1

Article

Species distribution modeling and conservation assessment of the black-headed night monkey (*Aotus nigriceps*) – A species of least concern that faces widespread anthropogenic threats

5   WILLIAM D. HELENBROOK; JOSE W. VALDEZ

WILLIAM HELENBROOK (Corresponding author) Tropical Conservation Fund, 760 Parkside Trl NW, Marietta, Georgia, 30064, United States. E-mail wdhelenb@syr.edu. orcid.org/0000-0002-2706-3525

10   JOSE VALDEZ orcid.org/0000-0003-2690-9952

Abstract Deforestation rates in the Brazilian Amazon have been steadily increasing in recent years. Due to recent government policy, the projected growth of agriculture and expansion of the cattle industry is expected to further strain biodiversity across the region, with many wide-ranging primate species within these areas facing declines as a result. In this study, we examined the anthropogenic impact on the widely distributed black-headed night monkey, *Aotus nigriceps*, which is listed as least concern but whose distribution and population status has yet to be assessed. In this study, we 1) modeled species distribution in *A. nigriceps*; 2) estimated impact of habitat loss and degradation on population trends; and 3) highlight landscape-based conservation actions which maximize potential for their long-term sustainability in the region. We found the black-headed night monkey to be restricted by biotic and environmental factors such as higher elevation, low temperature range, low isothermality and high forest cover. Over the last two decades, over 132,908 km^2 of tree cover (18.3 %) within their documented range has been lost.

We found only 40.4 % of their range contained suitable habitat, a loss of 17.1 % from their

25 estimated distribution in 2000, and just over 22.3 % of suitable habitat was in protected areas.

Projected deforestation rates across present-day modeled distribution of *A. nigriceps* equates to

an additional loss of 37,295 km^2 of habitat over the next decade. This study demonstrates how

this species of least concern actually has a far smaller distribution and is likely more at risk than

previously described. The future impact of continued expansion of mono-cultured crops, cattle

30 ranching, and wildfires is still unknown. However, expanded use of participatory and

jurisdictional REDD+, sustainable agroforestry in buffer zones, secured land tenor for

indigenous communities, maintenance of wildlife corridors, and the expansion and strengthening

of protected areas can help ensure viability and reduce risk of widespread loss for this and other

species throughout the Amazon Basin.

35 **Keywords** Amazon, *Aotus nigriceps*, Peru, Brazil, deforestation, MaxEnt, primate, REDD+

Introduction

        Deforestation rates in the Amazon rainforest have recently accelerated due to expansion

of mono-cultured agriculture (e.g., palm oil and soy), cattle ranching, wildfires, and urban

50   expansion (Davidson et al., 2012; PRODES 2020); with models predicting up to 40% of all

Amazonian forests will be lost by 2050 (Gomes et al., 2019). These at-risk areas overlap with

many neotropical primate species whose populations may suffer large declines. This includes the

black-headed night monkey, *Aotus nigriceps*, one of eleven currently recognized species of night

monkey found in Central and South America (Defler and Bueno 2007; Ruiz-Garcia et al., 2011).

55   Although common, the impact of anthropogenic disturbances on the long-term viablity of *A.*

nigriceps remains unknown.

        Aotus nigriceps is a wide-ranging nocturnal monkey species which lives in degraded

habitats, utilizes agroforested landscapes, and is known to tolerate human populations

(Helenbrook et al., 2020). Nevertheless, while night monkeys are adaptable and persist in

60   degraded landscapes, they still require connective forest cover, access to seasonally available

fruiting trees, sleeping sites, and are restricted by environmental conditions (Wright 1981;

Aquino & Encarnación 1994; Fernandez-Duque et al., 2001; Helenbrook et al., 2020). This

species is found in Southern Peru, northern Bolivia, and central-western Brazil with density

estimates ranging from 19-50 individuals/km^2 (Helenbrook et al., 2020). The International Union

65   for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) currently lists this species as Least Concern because of their

extensive range, suspected large and sustained population size, and presumed low risk of

extinction. However, no known population size estimates or trends have been described due to a

lack of information on presence data throughout their range. This is concerning since large

portions of its range overlap with areas experiencing some of the highest deforestation rates in

70   the world (Estrada et al., 2018). Besides habitat loss, their long-term viability is also projected to

be further impacted by altered phenology and increased average maximum temperatures which

will force species to adapt their distribution or face increased risk from extinction (e.g.,

Raghunathan et al., 2014; Cohn et al., 2019; Carvalho et al., 2019a).

Assessing the population status of *Aotus nigriceps*, and any species in general, requires

75   accurately describing their distribution, baseline population size, and predicting future population

growth or loss. This information can be used to build predictive models that can indicate priority

regions for conservation action. Species distribution modeling is a well-known approach, which

evaluates the relationship between environmental variables and their predicted distribution based

on estimated habitat suitability for a species and has been previously shown in other primates

80   (e.g., Bett et al., 2012; Kamilar & Tecot 2015; Rabelo et al., 2018). The MaxEnt program is

especially suited for this task and is considered the most accurate maximum entropy machine

learning model, achieving high predictive accuracy without true absence data, incomplete data,

and small sample sizes (Phillips & Dudik 2008). With the use of MaxEnt and other distribution

models, species requirements can be projected across space to predict habitat suitability.

85   However, it is typically not used for species of least concern and only after a species has

significantly declined.

For this study, we used species distribution modeling with MaxEnt to estimate *A.*

nigriceps distribution and then projected density measurements across their predicted

distribution. The objectives of this study are to: 1) develop distribution models on the occupancy

90   of *A. nigriceps* utilizing ecological, biogeographical, and environmental data; 2) establish impact

of habitat loss and degradation on population size; and 3) identify priority conservation actions

needed to sustain black-headed night monkey populations.

Methods

Species occurrence and environmental data

95   We constructed an occurrence data set (N=75) for *Aotus nigriceps* from several sources, including published manuscripts, iNaturalist, and field records provided by the Wildlife Conservation Society. We only included data sets which had confirmed species identity and accurate geographic location. All records were then plotted in QGIS (3.12.1) and created a polygon layer that included all records and followed major river barriers (Figure 1A). The

100   polygon represented species' extent of occurrence which corresponds to the current IUCN description (Shanee et al. 2018).

We used bioclimatic variables as environmental predictors based on their presumed ecological or physiological relationship to black-headed night monkey suitability as previously used in other neotropical primate studies (e.g., Holzmann et al., 2014; Rabelo et al., 2018).

105   Climatic variables were downloaded from WorldClim dataset and included annual mean temperature, annual temperature range, annual precipitation, and isothermality (defined as mean diurnal range [mean of monthly (max temperature – min temp)] divided by temperature annual range) (Fick & Hijmans 2017). We used the Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission elevation dataset (SRTM 2020). We also obtained percent forest cover data from 2000, forest gain from

110   2000-2012 and forest loss between 2000-2018 from Earth Engine Partners (Hansen et al. 2013). To calculate the most current cover percentage for the year 2018, the cumulative gain and loss between 2000 and 2018 were added and subtracted from the 2000 cover layer, respectively. We calculated protected area within *A. nigriceps* range and predicted distribution using polygons obtained from Protected Planet (UNEP-WCMC & IUCN 2020). All layers utilized in the study

115   were in 30 seconds (1 km) spatial resolution.

Distribution models

We used MaxEnt (Version: 3.4.1) to develop a correlative species distribution model for *Aotus nigriceps*, relating known geographic occurrences to the set of environmental variables to estimate suitable habitat (Phillips & Dudík, 2008; Franklin 2009). To create a best-fit model for *Aotus nigriceps* habitat, we paired species occurrences and environmental layers to predict distribution of the species. To model their predicted distribution, we used 2000 forest cover layer and 2018 cover layer to predict their most current distribution. We used 70 % of known species' localities as training data and 30 % of the localities were set aside as test data. A bootstrap method was used with a maximum of 5,000 iterations, 10,000 randomly selected background points, a prevalence of 0.75, and 15 replicates with a random seed. Since there was a spatial sampling bias with many of the observations, a regularization multiplier of 6 was added to constrain the model and avoid overfitting, reduce complexity, and generate less localized predictions (Morales 2007; Anderson & Gonzalez, 2011; Merow et al., 2013; Shcheglovitova & Anderson, 2013). Sites with 50 % or greater likelihood of being occupied were considered suitable habitat.

To assess model discriminatory power and compare performance of species distribution models, we measured the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) (Fielding & Bell, 1997; Babar et al., 2012; Phillips & Dudík, 2008; Stohlgren et al., 2011). We used a 10 % training omission rate (Peterson & Soberon, 2012). To measure variable importance and determine which variables contributed most to the model, we performed a Jackknife analysis with and without each variable in isolation. We imported the MaxEnt model predicting the presence of *A. nigriceps* into QGIS and calculated total area with greater than 50% probability of occurrence.

140   To estimate habitat loss over time we 1) calculated tree cover loss within their

documented range from Global Forest Watch data layers (Hansen et. al. 2013) and 2) calculated

the amount of suitable habitat between 2000 and 2018 using the MaxEnt modeled species

distribution. We then used upper and lower population density estimates obtained from

Helenbrook et al. (2020) to extrapolate across projected habitat using both methods. We

145   highlight management practices needed to minimize habitat loss, maintain connectivity, and

restore populations regionally.

Results

Species Distribution Modeling

        MaxEnt models showed strong support for predicting observed distribution (AUC =

150   0.837). The test omission rate was 0.09 (ranging from 0 - 0.235) with $P < 0.0001$ in all

replicates, and close to the 10% training omission rate as expected. Annual precipitation was

removed from the final models due to strong sampling bias with many observations near areas

with the highest precipitation levels in South America. Occupancy increased with greater forest

cover (range 0 - 100 %) and elevation (range 1 - 3,062 m); mean temperature (range 16.29 - 27.8

155   C), isothermality (range 6.4-8.7 %), and temperature (range 10.12-19.71 C) (Supplementary Fig.

1). All three climatic variables (mean temperature, temperature range, and isothermality) had the

highest permutation importance (Table 1). Elevation and mean temperature were the most

effective variables for predicting the distribution of the occurrence data set aside for training

(Supplementary Fig. 2A), testing (Supplementary Fig. 2B), and when predictive performance

160   was measured using AUC (Supplementary Fig. 2C). The largest contributing environmental

variable when omitted in all jackknife tests was temperature range and isothermality, suggesting

that both contribute the most information not found in the other variables.

TABLE 1: Relative contribution estimates of environmental variables to the MaxEnt model predicting

Aotus nigriceps occupancy. For percent contribution, the increase in regularized gain for each iteration of

the training algorithm is added to the contribution of the corresponding variable or subtracted if the

change to the absolute value of lambda is negative. For permutation importance, the values of the

environmental variable on training presence and background data are randomly permuted. The model is

reevaluated on the permuted data, and the resulting drop in training AUC is normalized to percentages.

Values shown are averages over replicate runs.

Variable	Percent contribution	Permutation importance
Elevation	42.5	13
Forest cover	28.6	3.5
Mean temperature	14.9	32.5
Isothermality	7	23.1
Temperature range	7	28

Estimated extent of occurrence for *Aotus nigriceps* was 1,591,711 km^2 based on

distributional layers obtained from IUCN (Shanee et al. 2018: Fig. 1). Using satellite imagery

from Global Forest Watch we calculated a loss of 18.3 % of forest cover between 2000-2018

(Fig. 1a,b). Protected areas represented 13.99 % of their range and exhibited some forest cover

loss over the past decade (4.7 %). Species distribution calculations using > 50 % likelihood

cutoff showed 40.4 % (642,733 km^2) of their known range contained suitable habitat (Figure 1c),

a loss of 17.14% from the predicted 48.73 % in 2000. Of their predicted distribution only 22.3 % was in protected areas (Fig. 1d).

FIG. 1: (a) forest cover in 2000 (white), (b) forest cover in 2018 (white), (c) estimated extent of occurrence and estimated species distribution of *Aotus nigriceps* using 2018 forest cover data and MaxEnt (> 50 % likelihood cutoff represented as green to red), and (d) predicted occupancy in protected areas

185 based on 50% likelihood threshold.

Current population estimates using modeled viable habitat coupled with density estimates from previous studies (i.e., 19-50 individuals per km^2) range from 12,211,927 to 32,136,650 individuals (Helenbrook et al., 2020). Based on species distribution contraction between 2009-

190 2018, we estimate a loss of 160,816 – 423,200 individuals. If we instead used rates of tree cover loss within the area of occurrence, the population size was reduced by 1,006,240 – 2,648,000

individuals over the past decade. Deforestation rates in the Brazilian Amazon (0.3%) have

accelerated in 2019 (29.5% increase over 2018 per Butler, 2020). Current extrapolated

deforestation rates across present-day modeled distribution of *A. nigriceps* equates to an

195   additional loss of 30,181 km^2 of habitat over the next decade.

Discussion

This is the first study to assess the species distribution, population status, and landscape-

scale conservation management plans for the black-headed night monkey. Although considered

least concern due to their adaptability to a variety of habitat types including the moist forests of

200   the Southwest Amazon, Jurua-Purus, Purus-Maderia, and Maderia-Tapajos, *A. nigriceps*

distribution appears restricted by biotic and environmental factors. In particular, suitable habitat

was represented by high altitudes, low isothermality, low temperature range, and high forest

cover. We found that although not considered a species of concern due to its broad distribution

across several Amazon Basin ecoregions, they currently occupy a much smaller distribution than

205   the previously described extent of their range would suggest. Even two decades ago, their

distribution was predicted to be only half of their presumed extent of occurrence. Furthermore,

we estimate that between 2000 and 2018, this species has been reduced by 1 to 2 million

individuals. Although this species is currently considered common, they will likely continue to

decline significantly due to widespread anthropogenic pressures, especially since less than a

210   quarter of their suitable habitat is within protected areas.

This study provides evidence that *A. nigriceps* has experienced sharp declines in forest

cover in portions of their range over the last couple of decades and will continue to experience

habitat loss and degradation resulting in population decline over the coming decade.

Deforestation rates have surged recently in Brazil driven by heavy pressure from cattle ranching

215   and mono-cultured agriculture (Gomes et al., 2019; IMAZON 2020). Some of the highest

deforestation rates in the Amazon occur in the Brazilian States of Pará, Rondonia, and Mato

Grosso where this nocturnal species occurs. Systematic conservation planning based solely on

the black-headed night monkey appears premature at this time due to the broad distribution of

the species and without an understanding of underlying taxonomy and molecular variability

220   throughout its range. However, the expansion of several existing conservation strategies would

benefit *A. nigriceps* and contribute to overall biodiversity conservation. First, extensive protected

areas and indigenous territories exist in Peru, Bolivia, and Brazil, though level of protection

varies widely (Amazonia Socioambiental 2019; Paiva et al. 2020). Forest loss and degradation

still occurs within protected and indigenous lands, though the degree to which these areas is

225   impacted depends on the type of protected area and management (e.g., indigenous, federal, or

state: Herrera et al., 2019). Secondly, continued support for participatory and jurisdictional

REDD+ programs also appears effective though this approach requires realistic expectations

among local communities regarding benefits and participation, secured land tenor, and a mix of

intervention strategies including incentives, disincentives, and introduction of alternative

230   livelihoods (Simonet et al., 2019; Dupuits & Cronkleton 2020). Thirdly, specific to Brazil, where

the majority of black-headed night monkey habitat exists, deforestation is a result of extractive

industries which have found federal favor and have been able to overturn a decade of progressive

legislation. To counter this, several actions have been proposed, including bolstering

environmental agencies, integrating agricultural and environmental policies while consolidating

235   enforcement across federal, state and local authorities, improving supply chain transparency, and

increased efficacy of monitoring programmes (Carvalho et al., 2019b). Lastly, agroforestry

research related to night monkey species is limited, though a study in the northern Andes

suggests that coffee plantations can benefit night monkeys while also providing farmers with

income (Guzmán et al., 2016). Whether this is applicable to lowland rainforest environments and

240   to what extent this would be feasible with other types of cash crops and with farmers who

principally raise livestock remains unknown. We have previously shown that the black-headed

night monkey is quite common in secondary forest and that they make use of orchards

(Helenbrook et al., 2020). The main issue would appear to be whether connected canopy is

maintained in order for night monkeys to traverse a complex matrix with forest fragmentation

245   and diverse land uses. In cases where forest connectivity is an issue, then restoration ecology

may be a consideration. The simplest form would be to simply remove perturbations to allow the

forest to recover in strategic locations which enhances habitat corridors. Even secondary forest

contributes to dispersal of not only night monkeys but many other types of sympatric wildlife

(Beier & Noss 1998; Lees & Peres 2008; Boyle & Smith 2010).

250   Although our distribution model was robust, caution should be used in interpreting

results. Most long-term *A. nigriceps* studies are based in southeastern Peru, and occurrence data

is heavily focused in Peru and southwestern Brazil. This geographic emphasis on the southern

range may bias against those conditions in the north, which include lower elevations, floodplains,

and lower temperatures. Furthermore, many observations were in sites with the highest rainfall in

255   South America, so rainfall could therefore not be used in the models. Increased focus on field

sampling in the northern Brazilian Amazon in future studies can help reduce these biases and

determine the importance of rainfall for this species. Using MaxEnt can help add new

information and create better models as one of the main benefits is being able to hone predictions

based on new data and changing conditions over time. Nevertheless, this study provides critical

260 information of areas highly likely to have black-headed monkeys which can be used for future

conservation monitoring and planning.

This study provides the first analysis of black-headed night monkey species distribution

along with recommendations for increasing landscape connectivity. Although considered a

species of least concern, their distribution appears far smaller than extent of occurrence would

265 suggest. This species has experienced extensive habitat loss over the past couple of decades and

there will likely be continued habitat loss and degradation, threatening this relatively common

species. Necessary next steps include expanded sampling into interior portions of the Brazilian

Amazon which are currently underrepresented and determine phylogenetically distinct

conservation priorities that may exist within the species driven by river barriers which transect

270 much of their distribution. A regional landscape-level conservation plan that maintains forest

connectivity and minimizes habitat loss would benefit not only the black-headed night monkey

but the many other sympatric species who are under increasing threat in the region.

Author contributions

Study design, data collection, analysis, and writing: WDH; Modeling and assistance with

275 writing: JWV

Acknowledgements Thank you to Hillary Fenrich, Sheridan Plummer, and Ben Sharaf who

assisted in model development.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

280 **Ethical Standards**

This research complied with the *Oryx* Code of Conduct.

References

Alfaro, J. W., Boubli, J.P., Paim, F.P., Ribas, C.C., da Silva, M. N., Messias, M.R., et al. (2015). Biogeography of squirrel monkeys (genus *Saimiri*): South-central Amazon origin and rapid pan-Amazonian diversification of a lowland primate. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 82, 436-454.

Amazonia Socioambiental (2019). Amazonia Network of Georeferenced Socio-Environmental Information. https://www.amazoniasocioambiental.org/en/maps/ [accessed 7 May 2020].

Anderson, R.P. & Gonzalez, I. (2011) Species-specific tuning increases robustness to sampling bias in models of species distributions: an implementation with MaxEnt. *Ecological Modelling*, 222, 2796-2811.

Aquino, R., & Encamación, F. (1994). Owl monkey populations in Latin America: field work and conservation. *Aotus: The Owl Monkey*, 59-95.

Babar, S., Amarnath, G., Reddy, C.S., Jentsch, A., & Sudhakar, S. (2012). Species distribution models: ecological explanation and prediction of an endemic and endangered plant species (*Pterocarpus santalinus* Lf). *Current Science*, 1157-1165.

Beier, P., & Noss, R.F. (1998). Do habitat corridors provide connectivity?. *Conservation Biology*, 12, 1241-1252.

Bett, N.N., Blair, M.E., & Sterling, E.J. (2012). Ecological niche conservatism in doucs (Genus *Pygathrix*). *International Journal of Primatology*, 33, 972-988.

Boubli, J.P., Ribas, C., Alfaro, J.W., Alfaro, M.E., da Silva, M.N., Pinho, G.M., et al. (2015). Spatial and temporal patterns of diversification on the Amazon: A test of the riverine hypothesis for all diurnal primates of Rio Negro and Rio Branco in Brazil. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 82, 400-412.

305   Boyle, S.A., & Smith, A.T. (2010). Can landscape and species characteristics predict primate

        presence in forest fragments in the Brazilian Amazon? *Biological Conservation*, 143,

        1134-1143.

        Butler, R. 2020. What's the deforestation rate in the Amazon?

        https://rainforests.mongabay.com/amazon/deforestation-rate.html [accessed 8 May 2020].

310   Carvalho, J.S., Graham, B., Rebelo, H., Bocksberger, G., Meyer, C.F., Wich, S., et al. (2019a). A

        global risk assessment of primates under climate and land use/cover scenarios. *Global

        Change Biology*, 25, 3163-3178.

        Carvalho, W.D., Mustin, K., Hilário, R.R., Vasconcelos, I.M., Eilers, V., & Fearnside, P.M.

        (2019b). Deforestation control in the Brazilian Amazon: A conservation struggle being

315   lost as agreements and regulations are subverted and bypassed. *Perspectives in Ecology

        and Conservation*, 17, 122-130.

        Chiou, K.L., Pozzi, L., Alfaro, J.W., & Di Fiore, A. (2011). Pleistocene diversification of living

        squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri* spp.) inferred from complete mitochondrial genome

        sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 59, 736-745.

320   Cohn, A.S., Bhattarai, N., Campolo, J., Crompton, O., Dralle, D., Duncan, J., & Thompson, S.

        (2019). Forest loss in Brazil increases maximum temperatures within 50 km.

        Environmental Research Letters, 14, 084047.

        Cortés-Ortiz, L., Bermingham, E., Rico, C., Rodrıguez-Luna, E., Sampaio, I., & Ruiz-Garcıa, M.

        (2003). Molecular systematics and biogeography of the Neotropical monkey genus,

325   *Alouatta*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 26, 64-81.

        Da Silva, J.M., Rylands, A.B., & Da Fonseca, G.A. (2005). The fate of the Amazonian areas of

        endemism. *Conservation Biology*, 19, 689-694.

Davidson, E.A., de Araújo, A.C., Artaxo, P., Balch, J.K., Brown, I.F., Bustamante, M.M., et al. (2012). The Amazon basin in transition. *Nature*, 481, 321.

330   Defler, T.R., & Bueno, M.L. (2007). *Aotus* diversity and the species problem. *Primate Conservation*, 22, 55-70.

d'Horta, F.M., Cuervo, A.M., Ribas, C.C., Brumfield, R.T., & Miyaki, C.Y. (2013). Phylogeny and comparative phylogeography of *Sclerurus* (Aves: Furnariidae) reveal constant and cryptic diversification in an old radiation of rain forest understorey specialists. *Journal of*

335   *Biogeography*, 40, 37-49.

Dupuits, E., & Cronkleton, P. (2020). Indigenous tenure security and local participation in climate mitigation programs: Exploring the institutional gaps of REDD+ implementation in the Peruvian Amazon. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 1-12.

Eberhard, J. R., & Bermingham, E. (2005). Phylogeny and comparative biogeography of

340   *Pionopsitta* parrots and *Pteroglossus* toucans. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 36, 288-304.

Estrada, A., Garber, P.A., Mittermeier, R.A., Wich, S., Gouveia, S., Dobrovolski, R., et al. (2018). Primates in peril: the significance of Brazil, Madagascar, Indonesia and the Democratic Republic of the Congo for global primate conservation. *PeerJ*, 6, e4869.

345   Fernandez-Duque, E., Rotundo, M., & Sloan, C. (2001). Density and population structure of owl monkeys (*Aotus azarai*) in the Argentinean Chaco. *American Journal of Primatology*, 53, 99-108.

Fick, S.E. & Hijmans, R.J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 37, 4302-4315.

350   Fielding, A.H., & Bell, J.F. (1997). A review of methods for the assessment of prediction errors
            in conservation presence/absence models. *Environmental Conservation*, 24, 38-49.

        Franklin, J. (2010). Mapping species distributions: spatial inference and prediction. Cambridge
            University Press.

        Gomes, V.H., Vieira, I.C., Salomão, R.P., & Steege, H. (2019). Amazonian tree species
355   threatened by deforestation and climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 9, 547-553.

        Guzmán, A., Link, A., Castillo, J.A., & Botero, J.E. (2016). Agroecosystems and primate
            conservation: Shade coffee as potential habitat for the conservation of Andean night
            monkeys in the northern Andes. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 215, 57-67.

        Helenbrook, W.D., Wilkinson, M.L., & Suarez, J.A. (2020). Habitat use, fruit consumption, and
360   population density of the black-headed night monkey, *Aotus nigriceps*, in Southeastern
            Peru. *Acta Amazonica*, 50, 37-43.

        Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A. Tyukavina, A. D. et al.
            (2013). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *Science*, 342,
            850-853. http://earthenginepartners.appspot.com/science-2013-global-forest. [accessed 8
365   May 2020].

        Herrera, D., Pfaff, A., & Robalino, J. (2019). Impacts of protected areas vary with the level of
            government: Comparing avoided deforestation across agencies in the Brazilian Amazon.
            Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 14916-14925.

        Holzmann, I., Agostini, I., DeMatteo, K., Areta, J.I., Merino, M.L., & Di Bitetti, M.S. (2014).
370   Using species distribution modeling to assess factors that determine the distribution of
            two parapatric howlers (*Alouatta* spp.) in South America. *International Journal of
            Primatology*, 36, 18-32.

IMAZON 2020. Instituto do Homem e Meio Ambienta da Amazonia.

https://imazon.org.br/publicacoes/boletim-do-desmatamento-da-amazonia-legal-janeiro-

375 2019-sad/ [accessed 29 April 2020].

Kamilar, J.M., & Tecot, S.R. (2016). Anthropogenic and climatic effects on the distribution of

Eulemur species: an ecological niche modeling approach. *International Journal of*

Primatology, 37, 47-68.

Lees, A.C., & Peres, C.A. (2008). Conservation value of remnant riparian forest corridors of

380 varying quality for Amazonian birds and mammals. *Conservation Biology*, 22, 439-449.

Lima, M.G., Buckner, J.C., Silva-Júnior, J.D., Aleixo, A., Martins, A.B., Boubli, J.P., et al.

(2017). Capuchin monkey biogeography: understanding *Sapajus* Pleistocene range

expansion and the current sympatry between *Cebus* and *Sapajus*. Journal of

Biogeography, 44, 810-820.

385 Martins-Junior, A. M., Carneiro, J., Sampaio, I., Ferrari, S.F., & Schneider, H. (2018).

Phylogenetic relationships among *Capuchin* (Cebidae, Platyrrhini) lineages: An old event

of sympatry explains the current distribution of *Cebus* and *Sapajus*. Genetics and

Molecular Biology, 41, 699-712.

Merow, C., Matthew J.S., & Silander, JA. (2013). A practical guide to MaxEnt for modeling

390 species' distributions: what it does, and why inputs and settings matter. *Ecography*, 36,

1058-1069.

Morales N.S., Fernández, I.C., & Baca-González, V. (2017). MaxEnt's parameter configuration

and small samples: are we paying attention to recommendations? A systematic review.

PeerJ. 5, e3093.

395   Paiva, P.F., Ruivo, M.D., da Silva Júnior, O.M., Maciel, M.D., Braga, T. G., de Andrade, M.M. et al. (2020). Deforestation in protect areas in the Amazon: a threat to biodiversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 29, 19-38.

Peterson, A.T., & Soberón, J. (2012). Species distribution modeling and ecological niche modeling: getting the concepts right. *Natureza & Conservação*, 10, 102-107.

400   Phillips, S. J., & Dudík, M. (2008). Modeling of species distributions with MaxEnt: new extensions and a comprehensive evaluation. *Ecography*, 31, 161-175.

PRODES (2020). Prodes deforestation. Global Forest Watch. http://data.globalforestwatch.org/datasets/prodes-deforestation [accessed 8 May 2020].

Rabelo, R.M., Gonçalves, J.R., Silva, F.E., Rocha, D.G., Canale, G.R., Bernardo, C.S., et al.

405   (2018). Predicted distribution and habitat loss for the endangered black-faced black spider monkey *Ateles chamek* in the Amazon. *Oryx*, 1-7.

Raghunathan, N., François, L., Huynen, M.C., Oliveira, L.C., & Hambuckers, A. (2015). Modelling the distribution of key tree species used by lion tamarins in the Brazilian Atlantic forest under a scenario of future climate change. *Regional Environmental*

410   *Change*, 15, 683-693.

Ribas, C.C., Aleixo, A., Nogueira, A.C., Miyaki, C.Y., & Cracraft, J. (2012). A palaeobiogeographic model for biotic diversification within Amazonia over the past three million years. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279, 681-689.

Ruiz-García, M., Vásquez, C., Camargo, E., Leguizamón, N., Gálvez, H., Vallejo, et al. (2011).

415   Molecular phylogenetics of *Aotus* (Platyrrhini, Cebidae). *International Journal of Primatology*, 32, 1218.

Ruiz-García, M., Luengas-Villamil, K., Leguizamon, N., de Thoisy, B., & Gálvez, H. (2015). Molecular phylogenetics and phylogeography of all the Saimiri taxa (Cebidae, Primates) inferred from mt COI and COII gene sequences. *Primates*, 56, 145-161.

420   Ruiz-García, M., Cerón, Á., Sánchez-Castillo, S., Rueda-Zozaya, P., Pinedo-Castro, M., Gutierrez-Espeleta, G., et al. (2017). Phylogeography of the mantled howler monkey (*Alouatta palliata*; Atelidae, Primates) across its geographical range by means of mitochondrial genetic analyses and new insights about the phylogeny of *Alouatta*. *Folia Primatologica*, 88, 421-454.

425   Ruiz-García, M., Albino, A., Pinedo-Castro, M., Zeballos, H., Bello, A., Leguizamon, N., et al. (2019). First molecular phylogenetic analysis of the *Lagothrix* taxon living in southern Peru and northern Bolivia: *Lagothrix lagothricha tschudii* (Atelidae, Primates), a new subspecies. *Folia Primatologica*, 90, 215-239.

Shanee, S., Alves, S.L., Calouro, A.M., Lynch Alfaro, J., Messias, M., Ravetta, et al. (2018).

430   *Aotus nigriceps*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* e.T41542A17923573. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T41542A17923573.en. [accessed 8 May 2020].

Shcheglovitova, M. & Anderson, R.P. (2013) Estimating optimal complexity for ecological niche models: A jackknife approach for species with small sample sizes. *Ecological Modelling*,

435   269, 9-17.

Silva, S.M., Peterson, A.T., Carneiro, L., Burlamaqui, T.C., Ribas, C.C., Sousa-Neves, T., et al. (2019). A dynamic continental moisture gradient drove Amazonian bird diversification. *Science Advances*, 5, eaat5752.

Simonet, G., Subervie, J., Ezzine-de-Blas, D., Cromberg, M., & Duchelle, A.E. (2019).

440   Effectiveness of a REDD+ project in reducing deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 101, 211-229.

Sousa-Neves, T., Aleixo, A., & Sequeira, F. (2013). Cryptic patterns of diversification of a widespread Amazonian Woodcreeper species complex (Aves: Dendrocolaptidae) inferred from multilocus phylogenetic analysis: Implications for historical biogeography and

445   taxonomy. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 68, 410-424.

SRTM (2020). USGS EROS Archive - Digital Elevation - Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 1 Arc-Second Global. DOI:/10.5066/F7PR7TFT

Stohlgren, T.J., Jarnevich, C.S., Esaias, W.E., & Morisette, J.T. (2011). Bounding species distribution models. *Current Zoology*, 57, 642-647.

450 UNEP-WCMC & IUCN (2020). Protected Planet: The World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA)/The Global Database on Protected Areas Management Effectiveness, Cambridge, UK: UNEP-WCMC and IUCN. www.protectedplanet.net. [accessed 5 May 2020].

Wright, P.C. (1981). The night monkeys, genus *Aotus*. In *Ecology and Behavior of Neotropical*

455   *Primates* (eds F. Coimbra-Filho, R.A. Mittermeier), pp. 211–240. Rio de Janeiro, Academic Brasileira de.

Supplementary FIG. 1. The predicted probability of *Aotus nigriceps* occupancy of each

environmental variable, keeping all other variables at their average sample. The curves represent

the mean response of 15 replicate MaxEnt runs (red) and +/- one standard deviation (blue).

Supplementary FIG. 2. Jackknife tests for variable importance for (A) regularized training gain,

(B) test gain, and (C) AUC averaged over 15 replicate bootstrap runs for predicting *Aotus*

470   *nigriceps* occupancy.