The bear bones: mosaic ancestry of *Macaca arctoides* explains divergent baculum
morphology

Laurie S. Stevison[1,*], Zachary A. Szpiech[1], Nick P. Bailey[1], Taylor E. Novak[1], Don J. Melnick[2,†], Ben J.
Evans[3], Jeffrey D. Wall[4]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA
[2]Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Environmental Biology, Columbia University, 10th floor
Schermerhorn Extension, 119th Street and Amsterdam Avenue, New York,NY10027, USA
[3]Biology Department, Life Sciences Building Room 328, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street
West, Hamilton, ON, Canada L8S4K1
[4]Institute for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, California 94143

*Corresponding Author
†Deceased April 18, 2019

Abstract

Genital divergence contributes to reproductive barriers between species. Emergence of a

novel accessory structure, the baculum, has independently evolved and been lost throughout

mammalian evolution, purportedly driven by sexual selection. In primates, the longest recorded

baculum belongs to *Macaca arctoides*, the bear macaque. This species has been proposed to be of

homoploid hybrid origin via ancient hybridization between representatives from the fascicularis

and sinica species groups. To investigate the evolutionary origins of the bear macaque and its

unique morphology, we used whole genome sequences to quantify gene flow and phylogenetic

relationships in 10 individuals from 5 species, including the bear macaque (n=3), and two species

each from the sinica (n=3) and fascicularis (n=4) species groups. The results of these analyses were

concordant, and identified 608 genes in the bear macaque that supported both clustering between

M. arctoides and the sinica group (topo2) and had shared derived alleles between species from the

two groups. Similarly, 361 genes supported both clustering between *M. arctoides* and the

fascicularis group (topo3) and had shared derived alleles between both groups. Further, sliding

window analysis of phylogenetic relationships revealed 53% of the genomic regions supported

placement of *M. arctoides* in the sinica species group (topo2), 16% supported placement in the

fascicularis species group (topo3), and 11% supported *M. arctoides* in a grouping distinct from the

sinica and fascicularis groups (topo1). Genomic regions with topo1 were intersected with

previously identified QTL for mouse baculum morphology, and 47 genes were found, including five

of sixteen major candidate loci that govern mouse baculum variation (KIF14, KIAA0586, RHOJ,

TGM2, and DACT1). Although baculum morphology in the bear macaque is diverged from its parent

taxa, it most closely resembles that of the fascicularis group. Outliers of shared ancestry from the

fascicularis species group located within these same QTL regions overlap with the gene BMP4,

which is an important component of the hedgehog signaling pathway that controls gonadogenesis.

Two additional outlier genes (one shared with each species group) outside of the baculum QTL are

known to interact with BMP4, suggesting this pathway may be involved in baculum morphology in

primates. These results highlight how the mosaic ancestry of the bear macaque could explain its

unique baculum evolution and collectively contribute to reproductive isolation.

41   **Introductory Paragraph**

In mammals, the baculum has extreme morphological variability, a dynamic evolutionary

history characterized by repeated gain and loss, and is often used in species identification. The bear

macaque has divergent genital morphology, including the longest baculum among all primates, and

is proposed to have evolved via ancient hybrid speciation. Here, population genetic and

phylogenomic approaches were used to examine how ancient hybridization in the bear macaque

may have shaped this important component of genital morphology. Results demonstrate extensive

mosaicism across the genome, which is consistent with ancient genetic contributions from both

putative parental taxa. Genetic regions associated with baculum morphology also had mosaic

ancestry for several genes, including KIF14 and KIAA0586, major candidate genes for baculum

morphology in mice, and BMP4, a developmental gene involved in gonadogenesis. These results

have important implications for how hybridization may have shaped the evolution of reproductive

isolation in this unusual species with complex speciation.

54   **Introduction**

For species with internal fertilization, male genital morphology has complex evolution and

diverges rapidly[1–3]. The baculum, or penis bone, is a novel male genital structure in placental

mammals that evolved ∼145 million years ago[4]. Since that time, it has been the subject of recurrent

adaptation having been gained or lost multiple times in various mammals, including recent loss in

Homo sapiens[5]. Despite its remarkable interspecific variation, the penis bone generally does not

vary extensively within species[6], and may be the only physical trait that differs consistently

between closely related species, making it a key component in species identification[5]. However, the

genetics of morphological variation in this novel trait are not well known. In 2016, a morphometric

analysis using micro-CT scans of bacula in mice identified three major quantitative trait loci (QTLs),

two that explained 50.6% of the variation in baculum size, and one that explained 23.4% of the

variation in baculum shape[7]. These regions were further narrowed by differential gene expression

in early development of male mice and potential involvement in bone or genital morphogenesis,

revealing 16 major candidate loci (see Table S3 in ref[7]). Sequence divergence to rats reduced this

list even further to four major candidate genes, all of which have orthologs in primates (PTPRC,

KIAA0586, ASPM, and KIF14)[7].

Among primates, the bear macaque, *Macaca arctoides* has the longest baculum (4-6 cm[8]),

even when corrected for body weight[8,9]. This species is perhaps the most unusual among macaques,

distinguished not only by its extreme baculum length, but also by divergent genital morphology in

both males and females (Figure S1), a unique single-mount ejaculatory mating behavior, whitish

pelage in newborns, and a bald forehead and cheeks[8]. One possible explanation for the origin of the

bear macaque baculum is that natural selection favored the evolution of prezygotic barriers to

hybridization via a mechanical "lock and key" mechanism[10]. Interestingly, however, this dramatic

derived genital morphology nonetheless may have been influenced by, or evolved despite,

extensive genetic exchange with other macaque species. Early molecular work revealed

phylogenetic incongruence between mitochondrial and Y-chromosomal genealogies for *M.*

arctoides[10,11]. Mitochondrial markers place *M. arctoides* as sister to the fascicularis species group,

whereas autosomal markers place it as sister to the sinica species group[12-15]. However, both

morphological and Y-chromosomal trees support placement in the sinica group[11,15,16] (see Table S1

in ref[14] for review). This incongruence was inferred to be consistent with ancient hybridization

between the ancestor of the fascicularis species group and a sinica species group member (an

ancestor of either *M. assamensis* or *M. thibetana*)[10]. This hypothesized hybrid origin is diagrammed

in Figure 1B.

In contrast to allopolyploid speciation, homoploid hybrid speciation (HHS) conserves

chromosome number and is expected to have similar occurrence frequencies in plants and

animals[17,18]. Though expected to be a rare speciation mechanism relative to "regular" bifurcating

speciation, diverse taxa such as birds (*Passer italiae*[19]), butterflies (*Heliconius heurippa*[20]) and yeast

(*Saccharomyces paradoxus*[21]) are thought to have evolved via HHS. Recent mitochondrial

phylogenetics have shown that *M. arctoides* is more closely related to *M. mulatta* as compared to *M.*

fascicularis[14,22], indicating introgression may have occurred after the split of these two species,

which is estimated to be 1.68 mya[14,15,23] (Figure 1B). This suggests an alternative evolutionary

scenario to the previously proposed model for the origin of *M. arctoides* by hybrid speciation; this

alternative is also presented in Figure 1B.

Recent analysis of macaque genomes support introgression between *M. arctoides* and

members of the fascicularis and sinica species groups[14], both of whom it overlaps with

geographically (Figure 1C). The baculum in *M. arctoides* is more than double the average length of

sinica species group taxa and nearly four times as long as fascicularis species group taxa[9,24]. These

sister species groups are estimated to have split ~3 million years ago, with hybridization to

produce *M. arctoides* purportedly occurring ~1.8 million years ago[14], during which glacial periods

during the Pleistocene were associated with reduced expanse of Southeast Asian forests into

multiple refugia. Ecological isolation is a common feature to other scenarios of HHS[25], and may have

contributed to the extensive interspecific hybridization that gave rise to the unique macaque

species, *M. arctoides*. Y-chromosomal data suggests that interbreeding between ancestors of the

fascicularis and sinica groups was driven by sinica males. Available evidence suggests that this

interbreeding subsided ~1.5 mya[10], followed by little interbreeding until the present[8].

Here, we investigated the role hybridization played in shaping the unusual genital

morphology of *M. arctoides*. Because a major criteria for HHS[26,27] is that reproductive isolation (RI)

be a direct byproduct of hybridization (but see[28]), we focused especially on genetic regions that are

associated with morphological variation and candidate genes in mice[5]. Phylogenomic and

population genetic approaches were used on several extant macaque species (*M. arctoides;* the

sinica group species *M. thibetana* and *M. assamensis*; and the fascicularis group species *M.*

fascicularis and *M. mulatta*). Also, outlier regions were examined within the bear macaque genome

with alternate alleles from the two parental genomes and regions where the bear macaque differs

from both parents. Despite its divergent morphology[8,9,29], the baculum of *M. arctoides* is more

similar to fascicularis species[8], making these outliers of particular interest.

119   **Results**

Genomic analysis of five macaque species revealed a mosaic of evolutionary ancestry with

respect to both putative parental species; this generated novel allelic combinations at multiple loci

throughout the genome, including several genes associated with baculum morphology. These

analyses used newly sequenced whole genome samples from *M. arctoides* (20X coverage) and *M.*

assamensis (13X), and 8 publicly available genomes ranging in coverage from 4-49X (median 32X;

Table 1). While not directly investigated here, these data will be useful for addressing questions

related to the putative hybrid origin of this species in combination with targeted simulations (see

Discussion).

Of the heterozygous sites, the majority are unique to each species group (Figure 1D). *M.*

arctoides shares the most variants with the sinica species group, *M. assamensis* and *M. thibetana*,

consistent with taxonomic placement in this species group[30]. However, the two-way intersection

between *M. arctoides* and *M. thibetana* had the fewest number of shared heterozygous sites, which

is likely due to the low overall heterozygosity in the *M. thibetana* sample (Figure 1D; Table 1). *M.*

assamensis had the largest number of heterozygous sites (~4.7 million; Table 1; Figure 1D). This

high variability is consistent with the demographic results from smc++, which indicated population

size expansion around 10,000 years ago in *M. assamensis* but not *M. thibetana* and *M. arctoides*

(Figure 1E). Consistent with this, PSMC analysis in a previous study showed *M. assamensis* has a

higher overall effective population size beginning ~250 kya compared to *M. arctoides* and other

sinica species (see Figure 3B in[14]).

Extensive Mosaicism of the M. arctoides Genome

The four-taxon test[31–33] results supported that the *M. arctoides* genome has a mosaic of

shared ancestry with both the sinica and fascicularis species groups (Figure 2), which is consistent

with extensive introgression from both species groups. Though it is worth noting that windows

with shared ancestry could also be due to incomplete lineage sorting between these taxa[23]. The

genome-wide average f_{dM}[34,35] value was -0.113, ranging from -0.69 (supporting shared ancestry

with the sinica group) and 0.55 (supporting shared ancestry with the fascicularis group) in 50kb

sliding windows. This sliding window analysis was repeated with several window sizes (Figure S2),

and all had a similar genome-wide mean f_{dM}. In a previous study, estimates of D were similar to the

f_{dM} estimates here (see Table S8 in[14]).

Comparison of f_{dM} in windows on the autosomes and on the X-chromosome revealed the X-

chromosome to have significantly lower mean f_{dM} estimate (-0.15), with a statistical difference

between the two distributions (Figure S3). There was a non-significant negative relationship

between chromosome length and f_{dM}, but the X-chromosome has an intermediate length (149 Mb)

compared to the autosomes (mean=134 Mb). Thus, the lower f_{dM}estimate on the X is not likely

attributed to the length of the chromosome.

Phylogenetic relationships among the species groups were evaluated via the software

Twisst[36] (topology weighting by iterative sampling of subtrees). This method quantifies

phylogenetic relationships across the genome and returns weights in sliding windows (Figure 1F).

The topology weights were used to define a "majority topology" as having two-thirds or more of the

sum of weights in any region. Windows that did not meet this criterion were re-classified as

"unresolved". This analysis showed the proportion of the genome that supported topo2, which

groups *M. arctoides* with the sinica group, as 52.64% (95% bootstrap CI [52.02%, 53.28%]).

However, a significant portion, 15.70% (95% bootstrap CI [15.40%, 16.01%]), of the genome

supported topo3, which groups *M. arctoides* with the fascicularis species group. Interestingly,

11.07% (95% bootstrap CI [10.84%, 11.31%]) of the genome supported *M. arctoides* clustering in a

group by itself (topo1). The remaining 20.59% (95% bootstrap CI [20.18%, 21.01%]) of the genome

was categorized as unresolved. Still, the 15.70% of the genome that supports topo3 is a rather large

fraction of the genome considering this is an ancient hybridization event. Similar to our four-taxon

results, this distribution of support for each of the three possible topologies is different on the X

chromosome, with an excess of topo2 on the X (70.89%, 95% bootstrap CI [67.49%, 74.18%]). One

possible explanation for this difference relates to incomplete lineage sorting which is expected to be

lower on the X-chromosome as compared to the autosomes, owing to the lower effective population

size of the X.

In addition to examining broader patterns of shared ancestry in this species, outliers of

shared ancestry with each species group and the *M. arctoides* genome were specifically scrutinized

(see below). This set of regions were intersected with the Ensembl gene predictions downloaded

from University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser, resulting in 371 genes with the

highest ancestry from the fascicularis group, and 608 genes with the highest ancestry from the

sinica group. These results were highly concordant with the majority topology for each of the two

possible groupings between *M. arctoides* and the parental taxa. The intersection resulted in no

reduction of the 608 sinica-shared genes, whereas the fascicularis-shared genes were reduced to

363 from 371. This set of 971 genes were uploaded to the Panther Database. The enrichment gene

terms for GO Biological Processes revealed three GO terms enriched from fascicularis species group

and 24 from sinica species group (Table S5). A surprising result was that there were three

neuronal-related gene categories for ancestry shared between sinica and *M. arctoides* (see below

and Discussion).

Intersection of Regions Associated with Baculum Morphology with Topo1 Regions

187   Next, the phylogenomic results were intersected with the mouse baculum QTL to investigate

the genetic ancestry of the divergent baculum in *M. arctoides*. First, the 11.07% of topo1 regions that

support placement of *M. arctoides* into its own lineage that does not share recent ancestry with either

the fascicularis or sinica groups were investigated further. To accomplish this, the stringency in

defining the majority topology was increased to require 100% of the weights into topo1, which

reduced this to 4.5% of the genome. The regions from the Twisst analysis were extracted and

intersected with the known Ensembl gene annotations, resulting in 4175 genes. A GO Analysis of

these genes revealed enrichment of gene categories involved in developmental processes, immune

system processes, and metabolic processes (Figure 4). There were also gene categories involved in

reproduction, reproductive processes, behavior, and pigmentation, which have particular

importance in *M. arctoides* given its phenotypic changes in these traits.

This gene list was intersected with homologous chromosome regions in rheMac8 to the

three major mouse baculum QTL, leaving 47 genes. Five of these genes (TGM2, KIF14, RHOJ, DACT1,

and KIAA0586) were listed in Table S3 of ref[7] as the 16 major candidate loci that overlap QTL

regions for baculum morphology in mice. Two of the five genes, KIF14 and KIAA0586, also had a

high sequence divergence between mouse and rat, thus being considered as two of four major

candidate loci in explaining the genetic basis of mouse baculum size variation[7]. Similarly,

divergence between *M. arctoides* and the sinica and fascicularis species group members was also

high for KIF14 (Sin-Arc F_{ST} = 0.45; Fas-Arc F_{ST} = 0.61) and KIAA0586 (Sin-Arc F_{ST} = 0.38; Fas-Arc F_{ST}

= 0.52) compared to the genome-wide averages (Sin-Arc F_{ST} = 0.33; Fas-Arc F_{ST} = 0.43). Ten of the

47 genes also had missense mutations between the mouse strains used for the QTL mapping (see

Table S2 in ref[7]). Two of the 10 genes with missense mutations also had high F_{ST}: DDX59 (Sin-Arc

F_{ST} = 0.40; Fas-Arc F_{ST} = 0.52) and SOGA1 (Sin-Arc F_{ST} = 0.38; Fas-Arc F_{ST} = 0.51).

For the two top candidate genes, KIF14 and KIAA0586, *M. arctoides* differed from all other

lineages at 15 and 13 nucleotide positions, respectively. *M. arctoides* had two fixed and six

heterozygous non-synonymous mutations in the protein-coding region of KIAA0586 and one fixed

and one heterozygous non-synonymous mutation in KIF14. Neither of the fixed differences were

located in any known functional domains. One of the other five genes (DACT1) had a premature

stop codon fixed in *M. arctoides*; however, the impact to overall function is unclear (but see

Discussion).

For fixed non-coding variants and variants immediately upstream or downstream of each

gene, 100bp regions surrounding variants for each allele were input into the online tool TomTom[38]

to identify potential binding sites for transcription factors (Table S8). One of these SNPs suggested

that CTCF binds to the *M. arctoides* allele of KIF14, but not any of the others (Figure 3A). The

ENCODE Transcription Factor Target dataset as accessed through Harmonizone[39] suggests that

CTCF is a known transcription factor for KIF14.

Gene Flow in Regions Associated with Baculum Morphology

224   To understand how the mosaic ancestry of the *M. arctoides* genome contributed to its

extreme baculum morphology and subsequent RI, the outliers of shared ancestry were also

intersected with regions in rheMac8 homologous to the mice baculum QTLs. ANOVA indicated the

distribution of f_{dM} scores in these regions were significantly different from the rest of the genome.

Surprisingly, these regions were more negative, indicating more shared ancestry with sinica

member species than fascicularis group species (Figure S4), which is the opposite of the

expectation based on morphology.

231   Because the baculum of *M. arctoides* is more similar to members of the fascicularis species

group than the sinica group, the fascicularis-shared introgression outlier regions (shown in blue in

Figure S4) were further examined. Of 363 genes identified genome-wide, three genes were located

within one of the three QTL regions on chromosome 7 (Figure S5; Supplementary Methods and

Results). One of these genes, *Bone Morphogenetic Protein 4* (BMP4), is a key gene in the hedgehog

signaling pathway[40], and has a role in gonadal development[41,42]. As expected for a major

developmental gene, no coding differences were observed. However, there were two variants in the

3' UTR of BMP4, one of which matched topo3, where *M. arctoides* and fascicularis species had a

shared allele (Figure 3C; Figure S6A). Using TomTom as described above, differential binding was

predicted for variants at each SNP which identified three genes known to interact with BMP4: Six1,

Tead1, and Tead3. Six1 deficiencies lead to reduced BMP4 expression[43] and affect spatial

expression patterns[44]. Similarly, Tead proteins are a family of transcription factors that mediate

transcription and cell migration of BMP4 via the TAZ component of the Hippo pathway[45]. Based on

the alleles present, the lack of Six1 binding and the added binding of Tead1 and Tead3 would

predict an increase in expression of BMP4 in *M. arctoides* and fascicularis group species as

compared to sinica group species.

Characterization of Outlier Genes Explain Other Phenotypes Unique to M. arctoides

As mentioned earlier, *M. arctoides* has other traits (e.g. ontogenetic coloration, prolonged

intromission with a postejaculatory "pair-sit", etc[8].) that make it unusual among macaques. Of the

971 genes identified as shared ancestry outliers, a stringent set of 146 genes, 51 fascicularis and 95

sinica origin genes were identified (see Supplementary Methods and Results) and manually

curated. The seven manually curated categories had the following number of genes: 11 bone, 12

reproductive, 7 sensory, 8 immune and 6 skin/fur. These highly represented groups had: 55 cellular

functions and 55 neural functions (Table S6). Some genes were in more than one category. The two

highly represented groups corresponded well with the prevalence of neuronal-related gene

categories from the GO analysis for sinica outliers. One possibility for this neural enrichment is that

interactions between the fascicularis-shared mtDNA and the mostly sinica-shared nucleus may

have led to mitonuclear incompatibilities between these species (see Discussion).

A moderate number of bone, reproductive, and skin/fur genes is unsurprising based on the

unique biology of *M. arctoides*. Notably, two known interactors with BMP4 (DYNC2LI1 and

CTDSPL2) were included in this stringent gene list. DYNC2LI1 exhibited shared ancestry between

M. arctoides and fascicularis (f_{dM} = 0.79) whereas CTDSPL2 exhibited shared ancestry between *M.*

arctoides and sinica (f_{dM} = -0.82). In humans, DYNC2LI1 mutations are associated with lethal

skeletal diseases and have been found to impair the Hedgehog pathway[46]. CTDSPL2 phosphorylates

various Smad proteins, which are transcriptional regulators directly involved with the BMP

pathway. CTDSPL2 knock-down experiments in mice lead to increased expression of BMP targeted

genes[47].

TomTom was used to examine putative binding motifs associated with the differing alleles

at SNPs within CTDSPL2 and DYNC2LI1. Within CTDSPL2, *M. arctoides*/sinica and the

fascicularis/outgroup had 16 fixed differences between them and 5 heterozygous sites. Of these,

only one transcription factor seemed to be directly related to regulation of CTDSPL2. Specifically,

overexpression of XBP1 in mouse cells up-regulates CTDSPL2[48]. TomTom results suggested that

XBP1 binds to the shared *M. arctoides*/sinica allele of CTDSPL2 but not the shared

fascicularis/outgroup allele (Figure 3B). The DYNC2LI1 gene had 12 fixed differences between *M.*

arctoides/fascicularis and the sinica/outgroup, one resulting in a non-synonymous mutation (c.

422G>A; p. Arg>Lys141). TomTom results suggest that this SNP changes the binding affinity of

RBFOX2 protein, which regulates splicing events[49]. TomTom predicted binding of RBFOX2 to the

allele shared by *M. arctoides* and fascicularis species (Figure 3C). See Table S8 for detailed

characterization of TomTom results for each SNP.

280   **Discussion**

A patchwork of ancestral origins for the baculum in the bear macaque

The gene analysis of alleles unique to the bear macaque lineage revealed 47 genes that

overlapped three QTL regions associated with baculum morphology in mice. Two of these genes are

major candidate genes for baculum size, KIF14 and KIAA0586[7], the latter of which has several

nonsynonymous mutations. Three other genes were on a longer list of 16 candidate genes, one of

which (DACT1) had a nonsense mutation c. 1317G>A; p. Trp439* fixed in *M. arctoides*. DACT1 is

part of the Wnt signaling pathway, interacts with *dishevelled* (DVL), and is associated with Townes-

Brocks syndrome 2 (TBS2; MIM# 617466). Interestingly, a heterozygous nonsense mutation c.

1256G>A; p. Trp419* in DACT1 in humans has been shown to have a variety of phenotypes

including genitourinary malformations. These malformations include hypospadias[50], a birth defect

where the urethral opening is on the underside of the penis, which is also characteristic of *M.*

arctoides male genital morphology[8].

While there is a mosaic of ancestries across the genome of *M. arctoides*, there is more

support for sinica group ancestry, consistent with previous results[10,14,15]. Interestingly, baculum

morphology, which is divergent in both size and shape in *M. arctoides*[9,29], does not support

clustering of the bear macaque with the sinica species group, and instead morphologically

resembles members of the fascicularis species group[8]. Consistent with these morphological

similarities, one of 363 fascicularis-sourced introgression outliers overlaps with a QTL associated

with variation in baculum size in mice. This gene, BMP4, is a major regulator of bone formation and

gonad development[40,42]. Two SNPs in the 3' UTR have alternative binding motif alleles that suggest

regulatory changes in this gene that would result in upregulation of BMP4 in *M. arctoides* as

compared to sinica species (Figure 6C). Still, functional validation is needed to ascertain whether

this genomic variation is coupled with differences in expression intensity, location, or timing during

development.

Additionally, among the 47 genes overlapping the mouse QTLs from topo1, one gene with

notably high F_{ST} was the gene *BMP/Retinoic Acid Inducible Neural Specific 3* (BRINP3; Sin-Arc F_{ST} =

0.48, Fas-Arc F_{ST} = 0.60). There were two other genes in the outlier analysis that are known to

interact with BMP4 and bone development, pointing to possible rewiring of this important

developmental pathway in the *M. arctoides* genome. Although this pathway was not identified in the

mouse baculum morphology study, it was mentioned as a likely candidate worthy of investigation.

It is also possible that this pathway is involved in baculum morphology in primates, but not in mice.

Future work should focus on these genes to understand the evolution of baculum morphology in *M.*

arctoides.

Complex speciation of the bear macaque

Macaques are a diverse primate genus with several examples of purported complex

speciation[10,11,50]. Although mtDNA suggests that introgression with the fascicularis group should be

driven by *M. mulatta*[14,22], when considering these two species separately, the autosomal estimates

of f_{dM} are largely similar (Table S3). One possible explanation for this is that the sample used for *M.*

fascicularis is Vietnamese in origin and therefore has extensive gene flow from *M. mulatta*[51]. Though

it is worth noting that there are 1.6 times more shared heterozygous sites between *M. arctoides* and

M. mulatta than between *M. arctoides* and *M. fascicularis* (Figure 1D). We also found 11.07% of

genomic regions support a clustering of *M. arctoides* separate from other macaque species.

Although several taxonomic surveys have suggested the placement of *M. arctoides* into its own

species group[30,52,53], recent genome surveys suggest that sequencing of additional sinica species

members will be useful in making this determination[54,55].

While genomic mosaicism is a key feature of hybrid species, it is also a ubiquitous feature of

admixture more generally. For example, in swordtail fish, there is genomic mosaicism that resembles

hybrid speciation, although it is not consistent with other information from this species[26,27,56].

Similarly, up to 15% of the genome supports clustering of *M. arctoides* with fascicularis species. This

finding, though compelling, is also not uncommon in other taxa that do not have hybrid speciation.

For example, in human-chimp-gorilla comparisons, ~30% of the human genome does not support

the species tree[57]. In principle, an even contribution from both parents to a hybrid genome is possible,

but this is often not the case in HHS (e.g., Heliconius[20]). Here, we observed a significant deviation

from a 50-50 split of ancestry from the two groups, which could be due to a combination of proposed

recent admixture with sinica group taxa[10] and differences in ancestral populations sizes of the

putative parental taxa.

Here, we also found higher shared ancestry between *M. arctoides* and sinica species group

taxa on the X-chromosome as compared to the autosomes, which is consistent with either (1)

higher introgression from the sinica group on this chromosome compared to the autosomes, (2)

lower effective population size of the X-chromosome compared to the autosomes and/or (3)

increased selection against introgression on the X chromosome (which is qualitatively consistent

with Haldane's rule). The latter possibility is interesting in light of a recently proposed

mitochondrial capture scenario via reduced fitness of male hybrids[14]. Mitochondrial capture could

either have followed *M. arctoides* split from sinica, or it could have been simultaneous with an HHS

origin (Figure 1B). While there are recent statistics that explicitly test for HHS[58], these have a

restrictive set of assumptions that may not apply to this system (e.g., subsequent gene flow with

parental taxa). Therefore, a more explicit set of simulations of various speciation scenarios would

need to be conducted to determine the timing of ancient introgression from the two parental

genomes.

Unanticipated enrichment of introgression outliers with neurological function

GO terms in the introgression outliers from sinica and *M. arctoides* origin analysis revealed a

large number of genes involved in neurological function that were noticeably absent in the

fascicularis outliers. A possible explanation is that the mismatch in sinica-sourced mitonuclear genes

could lead to mitochondrial dysfunction, which could contribute to neural malfunction and disease.

For example, disease-causing mutations in mitochondrial aminoacyl tRNA-synthetases in humans

predominantly result in neurological disorders[59]. This is believed to be due to the generally high-

energy demand of primate brains and may necessitate coevolution of mitonuclear and mitochondrial

genes[60,61]. Moreover, at least two of the sinica-sourced neurological genes characterized here, GFM2

and SOD2, are known to be involved in mitochondrial disorders exhibiting neurological effects[62,63].

Additionally, hybridization scenarios exhibiting mismatch between mitochondrial and mitonuclear

genes have been discovered in a variety of systems[64–66]. A comprehensive analysis of the potential for

mitonuclear incompatibilities in the evolution of *M. arctoides* could also shed light on the evolution

of the bear macaque.

Future Directions

This study has focused on the baculum based on its extreme length in *M. arctoides*, which is

proposed to play a role in reproductive isolation among species However, we recognize that the

baculum is certainly not the only barrier to reproduction between *M. arctoides* and its parental taxa.

We anticipate there are other regions of the genome that have contributed to speciation[67]. For

example, there have been compensatory changes in female reproductive morphology[29] that are

equally important in the mechanical barrier between these taxa (Figure S1). Additionally, changes

in their mating behavior also contribute to differences between *M. arctoides* and its parent taxa[9].

Therefore, as the genetic basis of such traits become known, they can be further investigated.

In addition to this study, there has been extensive interest in the evolution of this species.

However, due to the limited number of publicly available whole genome samples (now three with the

present study), the conservation status of this species, and the ethical and logistical barriers to

experimental research with primates, it is challenging to make functional insights into its evolution.

Additional WGS data from more individuals of this species would allow for a better characterization

of selection/adaptation within this lineage. Additionally, more WGS samples would be useful in

examining the distribution of introgression haplotype lengths to pinpoint the timing of events in this

system that would better clarify when hybridization from each parent took place.

381   **Methods**

Samples and Genome Sequencing

One female each from *M. arctoides* (Malaya) and *M. assamensis* (A20) were sequenced.

These two samples were multiplexed and sequenced on one lane of sequencing for initial quality

assessment. They were then subsequently run on one additional lane of sequencing each for a final

1.5 lane per sample. Sequencing was done at UCSF Medical Center Sequencing Facility on a Illumina

HiSeq 2000 machine. Each run was assigned as different read groups to be treated as independent

in the variant calling workflow. The raw read data have been deposited in NCBI sequence read

archive and are available via the accession PRJNA622565.

Publicly available sequences

Raw fastq files were downloaded from eight public genome samples for this project (Table

1). Additional sample details can be found in the corresponding publications. These were each

downloaded from NCBI SRA using sratoolkit version 2.8.1 with options to split data into read pairs

and in the original format[68]. Because *M. thibetana* was sequenced on an older platform, we used

seqtk[69] to convert from Q64 to Q33. Additionally, SRA files from multiple lanes as indicated in the

fastq header were split for independent alignment to the reference genome facilitating the

definition of separate read groups to be treated as independent in the variant calling workflow.

Alignment, genome analysis, and variant calling

Raw fastq files from all samples were aligned to the reference genome rheMac8 (NCBI

Accession: PRJNA214746). The masked reference genome was downloaded from UCSC. GATK best

practices were followed to obtain high quality variant sites (see Supplementary Methods). Briefly,

reads were aligned to the reference genome, duplicates were marked, indels were realigned, base

quality scores were recalibrated, variants were called, and variant quality scores were recalibrated.

Samples from each species were processed independently and merged into a final callset. The

baboon reference genome was added to the variant files via two-way genome alignment and

custom scripts. These final filtered files for each chromosome with the baboon information are

freely available and were used for all subsequent analyses.

Four-taxon test for introgression

To analyze patterns of introgression in sliding windows, a modified four-taxon test was

used (Figure 2). Rather than separately analyzing gene flow between *M. arctoides* and each parental

species group, as has been done previously[14], we relied on the modified statistic f_{dM}, which is better

at comparing introgression from both groups[35]. Specifically, hybridization between *M. arctoides*

(P3) was tested with members of both the sinica species group (P1) and the fascicularis species

group (P2), using Baboon as an outgroup. Calculations were done using scripts available on

github[33,34]. To select the appropriate window size for the analysis, a series of different sized

windowed analyses were conducted. f_{dM} was computed for overlapping windows of size 5kb, 25kb,

50kb, 100kb, 500kb and 1000kb, with a step size of 20% of each window size. The minimum

number of sites per window size was based on the distribution of sites available in the callset at

each bin size. Specifically, a minimum number of sites of 10, 50, 100, 200, 1000, and 2000,

respectively, per window size were used. Because it is recommended to have at least 100 sites per

window[34], 50kb sliding windows were selected and are shown in Figure 2 as a heatmap across the

genome. Results from the additional bin sizes are shown in Figure S2. This analysis was repeated

with individual taxa from each species group (Table S3).

Topology weighting

To analyze the weights of different phylogenetic topologies across the genome, the software

Twisst was used to compare the weights of different species topologies throughout the genome[36,70].

First, data used for the introgression analysis were pruned to make sure that each group had at

least one member represented in the genotype data. Next, neighbor joining trees were constructed

in sliding windows of 50 SNPs each across the genome using Phyml from scripts available on

github[34]. This generated newick formatted tree files that were used as input for Twisst.

The topology weighted outputs were further explored by collapsing adjacent intervals with

the same majority topology supported. Since there were three possible topologies, the majority

topology was defined as any one topology that had at least two-thirds of the total weight values.

Any intervals without a majority topology were labeled as "unresolved". If adjacent intervals

supported the same majority topology, they were collapsed and split into the three major

topologies. These were intersected with gene regions from the Ensembl annotations for rheMac8

downloaded from UCSC.

Bootstrap confidence intervals for topo1, topo2, topo3, and unresolved proportions were

computed by resampling 2,792 1Mbp intervals along the genome (149 when considering only

chromosome X) for each of 10,000 replicates and taking the 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles.

Demography Analysis using smc++

The method smc++[71] was used, which uses whole genome sequencing data across multiple

samples and does not require phased data. All sequences were masked at the start of a

chromosome up to the first genotype call, at the end of a chromosome from the last genotype call,

and all rheMac8 assembly gaps. Then, the estimate module was used to estimate population size

history with an assumed mutation rate of 2.5e-8[14] and automatic selection of inference timepoints.

When generating population size trajectory plots, a generation time of 6 years[14] was used.

Functional Analysis of Targeted and Outlier Regions

A major criteria of homoploid hybrid speciation is evidence that RI evolved as a by-product

of hybridization[26]. To investigate this directly, regions associated with morphological variation in

the baculum identified in mice[7] were targeted. The UCSC tool liftover[72] was used to convert

coordinates of the three QTL from the mouse study from mm10 to rheMac8.

To further identify outlier regions, loci with the highest shared ancestry from each parent

taxa were extracted from the sliding window results (see Supplementary Methods). These regions

were further intersected with gene lists from the Twisst analysis. Specifically, sinica outliers of

shared ancestry were intersected with regions where the majority topologies supported *M.*

arctoides clustering with sinica species. Similarly, fascicularis outliers of shared ancestry were

intersected with regions where the majority topologies supported *M. arctoides* clustering with

fascicularis species. These intersected gene lists (Table S4) were used to conduct a GO Analysis

using Panther[73] (Table S5).

461   **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by research start-up funds from the Department of Biological

Sciences at Auburn University (LSS). Sequencing costs were supported in part by NIH grant R01

GM115433 (to JDW). This work was made possible in part by a grant of high performance

computing resources and technical support from the Alabama Supercomputer Authority. BJE was

supported by a grant from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada

(RGPIN-2017-05770). We thank members of the Stevison lab for helpful discussions regarding

the analysis and results, with particular thanks to Stephen Sefick. We thank Simon Martin both for

having a public github repository of high quality, but also for responsiveness regarding inquiries in

use of his code. We thank several researchers in attendance at the 2016 Speciation GRC, Evolution

2017, and PEQG 2018 for helpful discussions regarding this work. We thank Wendy Hood, Ken

Halanych, and Geoff Hill for feedback on early drafts of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

LSS, JDW, DJM, and BJE conceived of the project. DJM supplied the samples and JDW paid for

sequencing. LSS executed methods/analyses with substantial input from JDW and BJE, and wrote

the draft manuscript. BJE and DJM significantly aided the interpretation of results. ZAS conducted

VQSR and SMC++ analyses. NPB and TEN conducted manual curation of gene outliers and TomTom

on baculum alleles. All authors were involved in editing and revision of the final draft.

References

1. Langerhans, R. B., Anderson, C. M. & Heinen-Kay, J. L. Causes and Consequences of Genital

Evolution. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **56**, 741–751 (2016).

2. Klaczko, J., Ingram, T. & Losos, J. Genitals evolve faster than other traits in Anolis lizards. *J. Zool.*

295, 44–48 (2015).

3. Eberhard, W. G. Evaluating Models of Sexual Selection: Genitalia as a Test Case. *Am. Nat.* **142**,

564–571 (1993).

4. Brindle, M. & Opie, C. Postcopulatory sexual selection influences baculum evolution in primates

and carnivores. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **283**, 20161736 (2016).

5.   Carosi, M. & Scalici, M. Baculum (Os Penis). in *The International Encyclopedia of Primatology* 1–5

(American Cancer Society, 2017). doi:10.1002/9781119179313.wbprim0214.

6.   Ramm, S. A., Khoo, L. & Stockley, P. Sexual selection and the rodent baculum: an intraspecific

study in the house mouse (Mus musculus domesticus). *Genetica* **138**, 129 (2009).

7.   Schultz, N. G. *et al.* The Genetic Basis of Baculum Size and Shape Variation in Mice. *G3*

GenesGenomesGenetics **6**, 1141–1151 (2016).

8.   Fooden, J. The bear macaque,Macaca arctoides: a systematic review. *J. Hum. Evol.* **19**, 607–686

(1990).

9.   Dixson, A. F. Observations on the evolution of the genitalia and copulatory behaviour in male

primates. *J. Zool.* **213**, 423–443 (1987).

10. Tosi, A. J., Morales, J. C. & Melnick, D. J. Paternal, maternal, and biparental molecular markers

provide unique windows onto the evolutionary history of macaque monkeys. *Evol. Int J Org*

Evol. **57**, 1419–35 (2003).

11. Tosi, A. J., Morales, J. C. & Melnick, D. J. Comparison of Y Chromosome and mtDNA Phylogenies

Leads to Unique Inferences of Macaque Evolutionary History. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **17**, 133–

144 (2000).

12. Li, J. *et al.* Comparative Genome-Wide Survey of Single Nucleotide Variation Uncovers the

Genetic Diversity and Potential Biomedical Applications among Six Macaca Species. *Int. J. Mol.*

Sci. **19**, (2018).

13. Li, J. *et al.* Phylogeny of the macaques (Cercopithecidae: Macaca) based on Alu elements. *Gene*

448, 242–249 (2009).

14. Fan, Z. *et al.* Ancient hybridization and admixture in macaques (genus Macaca) inferred from

whole genome sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **127**, 376–386 (2018).

15. Jiang, J. *et al.* Mitochondrial Genome and Nuclear Markers Provide New Insight into the

Evolutionary History of Macaques. *PLoS ONE* **11**, (2016).

16. Fan, P. *et al.* Phylogenetic position of the white-cheeked macaque (Macaca leucogenys), a newly

described primate from southeastern Tibet. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **107**, 80–89 (2017).

17. Mallet, J. Hybrid speciation. *Nature* **446**, 279–283 (2007).

18. Mavárez, J. & Linares, M. Homoploid hybrid speciation in animals. *Mol. Ecol.* **17**, 4181–4185

(2008).

19. Elgvin, T. O. *et al.* The genomic mosaicism of hybrid speciation. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1602996 (2017).

20. Jiggins, C. D., Salazar, C., Linares, M. & Mavarez, J. Hybrid trait speciation and Heliconius

butterflies. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **363**, 3047–3054 (2008).

21. Leducq, J.-B. *et al.* Speciation driven by hybridization and chromosomal plasticity in a wild

yeast. *Nat. Microbiol.* **1**, 15003 (2016).

22. Roos, C., Kothe, M., Alba, D. M., Delson, E. & Zinner, D. The radiation of macaques out of Africa:

Evidence from mitogenome divergence times and the fossil record. *J. Hum. Evol.* **133**, 114–132

(2019).

23. Stevison, L. S. & Kohn, M. H. Divergence population genetic analysis of hybridization between

rhesus and cynomolgus macaques. *Mol. Ecol.* **18**, 2457–2475 (2009).

24. Fooden, J. Male external genitalia and systematic relationships of the Japanese macaque

(Macaca fuscata Blyth, 1875). *Primates* **12**, 305–311 (1971).

25. Gompert, Z., Fordyce, J. A., Forister, M. L., Shapiro, A. M. & Nice, C. C. Homoploid Hybrid

Speciation in an Extreme Habitat. *Science* **314**, 1923–1925 (2006).

26. Schumer, M., Rosenthal, G. G. & Andolfatto, P. How Common Is Homoploid Hybrid Speciation?

Evolution **68**, 1553–1560 (2014).

27. Schumer, M., Rosenthal, G. G. & Andolfatto, P. What do we mean when we talk about hybrid

speciation? *Heredity* **120**, 379 (2018).

28. Nieto Feliner, G. *et al.* Is homoploid hybrid speciation that rare? An empiricist's view. *Heredity*

118, 513–516 (2017).

29. Fooden, J. Complementary Specialization of Male and Female Reproductive Structures in the

Bear Macaque, Macaca arctoides. *Nature* **214**, 939–941 (1967).

30. Fooden, J. Classification and Distribution of Living Macaques (Macaca Lacepede, 1799). in *The*

Macaques: Studies in Ecology, Behavior, and Evolution (ed. Lindburg, D. G.) 1–9 (Van Nostrand

Reinhold Company, 1980).

31. Kulathinal, R. J., Stevison, L. S. & Noor, M. A. F. The genomics of speciation in Drosophila:

diversity, divergence, and introgression estimated using low-coverage genome sequencing.

PLoS Genet. **5**, e1000550 (2009).

32. Green, R. E. *et al.* A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. *Science* **328**, 710–722 (2010).

33. Martin, S. H., Davey, J. W. & Jiggins, C. D. Evaluating the Use of ABBA–BABA Statistics to Locate

Introgressed Loci. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 244–257 (2015).

34. Martin, S. *General tools for genomic analyses. Contribute to simonhmartin/genomics_general*

development by creating an account on GitHub. (2019).

35. Malinsky, M. *et al.* Genomic islands of speciation separate cichlid ecomorphs in an East African

crater lake. *Science* **350**, 1493–1498 (2015).

36. Martin, S. H. & Belleghem, S. M. V. Exploring Evolutionary Relationships Across the Genome

Using Topology Weighting. *Genetics* **206**, 429–438 (2017).

37. Alby, C. *et al.* Mutations in KIAA0586 Cause Lethal Ciliopathies Ranging from a Hydrolethalus

Phenotype to Short-Rib Polydactyly Syndrome. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **97**, 311–318 (2015).

38. Gupta, S., Stamatoyannopoulos, J. A., Bailey, T. L. & Noble, W. S. Quantifying similarity between

motifs. *Genome Biol.* **8**, R24 (2007).

39. Rouillard, A. D. *et al.* The harmonizome: a collection of processed datasets gathered to serve and

mine knowledge about genes and proteins. *Database J. Biol. Databases Curation* **2016**, (2016).

40. Lochab, A. K. & Extavour, C. G. Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) signaling in animal

reproductive system development and function. *Dev. Biol.* **427**, 258–269 (2017).

41. Yoshino, T. & Saito, D. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition–based morphogenesis of dorsal

mesentery and gonad. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **92**, 105–112 (2019).

42. Yoshino, T., Murai, H. & Saito, D. Hedgehog–BMP signalling establishes dorsoventral patterning

in lateral plate mesoderm to trigger gonadogenesis in chicken embryos. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 1–11

(2016).

43. Chang, W. *et al.* Bmp4 Is Essential for the Formation of the Vestibular Apparatus that Detects

Angular Head Movements. *PLoS Genet.* **4**, (2008).

44. Nie, X., Xu, J., El-Hashash, A. & Xu, P.-X. Six1 regulates Grem1 expression in the metanephric

mesenchyme to initiate branching morphogenesis. *Dev. Biol.* **352**, 141–151 (2011).

45. Lai, D. & Yang, X. BMP4 is a novel transcriptional target and mediator of mammary cell

migration downstream of the Hippo pathway component TAZ. *Cell. Signal.* **25**, 1720–1728

(2013).

46. Taylor, S. P. *et al.* Mutations in DYNC2LI1 disrupt cilia function and cause short rib polydactyly

syndrome. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7092 (2015).

47. Zhao, Y. *et al.* C-terminal Domain (CTD) Small Phosphatase-like 2 Modulates the Canonical Bone

Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) Signaling and Mesenchymal Differentiation via Smad

Dephosphorylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 26441–26450 (2014).

48. Kakiuchi, C., Ishiwata, M., Hayashi, A. & Kato, T. XBP1 induces WFS1 through an endoplasmic

reticulum stress response element-like motif in SH-SY5Y cells. *J. Neurochem.* **97**, 545–555

(2006).

49. Kuroyanagi, H. Fox-1 family of RNA-binding proteins. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **66**, 3895 (2009).

50. Zinner, D., Arnold, M. L. & Roos, C. The strange blood: Natural hybridization in primates. *Evol.*

Anthropol. Issues News Rev. **20**, 96–103 (2011).

51. Yan, G. *et al.* Genome sequencing and comparison of two nonhuman primate animal models, the

cynomolgus and Chinese rhesus macaques. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 1019–1023 (2011).

52. Roos, C. *et al.* An Updated taxonomy and Conservation Status Review of Asian Primates. *Asian*

Primates J. Vol. 4 1 2-38 (2014).

53. Melnick1, D. J. & Kidd, K. K. Genetic and evolutionary relationships among Asian Macaques. *Int.*

J. Primatol. **6**, 123–160 (1985).

54. Roos, C. Complete mitochondrial genome of a Toque Macaque (Macaca sinica). *Mitochondrial*

DNA Part B **3**, 182–183 (2018).

55. Exome sequences of toque macaques (Macaca sinica) of Sri Lanka reveal many amino acid

changes | bioRxiv. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/740761v1.

56. Schumer, M., Cui, R., Powell, D. L., Rosenthal, G. G. & Andolfatto, P. Ancient hybridization and

genomic stabilization in a swordtail fish. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 2661–2679 (2016).

57. Scally, A. *et al.* Insights into hominid evolution from the gorilla genome sequence. *Nature* **483**,

169–175 (2012).

58. Hibbins, M. S. & Hahn, M. W. The Timing and Direction of Introgression Under the Multispecies

Network Coalescent. *Genetics* **211**, 1059–1073 (2019).

59. Sissler, M., González-Serrano, L. E. & Westhof, E. Recent Advances in Mitochondrial Aminoacyl-

tRNA Synthetases and Disease. *Trends Mol. Med.* **23**, 693–708 (2017).

60. Osada, N. & Akashi, H. Mitochondrial-nuclear interactions and accelerated compensatory

evolution: evidence from the primate cytochrome C oxidase complex. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 337–

346 (2012).

61. Hill, G. E. *et al.* Assessing the fitness consequences of mitonuclear interactions in natural

populations. *Biol. Rev.* **94**, 1089–1104 (2019).

62. Glasgow, R. I. C. *et al.* Novel GFM2 variants associated with early-onset neurological

presentations of mitochondrial disease and impaired expression of OXPHOS subunits.

Neurogenetics **18**, 227–235 (2017).

63. Liang, L.-P. *et al.* Mitochondrial oxidative stress and epilepsy in SOD2 deficient mice:

attenuation by a lipophilic metalloporphyrin. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **45**, 1068–1076 (2012).

64. Trier, C. N., Hermansen, J. S., Sætre, G.-P. & Bailey, R. I. Evidence for Mito-Nuclear and Sex-

Linked Reproductive Barriers between the Hybrid Italian Sparrow and Its Parent Species. *PLOS*

Genet. **10**, e1004075 (2014).

65. Meiklejohn, C. D. *et al.* An Incompatibility between a Mitochondrial tRNA and Its Nuclear-

Encoded tRNA Synthetase Compromises Development and Fitness in Drosophila. *PLOS Genet.* **9**,

e1003238 (2013).

66. Ellison, C. K. & Burton, R. S. Cytonuclear conflict in interpopulation hybrids: the role of RNA

polymerase in mtDNA transcription and replication. *J. Evol. Biol.* **23**, 528–538 (2010).

67. Jiggins, C. D. & Martin, S. H. Glittering gold and the quest for Isla de Muerta. *J. Evol. Biol.* **30**,

1509–1511 (2017).

68. *ncbi/sra-tools*. (NCBI - National Center for Biotechnology Information/NLM/NIH).

69. Li, H. *Toolkit for processing sequences in FASTA/Q formats: lh3/seqtk*. (2019).

70. Martin, S. H., Davey, J. W., Salazar, C. & Jiggins, C. D. Recombination rate variation shapes

barriers to introgression across butterfly genomes. *PLOS Biol.* **17**, e2006288 (2019).

71. Terhorst, J., Kamm, J. A. & Song, Y. S. Robust and scalable inference of population history from

hundreds of unphased whole-genomes. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 303–309 (2017).

72. Hinrichs, A. S. *et al.* The UCSC Genome Browser Database: update 2006. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**,

D590-8 (2006).

73. Mi, H. *et al.* Protocol Update for large-scale genome and gene function analysis with the

PANTHER classification system (v.14.0). *Nat. Protoc.* **14**, (2019).

74. Waal, F. B. M. de. Peace Lessons from an Unlikely Source. *PLOS Biol.* **2**, e101 (2004).

75. Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–8 (2011).

76. Conway, J. & Gehlenborg, N. *UpSetR: A More Scalable Alternative to Venn and Euler Diagrams for*

Visualizing Intersecting Sets. (2019).

Figures

Figure 1. Summary of focal species, *Macaca arctoides*, its evolutionary relationships, and the
data used. (a) *M. arctoides* has the largest baculum among primates and is a putative homoploid
hybrid species. Image "Stumptail Monkeys" from[74] by Frans de Waal licensed under CC. (b) Model
based on literature[10,14,15] depicts possible hybrid speciation between sinica and fascicularis species
groups to form *M. arctoides*, with split time uncertainty relative to split of fascicularis group species,
M. mulatta and *M. fascicularis*[22]. Approximate split times from ref[15]. This study included WGS from *M.*
arctoides and representative members of each species group. Baboon was used as an outgroup
species. (c) Geographic range of *M. arctoides* and other focal species in this study. *M. arctoides* has
present day geographic overlap with members of both species groups (redrawn from[30]). Background
image "Topographic map of East Asia" by Ksiom is licensed under CC BY-SA 3.0. (d) Intersection of
heterozygous sites between the five species. The output of vcf-compare from vcftools[75] was input
into the UpSetR package[76] to plot the intersection of sites. Values in the left plot match count of
heterozygous sites per sample in Table 1. (e) Inferred recent effective population size trajectories for
each species using smc++. Years before present based on a generation time of 6 years per generation.
arc - *M. arctoides*, fas - *M. fascicularis*, mul - *M. mulatta*, thi - *M. thibetana*, ass - *M. assamensis*. (f)
Topology weight distribution of three rooted topologies of species relationships in sliding windows
along the genome. bab - *P. anubis*.
656

Figure 2. Introgression analysis. Results from analysis of introgression (f_{dM}) in 50kb sliding
windows (a) using all three *M. arctoides* samples, (b) only the Malaya sample, (c) only the SM1
sample, and (d) only the SM2 sample. Regions where *M. arctoides* has most recent ancestry with the
sinica group are displayed as negative values and regions where *M. arctoides* shares most recent
common ancestry with the fascicularis group are displayed as positive values. Other window size
results are in Figure S2.
664

Figure 3. Predicted TF binding motifs in outlier genes across all three possible gene
topologies. Fixed differences were examined for predicted differential TF binding. (a) Genes
overlapping majority topo1 regions. KIF14, which overlaps baculum QTL region #1 shows unique
binding of CTCF for the *M. arctoides* allele. (b) Genes overlapping majority topo2 regions. CTDSPL2
is a sinica origin outlier gene with a f_{dM} score of -0.82. (c) Genes overlapping majority topo3 regions.
BMP4, which overlaps baculum QTL region #2 has two SNPs in the 3'UTR that have differential
predicted binding to two proteins known to interact with BMP4. Literature predicts that both of these
changes would increase BMP4 expression in *M. arctoides* as compared to other species. DYNC2LI1 is
a fascicularis origin outlier gene with a f_{dM} score of 0.79 and is known to interact with BMP4.
675

677 **Figure 4. Gene ontology results.** Gene ontology results from genes with majority topo1 (purple in
678 Figure 1F). Results obtained using PANTHER GO-Slim Biological Process. 4,072 IDs were used
679 resulting in a total of 6,427 process hits.
680

684 **Tables**

685 **Table 1. Sample information.** A list of samples used in this study with a summary of genome
686 information. Two samples were sequenced as part of this study (in bold) and the remainder were
687 downloaded from NCBI.
688

ID	species	Species Group	Coverage	No. sites VQSR Passed	No. sites heterozygous	NCBI Accession	SAMN_ID	Sex
Malaya	*M. arctoides*	**arctoides**	**20**	**6,849,957**	**3,252,204**	**SRS6488501**	**14521207**	**F**
SM1	*M. arctoides*	arctoides	32	6,848,826	3,251,892	SRR2981139	04316319	F
SM2	*M. arctoides*	arctoides	19	6,833,185	3,246,931	SRR2981140	04316320	F
BGI-CE-4	*M. fascicularis*	fascicularis	43	4,547,767	2,486,762	SRS114988	00116341	F
CR-5	*M. mulatta*	fascicularis	44	4,561,581	3,693,024	SRS115022	00113489	F
BGI	*M. mulatta*	fascicularis	10	4,531,187	3,678,452	SRS212016	00627571	M
BGI-96346	*M. mulatta*	fascicularis	4	4,334,465	3,533,580	SRS114988	00113455	M
A20	*M. assamensis*	**sinica**	**13**	**7,567,951**	**4,680,934**	**SRS6491954**	**14521608**	**F**
XH1	*M. assamensis*	sinica	49	7,669,854	4,702,055	SRR2981114	04316321	M
Tibetan-macaque-NO.3	*M. thibetana*	sinica	27	4,844,002	713,449	SRR1024051	02390221	F