Metagenomic profiling of ammonia- and methane-oxidizing microorganisms in a Dutch

drinking water treatment plant

Lianna Poghosyan, Hanna Koch, Jeroen Frank, Maartje A.H.J. van Kessel, Geert Cremers,

Theo van Alen, Mike S.M. Jetten, Huub J.M. Op den Camp, Sebastian Lücker[*]

Department of Microbiology, Radboud University, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen,

the Netherlands

[*]Correspondence: s.luecker@science.ru.nl

Keywords

Sand filtration; nitrification; comammox *Nitrospira*; methanotrophic bacteria; metagenomics

Highlights

• Microbial distribution was mainly influenced by sampling location within the DWTP

• Clade A comammox *Nitrospira* were the dominant nitrifiers in the primary sand filter

• Clade B was most abundant in samples from wall biofilm and the secondary filter

• A novel *Methylophilaceae*-affiliated methanotroph dominated the primary sand filter

Abstract

Elevated concentrations of ammonium and methane in groundwater can cause severe problems

during drinking water production. To avoid their accumulation, raw water in the Netherlands,

and many other countries, is purified by sand filtration. These drinking water filtration systems

select for microbial communities that mediate the biodegradation of organic and inorganic

compounds. In this study, the active layers and wall biofilm of a Dutch drinking water treatment

plant (DWTP) were sampled at different locations along the filtration units of the plant over

three years. We used high-throughput sequencing in combination with differential coverage

and sequence composition-based binning to recover 56 near-complete metagenome-assembled

genomes (MAGs) with an estimated completion of ≥70% and with ≤10% redundancy. These

MAGs were used to characterize the microbial communities involved in the conversion of

ammonia and methane. The methanotrophic microbial communities colonizing the wall

biofilm (WB) and the granular material of the primary rapid sand filter (P-RSF) were

dominated by members of the *Methylococcaceae* and *Methylophilaceae.* The abundance of

these bacteria drastically decreased in the secondary rapid sand filter (S-RSF) samples. In all

samples, complete ammonia-oxidizing (comammox) *Nitrospira* were the most abundant

nitrifying guild. Clade A comammox *Nitrospira* dominated the P-RSF, while clade B was most

abundant in WB and S-RSF, where ammonium concentrations were much lower. In

conclusion, the knowledge obtained in this study contributes to understanding the role of

microorganisms in the removal of carbon and nitrogen compounds during drinking water

production. We furthermore found that drinking water treatment plants represent valuable

model systems to study microbial community function and interaction.

Introduction

About 97% of all available water on earth is saline. The remaining 3% is freshwater, of which more than two-thirds is frozen in ice sheets. Thus, only a small fraction of the global freshwater exists as ground and surface water that is available for drinking water production. According to the European Commission (EC, 2016), about 50% of drinking water in Europe is produced from groundwater and 37% from surface water.

Groundwater has a relatively constant composition and may contain high concentrations of iron (Fe^{2+}; 0.9-7.8 mg/L), manganese (Mn^{2+}; 0-0.56 mg/L), ammonium (NH_4^+; 0.1-0.5 mg/L), and some organic compounds such as methane (CH_4; 0-37 mg/L) (Albers et al., 2015; Li and Carlson, 2014; Osborn et al., 2011). Elevated concentrations of these compounds in groundwater can cause severe problems during drinking water production and distribution (Okoniewska et al., 2007; Rittmann et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2005). Biofiltration (e.g., rapid (RSF) or slow (SSF) sand filtration, granular activated carbon filters) are widely applied methods for the removal of the above-mentioned compounds. Biofilters harbor complex microbial communities that are introduced via the source water (Yang et al., 2016) and are shaped by the configuration of the treatment process (Li et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2012). In the filtration units microbial growth is stimulated on filter material, mediating the biodegradation of organic and inorganic compounds (Proctor and Hammes, 2015). Gases such as methane, hydrogen sulfide, carbon dioxide, and other volatile compounds are removed from the groundwater through gas exchange systems (Trussell et al., 2012). The increased dissolved oxygen in the water caused by this mechanical aeration step serves as an electron acceptor in microbially mediated oxidative reactions, which may ensure the near-complete nutrient removal in the biologically active layer of the sand filters.

One of the main groundwater contaminants is ammonium. Excess ammonium in raw water is often associated with microbiological, chemical and sanitary problems in drinking water

distribution systems, such as excessive biofilm growth, pH decrease, pipe corrosion, and

elevated nitrite and nitrate levels (Beech and Sunner, 2004; Camper, 2004; Rittmann et al.,

2012). In engineered systems, such as drinking water treatment plants (DWTP), ammonium

removal is achieved by the activity of nitrifying microorganisms that oxidize ammonia to

nitrate via a series of intermediates. While canonical nitrifying guilds perform ammonia- and

nitrite-oxidation in a tight interplay, complete ammonia-oxidizing (comammox) bacteria of the

genus *Nitrospira* possess all proteins necessary to perform nitrification on their own (Daims et

al., 2015; van Kessel et al., 2015). Nitrifying microbial communities of rapid sand filters have

been studied before and seem to be represented by different groups of nitrifiers (Albers et al.,

2015; Fowler et al., 2018; Gülay et al., 2016; Oh et al., 2018; Palomo et al., 2016; Pinto et al.,

2015; van der Wielen et al., 2009). In these systems, nitrification can be limited by the

availability of vital nutrients for this process, such as phosphate and copper. This can cause

incomplete nitrification (de Vet et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2016), leading to incomplete

ammonium removal and/or nitrite accumulation (Wilczak et al., 1996), and microbial after-

growth in the distribution network (Rittmann et al., 2012).

Methane is a colorless and odorless gas that usually does not present a health risk in drinking

water. However, methane gas is highly flammable and can be explosive at elevated

concentrations, and it also can serve as substrate for growth of microorganisms in distribution

systems. Most of the methane is removed by the mechanical aeration step and remaining

amounts are oxidized by aerobic methanotrophs within the sand beds of the filter units.

Correspondingly, a number of studies reported methane-oxidizing bacteria colonizing the

granular material of the sand filters (Albers et al., 2015; Gülay et al., 2016; Palomo et al., 2016).

However, in contrast to nitrifying microbial communities, methanotrophs in these engineered

systems are traditionally less well studied. Methane is a potent greenhouse gas, with a global

warming potential of 34 CO_2 equivalents over 100 years (Stocker et al., 2013) and the removal

of most methane from the drinking water via aeration causes methane emissions to the

atmosphere, contributing to global warming and climate change (Maksimavičius and Roslev,

2020).

Like in many European countries, groundwater is the primary source (65%) for drinking water

production in the Netherlands (Dutch Drinking Water Statistics 2017). In this study, we used

genome-resolved metagenomics and gene-centric approaches to analyze microbial

communities in a Dutch DWTP, with a special focus on ammonia- and methane-oxidizing

microorganisms. The groundwater entering this DWTP contains elevated concentrations of

organic (CH_4, 5.2 mg/L) and inorganic (NH_4^+, 0.66 mg/L; Fe^{2+}, 8.4 mg/L; Mn^{2+}, 0.18 mg/L)

nutrients, which are removed in two sequential rapid sand filters. Thus, this DWTP represents

an interesting model system to study microbial communities involved in the conversion and

removal of these compounds. Metagenomic analysis of samples taken at different stages within

this DWTP revealed the key microorganisms involved in ammonia- and methane-oxidation,

including novel methanotrophic bacteria from the *Methylophilaceae* family*,* which were

assumed previously to comprise only methylotrophic bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and trace element analysis

Samples were obtained from the pumping station Breehei, a drinking water treatment facility

located in Venray, the Netherlands (51°28'54.6"N; 5°59'10.2"E), operated by NV Waterleiding

Maatschappij Limburg. Drinking water is produced from groundwater. Samples from the

active layers of primary and secondary rapid sand filters (P-RSF and S-RSF) were collected in

50 ml sterile falcon tubes in June 2016 and September 2018. Samples from the biofilm formed

on the walls of the primary sand filter (WB) were taken in June 2016, May 2017 and September

2018 (Figure 1). All samples were transferred to the laboratory within 4 h, and were stored at

4°C for further analysis. Water quality parameters were determined by Aqualab Zuid

(https://www.aqualab.nl) over the period 2000-2016 (Table 1).

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of DWTP Breehei. Sampling locations are indicated by colored dots,

sampling timepoints (in years only) for each location are indicated.

Table 1. Average water quality parameters of the incoming groundwater and at different stages along

the treatment train.

Unit (mg L^{-1})	Ground-water	After aeration	P-RSF effluent	S-RSF effluent	Drinking water	WML standard[a]
Methane (CH$_4$)	5.2	1.2	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.5
Ammonium (NH$_4^+$)	0.66	0.65	0.0145	0.039	0.039	0.1
Nitrite (NO$_2^-$)	0.0046	*	0.063	0.0082	0.0041	0.05
Nitrate (NO$_3^-$)	0.13	*	*	*	1.64	40
Oxygen (O$_2$)	0.4	9.1	5.0	10.2	10.2	-
Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$)	42.38	*	18	6.16	6.95	-
Iron (Fe)	8.4	*	0.11	0.053	0.043	0.1
Manganese (Mn)	0.18	*	0.022	0.0079	0.0052	0.025

* Not applicable or were not analyzed

- No standards

[a]Defined by Waterleiding Maatschappij Limburg

Methane uptake

Methane-oxidizing capacity was determined for the P-RSF and WB samples collected in 2016.

For the P-RSF, 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 g of sand material were mixed with 20 ml top water. After

settling of the sand, overlaying water samples (20 ml) were transferred into 120 ml serum

bottles with ±14.5 mg/L CH_4 in the headspace and incubated at room temperature in a shaking

incubator (200 rpm). For the WB sample, the incubations were conducted in triplicates using

1 and 2.5 g of biomass. CH_4 concentrations were determined by sampling 300 µL headspace,

which were injected in triplicates into a HP 5890 gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Palo

Alto, CA).

DNA extractions

DNA from samples for Illumina sequencing was extracted using two different methods to

obtain differential abundance information. DNA from 2016 samples was extracted with

DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen Ltd., West Sussex, United Kingdom) and PowerSoil DNA

Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Samples (0.5 g) were mechanically

disrupted using a TissueLyser (Qiagen) for 2 x 30 seconds at 30 Hz, followed by DNA

extractions according to the manufacturer's instructions. For the extraction of DNA from

samples collected in 2017 and 2018, the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit was replaced by

ammonium acetate (Kowalchuk et al., 2004) and CTAB (Zhou et al., 1996) based extraction

methods, respectively.

For long read Nanopore sequencing, DNA was extracted from P-RSF samples collected in

2016 and 2018 using the CTAB-based extraction method. To avoid shearing of genomic DNA,

all bead-beating and vortexing steps were replaced by carefully inverting the tubes several

times, and all the pipetting steps were performed using cut-off pipette tips. Genomic DNA was

purified twice by phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) phase extraction (Zhou et al.,

1996). Extracted DNA was resuspended in nuclease-free water and stored at 4°C.

Illumina library preparation and sequencing

For Illumina library preparation, the Nextera XT kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) was used

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Enzymatic tagmentation was performed using 1

ng of DNA per sample, followed by incorporation of the indexed adapters and library

amplification. After subsequent purification using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter,

Indianapolis, IN, USA), libraries were checked for quality and size distribution using the 2100

Bioanalyzer with the High Sensitivity DNA kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Library

quantitation was performed by Qubit using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After dilution to 4 nM final concentration, the libraries were

pooled, denatured, and sequenced on an Illumina Miseq. Paired-end sequencing of 2 x 300

base pairs was performed using the Illumina MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 according to the

manufacturer's protocol.

Nanopore library preparation and sequencing

For Nanopore library preparation, 1 - 1.5 µg of DNA, measured by Qubit with the Qubit

dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), was used. The input

DNA was quality-checked by agarose gel electrophoresis to contain only high molecular DNA

and show no degradation. For sequencing, DNA Library construction was performed using the

Ligation Sequencing Kit 1D (SQK-LSK108) in combination with the Native Barcoding

Expansion Kit (EXP-NBD103 or EXP-NBD104) according to the manufacturer's protocol

(Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK). Fragments were end-repaired using the

NEBNext[®] FFPE DNA Repair Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), with

subsequent fragment purification using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences,

Indianapolis, IN, USA). End repair and dA-tailing was done using the NEBNext[®] Ultra™ II

End Repair/dA-Tailing Module (New England Biolabs) followed by a cleanup of the fragments

using AMPure XP beads. Selected barcodes for each sample were ligated using the Blunt/TA

Ligase Master Mix (New England Biolabs) and the resulting fragments were purified using

AMPure XP beads. The DNA concentration of all libraries was measured by Qubit using the

dsDNA HS Assay Kit and pooled to a maximum of 700 ng DNA. Subsequently, adapters were

ligated using the NEBNext® Quick Ligation Module (New England Biolabs). After purification

using AMPure XP beads, the pooled libraries were quantified again using Qubit. The libraries

were loaded on a Flow Cell (R9.4.1) and run on a MinION device (Oxford Nanopore

Technologies, Oxford, UK), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Base calling after

sequencing was done using Albacore v2.1.10, (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) for the 2016

and guppy_basecaller in combination with guppy_barcoder (Oxford Nanopore Technologies,

Limited Version 2.3.7+e041753) for the 2018 sample.

Assembly

Raw Illumina sequence data were processed for quality-trimming, adapter removal, and

contamination-filtering, using BBDUK (BBTOOLS v37.17; http://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-

tools/bbtools/bb-tools-user-guide/bbduk-guide/). All sequencing reads from the same sampling

location were co-assembled using metaSPAdes v3.10.1 (Nurk et al., 2017) with the following

parameters: k-mer sizes 21, 33, 55, 77, 99 and 127, minimum contig length 1500 bp. Raw

Nanopore reads were quality trimmed using Filtlong v0.2.0

(https://github.com/rrwick/Filtlong) with minimum read length 1000 bp and error rate <20%.

Porechop v0.2.4 (https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop) was used to remove adapters and split

chimeric reads with default settings. Trimmed reads were assembled using Canu v1.8 (Koren

et al., 2017) with minimum read length 1000, corrected read error rate 0.105, and genome size

5m. To correct error rates, the trimmed Nanopore reads were mapped to the assembly using

Minimap2 v2.16-r922 (Li, 2018), which then was polished with Racon v1.3.1 (Vaser et al.,

2017). Subsequently, Racon v1.3.1 was used to further polish the assembly twice with Illumina

reads obtained from the 2018 P-RSF sample.

Metagenome binning

Differential coverage information was determined by separately mapping the sequencing reads

from each sample and DNA extraction method against the obtained co-assemblies, using

Burrows-Wheeler Aligner v0.7.15 (BWA) (Li and Durbin, 2010) and employing the "mem"

algorithm. For Canu assembly, only the Illumina reads from P-RSF 2018 samples were

mapped. The generated sequence alignment map (SAM) files were converted to binary format

(BAM) using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009). Metagenome binning was performed using anvi′o

v5.3 (Eren et al., 2015). Anvi′o′s Snakemake-based (Koster and Rahmann, 2012) contigs

workflow was used to generate contig databases from each Illumina and Nanopore

assembly. Shortly, anvi′o employs Prodigal v2.6.3 (Hyatt, 2010) to identify open reading

frames (ORFs) and HMMER v3.2 (Eddy, 2011) to identify archaeal (Rinke et al., 2013) and

bacterial (Campbell et al., 2013) single-copy core genes. The Cluster of Orthologous Groups

of proteins (COG) database (Tatusov et al., 1997) together with Centrifuge v1.0.3-beta (Kim

et al., 2016) was used to annotate genes in the contig databases. Each BAM file was profiled

to obtain differential coverage and statistical information based on mapping results and to

generate merged profile databases. The contigs were then automatically clustered with

CONCOCT (Alneberg et al., 2014), followed by manual binning and bin refinement using

the anvi′o interactive interface (Delmont and Eren, 2016; Eren et al., 2015). Completeness

and contamination (referred to as redundancy in this study) of bins was assessed by CheckM

v1.01.11 (Parks et al., 2015), which uses pplacer v1.1 alpha 19 (Matsen et al., 2010) to

identify and quantify single-copy marker genes. Based on the suggested standards (Bowers

et al., 2017), the bins were defined as high-quality (>90% complete and <5% redundancy,

complete small subunit rRNA operon, ≥18 tRNAs) and medium-quality (≥70% complete

and <10% redundancy) metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs).

Dereplication and taxonomic classification of MAGs

MAGs were dereplicated using dRep v2.2.3 (Olm et al., 2017) at 99% average nucleotide

identity (ANI) for clustering. Within each cluster, the best MAG was selected based on

completeness (≥70%), redundancy (<10%), N50 of contigs, and fragmentation. GTDB-Tk

v0.3.2 (Parks et al., 2018) was used for taxonomic assignment of the final MAGs. Phyla are

named according to the recently suggested nomenclature (Whitman et al., 2018) using

standardized phylum suffix -ota.

Abundance estimation of MAGs

To calculate the relative abundance of the dereplicated MAGs in each sample, reads from

all samples were individually mapped to each co-assembly using BWA v0.7.15 (Li and

Durbin, 2010) as described above. The coverage of each MAG was calculated using CheckM

v1.01.11 (minimum alignment length 0.95) (Parks et al., 2015) and was normalized by

multiplying this coverage with a normalization factor (sequencing depth of the largest

sample divided by the sequencing depth of each individual sample). The distribution of

MAGs was calculated as percentages by dividing a MAG's coverage in each sample by the

total coverage of the respective MAG in all samples.

Functional analysis

For the gene-centric approach, co-assembly across all samples was performed using

MEGAHIT v1.1.1-2 (Li et al., 2015). Open reading frames (ORFs) in the MAGs obtained

above and the new co-assembly were predicted using Prodigal v2.6.3 (Hyatt, 2010), which was

set to include partial ORFs. Custom-build hidden Markov models (HMMs) (Eddy, 2011) of

specific marker proteins were used (Supplementary material and methods) to annotate all ORFs

using hmmsearch (HMMER v3.1b2; http://hmmer.org). The HMM for RNA polymerase

subunit beta (RpoB) was downloaded from FunGene (Fish et al., 2013). Remaining ORFs in

the MAGs were annotated using Prokka v1.12-beta (Seemann, 2014). The annotations of all

genes discussed in this study were confirmed by BLAST against the TrEMBL, Swiss-Prot and

NCBI nr databases. Subcellular localization of the proteins was predicted by SignalP 5.0

(Armenteros et al., 2019) and TMHMM 2.0 (Krogh et al., 2001).

Functional gene-based abundances of ammonia- and methane-oxidizing microorganisms were

estimated using competitive metagenomic read recruitment to ensure unique mapping. For this,

reads from each metagenomic sample were mapped using bowtie2 v2.3.1 (Langmead and

Salzberg, 2012) in '-very-sensitive' mode against extracted partial and complete sequences of

rpoB and the ammonia as well as the particulate and soluble methane monooxygenase subunit

A/alpha genes (*amoA*, *pmoA* and *mmoX*, respectively). SAMtools flagstat v1.6 (Li et al., 2009)

was used to obtain the number of mapped reads. Reads per kilo base per million mapped reads

(RPKM)-values were used to correct for differences in sequencing depth and gene length. To

estimate the relative abundance of microorganisms encoding ammonia and methane

monooxygenases in each sample, the normalized read counts were calculated as fraction of

the normalized read counts of the identified *rpoB* genes.

Phylogenomic and phylogenetic analyses

The up-to-date bacterial core gene (UBCG) pipeline (Na et al., 2018) with default parameters

was used to extract and concatenate bacterial core gene sets. To infer the phylogeny of the

archaeal   MAGs,   the   anvi'o   phylogenomic   workflow

(http://merenlab.org/2017/06/07/phylogenomics)   was   used   to   individually   align   and

concatenate 162 archaeal single-copy genes. Maximum-likelihood trees were calculated using

RAxML version 8.2.10 (Stamatakis, 2014) on the CIPRES science gateway (Miller et al.,

2010). For details, see Supplementary Methods. Amino acid sequences of type II DMSO

reductase-family enzymes, and of ammonia and methane monooxygenases were aligned using

ARB v5.5 (Ludwig et al., 2004). The maximum-likelihood trees were calculated using RAxML

HPC-HYBRID v.8.2.12 on the CIPRES and IQ-tree webserver (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016) as

described in Supplementary Methods. All phylogenetic trees were visualized in iTOL (Letunic

and Bork, 2016).

Data visualization

Manuscript figures were generated using ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016), Rstudio (Racine, 2012)

and the anvi′o interactive interface (http://merenlab.org/2016/02/27/the-anvio-interactive-

interface).

Results

DWTP performance

The produced water quality analyses (Table 1) showed that methane, ammonium, nitrite and

nitrate were well below the required quality standards. Most of the methane in the raw water

was removed during the aeration step and the remainder was oxidized in the P-RSF.

Pronounced ammonia oxidation in P-RSF resulted in nitrite accumulation in the effluent of this

filter, which was subsequently removed in the S-RSF. Similarly, the Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} removal

efficiency of the system was very high (>99%). In addition, we determined methane uptake

rates in the P-RSF and WB samples. Complete methane oxidation was achieved in all samples

within 5 to 8 days of incubation, except for the control containing only raw water (Figure S1).

Methane consumption in P-RSF samples increased with an increasing amount of sand material,

whereas no significant difference in methane uptake was detected between the incubations with

1 and 2.5 g of WB biomass (Figure S1). The identical oxidation rates in the incubations with

WB biomass seem counterintuitive, but may be caused by sample inhomogeneity and uneven

distribution of methanotrophic bacteria within the biofilm.

Recovery of metagenome-assembled genomes

Over the period 2016 to 2018 a total of 7 samples from DWTP Breehei were collected (Figure

1) and sequenced. This resulted in a total of 125 million paired-end Illumina sequencing reads.

For each sample location more than 70% of the respective reads could be co-assembled into

~413, 184, and 249 Mb sequencing data for WB, P-RSF, and S-RSF, respectively (Table S1).

In addition, P-RSF samples were also sequenced using the Oxford Nanopore long-read

platform to improve the assembly of the most abundant microorganisms. Overall, binning of 4

individual metagenome assemblies based on sequence composition and differential coverage

patterns resulted in 78 near-complete Illumina and 7 Nanopore MAGs (Table S2). All MAGs

obtained from Illumina co-assemblies as well as the Nanopore assembly were dereplicated at

strain level (99% ANI), which yielded 50 medium and 6 high-quality (MIMAG standards;

Bowers et al., 2017) non-redundant MAGs (Table 2, Table S2) that were used for downstream

analyses. Given the high number of single nucleotide variants observed during bin refinement

using the anvi′o interactive interface, 15 MAGs were categorized as population-level genomes

(Table 2). All MAGs were classified at the lowest possible taxonomic level using GTDB-tk

(Parks et al., 2018), indicating affiliation with 1 archaeal and 12 different bacterial phyla

(Figure 2, Table 2).

Figure 2. Overview of the distribution, coverage and classification of 56 dereplicated medium- and high-quality MAGs recovered from the DWTP Breehei metagenomes. MAGs are organized based on their relative distribution in the DWTP sample locations using Euclidean distance and Ward linkage as implemented in the anvi'o interactive interface. The phylogenetic affiliation of the MAGs based on GTDB classification is indicated by colored boxes. The heatmap indicates the percent coverage of each MAG in a given metagenome. The bar plots show the total normalized coverage of each MAG per sampling site.

Table 2. General characteristics of MAGs recovered from DWTP Breehei metagenomes.

MAG ID[*]	Classification[b]	Complete-ness (%)[c]	Redun-dancy (%)[c]	Length (Mb)	N50	Number contigs	MIMAG[d] quality
P-RSF-IL-03	g_Methylotenera	99.57	0.43	2.48	204,148	20	Medium
P-RSF-IL-04	o_Bacteroidales	95.70	1.09	4.38	46,595	154	Medium
P-RSF-IL-05	g_Methyloglobulus	99.25	0.82	3.87	67,388	99	Medium
P-RSF-IL-06	f_Kiritimatiellaceae	93.41	1.38	2.21	572,251	7	High
P-RSF-IL-07	g_Ferruginibacter	96.50	1.72	3.05	104,094	43	Medium

P-RSF-IL-11[a]	g_*Methylotenera*	98.18	0.96	2.48	30,410	142	Medium
P-RSF-IL-12	o_*Bacteroidales*	98.57	3.28	5.54	156,716	53	Medium
P-RSF-IL-13	f_*Methylomonadaceae*	95.12	1.33	3.91	14,718	373	Medium
P-RSF-IL-14[a]	g_*Methyloglobulus*	76.88	6.08	3.76	6,341	643	Medium
P-RSF-IL-15[a]	g_*Novosphingobium*	90.61	0.92	2.43	12,194	277	Medium
P-RSF-IL-16	g_*Gallionella*	85.00	2.45	2.00	12,610	203	Medium
P-RSF-IL-17	f_*Polyangiaceae*	85.52	6.71	9.19	8,612	1283	Medium
P-RSF-IL-18	g_*Sideroxydans*	97.36	5.02	2.47	19,722	202	Medium
P-RSF-IL-20	g_*Nitrospira*	90.17	3.45	3.85	9,698	498	Medium
P-RSF-IL-21	f_*Hyphomicrobiaceae*	83.07	1.45	3.92	8,625	562	Medium
P-RSF-IL-22[a]	g_*Nitrospira*	74.42	1.95	2.60	5,252	519	Medium
P-RSF-IL-23[a]	g_*Gallionella*	73.19	5.24	1.91	6,356	338	Medium
P-RSF-IL-24	f_*Methylomonadaceae*	91.70	2.96	3.55	13,253	354	Medium
P-RSF-NP-01	g_*Lysobacter*	99.95	1.45	4.15	4,155,120	1	High
P-RSF-NP-02[a]	f_*Methylophilaceae*	97.86	1.71	3.20	2,013,186	4	High
P-RSF-NP-03	g_*Ferruginibacter*	94.09	0.00	5.44	2,880,874	5	Medium
P-RSF-NP-04[a]	g_*Methylotenera*	91.45	0.88	2.48	542,553	11	High
P-RSF-NP-05	f_*Bacteriovoracaceae*	90.62	1.84	3.58	236,271	21	High
P-RSF-NP-06	f_*Cyclobacteriaceae*	98.36	0.55	4.64	3,263,186	2	Medium
P-RSF-NP-07[a]	g_*Hyphomicrobium*	94.04	2.42	3.94	80,300	69	Medium
S-RSF-IL-01	f_*Chitinophagaceae*	98.03	0.25	3.85	72,704	83	Medium
S-RSF-IL-02	f_*Saprospiraceae*	98.77	0.64	3.97	34,568	196	Medium
S-RSF-IL-03	p_*Eisenbacteria*	96.15	1.10	3.80	25,445	215	High
S-RSF-IL-04	c_*Alphaproteobacteria*	94.77	2.33	4.03	18,338	319	Medium
S-RSF-IL-05	o_*Luteitaleales*	75.98	0.85	3.27	6,665	554	Medium
S-RSF-IL-06	p_*Planctomycetota*	81.72	4.15	4.12	6,813	693	Medium
S-RSF-IL-07	o_*Luteitaleales*	94.25	4.27	4.86	35,344	183	Medium
S-RSF-IL-10	o_*Pyrinomonadales*	74.62	1.28	3.49	7,085	579	Medium
S-RSF-IL-11	c_*Deltaproteobacteria*	84.08	3.36	5.77	9,024	795	Medium
S-RSF-IL-17	o_*Pyrinomonadales*	70.36	3.42	6.08	5,250	1235	Medium
WB-IL-01	g_*Sulfuriferula*	97.63	0.24	2.17	89,907	40	Medium
WB-IL-03	g_*Methylotenera*	98.72	2.14	2.61	26,003	159	Medium
WB-IL-04	f_*Nitrospiraceae*	96.76	3.18	3.58	54,739	105	Medium
WB-IL-06	f_*Methylomonadaceae*	99.88	0.45	4.27	93,669	82	Medium
WB-IL-08	g_*Sideroxydans*	98.57	2.30	2.50	25,161	145	Medium
WB-IL-09[a]	g_*Methylotenera*	88.16	1.96	2.16	16,133	197	Medium
WB-IL-10[a]	g_*Methylotenera*	90.61	1.71	2.11	17,763	172	Medium
WB-IL-11[a]	o_*Anaerolineales*	90.91	0.91	3.93	42,863	132	Medium
WB-IL-12	o_*Gemmatimonadales*	93.41	2.75	3.81	15,101	349	Medium
WB-IL-13	o_*Phycisphaerales*	94.89	2.27	5.04	34,488	268	Medium
WB-IL-14	f_*Cyclobacteriaceae*	93.03	1.74	4.77	12,449	498	Medium
WB-IL-15	c_*Ignavibacteria*	89.05	1.38	3.73	10,944	428	Medium
WB-IL-16[a]	g_*Nitrospira*	88.52	5.00	3.54	11,765	422	Medium
WB-IL-17	f_*Pedosphaeraceae*	95.83	6.76	5.57	27,453	284	Medium
WB-IL-18	f_*Peribacteraceae*	77.59	2.42	1.51	15,391	130	Medium
WB-IL-19	f_*Methylomonadaceae*	85.23	4.06	3.60	8,377	507	Medium
WB-IL-20[a]	g_*Nitrospira*	92.44	2.32	3.78	41,484	143	Medium
WB-IL-21	g_*Methyloglobulus*	83.76	5.07	3.38	6,990	545	Medium
WB-IL-22[a]	g_*Nitrosoarchaeum*	84.63	3.64	1.21	6,275	213	Medium
WB-IL-23[a]	c_*Gracilibacteria*	85.39	1.12	1.36	116,994	25	Medium
WB-IL-24	f_*Cyclobacteriaceae*	76.45	2.08	3.03	6,398	532	Medium

* ID indicates from which co-assembly (assembly) the MAGs were recovered. IL – Illumina co-assemblies, NP – Nanopore

assembly

[a]Population level genome

[b]Based on GTDB classification (Parks et al., 2018)

[c]Based on lineage-specific marker sets determined with CheckM (Parks et al., 2015)

[d]Defined by (Bowers et al., 2017)

Distribution and taxonomic composition of the DWTP microbiome

The influence of sampling location, sampling time, and DNA extraction method on the

distribution of recovered MAGs was analyzed by hierarchical clustering using Euclidean

distance metrics with a Ward linkage algorithm, allowing grouping of the MAGs based on their

occurrence patterns in the different samples (Figure 2). Overall, the choice of a DNA extraction

method had no pronounced influence on the distribution of MAGs across samples, except for

the samples from P-RSF in 2016. In this specific sample, the normalized coverage values

indicated a strong extraction bias for DNA extracted using the Power soil (P-RSF16_PS)

compared to the Blood and Tissue kit (P-RSF16_BT; Table S2). Notably, this bias was not

observed for the 2016 S-RSF samples extracted with the same kits, or for any other DNA

extraction performed using the Power soil kit. It thus is difficult to conceive that the extraction

method was affecting specific members only in the 2016 P-RSF microbial community, and the

reason for the observed bias remains unclear. Overall, the sampling location had the most

substantial effect on microbial diversity and abundance. While some MAGs recovered from

WB and P-RSF were also present in S-RSF, the overall community of the S-RSF community

clearly differed from the other sampling locations (Figure 2). The microbial communities of

WB and P-RSF were generally more similar, but sampling location and time influenced the

relative abundance of MAGs across all samples.

To gain insights into the overall microbial community structure and diversity of the DWTP

Breehei, 16S rRNA gene sequences were retrieved directly from the metagenomic assemblies.

Both full-length and partial 16S rRNA gene sequences were used for further analyses, since

only 21 to 32% of 16S rRNA reads were assembled into full-length sequences (Figure S2).

Subsequently, microbial community composition was analyzed at the phylum and family levels

(Figure S3). Although changes in abundance were observed, phylum level classification

revealed no differences in microbial community composition between the sampling locations

(Figure S3-A). At the family level, the S-RSF microbial community was clearly distinct from

the WB and P-RSF community (Figure S3-B), corroborating the MAG co-occurrence pattern-

based observations when samples were organized using Euclidian distance and Ward

ordination (Figure 2).

16S rRNA gene-based taxonomic profiling identified 14 phyla with relative abundances ≥1%

(Figure S3A). *Gammaproteobacteria* (17-67%), *Bacteroidota* (5-17%)*, Acidobacteria* (2-

15%)*, Alphaproteobacteria* (4-12%)*, Planctomycetota* (2-10%) *"Ca.* Patescibacteria" (CPR;

1-7%), and *Nitrospirota* (2-6%) were the most dominant phyla identified in all samples (Figure

S3-A). In most cases, this 16S rRNA gene-based analysis corresponded well to the taxonomic

affiliation of the recovered MAGs. Additionally, one MAG belonging to the candidatus phylum

"*Ca.* Eisenbacteria" and one *Crenarchaeota* (*Thaumarchaeota*) MAG were obtained from WB

and S-RSF (Figure 1), but were of low abundance in the 16S rRNA datasets.

Genome functional profiling

Iron, manganese, reduced sulfur species, ammonium and methane are removed during the

drinking water treatment process. However, our understanding of the microbial and

geochemical processes contributing to removal of these compounds during sand filtration is

still limited. Although iron (Fe^{2+}) oxidation pathways in bacteria are not fully understood, it is

known that certain autotrophic bacteria are responsible for this process. Four *Gallionellaceae*

MAGs (2 *Gallionella,* 2 *Sideroxydans*) were present only in WB and P-RSF metagenomes

(Figure 2), indicating that complete iron removal may already occur in P-RSF. The potential

to use reduced sulfur compounds as electron donor was encoded in *Hyphomicrobiaceae* (P-

RSF-IL-21) and *Sulfuriferula* (WB-IL-01) MAGs (Table S3). To learn more about the

microorganisms driving the removal of methane and ammonium in this rapid sand filtration

system, a genome-resolved metagenomics approach was applied to recover high-quality

genomes of the key ammonia- and methane-oxidizing microorganisms. Subsequently, all

 recovered MAGs were screened for functional marker proteins involved in nitrification, and

 methane and other one-carbon (C1) compound oxidation (Figure 3, Table S3) using custom-

 build HMM models.

Figure 3. Metabolic potential of the 56 MAGs recovered from DWTP Breehei **A.** Phylogenetic tree based on the concatenated alignment of 49 ribosomal proteins (Table S3) using the anviʹo phylogenomics workflow. Presence or absence of genes for ammonia, nitrite, methane, and C1 utilization are indicated by filled or shaded colored boxes, respectively. Grey bars represent estimated genome completeness. The figure was generated using the anviʹo interactive interface. **B.** Schematic

pathway models for complete nitrification, and methane and C1 oxidation, based on enzyme complexes

identified in the metagenome. ALDH, aldehyde dehydrogenase; AMO, ammonia monooxygenase;

COX, cytochrome *c* oxidase; FDH, formate dehydrogenase; FolD, methylenetetrahydrofolate

dehydrogenase/cyclohydrolase; GSH, glutathione-linked formaldehyde oxidation; HAO,

hydroxylamine dehydrogenase; H4MPT, tetrahydromethanopterin; HURM, hydroxylamine-

ubiquinone redox module; pMMO, particulate methane monooxygenase; sMMO, soluble methane

monooxygenase; MDH, lanthanide and calcium-dependent methanol dehydrogenases; NAR, nitrate

reductase; NXR, nitrite oxidoreductase.

Ammonia and nitrite oxidation

16S rRNA gene sequence analysis revealed the presence of nitrifying bacteria affiliated with

the families *Nitrospiraceae* and *Nitrosomonadaceae* in the DWTP Breehei. *Nitrospiraceae*

dominated the nitrifying microbial community in all samples, but their abundance patterns

differed along the different sampling locations, with the lowest abundance in P-RSF. In

contrast, canonical ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) affiliated with the *Nitrosomonaceae*

showed very low abundance in all samples (Figure S3). Metagenomic consensus binning

allowed the recovery of five *Nitrospira* MAGs from WB and P-RSF and one MAG of an

ammonia-oxidizing archaeum (AOA) affiliated with the genus *Nitrosoarchaeum*. Despite the

detection of *Nitrosomonadaceae* in WB and S-RSF samples in 16S rRNA-based analyses, no

high or medium-quality metagenomic bin of this taxonomic group was recovered.

All MAGs were screened for key genes of autotrophic nitrification, including the gene sets

encoding ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) and nitrite oxidoreductase (NXR). The AMO

complex catalyzes the oxidation of ammonia to hydroxylamine (Figure 3B) and belongs to the

family of copper-containing membrane monooxygenases (CuMMOs or XMO) (Khadka et al.,

2018). Based on the phylogeny of AMO subunit A (AmoA), comammox *Nitrospira* form two

monophyletic clades referred to as clade A and B (Daims et al., 2015). AmoA is often used as

a functional and phylogenetic marker for ammonia-oxidizing microorganisms (Junier et al.,

2008; Pester et al., 2012; Pjevac et al., 2017) and thus AmoA was used to examine the full

ammonia oxidation potential in the DWTP Breehei. Phylogenetic analysis placed our

metagenome-derived AmoA sequences into five divergent groups (Figure 4A).

Figure 4. Diversity and abundance of ammonia and methane-oxidizing microorganisms in DWTP
Breehei. (**A**) Phylogenetic tree of CuMMO subunit A proteins recovered from the DWTP metagenomes
by gene-centric and genome-resolved approaches. Numbers in brackets indicate sequences per
metagenome, number of recovered MAGs, and references datasets for each clade, respectively. Amo,
ammonia monooxygenase; Emo, ethane monooxygenase; Pmo/Pxm, particulate methane
monooxygenase. Bootstrap support values ≥90% are indicated by black dots; the scale bar indicates
estimated amino acid substitutions. Normalized abundances of (**B**) ammonia and (**C**) methane oxidizers
are shown as proportion of the recovered ammonia and methane monooxygenases, respectively, to the
normalized *rpoB* abundance. The *mmoX* gene was used to calculate the abundance of sMMO-containing
methanotrophs; most *mmoX* reads were recruited by MAG P-RSF-NP-02 (70-100%). As most available
high-quality betaproteobacterial AOB genomes (n=17, comp. ≥90%, number of contigs <5) contain
between 2 and 3 *amoA* copies, and MAG P-RSF-NP-02 contained 4 *mmoX* copies, abundances of both
genes were normalized for gene copy numbers. Sample labels correspond to Figure 2.

Of the five *Nitrospira* MAGs recovered in this study, one (P-RSF-IL-20) contained *amoA*

genes affiliated with clade A, and two (WB-IL-04, WB-IL-16) with clade B (Figure 3A, Table

S3). In protein-based phylogenetic analyses, most of the clade A and B comammox AmoA

sequences most closely clustered with sequences derived from DWTP metagenomes (Figure

S4). Clade A comammox *amoA* genes were detected in all samples from the DWTP Breehei

and were the most abundant *amoA* type in P-RSF (0.1-3.6%). In general, ammonia oxidizers

were more abundant in 2016 samples than in 2018 (Figure 4B). The clade A comammox

Nitrospira MAG (P-RSF-IL-20) had the highest coverage in the 2016 P-RSF sample extracted

with the Blood & Tissue Kit, while this coverage decreased drastically with another DNA

extraction method, indicating a strong extraction bias as discussed above (Table S2).

Consequently, the average coverage of this MAG was higher in S-RSF than in P-RSF. In

contrast, clade B comammox *amoA* recruited the largest number of reads in WB (0.8-5.9%)

and S-RSF samples (1.7-4.1%; Figure 6A), but none of the two clade B-affiliated MAGs was

detected in S-RSF, suggesting that not all clade B comammox *Nitrospira* genomes were

recovered. The low abundance of clade B comammox *amoA* in P-RSF (Figure 4B) indicates

an adaptation of clade B comammox *Nitrospira* to specific niches. In addition to complete

nitrifiers, the genus *Nitrospira* also contains canonical nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) (Daims

et al., 2016). Both canonical as well as comammox *Nitrospira* employ the NXR complex for

the oxidation of nitrite to nitrate (Figure 3B). Except for one MAG (P-RSF-IL-22), all

Nitrospira MAGs contained a *nxrAB* gene cluster encoding for the alpha and beta subunit of

the NXR complex (Figure 3A, Table S3). MAG P-RSF-IL-22 was of medium quality (74.4%

estimated completeness; Table 2) and did not contain any of the genes required for nitrification

(Table S3). Consistent with previous studies (Palomo et al., 2019; Poghosyan et al., 2019),

phylogenomic analysis using a concatenated alignment of 91 single-copy core genes showed

that clade A and B comammox *Nitrospira* formed monophyletic clades within *Nitrospira*

lineage II, and all *amoA*-containing MAGs were correctly affiliated with their respective

comammox clade (Figure 5A).

Environmental source

- 🟢 Drinking water treatment plants
- 🔵 Marine environment
- 🟢 Soil
- 🔴 Wastewater treatment plants
- 🟣 Freshwater lake
- 🟡 Other terrestrial environments
- 🟤 Other engineered systems
- 🟣 Groundwater
- ⚪ Unknown

Figure 5. Maximum-likelihood phylogenomic analysis of **(A)** *Nitrospiraceae* based on 91 bacterial single-copy core genes and **(B)** *Nitrosopumilaceae* based on 162 archaeal proteins. DWTP genomes obtained in this study are shown in red. Bootstrap support values ≥95% are indicated by black dots; the scale bars indicate estimated nucleotide **(A)** and amino acid **(B)** substitutions. The positions of the outgroups are indicated by arrows. Environmental origins of the respective genomes are represented by colored circles. Genome names correspond to NCBI RefSeq entries, while in **(B)** classifications at higher taxonomic levels (gray shadings) are according to the GTDB database (Table S4). In **(A)** *Nitrospira* sublineages are delineated by black bars, the comammox clades are highlighted by turquoise shadings.

One MAG (WB-IL-22) affiliated with the genus *Nitrosoarchaeum* (AOA; Figure 5B) was retrieved from the WB metagenome; however, it lacked an *amoA* sequence. Since a contig (<1200 bp) containing a *Nitrosoarchaeum*-like *amoA* was identified in the metagenome, the gene most likely is missing from MAG WB-IL-22 due to the size cutoff used during binning (1500 bp). Consistent with gene-based analyses (0.1-1.7%; Figure 4B) the *Nitrosoarchaeum* MAG was found solely in WB, where it accounted for merely ~0.2% of all the assembled reads

in WB metagenomes (Figure 2, Table S2). The recovered archaeal AmoA sequences had high

similarities to *Nitrosarchaeum koreense* and sequences derived from metagenomic analyses of

diverse habitats (Figure S4). Betaproteobacterial *amoA* sequences of members of the genera

Nitrosomonas and *Nitrosospira* were identified in all samples, but were of low abundance. In

addition to the characterized ammonia-oxidizing clades, recently the unclassified

Gammaproteobacteria "MBAE14" genome was found to contain putative AMO genes (Mori

et al., 2019). From the DWTP Breehei metagenomes, three putative AmoA sequences clustered

in this novel sequence group despite low similarities to the putative enzyme of MBAE14

(Figure 4A, S4).

The NXR belongs to the type II dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) reductase enzyme family (Lücker

et al., 2010; Meincke et al., 1992), which also contains respiratory nitrate reductases (NARs)

(Simon and Klotz, 2013). Consequently, many HMM profiling approaches fail to differentiate

the two homologous groups. Therefore, a phylogenetic tree was constructed to classify the

catalytic alpha subunits (NxrA/NarG) identified in the DWTP metagenomes (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Phylogenetic analysis of NxrA/NarG sequences and related proteins. Numbers in brackets
indicate sequences per from DWTP Breehei metagenome, recovered MAGs and reference sequences
used for each group. Clr, chlorate reductase; Ddh, dimethylsulfide dehydrogenase; Ebd, ethylbenzene
dehydrogenase; Nar, nitrate reductases; Nxr, nitrite oxidoreductase; Pcr, perchlorate reductase; Ser,
selenate reductase. Bootstrap support values ≥99% are indicated by black dots; the scale bar indicates
estimated amino acid substitutions.

Besides the *Nitrospira* genomes, six additional MAGs contained NXR/NAR gene clusters
(Figure 3A). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the sequences derived from
alphaproteobacterial MAGs (P-RSF-IL-15, P-RSF-IL-21, S-RSF-IL-04) were closely affiliated
with NarG sequences of known nitrate reducers (Figure S5). The sequences from a MAG
affiliated with the *Pedosphaeraceae* (WB-IL-17) and two *Planctomycetota* MAGs (WB-IL-
13, S-RSF-IL-06) shared low similarities with known NXRs, but clustered with *Nitrospira,*
Nitrospina and anammox bacteria (Figure S5). While the catalytic NxrA subunit of WB-IL-13
contains one Fe-S domain typical for DMSO reductase family molybdoproteins (Lücker et al.,
2010), this binding motif for Fe-S clusters is absent from the WB-IL-17 and S-RSF-IL-04
NXR-like proteins. Similar to other periplasmic NXRs (Lücker et al., 2013; Lücker et al., 2010;
Spieck et al., 1998), these putative NXRs contain N-terminal twin-arginine motifs for protein
translocation into the periplasmic space. Putative genes encoding the NXR gamma subunit
(*nxrC*) were found to be co-localized with *nxrAB* in the WB-IL-13 and WB-IL-17 MAGs.
Similar to *Nitrospina gracilis* (Lücker et al., 2013) and "*Ca.* Nitrotoga fabula" (Kitzinger et
al., 2018), these putative NxrCs contain N-terminal signal peptides necessary for translocation
via the Sec pathway. The *Pedosphaeraceae* (WB-IL-17) and one of the *Planctomycetota*
MAGs that affiliated with the *Phycisphaerales* (WB-IL-13) were present in WB samples, but
of low abundance (Figure 2, Table S1). The second, unclassified *Planctomycetota* MAG (S-
RSF-IL-06) was detected only in S-RSF and accounted for 0.5-2% of all assembled reads in
the S-RSF metagenomes (Table S1). Additionally, some of the metagenome-derived

NXR/NAR sequences were placed into the clade containing the novel NXR type of "*Ca.*

Nitrotoga fabula" (Figure S4B) (Kitzinger et al., 2018).

Methane and one-carbon metabolism

Taxonomic profiling of the extracted 16S rRNA genes (Figure S3) identified

Gammaproteobacteria as the most dominant taxa in WB (40-55%) and P-RSF (61-67%)

samples. On the family level, *Methylomonadaceae* and *Methylophilaceae* formed the most

abundant groups in WB and P-RSF (Figure S3B). In total, 14 MAGs belonging to these two

phylogenetic groups were recovered, which were mainly present in WB and P-RSF samples

(Figure 2).

Phylogenomic analysis of the 14 *Methylomonadaceae* and *Methylophilaceae*-affiliated MAGs

using a concatenated alignment of 92 single-copy core genes showed that three of the seven

Methylomonadaceae MAGs were affiliated with the genus *Methyloglobulus* (Figure 7A). Of

the remaining MAGs, two (P-RSF-IL-13 and WB-IL-06) were distantly related to

Methylobacter and one (WB-IL-19) to *Crenothrix*, while P-RSF-IL-24 clustered separately

from the known genera within this family (Figure 7A). Six out of seven *Methylophilaceae*

MAGs were affiliated with the genus *Methylotenera,* whereas P-RSF-NP-02 formed a separate

branch within the *Methylophilaceae* family (Figure 7B).

Environmental source

- Freshwater lake
- Soil
- Marine environment
- Rice paddy field
- Wastewater treatment plants
- Drinking water treatment plants
- Other terrestrial environments
- Groundwater
- Saline environments
- Leaf
- Mine drainage wastewater
- Unknown

Figure 7. Maximum-likelihood phylogenomic analysis of **(A)** *Methylomonadaceae* and **(B)** *Methylophilaceae* based on 92 bacterial single-copy core genes. MAGs from this study are shown in red. Bootstrap support values ≥95% indicated by black dots; the scale bars indicate estimated nucleotide substitutions. The positions of the outgroups are indicated by arrows. Environmental origins of the respective genomes are represented by colored circles. Genome names correspond to NCBI RefSeq entries, while classifications at higher taxonomic levels are based on GTDB classification (Table S4).

In P-RSF and WB metagenomes, the relative coverage of the *Methylophilaceae* MAGs was much higher than for the *Methylomonadaceae* MAGs (Figure 2, Table S1). These results are consistent with 16S rRNA gene profiling, where *Methylophilaceae* constituted the most abundant family in WB (6-27%) and P-RSF (28-47%) samples and disappeared in S-RSF samples (Figure S3). Particularly, the MAG P-RSF-NP-02 had extremely high coverage in WB (1.6-179×) and P-RSF (27-744×) metagenomes (Table S1). Based on 16S rRNA gene similarity, "*Ca.* Methylosemipumilus turicensis" MMS-10A-171 (Salcher et al., 2019) is the

closest described relative of P-RSF-NP-02 (95.7% sequence identity). This value is below the

similarity cutoff for species delineation (Stackebrandt and Ebers, 2006), which, in combination

with the distinct clustering in the phylogenomic analysis (Figure 7B), indicates that P-RSF-

NP-02 probably belongs to a novel genus within the family *Methylophilaceae*. The estimated

genomic average nucleotide identity value (ANI; 77.26%) also indicated a novel species

distinct from "*Ca.* M. turicensis". The Breehei DWTP metagenomes and the recovered MAGs

furthermore were screened for genes encoding the soluble (sMMO) or particulate (pMMO)

methane monooxygenases (Table S3). Notably, a complete operon encoding sMMO

(mmoX1X2YBZDC) was identified in the highly-covered *Methylophilaceae* MAG P-RSF-NP-

02 (Figure 3, Table S3). This was surprising as no previously described member of the family

Methylophilaceae contains any methane monooxygenase (Salcher et al., 2019). P-RSF-NP-02

also harbors two additional copies of the *mmoX* gene encoding the alpha subunit of the sMMO

(Table S3). The two orphan *mmoX* copies share high sequence identities on amino acid level

with the operonal *mmoX1* (99.8-100%), while *mmoX2* has ~97% identity to *mmoX1* and the

two orphan *mmoX*. A BLAST search against the NCBI RefSeq database identified that the

MmoX copies of P-RSF-NP-02 share 83-84% similarity with the *Methylomicrobium*

buryatense proteins (Kaluzhnaya et al., 2001). The abundance of methanotrophs in the total

community was estimated based on *pmoA, pxmA* and *mmoX* gene coverages (Figure 4C). The

sMMO-containing methanotrophs were present at high abundances in the 2018 WB (6-11%)

and P-RSF (2.5-56%) samples (Figure 4C), where MAG P-RSF-NP-02 also dominated the

total microbial communities (Figure 2). Other samples were dominated by pMMO containing

bacteria (Figure 4C.) The gene cluster encoding the structural pMMO subunits *(pmoCAB)* was

identified in all *Methylomonadaceae* genomes. Furthermore, five MAGs also harbor the highly

divergent *pxmABC* gene cluster encoding for pXMO (Figure 3) (Tavormina et al., 2011).

Phylogenetic analysis of the pMMO alpha subunits (PmoA/PxmA) revealed that all sequences

recovered in this study, including the metagenome-derived ones, were affiliated with

gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs (Figure S4). Besides pMMO, the three

Methylomonadaceae MAGs (WB-IL-19, P-RSF-IL-13, P-RSF-IL-24) also possess sMMO

(Figure 3, Table S3).

In both methanotrophs and non-methanotrophic methylotrophs, methanol oxidation to

formaldehyde is catalyzed by pyrroloquinoline quinone-dependent (PQQ) methanol

dehydrogenases (MDH) (Keltjens et al., 2014). In this study, the rare earth element-dependent

MDH (Ln-MDH) was detected in all *Methylomonadaceae and Methylophilaceae*, in one

Deltaproteobacteria (S-RSF-IL-11), and one *Hyphomicrobium* (P-RSF-NP-07) MAGs. In

contrast, the calcium-dependent MDH (Ca-MDH) was found only in some MAGs (Figure 3A,

Table S2). Thus, all analyzed methanotrophs and methylotrophs can oxidize methanol either

to formaldehyde by Ca-MDH, or directly to formate by Ln-MDH (Figure 3B) (Pol et al., 2014).

Four formaldehyde-oxidizing pathways were identified, including oxidation by single

aldehyde dehydrogenases, as well as via tetrahydromethanopterin (H_4MPT), tetrahydrofolate

(H_4F), or glutathione (GSH)-linked pathways (Figure 3). All the MAGs contained formate

dehydrogenases (FDHs), necessary for the oxidation of formate to carbon dioxide.

Discussion

DWTP microbiome

The DWTP Breehei microbiome was followed over a time period of three years and

characterized using genome-resolved and gene-centric metagenomic approaches. The

microbial community structure was studied for the filter material of two sequential rapid sand

filters and the biofilm formed on the walls of the primary sand filter (Figure 1). Similar to some

Danish DWTPs (Gülay et al., 2016), the location within the DWTP had a strong influence on

the microbial community composition. In total, 56 dereplicated near-complete MAGs were

recovered, comprising 23, 64, and 14% of the total assembled reads for WB, P-RSF, and S-

RSF respectively (Table S1). These MAGs expand our knowledge on the genomic inventory

of the main microorganisms involved in contaminant removal from groundwater to produce

drinking water. The assembly statistics also indicate that the obtained metagenomic

information especially for WB and S-RSF only covers a part of the diversity. In general, the

genome-centric approach is a powerful tool to analyze the functional potential of an

environmental sample based on recovered MAGs. However, due to low abundance or strain

diversity, it often is difficult to obtain good-quality genomes for many microorganisms

(Sczyrba et al., 2017). Thus, to examine full ammonia and methane oxidation potential, we

also used a gene-centric approach (see below).

In groundwater, iron exists in the ferrous [Fe(II)] and ferric [Fe(III)] state (Chapelle, 2001) and

its oxidation can cause severe operational problems during drinking water production,

including bad taste, discoloration, staining, deposition in distribution systems leading to

aftergrowth and incidences of high turbidity (Emerson and De Vet, 2015; Sharma et al., 2005).

Water quality monitoring at the DWTP Breehei over 16 years indicates 99% iron removal

efficiency (Table 1). Under oxic conditions and circumneutral pH, iron oxidation occurs both

chemically and biologically in these systems (Tekerlekopoulou et al., 2006). Biological iron

oxidation is mediated by chemolithoautotrophic microorganisms that obtain energy from

oxidizing ferrous iron (Emerson and De Vet, 2015). However, the absence of an universal

metabolic pathway for iron oxidation makes it challenging to identify iron-oxidizing bacteria

(Emerson and De Vet, 2015). Here, we obtained four MAGs classified as *Gallionella* and

Sideroxydans species (Figure 2), which are generally regarded as iron-oxidizing bacteria

(Bruun et al., 2010; de Vet et al., 2011; Druschel et al., 2008). These microorganisms were

found in WB and P-RSF (Figure 2), where the iron load was high (Table 1). It thus can be

assumed that *Gallionellaceae* members are the main drivers of biological iron oxidation in this

DWTP.

The presence of reduced sulfur compounds such as H_2S, methanethiol, and dimethyl sulfide in

anoxic groundwater adds yet another level of complexity to the system (Emerson and De Vet,

2015; Sercu et al., 2005). These compounds serve as electron donors for sulfur-oxidizing

microorganisms. For sulfide oxidation, sulfide:quinone oxidoreductases (SQR) as well as

flavocytochrome *c* sulfide dehydrogenases (FCC) were detected in many of the recovered

MAGs (Table S3). However, as sulfide reacts with cytochromes, hemeproteins, and other iron-

containing compounds, these enzymes are used for detoxification by many bacteria (Cherney

et al., 2010; Marcia et al., 2009; Shahak and Hauska, 2008; Zhang et al., 2013). The MAGs

WB-IL-01 and P-RSF-IL-21, classified as *Sulfuriferulla* and *Hyphomicrobium*, respectively,

contained the heterodisulfide reductase (HdrCBAHypHdrCB) and sulfur oxidation

(SoxXYZAB) enzyme complexes, as well as sulfite oxidase (SoeABC; Table S3). The Sox-

Hdr-Soe system is a novel pathway found in some chemolithoautotrophic sulfur-oxidizing

bacteria and is involved in volatile organosulfur and inorganic sulfur compound degradation

(Koch and Dahl, 2018; Watanabe et al., 2019), and also earlier studies provided evidence that

the Hdr complex is involved in sulfur oxidation (Boughanemi et al., 2016; Quatrini et al.,

2009). Furthermore, recently it has also been shown in *Hyphomicrobium* that the Hdr complex

is essential for oxidation of thiosulfate to sulfate (Koch and Dahl, 2018). The Sox-Hdr-Soe

system is found in many *Sulfuriferulla* genomes and SoeABC are suggested to be crucial in

sulfur oxidizers that lack SoxCD (Watanabe et al., 2019).

Some microbial groups, including *Chloroflexota, Acidobacteriota, Bacteroidota,* and

Myxococcota, which are originating from groundwater habitats (Griebler and Lueders, 2009;

Wegner et al., 2019) are also frequently identified in DWTPs (Albers et al., 2015; Gülay et al.,

2016; Palomo et al., 2016). However, we have limited knowledge about their potential role in

drinking water treatment. Four MAGs affiliated with *Acidobacteriota* were dominating the

microbial community in S-RSF (Figure 2, Figure S3). *Acidobacteriota* are often found in soil

under substrate-limited conditions (Hartmann et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2009; Navarrete et al.,

2015; Ward et al., 2009). As discussed by Palomo and colleagues (Palomo et al., 2016),

Acidobacteriota may be involved in carbon cycling in DWTPs. Members of the candidate

phyla radiation (CPR) (Brown et al., 2015; Hug et al., 2016) were found only in WB samples

(Figure S3). CPR members constitute a substantial fraction of the bacterial diversity (Castelle

et al., 2018) and are widely distributed in many environments, including groundwater (Brown

et al., 2015; Herrmann et al., 2019; Wegner et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2020) and DWTPs

(Bautista-de los Santos et al., 2016; Bruno et al., 2017). One MAG affiliated with "*Ca.*

Gracilibacteria" (WB-IL_23) was highly abundant in the WB2018 sample (1-4% of assembled

reads) and might have the ability to adapt and survive under low nutrient conditions (Wegner

et al., 2019). In groundwater, *Acidobacteriota* and CPR bacteria often co-occur with

autotrophic microorganisms (Herrmann et al., 2019). Similar to interactions of nitrifying and

heterotrophic bacteria in activated sludge (Okabe et al., 2005), the organic compounds

produced by autotrophic bacteria may serve as substrates for *Acidobacteriota* and "*Ca.*

Gracilibacteria" in DWTPs.

Ammonia and nitrite metabolism

The removal of ammonium and nitrite is a vital step in drinking water treatment. Although the

drinking water produced in DWTP Breehei is of high quality and free of any nitrogen

compounds, intermediate nitrite accumulation is observed in the effluent of the P-RSF, which

can be caused by incomplete nitrification (de Vet et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2016). Thus,

profound insights into the microbial key players and their metabolic capabilities and limitations

are crucial for optimizing and stabilizing N removal in these systems. The genomic potential

for nitrification was mainly identified in MAGs affiliated with the genus *Nitrospira,* which

however did not display as high abundances as observed in other DWTP systems (Albers et

al., 2015; Gülay et al., 2016; Palomo et al., 2016). Comammox *Nitrospira* were the most

abundant nitrifying guild in all DWTP Breehei samples (Figure 4B), corroborating previous

findings of *Nitrospira* dominating the nitrifying microbial community in DWTPs (Albers et

al., 2015; Gülay et al., 2016). Until recently, the dominance of this group was puzzling since

Nitrospira were always regarded as strict nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. By now, this imbalance in

abundance of *Nitrospira* and canonical AOB can be explained by the presence of complete

nitrifying *Nitrospira* in many sand filtration systems (Palomo et al., 2018; Pinto et al., 2015;

Wang et al., 2017). Notably, complete nitrifiers are the most abundant nitrifying group in

several Danish RSFs (Fowler et al., 2018) and were identified as key drivers of ammonia and

nitrite oxidation in these systems (Gülay et al., 2019). Consistent with these previous results,

comammox *Nitrospira* also apparently outcompeted canonical AOB and AOA in DWTP

Breehei. Comammox clade A was found to dominate in P-RSF samples and clade B in WB

and S-RSF (Figure 4B). Thus, sampling location substantially influenced the abundance of the

two comammox clades, indicating potential niche partitioning between them. The habitat

preferences by different nitrifiers might be explained by their ammonia oxidation kinetics and

substrate affinities (Kits et al., 2017; Martens-Habbena et al., 2009; Prosser and Nicol, 2012).

Based on the kinetic theory of optimal pathway length (Costa et al., 2006) and physiological

analyses of *N. inopinata* (Kits et al., 2017), comammox *Nitrospira* are K-strategists that have

a competitive advantage in environments with very low ammonium fluxes. However, since no

comammox clade B enrichment culture is currently available, we can only speculate about the

niche defining metabolic capabilities of this group. A recent study reported that nitrification

activity in forest and paddy soils when subjected to ammonium limitation is associated with

clade B rather than clade A comammox *Nitrospira* (Wang et al., 2019). Comammox clade B

appeared to dominate also in forest soil under increasing nitrogen load and decreasing pH (Shi

et al., 2018). Under acidic conditions, ammonia (NH_3) is increasingly protonated to ammonium

(NH_4^+), resulting in extremely low concentrations of bioavailable ammonia, the substrate of

AMO. Correspondingly, also in Danish RSFs with influent ammonium concentrations ranging

from 0.01-0.53 mg-N/L, clade B constituted up to 75% of the total comammox *Nitrospira*

population (Fowler et al., 2018). The higher abundance of clade B comammox *Nitrospira* in

S-RSF compared to P-RSF observed here also suggests their adaptation to ammonium-depleted

environments. These results indicate that clade B comammox *Nitrospira* may exhibit an even

lower half-saturation constant (K_s) and higher substrate affinities than clade A species and

thrive at extremely low ammonium concentrations. However, it will be required to obtain clade

B comammox *Nitrospira* in culture to ascertain the physiology of these enigmatic bacteria.

In addition to comammox *Nitrospira*, also two canonical *Nitrospira* MAGs were retrieved from

the Breehei DWTP metagenomes, indicating that these nitrite oxidizers can interact with

canonical ammonia oxidizers as well as with complete nitrifiers. Moreover, we identified

MAGs affiliated with the *Verrucomicrobiota* and *Planctomycetota* phyla (Figure 3) that

contained NXR-like sequences with similarity to the enzymes of known NOBs. In phylogenetic

analyses of NxrA, they clustered with *Nitrospira*, *Nitrospina,* and anammox bacteria (Figure

S4B). The apparent periplasmic orientation of the NxrA and the lack of transmembrane helices

in the NxrC subunit suggests that the NXR of these putative NOBs may be soluble, as has also

been proposed for *Nitrospina gracilis* (Lücker et al., 2013) and "*Ca.* Nitrotoga fabula"

(Kitzinger et al., 2018). However, further studies are needed to analyze the potential nitrite-

oxidizing capacity of these bacteria. Although in a very low abundances, *Nitrobacter* species

are also detected in some RSFs (Tatari et al., 2017), which we however did not observe in

DWTP Breehei.

Methane and one-carbon metabolism

In DWTPs, methane stripping via aeration is preferred over bacterial methane oxidation since

microbial activity and growth cause accumulation of extracellular polymeric substances that

lead to clogging of the biofilter material (Streese and Stegmann, 2003). However, both WB

and P-RSF samples showed high methane-oxidizing capacity (Figure S1). Especially the wall

biofilm might counteract methane blowout by oxidizing methane before it leaves the filter and

thus reduce methane emissions to the atmosphere. In the global carbon cycle, methane-

oxidizing microorganisms play a significant role (Cicerone and Oremland, 1988) as they

represent the only known biological methane sink (Aronson et al., 2013). These organisms are

able to grow with methane as sole carbon and energy source. The first step of methane

oxidation, methane activation and conversion to methanol, is catalyzed either by soluble

(sMMO) or particulate (pMMO) methane monooxygenases (Tavormina et al., 2011; Trotsenko

and Murrell, 2008). Especially the sMMP is not universal to methanotrophs and only certain

phylogenetic groups are known to encode this methane monooxygenase type (Verbeke et al.,

2019), usually together with pMMO.

Several studies have shown that the majority of the methane-oxidizing bacteria colonizing the

granular material of RSFs are affiliated with the gammaproteobacterial *Methylococcaceae*

family (Albers et al., 2015; Gülay et al., 2016; Palomo et al., 2016). Recently, this family was

reclassified and split into the *Methylomonadaceae, Methylococcaceae,* and

Methylothermaceae (Parks et al., 2017). Especially members of the *Methylomonadaceae* have

been found in many natural and engineered systems (Flynn et al., 2016; Hoefman et al., 2014;

Kalyuzhnaya et al., 2015; Kits et al., 2013; Ogiso et al., 2012; Oswald et al., 2017; Parks et al.,

2017; Svenning et al., 2011). In this study, all recovered *Methylomonadaceae* MAGs contained

pMMO, and some of them additionally encoded sMMO (Figure 3, Table S2). In addition,

several methanotrophic MAGs also contained the highly divergent pXMO enzyme complex

encoded by the *pxmABC* gene cluster (Tavormina et al., 2011). Previous studies have shown

that pXMO is involved in methane oxidation under hypoxic denitrifying conditions in

Methylomonadaceae strains (Kits et al., 2015a; Kits et al., 2015b), but its exact function in the

sand filters remains to be determined.

Methane oxidation results in the production of various C1 intermediates including methanol

and formate, which can be used as substrates by methylotrophic bacteria. In DWTP Breehei,

the P-RSF samples were dominated by members of the *Methylophilaceae* family, which was

assumed to accommodate only methylotrophic bacteria incapable of growth on methane.

Surprisingly, one of the *Methylophilaceae* MAGs (P-RSF-NP-02) harbored a complete gene

operon encoding a sMMO (Figure 3, Table S2), indicating a methanotrophic potential. This

finding is substantiated by an earlier study, which demonstrated that a member of

methylotrophic genus *Methyloceanibacter* can become methanotrophic by acquiring sMMO

(Vekeman et al., 2016). The phylogenomic analysis and low ANI value to other members of

this family (77.26%) suggest that P-RSF-NP-02 represents a novel species within the

Methylophilaceae (Figure 7B) and the extremely high coverage of this MAG indicates a major

role in methane removal in the DWTP Breehei. The high abundance of this potential new novel

methane-oxidizing bacterium in the P-RSF will be facilitated by the high iron content of the

influent water, as the sMMO contains a diiron cluster in the active site (Jasniewski and Que,

2018; Wallar and Lipscomb, 1996).

Conclusions

• The metagenomic analyses enabled us to identify key microbial populations involved

in the removal of ammonium and methane. The location within the DWTP Breehei was

the most influential factor shaping the microbial community.

• Clade A comammox *Nitrospira* dominated the nitrifying microbial community in P-
RSF, while clade B was most abundant in S-RSF where ammonium concentrations are
the lowest and, in the biofilm (WB), which is a predicted niche for comammox bacteria.
• The methanotrophic community was dominated by sMMO-containing bacteria,
particularly by one novel *Methylophilaceae* member, which might be facilitated by a
high iron concentration in the groundwater.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions

LP and HOdC collected and processed samples. LP and HK analyzed and interpreted the data.
JF and GC contributed to bioinformatics analyses. TvA and GC performed Illumina and
Nanopore sequencing. HOdC, MJ and MAHJvK were involved in project discussion and data
interpretation. SL conceived the research project. LP, HK, and SL wrote the manuscript with
input from all the authors.

Data availability

The genome sequences of the 56 MAGs recovered in this study and the raw sequencing data
have been deposited in GenBank under BioProject number PRJNA622654.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Weren de Vet, Geert Gielens and Kay Bouts for providing necessary
information about DWTP Breehei performance and sampling assistance, and Linnea Kop for
fruitful discussions. Financial support was provided by the Netherlands Organization for

Scientific Research (NWO Talent Programme grants VI.Veni.192.086, 016.Veni.192.062 and

016.Vidi.189.050, and Gravitation grants 024.002.001 [NESSC] and 024.002.002 [SIAM]) and

the European Research Council (ERC Advanced Grants 339880 [Ecomom] and 669371

[VOLCANO]).

References

Albers, C.N., Ellegaard-Jensen, L., Harder, C.B., Rosendahl, S., Knudsen, B.E., Ekelund, F.
and Aamand, J. 2015. Groundwater Chemistry Determines the Prokaryotic Community
Structure of Waterworks Sand Filters. Environ Sci Technol 49(2), 839-846.

Alneberg, J., Bjarnason, B.S., de Bruijn, I., Schirmer, M., Quick, J., Ijaz, U.Z., Lahti, L.,
Loman, N.J., Andersson, A.F. and Quince, C. 2014. Binning metagenomic contigs by
coverage and composition. Nat Methods 11(11), 1144-1146.

Armenteros, J.J.A., Tsirigos, K.D., Sonderby, C.K., Petersen, T.N., Winther, O., Brunak, S.,
von Heijne, G. and Nielsen, H. 2019. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions
using deep neural networks. Nat Biotechnol 37(4), 420-423.

Aronson, E.L., Allison, S.D. and Helliker, B.R. 2013. Environmental impacts on the diversity
of methane-cycling microbes and their resultant function. Front Microbiol (4:225).

Bautista-de los Santos, Q.M., Schroeder, J.L., Sevillano-Rivera, M.C., Sungthong, R., Ijaz,
U.Z., Sloan, W.T. and Pinto, A.J. 2016. Emerging investigators series: microbial
communities in full-scale drinking water distribution systems - a meta-analysis. Environ
Sci-Wat Res Technol 2(4), 631-644.

Beech, W.B. and Sunner, J. 2004. Biocorrosion: towards understanding interactions between
biofilms and metals. Curr Opin Biotechnol 15(3), 181-186.

Boughanemi, S., Lyonnet, J., Infossi, P., Bauzan, M., Kosta, A., Lignon, S., Giudici-Orticoni,
M.T. and Guiral, M. 2016. Microbial oxidative sulfur metabolism: biochemical evidence
of the membrane-bound heterodisulfide reductase-like complex of the bacterium Aquifex
aeolicus. FEMS Microbiol Lett 363(15).

Bowers, R.M., Kyrpides, N.C., Stepanauskas, R., Harmon-Smith, M., Doud, D., Reddy,
T.B.K., Schulz, F., Jarett, J., Rivers, A.R., Eloe-Fadrosh, E.A., Tringe, S.G., Ivanova, N.N.,
Copeland, A., Clum, A., Becraft, E.D., Malmstrom, R.R., Birren, B., Podar, M., Bork, P.,

Weinstock, G.M., Garrity, G.M., Dodsworth, J.A., Yooseph, S., Sutton, G., Glockner, F.O.,
Gilbert, J.A., Nelson, W.C., Hallam, S.J., Jungbluth, S.P., Ettema, T.J.G., Tighe, S.,
Konstantinidis, K.T., Liu, W.T., Baker, B.J., Rattei, T., Eisen, J.A., Hedlund, B.,
McMahon, K.D., Fierer, N., Knight, R., Finn, R., Cochrane, G., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Tyson,
G.W., Rinke, C., Lapidus, A., Meyer, F., Yilmaz, P., Parks, D.H., Eren, A.M., Schriml, L.,
Banfield, J.F., Hugenholtz, P., Woyke, T. and Genome Stand, C. 2017. Minimum
information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled
genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. Nat Biotechnol 35(8), 725-731.

Brown, C.T., Hug, L.A., Thomas, B.C., Sharon, I., Castelle, C.J., Singh, A., Wilkins, M.J.,
Wrighton, K.C., Williams, K.H. and Banfield, J.F. 2015. Unusual biology across a group
comprising more than 15% of domain Bacteria. Nature 523(7559), 208-211.

Bruno, A., Sandionigi, A., Rizzi, E., Bernasconi, M., Vicario, S., Galimberti, A., Cocuzza, C.,
Labra, M. and Casiraghi, M. 2017. Exploring the under-investigated "microbial dark
matter" of drinking water treatment plants. Sci Rep 7, 44350.

Bruun, A.M., Finster, K., Gunnlaugsson, H.P., Nornberg, P. and Friedrich, M.W. 2010. A
Comprehensive Investigation on Iron Cycling in a Freshwater Seep Including Microscopy,
Cultivation and Molecular Community Analysis. Geomicrobiol J 27(1), 15-34.

Campbell, J.H., O'Donoghue, P., Campbell, A.G., Schwientek, P., Sczyrba, A., Woyke, T.,
Soll, D. and Podar, M. 2013. UGA is an additional glycine codon in uncultured SR1
bacteria from the human microbiota. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110(14), 5540-5545.

Camper, A.K. 2004. Involvement of humic substances in regrowth. Int J Food Microbiol
92(3), 355-364.

Castelle, C.J., Brown, C.T., Anantharaman, K., Probst, A.J., Huang, R.H. and Banfield, J.F.
2018. Biosynthetic capacity, metabolic variety and unusual biology in the CPR and
DPANN radiations. Nat Rev Microbiol 16(10), 629-645.

Chapelle, F.H. (2001) Ground-water Microbiology and Geochemistry, John Wiley & Sons,
New York.

Cherney, M.M., Zhang, Y.F., Solomonson, M., Weiner, J.H. and James, M.N.G. 2010. Crystal
Structure of Sulfide:Quinone Oxidoreductase from Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans: Insights
into Sulfidotrophic Respiration and Detoxification. J Mol Biol 398(2), 292-305.

Cicerone, R.J. and Oremland, R.S. 1988. Biogeochemical aspects of atmospheric methane.
Global Biogeochem Cycles 2(4), 299-327.

Costa, E., Pérez, J. and Kreft, J.U. 2006. Why is metabolic labour divided in nitrification?
Trends Microbiol. 14(5), 213-219.

de Vet, W., Dinkla, I.J.T., Rietveld, L.C. and van Loosdrecht, M.C.M. 2011. Biological iron
oxidation by Gallionella spp. in drinking water production under fully aerated conditions.
Water Res 45(17), 5389-5398.

de Vet, W., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M. and Rietveld, L.C. 2012. Phosphorus limitation in
nitrifying groundwater filters. Water Res 46(4), 1061-1069.

Delmont, T.O. and Eren, A.M. 2016. Identifying contamination with advanced visualization
and analysis practices: metagenomic approaches for eukaryotic genome assemblies. PeerJ
4, e1839.

Druschel, G.K., Emerson, D., Sutka, R., Suchecki, P. and Luther, G.W. 2008. Low-oxygen
and chemical kinetic constraints on the geochemical niche of neutrophilic iron(II) oxidizing
microorganisms. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 72(14), 3358-3370.

EC 2016 Synthesis Report on the Quality of Drinking Water in the Union examining Member
States' reports for the 2011-2013 period, foreseen under Article 13(5) of Directive
98/83/EC. 2016, https://ec.europa.eu/environment/water/water-drink/reporting_en.html.

Eddy, S.R. 2011. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. PLoS Comput Biol 7(e1002195).

Emerson, D. and De Vet, W. 2015. The Role of FeOB in Engineered Water Ecosystems: A
Review. J Am Water Works Assn 107(1), E47-E57.

Eren, A.M., Esen, O.C., Quince, C., Vineis, J.H., Morrison, H.G., Sogin, M.L. and Delmont,
T.O. 2015. Anvi'o: an advanced analysis and visualization platformfor 'omics data. PeerJ
3, e1319.

Fish, J.A., Chai, B.L., Wang, Q., Sun, Y.N., Brown, C.T., Tiedje, J.M. and Cole, J.R. 2013.
FunGene: the functional gene pipeline and repository. Front Microbiol 4, 291.

Flynn, J.D., Hirayama, H., Sakai, Y., Dunfield, P.F., Klotz, M.G., Knief, C., Op den Camp,
H.J.M., Jetten, M.S.M., Khmelenina, V.N., Trotsenko, Y.A., Murrell, J.C., Semrau, J.D.,
Svenning, M.M., Stein, L.Y., Kyrpides, N., Shapiro, N., Woyke, T., Bringel, F.,
Vuilleumier, S., DiSpirito, A.A. and Kalyuzhnaya, M.G. 2016. Draft Genome Sequences

of Gammaproteobacterial Methanotrophs Isolated from Marine Ecosystems. Genome
Announc 4(1), 2.

Fowler, S.J., Palomo, A., Dechesne, A., Mines, P.D. and Smets, B.F. 2018. Comammox
Nitrospira are abundant ammonia oxidizers in diverse groundwater-fed rapid sand filter
communities. Environ Microbiol 20(3), 1002-1015.

Griebler, C. and Lueders, T. 2009. Microbial biodiversity in groundwater ecosystems. Freshw
Biol 54(4), 649-677.

Gülay, A., Fowler, J., Tatari, K., Thamdrup, B., Albrechtsen, H.-J., Al-Soud, W.A., Sørensen,
S.J. and Smets, B.F. 2019. DNA and RNA-SIP reveal Nitrospira spp. as key drivers of
nitrification in groundwater-fed biofilters. mBio, 10: e01870-01819.

Gülay, A.M., S., Albrechtsen, H.J., Abu Al-Soud, W., Sorensen, S.J. and Smets, B.F. 2016.
Ecological patterns, diversity and core taxa of microbial communities in groundwater-fed
rapid gravity filters. ISME J. 10(9), 2209-2222.

Hartmann, M., Frey, B., Mayer, J., Mader, P. and Widmer, F. 2015. Distinct soil microbial
diversity under long-term organic and conventional farming. ISME J 9(5), 1177-1194.

Herrmann, M., Wegner, C.E., Taubert, M., Geesink, P., Lehmann, K., Yan, L.J., Lehmann, R.,
Totsche, K.U. and Kusel, K. 2019. Predominance of Cand. Patescibacteria in Groundwater
Is Caused by Their Preferential Mobilization From Soils and Flourishing Under
Oligotrophic Conditions. Front Microbiol 10, 1407.

Hoefman, S., Heylen, K. and De Vos, P. 2014. Methylomonas lenta sp nov., a methanotroph
isolated from manure and a denitrification tank. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64, 1210-1217.

Hug, L.A., Baker, B.J., Anantharaman, K., Brown, C.T., Probst, A.J., Castelle, C.J.,
Butterfield, C.N., Hernsdorf, A.W., Amano, Y., Ise, K., Suzuki, Y., Dudek, N., Relman,
D.A., Finstad, K.M., Amundson, R., Thomas, B.C. and Banfield, J.F. 2016. A new view
of the tree of life. Nat Microbiol 1: 16048(5).

Hyatt, D. 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site
identification. BMC Bioinf 11(1), 119.

Jasniewski, A.J. and Que, L. 2018. Dioxygen Activation by Nonheme Diiron Enzymes:
Diverse Dioxygen Adducts, High-Valent Intermediates, and Related Model Complexes.
Chem Rev 118(5), 2554-2592.

Jones, R.T., Robeson, M.S., Lauber, C.L., Hamady, M., Knight, R. and Fierer, N. 2009. A
comprehensive survey of soil acidobacterial diversity using pyrosequencing and clone
library analyses. ISME J 3(4), 442-453.

Kalyuzhnaya, M.G., Lamb, A.E., McTaggart, T.L., Oshkin, I.Y., Shapiro, N., Woyke, T. and
Chistoserdova, L. 2015. Draft Genome Sequences of Gammaproteobacterial
Methanotrophs Isolated from Lake Washington Sediment. Genome Announc 3(2: e00103-
15).

Khadka, R., Clothier, L., Wang, L., Lim, C.K., Klotz, M.G. and Dunfield, P.F. 2018.
Evolutionary History of Copper Membrane Monooxygenases. Front Microbiol 9, 2493.

Kim, D., Song, L., Breitwieser, F.P. and Salzberg, S.L. 2016. Centrifuge: rapid and sensitive
classification of metagenomic sequences. Genome Res 26(12), 1721-1729.

Kits, K.D., Campbell, D.J., Rosana, A.R. and Stein, L.Y. 2015a. Diverse electron sources
support denitrification under hypoxia in the obligate methanotroph Methylomicrobium
album strain BG8. Front Microbiol 6, 1072.

Kits, K.D., Kalyuzhnaya, M.G., Klotz, M.G., Jetten, M.S., Op den Camp, H.J., Vuilleumier,
S., Bringel, F., Dispirito, A.A., Murrell, J.C., Bruce, D., Cheng, J.F., Copeland, A.,
Goodwin, L., Hauser, L., Lajus, A., Land, M.L., Lapidus, A., Lucas, S., Medigue, C.,
Pitluck, S., Woyke, T., Zeytun, A. and Stein, L.Y. 2013. Genome Sequence of the Obligate
Gammaproteobacterial Methanotroph Methylomicrobium album Strain BG8. Genome
Announc 1(2), e0017013.

Kits, K.D., Klotz, M.G. and Stein, L.Y. 2015b. Methane oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction
under hypoxia by the Gammaproteobacterium Methylomonas denitrificans, sp nov type
strain FJG1. Environmental Microbiology 17(9), 3219-3232.

Kits, K.D., Sedlacek, C.J., Lebedeva, E.V., Han, P., Bulaev, A., Pjevac, P., Daebeler, A.,
Romano, S., Albertsen, M., Stein, L.Y., Daims, H. and Wagner, M. 2017. Kinetic analysis
of a complete nitrifier reveals an oligotrophic lifestyle. Nature 549(7671), 269-272.

Kitzinger, K., Koch, H., Lucker, S., Sedlacek, C.J., Herbold, C., Schwarz, J., Daebeler, A.,
Mueller, A.J., Lukumbuzya, M., Romano, S., Leisch, N., Karst, S.M., Kirkegaard, R.,
Albertsen, M., Nielsen, P.H., Wagner, M. and Daims, H. 2018. Characterization of the
First "Candidatus Nitrotoga" Isolate Reveals Metabolic Versatility and Separate Evolution
of Widespread Nitrite-Oxidizing Bacteria. mBio 9(4:e01186-18).

Koch, T. and Dahl, C. 2018. A novel bacterial sulfur oxidation pathway provides a new link
between the cycles of organic and inorganic sulfur compounds. ISME J 12(10), 2479-2491.

Koren, S., Walenz, B.P., Berlin, K., Miller, J.R., Bergman, N.H. and Phillippy, A.M. 2017.
Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat
separation. Genome Res 27(5), 722-736.

Koster, J. and Rahmann, S. 2012. Snakemake-a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine.
Bioinformatics 28(19), 2520-2522.

Kowalchuk, G.A., de Bruijn, F.J., Head, I.M., Akkermans, A.D. and van Elsas, J.D. 2004
Molecular microbial ecology manual (MMEM), 2nd ed.,   vol. 1.,
Kluwer Academic Publishing, London, United Kingdom.

Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G. and Sonnhammer, E.L.L. 2001. Predicting
transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application to complete
genomes. J Mol Biol 305(3), 567-580.

Langmead, B. and Salzberg, S.L. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat
Methods 9(4), 357-359.

Li, D.H., Liu, C.M., Luo, R.B., Sadakane, K. and Lam, T.W. 2015. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast
single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn
graph. Bioinformatics 31(10), 1674-1676.

Li, H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 34(18),
3094-3100.

Li, H. and Durbin, R. 2010. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. Bioinformatics 26(5), 589-595.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
Durbin, R. and Genome Project Data, P. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25(16), 2078-2079.

Li, H.S. and Carlson, K.H. 2014. Distribution and Origin of Groundwater Methane in the
Wattenberg Oil and Gas Field of Northern Colorado. Environ Sci Technol 48(3), 1484-
1491.

Li, Q., Yu, S.L., Li, L., Liu, G.C., Gu, Z.Y., Liu, M.M., Liu, Z.Y., Ye, Y.B., Xia, Q. and Ren,
L.M. 2017. Microbial Communities Shaped by Treatment Processes in a Drinking Water

Treatment Plant and Their Contribution and Threat to Drinking Water Safety. Front
Microbiol 8, 2465.

Ludwig, W., Strunk, O., Westram, R., Richter, L., Meier, H., Yadhukumar, Buchner, A., Lai,
T., Steppi, S., Jobb, G., Forster, W., Brettske, I., Gerber, S., Ginhart, A.W., Gross, O.,
Grumann, S., Hermann, S., Jost, R., Konig, A., Liss, T., Lussmann, R., May, M., Nonhoff,
B., Reichel, B., Strehlow, R., Stamatakis, A., Stuckmann, N., Vilbig, A., Lenke, M.,
Ludwig, T., Bode, A. and Schleifer, K.H. 2004. ARB: a software environment for
sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res 32(4), 1363-1371.

Lücker, S., Nowka, B., Rattei, T., Spieck, E. and Daims, H. 2013. The Genome of Nitrospina
gracilis Illuminates the Metabolism and Evolution of the Major Marine Nitrite Oxidizer.
Front Microbiol 4, 27.

Maksimavičius, E. and Roslev, P. 2020. Methane emission and methanotrophic activity in
groundwater fed drinking water treatment plants. Water Supply, ws2020009.

Marcia, M., Ermler, U., Peng, G.H. and Michel, H. 2009. The structure of Aquifex aeolicus
sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase, a basis to understand sulfide detoxification and respiration.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106(24), 9625-9630.

Martens-Habbena, W., Berube, P.M., Urakawa, H., de la Torre, J.R. and Stahl, D.A. 2009.
Ammonia oxidation kinetics determine niche separation of nitrifying Archaea and Bacteria.
Nature 461(7266), 976-979.

Matsen, F.A., Kodner, R.B. and Armbrust, E.V. 2010. pplacer: linear time maximum-
likelihood and Bayesian phylogenetic placement of sequences onto a fixed reference tree.
Bmc Bioinf 11(538).

Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W. and Schwartz, T. 2010 Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for
inference of large phylogenetic trees. Gateway Computing Environments Workshop
(GCE), pp. 1-8.

Na, S.I., Kim, Y.O., Yoon, S.H., Ha, S.M., Baek, I. and Chun, J. 2018. UBCG: Up-to-date
bacterial core gene set and pipeline for phylogenomic tree reconstruction. J Microbiol
56(4), 280-285.

Navarrete, A.A., Venturini, A.M., Meyer, K.M., Klein, A.M., Tiedje, J.M., Bohannan, B.J.M.,
Nusslein, K., Tsai, S.M. and Rodrigues, J.L.M. 2015. Differential Response of

Acidobacteria Subgroups to Forest-to-Pasture Conversion and Their Biogeographic
Patterns in the Western Brazilian Amazon. Front Microbiol 6, 1443.

Nurk, S., Meleshko, D., Korobeynikov, A. and Pevzner, P.A. 2017. metaSPAdes: a new
versatile metagenomic assembler. Genome Res 27(5), 824-834.

Ogiso, T., Ueno, C., Dianou, D., Huy, T.V., Katayama, A., Kimura, M. and Asakawa, S. 2012.
Methylomonas koyamae sp nov., a type I methane-oxidizing bacterium from floodwater of
a rice paddy field. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62, 1832-1837.

Oh, S., Hammes, F. and Liu, W.T. 2018. Metagenomic characterization of biofilter microbial
communities in a full-scale drinking water treatment plant. Water Res 128, 278-285.

Okabe, S., Kindaichi, T. and Ito, T. 2005. Fate of 14C-Labeled Microbial Products Derived
from Nitrifying Bacteria in Autotrophic Nitrifying Biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol
71(7), 3987.

Okoniewska, E., Lach, J., Kacprzak, M. and Neczaj, E. 2007. The removal of manganese,
iron and ammonium nitrogen on impregnated activated carbon. Desalination 206(1-3), 251-
258.

Olm, M.R., Brown, C.T., Brooks, B. and Banfield, J.F. 2017. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate
genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through
de-replication. ISME J 11(12), 2864-2868.

Osborn, S.G., Vengosh, A., Warner, N.R. and Jackson, R.B. 2011. Methane contamination of
drinking water accompanying gas-well drilling and hydraulic fracturing. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. U.S.A. 108(20), 8172-8176.

Oswald, K., Graf, J.S., Littmann, S., Tienken, D., Brand, A., Wehrli, B., Albertsen, M., Daims,
H., Wagner, M., Kuypers, M.M.M., Schubert, C.J. and Milucka, J. 2017. Crenothrix are
major methane consumers in stratified lakes. ISME J. 11(9), 2124-2140.

Palomo, A., Dechesne, A. and Smets, B.F. 2019. Genomic profiling of Nitrospira species
reveals ecological success of comammox Nitrospira. bioRxiv, 612226.

Palomo, A., Jane Fowler, S., Gulay, A., Rasmussen, S., Sicheritz-Ponten, T. and Smets, B.F.
2016. Metagenomic analysis of rapid gravity sand filter microbial communities suggests
novel physiology of Nitrospira spp. ISME J 10(11), 2569-2581.

Palomo, A., Pedersen, A.G., Fowler, S.J., Dechesne, A., Sicheritz-Ponten, T. and Smets, B.F.
2018. Comparative genomics sheds light on niche differentiation and the evolutionary
history of comammox Nitrospira. ISME J. 12(7), 1779-1793.

Parks, D.H., Chuvochina, M., Waite, D.W., Rinke, C., Skarshewski, A., Chaumeil, P.A. and
Hugenholtz, P. 2018. A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny
substantially revises the tree of life. Nature Biotechnology 36(10), 996-1004.

Parks, D.H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C.T., Hugenholtz, P. and Tyson, G.W. 2015. CheckM:
assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and
metagenomes. Genome research 25(7), 1043-1055.

Parks, D.H., Rinke, C., Chuvochina, M., Chaumeil, P.A., Woodcroft, B., Evans, P.N.,
Hugenholtz, P. and Tyson, G.W. 2017. Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled
genomes substantially expands the tree of life. Nat Microbiol 3(2), 253-253.

Pinto, A.J., Marcus, D.N., Ijaz, U.Z., Santos, Q., Dick, G.J. and Raskin, L. 2015. Metagenomic
Evidence for the Presence of Comammox Nitrospira-Like Bacteria in a Drinking Water
System. Msphere 1(1), e00054-00015.

Pinto, A.J., Xi, C.W. and Raskin, L. 2012. Bacterial Community Structure in the Drinking
Water Microbiome Is Governed by Filtration Processes. Environ Sci Technol 46(16), 8851-
8859.

Poghosyan, L., Koch, H., Lavy, A., Frank, J., van Kessel, M.A.H.J., Jetten, M.S.M., Banfield,
J.F. and Lücker, S. 2019. Metagenomic recovery of two distinct comammox Nitrospira
from the terrestrial subsurface. Environ Microbiol 21(10), 3627-3637.

Pol, A., Barends, T.R.M., Dietl, A., Khadem, A.F., Eygensteyn, J., Jetten, M.S.M. and Op den
Camp, H.J.M. 2014. Rare earth metals are essential for methanotrophic life in volcanic
mudpots. Environ Microbiol 16(1), 255-264.

Proctor, C.R. and Hammes, F. 2015. Drinking water microbiology - from measurement to
management. Curr Opin Biotechnol 33, 87-94.

Prosser, J.I. and Nicol, G.W. 2012. Archaeal and bacterial ammonia-oxidisers in soil: the
quest for niche specialisation and differentiation. Trends Microbiol 20(11), 523-531.

Quatrini, R., Appia-Ayme, C., Denis, Y., Jedlicki, E., Holmes, D.S. and Bonnefoy, V. 2009.
Extending the models for iron and sulfur oxidation in the extreme Acidophile
Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans. Bmc Genomics 10(394).

Racine, J.S. 2012. RStudio: A Platform-Independent IDE for R and Sweave. J Appl Econ
27(1), 167-172.

Rinke, C., Schwientek, P., Sczyrba, A., Ivanova, N.N., Anderson, I.J., Cheng, J.F., Darling, A.,
Malfatti, S., Swan, B.K., Gies, E.A., Dodsworth, J.A., Hedlund, B.P., Tsiamis, G., Sievert,
S.M., Liu, W.T., Eisen, J.A., Hallam, S.J., Kyrpides, N.C., Stepanauskas, R., Rubin, E.M.,
Hugenholtz, P. and Woyke, T. 2013. Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of
microbial dark matter. Nature 499(7459), 431-437.

Rittmann, B.E., Tangy, Y., Meyer, K. and Bellamy, W.D. 2012 Biological processes, chapter
17. In: Water treatment design. Ed Randtke SJ and Horsley

MB, Am Water Works Assoc (AWWA), McGraw Hill.

Sczyrba, A., Hofmann, P., Belmann, P., Koslicki, D., Janssen, S., Dröge, J., Gregor, I., Majda,
S., Fiedler, J., Dahms, E., Bremges, A., Fritz, A., Garrido-Oter, R., Jørgensen, T.S.,
Shapiro, N., Blood, P.D., Gurevich, A., Bai, Y., Turaev, D., DeMaere, M.Z., Chikhi, R.,
Nagarajan, N., Quince, C., Meyer, F., Balvočiūtė, M., Hansen, L.H., Sørensen, S.J., Chia,
B.K.H., Denis, B., Froula, J.L., Wang, Z., Egan, R., Don Kang, D., Cook, J.J., Deltel, C.,
Beckstette, M., Lemaitre, C., Peterlongo, P., Rizk, G., Lavenier, D., Wu, Y.-W., Singer,
S.W., Jain, C., Strous, M., Klingenberg, H., Meinicke, P., Barton, M.D., Lingner, T., Lin,
H.-H., Liao, Y.-C., Silva, G.G.Z., Cuevas, D.A., Edwards, R.A., Saha, S., Piro, V.C.,
Renard, B.Y., Pop, M., Klenk, H.-P., Göker, M., Kyrpides, N.C., Woyke, T., Vorholt, J.A.,
Schulze-Lefert, P., Rubin, E.M., Darling, A.E., Rattei, T. and McHardy, A.C. 2017.
Critical Assessment of Metagenome Interpretation—a benchmark of metagenomics
software. Nat Methods 14(11), 1063-1071.

Seemann, T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30(14),
2068-2069.

Sercu, B., Nunez, D., Van Langenhove, H., Aroca, G. and Verstraete, W. 2005. Operational
and microbiological aspects of a bioaugmented two-stage biotrickling filter removing
hydrogen sulfide and dimethyl sulfide. Biotechnol Bioeng 90(2), 259-269.

Shahak, Y. and Hauska, G. (2008) Sulfur Metabolism in Phototrophic Organisms. Hell, R.,
Dahl, C., Knaff, D. and Leustek, T. (eds), pp. 319-335, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

Sharma, S.K., Petrusevski, B. and Schippers, J.C. 2005. Biological iron removal from
groundwater: a review. J. Water Supply Res. Technol. AQUA 54(4), 239-247.

Shi, X.Z., Hu, H.W., Wang, J.Q., He, J.Z., Zheng, C.Y., Wan, X.H. and Huang, Z.Q. 2018.
Niche separation of comammox Nitrospira and canonical ammonia oxidizers in an acidic
subtropical forest soil under long-term nitrogen deposition. Soil Biol Biochem 126, 114-
122.

Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30(9), 1312-1313.

Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A.,
Midgley, P.M., Bex, V. and Xia, Y. 2013 IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical
Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC 5th Assessment Report, IPCC,
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535
pp.

Streese, J. and Stegmann, R. 2003. Microbial oxidation of methane from old landfills in
biofilters. Waste Manage 23(7), 573-580.

Svenning, M.M., Hestnes, A.G., Wartiainen, I., Stein, L.Y., Klotz, M.G., Kalyuzhnaya, M.G.,
Spang, A., Bringel, F., Vuilleumier, S., Lajus, A., Medigue, C., Bruce, D.C., Cheng, J.F.,
Goodwin, L., Ivanova, N., Han, J., Han, C.S., Hauser, L., Held, B., Land, M.L., Lapidus,
A., Lucas, S., Nolan, M., Pitluck, S. and Woyke, T. 2011. Genome Sequence of the Arctic
Methanotroph Methylobacter tundripaludum SV96. J Bacteriol 193(22), 6418-6419.

Tatari, K., Musovic, S., Gulay, A., Dechesne, A., Albrechtsen, H.J. and Smets, B.F. 2017.
Density and distribution of nitrifying guilds in rapid sand filters for drinking water
production: Dominance of Nitrospira spp. Water Res 127, 239-248.

Tatusov, R.L., Koonin, E.V. and Lipman, D.J. 1997. A genomic perspective on protein
families. Science 278(5338), 631-637.

Tavormina, P.L., Orphan, V.J., Kalyuzhnaya, M.G., Jetten, M.S.M. and Klotz, M.G. 2011. A
novel family of functional operons encoding methane/ammonia monooxygenase-related
proteins in gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs. Environ Microbiol Rep 3(1), 91-100.

Tekerlekopoulou, A.G., Vasiliadou, I.A. and Vayenas, D.V. 2006. Physico-chemical and
biological iron removal from potable water. Biochem Eng J 31(1), 74-83.

Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.T., von Haeseler, A. and Minh, B.Q. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast
online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 44(W1),
W232-W235.

Trussell, R.R., Hand, D.W., Tchobanoglous, G., Howe, K.J. and Crittenden, J.C. (2012)
Principles of Water Treatment, John Wiley & Sons Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey.

van der Wielen, P.W.J.J., Voost, S. and van der Kooij, D. 2009. Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacteria
and Archaea in Groundwater Treatment and Drinking Water Distribution Systems. Appl
Environ Microbiol 75(14), 4687-4695.

Vaser, R., Sovic, I., Nagarajan, N. and Sikic, M. 2017. Fast and accurate de novo genome
assembly from long uncorrected reads. Genome Res 27(5), 737-746.

Vekeman, B., Kerckhof, F.M., Cremers, G., de Vos, P., Vandamme, P., Boon, N., Op den
Camp, H.J.M. and Heylen, K. 2016. New Methyloceanibacter diversity from North Sea
sediments includes methanotroph containing solely the soluble methane monooxygenase.
Environ Microbiol 18(12), 4523-4536.

Wagner, F.B., Nielsen, P.B., Boe-Hansen, R. and Albrechtsen, H.J. 2016. Copper deficiency
can limit nitrification in biological rapid sand filters for drinking water production. Water
Res 95, 280-288.

Wallar, B.J. and Lipscomb, J.D. 1996. Dioxygen activation by enzymes containing binuclear
non-heme iron clusters. Chem Rev 96(7), 2625-2657.

Wang, Y., Ma, L., Mao, Y., Jiang, X., Xia, Y., Yu, K., Li, B. and Zhang, T. 2017. Comammox
in drinking water systems. Water Res 116, 332-341.

Wang, Z.H., Cao, Y.Q., Zhu-Barker, X., Nicol, G.W., Wright, A.L., Jia, Z.J. and Jiang, X.J.
2019. Comammox Nitrospira clade B contributes to nitrification in soil. Soil Biol Biochem
135, 392-395.

Ward, N.L., Challacombe, J.F., Janssen, P.H., Henrissat, B., Coutinho, P.M., Wu, M., Xie, G.,
Haft, D.H., Sait, M., Badger, J., Barabote, R.D., Bradley, B., Brettin, T.S., Brinkac, L.M.,
Bruce, D., Creasy, T., Daugherty, S.C., Davidsen, T.M., Deboy, R.T., Detter, J.C., Dodson,
R.J., Durkin, A.S., Ganapathy, A., Gwinn-Giglio, M., Han, C.S., Khouri, H., Kiss, H.,
Kothari, S.P., Madupu, R., Nelson, K.E., Nelson, W.C., Paulsen, I., Penn, K., Ren, Q.H.,
Rosovitz, M.J., Selengut, J.D., Shrivastava, S., Sullivan, S.A., Tapia, R., Thompson, L.S.,
Watkins, K.L., Yang, Q., Yu, C.H., Zafar, N., Zhou, L.W. and Kuske, C.R. 2009. Three
Genomes from the Phylum Acidobacteria Provide Insight into the Lifestyles of These
Microorganisms in Soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 75(7), 2046-2056.

Watanabe, T., Kojima, H., Umezawa, K., Hori, C., Takasuka, T.E., Kato, Y. and Fukui, M.
2019. Genomes of Neutrophilic Sulfur-Oxidizing Chemolithoautotrophs Representing 9
Proteobacterial Species From 8 Genera. Front Microbiol 10(316).

Wegner, C.E., Gaspar, M., Geesink, P., Herrmann, M., Marz, M. and Kusel, K. 2019.
Biogeochemical Regimes in Shallow Aquifers Reflect the Metabolic Coupling of the
Elements Nitrogen, Sulfur, and Carbon. Appl Environ Microbiol 85(9), 0099-2240.

Whitman, W.B., Oren, A., Chuvochina, M., da Costa, M.S., Garrity, G.M., Rainey, F.A.,
Rossello-Mora, R., Schink, B., Sutcliffe, I., Trujillo, M.E. and Ventura, S. 2018. Proposal
of the suffix -ota to denote phyla. Addendum to 'Proposal to include the rank of phylum in
the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes'. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68(3),
967-969.

Wickham, H. 2016 ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis, (Springer, Heidelberg),
Springer, Heidelberg.

Wilczak, A., Jacangelo, J.G., Marcinko, J.P., Odell, L.H., Kirmeyer, G.J. and Wolfe, R.L.
1996. Occurrence of nitrification in chloraminated distribution systems. J Am Water
Works Assoc 88(7), 74-85.

Yan, L., Herrmann, M., Kampe, B., Lehmann, R., Totsche, K.U. and Küsel, K. 2020.
Environmental selection shapes the formation of near-surface groundwater microbiomes.
Water Res 170, 115341.

Yang, J.X., Ma, J., Song, D., Zhai, X.D. and Kong, X.J. 2016. Impact of preozonation on the
bioactivity and biodiversity of subsequent biofilters under low temperature conditions-A
pilot study. Front Environ Sci Eng 10(4).

Zhang, Y.F., Yang, Y., Liu, J.S. and Qiu, G.Z. 2013. Isolation and characterization of
Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans strain QXS-1 capable of unusual ferrous iron and sulfur
utilization. Hydrometallurgy 136, 51-57.

Zhou, J.Z., Bruns, M.A. and Tiedje, J.M. 1996. DNA recovery from soils of diverse
composition. Appl Environ Microbiol 62(2), 316-322.

1172