The genetic architecture of post-zygotic reproductive isolation between *Anopheles coluzzii* and *An. quadriannulatus*

1 **Kevin C. Deitz[1*], Willem Takken[2], Michel A. Slotman[1]**

2 [1]Department of Entomology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA
3 [2]Laboratory of Entomology, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands
4
* Correspondence:
Kevin C. Deitz, Department of Entomology, Texas A&M University, 2475 TAMU, 370 Olsen Blvd,
College Station, TX 77843, USA, e-mail: kcdeitz@gmail.com

Keywords: *Anopheles gambiae* complex, speciation, hybrid, sterility, inviability, Dobzhansky–
Muller incompatibilities, post-zygotic reproductive isolation

Abstract

The *Anopheles gambiae* complex is comprised of eight morphologically indistinguishable

species and has emerged as a model system for the study of speciation genetics due to the rapid

radiation of its member species over the past two million years. Male hybrids between most *An.*

gambiae complex species pairs are sterile, and some genotype combinations in hybrid males cause

inviability. We investigated the genetic basis of hybrid male inviability and sterility between *An.*

coluzzii and *An. quadriannulatus* by measuring segregation distortion and performing a QTL analysis

of sterility in a backcross population. Hybrid males were inviable if they inherited the *An. coluzzii* X

chromosome and were homozygous at one or more loci in 18.9 Mb region of chromosome 3. The *An.*

coluzzii X chromosome has a disproportionately large effect on hybrid sterility when introgressed into

an *An. quadriannulatus* genetic background. Additionally, an epistatic interaction between the *An.*

coluzzii X and a 1.12 Mb, pericentric region of the *An. quadriannulatus* 3L chromosome arm has a

statistically significant contribution to the hybrid sterility phenotype. This same epistatic interaction

occurs when the *An. coluzzii* X is introgressed into the genetic background of *An. arabiensis,* the sister

species of *An. quadriannulatus*, suggesting that this may represent one of the first Dobzhansky–Muller

incompatibilities to evolve early in the radiation of the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex. We

describe the additive effects of each sterility QTL, epistatic interactions between them, and genes

within QTL with protein functions related to mating behavior, reproduction, spermatogenesis, and

microtubule morphogenesis, whose divergence may contribute to post-zygotic reproductive isolation

between *An. coluzzii* and *An. quadriannulatus.*

Introduction

The study of speciation genetics and genomics has largely focused on the evolution of

hybrid dysfunction (Coyne and Orr, 2004; Dobzhansky, 1937) because it represents the first step

in the formation of post-zygotic reproductive isolation between diverging populations. The

evolution of post-zygotic isolation mechanisms is positively correlated with the time of divergence

between two putative species, and is impacted by the number of mutations separating two allopatric

lineages at any given time, the number of incompatibilities per mutation, and the fitness of each

incompatibility (Orr and Turelli, 2001).

Post-zygotic isolation occurs as Dobzhansky-Müller incompatibilities (DMI) arise between

populations evolving in allopatry (Dobzhansky, 1936; Müller, 1940). Upon secondary contact of

genetically divergent populations, epistatic loci on parental chromosomes are unable to function

effectively in hybrids. The resulting gene products in hybrids can have unpredictable, deleterious

epistatic interactions (Maheshwari and Barbash, 2011). Orr (1995) and Orr and Turelli (2001)

proposed the "snowball effect" of DMI evolution, which predicts that the number of DMIs

accumulating between lineages "snowballs", or increases faster than linearly (see Kalirad and

Azevedo, 2017 for explicit testing of the underlying assumptions of this model). The snowball

effect has been supported by empirical evidence of the rate of DMI evolution between *Drosophila*

(Matute et al., 2010; Matute and Gavin-Smyth, 2014) and *Solanum* sibling species pairs (Moyle

and Nakazato, 2010).

DMI can evolve as a result of various mechanisms in either an ecological speciation

(divergent evolution due to selection pressures imposed by novel environments) or mutation-order

speciation scenarios (fixation of different, incompatible mutations in allopatric populations

experiencing the same environmental conditions) (Mani and Clarke, 1990; Nosil and Flaxman,

2011). These mechanisms include mutation-driven co-evolution (e.g. copper tolerance in *Mimulus*

guttatus, MacNair, 1983; MacNair and Christie, 1983), gene duplication (e.g. the *OdsH* gene in

Drosophila simulans × *D. mauritiania* backcross males, Ting et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2004), gene

transposition (e.g. the *JYAlpha* gene in D. *melanogaster* × *D. simulans* backcross males, Masley et

al., 2006), or a molecular arms race (e.g. divergent NB-LRR alleles in *Arabidopsis thaliana* strains,

Bomblies et al., 2007; Bomblies and Weigal, 2007; Bakker et al., 2006). Furthermore, divergence

in gene regulatory regions between parental species can result in in allelic imbalance in hybrids

due to incompatible *cis*- and/or *trans*-acting factors (Graze et al., 2012), and segregation distortion

of parental loci can skew sex ratios of hybrid progeny (Orr and Irving, 2005; Phadnis and Orr,

2009).

The *Anopheles* (*An.*) *gambiae* complex is comprised of eight morphologically

indistinguishable species and has emerged as a model system for the study of speciation genetics

due to the rapid radiation of its member species over the past two million years (Fontaine et al.,

2015). All members of the *An. gambiae* complex are competent vectors of human malaria parasites,

though they differ in their host specificity, ranging from highly anthropophilic (*An. gambiae s.s.*

and *An. coluzzii*) (Takken and Knols, 1990; Dekker and Takken, 1998; Pates et al., 2001a) to almost

entirely zoophilic (*An. quadriannulatus* A) (White, 1974; Gibson, 1996; Dekker and Takken, 1998;

but see Pates et al., 2001b). From a vector control stand point, recent advances in the development

of transgenic mosquito control methods have made understanding the permeability of species

boundaries even more urgent (e.g. Kyrou et al., 2018) because it is important to know whether

transgenic alleles have the potential to introgress between target and non-target species.

F1 hybrid female progeny of crosses between species of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex

are fertile, but, with the exception of the *An. gambiae* - *An. coluzzii* species pair, males range from

semi- to completely sterile (White, 1974; Hunt, 1998). A previous QTL analyses of sterility in bi-

directional backcross hybrids identified regions in the *An. coluzzii* genome that cause male and

female sterility when introgressed into an *An. arabiensis* genetic background, and vice versa

(Slotman et al., 2004; Slotman et al., 2005). One or more loci on the X chromosome contribute to

the effect of the X chromosome on the hybrid sterility phenotype in both directions of the cross.

Unfortunately, recombination on the X chromosomes is suppressed in hybrids by the *Xag* inversion,

which is fixed within *An. coluzzii* but absent in *An. arabiensis.* The *Xag* inversion comprises ~75%

of the *An. coluzzii* X chromosome. This limits our ability to map genes to the X chromosome

between species in the complex that harbor the *Xag* inversion (*An. gambiae, An. coluzzii,* and *An.*

merus), and those that have the standard arrangement, including *An. quadriannulatus.*

Slotman et al. (2004) also identified both partially dominant and partially recessive male

sterility QTL on the 2nd and 3rd chromosomes in both backcrosses. Consistent with the Dobzhansky-

Muller model (Dobzhansky, 1936; Muller, 1940), and previous results from *Drosophila* (Wu and

Beckenback, 1983; Orr and Coyne, 1989) the position of autosomal sterility QTL differs between

each backcross. Complete sterility is achieved through the inheritance of at least three to four

autosomal sterility loci (Slotman et al., 2004), including a pericentromeric QTL on the 3L

chromosome arm. Hybrid male inviability is caused by an incompatibility between the *An. coluzzii*

X chromosome and at least one locus on each autosome of *An. arabiensis.*

Female hybrid sterility QTL are present on the third chromosomes of both *An. coluzzii* and

An. arabiensis (Slotman et al., 2005). A QTL on the second chromosome *An. coluzzii* causes female

hybrid sterility when it is introgressed into an *An. arabiensis* genomic background. However, the

female sterility phenotype is affected primarily by the interaction of a foreign X chromosome with

the two autosomal QTL. Approximately 75% of observed phenotypic variance is due to the X

chromosome, which only represents 8.8% of the genome (Holt et al., 2002). Due to the lack of

recombination between X chromosomes in the cross, the number of loci on the X chromosome that

effect the hybrid sterility phenotype is unknown. Therefore, the impact of the X on the hybrid

sterility phenotype could result from one locus of major effect, or the effects could be spread across

multiple loci. A comparison of the data between the male (Slotman et al., 2004) and female

(Slotman et al., 2005) *An. coluzzii* × *An. arabiensis* hybrid sterility data shows that there are a

greater number of male than female hybrid sterility QTL, supporting previous studies that found

male sterility factors to evolve faster than female sterility factors (Charlesworth et al., 1987;

Kirkpatrick and Hall, 2004). This is consistent with faster male evolution observed in *Aedes*

mosquitoes (Presgraves and Orr, 1998), and the higher numbers of male versus female sterility

factors in *Drosophila* (e.g. Hollocher et al., 1996; True et al., 1996; Tao and Hartl, 2003).

In order to better understand how post-zygotic reproductive isolation evolved during the

rapid radiation of the of the *An. gambiae* species complex we performed a QTL analysis of male

hybrid sterility in a (*An. coluzzii* × *An. quadriannulatus*) F1 × *An. quadriannulatus* backcross, and

identified regions of the *An. coluzzii* genome that contribute to hybrid male sterility and inviability

when introgressed into an *An. quadriannulatus* genetic background. We discuss how these QTL

relate to those identified in the (*An. coluzzii* × *An. arabiensis*) F1 x *An. arabiensis* backcross

(Slotman et al., 2004), and the implications these findings have on our understanding of the

evolution of post-zygotic reproductive isolation in the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex, and

more broadly, in the context of rapid species radiations.

Methods

Backcross and Phenotype Scoring

We performed a backcross between the SUA2La strain of *An. coluzzii* and the SANGUA

strain of *An. quadriannulatus* to identify regions of the *An. coluzzii* genome that exhibit DMI with

the *An. quadriannulatus* genome, resulting in F1 male sterility. The *An. coluzzii* strain was

established from collections in Suakoko, Libera and the *An. quadriannulatus* strain was established

in from populations in Sangwe, Zimbabwe. Both strains have been lab reared for hundreds of

generations. We used a standard backcross scheme that has been used in studies to investigate the

genetic basis of hybrid sterility and inviability going back to Dobzhansky (1936). F1 *An. coluzzii*

× *An. quadriannulatus* (females × males, respectively) hybrids were reared and backcrossed to *An.*

quadriannulatus males *en masse*. Consistent with crosses between *An. coluzzii* and *An. arabienesis*,

the Xag inversion was expected to suppress recombination between the X chromosomes of *An.*

coluzzii and *An. quadriannulatus*.

The *2La* inversion is also fixed between the mosquito strains used in this cross, limiting our

mapping resolution in this region of the genome. While the *2La* is polymorphic within *An. coluzzii*,

and *An. coluzzii* strains with the standard arrangement (co-linear with *An. quadriannulatus*) are

available, we used the same *An. coluzzii* strain as Slotman et al. (2004) to allow a comparison

between them.

The testes of male hybrids were dissected and squashed between a microscope slide and a

cover slip. Each hybrid male mosquito was assigned a sterility phenotype following Slotman et al.

(2004). Sterility scores were primarily based upon the proportion of normal to abnormal sperm. If

no sperm was present, testes were often atrophied as well. In some instances, testes were missing

completely. Phenotype scores were as follows: (1) normal testes and sperm, (2) slightly abnormal

sperm, (3) 50/50 normal and abnormal sperm, (4) mostly abnormal sperm, (5) entirely abnormal

sperm, (6) no sperm present and/or severely atrophied testes, (7) no testes present. Phenotyping

was conducted by a single observer, without repeat observations. If unambiguous scoring was not

possible, or if the reproductive tissues were damaged during dissection, the sample was discarded.

Mosquitoes were preserved in 100% ethanol after phenotyping for DNA extraction an genotyping.

DNA sequencing

DNA extractions were performed on a Qiagen Biosprint DNA extraction machine (Qiagen

Inc, Valencia, CA). Extracted DNA was suspended in 200µl Qiagen elution buffer and stored at -

20°C. Each mosquito was genotyped using the restriction enzyme-based, genotype-by-sequencing

approach according to the multiplexed shotgun genotyping (MSG) approach published by

Andolfatto et al. (2011).

Briefly, 10 ng of genomic DNA of each mosquito was digested with the restriction enzyme

MseI, which cuts the *An. gambiae* genome on average every 183.2 bp. Samples were digested for

three hours at 37˚C, followed by a 20 minute, 65˚C enzyme deactivation step. Non-ligated bar-

coded adapters were removed using an isopropanol precipitation, and bar-coded samples with

unique adapters were pooled (96 per plate). Pooled samples were precipitated via centrifugation

and re-suspended in TE buffer. Next, DNA was bead-purified using an Agencourt AMPure PCR

purification kit. Bar-coded, purified DNA was size selected to a range of 250-400 bp using a Pippen

Prep and then amplified using a Phusion High-Fidelity PCR kit. Samples were amplified using a

common primer, and an indexed, library-specific primer (one per plate) that also incorporates the

Illumina flow-cell adapter. Thus, individuals were identifiable to a library prep by their index and

to the individual level by their barcoded adapter. Library amplification (PCR) was performed at a

range of 12-18 cycles to identify the minimum number necessary to amplify the library to a target

of 10-30 ng/uL (post clean up) in an effort to mitigate the incorporation of PCR errors. Amplified

libraries were bead-purified using an Agencourt AMPure PCR purification kit. Library size

distribution, integrity, and DNA concentration was confirmed by running each library on a

NanoDrop and BioAnalyzer prior to sequencing. We phenotyped and sequenced a total of 841 male

backcross hybrids. Hybrids were sequenced at the Texas A&M Genomics and Bioinformatics core

facility on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 using 125 bp, single-end chemistry to 0.5-2.0x depth per

individual.

We also performed pool-seq of ten *An. coluzzii* females and ten *An. quadriannulatus*

females in order to identify fixed genetic difference between parental strains that would be

diagnostic of the origin of genomic regions introgressed from *An. coluzzii* into *An. quadriannulatus*

in the backcross. Libraries were sequenced on a single lane of Illumina HiSeq 2500 using 150 bp,

paired-end chemistry to an average depth of 110.5x (*An. coluzzii*) and 66.9x (*An. quadriannulatus*).

Additionally, we prepared and sequenced MSG libraries for 192 *An. coluzzii* and 192 *An.*

quadriannulatus females to further validate ancestry informative markers and tune the MSG

software error model.

Parental pseudo-reference Construction

An. coluzzii pool-seq reads were aligned to the *An. gambiae* AgamP4.4 reference genome

using the BWA mem alignment algorithm (Li and Durban, 2010) and SNPs were called using

bcftools mpileup (v1.3) (Li et al., 2009). SNPs within three bases of indels were excluded. A

pseudo-reference for *An. coluzzii* was created by updating the AgamP4.4 reference genome

(vectorbase.org) with SNPs that had read depth between greater than 10 and less than 1000, map

quality greater than 20, and a phred-scaled quality score for the alternative allele greater than 26.

The *An. quadriannulatus* pseudo-reference was created in a similar fashion, but by aligning it to

the *An. coluzzii* pseudo-reference and updating this with fixed SNPs (*An. coluzzii – An.*

quadriannulatus divergent) that met the thresholds above.

Hybrid Genotyping

We used Trimmomatic version 0.30 (Bolger et al., 2014) to trim MSG sequencing reads by

quality by simultaneously soft clipping the reads from both 5' and 3' ends to an average phred

quality score of 20, with no single bp in a four bp window below a phred quality score of 20. Only

reads ≥ 50 bp were retained for alignment and subsequent analyses.

We used the MSG software package (Andolfatto et al., 2011) to align hybrid MSG data to

parental pseudo-references and estimate the posterior probabilities of ancestry at genome-wide

SNPs that were informative of parental ancestry. We removed 33 hybrids from this analysis due to

low sequencing coverage (<10K reads). Posterior probabilities of ancestry were thinned using

pull_thin_tsv.py (https://github.com/dstern/pull_thin). We considered a marker to be informative

if its conditional probability of being homozygous differed by more than 0.10 from its neighboring

marker. Missing genotypes were imputed using the hidden Markov model implemented in the

sim.geno() function of R/qtl (Broman et al., 2003)

Sterility QTL Mapping

We used R/qtl (Broman et al., 2003) to identify redundant, adjacent markers and further

thinned our marker set to include only informative markers. It is important to note that this

experiment is not marker, but recombination limited. Therefore, many markers are redundant, and

we removed these to reduce computational time. Next, we used R/qtl to estimate the genetic map

of 808 hybrid males using the Kosambi map function (Kosambi, 1943). We performed quantitative

trait locus mapping with the composite interval mapping (CIM) method using 15 markers as co-

factors, and also assessed the significance of QTL models using multiple imputation mapping. This

method drops one QTL at a time to calculate the probability of QTL locations and their interactions

using an ANOVA (Sen and Churchill, 2001). In all analyses, sterility was treated as a normal trait,

and we used 1000 permutation replicates to assess the significance of log of odds (LOD) scores.

Ultimately, we took three approaches to identify sterility QTL in the dataset. The first was to

scan for QTL using the composite interval mapping and calculating the logarithm of these odds (LOD)

score every 1 cM. When calculating LOD scores we controlled for the genetic background of adjacent

markers by using 15 marker covariates across the genome. Due to the skew of the phenotype scores

toward "normal", and severe segregation distortion of the X chromosome, we hypothesized that the

QTL identified through CIM of the full dataset were in fact comprised of two types: (1) autosomal *An.*

coluzzii loci that are incompatible with the *An. quadriannulatus* X, and (2) homozygous *An.*

quadriannulatus autosomal loci that interact epistatically with the *An. coluzzii* X in individuals that are

otherwise primarily heterozygous at autosomal loci (recovering viability despite the inheritance of a non-recombinant *An. coluzzii* X chromosome). Thus, we also split the dataset into individuals that had inherited the *An. quadriannulatus* X (N = 568) and *An. coluzzii* X (N = 211), respectively, and performed CIM (as above) on these datasets separately.

Next, we tested all peaks identified by CIM, regardless of significance or LOD score, in the full dataset, and any peaks that were unique to either the *An. quadriannulatus* X or *An. coluzzii* X datasets, respectively, by adding each peak independently to the multiple imputation QTL model that also contained the highly significant X chromosome QTL. After identifying all peaks that had a significant additive effect in the multiple imputation QTL model, we tested epistatic interactions between each, in a pairwise fashion, by adding each of these interactions to the model independently. This approach allowed us to identify peaks (and their interactions) which, despite having non-significant LOD scores in the CIM permutation test, were found to have a significant impact on sterility when incorporated into the multiple imputation QTL model.

We identified candidate sterility genes within QTL by determining the 1.5 LOD interval of all QTL peaks in base pairs, and used these coordinates to download the list of annotated genes within QTL from the AgamP4 genome using the VectorBase (vectorbase.org) genome browser using the "Export Data" function. Next we used the PANTHER classification system (Mi et al., 2019) gene list analysis search function (pantherdb.org) to search for the protein annotations and functions of these genes. Finally, we performed a protein network analysis on the entire gene list using STRING (Szklarczyket al., 2019) in order to identify direct interactions between putative sterility genes.

Identification of Inviability Loci

We identified regions of the *An. coluzzii* genome that result in hybrid inviability when introgressed into an *An. quadriannulatus* genetic background by analyzing segregation distortion of autosomal loci in the *An. quadriannulatus* X chromosome and *An. coluzzii* X chromosome datasets. We used the mean, genome-wide proportion of *An. quadriannulatus* homozygosity in the

full *An. coluzzii × An. quadriannulatus* backcross population as the expected homozygosity

proportion, assuming Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. We used a chi-square test to identify loci that

deviate significantly (p-value < 6.01e-05 after Bonferroni correction) from expected homozygosity.

Results

Pseudo-reference Construction, Genotyping, and Genetic Map

After whole genome alignment, SNP calling, and variant filtering of the *An. coluzzii* pool-seq

data, we generated the *An. coluzzii* pseuo-reference by incorporating 4,391,200 filtered SNPs into the

An. gambiae s.s. AgamP4 reference genome. To construct the *An. quadriannulatus* pseuo-reference,

we updated the *An. coluzzii* pseuo-reference with 4,242,426 *An. quadriannulatus* SNPs that were

found to be fixed between the *An. quadriannulatus* and *An. coluzzii* pool-seq samples. MSG data is

inherently low coverage, so not all fixed differences between the pool-seq datasets were subsequently

used for hybrid genotyping.

We calculated genotype probabilities at 239,420 ancestry informative SNPs for 808 *An. coluzzii*

× An. quadriannulatus backcross hybrid males. Ultimately, we trimmed the dataset to 860 non-

redundant markers (Table 1). The mean proportion of missing data per individual was 0.021 (sd =

0.060, range = 0.0 – 0.445). These markers were used to calculate a genetic map (Figure 1) with

Kosambi distances of 206.1 cM for the second chromosome (110.9 Mb), 100.7 cM for the third (95.2

Mb), and 3.7 cM for the X (24.4 Mb) (Table 1, Figure 1). The larger relative genetic map of the second

chromosome is likely due to the presence of the *2La* inversion, which is fixed between these species

and can significantly increase recombination frequency on the 2R (i.e. Schultz-Redfield Effect, Schultz

and Redfield, 1951; Lucchesi and Suzuki, 1968; Stevison et al., 2011), and segregation distortion

resulting from male inviability for some genotype combinations (Zuo et al., 2019; Hackett and

Broadfoot, 2003).

The genetic length of the X chromosome was expected to be low due to the presence of the *Xag*

inversion, which comprises 80% of the X and is fixed for alternate arrangements between these species.

The majority of hybrids (70.3%, N = 568) inherited a non-recombinant *An. quadriannulatus* X

chromosome, 26.1% (N = 211) inherited a non-recombinant *An. coluzzii* X, and 3.5% (N = 29) a

recombinant X. The mean sterility score (ranging from 1-7, normal to completely sterile) was 2.62 (sd

= 2.34). The majority of backcross mosquitoes (64.23%, N =519) were fully fertile (sterility score =

1).

Segregation distortion and inviability

Segregation distortion was previously documented in male and female hybrids between

member species of this complex (Slotman et al., 2004; Slotman et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2015).

Furthermore, the complete absence of specific genotypes can indicate their inviability. We tested for

significant segregation distortion of autosomal loci in the *An. coluzzii* X and *An. quadriannulatus* X

datasets, respectively, be comparing the proportion of *An. quadriannulatus* homozygosity at each locus

to the genome-wide average in the full dataset. It is important to note that this analysis was performed

on a per locus basis, and is agnostic of interactions between loci.

In the *An. quadriannulatus* X dataset, 67.3% (N=296) of chromosome 2 loci and 18.4% (N=72)

of chromosome 3 loci showed significant segregation distortion (Figure 2). Loci on the chromosome 2

exhibit both homozygote excess and deficit (heterosis), while only homozygote excess is exhibited on

chromosome 3. In the *An. coluzzii* X dataset, 23.9% (N=105) of chromosome 2 loci and 69.6% (N=273)

of chromosome 3 loci showed significant segregation distortion. Interestingly, 40.8% of chromosome

3 loci (spanning a 18.9 Mb region) lack homozygotes in all genotyped individuals, indicating

individuals that inherited the *An. coluzzii* X and were *An. quadriannulatus* homozygous at loci inside

this region were completely inviable (Figure 2). No autosomal heterozygote excess was observed in

the *An. coluzzii* X dataset.

Composite Interval Mapping

Due to minimal recombination events, the X chromosome is effectively one large QTL with a

LOD score of 203.4 (Table 2). By comparison, none of the autosomal QTL reach a LOD score of 4.0.

No autosomal QTL fall above the CIM 5% significance threshold (LOD=4.31, 1,000 permutations).

Despite this, we annotated three QTL peaks on the second chromosome (1.63 cM, 20.87 cM, and

134.26 cM) (Figure 3, Table 2) that contributed significantly to the sterility phenotype when included

in the multiple imputation QTL model (see *Multiple Imputation*, below, and Table 4). The second

chromosome peak at 1.63 cM (QTL "2R-A") was present in the full and *An. quadriannulatus* X

datasets, but not the *An. coluzzii* X dataset (Figure 3). QTL "2L" at 134.26 cM was present in the full

and *An. coluzzii* X datasets, but not the *An. quadriannulatus* X dataset. Finally, QTL "2R-B" (20.87

cM) was found in the full and both X chromosome split datasets, suggesting that it contains loci

involved in autosomal-autosomal incompatibilities (Figure 3). While additional LOD peaks are present

in the *An. coluzzii* X dataset, these were non-significant when included in the multiple imputation QTL

model (see *Multiple Imputation*, below) (Figure 3). None of the second chromosome QTL overlap

with those found in the *An. coluzzii × An. arabiensis* cross (Slotman et al., 2004) (Figure 3, Table 2).

Two QTL were identified on the third chromosome at 53.95 (QTL "3L-A") and 67.46 cM (QTL

"3L-B") (Figure 3, Table 2). These are both present in the full and *An. quadriannulatus* X dataset, but

not the *An. coluzzii* X dataset, indicating that *An. coluzzii* introgressions into a predominantly *An.*

quadriannulatus genetic background are driving this signal. QTL "3L-A" in the *An. coluzzii × An.*

quadriannulatus dataset overlaps with a QTL in the *An. coluzzii × An. arabiensis* dataset (Slotman *et*

al, 2004) (Figure 3, Table 2). The 3L-A and 3L-B QTL have seemingly additive-only effects on sterility

(see *Multiple Imputation*, below); these QTL were not identified by CIM in the *An. coluzzii* X dataset,

suggesting that they result only from *An. coluzzii* introgressions into predominantly *An.*

quadriannulatus genetic backgrounds. However, only three hybrid males were sampled that are *An.*

quadriannulatus 3L-B homozygous and inherited the *An. coluzzii* X (Figure 4), and each had a sterility

score of five or six. No hybrid males were sampled that are *An. quadriannulatus* 3L-A homozygous

and inherited the *An. coluzzii* X. The reduction (or absence) of homozygous genotypes at the 3L-A and

3L-B QTL differs significantly from expectation (the percentage of homozygous genotypes across all

autosomal loci, assuming HWE) (Table 3). Thus, these regions of the *An. quadriannulatus* genome

interact epistatically with the X and result in almost complete male inviability when introgresesed into

an *An. coluzzii* genetic background.

Multiple Imputation

CIM detects QTL by calculating LOD scores at each marker or map location along each

chromosome and does this agnostic of other QTL contributions to the phenotype and potential epistatic

interactions between QTL. Due to this limitation, we built multiple imputation QTL models to detect

the significance of all QTL LOD peaks found during CIM of the full (i.e., not split by X chromosome)

dataset. The QTL discussed above, and those reported in Table 2, are only those that contributed

significantly to the variance in sterility in the multiple imputation models described herein. We report

two QTL models, one that considers only additive effects of QTL on sterility, and one which includes

significant epistatic effects between QTL. We took an iterative process during testing QTL models and

added QTL locations identified through CIM in a stepwise fashion. After we had identified those that

had significant additive effects, we tested all pairwise epistatic effects between these loci. The final

"additive only" and "epistasis allowed" models explain 71.66% and 72.29% of the variance in sterility,

respectively (Table 4). The vast majority of this variance is explained by the inheritance of the X

chromosome. As expected, individuals harboring the *An. coluzzii* X chromosome have on average

higher sterility scores because in these backcrosses there are more opportunities for *An.*

quadriannulatus autosomal – *An. coluzzii* X incompatibilities than vice versa (Figure 4).

Each of the QTL described had a significant additive effect in both the "additive only" and

"epistasis allowed" models (F-test p-value < 0.01) (Table 4). After testing all possible pair-wise

interactions between these loci, we found that only the X and 2L (134.26 cM) QTL pair had a

significant interaction (F-test p-value = 5.60E-06). In both models, additive effects of autosomal loci,

and the epistatic interaction between the X and 2L QTL, each explained less than 1% of the variance

in hybrid sterility. The "epistasis allowed" model explained a higher proportion of the variance and had a higher model LOD (225.78) when compared to the "additive only" model (221.25) (Table 4).

To better understand the direction of the effect of each QTL in the full dataset, we compared the phenotypes of each individual given their genotype (*An. quadriannulatus* homozygous (QQ) vs. *An. quadriannulatus/An. coluzzii* heterozygous (QC)) at autosomal QTL and the X chromosome (Figure 5). Each autosomal locus increases sterility in the heterozygous state, and individuals with the *An. coluzzii* X are significantly more sterile. The interaction plot (Figure 5, "X vs 2L", right panel) between the X and 2L QTL demonstrates that heterozygosity at the 2L QTL alone does not impact sterility when an individual has the *An. quadriannulatus* X, but reduces sterility when inherited with the *An. coluzzii* X. The evidence suggests that each autosomal QTL indicate the location of a (partially) dominant *An. coluzzii* sterility gene that causes incompatibilities with the *An. quadriannulatus* background.

We determined the 1.5 LOD interval of each QTL and, using the base pair positions of our SNP markers, determined the physical map span of each (Table 2). Next, we compared QTL locations to male sterility QTL found in a *An. coluzzii × An. arabiensis* cross (Figure 3) (Slotman et al., 2004). We re-analyzed this data using CIM with three marker covariates. No significant QTL on the second chromosome overlap between these crosses. However, the 3L-A QTL in our *An. coluzzii × An. quadriannulatus* cross falls within the 3L QTL from the *An. coluzzii × An. arabiensis* cross, and the 3L-B QTL overlaps it. In the Slotman et al. (2004) data the third chromosome QTLs are also only present when the hybrid has the backcross (*An. arabiensis* in this case) parent X chromosome (Figure 3). Thus, interestingly this represents a region of the *An. coluzzii* that contributes to sterility when introgressed into both *An. quadriannulatus* and *An. arabiensis* genetic backgrounds.

Candidate Speciation Genes

We identified all annotated genes in the AgamP4 genome within the 1.5 LOD intervals of each QTL using VectorBase (www.vectorbase.org) and found the protein family (if available) of each gene

using PANTHER. Due to the lack of resolution on the X chromosome, we excluded this chromosome

from this analysis. We identified 349 genes within all autosomal QTL (2.47 Mb total, Table 2). We

identified ten genes with protein functions related to mating, reproduction, testes, and microtubule

morphogenesis and function (Table 5) in the full gene set (Table S1).

We performed a protein network analysis on the entire gene list using STRING (Szklarczyk et

al. 2019). The full STRING protein interaction results can be found in Supplementary File 1. Many

protein products of genes in autosomal QTL regions have direct interactions, and four proteins with

functions related to reproduction (bold in Table 5) interact directly in an insular protein network:

dynein light chain Tctex-type, intra-flagellar transport protein 74 homolog, tubulin-specific chaperone

D, and cytoplasmic dynein 2 heavy chain 1.

Discussion

One or more loci on the X chromosomes of both species have a large impact on hybrid male

sterility in the *An. coluzzii* × *An. quadriannulatus* hybrids. In both multiple imputation QTL models

presented (with and without X by 2L epistasis) the cumulative effect of the X chromosome accounted

for greater than 60 % of the phenotypic variation. These results support the theory of large X effect on

hybrid male sterility due to its hemizygosity in the heterogametic sex (*Anopheles* males) where

recessive sterility genes are expressed, but cannot discount the role of faster X evolution, which we did

not test. The large proportion of variance explained by the X in our *An. coluzzii* × *An. quadriannulatus*

backcross contrasts with the *An. coluzzii* × *An. arabiensis* backcross (to *An. arabiensis*) performed by

Slotman et al. (2004). Slotman et al. (2004) found that the X chromosome accounted for only 4.7% of

the variance in the hybrid male sterility phenotype while each of five autosomal QTL accounted for

3.4% to 20.3% of the variance (Table 4, Slotman et al., 2004). However, the relatively small proportion

of the variance explained by the X in the *An. coluzzii* × *An. arabiensis* backcross resulted, at least in

part, from the inviability observed when hybrids inherited the *An. coluzzii* X (only 9.7% of hybrid

males inherited the *An. coluzzii* X, Table 1 of Slotman et al., 2004).

When *An. coluzzii* × *An. arabiensis* F1 hybrids were backcrossed to *An. coluzzii,* the X

accounted for 39.5% of the variance and additive effects of autosomal QTL accounted for 4.2-7.9% of

the variance (Slotman et al., 2004). The differences in the effect size of the X between crosses, and

directions of a back cross between two species (Slotman et al., 2004) is not unexpected. First, the X

chromosome has different effects on inviability in each direction of a cross. Second, the genetic

architecture of DMIs are unique to each species pair. Additionally, DMIs accumulate over time faster

than linearly, and the genetic architecture of individual DMIs becomes more complex as additional

mutations accumulate (Matute et al., 2010; Matute and Gavin-Smyth, 2014, Moyle and Nakazato,

2010, Orr and Turelli, 2001).

Unfortunately, due to the *Xag* inversion, the entire X chromosome is effectively one QTL, and

we have limited resolution to identify candidate genes on this chromosome. While the 1.5 LOD interval

of the X chromosome QTL is 8.53 Mb, the entire span of the QTL is 14.2 Mb, or 58% of the X

chromosome. However, we determined which autosomal *An. coluzzii* loci contributed to sterility when

introgressed into a predominantly *An. quadriannulatus* genomic background, and vice versa, by

dividing the dataset by the parental origin of the X chromosome. This analysis revealed that the

epistatic interaction between the 2L and the X QTL is driven by the interaction between *An.*

quadriannulatus QTL 2L homozygotes and the hemizygous *An. coluzzii* X chromosome (Figures 3,

4). Individuals with this genotype combination are among the most sterile in the cross (Figure 4), and

the 2L QTL is absent in the *An. quadriannulatus* X chromosome CIM results (Figure 3).

The 3L-A QTL sterility QTL in our *An. coluzii* × *An. quadriannulatus* cross overlaps entirely

with the 3L QTL identified in the *An. coluzzii* × *An. arabiensis* cross (backcrossed to *An. arabiensis,*

Figure 2.D of Slotman et al., 2004). No *An. arabiensis* homozygotes were sampled in this region when

the hybrid inherited the *An. coluzzii* X chromosome. Thus, the *An. coluzzii* X chromosome interacts

epistatically with this same region of 3L in both *An.* quadriannulatus and *An. arabiensis* to contribute

to hybrid male sterility. We hypothesize that the 3L-A QTL harbors an ancestral allele that is shared

between *An.* quadriannulatus and *An. arabiensis* that has not been subjected to introgression between

An. coluzzii and *An. arabiensis*.

Due to the rapid radiation of the *Anopheles gambiae* species complex, incomplete lineage

sorting, and ongoing introgression between member species via fertile F1 hybrid females, the topology

of the species complex phylogeny, which is based upon the X chromosome, is discordant with the

whole-genome topology (Figure 1 of Fontaine et al., 2015). Bi-directional introgression between *An.*

arabiensis and the ancestor of *An. gambiae s.s. + An. coluzzii* has reduced the genetic distance between

the autosomes of these species relative to the X chromosome. As a result, *An. arabiensis* is sister to

An. quadriannulatus in the X chromosome phylogeny but is sister to *An. gambiae s.s. + An. coluzzii* in

the whole genome phylogeny.

While gene tree phylogenies vary across the genome due to the reasons outlines above, on the

3L, where we find overlap of sterility QTL between *An. quadriannulatus* and *An. arabiensis* crosses,

the most commonly found gene phylogenies are those that group *An. arabiensis* closer to *An. coluzzii*

than *An. quadriannulatus* (Figure 3 of Fontaine et al., 2015). If we assume that genes involved in post-

zygotic reproductive isolation between this species trio evolved first on the X chromosome between

the ancestor of *An. gambiae s.s. + An. coluzzii* and the ancestor of *An. arabiensis + An.*

quadriannulatus, we can hypothesize that the 3L-A QTL shared between these crosses may have an X

chromosome-like topology despite the homogenizing effect of introgression between the *An. coluzzii*

and *An. arabiensis* autosomes. This topology is found in ~12.6% of 50-kb genomics windows on the

3L (Figure 3 of Fontaine et al., 2015; see "other, A+Q trees").

Our hypothesis that the 3L-A by X chromosome DMI may have been the first to arise between

the ancestor of *An. gambiae s.s. + An. coluzzii* and the ancestor of *An. arabiensis + An. quadriannulatus*

can be tested in the following ways. First, this sterility QTL should also exist in a cross between *An.*

gambiae s.s. and *An. arabiensis* or *An. quadriannulatus,* and second, it should be absent in a cross

between *An. arabiensis* and *An. quadriannulatus*. No hybrid sterility or inviability exists between *An.*

coluzzii and its sister species *An. gambiae s.s.*, so we expect that the same loci will be responsible for

reproductive isolation between both of these species and either *An. arabiensis* or *An. quadriannulatus*.

A cross between *An. arabiensis* and *An. quadriannulatus* may yield more interesting results; it would

help us to understand the order by which individual DMI arose between these species pairs, and how

this effects our understanding of the "snowball effect" of DMI evolution in the context of rapidly

radiating species. For example, while the 3L-A by X chromosome DMI has been maintained between

An. coluzzii and both *An. arabiensis* and *An. quadriannulatus*, additional DMI may have evolved in a

"snowball effect" type scenario between *An. coluzzii* and *An. quadriannulatus,* where there is no

evidence historical autosomal introgression (Fontaine et al., 2015), whereas DMI may have been lost

as a result of autosomal introgression between *An. coluzzii* and *An. arabiensis*, or their relative rate of

evolution may have been slower.

Our candidate sterility gene analysis identified seven genes (out of 349) within autosomal *An.*

coluzzii × An. quadriannulatus hybrid male sterility QTL that had protein functions related to tubulin

or microtubule biogenesis, structure, or function. Four of these (AGAP004782, AGAP001219,

AGAP010790, and AGAP001229) have experimentally determined, direct interactions with each

other, while another interaction is predicted due to co-expression (AGAP004724 and AGAP010790)

(Table 5, Supplementary File 1). While these genes are found within autosomal QTL that contribute to

a small proportion of variation in the sterility phenotype, one or more could have an interaction with

an X chromosome locus that is disrupted during hybrid testes morphogenesis and/or spermatogenesis.

In *Drosophila,* spermatogenesis progresses through mitosis of germ cells, meiosis, and finally the

differentiation of spermatids into mature sperm (White-Cooper et al., 2009). Infertility in *Drosophila*

hybrid males is associated with spermiogenic (post- rather than pre-meiotic) failure associated with

sperm individualization and maturation (Wu et al., 1992). Recent work in the *Anopheles gambiae*

complex demonstrates that hybrid sterility results in part from pre-meiotic arrest in degenerate testes

(Liang et al, 2019).

Sperm flagellum elongate from the spermatid head after meiosis. The axoneme is the central

component of the sperm flagellum and has a 9+2 structure of a central pair of microtubules surrounded

by nine doublet microtubules. Doublet microtubules have an inner and outer dynein arm that serve to

attach and detach to neighboring doublet microtubules, and function in the motor activity of the

flagellum. The axoneme is surrounded by a mitochondrial sheath that serves to provide ATP and power

motor activity. Tektins are located near the junction points of dynein arms and neighboring

microtubules (Gagon, 1995; Linck et al., 2016). Seven of the ten reproduction genes have PANTHER

protein functions related to tubulin or microtubule biogenesis, structure, or function: gamma-tubulin

complex component 5, microtubule-associated protein futsch, tubulin-like protein alpha-4B-related,

dynein light chain Tctex-type, intra-flagellar transport protein 74 homolog, tubulin-specific chaperone

D, and cytoplasmic dynein 2 heavy chain 1. The latter five genes (bold, Table 5) in this list have direct

interactions in the STRING protein interaction network, and may represent a candidate genetic pathway

whose disruption results in hybrid male sterility in the *An. coluzzii × An. quadriannulatus* cross.

Interestingly, another tubulin-specific chaperone, E-like, is required for sperm individualization and

male fertility in *Drosophila* (Nuwal et al., 2012).

The three genes without tubulin/microtubule functions are: transcription factor ken, protein

lingerer, and a checkpoint protein Hus1-like. Mutations in the ken and barbie transcription factor result

in malformed genitalia in *Drosophila* (Lukacsovich et al., 2003). lingerer encodes a putative RNA

binding protein and *Drosophila* lingerer mutant males fail to withdraw their genitalia after copulation

(Kuniyoshi et al., 2002). Finally, Hus1-like is a component of the 9-1-1 checkpoint protein complex,

which coordinates DNA damage sensing, cell cycle progression, and DNA repair (Cotta-Ramusino et

al., 2010). Future analyses of the molecular evolution of these genes and their regulatory regions

between member species of the *An. gambiae* complex may yield insight into the specific alleles

responsible for hybrid male sterility between *An. coluzzii*, *An. quadriannulatus*, and *An. arabiensis.*

In this study we have determined that the X chromosome accounts for that majority of the

variance in the hybrid male sterility phenotype in a cross between *An. coluzzii* and *An. quadriannulatus*.

We have also narrowly defined autosomal QTL which have a statistically significant contribution to

sterility despite their smaller effect sizes in comparison to the X. The shared, autosomal sterility QTL

between the *An. coluzzii × An. quadriannulatus* and *An. coluzzii × An. arabiensis* backcrosses suggest

that these may represent important autosomal regions involved in the post-zygotic reproductive

isolation of *An. coluzzii* from its sister species that diverged early in the radiation of the *An. gambiae*

species complex. Future analyses into factors contributing to female hybrid sterility in the *An. coluzzii*

× An. quadriannulatus cross will add to our knowledge of the genetics of speciation in this

evolutionarily and medically important group of human malaria mosquitoes.

References

Andolfatto, P., Davison, D., Erezyilmaz, D., Mast, J., Sunayama-Morita, T., and Stern, D.L. (2011).

Multiplexed shotgun genotyping for rapid and efficient genetic mapping. *Genome Res.* 21,

610-617.

Bakker, E.G., Toomajian, C., Kreitman, M., and Bergelson, J. (2006). A genome-wide survey of *R*

gene polymorphisms in *Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell* 18, 1803-1818.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina

Sequence Data. *Bioinformatics*, btu170.

Bomblies, K., Lempe, J., Epple, P., Warthmann, N., Lanz, C., Dangle, J.L., and Weigel, D. (2007).

Autoimmune response as a mechanism for Dobzhansky-Muller-type incompatibility

syndrome in plants. *PLoS Biology* 5, e236.

Bomblies, K., and Weigel, D. (2007). Hybrid necrosis: autoimmunity as a potential gene-flow barrier

in plant species. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 8, 382-393.

Broman, K.W., Wu, H., Sen, S., and Churchill, G.A. (2003). R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental

crosses. *Bioinformatics* 19, 889-890.

Charlesworth, B., Coyne, J.A., and Barton, N.H. (1987). The relative raes of evolution of sex

chromosomes and autosomes. *The American Naturalist* 130, 113-146.

Cotta-Ramusino, C., McDonald, E.R., Hurov, K., Sowa, M.E., Harper, J.W., and Elledge, S.J.

(2010). A DNA damage response screen identifies RHINO, a 9-1-1 and TopBP1 interacting

protein required for ATR signaling. *Science* 332, 1313-1317.

Coyne, J.A., and Orr, H.A. (2004). Speciation. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA. 545 pp.

Dekker, T., and Takken, W. (1998). Responses of *Anopheles arabiensis* Patton and *Anopheles*

quadriannulatus Theobald to carbon dioxide, a man and a calf. *Medical and Veterinary*

Entomology 12, 136–140.

Dobzhansky, T. (1936). Studies on hybrid sterility, II. Localization of sterility factors in *Drosophila*

Pseudoobscura hybrids. *Genetics* 146, 239-244.

Dobzhansky, T. (1937). *Genetics and the Origin of Species*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Fontaine, M.C., Pease, J.B., Steele, A., Waterhouse, R.M., Neafsey, D.E., Sharakhov, I.V., *et al.*

(2015). Extensive introgression in a malaria vector species complex revealed by

phylogenomics. *Science* 347, 1258524-1-6.

Gagon, C. (1995). Regulation of Sperm Motility at the Axonemal Level. *Reproductive Fertility and*

Development 7, 847-855.

Gibson, G. (1996). Genetics, ecology and behaviour of anophelines. *Olfaction in Mosquito–Host*

Interactions (ed. by G. R.Bock and G.Cardew)., pp. 22–45. Ciba Foundation Symposium 200.

John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.

Graze, R.M., Novelo, L.L., Amin, V., Fear, J.M., Casella, G., Nuzhdin, S.V., and McIntyre, L.M.

(2012). Allelic imbalance in *Drosophila* hybrid heads: exons, isoforms, and evolution.

Molecular Biology and Evolution 29, 1521-1532.

Hackett, C., and Broadfoot, L. (2003). Effects of genotyping errors, missing values, and segregation

distortion in molecular marker data on the construction of linkage maps. *Heredity* 90, 33-38.

Hollocher, H., and Wu, C.I. (1996). The genetics of reproductive isolation in the *Drosophila*

simulans clade: X vs. autosomal effects and male vs. female effects. *Genetics* 143, 1243-

1255.

Holt, R.A., *et al.* (2002). The genome sequence of the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *Science*

298, 129-149.

Hunt, R.H., Coetzee, M., and Fettene, M. (1998). The *Anopheles gambiae* complex: a new species

from Ethiopia. *Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 92, 231-

235.

Kalirad, A., and Azevedo, R.B.R. (2017). Spiraling complexity: A test of the snowball effect in a

computational model of RNA folding. *Genetics* 206, 377-388.

Kirkpatrick, M., and Hall, D.W. (2004). Male-biased mutation, sex linkage, and the rate of adaptive

evolution. *Evolution* 58, 437-440.

Kosambi, D.D. (1943). The estimation of map distances from recombination values. *Annals of*

Eugenics 12, 172-175.

Kuniyoshi, H., Baba, K., Ueda, R., Kondo, S., Awano, W., Juni, N., and Yamamoto, D. (2002).

lingerer, a *Drosophila* gene involved in initiation and termination of copulation, encodes a set

of novel cytoplasmic proteins. *Genetics* 162, 1775-1789.

Kyrou, K., Hammond, A., Galizi, R., Kranjc, N., Burt, A., Beaghton, A.K., Nolan, T., and Crisanti,

A. (2018). A CRISPR–Cas9 gene drive targeting *doublesex* causes complete population

suppression in caged *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes. *Nature Biotechnol* 36, 1062–1066.

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754-1760.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,

Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The sequence

alignment/map (SAM). format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078-2079.

Liang, J., and Sharakhov, I.V. (2019) Premeiotic and meiotic failures lead to hybrid male sterility in

the *Anopheles gambiae* complex. *Proceedings B* 286, 20191080.

Linck, R.Q., Chemes, H., and Albertini D. (2016). The axoneme: the propultive engine of spermatozoa

and cilia and associated ciliopathies leading to infertility. *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and*

Genetics 33, 141-156.

Lucchesi, J.C., and Suzuki, D.T. (1968). The interchromosomal control of recombination. *Annual*

Review of Genetics 2, 53-86.

Lukacsovich, T., Yuge K., Awano W., Asztalos Z., Kondo S., Juni N., and Yamamoto, D. (2003).

The *ken and barbie* gene encoding a putative transcription factor with a BTB domain and

three zinc finger motifs functions in terminalia development of *Drosophila*. *Archives of Insect*

Biochemistry and Physiology 54, 77-94.

MacNair, M.R. (1983). The genetic control of copper tolerance in the yellow monkey flower,

Mimulus guttatus. Heredity 50, 283-293.

Macnair, M.R., and Christie, P. (1983). Reproductive isolation as a pleiotropic effect of copper

tolerance in *Mimulus guttatus*? *Heredity* 50, 295-302.

Maheshwari, S., and Barbash, D.A. (2011). The genetics of hybrid incompatabilities. *Annual Review*

Genetics 45, 331-355.

Mani, G.S., and Clarke, B.C. (1990). Mutational order: a major stochastic process in evolution.

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Biology 240, 29-37.

Masley, J.P., Jones, C.D., Noor, M.A.F., Locke, J., and Orr, H.A. (2006). Gene transposition as a

cause of hybrid sterility in Drosophila. *Science* 313, 1448-1450.

Matute, D.R., Butler, I.A., Turissini, D.A., and Coyne, J.A. (2010). A test of the snowball theory for

the rate of evolution of hybrid incompatibilities. *Science* 329, 1518-1521.

Matute, D.R., and Gavin-Smyth, J. (2014). Fine mapping of dominant X-linked incompatibility

alleles in *Drosophila* hybrids. *PLoS Genetics* 11, e1005558.

Mi, H., Muruganujan, A., Huang, X., Ebert, D., Mills, C., Guo, X., and Thomas, P.D. (2019)

Protocol Update for large-scale genome and gene function analysis with the PANTHER

classification system (v.14.0). *Nature Protocols* 14, 703-721.

Moyle, L.C., and Nakazato,T. (2010). Hybrid incompatibility "snowballs" between *Solanum* species.

Science 329, 1521-1523.

Müller, H.J. (1940). Bearing of the *Drosophila* work on systematics. In *The New Systematics*, ed. JS

Huxley, pp. 185-268. Oxford, UK. Clarendon Press.

Nosil, P., and Flaxman, S.M. (2011). Conditions for mutation-order speciation. *Proceedings of the*

Royal Society of London, Biology 278, 399-407.

Nuwal, T., Kropp, M,. Wegener, S., Racic, S., Montalban, I., and Buchner, E. (2012). The *Drosophila*

homologue of tubulin-specific chaperon E-like protein is required for synchronous sperm

individualization and normal male fertility. *Journal of Neurogenetics* 26, 374-381.

Orr, H.A., and Coyne ,J.A. (1989). The genetics of postzygotic isolation in the *Drosophila virilis*

group. *Genetics* 121, 527-537.

Orr, H.A., and Irving, S. (2005). Segregation distortion in hybrids between the Bogota and USA

subspecies of *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. *Genetics* 169, 671-682.

Orr, H.A. (1995). The population genetics of speciation: the evolution of hybrid incompatibilities.

Genetics 139, 1805–1813.

Orr, H.A., and Turelli, M. (2001). The evolution of postzygotic isolation: accumulating Dobzhansky-

Muller incompatibilities. *Evolution* 55, 1085-1094.

Pates, H.V., Takken, W., Curtis, C.F., Huisman, W., Akinpelu, O., and Gill, G.S. (2001b).

Unexpected anthropophagic behavior in *Anopheles quadriannulatus. Medical and Veterinary*

Entomology 15, 293-298.

Pates, H.V., Takken, W., Stuke, K., and Curtis, C.F. (2001a). Differential behaviour of *Anopheles*

gambiae sensu stricto (Diptera: Culicidae) to human and cow odours in the laboratory.

Bulletin of Entomological Research 4, 289-296.

Phadnis, N., and Orr, H.A. (2009). A single gene causes both male sterility and segregation distortion

in *Drosophila* hybrids. *Science* 323, 376-379.

Presgraves, D.C., and Orr, H.A. (1998). Haldane's rule in taxa lacking a hemizygous X. *Science* 282,

952-954.

Schultz, J., and Redfield, H. (1951). Interchromosomal effects on crossing over in *Drosophila*. *Cold*

Spring Harbor Symposium on Quantitative Biology 16, 175-197.

Sen, S., Churchhill, G.A. (2001). A statistical framework for quantitative trait mapping. *Genetics*

159, 371-387.

Slotman, M.A., Della Torre, A., and Powell, J.R. (2004). The genetics of inviability and male sterility

in hybrids between *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles arabiensis* (2004). *Evolution* 59, 1016-

1026.

Slotman, M.A., della Torre, A., and Powell, J.R. (2005). Female sterility in hybrids between

Anopheles gambiae and *A. arabiensis*, and the cause of Haldane's Rule. *Evolution* 59, 1016-

1026.

Smith, H.A., White, B.J., Kundert, P., Cheng, C., Romero-Severson, J., Andolfatto, P., and Besansky,

N.J. (2015). Genome-wide QTL mapping of saltwater tolerance in sibling species of

Anopheles (malaria vector). mosquitoes. *Heredity* 115, 471-479.

Stevison, L.S., Hoehn, K.B., and Noor, M.A. (2011). Effects of inversions on within- and between-

species recombination divergence. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 3, 830-841.

Sun, S., Ting, C.T., and Wu, C.I. (2004). The normal function of a speciation gene, *Odysseus*, and its

hybrid sterility effect. *Science* 305, 81-83.

Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L,. Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., Simonovic, M.,

Doncheva, N.T., Morris, J.H., Bork, P., Jensen, L.J., and von Mering, C. (2019) STRING

v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional

discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, 607-613.

Takken, W., and Knols, B.G.J. (1990). Flight behaviour of *Anopheles gambiae* Giles (Diptera:

Culicidae) in response to host stimuli: a windtunnel study. *Proceedings of Experimental and*

Applied Entomology 1, 121–128.

Tao, Y., and Hartl, D.L. (2003). Genetic dissection of hybrid incompatibilities between *Drosophila*

simulans and *D. mauritiana.* III Heterogeneous accumulation of hybrid incompatibilities,

degree of dominance, and implications for Haldane's rule. *Evolution* 57, 2580-2598.

Ting, C.T., Tsaur, S.C., Sun, S., Browne, W.E., Chen, Y.C., Patel, N.H., and Wu, C.I. (2004). Gene

duplication and speciation in *Drosophila*: evidence from the *Odysseus* locus. *Proceedings of*

the National Academy of Sciences, USA 101, 12232-12235.

True, J.R., Weir, B.S., and Laurie, C.C. (1996). A genome-wide survey of hybrid incompatibility

factors by the introgression of marked segments of *Drosophila mauritiana* chromosomes into

Drosophila simulans. Genetics 142, 819-837.

White, G.B. (1974). Anopheles gambiae complex disease transmission in Africa. *Transactions of the*

Royal Society of Tropical Medicine & Hygiene 68, 278-298.

White-Cooper, H., Doggett, K., and Ellis, R.E. (2009). The evolution of spermatogenesis. In: Sperm

Biology: An Evolutionary Perspective (TR Birkhead, DJ Hosken & S Pitnick, eds)., 151–183.

Academic Press, Burlington, MA.

Wu, C.I., Perez, D.E., Davis, A.W., Johnson, N.A., Cabot, E.L., Palopoli, M.F., and Wu, M.L. (1992).

Molecular genetic studies of post-mating reproductive isolation in *Drosophila*. In: Takahata N,

Clark AG (eds). Molecular Paleo-population Biology. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 191–212.

Wu, C.I., and Beckenback, A.T. (1983). Evidence for extensive genetic differentiation between the

sex-ratio and the standard arrangement of *Drosophila pseudoobscura* and *Drosophila*

persimilis and identification of hybrid sterility factors. *Genetics* 105, 71-86.

Zuo, J., Niu, Y., Cheng, P., Feng, J.Y., Han, S.F., Zhang, Y.H., Shu, G., Wang, Y., Zhang, and Y.M.

(2019). Effect of marker segregation distortion on high density linkage map construction and

QTL mapping in Soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Heredity* 123, 579–592.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

K.C. Deitz, W. Takken and M.A. Slotman designed the experiment. K.C. Deitz and M.A. Slotman, performed the experiment. K.C. Deitz analysed the data. K.C. Deitz and M.A. Slotman wrote the manuscript. W Takken edited the manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by a National Science Foundation Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant (award # 1601675) to K.C. Deitz and M.A. Slotman, a Texas A&M University Genomics Seed Grant to K.C. Deitz and M.A. Slotman, and a Texas A&M University Dissertation Fellowship to K.C. Deitz.

Acknowledgments

We thank Patrick Reilly and Andrew Taverner for helpful discussions regarding the analysis of the dataset and Leon Westerd for assistance with mosquito rearing. We are also thankful to BEI Resources for providing mosquito strains.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary tables can be found in Deitz_et_al_2020_Supplementary_Tables.docx.

Data Availability Statement

Raw, whole-genome sequencing data of mosquito colonies used in this study to generate pseudo-references are available on the NCBI Sequence Read Archive at project accession number PRJNAXXXXX (data will be uploaded upon acceptance of the manuscript). Genotype data for hybrids can be accessed on Dryad (https://doi.org/XX.XXXX/dryad.XXXXX) (data will be uploaded upon acceptance of the manuscript).

    Figures

703
704   **Figure 1.** Genetic map of the *An. coluzzii* × *An. quadriannulatus* backcross showing centromere locations (red horizontal lines) and marker
705   locations (black horizontal lines). The centromere of the telocentric X chromosome is opposite zero cM. The blue highlighted area on
706   chromosome 2 indicates the location of the 2L*a* inversion in *An. coluzzii*.

707
Figure 2. Segregation distortion at chromosome 2 and 3 loci for the *An. quadriannulatus* X chromosome (top) and *An. coluzii* X
chromosome dataset (bottom). Grey dots indicate SNPs that do not deviate significantly from the expected proportion of homozygosity
(solid horizontal line), while black dots deviate significantly (chi-square p-val < 0.05). Vertical dotted lines indicate centromere locations.

711
Figure 3. LOD plots for hybrid male sterility QTL identified with composite interval mapping in the *An. coluzzii × An. quadriannulatus*
cross. Note the differences in the y-axis scales between the chromosome 2 (top left) and 3 (bottom left) panels, and the X chromosome panel
(top right). Vertical, dashed lines indicate centromere locations on chromosomes 2 and 3. Second and third chromosome right arms are left
of the centromere, and left arms are to the right. Vertical blue bars indicate 1.5 LOD intervals of QTL that explain a significant proportion of
phenotypic variation in multiple imputation QTL model of the full dataset. The bottom right panel illustrates the gross morphological
differences between hybrid testes with a normal sterility score (1) and a atrophied hybrid testes (sterility score = 6) which contain no sperm
or only a few sperm with abnormal morphology.

719
720 **Figure 4.** Genotype heat map for each *An. coluzzii* × *An. quadriannulatus* backcross male hybrid (y-axis) at the six QTL (x-axis) identified
721 using CIM and multiple imputation. All markers within the 1.5 LOD interval of each QTL peak (Table 2) are included (x-axis, positions in
722 cM). Individuals are sorted on the y-axis by sterility, with the individuals with the highest sterility score (7) at the top. Blue cells indicate
723 *Aquad/Acolz* heterozygosity on the autosomes, and *Acolz* hemizygosity on the X chromosome. Grey cells indicate *Aquad/Aquad*
724 homozygosity on the autosomes, and *Aquad* hemizygosity on the X chromosome.

Figure 5. Genotype (x-axis) by phenotype (sterility score, y-axis) plots for the six QTL identified using CIM and multiple imputation, and an interaction plot (right) for the epistatic effect between the X chromosome and 2L QTL. Each individual is represented as a dot, which are jittered to avoid overlap. Box plots illustrate the mean (middle line) of each phenotype distribution and whiskers illustrate +/- 1 standard error of the mean. QTL names and locations correspond to those in Table 2. QQ: *An. quadriannulatus* homozygous, QC: *An quadriannulatus / An. coluzzii* heterozygous.

731 **Tables**
732

733 **Table 1.** The number of ancestry informative, thinned, and non-duplicate markers genotyped in hybrids, and the physical and map distances
734 of each chromosome in the cross.

Chromosome	Informative Markers	Thinned Markers	Non-duplicate markers	Physical Length (Mb)	Genetic Length (cM)
2	120,717	710	440	110.9	206.1
3	97,706	629	392	95.2	100.7
X	20,997	217	28	24.4	3.7

736 **Table 2**. Genomic regions of QTL in physical (Mb) and map (cM) distances. Start an end positions indicate the 1.5 LOD intervals of QTL
737 locations (LOD peak). Bold values indicate overlapping QTL between the crosses on the 3L, a region of the *An. coluzzii* genome that
738 contributes to hybrid male sterility when introgressed into both *An. quadriannulatus* and *An. arabiensis* genetic backgrounds.

Cross	QTL	LOD	LOD peak (cM)	Start (cM)	End (cM)	Length (cM)	LOD peak (Mb)	Start (Mb)	End (Mb)	Length (Mb)	Num Genes
	X	204.84	1.23	1.11	1.35	0.25	6.68	2.96	11.48	8.53	453
	2R-A	3.78	1.63	0.63	2.88	2.26	1.15	1.03	1.67	0.63	49
An. coluzzii ×	2R-B	2.49	20.87	20.12	21.37	1.24	8.11	8.03	8.73	0.70	17
An. quadriannulatus	2L	3.42	134.26	134.13	135.30	1.18	2.69	0.73	4.14	3.42	153
	3L-A	2.45	53.95	53.08	54.57	1.49	11.18	**10.18**	**11.30**	1.13	52
	3L-B	2.26	67.46	66.21	68.34	2.12	22.82	20.86	23.33	2.47	78
An. coluzzii ×	2R	38.30	16.07	15.25	18.05	2.80	40.44	33.18	48.30	14.83	-
An. arabiensis	3R	3.69	12.82	8.41	19.04	10.63	14.83	8.79	44.24	23.58	-
(Slotman *et al., 2004*)	3L	7.03	23.23	19.04	26.08	7.04	16.86	**44.24 (3R)**	**19.77**	38.37	-

Table 3. Percentage of *An. quadriannulatus* homozygosity at hybrid male sterility autosomal QTL peaks in the full, *An. quadriannulatus* X chromosome, and *An. coluzzii* X chromosome datasets. p-values are reported for chi-square tests, which were used to test for significant deviation (p-value < 6.01e-05 after Bonferroni correction) from expected homozygosity. Expected homozygosity was calculated as the mean homozygosity percentage across all autosomal loci in the full dataset, assuming Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (0.2409).

QTL	cM	Full dataset		*An. quadriannulatus* X		*An. coluzzii* X	
		Homozygosity (%)	χ^2 p-val	Homozygosity (%)	χ^2 p-val	Homozygosity (%)	χ^2 p-val
2R-A	1.63	28.5	3.7E-03	32.0	9.5E-06	20.4	2.1E-01
2R-B	20.87	22.2	2.0E-01	24.8	6.8E-01	16.1	6.7E-03
2L	134.26	32.1	1.2E-07	37.7	3.8E-14	19.9	1.5E-01
3L-A	53.95	20.4	1.5E-02	29.0	5.8E-03	0.0	2.7E-16
3L-B	67.46	20.9	3.5E-02	29.0	5.8E-03	1.4	1.3E-14

746 **Table 4.** Results of two multiple imputation QTL models (ANOVA). The top model includes only additive effects of each QTL. The bottom
747 model includes all additive effects and also an epistatic effect between the X chromosome and the 2L QTL.

QTL	cM	LOD	% Variance	F value	p-value (F)
X	1.23	203.34	61.96	1751.36	2.00E-16
2R-A	1.63	2.47	0.40	11.36	7.85E-04
2R-B	20.87	2.89	0.47	13.30	2.82E-04
2L	134.26	1.49	0.24	6.85	9.04E-03
3L-A	53.95	1.66	0.27	7.62	5.90E-03
3L-B	67.46	2.46	0.40	11.30	8.12E-04
Model	y ~ X + 2R-A + 2R-B + 2L + 3L-A + 3L-B				
LOD	221.25				
% Variance	71.66				

QTL	cM	LOD	% Variance	F value	p-value (F)
X	1.23	207.86	62.68	907.89	2.00E-16
2R-A	1.63	2.73	0.43	12.52	4.25E-04
2R-B	20.87	3.02	0.48	13.87	2.10E-04
2L	134.26	6.02	0.96	13.96	1.10E-06
3L-A	53.95	1.62	0.26	7.40	6.66E-03
3L-B	67.46	2.15	0.34	9.87	1.74E-03
X × 2L epistatic		4.53	0.72	20.90	5.60E-06
Model	y ~ X + 2R-A + 2R-B + 2L + 3L-A + 3L-B + X:2L				
LOD	225.78				
% Variance	72.39				

749 **Table 5.** Annotated genes in the AgamP4 genome within autosomal QTL with functions related to mating, reproduction, and sperm motility
750 and morphogenesis. Gene names in bold indicate those interacting in a regulatory network associated with sperm microtubule
751 morphogenesis and function. STRING network analysis output can be found in Supplementary File 1:
752 Deitz_et_al_2020_String_protein_interaction_network.xlsx.
753

QTL	Gene Name	Panther Gene Ontology Family / Subfamily	Protein Description	Protein Description Source
2R-A	**AGAP001229**	DYNEIN LIGHT CHAIN TCTEX-TYPE (PTHR21255:SF4)	Tctex-1 (t-complex testis expressed-1) is a dynein light chain. Dynein translocates rhodopsin-bearing vesicles along microtubules. In mice, the chromosomal location and pattern of expression of Tctex-1 make it a candidate for involvement in male sterility.	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/entry/InterPro/IPR005334/
2R-A	AGAP001227	GAMMA-TUBULIN COMPLEX COMPONENT 5 (PTHR19302:SF33)	The microtubule organizing centers (MTOCs) of eukaryotic cells are the sites of nucleation of microtubules, and are known as the centrosome in animal cells and the spindle pole body in yeast. Gamma-tubulin, which is 30% identical to alpha and beta tubulins that form microtubules, appears to be a key protein involved in nucleation of microtubules.	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/entry/InterPro/IPR007259/
2R-A	AGAP001194	MICROTUBULE-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN FUTSCH (PTHR13843:SF12)	futsch (futsch) encodes a microtubule binding protein involved in the formation of synaptic buttons at the neuromuscular junctions. Its expression levels are regulated by the product of TBPH to prevent synaptic defects.	https://flybase.org/reports/FBgn0259108

2R-A	**AGAP001219**	TUBULIN-LIKE PROTEIN ALPHA-4B-RELATED (PTHR11588:SF239)	Microtubules are polymers of tubulin, a dimer of two 55kDa subunits, designated alpha and beta. Within the microtubule lattice, alpha-beta heterodimers associate in a head-to-tail fashion, giving rise to microtubule polarity. Fluorescent labelling studies have suggested that tubulin is oriented in microtubules with beta-tubulin toward the plus end.	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/entry/InterPro/IPR002452/
2L	AGAP004767	TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR KEN (PTHR45993:SF7)	Mutations in the ken and barbie locus are accompanied by the malformation of terminalia in adult Drosophila. Male and female genitalia often remain inside the body, and the same portions of genitalia and analia are missing in a fraction of homozygous flies. Rotated and/or duplicated terminalia are also observed. Terminalia phenotypes are enhanced by mutations in the gap gene tailless, the homeobox gene caudal, and the decapentaplegic gene that encodes a TGFbeta-like morphogen. The ken and barbie gene encodes a protein with three CCHH-type zinc finger motifs that are conserved in several transcription factors such as Krüppel and BCL-6.	https://flybase.org/reports/FBgn0011236
2L	**AGAP004724**	INTRAFLAGELLAR TRANSPORT PROTEIN 74 HOMOLOG (PTHR31432:SF0)	Intraflagellar transport of ciliary precursors such as tubulin from the cytoplasm to the ciliary tip is required for ciliogenesis. Intraflagellar transport protein 74 (IFT74) is a component of the intraflagellar transport (IFT) complex B, which contains at least 20 different protein subunits. Together with IFT81, it forms a tubulin-binding module that specifically mediates transport of tubulin within the cilium.	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/entry/InterPro/IPR029602/
2L	AGAP004817	PROTEIN LINGERER (PTHR16308:SF13)	lingerer (lig) encodes a putative RNA binding protein that forms a complex with the products of orb and orb2. Loss of lig results in defects in copulation and short-term memory in *Drosophila*.	https://flybase.org/reports/FBgn0020279

2L	**AGAP004782**	TUBULIN-SPECIFIC CHAPERONE D (PTHR12658:SF0)	The tubulin heterodimer consists of one alpha- and one beta-tubulin polypeptide. Tubulin-specific chaperones are essential for bring the alpha- and beta-tubulin subunits together into a tightly associated heterodimer.	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/entry/InterPro/IPR033162/
3L-A	**AGAP010790**	CYTOPLASMIC DYNEIN 2 HEAVY CHAIN 1 (PTHR10676:SF352)	Cytoplasmic dynein 2 heavy chain 1 (DYNC2H1) is a subunit of the cytoplasmic dynein complex 2. It may function as a motor for intraflagellar retrograde transport. It also plays a role in cilia biogenesis.	https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/entry/InterPro/IPR026815/
3L-B	AGAP011361	CHECKPOINT PROTEIN (PTHR12900:SF0)	Hus1-like (Hus1-like) encodes a protein that together with proteins encoded by Rad1 and Rad9 form the 9-1-1 checkpoint protein complex. This complex plays a central role in the DNA damage-induced checkpoint response. Involved in G2/M transition of mitotic cell cycle, meiotic and mitotic nuclear division.	https://flybase.org/reports/FBgn0026417

755 **Table S1**. The complete list of annotated genes within autosomal QTL and their PANTHER gene ontology families / sub-families (if
756 available).

QTL	Gene Name	Panther Gene Ontology Family / Subfamily
2R A	AGAP001195	NUCLEAR CAP-BINDING PROTEIN SUBUNIT 1 (PTHR12412:SF2)
2R A	AGAP001226	
2R A	AGAP001189	GEO07581P1-RELATED (PTHR11857:SF43)
2R A	AGAP001207	COMM DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN 4 (PTHR16231:SF4)
2R A	AGAP001202	FI24011P1-RELATED (PTHR24064:SF335)
2R A	AGAP001206	
2R A	AGAP013230	
2R A	AGAP013386	DNA POLYMERASE ZETA CATALYTIC SUBUNIT (PTHR45812:SF1)
2R A	AGAP001215	TETRATRICOPEPTIDE REPEAT PROTEIN 39C (PTHR31859:SF1)
2R A	AGAP001199	AT20289P-RELATED (PTHR24276:SF78)
2R A	AGAP001196	G KINASE-ANCHORING PROTEIN 1 (PTHR14899:SF0)
2R A	AGAP001200	GLYCOGEN DEBRANCHING ENZYME (PTHR10569:SF2)
2R A	AGAP013011	TRICHOPLEIN KERATIN FILAMENT-BINDING PROTEIN (PTHR31183:SF2)
2R A	AGAP013543	
2R A	AGAP001217	SOLUBLE CALCIUM-ACTIVATED NUCLEOTIDASE 1 (PTHR13023:SF3)
2R A	AGAP013056	
2R A	AGAP001225	
2R A	AGAP001223	ATP-DEPENDENT RNA HELICASE DDX55 (PTHR24031:SF2)
2R A	AGAP001208	ARGININE/SERINE-RICH COILED-COIL PROTEIN 2 (PTHR22426:SF2)
2R A	AGAP013523	
2R A	AGAP001214	SOLUTE CARRIER FAMILY 35 MEMBER G1 (PTHR22911:SF6)
2R A	AGAP001187	HOMEOBOX PROTEIN CUT (PTHR14043:SF2)
2R A	AGAP001205	CHITIN SYNTHASE 2, ISOFORM D (PTHR22914:SF14)
2R A	AGAP013012	
2R A	AGAP001201	DEFECTIVE PROBOSCIS EXTENSION RESPONSE 7, ISOFORM F (PTHR23279:SF6)
2R A	AGAP001211	LD44762P (PTHR47980:SF24)
2R A	AGAP001213	

2R A	AGAP001190	AT20289P-RELATED (PTHR24276:SF78)
2R A	AGAP001229	DYNEIN LIGHT CHAIN TCTEX-TYPE (PTHR21255:SF4)
2R A	AGAP001193	
2R A	AGAP001228	DNA-DIRECTED RNA POLYMERASE II SUBUNIT RPB4 (PTHR21297:SF0)
2R A	AGAP013518	
2R A	AGAP001198	
2R A	AGAP001227	GAMMA-TUBULIN COMPLEX COMPONENT 5 (PTHR19302:SF33)
2R A	AGAP001194	MICROTUBULE-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN FUTSCH (PTHR13843:SF12)
2R A	AGAP013177	
2R A	AGAP001224	CLEAVAGE AND POLYADENYLATION SPECIFICITY FACTOR SUBUNIT 3 (PTHR11203:SF11)
2R A	AGAP001192	
2R A	AGAP001209	UBIQUITIN CARBOXYL-TERMINAL HYDROLASE 32 (PTHR21646:SF46)
2R A	AGAP001212	PEPTIDOGLYCAN-RECOGNITION PROTEIN LB-RELATED (PTHR11022:SF67)
2R A	AGAP013048	GEO11133P1 (PTHR48024:SF12)
2R A	AGAP001219	TUBULIN-LIKE PROTEIN ALPHA-4B-RELATED (PTHR11588:SF239)
2R A	AGAP001220	NAD-DEPENDENT PROTEIN DEACETYLASE SIRTUIN-7 (PTHR45853:SF4)
2R A	AGAP001222	METHYLTRANSFERASE-LIKE PROTEIN 23 (PTHR14614:SF2)
2R A	AGAP001203	AGAP001203-PA (PTHR22933:SF19)
2R B	AGAP001694	EXOCYST COMPLEX COMPONENT 4 (PTHR14146:SF0)
2R B	AGAP001680	LD08718P (PTHR12876:SF35)
2R B	AGAP001687	RHO GTPASE-ACTIVATING PROTEIN 19 (PTHR14963:SF7)
2R B	AGAP001695	ZGC:112496 (PTHR21521:SF0)
2R B	AGAP001688	EXOSTOSIN-LIKE 3 (PTHR11062:SF73)
2R B	AGAP001690	RAB3 INTERACTING MOLECULE, ISOFORM F (PTHR12157:SF21)
2R B	AGAP001681	UBIQUITIN CONJUGATION FACTOR E4 A (PTHR13931:SF2)
2R B	AGAP001684	ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE-RELATED (PTHR11596:SF75)
2R B	AGAP001686	U6 SNRNA-ASSOCIATED SM-LIKE PROTEIN LSM1 (PTHR15588:SF8)
2R B	AGAP001677	LD24704P (PTHR10026:SF13)
2R B	AGAP001682	MITOCHONDRIAL INNER MEMBRANE PROTEASE SUBUNIT 1 (PTHR12383:SF16)

2R B	AGAP001683	PERIPHERAL PLASMA MEMBRANE PROTEIN CASK (PTHR23122:SF7)
2R B	AGAP013145	PROTEIN COUCH POTATO (PTHR10501:SF41)
2R B	AGAP001685	NUCLEAR PORE COMPLEX PROTEIN NUP107 (PTHR13003:SF2)
2L	AGAP004812	85/88 KDA CALCIUM-INDEPENDENT PHOSPHOLIPASE A2 (PTHR24139:SF34)
2L	AGAP004725	EUKARYOTIC TRANSLATION INITIATION FACTOR 3 SUBUNIT C-RELATED (PTHR13937:SF0)
2L	AGAP004686	WW DOMAIN-CONTAINING ADAPTER PROTEIN WITH COILED-COIL (PTHR15911:SF6)
2L	AGAP004798	COATOMER SUBUNIT BETA' (PTHR19876:SF2)
2L	AGAP004757	PIRNA BIOGENESIS PROTEIN EXD1 (PTHR46628:SF1)
2L	AGAP004823	PROTEIN SPROUTY (PTHR12365:SF7)
2L	AGAP004767	TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR KEN (PTHR45993:SF7)
2L	AGAP004729	CHORION TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR CF2-RELATED (PTHR24388:SF77)
2L	AGAP004806	GALECTIN (PTHR11346:SF164)
2L	AGAP004745	TRANSCRIPTION ELONGATION REGULATOR HOMOLOG (PTHR15377:SF3)
2L	AGAP004799	
2L	AGAP004771	
2L	AGAP004718	RE21922P (PTHR11003:SF276)
2L	AGAP004723	FI06908P-RELATED (PTHR22812:SF151)
2L	AGAP004827	AGAP004827-PA (PTHR19871:SF28)
2L	AGAP004699	RAF HOMOLOG SERINE/THREONINE-PROTEIN KINASE RAF (PTHR44329:SF193)
2L	AGAP004719	EG:BACR7A4.3 PROTEIN-RELATED (PTHR24260:SF107)
2L	AGAP004763	FI02944P-RELATED (PTHR10174:SF216)
2L	AGAP004687	6-PHOSPHOGLUCONATE DEHYDROGENASE, DECARBOXYLATING (PTHR11811:SF25)
2L	AGAP004811	
2L	AGAP004739	H/ACA RIBONUCLEOPROTEIN COMPLEX SUBUNIT DKC1 (PTHR23127:SF0)
2L	AGAP004807	GALECTIN (PTHR11346:SF164)
2L	AGAP004797	AGAP004797-PA (PTHR22904:SF525)
2L	AGAP004781	BETA4GALNACTA (PTHR19300:SF52)
2L	AGAP004810	
2L	AGAP004819	LP05237P-RELATED (PTHR12300:SF22)

2L	AGAP004786	PYRUVATE DEHYDROGENASE E1 COMPONENT SUBUNIT ALPHA (PTHR11516:SF55)
2L	AGAP004747	PRESEQUENCE PROTEASE, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR43016:SF13)
2L	AGAP004724	INTRAFLAGELLAR TRANSPORT PROTEIN 74 HOMOLOG (PTHR31432:SF0)
2L	AGAP004780	CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE 12 (PTHR24056:SF233)
2L	AGAP004791,AGAP004789	HIGH MOBILITY GROUP PROTEIN 2 (PTHR46040:SF3)
2L	AGAP004693	NUCLEAR RECEPTOR SUBFAMILY 6 GROUP A MEMBER 1 (PTHR48092:SF18)
2L	AGAP004762	FI02944P-RELATED (PTHR10174:SF216)
2L	AGAP004711	ATP-DEPENDENT RNA HELICASE DDX41-RELATED (PTHR47958:SF103)
2L	AGAP004733	AGAP004733-PA (PTHR46105:SF5)
2L	AGAP004758	PROTEASOMAL UBIQUITIN RECEPTOR ADRM1 (PTHR12225:SF0)
2L	AGAP004769	RE63021P (PTHR24070:SF406)
2L	AGAP004748	
2L	AGAP004717	FI03418P (PTHR11003:SF279)
2L	AGAP004800	
2L	AGAP004696	HOMEOBOX PROTEIN EXTRADENTICLE (PTHR11850:SF108)
2L	AGAP004749	OXYGEN-DEPENDENT COPROPORPHYRINOGEN-III OXIDASE, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR10755:SF0)
2L	AGAP004768	PERIODIC TRYPTOPHAN PROTEIN 1 HOMOLOG (PTHR14091:SF0)
2L	AGAP004813	
2L	AGAP004737	RHOMBOID-4 (PTHR45840:SF8)
2L	AGAP004772	SLIT-ROBO GAP HOMOLOG (PTHR14166:SF17)
2L	AGAP004742	PYRUVATE CARBOXYLASE, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR43778:SF2)
2L	AGAP004752	TRNA (GUANINE-N(7)-)-METHYLTRANSFERASE (PTHR23417:SF16)
2L	AGAP004744	SUCCINATE--COA LIGASE [ADP-FORMING] SUBUNIT BETA, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR11815:SF10)
2L	AGAP004776	PRE-MRNA-SPLICING FACTOR SLU7 (PTHR12942:SF2)
2L	AGAP004770	GH13245P2-RELATED (PTHR24276:SF83)
2L	AGAP004759	OLIGORIBONUCLEASE, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR11046:SF0)
2L	AGAP004820	RNA 3'-TERMINAL PHOSPHATE CYCLASE (PTHR11096:SF0)
2L	AGAP004753	
2L	AGAP004741	AT07769P-RELATED (PTHR24260:SF90)

2L	AGAP004814	
2L	AGAP004703	DNA-DIRECTED RNA POLYMERASE III SUBUNIT RPC1 (PTHR19376:SF32)
2L	AGAP004704	CXXC-TYPE ZINC FINGER PROTEIN 1 (PTHR46174:SF1)
2L	AGAP004755	VACUOLAR PROTEIN-SORTING-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN 36 (PTHR13128:SF12)
2L	AGAP004715	FI22513P1 (PTHR13847:SF257)
2L	AGAP004712	
2L	AGAP004691	CHIP, ISOFORM B (PTHR10378:SF19)
2L	AGAP004765	ATP-DEPENDENT RNA HELICASE DDX1 (PTHR24031:SF307)
2L	AGAP004722	IRON-SULFUR CLUSTER CO-CHAPERONE PROTEIN HSCB (PTHR14021:SF15)
2L	AGAP004692	RAS GTPASE-ACTIVATING PROTEIN-RELATED (PTHR10194:SF125)
2L	AGAP004764	SNAIL FAMILY ZINC FINGER 2 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR HOMOLOG (PTHR24409:SF375)
2L	AGAP004802	4-HYDROXYPHENYLPYRUVATE DIOXYGENASE (PTHR11959:SF1)
2L	AGAP004804	
2L	AGAP004778	BOLA-LIKE PROTEIN 3 (PTHR46188:SF1)
2L	AGAP004790,AGAP004788	ATP SYNTHASE MEMBRANE SUBUNIT DAPIT, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR34038:SF1)
2L	AGAP004777	AGAP004777-PA (PTHR21228:SF62)
2L	AGAP004773	PYRUVATE DEHYDROGENASE E1 COMPONENT SUBUNIT ALPHA (PTHR11516:SF55)
2L	AGAP004720	AGAP004720-PA (PTHR11003:SF263)
2L	AGAP004815	
2L	AGAP004794	MIP10846P1-RELATED (PTHR11610:SF161)
2L	AGAP004793	ORNITHINE AMINOTRANSFERASE, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR11986:SF18)
2L	AGAP004710	CYTOCHROME B-C1 COMPLEX SUBUNIT 9 (PTHR12980:SF0)
2L	AGAP004808	AMINOPEPTIDASE (PTHR11533:SF283)
2L	AGAP004805	FORMIN-LIKE PROTEIN (PTHR45857:SF6)
2L	AGAP004738	PROTEIN RED (PTHR12765:SF5)
2L	AGAP004761	MULTIPLE WING HAIRS, ISOFORM C (PTHR45857:SF4)
2L	AGAP004824	EUKARYOTIC TRANSLATION INITIATION FACTOR 5B (PTHR43381:SF4)
2L	AGAP004740	AT07769P-RELATED (PTHR24260:SF90)
2L	AGAP004795	RNA POLYMERASE II ELONGATION FACTOR ELL (PTHR23288:SF17)

2L	AGAP004731	RH14732P (PTHR12253:SF34)
2L	AGAP004736	MITOCHONDRIAL RIBOSOME-ASSOCIATED GTPASE 1 (PTHR45782:SF4)
2L	AGAP004766	ENDOPHILIN-A (PTHR14167:SF89)
2L	AGAP004709	39S RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN L18, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR12899:SF3)
2L	AGAP004787	FATTY ACYL-COA REDUCTASE (PTHR11011:SF24)
2L	AGAP004784	FATTY ACYL-COA REDUCTASE (PTHR11011:SF24)
2L	AGAP004816	PHOSPHATIDYLINOSITOL-GLYCAN BIOSYNTHESIS CLASS F PROTEIN-RELATED (PTHR43157:SF31)
2L	AGAP004708	ARGININE--TRNA LIGASE, CYTOPLASMIC-RELATED (PTHR11956:SF5)
2L	AGAP004707	SODIUM CHANNEL PROTEIN PARA (PTHR10037:SF288)
2L	AGAP004756	F-BOX/WD REPEAT-CONTAINING PROTEIN 4 (PTHR14381:SF1)
2L	AGAP004706	
2L	AGAP004690	PUPAL CUTICLE PROTEIN C1B-LIKE PROTEIN (PTHR39068:SF5)
2L	AGAP004785	GH10083P-RELATED (PTHR10174:SF222)
2L	AGAP004801	HUNTINGTIN INTERACTING PROTEIN 1 (PTHR10407:SF15)
2L	AGAP004694	RE24423P (PTHR11161:SF22)
2L	AGAP004818	28S RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN S16, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR12919:SF27)
2L	AGAP004689	LD40707P (PTHR13055:SF12)
2L	AGAP004817	PROTEIN LINGERER (PTHR16308:SF13)
2L	AGAP004700	FI18411P1-RELATED (PTHR24260:SF91)
2L	AGAP004726	AGAP004726-PA (PTHR10559:SF18)
2L	AGAP004716	
2L	AGAP004774	HOST CELL FACTOR (PTHR46003:SF1)
2L	AGAP004713	
2L	AGAP004825	BCDNA.LD24702 (PTHR13030:SF14)
2L	AGAP004792	STAR-RELATED LIPID TRANSFER PROTEIN 7, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR19308:SF8)
2L	AGAP004775	XAA-PRO DIPEPTIDASE (PTHR43226:SF1)
2L	AGAP004754	CASPASE DRONC (PTHR10454:SF196)
2L	AGAP004714	CROL ALPHA (PTHR23226:SF176)
2L	AGAP013546	VACUOLAR ATPASE ASSEMBLY INTEGRAL MEMBRANE PROTEIN VMA21 HOMOLOG (PTHR31792:SF6)

2L	AGAP004782	TUBULIN-SPECIFIC CHAPERONE D (PTHR12658:SF0)
2L	AGAP004743	TRANSMEMBRANE EMP24 DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN 2 (PTHR22811:SF177)
2L	AGAP004728	ARMADILLO REPEAT-CONTAINING PROTEIN 2 (PTHR21356:SF1)
2L	AGAP004809	AMINOPEPTIDASE (PTHR11533:SF283)
2L	AGAP004695	MULTIVESICULAR BODY SUBUNIT 12A (PTHR31612:SF2)
3L A	AGAP010820	
3L A	AGAP010803	
3L A	AGAP010787	PROTEIN TIMELESS HOMOLOG (PTHR22940:SF4)
3L A	AGAP010790	CYTOPLASMIC DYNEIN 2 HEAVY CHAIN 1 (PTHR10676:SF352)
3L A	AGAP010793	SYNEMBRYN (PTHR12425:SF5)
3L A	AGAP010809	CHAOPTIN-LIKE PROTEIN (PTHR45617:SF82)
3L A	AGAP010814	GH01829P-RELATED (PTHR11412:SF136)
3L A	AGAP010789	LD24340P (PTHR39069:SF4)
3L A	AGAP010784	PROTEIN O-MANNOSYL-TRANSFERASE 1-RELATED (PTHR10050:SF51)
3L A	AGAP010812	GH01829P-RELATED (PTHR11412:SF136)
3L A	AGAP010798	GH13245P2-RELATED (PTHR24276:SF83)
3L A	AGAP010792	NADH DEHYDROGENASE [UBIQUINONE] 1 ALPHA SUBCOMPLEX SUBUNIT 9, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR12126:SF11)
3L A	AGAP010777	HUNCHBACK-LIKE (PTHR24392:SF33)
3L A	AGAP010811	GH05177P-RELATED (PTHR19143:SF383)
3L A	AGAP013327	PEROXIDASE (PTHR11475:SF86)
3L A	AGAP010817	AGAP006059-PA (PTHR47537:SF3)
3L A	AGAP010818	GH01829P-RELATED (PTHR11412:SF136)
3L A	AGAP010783	GEO02292P1-RELATED (PTHR23301:SF79)
3L A	AGAP010799	
3L A	AGAP010813	
3L A	AGAP010786	RNA (RNA) POLYMERASE II ASSOCIATED PROTEIN HOMOLOG (PTHR45984:SF1)
3L A	AGAP010816	GH01829P-RELATED (PTHR11412:SF136)
3L A	AGAP010802	COREPRESSOR OF PANGOLIN, ISOFORM A-RELATED (PTHR12243:SF47)
3L A	AGAP010780	

3L A	AGAP010782	RAL GUANINE NUCLEOTIDE DISSOCIATION STIMULATOR-LIKE, ISOFORM E (PTHR23113:SF312)
3L A	AGAP010800	ZINC FINGER CCCH DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN 18 (PTHR46582:SF1)
3L A	AGAP010807	TRNA PSEUDOURIDINE(38/39) SYNTHASE (PTHR11142:SF5)
3L A	AGAP010819	GH01829P-RELATED (PTHR11412:SF136)
3L A	AGAP010785	FATTY ACYL-COA REDUCTASE (PTHR11011:SF81)
3L A	AGAP010805	
3L A	AGAP010806	BCDNA.GH04802 (PTHR11003:SF158)
3L A	AGAP010808	ARC, ISOFORM A (PTHR19964:SF69)
3L A	AGAP010776	WHIRLIN (PTHR23116:SF37)
3L A	AGAP010791	POLYRIBONUCLEOTIDE NUCLEOTIDYLTRANSFERASE 1, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR11252:SF0)
3L A	AGAP010794	FI23527P1 (PTHR45820:SF4)
3L A	AGAP010801	PHOSPHATIDYLINOSITOL 4-KINASE ALPHA-RELATED (PTHR10048:SF15)
3L A	AGAP010804	SI:CH73-302O18.2 (PTHR45752:SF27)
3L B	AGAP011332	FINGER PUTATIVE TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR FAMILY-RELATED (PTHR24399:SF23)
3L B	AGAP011316	SENTRIN-SPECIFIC PROTEASE 8 (PTHR46468:SF1)
3L B	AGAP011345	GROWTH ARREST AND DNA DAMAGE-INDUCIBLE PROTEINS-INTERACTING PROTEIN 1 (PTHR31761:SF1)
3L B	AGAP011320	SUBFAMILY NOT NAMED (PTHR24230:SF141)
3L B	AGAP011387	MITOCHONDRIAL DIVISION PROTEIN 1-RELATED (PTHR19857:SF8)
3L B	AGAP011323	TCF3 FUSION PARTNER (PTHR35084:SF1)
3L B	AGAP011361	CHECKPOINT PROTEIN (PTHR12900:SF0)
3L B	AGAP011330	GLUTAREDOXIN-LIKE PROTEIN C5ORF63 HOMOLOG (PTHR33558:SF1)
3L B	AGAP011317	
3L B	AGAP011374	ADAPTOR PROTEIN COMPLEX 1, MU SUBUNIT (PTHR10529:SF262)
3L B	AGAP011365	CARBOXYLIC ESTER HYDROLASE (PTHR43142:SF1)
3L B	AGAP011377	
3L B	AGAP011389	PROTEIN CBG05349 (PTHR15192:SF8)
3L B	AGAP011376	
3L B	AGAP011362	GATA ZINC FINGER DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN 1 (PTHR13340:SF2)

3L B	AGAP011329	ACETYL-COA ACETYLTRANSFERASE, MITOCHONDRIAL (PTHR18919:SF156)
3L B	AGAP011356	AMYLOID PROTEIN-BINDING PROTEIN 2 (PTHR46575:SF1)
3L B	AGAP011368	AGAP011368-PA (PTHR21066:SF17)
3L B	AGAP011352	GH12731P-RELATED (PTHR10937:SF0)
3L B	AGAP011338	GDP-FUCOSE PROTEIN O-FUCOSYLTRANSFERASE 1 (PTHR21420:SF3)
3L B	AGAP011349	GAMMA-AMINOBUTYRIC ACID RECEPTOR ALPHA-LIKE (PTHR18945:SF835)
3L B	AGAP011363	RAS-RELATED PROTEIN RAB6 (PTHR24073:SF352)
3L B	AGAP011326	DEATH-ASSOCIATED INHIBITOR OF APOPTOSIS 2 (PTHR10044:SF139)
3L B	AGAP011372	
3L B	AGAP011367	
3L B	AGAP011321	PROTOPORPHYRINOGEN OXIDASE (PTHR42923:SF3)