1

Gut microbial ecology of *Xenopus* tadpoles across life stages

Thibault Scalvenzi[1], Isabelle Clavereau[1], Mickaël Bourge[2] and Nicolas Pollet[1*]

1-Evolution, Génomes, Comportement & Ecologie, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, IRD,

91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

2-Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA,

91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

✴Corresponding author:

Nicolas Pollet
Laboratoire Evolution, Génomes, Comportement et Ecologie
CNRS
1 Avenue de la Terrasse
Batiment 13
F-91198 Gif-sur-Yvette
France
Tel: 33 01 69 82 37 10
Fax: 33 01 69 82 37 36
19

Abstract (350 words max)

Background: The microorganism world living in amphibians is still largely under-represented

and under-studied in the literature. Among anuran amphibians, African clawed frogs of the

Xenopus genus stand as well-characterized models with an in-depth knowledge of their

developmental biological processes including their metamorphosis. We used different

approaches including flow cytometry and 16s rDNA gene metabarcoding to analyze the

succession of microbial communities and their activities across different body habitats of

Xenopus tropicalis. We used metagenomic and metatranscriptomic sequencing to evaluate the

metabolic capacity of the premetamorphic tadpole's gut microbiome.

Results: We analyzed the bacterial components of the *Xenopus* gut microbiota, the adult gut

biogeography, the succession of communities during ontogeny, the impact of the alimentation

in shaping the tadpole's gut bacterial communities, the transmission of skin and fecal bacteria

to the eggs. We also identified the most active gut bacteria and their metabolic contribution to

tadpole physiology including carbohydrate breakdown, nitrogen recycling, essential amino-

acids and vitamin biosynthesis.

Conclusions: We present a comprehensive new microbiome dataset of a laboratory amphibian

model. Our data provide evidences that studies on the *Xenopus* tadpole model can shed light on

the interactions between a vertebrate host and its microbiome. We interpret our findings in light

of bile acids being key molecular components regulating the gut microbiome composition

during amphibian development and metamorphosis. Further studies into the metabolic

interactions between amphibian tadpoles and their microbiota during early development and

metamorphosis should provide useful information on the evolution of host-microbiota

interactions in vertebrates.

Keywords (3-10): Xenopus, Amphibian, Microbiome; Metamorphosis, Metagenome;

Metatranscriptome

Background

Metazoans are vehicles for microbial communities, also named microbiota. The microbiota

and its metazoan host have mutualistic interactions and are thought to adapt and evolve as an

holobiont (Wilson and Sober, 1989; Gill *et al*., 2006; Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg, 2008;

Bordenstein and Theis, 2015). Several studies have highlighted the importance of the

microbiota in the function and development of several organs such as the alimentary canal, the

nervous system and the tegument (Sekirov *et al.*, 2010; Sommer and Bäckhed, 2013; Douglas,

2018). The dynamic interaction between a microbiota and its host is under intense scrutiny

especially for mammalian species and a handful of model organisms (Colston and Jackson,

2016; Douglas, 2019). However, very little is currently known on the biotic and abiotic

interactions between the microbiota of even well-known and classic vertebrate model

organisms such as amphibians (Colston and Jackson, 2016; Douglas, 2019; Rebollar and Harris,

2019).

The current paucity of knowledge on amphibian's microbiome is an historical thumb of

nose. Indeed in 1901, Olga Metchnikoff published a note on the influence of microbes on the

development of tadpoles (Metchnikoff, 1901). She concluded that microbes were vital to

tadpoles because they could not complete their development in sterile conditions, and her

results were confirmed by Moro (Moro, 1905). A few years later, these results were challenged

by Eugène and Elisabeth Wollmann, who finally observed that *Rana temporaria* tadpoles could

complete their metamorphosis in sterile conditions (Wollman, 1913). They also reported that

tadpoles could be fed solely with bacteria, pinpointing their nutritive roles (Wollman and

Wollman, 1915). Since that time, only few investigations have addressed the interactions

between bacteria and tadpoles during development.

Currently, the best-known amphibian model in biology is the African clawed frog. Several

features of its life cycle can facilitate investigations on the interactions between an animal host

and communities or individual microbial taxa. *Xenopus* have a long lifespan of more than ten

years and several tools are available to study their biology including genomic engineering

(Vouillot *et al.*, 2014). However, there is few data on *Xenopus* microbiota. Mashoof et al. used

16S rRNA metabarcoding to describe the bacterial diversity in the gut microbiota of adult

Xenopus and found that *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* were the dominant

bacterial phylum like in other vertebrates (Mashoof *et al.*, 2013; Colombo *et al.*, 2015).

Like many amphibians, *Xenopus* life history is characterized by an aquatic external

development. The embryo hatches after one or two days of embryonic development under the

protection of the chorion and of a double-layer jelly coat (Bles, E.J., 1906; Faber and

Nieuwkoop, 1994). The newly hatched embryo is in direct contact with its environment and

usually sticks to the remnants of its jelly coat thanks to secretions from its adhesive gland. The

mouth opens one day later, and after about two more days, the small tadpole starts feeding by

water filtration. Further growth and development continue during four weeks, a period called

premetamorphosis. During the next phase called prometamorphosis, limbs growth is

significant. Finally, the end of morphogenesis is marked by tail resorption during the

metamorphic climax and the adult-shaped froglet is completely formed (Faber and Nieuwkoop,

1994; Brown and Cai, 2007). Most organs, including the gut compartments, are found in both

tadpoles and adults but they experience a complete remodeling that enables the continuation of

their physiological functions in a different ecological niche (Hourdry *et al.*, 1996; Heimeier *et

al.*, 2010).

Immunologically, post-embryonic development is hallmarked by significant changes

especially regarding mucosal immunity (Robert and Ohta, 2009; Pasquier, 2014; Colombo *et

al.*, 2015). In particular, the climax of metamorphosis is hallmarked by high-levels of

glucocorticoids that cause a systemic immuno-depression (Rollins-Smith *et al.*, 1997; Sachs

and Buchholz, 2019). Thus, amphibian metamorphosis is a post-embryonic developmental step

during which host-microbial interactions can play critical roles.

Amphibian tadpoles are almost invariably water-dwelling organisms, and most are

microphagous with microbial fermentation contributing up to 20 % of the energy uptake in

bullfrog (Clayton, 2005; Pryor and Bjorndal, 2005; Altig *et al.*, 2007). After metamorphosis,

froglets are still microphagous but as they grow they prey on larger animals, with a diet

composed predominantly of chitin-rich insects and other arthropods. The precise timing of

organ and behavioral modifications depends on ecological traits and is known in only a handful

of species, including *Xenopus*. As a *Pipidae*, *Xenopus* tadpoles, metamorphs, froglets and adult

frogs live predominantly in water (Bles, E.J., 1906).

Changes in gut bacterial communities during anuran metamorphosis was first studied using

culturing methods and more recently using 16S rDNA gene metabarcoding (Fedewa, 2006;

Kohl *et al*., 2013; Vences *et al*., 2016; Chai *et al*., 2018; Warne *et al*., 2019; Long *et al*., 2020;

Zhang *et al*., 2020). These very interesting studies reported changes in the composition of

microbial communities upon metamorphosis, with differences between species. A single study

expanded its analysis using metagenomic and metatranscriptomic (Zhang *et al*., 2020). Some

limitations of these studies are that they worked on natural populations of non-model organisms

without genomic resources, they typically sampled a single developmental stage, and they

relied exclusively on DNA extracted from gut samples, yet we are unsure whether this

represents viable bacteria (Emerson *et al*., 2017).

We undertook this study to provide a description of the phylogenetic and metabolic profiles

of the gut microbiota from *Xenopus* tadpoles. We monitored the gut microbiome changes during

development and metamorphosis using 16S rDNA gene metabarcoding, metagenomic and

metatranscriptomic. We investigated the effect of the diet on tadpole's gut bacterial

communities. We identified the communities found in different adult organs, including the skin,

stomach, intestine and rectum. We also investigated the transmission of bacterial communities

between parents and their eggs. Finally, we explored host-gut microbiota metabolic interactions

using functional annotations of the tadpole metagenome.

Results

Enumeration of bacterial cells in *Xenopus* tadpole's gut during development

We started to assess changes in tadpole's gut communities throughout development by

enumerating bacteria using flow cytometry (Figure 1). We selected six developmental stages

from young tadpoles that just started to feed up to adulthood, through grown-up tadpoles

undergoing metamorphosis (Figure 1A and Figure_S1). When we analyzed the distribution of

bacterial populations by relative cell size and DNA content, we found that cytometric profiles

differed according to life stages (Figure 1A). We observed distinct bacteria populations in

mature tadpoles compared to young ones (e.g. populations 50-3 and 56-4 absent or extremely

reduced in NF45 tadpoles, Figure 1A and Figure_S1). We also observed distinct cell

populations in froglet and adult guts (population Juv-4 and Adu-3 for example, Figure 1A and

Figure_S1). These first observations showed qualitative differences in the gut microbiomes

across life stages.

We quantified the total bacterial populations and determined an average of 1×10^{6} bacteria

per individual at the young tadpole stage, 2×10^{8} at the prometamorphic stage and 3×10^{7} in the

froglets (Figure 1B), indicating that the gut bacterial mass increased just after the beginning of

feeding and decreased simultaneously with the gut size reduction occurring during

metamorphosis (Schreiber *et al.*, 2005). We found that the bacterial load changed significantly

during development (ANOVA P-value = 0.041). The increase between pre-feeding and

prometamorphic tadpoles was significant (Tukey adjusted P-value = 0.046). We also observed

a tendency for a decrease between prometamorphic tadpoles and adults (Tukey adjusted P-value

= 0.073); and between prometamorphic tadpoles and froglets (Tukey adjusted P-value = 0.060).

We conclude from these cytometric data that there are qualitative and quantitative

differences in the gut microbiota across *Xenopus* life stages. The tadpole's gut is colonized by

a large population of bacteria at the beginning of feeding, and this bacterial population increases

during tadpole's development, then reduces upon metamorphosis before increasing again upon

froglet growth.

Xenopus gut microbial diversity during development and metamorphosis

Since our cytometry results pointed to the presence of an important bacterial population in

the tadpole's guts, we went on to quantify the taxonomic diversity of this microbiota during

development. We set out experiments in which we sampled whole tadpole's guts at different

life stages up to adulthood and quantified bacterial taxonomic diversity using 16S rRNA gene

profiling. We compared 16s rRNA gene profiles amplified from tadpoles at the premetamorphic

stages of development (tadpoles, NF54 to NF56, N=8), at the prometamorphic stages

(prometamorphs, NF58 to NF61, N=6), at metamorphic stages (metamorphs, NF 62, N=5), at

the end of metamorphosis (froglet, NF66, N=6) and from sexually mature adults (N=8). After

filtering low abundance and singleton sequence clusters, we obtained an abundance table for a

set of 666 OTUs. According to rarefaction and species accumulation curves, we obtained a

depth of sequencing sufficient to obtain a fair evaluation of the richness for the most abundant

bacterial species (Figure_S2).

We examined which bacteria constituted these most abundant gut communities (Figure 2

and Figure S3). We observed both global variations in bacterial Phyla composition between

samples from different life stages (Figure 2A) and inter-individual variations between samples

from the same life stage (Figure 2C). In most cases, inter-individual variations were coherent

with the global changes observed with the previous or the next life stage, and for this reason

we pooled the observations from different samples belonging to the same life stage category.

At the premetamorphic stage of development, we observed that *Actinobacteria* dominated

the tadpole's gut microbiota (Figure 2A). At this stage, *Proteobacteria* (mostly

Alphaproteobacteria) and *Firmicutes* were also abundant while *Bacteroidetes* and

Verrucomicrobia contributions were quantitatively modest. The tadpole's gut microbiota

composition changed with *Proteobacteria* becoming the major phylum (mostly

Deltaproteobacteria) in prometamorphic tadpoles, followed by *Firmicutes*, *Actinobacteria*,

Bacteroidetes, *Synergistetes* and *Verrucomicrobia*. The proportion of *Proteobacteria* increased

in metamorphic tadpoles (mostly *Alphaproteobacteria*), Firmicutes abundance decreased and

Bacteroidetes, *Actinobacteria*, *Synergistetes* and *Verrucomicrobiae* were minor contributors.

Once metamorphosis was completed, the gut microbiome of the froglets changed and

Firmicutes became dominant, followed by *Proteobacteria* (mostly *Deltaproteobacteria*),

Bacteroidetes, *Actinobacteria*, *Synergistetes* and *Verrucomicrobia*. In comparison, the

composition of the adult gut microbiome was marked by more balanced abundances of

Proteobacteria (mostly *Deltaproteobacteria*) and *Firmicutes*, a remarkable abundance of

Verrucomicrobia (slightly higher than that of *Bacteroidetes)*, and a minor contribution of

Synergistetes and *Actinobacteria*. The abundance patterns for *Proteobacteria* were marked by

different contributions of *Alpha* and *Deltaproteobacteria*.

These patterns of abundances at the phylum level were attributable to a restricted number

of families (Figure 2B). At the family level, three genera from three families of *Bacteroidetes*

were predominant: *Bacteroides* (*Bacteroidaceae*) with a marked abundance increase at froglet

and adult stage, and dgA-11 (*Rikenellaceae*) with an increase during tadpole growth and then

in adult stages, and *Parabacteroides* (*Porphyromonadaceae*) that increased only at the adult

stage. *Verrucomicrobiaceae* was the dominant family of *Verrucomicrobia* in adult guts, and it

was represented by a single genus, *Akkermansia*. Similarly, a single unknown genus of the

Synergistaceae family was found at low abundance in *prometamorphic* tadpoles and in adults.

Synergistetes Microbacteriaceae was the dominant family of *Actinobacteria* in pre and

prometamorphosis tadpole's guts, and it was represented by a single genus, *Leifsonia*. Seven

families of *Firmicutes* were predominant: *Lachnospiraceae* (several genera) and

Peptostreptococcaceae (one unknown genus) with a marked abundance increase at froglet and

adult stage; *Planococcaceae* (one unknown genus) that increased only at the adult stage;

Clostridiaceae 1 (genus "*Clostridium sensu stricto 1*"), *Erysipelotrichaceae* (two genera

Coprobacillus and *Erysipelatoclostridium*) and *Ruminococcaceae* (genera

Hydrogenoanaerobacterium, *Anaerotruncus*, *Ruminococcaceae*) were found with fluctuating

abundances across the life stages, and finally Family XIII was found only at low abundance.

Eight families of *Proteobacteria* were predominant: *Aeromonadaceae* (genus *Aeromonas*),

Neisseriaceae (genus *Vogesella*) and a family of *Rhizobiales* (one unknown genus) dominated

the froglet gut microbiome; *Rhodospirillaceae* (one unknown genus) exhibited a tendency to

decrease across development and were minor in adults; *Desulfovibrionaceae* (genus

Desulfovibrio) were a minority at premetamorphosis and were abundant in the other stages,

Pseudomonadaceae (genus *Pseudomonas*) and *Bradyrhizobiaceae* (unknown genus) were

found in metamorph's guts, and *Rhodocyclaceae* (genus *Azovibrio*) in prometamorphic

tadpoles.

Altogether, we observed changes in the composition of the five most abundant bacterial

phyla making the gut microbiome as development proceeds, both during tadpole growth and

metamorphosis. The major shift during tadpole growth was a reduction of *Actinobacteria* and

an increase of *Proteobacteria*, and the transition to the adult lifestyle was marked by the

increase of *Synergistetes*, *Verrucomicrobia* and *Firmicutes*. This corresponds to a graded

increase of gram-negative bacteria during tadpole growth and development culminating at

metamorphosis before reducing thereafter (Figure 2D).

We also analyzed changes of the estimations of OTU richness and phylogenetic diversity during

development (Figure S3, Supplementary_table_2). We identified two important and significant

changes: the first was an increase of bacterial species number and diversity that occurred during

tadpole growth between pre and prometamorphosis (p=0.000 using betta and the breakaway

estimation of richness); and the second was the opposite, namely a significant drop in species

richness and diversity that occurred at the climax of metamorphosis (Figure S3 and

Supplementary_table_2, (p=0.000 using betta and the breakaway estimation of richness). When

focusing on a tadpole-adult comparison, we found that the adult *Xenopus* gut microbiome

contained about twice more OTUs than the tadpole one, and its phylogenetic diversity was 1.8

times higher. These differences in microbiome diversity between the premetamorphic tadpole's

guts and the adult ones were significant using various alpha diversity metrics (Figure S3 and

Supplementary_table_2).

In parallel to these changes of communities across development, numerous OTU's were

shared between life stages. We found 111 OTUs (17%) present at all stages and representing

22% of the adult gut microbiome and 29 to 38% of the other life stage microbiomes

(Figure_S4). Another set of 128 OTUs was shared between four life stages, 122 OTU's were

shared between three, 151 between two and only 154 OTUs (23%) were found in only one of

the developmental stages (Figure_S4). The most prevalent OTUs were detected with a threshold

of very low relative abundances (< 5e-05 %, Figure_S4B). Nevertheless, we found a set of ten

OTUs that were both common and abundant including an *alpha proteobacterium*, three

Desulfovibrio, a *Microbacterioaceae*, a *Clostridium stricto sensu*, two

Hydrogenoanaerobacteria, a *Rhizobiales* and a *Lachnospiraceae*. The abundances of the

Alphaproteobacteria, one *Desulfovibrio*, the *Clostridium sensu stricto* and the

Lachnospiraceae were the most homogeneous.

We searched more specifically which OTUs were characterized by a significant change of

abundance across developmental stages using DESeq and identified a set of 22 OTUs

corresponding to five Phyla and 13 known genera (Figure_S5). The five OTUs most abundant

in premetamorph tadpole's gut but not in adult's gut were a *Microbacteriaceae*

(*Actinobacteria*), a *Rummeliibacillus* and a *Clostridium sensu stricto* 13 (*Firmicutes*), a

Bacteroides (*Bacteroidetes*) and an *Alpha-proteobacteria* (*Proteobacteria*). The opposite

pattern, i.e. more abundant in adult's guts, was hallmarked by a *Lachnospiracae* (*Firmicutes*),

a *Synergistaceae* (*Synergistetes*), a *Ruminococcaceae UCG-014* (*Firmicutes*), a *Rhizobiales*

(*Proteobacteria*) and an *Anaerorhabdus furcosa* group (*Firmicutes*).

Significant differences in community composition (beta diversity) were evidenced by

microbial community phylogenetic structure and ordination analysis (Figure S3C,

Supplementary_table_2). The mean phylogenetic community structure and its dispersion

differed according to life stages (Figure_S6). The phylogenetic relatedness of communities

changed significantly during development, with negative and lower mean phylogenetic

diversity values found in metamorph and froglet samples indicating more phylogenetically

clustered microbial communities during metamorphosis. Overall, we conclude that the *Xenopus*

gut bacterial community succession during development and metamorphosis underwent

significant dynamic changes of composition and structure.

***Xenopus* microbiota across several gut compartments**

To further compare the bacterial diversity along the gut of adult frogs, we dissected the gut

in three parts: stomach, intestine and rectum (N=3 for each tissue sample). In parallel, we

analyzed the bacterial composition of the feces and the skin of these animals using 16S rRNA

gene profiling (N=18 and N=20, respectively). Whereas *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes* and

Proteobacteria were the most abundant bacteria in the intestines, the rectum, the feces and the

skin microbiota, we observed that the *Tenericutes* (mostly *Mollicutes*) were the most abundant

in the stomach (Figure 3A, Supplementary_table_2). Surprisingly we observed a large quantity

of *Acidobacteria* (28.8%) in only one feces sample. The stomach, intestine and rectum

microbiomes were well differentiated from each other in composition and in structure analysis

(PERMANOVA on bray-curtis dissimilarity F=6.93; R2=0.43; p<0.001, Figure 3B and

Supplementary_table_2). Feces and skin microbiomes were characterized by their largest

variability and were well separated along the x-axis in the ordination analysis.

Despite these differences, we identified 31 OTUs shared between the three gut sections: 16

were identified as *Bacteroidetes* (including 15 *Bacteroidia*), seven as *Firmicutes* (including six

Clostridia), six as Proteobacteria (including five *Desulfovibrio*), one *Parcubacteria* and one

Synergistetes. In conclusion, we showed that the bacterial diversity increased along the

anteroposterior axis of the adult *Xenopus* gut, from the stomach to the rectum, and the bacterial

community compositions and structures associated with those gut sections were also

significantly different (Supplementary_table_2).

Activity of the *Xenopus* tadpole gut microbiome at the onset of feeding

We then asked when bacterial communities started to be active during development and

what bacteria were found at the earlies stages of larval development. When *Xenopus* embryo

hatch, their mouth is not open, the archenteron is well formed and the post-anal gut is open.

Feeding behavior will only start once mouth is formed and gut organogenesis is more advanced,

a few days later (Chalmers and Slack, 1998). We took advantage of this life history trait to

perform a first investigation of the succession of active bacterial communities at early stages of

larval development just after hatching when the mouth is not open (NF 30), before (NF41) and

after feeding (NF48); and in growing premetamorphic tadpoles (NF50 and NF52). We set out

experiments in which we followed-up embryos and tadpoles from two egg clutches up to the

completion of metamorphosis. We targeted active bacteria by collecting RNA from pools of

five whole embryos or tadpoles starting at 24h after fertilization and performing 16S rRNA

gene sequencing.

We observed an increase of bacterial species number and diversity up to feeding stage,

followed by a transient reduction at stage 50 (Figure_S7A). We found 103 OTUs present across

all stages, among which 11 were abundant OTUs (> 1%). At the earliest stage, we observed

that most bacterial activity was attributed to *Proteobacteria* (five OTUs: *Rhodospirillaceae*,

Aeromonas, *Rheinheimera*, *Pseudomonas*, *Desulfovibrio*) and *Bacteroidetes* (two *Bacteroides*

OTUs, one *Flectobacillus*, one *Rikenella*), that *Firmicutes* (*Clostridium sensu stricto*) and

Synergistetes (*Synergistaceae*) were already present while *Fusobacteria* (*Fusobacteriales*) and

Verrucomicrobia (*Akkermansia*) were minor contributors (Figure_S7). We observed a different

dynamic of bacterial community successions between the two clutches. In one experiment,

there was a gradual decrease of *Proteobacteria* between NF30 and NF50, and an increase

thereafter at stage NF52. This was the opposite for *Bacteroidetes*. In the other experiment,

Proteobacteriae and *Synergistetes* abundances oscillated with a decrease before and after

feeding while the abundance of *Bacteroidetes* varied in an opposite manner. This fluctuation

was concomitant with a highest abundance of *Synergistetes* that increased after feeding. In both

clutches, *Firmicutes* proportion increased up to feeding stage and decreased afterward.

Altogether our results highlighted the presence of a rapidly developing microbiota along the

first stages of tadpole development, characterized by a shift of the *Proteobacteria*/*Bacteroidetes*

ratio.

We used the same strategy to monitor active bacterial communities in tadpoles at different

stages of metamorphosis using 16S rRNA sequencing on whole digestive tract RNA extracts

(N=2 using pools of five tadpoles). We analyzed the prevalence and the abundance of bacteria

as development proceeded. We found a common set of 33 OTUs present in at least 50 % of the

samples with a relative abundance of 0.001% or more (Figure 4). All these OTUs were also

found at early stages before feeding, and in the gut of tadpoles up to the completion of

metamorphosis (Figure 4B). The five commonest OTUs were also the most abundant ($>0.01\%$

abundance), and included unknown or ill-defined species: a *Rikenella*, an *Alphaproteobacteria*,

a *Bacteroides*, a *Desulfovibrio* and a *Synergistaceae*. We found a few prevalent bacteria

detected with low abundances such as an *Alphaproteobacteria*, two *Ruminococcaceae*, a

Rikenella and an *Haliscomenobacter*.

In a complementary analysis, we used a Bayesian algorithm for source tracking to estimate

the proportion of OTUs populating the adult gut that originated from previous stages of

development (Figure_S7C) (Shenhav *et al*., 2019). In both experiments, the source of most

OTUs was identified as the feeding tadpole's gut (52 and 95%), a minority was identified as

stemming from the embryos (3 and 19%). Altogether, we identified that only few active bacteria

found in the embryos before the feeding-stage will be later populating the adult gut, and that a

majority of tadpole's active bacteria will pass through metamorphosis and be also present in

the adult gut.

Diet shapes *Xenopus* tadpole' gut active communities

Since *Xenopus* tadpoles are suspension feeders, we hypothesized that tadpole's diets could

directly contribute to their active gut bacterial communities (Bles, E.J., 1906). We made an

experiment in which we fed tadpoles with a commonly used micro planktonic-based diet (food

1, N=9) or a custom meal (food 2, N=9), and monitored their gut microbiome composition

using 16S rRNA sequencing on RNAs. The micro planktonic food 1 contained a higher level

of fibers (6.4%) than food 2 (2.6%). The custom meal food 2 was sterile, devoid of chitin and

richer in available glucids 23.9%. The food regime did not change significantly tadpole growth

(Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 0.99, df = 1, p = 0.32) or development (Kruskal-Wallis chi-

squared = 0.34, df = 1, p = 0.56, Figure_S8). We found a total of 485 OTUs shared between the

tadpoles fed with one or another diet, and this was making up the majority of the OTUs found

in each condition: 485 out of 581, i.e. 83% for food 1 and 485 out of 531 i.e. 91% for food 2.

We did not observe significant changes of OTU richness ($\log_e$(W)=3.14, p=0.13) and

phylodiversity using Faith's index, ($\log_e$(W)=3.33, p=0.29). The differences of alpha-diversity

measured using the Shannon or the Simpson indices were significant ($\log_e$(W)=4.34, p=0.001

and $\log_e$(W)=4.30, p=0.004, respectively) pointing toward a higher specific richness in tadpoles

fed with food 1 (micro planktonic-based diet). This difference was confirmed by comparing

community structures: the mean standardized effect size of mean phylogenetic distances in communities (SES MPD) was significantly different ($\log_e$(W)=0.69, p=0.001), as did beta-diversity spread (global PERMDISP F=13.42, df=1, N.perm=999, p=0.001). However, the mean standardized effect size of mean nearest taxon distance (SES MNTD) was not significantly different ($\log_e$(W)=3.93, p=0.377). The gut bacterial community from tadpoles fed on food 2 (custom meal) was globally more phylogenetically even (Figure_S8). The custom meal was associated with more *Synergistetes* at the expense of *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* (Figure 5). The taxonomic and phylogenetic composition of gut bacterial communities were also significantly impacted by the diet (PERMANOVA on bray-curtis dissimilarity F=12.64, R2=0.44, p=0.001 and on MNTD dissimilarity F=29.13, R2=0.64, p=0.001), as evidenced on an ordination plot (Figure 5). The relative abundances of all five most abundant Phylum differed according to the diet, the most notable being the *Synergistetes* that accounted for 0.05 to 0.76% in the planktonic diet condition and for 0.80 to 17.72% in the sterile diet condition (Figure 5). We found 82 OTUs whose abundance differed significantly according to diet (Figure_S8). For example, *Chitinophagacae* were abundant in the tadpoles fed with the micro planktonic-based diet, while a *Sphingobacteriales* was most abundant in tadpoles fed with the artificial diet. Altogether, we observed that *Xenopus* tadpoles gut microbial communities could be shaped by their diet without a noticeable impact on growth and development.

Xenopus microbiome transmission

The way bacterial communities are transmitted across individuals and generations is a recurrent question in the study of animal microbiomes. We set out an experiment to evaluate the inheritance of bacterial communities between *Xenopus* parents and their clutch of eggs. We performed eight independent crosses and sampled the skin and the feces of both parents along with their egg clutch (N=16, N=13 and N=8, respectively). When we looked at bacterial phylum diversity, we found that feces contained more *Verrucomicrobia* and *Firmicutes*, while both skin

and eggs contained more *Proteobacteria* (Figure 6). In one case, we observed a resemblance

between eggs and the feces of one parent. Globally, the bacterial communities of the skin and

of the eggs were significantly more similar to each other than those from feces in terms of

community structure and composition as evidenced using different metrics (Figure 6,

Supplementary_table_2). We also analyzed the proportion of the egg bacteria derived from

adult skin and feces using FEAST, a Bayesian algorithm for source tracking (Shenhav *et al.*,

2019). In five out of the eight crosses, more than 70% of the bacteria found in the eggs did not

come from feces or the skin of the parents. In the three other crosses, we observed that male or

female skin made up to 75% of the egg bacteria. In conclusion, we found that the main driver

of egg bacterial communities was the environment; the skin and the feces of parents were only

minor contributors.

Whole shotgun metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analysis of *X. tropicalis*

gut microbiota

In the final part of our study and since few studies have investigated the genomic functions

of the amphibian microbiome, we used a whole shotgun metagenomic and metatranscriptomic

approach to better characterize the *Xenopus* gut metagenome and its activity in prometamorphic

tadpoles (Figure 7). We filtered out reads mapping to the nuclear or the mitochondrial genome

of *X. tropicalis* and obtained a dataset made of 23.6 and 19.8 millions paired reads using the

metagenomic and metatranscriptomic approach, respectively. We used MATAM, phyloFlash,

kraken2 plus bracken and kaiju to perform taxonomic assignation of these reads against

nucleotidic and proteic databases (Menzel *et al.*, 2016; Pericard *et al.*, 2018; Wood *et al.*, 2019;

Gruber-Vodicka *et al.*, 2020). As expected, bacterial reads were predominant, but we identified

also a few microeukaryotes, including various parasites (Figure S9, Figure S10, Figure S11,

Figure S12). We found low levels of reads assigned to Archaea using kraken2/bracken and

kaiju but these results turned out to be false positives upon closer inspection. Indeed, more

discriminant sequence comparison tools such as MATAM, phyloFlash or megablast against

SILVA or the NCBI non-redundant nucleotide databases evidenced that these reads were of

bacterial origin. We also found a few thousands bacteriophage viral sequences using

kraken2/bracken or kaiju but we did not investigate them in more details.

Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic sequencing gave similar taxonomic profiles for

bacteria in concordance with the 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding approach, while giving a finer

taxonomic resolution (Figure 7A). Using phyloFlash on metagenomic reads, we assembled 16

near full-length SSU sequences with phyloFlash-SPADES; 31 with phyloFlash-emirge and 33

using MATAM (Figure S9, Figure S10). Using metatranscriptomic reads, we assembled 32

near full-length SSU sequences with phyloFlash-spades; 1498 using phyloFlash-emirge and

440 using MATAM (Figure S11, Figure S12). We observed four main dominant phyla:

Bacteroidetes (mostly *Bacteroides*), *Proteobacteria* (mostly *Desulfovibrio* and

Polynucleobacter), *Firmicutes* (mostly *Ruminococcus*) and *Actinobacteria* (mostly

Microbacterium) (Figure 7A).

In conclusion, we confirmed that the *X. tropicalis* tadpole's gut microbiota harbors a large

amount and diversity of bacterial cells, mainly constituted of anaerobic bacteria and common

bacteria at the species level with other vertebrate's gut microbiota.

Xenopus tropicalis gut microbiota genes catalog

To characterize the *Xenopus* microbiome, we compiled a gene catalog from our

metagenome and metatranscriptome data sets. We assembled the metagenomic sequence reads

using different assemblers and compared the resultant assemblies with metaQUAST

(Mikheenko *et al.*, 2016). In addition we mapped back all metagenomic and metatranscriptomic

reads and also our previously found OTU sequences (Supplementary table 3). We finally

selected one assembly made using idba_ud, based on the rate of properly mapped reads :

93.36% and 77.58% for DNA and RNA reads, respectively and on the number of OTUs

identified : 23 OTU sequences matching perfectly and 78 OTUs matching at 97% identity to at

least one contig. This assembly consisted of 72,183 contigs larger than 500 bp, with a total

assembly length of 161.8 Mbp. The contigs N50 size was 6,100 bp with the largest contig being

640,454 bp long (Supplementary table 3). We then used DAStool to identify 22 bins covering

61.5 Mbp, including 14 bins with high completeness (> 90%) and low redundancy (ie

contamination, < 8%) (Figure 7B, Supplementary table 4). We found a single perfect alignment

of seven OTU sequences in seven different bins, and two bins containing two unrelated OTUs

each.

We predicted a total of 141,692 CDS using prokka on the tadpole metagenome assembly.

A subset of 87,012 CDS (61.4%) was classified as hypothetical proteins. At least one

metatranscriptomic read could be mapped on 37,926 CDS. For more than 95.0% of these

"expressed" CDS, the relative expression level was between 0 and 100 reads per kilobase per

million (RPKM) while some were highly expressed with a relative expression higher than

10 000 RPKM.

In conclusion, we identified 141,692 CDS from the *X. tropicalis* tadpole gut metagenome.

Using metatranscriptomic sequencing, we revealed that about 27% of these protein sequences

are transcribed and therefore involved in a physiological activity.

Metabolic profile of the *Xenopus* gut microbiota

To better characterize the metabolic capacity of the *Xenopus* tadpole's gut metagenome, we

used a functional annotation strategy starting from the 141,692 predicted CDS. Out of these,

34,103 were annotated by prokka with a COG identifier and 32,801 by an EC enzyme number.

We used Minpath to identify 106 KEGG and 1,281 Metacyc metabolic pathways associated

with these known proteins (Ye and Doak, 2009 ; Figure 8A). Enzymatic activities linked to

degradation functions represented 48% of all predicted Metacyc pathways and those linked to

biosynthesis represented 45% (Figure 8A). Pathways involved in fermentation represented

0.8% and those involved in detoxification 0.5%. The detoxification potential provided by the

gut metagenome included chloroaromatic compounds and terpenoid degradation. A more

detailed view of the pathways predicted from the tadpole microbiome can be seen on the Krona

plots available in Figure_S13 and Figure_S14. Next, we compared the metabolic pathways

encoded by the *X. tropicalis* gut metagenome with those encoded by the *X. tropicalis* genome

(Figure_S15). To do so, we mapped the EC and KEGG identifiers associated to metagenome-

predicted CDS or to annotated *Xenopus* genes on the Interactive Pathway Explorer (Yamada *et*

al., 2011a). We queried the resulting pathway map using terms corresponding to some of the

numerous metabolites known to be derived from microbiome activity in vertebrates (Figure

8B).

In herbivorous and omnivorous organisms, gut microbial communities are known to

degrade complex carbohydrate fibers and provide short chain fatty acids. We identified 6823

genes encoding 205 different families of carbohydrate-active enzymes (89 glycoside hydrolase,

50 Glycosyltransferase, 16 Polysaccharide lyase, 16 Carbohydrate esterase and 32

Carbohydrate-Binding Modules as defined in www.cazy.org) and evidence that acetate,

propanoate and butyrate biosynthetic pathways were active in the *Xenopus* tadpole gut

metagenome (Figure_S16). We also looked for enzymes involved in nitrogen recycling and

found three CDS involved in N-fixation (*nifH*); 19 genes encoding urease that degrade urea

into ammonia and carbon dioxide (*ureABC*) and two genes encoding uricase homologs (*puuD*).

Thus, tadpole's gut microbes are involved in nitrogen waste recycling to synthesize amino acids

(including essential ones) and polypeptides that can act as nutrient sources. Indeed, we found

that the known essential amino-acids histidine, lysine and tryptophan could be produced by

tadpole gut microbes (Figure 8B, Figure_S16). Another category of molecular exchange

between the microbiota and its vertebrate host involves the provision of vitamins (Magnúsdóttir

et al., 2015; Dearing and Kohl, 2017). We found evidences that various B-vitamins (folate,

cobalamin, pantothenate, riboflavin, biotin, thiamin and pyridoxin) and K-vitamin

(menaquinone) could be synthesized by the tadpole gut microbiota (Figure 8B, Figure_S14).

Similarly, we identified expressed bacterial genes encoding choloylglycine hydrolase involved

in the biosynthesis of secondary bile acids (Figure 8B). In conclusion, we identified a set of

metabolic pathways from the tadpole gut metagenome that are likely to influence tadpole's

physiology through the action of different metabolites.

Discussion

We report that a large community of bacteria develops in the tadpole alimentary canal as

soon as feeding starts and peaks before metamorphosis. While the gut microbiome biomass

diminishes significantly at metamorphosis, it remains important. This probably reflects the

feeding behavior of *Xenopus* at metamorphosis: Naitoh and colleagues reported that filter

feeding can be observed in metamorphs up to stage NF59-NF61, and adult-like feeding starts

at stage NF63 (Naitoh *et al.*, 1989). Thus, there is only a short period of time, two to three days,

during which the *Xenopus* metamorph stops feeding. Also, the gut itself starts to shorten at the

metamorphic stage NF62 and will reduce four-fold to its adult-like length by stage NF66

(Schreiber *et al.*, 2005; Heimeier *et al.*, 2010). This means that adult feeding behavior occurs

before the completion of gut remodeling, and that tadpole and adult digestive physiology

overlap at the end of metamorphosis. In an animal-house setting, we deem it likely that *Xenopus*

froglets continue to eat the available plankton in the rearing aquarium as long as a new diet is

not artificially imposed to them, because they rely upon suction feeding as adults (Bles, E.J.,

1906). More generally, the change of eaten food composition is likely to be gradual depending

on the ecology of the amphibian species considered. For example, Fedewa reported insect

material in the guts of *Bufo terrestris* and *Pseudacris crucifer* at Gosner stage 45-46 (NF64-

NF65) i.e. in metamorph before the completion of metamorphosis, and the interval of fasting

was the beginning of metamorphosis (Gosner stages 42-44, NF60-NF63) (Fedewa, 2006).

Our metabarcoding data using DNA and RNA 16S rRNA gene sequencing further

demonstrated that the colonization of the tadpole by microorganisms occurred early during

Xenopus tadpole development. Moreover, our results proved that *Xenopus* gut bacterial

community composition changes during tadpole development and metamorphosis. At first, i.e.

before and right after feeding, the change in composition of the microbiota is characterized by

an increase of the *Bacteroidetes* / *Proteobacteria* ratio. The overall diversity of the microbiota

seems to be reduced just before feeding, possibly because of the development and the activity

of innate immune responses of the newly hatched larvae (Pasquier, 2014). After an increase of

the microbiota diversity, once feeding started, there is another step of reduction that we interpret

as the result of an adaptation of the environmental bacterial population to the tadpole's gut

environment. In this period, when the tadpole starts feeding and grows rapidly (NF45 to NF56),

bacterial populations increase 1,000-fold but the microbiota composition remains quite the

same. During metamorphosis, we observed an important diminution of the bacterial abundance

and a change of diversity. Indeed metamorphosis is associated with several modifications of

the gut organs and we expected that gut reduction and neuroendocrine changes would impact

the gut microbiota, as shown previously for other species (Fedewa, 2006; Kohl *et al*., 2013;

Vences *et al*., 2016; Chai *et al*., 2018; Warne *et al*., 2019; Long *et al*., 2020; Zhang *et al*., 2020).

The bacterial diversity observed in the *X. tropicalis* gut was consistent with the results of

Mashoof et al. on the diversity observed in *X. laevis* gut (Mashoof *et al*., 2013). Indeed, the

same three phyla dominating the gut microbiota were observed in both analyses. The

dominance of *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* is also a characteristic of the

vertebrate gut in general (Colston and Jackson, 2016; Youngblut *et al*., 2019). Moreover the

Xenopus gut microbiota diversity increased following the anteroposterior axis of the gut, as

observed in human gut microbiota (Sekirov *et al*., 2010). We did not detect Archaea using our

metabarcoding methodology, neither did we identify Archaea in our metagenomic and

metatranscriptomic data. While we expected to miss Archaea in metabarcoding sequences

because we relied on mainly bacteria-targeting primers, the lack of Archaea sequences in the

shotgun sequences was more surprising. This constitutes a limitation of our survey and an

interesting subject for further investigation in light of the account of methanogenesis in adult

Xenopus (Saengkerdsub and Ricke, 2014).

We found that the abundance of several *Bacteroides*, *Bilophila* and *Lachnospiraceae*

bacteria increase during tadpole development and metamorphosis. These bacteria are well-

known to be abundant in the gastro-intestinal tracts of numerous vertebrates, including humans,

and characterized by their resistance to high concentrations of bile salts or their bile acid

hydrolase activities (Song *et al*., 2019). In addition, we identified seven CDS encoding an

enzyme involved in secondary bile acid biosynthesis. We therefore propose an interpretation of

our findings in light of bile acids being key molecular components regulating the gut

microbiome composition during amphibian development and metamorphosis. Bile acids are

small molecules produced mainly in the liver from cholesterol and further metabolized in the

gastro-intestinal tract. They are known to act as molecular messengers between the host and

their gut microbiota: on the host side, they play a role in numerous metabolic pathways via their

cellular receptors and on the microbiome side they can modulate bacterial growth directly and

indirectly (Ridlon *et al*., 2014; Wahlström *et al*., 2016). Bile acids can act directly on the gut

microbiome because they have intrinsic antimicrobial activity and indirectly because their host

cellular receptors regulate antimicrobial peptides genes. In turn, some bacteria encode bile

hydrolases that enable the production of secondary bile acids (Joyce *et al*., 2014; Song *et al*.,

2019). Therefore, we can consider bile acid composition as a trait of strong evolutionary

significance since it links nutrition, gut, liver and gill physiology, host genome evolution and

the gut microbiome composition (Reschly *et al*., 2008; Hofmann *et al*., 2010; Ridlon *et al*.,

2014). In amphibians, bile acids are present in tadpoles but they increase in quantity and

complexity after metamorphosis (Anderson *et al*., 1979; Cole and Little, 1983; Reschly *et al*.,

2008). It is known that bile acids composition changes and complexity increases due to changes

in host gene expression. We hypothesize that ontogenetic and endocrine regulation of

amphibian host genes such as the bile acid nuclear receptor (Farnesoid X receptor, FXR;

NR1H4), or its surface G-protein coupled receptor (TGR5) could be initial regulators of gut

microbiome composition. FXR, an intra-cellular bile acid-sensing transcription factor of the

nuclear factor superfamily, regulates bile acid and lipid homeostasis, and other genes such as

FOR in *Xenopus* may play similar roles using different ligands (Seo *et al*., 2002; Reschly *et al*.,

2008; Frisch and Alstrup, 2018). It is noteworthy that in mice TGR5 has been shown to link

bile acid and thyroid hormone signaling by promoting intracellular thyroid hormone activity

via the induction of deiodinase 2 in brown adipose tissue (Watanabe *et al*., 2006). In future

studies, manipulation of gene activities, of bile acid composition and concentration and of the

microbiome could be used to unravel the diversity and the evolution of these regulations in

amphibians and other vertebrates.

We provide evidence that the diet of *Xenopus* tadpoles affected the diversity of their gut

microbiome and thus that the mucosal immunity of tadpoles can interact with a variety of

bacterial communities (Figure 5). Tadpoles fed a micro planctonic-based diet had a gut

microbiome with a higher specific richness compared with tadpoles fed a sterile flour-diet. The

latter diet was associated with a lack of *Chitinophagacae* involved in chitin degradation. These

results contribute to the growing body of evidence that diet as an environmental factor can

affect the composition of the gut microbiota.

Maternal transmission of microbes in animals is a well-known phenomenon but its

documentation in amphibians is restricted to only few examples (Funkhouser and Bordenstein,

2013; Walke *et al*., 2014; Warne *et al*., 2019). In amphibians like in other animals, the

transmission of microbial communities can be horizontal, vertical or environmental. The

vertical transmission mode may depend critically on the reproductive behaviour of the animals.

In *Xenopus,* the natural mating behaviour of the couple in amplexus involves looping dances

from the bottom to the surface. Eggs are mostly laid by the female when she is near the surface,

and immediately fertilized by the male. These movements may lead eggs to stick to the skin of

either parent, and since the skin of amphibians harbors a rich microbiota, it can constitute a

vector of vertical transmission from either parent. In addition, the eggs are laid through the

female cloaca, and the sperm is also emitted by the male cloaca. Since the cloaca is a common

anatomical structure shared by the reproductive, excretory and digestive systems, the microbial

communities of these three systems may be transmitted to the eggs. Here we sampled the skin

and feces of both parents to quantify the transmission to the eggs. Quantitatively and using the

Bayesian algorithm of source tracker, we found that the bacteria found on the eggs were mostly

coming from the environment, and the second source was the skin of either parent. It was

notable that a *Chitinimonas* species, a bacterium known to synthesize the antifungal Violacein,

was one of the OTU transmitted from both parents in all crosses. This is relevant in the context

of *Saprolegnia* egg infections and chytridiomycosis. Recently, Warne and collaborators

reported that changes of the woodfrog egg microbiota influenced the bacterial communities at

later larval stages (Warne *et al.*, 2017, 2019).

Bacteroidetes, *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* were slightly more represented in the

metatranscriptome than in metagenome highlighting that those bacteria were present and active

in the tadpole gut. Actinobacteria were less abundant in metatranscriptome than metagenome,

showing a reduced activity. On the contrary, we found that *Verrucomicrobia* were very active.

Our data highlight the important capacity of the microbiota to complement the metabolic

pathways of the *Xenopus* genome. We found that the gut microbiota of tadpoles synthesizes

short chain fatty acids, essential amino acids and vitamins and recycle nitrogen waste products.

The need to perform investigations on animals from natural populations has rightly been

underscored, for example by an interesting review of Sarah Hird (Hird, 2017). Yet we face

several methodological difficulties when it comes to sample natural populations. In some

studies, animals have been captured in the wild and raised thereafter in a laboratory setting. The

current global threats on amphibians makes such studies difficult to realize and restricts

severely the breadth of species that can be studied. In addition, the capture of vertebrate animals

induces a variable amount of stress, leading to different responses including an

immunosuppression (Rollins-Smith *et al.*, 1997; Narayan, 2013). While the effect of captivity

on amphibian microbiomes has been documented, there is a ample room for possible

explanations (Becker *et al.*, 2014; Loudon *et al.*, 2014; Bataille *et al.*, 2016). Also, parasitism

is common in natural populations, and it can represent a hidden variable of considerable

importance in microbiome studies. For example, the mutualism between the nematode

Gyrinicola batrachiensis and *Rana catesbeiana* leads to a quicker tadpole development and has

been linked to the hindgut fermentation capacity (Pryor and Bjorndal, 2005). Studies on

laboratory animals for which rearing conditions are more uniform, with the potential for a better

control of parameters and for reproducing experiments in different laboratories are definitively

needed to make the link with investigations on natural populations. In this regard, *Xenopus*

represents an interesting model since it is a widespread anuran with more than 20 species

described in sub-Saharan Africa and classified of least-concern according to IUCN, and there

is a vast body of biological knowledge relating to its development, its physiology and its

genetic. We hope that our study will constitute a background knowledge enabling future work

in the study of amphibian-microbial symbioses.

There were several important limitations to this study. First, marker gene and metagenomic

studies are replete of confounding aspects and more studies will be needed to reproduce our

observations using alternative technologies and more samples to explore inter-individual

variability (Pollock *et al*., 2018; Bharti and Grimm, 2019; McLaren *et al*., 2019). This is

especially needed regarding the construction of a metagenome gene catalog. Second, we

analyzed the microbiome of *Xenopus* tadpoles in a laboratory setting and this is likely to differ

from animals sampled *in natura*. Third, we did not survey Archaea nor microeucaryotes that

are important players of the gut microbiome in other vertebrates and this will need further more

dedicated efforts.

Conclusions

In summary, we described the bacterial components of the *Xenopus* gut microbiota, the

adult gut biogeography, the succession of communities during ontogeny, the impact of the

alimentation in shaping the tadpole's gut bacterial communities, the transmission of skin and

fecal bacteria to the eggs. We also identified the most active gut bacteria and their metabolic

contribution to the tadpole physiology. Keeping in mind the advantages of the *Xenopus* model,

our data provided evidences that *X. tropicalis* raised in animal facilities is highly suitable for

host-microbiota studies. Our study contributes to the growing body of research on the study of

bacterial symbiosis in amphibians and on the evolutionary associations between amphibians

and their microbiota. Further studies on the dynamics of the *X. tropicalis* gut microbiota during

early development and metamorphosis should provide useful information on the evolution of

host-microbiota interactions in vertebrates.

Methods

Animals and animal husbandry

We used *Xenopus tropicalis* frogs from the TGA and Sierra Leone strains and we let them

reproduce using induced natural mating as described in the supplementary

Extended_materials_and_methods. Parents were transferred back to their housing tank while

the embryos were let in the mating tanks. Tadpoles were reared in static water throughout

embryogenesis and metamorphosis. Tadpoles and adults were euthanized with tricaine methane

sulfonate pH 7.5 at 5 g.L^{-1}. We used the Nieuwkoop and Faber table of development to identify

tadpole's developmental stages (Faber and Nieuwkoop, 1994).

Tissue sampling

We dissected euthanized tadpoles or adults in sterile amphibian phosphate buffered saline

(aPBS) to collect the gastro-intestinal from the stomach to the rectum. For the sake of

simplicity, we used the term "gut" to refer to the whole gastro-intestinal tract of tadpoles or

adults throughout the manuscript. Whole embryos and early tadpoles were abundantly rinsed

using aPBS before further processing. The skin of adult frogs was sampled after having

abundantly rinsed the animals using aPBS. Sampling was performed by swabbing the dorsal

and ventral skin areas using a sterile cotton swab. Feces were collected using a sterile Pasteur

pipette from frogs that were individually housed in aPBS after reproduction. Samples of two-

hundred eggs including their outer and inner jelly coat were collected using a sterile Pasteur

pipette from the reproduction aquarium, and washed three times in sterile aPBS. All tissue

samples used for DNA extraction and metabarcoding were then immersed in at least ten

volumes of ethanol and stored at -20°C until DNA extraction. All tissue samples used for RNA

extraction and metabarcoding were kept on ice and then processed for tissue lysis as explained

in the Supplementary Extended_materials_and_methods.

Bacterial purification from intestinal tracts

We homogenized each freshly dissected intestinal tract in 500 μL of ice-cold PBS using a

Potter-Elvehjem homogenizer. We then concentrated the bacteria extract by successive

filtrations on 40, 20 and 5 μm filters (nylon 40 μm cell strainer from BIOLOGIX; 20 μm net

ring from Pharmacia Fine chemicals; Whatman® Puradisc 13 syringe filters 5 μm from

SIGMA-ALDRICH®). Next, we harvested the cells by centrifugation at 13 000g for 15 min at

room temperature. These pellets were then used for nucleic acid extraction (details in

Supplementary Extended_materials_and_methods) or fixation and microscopy (for quality

control) or flow cytometry analysis.

Flow cytometry analysis

We prepared triplicate samples from tadpoles at stages NF45-48, NF51-54, NF56, NF60-

61, 15 days froglets and adults. Each sample was made from five tadpole's guts per replicate

for the NF45-48 and NF51-54 stages, single individuals were used for later developmental

stages. We fed the tadpoles two days before the first time point (stage NF45-48), and ten days

before sampling the froglets. We prepared the filtered bacterial cell samples as described

previously and we fixed the resuspended bacterial pellet in 1.0% paraformaldehyde at 4°C

during 2 h. We analyzed samples prepared by mixing bacterial samples with fluorescent beads

(Flow-Count™ fluorospheres, 10 μm diameter, 1010 beads/μl) to obtain a final concentration

of 20 beads/μl. Bacterial samples were stained using propidium iodide (PI) with a MoFlo®

Astrio™ cytometer (BECKMAN COULTER). Excitation was made at 488 nm and 561 nm for

scattering (Forward Scatter, FSC; Side Scatter, SSC) and PI respectively. Emission of PI was

collected through a 614/20-nm band pass filter. Each measure was done in triplicate to compute

a mean value and the standard deviation for each biological replicate sample. Plots were

normalized on 200,000 gated events for the analysis of cell populations.

Metagenome and metatranscriptome sequencing

We prepared genomic DNA (gDNA) and RNA from freshly prepared 5 μm filtered gut

samples prepared as previously described and obtained from five *X. tropicalis* tadpoles at stage

NF56 raised in the same aquarium. Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic library construction

and sequencing were performed by the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) using an Illumina®

HighSeq™ 2500. The insert sizes of the metagenomic and metatranscriptomic libraries were

170 bp and 180 bp, respectively. We obtained 47,279,786 and 40 000 000 high quality 100bp

paired end sequences for metagenomic (ERS716504) and metatranscriptomic (ERS716505),

respectively.

16S rRNA and 16S rDNA library construction and sequencing

We prepared genomic DNA (gDNA) from dissected tissue samples that were fixed in

ethanol and stored at -20°C before processing. RNA was prepared from fresh tissue. gDNA or

RNA were used as template for 16S Ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA) or DNA (16S rDNA)

amplification. A detailed list of samples used for the 16S rDNA and rRNA library construction

is given in Supplementary_table_1. A first set of three replicate PCR reactions was performed

to amplify the V3-V4 variable region of the 16S rDNA and rRNA; the PCR condition details

and oligonucleotide sequences are given in Supplementary Extended_materials_and_methods.

The PCR products were sent to the GeT sequencing facility (France Génomique, INRA,

Toulouse) for library preparation and sequencing. PCR products were purified and quantified

by spectrophotometry and a second PCR was performed to integrate a barcode index. The

barcoded PCR products were pooled and their quality was checked using capillarity

electrophoresis and quantitative PCR. Finally, the PCR products were sequenced using a Miseq

(supplied by Illumina®). We obtained a total of 3,647,548 clean read counts from 132 samples.

The metadata and number of sequences obtained by sample is given in Supplementary_table_1.

16S rRNA and 16s rDNA sequence analysis

We sequenced 62 samples and obtained an average of 25,218 assembled paired-end

sequences per sample, with a minimum of 8,134 and a maximum of 66,578. We used the FROG

pipeline for OTUs identification and taxonomic affiliation (Escudié *et al.*, 2018). Overlapping

reads were assembled and sequences were dereplicated before clustering using the SWARM

algorithm. Chimeric sequences were then removed and OTUs were filtered on abundance for

at least 0.005%. Taxonomic affiliation of the OTUs was performed based on the 16S SILVA

database (V.123) using blast and RDP classifier.

We used script implemented in R to perform different microbiome community analysis

(provided on GitHub at https://npollet.github.io/metatetard/) (R Core Team, 2019). Source-

sink analysis was performed using Feast (Shenhav *et al*., 2019).

Taxonomic assignment for metagenome and metatranscriptome sequences

We used MATAM and phyloFlash to extract and assemble full-length or near full-length

16S and 18S rRNA gene sequences from the same set of filtered reads as the one used for the

assembly (Pericard *et al*., 2018; Gruber-Vodicka *et al*., 2020). The assembled read and the

details of the command lines are provided on GitHub at

https://npollet.github.io/metatetard/Metagenome.html).

Metagenome assembly and gene prediction

We filtered and trimmed reads according to their quality and excluded those derived from

Xenopus genome using metaWRAP. We selected one assembly of these reads obtained with

IDBA-UD using k-mers from 72 to 124 (Peng *et al*., 2012; Uritskiy *et al*., 2018). More details

are given in the Supplementary Extended_materials_and_methods. We performed binning on

contigs longer than 1000 bp using maxbin, concoct, metabat and DAStool (Alneberg *et al*.,

2014; Wu *et al*., 2016; Sieber *et al*., 2018; Kang *et al*., 2019). We visualized binning results

using vizbin (Laczny *et al*., 2015). We mapped OTUs, metagenome and metatranscriptome

reads to the assembly using BBTools to derive coverage and tpm values at the scaffold and

gene levels (BBMap).

We predicted CDS on the assembled metagenome scaffolds using Prokka (Seemann, 2014).

We used Minpath for metabolic pathway prediction following the strategy and the tools

provided in the IMP pipeline scripts as described in https://metagenomics-

workshop.readthedocs.io (Ye and Doak, 2009; Narayanasamy *et al*., 2016). We mapped KEGG

and EC identifiers on the Interactive Pathway Explorer V3 (iPATH3) to obtain a map of the

tadpole's gut microbiota metabolic pathway (Letunic *et al*., 2008; Yamada *et al*., 2011b)(Darzi

et al., 2018).

List of abbreviations

751 NF: Nieuwkoop and Faber developmental stage

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: We used standard rearing conditions for

Xenopus tadpoles and adults and all animal experiments were conducted in accordance with

the regulation on Animal Experimentation (registration number 91–401 provided by Direction

Départementale des Services Vétérinaires de l'Essonne).

Consent to publication:

Not applicable

Availability of data and material: The sequence datasets generated and/or analyzed during

the current study are available in the EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database (ENA),

metagenomic (ERS716504) and metatranscriptomic (ERS716505)

https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB9311. 16S rRNA gene sequence data are available

at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB38248. R scripts for data analysis are provided

on GitHub at https://npollet.github.io/metatetard/.

Other data type supporting the findings are available within the article and its supplementary

files.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
768

Funding:

We used the Data Intensive Academic Grid conducted on the National Science Foundation

funded MRI-R2 project #DBI-0959894" to perform some of the bioinformatic analyses. The

cytometry facilities of Imagerie-Gif (http://www.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/spip.php?article279) is

member of the Infrastructures en Biologie Santé et Agronomie (IBiSA), and is supported by

the French National Research Agency under Investments for the Future programs "France-

BioImaging", and the Labex "Saclay Plant Science" (ANR-10-INSB-04-01 and ANR-11-

IDEX-0003-02, respectively).

Author's contributions: All authors read and approved the final manuscript. TS and IC

performed experimental work under the guidance of NP. MB designed and supervised TC for

cytometry, and analyzed cytometry results. TC performed whole genome shotgun sequence

analysis. NP performed 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. TS and NP wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees (Bioinfo

Genotoul) for providing computing and storage resources at the start of this project. The present

work has benefitted from the facilities and expertise of the cytometry facilities of Imagerie-Gif,

(http://www.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/spip.php?article279). We thank Catherine Zanchetta and the

team of the GeT facility for 16S metabarcoding sequencing. We thank Odile Bronchain, Albert

Chesneau and Muriel Perron for providing access and use of their *Xenopus* animal facility in

Orsay. We thank Franck Bourrat and Jean-Michel Rossignol for critically reading our

manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

None

References (100 max)

Figure legends

Figure 1: **Enumeration of bacteria in the *Xenopus tropicalis* gut during development.**

A. Cytometric profiles. Each dot in the plot represents one event (i.e. a cell), the color represents the density of overlapping point from red to blue. The vertical axis represents DNA content by the measurement of propidium iodide (PI) fluorescence (614/20nm) and the horizontal axis represents the relative cell size by the measurement of forward scatter. Different bacterial populations are highlighted by an ellipse and identified by a text label. The top-right events labelled with a "b" correspond to the beads used for normalization. Background signals have been removed. **B. Changes of bacterial load during development**. The vertical axis represents the number of bacteria and the horizontal axis corresponds to the different stages of development. Each dot corresponds to a biological replicate, the error bar represents the standard deviation obtained by technical replicates. A ★ marks the beginning of feeding and

★★ marks the change from the tadpole to the adult diet.

Figure 2: Phylum and Family-level compositional changes in the Xenopus gut microbiome across development.

A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial diversity at the phylum level. From top to bottom, the results for the different life stages studied are shown: Premetamorphic tadpoles (NF54 to

NF56); Prometamorphic tadpoles (NF58 to NF61); Metamorphic tadpole (NF 62); Froglet

(NF66); Adult: sexually mature adults (males and females). B. Bar plot representation of the

bacterial diversity at the family level, in the same order as A. C. Same as A but viewed at the

sample level to highlight the interindividual differences. D. Bar plot representation of gram

positive and gram negative bacterial phyla.

Figure 3: *Xenopus* microbiota across several adult organs and samples

A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity. **B. Principal coordinate**

analysis of microbial communities across several adult organs and samples. Community

membership was analyzed using unweighted UniFrac distance, and community structure was

analyzed using weighted UniFrac distance. Percentages of the explained variations are

indicated on both axes.

Figure 4: Characterization of the prevalence and abundances of active bacteria across

Xenopus development from post-hatching to metamorphosis.

A. Heatmap of the prevalence and abundances for 33 OTUs. The detection threshold scale

is logarithmic and the metric used was the relative abundance. OTUs were identified using their

taxonomic affiliation at the family genus or species level according to the resolution available

for a given OTU. This analysis was performed on OTUs characterized by a minimal prevalence

of 50 % and a minimal abundance of 0.001%.

B. Abundance heatmap for the 33 most prevalent OTUs across the developmental stages

sampled. Two clutches were followed up across development, and the white dotted lines

separate the two biological replicate samples. The legend for stages is as follows: PE is a post-

hatching NF30 tailbud embryonic stage; NF41 is a premetamorphic tadpole non-feeding stage;

NF48 is a premetamorphic tadpole feeding stage; NF50; NF 52 and NF56 are premetamorphic

tadpole feeding stages; NF60 is a prometamorphic tadpole stage; NF63 are metamorphic

tadpole stages; NF66 is a froglet stage.

Figure 5: Influence of the tadpole's diet on their gut microbiome.

A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity. **B. Principal coordinate**

analysis of microbial communities across several adult organs and samples. Community

membership was analyzed using unweighted UniFrac distance, and community structure was

analyzed using weighted UniFrac distance. Percentages of the explained variations are

indicated on both axes. Tadpole Food 1 (D1 samples) was a micro planktonic-based diet and

tadpole food 2 (D2 samples) (food 1) was a sterile flour.

Figure 6: *X. tropicalis* **microbiome transmission**

A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity.

B. Box-plot representation of weighted UniFrac distances between eggs, skin and feces.

Letters a, b and c indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level between or within samples

according to pairwise Dunn's rank sum post-hoc test (see Methods section for details). Note

the outliers in the feces sample and in the Eggs-skin comparison.

C. Bar plot representation of source proportions in egg microbiomes.

D. Principal coordinate analysis of microbial communities across eggs, feces and skin

samples. Community composition was analyzed using PhilR distance. Percentages of the

explained variations are indicated on both axes.

Figure 7: **Bacterial taxonomic profiles from** *X. tropicalis* **prometamorphic tadpole gut. A.**

Histogram representation of the bacterial phylum diversity observed in a pool of five

prometamorphic tadpole's guts at stage NF56. metaDNA: metagenomic analysis; metaRNA:

metatranscriptomic analysis. Each color in the histogram refers to the phylum as described in

the legend. **B.** Vizualisation of the metagenomic assembly. Each bin identified using DASTool

is represented in a different colour, each circle is a contig. The circles diameter is proportional

to contig length.

Figure 8: **Overview of *X. tropicalis* tadpole's microbiota metabolic functions. A.** This

barplot represents the read coverage (x-axis, in transcripts per kilobase million) on genes

encoding enzymes participating in various Metacyc pathways (y-axis). **B.** Counts of

metagenome bins, genes and scaffolds in which enzymes involved in selected biosynthetic

pathways. Expressed genes encoding enzymes involved in selected KEGG modules mentioned

on the y-axis were counted.

Supplementary data R scripts: R markdown rendered html files are available at

https://npollet.github.io/metatetard

Additional files:

Additional file 1: Supplementary_table_1.csv

File format: csv

Title of data: Metadata.

Description of data: This file contains the metadata corresponding to samples analysed by 16S

rRNA gene metabarcoding.

Additional file 2: Supplementary_table_2

File format: xlsx

Title of data: Compilation of statistical results in tables.

Description of data: This file contains seven tables compiling the results of significance tests

for alpha and beta diversity metrics.

Additional file 3: Figure_S1

File format: pdf

Title of data: Microbial cell populations identified by flow cytometry across developmental

stages.

Description of data: Cytometric profiles obtained at different stages of tadpole's development

were used to identify visually bacterial populations. Each population was plotted according to

its DNA content and relative size (error bars correspond to the standard error deviation).

Vertical axis represents the measurement of fluorescence (propidium iodide - 614/20nm) and

the horizontal axis the measurement of relative cell size (forward scatter, in arbitrary units).

The bacterial populations clearly corresponding across life stages are grouped by a dotted

ellipse.

Additional file 4: Figure_S2

File format: pdf

Title of data: Rarefaction and species accumulation curves.

Description of data: These graphs present rarefaction curves (top) and species accumulation

curves (bottom) for the different samples used in the listed experiments. * For premetamorph

samples, the rarefaction curve is shown only up to 60,000 reads for the sake of consistency

between all plots. Species richness corresponds to OTUs number. A detailed analysis is

presented at https://npollet.github.io/metatetard/xpall_rarefaction_phyloseq.html

Additional file 5: Figure_S3

File format: pdf

Title of data: Bacterial communities in the *Xenopus* gut across development.

Description of data:

A. Graphical representation of the bacterial species richness. Each point corresponds to the breakaway richness estimate, error bars represent the measurement error according to the breakaway model. Premet: premetamorphic tadpoles NF54 to NF56. Promet: prometamorphic tadpoles NF58 to NF61; Meta: Metamorphic tadpole NF 62; Fro: Froglet NF66; Adult: sexually mature adults. Horizontal lines represent significant differences between the life stages connected; p=0.000 both between Premet. and Promet. and between Premet. and Adult. B. Representation of the bacterial species diversity measured using the Shannon index. Each large point corresponds to the divnet Shannon estimate from the samples of the same life stage, error bars represent the measurement error. Each small point corresponds to the divnet Shannon estimate from a given sample. The error bar corresponding to the measurement error can not be seen at this scale. Horizontal lines represent significant differences between the life stages connected; p=0.000 in all significant cases including the global test (p=0.875 for Premet-Meta). C. Principal coordinate analysis of gut-associated microbial communities during Xenopus development. Community membership was analyzed using unweighted Unifrac distance, and community composition was analyzed using philR distance. Percentages of the explained variations are indicated on both axes.

Additional file 6: Figure_S4

<u>File format</u>: pdf

<u>Title of data</u>: Shared and contrasting bacterial communities in the Xenopus gut microbiome

across development.

<u>Description of data</u>: A : Venn diagram showing the number of shared OTUs between samples

of the same developmental stage category. B : Bar plot of the total number of OTUs in each

developmental stage category. C : Number of shared and specific OTUs. D : Heatmap plot of

the prevalence and abundances for low-abundance OTUs. The detection threshold scale is

logarithmic and the metric used was the relative abundance. This analysis was performed on

OTUs characterized by a minimal prevalence of 50 % and a minimal abundance of 1e-5 %. E.

Prevalence and abundances of the most common and the most abundant OTUs across

development. OTUs were identified using their taxonomic affiliation at the family genus or

species level according to the resolution available for a given OTU. This analysis was

performed on OTUs characterized by a minimal prevalence of 50 % and a minimal abundance

of 0.001%. The right panel shows an abundance heatmap for the same OTUs to visualize their

abundances in each sample.

Additional file 7: Figure_S5

<u>File format</u>: pdf

<u>Title of data</u>: Contrasting OTUs across development

<u>Description of data</u>: A : Abundance fold changes across development. Contrasting OTUs were

identied by filtering OTUs with an abundance of at least 100 reads across 20% of sample and

using DEseq2 with a significance cutoff of 0.01. B : Heatmap representation of contrasting

OTUs abundances across development. The heatmap was produced using a principal

component analysis based on UniFrac distances.

Additional file 8: Figure_S6

File format: pdf

Title of data: Variations of the phylogenetic structure of the *Xenopus* gut microbiota during

development.

Description of data: Standardized effect sizes of the Mean pairwise distance (left panel) and of

the mean nearest taxon distance (right panel) measured using Faith' PD index for *X. tropicalis*

gut microbial communities across developmental life stages as indicated on the x-axis. Letters

a, b and c indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level between developmental stages

according to pairwise Dunn's rank sum post-hoc test (see Methods section for details).

Additional file 9: Figure_S7

File format: pdf

Title of data: *Xenopus* tadpole active bacterial communities: alpha-diversity, bacterial phylum

diversity and source proportions

Description of data: A : Bar plot representation of OTU richness and phylogenetic diversity in

active bacterial communities. Two clutches were followed up across early development, and

the light and dark grey bars correspond to the two biological replicate samples. The legend for

stages is as follows: NF30 is a post-hatching tailbud embryonic stage; NF41 is a

premetamorphic tadpole non-feeding stage; NF48 is a premetamorphic tadpole feeding stage;

NF50 and NF 52 are premetamorphic tadpole feeding stages. B : Bar plot representation of the

bacterial phylum diversity. C : Bar plot representation of source proportions in froglet gut

microbiome.

Additional file 10: Figure_S8

File format: pdf

Title of data: Impact of the food regime on tadpole growth and development.

Description of data: A: Impact of food diet on tadpole growth and development. Tadpoles were

fed using either a micro planktonic-based diet (food 1) or a sterile flour (food 2). Their growth

was assessed by weighting them, and their development was monitored by assessing their

developmental stage according to the Nieuwkoop and Faber developmental table. The food

regime did not change significantly tadpole growth (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 0.99, df = 1,

p = 0.32) or development (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 0.34, df = 1, p = 0.56). B : Impact of

the food regime on tadpole's guts microbial communities. These bar plot shows values of the

Standardized effect size of the Mean Pairwise Distance (SES MPD) and of the Mean Nearest

Taxon Distance (SES MNTD) according to the food regime given to groups of tadpoles. The

result of a Wilcoxon signed-rank test is shown above the graphs, with W being the Wilcoxon

test statistic computed by this test, p the p-value, r the effect size, CI the confidence interval of

the effect size and n the number of observations (see

https://indrajeetpatil.github.io/statsExpressions/). C : Abundance ratios of the OTUs found

significantly different according to a change of diet.

1003

Additional file 11: Figure_S9

File format: html

Title of data: Krona plot view of taxonomic affiliations inferred from a MATAM assembly of

X. tropicalis tadpole's gut metagenomic reads.

Description of data: *X. tropicalis* tadpole's gut metagenomic reads were used as input for the

identification and assembly of SSU rRNA sequences using MATAM. Taxonomic affiliations

were then inferred using SILVA

1011

Additional file 12: Figure_S10

File format: html

Title of data: Results of a phyloFlash assembly of *X. tropicalis* tadpole's gut metagenomic

reads.

Description of data: *X. tropicalis* tadpole's gut metagenomic reads were used as input for the

identification and assembly of LSU and SSU rRNA sequences using phyloFlash. The results

for Spades and Emirge assembly engines are shown. Taxonomic affiliations were then inferred

using SILVA

1020

Additional file 13: Figure_S11

File format: html

Title of data: Krona plot view of taxonomic affiliations inferred from a MATAM assembly of

X. tropicalis tadpole's gut metatranscriptomic reads.

Description of data: *X. tropicalis* tadpole's gut metatranscriptomic reads were used as input for

the identification and assembly of SSU rRNA sequences using MATAM. Taxonomic

affiliations were then inferred using SILVA

1028

Additional file 14: Figure_S12

File format: html

Title of data: Results of a phyloFlash assembly of *X. tropicalis* tadpole's gut metatranscriptomic

reads.

Description of data: *X. tropicalis* tadpole's gut metatranscriptomic reads were used as input for

the identification and assembly of LSU and SSU rRNA sequences using phyloFlash. The results

for Spades, Emirge and Trinity (trusted contigs) assembly engines are shown. Taxonomic

affiliations were then inferred using SILVA

1037

1038 **Additional file 13: Supplementary table 3**

1039 File format: xlsx

1040 Title of data: Comparison of metagenomic assemblies

1041 Description of data: This file contains the metrics obtained using metaQUAST on different

1042 assemblies of metagenomic reads.

1043

1044 **Additional file 14: Supplementary table 4**

1045 File format: xlsx

1046 Title of data: Results of DASTool binning

1047 Description of data: This file contains the summary of DASTool binning results.

1048

1049 **Additional file 15: Figure_S13**

1050 File format: html

1051 Title of data: Krona plot view of predicted KEGG metabolic pathways.

1052 Description of data: KEGG metabolic pathways were predicted based on Minpath inference

1053 from EC enzyme numbers obtained using prokka.

1054

1055

1056 **Additional file 16: Figure_S14**

1057 File format: html

1058 Title of data: Krona plot view of predicted Metacyc metabolic pathways.

1059 Description of data: Metacyc metabolic pathways were predicted based on Minpath inference

1060 from EC enzyme numbers obtained using prokka.

1061

Additional file 17: Figure_S15

File format: pdf

Title of data: Metabolic map of *X. tropicalis* genome and its gut metagenome.

Description of data: This metabolic pathway highlights in green the metabolic pathways

predicted from the *Xenopus* genome and in blue those predicted from the tadpole gut

metagenome.   This   map   can   be   interactively   accessed   at

https://pathways.embl.de/selection/pWbci4bo871W8Qm8XKF

1069

1070

Additional file 18: Figure_S16

File format: pdf

Title of data: Selected cases of metabolic potential of *X. tropicalis* genome and its gut

metagenome.

Description of data: Fragments of biosynthetic pathways for common short-chain fatty acids,

nitrogen recycling and B-vitamins is depicted.

This metabolic pathway highlights in green the metabolic pathways predicted from the *Xenopus*

genome and in blue those predicted from the tadpole gut metagenome. This map can be

interactively accessed at https://pathways.embl.de/selection/pWbci4bo871W8Qm8XKF

1080

1081   **Additional file 19: Extended_materials_and_methods**

1082   File format: docx

1083   Title of data: Extended materials and methods.

1084   Description of data: This file contains an extended version of the materials and methods

1085

A. Cytometry profiles

NF45 · 200000 events · b · 45-2 · 45-1

NF50 · 200000 events · b · 50-3 · 50-2 · 50-1

NF56 · 200000 events · 56-4 · b · 56-2 · 56-3 · 56-1

NF60 · 200000 events · 60-3 · b · 60-2 · 60-1

Froglet · 200000 events · b · Juv-3 · Juv-4 · Juv-2 · Juv-1

Adult · 200000 events · b · Adu-2 · Adu-3 · Adu-1

DNA content · Relative cell size

B. Number of bacteria

10^9 · 10^8 · 10^7 · 10^6 · 10^5

★ · ★★

Premeta. · Prometa. · Meta. · Froglet · Adult

Figure 1. Scalvenzi et al.

Figure 2. Scalvenzi et al.

Figure 3. Scalvenzi et al.

Figure 4. Scalvenzi et al.

Figure 5. Scalvenzi et al.

Figure 6. Scalvenzi et al.

Figure 7. Scalvenzi et al.

Figure 8. Scalvenzi et al.

Figure legends

Figure 1: **Enumeration of bacteria in the *Xenopus tropicalis* gut during development.**
A. Cytometric profiles. Each dot in the plot represents one event (i.e. a cell), the color represents the density of overlapping point from red to blue. The vertical axis represents DNA content by the measurement of propidium iodide (PI) fluorescence (614/20nm) and the horizontal axis represents the relative cell size by the measurement of forward scatter. Different bacterial populations are highlighted by an ellipse and identified by a text label. The top-right events labelled with a "b" correspond to the beads used for normalization. Background signals have been removed. **B. Changes of bacterial load during development**. The vertical axis represents the number of bacteria and the horizontal axis corresponds to the different stages of development. Each dot corresponds to a biological replicate, the error bar represents the standard deviation obtained by technical replicates. A ★ marks the beginning of feeding and ★★ marks the change from the tadpole to the adult diet.

Figure 2: Phylum and Family-level compositional changes in the Xenopus gut microbiome across development.
A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial diversity at the phylum level. From top to bottom, the results for the different life stages studied are shown: Premetamorphic tadpoles (NF54 to NF56); Prometamorphic tadpoles (NF58 to NF61); Metamorphic tadpole (NF 62); Froglet (NF66); Adult: sexually mature adults (males and females). B. Bar plot representation of the bacterial diversity at the family level, in the same order as A. C. Same as A but viewed at the sample level to highlight the interindividual differences. D. Bar plot representation of gram positive and gram negative bacterial phyla.

Figure 3: *Xenopus* microbiota across several adult organs and samples
A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity. **B. Principal coordinate analysis of microbial communities across several adult organs and samples.** Community membership was analyzed using unweighted UniFrac distance, and community structure was analyzed using weighted UniFrac distance. Percentages of the explained variations are indicated on both axes.

Figure 4: Characterization of the prevalence and abundances of active bacteria across Xenopus development from post-hatching to metamorphosis.
A. Heatmap of the prevalence and abundances for 33 OTUs. The detection threshold scale is logarithmic and the metric used was the relative abundance. OTUs were identified using their taxonomic affiliation at the family genus or species level according to the resolution available for a given OTU. This analysis was performed on OTUs characterized by a minimal prevalence of 50 % and a minimal abundance of 0.001%.
B. Abundance heatmap for the 33 most prevalent OTUs across the developmental stages sampled. Two clutches were followed up across development, and the white dotted lines separate the two biological replicate samples. The legend for stages is as follows: PE is a post-hatching NF30 tailbud embryonic stage; NF41 is a premetamorphic tadpole non-feeding stage; NF48 is a premetamorphic tadpole feeding stage; NF50; NF 52 and NF56 are premetamorphic tadpole feeding stages; NF60 is a prometamorphic tadpole stage; NF63 are metamorphic tadpole stages; NF66 is a froglet stage.

Figure 5: Influence of the tadpole's diet on their gut microbiome.
A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity. **B. Principal coordinate analysis of microbial communities across several adult organs and samples.** Community membership was analyzed using unweighted UniFrac distance, and community structure was analyzed using weighted UniFrac distance. Percentages of the explained variations are indicated on both axes. Tadpole Food 1 (D1 samples) was a micro planktonic-based diet and tadpole food 2 (D2 samples) (food 1) was a sterile flour.

Figure 6: *X. tropicalis* microbiome transmission
A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity.
B. Box-plot representation of weighted UniFrac distances between eggs, skin and feces. Letters a, b and c indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level between or within samples according to pairwise Dunn's rank sum post-hoc test (see Methods section for details). Note the outliers in the feces sample and in the Eggs-skin comparison.
C. Bar plot representation of source proportions in egg microbiomes.
D. Principal coordinate analysis of microbial communities across eggs, feces and skin samples. Community composition was analyzed using PhilR distance. Percentages of the explained variations are indicated on both axes.

Figure 7: **Bacterial taxonomic profiles from *X. tropicalis* prometamorphic tadpole gut. A.** Histogram representation of the bacterial phylum diversity observed in a pool of five prometamorphic tadpole's guts at stage NF56. metaDNA: metagenomic analysis; metaRNA: metatranscriptomic analysis. Each color in the histogram refers to the phylum as described in the legend. **B.** Vizualisation of the metagenomic assembly. Each bin identified using DASTool is represented in a different colour, each circle is a contig. The circles diameter is proportional to contig length.

Figure 8: **Overview of *X. tropicalis* tadpole's microbiota metabolic functions. A.** This barplot represents the read coverage (x-axis, in transcripts per kilobase million) on genes encoding enzymes participating in various Metacyc pathways (y-axis). **B.** Counts of metagenome bins, genes and scaffolds in which enzymes involved in selected biosynthetic pathways. Expressed genes encoding enzymes involved in selected KEGG modules mentioned on the y-axis were counted.