Title: Interactions with Commensal and Pathogenic Bacteria Induce HIV-1 Latency in

Macrophages through Altered Transcription Factor Recruitment to the LTR

Authors: Gregory A. Viglianti[1], Vicente Planelles[2], Timothy M. Hanley[1,2,#]

Affiliations: [1]Department of Microbiology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA

02118

[2]Department of Pathology, University of Utah Health, Salt Lake City, UT 84105

Running Title: Induction of HIV-1 Latency in Macrophages by Bacteria

[#]Address correspondence to Timothy M. Hanley, timothy.hanley@hsc.utah.edu

ABSTRACT

Macrophages are infected by HIV-1 *in vivo* and contribute to both viral spread and

pathogenesis. Recent human and animal studies suggest that HIV-1-infected macrophages

serve as a reservoir that contributes to HIV-1 persistence during anti-retroviral therapy. This

phenomenon may be influenced by the local tissue microenvironment, including interactions

with commensal and pathogenic microbes. These effects are thought to result, in part, from

engagement of Toll-like receptors (TLRs); however, the effects of TLR signaling on virus

replication in macrophages are not fully understood. We wished to determine if the sexually

transmitted pathogen *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (GC) and the gut-associated microbe *Escherichia*

coli (*E. coli*), which encode ligands for TLR2 and TLR4, repress HIV-1 replication in

macrophages and thereby induce a state of viral latency. We found that purified TLR ligands

that signal exclusively through the adapter MyD88 activated HIV-1 expression in macrophages,

whereas TLR ligands that signal through TRIF repressed HIV-1 expression. Inhibiting TLR4

signaling, blocking type 1 interferon, and knocking-down TRIF reversed LPS- and GC-mediated

repression of HIV-1. Finally, TLR4-mediated repression of HIV-1 in macrophages was

associated with the recruitment of interferon regulatory factor 8 (IRF8) to the interferon

stimulated response element (ISRE) downstream of the 5' LTR. Our data indicate that IRF8 is

responsible for repression of HIV-1 replication in macrophages in response to the TRIF-

dependent signaling during GC and *E. coli* co-infection. These findings highlight the potential

role of macrophages as HIV-1 reservoirs as well as the role of the tissue microenvironment and

co-infections as modulators of HIV-1 persistence.

IMPORTANCE The major barrier toward the eradication of HIV-1 infection is the presence of a

small reservoir of latently-infected cells, which include CD4+ T cells and macrophages, that

escape immune-mediated clearance and the effects of anti-retroviral therapy. There remain

crucial gaps in our understanding of the molecular mechanisms that lead to transcriptionally

silent or latent HIV-1 infection of macrophages. The significance of our research is in identifying

microenvironmental factors, such as commensal and pathogenic microbes, that can contribute

to the establishment and maintenance of latent HIV-1 infection in macrophages. It is hoped that

identifying key processes contributing to HIV-1 persistence in macrophages may ultimately lead

to novel therapeutics to eliminate latent HIV-1 reservoirs *in vivo*.

INTRODUCTION

Macrophages are among the immune cells located within the gastrointestinal and genitourinary

mucosae thought to play a role in HIV-1 sexual transmission and pathogenesis (1-3). A number

of studies examining either HIV-1 infection of human vaginocervical or gastrointestinal tissue

explants or SIV_{mac} infection in a rhesus macaque animal model have shown that macrophages

are among the earliest cells infected during mucosal transmission (2, 4) Zalar 2010.

Macrophages can be productively infected with HIV-1 and are thought to be a source of virus

persistence *in vivo* (5). Given their role in transmission, pathogenesis, and viral persistence, it is

important to understand how the local mucosal microenvironment and cellular signaling

pathways modulate interactions between macrophages and HIV-1.

Sexually-transmitted infections (STIs) have been shown to be co-factors that enhance HIV-1

transmission (6). *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (gonococcus, GC) is a non-ulcerative STI that is

thought to enhance mucosal transmission of HIV-1 both by inducing inflammation and by

directly activating virus infection and replication (7-12). The inflammatory response to GC can

contribute to enhanced transmission by promoting a favorable local environment for virus

replication and by inducing the recruitment of HIV-1 target cells to the site of inflammation (12).

The role of GC in HIV-1 persistence is less understood. A number of studies have implicated

GC-encoded pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) as mediators of both

inflammation and HIV-1 activation in target cells such as macrophages; however, the

interactions of GC with macrophages are complex. GC encodes PAMPs capable of engaging

Toll-like receptors (TLRs), including TLR2, TLR4, and TLR9 (13, 14). The effects of live GC on

HIV-1 replication in macrophages have not been reported; however, purified LOS as well as

Escherichia coli lipopolysaccharide (LPS) have been shown to repress virus replication through

production of type 1 IFN (15, 16). In the case of LPS, repression was due to undefined effects at

the level of gene expression. It is not clear how TLR2 signaling affects HIV-1 in macrophages;

however, purified TLR2 ligands activate virus replication in macrophages (17) and latently-

infected T cells (18).

Here, we demonstrate that co-infection with live GC and *E. coli* repress HIV-1 expression in

macrophages. To investigate the underlying mechanism(s) responsible for this repression, we

examined the individual effects of TLR2 and TLR4 signaling on HIV-1 expression in

macrophages. TLR2 signaling activated HIV-1 expression in macrophages, whereas TLR4

signaling repressed virus expression. Importantly, TLR4 signaling overcame the activation

effects of TLR2 signaling in macrophages. The TLR4- and GC-mediated repression of HIV-1 in

macrophages was dependent on signaling through Toll/IL-1 receptor domain-containing adapter

inducing interferon-β (TRIF) and required type 1 IFN production. Finally, we showed that TLR4

signaling leads to the late-phase recruitment of and IRF8 to the interferon-stimulated response

element (ISRE) downstream of the 5' LTR in macrophages. Taken together, our data suggest

TRIF-mediated signaling represses HIV-1 replication in response to GC or *E. coli* co-infection in

an IRF8-dependent manner and shifts macrophages from a state of robust HIV-1 expression to

a state of persistent low-level/latent infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement. This research has been determined to be exempt by the Institutional Review

Board of the Boston University Medical Center since it does not meet the definition of human

subjects research.

Cell isolation and culture. Primary human CD14+ monocytes were isolated from the

peripheral blood mononuclear cells of healthy donors using anti-CD14 magnetic beads (Miltenyi

Biotec) per the manufacturer's instructions. Primary monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs)

were generated by culturing CD14+ monocytes in the presence of 10% human AB serum and

10% FBS for 6 days. MDMs were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 100

U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 0.29 mg/ml L-glutamine. PM1 and U1 cells were

cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml

streptomycin, and 0.29 mg/ml L-glutamine. 293T cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented

with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and 0.29 mg/ml L-glutamine. MAGI-

CCR5 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml

streptomycin, 0.29 mg/ml L-glutamine, 500 μg/ml G418, 1 μg/ml puromycin, and 0.1 μg/ml

hygromycin B. HEK293-TLR2^{CFP}TLR1^{YFP} cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10%

FBS, 10 μg/ml ciprofloxacin, 0.29 mg/ml L-glutamine, and 500 μg/ml G418.

Bacterial culture. *Neisseria gonorrhea* (GC) strain FA1090B was a generous gift from Dr.

Caroline Genco. GC was cultured from a glycerol stock on GC agar plates supplemented with

IsoVitalex Enrichment (Becton Dickinson) in a humidified 37°C incubator with 5% CO_2. *E. coli*

strain DH5α was purchased from New England Biolabs and was cultured from a glycerol stock

on LB agar plates at 37°C. Where indicated, bacteria were heat inactivated (heat killed) by

incubation at 56°C for 2 hours. Heat inactivation was monitored by culture on GC or LB agar

plates as described above.

Flow cytometry. TLR expression on MDMs was assessed using antibodies against TLR2

(clone TL2.1) and TLR4 (clone HTA125) (both from eBioscience). Flow cytometric data was

acquired using a Becton-Dickenson FACScan II or LSRFortessa and data was analyzed using

FlowJo software.

TLR ligands, interferons, and chemical inhibitors. PAM3CSK4, FSL-1, *Salmonella*

typhimurium flagellin, polyI:C, and *E. coli* K12 LPS were obtained from Invivogen. TLR ligands

were reconstituted in endotoxin-free H_2O. IFN-α and IFN-β were purchased from PBL Interferon

Source. B18R was purchased from Abcam. Celastrol, U0126, PD95809, and SB203580 were

purchased from Sigma and reconstituted in DMSO. Dynasore was purchased from Tocris

Bioscience and was reconstituted in DMSO.

Virus Production. Single-round replication-competent HIV-1 reporter viruses were generated

by packaging a luciferase expressing reporter virus, BruΔEnvLuc2, or an enhanced green

fluorescent protein expressing reporter virus, BruΔEnvEGFP3, with the envelope glycoproteins

from CCR5-tropic HIV-1 (Ada-M) or VSV (VSV-G). Reporter virus stocks were generated by

transfecting HEK293T cells using the calcium phosphate method as described previously (17).

Replication competent HIV-1$_{Ba-L}$ was generated by infection of PM1 cells as described

previously (17). Virus titers were determined using MAGI-CCR5 cells, and p24^{gag} content was

determined by ELISA as described previously (17).

Virus infections. To assess viral replication, macrophages (2.5 x 10^5 cells/well in 24-well plates) were incubated with VSV-G-pseudotyped HIV-luciferase reporter virus at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 for 4 hours at 37°C. Cells were washed four to five times with PBS to remove unbound virus, and cultured in growth medium. Following 48 hours of culture, cells were treated with TLR ligands or vehicle, as indicated in the text and figure legends. After 18 hours, the cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed in PBS/0.02% Triton X-100. Protein levels in cell lysates were determined using a modified Lowry protein assay (BioRad), and luciferase activity was measured using BrightGlo luciferase reagent (Promega) and a MSII luminometer.

HIV-1 transcription. Total cytoplasmic RNA was isolated from MDMs using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). RNA (100 ng) was analyzed by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) using the OneStep RT-PCR kit (Qiagen). RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified in a total volume of 50 µl containing 2.5 mM MgCl2, 400 µM concentrations of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 10 U of RNasin RNase inhibitor (Promega), 5 µCi of α-^{32}P dATP, and 0.6 µM HIV-1 specific primers. RNA samples were reverse transcribed for 30 minutes at 50°C. After an initial denaturing step at 95°C for 15 minutes, cDNA products were amplified for 25 cycles each consisting of a 30-second denaturing step at 94°C, a 45-second annealing step at 65°C, and a one-minute extension step at 72°C. The amplification concluded with a 10-minute extension step at 72°C. Samples were resolved on 5% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels, visualized by autoradiography, and quantified in a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager SI using ImageQuant software. The HIV-1 primers were specific for the R and U5 regions of the LTR and amplify both spliced mRNAs and genomic RNA. The HIV-1 primers were sense primer 5'-GGCTAACTAGGGAACCCACTGC-3' and antisense primer 5'-CTGCTAGAGATTTTCCACACTGAC-3'). α-tubulin primers were: sense primer 5' CACCCGTCTTCAGGGCTTCTTGGTTT-3' and antisense primer, 5'

CATTTCACCATCTGGTTGGCTGGCTC-3'. RNA standards corresponding to 500, 50, and 5 ng

of RNA from PAM3CSK4-activated MDMs were included in each experiment to ensure that all

amplifications were within the linear range of the assay.

Cytokine release assays. MDMs (2.5×10^5 cells/well) were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100

ng/ml), LPS (100 ng/ml), or GC (MOI of 10) for 24 hours. Cell-free culture supernatants were

collected and analyzed for TNF-α (eBioscience) or IFN-β (PBL Interferon Source) release by

commercially-available ELISA following the manufacturer's instructions.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays. 1.2×10^7 MDMs were incubated with VSV-G-

pseudotyped HIV-EGFP reporter virus at an MOI of 2 for four hours at 37°C. Cells were washed

four to five times with PBS to remove unbound virus and cultured in growth medium. Following

48 hours of culture, MDMs were treated with TLR ligands for various times as described in the

text. Cells were then fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 10 minutes at room temperature, quenched

with 125 mM glycine, and lysed in SDS lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris pH 8.1,

1 mM PMSF, 1 μg/ml aprotinin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin A). Cellular lysates were sonicated using a

cup horn (550 Sonic Dismembrator, Fisher Scientific) at a power setting of 5 with 25 20-second

pulses on ice, which fragmented the chromatin to an average length of approximately 1000 bp.

Samples were diluted and immunoprecipitated with antibodies against NF-κB p65, IRF1, IRF2,

IRF4, IRF8, rabbit IgG, or goat IgG (all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Purified DNA samples

from both ChIPs and input controls were resuspended in distilled H_2O and analyzed by semi-

quantitative PCR. PCR reactions contained 10 mm Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 50 mm KCl, 1.5 mm $MgCl_2$,

100 pmol of each primer, 200 μm each dATP, dGTP, dCTP, and dTTP, 5μCi α^{32}P-dATP, and

2.5 units of Amplitaq Gold (Applied Biosystems) in a 50-μl reaction volume. Following an initial

denaturation step at 95°C for 15 minutes, DNAs were amplified for 30 cycles, each consisting of

a 30-second denaturing step at 94°C, a 45-second annealing step at 65°C, and a one-minute

extension step at 72°C. Samples were electrophoresed on 5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide

gels, visualized by autoradiography, and quantified using a Molecular Dynamics

PhosphorImager SI using ImageQuant software. Primers used to amplify specifically the HIV-1

5' LTR and GLS were 5'- TGGAAGGGCTAATTTACTCCC -3' (sense) and 5'-

CATCTCTCTCCTTCTAGCCTC -3' (antisense). Control amplifications of a serial dilution of

purified genomic DNA from latently-infected U1 cells were performed with each primer set to

ensure that all amplifications were within the linear range of the reaction. To calculate the

relative levels of association with the LTR, PhosphorImager data of the PCR products obtained

for immunoprecipitated chromatin samples were normalized against the PCR products obtained

for input DNA (% Input). Values were normalized across donors and expressed as relative

binding.

LTR mutant construction. The reported plasmid pLTR(Sp1)-luciferase was generated by PCR

amplification of pNL4-3 using the sense primer 5'-

CGGGGTACCCCGTGGAAGGGCTAATTTGGTCCC- 3' and the antisense primers 5'-

CCGCTCGAGCGGCATCTCTCTCCTTCTAGCCTC-3', digestion with KpnI and XhoI, and

ligation into KpnI/XhoI-digested pGL3-Basic (Promega). Mutations to the NF-κB and IRF binding

sites in pLTR(Sp1)-luciferase were generated using the QuickChange IIXL site-directed

mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Primers used for site-directed mutagenesis are listed in Table 1.

The -158 LTR-luciferase construct was generated by deleting the LTR sequence upstream of

position -158 (relative to the start site of transcription) of pNL4-3, which includes the AP-1

binding sites located in the U3 portion of the 5' LTR, digestion of the resulting fragment with

KpnI and XhoI, and ligation into KpnI/XhoI-digested pGL3-Basic (Promega).

shRNA knock-down of MyD88, TRIF, and IRF8. MDMs were transfected with plasmids that

encoded either a mixture of three to five shRNAs directed against MyD88, TRIF, or a mixture of

control shRNAs (Invivogen) and a blasticidin-resistance gene using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen)

per the manufacturer's instructions. Transfected cells were selected by culture in the presence

of blasticidin for 48 hours, and then used for HIV-1 replication assays or lysed for immunoblot

analysis to measure MyD88 and TRIF expression using a rabbit monoclonal antibody to MyD88

(Cell Signaling Technology), a rabbit polyclonal antibody to TRIF (Cell Signaling Technology), or

a mouse monoclonal antibody to β-actin (Sigma). Similarly, MDMs were transfected with

plasmids that encoded either a mixture of three to five shRNAs directed against IRF8 (Sigma) or

a mixture of control shRNAs (Sigma) and a puromycin-resistance gene using Oligofectamine

(Invitrogen) per the manufacturer's instructions. Transfected cells were selected by culture in

the presence of puromycin for 48 hours and then used for HIV-1 replication assays or lysed for

immunoblot analysis to measure IRF8 expression using a rabbit monoclonal antibody (Cell

Signaling Technology).

Overexpression of IRF8. MDMs were transfected with a plasmid that encoded IRF8 (Origene)

and a neomycin-resistance gene using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) per the manufacturer's

instructions. Transfected cells were selected by culture in the presence of neomycin for 48

hours and then used for HIV-1 replication assays or lysed for immunoblot analysis to measure

IRF8 expression using a rabbit monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology).

Endocytosis/phagocytosis assays. MDMs (5×10^5/well) were treated with Dynasore (80 μM)

or DMSO and then incubated with pHrodo Green *E. coli* particles (Thermo Fisher) at 1 mg/ml for

2 hours at 37°C. The MDMs were then washed three times with PBS, incubated with viability

dye (e450) for 15 minutes at 4°C, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Flow cytometric data was

acquired using a Becton-Dickenson FACScan II or LSRFortessa, and data was analyzed using

FlowJo software.

Statistical analysis. Untreated control and ligand-treated experimental samples were

compared using a two-tailed t-test with Welch's correction. Experiments were performed in

triplicate using cells from a minimum of three different donors unless otherwise indicated.

RESULTS

HIV-1 gene expression in MDMs is enhanced or repressed in a TLR-specific manner. As

mentioned previously, studies have shown that pathogens can modulate HIV-1 replication in

myeloid cells, both by directly modulating HIV-1 replication and by influencing the local

proinflammatory environment (7-12, 19). Pathogens are detected by host cells through their

interactions with innate immune receptors, such as TLRs. TLR2 and TLR5 are plasma

membrane-associated receptors that utilize the MyD88 adaptor molecule exclusively. TLR3, on

the other hand, is an endosomal receptor that utilizes the TRIF adaptor molecule exclusively.

TLR4 can utilize either MyD88 or TRIF, depending upon whether it initiates signaling at the

plasma membrane or in the endosome, respectively (20). To determine how purified TLR

ligands affected HIV-1 gene expression, MDMs were infected with a single-round infectious

HIV-1 reporter virus, and then treated with ligands for TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, or TLR5. Ligands that

activated TLR2 or TLR5 enhanced HIV-1 replication, whereas ligands for TLR3 or TLR4

repressed HIV-1 expression (Figure 1A). The effects of TLR ligands on HIV-1 replication

occurred at the level of transcription, as treatment with the TLR2/1 ligand PAM3CSK4 led to an

increase in HIV-1 mRNA accumulation, whereas treatment with the TLR4 ligand LPS led to a

decrease in HIV-1 transcript levels (Figure 1B and 1C). These results indicate that MyD88-

dependent signaling enhances HIV-1 transcription whereas TRIF-dependent signaling inhibits

HIV-1 transcription in MDMs.

TLR2-mediated enhancement of HIV-1 gene expression requires NF-κB activation, but not

AP-1 activation. MyD88-dependent TLR signaling leads to the activation of both NF-κB and

AP-1 transcription factors, among others (21). The HIV-1 LTR contains binding sites for both

NF-κB and AP-1. The two NF-κB sites are thought to be essential for HIV-1 transcription (22,

23), whereas the AP-1 sites, while not essential, are thought to enhance HIV-1 transcription (24,

25). Previous studies from our lab demonstrated that treatment of HIV-infected MDMs with the

TLR2/TLR1 ligand PAM3CSK4 led to an increased association of the p65 subunit of NF-κB and

the c-fos subunit of AP-1 with the 5' LTR (17). This association was correlated with increased

virus replication (17); however, the contributions made by each pathway to TLR2-mediated

activation have not been previously characterized. To determine the roles of NF-κB and AP-1 in

TLR2-activated HIV replication in MDMs, HIV-1- infected cells were treated with either celastrol,

a small molecule inhibitor of the IκB kinase complex (26), or inhibitors that disrupt AP-1

signaling. As shown in Figure 2, celastrol treatment completely ablated TLR2/1-enhanced HIV-1

expression (Figure 2A). Similarly, the use of an LTR-based reporter construct with mutations in

the NF-κB binding sites did not result in increased gene expression in response to TLR2

signaling (Supplemental Figure 1A). Treatment of HIV-infected macrophages with inhibitors of

kinases upstream of AP-1 activation, such as ERK, JNK, and p38, resulted in a modest, but

reproducible, decrease in TLR2-mediated activation of HIV-1 (Figure 2B). Similarly, LTR

reporter constructs lacking AP-1 binding sites were activated in response to TLR2 signaling,

further demonstrating the non-essential role of AP-1 in TLR2-mediated HIV-1 activation

(Supplemental Figure 1B). These data suggest that TLR2-activated HIV-1 expression is

mediated primarily through NF-κB.

Co-infection with *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* or *Escherichia coli* represses HIV-1 replication

in MDMs. Our preliminary studies using purified TLR ligands in isolation suggested that different

TLR signaling cascades had diverse effects on HIV-1 replication. Since most pathogens encode

multiple TLR ligands, we sought to determine the effects of intact pathogens on HIV-1

replication. We incubated HIV-infected MDMs with *N. gonorrhoeae* (GC), which expresses

ligands for TLR2, TLR4, and TLR9. We found that increasing amounts of GC led to a dose-

dependent decrease in HIV-1 replication in MDMs (Figure 3A). Bacterial replication was not

required for these effects, as heat-killed GC led to repression of HIV-1 replication in MDMs

(Supplemental Figure 2). In addition, repression of HIV-1 replication is not specific for GC, but

may be a generalized response to Gram-negative bacteria, as co-infection with *E. coli* also

repressed HIV-1 replication in MDMs in a manner similar to GC (Figure 3B). Despite the

presence of both activating (TLR2) and repressing (TLR4) TLR ligands, both GC and *E. coli*

mediated repression of HIV-1 replication in macrophages. This finding raised several

possibilities: 1) the dominance of TLR4 signaling over TLR2 signaling in MDMs; 2) different

expression levels of TLR2, TLR4, and TLR4-associated molecules such as CD14 and MD-2 on

MDMs; 3) the cytokine profile produced in response to GC or *E. coli*; and/or 4) variable

expression of signaling molecules downstream of TLRs. These scenarios were further explored.

TLR4 signaling is dominant in MDMs.

To determine whether certain TLR pathways are dominant in MDMs, we performed co-

treatments of HIV-infected MDMs with the TLR2 ligand PAM3CSK4 and the TLR4 ligand LPS.

We found that increasing the concentration of LPS against a fixed concentration of PAM3CSK4

led to a reversal of TLR2-mediated activation of HIV-1 and, eventually, to repression of HIV-1

replication (Figure 4A). Conversely, increasing the concentration of PAM3CSK4 against a fixed

concentration of LPS did not reverse LPS-mediated repression of HIV-1 (Figure 4A). Flow

cytometry was used to determine that the different responses of MDMs were not due receptor

expression, as MDMs express both TLR2 and TLR4 (Figure 4B). In addition, MDMs produced

both proinflammatory cytokines and IFN-β in response to LPS treatment and GC co-infection,

but not in response to treatment with the TLR2 ligand PAM3CSK4 (Figure 5A). Taken together,

our data suggest that TLR4 signaling, which negatively regulates LTR-driven gene expression,

is dominant in MDMs.

LPS- and GC-mediated repression of HIV-1 in MDMs is dependent on TRIF-mediated type

I interferon production. Since LPS and GC both induce type I interferon production, whereas

the TLR2 ligand PAM3CSK4 does not, we wished to determine whether GC stimulated

production of IFN-α/β contributes to repression of HIV-1 in MDMs. We found that treatment of

HIV-infected MDMs with the vaccinia virus-encoded soluble type I interferon receptor B18R

reversed GC-mediated inhibition of HIV-1 replication, suggesting that TLR4-mediated IFN

production is required for HIV-1 repression by GC (Figure 5B). Since both purified TLR4 ligands

and GC, which encodes ligands for TLR2, TLR4, and TLR9, repress HIV-1 replication in MDMs,

we predicted that downstream effector molecules of TLR4 signaling would contribute to the

repression of HIV-1 replication in MDMs. First, we confirmed that TLR4 signaling was

responsible for GC-mediated HIV-1 repression in MDMs. Treatment with the TLR4-specific

inhibitor TAK242 reversed the LPS- and GC-dependent repression of HIV-1 in MDMs (Figure

5C) (SHOW SIGNFICANCE). Treatment with TAK242 had no effect on TLR2-mediated

activation of HIV-1 replication in MDMs, consistent with reports that TAK242 inhibits TLR4 but

not TLR2 (ref).

It has been shown that TLR4, which can utilize both MyD88 and TRIF adaptor proteins, initiates

different signaling pathways dependent upon its location within the cell. Cell-surface TLR4

engagement leads to MyD88-dependent signaling, whereas endosomal TLR4 engagement

leads to TRIF-dependent signaling (20). To examine whether TRIF-dependent signaling is

responsible for HIV-1 repression, we blocked dynamin-dependent endocytosis of TLR4 with

Dynasore, which prevents TRIF-dependent signaling while leaving MyD88-dependent signaling

intact. As shown, blocking endocytosis-mediated TLR4 internalization (Supplemental figure 3)

not only reversed GC-mediated repression of HIV-1 in MDMs, but resulted in augmentation of

viral gene expression (Figure 5D). This is likely due to the ability of GC to signal through both

TLR2-MyD88 and TLR4-MyD88.

To confirm the role of MyD88 in TLR2-mediated HIV-1 activation and TRIF in TLR4-mediated

HIV-1 repression, we used shRNAs to knock down the two molecules in HIV-infected MDMs.

Knock-down of MyD88 led to a loss of TLR2-mediated HIV-1 activation, but had no effect on

LPS or GC-mediated HIV-1 repression (Figure 5F). In contrast, knock-down of TRIF had no

effect on TLR2-mediated HIV-1 activation, but reversed LPS- and GC-mediated repression of

HIV-1 replication (Figure 5F). Knock-down of either MyD88 or TRIF had no effect on the

activation of HIV-1 by the phorbol ester PMA, which signals directly through protein kinase C,

independently of TLRs (Figure 5F). These data suggest that the TLR4-TRIF-type I IFN axis in

MDMs leads to GC- and *E. coli*-mediated repression of HIV-1 replication.

TLR4 signaling leads to differential IRF recruitment to the HIV-1 LTR. Since type I IFN

production is critical for GC- and *E. coli*-mediated HIV-1 repression in MDMs, we examined the

role of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) in HIV-1 regulation. Treatment of HIV-infected MDMs

with the TLR4 ligand LPS led to an initial short-lived increase in HIV-1 RNA levels, but levels

steadily declined thereafter (Figure 6A; red line (THIS PANEL IS UNREADABLE)), indicating

that HIV-1 transcription displays a biphasic response to TLR4 stimulation in MDMs. In contrast,

treatment of HIV-infected MDMs with the TLR2 ligand PAM3CSK led to a continuous increase in

HIV-1 RNA levels (Figure 6A; green line). This suggests that late-phase proteins induced by

type I IFNs are responsible for TLR4-mediated decreases in HIV-1 transcription. It is known that

HIV-1 contains an interferon-stimulated response element (ISRE) in the Gag-leader sequence

(GLS), immediately downstream from the 5' LTR. Because type I IFN is required for LPS- and

GC-mediated repression of HIV-1 in MDMs, we assessed the role of the ISRE in this process

using transient transfection assays with mutated LTR-reporter constructs in HEK293 cells

expressing TLR4, MD-2, and CD14. We found that LPS treatment repressed LTR-driven

reporter-gene expression in cells expressing WT ISRE elements, but not in cells transfected

with an LTR-luciferase construct containing a mutated ISRE (Supplemental Figure 4). This

suggests that factors that bind to the ISRE account for TLR4-mediated HIV-1 repression.

Previous studies have shown that IRF1 and IRF2 both bind to this ISRE *in vitro* and that IRF1

and IRF2 expression are associated with enhanced HIV-1 transcription (27). Both IRF1 and

IRF2 are constitutively expressed in macrophages, but expression can be increased in

response to type I IFNs and TLR signaling (28-31). Two other IRFs, IRF4 and IRF8, are also

expressed in macrophages (32) and have been shown to increase in response to type I IFN

signaling and other signals (28, 33). Interestingly, IRF8 has been implicated in maintaining HIV-

1 latency in infected monocytic cell lines (27, 34, 35), and IRF4 has been implicated in negative

regulation of TLR signaling (36). We therefore investigated whether various IRFs are recruited

to the HIV-1 ISRE in response to LPS treatment in MDMs. Using chromatin immunoprecipitation

analysis, we found that IRF1, IRF2, IRF4, and IRF8 all are able to associate with the 5' LTR and

GLS containing the ISRE in HIV-infected MDMs (Figure 6B). Early after treatment with LPS, the

levels of IRF1 associated with this region of the viral promoter increased, whereas the levels of

IRF2 and IRF4 decreased. By 24h post-treatment with LPS, the levels of IRF4 and IRF8

associated with this region increased. Of particular note, the levels of IRF8 recruitment

increased well above those seen in unstimulated MDMs (Figure 6B-6C). To confirm the central

role of IRF8 in TLR4-mediated repression of HIV-1 expression in MDMs, we used shRNA to

knockdown IRF8 expression in MDMs. Reducing IRF8 expression reversed TLR4-mediated

HIV-1 repression in response to treatment with LPS or GC. Knockdown of IRF8 led to activation

of HIV-1 expression in cells treated with a combination of PAM3CSK4 and LPS or GC, similar to

that seen with treatment with PAM3CSK4 alone (Figure 6E). In contrast, overexpression of IRF8

in MDMs led to repression of HIV-1 expression regardless of TLR treatment (Figure 6G). (Figure

needs error bars)

Taken together, these data suggest that both LPS and GC activate TLR4-mediated TRIF

signaling in MDMs, resulting in the production of type I IFNs. IFNs work in an autocrine or

paracrine fashion to induce the expression of IRF8, which then binds to the ISRE present in the

GLS of HIV-1 to repress viral transcription.

LPS and GC-treatment induces persistent low-level/latent HIV-1 infection in MDMs.

Recent studies in animals and human tissues demonstrate that HIV-1 can form persistent low-

level or latent infections in macrophages (37-40). Our data suggest that engagement of the

TLR4-TRIF-type I IFN axis in macrophages can repress virus replication. We wished to

determine whether signaling through this axis could skew macrophages toward a persistent low-

level or latent HIV-1 infection. HIV-1-infected MDMs were treated a single time with the TLR2

ligand PAM3CSK4, the TLR4 ligand LPS, a combination of PAM3CSK4 and LPS, heat-killed

GC, IFN-α, or IFN-β. We found that treatment with a single dose of LPS, heat-killed GC, IFN-α,

or IFN-β led to a sustained, prominent decrease in HIV-1 replication in MDMs, whereas

treatment with PAM3CSK4 led to an initial slight increase in HIV-1 replication followed by a

slight decrease in replication. These data suggest that engagement of the TLR4-TRIF-type I IFN

axis can promote low-level persistent/latent HIV-1 infection in MDMs. (error bars)

DISCUSSION

In these studies, we have demonstrated that MyD88-dependent signaling activates HIV-1 expression in MDMs by activating NF-κB, whereas TRIF- dependent signaling represses HIV-1 expression in these cell types through the type I IFN-mediated recruitment of IRF8 to the HIV-1 ISRE. We have also shown that TLR4-mediated, TRIF-dependent signaling is dominant in MDMs, both in the context of treatment with purified TLR ligands as well as infection with the sexually transmitted pathogen GC or the gut-associated microbe *E. coli*. Co-infection of virus-infected MDMs by pathogens that express TLR4 ligands decreases HIV-1 replication through the recruitment of IRF8 to the HIV-1 ISRE.

Prior studies have produced conflicting results regarding the role of LPS and TLR4 signaling on HIV-1 replication. Studies using transient transfection with reporter constructs have demonstrated an LPS/TLR4-mediated increase in HIV-1 expression (41, 42). Similar results were obtained in studies using latently-infected monocytic cell lines (43) and HIV-1 transgenic mice (44-46). More recent studies have provided evidence for an LPS/TLR4-mediated repression of HIV-1 expression through the induction of type I IFNs and other mechanisms. These studies employed HIV-1 infection of myeloid cells (15, 16, 47-50) or transfection using full-length viral constructs (51). Our data extends these findings and demonstrates that LPS treatment represses HIV-1 expression in MDMs through its interaction with TLR4. Specifically, we show that LPS induces TLR4-mediated, TRIF-dependent induction of type I IFNs, which in turn lead to the repression of HIV-1 replication in MDMs through the recruitment of IRF8 to the HIV-1 ISRE.

A number of studies have shown that IRFs play an important role in the regulation of HIV-1 replication. There is an ISRE located downstream from the 5' LTR in the GLS that is essential

for efficient viral replication (24). This ISRE is bound by IRF1 and/or IRF2, leading to activation

of virus transcription (27, 52). Deletion of the ISRE and, therefore, loss of IRF1 binding severely

limits HIV-1 replication (53), confirming the importance of IRF1 for HIV-1 replication. Once

bound to the ISRE, IRF1 can recruit co-activators, such as the histone acetyl transferase (HAT)

p300/CBP, to activate viral transcription (54). IRF1 and IRF2 are ubiquitously expressed in cells,

although they can be upregulated by type I IFNs (28) and, at least in the case of IRF1, by TLR

signaling (30, 31). In fact, HIV-1 infection itself leads to the upregulation of IRF1 in an NF-κB-

dependent manner (55), illustrating how HIV-1 can co-opt the antiviral IFN response to augment

its own replication. Once associated with the ISRE, IRF1 can cooperatively bind to both NF-κB

at the HIV-1 LTR and the viral transactivator Tat at the HIV-1 TAR loop. This cooperative

binding has been shown to augment viral transcription/elongation (27, 56). Our studies

demonstrate that both IRF1 and IRF2 associate with the HIV-1 ISRE in unstimulated MDMs

(Fig. 6). Upon stimulation with multiple TLR ligands, there is an enhanced recruitment of IRF1 to

the HIV-1 ISRE (Fig. 6), which is consistent with the prevailing theory that TLR-MyD88 signaling

can activate IRF1 (57). This enhancement of IRF1 binding seems to come at the expense of

IRF2 binding, as the association of IRF2 with the ISRE decreases upon cellular stimulation (Fig.

6). These data suggest that IRF1 binding to the ISRE as either monomers or homodimers

activates HIV-1 expression, whereas IRF2 binding to the ISRE as monomers, homodimers, or

heterodimers with IRF1 represses HIV-1 expression. Unfortunately, ChIP analysis of HIV-

infected MDMs using current tools does not permit differentiating between the association of

various homodimers and heterodimers with the ISRE at a population level.

Macrophages express high base levels of IRF8, although its expression can be further

enhanced in response to type I IFNs (28, 33). Previous studies have shown that IRF8 can

repress HIV-1 expression (27, 34, 35). IRF8 has been shown to bind to IRF1, in addition to

other transcription factors, and to serve as either a transcriptional activator or a transcriptional

inhibitor of other genes in a context-dependent manner (58-60). In fact, the interaction between

IRF8 and IRF1 has been shown to repress HIV-1 transcription in Jurkat cells (27). This may be

due to IRF8-mediated disruption of the IRF1-Tat interaction and/or the IRF1-NF-κB interaction

(56) that increase viral replication. Our data suggest that IRF8 recruitment to the HIV-1 ISRE in

response to LPS or TLR4 signaling alone leads to repression of viral replication in MDMs.

Based upon our data, we propose that changes in the IRF binding pattern to the ISRE in

response to TLR signaling have profound effects on HIV-1 replication. In unstimulated HIV-

infected macrophages, the ISRE is most likely occupied by IRF1/IRF2 heterodimers that allow

for a low-level of virus replication. Early after stimulation of TLR4 with LPS, there is a switch to

IRF1 homodimers present at the ISRE that allow for high levels of virus replication due to

cooperative binding between IRF1, NF-κB, and HIV-1 Tat. At late time points after TLR4

stimulation with LPS, during the IFN feedback phase of the response, the ISRE is occupied by

IRF1/IRF8 heterodimers (Fig. 6). These IRF1/IRF8 heterodimers likely block the cooperative

interaction between IRF1, NF-κB, and Tat, thereby repressing HIV-1 replication.

We also demonstrate that IRF4 is recruited to the HIV-1 ISRE following treatment with LPS.

Although macrophages express relatively low levels of IRF4 in the absence of IFN stimulation or

other activation stimuli (61), we demonstrated that IRF4 is recruited to the LTR in MDMs initially

upon stimulation with LPS. IRF4, however, dissociates from the LTR by 24 hours post-

treatment. The biological significance of IRF4 association with the HIV-1 ISRE remains unclear.

Macrophages are among the first cell types to contact HIV-1 during mucosal transmission,

although their role in transmission has still not been fully elucidated. Recent work suggests that

mucosal CD4+ T cells are the first cells to become productively infected during transmission

(62, 63), although the interactions between HIV-1 and macrophages are critical for viral

transmission and dissemination in certain tissues such as penile or vaginal tissue, but not others

such as gastrointestinal tissue (2, 64). Macrophages can be productively infected with HIV-1

(65, 66), and transfer infectious virus to CD4+ T cells (67, 68). Sexually transmitted co-

pathogens, such as GC, contribute to viral transmission by modulating virus replication in

infected cells through their interactions with innate immune receptors such as TLRs (8). We

show that TLR2 and TLR5 ligands activate HIV-1 replication in MDMs. On the other hand, TLR3

and TLR4 ligands repress HIV-1 replication in this cell type. Evidence suggests that immune

responses to most sexually transmitted co-pathogens require TLR2 and TLR9, although a few

require TLR4 (11). GC is one of the few sexually transmitted pathogens that encodes a *bona*

fide TLR4 ligand (LOS) and, therefore, may have the ability to alter HIV-1 transmission and

replication dynamics in a manner unique among sexually-transmitted co-pathogens.

In addition to their role in HIV-1 transmission, macrophages also contribute to HIV-1 persistence

in vivo. The major obstacle to the eradication of HIV-1 is the presence of a persistent viral

reservoir that that can resurface upon discontinuation of antiretroviral therapy (ART). Although

CD4+ memory T cells are thought to constitute the majority of the HIV-1 reservoir, several

studies have demonstrated that tissue resident macrophages in the lymph nodes (69, 70),

gastrointestinal tract (71, 72), genitourinary tract (2, 38, 64), liver (73-75), and lung (76-78), as

well as perivascular macrophages and microglial cells in the brain (37, 79-83), can serve as

tissue reservoirs for HIV-1. The potential contribution of HIV-1 in tissue macrophages to virus

rebound with the cessation of ART is not entirely understood, but recent primate studies

suggest that the functional macrophage reservoir can contribute to viral rebound upon treatment

cessation (84, 85). Furthermore, recent reports have clearly demonstrated that macrophages

can be infected *in vivo* and contribute to viral persistence (86, 87). In SHIV-infected rhesus

macaques, *in vivo* viral replication was sustained by tissue macrophages after depletion of

CD4+ T cells (66). Moreover, HIV-1 persistence in macrophages was confirmed in HIV-1

infected humanized myeloid-only mice in which viral rebound was observed in 33% of the

animals following treatment interruption (39). Our data demonstrate that interactions between

macrophages and pathogenic or commensal microorganisms within the genitourinary and

gastrointestinal tracts, such as GC and *E. coli*, may alter the ability of macrophages to serve as

reservoirs for viral persistence in the host (88). Our results confirm the need for further *in vitro*,

ex vivo, and *in vivo* studies regarding the effects of sexually-transmitted pathogens and

commensal microbes on HIV-1 persistence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Laura Dickey for her scientific and editorial suggestions.

The following reagents were obtained through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent

Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: HIV-1$_{ADA}$ from Dr. Howard Gendelman; HIV IG from

NABI and National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (Dr. Luiz Barbosa); anti-p24gag monoclonal

antibody clone 183-H12-5C from Dr. Bruce Chesebro and Kathy Wehrly; and MAGI-CCR5 cells

from Dr. Julie Overbaugh.

This work was supported by funds obtained from the National Institutes of Health (www.nih.gov)

grants AI073149, (G.V.), UM1 AI126620 BEAT-HIV: Delaney Collaborative to Cure HIV-1 (V.P.),

T32-AI07309 (T.M.H.), and T32-AI0764206 (T.M.H.), a Boston University Division of Graduate

Medical Sciences Graduate Student Research Fellowship award (T.M.H.), and a Resident

Research Award from the Department of Pathology at the University of Utah (T.M.H.).

DISCLOSURES

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

T.M.H. and G.A.V. designed the study. T.M.H and G.A.V. developed the methodology. T.M.H.

conducted experiments. T.M.H., V.P., and G.A.V. wrote the manuscript. T.M.H., V.P., and

G.A.V. acquired funds. G.A.V. supervised the study.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. TLR2 and TLR5 ligands activate, whereas TLR3 and TLR4 ligands repress, HIV-1 replication at the level of transcription. (A) MDMs (2.5×10^5 cells/well) were infected with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-luciferase reporter virus at an MOI = 0.1. After 4 hours, unbound virus was removed by washing with PBS, and cells were cultured in complete medium. 48 hours after infection, cells were treated with the TLR2 ligand PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml), the TLR5 ligand flagellin (100 ng/ml), the TLR3 ligand poly I:C (25 μg/ml), or the TLR4 ligand LPS (100 ng/ml) for 18 hours. The cells were then lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. Bars represent the mean (+/- SD) of 4 donors (MDMs), each donor tested in triplicate. (B-C) MDMs were infected as described above. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml) or LPS (100 ng/ml) for 6 hours. The cells were then lysed and assayed for viral RNA accumulation by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. Shown are data from one representative donor (B) and composite data from four donors (C).

Figure 2. TLR2-activated HIV-1 expression is mediated primarily through NF-κB. (A) MDMs (2.5×10^5 cells/well) were infected with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-luciferase reporter virus at an MOI = 0.1. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of celastrol (10 μM) for 18 hours. The cells were then lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The data are the mean (+/- SD) of 3 donors,

each donor tested in triplicate. (B) MDMs (2.5 x 10^5 cells/well) were infected as above. 48 hours

after infection, cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of

U0126 (10 μM), PD98059 (50 μM), or SB203580 (10 μM) for 18 hours. The cells were then

lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The data are the mean (+/- SD) of 3 donors, each

donor tested in triplicate.

Figure 3. Neisseria gonorrhoeae (GC) co-infection represses HIV-1 replication in MDMs.

MDMs (2.5 x 10^5 cells/well) were infected with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-1

luciferase reporter virus at MOI = 0.1. Forty-eight hours after infection, the cells were cultured

overnight with increasing amounts of GC. The cells were then lysed and assayed for luciferase

activity. Bars represent mean (+/- SD) of 4 donors, each donor tested in triplicate.

Figure 4. TLR4 signaling is dominant in MDMs. (A) MDMs (2.5 x 10^5 cells/well) were infected

with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-luciferase reporter virus at an MOI = 0.1. Forty-

eight hours after infection, cells were treated with a fixed concentration of PAM3CSK4 (100

ng/ml) and increasing concentrations of LPS (1-1000 ng/ml, as indicated) or a fixed

concentration of LPS (100 ng/ml) and increasing concentrations of PAM3CSK4 (1-1000 ng/ml,

as indicated) for 18 hours. The cells were then lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The

data are the mean (+/- SD) of 3 donors, each donor tested in triplicate. (B) MDMs were stained

with antibodies against TLR2 (A) and TLR4 (B), and levels of these proteins were assessed by

flow cytometry. Data are presented as mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) and represent the mean

of 3 donors ± standard deviation.

Figure 5. LPS- and GC-mediated repression of HIV-1 replication in MDMs requires TLR4,

TRIF, and type I IFNs. (A) MDMs (2.5 x 10^5 cells/well) were treated with the TLR2 ligand

PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml), the TLR4 ligand LPS (100 ng/ml), both PAM3CSK4 and LPS (each

100 ng/ml), or GC (MOI = 10) for 18 hours. Cell supernatant was harvested, filtered through a

0.2 μm filter, and analyzed by ELISA for TNF-α (A) and IFN-β (B) production. Data represent

mean (+/- SD) of 3 donors, each donor tested in triplicate. (B) MDMs (2.5 x 10^5 cells/well) were

infected with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-luciferase reporter virus at an MOI = 0.1.

Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were treated with LPS (100 ng/ml) or GC (MOI = 10) in the

absence (white bars) or presence (black bars) of B18R (100 ng/ml) for 18 hours. The cells were

then lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The data are the mean (+/- SD) of 3 donors, each

donor tested in triplicate. (C) MDMs (2.5 x 10^5 cells/well) were infected as above. Forty-eight

hours after infection, cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml), LPS (100 ng/ml), or GC

(MOI = 10) in the absence (white bars) or presence (black bars) of TAK242 (1 μg/ml) for 18

hours. The cells were then lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The data are the mean (+/-

SD) of 3 donors, each donor tested in triplicate. (D) MDMs (2.5 x 10^5 cells/well) were infected as

above. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were treated with vehicle control (white bars) or

with (black bars) the dynamin inhibitor Dynasore (80 μM) for 15 minutes prior to treatment with

LPS (100 ng/ml) or GC (MOI = 10) for 18 hours. The cells were then lysed and assayed for

luciferase activity. The data are the mean (+/- SD) of 3 donors, each donor tested in triplicate.

(E) MDMs (2x10^6 cells/well) were transfected with a control scrambled shRNA, shRNA targeting

MyD88, or shRNA targeting TRIF. Knock-down of protein expression was detected by western

blot. (F) MDMs (2x10^6 cells/well) were transfected with a control scrambled shRNA (white bars)

or with shRNA targeting MyD88 (black bars) or TRIF (gray bars), were infected with a single-

round, replication-competent HIV-luciferase reporter virus at an MOI = 0.1. Forty-eight hours

after infection, cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml), LPS (100 ng/ml), both

PAM3CSK4 and LPS (100 ng/ml each), GC (MOI = 10), or PMA (10 nM) for 18 hours. The cells

were then lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The data are the mean (+/- SD) of 3 donors,

each donor tested in triplicate.

Figure 6. LPS- and GC-mediated repression of HIV-1 in MDMs is associated with changes in IRF recruitment to the ISRE. (A) MDMs (2×10^6 cells/well) were infected with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-luciferase reporter virus at an MOI = 0.1. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml) or LPS (100 ng/ml). At various time points after TLR stimulation, cells were harvested, lysed, and total cytoplasmic RNA was extracted. Viral RNA accumulation was assessed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. The data are the average of two donors. (B) MDMs (1.2×10^7 cells/plate) were infected with a single-round replication competent HIV-GFP reporter virus at an MOI = 2. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were treated with LPS (100 ng/ml). At either 1 or 24 hours after LPS treatment, cells were fixed with formaldehyde, lysed, sonicated, and subjected to immunoprecipitation with antibodies against IRF1, IRF2, IRF4, IRF8, or rabbit IgG (isotype control). Association with the HIV-1 ISRE was assessed by semi-quantitative PCR using HIV-1 specific primers. One representative donor (B) and composite data from three donors (C) are shown. (D) MDMs (2×10^6 cells/well) transfected with a controlled scrambled shRNA (white bars) or with shRNA targeting IRF8 (black bars) were infected with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-luciferase reporter virus at an MOI = 0.1. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were treated with 100 ng/ml PAM3CSK4, 100 ng/ml LPS, a combination of 100 ng/ml PAM3CSK4 and 100 ng/ml LPS, or GC (MOI = 10) for 18 h. The cells were then lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The experiment was performed three times, each in triplicate, using cells from three different donors. (E) MDMs transfected with an empty vector (white bars) or a vector encoding IRF8 (black bars) were infected with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-luciferase reporter virus at an MOI = 0.1. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were treated with 100 ng/ml PAM3CSK4, 100 ng/ml LPS, a combination of 100 ng/ml PAM3CSK4 and 100 ng/ml LPS, or GC (MOI = 10) for 18 h. The cells were then lysed and assayed for luciferase activity. The experiment was performed three times, each in triplicate, using cells from three different donors.

Figure 7. Treatment with LPS, GC, or type I IFNs induces a low-level persistent/latent infection in MDMs. MDMs (2.5×10^5 cells/well) were infected with replication-competent HIV-1$_{Ba-L}$ at an MOI of 0.1. At day 3 post-infection, the cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml), LPS (100 ng/ml), PAM3CSK4 and LPS (each at 100/ng/ml), IFN-α (1000 U/ml), or IFN-β (1000 U/ml). Cell-free supernatants were harvested every three days, and virus production was monitored by p24 ELISA. Data from two independent donors, each tested in triplicate, are shown.

Supplemental figure 1. TLR2-mediated activation of HIV-1 requires NF-κB binding sites, but not AP-1 binding sites, in the LTR. (A) HEK293-TLR2^{CFP}TLR1^{YFP} cells were transfected with HIV-1 LTR-luciferase reporter constructs with intact NF-κB, mutated NF-κB, or deleted NF-κB binding sites. Following transfection, cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml) for 18 hours and then harvested and assayed for luciferase activity. Data represent the mean (+/- SD) of three independent experiments, each performed in triplicate. (B) HEK293-TLR2^{CFP}TLR1^{YFP} cells were transfected with HIV-1 LTR-luciferase reporter constructs with intact AP-1 sites (WT LTR) or deleted AP-1 binding sites (-158 LTR). Following transfection, cells were treated with PAM3CSK4 (100 ng/ml) for 18 hours and then harvested and assayed for luciferase activity. Data are the mean (+/- SD) of three independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.

Supplemental figure 2. Heat-killed GC represses HIV-1 replication in MDMs, but activates it in MDDCs. MDMs were infected with a single-round, replication-competent HIV-1 luciferase reporter virus at MOI = 0.1. Forty-eight hours after infection, the cells were cultured with increasing amounts of heat-killed (56°C treatment) GC overnight. The cells were then lysed and

luciferase activity was measured. The data are the mean (+/- SD) of 3 donors, each donor

tested in triplicate.

Supplemental figure 3. Dynasore inhibits endocytosis/phagocytosis of labeled *E. coli*

particles by MDMs. (A-B) MDMs ($5x10^5$/well) were incubated with DMSO or Dynasore (80 μM)

for 15 minutes at 37°C. The cells were washed with PBS and incubated with pHrodo Green *E.*

coli (1 mg/ml) for 2 hours at 37°C. Endocytosis/phagocytosis was measured by flow cytometry.

Shown are data from one representative donor (A) and composite data from four donors (B).

Supplemental figure 4. TLR4-mediated repression of HIV-1 requires the ISRE binding site

located in the GLS downstream of the 5' LTR. (A) HEK293-TLR4CFP/MD-2/CD14 cells were

transfected with HIV-1 LTR/GLS-luciferase reporter constructs with an intact ISRE or mutated

ISRE binding site. Following transfection, cells were treated with LPS (100 ng/ml) for 18 hours

and then harvested and assayed for luciferase activity. Data are the mean (+/- SD) of three

independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.

Table 1. Primers used for PCR-based mutagenesis.

Primer Name	Sequence
Forward mutI NF-κB	GGACTTTCCGCTGTCTACTTTCCAGG
Reverse mutI NF-κB	CCTGGAAAGTAGACAGCGGAAAGTCC
Forward mutII NF-κB	GCTTTCTACAATCTACTTTCCGCTGG

Reverse mutII NF-κB	CCAGCGGAAAGTAGATTGTAGAAAGC
Forward mutI&II NF-κB	GCTTTCTACAATCTACTTTCCGCTGTCTACTTTCCAGG
Reverse mutI&II NF-κB	CCTGGAAAGTAGACAGCGGAAAGTAGATTGTAGAAAGC
Forward delNF-κB	GCTGACATCGAGCTTTCTACAAAGGGAGGTGTGGCCTGGGCGGG
Reverse delNF-κB	CCCGCCCAGGCCACACCTCCCTTTGTAGAAAGCTCGATGTCAGC
Forward mutISRE	GCCCGAACAGGGACTTGCCCGCGCCCGTAAAGCCAGAGGAGATC
Reverse mutISRE	GATCCTCTGGCTTTACGGGCGCGGGCAAGTCCCTGTTCGGGC

1.   Miller CJ, Shattock RJ. 2003. Target cells in vaginal HIV transmission. Microbes Infect
5:59-67.
2.   Shen R, Richter HE, Clements RH, Novak L, Huff K, Bimczok D, Sankaran-Walters S,
Dandekar S, Clapham PR, Smythies LE, Smith PD. 2009. Macrophages in vaginal but not
intestinal mucosa are monocyte-like and permissive to human immunodeficiency virus
type 1 infection. J Virol 83:3258-67.
3.   McElrath MJ, Smythe K, Randolph-Habecker J, Melton KR, Goodpaster TA, Hughes SM,
Mack M, Sato A, Diaz G, Steinbach G, Novak RM, Curlin ME, Lord JD, Maenza J, Duerr A,
Frahm N, Hladik F, Network NHVT. 2013. Comprehensive assessment of HIV target cells
in the distal human gut suggests increasing HIV susceptibility toward the anus. J Acquir
Immune Defic Syndr 63:263-71.
4.   Shen R, Richter HE, Smith PD. 2011. Early HIV-1 target cells in human vaginal and
ectocervical mucosa. Am J Reprod Immunol 65:261-7.
5.   Wong ME, Jaworowski A, Hearps AC. 2019. The HIV Reservoir in Monocytes and
Macrophages. Front Immunol 10:1435.

6.   Galvin SR, Cohen MS. 2004. The role of sexually transmitted diseases in HIV
transmission. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:33-42.
7.   Malott RJ, Keller BO, Gaudet RG, McCaw SE, Lai CC, Dobson-Belaire WN, Hobbs JL, St
Michael F, Cox AD, Moraes TF, Gray-Owen SD. 2013. Neisseria gonorrhoeae-derived
heptose elicits an innate immune response and drives HIV-1 expression. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A 110:10234-9.
8.   Jarvis GA, Chang TL. 2012. Modulation of HIV transmission by Neisseria gonorrhoeae:
molecular and immunological aspects. Curr HIV Res 10:211-7.
9.   Ferreira VH, Nazli A, Khan G, Mian MF, Ashkar AA, Gray-Owen S, Kaul R, Kaushic C. 2011.
Endometrial epithelial cell responses to coinfecting viral and bacterial pathogens in the
genital tract can activate the HIV-1 LTR in an NF{kappa}B-and AP-1-dependent manner. J
Infect Dis 204:299-308.
10.   Ding J, Rapista A, Teleshova N, Mosoyan G, Jarvis GA, Klotman ME, Chang TL. 2010.
Neisseria gonorrhoeae enhances HIV-1 infection of primary resting CD4+ T cells through
TLR2 activation. J Immunol 184:2814-24.
11.   Zhang J, Li G, Bafica A, Pantelic M, Zhang P, Broxmeyer H, Liu Y, Wetzler L, He JJ, Chen T.
2005. Neisseria gonorrhoeae enhances infection of dendritic cells by HIV type 1. J
Immunol 174:7995-8002.
12.   Sanyal A, Shen C, Ding M, Reinhart TA, Chen Y, Sankapal S, Gupta P. 2019. Neisseria
gonorrhoeae uses cellular proteins CXCL10 and IL8 to enhance HIV-1 transmission across
cervical mucosa. Am J Reprod Immunol 81:e13111.
13.   Mogensen TH, Paludan SR, Kilian M, Ostergaard L. 2006. Live Streptococcus
pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, and Neisseria meningitidis activate the
inflammatory response through Toll-like receptors 2, 4, and 9 in species-specific
patterns. J Leukoc Biol 80:267-77.
14.   Dobson-Belaire WN, Rebbapragada A, Malott RJ, Yue FY, Kovacs C, Kaul R, Ostrowski
MA, Gray-Owen SD. 2010. Neisseria gonorrhoeae effectively blocks HIV-1 replication by
eliciting a potent TLR9-dependent interferon-alpha response from plasmacytoid
dendritic cells. Cell Microbiol 12:1703-17.
15.   Liu X, Mosoian A, Li-Yun Chang T, Zerhouni-Layachi B, Snyder A, Jarvis GA, Klotman ME.
2006. Gonococcal lipooligosaccharide suppresses HIV infection in human primary
macrophages through induction of innate immunity. J Infect Dis 194:751-9.
16.   Kornbluth RS, Oh PS, Munis JR, Cleveland PH, Richman DD. 1989. Interferons and
bacterial lipopolysaccharide protect macrophages from productive infection by human
immunodeficiency virus in vitro. J Exp Med 169:1137-51.
17.   Hanley TM, Viglianti GA. 2011. Nuclear receptor signaling inhibits HIV-1 replication in
macrophages through multiple trans-repression mechanisms. J Virol 85:10834-50.
18.   Novis CL, Archin NM, Buzon MJ, Verdin E, Round JL, Lichterfeld M, Margolis DM,
Planelles V, Bosque A. 2013. Reactivation of latent HIV-1 in central memory CD4(+) T
cells through TLR-1/2 stimulation. Retrovirology 10:119.
19.   Chen A, Boulton IC, Pongoski J, Cochrane A, Gray-Owen SD. 2003. Induction of HIV-1
long terminal repeat-mediated transcription by Neisseria gonorrhoeae. AIDS 17:625-8.
20.   Kagan JC, Su T, Horng T, Chow A, Akira S, Medzhitov R. 2008. TRAM couples endocytosis
of Toll-like receptor 4 to the induction of interferon-beta. Nat Immunol 9:361-8.

21. Kawai T, Akira S. 2006. TLR signaling. Cell Death Differ 13:816-25.

22. Nabel G, Baltimore D. 1987. An inducible transcription factor activates expression of
human immunodeficiency virus in T cells. Nature 326:711-3.

23. Perkins ND, Edwards NL, Duckett CS, Agranoff AB, Schmid RM, Nabel GJ. 1993. A
cooperative interaction between NF-kappa B and Sp1 is required for HIV-1 enhancer
activation. EMBO J 12:3551-8.

24. Van Lint C, Amella CA, Emiliani S, John M, Jie T, Verdin E. 1997. Transcription factor
binding sites downstream of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 transcription
start site are important for virus infectivity. J Virol 71:6113-27.

25. Canonne-Hergaux F, Aunis D, Schaeffer E. 1995. Interactions of the transcription factor
AP-1 with the long terminal repeat of different human immunodeficiency virus type 1
strains in Jurkat, glial, and neuronal cells. J Virol 69:6634-42.

26. Lee JH, Koo TH, Yoon H, Jung HS, Jin HZ, Lee K, Hong YS, Lee JJ. 2006. Inhibition of NF-
kappa B activation through targeting I kappa B kinase by celastrol, a quinone methide
triterpenoid. Biochem Pharmacol 72:1311-21.

27. Sgarbanti M, Borsetti A, Moscufo N, Bellocchi MC, Ridolfi B, Nappi F, Marsili G, Marziali
G, Coccia EM, Ensoli B, Battistini A. 2002. Modulation of human immunodeficiency virus
1 replication by interferon regulatory factors. J Exp Med 195:1359-70.

28. Barber SA, Fultz MJ, Salkowski CA, Vogel SN. 1995. Differential expression of interferon
regulatory factor 1 (IRF-1), IRF-2, and interferon consensus sequence binding protein
genes in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-responsive and LPS-hyporesponsive macrophages.
Infect Immun 63:601-8.

29. Forero A, Ozarkar S, Li H, Lee CH, Hemann EA, Nadjsombati MS, Hendricks MR, So L,
Green R, Roy CN, Sarkar SN, von Moltke J, Anderson SK, Gale M, Jr., Savan R. 2019.
Differential Activation of the Transcription Factor IRF1 Underlies the Distinct Immune
Responses Elicited by Type I and Type III Interferons. Immunity 51:451-464 e6.

30. Hu Y, Park-Min KH, Yarilina A, Ivashkiv LB. 2008. Regulation of STAT pathways and IRF1
during human dendritic cell maturation by TNF-alpha and PGE2. J Leukoc Biol 84:1353-
60.

31. Liljeroos M, Vuolteenaho R, Rounioja S, Henriques-Normark B, Hallman M, Ojaniemi M.
2008. Bacterial ligand of TLR2 signals Stat activation via induction of IRF1/2 and
interferon-alpha production. Cell Signal 20:1873-81.

32. Yamamoto M, Kato T, Hotta C, Nishiyama A, Kurotaki D, Yoshinari M, Takami M, Ichino
M, Nakazawa M, Matsuyama T, Kamijo R, Kitagawa S, Ozato K, Tamura T. 2011. Shared
and distinct functions of the transcription factors IRF4 and IRF8 in myeloid cell
development. PLoS One 6:e25812.

33. Gupta M, Shin DM, Ramakrishna L, Goussetis DJ, Platanias LC, Xiong H, Morse HC, 3rd,
Ozato K. 2015. IRF8 directs stress-induced autophagy in macrophages and promotes
clearance of Listeria monocytogenes. Nat Commun 6:6379.

34. Thornton AM, Buller RM, DeVico AL, Wang IM, Ozato K. 1996. Inhibition of human
immunodeficiency virus type 1 and vaccinia virus infection by a dominant negative
factor of the interferon regulatory factor family expressed in monocytic cells. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 93:383-7.

35. Munier S, Delcroix-Genete D, Carthagena L, Gumez A, Hazan U. 2005. Characterization
of two candidate genes, NCoA3 and IRF8, potentially involved in the control of HIV-1
latency. Retrovirology 2:73.
36. Negishi H, Ohba Y, Yanai H, Takaoka A, Honma K, Yui K, Matsuyama T, Taniguchi T,
Honda K. 2005. Negative regulation of Toll-like-receptor signaling by IRF-4. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 102:15989-94.
37. Abreu C, Shirk EN, Queen SE, Beck SE, Mangus LM, Pate KAM, Mankowski JL, Gama L,
Clements JE. 2019. Brain macrophages harbor latent, infectious simian
immunodeficiency virus. Aids 33 Suppl 2:S181-s188.
38. Ganor Y, Real F, Sennepin A, Dutertre CA, Prevedel L, Xu L, Tudor D, Charmeteau B,
Couedel-Courteille A, Marion S, Zenak AR, Jourdain JP, Zhou Z, Schmitt A, Capron C,
Eugenin EA, Cheynier R, Revol M, Cristofari S, Hosmalin A, Bomsel M. 2019. HIV-1
reservoirs in urethral macrophages of patients under suppressive antiretroviral therapy.
Nat Microbiol 4:633-644.
39. Honeycutt JB, Thayer WO, Baker CE, Ribeiro RM, Lada SM, Cao Y, Cleary RA, Hudgens
MG, Richman DD, Garcia JV. 2017. HIV persistence in tissue macrophages of humanized
myeloid-only mice during antiretroviral therapy. Nat Med 23:638-643.
40. Smith PD, Meng G, Salazar-Gonzalez JF, Shaw GM. 2003. Macrophage HIV-1 infection
and the gastrointestinal tract reservoir. J Leukoc Biol 74:642-9.
41. Lodie TA, Reiner M, Coniglio S, Viglianti G, Fenton MJ. 1998. Both PU.1 and nuclear
factor-kappa B mediate lipopolysaccharide- induced HIV-1 long terminal repeat
transcription in macrophages. J Immunol 161:268-76.
42. Pomerantz RJ, Feinberg MB, Trono D, Baltimore D. 1990. Lipopolysaccharide is a potent
monocyte/macrophage-specific stimulator of human immunodeficiency virus type 1
expression. J Exp Med 172:253-61.
43. Hanley TM, Kiefer HL, Schnitzler AC, Marcello JE, Viglianti GA. 2004. Retinoid-dependent
restriction of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication in
monocytes/macrophages. J Virol 78:2819-30.
44. Bafica A, Scanga CA, Equils O, Sher A. 2004. The induction of Toll-like receptor tolerance
enhances rather than suppresses HIV-1 gene expression in transgenic mice. J Leukoc Biol
75:460-6.
45. Equils O, Schito ML, Karahashi H, Madak Z, Yarali A, Michelsen KS, Sher A, Arditi M.
2003. Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) and TLR9 signaling results in HIV-long terminal repeat
trans-activation and HIV replication in HIV-1 transgenic mouse spleen cells: implications
of simultaneous activation of TLRs on HIV replication. J Immunol 170:5159-64.
46. Kadoki M, Choi BI, Iwakura Y. 2010. The mechanism of LPS-induced HIV type I activation
in transgenic mouse macrophages. Int Immunol 22:469-78.
47. Ahmed N, Hayashi T, Hasegawa A, Furukawa H, Okamura N, Chida T, Masuda T, Kannagi
M. 2010. Suppression of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication in
macrophages by commensal bacteria preferentially stimulating Toll-like receptor 4. J
Gen Virol 91:2804-13.
48. Bernstein MS, Tong-Starksen SE, Locksley RM. 1991. Activation of human monocyte--
derived macrophages with lipopolysaccharide decreases human immunodeficiency virus
replication in vitro at the level of gene expression. J Clin Invest 88:540-5.

49.   Equils O, Salehi KK, Cornateanu R, Lu D, Singh S, Whittaker K, Baldwin GC. 2006.
Repeated lipopolysaccharide (LPS) exposure inhibits HIV replication in primary human
macrophages. Microbes Infect 8:2469-76.
50.   Simard S, Maurais E, Gilbert C, Tremblay MJ. 2008. LPS reduces HIV-1 replication in
primary human macrophages partly through an endogenous production of type I
interferons. Clin Immunol 127:198-205.
51.   Nordone SK, Ignacio GA, Su L, Sempowski GD, Golenbock DT, Li L, Dean GA. 2007. Failure
of TLR4-driven NF-kappa B activation to stimulate virus replication in models of HIV type
1 activation. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 23:1387-95.
52.   Marsili G, Borsetti A, Sgarbanti M, Remoli AL, Ridolfi B, Stellacci E, Ensoli B, Battistini A.
2003. On the role of interferon regulatory factors in HIV-1 replication. Ann N Y Acad Sci
1010:29-42.
53.   Harman AN, Lai J, Turville S, Samarajiwa S, Gray L, Marsden V, Mercier SK, Jones K, Nasr
N, Rustagi A, Cumming H, Donaghy H, Mak J, Gale M, Jr., Churchill M, Hertzog P,
Cunningham AL. 2011. HIV infection of dendritic cells subverts the IFN induction
pathway via IRF-1 and inhibits type 1 IFN production. Blood 118:298-308.
54.   Marsili G, Remoli AL, Sgarbanti M, Battistini A. 2004. Role of acetylases and deacetylase
inhibitors in IRF-1-mediated HIV-1 long terminal repeat transcription. Ann N Y Acad Sci
1030:636-43.
55.   Sgarbanti M, Marsili G, Remoli AL, Ridolfi B, Stellacci E, Borsetti A, Ensoli B, Battistini A.
2004. Analysis of the signal transduction pathway leading to human immunodeficiency
virus-1-induced interferon regulatory factor-1 upregulation. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1030:187-
95.
56.   Sgarbanti M, Remoli AL, Marsili G, Ridolfi B, Borsetti A, Perrotti E, Orsatti R, Ilari R,
Sernicola L, Stellacci E, Ensoli B, Battistini A. 2008. IRF-1 is required for full NF-kappaB
transcriptional activity at the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 long terminal
repeat enhancer. J Virol 82:3632-41.
57.   Negishi H, Fujita Y, Yanai H, Sakaguchi S, Ouyang X, Shinohara M, Takayanagi H, Ohba Y,
Taniguchi T, Honda K. 2006. Evidence for licensing of IFN-gamma-induced IFN regulatory
factor 1 transcription factor by MyD88 in Toll-like receptor-dependent gene induction
program. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:15136-41.
58.   Bovolenta C, Driggers PH, Marks MS, Medin JA, Politis AD, Vogel SN, Levy DE, Sakaguchi
K, Appella E, Coligan JE, et al. 1994. Molecular interactions between interferon
consensus sequence binding protein and members of the interferon regulatory factor
family. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:5046-50.
59.   Meraro D, Hashmueli S, Koren B, Azriel A, Oumard A, Kirchhoff S, Hauser H, Nagulapalli
S, Atchison ML, Levi BZ. 1999. Protein-protein and DNA-protein interactions affect the
activity of lymphoid-specific IFN regulatory factors. J Immunol 163:6468-78.
60.   Langlais D, Barreiro LB, Gros P. 2016. The macrophage IRF8/IRF1 regulome is required
for protection against infections and is associated with chronic inflammation. J Exp Med
213:585-603.
61.   Lehtonen A, Veckman V, Nikula T, Lahesmaa R, Kinnunen L, Matikainen S, Julkunen I.
2005. Differential expression of IFN regulatory factor 4 gene in human monocyte-
derived dendritic cells and macrophages. J Immunol 175:6570-9.

62. Zhang Z, Schuler T, Zupancic M, Wietgrefe S, Staskus KA, Reimann KA, Reinhart TA,
Rogan M, Cavert W, Miller CJ, Veazey RS, Notermans D, Little S, Danner SA, Richman DD,
Havlir D, Wong J, Jordan HL, Schacker TW, Racz P, Tenner-Racz K, Letvin NL, Wolinsky S,
Haase AT. 1999. Sexual transmission and propagation of SIV and HIV in resting and
activated CD4+ T cells. Science 286:1353-7.

63. Hladik F, Sakchalathorn P, Ballweber L, Lentz G, Fialkow M, Eschenbach D, McElrath MJ.
2007. Initial events in establishing vaginal entry and infection by human
immunodeficiency virus type-1. Immunity 26:257-70.

64. Ganor Y, Zhou Z, Bodo J, Tudor D, Leibowitch J, Mathez D, Schmitt A, Vacher-Lavenu MC,
Revol M, Bomsel M. 2013. The adult penile urethra is a novel entry site for HIV-1 that
preferentially targets resident urethral macrophages. Mucosal Immunol 6:776-86.

65. Koenig S, Gendelman HE, Orenstein JM, Dal Canto MC, Pezeshkpour GH, Yungbluth M,
Janotta F, Aksamit A, Martin MA, Fauci AS. 1986. Detection of AIDS virus in
macrophages in brain tissue from AIDS patients with encephalopathy. Science 233:1089-
93.

66. Igarashi T, Brown CR, Endo Y, Buckler-White A, Plishka R, Bischofberger N, Hirsch V,
Martin MA. 2001. Macrophage are the principal reservoir and sustain high virus loads in
rhesus macaques after the depletion of CD4+ T cells by a highly pathogenic simian
immunodeficiency virus/HIV type 1 chimera (SHIV): Implications for HIV-1 infections of
humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:658-63.

67. Gousset K, Ablan SD, Coren LV, Ono A, Soheilian F, Nagashima K, Ott DE, Freed EO. 2008.
Real-time visualization of HIV-1 GAG trafficking in infected macrophages. PLoS Pathog
4:e1000015.

68. Groot F, Welsch S, Sattentau QJ. 2008. Efficient HIV-1 transmission from macrophages
to T cells across transient virological synapses. Blood 111:4660-3.

69. Embretson J, Zupancic M, Ribas JL, Burke A, Racz P, Tenner-Racz K, Haase AT. 1993.
Massive covert infection of helper T lymphocytes and macrophages by HIV during the
incubation period of AIDS. Nature 362:359-62.

70. Orenstein JM, Fox C, Wahl SM. 1997. Macrophages as a source of HIV during
opportunistic infections. Science 276:1857-61.

71. Zalar A, Figueroa MI, Ruibal-Ares B, Bare P, Cahn P, de Bracco MM, Belmonte L. 2010.
Macrophage HIV-1 infection in duodenal tissue of patients on long term HAART.
Antiviral Res 87:269-71.

72. Yukl SA, Sinclair E, Somsouk M, Hunt PW, Epling L, Killian M, Girling V, Li P, Havlir DV,
Deeks SG, Wong JK, Hatano H. 2014. A comparison of methods for measuring rectal HIV
levels suggests that HIV DNA resides in cells other than CD4+ T cells, including myeloid
cells. AIDS 28:439-42.

73. Schmitt MP, Gendrault JL, Schweitzer C, Steffan AM, Beyer C, Royer C, Jaeck D, Pasquali
JL, Kirn A, Aubertin AM. 1990. Permissivity of primary cultures of human Kupffer cells for
HIV-1. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 6:987-91.

74. Hufert FT, Schmitz J, Schreiber M, Schmitz H, Racz P, von Laer DD. 1993. Human Kupffer
cells infected with HIV-1 in vivo. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr (1988) 6:772-7.

75. Mosoian A, Zhang L, Hong F, Cunyat F, Rahman A, Bhalla R, Panchal A, Saiman Y, Fiel MI,
Florman S, Roayaie S, Schwartz M, Branch A, Stevenson M, Bansal MB. 2017. Frontline

Science: HIV infection of Kupffer cells results in an amplified proinflammatory response
to LPS. J Leukoc Biol 101:1083-1090.
76. Sierra-Madero JG, Toossi Z, Hom DL, Finegan CK, Hoenig E, Rich EA. 1994. Relationship
between load of virus in alveolar macrophages from human immunodeficiency virus
type 1-infected persons, production of cytokines, and clinical status. J Infect Dis 169:18-
27.
77. Jambo KC, Banda DH, Kankwatira AM, Sukumar N, Allain TJ, Heyderman RS, Russell DG,
Mwandumba HC. 2014. Small alveolar macrophages are infected preferentially by HIV
and exhibit impaired phagocytic function. Mucosal Immunol 7:1116-26.
78. Cribbs SK, Lennox J, Caliendo AM, Brown LA, Guidot DM. 2015. Healthy HIV-1-infected
individuals on highly active antiretroviral therapy harbor HIV-1 in their alveolar
macrophages. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 31:64-70.
79. Stoler MH, Eskin TA, Benn S, Angerer RC, Angerer LM. 1986. Human T-cell lymphotropic
virus type III infection of the central nervous system. A preliminary in situ analysis. JAMA
256:2360-4.
80. Wiley CA, Schrier RD, Nelson JA, Lampert PW, Oldstone MB. 1986. Cellular localization
of human immunodeficiency virus infection within the brains of acquired immune
deficiency syndrome patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 83:7089-93.
81. Fischer-Smith T, Croul S, Sverstiuk AE, Capini C, L'Heureux D, Regulier EG, Richardson
MW, Amini S, Morgello S, Khalili K, Rappaport J. 2001. CNS invasion by CD14+/CD16+
peripheral blood-derived monocytes in HIV dementia: perivascular accumulation and
reservoir of HIV infection. J Neurovirol 7:528-41.
82. Cosenza MA, Zhao ML, Si Q, Lee SC. 2002. Human brain parenchymal microglia express
CD14 and CD45 and are productively infected by HIV-1 in HIV-1 encephalitis. Brain
Pathol 12:442-55.
83. Ko A, Kang G, Hattler JB, Galadima HI, Zhang J, Li Q, Kim WK. 2019. Macrophages but not
Astrocytes Harbor HIV DNA in the Brains of HIV-1-Infected Aviremic Individuals on
Suppressive Antiretroviral Therapy. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol 14:110-119.
84. Abreu CM, Veenhuis RT, Avalos CR, Graham S, Parrilla DR, Ferreira EA, Queen SE, Shirk
EN, Bullock BT, Li M, Metcalf Pate KA, Beck SE, Mangus LM, Mankowski JL, Mac Gabhann
F, O'Connor SL, Gama L, Clements JE. 2019. Myeloid and CD4 T Cells Comprise the Latent
Reservoir in Antiretroviral Therapy-Suppressed SIVmac251-Infected Macaques. MBio 10.
85. Abreu CM, Veenhuis RT, Avalos CR, Graham S, Queen SE, Shirk EN, Bullock BT, Li M,
Metcalf Pate KA, Beck SE, Mangus LM, Mankowski JL, Clements JE, Gama L. 2019.
Infectious Virus Persists in CD4(+) T Cells and Macrophages in Antiretroviral Therapy-
Suppressed Simian Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Macaques. J Virol 93.
86. Micci L, Alvarez X, Iriele RI, Ortiz AM, Ryan ES, McGary CS, Deleage C, McAtee BB, He T,
Apetrei C, Easley K, Pahwa S, Collman RG, Derdeyn CA, Davenport MP, Estes JD, Silvestri
G, Lackner AA, Paiardini M. 2014. CD4 depletion in SIV-infected macaques results in
macrophage and microglia infection with rapid turnover of infected cells. PLoS Pathog
10:e1004467.
87. DiNapoli SR, Ortiz AM, Wu F, Matsuda K, Twigg HL, 3rd, Hirsch VM, Knox K, Brenchley
JM. 2017. Tissue-resident macrophages can contain replication-competent virus in
antiretroviral-naive, SIV-infected Asian macaques. JCI Insight 2:e91214.

88.   Spira AI, Marx PA, Patterson BK, Mahoney J, Koup RA, Wolinsky SM, Ho DD. 1996.
970   Cellular targets of infection and route of viral dissemination after an intravaginal
971   inoculation of simian immunodeficiency virus into rhesus macaques. J Exp Med 183:215-
972   25.
973

Hanley Figure 1

Hanley Figure 2

A

B

N. gonorrhoeae
E. Coli

Hanley Figure 3

Hanley Figure 5 (A-D)

D

E

F

Hanley Figure 6 (A-C)

Hanley Figure 6 (D-G)

Hanley Figure 7

Hanley Supplemental Figure 4