1

Insights on the evolution of vertebrate microbiomes from the

analysis of the Xenopus frog microbiota across life stages.

Thibault Scalvenzi, Isabelle Clavereau and Nicolas Pollet[*]

Evolution, Génomes, Comportement & Ecologie, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, IRD,

91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

✷Corresponding author:

Nicolas Pollet
Laboratoire Evolution, Génomes, Comportement et Ecologie
CNRS
1 Avenue de la Terrasse
Batiment 13
F-91198 Gif-sur-Yvette
France
Tel: 33 01 69 82 37 10
Fax: 33 01 69 82 37 36

Abstract (350 words max)

Background: The microorganism world living in amphibians is still largely under-represented

and under-studied in the literature. Among anuran amphibians, African clawed frogs of the

Xenopus genus stand as well-characterized models with an in-depth knowledge of their

developmental biological processes including their metamorphosis. We used different

approaches including flow cytometry, 16s rDNA gene metabarcoding, metagenomic and

metatranscriptomic to analyze the succession of microbial communities and their activities

across different body habitats of *Xenopus tropicalis*.

Results: We analyzed the bacterial components of the *Xenopus* gut microbiota, the adult gut

biogeography, the succession of communities during ontogeny, the impact of the alimentation

in shaping the tadpole's gut bacterial communities, the transmission of skin and fecal bacteria

to the eggs. We also identified the most active gut bacteria and their metabolic contribution to

the tadpole physiology and showed the close resemblance between amphibian and mammalian

gut microbiomes.

Our results show that in the growing tadpole, the same predominant bacterial phylum as in the

mammalian gut is present as a large fraction of the 82,679 genes identified in the *Xenopus*

tadpole's gut metagenome encode proteins sharing common functions with proteins found in

the human gut microbiome.

Conclusions: We present a comprehensive new microbiome dataset of a laboratory amphibian

model. Our data provide evidences that studies on the *Xenopus* tadpole model can shed light on

the interactions between a vertebrate host and its microbiome. We interpreted our findings in

light of bile acids being key molecular components regulating the gut microbiome composition

during amphibian development and metamorphosis.

Keywords (3-10): Xenopus, Amphibian, Microbiome; Metamorphosis, Metagenome;

Metatranscriptome

Background

Metazoans are vehicles for microbial communities, also named microbiota. The microbiota

and its metazoan host have mutualistic interactions and are thought to adapt and evolve as

holobiont (Wilson and Sober, 1989; Gill *et al*., 2006; Zilber-Rosenberg and Rosenberg, 2008;

Bordenstein and Theis, 2015). Several studies have highlighted the importance of the

microbiota in the function and development of several organs such as the alimentary canal, the

nervous system and the tegument ...) (Sekirov *et al*., 2010; Sommer and Bäckhed, 2013;

Douglas, 2018). The dynamic interaction between a microbiota and its host is under intense

scrutiny especially for mammalian species and a handful of model organisms (Colston and

Jackson, 2016; Douglas, 2019). However, very little is currently known on the biotic and abiotic

interactions between the microbiota of even well-known and classic vertebrate model

organisms such as amphibians (Colston and Jackson, 2016; Douglas, 2019; Rebollar and Harris,

2019).

The current paucity of knowledge on amphibian's microbiome is an historical thumb of

nose. Indeed in 1901, Olga Metchnikoff published a note on the influence of microbes on the

development of tadpoles (Metchnikoff, 1901). She concluded that microbes were vital to

tadpoles because they could not complete their development in sterile conditions, and her

results were confirmed by Moro (Moro, 1905). A few years later, these results were challenged

by Eugène Wollmann and his wife, who finally observed that *Rana temporaria* tadpoles could

complete their metamorphosis in sterile conditions (Wollman, 1913). They also reported that

tadpoles could be fed solely with bacteria, pinpointing their nutritive roles (Wollman and

Wollman, 1915).

Currently, the best-known amphibian model is the African clawed frog and it present

several features to unravel the interactions between an animal host and communities or

individual microbial taxa. *Xenopus* have a long lifespan of more than ten years and several tools

are available to study their biology including genomic engineering (Vouillot *et al*., 2014).

However, there is few data on *Xenopus* microbiota. Mashoof et al. used 16S rRNA

metabarcoding to describe the bacterial diversity in the gut microbiota of adult *Xenopus* and

found that *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* were the dominant bacterial phylum

like in the mammalian gut microbiota of human and mice (Mashoof *et al*., 2013).

Like many amphibians, *Xenopus* life history is characterized by an aquatic external

development. The embryo hatches after one or two days of embryonic development under the

protection of the chorion and of a double-layer jelly coat (Bles, E.J., 1906; Faber and

Nieuwkoop, 1994). The newly hatched embryo is in direct contact with its environment and it

usually sticks to the remnants of its jelly coat thanks to secretions from its adhesive gland. The

mouth will open one day later, and after about two more days, the small tadpole will start

feeding by filtering the water. Further growth and development occur during four weeks, a

period called premetamorphosis. This phase will be followed by prometamorphosis during

which significant growth of the limbs will occur. Finally the metamorphic climax during which

tail will resorb will end the morphogenesis and the adult-shaped froglet is completely formed

(Faber and Nieuwkoop, 1994; Brown and Cai, 2007). Most organs, including the gut, are found

in both tadpoles and adults but they experience a complete remodeling that enables the

continuation of their physiological functions in a different ecological niche (Hourdry *et al.*,

1996; Heimeier *et al.*, 2010). Amphibian tadpoles are almost invariably water-dwelling

organisms, and most are microphagous with microbial fermentation contributing up to 20 % of

their energy uptake (Clayton, 2005; Pryor and Bjorndal, 2005; Altig *et al.*, 2007). After

metamorphosis, froglets (imago) are still microphagous and as they grow they will prey on

larger animals, with a diet composed predominantly of chitin-rich insects and other arthropods.

The precise timing of organ and behavioral modifications depends on ecological traits and is

known in only a handful of species, including *Xenopus*. But as a *Pipidae*, *Xenopus* tadpoles,

metamorphs, froglets and adult frogs live predominantly in water (Bles, E.J., 1906).

Immunologically, post-embryonic development is hallmarked by significant changes

especially regarding mucosal immunity (Robert and Ohta, 2009; Pasquier, 2014; Colombo *et*

al., 2015). The climax of metamorphosis is hallmarked by high-levels of glucocorticoids that

cause a systemic immuno-depression (Rollins-Smith *et al.*, 1997; Sachs and Buchholz, 2019).

Thus, amphibian metamorphosis is a post-embryonic developmental step during which host-

microbial interactions can play critical roles.

Changes in gut bacterial communities during anuran metamorphosis was first studied using

culturing methods and more recently using 16S rDNA gene metabarcoding (Fedewa, 2006;

Kohl *et al.*, 2013; Vences *et al.*, 2016; Chai *et al.*, 2018; Warne *et al.*, 2019; Long *et al.*, 2020;

Zhang *et al.*, 2020). A single study expanded its analysis using metagenomic and

metatranscriptomic (Zhang *et al.*, 2020). These studies reported changes in the composition of

microbial communities upon metamorphosis, with differences between species.

We undertook this study to provide a description of the phylogenetic and metabolic profiles

of the gut microbiota from *Xenopus* tadpoles. We monitored the gut microbiome changes during

development and metamorphosis using 16S rDNA gene metabarcoding, metagenomic and

metatranscriptomic. We investigated the effect of the diet on tadpole's gut bacterial

communities. We identified the communities found in different adult organs, including the skin,

stomach, intestine and rectum. We also investigated the transmission of bacterial communities

between parents and their eggs.

Results

Enumeration of bacterial cells in *Xenopus* tadpole's gut during development

We started to assess changes in tadpole's gut communities throughout development by

enumerating bacteria using flow cytometry (Figure 1). We selected six developmental stages

including young tadpoles that just started to feed, grown up tadpoles undergoing metamorphosis

up to adulthood (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure 1). When we analyzed the distribution

of bacterial populations by relative size (forward scatter) and nucleic acid fluorescence, we

found that the samples from young tadpoles differed markedly from those of mature tadpoles

in their cytometric profiles (Figure 1A). While we observed a single cluster of bacteria in young

tadpole's guts, at least three such clusters could be distinguished in mature tadpoles. We also

observed that samples from tadpoles at the metamorphosis climax, from froglets and from adult

frogs gave the same distribution as the mature tadpoles (Supplementary Figure 1).

We determined an average of $1x10^6$ bacteria per individual at the young tadpole stage, $2x10^8$

at the prometamorphic stage and $3x10^7$ in the froglets (Figure 1B), indicating that the gut

bacterial mass increased just after the beginning of feeding and decreased simultaneously with

the gut size reduction observed during metamorphosis (Schreiber *et al*., 2005). We found that

the bacterial load changed significantly during development (ANOVA P-value = 0.041). The

difference between pre-feeding and premetamorphic tadpoles was significant (Tukey adjusted

P-value = 0.046). We also observed a tendency for difference between premetamorphic

tadpoles and Adults (Tukey adjusted P-value = 0.073); and between premetamorphic tadpoles

and froglets (Tukey adjusted P-value = 0.060).

Our data allow us to conclude that the tadpole's gut is colonized by a large population of

bacteria at the beginning of feeding, and that this bacterial population increases during tadpole's

development, then reduces upon metamorphosis before increasing again upon froglet growth.

Xenopus gut microbial diversity during development and metamorphosis

Since an important bacterial population was present in the tadpole's guts, we quantified the

taxonomic diversity of this microbiota. We set out mating experiments in which we sampled

whole tadpole's guts at different points of development up to adulthood and quantified bacterial

taxonomic diversity using 16S rRNA gene profiling. We compared 16s rRNA gene profiles

amplified from tadpoles at the premetamorphic stages of development (tadpoles, NF54 to

NF56), at the prometamorphic stages (prometamorphs, NF58 to NF61), at metamorphic stages

(metamorphs, NF 62), at the end of metamorphosis (froglet, NF66) and from sexually mature

adults. After filtering low abundance and singleton sequence clusters, we obtained an

abundance table for a set of 666 OTUs. According to a rarefaction curve analysis, our depth of

sequencing was sufficient to obtain a good sampling of the bacterial diversity in our sample set

(Supplementary Figure 2).

We examined which bacterial phyla accounted for these gut communities (Figure 2A and

Supplementary Figure 3). At the premetamorphic stage of development, we observed that

Actinobacteria dominated the tadpole's gut microbiota with an estimated abundance of 66.29%.

At this stage, *Proteobacteria* (mostly *Alphaproteobacteria*) and *Firmicutes* were also abundant

(17.65 and 13.20 %, respectively) while *Bacteroidetes* and *Verrucomicrobia* contributions were

quantitatively modest (1.58 and 1.28%, respectively). The tadpole's gut microbiota

composition changed with *Proteobacteria* becoming the major Phylum (63.33%, mostly

Deltaproteobacteria) in prometamorphic tadpoles, followed by *Firmicutes* (18.45%),

Actinobacteria (13.51%), *Bacteroidetes* (3.11%), *Synergistetes* (1.07%) and *Verrucomicrobia*

(0.53%). In metamorphic tadpoles *Proteobacteria* were more dominant (93.92%, mostly

Alphaproteobacteria), Firmicutes abundance decreased (5.15%) and *Bacteroidetes* (0.61%),

Actinobacteria (0.27%), *Synergistetes* (0.04%) and *Verrucomicrobiae* (0.01%) were minor

contributors. Once metamorphosis was completed, the gut microbiome of the froglets changed

and *Firmicutes* became dominant (62.56%), followed by *Proteobacteria* (32.49%, mostly

Deltaproteobacteria), *Bacteroidetes* (4.54%), *Actinobacteria* (0.39%), *Synergistetes* (0.01%)

and *Verrucomicrobia* (0.01%). In comparison, the composition of the adult gut microbiome

was marked by more balanced abundances of *Proteobacteria* (39.19%, mostly

Deltaproteobacteria) and *Firmicutes* (32.35%), a remarkable abundance of *Verrucomicrobia*

(13.30%) slightly higher than that of *Bacteroidetes* (11.94%), and a minor contribution of

Synergistetes (2.22%) and *Actinobacteria* (1.00%). The abundance patterns for *Proteobacteria*

were marked by different contributions of *Alpha* and *Deltaproteobacteria*. These patterns of

abundances at the phylum level were attributable to a restricted number of families

(Supplementary Figure 3A). At the family level, three genera from three families of

Bacteroidetes were predominant: *Bacteroides* (*Bacteroidaceae*) with a marked abundance

increase at froglet and adult stage, and dgA-11 (*Rikenellaceae*) with an increase during tadpole

growth and then in adult stages, and *Parabacteroides* (*Porphyromonadaceae*) that increased

only at the adult stage. *Verrucomicrobiaceae* was the dominant family of *Verrucomicrobia* in

adult guts, and it was represented by a single genus, *Akkermansia*. Similarly, a single unknown

genus of the *Synergistaceae* family was found at low abundance in *prometamorphic* tadpoles

and in Adults. *Synergistetes Microbacteriaceae* was the dominant family of *Actinobacteria* in

pre and prometamorphosis tadpole's guts, and it was represented by a single genus, *Leifsonia*.

Seven families of *Firmicutes* were predominant: *Lachnospiraceae* (several genera) and

Peptostreptococcaceae (one unknown genus) with a marked abundance increase at froglet and

adult stage; *Planococcaceae* (one unknown genus) that increased only at the adult stage;

Clostridiaceae 1 (genus "*Clostridium sensu stricto 1*"), *Erysipelotrichaceae* (two genera

Coprobacillus   and   *Erysipelatoclostridium*)   and   *Ruminococcaceae*   (genera

Hydrogenoanaerobacterium, *Anaerotruncus*, *Ruminococcaceae*) were found with fluctuating

abundances across the life stages, and finally Family XIII was found only at low abundance.

Eight families of *Proteobacteria* were predominant: *Aeromonadaceae* (genus *Aeromonas*),

Neisseriaceae (genus *Vogesella*) and a family of *Rhizobiales* (one unknown genus) dominated

the froglet gut microbiome; *Rhodospirillaceae* (one unknown genus) exhibited a tendency to

decrease   across   development   and   were   minor   in   adults;   *Desulfovibrionaceae* (genus

Desulfovibrio) were a minority at premetamorphosis and were abundant in the other stages,

Pseudomonadaceae (genus *Pseudomonas*) and *Bradyrhizobiaceae* (unknown genus) were

found in metamorph's guts, and *Rhodocyclaceae* (genus *Azovibrio*) in prometamorphic

tadpoles.

Altogether, we observed changes in the composition of the five most abundant bacterial

phyla making the gut microbiome as development proceeds, both during tadpole growth and

metamorphosis. The major shift during tadpole growth was a reduction of *Actinobacteria* and

an increase of *Proteobacteria*, and the transition to the adult lifestyle was marked by the

increase of *Synergistetes*, *Verrucomicrobia* and *Firmicutes*. This corresponded to a graded

increase of gram-negative bacteria during tadpole growth and development culminating at

metamorphosis before reducing thereafter (Supplementary Figure 3).

We also analyzed changes of OTU richness and phylogenetic diversity during development

(alpha diversity, Figure 2B, Supplementary Table 1 statistics). We identified two important and

significant changes: the first was an increase of bacterial species number and diversity that

occurred during tadpole growth between pre and prometamorphosis; and the second was the

opposite, namely a significant drop in species richness and diversity that occurred at the climax

of metamorphosis (Figure 2B and Supp. Table statistics). When focusing on a tadpole-adult

comparison, we found that the adult *Xenopus* gut microbiome contained about twice more

OTUs than the tadpole ones, and its phylogenetic diversity was 1.8 times higher. These

differences in microbiome diversity between the premetamorphic tadpole's guts and the adult

ones were significant using various alpha diversity metrics (Supplementary Table statistics).

In parallel to these changes of communities all across development, numerous OTU's were

shared between life stages. We found 111 OTUs (17%) present at all stages, that represented

22% of the adult gut microbiome and 29 to 38% of the other life stage microbiomes

(Supplementary Figure 4). Another set of 128 OTUs was shared between four life stages, 122

OTU's were shared between three, 151 between two and only 154 OTUs (23%) were found in

only one of the developmental stages (Supplementary Figure 4). The most prevalent OTUs were

detected with a threshold of very low relative abundances (< 5e-05 %, Supplementary Figure

4B). We found a set of ten OTUs that were both common and abundant; including an *alpha*

proteobacterium, three *Desulfovibrio*, a *Microbacterioaceae*, a *Clostridium stricto sensu*, two

Hydrogenoanaerobacteria, a *Rhizobiales* and a *Lachnospiraceae*. The abundances of the

Alphaproteobacteria, one *Desulfovibrio*, the *Clostridium sensu stricto* and the
Lachnospiraceae were the most homogeneous.

We searched more specifically which OTUs were characterized by a significant change of
abundance across developmental stages and identified a set of 22 OTUs corresponding to five
Phyla and 13 known genera (Supplementary Figure 5). The five OTUs most abundant in
premetamorph tadpole's gut but not in adult's gut were a *Microbacteriaceae* (*Actinobacteria*),
a *Rummeliibacillus* and a *Clostridium sensu stricto* 13 (*Firmicutes*), a *Bacteroides*
(*Bacteroidetes*) and an *Alpha-proteobacteria* (*Proteobacteria*). The opposite pattern, i.e. more
abundant in adult's guts, was hallmarked by a *Lachnospiracae* (*Firmicutes*), a *Synergistaceae*
(*Synergistetes*), a *Ruminococcaceae UCG-014* (*Firmicutes*), a *Rhizobiales* (*Proteobacteria*)
and an *Anaerorhabdus furcosa* group (*Firmicutes*).

Significant differences in community composition (beta diversity) were evidenced by
microbial community phylogenetic structure and ordination analysis (Figure 2C, Table
Statistics). The mean bacterial community structure and their dispersion differed according to
life stages (Supplementary Figure 6). The phylogenetic relatedness of communities changed
significantly during development, with negative and lower mean phylogenetic diversity values
found in metamorph and froglet samples indicating more phylogenetically clustered microbial
communities during metamorphosis. Overall, we conclude that the *Xenopus* gut bacterial
community succession during development and metamorphosis was associated with significant
dynamic changes of composition and structure.

***Xenopus* microbiota across several gut compartments**

We then compared the bacterial diversity along the gut of adult frogs, thus we dissected the
gut in three parts: stomach, intestine and rectum. In parallel, we analyzed the bacterial
composition of the feces and the skin of these animals using 16S rRNA gene profiling. Whereas
Bacteroidetes, *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* were the most abundant bacteria in the intestines,

250 the rectum, the feces and the skin microbiota, we observed that the *Tenericutes* (mostly

251 *Mollicutes*) were the most abundant in the stomach (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table statistics).

252 Surprisingly we observed a large quantity of *Acidobacteria* (28.8%) in only one feces sample.

253 The stomach, intestine and rectum microbiomes were well differentiated from each other in

254 composition and in structure analysis (PERMANOVA on bray-curtis dissimilarity F=6.93;

255 R2=0.43; p<0.001, Figure 3B and Supplementary Table statistics). Feces and skin microbiomes

256 were clearly separated from the other samples, but not between them.

257  Despite these differences, we identified 31 OTUs shared between the three gut sections: 16

258 were identified as *Bacteroidetes* (including 15 *Bacteroidia*), seven as *Firmicutes* (including six

259 *Clostridia*), six as Proteobacteria (including five *Desulfovibrio*), one *Parcubacteria* and one

260 *Synergistetes*. In conclusion, we showed that the bacterial diversity increased along the

261 anteroposterior axis of the adult *Xenopus* gut, from the stomach to the rectum, and the bacterial

262 community compositions and structures associated with those gut sections were also

263 significantly different (Supplementary Table statistics).

264 **Activity of the *Xenopus* tadpole gut microbiome at the onset of feeding**

265  We then wanted to know more precisely when bacterial communities started to be active

266 during development and what bacteria were found at the earlies stages of larval development.

267 When *Xenopus* embryo hatch, their mouth is not formed, the post-anal gut is open and the

268 archenteron is well formed. Feeding behavior will only start once mouth is formed and gut

269 organogenesis is more advanced, a few days later (Chalmers and Slack, 1998). We took

270 advantage of this situation to perform a first investigation of the succession of active bacterial

271 communities at early stages of larval development just after hatching when the mouth is not

272 open (NF 30), before (NF41) and after feeding (NF48); and in growing premetamorphic

273 tadpoles (NF50 and NF52). We set out experiments in which we followed-up embryos and

274 tadpoles from two egg clutches up to the completion of metamorphosis. We monitored

microbiome composition from pools of whole embryos or tadpoles starting at 24h after

fertilization using 16S rRNA sequencing on whole RNA extracts.

We observed an increase of bacterial species number and diversity up to feeding stage,

followed by a transient reduction at stage 50 (Supplementary Figure 7A). We found 103 OTUs

present across all stages, among which 11 were abundant OTUs (> 1%). At the earliest stage,

we observed that most bacterial activity was attributed to *Proteobacteria* (five OTUs:

Rhodospirillaceae, *Aeromonas*, *Rheinheimera*, *Pseudomonas*, *Desulfovibrio*) and

Bacteroidetes (two *Bacteroides* OTUs, one *Flectobacillus*, one *Rikenella*), that *Firmicutes*

(*Clostridium sensu stricto*) and *Synergistetes* (*Synergistaceae*) were already present while

Fusobacteria (*Fusobacteriales*) and *Verrucomicrobia* (*Akkermansia*) were minor contributors

(Supplementary Figure 7). We observed a different dynamic of bacterial community

successions between the two clutches. In one experiment, there was a gradual decrease of

Proteobacteria between NF30 and NF50, and an increase thereafter at stage NF52. This was

the converse for *Bacteroidetes*. In the other experiment, *Proteobacteriae* and *Synergistetes*

abundances oscillated with a decrease before and after feeding while the abundance of

Bacteroidetes oscillated in an opposite manner. This behavior was concomitant with a highest

abundance of *Synergistetes* that increased after feeding. In both clutches, *Firmicutes* proportion

increased up to feeding stage and decreased afterward. Altogether our results highlighted the

presence of a rapidly developing microbiota along the first stages of tadpole development,

characterized by a shift of the *Proteobacteria*/*Bacteroidetes* ratio.

We used the same strategy to monitor active bacterial communities in tadpoles at different

stages of metamorphosis using 16S rRNA sequencing on whole digestive tract RNA extracts.

We analyzed the prevalence and the abundance of bacteria as development proceeded. We

found a common set of 33 OTUs present in at least 50 % of the samples with a relative

abundance of 0.001% or more (Figure 4). All these OTUs were also found at early stages before

feeding, and in the gut of tadpoles up to the completion of metamorphosis (Figure 4B). The five

commonest OTUs were also the most abundant (> 0.01% abundance), and included unknown

or ill-defined species: a *Rikenella*, an *Alphaproteobacteria*, a *Bacteroides*, a *Desulfovibrio* and

a *Synergistaceae*. We found a few prevalent bacteria detected with low abundances such as an

Alphaproteobacteria, two *Ruminococcaceae*, a *Rikenella* and an *Haliscomenobacter*.

In a complementary analysis, we estimated the proportion of OTUs populating the adult gut

that originated from previous stages of development (Supplementary Figure 7). In both

experiments, the source of most OTUs was identified as the feeding tadpole's gut (52 and 95%),

a minority was identified as stemming from the embryos (3 and 19%). Altogether, we identified

that only few active bacteria found in the embryos before the feeding-stage will be later

populating the adult gut, and that a majority of tadpole's active bacteria will pass through

metamorphosis and be also present in the adult gut.

Diet shapes *Xenopus* tadpole gut communities

Since *Xenopus* tadpoles are suspension feeders, we hypothesized that tadpole's diets could

have an important impact on their active gut bacterial communities (Bles, E.J., 1906). We made

an experiment in which we fed tadpoles with a commonly used micro planktonic-based diet

(food 1) or a custom meal (food 2), and monitored their gut microbiome composition using 16S

rRNA sequencing on RNAs. Zoo and phytoplankton were used as ingredients for food 1 that

contained a higher level of fibers (6.4%) than food 2 (2.6%), and food 2 was sterile, devoid of

chitin and richer in available glucids 23.9%). The food regime did not change significantly

tadpole growth (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 0.99, df = 1, p = 0.32) or development (Kruskal-

Wallis chi-squared = 0.34, df = 1, p = 0.56, Supplementary Figure 8). We found a total of 485

OTUs shared between the tadpoles fed with one or another diet, and this was making up the

majority of the OTUs found in each condition: 485 out of 581, i.e. 83% for food 1 and 485 out

of 531 i.e. 91% for food 2. We did not observe significant changes of OTU richness

($log_e(W)=3.14$, p=0.13) and phylodiversity using Faith's index, ($log_e(W)=3.33$, p=0.29). The

differences of alpha-diversity measured using the Shannon or the Simpson indices were

significant ($log_e(W)=4.34$, p=0.001 and $log_e(W)=4.30$, p=0.004, respectively) pointing toward

a higher specific richness in tadpoles fed with food 1 (micro planktonic-based diet). This

difference was confirmed by comparing community structures: mean SES MPD was

significantly different ($log_e(W)=0.69$, p=0.001), as did beta-diversity spread (global

PERMDISP F=13.42, df=1, N.perm=999, p=0.001). However, the mean SES MNTD was not

significantly different ($log_e(W)=3.93$, p=0.377). The gut bacterial community from tadpoles fed

on food 2 (custom meal) was globally more phylogenetically even (Supplementary Figure 8).

The custom meal was associated with more *Synergistetes* at the expense of *Firmicutes* and

Bacteroidetes (Figure 5). The taxonomic and phylogenetic composition of gut bacterial

communities were also significantly impacted by the diet (PERMANOVA on bray-curtis

dissimilarity F=12.64, R2=0.44, p=0.001 and on MNTD dissimilarity F=29.13, R2=0.64,

p=0.001), as evidenced on an ordination plot (Figure 5). The relative abundances of all five

most abundant Phylum differed according to the diet, the most notable being the *Synergistetes*

that accounted for 0.05 to 0.76% in the planktonic diet condition and for 0.80 to 17.72% in the

sterile diet condition (Figure 5). We found 82 OTUs whose abundance differed significantly

according to diet (Supplementary Figure 6). For example, *Chitinophagacae* were abundant in

the tadpoles fed with the micro planktonic-based diet, while a *Sphingobacteriales* was most

abundant in tadpoles fed with the artificial diet. Altogether, we observed that *Xenopus* tadpoles

gut microbial communities could be shaped by their diet without a noticeable impact on growth

and development.

X. tropicalis microbiome transmission

Knowing the transmission mode of bacterial communities across individuals and generations is

a recurrent question in the study of animal microbiomes. We set out an experiment to evaluate

the inheritance of bacterial communities between *Xenopus* parents and their clutch of eggs. We

performed eight independent crosses and sampled the skin and the feces of both parents along

with their egg clutch. When we looked at bacterial phylum diversity, we found that feces

contained more *Verrucomicrobia* and *Firmicutes*, while both skin and eggs contained more

Proteobacteria (Figure 6). In one case, we observed a resemblance between eggs and the feces

of one parent. Globally, the bacterial communities of the skin and of the eggs were significantly

more similar to each other than those from feces in terms of community structure and

composition as evidenced using different metrics (Figure 6, Supp. Table statistics). We also

analysed the proportion of the egg bacteria derived from adult skin and feces. In five out of the

eight crosses, more than 70% of the bacteria found in the eggs were not from feces or the skin

of the parents. In the three other crosses, we observed that male or female skin made up to 75%

of the egg bacteria. In conclusion, we found that the main drivers of egg bacterial communities

were the environment and the skin of the parents, with the feces being only minor contributors.

Whole shotgun metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analysis of *X. tropicalis*

gut microbiota

In the final part of our study and since few studies have investigated the genomic functions

of the amphibian microbiome, we used a whole shotgun metagenomic and metatranscriptomic

approach to better characterize the *Xenopus* gut microbiota and its activity in the guts of

prometamorphic tadpoles (Figure 7). Globally, both methods gave the same taxonomic profiles

and were concordant with the 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding approach while giving a finer

taxonomic resolution. We observed four main dominant phyla: *Bacteroidetes* (mostly

Bacteroides), *Proteobacteria* (mostly *Desulfovibrio* and *Polynucleobacter*), *Firmicutes* (mostly

Ruminococcus) and *Actinobacteria* (mostly *Microbacterium*) (Figure 7A). *Bacteroidetes* were

the most dominant phylum representing 46.5% of the population using a metagenomic survey

and 49.3% using the metatranscriptomic survey. *Proteobacteria* represented 16.6% and 20.9%,

while *Firmicutes* represented 7.1% and 11.4% of the bacterial population in the metagenomic

and metatranscriptomic data, respectively. *Actinobacteria* relative abundance was 17.4% in

metagenomic survey but represented only 4.4% in the metatranscriptomic data.

Verrucomicrobia represented 5.5% of the sequences in the metatranscriptomic data but it was

less observed in metagenomic data with only 1.1% of the bacterial content.

When we sorted out the 15 most abundant species, defined as being those representing more

than one percent of the bacterial content each, we found that nine of them were also common

in the mammalian gut microbiota (Figure 7B). A main dominant species observed in the

tadpole's gut was *Bacteroidetes fragilis*, a common bacterium found in mammalian's gut

microbiota. *Bacteroidetes thetaiotaomicron,* known to be the main dominant species in the

human gut microbiota, represented more than four percent of tadpole's gut microbiota. The

presence of another species, *Polynucleobacter necessarius* was interesting because it can be

found either free or as an endosymbiont of eukaryotic ciliates (Boscaro *et al*., 2013). Among

those 15 bacteria, 11 are strictly anaerobic, two are facultative anaerobic and two are aerobic.

In conclusion, we confirmed that the *X. tropicalis* tadpole's gut microbiota harbors a large

amount and diversity of bacterial cells, mainly constituted of anaerobic bacteria and common

bacteria at the species level with mammalian gut microbiota.

***Xenopus tropicalis* gut microbiota genes catalog**

To characterize the *Xenopus* microbiome, we compiled a gene catalog from our

metagenome and metatranscriptome data sets. We assembled the metagenome reads in 51,060

scaffolds. Although the median size of the scaffolds was 817 bp, 25 scaffolds were significantly

longer and reached more than 100,000 bp including two scaffolds longer than 200,000 bp.

These long scaffolds probably corresponded to abundant bacteria in the *Xenopus* tadpole's gut

microbiota.

399 We analyzed the GC percent composition and the number of reads mapped at each position

400 along these long scaffolds to check the quality of their assembly. The GC percent remained

401 relatively constant all along the scaffold (Supplementary Figure 7) and the numbers of reads

402 mapped all along the scaffolds were significant, with at least 10 reads for each position

403 (Supplementary Figure 8). Therefore, each of these scaffolds seems to be derived from a

404 different genome.

405 We performed a gene prediction analysis on each scaffold and predicted 82,679 CDS. A

406 subset of 32,584 CDS (39.4%) was also associated with 6,713 unique UniProtKB identifiers.

407 These predicted CDS correspond to the first gene catalog of a *Xenopus* tadpole's gut microbiota.

408 To better characterize this microbiota, we investigated the level of expression of each CDS by

409 mapping metatranscriptomic reads on these CDS. At least one metatranscriptomic read could

410 be mapped on 23,349 CDS out of the 82,679 predicted CDS (28.2%). We refer to these CDS

411 as expressed CDS (eCDS). For more than 95.0% of these eCDS, the relative expression level

412 was between 0 and 100 RPK while some eCDS were highly expressed with a relative expression

413 higher than 10 000 RPK.

414 Among those eCDS, 7,071 (30.3%) were classified as hypothetical proteins, they were

415 observed in both low and highly expressed eCDS. For example, the eCDS with the highest

416 relative expression, 41 122 RPK, was identified as a hypothetical protein but we couldn't find

417 any homolog sequence using nucleic or amino acid comparison with BLASTn and BLASTp

418 against the NCBI nucleic and protein NR database, the NCBI protein env_nr database, or even

419 the nucleic and protein from the human gut microbiome gene catalog.

420 Then, we compared the *Xenopus* and the human gut metagenomes. When both

421 metagenomes were compared by functional annotation, we found that 2,243 (88.2%) out of the

422 2,542 unique KEGG Orthology (KO) identifiers associated with the *Xenopus* gut metagenome

423 genes were also observed in the KO identifiers of the human gut metagenome. However, the

similarities between both metagenomes were less important in terms of nucleic and amino acid

sequences. Only 2,372 (2.9%) and 4,603 (5.6%) of the *Xenopus* CDS were in common with the

human microbiome, using BLASTn and BLASTp comparisons filtered for 95.0% of identity

and 90.0% of coverage.

In conclusion, we identified 82,679 CDS from the *X. tropicalis* tadpole gut metagenome.

Using metatranscriptomic sequencing, we revealed that about 28.2% of these protein sequences

are highly transcribed. About 59.8% of these protein sequences are not well annotated,

whatever their expression level inferred from metatranscriptomic. A 40.2% fraction of well-

known proteins encode at least 6,713 non-redundant protein functions. Our data also show that

a majority of these known protein functions are shared with the human gut metagenome, and

could be identified as a 'core functional tetrapod metagenome'.

435   Metabolic profile of the *Xenopus* gut microbiota

To better characterize the metabolic capacity of the *Xenopus* tadpole's gut microbiota, we

used the eggNOG annotation to analyze the distribution and the relative expression of metabolic

pathways in the predicted CDS that had an UniProtKB identifier (Figure 8) (Powell *et al.*,

2014). Most of those CDS (42.5%) were assigned to the "metabolism" annotation class of

eggNOG, and they were also the most expressed ones (35.8%). Most of the 'metabolism'

expression dealt with the energy production (11.5%), amino acid metabolism (6.9%) and

inorganic ion metabolism (6.5%). A part of the CDS (24.9%) was assigned to the "cellular

processes and signaling" category. The most expressed sub-category was the 'posttranslational

modification, protein turn over and chaperones' (13.1%), the other main expressed category

focus on the cell structure, communication and motility (4.5%, 3.9% and 5.1%). Another part

of the CDS (21.5%) were assigned to the "information storage and processing" category. Not

surprisingly the most expressed category concerned the translation and the ribosomal structure

and biogenesis (19.6%), the transcription (6.3%) and the replication (3.4%).

Next, we compared the metabolic pathways encoded by the *X. tropicalis* gut metagenome

with those encoded by the *X. tropicalis* genome (Supplementary Figure 9). We found shared

pathways such as the synthesis of the neurotransmitter GABA by a glutamate decarboxylase

provided by both the *Xenopus* nuclear genome and its gut microbiome. As an example of

microbiome added pathway, we identified the known mammalian case of a tryptophanase

encoded by the gut metagenome that enables the synthesis of L-Formylkynurenine from L-

tryptophan. We identified 742 KO identifiers encoding the same function, mostly common and

central metabolic functions like the glycolysis pathway. However, a set of 2011 pathways were

uniquely provided by the gut metagenome, and were responsible of its metabolic specificity.

Discussion

We report that a large community of bacteria develop in the tadpole alimentary canal as soon

as feeding starts and it peaks before metamorphosis. While the gut microbiome biomass reduces

significantly at metamorphosis, it remains important. This probably reflects the feeding

behavior of *Xenopus* at metamorphosis: Naitoh and colleagues reported that filter feeding can

be observed in metamorphs up to stage NF59-NF61, and adult-like feeding starts at stage NF63

(Naitoh *et al*., 1989). Thus, there is only a short period of time, two to three days, during which

the *Xenopus* metamorph stops feeding. Also, the gut itself starts to shorten at the metamorphic

stage NF62 and will reduce four-fold to its adult-like length by stage NF66 (Schreiber *et al*.,

2005; Heimeier *et al*., 2010). This means that adult feeding behavior occurs before the

completion of gut remodeling, and that tadpole and adult digestive physiology overlap at the

end of metamorphosis. In an animal-house setting, we deem it likely that *Xenopus* froglets

continue to eat the available plankton in the rearing aquarium as long as a new diet is not

artificially imposed to them, because they rely upon suction feeding as adults (Bles, E.J., 1906).

More generally, the change of eaten food composition is likely to be gradual depending on the

ecology of the amphibian species considered. For example, Fedewa reported insect material in

the guts of *Bufo terrestris* and *Pseudacris crucifer* at Gosner stage 45-46 (NF64-NF65) i.e. in

metamorph before the completion of metamorphosis, and the interval of fasting was the

beginning of metamorphosis (Gosner stages 42-44, NF60-NF63) (Fedewa, 2006).

Our DNA and RNA 16S metabarcoding data further demonstrated that the colonization of

the tadpole by microorganisms occurred early during *Xenopus* tadpole development. Moreover,

our results proved that *Xenopus* gut bacterial communities change during tadpole development

and metamorphosis. At first, i.e. before and right after feeding, the change in composition of

the microbiota is characterized by an increase of the *Bacteroidetes / Proteobacteria* ratio. The

overall diversity of the microbiota seems to be reduced just before feeding, possibly because of

the development and the activity of innate immune responses of the newly hatched larvae

(Pasquier, 2014). After an increase of the microbiota diversity, once feeding started, there is

another step of reduction that we interpret as the result of an adaptation of the environmental

bacterial population to the tadpole's gut environment. In this period, when the tadpole starts

feeding and grows rapidly (NF45 to NF56), there are 1,000 times more bacteria but the

microbiota composition remains quite the same. During metamorphosis, we observed an

important diminution of the bacterial abundance within and a change of diversity. Indeed

metamorphosis is associated with several modifications of the gut organs and we expected that

gut reduction and neuroendocrine changes would impact the gut microbiota, as shown

previously for other species (Fedewa, 2006; Kohl *et al.*, 2013; Vences *et al.*, 2016; Chai *et al.*,

2018; Warne *et al.*, 2019; Long *et al.*, 2020; Zhang *et al.*, 2020).

The bacterial diversity observed in the *X. tropicalis* gut was consistent with the results of

Mashoof et al. on the diversity observed in *X. laevis* gut (Mashoof *et al.*, 2013). Indeed, the

same three phyla dominating the gut microbiota were observed in both analyses. The

dominance of *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria* is also a characteristic of the

vertebrate gut in general (Colston and Jackson, 2016; Youngblut *et al.*, 2019). Moreover the

Xenopus gut microbiota diversity increased following the anteroposterior axis of the gut, as

observed in human gut microbiota (Sekirov *et al*., 2010).

We found that the abundance of several *Bacteroides*, *Bilophila* and *Lachnospiraceae*

bacteria increase during tadpole development and metamorphosis. These bacteria are well-

known to be abundant in the gastro-intestinal tracts of numerous vertebrates, including man,

and characterized by their resistance to high concentrations of bile salts or their bile acid

hydrolase activities (Song *et al*., 2019). We therefore propose an interpretation of our findings

in light of bile acids being key molecular components regulating the gut microbiome

composition during amphibian development and metamorphosis. Bile acids are small

molecules produced mainly in the liver from cholesterol and further metabolized in the gastro-

intestinal tract. They are known to act as molecular messengers between the host and their gut

microbiota: on the host side, they play a role in numerous metabolic pathways via their cellular

receptors and on the microbiome side they can modulate bacterial growth directly and indirectly

(Ridlon *et al*., 2014; Wahlström *et al*., 2016). Bile acids can act directly on the gut microbiome

because they have intrinsic antimicrobial activity and indirectly because their host cellular

receptors regulate antimicrobial peptides genes. In turn, some bacteria encode bile hydrolases

that enable the production of secondary bile acids (Joyce *et al*., 2014; Song *et al*., 2019).

Therefore, we can consider bile acid composition as a trait of strong evolutionary significance

since it links nutrition, gut, liver and gill physiology, host genome evolution and the gut

microbiome composition (Reschly *et al*., 2008; Hofmann *et al*., 2010; Ridlon *et al*., 2014). In

amphibians, bile acids are present in tadpoles but they increase in quantity and complexity after

metamorphosis (Anderson *et al*., 1979; Cole and Little, 1983; Reschly *et al*., 2008). It is known

that bile acids composition and complexity increase due to changes in host gene expression.

We hypothesize that ontogenetic and endocrine regulation of amphibians host genes such as

the bile acid nuclear receptor (Farnesoid X receptor, FXR; NR1H4), or its surface G-protein

coupled receptor (TGR5) could be initial regulators of gut microbiome composition. FXR, an

intra-cellular bile acid-sensing transcription factor of the nuclear factor superfamily, regulates

bile acid and lipid homeostasis, and other genes such as FOR in *Xenopus* may play similar roles

using different ligands (Seo *et al*., 2002; Reschly *et al*., 2008; Frisch and Alstrup, 2018). It is

noteworthy that in mice TGR5 has been shown to link bile acid and thyroid hormone signaling

by promoting intracellular thyroid hormone activity via the induction of deiodinase 2 in brown

adipose tissue (Watanabe *et al*., 2006). In future studies, manipulation of gene activities, of bile

acid composition and concentration and of the microbiome could be used to unravel the

diversity and the evolution of these regulations in amphibians and other vertebrates.

We provide evidence that the diet of *Xenopus* tadpoles affected the diversity of their gut

microbiome and thus that the mucosal immunity of tadpoles can interact with a variety of

bacterial communities (Figure 5). Tadpoles fed a micro planctonic-based diet had a gut

microbiome with a higher specific richness compared with tadpoles fed a sterile flour-diet. The

latter diet was associated with a lack of *Chitinophagacae* involved in chitin degradation. These

results contribute to the growing body of evidence that diet as an environmental factor can

affect the composition of the gut microbiota.

Maternal transmission of microbes in animals is a well-known phenomenon but its

documentation in amphibians is restricted to only few examples (Funkhouser and Bordenstein,

2013; Walke *et al*., 2014; Warne *et al*., 2019). In amphibians like in other animals, the

transmission of microbial communities can be horizontal, vertical or environmental. The

vertical transmission mode may depend critically on the reproduction behaviour of the animals.

In *Xenopus,* the natural mating behaviour of the couple in amplexus involves looping dances

from the bottom to the surface. Eggs are mostly laid by the female when she is near the surface,

and immediately fertilized by the male. These movements may lead eggs to stick to the skin of

either parent, and since the skin of amphibians harbours a rich microbiota, it can constitute a

vector of vertical transmission from either parent. In addition, the eggs are laid through the

female cloaca, and the sperm is also emitted by the male cloaca. Since the cloaca is a common

anatomical structure shared by the reproductive, excretory and digestive systems, the microbial

communities of these three systems may be transmitted to the eggs. Here we sampled the skin

and feces of both parents to quantify the transmission to the eggs. We found that quantitatively

and using the Bayesian algorithm of source tracker, the bacteria found on the eggs were mostly

coming from the environment, and the second source was the skin of either parent. It was

notable that a *Chitinimonas* species, a bacterium known to synthesize the antifungal Violacein,

was one of the OTU transmitted from both parents in all crosses. This is relevant with

Saprolegnia egg infections and with chytridiomycosis. Recently, Warne and collaborators

reported that changes of the woodfrog egg microbiota influenced the bacterial communities at

later larval stages (Warne *et al*., 2017, 2019).

Bacteroidetes, *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* were slightly more represented in the

metatranscriptome than in metagenome highlighting that those bacteria were present and active

in the tadpole gut. Actinobacteria were less abundant in metatranscriptome than metagenome,

showing a reduced activity. On the contrary, we found that *Verrucomicrobia* were very active.

Our data showed a functional similarity between the metagenomes from *Xenopus* and

mammalian guts, with at least 88.2% of shared metagenome genes functions. Yet this similarity

was only observed in term of functional annotation and not for nucleic and amino acid

composition of the metagenomic genes. Thus, we can predict that these common functions are

encoded by relatively close bacterial species. These results are consistent with the previous

observations of Xiao et al. when they compared the catalogs of gut metagenome genes from

mice and human, and observed 92.5% of common functions but only 4.0% of common genes

(Xiao *et al*., 2015).

Our data highlighted the important capacity of the microbiota to complement the metabolic

pathways of the *Xenopus* genome. In terms of metabolic capacity, genes involved in 'energy

production and conversion' were the most expressed, emphasizing the importance of the energy

production by the microbiota within the interaction with its host. In the functional category

'cellular processes and signaling', genes involved in cell structure, motility and transduction

signal were the most expressed. This observation is consistent with the idea that the microbiota

forms an ecosystem with highly active bacteria interacting with each other and with the host.

The need to perform investigations on animals from natural populations has rightly been

underscored, for example by an interesting review of Sarah Hird (Hird, 2017). Yet we face

several methodological difficulties when it comes to sample natural populations. In some

studies, animals have been captured in the wild and raised thereafter in a laboratory setting. The

current global threats on amphibians makes such studies difficult to realize and restricts

severely the breadth of species that can be studied. In addition, the capture of vertebrate animals

induces a variable amount of stress, leading to different responses including an

immunosuppression (Rollins-Smith *et al*., 1997; Narayan, 2013). While the effect of captivity

on amphibian microbiomes has been documented, there is a considerable room for possible

explanations (Becker *et al*., 2014; Loudon *et al*., 2014; Bataille *et al*., 2016). Also, parasitism

is common in natural populations, and it can represent a hidden variable of considerable

importance in microbiome studies. For example, the mutualism between the nematode

Gyrinicola batrachiensis and *Rana catesbeiana* leading to a quicker tadpole development has

been linked to the hindgut fermentation capacity (Pryor and Bjorndal, 2005). Studies on

laboratory animals for which rearing conditions are more uniform, with the potential for a better

control of parameters and for reproducing experiments in different laboratories are definitively

needed to make the link with investigations on natural populations. In this regard, *Xenopus*

represents an interesting model since it is a widespread anuran with more than 20 species

described in sub-Saharan Africa, most species are classified of least-concern according to
IUCN, and there is a vast body of biological knowledge relating to its development, its
physiology and its genetic. We hope that our study will constitute a background knowledge
enabling future work in the study of amphibian-microbial symbioses.

Conclusions

In summary, we described the bacterial components of the *Xenopus* gut microbiota, the
adult gut biogeography, the succession of communities during ontogeny, the impact of the
alimentation in shaping the tadpole's gut bacterial communities, the transmission of skin and
fecal bacteria to the eggs. We also identified the most active gut bacteria and their metabolic
contribution to the tadpole physiology and showed the close resemblance between amphibian
and mammalian gut microbiome. Keeping in mind the advantages of the *Xenopus* model, our
data provided evidences that *X. tropicalis* raised in animal facilities is highly suitable for host-
microbiota studies. Our study contributes to the growing body of research on the study of
bacterial symbiosis in amphibians and on the evolutionary associations between amphibians
and their microbiota. Further studies on the dynamics of the *X. tropicalis* gut microbiota during
early development and metamorphosis should provide useful information on the evolution of
host-microbiota interactions in vertebrates.

Methods

Animals and animal husbandry

We used *Xenopus tropicalis* frogs from the TGA and Sierra Leone strains and we let them
reproduce using induced natural mating as described in the supplementary materials and
methods. Parents were transferred back to their housing tank while the embryos were let in the

mating tanks. Tadpoles were reared in static water throughout embryogenesis and

metamorphosis.

Tadpoles and adults were euthanized with tricaine methane sulfonate pH 7.5 at 5 g.L⁻¹. We

used the Nieuwkoop and Faber table of development to identify tadpole's developmental stages

(Faber and Nieuwkoop, 1994).

Bacterial purification from tadpole's intestinal tract

We dissected euthanized tadpoles in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to collect the gastro-

intestinal from the stomach to the rectum. For the sake of simplicity, we used the term "gut" to

refer to the whole gastro-intestinal tract of tadpoles or adults throughout the manuscript. We

homogenized each intestinal tract in 500 μL of ice-cold PBS using a Potter-Elvehjem

homogenizer. We then prepared a concentrated bacteria extract by successive filtrations on 40,

20 and 5 μm filters (nylon 40 μm cell strainer from BIOLOGIX; 20 μm net ring from Pharmacia

Fine chemicals; Whatman® Puradisc 13 syringe filters 5 μm from SIGMA-ALDRICH®).

Next, we harvested the cells by centrifugation at 13 000g for 15 min at room temperature. These

pellets were then used for nucleic acid extraction as detailed in Supplementary materials and

methods, for fixation and microscopy or flow cytometry analysis (Figure 1).

Flow cytometry analysis

We prepared triplicate samples from tadpoles at stages NF45-48, NF51-54, NF56, NF60-

61, 15 days froglets and adults. Each sample was made from five tadpole's guts per replicate

for the NF45-48 and NF51-54 stages, single individuals were used for later developmental

stages. We fed the tadpoles two days before the first time point (stage NF45-48), and ten days

before sampling the froglets. We prepared the filtered bacterial cell samples as described

previously and we fixed the resuspended bacterial pellet in 1.0% paraformaldehyde at 4°C

during 2 h. We analyzed samples prepared by mixing fluorescent beads with bacteria stained

using propidium iodide with a MoFlo® Astrio™ cytometer (BECKMAN COULTER). Each

measure was done in triplicate to compute a mean value and the standard deviation for each

biological replicate sample.

Metagenome and metatranscriptome sequencing

We prepared genomic DNA (gDNA) and RNA from 5 μm filtered gut samples obtained

from five *X. tropicalis* tadpoles at stage NF56 raised in the same aquarium. Metagenomic and

metatranscriptomic sequencing were performed by the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) using

an Illumina® HighSeq™ 2500. The insert sizes of the metagenomic and metatranscriptomic

libraries were 170 bp and 180 bp, respectively. We obtained 23 639 893 and 20 000 000 high

quality 100bp paired end sequences for metagenomic (ERS716504) and metatranscriptomic

(ERS716505), respectively.

16S rRNA and 16S rDNA library construction and sequencing

gDNA or RNA were used as template for 16S Ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA) or DNA (16S

rDNA) amplification. A detailed list of samples used for the 16S rDNA and rRNA library

construction is given in supplementary table 1. A first PCR was performed to amplify the V3-

V4 variable region of the 16S rDNA and rRNA; the PCR condition details and

oligonucleotide sequences are given in Supplementary information. The PCR products were

sent to the GeT sequencing facility (France Génomique, INRA, Toulouse) for library

preparation and sequencing. PCR products were purified and quantified by spectrophotometry

and a second PCR was performed to integrate a barcode index. The barcoded PCR products

were pooled and their quality was checked using capillarity electrophoresis and quantitative

PCR. Finally, the PCR products were sequenced using a Miseq (supplied by Illumina®). We

obtained a total of 3,647,548 clean read counts from 132 samples. The metadata and number

of sequences obtained by sample is given in Supplementary Table 1.

16S rRNA and 16s rDNA sequence analysis

We sequenced 62 samples and obtained an average of 25,218 assembled paired-end

sequences per sample, with a minimum of 8,134 and a maximum of 66,578. We used the FROG

pipeline for OTUs identification and taxonomic affiliation (Escudie *et al*., July 6th to 9th).

Overlapping reads were assembled and sequences were dereplicated before clustering using the

SWARM algorithm. Chimeric sequences were then removed and OTUs were filtered on

abundance for at least 0.005%. Taxonomic affiliation of the OTUs was performed based on the

16S Sylva database (V.123) using blast and RDP classifier.

We used script implemented in R to perform different microbiome community analysis

(provided on GitHub at https://npollet.github.io/metatetard/) (R Core Team, 2019). Source-

sink analysis was performed using Feast (Shenhav *et al*., 2019).

Taxonomic assignment for metagenome and metatranscriptome sequences

We used the taxonomic assignment results provided by the BGI for the metagenomic

analysis. We performed taxonomic assignment from metatranscriptomic reads using

SortMeRNA (Kopylova *et al*., 2012) with default parameter to extract the reads derived from

16S rRNA and the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) classifier to obtain the taxonomic

assignment (Wang *et al*., 2007).

Metagenome assembly and gene prediction

We used Ray Meta assembler v2.3.1 to assemble metagenome reads. We obtained 51,060

scaffolds, the scaffolds size varying from 500 bp to 296,477 bp with a median size of 817 bp

(Supplementary Materials and Methods). We analyzed the ten longest scaffolds by mapping

reads and computing GC percent composition as detailed in Supplementary Materials and

Methods and shown on Supplementary Figures 3 and 4.

We predicted 82 679 CDS on the assembled metagenome scaffolds using Prokka v.1.10

(Seemann, 2014). These predicted CDS were analyzed to identify their function, their relative

expression and were compared with the human gut microbiota gene catalog as indicated in

Supplementary Materials and Methods.

List of abbreviations

697 NF: Nieuwkoop and Faber developmental stage

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: We used standard rearing conditions for

Xenopus tadpoles and adults and all animal experiments were conducted in accordance with

the regulation on Animal Experimentation (registration number 91–401).

Consent to publication:

Not applicable

Availability of data and material: The sequence datasets generated and/or analyzed during

the current study are available in the EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database (ENA),

metagenomic (ERS716504) and metatranscriptomic (ERS716505)

https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB9311. 16S rRNA gene sequence data are available

at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB38248.

Other data type supporting the findings are available within the article and its supplementary

files.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
712

Funding:

We used the Data Intensive Academic Grid conducted on the National Science Foundation

funded MRI-R2 project #DBI-0959894" to perform some of the bioinformatic analyses. The

cytometry facilities of Imagerie-Gif (http://www.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/spip.php?article279) is

member of the Infrastructures en Biologie Santé et Agronomie (IBiSA), and is supported by

the French National Research Agency under Investments for the Future programs "France-

BioImaging", and the Labex "Saclay Plant Science" (ANR-10-INSB-04-01 and ANR-11-

IDEX-0003-02, respectively).

Author's contributions: All authors read and approved the final manuscript. TS and IC

performed all experimental work under the guidance of NP. TC performed whole genome

shotgun sequence analysis. NP performed 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. TS and NP

wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees (Bioinfo

Genotoul) for providing computing and storage resources. The present work has benefitted from

the facilities and expertise of the cytometry facilities of Imagerie-Gif, (http://www.i2bc.paris-

saclay.fr/spip.php?article279). We thank Catherine Zanchetta and the team of the GeT facility

for 16S metabarcoding sequencing. We thank Odile Bronchain, Albert Chesneau and Muriel

Perron for providing access and use of their *Xenopus* animal facility in Orsay. We thank Jean-

Michel Rossignol for critically reading our manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

None

References (100 max)

Altig, R., Whiles, M.R., and Taylor, C.L. (2007) What do tadpoles really eat? Assessing the
trophic status of an understudied and imperiled group of consumers in freshwater habitats.
Freshw Biol **52**: 386–395.

Anderson, I.G., Briggs, T., Haslewood, G.A., Oldham, R.S., Schären, H., and Tökés, L. (1979) Comparison of the bile salts of frogs with those of their tadpoles. Bile-salt changes during the metamorphosis of Rana Catesbeiana Shaw. *Biochem J* **183**: 507–511.

Bataille, A., Lee-Cruz, L., Tripathi, B., Kim, H., and Waldman, B. (2016) Microbiome Variation Across Amphibian Skin Regions: Implications for Chytridiomycosis Mitigation Efforts. *Microb Ecol* **71**: 221–232.

Becker, M.H., Richards-Zawacki, C.L., Gratwicke, B., and Belden, L.K. (2014) The effect of captivity on the cutaneous bacterial community of the critically endangered Panamanian golden frog (Atelopus zeteki). *Biol Conserv* **176**: 199–206.

Bles, E.J. (1906) The life history of Xenopus laevis, Daud. *Trans R Soc Edin* **41**: 789–821.

Bordenstein, S.R. and Theis, K.R. (2015) Host Biology in Light of the Microbiome: Ten Principles of Holobionts and Hologenomes. *PLoS Biol* **13**: e1002226.

Boscaro, V., Felletti, M., Vannini, C., Ackerman, M.S., Chain, P.S.G., Malfatti, S., et al. (2013) Polynucleobacter necessarius, a model for genome reduction in both free-living and symbiotic bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**: 18590–18595.

Brown, D.D. and Cai, L. (2007) Amphibian metamorphosis. *Dev Biol* **306**: 20–33.

Chai, L., Dong, Z., Chen, A., and Wang, H. (2018) Changes in intestinal microbiota of Bufo gargarizans and its association with body weight during metamorphosis. *Arch Microbiol* **200**: 1087–1099.

Chalmers, A.D. and Slack, J.M. (1998) Development of the gut in Xenopus laevis. *Dev Dyn Off Publ Am Assoc Anat* **212**: 509–521.

Clayton, L.A. (2005) Amphibian gastroenterology. *Veterinary Clin North Am Exot Anim Pract* **8**: 227–245.

Cole, K.D. and Little, G.H. (1983) Bile pigments and bilirubin UDP-glucuronyl transferase during the metamorphosis of Rana catesbeiana tadpoles. *Comp Biochem Physiol B* **76**: 503–506.

Colombo, B.M., Scalvenzi, T., Benlamara, S., and Pollet, N. (2015) Microbiota and mucosal immunity in amphibians. *Front Immunol* **6**: 111.

Colston, T.J. and Jackson, C.R. (2016) Microbiome evolution along divergent branches of the vertebrate tree of life: what is known and unknown. *Mol Ecol* **25**: 3776–3800.

Douglas, A.E. (2018) Fundamentals of Microbiome Science: How Microbes Shape Animal Biology, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Douglas, A.E. (2019) Simple animal models for microbiome research. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **17**: 764–775.

Escudie, F., Auer, L., Cauquil, L., Vidal, k., Maman, S., Mariadassou, M., et al. (July 6th to 9th) FROGS: Find Rapidly OTU with Galaxy Solution.

Faber, J. and Nieuwkoop, P.D. (1994) Normal Table of Xenopus Laevis, 1 edition. New York: Garland Science.

Fedewa, L.A. (2006) Fluctuating Gram-Negative Microflora in Developing Anurans. *J Herpetol* **40**: 131–135.

Frisch, K. and Alstrup, A.K.O. (2018) On the Evolution of Bile Salts and the Farnesoid X Receptor in Vertebrates. *Physiol Biochem Zool PBZ* **91**: 797–813.

Funkhouser, L.J. and Bordenstein, S.R. (2013) Mom Knows Best: The Universality of Maternal Microbial Transmission. *PLOS Biol* **11**: e1001631.

Gill, S.R., Pop, M., Deboy, R.T., Eckburg, P.B., Turnbaugh, P.J., Samuel, B.S., et al. (2006) Metagenomic analysis of the human distal gut microbiome. *Science* **312**: 1355–1359.

Heimeier, R.A., Das, B., Buchholz, D.R., Fiorentino, M., and Shi, Y.-B. (2010) Studies on Xenopus laevis intestine reveal biological pathways underlying vertebrate gut adaptation from embryo to adult. *Genome Biol* **11**: R55.

Hird, S.M. (2017) Evolutionary Biology Needs Wild Microbiomes. *Front Microbiol* **8**: 725.

Hofmann, A.F., Hagey, L.R., and Krasowski, M.D. (2010) Bile salts of vertebrates: structural
variation and possible evolutionary significance. *J Lipid Res* **51**: 226–246.
Hourdry, J., L'Hermite, A., and Ferrand, R. (1996) Changes in the Digestive Tract and
Feeding Behavior of Anuran Amphibians during Metamorphosis. *Physiol Zool* **69**: 219–251.
Joyce, S.A., MacSharry, J., Casey, P.G., Kinsella, M., Murphy, E.F., Shanahan, F., et al.
(2014) Regulation of host weight gain and lipid metabolism by bacterial bile acid
modification in the gut. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**: 7421–7426.
Kohl, K.D., Cary, T.L., Karasov, W.H., and Dearing, M.D. (2013) Restructuring of the
amphibian gut microbiota through metamorphosis. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **5**: 899–903.
Kopylova, E., Noé, L., and Touzet, H. (2012) SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of
ribosomal RNAs in metatranscriptomic data. *Bioinformatics* **28**: 3211–3217.
Long, J., Xiang, J., He, T., Zhang, N., and Pan, W. (2020) Gut microbiota differences during
metamorphosis in sick and healthy giant spiny frogs (Paa spinosa) tadpoles. *Lett Appl*
Microbiol **70**: 109–117.
Loudon, A.H., Woodhams, D.C., Parfrey, L.W., Archer, H., Knight, R., McKenzie, V., and
Harris, R.N. (2014) Microbial community dynamics and effect of environmental microbial
reservoirs on red-backed salamanders (Plethodon cinereus). *ISME J* **8**: 830–840.
Mashoof, S., Goodroe, A., Du, C.C., Eubanks, J.O., Jacobs, N., Steiner, J.M., et al. (2013)
Ancient T-Independence of Mucosal IgX/A: Gut Microbiota Unaffected by Larval
Thymectomy in Xenopus laevis. *Mucosal Immunol* **6**: 358–368.
Metchnikoff, O. (1901) Notes sur l'influence des microbes dans le développement des têtards.
Ann Inst Pasteur **15**: 631–634.
Moro, E. (1905) Morphologische und biologische Untersuchungen über die Darmbakterien
des Säuglings. IV. Der Schottelius's sche Versuch am Kalt- blutler. *Jahrb Kinderheilk* **62**:
467–478.
Naitoh, T., Wassersug, R.J., and Leslie, R.A. (1989) The Physiology, Morphology, and
Ontogeny of Emetic behavior in Anuran amphibians. *Physiol Zool* **62**: 819–843.
Narayan, E.J. (2013) Non-invasive reproductive and stress endocrinology in amphibian
conservation physiology. *Conserv Physiol* **1**: cot011.
Pasquier, L.D. (2014) The Development of the Immune System in Xenopus. In *Xenopus*
Development. Kloc, lgorzata and Kubiak, J.Z. (eds). John Wiley & Sons, Inc, pp. 264–292.
Powell, S., Forslund, K., Szklarczyk, D., Trachana, K., Roth, A., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al.
(2014) eggNOG v4.0: nested orthology inference across 3686 organisms. *Nucleic Acids Res*
42: D231-239.
Pryor, G.S. and Bjorndal, K.A. (2005) Symbiotic fermentation, digesta passage, and
gastrointestinal morphology in bullfrog tadpoles (Rana catesbeiana). *Physiol Biochem Zool*
78: 201–215.
R Core Team (2019) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
Rebollar, E.A. and Harris, R.N. (2019) Editorial: Ecology of Amphibian-Microbial
Symbioses. *Front Microbiol* **10**:.
Reschly, E.J., Ai, N., Ekins, S., Welsh, W.J., Hagey, L.R., Hofmann, A.F., and Krasowski,
M.D. (2008) Evolution of the bile salt nuclear receptor FXR in vertebrates. *J Lipid Res* **49**:
1577–1587.
Ridlon, J.M., Kang, D.J., Hylemon, P.B., and Bajaj, J.S. (2014) Bile Acids and the Gut
Microbiome. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* **30**: 332–338.
Robert, J. and Ohta, Y. (2009) Comparative and developmental study of the immune system
in Xenopus. *Dev Dyn* **238**: 1249–1270.
Rollins-Smith, L.A., Barker, K.S., and Davis, A.T. (1997) Involvement of glucocorticoids in
the reorganization of the amphibian immune system at metamorphosis. *Dev Immunol* **5**: 145–
152.

Sachs, L.M. and Buchholz, D.R. (2019) Insufficiency of Thyroid Hormone in Frog
Metamorphosis and the Role of Glucocorticoids. *Front Endocrinol* **10**: 287.
Schreiber, A.M., Cai, L., and Brown, D.D. (2005) Remodeling of the intestine during
metamorphosis of Xenopus laevis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**: 3720–3725.
Seemann, T. (2014) Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinforma Oxf Engl* **30**:
2068–2069.
Sekirov, I., Russell, S.L., Antunes, L.C.M., and Finlay, B.B. (2010) Gut microbiota in health
and disease. *Physiol Rev* **90**: 859–904.
Seo, Y.-W., Sanyal, S., Kim, H.-J., Won, D.H., An, J.-Y., Amano, T., et al. (2002) FOR, a
Novel Orphan Nuclear Receptor Related to Farnesoid X Receptor. *J Biol Chem* **277**: 17836–
17844.
Shenhav, L., Thompson, M., Joseph, T.A., Briscoe, L., Furman, O., Bogumil, D., et al. (2019)
FEAST: fast expectation-maximization for microbial source tracking. *Nat Methods* **16**: 627–
632.
Sommer, F. and Bäckhed, F. (2013) The gut microbiota--masters of host development and
physiology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **11**: 227–238.
Song, Z., Cai, Y., Lao, X., Wang, X., Lin, X., Cui, Y., et al. (2019) Taxonomic profiling and
populational patterns of bacterial bile salt hydrolase (BSH) genes based on worldwide human
gut microbiome. *Microbiome* **7**: 9.
Vences, M., Lyra, M.L., Kueneman, J.G., Bletz, M.C., Archer, H.M., Canitz, J., et al. (2016)
Gut bacterial communities across tadpole ecomorphs in two diverse tropical anuran faunas.
Naturwissenschaften **103**: 25.
Vouillot, L., Thélie, A., Scalvenzi, T., and Pollet, N. (2014) Genomics and Genome
Engineering in Xenopus. In *Xenopus Development*. Kloc, lgorzata and Kubiak, J.Z. (eds).
John Wiley & Sons, Inc, pp. 383–402.
Wahlström, A., Sayin, S.I., Marschall, H.-U., and Bäckhed, F. (2016) Intestinal Crosstalk
between Bile Acids and Microbiota and Its Impact on Host Metabolism. *Cell Metab* **24**: 41–
50.
Walke, J.B., Becker, M.H., Loftus, S.C., House, L.L., Cormier, G., Jensen, R.V., and Belden,
L.K. (2014) Amphibian skin may select for rare environmental microbes. *ISME J* **8**: 2207–
2217.
Wang, Q., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M., and Cole, J.R. (2007) Naive Bayesian classifier for
rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol **73**: 5261–5267.
Warne, R.W., Kirschman, L., and Zeglin, L. (2019) Manipulation of gut microbiota during
critical developmental windows affects host physiological performance and disease
susceptibility across ontogeny. *J Anim Ecol* **88**: 845–856.
Warne, R.W., Kirschman, L., and Zeglin, L. (2017) Manipulation of Gut Microbiota Reveals
Shifting Community Structure Shaped by Host Developmental Windows in Amphibian
Larvae. *Integr Comp Biol* **57**: 786–794.
Watanabe, M., Houten, S.M., Mataki, C., Christoffolete, M.A., Kim, B.W., Sato, H., et al.
(2006) Bile acids induce energy expenditure by promoting intracellular thyroid hormone
activation. *Nature* **439**: 484–489.
Wilson, D.S. and Sober, E. (1989) Reviving the superorganism. *J Theor Biol* **136**: 337–356.
Wollman, E. (1913) Sur l'élevage des têtards stériles. *Ann Inst Pasteur* **27**: 154–161.
Wollman, E. and Wollman, E. (1915) Les microbes dans l'alimentation des têtards. *Compte-*
Rendu Société Biol **78**: 195–197.
Xiao, L., Feng, Q., Liang, S., Sonne, S.B., Xia, Z., Qiu, X., et al. (2015) A catalog of the
mouse gut metagenome. *Nat Biotechnol*.
Youngblut, N.D., Reischer, G.H., Walters, W., Schuster, N., Walzer, C., Stalder, G., et al.

(2019) Host diet and evolutionary history explain different aspects of gut microbiome
diversity among vertebrate clades. *Nat Commun* **10**: 2200.
Zhang, M., Chen, H., Liu, L., Xu, L., Wang, X., Chang, L., et al. (2020) The Changes in the
Frog Gut Microbiome and Its Putative Oxygen-Related Phenotypes Accompanying the
Development of Gastrointestinal Complexity and Dietary Shift. *Front Microbiol* **11**: 162.
Zilber-Rosenberg, I. and Rosenberg, E. (2008) Role of microorganisms in the evolution of
animals and plants: the hologenome theory of evolution. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **32**: 723–735.

Figure legends

Figure 1: **Enumeration of bacteria in the *Xenopus tropicalis* gut during development.**

A. Cytometric profiles. Each dot in the plot represent one event (i.e. a cell), the color represents

the density of overlapping point from red to blue. The vertical axis represents the measurement

of propidium iodide (PI) fluorescence (614/20nm) and the horizontal axis represents the

measurement of forward scatter. The two plots represent the result for young tadpole at stage

NF45-48 (top) and mature tadpoles at stage NF56 (bottom). White letters highlight the different

bacterial population. The signal at around 10 units of fluorescence is due to background. **B.**

Changes of bacterial load during development. The vertical axis represents the number of

bacteria and the horizontal axis corresponds to the different stages of development. Each dot

corresponds to a biological replicate, the error bar represents the standard deviation obtained

by technical replicates. A ★ marks the beginning of feeding and ★★ marks the change from

the tadpole to the adult diet.

Figure 2: Bacterial communities in the Xenopus gut across development.

A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial diversity at the phylum level. Tad:

premetamorphic tadpoles NF54 to NF56. Pro: prometamorphic tadpoles NF58 to NF61; Met:

Metamorphic tadpole NF 62; Fro: Froglet NF66; Adu: sexually mature adults. **B. Microbiota**

diversity during Xenopus development. Phylogenetic diversity measured using Faith' PD

index for *X. tropicalis* gut microbial communities across developmental life stages as indicated

on the x-axis. Letters a, b and c indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level between

developmental stages according to pairwise Dunn's rank sum post-hoc test (see Methods

section for details). **C. Principal coordinate analysis of gut-associated microbial**

communities during Xenopus development. Community membership was analyzed using

unweighted UniFrac distance, and community structure was analyzed using philR distance.

Percentages of the explained variations are indicated on both axes.

Figure 3: *Xenopus* microbiota across several adult organs and samples

A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity. **B. Principal coordinate**

analysis of microbial communities across several adult organs and samples. Community

membership was analyzed using unweighted UniFrac distance, and community structure was

analyzed using weighted UniFrac distance. Percentages of the explained variations are

indicated on both axes.

Figure 4: Characterization of the prevalence and abundances of active bacteria across

Xenopus development from post-hatching to metamorphosis.

A. Heatmap of the prevalence and abundances for 33 OTUs. The detection threshold scale

is logarithmic and the metric used was the relative abundance. OTUs were identified using their

taxonomic affiliation at the family genus or species level according to the resolution available

for a given OTU. This analysis was performed on OTUs characterized by a minimal prevalence

of 50 % and a minimal abundance of 0.001%.

B. Abundance heatmap for the 33 most prevalent OTUs across the developmental stages

sampled. Two clutches were followed up across development, and the white dotted lines

separate the two biological replicate samples. The legend for stages is as follows: PE is a post-

hatching NF30 tailbud embryonic stage; NF41 is a premetamorphic tadpole non-feeding stage;

NF48 is a premetamorphic tadpole feeding stage; NF50; NF 52 and NF56 are premetamorphic

tadpole feeding stages; NF60 is a prometamorphic tadpole stage; NF63 are metamorphic

tadpole stages; NF66 is a froglet stage.

Figure 5: Influence of the tadpole's diet on their gut microbiome.

A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity. **B. Principal coordinate**

analysis of microbial communities across several adult organs and samples. Community

membership was analyzed using unweighted UniFrac distance, and community structure was

analyzed using weighted UniFrac distance. Percentages of the explained variations are

indicated on both axes. Tadpole Food 1 (D1 samples) was a micro planktonic-based diet and

tadpole food 2 (D2 samples) (food 1) was a sterile flour.

Figure 6: *X. tropicalis* microbiome transmission

A. Bar plot representation of the bacterial phylum diversity.

B. Box-plot representation of weighted UniFrac distances between eggs, skin and feces.

Letters a, b and c indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level between or within samples

according to pairwise Dunn's rank sum post-hoc test (see Methods section for details). Note

the outliers in the feces sample and in the Eggs-skin comparison.

C. Bar plot representation of source proportions in egg microbiomes.

D. Principal coordinate analysis of microbial communities across eggs, feces and skin

samples. Community composition was analyzed using PhilR distance. Percentages of the

explained variations are indicated on both axes.

Figure 7: **Bacterial taxonomic profiles from *X. tropicalis* prometamorphic tadpole gut. A.**

Histogram representation of the bacterial phylum diversity observed in a pool of five

prometamorphic tadpole's guts at stage NF56. Left: metagenomic analysis; right:

metatranscriptomic analysis. Each color in the histogram refers to the phylum as described in

the legend. Phyla representing less than one percent of the bacterial content in the tadpole gut

were represented in the "Other group". **B.** Abundance of the most abundant bacteria in the

tadpole's gut. Bacteria representing less than one percent of the bacterial population were

represented in the "Other" group. The abundance of each bacteria is given as the percentage of

the total bacteria. Bacteria species written in blue, orange or grey correspond to bacteria

observed in human gut microbiota, other mammalian gut microbiota or endosymbiotic bacteria

of unicellular eukaryotes, respectively.

Figure 8: **Overview of *X. tropicalis* tadpole's microbiota metabolic functions.** Histogram

representing the distribution of the *Xenopus* gut metagenome CDS among the eggNOG

functional categories. The black histogram represents the distribution expressed as the

percentage of the total number of CDS. The grey histogram represents the expression value for

each eggnog category expressed as the percentage of the total expression.

Supplementary data R scripts: R markdown rendered html files

Additional files:

Additional file 1: Supplementary_table_1.csv

<u>File format</u>: csv

<u>Title of data</u>: Metadata.

<u>Description of data</u>: This file contains the metadata corresponding to samples analysed by 16S

rRNA gene metabarcoding.

Additional file 2: Table_Statistics

<u>File format</u>: xlsx

Title of data: Compilation of statistical results in tables.

Description of data: This file contains seven tables compiling the results of significance tests

for alpha and beta diversity metrics.

Additional file 3: Figure_S1

File format: pdf

Title of data: Results of flow cytometry across developmental stages.

Description of data: Cytometric profiles obtained at different stages of tadpole's development.

Each dot in the plot represents a cell; the color represents the density of overlapping point from

red to blue. A: Vertical axis represents the measurement of fluorescence (propidium iodide -

614/20nm) and the horizontal axis the measurement of forward scatter. B: Vertical axis

represents the measurement of propidium iodide (PI) fluorescence (614/20nm) and the

horizontal axis the measurement of side scatter. The black circles highlight the beads used for

calibration.

1009

1010   **Additional file 4: Figure_S2**

1011   File format: pdf

1012   Title of data: Rarefaction curve analysis.

1013   Description of data: This graph present rarefaction curves for all samples studied in this

1014   analysis.

1015

Additional file 5: Figure_S3

File format: pdf

Title of data: Phylum and Family-level compositional changes in the *Xenopus* gut microbiome

across development.

Description of data: A: Bar plot representation of the bacterial diversity at the phylum level. B:

Bar plot representation of the bacterial diversity at the family level. Premetamorphic tadpoles

NF54 to NF56. Prometamorphic tadpoles NF58 to NF61; Metamorphic tadpole NF 62; Froglet

NF66; Adult: sexually mature adults. C: Same as A but viewed at the individual level to

highlight the interindividual and developmental stage differences D: Bar plot representation of

gram positive and gram negative bacterial phyla.

1026

Additional file 6: Figure_S4

File format: pdf

Title of data: Shared and contrasting bacterial communities in the Xenopus gut microbiome

across development.

Description of data: A : Venn diagram showing the number of shared OTUs between samples

of the same developmental stage category. B : Bar plot of the total number of OTUs in each

developmental stage category. C : Number of shared and specific OTUs. D : Heatmap plot of

the prevalence and abundances for low-abundance OTUs. The detection threshold scale is

logarithmic and the metric used was the relative abundance. This analysis was performed on

OTUs characterized by a minimal prevalence of 50 % and a minimal abundance of 1e-5 %. E.

Prevalence and abundances of the most common and the most abundant OTUs across

development. OTUs were identified using their taxonomic affiliation at the family genus or

species level according to the resolution available for a given OTU. This analysis was

performed on OTUs characterized by a minimal prevalence of 50 % and a minimal abundance

of 0.001%. The right panel shows an abundance heatmap for the same OTUs to visualize their

abundances in each sample.

1043

Additional file 7: Figure_S5

File format: pdf

Title of data: Contrasting OTUs across development

Description of data: A : Abundance fold changes across development. Contrasting OTUs were

identied by filtering OTUs with an abundance of at least 100 reads across 20% of sample and

using DEseq2 with a significance cutoff of 0.01. B : Heatmap representation of contrasting

OTUs abundances across development. The heatmap was produced using a principal

component analysis based on UniFrac distances.

1052

Additional file 8: Figure_S6

File format: pdf

Title of data: Variations of the phylogenetic structure of the *Xenopus* gut microbiota during

development.

Description of data: Standardized effect sizes of the Mean pairwise distance (left panel) and of

the mean nearest taxon distance (right panel) measured using Faith' PD index for *X. tropicalis*

gut microbial communities across developmental life stages as indicated on the x-axis. Letters

a, b and c indicate significant differences at the 0.05 level between developmental stages

according to pairwise Dunn's rank sum post-hoc test (see Methods section for details).

1062

Additional file 9: Figure_S7

File format: pdf

Title of data: *Xenopus* tadpole active bacterial communities: alpha-diversity, bacterial phylum

diversity and source proportions

Description of data: A : Bar plot representation of OTU richness and phylogenetic diversity in

active bacterial communities. Two clutches were followed up across early development, and

the light and dark grey bars correspond to the two biological replicate samples. The legend for

stages is as follows: NF30 is a post-hatching tailbud embryonic stage; NF41 is a

premetamorphic tadpole non-feeding stage; NF48 is a premetamorphic tadpole feeding stage;

NF50 and NF 52 are premetamorphic tadpole feeding stages. B : Bar plot representation of the

bacterial phylum diversity. C : Bar plot representation of source proportions in froglet gut

microbiome.

1075

Additional file 10: Figure_S8

File format: pdf

Title of data: Impact of the food regime on tadpole growth and development.

Description of data: A: Impact of food diet on tadpole growth and development. Tadpoles were

fed using either a micro planktonic-based diet (food 1) or a sterile flour (food 2). Their growth

was assessed by weighting them, and their development was monitored by assessing their

developmental stage according to the Nieuwkoop and Faber developmental table. The food

regime did not change significantly tadpole growth (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 0.99, df = 1,

p = 0.32) or development (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 0.34, df = 1, p = 0.56). B : Impact of

the food regime on tadpole's guts microbial communities. These bar plot shows values of the

Standardized effect size of the Mean Pairwise Distance (SES MPD) and of the Mean Nearest

Taxon Distance (SES MNTD) according to the food regime given to groups of tadpoles. C :

Abundance ratios of the OTUs found significantly different according to a change of diet.

1089

1090   **Additional file 11: Figure_S9**

1091   File format: pdf

1092   Title of data: GC percent analysis of the ten longest metagenomic scaffolds.

1093   Description of data: Vertical axis represents the GC percent and horizontal axis the position on

1094   the scaffold in base pair (bp). GC percent was computed for a sliding window of 2,000bp.

1095

Additional file 12: Figure_S10

File format: pdf

Title of data: Reads coverage of the ten longest metagenomic scaffolds.

Description of data: The number of reads aligned on the ten longest scaffolds is presented on

the vertical axis with a logarithmic scale, the horizontal axis represents the position on the

scaffold in base pair (bp).

1102

Additional file 13: Figure_S11

File format: pdf

Title of data: Metabolic map of *X. tropicalis* genome and its gut metagenome.

Description of data: This metabolic pathway highlights in green the pathways shared between

the *Xenopus* genome and its gut metagenome. Red and blue pathways are unique to the *Xenopus*

genome and gut metagenome, respectively.

1109

1110   **Additional file 12: Extended_materials_and_methods**

1111   File format: docx

1112   Title of data: Extended materials and methods.

1113   Description of data: This file contains an extended version of the materials and methods

1114

A.

PI

NF45-48

10^5 10^4 10^3 10^2 10^1 10^0

A

Forward scatter

10^0 10^1 10^2 10^3 10^4 10^5

PI

NF56

10^5 10^4 10^3 10^2 10^1 10^0

C

B

D

Forward scatter

10^0 10^1 10^2 10^3 10^4 10^5

B. Number of bacteria

10^9 10^8 10^7 10^6 10^5

★

★★

Developmental stages

NF45-48 NF51-54 NF56 NF60-61 Juvenile Adult

A.

Percent

Phy[lum]

- Oth[er]
- Unk[nown]
- Ver[rucomicrobia]
- Pro[teobacteria]
- Pla[nctomycetes]
- Firm[icutes]
- Chl[oroflexi]
- Bac[teroidetes]
- Act[inobacteria]

Metagenomic   Metatranscripto[mic]

eggNOG functional category

Scalvenzi and Pollet Figure 8

■ Abundance   ■ Expression   **Percent**

A.

PI

NF45-48

10⁵
10⁴
10³
10²
10¹
10⁰

A

Forward scatter

10⁰ 10¹ 10² 10³ 10⁴ 10⁵

PI

NF56

10⁵
10⁴
10³
10²
10¹
10⁰

C
B D

Forward scatter

10⁰ 10¹ 10² 10³ 10⁴ 10⁵

B.   **Number of bacteria**

10⁹
10⁸
10⁷
10⁶
10⁵

★   ★★

NF45-48   NF51-54   NF56   NF60-61   Juvenile   Adult

Developmental stages

A.

eggNOG functional category

Poorly characterized
- Function unknown
- General function prediction only

Metabolism
- Secondary metabolites biosynthesis transport and metabolism
- Inorganic ion transport and metabolism
- Lipid transport and metabolism
- Coenzyme transport and metabolism
- Nucleotide transport and metabolism
- Amino acid transport and metabolism
- Carbohydrate transport and metabolism
- Energy production and conversion

Cellular Processes and signaling
- Posttranslational modification, protein turnover and chaperones
- Intracellular trafficking, secretion and vesicular transport
- Extracellular structures
- Cytoskeleton
- Cell motility
- Cell wall, membrane, envelope biogenesis
- Signal transduction mechanisms
- Defense mechanisms
- Nuclear structure
- Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning

Information storage and processing
- Chromatin structure and dynamics
- Replication, recombination and repair
- Transcription
- RNA processing and modification
- Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis

■ **Abundance**   ▨ **Expression**

Percent

Scalvenzi and Pollet Figure 8