsis	
6	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	6	27/4/20	27/4/20	dystocia	
7	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	7	27/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial pneumonia*	
8	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	8	27/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial pneumonia*	
9	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	Aleutian disease	9	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	
10	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	10	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	
11	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	11	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	
12	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	12	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial pneumonia	

Table 1 – Gross pathology/ cause of death overview of the necropsied mink

13	NB1a	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial	13	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial
				pneumonia*				pneumonia
14	NB1b	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial	14	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial
				pneumonia*				pneumonia
15	NB1b	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial	15	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial
				pneumonia				pneumonia
16	NB1b	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial	16	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial
				pneumonia*				pneumonia
17	NB1b	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial	17	26/4/20	27/4/20	interstitial
				pneumonia				pneumonia
18	NB1b	28/4/20	28/4/20	interstitial	10	26/4/20	27/4/20	
				pneumonia	18			sepsis

*Organs from those animals were collected for SARS-CoV-2 qPCR

Table 2 – Virus titers, determined by qPCR in organs and swabs of necropsied mink. Titers were calculated based on a calibration curve of a virus stock with a known infectious virus titer and are expressed as log_{10} TCID50/gram tissue (organ material) or per ml of swab material (swabs were always submerged in 2 ml of cell culture medium).

	Animal #	conchae	Lung	spleen	liver	intestines	throat swab	rectal swab
Farm NB2	2	8,19	5,77	not detected	not detected	not detected	8,03	2,58
	8	8,55	5,55	not detected	3,45	not detected	7,30	3,84
	7	8,46	5,98	not detected	not detected	not detected	6,69	5,42
Farm NB1	2	8,25	4,54	not detected	not detected	4,22	6,87	3,30
	13	9,16	5,17	not detected	not detected	3,56	6,81	3,01
	14	8,08	3,83	not detected	not detected	not detected	7,04	3,95
	16	7,08	3,90	not detected	not detected	4,97	6,47	4,47



Figure 2. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the full length SARS-CoV-2 sequences form mink (in red) and selected sequences, dutch (in blue) and international, from the GISAID EpiCoV Database (https://www.gisaid.org/; details and acknowledgements in supplementary table 1) based on proposed lineages [13]. The collected sequences were aligned using MAFFT v7.427 [14] and the evolutionary history was inferred by RAxML version 8.2.12 [15] utilizing the Maximum Likelihood method based on the General Time Reversible model with a gamma-distributed variation of rates and 1000 bootstrap replicates. The tree is rooted at Wuhan-Hu-1. Bootstrap support values above 50 are indicated at the corresponding branch.