1

Factors enforcing the species boundary between the human pathogens *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus deneoformans*

Shelby J. Priest[1], Marco A. Coelho[1], Verónica Mixão[2,3], Shelly Clancey[1], Yitong Xu[4], Sheng Sun[1], Toni Gabaldón[2,3,5], and Joseph Heitman[1]*

[1] Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[2] Life Sciences Department, Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Barcelona, Spain

[3] Institute for Research in Biomedicine, Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain

[4] Program in Cell and Molecular Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[5] Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain

Short title: Factors enforcing the *Cryptococcus* species boundary

* Corresponding author

Email: heitm001@duke.edu (JH)

Abstract

Hybridization has resulted in the origin and variation in extant species, and hybrids continue to arise despite pre- and post-zygotic barriers that limit their formation and evolutionary success. One important system that maintains species boundaries in prokaryotes and eukaryotes is the mismatch repair pathway, which blocks recombination between divergent DNA sequences. Previous studies illuminated the role of the mismatch repair component Msh2 in blocking genetic recombination between divergent DNA during meiosis. Loss of Msh2 results in increased interspecific genetic recombination in bacterial and yeast models, and increased viability of progeny derived from yeast hybrid crosses. Hybrid isolates of two pathogenic fungal *Cryptococcus* species, *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus deneoformans*, are isolated regularly from both clinical and environmental sources. In the present study, we sought to determine if loss of Msh2 would relax the species boundary between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*. We found that crosses between these two species in which both parents lack Msh2 produced hybrid progeny with increased viability and high levels of aneuploidy. Whole-genome sequencing revealed few instances of recombination among hybrid progeny and did not identify increased levels of recombination in progeny derived from parents lacking Msh2. Several hybrid progeny produced structures associated with sexual reproduction when incubated alone on nutrient-rich medium in light, a novel phenotype in *Cryptococcus*. These findings represent a unique, unexpected case where rendering the mismatch repair system defective did not result in increased meiotic recombination across a species boundary. This suggests that alternative pathways or other mismatch repair components limit meiotic recombination between homeologous DNA and enforce species boundaries in the basidiomycete *Cryptococcus* species.

Author summary

Several mechanisms enforce species boundaries by either preventing the formation of hybrids, known as pre-zygotic barriers, or preventing the viability and fecundity of hybrids, known as post-zygotic barriers. Despite these barriers, interspecific hybrids form at an appreciable frequency, such as hybrid isolates of the human fungal pathogenic species, *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus deneoformans*, which are regularly isolated from both clinical and environmental sources. *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids are typically highly aneuploid, sterile, and display phenotypes intermediate to those of either parent, although self-fertile isolates and transgressive phenotypes have been observed. One important mechanism known to enforce species boundaries or lead to incipient speciation is the DNA mismatch repair system, which blocks recombination between divergent DNA sequences during meiosis. The aim of this study was to determine if genetically deleting the DNA mismatch repair component Msh2 would relax the species boundary between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*. Progeny derived from *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* crosses in which both parental strains lacked Msh2 had higher viability, and unlike previous studies in *Saccharomyces*, these *Cryptococcus* hybrid progeny had higher levels of aneuploidy and no observable increase in meiotic recombination at the whole-genome level.

Introduction

The mixing of species through sexual reproduction can result in hybrid offspring. While hybridization can have beneficial consequences in some cases (e.g. hybrid vigor and the emergence of novel hybrid species), sexual reproduction between diverging lineages or different species is typically deleterious and results in hybrid progeny with reduced fitness or sterility [1–

3]. Thus, mechanisms preventing such events, such as pre- and post-zygotic reproductive

barriers, tend to be favored by natural selection. Pre-zygotic species barriers block the formation

of a hybrid zygote, and in the event that a hybrid zygote forms, several post-zygotic barriers exist

that inhibit the viability or fecundity of the hybrid [4]. Post-zygotic barriers include 1) gross

chromosomal rearrangements that prevent effective meiotic recombination, 2) Bateson-

Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities in which interactions between nuclear elements or between

mitochondrial and nuclear factors are detrimental or lethal, and finally, 3) the mismatch repair

(MMR) pathway, which has an important role in blocking meiotic recombination between

diverged DNA sequences.

The MMR pathway was first identified in prokaryotes as a mechanism to repair

replication errors or damage-induced mismatches in DNA [5]. The MMR pathway is highly

conserved, playing similar roles in unicellular eukaryotes, such as *Saccharomyces* species, and in

multicellular eukaryotes, including humans [6]. Prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells lacking

functional MMR components typically have increased mutation rates and therefore display a

hypermutator phenotype [7]. The MMR pathway also plays an additional role in maintaining

species boundaries by inhibiting homeologous chromosome pairing and subsequent

recombination during meiosis. The inability of chromosomes to properly and stably pair, and

subsequently undergo recombination, can lead to chromosome nondisjunction during meiosis,

resulting in high frequencies of aneuploidy. This aneuploidy can be lethal if a progeny fails to

inherit one or more essential chromosomes or if a lethal combination of alleles is inherited.

Rayssiguier et al. demonstrated that mutation of the MutL, MutS, or MutH MMR components

relaxed the species boundary between *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*, two

bacterial species whose genomes are 20% divergent, such that recombination during

conjugational and transductional crosses increased up to 1,000-fold [8]. The involvement of

MMR in recombination is conserved and has also been shown to enforce the species boundary

between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the closely related species *Saccharomyces paradoxus*,

whose genomes are ~15% divergent [9]. Mutants lacking the eukaryotic MutS homolog, Msh2,

or the MutL homolog, Pms1, produce hybrid *S. cerevisiae* x *S. paradoxus* progeny with higher

rates of viability as well as approximately ten-fold increased frequencies of meiotic

recombination [10,11].

Despite the numerous pre- and post-zygotic species barriers and the robust fitness defects

associated with hybrids, hybridization occurs at an appreciable frequency. In an exciting recent

study, researchers witnessed the origin and monitored the evolution of a novel finch species that

arose through a hybridization event in the Galapagos Islands [12]. Hybridization has impacted

recent human evolution as well, with several modern human populations having introgressed

genomic regions from Neanderthals or Denisovans [13]. Ligers, the hybrid progeny of a male

lion and female tiger, and mules, the hybrid progeny of a male donkey and female horse, are

examples of hybrids that normally only occur through human intervention. Ligers are bred for

their size, as they are larger than either parent (an instance of hybrid vigor), while mules are bred

for their endurance, docile demeanor, and intelligence [14]. It is important to note however, that

interspecific hybrids are often sterile [15].

Hybridization is also important in many microbial pathogens and model organisms. For

instance, the diploid model organism *S. cerevisiae* is thought to have arisen following a whole-

genome duplication that was a direct consequence of interspecies hybridization [16–18]. An

instance of relatively recent hybridization is also thought to have led to the emergence of the

novel widespread fungal plant pathogen species *Zymoseptoria pseudotritici*, originating from

fusion between two diverged haploids followed by mitosis and meiosis to generate a

recombinant haploid F_1 hybrid [19]. In recent years, the hybrid nature of several emerging

human opportunistic pathogens has been uncovered [20,21], suggesting hybridization might be a

mechanism underlying the emergence of novel pathogens [22].

Several *Cryptococcus* species are microbial human fungal pathogens and are responsible

for over 200,000 infections in both immunocompromised and immunocompetent individuals

annually [23]. Cryptococcal infections are associated with high mortality rates and occur

globally. There are currently eight recognized species in the pathogenic *Cryptococcus* species

complex that form two well-supported subgroups, the *Cryptococcus neoformans* species

complex and the *Cryptococcus gattii* species complex, which consist of two and six species,

respectively [24,25]. The present study focuses on the two members of the *C. neoformans*

species complex: *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*.

Previously, *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* were recognized as a single species with

two varieties and two serotypes: *C. neoformans* var. *grubii* (serotype A) and *C. neoformans* var.

neoformans (serotype D) [24]. However, there is clear genetic evidence separating these two

groups, and molecular phylogenetics along with whole-genome sequencing suggests they

diverged ~18 million years ago [26–29]. There are also several phenotypes that differentiate *C.*

neoformans and *C. deneoformans* as distinct species, such as differences in thermotolerance,

capsular agglutination reactions, morphology during murine infection, and human disease

manifestations and outcomes [30–34]. Of the pathogenic *Cryptococcus* species, *C. neoformans*

and *C. deneoformans* are the two most commonly isolated species from clinical and

environmental settings and both species serve as model pathogenic eukaryotic organisms [35].

Both species have bipolar mating-type systems in which a single mating-type (*MAT*) locus

encodes either the *MAT***a** or *MAT*α mating-type allele. *C. neoformans* has only been observed to

undergo bisexual reproduction, between cells of opposite mating types, while *C. deneoformans* is

capable of both bisexual and unisexual mating, which occurs either between two cells of the

same mating type or via endoreplication [36]. Due to the large prevalence of *MAT*α strains

isolated from clinical and environmental settings, *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* are

thought to largely reproduce through unisexual reproduction or asexually as haploids, with

infrequent instances of bisexual reproduction in nature.

Despite differences between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*, these two groups

produce hybrids in the laboratory and in nature. *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids, also

known as AD hybrids, make up ~7.5% of environmental isolates and up to 30% of clinical

isolates in Europe and North America [37–40]. Spores produced by genetic crosses between *C.*

neoformans and *C. deneoformans* isolates are known to have poor germination frequencies

(~5%) relative to intraspecific crosses (~80% germination), and are typically highly aneuploid or

heterozygous diploids [41]. This poor viability is likely due to a combination of gross

chromosomal rearrangements between the two parental genomes along with ~15% sequence

divergence between the parental species [27,29,42], leading to a compromised meiosis that

produces genetically imbalanced meiotic progeny. *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids

also have unstable karyotypes, can be self-fertile, and display phenotypes intermediate of either

parent, although instances of transgressive phenotypes (i.e. phenotypes that fall outside of the

range between either parental phenotype) and hybrid vigor have been observed [41,43–45].

Several studies of *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid genomes have utilized restriction

fragment length polymorphism analysis or PCR with sequence-specific primers to assess their

genomes. Through these methods it has been demonstrated that while *C. neoformans* x *C.*

deneoformans hybrids are heterozygous at most loci, some chromosomes seem to be

recombinant, indicative of potential mitotic or meiotic recombination [44,46–48].

In the present study, we generated *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* strains lacking

Msh2 to determine if loss of MMR relaxed the boundary between these two species. As

expected, *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans msh2Δ* mutants displayed hypermutator

phenotypes. Hybrid progeny derived from genetic crosses in which both parental strains lacked

Msh2 displayed increased germination frequencies compared to wild-type crosses and also

exhibited phenotypes and genotypes in accordance with previous findings [41]. Several instances

of genetic recombination were observed in hybrid progeny derived from both wild-type *C.*

neoformans x *C. deneoformans* crosses and *msh2Δ* mutant crosses, although interestingly,

increased frequencies of meiotic recombination were not observed in hybrid progeny derived

from crosses involving *msh2Δ* mutants. Additionally, lower rates of loss of heterozygosity

(LOH), higher rates of aneuploidy, and more instances of chromosome breaks and *de novo*

telomere addition were observed in hybrid progeny from *msh2Δ* mutant crosses. These results

suggest that although Msh2 plays a role in the viability of hybrid progeny, other pathways and

mechanisms are responsible for blocking homeologous meiotic recombination in *Cryptococcus*.

Results

***C. deneoformans msh2Δ* mutants are hypermutators**

To assess the role of the MMR pathway in maintaining species boundaries in

Cryptococcus, we first determined if Msh2 plays a similar role in DNA MMR in *C.*

deneoformans as in other fungi. Deletion mutants lacking *MSH2* were generated via biolistic

transformation and homologous recombination in the *C. deneoformans* JEC20**a** genetic

background (S1A Fig). After transformation, mutants were selected on medium containing

nourseothricin, and PCR was employed to confirm that the deletion allele had replaced the wild-

type *MSH2* allele at its endogenous locus (S1B Fig).

Following isolation and confirmation of the desired *msh2Δ* mutants, we determined if the

mutants displayed hypermutator phenotypes similar to those observed in *msh2* mutants of other

fungi [49,50]. To assess mutation rate, fluctuation assays were performed on either YPD medium

supplemented with a combination of rapamycin and FK506 at 37°C (where calcineurin, the

target of FKBP12-FK506, is essential) or YNB medium supplemented with 5-fluoroorotic acid

(5-FOA) at 30°C. Resistance to the combination of rapamycin and FK506 is mediated by

mutations in their common target, FKBP12, which is encoded by the gene *FRR1*, while

resistance to 5-FOA arises following loss-of-function mutations in *URA5* or *URA3*, genes

encoding enzymes involved in the *de novo* pyrimidine biosynthesis pathway. In this analysis, the

parental strain of the genetic deletion mutants, JEC20**a**, served as the negative control, and a *C.*

neoformans msh2Δ mutant in the KN99α genetic background from the *Cryptococcus* deletion

mutant collection [51] served as the positive control. Although fluctuation assays do not provide

genome-wide mutation rates, the assays allow us to compare the mutation rates at two unique

coding loci of the JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* mutants to those of the controls. On the rapamycin and FK506

antifungal drug combination, the *msh2Δ-1*, *msh2Δ-2*, *msh2Δ-3,* and *msh2Δ-4* mutants exhibited

hypermutator phenotypes with significantly higher mutation rates (1.02×10^{-6}, 1.30×10^{-6}, $1.16 \times$

10^{-6}, and 1.16×10^{-6} mutations per cell per generation, respectively) than the parental JEC20**a**

strain (8.59×10^{-8} mutations per cell per generation) (Fig 1A). Mutation rates of three of the four

independent *msh2Δ* mutants (*msh2Δ-2*, *msh2Δ-3*, and *msh2Δ-4*) were also significantly higher

than the mutation rate of the parental strain, JEC20**a**, on 5-FOA (S2A Fig). Interestingly, the

msh2Δ-1 mutant failed to produce any 5-FOA resistant isolates during the fluctuation assay,

which was explained by a single base deletion in a homopolymeric nucleotide run, causing a

frameshift in *FUR1*, which encodes a uracil phosphoribosyl transferase involved in the

pyrimidine salvage pathway. This mutation led to cross resistance to the antifungal drug 5-

fluorouridine (5FU) and the clinically relevant antifungal drug 5-fluorocytosine (5FC) (S2B-D

Fig) (see Materials and Methods for further details) [52].

Insertion/deletion mutations (INDELs) in homopolymeric nucleotide runs are a hallmark

mutation pattern of *msh2Δ* mutants [49,53]. To determine if the four independent JEC20**a** *msh2Δ*

mutants also displayed similar mutation patterns, *FRR1*, the gene encoding FKBP12 (the

common target of FK506 and rapamycin), was PCR amplified and mutations were identified

through Sanger sequencing. This analysis revealed single base pair INDELs in homopolymeric

nucleotide runs within *FRR1* in all ten independent colonies analyzed for of the *msh2Δ-1*,

msh2Δ-2, and *msh2Δ-4* strains and nine of ten independent colonies analyzed for the *msh2Δ-3*

strain (Fig 1B). This is significantly different from the frequency of 1-bp INDEL mutations

observed in the wild-type JEC20**a** parental strain, in which only one of nine colonies had a 1-bp

INDEL mutation in a homopolymer run ($p<0.001$, Fisher's exact test). As anticipated, the

KN99α *msh2Δ* mutant produced resistant colonies with 1-bp INDEL mutations in homopolymer

runs in *FRR1* in all (10/10) colonies analyzed (Fig 1B).

Progeny from *msh2Δ* hybrid genetic crosses display increased viability

Interspecific crosses involving MMR-deficient *Saccharomyces* strains produce progeny

with increased viability [10,11]. To determine if a similar increase in viability would be observed

in *Cryptococcus*, genetic crosses were conducted between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*

wild-type strains as well as corresponding *msh2Δ* mutants. A cross between H99α (a laboratory

standard *C. neoformans* reference strain) and JEC20**a** served as a control for the *msh2Δ* hybrid

crosses. H99α x JEC20**a** interspecific crosses produced robust mating structures, including

hyphae, basidia, and basidiospores (Fig 2A). Interestingly, bilateral crosses in which both parent

strains lacked *MSH2* produced similarly abundant hyphae as those produced by the H99α x

JEC20**a** cross, but produced a significantly greater number of bald basidia and therefore fewer

basidiospore chains (34%, 33%, and 63% bald basidia on average for the wild-type, unilateral,

and bilateral *msh2Δ* hybrid crosses, respectively, $p<0.001$, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD)

(Fig 2A, 2B, and S1 Table).

247   Basidiospores from wild-type, unilateral *msh2Δ* x wild type, and bilateral *msh2Δ* x

msh2Δ C. neoformans x *C. deneoformans* genetic crosses involving each of the four independent

JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* mutants and the KN99α *msh2Δ* strain were randomly dissected via

micromanipulation onto nutrient-rich YPD medium. The spores were allowed to germinate for

up to three weeks on YPD medium at room temperature, and total germination frequencies were

calculated (Fig 2C and S2 Table). Dissected basidiospores from wild-type H99α x JEC20**a**

crosses germinated at a frequency of 5.8%, which is similar to the previously published

frequency of 5% [41]. Basidiospores from unilateral crosses in which only one parent lacked

MSH2 germinated at a frequency of 7.5% (Fig 2C and S2 Table). Hybrid progeny from bilateral

crosses involving *msh2Δ* mutants in both parents displayed significantly increased germination

frequencies compared to the progeny from unilateral and wild-type crosses, with an average

germination frequency of 29% ($p<0.001$, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD). Progeny

germination frequencies were as high as 41% for some bilateral *msh2Δ* x *msh2Δ* sexual crosses

(S2 Table), nearing the expected upper germination frequency limit for *C. neoformans* x *C.*

deneoformans crosses based on the presence of one large reciprocal chromosomal translocation

between *C. neoformans* H99α and *C. deneoformans* JEC21α, a strain congenic to JEC20**a** with

the exception of the *MAT* locus [29,42].

The germination frequencies of progeny from the hybrid *C. neoformans* x *C.*

deneoformans crosses were much lower than germination frequencies from intraspecific crosses

(S3 Fig, S2 Table). For instance, progeny from an intraspecific *C. neoformans* cross between the

wild-type strains H99α and KN99**a** germinated at an average frequency of 83%. In a unilateral

msh2Δ C. neoformans intraspecific cross, progeny germinated at an average frequency of 86%,

while progeny from a bilateral *msh2Δ C. neoformans* intraspecific cross germinated on average

only 71% of the time, which was significantly lower than either the unilateral *msh2Δ* or wild-

type *C. neoformans* intraspecific progeny ($p<0.05$, one-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD). Similarly,

progeny from wild-type (JEC20**a** x JEC21α) and unilateral *msh2Δ* C. *deneoformans* intraspecific

crosses had high average germination frequencies (78% average). In contrast, progeny from

bilateral *msh2Δ C. deneoformans* intraspecific crosses germinated only 36% of the time, a

significantly lower frequency compared to the wild-type and unilateral crosses ($p<0.001$, one-

way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD) (S3B Fig, S2 Table). The decreases in germination frequencies in

progeny from bilateral *msh2Δ* intraspecific crosses is likely due to the high mutation rates

associated with loss of Msh2, as has been observed in other studies [10,54].

Hybrid progeny are capable of hyphal growth and sporulation on nutrient-rich medium

and display phenotypes and genotypes typically associated with *C. neoformans* x *C.*

***deneoformans* hybrids**

During the prolonged incubation period in which dissected progeny were allowed to

germinate, YPD agar germination plates were kept sealed with parafilm on the benchtop.

Surprisingly, after 14 days of incubation, aerial filaments began to emerge from the periphery of

several germinated hybrid progeny. Through microscopic analysis we found that these progeny

had produced structures resembling those observed during sexual reproduction under mating-

inducing conditions. Continued incubation and additional microscopic analysis revealed that

after approximately three weeks of incubation, a number of these progeny produced basidia and

sparse basidiospores under conditions previously not known to support sexual reproduction for

any *Cryptococcus* species or strains (rich medium, incubated in the light in sealed plates) (Fig 3).

This phenotype was measured across all hybrid progeny, parental strains, and standard laboratory

reference strains. Although there was no significant difference between the ability to produce

hyphae on YPD between the progeny from the different types of hybrid crosses (one-way

ANOVA), progeny from bilateral *msh2Δ* hybrid crosses tended to be able to produce hyphae

more often on average than progeny from wild-type or unilateral *msh2Δ* hybrid crosses (45%

compared to 25% or 19%, respectively) (Fig 3B and S3 Table). Interestingly, no parental strains,

progeny from intraspecific crosses, or common laboratory reference strains were able to produce

hyphae on YPD except for XL280α, a hyper-filamentous *C. deneoformans* strain [55].

The hybrid progeny from both wild-type *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* crosses, as

well as those from unilateral and bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses, exhibited typical *Cryptococcus* hybrid

genotypes: high levels of aneuploidy and inheritance of the *MAT**a*** and *MATα* mating-type alleles

from both parents [41,45]. With sequence-specific primers for the gene *STE20*, which exists as

two mating-type specific alleles and encodes a kinase involved in the pheromone response

signaling cascade, the mating types of the hybrid progeny were determined. Nearly all progeny

inherited and maintained both the *STE20α* allele from the *C. neoformans* parent and the *STE20***a**

allele from the *C. deneoformans* parent, which was expected due to the diploid hybrid

characteristic of *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids (S4 Fig) [41,45]. Based on flow

cytometry, the ploidy of the majority of the hybrid progeny was diploid or aneuploid (S5 Fig).

Three of the 27 hybrid progeny for which whole-genome sequencing was obtained (progeny

YX1, YX3, and YX6) were, however, estimated to be close to haploid, and all three were from a

wild-type *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* cross (S5 Fig). These results are in stark contrast to

the FACS analysis results for 38 intraspecific progeny from the *C. neoformans* and *C.*

deneoformans wild-type, unilateral *msh2Δ*, and bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses, all of which were

estimated to be haploid, with one exception: KN99α *msh2Δ* x KN99**a** progeny 5 appeared

diploid (S6 Fig).

Although the majority of naturally isolated *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid

strains are not self-fertile, *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids produced under laboratory

conditions, similar to those presented in this study, are often self-fertile [41,43]. This fertility is

characterized by the ability to produce hyphae when incubated alone on mating-inducing media,

such as MS agar plates, at room temperature in the dark. All but one of the hybrid progeny

assessed produced hyphae on MS medium, and many progeny were also capable of producing

basidia and basidiospores (S7 Fig). The only progeny that was not self-fertile (YX3) had lost the

*C. deneoformans MAT***a** locus (S4 and S7 Figs). Interestingly, progeny YX1, which only

inherited the *C. deneoformans MAT***a** locus but not the *C. neoformans MATα* locus, was self-

fertile (S4 and S7 Figs). While the *C. deneoformans* JEC20**a** parent is not self-fertile, other *C.*

*deneoformans MAT***a** strains are self-fertile [56], and genetic mechanisms underlying this fertility

may be similar to those observed in YX1.

Hybrid progeny from *msh2Δ* genetic crosses are highly aneuploid or diploid

Following isolation and characterization of hybrid progeny from the wild-type and

unilateral and bilateral *msh2Δ* mutant crosses, we generated whole-genome sequencing for 27

hybrid progeny. The chromosomal composition of the hybrid progeny, along with the

identification of potential sites of meiotic recombination, LOH events, and heterozygosity across

the genome, was assessed by employing the analytic pipeline described in S8 Fig (see methods

for details). To determine ploidy, read depth was assessed in conjunction with flow cytometry

data (Fig 4, and S5 and S9 Figs).

Hybrid progeny from crosses between wild-type *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*

parental strains, as well as those from unilateral and bilateral *msh2Δ* hybrid crosses, displayed

high rates of aneuploidy (Fig 4, S9 and S10 Figs, S4 Table). We characterized the number of

instances in which each chromosome was aneuploid by determining which chromosomes had

been gained or lost relative to the euploidy estimated by FACS analysis (i.e. variations from $1n$

or $2n$). Aneuploidies involving all chromosomes were observed, except for aneuploidies

involving the homologous chromosomes: *C. neoformans* Chr5/*C. deneoformans* Chr4 and *C.*

neoformans Chr 6/*C. deneoformans* Chr5 (S10A,B Fig, S4 Table). All hybrid progeny had three

or fewer aneuploid chromosomes, with the exception of a progeny from a wild-type cross, YX6,

which had five aneuploid chromosomes. We also observed a trend in which larger chromosomes

were less likely to be aneuploid, although there was not a significant correlation between

chromosome length and likelihood of aneuploidy (S10C Fig, S4 Table).

The majority (16/27) of the hybrid progeny were diploid (12/27 progeny) or nearly

diploid ($2n+1$ or $2n$-1) (4/27 progeny), and all progeny derived from unilateral and bilateral

msh2Δ crosses were close to diploid (S4 Table). Interestingly, hybrid progeny from bilateral

msh2Δ mutant crosses were nearly completely heterozygous across a majority of the genome and

displayed fewer LOH events (Fig 4, S9 and S11 Figs, and S5 Table). Quantifying this

heterozygosity, hybrid progeny derived from bilateral *msh2Δ* x *msh2Δ* mutant crosses had

significantly more heterozygosity ($p<0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test, Dunn's test), with a genome-

wide average of 96% heterozygosity relative to hybrid progeny derived from wild-type H99α x

JEC20**a** crosses, which had an average of 67% heterozygosity across their genomes (S11 Fig and

S5 Table).

In contrast to the results from the hybrid progeny, progeny derived from intraspecific

wild-type, unilateral *msh2Δ*, and bilateral *msh2Δ* *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* crosses

were largely haploid based on the combination of FACS data and WGS (S6 and S12 Fig). Out of

the 38 intraspecific progeny for which WGS was obtained, only three progeny from *C.*

neoformans intraspecific crosses were not haploid: progeny 5 from a unilateral KN99α *msh2Δ* x

KN99**a** cross, which was estimated to be near diploid ($2n$-1; missing one copy of Chr13), and

progeny 3 and 4 from a bilateral KN99α *msh2Δ* x KN99**a** *msh2Δ* cross (both $1n$+1; gained one

copy of Chr2 and Chr7, respectively) (S12 Fig). All progeny from all *C. deneoformans*

intraspecific crosses were haploid by both FACS analysis and WGS (S6 and S12 Figs).

Hybrid progeny from *msh2Δ* genetic crosses do not exhibit increased meiotic

recombination frequencies but do show instances of *de novo* telomere addition

Whole-genome sequencing revealed instances of potential meiotic recombination in the

hybrid progeny derived from the wild-type, unilateral *msh2Δ*, and bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses. Due

to the high levels of heterozygosity across the genomes of many *C. neoformans* x *C.*

deneoformans hybrid progeny, it is possible that some recombination events might not be

detected by merely assessing the depth of reads aligning to each parental reference strain. For

instance, if a hybrid progeny inherited both homeologous chromosomes involved in a meiotic

reciprocal recombination event, read depth coverage would be equal across both parental

chromosomes. To ensure that additional recombination events like these were not being missed,

Illumina paired-end reads were aligned to a combined reference that included both parental

genomes to identify additional possible recombination sites. This analysis was based on the

assumption that if a recombination event occurred, the forward and reverse reads of a pair would

align to different chromosomes. Different filtering thresholds were applied based on mapping

quality and the number of read-pairs supporting the event. The results of these analyses, using

more or less stringent filtering thresholds to detect recombination events, show similar

frequencies of recombination events for each of the different types of hybrid crosses (Fig 5 and

S6 Table). With the strictest filtering thresholds (at least 15 read-pairs supporting the event and a

mapping quality of 60), a median of 1 recombination event was detected across the whole

genomes of progeny derived from wild-type, unilateral KN99α *msh2Δ* x JEC20**a**, and bilateral

msh2Δ crosses, while a median of 1.5 events were detected in progeny from unilateral H99α x

JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1* crosses. Furthermore, with the least strict thresholds (at least 5 read-pairs

supporting and mapping quality greater than or equal to 0), a median of 10 recombination events

were detected in progeny from a wild-type cross, a median of 8.5 and 6.5 events were detected in

progeny from both unilateral crosses (H99α x JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1*and KN99α *msh2Δ* x JEC20**a**,

respectively), and a median of 5.5 events were detected in progeny from bilateral crosses (Fig 5

and S6 Table). These results showed no increase in meiotic recombination in hybrid progeny

derived from parents lacking Msh2.

High-confidence recombination sites were mapped onto the H99 reference genome along

with the distribution of SNPs differing between H99α and JEC20**a**, as well as the distribution of

repetitive elements (Fig 6A). One might expect that recombination events in hybrid progeny

would occur at regions with higher homology between the two parental genomes (i.e. regions

with lower SNP densities). However, analysis of the SNP densities within 1 kb on either side of

each recombination site showed that high-confidence recombination events do not occur in

regions with significantly lower or higher SNP densities (Fig 6B). Moreover, no high-confidence

recombination events were detected within the ~40-kb region that shares 98.5% identity between

the two parents, known as the identity island [27]. Additionally, no tracts $\geq$ 300 nucleotides in

length of complete homology between both parental genomes were identified, including within

the identity island, suggesting that recombination events were not being missed due to limitations

of the sequencing methods used. It also did not appear that recombination events were more

likely to occur at repetitive elements (Fig 6A). Interestingly, only one of the recombination

events was near the mating-type locus, a known hotspot for recombination in *Cryptococcus* [57].

Another unexpected finding was a phenomenon associated with hybrid progeny derived

from unilateral or bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses in which chromosome breaks occurred at centromeres,

transposable elements, and other regions in the genome and appeared to have been repaired by *de*

novo addition of telomeric repeats (Fig 4C, S9 and S13 Fig, S7 Table). Of the 15 instances of *de*

novo telomere addition in the hybrid progeny, 5 occurred at repetitive elements, such as

centromeres and transposons. We also assessed whether or not this phenomenon occurred in any

of the progeny derived from intraspecific crosses, with the hypothesis that this type of

chromosomal breakage and *de novo* telomere addition would only be viable in the context of a

diploid, such that no essential genes are lost if only one homolog breaks. Accordingly, in the

intraspecific progeny, we only identified one instance of *de novo* telomere addition at the end of

chromosome 13 in progeny 3 from a bilateral KN99α *msh2Δ* x KN99**a** *msh2Δ* cross (S14 Fig

and S7 Table). This event occurred ~17 kb from the end of the chromosome and only resulted in

the loss of three genes encoding hypothetical proteins (CNAG_07919, CNAG_06256, and

CNAG_07920) as well as a gene encoding a transporter belonging to the major facilitator

superfamily (MFS) (CNAG_06259) (S14 Fig), indicating these are not essential genes.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the role of MMR in maintaining the species boundary

between two closely related and prevalent opportunistic human fungal pathogens, *C. neoformans*

and *C. deneoformans*. The MMR pathway is known to play a highly conserved role in blocking

recombination between homeologous DNA sequences during meiosis, serving as a post-zygotic

barrier, in addition to its role in DNA repair. Findings from previous studies in prokaryotic and

eukaryotic models indicated that inactivating the MMR pathway by genetically deleting pathway

components, particularly Msh2, allows increased homeoleogous recombination during meiosis

[8,10,11]. Therefore, we hypothesized that lack of Msh2 in *Cryptococcus* would allow increased

pairing and recombination between homeologous chromosomes during meiosis, leading to

decreased rates of chromosome nondisjunction, and ultimately the production of more viable

progeny with fewer instances of aneuploidy.

Fluctuation analysis and characterization of mutational spectra of *C. deneoformans*

msh2Δ mutants confirmed that Msh2 plays a similar role in DNA MMR in *C. deneoformans* as

has been previously observed across eukaryotes and in other pathogenic *Cryptococcus* species

[49,50]. Similar to results from previous studies in prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms,

germination frequencies of *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny derived from

bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses increased significantly relative to wild-type hybrid germination

frequencies [8,10,11]. This was in contrast to the reduced viability observed in bilateral *msh2Δ*

intraspecific crosses, which decreased by 12% compared to wild-type *C. neoformans*

intraspecific crosses and 42% compared to wild-type *C. deneoformans* intraspecific crosses; this

reduced viability is likely due to the hypermutator phenotype of *msh2Δ* mutants, as has been

observed in previous studies in *S. cerevisiae* [10,54].

Hybrid progeny derived from genetic crosses between *C. neoformans* and *C.*

deneoformans isolates are known to display unique phenotypes. For example, hybrids, especially

those generated under laboratory conditions, can exhibit self-fertility, producing hyphae and in

some cases basidia and spores under mating-inducing conditions [41,45]. The majority of the

hybrid progeny derived from the crosses described here displayed phenotypes in accord with

previously published findings for *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids [41,43]. However, a

novel transgressive phenotype was exhibited by many hybrid progeny: the ability to produce

hyphae and in some cases, basidia and sparse basidiospores, on nutrient-rich YPD medium in

sealed plates in the light. This was surprising, because environmental cues known to suppress

mating in *Cryptococcus* include nutrient-rich environments, such as YPD medium, as well as

light and high levels of humidity and carbon dioxide [33,36,58–60]. The formation of sexual

reproduction structures by the hybrid progeny under nutrient-rich, light, sealed conditions

represents sexual reproduction of *Cryptococcus* in a novel environment, which has never been

shown to induce filamentation for any *C. neoformans* or *C. deneoformans* strain previously. The

isolation of self-fertile progeny provides an important example of how hybridization can enable

Cryptococcus to access a dimorphic state under conditions that are not conducive for the parental

isolates to sexually reproduce. The ability to produce spores, the infectious propagules of

Cryptococcus human pathogens, in a previously prohibitive environment also provides the

opportunity to produce more infectious propagules, which could potentially result in a higher rate

of infection. This is in line with previous studies which found that up to 30% of clinical isolates

in Europe were *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids [38–40]. These results, along with

recent studies that identified Msh2-deficient *C. neoformans* clinical isolates [50], suggest that

certain *Cryptococcus* hybrids could potentially contribute to the emergence of a new pathogen,

similar to the recent emergence of a wheat stem rust pathogen lineage that is the result of a

hybridization with no subsequent recombination or chromosomal reassortment [61].

Unlike their haploid parents, *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny typically

have relatively unstable aneuploid or diploid karyotypes [43–45]. All hybrid progeny derived

from *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* crosses in this study were aneuploid based on both

FACS analysis and whole-genome sequencing data. Three hybrid progeny isolated from wild-

type *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* crosses were close to haploid and all other hybrid

progeny derived were diploid or nearly diploid. Additionally, all hybrid progeny were euploid or

nearly euploid, with three or fewer aneuploid chromosomes, with only one exception. These

findings are similar to those from Parry and Cox, who found that *S. cerevisiae* progeny dissected

from a triploid were close to euploid, which suggested that only a limited number of aneuploid

chromosomes are tolerated [62]. We also observed instances where the read-depth of several

aneuploid chromosomes was lower than expected, which likely represent events of chromosome

loss among a fraction of the cells that were sequenced at the whole-genome level, reflecting the

karyotypic instability of these progeny.

The higher ploidy levels in progeny derived from crosses involving *msh2Δ* mutants were

unexpected because MMR mutants in yeast produce progeny with lower levels of aneuploidy

[10]. It is possible that the diploid or near diploid *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* progeny

were the meiotic products of a tetraploid, generated by the fusion of two diploids that formed

within the mating patch. However, interspecific progeny derived from divergent *Saccharomyces*

tetraploids display much higher germination frequencies (>90%), which is very different from

the frequencies associated with these *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny, making

it unlikely that they are derived from tetraploids [63]. It is also possible that the high frequency

of diploid progeny could be indicative of a failed meiosis. However, *Cryptococcus* mutants

lacking known meiotic genes, such as those encoding the meiosis-specific endonuclease Spo11

or the key meiotic regulator Dmc1, are either unable to produce viable progeny or unable to

efficiently produce basidiospores, respectively [64,65]. In contrast to the abnormal sexual

reproduction structures produced by these meiosis-deficient mutants, the basidia produced by

hybrid crosses in this study generated four lengthy spore chains. On the other hand, it is possible

that without Msh2 during the hybrid meiosis, initiation of recombination between homeologous

sequences will not be prevented effectively, and meiosis will therefore proceed to a degree while

homeologous chromosomes are linked by strand invasions, increasing the number of viable

progeny. This could also lead to increased chromosomal nondisjunction in meiosis I or

potentially a skipping of the reductional meiotic division if homeologous chromosomes remain

linked by strand invasions, which could explain the near diploid genomes of hybrid progeny

from the unilateral and bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses. The strand invasions between homeologous

chromosomes might be resolved as non-crossovers or crossovers, although the crossovers may

be inviable, which could also explain the increased number of basidia lacking spore chains in the

bilateral *msh2Δ* hybrid matings. The higher ploidy in hybrid progeny from bilateral *msh2Δ* x

msh2Δ crosses was also associated with significantly more heterozygosity across their genomes

than their counterparts from wild-type *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* crosses. It is possible

that this higher level of heterozygosity contributes to the increased viability by masking

deleterious alleles or overcoming Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller genetic incompatibilities, as has

been observed in previous studies of *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids [48,66].

An interesting phenomenon associated with progeny derived from unilateral and bilateral

hybrid *msh2Δ* crosses as well as a single intra-specific progeny from a bilateral *msh2Δ* cross was

the *de novo* addition of telomeric repeat sequences to various locations in the genome including

centromeric repeat sequences, non-centromeric transposable elements, and the rDNA repeat

locus following chromosomal breaks. Although the addition of telomeric repeats at non-

telomeric sites can promote the stabilization of a broken chromosome, it also often leads to the

loss of a large portion of a chromosome, which would normally threaten cell viability. However,

the presence of both homeologous chromosomes from each parental species in these hybrid

progeny alleviates this problem. In the haploid intraspecific progeny, only one instance of *de*

novo telomere addition was observed, and in this case only a small portion of the chromosome

(~17kb) was lost, thus avoiding the deleterious effects that might be associated with the larger

losses observed in the hybrid progeny if they were to happen in a haploid background. Loss of

Msh2 has been shown to promote telomeric recombination in yeast, and our results suggest

Msh2 might be mediating a similar anti-recombination mechanism at telomeric and other

repetitive loci in *Cryptococcus* [67]. Further supporting this, *de novo* telomeric repeat addition

has also been observed in *Cryptococcus* following CRISPR-mediated double-stranded breaks at

centromeres [68]. Overall, our results suggest that in *Cryptococcus* crosses involving *msh2Δ*

mutants, chromosomes may be more prone to double-stranded breaks, or that the normally

occurring double-stranded breaks are unable to be properly repaired and loss of Msh2 promotes

de novo telomere addition at these sites.

Many instances of LOH and recombination were observed in the hybrid progeny assessed

in this study. One caveat to note regarding the recombination events identified through WGS, is

that *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids have been known to experience loss of

heterozygosity during mitotic growth [45], and results from other previous studies also suggest

that mitotic recombination can occur during mating itself [47,69]. Unexpectedly, instances of

recombination were detected in progeny derived from each of the different types of hybrid

crosses (wild-type, unilateral, and bilateral *msh2Δ*), but no increase in meiotic recombination

was observed in hybrid progeny derived from parents lacking Msh2. Although *Cryptococcus* has

lower frequencies of meiotic recombination compared to *S. cerevisiae* – approximately 1.27

crossovers per chromosome per progeny derived from intraspecific bisexual crosses [70], and

this frequency is estimated to decrease by six- to seven-fold in *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans*

hybrid progeny [46] – we expected to see significantly higher rates of recombination in hybrid

progeny from *msh2Δ* crosses compared to wild-type crosses based on previous studies in both

prokaryotes and eukaryotes [8,10,11]. Based on read-depth analysis as well as detecting

recombination events by aligning whole-genome sequencing from the progeny to a combined

reference genome with both parental species, no increase in recombination was observed in

crosses involving either a single parent lacking Msh2 or both parents lacking Msh2. One

potential explanation for this observation could be that the level of sequence divergence between

C. deneoformans and *C. neoformans* is large enough that meiotic recombination will be

inefficient, even in the absence of MMR. However, previous studies on meiotic recombination in

S. cerevisiae indicate that although recombination is less efficient at high levels of sequence

divergence, loss of MMR leads to approximately a 24-fold increase in meiotic recombination

between 15% divergent sequences, the same level of divergence as between *C. neoformans* and

C. deneoformans [27,29,71]. Furthermore, studies on mitotic recombination in *S. cerevisiae* also

similarly indicate that even at 26% sequence divergence, loss of MMR leads to a 55-fold

increase in mitotic recombination [72,73]. Conversely, studies in other models have observed

instances where loss of Msh2 did not lead to increased recombination frequencies between

substrates with as little as 1% sequence divergence, up to 25% divergence [74–76].

566   In summary, this study illustrates several key findings on the roles of MMR in

Cryptococcus. In *C. deneoformans*, *msh2Δ* mutants behave as hypermutators on various selective

media and acquire INDELs in homopolymeric nucleotide runs. Hybrid *C. neoformans* x *C.*

deneoformans progeny dissected from genetic crosses involving *msh2Δ* mutants generally

displayed increased germination frequencies compared to those from wild-type crosses. Hybrid

progeny derived from *msh2Δ* crosses displayed phenotypes and karyotypes characteristic of *C.*

neoformans x *C. deneoformans* hybrid strains, such as diploidy/aneuploidy and self-fertility, and

some progeny displayed a novel, transgressive phenotype in which they were capable of

producing hyphae, basidia, and basidiospores on a glucose- and nutrient-rich medium in the

light. The increased viability of hybrid progeny derived from bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses suggests

that loss of Msh2 in *Cryptococcus* may allow homeologous chromosomes to pair more

efficiently during meiosis. However, the observation that loss of Msh2 did not seem to increase

the frequency of meiotic recombination between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*

homeologous chromosomes was highly unexpected based on many previous studies, particularly

those in yeast. These results suggest that Msh2 plays a role in maintaining the species boundary

between *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*, albeit an unexpected one. Additionally, these

results suggest alternative pathways or additional MMR components may play different or more

important roles in maintaining species boundaries in *Cryptococcus* than in other previously

studied organisms; proteins like the DNA helicases Mph1 and Sgs1, which have been shown to

block homeologous recombination and play significant roles in chromosome nondisjunction in

budding yeast, would be ideal candidates for further investigation [77–79]. Thus, future studies

identifying the robust post-zygotic mechanisms that ultimately maintain integrity by blocking

homeologous recombination between these two closely related species will be of great interest.

Materials and methods

Strains and growth

The *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* strains described in this study are listed in S8

Table. Strains were stored at -80°C in liquid yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) supplemented

with 15% glycerol. Strains were inoculated on YPD agar plates, initially grown at 30°C for 3

days, and then maintained at 4°C. Due to the hypermutator phenotypes associated with *msh2Δ*

strains and the genomic instability associated with *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids,

strains used in the experiments of this study were not maintained for more than two weeks at 4°C

on YPD agar plates and fresh cells from frozen glycerol stocks were inoculated to new YPD agar

plates at the end of each two-week period.

Generation of *msh2Δ* deletion mutants

The open-reading frame of the *MSH2* gene (gene ID: CNA07480) in the *C. deneoformans*

JEC20**a** [80] genetic background was replaced with the gene encoding the dominant drug-

resistance marker for nourseothricin resistance, *NAT*, by homologous recombination via biolistic

transformation as previously described [81]. Following transformation and selection on YPD +

100 µg/mL nourseothricin agar medium, genomic DNA was isolated from candidate mutants

with the MasterPure DNA purification kit (Epicentre) and PCR followed by gel electrophoresis

confirmed correct integration of the *NAT* dominant resistance marker. The locations of the

primers used to generate the deletion allele and confirm deletion mutants are depicted in S1A Fig

and their sequences are given in S9 Table. To generate congenic strains of opposite mating types

for the intraspecific *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans msh2Δ* crosses, KN99**a** [82] was

crossed with the KN99α *msh2Δ* mutant and JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1* was crossed with JEC21α [80],

respectively. Progeny were isolated and PCR was used to confirm that they inherited the *msh2Δ*

deletion construct at the endogenous *MSH2* locus as well as the appropriate mating type, and did

not inherit a functional *MSH2* allele.

Fluctuation analysis to quantify mutation rates

Fluctuation analysis was utilized to quantify the mutation rates, or the number of

mutations per cell per generation, of the JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* mutants. The wild-type strain JEC20**a**

served as a negative control and the *msh2Δ* mutant from the 2015 Madhani deletion collection in

the KN99α genetic background served as a positive control [51]. For each strain, including

controls, ten 5 mL YPD liquid cultures were each inoculated with a single colony from a YPD

agar stock plate. Cultures were incubated overnight at 30°C. After incubation, cultures were

pelleted at 3,000 x *g*, washed twice with 5 mL dH$_2$O, and resuspended in 4 mL dH$_2$O. 100 µL of

undiluted, washed cells was plated directly to YPD + 100 ng/mL rapamycin + 1 µg/mL FK506

or yeast nitrogen base (YNB) + 1 mg/mL 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) solid agar medium.

Washed cells were diluted 1:100,000 and 100 µL of the dilution was plated to YPD solid agar

medium. Inoculated YPD and YNB+5-FOA plates were incubated at 30°C for 4 or 14 days,

respectively. Inoculated YPD+rapamycin+FK506 plates were incubated at 37°C for 14 days.

Following incubation, colonies on each of the media were counted and mutation frequencies

were calculated with the FluCalc program, which utilizes the Ma-Sandri-Sarkar maximum-

likelihood estimation (MSS-MLE) equation for calculations [83].

Mutation spectra analysis

Single resistant colonies from fluctuation analyses were streak purified to YPD +

rapamycin + FK506 medium and grown for 3 days at 37°C. Genomic DNA was isolated using

the MasterPure Yeast DNA Purification Kit (Epicenter Biotechnologies, Madison, WI), and the

FRR1 gene was PCR-amplified with Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB, Ipswich

MA, USA). PCR products were subjected to gel electrophoresis, extracted using a QIAgen gel

extraction kit, and mutations were identified through classical Sanger Sequencing at Genewiz.

Fisher's exact probability test was used to calculate statistically significant differences between

the frequencies of 1-bp INDEL mutations compared to other types of mutations in YPD +

rapamycin + FK506-resistant colonies from strains lacking *MSH2* compared to the wild-type

JEC20**a** strain using the VassarStats online software (http://vassarstats.net).

Papillation assays

Papillation assays were conducted on YNB solid agar medium supplemented with 1

mg/mL 5-FOA, 100 µg/mL 5-fluorocytosine (5FC), or 100 µg/mL 5-fluorouridine (5FU). For

this assay, ten independent YPD liquid cultures were inoculated with ten single colonies from

YPD agar plates and incubated overnight at standard laboratory conditions. Following overnight

culture, cells were pelleted at 3,000 x g and resuspended in 2 mL dH$_2$O. Sterile cotton swabs

were then used to inoculate quadrants of YNB + 5-FOA agar plates with each independent

overnight culture. The inoculated agar plates were incubated at 30°C for 6 days to allow

sufficient growth to visualize resistant colonies.

Genetic crosses and progeny dissection

All genetic crosses were conducted on Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar medium

following Basic Protocol 1 for mating assays as previously described [84]. The frequency of bald

basidia was calculated by imaging random areas surrounding two mating patches per cross,

counting the number of bald basidia and basidia producing basidiospores, and the frequencies

from the two mating patches were averaged. For each genetic cross, two independent mating

patches were assessed; for each mating patch, over 130 total basidia were assessed across at least

11 images. Images used to quantify bald basidia were taken on a Zeiss Axio Scope.A1 with

camera. Random basidiospore dissection was performed as described in Basic Protocol 2 [84].

Following dissection, the micromanipulated basidiospores were germinated for up to 3 weeks on

YPD agar plates sealed with parafilm and incubated on the laboratory benchtop. Images of self-

fertile hybrid progeny derived from bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses producing sexual structures on YPD

agar plates following dissection were taken with an Accu-Scope EXC-500 microscope with an

attached Nikon DXM1200F microscope camera.

Phenotyping and genotyping of hybrid progeny

Primers designed to specifically amplify only the *C. neoformans STE20***a**, *C. neoformans*

*STE20*α, *C. deneoformans STE20***a**, or *C. deneoformans STE20*α alleles aided in identifying the

mating types of the hybrid progeny (primers listed in S9 Table). To assess self-filamentation,

hybrid progeny were spotted onto MS agar plates and incubated for 14 days at room temperature

(approximately 24°C) in the dark as described in Basic Protocol 1 [84]. Filamentation was

assessed via microscopy after 14 days of incubation with an Accu-Scope EXC-500 microscope

with an attached Nikon DXM1200F microscope camera.

Flow Cytometry

Cells were patched onto YPD agar medium and incubated at 30°C overnight; strains that

exhibited slow growth were incubated at 30°C for three days. Cells were harvested by scraping a

2 mm sized colony with a toothpick and resuspending in 1 mL PBS buffer. Cells were washed

once with 1 mL PBS and then fixed in 1 mL 70% ethanol overnight at 4°C. After fixation, cells

were washed once with 1 mL NS buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH=7.6, 150 mM sucrose, 1 mM

EDTA pH=8.0, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM $ZnCl_2$, 0.4 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM β-

mercaptoethanol) and resuspended in 180 μl NS buffer supplemented with 5 μl propidium iodide

(0.5 mg/mL) and 20 μl RNase A (10 mg/mL). Cells were incubated covered, overnight at 4°C

with shaking. Prior to analysis, 50 μl of cells were diluted in 2 mL of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH=8.0)

in a 5 mL falcon tube. Flow cytometry was performed on 10,000 cells and analyzed on the FL1

channel on a Becton-Dickinson FACScan.

Whole-genome sequencing

Single colonies of strains for whole-genome sequencing were inoculated into 50 mL of

liquid YPD and grown overnight at 30°C in standard laboratory conditions. Overnight cultures

were then pelleted at 3,000 x *g* in a tabletop centrifuge and subsequently lyophilized. High

molecular weight DNA was extracted from lyophilized cells following the CTAB protocol as

previously described [85]. Genomic DNA libraries were constructed with a Kapa HyperPlus

library kit for 300 bp inserts and sequenced at the Duke Sequencing and Genomic Technologies

Shared Research core facility. Libraries were sequenced using paired-end, 2 x 150 bp reads on an

Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform.

Whole-genome and chromosome composition analyses of the hybrid progeny

C. deneoformans strain JEC21α is the congenic mating partner of JEC20**a**, which was

obtained in an earlier study by selecting *MAT*α progeny after ten rounds of backcrossing to

JEC20**a** [80]. The genomes of *C. deneoformans* JEC21α and JEC20**a** strains are therefore nearly

identical, with the exception of 5,322 SNPs mainly distributed over three genomic regions

(including the mating-type locus) [86]. Because a highly contiguous *de novo* genome assembly

of JEC20**a** is not currently available, the genome assembly of strain JEC21α

(GCA_000091045.1) was used for all comparisons. The nuclear genomes of two parental strains,

C. neoformans H99α and *C. deneoformans* JEC21α were compared by performing whole-

genome alignments with Satsuma (https://github.com/bioinfologics/satsuma2) [87], using default

parameters. The output of Satsuma was input to the visualization tools "BlockDisplaySatsuma"

and "ChromosomePaint", included in the same package to generate a postscript file. For

representation purposes, chromosome color codes were modified in Adobe Illustrator, and

centromeres and other genomic features (rDNA and *MAT* loci) were superimposed at scale based

on their respective genomic coordinates. Characterization of the chromosome composition of the

hybrid progeny followed the procedure summarized in S8A Fig. The combined nuclear reference

genome used in this study was built with the genome assemblies of the two parental strains after

reordering and reorienting the JEC21α contigs to maximize collinearity with the H99α assembly

(S8B Fig), using the Mauve Contig Mover tool [88]. A dot plot analysis comparing the H99α

assembly with the JEC21α rearranged assembly (S8B Fig) was performed with D-Genies

application [89], which uses minimap2 [90] for aligning the two genomes. Raw Illumina paired-

end reads of the selected progeny and the combined reference genomes were input into the

sppIDer pipeline [91], which is a wrapper that sequentially maps the Illumina short reads to the

combined reference, performs quality filtering (MQ > 3), and generates depth of coverage plots

(Fig 4 and S9 Fig). For each progeny, the number of chromosomes and the ploidy were estimated

from the sppIDer plots in conjunction with the flow-cytometry data. Chromosomal aberrations,

e.g. due to recombination, or chromosome breakage followed by *de novo* telomere addition, were

inferred from the sppIDer plots, and further validated by visual inspection of the mapped reads in

IGV [92].

Heterozygosity of the hybrid progeny

To inspect the heterozygosity levels of the hybrid progeny, the same set of Illumina

paired-end reads were mapped to the *C. neoformans* H99α reference genome, using the BWA-

MEM short-read aligner (v0.7.17-r1188) with default settings [93]. SNP discovery, variant

evaluation, and further refinements were carried out with the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK)

best-practices pipeline [94,95] (v4.0.1.2), including the use of Picard tools to convert SAM to

sorted BAM files, fix read groups (module: 'AddOrReplaceReadGroups';

SORT_ORDER=coordinate), and mark duplicates. Variant sites were identified with

HaplotypeCaller from GATK and only high-confidence variants that passed filtration were

retained (the "VariantFiltration" module used the following criteria: DP < 20 || QD < 2.0 || FS >

60.0 || MQ < 40.0 || SOR > 4.0). The genome-wide level of heterozygosity was defined as the

ratio of the number of heterozygous SNPs divided by the total number of SNPs (i.e.

heterozygous and non-reference homozygous SNPs), and was calculated from the resulting VCF

files on a per-individual basis after extracting the corresponding sites using the module

VariantsToTable from GATK. Due to the high variance in heterogeneity across the genomes of

progeny from the different genetic crosses and unequal numbers of progeny analyzed from each

different genetic cross, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's test were

performed using JMP v15 (SAS Institute).

Detection of variants in the genome of the JEC20a *msh2Δ-1* mutant

To predict variants in the JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1* mutant, we employed the variant calling

procedure described above, using the JEC21 genome (GCA_000091045.1) as reference. The

effect of a variant (SNPs and INDELs) was predicted and annotated using SnpEff [96]. Only

variants of moderate and high impact were considered (i.e. excluding synonymous and non-

coding variants), and variants in common between the mutant and the JEC20**a** parent were

flagged as background mutations and excluded. Given the inability of the *msh2Δ-1* mutant to

produce 5-FOA resistant colonies, we specifically focused on mutations in genes involved in the

de novo and salvage pathways of pyrimidine biosynthesis. An single base-pair deletion was

identified in the gene FUR1: deletion of a thymine at position 570229 on Chr5 (AE017345.1),

GeneID: CNE02100 (AE017345.1:569,042-570,826). Mutations in components of the

pyrimidine *de novo* biosynthesis pathway, such as *URA3*, have been demonstrated to be

synthetically lethal with *FUR1* mutations in yeast [97,98]. Independent overnight cultures of

each of the independent JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* mutants, a KN99α *fur1Δ* mutant, the JEC20**a** parental

strain (negative control), and a KN99α *msh2Δ* mutant (positive control) were swabbed on YNB

plates supplemented with 5-FOA to illustrate that both JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1* and KN99α *fur1Δ*

mutants are incapable of producing 5-FOA resistant colonies (S2B Fig). Furthermore, *fur1Δ*

mutants are also known to be resistant to the antifungal drug 5-fluorouridine (5FU) and the

clinically relevant antifungal drug 5-fluorocytosine (5FC) [52]. Papillation assays with the same

strains used on 5-FOA were also conducted on YNB plates supplemented with 5FC or 5FU. As

anticipated, the JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1* and the KN99α *fur1Δ* mutant displayed congruent growth

phenotypes and were resistant to both 5FC and 5FU, unlike the parental JEC20**a**, the KN99α

msh2Δ strain, or any of the other JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* mutants (S2C, D Fig).

Detection of recombination events based on read-pair alignment

To detect possible recombination events in *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrids,

the respective reference genomes were retrieved from NCBI (accession: ASM301198v1 for H99

and ASM9104v1 for JEC21 as no genome assembly for JEC20 was available). As the H99 strain

was not sequenced in this project, the respective Illumina paired-end reads were retrieved from

SRA (SRR7042283). All Illumina paired-end libraries were filtered with the default parameters

of Trimmomatic v0.36 [99]. Filtered reads of each strain (including the parental strains) were

aligned on the combined reference (containing both H99 and JEC21 genome assemblies) with

HaploTypo pipeline [100], using BWA-MEM v0.7015 [93], samtools v1.9 (Li 2011) and GATK

v4.0.2.1 [95].

To detect recombination events, we assumed that in recombinant sites it would be

possible to detect read-pairs with the two reads aligning to different chromosomes. Therefore, for

each strain (including the parental strains), we obtained the coordinates of all the pairs with each

read aligning to a chromosome of a different parental species. Only reads with at least 75 bp and

a maximum of 3 mismatches were considered. As there were some differences between the

parental JEC20 and the reference JEC21, variant calling was performed with HaploTypo pipeline

[100], using GATK v4.0.2.1 [95]. Bedtools v2.26 [101] intersect was used to determine how

many SNPs overlapped each read, and in cases in which more than 3 SNPs would overlap, the

filter of mismatches was adjusted to that value. The final results were filtered based on mapping

quality (MQ) and number of pairs supporting a given recombination event (Bedtools v2.26 [101]

merge with a distance of 100bp). Four different analyses were performed using more or less

stringent filters: i) MQ = 60 and at least 15 reads supporting the event (stricter); ii) MQ = 60 and

at least five reads supporting the event; iii) MQ >= 0 and at least 15 reads supporting the event;

iv) MQ >= 0 and at least five reads supporting the event (less strict). The script that automates

this pipeline is available on GitHub (https://github.com/Gabaldonlab/detect_recombination/). As

it was possible to detect recombination in the parental strains (false positives), Bedtools v2.26

[101] subtract was used to remove all the regions detected in hybrid progeny that were also

detected in the parental strains.

Aneuploidy and *de novo* telomere addition assessment in the progeny from intraspecific

crosses of *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*

Paired-end reads of the progeny resulting from intraspecific crosses of *C. neoformans*

H99α × KN99**a** and *C. deneoformans* JEC21α × JEC20**a**, or from intraspecific crosses involving

their derived *msh2Δ* mutants, were mapped to the *C. neoformans* H99α and *C. deneoformans*

JEC21α reference genomes, respectively, using the procedures described above. Gross

aneuploidy of chromosomes was inferred from read counts collected in 1-kb non-overlapping

intervals across the genome using the module "count_dna" from the Alfred package (v0.1.7)

(https://github.com/tobiasrausch/alfred) and subjected to median normalization and log2

transformation. The resulting data was converted to binary tiled data (.tdf) using "igvtools

toTDF" and plotted as a heatmap in IGV viewer. Chromosome breaks and *de novo* telomere

addition were inferred by abrupt changes in read coverage within a chromosome and visually

confirmed by read mapping in IGV.

Testing the association between SNP density, repeat content, and the recombination

breakpoints identified in the *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny

Recombination breakpoints in hybrid progeny could potentially occur at regions with

higher sequence identity between the two parental genomes (i.e. regions with lower SNP

densities). If no SNPs could be scored between the two species in genomic tracts smaller than

300 bp (corresponding to the read size) this would potentially lead to an underestimation of the

recombination events. Therefore, to determine the distribution of SNPs differing between *C.*

neoformans and *C. deneoformans*, 150-bp paired-reads of *C. deneoformans* JEC20**a** were

mapped to a *C. neoformans* H99α reference genome using the methods described above. SNP

density was calculated from the resulting VCF file in 300-bp bins using VCFtools with the

option "--SNPdensity 300", parsed into a BED file format, and visualized as a density heatmap

in IGV. Regions without mapped reads were identified by extracting intervals with no coverage

using the output of "bedtools genomcov -bga". No regions of 300 bp were found without any

SNP, including the ~40-kb nearly identical region between the two parental genomes (~98.5%

sequence identity) that was introgressed from *C. neoformans* to *C. deneoformans* [27].

Next, to examine whether high-confidence recombination events occur in regions with

significantly lower or higher SNP densities, we compared the SNP densities within 1-kb on each

side of each of the inferred recombination breakpoints, with other 1kb-binned genomic regions

(excluding centromeres and the mating-type locus region), and plotted their density and

distribution. Three sets of high-confidence recombination events were chosen for this analysis:

(a) recombination events supported by mapping quality of 60 and by both 5 and 15 read-pairs

(MQ60-Cov15 and MQ60-Cov5), but that could not be inferred directly from the read depth

plots; (b) events supported by MQ60-Cov15 and from the read depth plots, but not called when

using MQ60-Cov5 as a filtering criteria; and (c) events supported by both MQ60-Cov15, MQ60-

Cov5 and that could be directly inferred from the read depth plots.

Finally, to inspect if any of these recombination breakpoints was associated with genomic

regions enriched in repeats or transposable elements, RepeatMasker (RepeatMasker Open-4.0

2013-2015; http://www.repeatmasker.org) was run with a library of previously characterized *C.*

neoformans transposable elements [102] and *de novo*-identified repeat consensus sequences

generated by RepeatModeler2 (https://github.com/Dfam-consortium/RepeatModeler). "Bedtools

intersect" was employed to determine if any of the genomic regions associated with repeats or

transposable elements overlapped with any of the recombination breakpoints.

Aneuploidy of the hybrid progeny

Hybrid progeny were considered aneuploid if they were missing or had an extra chromosome

compared to the theoretical expected number given their ploidy level as measured by FACS

analyses, and irrespective of whether they inherited copies from each parent or from only one of

the parents. For example, progeny YX4 was scored as euploid because it was determined to be

$2n$ by FACS and has two copies of each chromosome, even though the two copies of

chromosomes 4 and 11 were both inherited from *C. neoformans*. Another example, YX6, which

by FACS is close to $1n$, was scored as aneuploid for chromosomes 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14 (see S4

Table). The results of these analyses were plotted as the number of hybrid progeny with

aneuploidies for each chromosome and genetic cross, and the total aneuploidy observed for all

strains was plotted by chromosome length, which showed no correlation between chromosome

size and aneuploidy.

Data availability

Raw sequence data has been deposited into the National Center for Biotechnology

Information Sequence Read Archive under BioProject accession no. PRJNA626676. The

accession numbers for each sample are provided in S10 Table.

Acknowledgements

873   We thank Tom Petes, Sue Jinks-Robertson, and Paul Magwene for critical reading and

874   comments on the manuscript. We thank Kevin Zhu for critical reading, comments on the

875   manuscript, and generating figures. We thank Jay Jawahar for his assistance in the initial phases

876   of constructing the JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* mutants. We also thank the Madhani Laboratory and NIH

877   grant R01 AI100272 for the KN99α *msh2Δ* and *fur1Δ* deletion strains.

References

1.   Arnold ML, Bulger MR, Burke JM, Hempel AL, Williams H, Arnold ML, et al. Natural

hybridization: how low can you go and still be important? *Ecology*. 1999;80: 371–381.

doi:10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[0371:NHHLCY]2.0.CO;2

2.   Goulet BE, Roda F, Hopkins R. Hybridization in plants: old ideas, new techniques. *Plant*

Physiol. 2017;173: 65–78. doi:10.1104/pp.16.01340

3.   Vallejo-Marin M, Hiscock SJ. Hybridization and hybrid speciation under global change.

New Phytol. 2016;211: 1170–1187. doi:10.1111/nph.14004

4.   Fu C, Coelho MA, David-Palma M, Priest SJ, Heitman J. Genetic and genomic evolution

of sexual reproduction: echoes from LECA to the fungal kingdom. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*.

2019;58–59: 70–75. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2019.07.008

5.   Lu A, Clark S, Modrich P. Methyl-directed repair of DNA base-pair mismatches *in vitro*.

DNA Repair (Amst). 1983;80: 4639–4643. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2004.07.003

6.   Grilley M, Holmes J, Yashar B, Modrich P. Mechanisms of DNA-mismatch correction.

Mutat Res. 1990;236: 253–267. doi:10.1016/0921-8777(90)90009-t

7.   Harfe BD, Jinks-Robertson S. Mismatch repair proteins and mitotic genome stability.

Mutat Res. 2000;451: 151–167. doi:10.1016/S0027-5107(00)00047-6

8.   Rayssiguier C, Thaler DS, Radman M. The barrier to recombination between *Escherichia*

coli and *Salmonella typhimurium* is disrupted in mismatch-repair mutants. *Nature*.

1989;342: 396–401. doi:10.1038/340301a0

9.   Greig D, Leu J-Y. Natural history of budding yeast. *Curr Biol*. 2009;19: 886–890.

doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.07.037

10. Hunter N, Chambers SR, Louis EJ, Borts RH. The mismatch repair system contributes to

901   meiotic sterility in an interspecific yeast hybrid. *EMBO J*. 1996;15: 1726–1733.

902   doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1996.tb00518.x

903 11. Chambers SR, Hunter N, Louis EJ, Borts RH. The mismatch repair system reduces

904   meiotic homeologous recombination and stimulates recombination-dependent

905   chromosome loss. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1996;16: 6110–6120. doi:10.1128/MCB.16.11.6110

906 12. Lamichhaney S, Han F, Webster MT, Andersson L, Grant BR, Grant PR. Rapid hybrid

907   speciation in Darwin's finches. *Science*. 2018;359: 224–228. doi:10.1126/science.aao4593

908 13. Kelso J, Prufer K. Ancient humans and the origin of modern humans. *Curr Opin Genet*

909   *Dev*. 2014;29: 133–138. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2014.09.004

910 14. Darwin C. *What Mr. Darwin saw in his voyage round the world in the ship "Beagle."*

911   New York, NY: Harper & Brothers; 1880. doi:10.5962/bhl.title.27538

912 15. Coyne JA, Orr HA. *Speciation*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates; 2004.

913 16. Dietrich FS, Voegeli S, Brachat S, Lerch A, Gates K, Steiner S, et al. The *Ashbya gossypii*

914   genome as a tool for mapping the ancient *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. Science.

915   2004;304: 304-7. doi: 10.1126/science.1095781

916 17. Wolfe KH. Origin of the yeast whole-genome duplication. *PLoS Biol*. 2015;13: e1002221.

917   doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002221

918 18. Marcet-Houben M, Gabaldón T. Beyond the whole-genome duplication: phylogenetic

919   evidence for an ancient interspecies hybridization in the baker's yeast lineage. *PLoS Biol*.

920   2015;13: 26252497. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002220

921 19. Stukenbrock EH, Christiansen FB, Hansen TT, Dutheil JY, Schierup MH. Fusion of two

922   divergent fungal individuals led to the recent emergence of a unique widespread pathogen

923   species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2012;109: 10954–10959. doi:10.1073/pnas.1201403109

20.   Pryszcz LP, Németh T, Saus E, Ksiezopolska E, Hegedusova E, Nosek J, et al. The

genomic aftermath of hybridization in the opportunistic pathogen *Candida metapsilosis*.

PLoS Genet. 2015;11: e1005626. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005626

21.   Mixão V, Hansen AP, Saus E, Boekhout T, Lass-florl C, Gabaldón T. Whole-genome

sequencing of the opportunistic yeast pathogen *Candida inconspicua* uncovers its hybrid

origin. *Front Genet*. 2019;10: 383. doi:10.3389/fgene.2019.00383

22.   Mixão V, Gabaldón T. Hybridization and emergence of virulence in opportunistic human

yeast pathogens. *Yeast*. 2018;35: 5–20. doi:10.1002/yea3242

23.   Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Park BJ, Jarvis JN, Govender NP, Chiller TM, et al. Global

burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis. *Lancet*

Infect Dis. 2017;17: 873–881. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30243-8

24.   Hagen F, Khayhan K, Theelen B, Kolecka A, Polacheck I, Sionov E, et al. Recognition of

seven species in the *Cryptococcus gattii*/*Cryptococcus neoformans* species complex.

Fungal Genet Biol. 2015;78: 16–48. doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2015.02.009

25.   Farrer RA, Chang M, Davis MJ, van Dorp L, Yang D, Shea T, et al. A new lineage of

Cryptococcus gattii (VGV) discovered in the Central Zambezian Miombo Woodlands.

MBio. 2019;10: e02306-19. doi:10.1128/mBio.02306-19

26.   Xu J, Vilgalys R, Mitchell TG. Multiple gene genealogies reveal recent dispersion and

hybridization in the human pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mol Ecol*.

2000;9: 1471–1481. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01021.x

27.   Kavanaugh LA, Fraser JA, Dietrich FS. Recent evolution of the human pathogen

Cryptococcus neoformans by intervarietal transfer of a 14-gene fragment. *Mol Biol Evol*.

2004;23: 1879–1890. doi:10.1093/molbev/msl070

28.   Loftus BJ, Fung E, Roncaglia P, Rowley D, Amedeo P, Vamathevan J, et al. The genome

948   of the basidiomycetous yeast and human pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Science*.

949   2005;307: 1321–1324. doi:10.1126/science.1103773

950   29.   Janbon G, Ormerod KL, Paulet D, Byrnes EJ, Yadav V, Chatterjee G, et al. Analysis of

951   the genome and transcriptome of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* reveals complex

952   RNA expression and microevolution leading to virulence attenuation. *PLoS Genet*.

953   2014;10: e1004261. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004261

954   30.   Martinez LR, Garcia-Rivera J, Casadevall A. *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *neoformans*

955   (serotype D) strains are more susceptible to heat than *C* . *neoformans* var. *grubii* (serotype

956   A) strains. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2001;39: 3365–3367. doi:10.1128/jcm.39.9.3365-3367.2001

957   31.   Feldmesser M, Kress Y, Casadevall A. Dynamic changes in the morphology of

958   *Cryptococcus neoformans* during murine pulmonary infection. *Microbiology*. 2001;147:

959   2355–2365. doi:10.1099/00221287-147-8-2355

960   32.   Desnos-Ollivier M, Patel S, Raoux-Barbot D, Heitman J, Dromer F, The French

961   Cryptococcosis Study Group. Cryptococcosis serotypes impact outcome and provide

962   evidence of *Cryptococcus neoformans* speciation. *mBio*. 2015;6: e00311-15.

963   doi:10.1128/mBio.00311-15

964   33.   Casadevall A, Perfect JR. *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Washington, DC: ASM Press; 1998.

965   34.   Dromer F, Mathoulin-Pelissier S, Launay O, Lortholary O, The French Cryptocococcosis

966   Study Group. Determinants of disease presentation and outcome during cryptococcosis:

967   the Crypto A/D study. *PLOS Med*. 2007;4: e21. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040021

968   35.   Chayakulkeeree M, Perfect JR. Cryptococcosis. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 2006;20: 507–

969   544. doi:10.1016/j.idc.2006.07.001

970   36.   Sun S, Coelho MA, David-Palma M, Priest SJ, Heitman J. The evolution of sexual

971   reproduction and the mating-type locus: links to pathogenesis of *Cryptococcus* human

972   pathogenic fungi. *Annu Rev Genet*. 2019;53: 417–444. doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-

973   120116-024755

974   37.   Litvintseva AP, Kestenbaum L, Vilgalys R, Mitchell TG. Comparative analysis of

975   environmental and clinical populations of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *J Clin Microbiol*.

976   2005;43: 556–564. doi:10.1128/JCM.43.2.556-564.2005

977   38.   Cogliati M, Esposto MC, Clarke DL, Wickes BL, Viviani MA. Origin of *Cryptococcus*

978   *neoformans* var. *neoformans* diploid strains. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2001;39: 3889–3894.

979   doi:10.1128/JCM.39.11.3889-3894.2001

980   39.   Frases S, Ferrer C, Sanchez M, Colom-Valiente MF. Molecular epidemiology of isolates

981   of the *Cryptococcus neoformans* species complex from Spain. *Rev Iberoam Micol*.

982   2009;26: 112–117. doi:10.1016/S1130-1406(09)70021-X

983   40.   Maduro AP, Mansinho K, Teles F, Silva I, Meyer W, Martins ML, et al. Insights on the

984   genotype distribution among *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *C . gattii* Portuguese clinical

985   isolates. *Curr Microbiol*. 2014;68: 199–203. doi:10.1007/s00284-013-0452-0

986   41.   Lengeler KB, Cox GM, Heitman J. Serotype AD strains of *Cryptococcus neoformans* are

987   diploid or aneuploid and are heterozygous at the mating-type locus. *Infect Immun*.

988   2001;69: 115–122. doi:10.1128/IAI.69.1.115-122.2001

989   42.   Sun S, Xu J. Chromosomal rearrangements between serotype A and D strains in

990   *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *PLoS One*. 2009;4: e5524. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005524

991   43.   Lin X, Litvintseva AP, Nielsen K, Patel S, Floyd A, Mitchell TG, et al. αADα hybrids of

992   *Cryptococcus neoformans*: evidence of same-sex mating in nature and hybrid fitness.

PLoS Genet. 2007;3: 1975–1990. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030186

44.   Kwon-Chung KJ, Varma A. Do major species concepts support one, two or more species

within *Cryptococcus neoformans*? *FEMS Yeast Res*. 2006;6: 574–587.

doi:10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00088.x

45.   Li W, Averette AF, Desnos-Ollivier M, Ni M, Dromer F, Heitman J. Genetic diversity and

genomic plasticity of *Cryptococcus neoformans* AD hybrid strains. *G3*. 2012;2: 83–97.

doi:10.1534/g3.111.001255

46.   Sun S, Xu J. Genetic analyses of a hybrid cross between Serotypes A and D strains of the

human pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Genetics*. 2007;177: 1475–1486.

doi:10.1534/genetics.107.078923

47.   Vogan AA, Khankhet J, Xu J. Evidence for mitotic recombination within the basidia of a

hybrid cross of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *PLoS One*. 2013;8: e62790.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062790

48.   Samarasinghe H, Vogan A, Pum N, Xu J. Patterns of allele distribution in a hybrid

population of the *Cryptococcus neoformans* species complex. *Mycoses*. 2019;63: 275–

283. doi:10.1111/myc.13040

49.   Billmyre RB, Clancey SA, Heitman J. Natural mismatch repair mutations mediate

phenotypic diversity and drug resistance in *Cryptococcus deuterogattii*. *Elife*. 2017;6:

e28802. doi:10.7554/eLife.28802

50.   Boyce KJ, Wang Y, Verma S, Shakya VPS, Xue C, Idnurm A. Mismatch repair of DNA

replication errors contributes to microevolution in the pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus*

neoformans. *mBio*. 2017;8: e00595-17. doi:10.1128/mBio.00595-17

51.   Liu OW, Chun CD, Chow ED, Chen C, Madhani HD, Noble SM. Systematic genetic

analysis of virulence in the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Cell*.

2008;135: 174–188. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.07.046

52.   Billmyre RB, Clancey SA, Li LX, Doering TL, Heitman J. 5-fluorocytosine resistance is

associated with hypermutation and alterations in capsule biosynthesis in *Cryptococcus*.

Nat Commun. 2020;11: 127. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13890-z

53.   Tran HT, Keen JD, Kricker M, Resnick MA, Gordenin DA. Hypermutability of

homonucleotide runs in mismatch repair and DNA polymerase proofreading yeast

mutants. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1997;17: 2859–2865. doi:10.1128/mcb.17.5.2859

54.   Alani E, Reenan RAG, Kolodner RD. Interaction between mismatch repair and genetic

recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*. 1994;137: 19–39.

55.   Zhai B, Zhu P, Foyle D, Upadhyay S, Idnurm A, Lin X. Congenic strains of the

filamentous form of *Cryptococcus neoformans* for studies of fungal morphogenesis and

virulence. *Infect Immun*. 2013;81: 2626–2637. doi:10.1128/IAI.00259-13

56.   Fu C, Thielhelm TP, Heitman J. Unisexual reproduction promotes competition for mating

partners in the global human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus deneoformans. PLOS Genet*.

2019;15: e1008394. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1008394

57.   Hsueh Y-P, Idnurm A, Heitman J. Recombination hotspots flank the *Cryptococcus*

mating-type locus: implications for the evolution of a fungal sex chromosome. *PLOS*

Genet. 2006;2: e184. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020184

58.   Alspaugh JA, Perfect JR, Heitman J. *Cryptococcus neoformans* mating and virulence are

regulated by the G-protein α subunit GPA1 and cAMP. *Genes Dev*. 1997;11: 3206–3217.

doi:10.1101/gad.11.23.3206

59.   Idnurm A, Heitman J. Light controls growth and development via a conserved pathway in

the fungal kingdom. *PLoS Biol*. 2005;3: e95. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030095

60.   Heitman J, Kozel TR, Kwon-Chung KJ, Perfect JR, Casadevall A, editors. *Cryptococcus:*

From Human Pathogen to Model Yeast. Washington, DC: ASM Press; 2011.

61.   Li F, Upadhyaya NM, Sperschneider J, Matny O, Nguyen-Phuc H, Mago R, et al.

Emergence of the Ug99 lineage of the wheat stem rust pathogen through somatic

hybridisation. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10: 5068. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12927-7

62.   Parry EM, Cox BS. The tolerance of aneuploidy in yeast. *Genet Res (Camb)*. 1970;16:

333–340. doi:10.1017/s0016672300002597

63.   Greig D, Borts RH, Louis EJ, Travisano M. Epistasis and hybrid sterility in

Saccharomyces. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2002;269: 1167–1171.

doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.1989

64.   Feretzaki M, Heitman J. Genetic circuits that govern bisexual and unisexual reproduction

in *Cryptococcus neoformans. PLoS Genet*. 2013;9: e1003688.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003688

65.   Lin X, Hull CM, Heitman J. Sexual reproduction between partners of the same mating

type in *Cryptococcus neoformans. Nature*. 2005;434: 1017–1021.

doi:10.1038/nature03448

66.   Vogan AA, Xu J. Evidence for genetic incompatibilities associated with post-zygotic

reproductive isolation in the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans. Genome*.

2014;57: 335–344. doi:10.1139/gen-2014-0077

67.   Rizki A, Lundblad V. Defects in mismatch repair promote telomerase-independent

proliferation. *Nature*. 2001;411: 713–716. doi:10.1038/35079641

68.   Yadav V, Sun S, Coelho MA, Heitman J. Centromere scission drives chromosome

shuffling and reproductive isolation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2020;117: 7917–7928.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1918659117

69. Sun S, Billmyre RB, Mieczkowski PA, Heitman J. Unisexual reproduction drives meiotic

recombination and phenotypic and karyotypic plasticity in *Cryptococcus neoformans*.

PLOS Genet. 2014;10: e1004849. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004849

70. Roth C, Sun S, Billmyre RB, Heitman J, Magwene PM. A high-resolution map of meiotic

recombination in *Cryptococcus deneoformans* demonstrates decreased recombination in

unisexual reproduction. *Genetics*. 2018;209: 567–578. doi:10.1534/genetics.118.300996

71. Chen W, Jinks-Robertson S. The role of the mismatch repair machinery in regulating

mitotic and meiotic recombination between diverged sequences in yeast. *Genetics*.

1999;151: 1299–1313.

72. Datta A, Adjiri A, New L, Crouse GF, Jinks-Robertson S. Mitotic crossovers between

diverged sequences are regulated by mismatch repair proteins in *Saccharomyces

cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1996;16: 1085–1093. doi:10.1128/mcb.16.3.1085

73. Datta A, Hendrix M, Lipsitch M, Jinks-Robertson S. Dual roles for DNA sequence

identity and the mismatch repair system in the regulation of mitotic crossing-over in yeast.

Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1997;94: 9757–9762. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.18.9757

74. Elliott B, Jasin M. Repair of double-strand breaks by homologous recombination in

mismatch repair-defective mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2001;21: 2671–2682.

doi:10.1128/MCB.21.8.2671-2682.2

75. Anand R, Beach A, Li K, Haber J. Rad51-mediated double-strand break repair and

mismatch correction of divergent substrates. *Nature*. 2017;544: 377–380.

doi:10.1038/nature22046

76. Peterson SE, Keeney S, Jasin M. Mechanistic insight into crossing over during mouse

meiosis ll. *Mol Cell*. 2020;78: 1252–1263. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2020.04.009

77. Bozdag GO, Ono J, Denton JA, Karakoc E, Hunter N, Leu J-Y, et al. Engineering

recombination between diverged yeast species reveals genetic incompatibilities. *bioRxiv*.

2019. doi:10.1101/755165

78. Rogers DW, Mcconnell E, Ono J, Greig D. Spore-autonomous fluorescent protein

expression identifies meiotic chromosome mis-segregation as the principal cause of hybrid

sterility in yeast. *PLoS Biol*. 2018;16: e2005066. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2005066

79. Tay YD, Sidebotham JM, Wu L. Mph1 requires mismatch repair-independent and -

dependent functions of MutSα to regulate crossover formation during homologous

recombination repair. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2010;38: 1889–1901. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp1199

80. Kwon-Chung KJ, Edman JC, Wickes BL. Genetic association of mating types and

virulence in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Infect Immun*. 1992;60: 602–605.

doi:10.1128/IAI.60.2.602-605.1992

81. Toffaletti DL, Rude TH, Johnston SA, Durack DT, Perfecrl JR. Gene transfer in

Cryptococcus neoformans by use of biolistic delivery of DNA. *J Bacteriol*. 1993;175:

1405–1411. doi:10.1128/jb.175.5.1405-1411.1993

82. Nielsen K, Cox GM, Wang P, Toffaletti DL, Perfect JR, Heitman J. Sexual cycle of

Cryptococcus neoformans var. *grubii* and virulence of congenic a and α Isolates. *Infect*

Immun. 2003;71: 4831–4841. doi:10.1128/iai.71.9.4831-4841.2003

83. Radchenko EA, McGinty RJ, Aksenova AY, Neil AJ, Mirkin SM. Quantitative analysis of

the rates for repeat-mediated genome instability in a yeast experimental system. *Methods*

Mol Biol. 2018;1672: 421–438. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-7306-4_29

84.   Sun S, Priest SJ, Heitman J. *Cryptococcus neoformans*: mating and genetic crosses. *Curr*

Protoc Microbiol. 2019;53: e75. doi:10.1002/cpmc.75

85.   Pitkin JW, Panaccione DG, Walton JD. A putative cyclic peptide efflux pump encoded by

the *TOXA* gene of the plant-pathogenic fungus *Cochliobolus carbonurn*. *Microbiology*.

1996;142: 1557–1565. doi:10.1099/13500872-142-6-1557

86.   Hua W, Vogan A, Xu J. Genotypic and phenotypic analyses of two '"isogenic"' strains of

the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *neoformans*. *Mycopathologia*.

2019;184: 195–212. doi:10.1007/s11046-019-00328-9

87.   Grabherr MG, Russell P, Meyer M, Mauceli E, Alföldi J, Di Palma F, et al. Genome-wide

synteny through highly sensitive sequence alignment: Satsuma. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26:

1145–1151. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq102

88.   Darling ACE, Mau B, Blattner FR, Perna NT. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved

genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Res*. 2004;14: 1394–1403.

doi:10.1101/gr.2289704

89.   Cabanettes F, Klopp C. D-GENIES: dot plot large genomes in an interactive, efficient and

simple way. *PeerJ*. 2018;6: e4958. doi:10.7717/peerj.4958

90.   Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*. 2018;34:

3094–3100. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191

91.   Langdon QK, Peris D, Kyle B, Hittinger CT. sppIDer: a species identification tool to

investigate hybrid genomes with high-throughput sequencing. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2018;35:

2835–2849. doi:10.1093/molbev/msy166

92.   Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al.

Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2011;29: 24–26. doi:10.1038/nbt.1754

93.   Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.

arXiv Prepr. 2013; 1303.3997v2.

94.   Depristo MA, Banks E, Poplin RE, Garimella K V, Maguire JR, Hartl C, et al. A

framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing

data. *Nat Genet*. 2011;43: 491–498. doi:10.1038/ng.806

95.   Mckenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The

genome analysis toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA

sequencing data. *Genome Res*. 2010;20: 1297–1303. doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110

96.   Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, et al. A program for

annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly*.

2012;6: 80–92. doi:10.4161/fly.19695

97.   Koren A, Ben-Aroya S, Steinlauf R, Kupiec M. Pitfalls of the synthetic lethality screen in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae: an improved design. *Curr Genet*. 2003;43: 62–69.

doi:10.1007/s00294-003-0373-8

98.   Paluszynski JP, Klassen R, Meinhardt F. Genetic prerequisites for additive or synergistic

actions of 5-fluorocytosine and fluconazole in baker's yeast. *Microbiology*. 2008;154:

3154–3164. doi:10.1099/mic.0.2008/020107-0

99.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence

data. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30: 2114–2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

100.   Pegueroles C, Mixão V, Carrete L, Molina M, Gabaldón T. HaploTypo: a variant-calling

pipeline for phased genomes. *Bioinformatics*. 2020;36: 2569–2571.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz933

101.   Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26: 841–842. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033

102.   Goodwin TJD, Poulter RTM. The diversity of retrotransposons in the yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Yeast*. 2001;18: 865–880. doi:10.1002/yea.733

103.   Idnurm A. A tetrad analysis of the basidiomycete fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Genetics*. 2010;185: 153–163. doi:10.1534/genetics.109.113027

104.   Fraser JA, Huang JC, Pukkila-Worley R, Alspaugh JA, Mitchell TG, Heitman J. Chromosomal translocation and segmental duplication in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Eukaryot Cell*. 2005;4: 401–406. doi:10.1128/EC.4.2.401-406.2005

 Figure Legends

 Fig 1. Hypermutator phenotypes of JEC20a *msh2Δ* mutants. (A) Fluctuation analysis on

YPD + rapamycin + FK506 medium was performed to quantify the mutation rates (number of

mutations per cell per generation) of four independent JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* mutants: *msh2Δ-1*,

msh2Δ-2, *msh2Δ-3*, and *msh2Δ-4*. The JEC20**a** parental strain in which the *msh2Δ* mutants were

constructed served as the negative control and an *msh2Δ* mutant in the KN99α genetic

background served as the positive control. Points indicate mean mutation rates and error bars

indicate 95% confidence intervals for the mean; 10 independent replicates of each strain were

included in mutation rate calculation. **(B)** Spectra of mutations identified through sequencing of

the *FRR1* gene in rapamycin + FK506-resistant colonies from fluctuation analysis conducted in

panel A. For JEC20**a** n = 9, for all other strains, n = 10.

1175

Fig 2. Mating structure formation in *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* genetic crosses and

germination frequencies of hybrid progeny. (A) *C. neoformans* x C. *deneoformans* genetic

crosses produced robust hyphal filamentation after 6 days of incubation in dark conditions on

MS medium. Scale bars in colony border images (left image of each set) represent 200 µm. Scale

bars in basidia and basidiospore morphology images (right image of each set) represent 50 µm.

(B) Average frequencies of basidia lacking basidiospores, or bald basidia, in *C. neoformans* x *C.*

deneoformans genetic crosses. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Statistical

significance was determined with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. **(C)** Mean

germination frequencies of *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny in wild-type,

unilateral, and bilateral *msh2Δ* genetic crosses. Error bars represent standard error of the mean

and statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc

test. *** indicates $p<0.001$.

1188

Fig 3. Unique phenotypes of *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny. (A) Sexual

reproduction structures, including hyphae, basidia, and basidiospores, produced by *C.*

neoformans x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny derived from bilateral *msh2Δ* genetic crosses

following dissection and micromanipulation onto YPD agar medium. Sexual structures were

formed on YPD agar plates sealed with parafilm and incubated in light conditions at room

temperature. From left to right, scale bars on microscopy images represent 100 µm, 50 µm, and

10 µm. **(B)** Frequency of hybrid progeny capable of producing hyphae on YPD agar medium, at

room temperature, in light, sealed conditions. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.

One-way ANOVA identified no statistically significant differences between the three groups.

1198

Fig 4. Nuclear genome composition of *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny

reveals substantial aneuploidy and recombination. Representative sequencing read-depth

coverage and inheritance patterns of progeny derived from **(A)** a wild-type H99α x JEC20**a**

genetic cross, **(B)** a unilateral H99α x JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* genetic cross, and **(C)** a bilateral KN99α

msh2Δ x JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* genetic cross. For each progeny, sequencing coverage plots (normalized

to the genome-wide average coverage) are colored according to each parental species

contribution as shown in the key on the top right, and a schematic representation of the inferred

karyotype is depicted on the right. Homeologous chromosomes are color coded based on the H99

reference and asterisks in JEC21 indicate chromosomes in reverse-complement orientation (See

Fig S8 for details). Red arrowheads mark recombination breakpoints between homeologous

chromosomes and/or loss of heterozygosity (also highlighted by red boxes in the karyotype

panels). Circular black labels: (a) indicate changes in ploidy in a subset of the population of cells

that were sequenced; (b) and (c) mark, respectively, chromosome breaks at a transposable

element near the end of the *C. deneoformans* Chr6 and at the centromere of Chr9, which were

both repaired by *de novo* telomere addition (green arrowheads). Note the chromosome order of

each parent; JEC21α contigs have been reordered to maximize collinearity with the H99α

contigs.

1216

Fig 5. Distribution of the number of recombination events detected in the hybrid progeny

based on read-pairs aligning to different chromosomes. Four different filtering thresholds

were applied to detect potential instances of recombination across the genomes of 27 *C.*

neoformans x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny: **(A)** Mapping quality (MQ) = 60 and at least 15

read-pairs (Cov) supporting each event; **(B)** MQ = 60 and at least 5 read-pairs supporting each

event; **(C)** MQ >= 0 and at least 15 read-pairs supporting each event; and **(D)** MQ >= 0 and at

least 5 read-pairs supporting each event. Each point represents the number of recombination

events detected across the whole genome of a single hybrid progeny. In the box and whisker

plots, red lines represent the median, shaded boxes represent the interquartile ranges (IQRs),

upper and lower whiskers show the largest or smallest observations, respectively, that lie within

1.5 * IQR of the upper and lower quartiles, respectively. Outliers are included.

1228

1229   **Fig 6. Recombination breakpoints identified in the hybrid progeny are not associated with**

1230   **repeat-rich regions nor with lower SNP density regions between the two species. (A)** Plot

1231   showing the distribution of *C. deneoformans* SNPs on the 14 chromosomes of *C. neoformans*

1232 H99 (reference), repeat content (repeats and transposable elements identified by RepeatMasker),

1233 and the location of high-confidence recombination breakpoints identified in the hybrid progeny.

1234 SNPs were calculated in 300-bp windows and plotted as a heatmap color-coded as given in the

1235 key. Regions depicted in grey represent highly divergent regions between the two reference

1236 strains and are indicated in the key as unalignable (un.). The only more closely related region

1237 (~98.5% sequence similarity) shared between the two species is indicated by a blue bar and

1238 corresponds to an ~40 kb region that resulted from a nonreciprocal transfer event (introgression)

1239 from *C. neoformans* to *C. deneoformans* [27]. The numbers below each recombination

1240 breakpoint correspond to the YX hybrid progeny strains (the YX prefix was omitted for

1241 simplicity of visualization) and are color-coded as: purple, when supported by MQ60-Cov15 and

1242 MQ60-Cov5; blue, when supported by MQ60-Cov15 and read depth; and green, when supported

1243 by MQ60-Cov15, MQ60-Cov5 and read depth (see methods for details). Some of the

1244 recombination breakpoints seem to be associated with recombination events between non-

1245 homeologous chromosomes of the two species and are marked with asterisks. **(B)** Violin plot,

1246 boxplots and frequency histograms showing the SNP density within 1kb regions surrounding the

1247 high-confidence recombination breakpoints (green) compared to other genomic regions (blue).

1248 Red line, black line, blue box, and grey circles denote the mean value, median value,

1249 interquartile range, and outliers, respectively. The SNP density in the recombination breakpoint-

1250 containing regions is not statistically significant different from the rest of the genome (Mann-

1251 Whitney test).

1252

1253 **Supporting information captions**

S1 Table. (A) Frequency of bald basidia produced by hybrid genetic crosses, and **(B)** one-way ANOVA and Tukey's HSD post hoc statistical tests for frequencies of bald basidia.

S2 Table. (A) Germination frequencies of progeny derived from hybrid and intra-specific crosses, and one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD post hoc statistical tests for germination frequencies of **(B)** *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny, **(C)** progeny from *C. neoformans* x *C. neoformans* intraspecific crosses, and **(D)** progeny from *C. deneoformans* x *C. deneoformans* intraspecific crosses.

S3 Table. (A) Self-filamentation on YPD medium in hybrid and intraspecific progeny, and **(B)** one-way ANOVA statistical test for self-filamentation of hybrid progeny.

S4 Table. Aneuploidy assessment in the *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny.

S5 Table. (A) Heterozygosity levels of the hybrid progeny, and **(B)** Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's statistical tests.

S6 Table. Recombination events detected in *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny.

S7 Table. Genomic locations of telomeric repeats added *de novo* at chromosome breaks in progeny derived from unilateral and bilateral hybrid crosses of *C. neoformans* x *C.*

1276   *deneoformans msh2Δ* **mutants, and in a single progeny derived from a bilateral**

1277   **intraspecific cross of** *C. neoformans msh2Δ* **mutants.**

1278

1279   **S8 Table. Strains used in this study.**

1280

1281   **S9 Table. Oligonucleotides used in this study.**

1282

1283   **S10 Table. NCBI data submissions related to this study.**

1284

S1 Fig. Genetic deletion of the *MSH2* **gene and confirmation in JEC20a. (A)** Genetic

deletion mutants lacking *MSH2* were engineered in JEC20**a** by replacing the *MSH2* open reading

frame (ORF) with the dominant drug resistance marker *NAT* which confers resistance to

nourseothricin via biolistic transformation. 5' and 3' UTRs are depicted as yellow boxes. Arrows

depict locations of primers used to generate and verify *MSH2* deletion. The sets of primers

denoted by gray arrows located upstream and downstream of the *MSH2* ORF were used to

amplify flanking sequences homologous to the JEC20**a** *MSH2* endogenous locus to mediate

homologous recombination (JOHE45551, 45552 and JOHE4555, 45556, respectively). Yellow

arrows depict primers (JOHE45553 and JOHE45554) that amplified the *NAT* cassette and share

homology with JOHE45552 and JOHE45556, respectively. The red arrows (JOHE45559,45560)

and blue arrows (JOHE45822,45823) indicate primers that confirmed integration of the deletion

allele and loss of the *MSH2* ORF, respectively. Gray lines indicate syntenic regions shared

between the deletion allele and endogenous locus. **(B)** Gel electrophoresis of PCR products was

used to confirm integration of a single copy of the *NAT* gene at the correct locus and that the

wild-type *MSH2* gene was absent in the JEC20**a** mutant strains. (Spanning: JOHE45559,45560;

WT in-gene: JOHE45822,45823; WT 5' junction: JOHE45559,45823; Δ5' junction:

JOHE45559;45554; WT 3' junction: JOHE45822,45560; Δ3' junction: JOHE45553,45560).

1302

S2 Fig. (A) Fluctuation analysis on YNB+5-FOA medium was performed in a similar manner to

the analysis that described in Fig 1, panel A. **(B-D)** Representative images of plates used in

papillation assays with independent JEC20**a** *msh2Δ* mutants and a KN99α *fur1Δ* mutant on **(B)**

YNB+5-FOA medium, **(C)** YNB+5FC medium, and **(D)** YNB+5FU medium with the JEC20**a**

parental strain and a KN99α *msh2Δ* mutant as controls. Strains were incubated on YNB+5-FOA

medium for 6 days at 30°C before imaging. Strains were incubated on YNB+5FC and

YNB+5FU media for 3 days at 30°C before imaging.

1310

S3 Fig. Germination frequencies of intraspecific progeny. Average germination frequencies

of progeny derived from **(A)** *C. neoformans* H99α x *C. neoformans* KN99**a** wild-type, unilateral

msh2Δ (KN99α *msh2Δ* x KN99**a**), and bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses (KN99α *msh2Δ* x KN99**a**

msh2Δ-1 and KN99α *msh2Δ* x KN99**a** *msh2Δ-2*), and **(B)** *C. deneoformans* JEC21α x *C.*

deneoformans JEC20**a** wild-type, unilateral *msh2Δ* (JEC21α x JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1*), and bilateral

msh2Δ crosses (JEC21α *msh2Δ-1* x JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1* and JEC21α *msh2Δ-2* x JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-*

1). Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Statistical significance was determined with

one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test. * indicates $p < 0.05$, ** indicates $p < 0.01$, and ***

indicates $p < 0.001$.

1320

1321 **S4 Fig. PCR analysis of mating-type allele inheritance in *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans***

1322 **hybrid progeny.** Sequence-specific primers for the mating-type (*MAT*) locus gene *STE20*, which

1323 can differentiate between *C. neoformans MAT**a***, *C. neoformans MATα*, *C. deneoformans MAT**a***,

1324 and *C. deneoformans MATα*, were used to characterize which *MAT* alleles each of the hybrid

1325 progeny inherited.

1326

1327 **S5 Fig. FACS analysis of *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny.** The *C.*

1328 *neoformans* strain H99α was used as a 1*n* haploid control and the *C. deneoformans* strain XL143

1329 [65] was used as a diploid 2*n* control.

1330

1331 **S6 Fig. FACS analysis of *C. neoformans* x *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* x *C.***

1332 ***deneoformans* intraspecific progeny.** The *C. neoformans* strain H99α was used as a 1*n* haploid

1333 control and the *C. deneoformans* strain XL143 [65] was used as a diploid 2*n* control.

1334

1335 **S7 Fig. Self-filamentation in *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny**.

1336 Filamentation of hybrid progeny selected for whole-genome sequencing on MS medium after

1337 incubation for 14 days. AI187 is a self-filamentous, stable diploid *C. neoformans* strain [103]

1338 and served as a positive control for production of hyphae. Scale bars represent 100 µm.

1339

S8 Fig. Whole-genome comparisons of *C. neoformans* H99α and *C. deneoformans* JEC21α

strains. (A) Workflow to assess the genomic contribution of each parental species in the hybrid

progeny. The sppIDer pipeline uses short-read sequencing data and a combined genome built

from reference genomes of the two parental *Cryptococcus* species. **(B)** Dot-plot comparing the

H99α assembly with the JEC21α reordered and reoriented assembly. Blue and red lines represent

sequences with high similarities in the same and reverse orientations, respectively. **(C)** Linear

plots showing overall synteny between the H99α and JEC21α genomes. The chromosomal

positions of centromeres and the *MAT* locus are indicated by black and yellow bars, respectively.

Chromosomes of JEC21α are color coded based on their synteny with the H99α chromosomes.

Three major gross chromosomal changes previously documented distinguishing the two strains

correspond to color changes within the same chromosome: TR indicates a reciprocal

chromosomal translocation; INT indicates an introgression of a 14-gene region from *C.*

neoformans to *C. deneoformans* that was mediated by transposable elements common to both

lineages [27]; and SD indicates a segmental duplication following a nonreciprocal translocation

involving the subtelomeric regions of JEC21 chromosomes 8 and 12 that presumably occurred

during the construction of the congenic strain pair JEC21α/JEC20**a** [104]. Chromosomal

inversions are not indicated except for a large inversion on Chr3 of JEC21α (dashed arrow); see

[42] for more detailed descriptions of inversions.

1358

S9 Fig. Nuclear genome composition of additional *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid

progeny. (A) H99α x JEC20**a**. **(B)** H99α x JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1* **(C)** KN99α *msh2Δ* x JEC20**a** **(D)**

H99α *msh2Δ* x JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1*. For each progeny, read-depth plots (normalized to the

genome-wide average coverage) are colored according to each parental species contribution as

shown in the key on the top right, and a schematic representation of the inferred karyotype is

depicted on the right. Homeologous chromosomes are color coded based on the H99 reference

(see S8 Fig for details) and asterisks in JEC21α indicate chromosomes in reverse-complement

orientation. Red arrowheads mark recombination breakpoints between homeologous

chromosomes and/or loss of heterozygosity (also highlighted by red boxes in the karyotype

panels). Where detected, the breakpoints of additional recombination events within the same

chromosome are indicated by light blue arrowheads. Circular black labels: (a) marks changes in

ploidy in a subset of the population of cells that were sequenced; (b) marks chromosome

breakage events repaired by *de novo* telomere addition (see S13 Fig for details); (c) indicates

recombination events next to the *MAT* locus; (d) marks a break at rDNA locus; (e) marks a

complex chromosomal aberration that cannot be explained by simple rearrangements and

required further investigation.

1375

1376 **S10 Fig. Aneuploidy of the *C. neoformans* x *C. deneoformans* hybrid progeny. Graphs**

1377 depicting the number of hybrid progeny with aneuploidies for each chromosome **(A)** and genetic

1378 cross **(B)**. Graph showing no correlation between whole-chromosome aneuploidy events

1379 observed (y-axis) and chromosomal size (x-axis). Blue line represents linear fit and blue shaded

1380 area represents the 95% confidence interval for the fitted line.

1381

S11 Fig. Quantification of heterozygosity across genomes of *C. neoformans* x *C.*

***deneoformans* hybrid progeny.** Plot showing the percentage of heterozygosity for each

individual progeny (represented by different dots) grouped by type of cross (see S5 Table for

details). The horizontal red line depicts the mean heterozygosity values. Genomes of progeny

derived from bilateral *msh2Δ × msh2Δ* mutant crosses were significantly more heterozygous

only when compared to hybrid progeny derived from wild-type H99α x JEC20**a** crosses

(Statistical analysis: Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn's test, $p<0.05$).

1389

S12 Fig. The progeny of intraspecific crosses of *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* or

their derived *msh2* mutants are predominantly haploid. Read depth (binned in 1-kb non-

overlapping windows) was plotted along each chromosome of *C. neoformans* H99 **(A)** and *C.*

deneoformans JEC21 **(B)** to screen for chromosome aneuploidy. For each sequenced strain, read

depth was normalized to the median read depth for that strain, log2-transformed, and plotted as a

heat map in IGV viewer. Ploidy was also measured by FACS and the results indicate that

progeny #5 of KN99α *msh2*Δ × KN99**a** is mostly diploid except for chromosome 13 ($2n$ -1), and

progeny #3 and #4 of KN99α *msh2*Δ × KN99**a** *msh2*Δ-*2* have gained additional copies of

chromosome 2 and 7, respectively ($1n + 1$). The asterisk indicates that the biased sequence

coverage observed along Chr2 of progeny 3 from the KN99α *msh2*Δ × KN99**a** *msh2*Δ-*2* cross

might be due to biochemical effects related to library preparation or sequencing.

1401

S13 Fig. Chromosome breaks in the hybrid progeny can be repaired by *de novo* telomere

addition. Breakpoints were detected in different chromosomal locations (see S7 Table for

details), including *CEN14* and *CEN9* of JEC21α **(A and B)**, a T1 transposable element located at

the end of Chr6 of JEC21α **(C)**, or in other genomic locations **(D – F)**. Each panel shows the

result of read mapping for one or more progeny that underwent chromosome breakage and

healing via *de novo* telomere addition (strains names in boldface type) and a control strain in

which no breaks were detected on the same region (strain names in normal font type). Breakage

and *de novo* telomere addition was inferred, respectively, by abrupt changes in read coverage

(depicted as bars on the top and colored as shown in the key) and by the presence of reads with

telomeric repeats at the breakpoints. When two copies of the same chromosome are present, only

a subset of reads are expected to contain telomeric repeats (as shown e.g. in panel F).

1413

S14 Fig. Events of chromosome break and repair via *de novo* telomere addition in

intraspecific crosses of *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* are rare. Breakage and *de novo*

telomere addition was inferred, respectively, by abrupt changes in read coverage (depicted as

bars on the top and colored as shown in the key) and by the presence of reads with telomeric

repeats at the breakpoints. Such events were not detected in any of the 19 *C. deneoformans*

sequenced progeny and were found in only 1 progeny (#3 of KN99α *msh2Δ* × KN99**a** *msh2Δ-2*)

out of 19 progeny derived from the *C. neoformans* intraspecific crosses. In this strain, a region of

~17 kb, which contained a few putative genes and predicted transposable elements, was deleted

from the 5' end of chromosome 13.

A

H99α × JEC20**a**   KN99α *msh2Δ* × JEC20**a**

H99α × JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1*   H99α × JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-2*

KN99α *msh2Δ* × JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1*   KN99α *msh2Δ* × JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-2*

B

Bald basidia (%)

Wild-type crosses | Unilateral *msh2Δ* crosses | Bilateral *msh2Δ* crosses

***   ***

C

Germination frequency (%)

Wild-type progeny | Unilateral *msh2Δ* progeny | Bilateral *msh2Δ* progeny

***   ***

A H99α × JEC20**a**

B H99α × JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1*

C KN99α *msh2Δ* × JEC20**a** *msh2Δ-1*

A

key:

SNPs / 300 bp

un. 0-5 — 50

Mating type locus (*MAT*)

Native locus of the identity island

Centromeres

A — SNP density
B — Repeat/TEs
C — Recombination breakpoints

B

P = 0.228

SNP density (no. of SNPs / kb)

60.55

68.81

Other genomic regions

Recombination breakpoints

Count