1
2
3 **HSATII RNA is induced *via* E2F3a and a non-canonical ATM-regulated DNA damage**
4 **response pathway**

10 Maciej T. Nogalski[#] and Thomas Shenk[#]

16 Department of Molecular Biology
17 Princeton University
18 Princeton, NJ 08544-1014, USA

24 [#] Corresponding authors: nogalski@princeton.edu and shenk@princeton.edu

Abstract

Recently, we documented that human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and herpes simplex virus (HSV) infections lead to a robust induction of HSATII RNA, among other satellite repeat transcripts. HSATII RNA positively affects viral gene expression, DNA accumulation and yield, as well as has a broad influence on host cell biology. Our report also provided evidence that cooperation between at least two HCMV immediate-early proteins (IE1, IE2) is necessary for the robust induction of HSATII RNA expression. However, cellular processes contributing to the virus-induced HSATII RNA were still unknown. Here, we report that the strength with which HSATII RNA affects virus replication cycle is cell-type specific with retinal pigment epithelial cells being markedly more sensitive to levels of HSATII RNA than fibroblasts. We demonstrate that the HCMV IE1 and IE2 proteins regulate HSATII expression *via* the E2F3a transcription factor. Moreover, treatment of cells with DNA damaging agents also induced HSATII expression, and we determined that a rerouted DNA damage response (DDR) pathway, based on kinase independent ATM regulation, plays a central role in the expression of HSATII in HCMV-infected cells. Importantly, we discovered that, depending on the HSATII RNA levels, breast cancer cells showed differential sensitivity to DNA damaging drugs with enhanced cell migration seen in less metastatic cells. Additionally, we demonstrate that highly motile and proliferative phenotype of metastatic breast cancer cells can be effectively inhibited by knocking down HSATII RNA. Together, our investigation provides the molecular mechanism that links a high expression of HSATII RNA to the E2F3a-initiated induction of DDR, centered on kinase-independent functions of ATM, and to processes critical for efficient viral infection, cancer cell migration and proliferation.

Introduction

Repetitive DNA sequences comprise more than 50% of our DNA and include short (SINE) and long (LINE) interspersed nuclear elements, DNA and long terminal repeat (LTR) transposons as well as satellite repeats (1). Even though repetitive DNA elements are ubiquitous in human genome, there is a limited understanding of their functions and molecular mechanism regulating their expression. Satellite DNAs (satDNAs), which account for ~3% of the genome (1), were shown to form centromeric and pericentromeric heterochromatin, and have been implicated in chromosome organization and segregation, kinetochore formation as well as heterochromatin regulation (2). With improvements in the next-generation sequencing (NGS), these genomic sites, previously thought to be largely transcriptionally inert, were showed to produce non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), which contribute to the role of satDNAs in chromosome and heterochromatin functions (3-5).

Importantly, altered patterns of transcription, including a deregulation of ncRNAs, often occur in tumors (6-8). Many ncRNAs were found in cancer cells originating from satDNA regions of the genome, such as human alpha-satellite repeat (Alpha/ALR), human satellite II (HSATII) and its mouse counterpart GSATII (9-11). HSATII and GSATII have a distinct nucleotide motif usage from that commonly seen in most non-coding transcripts, resulting in their immunostimulatory potential (12). Notably, transcriptomic signatures in cancers were reported to closely resemble those gene expression profiles characteristic to anti-viral responses (13, 14). Additionally, high expression of Alpha/ALR and HSATII RNAs was suggested to lead to their reverse transcription and stable reintegration into the human genome, expanding their genomic copy numbers (15). Importantly, elevated copies of genomic HSATII were found to be common in primary human colon tumors and correlated with lower survival rates of colon cancer patients (15), stressing the importance of investigating molecular mechanisms regulating the satellite repeat expression.

While some satellite repeat transcription was found to be stress-dependent (16) or triggered during apoptotic, differentiation or senescence programs in cells (17, 18), HSATII transcription was reported to be refractory to these generalized environmental stressors and was induced when cancer cells were grown only in non-adherent conditions or as xenografts in mice (15). Therefore, there was an unfulfilled need for the development of additional, well-controlled biological systems, allowing expedited interrogation of the mechanisms responsible for the satellite repeat expression and functions.

It is estimated that 12% of all cancers have a viral etiology and often are linked to persistent or chronic infections (19). Viruses are known to have a broad effect on cells, regulating many biological processes often in a similar matter to those seen in cancer cells. Those biological changes caused by infections do not only resemble cancer, but might in some cases lead to oncogenesis (20). As high expression of satellite repeats was strongly associated with several cancers (3, 9, 11, 21), our research aimed to

evaluate a possibility of viral infection as an inducer of this phenomenon.

Knowing that the broad spectrum of biological changes seen in human cytomegalovirus (HCMV)-

infected cells resemble those common to cancers, including an activation of pro-oncogenic pathways,

changes in cellular metabolism and increased cell survival (22-27), we used HCMV as a model system.

HCMV is a β-herpesvirus that infects a large percentage of the adult population worldwide. Infection in

immunocompetent people is typically asymptomatic. In contrast, HCMV is a leading opportunistic

pathogen in immunosuppressed individuals (28-30), and a major infectious cause of birth defects (31).

HCMV causes chronic diseases and a hallmark of severe HCMV infection is the involvement of multiple

organs (32). HCMV has been also suggested to play a role, perhaps an oncomodulatory role, in the

etiology of several human cancers (24, 33-39). However, its high prevalence has made it difficult to

prove causality in the disease (40).

Recently, we determined that HCMV infection induces HSATII RNA, among other satellite repeat

transcripts, to the same extent as reported for tumor cells (41). By knocking down HSATII RNA in

HCMV-infected cells, we showed that this satellite repeat transcript positively affects viral gene

expression, DNA accumulation and yield (41). Moreover, our study suggested that HSATII RNA

influences several cellular processes, such as protein stability, posttranslational modifications and

particularly the high levels of HSATII RNA lead to the increased motility of infected cells (41).

Therefore, it is conceivable that satellite repeat transcripts could enhance the fitness of both the virus

and cancer cells in the environment of the host by regulating the same molecular pathways. Our report

also provided evidence that cooperation between at least two HCMV immediate early proteins (IE1,

IE2) is necessary for the robust induction of HSATII RNA expression (41). Additionally, high levels of

HSATII were found *in vivo* in CMV colitis, indicating that satellite repeat transcripts could provide

another regulatory layer to the processes important in pathology of diseases also associated with

herpesviruses, such as colitis, retinitis, encephalitis, pneumonia, hepatitis and cancer (42, 43).

Therefore, our studies not only determined that HSATII RNA has broad effects on viral and cellular

biology, but also viral infection can be used effectively for a controlled induction of satellite repeat

RNAs, paving a path to extend our investigations into the molecular mechanisms governing satellite

repeat expression.

Interestingly, HCMV IE1 and IE2 proteins are known to regulate Rb and E2F protein families, leading to

efficient viral replication through the induction of DNA damage response (DDR) (44, 45). The family of

E2F transcription factors consists of nine members. Specifically, E2F1-E2f3a are considered strong

transcriptional activators and E2F3b-E2F8 function as transcriptional repressors (46, 47). E2F1-E2F3

are regulated by the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (Rb) and the activities of E2F4-E2F5 are

govern by two other members of Rb family, p107 and p130 (48). Upon phosphorylation Rb, p107 or

p130 are inactivated and dissociate from E2Fs, allowing E2Fs to affect transcription of their target

genes (49, 50). Importantly, E2Fs were showed to bind promoters of genes involved in the DNA damage checkpoint and repair pathways as well as chromatin regulation (51).

Recently, Alpha/ALR RNA has been found in breast cancer cells to associate with breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein 1 (BRCA1) and was implicated in tumor formation in mice (52).

Breast cancers are a leading cause of cancer-related mortality in women (53). Triple-negative breast cancers (TNBCs), which lack the expression of estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and human epithelial growth factor receptor, are non-responsive to hormonal therapies, more difficult to treat and considerably more aggressive (54).

Deregulated DDR pathways and cell cycle checkpoints allow cancer cells to achieve high proliferation rates, but at the same time make them more susceptible to DNA damaging agents; a characteristic central to their use as anticancer drugs (55, 56). However, with the widespread use of DNA damage therapies and their clinical successes, these therapies are known to be toxic to non-cancer cells, often lead to drug resistance (55, 56) and rise concerns about a development of *de novo* primary tumors (57).

Based on their mechanism of action and type of induced damage, DNA damaging agents can activate specific DNA damage repair pathways, such as the nucleotide excision repair pathway (NER), the base excision repair pathway (BER), and two pathways targeting DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs): homology directed repair (HDR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ). Importantly, there is a considerable crossover between these pathways with the use of DDR regulatory molecules, including the Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM), ATM and Rad3 related (ATR) and the DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit (DNA-PKcs) (58). The activation of these three DDR regulatory molecules leads to phosphorylation of histone variant H2AX at serine 129, named γ-H2AX, which is believed to be principal in DNA repair processes and is often considered as a sensitive marker for DNA DSBs (59). The activation of ATM and ATR leads to activation of two downstream kinases, checkpoint 1 and 2 (Chk1 and Chk2), which ultimately regulate the p53 activity in a context dependent manner (60-62).

Additionally, there is also a growing appreciation of the role that ncRNAs play in DDR, as long ncRNAs (lncRNAs) and microRNAs were found induced upon DNA damage and to regulate DDR (63).

Among chemical compounds that activate DDR, treatments with etoposide or lead to DSBs. Etoposide, similarly to doxorubicin, is an anticancer agent working through poisoning topoisomerase II, stabilizing DNA-protein complexes, which ultimately leads to stalled replication forks (64). Zeocin belongs to a bleomycin/phleomycin-family of antibiotics and as a radiomimetic has similar effects on DNA as ionizing radiation (IR) (56), and has been suggested as a chemotherapy candidate (65). Interestingly, etoposide and IR were documented to induce a transcription and retrotransposition of SINEs (66, 67). Based on these data, we speculated that the expression of human satellite repeats could be regulated through the induction of DDR.

Intriguingly, our newest results presented here describe that the strength with which HSATII RNA

affects viral processes in infected cells is cell-type specific, as in epithelial cells a much stronger

influence of HSATII RNA was seen on several aspects of viral replication when compared to its effects

in infected human fibroblasts. Importantly, we uncovered that HSATII induction is specifically regulated

by E2F3a isoform and involves a unique ATM-based, but p53-independent, DDR response pathway.

Moreover, our data provided evidence for a role of HSATII RNA in exasperating the aggressive

phenotype of breast cancer cells, localizing the newly described molecular mechanism of HSATII

induction as an important target for future antiviral and anticancer treatments.

182   **Results**

HSATII KD has strong effect on HCMV infection in epithelial cells. Our previous report described

the induction of satellite repeat expression in cells infected by herpesviruses and demonstrated that at

least one satellite RNA, HSATII RNA, positively affects viral gene expression, vDNA accumulation and

ultimately HCMV yield using human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) as an infection model system (41). Our

highly efficient and specific method of knocking down HSATII RNA produced a strong effect on viral

gene expression, however reduced the accumulation of vDNA by ~20% and viral infectious progeny by

a factor of ~8 when compared to control infected fibroblasts (41). Interestingly, our investigation

determined that kinetics of HSATII expression are accelerated and IE1/IE2-expression leads to

stronger higher levels of HSATII RNA in retinal pigment epithelial (ARPE-19) cells when compared to

HFFs (41). Intrigued by this fact and knowing that even though HCMV replication is most often studied

in fibroblasts, the virus infects a range of cell types, causing often biologically unique cellular changes

and underlining their specific roles in HCMV pathogenesis (68). The retinal pigment epithelium is the

primary site of HCMV infection in the eye and CMV retinitis is the most common CMV disease in

immunocompromised patients (69, 70). Therefore, we decided to analyze the effect of HSATII RNA on

viral infection in epithelial cells that support HCMV productive infection and were critical in establishing

the viral pentameric glycoprotein complex as essential for infection of epithelial, endothelial and myeloid

cells (71-77).

Similarly to results obtained using HFFs (41), ARPE-19 cells transfected with two individual HSATII-

LNAs (HSATII-LNA #1 or HSATII-LNA #2) or with their combination efficiently decreased HSATII

transcript levels by 78-86% or 96%, respectively, when compared to cells transfected with control LNA

(NT-LNA) (Figure 1A). To assess the effect of HSTAII RNA on HCMV infection in ARPE-19 cells, we

first monitored viral protein levels up to 96 hours post infection (hpi) with the epithelial cell-grown HCMV

strain TB40/E (TB40/E-GFP-epi). Cells were transfected with NT-LNA or with both HSATII-LNAs 24 h

before HCMV infection at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell and protein levels were analyzed using the western blot assay.

HSATII RNA did not affect IE1 protein levels up to 72 hpi, however, HSATII knockdown (KD) severely

decreased IE1 protein at 96 hpi (Figure 1B), which differs from kinetics of IE1 expression seen in

infected fibroblasts lacking HSATII RNA (41). Additionally, IE2 and the early and late viral proteins

accumulated to much lower levels in HSATII KD cells compared to control cells at each time tested

(Figure 1B). Consistent with perturbed viral protein expression, HSATII KD strongly reduced the level of

intracellular vDNA at 72 (~24x lower) and 96 (~5x lower) hpi compared to its levels in infected cells with

normal, high levels of HSATII RNA (Figure 1C). HSATII KD reduced the accumulation of infectious

virus at 96 and 120 hpi by a factor of ~1750 or ~800, respectively, when compared to viral yield from

NT-LNA-treated control cells as evaluated by the TCID50 assay (Figure 1D). Strikingly, the effect of

HSATII KD seen in ARPE-19 cells is ~150x stronger than what we observed in HFFs (41). To further

assess the effect of HSATII on virus production in ARPE-19 cells, we monitored the accumulation of

intracellular and extracellular virus at 96 hpi calculating plaque forming units (PFUs). In HSATII KD

cells, infectious virus was reduced inside infected cells by a factor of ~160 and the amount of virus

released from cells was decreased by a factor of ~100 when compared virus levels in media collected

from NT-LNA-treated cells (Figure 1E). These data demonstrate that HSATII RNA has at least 12-20x

more potent effect on viral progeny production in ARPE-19 cells as compared in HFFs. Observing that

ARPE-19 cells respond more robustly to fluctuations in HSATII RNA levels, we chose to use ARPE-19

cells in our investigations focused on identifying molecular mechanism(s) responsible for HSATII

induction.

DNA damage response regulates HSATII expression. The data showed so far in this report, together

with our previously published results (41), depict HSATII RNA as an important regulatory factor not only

in processes associated with viral infection but also affecting several cellular processes, such as protein

stability, posttranslational modifications, and cellular movement. Additionally, high expression of certain

satellite repeat transcripts correlated with lower survival rates of colon cancer patients (15) and were

suggested to affect the epigenetic regulation in cancer cells (11). Therefore, it is conceivable that

satellite repeat transcripts could enhance the fitness of both the virus and cancer cells, suggesting that

in both diseases a similar molecular mechanisms could be used for their induction. Our recent report

provided evidence that cooperation between at least two viral immediate early proteins (IE1, IE2) is

necessary for the robust induction of HSATII RNA expression (41). As HCMV IE1 and IE2 proteins are

known to regulate Rb and E2F protein families, affecting DDR induction and leading to efficient viral

replication (44, 45), we speculated that DDR could play a role in the expression of human satellite

repeats.

Initially, to test this hypothesis, ARPE-19 cells were stressed by UV-C irradiation, H_2O_2 treatment or

serum withdrawal as all these treatment lead to DDR activation (78-80). Based on RT-qPCR, the

exposure of ARPE-19 cells, which normally express HSATII RNAs at very low basal levels, to UV-C

energy, H_2O_2 or serum withdrawal did not result in a strong HSATII induction (Figure 2A), as seen in

virus-infected cells (100-1000x higher) (41). As a control for efficient DDR activation and induction of

p53 activity, the expression levels of p53-dependent cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1 (p21; encoded

by CDKN1A gene) (78) and p53-regulating Damage Induced Noncoding (DINO) lncRNA (81) were

monitored. As expected, both were elevated in cells exposed to UV-C irradiation, H_2O_2 treatment or

serum withdrawal (Figure 2A).

While UV-C irradiation is believed to cause DNA double-strand brakes (DSBs), the specific role of UV-

C in DNA damage depends on the replicative state of treated cells, as those breaks usually arise from

the replication of unrepaired UV-induced DNA lesions (82, 83). Therefore, it was possible that UV-C

treatment was either not efficient in inducing HSATII RNA or that the specific DNA damage induced

was not responsible for satellite repeat expression. As the creation of DNA DSBs was suggested to be

important to induce an expression of SINEs (66, 67), we next tested the effects of two DNA damaging

agents, zeocin and etoposide. Both drugs are known to induce DNA DSBs (84, 85) and, in the case of

etoposide, stimulated the expression of mouse B2 SINE RNA (66, 67). ARPE-29 cells were exposed to

increasing concentrations of zeocin or etoposide and HSATII, CDKN1A and DINO expression was

monitored. Zeocin caused rapid induction of HSATII transcription (~100x) starting at 25 μg/mL and

HSATII RNA levels increase in a dose-dependent manner reaching ~500x increase at the zeocin

concentration of 400 μg/mL when compared to HSATII RNA levels in control cells (Figure 2B).

Etoposide treatment also resulted in elevated HSATII RNA levels starting to be detected at 25 μM

(~50x increase), further increasing with a rising concentration of etoposide and being ~700x higher in

cells exposed to 500 μM of etoposide than HSATII RNA levels in control cells (Figure 2C). CDKN1A

and DINO expression closely trailed dynamics of HSATII RNA reaching 6-7-fold induction in cells

exposed to 400 μg/mL of zeocin (Figure 2B) and ~25-fold induction in cells treated with 500 μM of

etoposide (Figure 2C). Importantly, no ARPE-19 cell toxicity was detected at any concentrations of the

drugs tested at 24 hpt (Figure 2B and 2C). For our further studies, we decided to use 200 μg/mL of

zeocin and 200 μM of etoposide as both concentrations showed to elevate HSATII RNA to levels seen

in cells infected with HCMV at 3 TCID50/cell, therefore giving a good experimental conditions to

compare HCMV- and DNA damaging drug-based induction of HSATII RNA.

Upon exposing ARPE-19 cells to 200 μg/mL of zeocin or 200 μM of etoposide, we monitored kinetics of

HSATII, CDKN1A and DINO expression by RT-qPCR from 0 to 24 hours post treatment (hpt). Our

analysis demonstrated that HSATII expression starts to increase approximately 12 h upon the initial

treatment with either zeocin or etoposide, reaching levels equal to 300-fold induction at 24 hpt

compared to solvent control-treated cells (Figure 2D and 2E). Dynamics of CDKN1A and DINO

expression are accelerated compared to HSATII expression, as both transcripts reached their

maximum levels within the first 2-4 hpt (Figure 2D and 2E), suggesting a possible decoupling of

DDR/p53-dependent pathways from the mechanism regulating HSATII induction.

To compare effect of HCMV and zeocin on HSATII induction, ARPE-19 cells were mock- or HCMV

(TB40/E-GFP-epi)-infected at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell, or treated with 100 μg/mL of zeocin or H_2O as a solvent

control. Of note, the concentration of zeocin was adjusted to match levels of HSATII expression in cells

infected with HCMV at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell. After 24 h, RNA samples were collected, RNA was isolated and

analyzed using RNA-seq. RNA-seq reads were quality filtered and mapped to human genome

assembly hg19 using the STAR aligner (86). Read coverage tracks at the HSATII-rich locus on

chromosome 16 were visualized by the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) using STAR-created BAM

files. The data from mock-infected cells and untreated cells showed almost no reads mapped to this

HSATII locus (Figure 2F). However, this HSATII–rich locus spanning approximately 17 kb of DNA was

richly covered by HSATII-specific reads and the read coverage tracks showed a similar distribution of

read coverage peaks based on the RNA-seq data from HCMV-infected or zeocin-treated ARPE-19 cells

(Figure 2F). Therefore, these data strengthened our notion about common mechanisms responsible for

HSATII expression in both HCMV-infected and DNA damaging drug-treated cells and about possibilities

to identify them using these methods of inducing the expression of satellite repeat transcripts.

Zeocin partially mimics transcriptional effect of HCMV in treated cells. To assess common

biological processes regulated in infected cells and cells with chemically damaged DNA, ARPE-19 cells

were mock- or HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi)-infected at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell, or treated with 100 μg/mL of zeocin

or H_2O as a solvent control. The RNA-seq analysis determined that 567 cellular genes were

significantly ($q < 0.05$) expressed in HCMV-infected and 827 genes in zeocin-treated cells (Figure 3A).

Additionally, our analysis discovered that the same 87 differentially expressed genes were regulated in

both HCMV-infected and zeocin-treated cells (Figure 3A). Those genes upon our further analysis based

on the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) (87) using the hallmark gene set of the Molecular

Signatures Database (MSigDB) (88) were strongly associated with gene subsets related to E2F targets,

cell cycle checkpoints, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, interferon response, mTOR1 and

hedgehog signaling pathways, DNA repair and apoptosis (Figure 3B). As we were interested in

determining the common molecular mechanisms that govern HSATII induction in infected and zeocin-

treated cells, we focused our attention on the most significantly enriched process associated with the

family of E2F transcription factors.

Transcription factor E2F3a regulates HSATII expression. Knowing that the Rb proteins are

regulated during HCMV infection (89-91), we decided to screen Rb-regulated E2F1-E2F5 for their role

in the HSATII expression. ARPE-19 cells were transfected with control siRNA (NT siRNA) or specific

siRNAs targeting E2F1, E2F2, E2F3, E2F4 or E2F5. 24 h later, the cells were infected with HCMV

(TB40/E-GFP-epi) at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell. RT-qPCR assay performed on total RNA collected at 24 hpi

uncovered that all specific siRNAs efficiently downregulated their target transcripts (E2F1 by 86%;

E2F2 by 91%, E2F3a by 79%, E2F3b by 75%; E2F4 by 66% and E2F5 by 83%) compared to their

expression in control cells (Figure 4A). However, only E2F3 KD led to a strong (84%) decrease of

HSATII RNA (Figure 4A). Of note, E2F3 siRNA used in our studies decreased expression of both E2F3

isoforms. The E2F3 locus encodes two isoforms, E2F3a and E2F3b (92, 93), which are generated from

two separate promoters (94). E2F3a and E2F3b were reported to have often overlapping but also

different effects on biological processes (95-98). Classically, E2F3a is considered a transcriptional

activator and E2F3b is a transcriptional repressor (46, 47).

Our previous report documented that two HCMV proteins IE1 and IE2 cooperate to induce HSATII

expression (41), thus we were intrigued by a possibility of IE1 and IE2 regulating HSATII induction

through E2F3a/b. To investigate this idea, we used ARPE-19 cells containing tetracycline-inducible

system for the expression of HCMV IE1 and IE2 proteins (41). These cells were transfected with E2F3

siRNA 24 h before cells were infected with HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell. Again E2F3

siRNA-treated cells showed an efficient E2F3a and E2F3b KD (by 84% and 87%, respectively)

compared to NT siRNA-transfected cells (Figure 4B). Importantly, E2F3a/b KD led to a substantial

decrease (by 85%) of HSATII RNA at 48 h post the doxycycline treatment as compared to its levels in

IE1/IE2-expressing cells transfected with control siRNA (Figure 4B).

To distinguish if one of E2F3 isoforms is more important in regulating HSATII expression, we decided to

overexpress E2F3a and E2F3b isoforms separately. ARPE-19 cells were transfected either with

plasmids expressing E2F3a or E2F3b (pCMV-Neo-Bam E2F3a or pCMV-Neo-Bam E2F3b (99),

respectively), E2F1 or E2F2 (pCMVHA E2F1 or pCMVHA E2F2 (100), respectively) or control plasmid

pCMV-Neo-Bam (101). 72 h post transfection, RNA samples were collected. Our RT-qPCR analysis

uncovered that only cells expressing E2F3a showed a significant (~12-fold) increase in HSATII RNA

and, notably, the expression of E2F3b isoform had even a counteracting effect on HSATII expression

(Figure 4C). Additionally, the elevated expression of E2F1 and E2F2 did not result in the HSATII

induction (Figure 4C), which support our results suggesting among E2Fs a sole involvement of E2F3 in

HSATII induction (Figure 4A and 4B).

As elevated expression of E2F3a led to increase levels of HSATII in our experiments, there was a

possibility that this transcription factor is also elevated in HCMV-infected cells and/or cells treated with

DNA damaging agents. To evaluate this idea, we tested E2F3a expression at 24 h post HCMV infection

or treatments with either zeocin or etoposide. Our analysis determined that, even though with different

intensities, exposures to the virus or DNA damaging drugs resulted with a significant increase of E2F3a

expression (Figure 4D).

Interestingly, our western blot analysis of E2F3a protein levels in HCMV-infected and IE1/IE2-

expressing cells discovered that E2F3a isoform became elevated in HCMV-infected cells only at 72 and

96 hpi (Figure 4E). On the other hand, IE1/IE2-expressing cells were characterized by a strong

expression of E2F3a even at 24 h post doxycycline treatment (Figure 4E). Being aware that IE1/IE2-

expressing cells have slower dynamics of HSATII expression compared to its expression in HCMV-

infected cells (41), we speculate that E2F3a is not solely responsible for HSATII induction and other

unidentified transcription factors might be also involved in the high expression of HSATII seen in

HCMV-infected and DNA damaging drug-treated cells.

Our data strongly support HSATII RNA as an important regulator of viral gene expression [Figure 1 and

(41)]. As we established the importance of E2F3a isoform in HSATII expression, we wondered what

effect E2F3 has on viral gene expression. Therefore, we transfected ARPE-19 cells with control siRNA

or E2F3 siRNA 24 h before HCMV infection. RNA samples were collected at 48 hpi and viral gene

expression was assessed by monitoring levels of UL123, UL37x1, UL26, UL54, UL 82 and UL99 viral

transcripts. Our analysis determined that except UL123, which mirrored the effect of HSATII RNA on

viral proteins (Figure 1B), all tested viral transcripts were negatively affected in E2F3 KD cells when

compared to control cells (Figure 4F).

As E2F3a emerged from our investigation as an important regulator of HSATII expression in both

HCMV-infected and DNA damaging drug-treated cells, we were curious if the activation of DDR may

provide an advantage for HCMV infection. We treated ARPE-19 cells with 200 μg/mL of zeocin and 24

h later the cells were infected with HCMV. Media from control cells and zeocin-pretreated cells was

collected at 96 hpi to assess virus yield. Results obtained from the TCID50 assay showed that Zeocin-

pretreated cells produced in average 6x more infectious progeny than control cells (Figure 4G),

demonstrating a favorable environment for viral infection in cells with the activated DNA DSB-based

DDR. Therefore, it became even more important to determine what aspects of DDR lead to HSATII

expression and ultimately efficient viral replication.

ATM-based DNA damage response regulates HSATII expression. As the Rb/E2F regulation is

advantageously utilized during HCMV infection (44, 45, 89-91) and the transcriptional activity of E2Fs is

know to regulate DDR (102-104), we focused our attention on identifying DDR pathway(s) involved in

HSATII expression. The type of DNA damage governs the activation of specific DDR pathways

involving ATM, ATR and/or DNA-PK (58, 60, 105) and the establishment of γ-H2AX is considered as a

sensitive marker for DNA DSBs (59).

Initially, we tested the ability of HCMV or zeocin to induce γ-H2AX. ARPE-19 cells were left untreated,

HCMV (TB49/E-GFP-epi)-infected at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell, or treated with 100 μg/mL of zeocin for 24 hours.

Cells were fixed, stained with antibodies recognizing γ-H2AX proteins, nuclei were counerstained with

the Hoechst stain and representative microscopy pictures were taken. The immunofluorescence-based

assay showed that both HCMV-infected and zeocin-treated cells had elevated signal for γ-H2AX,

however, cells exposed to zeocin were characterized by a much higher number of γ-H2AX-positive cells

(65%) than HCMV-infected cells (14%) (Figure 5A and 5B).

Knowing that both HCMV and trigger the accumulation of γ-H2AX in treated cells, we decided to

scrutinize possible contributions of ATM, ATR and/or DNA-PK in the induction of HSATII RNA. ARPE-

19 cells were transfected with NT siRNA or specific siRNAs targeting ATM, ATR or PRKDC transcripts.

24 h later, the cells were infected with HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell, or treated with 100

μg/mL of zeocin for 24 h. All specific siRNAs produced strong (75-90% decrease) downregulation of

targeted transcripts (Figure 5C and 5D). However, only ATM siRNA treatment led to a significant

decrease of HSATII RNA levels (~70% decrease) either in HCMV-infected or zeocin-treated cells

(Figure 5C and 5D).

To confirm the ATM involvement in HSATII expression uncovered by our siRNA-based screen, we used

ataxia-telangiectasia [A-T (-)] fibroblasts that possess a functionally mutated ATM gene as well as a

derivative of this cell line, A-T (+) cells with a stably expressed functional ATM [A-T (+)] (106, 107).

Cells were either mock- or HCMV (TB40/E-GFP)-infected at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell, exposed to solvent controls,

H2O or DMSO, or treated with 100 μg/mL of zeocin or 100 μM of etoposide for 24 h. Infected or DNA

damaging drug-treated A-T (+) cells were characterized by a strong induction of HSATII RNA

(increased by 71x, 137x and 6x in HCMV-, zeocin- and etoposide-treated cells, respectively) and,

except HCMV-infected cells, treatments also led to the higher expression of CDKN1A and DINO, again

suggesting lack of p53-dependent regulation in HSATII induction (Figure 5E). A-T (-) cells had about 6-

fold lower expression of HSATII upon HCMV infection or treatments with DNA damaging drugs when

compared to its expression in A-T (+) cells (Figure 5E). Moreover, zeocin and etoposide treatments, but

not HCMV infection, of A-T (-) cells resulted in significantly lower expression levels of CDKN1A and

DINO (~15x and ~6x lower, respectively) when compared to their expression in A-T (+) cells (Figure

5E). Together these data strongly indicate that among DDR regulatory factors ATM solely plays an

important role in HSATII expression.

A-T (-) cells possess a missense mutation in the carboxy-terminal PI 3-kinase-like domain that leads to

very low levels of mutated ATM protein (107). As ATM mostly exercises its function through its kinase

activity (60-62), we intended to directly assess the importance of ATM kinase activity in HSATII

induction using Ku-55933 or AZ31 [two specific ATM kinase inhibitors (108, 109)]. Ku-55933 and AZ31

did not show any strong effect on viability of treated cells when tested at concentrations up to 50 μM

using a 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium

(MTS)-based CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Figure 5G). However,

because we noticed some morphological changes in treated cells at the highest (50 μM) concentration,

we decided to use Ku-55933 and AZ31 at the concentration of 20 μM, which is in a range of

concentrations these drugs have been used by others (108-110).

ARPE-19 cells were treated for 2 h with either Ku-55933 or AZ31 at the concentration of 20 μM or

DMSO as a solvent control. Next, cells were treated with zeocin at the concentration of 100 μg/mL.

Remarkably, we determined that at 24 hpt neither Ku-55933 nor AZ31 inhibitors affected HSATII RNA

levels (Figure 5F). However, as suspected in case of an effective inhibition of ATM kinase activity, both

treatments negatively (~70% decrease) affected expression of CDKN1A and DINO transcripts when

compared to DMSO-treated control cells (Figure 5F). Based on our results, we suggest that HSATII

expression is regulated via ATM but independently from its kinase activity.

HSATII expression is induced *via* Chk1/2- and p53-independent pathway. To evaluate our

hypothesis about ATM kinase-independent induction of HSATII, we focused on the ATM downstream

signaling events. ATM and ATR together with Chk1 and Chk2, encoded respectively by CHEK1 and

CHEK2 genes, create evolutionary conserved ATM-Chk2 and ATR-Chk1 signaling cascades regulating

the p53 activity in a context dependent manner (60-62).

Based on our data, we hypothesized that among the two protein kinase cascades the ATM-Chk2 had

the highest possibility of being involved in HSATII induction. To test this idea, we utilized siRNA-based

knockdown of CHEK1 and CHEK2 transcripts. 24 hours prior HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi)-infection at 1

$TCID_{50}$/cell or the treatment of zeocin at the concentration of 100 μg/mL, ARPE-19 cells were

transfected with NT siRNA or siRNA specifically targeting CHEK1 and CHEK2 transcripts, resulting in a

robust (98% and 75%, respectively) decrease of these transcripts at 24 hpi/hpt (Figure 6A). However,

we did not detect any statistically significant effect of CHEK1 or CHEK2 KDs on HSATII induction

neither in HCMV-infected nor zeocin-treated cells when compared to their expression in control cells

(Figure 6A), suggesting that the canonical ATM-Chk2-dependent signaling does not play an important

role in the expression of HSATII.

Intrigued by these results, we decided to investigate also the involvement of p53 in HSATII induction.

Initially ARPE-19 cells were infected with HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell or treated with 100

μg/mL of zeocin and CDKN1A and DINO expression were monitored at 24 and 120 hpi/hpt to assess

activity of p53. Corresponding to our results obtained from ataxia telangiectesia cells (Figure 5E),

HCMV infection did not affect levels of the p53-regulated CDKN1A (p21) and DINO lncRNA at 24 hpi

(Figure 6B). However, their levels increased in HCMV-infected cells at 120 hpi (Figure 6C), supporting

previously reported effect of HCMV IE proteins on p21 activity (111-113). Of note, a decrease of p21

protein level was also observed in HCMV-infected cells, a discrepancy that might be related to the use

of different experimental designs, cell types and/or culture conditions (114). On the other hand, cells

treated with zeocin were characterized by elevated expression of CDKN1A and DINO at both tested

time points (Figure 6B and 6C). Importantly, all those treatments led to dramatic (200-500x) increase in

HSATII RNA at 24 and 120 hpi/hpt when compared to untreated cells (Figure 6B and 6C).

Next, control and p53 KD ARPE-19 cells were HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi)-infected or treated with 100

μg/mL of zeocin and again HSATII, CDKN1A and DINO expression were assessed at 24 and 120

hpi/hpt. TP53 siRNA strongly (by ~83% and 87%) decreased targeted transcript at both times tested

when compared to TP53 expression in NT siRNA-treated cells (Figure 6D and 6E). Importantly, TP53

siRNA had very limited effect (10% decrease) on HSATII expression and it was only seen at 120 hpi

when compared to control cells (Figure 6E). All other experimental condition tested either did not have

any effect or slightly increased HSATII expression, as it was seen in zeocin-treated cells at 120 hpt

(Figure 6E).

Interestingly, p53 KD did not influence CDKN1A and DINO expression at 24 h post HCMV infection

(Figure 6D), but significantly (~65%) decreased both transcripts at 120 hpi. In comparison, p53 KD

strongly (80% decreased) affected CDKN1A and DINO expression at both 24 and 120 h post zeocin

treatment (Figure 6E). Together, our results strongly support a notion of p53- and canonical ATM

kinase-independent regulation of HSATII expression.

DNA damage-induced HSATII RNA enhances motility and proliferation of breast cancer cells.

Due to the high expression of E2Fs in breast cancers, recently these transcription factors have been

suggested as promising biomarkers and therapeutic targets for breast cancers treatments (115).

Additionally, ATM mutations that cause in biallelic individuals ataxia-telangiectasia were found to

present a higher risk of developing breast cancer in ATM heterozygotes (116, 117). As our results

indicated that HSATII induction is regulated via the ATM kinase-independent mechanism, a high

HSATII expression was detected in several cancers, including breast cancer (9, 11) and a positive

correlation between HSATII expression and cancer patients survival was documented (15), we wanted

to assess HSATII expression levels and the effect of DDR-inducing drugs on breast cancer cell lines

characterized by varying degree of p53 status, hormonal dependency and tumor aggressiveness. We

tested less invasive: MDA-MB-175VII (ER+, PR-, HER2-, p53 wt), MDA-MB-361 (ER+, PR+/-, HER2-,

p53 wt), MCF7 (ER+, PR+, HER2-, p53 wt), more metastatic triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell

lines with mutated p53: SUM1315M02, MDA-MB-231, BT-549 in comparison with MCF-10A, a breast

epithelial cells, and ARPE-19 cells (118). Cells were cultured to allow adherence using identical media

conditions to prevent variations in results potentially caused by different culture conditions. Upon

reaching 80-90% confluency, RNA samples were collected. Our RT-qPCR-based assay determined

that the basal levels of HSATII RNA in MCF-10A, MCF-7, MDA-MB-175VII and MDA-MB-361 were 5-

6x higher when compared to HSATII expression in ARPE-19 cells (Figure 7A). Importantly, our assay

found that SUM1315M02, MDA-MB-231, BT-549 were characterized with higher levels of HSATII RNA

compared to less invasive breast cancer cells or ARPE-19 cells (Figure 7A).

Seeing these results, we decided to test a response of MCF-7, MCF-10A, MDA-MB-361 and

SUM1315MO2 cells to the zeocin treatment assaying for HSATII RNA. Cells were treated with 200

μg/mL of zeocin and HSATII expression was assessed at 24 and 96 hpt. All tested cells responded to

zeocin by elevating levels of HSATII RNA compared to its levels in solvent control-treated cells (Figure

7B). Additionally, the longer exposure (96 h) of cells to the DNA damaging drug correlated with an even

higher expression of HSATII (Figure 7B).

In our previous report, high HSATII RNA levels were showed to positively affect motility of HCMV-

infected ARPE-19 cells (41) and our RNA-seq analysis also determined that genes commonly regulated

by HCMV and zeocin associated with EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION gene set (Figure

3B). As we uncovered that DNA damaging drugs led to the high HSATII expression in treated cells, we

wondered if zeocin treatment might influence migratory characteristics of exposed cells. To test this

idea, ARPE-19, MCF-7 and SUM1315MO2 cells were treated with 200 μg/mL of zeocin or DMSO as a

solvent control for 24 h. Next, the transwell migration assay (119) was performed using equal numbers

of cells and cell motility was assessed 24 h later. Our analysis uncovered that both ARPE-19 and MCF-

7 cells showed an increased (~ 70% and 100% increase, respectively) migratory phenotype upon

zeocin treatment when compared to solvent control-treated cells (Figure 7C). Interestingly, this

phenomenon was not observed when SUM1315MO2 were treated with zeocin. Even to the contrary,

zeocin led to even a slight decrease in motility of SUM1315MO2 cells (Figure 7C), suggesting that cells

characterized by high HSATII RNA levels are less sensitive to zeocin treatment.

As MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2 cells are highly metastatic (120, 121), we decided to test

effects of HSATII KD on the migratory abilities of these three TNBC cells using the transwell migration

(119) and wound healing (122) assays. MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2 cells were

transfected with either NT-LNA or HSATII-LNAs. 48 h later the motility of cancer cells was assessed, as

we previously described (41). Our data demonstrated that HSATII-deficient MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and

SUM1315 cells were characterized by significantly lower migratory abilities than control-treated cells

with MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells (20- and 5-fold decrease, respectively) being the most sensitive to

the treatment with HSATII-LNAs (Figure 7D).

To perform the wound healing assay, cells were treated with either NT-LNA or HSATII-LNAs and after

24 h monolayers of MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2 cells were scratched and the closure of

wounds was monitored in time. Similarly to results obtained in the transwell migration, the wound

healing assay demonstrated that HSATII-deficient MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315 cells were

significantly slower in closing wounds compared to control treated cells (Figure 7E).

As the aggressiveness of TNBC cells is also related to their high proliferation rates, we were interested

in testing the effects of HSATII KD on the proliferation of MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2

cells using the CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay. MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and

SUM1315MO2 cells were transfected with either NT-LNA or HSATII-LNAs and 24 h later equal

numbers of cells were seeded and cell proliferation monitored for 5 consecutive days. Starting at day 2

for MDA-MB-231 and BT-549 cells and at day 3 post seeding for SUM1315MO2 cells, HSATII RNA KD

caused significantly lower proliferation rates when compared with control treated cells (Figure 7F).

Discussion

Satellite repeat transcripts, originating from ubiquitous in human genome SatDNAs, only recently have

been described as factors playing important biological roles in chromosome organization and

segregation, kinetochore formation as well as heterochromatin regulation (2-5). Many of these ncRNAs,

are highly expressed in cancer cells, including HSATII RNA (9, 11), which elevated levels were showed

to associate with lower survival of colon cancer patients (15) and its nucleotide CpG-rich sequence was

found to be immunostimulatory (12). However, as the expression of some satellite repeat transcripts

was triggered by stress, apoptosis, differentiation or cellular senescence (16-18), HSATII induction was

indifferent to these cellular programs and was stimulated in colon cancer cells when those cells were

only grown in a 3D culture conditions or as xenografts in mice (15), creating technical challenges for

studies aimed to investigate mechanisms responsible for the robust elevation of satellite repeat RNAs

and their effects on cellular biology.

In our recent report, we demonstrated for the first time that viral infection, specifically infection with

HCMV and HSV, significantly induced HSATII RNA, among other satellite repeat transcripts, to the

same extent as reported for tumor cells (41). Our studies not only showed that viral infection could be

used as a controlled method of inducing satellite repeat RNAs, but allows an expedited interrogation of

biological importance of these ncRNAs. Our investigation established that HSATII RNA is an important

factor allowing for efficient HCMV infection through its effects on viral gene expression, cellular

localization of viral proteins and production of infectious particles (41). Even though, our studies

determined HSATII RNA having a broad effect on viral replication cycle in fibroblasts, we noticed that

HSATII KD had a somewhat subdued effect of viral DNA accumulation and caused only about 8-fold

decrease of viral yield when compared to control infected fibroblasts. Due to high viral titers produced

by HCMV-infected fibroblasts, these cells became an infectious cell culture model of choice. However,

HCMV infects several cell types, leading often to biologically unique cellular change, thus highlight their

specific roles in HCMV pathogenesis (68). While further developing our studies focused on satellite

repeat transcripts, we noticed that these unique cellular changes, existing between cell types infected

by HCMV, might be also important for the HSATII RNA-based regulation.

HCMV infection in epithelial cells was found to be more sensitive to LNA-based knockdown of HSATII

RNA (Figure 1) when compared to the effects of HSATII KD in infected fibroblasts (41). Our

experiments demonstrated that HSATII RNA deficiencies had a strong negative effect on viral protein

levels, accumulation of viral DNA and viral yield, cumulatively accounting for at least 12-20x more

potent effect on viral progeny production in ARPE-19 cells when compared to HFFs.

We speculate that these clear differences in the strength in which HSATII RNA affects HCMV infection

in both cell types are probably multifactorial. Except obvious morphological differences between

fibroblasts and epithelial cells (123), the cells were documented to respond differently to extracellular

stimuli (124) and to navigate specific splicing programs that lead to diverse makeup of protein isoforms

and plausibly an array of differentially regulated biological processes (125). Even though,

transcriptomes of fibroblasts and epithelial cells showed to be preferentially concordantly regulated

upon HCMV infection, these gene expression profiles were not perfectly overlapping and indicated also

some cell specific behavior (126). Additionally, HCMV undergoes different mutational pressures when

grown in fibroblast or epithelial cells, as the loss of a broad tropism, production of high titers of cell-free

virus and lack of resistance to NK cell-mediated apoptosis are well-documented phenomena seen in

fibroblasts (71-73, 127-131). With our previously demonstrated more rapid kinetics of HSATII

expression in epithelial cells when compared to fibroblasts (41), our current results provide an

additional line of evidence demonstrating differences in response of HSATII RNA between both cell

types. Speculatively, it can be hypothesized that differences in protein compositions, related to distinct

splicing programs seen in fibroblasts and epithelial cells (125), might lead to differences in their

sensitivity to HSATII RNA KD. Therefore, as a more robust system, we chose to use ARPE-19 cells in

our investigations focused on identifying molecular mechanism(s) responsible for HSATII induction.

Our earlier report described the cooperation between HCMV IE1 and IE2 proteins as necessary for the

induction of HSATII RNA expression (41). Based on the IE1- and IE2-driven regulation of Rb and E2F

family of proteins, leading to DDR induction and positively affecting HCMV replication (44, 45), we

speculated that DDR could be involved in the regulation of HSATII expression. Corresponding with data

gather by Bersani *et al.* studying cancer cells (15), our results from epithelial cells demonstrated that

HSATII induction is not a blind cellular response to any stressor, as UV-irradiation, oxidative stress or

serum withdrawal did not result in a robust HSATII expression, however, these cell stressors inducted

the p53 activity as measured by the enhanced expression of CDKN1A and DINO (Figure 2A). Knowing

that DNA DSBs were suggested to induce the expression of SINEs (66, 67), we expanded our

examination to testing effects of DNA DSBs on HSATII induction. We turned our attention to two DNA

damaging agents, zeocin and etoposide, which are known to induce DNA DSBs (84, 85) and, in the

case of etoposide, stimulated the expression of mouse B2 SINE RNA (66, 67). Our study demonstrated

that not only these chemical compounds induce HSATII RNA in a time-dependent manner similar to the

effect of HCMV (Figure 2D ad 2E), but also they provided a novel, well controlled (in a dose-dependent

fashion) method of inducing HSATII RNA (Figure 2B and 2C), allowing us to fine-tune the experimental

conditions to closely match the strength of HSATII induction seen in HCMV-infected cells to the one

produced upon exposing cells to zeocin or etoposide (Figure 2). Therefore, our data not only provided a

strong evidence for the DNA DSB-driven DDR response as the trigger of HSATII expression, but also

equipped us with an additional, virus-independent, method of analyzing satellite repeat expression.

Generated data guided our conclusion that both virus and DNA damaging drugs may utilize the same

molecular mechanism to regulate HSATII expression. Therefore, we decided to assess similarities in

transcriptomes of HCMV-infected and zeocin-treated cells to lead our further investigation into DDR-

mediated satellite repeat expression. Based on our RNA-seq analysis, we uncovered that ~15% of

HCMV-regulated genes and ~11% of genes significantly regulated upon zeocin treatment were

collectively regulated in both experimental conditions. When those genes were analyzed by GSEA for

their association with MSigDB HALLMARK gene sets, we determined that they aligned with

G2M_CHECKPOINT, EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION,

INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE, MTORC1_SIGNALING, DNA_REPAIR, APOPTOSIS gene sets

and the most significant overrepresentation seen in E2F_TARGETS gene set (Figure 3), providing an

additional support to our notion of the E2F-DDR pathway are involved in HSATII induction. Additionally,

we were intrigued that the genes commonly regulated by HCMV and zeocin significantly overlapped

with those genes associated with the process of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, which correlated

with the role of HSATII RNA in cell migration, previously documented by us (41). These results suggest

possible unrealized repercussions for cells exposed to DNA damaging agents during chemotherapy

and a central role HSAT RNA may play in these responses.

In our effort to identify components of DDR pathway important in HSATII induction and being guided by

the results of our RNA-seq analysis, we focused our efforts on the E2F family of proteins. Our siRNA-

based screen determined that among Rb-regulated factors only siRNA affecting E2F3a and E2F3b

RNA levels led to the strong decrease of HSATII RNA in HCMV-infected cells (Figure 4A). The same

effect was also seen in IE1/IE2-overexpressing cells (Figure 4B), supporting our hypothesis that DDR

induction is triggered by a cooperative action of these two viral proteins and their subsequent effect on

Rb/E2F3 ultimately leads to the elevated accumulation of HSATII RNA. Dependent on the biological

context, E2F3a and E2F3b were reported to have either contrasting or partially overlapping

transcriptional activities (46, 47, 95-98). Thus, it became important to identify what contributions both

E2F3 isoforms have to HSATII expression. Our results showed that among E2F1, E2F2 and E2F3s,

only E2F3a, which is classically considered a transcriptional activator, positively influenced HSATII

expression (Figure 4C). Importantly, E2F3a was not only elevated in HCMV-infected, but also in zeocin-

and etoposide-treated cells (Figure 4D), suggesting that even though DNA damaging drugs directly

effect DNA stability and induce DDR pathway, especially in case of etoposide treatment, the elevated

expression of E2F3a can contribute to the overall accumulation of HSATII RNA in treated cells.

Interestingly, when we analyzed E2F3a protein levels in HCMV-infected and IE1/IE2-expressing cells,

the kinetics of HSATII expression did not correlated with the abundance of E2F3a in these cells, as the

protein was detected at later times post infection (Figure 4E). On the other hand, E2F3a seemed to be

constitutively expressed in IE1/IE2-expressing cells (Figure 4E) and those cells are characterized by a

slower HSATII kinetics when compared to infected cells (41). Therefore, we speculate that E2F3a is not

solely responsible for HSATII induction and other unidentified transcription factors might be important

for the high expression of HSATII seen in HCMV-infected and DNA damaging drug-treated cells.

To conclusively associate E2F3-driven regulation to HSATII expression, we demonstrated that E2F3

siRNA significantly decreased the expression of most viral transcripts tested (Figure 4F), providing an

important connection between E2F3/DDR-driven HSATII induction, viral gene expression regulated by

this satellite repeat transcript (41) and its ultimate effect on viral progeny production, as showed by an

increased viral load produced by cells treated with zeocin (Figure 4G).

The question remains why E2F3a, but not other Rb-regulated E2Fs, such as E2F1 and E2F2,

specifically drives HSATII expression. E2F1 was previously showed to contribute to the DDR induction

and to aid to HCMV replication, but neither E2F2 nor E2F3a/b were showed to affect HCMV yield (132).

We suggest that these discrepancies might be related to differences in cell types used and, additionally,

we determined that it was critical for enhancing siRNA KD efficiency to extend siRNA treatment to 2-3

days before infecting cells, as these conditions allowed for efficient KDs and to appreciate siRNA

effects on HSATII expression and viral yields.

Seeing the specificity of E2F3a in driving HSATII expression and a favorable environment for viral

infection in cells with the activated DNA DSB-based DDR, it became even more important to determine

what aspects of DDR lead to HSATII expression and ultimately efficient viral replication.

Depending on the type of DNA damage different arms of DDR are activated, including ATM-, ATR- or

DNA-PK-based pathways (58, 60, 105).

Our data collaborated with an earlier study, which investigated a role of DDR in HCMV-infected cells

(132), as we demonstrated that both HCMV and zeocin lead at 24 hpi/hpt to increased phosphorylation

of H2AX histone. However, it needs to be noted that zeocin had a much stronger effect on H2AX

phosphorylation than HCMV infection (Figure 5A and 5B), which could be explained by a more direct

effect of the drug on DNA stability and faster kinetics of DDR response in zeocin-treated cells. Knowing

that both HCMV and zeocin trigger the accumulation of γ-H2AX and our results strongly supported the

importance of DNA DSBs in HSATII expression, we hypothesized that ATM pathway is the most

probable DDR response that could influence the induction of satellite repeat transcripts. Our siRNA-

based screen strongly supported this notion as either in HCMV-infected or in zeocin-treated cells only

ATM KD, and not ATR or DNA-PK KDs, resulted in substantial downregulation of HSATII expression

(Figure 5C and 5D). An additional confirmation of a central role of ATM in HSATII induction came also

from our studies performed on HCMV-infected, zeocin- or etoposide-treated ataxia-telangiectasia

fibroblasts. In each experimental condition tested, cells with mutated ATM gene were characterized by

significantly lower levels of HSATII RNA when compared to cells expressing a functional ATM protein

(Figure 5E). Therefore, our investigation uncovered a novel regulatory mechanism, in which ATM

governs the induction of HSATII RNA and through this effect on the satellite repeat expression

positively influences HCMV replication, providing a molecular explanation to the ATM role during HCMV

infection reported by Xiaofei et al. (132). Surprisingly, when we tried to specifically assess the role of

ATM kinase activity by inhibiting its function with two specific inhibitors, Ku-55933 and AZ31 (108, 109),

cells treated with these chemical compounds did not respond with a lower expression of HSATII (Figure

5F). However, as suspected both treatments negatively affected expression of CDKN1A and DINO

transcripts when compared to control cells (Figure 5F), suggesting that ATM kinase activity is

dispensable in the regulation of HSATII expression.

In support to the ATM-based but its kinase-independent effect on HSATII induction, we also determined

that at 24 h and 120 h post HCMV infection or zeocin treatment ATM-regulated Chk1, Chk2 or p53

showed no effect on HSATII expression (Figure 6A, 6D and 6E). However, zeocin at both tested times

and HCMV late in infection showed stimulation of the p53 activity (Figure 6B and 6C).

Together, our data suggest a specific bypassing of ATM function away from its canonical kinase-based

activity for the induction of HSATII.

Interestingly, ATM was reported to have DDR-independent roles, including its regulation of glucose

metabolism (133) and NF-κB activity (134) - both processes tightly associated with HCMV infection

(135-143). Moreover, ATM kinase-independent function was described for ATM interacting with E3

ubiquitin ligase during the induction of mitophagy (141). As the mitochondrial homeostasis is central for

HCMV replication (144-146), ATM kinase-independent induction of HSATII expression may provide an

unappreciated link to molecular regulation of these processes.

Notably, glucose metabolism, NF-κB activity and mitophagy play important roles in cancer biology (147-

152). Additionally, E2Fs are highly expressed in breast cancers, E2F3a was demonstrated to stimulate

cell proliferation and carcinogenesis (98, 153) and ATM has multiple effects on cancer cell biology

(154). Together with the knowledge that a high HSATII expression was detected in several cancers (9,

11), HSATII levels correlated with survival of cancer patients (15) and satellite repeat transcripts in

blood plasma have been considered as tumor markers (155, 156), we had a strong belief that HSATII

RNA may play an important role in biology of cancer cells and that the use of DNA damaging drugs

may provide, thorough elevated levels of HSATII RNA, a favorable environment aiding to

oncomodulatory processes.

Breast cancers are a leading cause of cancer-related mortality in women (53) and a presence of HCMV

has been reported in several cancers, including breast cancer (39, 157-160). By assessing HSATII

expression levels in breast cancer cell lines characterized by varying degree of p53 status, hormonal

dependency and tumor aggressiveness, we determined that there was a tendency of highly metastatic

breast cancer cells to have enhanced induction of HSATII expression compared to cells with a less

invasive phenotype and wild type status of p53 (Figure 7A). Importantly, we also uncovered that breast

cancer cells, independently to their initial levels of HSATII RNA, were prone to induce a robust

expression of satellite repeats upon zeocin treatment (Figure 7B). However, only cells characterized by

a low basal expression of HSATII RNA, i.e. ARPE-19 and MCF-7 cells, showed enhanced migratory

phenotype when exposed to zeocin (Figure 7C), supporting our previous report describing the role of

HSATII RNA in enhanced migration of HCMV-infected cells (41) and suggesting that the level of

HSATII RNA may determine the fate of cells upon their exposure to DNA damaging agents. These

results prompt to speculate that a wide usage of DNA damaging agents as chemotherapeutics may

lead to unforeseen HSATII RNA-based oncomodulatory processes in non- or pre-cancerous cells.

Importantly, these therapies often lead to drug resistance (55, 56) and raise concerns about a

development of *de novo* primary tumors (57), highlighting a possible role of overexpressed HSATII

RNA in these clinical disease manifestations. Even though, zeocin treatment was not able to enhanced

migration of already highly invasive SUM1315MO2, our studies showed a very strong reliance of

metastatic MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2 cells on HSATII RNA-based regulation for their

migratory phenotype (Figure 7D and 7E) and for their high proliferative capabilities (Figure 7F),

suggesting HSATII RNA as a potential candidate for anti-cancer treatments.

Significant progress has been made in increasing the arsenal of effective anti-cancer drugs, including

DNA damaging agents (55, 56). However, the toxicity and temporary remissions that are common for

these drugs (55, 56), highlight the need for a comprehensive understanding of biological mechanisms

regulated by DNA damaging agents. It is tempting to speculate that DNA damaging agents could have

a broad stimulatory effect on the expression of satellite repeats in exposed cells, changing cellular

regulatory pathways and ultimately leading to oncogenic changes in those cells.

The use of viruses or DNA damaging agents provides a inducible and highly controlled cellular systems

that allow detailed analysis of the roles of these ncRNAs play in normal and cancer cells.

Together with the knowledge that E2F3a stimulates cell proliferation and carcinogenesis (98, 153) and

ATM has multiple effects on cancer cell biology (154), our investigation provides the molecular

mechanism that links a high expression of HSATII RNA to the E2F3a-initiated induction of DDR,

centered around kinase-independent functions of ATM, and to processes critical for efficient viral

infection, cancer cell migration and proliferation.

Therefore, our studies uncover several therapeutic targets that could be use in efforts to develop novel

antiviral and anticancer interventions.

Methods

Cells, viruses and drugs. Human retinal pigment epithelial (ARPE-19) (25) and breast epithelial

(MCF-10A) cells as well as breast cancer cell lines: MCF-7, MDA-MB-175VII, MDA-MB-361, and MDA-

MB-231 were from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). SUM1315MO2 breast cancer cells

(161) were generously provided by Stephen Ethier (Medical University of South Carolina). A-T (-)

fibroblasts, originally named AT22IJE-T, and A-T (+) fibroblasts with a stably expressed functional ATM

were created by the lab of Yosef Shiloh (Sackler School of Medicine) (106, 107) and generously

provided by Matthew Weitzman (Perelman School of Medicine University of Pennsylvania). ARPE-19,

MCF-7, MDA-MB-175VII, MDA-MB-361, MDA-MB-231 and SUM1315MO2 cells were cultured in 10%

FBS/DMEM with added Ham's F-12 nutrient mixture (Sigma-Aldrich) and supplemented with 1x MEM

Non-Essential Amino Acids Solution (ThermoFisher Sceintific), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (ThermoFisher

Sceintific), 1x GlutaMAX (ThermoFisher Sceintific) and 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4 (ThermoFisher

Sceintific). A-T (-) and A-T (+) cells were cultured in 10% FBS/DMEM. Media were supplemented with

penicillin G sodium salt (100 units/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) and streptomycin sulfate (95 units/ml; Fisher

Scientific). IE1 and IE2-expressing ARPE-19 cells were described previously (41).

BAC infectious clone of HCMV strain TB40/E-GFP (TB40/Ewt-GFP) (162) was electroporated into

human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF) or ARPE-19 cells to generate viral progeny that were propagated

once more in HFFs or ARPE-19 cells, respectively. TB40/E-GFP-epi designates TB40/E-GFP virus

grown in ARPE-19 cells (163). All viral stocks were partially purified by centrifugation through a 20% D-

sorbitol cushion in buffer containing 50 mM Tris·HCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, pH 7.2, resuspended in DMEM and

stored in aliquots at -80°C. Infections were performed by treating cells with viral inoculum for 2 h,

followed by removal of the inoculum and washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Sigma-Aldrich)

before applying fresh medium. To quantify extracellular virions, media from infected cells was collected,

spun down for 10 min. at 2000 x g. To quantify intracellular virions, infected cells were washed with

PBS, scrapped and intracellular virus released by 3 rounds of freezing and thawing. Samples were

spun down for 10 min. at 2000 x g. Viral stocks and sample supernatant were titered by either the

TCID$_{50}$ method (164) or plaque assay.

Zeocin (InvivoGen) was dissolved in water and stored at -20°C. Etoposide (Millipore Sigma), Ku-55933

(Tocris) and AZ31 (Selleckchem) were dissolved in DMSO and stored at -20°C.

RNA sequence analysis (RNA-seq). RNA was collected in QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Qiagen) and

isolated using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). DNA was removed from samples using Turbo DNase

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) and RNA quality was assessed using the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent

Technologies). Sequencing libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA with Ribo-

Zero kit (Illumina), and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencer instrument in paired-end, rapid

mode (2x 150bp).

For the RNA-seq analysis, the Galaxy instance of Princeton University was used (165). RNA-Seq data

was de-multiplexed based on indexes. Phred quality scores were checked by using the FastQC toolkit

and were greater than 26 for more than 90% of the read length. Human and HCMV fasta and

annotation (.gtf) files were created for mapping by combining sequences and annotations from Ensembl

annotation, build 38 and TB40 (EF999921). Reads were mapped to the concatenated human-virus

genomes using RNA STAR (86) (Galaxy version 2.6.0b-2). The featureCounts (166) (Galaxy version

1.6.4) was used to measure gene expression. The resulting files were used as input to determine

differential expression for each gene utilizing DESeq2 (167) (Galaxy version 2.11.40.6). Fold changes

in gene expression were considered significant when the adjusted *P* value (q value) for multiple testing

with the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure, which controls FDR, was <0.05.

To visualize read coverage tracks for alignment files, RNA STAR-generated BAM files were imported

into the Integrative Genomics Viewer (168) (IGV; version 2.6.3) and aligned with human genome

assembly hg19 focused on the HSATII locus on chromosome 16. The scale of read coverage peaks

was adjusted for experimentally paired samples.

To create Venn diagrams, based on data from the DESeq2 analysis the list of significantly expressed

genes in HCMV-infected or zeocin-treated cells compared to control cells were imported into a web-

based tool InteractiVenn (169).

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) (87) was used to the list of common genes differentially

expressed in both HCMV-infected and zeocin-treated cells using the hallmark gene set of the Molecular

Signature Database (MSigDB) (88). A matrix of differentially expressed genes from the data set

significantly matching the hallmark gene set of MSigDB was composed and a list of the hallmark gene

subsets was ordered based on a number of overlapping genes, *p* value determining the probability of

association with a given gene set and a false discovery rate q-value.

Quantification of nucleic acid. For analysis of RNA by qRT-PCR, RNA was extracted from samples

collected in QIAzol lysis reagent using the miRNeasy kit (Qiagen). cDNA was made from 1 mg of total

RNA with random hexamer primers and MultiScribe reverse transcriptase (Applied Biosystems),

according to the manufacturer`s protocol. Transcript specific primer sequences used in the study were

either previously reported (41) or newly designed primer sets are as follow: CDKN1A Forward 5'-

TTTAACAGCCTGCTCCCTTG-3', Reverse 5'-AGTTTGCAACCATGCACTTG-3'; DINO Forward 5'-

GGAGGCAAAAGTCCTGTGTT-3', Reverse 5'-GGGCTCAGAGAAGTCTGGTG-3'; E2F1 Forward 5'-

CCGTGGACTCTTCGGAGAAC-3', Reverse 5'-ATCCCACCTACGGTCTCCTC-3'; E2F2 Forward 5'-

TGGGTAGGCAGGGGAATGTT-3', Reverse 5'-GCCTTGTCCTCAGTCAGGTG-3'; E2F3a Forward 5'-

TTTAAACCATCTGAGAGGTACTGATGA-3', Reverse 5'-CGGCCCTCCGGCAA-3'; E2F3b Forward 5'-

TTTAAACCATCTGAGAGGTACTGATGA-3', Reverse 5'- CCCTTACAGCAGCAGGCAA-3'; E2F4

Forward 5'-TGGAAGGTATCGGGCTAAT-3', Reverse 5'-CAATCAGTTTGTCAGCAATCTC-3'; E2F5

Forward 5'-CGGCAGATGACTACAACTTTA-3', Reverse 5'-GATAACAGTCCCAAGTTTCCA-3'; ATM

Forward 5'-GCACTGAAAGAGGATCGTAAA-3', Reverse 5'-GAGGGAACAAAGTCGGAATAC-3'; ATR

Forward 5'-ATATCACCCAAAAGGCGTCGT-3', Reverse 5'-TGCTCTTTTGGTTCATGTCCAC-3';

PRKDC Forward 5'-AAATGGGCCAGAAGATCGCA-3', Reverse 5'-AGGTCCAGGGCTGGAATTTT-3';

CHEK1 Forward 5'-TTTGGACTTCTCTCCAGTAAAC-3', Reverse 5'-GCTGGTATCCCATAAGGAAAG-

3'; CHEK2 Forward 5'-CGCGGTCGTGATGTCTCGGG-3', Reverse 5'-CGCTGCCATGGGGCTGTGAA-

3'; TP53 Forward 5'-AGGGATGTTTGGGAGATGTAAG-3', Reverse 5'-

CCTGGTTAGTACGGTGAAGTG-3'. qPCR was performed using SYBR Green master mix (Applied

Biosystems) on a QuantStudio™ 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Transcript levels

were analyzed using the DDCt method and GAPDH was used as an internal control (170). Error ranges

are reported as standard deviation of the mean (SD).

For quantification of viral DNA accumulation in infected cells, cells were harvested and intracellular

DNA was isolated using the DNA Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen). DNA was RNase A treated (2 mg/ml;

Qiagen) and viral DNA was quantified by qPCR using primers specific for the viral genomic UL44

(Forward: 5'-GTGCGCGCCCGATTTCAATATG-3', Reverse: 5'-GCTTTCGCGCACAATGTCTTGG-3')

or cellular genomic GAPDH (Forward: 5'-CCCCACACACATGCACTTACC-3', Reverse: 5'-

CCTAGTCCCAGGGCTTTGATT-3').

Analysis of proteins. For Western blot analysis, cells were harvested using lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-

HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, and 10% glycerol). Samples

were mixed with 6xSDS sample buffer (325 mM Tris pH 6.8, 6% SDS, 48% glycerol, 0.03%

bromophenol blue containing 9% 2-mercaptoethanol). Proteins were separated by electrophoresis

(SDS-PAGE) and transferred to ImmunoBlot polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (BioRad

Laboratories). Western blot analyses were performed using primary antibodies recognizing cellular

proteins: E2F3 (clone PG30; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and β-actin (clone AC-15; Abcam), or HCMV

proteins: IE1 [clone 1B12 (171)], IE2 [clone 3A9 (172)], pUL44 (clone 10D8; Virusys), pUL69 [clone

10E11 (173)], pp71 [clone 2H10-9 (174)] and pp28 [clone 10B4 (175)]. Goat anti-mouse (GE

Healthcare Biosciences) conjugated with horseradish peroxidase was used as a secondary antibody.

Western blots were developed using WesternSure ECL Detection Reagents (Licor).

For immunofluorescence assays, ARPE-19 cells grown in 96-well plates were infected with TB40/E-

GFP-epi (3 IU/cell), treated with zeocin (200 mg/mL) or left untreated. After 24 h, cells were fixed in

100% methanol (Sigma) and incubated in blocking solution [PBS with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA;

Fisher Scientific) prior to the addition of a primary mouse monoclonal antibody specific for phospho-

Histone H2AX (Ser13; clone JBW301; Millipore Sigma). Following incubation, cells were washed with

PBS, and a donkey Alexa488-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology)

was applied. Nuclei were counterstained with the Hoechst stain. Cells were visualized and % of γ-

H2A.X-positive cells was calculated using the Operetta high-content imaging and analysis system

(PerkinElmer; Waltham, MA) with 20X objective.

Plasmid transfection. Plasmids used in the study: pCMV-Neo-Bam was a gift from Bert Vogelstein

(Addgene plasmid #16440). pCMV-Neo-Bam E2F3a and pCMV-Neo-Bam E2F3b were gifts from

Jacqueline Lees (Addgene plasmid #37970 and #37970, respectively). pCMVHA E2F1 and pCMVHA

E2F2 were gifts from Kristian Helin (Addgene plasmids #24225 and #24226, respectively).

ARPE-19 cells at 70-80% confluency were transfected with 1 μg of each plasmid using Lipofectamine

3000 (ThermoFischer Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 72 h later, plasmid-

transfected cells were harvested using TRIzol and samples were stored at -80°C.

Cell stress induction. For the serum deprivation stress (176), cells were washed with 1xPBS,

supplemented with media lacking FBS and cultured for 24 h. For the oxidative stress (177), cells were

washed with 1xPBS, supplemented with media lacking FBS, but containing 100 μM of H_2O_2 and

cultured for 24 h. For UV-irradiation-based stress (178), cells were washed with 1xPBS, exposed to the

ultra violet light (50 J/m^2) using a Stratalinker (Stratagene), washed with 1xPBS, supplemented with

10%FBS/DMEM and cultured for 24 h.

HSATII RNA knockdown. Locked nucleic acid (LNA™; Exiqon, Skelstedt, Denmark) oligonucleotides

targeting HSATII RNA were described previously (41). Shortly, HSATII-LNA #1, HSATII-LNA #2 or a

mixture of both (HSATII-LNAs #1+#2) were used for experiments as indicated. Lipofectamine

RNAiMAX® Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and LNAs were resuspended in Opti-

MEM® medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Non-target LNA

(NT-LNA) was used as a negative control. ARPE-19 cells were incubated for 24-48 h before being

subjected to subsequent experimental procedures.

siRNA knockdown. siRNAs targeting E2F1 (E2F1 siRNA), E2F2 (E2F2 siRNA), E2F3 (E2F3 siRNA),

E2F4 (E2F4 siRNA), E2F5 (E2F5 siRNA), CHEK1 (CHEK1 siRNA) and CHEK2 (CHEK2 siRNA) were

ordered as siGENOME SMARTpools (Dharmacon). siRNAs targeting ATM (ATM siRNA), ATR (ATR

siRNA), PRKDC (DNA-PK siRNA) and TP53 (TP53 siRNA) were ordered as ON-TARGETplus

SMARTpools (Dharmacon). All siRNAs and appropriate NT siRNAs (siGENOME Non-targeting siRNA

Pool #1 and ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting Control Pool; Dharmacon) were purchased from Life

Technologies. ARPE-19 cells were grown to ~80% confluence and then transfected with siRNA using

Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX Reagent (Life Technologies). Cells transfected with non-specific, scrambled

siRNA (NT siRNA) (Life Technologies) served as a negative control. Following 24 h incubation with

siRNA, cells were washed with 1xPBS and subjected to subsequent experimental procedures.

Cell migration assays. Wound healing assays were performed as described previously (41). Shortly,

confluent monolayers of NT-LNA- or HSATII-LNA-transfected MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and

SUM1315MO2 cells were scratched with a 1-ml pipet to create wounds. The process of wound closure

was monitored in time using the Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U inverted microscope. The average wound

width (in arbitrary units) of ARPE-19 cells was calculated from 6 measurements for each experimental

arm from the captured images using ImageJ software (179). Results are plotted as a mean percent of

remaining wound width (SD).

Transwell migration assays were performed as described previously (41). Shortly, NT-LNA- or HSATII-

LNA -transfected MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2 or zeocin-treated ARPE-19, MCF-7 and

SUM1315MO2 cells were trypsinized and equal number of cells seeded onto each filter in FBS-free

medium containing ITS Liquid Media Supplement (Sigma-Aldrich). After 24 h, filters were washed, cells

were fixed. and migrated cells on the bottom surface of the filter were stained with 0.2% crytal violet

solution. Migrated cells were imaged and quantified using ImageJ software (179).

Cell proliferation assay. Cells were transfected with NT-LNA or HSATII-LNAs. After 48 hpt, equal

number of cells (2500 cells/well) were seeded into 96-well plates and cultured in 10% FBS/DMEM with

added Ham's F-12 nutrient mixture and supplemented with 1x MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids

Solution, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1x GlutaMAX and10 mM Hepes, Cell proliferation was monitored

using a colorimetric CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay according to the

manufacturer's protocol. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm using the SpectraMax Plus 384

Microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

Cell toxicity assay. ARPE-19 cells were treated with zeocin, etoposide, Ku-55933 or AZ31 at specified

range of concentrations for 24h or either water or DMSO as solvent controls. Each tested concentration

of specific drug contained the same concentration of solvent. At indicated time points, the Cell Titer

96® AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega, Madison, WI) was performed according

to the manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm using the SpectraMax Plus

384 Microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

Statistical analysis. To determine statistical significance among samples in experiments, an unpaired,

two-tailed t-tests Welch`s correction were performed between the arrays of data from distinct samples

to determine P values. P value <0.05 was considered significant. Significance is shown by the

presence of asterisks above data points with one, two, three or four asterisks representing P<0.05,

P<0.01, P<0.001 or P<0.0001, respectively. Only significant P values are reported.

Data availability. Raw RNA-seq data will be available from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus

(GEO). All relevant experimental data are available from the authors.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Stephen Ethier (Medical University of South Carolina) for generously providing

SUM1315MO2 cells; Matthew Weitzman (Perelman School of Medicine University of Pennsylvania) for

generously providing A-T (-) and A-T (+) fibroblasts, A. Oberstein (University of Illinois) for creating

IE1/IE2-expressing ARPE-19 cells and members of the T.S. laboratory for scientific discussions.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant AI112951. M.T.N. was partially

supported by American Cancer Society Fellowship PF-14-116-01-MPC.

References

1.   Levine AJ, Ting DT, & Greenbaum BD (2016) P53 and the defenses against genome instability
caused by transposons and repetitive elements. *Bioessays* 38(6):508-513.

2.   Pezer Z, Brajkovic J, Feliciello I, & Ugarkovc D (2012) Satellite DNA-mediated effects on
genome regulation. *Genome Dyn* 7:153-169.
940

941   3.   Eymery A*, et al.* (2009) A transcriptomic analysis of human centromeric and pericentric
942   sequences in normal and tumor cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37(19):6340-6354.
943

944   4.   Hall LE, Mitchell SE, & O'Neill RJ (2012) Pericentric and centromeric transcription: a perfect
945   balance required. *Chromosome Res* 20(5):535-546.
946

947   5.   Garrido-Ramos MA (2017) Satellite DNA: An Evolving Topic. *Genes (Basel)* 8(9).
948

949   6.   Gronbaek K, Hother C, & Jones PA (2007) Epigenetic changes in cancer. *APMIS* 115(10):1039-
950   1059.
951

952   7.   Kanwal R & Gupta S (2012) Epigenetic modifications in cancer. *Clin Genet* 81(4):303-311.
953

954   8.   Sanchez Calle A, Kawamura Y, Yamamoto Y, Takeshita F, & Ochiya T (2018) Emerging roles
955   of long non-coding RNA in cancer. *Cancer Sci* 109(7):2093-2100.
956

957   9.   Ting DT*, et al.* (2011) Aberrant overexpression of satellite repeats in pancreatic and other
958   epithelial cancers. *Science* 331(6017):593-596.
959

960   10.   Leonova KI*, et al.* (2013) p53 cooperates with DNA methylation and a suicidal interferon
961   response to maintain epigenetic silencing of repeats and noncoding RNAs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
962   *U S A* 110(1):E89-98.
963

964   11.   Hall LL*, et al.* (2017) Demethylated HSATII DNA and HSATII RNA Foci Sequester PRC1 and
965   MeCP2 into Cancer-Specific Nuclear Bodies. *Cell reports* 18(12):2943-2956.
966

967   12.   Tanne A*, et al.* (2015) Distinguishing the immunostimulatory properties of noncoding RNAs
968   expressed in cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(49):15154-15159.
969

970   13.   Bogunovic D*, et al.* (2009) Immune profile and mitotic index of metastatic melanoma lesions
971   enhance clinical staging in predicting patient survival. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106(48):20429-
972   20434.
973

974   14.   Cosset E*, et al.* (2014) Comprehensive metagenomic analysis of glioblastoma reveals absence
975   of known virus despite antiviral-like type I interferon gene response. *Int J Cancer* 135(6):1381-
976   1389.
977

978   15.   Bersani F*, et al.* (2015) Pericentromeric satellite repeat expansions through RNA-derived DNA
979   intermediates in cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(49):15148-15153.
980

981   16.   Valgardsdottir R*, et al.* (2008) Transcription of Satellite III non-coding RNAs is a general stress
982   response in human cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36(2):423-434.
983

984   17.   Bouzinba-Segard H, Guais A, & Francastel C (2006) Accumulation of small murine minor
985   satellite transcripts leads to impaired centromeric architecture and function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
986   *U S A* 103(23):8709-8714.
987

988   18.   De Cecco M, *et al.* (2013) Genomes of replicatively senescent cells undergo global epigenetic
989   changes leading to gene silencing and activation of transposable elements. *Aging Cell*
990   12(2):247-256.
991
992   19.   Mesri EA, Feitelson MA, & Munger K (2014) Human viral oncogenesis: a cancer hallmarks
993   analysis. *Cell Host Microbe* 15(3):266-282.
994
995   20.   Krump NA & You J (2018) Molecular mechanisms of viral oncogenesis in humans. *Nat Rev*
996   *Microbiol* 16(11):684-698.
997
998   21.   Zhu Q*, et al.* (2011) BRCA1 tumour suppression occurs via heterochromatin-mediated silencing.
999   *Nature* 477(7363):179-184.
1000
1001 22.   Michaelis M, Doerr HW, & Cinatl J (2009) The story of human cytomegalovirus and cancer:
1002   increasing evidence and open questions. *Neoplasia* 11(1):1-9.
1003
1004 23.   Herbein G (2018) The Human Cytomegalovirus, from Oncomodulation to Oncogenesis. *Viruses*
1005   10(8).
1006
1007 24.   Lepiller Q, Aziz Khan K, Di Martino V, & Herbein G (2011) Cytomegalovirus and tumors: two
1008   players for one goal-immune escape. *The open virology journal* 5:60-69.
1009
1010 25.   Vastag L, Koyuncu E, Grady SL, Shenk TE, & Rabinowitz JD (2011) Divergent effects of human
1011   cytomegalovirus and herpes simplex virus-1 on cellular metabolism. *PLoS Pathog*
1012   7(7):e1002124.
1013
1014 26.   Kumari P*, et al.* (2017) Essential role of HCMV deubiquitinase in promoting oncogenesis by
1015   targeting anti-viral innate immune signaling pathways. *Cell Death Dis* 8(10):e3078.
1016
1017 27.   Collins-McMillen D*, et al.* (2015) Human Cytomegalovirus Promotes Survival of Infected
1018   Monocytes via a Distinct Temporal Regulation of Cellular Bcl-2 Family Proteins. *J Virol*
1019   90(5):2356-2371.
1020
1021 28.   Sia IG & Patel R (2000) New strategies for prevention and therapy of cytomegalovirus infection
1022   and disease in solid-organ transplant recipients. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 13(1):83-121.
1023
1024 29.   Kanj SS, Sharara AI, Clavien PA, & Hamilton JD (1996) Cytomegalovirus infection following
1025   liver transplantation: review of the literature. *Clin Infect Dis* 22(3):537-549.
1026
1027 30.   Gerna G*, et al.* (2012) Human cytomegalovirus end-organ disease is associated with high or low
1028   systemic viral load in preemptively treated solid-organ transplant recipients. *New Microbiol*
1029   35(3):279-287.
1030
1031 31.   Lanzieri TM, Dollard SC, Bialek SR, & Grosse SD (2014) Systematic review of the birth
1032   prevalence of congenital cytomegalovirus infection in developing countries. *Int J Infect Dis*
1033   22:44-48.
1034
1035 32.   Bissinger AL, Sinzger C, Kaiserling E, & Jahn G (2002) Human cytomegalovirus as a direct
1036   pathogen: correlation of multiorgan involvement and cell distribution with clinical and
1037   pathological findings in a case of congenital inclusion disease. *J Med Virol* 67(2):200-206.
1038
1039 33.   Soroceanu L & Cobbs CS (2011) Is HCMV a tumor promoter? *Virus Res* 157(2):193-203.

1040

1041   34.   Bhattacharjee B, Renzette N, & Kowalik TF (2012) Genetic analysis of cytomegalovirus in
1042   malignant gliomas. *J Virol* 86(12):6815-6824.

1043
1044   35.   El-Shinawi M*, et al.* (2013) Human cytomegalovirus infection enhances NF-kappaB/p65
1045   signaling in inflammatory breast cancer patients. *PLoS One* 8(2):e55755.

1046
1047   36.   Michaelis M*, et al.* (2012) Selection of a highly invasive neuroblastoma cell population through
1048   long-term human cytomegalovirus infection. *Oncogenesis* 1:e10.

1049
1050   37.   Ranganathan P, Clark PA, Kuo JS, Salamat MS, & Kalejta RF (2012) Significant association of
1051   multiple human cytomegalovirus genomic Loci with glioblastoma multiforme samples. *J Virol*
1052   86(2):854-864.

1053
1054   38.   Harkins L*, et al.* (2002) Specific localisation of human cytomegalovirus nucleic acids and
1055   proteins in human colorectal cancer. *Lancet* 360(9345):1557-1563.

1056
1057   39.   Harkins LE*, et al.* (2010) Detection of human cytomegalovirus in normal and neoplastic breast
1058   epithelium. *Herpesviridae* 1(1):8.

1059
1060   40.   Cinatl J, Scholz M, Kotchetkov R, Vogel JU, & Doerr HW (2004) Molecular mechanisms of the
1061   modulatory effects of HCMV infection in tumor cell biology. *Trends Mol Med* 10(1):19-23.

1062
1063   41.   Nogalski MT*, et al.* (2019) A tumor-specific endogenous repetitive element is induced by
1064   herpesviruses. *Nat Commun* 10(1):90.

1065
1066   42.   Whitley RJ (1996) Herpesviruses. *Medical Microbiology*, eds th & Baron SGalveston (TX)).

1067
1068   43.   Alibek K*, et al.* (2014) Implication of human herpesviruses in oncogenesis through immune
1069   evasion and supression. *Infect Agent Cancer* 9(1):3.

1070
1071   44.   Xiaofei E & Kowalik TF (2014) The DNA damage response induced by infection with human
1072   cytomegalovirus and other viruses. *Viruses* 6(5):2155-2185.

1073
1074   45.   Xiaofei E*, et al.* (2012) RNA interference-mediated targeting of human cytomegalovirus
1075   immediate-early or early gene products inhibits viral replication with differential effects on
1076   cellular functions. *J Virol* 86(10):5660-5673.

1077
1078   46.   DeGregori J & Johnson DG (2006) Distinct and Overlapping Roles for E2F Family Members in
1079   Transcription, Proliferation and Apoptosis. *Curr Mol Med* 6(7):739-748.

1080
1081   47.   Lammens T, Li J, Leone G, & De Veylder L (2009) Atypical E2Fs: new players in the E2F
1082   transcription factor family. *Trends Cell Biol* 19(3):111-118.

1083
1084   48.   Chen HZ, Tsai SY, & Leone G (2009) Emerging roles of E2Fs in cancer: an exit from cell cycle
1085   control. *Nat Rev Cancer* 9(11):785-797.

1086
1087   49.   Nevins JR (2001) The Rb/E2F pathway and cancer. *Hum Mol Genet* 10(7):699-703.

1088
1089   50.   Sherr CJ & McCormick F (2002) The RB and p53 pathways in cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2(2):103-
1090   112.

1091

1092   51.   Ren B*, et al.* (2002) E2F integrates cell cycle progression with DNA repair, replication, and
1093   G(2)/M checkpoints. *Genes Dev* 16(2):245-256.
1094

1095   52.   Zhu Q*, et al.* (2018) Heterochromatin-Encoded Satellite RNAs Induce Breast Cancer. *Mol Cell*
1096   70(5):842-853 e847.
1097

1098   53.   Bray F*, et al.* (2018) Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and
1099   mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 68(6):394-424.
1100

1101   54.   Anders CK & Carey LA (2009) Biology, metastatic patterns, and treatment of patients with triple-
1102   negative breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer* 9 Suppl 2:S73-81.
1103

1104   55.   Cheung-Ong K, Giaever G, & Nislow C (2013) DNA-damaging agents in cancer chemotherapy:
1105   serendipity and chemical biology. *Chem Biol* 20(5):648-659.
1106

1107   56.   Woods D & Turchi JJ (2013) Chemotherapy induced DNA damage response: convergence of
1108   drugs and pathways. *Cancer biology & therapy* 14(5):379-389.
1109

1110   57.   Livraghi L & Garber JE (2015) PARP inhibitors in the management of breast cancer: current
1111   data and future prospects. *BMC Med* 13:188.
1112

1113   58.   Giglia-Mari G, Zotter A, & Vermeulen W (2011) DNA damage response. *Cold Spring Harbor*
1114   *perspectives in biology* 3(1):a000745.
1115

1116   59.   Yuan J, Adamski R, & Chen J (2010) Focus on histone variant H2AX: to be or not to be. *FEBS*
1117   *Lett* 584(17):3717-3724.
1118

1119   60.   Blackford AN & Jackson SP (2017) ATM, ATR, and DNA-PK: The Trinity at the Heart of the
1120   DNA Damage Response. *Mol Cell* 66(6):801-817.
1121

1122   61.   Smith J, Tho LM, Xu N, & Gillespie DA (2010) The ATM-Chk2 and ATR-Chk1 pathways in DNA
1123   damage signaling and cancer. *Advances in cancer research* 108:73-112.
1124

1125   62.   Ou YH, Chung PH, Sun TP, & Shieh SY (2005) p53 C-terminal phosphorylation by CHK1 and
1126   CHK2 participates in the regulation of DNA-damage-induced C-terminal acetylation. *Mol Biol*
1127   *Cell* 16(4):1684-1695.
1128

1129   63.   Thapar R (2018) Regulation of DNA Double-Strand Break Repair by Non-Coding RNAs.
1130   *Molecules* 23(11).
1131

1132   64.   Liu S*, et al.* (2012) Distinct roles for DNA-PK, ATM and ATR in RPA phosphorylation and
1133   checkpoint activation in response to replication stress. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40(21):10780-10794.
1134

1135   65.   Hwang J*, et al.* (2005) The time-dependent serial gene response to Zeocin treatment involves
1136   caspase-dependent apoptosis in HeLa cells. *Microbiol Immunol* 49(4):331-342.
1137

1138   66.   Rudin CM & Thompson CB (2001) Transcriptional activation of short interspersed elements by
1139   DNA-damaging agents. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 30(1):64-71.
1140

1141   67.   Hagan CR, Sheffield RF, & Rudin CM (2003) Human Alu element retrotransposition induced by
1142   genotoxic stress. *Nature genetics* 35(3):219-220.
1143

1144
1145 68. Britt W (2007) Virus entry into host, establishment of infection, spread in host, mechanisms of
1146   tissue damage. *Human Herpesviruses: Biology, Therapy, and Immunoprophylaxis*, eds Arvin A,
1147   Campadelli-Fiume G, Mocarski E, Moore PS, Roizman B, Whitley R, & Yamanishi
1148   KCambridge).
1149
1150 69. Scholz M, Doerr HW, & Cinatl J (2003) Human cytomegalovirus retinitis: pathogenicity, immune
1151   evasion and persistence. *Trends Microbiol* 11(4):171-178.
1152
1153 70. Port AD*, et al.* (2017) Cytomegalovirus Retinitis: A Review. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther* 33(4):224-
1154   234.
1155
1156 71. Wang D & Shenk T (2005) Human cytomegalovirus virion protein complex required for epithelial
1157   and endothelial cell tropism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102(50):18153-18158.
1158
1159 72. Wang D & Shenk T (2005) Human cytomegalovirus UL131 open reading frame is required for
1160   epithelial cell tropism. *J Virol* 79(16):10330-10338.
1161
1162 73. Wang D, Yu QC, Schroer J, Murphy E, & Shenk T (2007) Human cytomegalovirus uses two
1163   distinct pathways to enter retinal pigmented epithelial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
1164   104(50):20037-20042.
1165
1166 74. Ryckman BJ*, et al.* (2008) Characterization of the human cytomegalovirus gH/gL/UL128-131
1167   complex that mediates entry into epithelial and endothelial cells. *J Virol* 82(1):60-70.
1168
1169 75. Hahn G*, et al.* (2004) Human cytomegalovirus UL131-128 genes are indispensable for virus
1170   growth in endothelial cells and virus transfer to leukocytes. *J Virol* 78(18):10023-10033.
1171
1172 76. Gerna G*, et al.* (2005) Dendritic-cell infection by human cytomegalovirus is restricted to strains
1173   carrying functional UL131-128 genes and mediates efficient viral antigen presentation to CD8+
1174   T cells. *J Gen Virol* 86(Pt 2):275-284.
1175
1176 77. Nogalski MT, Chan GC, Stevenson EV, Collins-McMillen DK, & Yurochko AD (2013) The HCMV
1177   gH/gL/UL128-131 complex triggers the specific cellular activation required for efficient viral
1178   internalization into target monocytes. *PLoS Pathog* 9(7):e1003463.
1179
1180 78. Waldman T, Kinzler KW, & Vogelstein B (1995) p21 is necessary for the p53-mediated G1
1181   arrest in human cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 55(22):5187-5190.
1182
1183 79. Valverde M*, et al.* (2018) Hydrogen Peroxide-Induced DNA Damage and Repair through the
1184   Differentiation of Human Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Stem Cells Int*
1185   2018:1615497.
1186
1187 80. Benedict B*, et al.* (2018) Loss of p53 suppresses replication-stress-induced DNA breakage in
1188   G1/S checkpoint deficient cells. *Elife* 7.
1189
1190 81. Schmitt AM*, et al.* (2016) An inducible long noncoding RNA amplifies DNA damage signaling.
1191   *Nature genetics* 48(11):1370-1376.
1192
1193 82. Batista LF, Kaina B, Meneghini R, & Menck CF (2009) How DNA lesions are turned into
1194   powerful killing structures: insights from UV-induced apoptosis. *Mutat Res* 681(2-3):197-208.
1195

1196   83.   Roos WP & Kaina B (2013) DNA damage-induced cell death: from specific DNA lesions to the
1197   DNA damage response and apoptosis. *Cancer Lett* 332(2):237-248.

1198
1199   84.   Smart DJ*, et al.* (2008) Assessment of DNA double-strand breaks and gammaH2AX induced by
1200   the topoisomerase II poisons etoposide and mitoxantrone. *Mutat Res* 641(1-2):43-47.

1201
1202   85.   Shimada K*, et al.* (2013) TORC2 signaling pathway guarantees genome stability in the face of
1203   DNA strand breaks. *Mol Cell* 51(6):829-839.

1204
1205   86.   Dobin A*, et al.* (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29(1):15-21.

1206
1207   87.   Subramanian A*, et al.* (2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for
1208   interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102(43):15545-15550.

1209
1210   88.   Liberzon A*, et al.* (2015) The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set
1211   collection. *Cell Syst* 1(6):417-425.

1212
1213   89.   Kalejta RF & Shenk T (2003) Proteasome-dependent, ubiquitin-independent degradation of the
1214   Rb family of tumor suppressors by the human cytomegalovirus pp71 protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
1215   *U S A* 100(6):3263-3268.

1216
1217   90.   Iwahori S, Umana AC, VanDeusen HR, & Kalejta RF (2017) Human cytomegalovirus-encoded
1218   viral cyclin-dependent kinase (v-CDK) UL97 phosphorylates and inactivates the retinoblastoma
1219   protein-related p107 and p130 proteins. *J Biol Chem* 292(16):6583-6599.

1220
1221   91.   Hume AJ & Kalejta RF (2009) Regulation of the retinoblastoma proteins by the human
1222   herpesviruses. *Cell Div* 4:1.

1223
1224   92.   He Y, Armanious MK, Thomas MJ, & Cress WD (2000) Identification of E2F-3B, an alternative
1225   form of E2F-3 lacking a conserved N-terminal region. *Oncogene* 19(30):3422-3433.

1226
1227   93.   Leone G*, et al.* (2000) Identification of a novel E2F3 product suggests a mechanism for
1228   determining specificity of repression by Rb proteins. *Mol Cell Biol* 20(10):3626-3632.

1229
1230   94.   Adams MR, Sears R, Nuckolls F, Leone G, & Nevins JR (2000) Complex transcriptional
1231   regulatory mechanisms control expression of the E2F3 locus. *Mol Cell Biol* 20(10):3633-3639.

1232
1233   95.   Danielian PS*, et al.* (2008) E2f3a and E2f3b make overlapping but different contributions to total
1234   E2f3 activity. *Oncogene* 27(51):6561-6570.

1235
1236   96.   Chong JL*, et al.* (2009) E2f3a and E2f3b contribute to the control of cell proliferation and mouse
1237   development. *Mol Cell Biol* 29(2):414-424.

1238
1239   97.   Asp P, Acosta-Alvear D, Tsikitis M, van Oevelen C, & Dynlacht BD (2009) E2f3b plays an
1240   essential role in myogenic differentiation through isoform-specific gene regulation. *Genes Dev*
1241   23(1):37-53.

1242
1243   98.   Reimer D*, et al.* (2010) E2F3a is critically involved in epidermal growth factor receptor-directed
1244   proliferation in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res* 70(11):4613-4623.

1245
1246   99.   Lees JA*, et al.* (1993) The retinoblastoma protein binds to a family of E2F transcription factors.
1247   *Mol Cell Biol* 13(12):7813-7825.

1248
1249   100.   Lukas J, Petersen BO, Holm K, Bartek J, & Helin K (1996) Deregulated expression of E2F
1250   family members induces S-phase entry and overcomes p16INK4A-mediated growth
1251   suppression. *Mol Cell Biol* 16(3):1047-1057.
1252
1253   101.   Baker SJ, Markowitz S, Fearon ER, Willson JK, & Vogelstein B (1990) Suppression of human
1254   colorectal carcinoma cell growth by wild-type p53. *Science* 249(4971):912-915.
1255
1256   102.   Iglesias-Ara A & Zubiaga AM (2016) The stress of coping with E2F loss. *Mol Cell Oncol*
1257   3(1):e1038423.
1258
1259   103.   Liao CC, Tsai CY, Chang WC, Lee WH, & Wang JM (2010) RB.E2F1 complex mediates DNA
1260   damage responses through transcriptional regulation of ZBRK1. *J Biol Chem* 285(43):33134-
1261   33143.
1262
1263   104.   Shamma A*, et al.* (2009) Rb Regulates DNA damage response and cellular senescence through
1264   E2F-dependent suppression of N-ras isoprenylation. *Cancer Cell* 15(4):255-269.
1265
1266   105.   Falck J, Coates J, & Jackson SP (2005) Conserved modes of recruitment of ATM, ATR and
1267   DNA-PKcs to sites of DNA damage. *Nature* 434(7033):605-611.
1268
1269   106.   Ziv Y*, et al.* (1989) Cellular and molecular characteristics of an immortalized ataxia-
1270   telangiectasia (group AB) cell line. *Cancer Res* 49(9):2495-2501.
1271
1272   107.   Ziv Y*, et al.* (1997) Recombinant ATM protein complements the cellular A-T phenotype.
1273   *Oncogene* 15(2):159-167.
1274
1275   108.   Hickson I*, et al.* (2004) Identification and characterization of a novel and specific inhibitor of the
1276   ataxia-telangiectasia mutated kinase ATM. *Cancer Res* 64(24):9152-9159.
1277
1278   109.   Greene J*, et al.* (2017) The novel ATM inhibitor (AZ31) enhances antitumor activity in patient
1279   derived xenografts that are resistant to irinotecan monotherapy. *Oncotarget* 8(67):110904-
1280   110913.
1281
1282   110.   Li Y & Yang DQ (2010) The ATM inhibitor KU-55933 suppresses cell proliferation and induces
1283   apoptosis by blocking Akt in cancer cells with overactivated Akt. *Mol Cancer Ther* 9(1):113-125.
1284
1285   111.   Castillo JP*, et al.* (2005) Human cytomegalovirus IE1-72 activates ataxia telangiectasia mutated
1286   kinase and a p53/p21-mediated growth arrest response. *J Virol* 79(17):11467-11475.
1287
1288   112.   Song YJ & Stinski MF (2005) Inhibition of cell division by the human cytomegalovirus IE86
1289   protein: role of the p53 pathway or cyclin-dependent kinase 1/cyclin B1. *J Virol* 79(4):2597-
1290   2603.
1291
1292   113.   Tanaka K*, et al.* (1999) Effects of human cytomegalovirus immediate-early proteins on p53-
1293   mediated apoptosis in coronary artery smooth muscle cells. *Circulation* 99(13):1656-1659.
1294
1295   114.   Hwang ES*, et al.* (2009) Human cytomegalovirus IE1-72 protein interacts with p53 and inhibits
1296   p53-dependent transactivation by a mechanism different from that of IE2-86 protein. *J Virol*
1297   83(23):12388-12398.
1298

115. Li Y, *et al.* (2018) Expression patterns of E2F transcription factors and their potential prognostic
roles in breast cancer. *Oncol Lett* 15(6):9216-9230.

116. Renwick A, *et al.* (2006) ATM mutations that cause ataxia-telangiectasia are breast cancer
susceptibility alleles. *Nature genetics* 38(8):873-875.

117. Thompson D, *et al.* (2005) Cancer risks and mortality in heterozygous ATM mutation carriers. *J
Natl Cancer Inst* 97(11):813-822.

118. Dai X, Cheng H, Bai Z, & Li J (2017) Breast Cancer Cell Line Classification and Its Relevance
with Breast Tumor Subtyping. *J Cancer* 8(16):3131-3141.

119. Justus CR, Leffler N, Ruiz-Echevarria M, & Yang LV (2014) In vitro cell migration and invasion
assays. *J Vis Exp* (88).

120. Liu YL, *et al.* (2019) Assessing metastatic potential of breast cancer cells based on EGFR
dynamics. *Sci Rep* 9(1):3395.

121. Taubenberger AV, Quent VM, Thibaudeau L, Clements JA, & Hutmacher DW (2013)
Delineating breast cancer cell interactions with engineered bone microenvironments. *Journal of
bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral
Research* 28(6):1399-1411.

122. Liang CC, Park AY, & Guan JL (2007) In vitro scratch assay: a convenient and inexpensive
method for analysis of cell migration in vitro. *Nature protocols* 2(2):329-333.

123. Marceau N, Goyette R, Deschenes J, & Valet JP (1980) Morphological differences between
epithelial and fibroblast cells in rat liver cultures, and the roles of cell surface fibronectin and
cytoskeletal element organization in cell shape. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 349:138-152.

124. Wilkes MC, Murphy SJ, Garamszegi N, & Leof EB (2003) Cell-type-specific activation of PAK2
by transforming growth factor beta independent of Smad2 and Smad3. *Mol Cell Biol*
23(23):8878-8889.

125. Mallinjoud P, *et al.* (2014) Endothelial, epithelial, and fibroblast cells exhibit specific splicing
programs independently of their tissue of origin. *Genome Res* 24(3):511-521.

126. Oberstein A & Shenk T (2017) Cellular responses to human cytomegalovirus infection: Induction
of a mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) phenotype. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.

127. Dargan DJ, *et al.* (2010) Sequential mutations associated with adaptation of human
cytomegalovirus to growth in cell culture. *J Gen Virol* 91(Pt 6):1535-1546.

128. Stanton RJ, *et al.* (2010) Reconstruction of the complete human cytomegalovirus genome in a
BAC reveals RL13 to be a potent inhibitor of replication. *J Clin Invest* 120(9):3191-3208.

129. Li G, Nguyen CC, Ryckman BJ, Britt WJ, & Kamil JP (2015) A viral regulator of glycoprotein
complexes contributes to human cytomegalovirus cell tropism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
112(14):4471-4476.

130. Tomasec P, *et al.* (2005) Downregulation of natural killer cell-activating ligand CD155 by human
cytomegalovirus UL141. *Nat Immunol* 6(2):181-188.

131. Wills MR*, et al.* (2005) Human cytomegalovirus encodes an MHC class I-like molecule (UL142) that functions to inhibit NK cell lysis. *J Immunol* 175(11):7457-7465.

132. E X*, et al.* (2011) An E2F1-mediated DNA damage response contributes to the replication of human cytomegalovirus. *PLoS Pathog* 7(5):e1001342.

133. Halaby MJ, Hibma JC, He J, & Yang DQ (2008) ATM protein kinase mediates full activation of Akt and regulates glucose transporter 4 translocation by insulin in muscle cells. *Cell Signal* 20(8):1555-1563.

134. Miyamoto S (2011) Nuclear initiated NF-kappaB signaling: NEMO and ATM take center stage. *Cell Res* 21(1):116-130.

135. Yurochko AD, Kowalik TF, Huong SM, & Huang ES (1995) Human cytomegalovirus upregulates NF-kappa B activity by transactivating the NF-kappa B p105/p50 and p65 promoters. *J Virol* 69(9):5391-5400.

136. Caposio P, Dreano M, Garotta G, Gribaudo G, & Landolfo S (2004) Human cytomegalovirus stimulates cellular IKK2 activity and requires the enzyme for productive replication. *J Virol* 78(6):3190-3195.

137. DeMeritt IB, Milford LE, & Yurochko AD (2004) Activation of the NF-kappaB pathway in human cytomegalovirus-infected cells is necessary for efficient transactivation of the major immediate-early promoter. *J Virol* 78(9):4498-4507.

138. DeMeritt IB, Podduturi JP, Tilley AM, Nogalski MT, & Yurochko AD (2006) Prolonged activation of NF-kappaB by human cytomegalovirus promotes efficient viral replication and late gene expression. *Virology* 346(1):15-31.

139. Browne EP & Shenk T (2003) Human cytomegalovirus UL83-coded pp65 virion protein inhibits antiviral gene expression in infected cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100(20):11439-11444.

140. Jarvis MA*, et al.* (2006) Human cytomegalovirus attenuates interleukin-1beta and tumor necrosis factor alpha proinflammatory signaling by inhibition of NF-kappaB activation. *J Virol* 80(11):5588-5598.

141. Landini MP (1984) Early enhanced glucose uptake in human cytomegalovirus-infected cells. *J Gen Virol* 65 (Pt 7):1229-1232.

142. Yu Y, Clippinger AJ, & Alwine JC (2011) Viral effects on metabolism: changes in glucose and glutamine utilization during human cytomegalovirus infection. *Trends Microbiol* 19(7):360-367.

143. Yu Y, Maguire TG, & Alwine JC (2011) Human cytomegalovirus activates glucose transporter 4 expression to increase glucose uptake during infection. *J Virol* 85(4):1573-1580.

144. Furukawa T, Sakuma S, & Plotkin SA (1976) Human cytomegalovirus infection of WI-38 cells stimulates mitochondrial DNA synthesis. *Nature* 262(5567):414-416.

145. McCormick AL, Smith VL, Chow D, & Mocarski ES (2003) Disruption of mitochondrial networks by the human cytomegalovirus UL37 gene product viral mitochondrion-localized inhibitor of apoptosis. *J Virol* 77(1):631-641.

146. Karniely S, *et al.* (2016) Human Cytomegalovirus Infection Upregulates the Mitochondrial Transcription and Translation Machineries. *mBio* 7(2):e00029.

147. Hay N (2016) Reprogramming glucose metabolism in cancer: can it be exploited for cancer therapy? *Nat Rev Cancer* 16(10):635-649.

148. Bose S & Le A (2018) Glucose Metabolism in Cancer. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1063:3-12.

149. Xia Y, Shen S, & Verma IM (2014) NF-kappaB, an active player in human cancers. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2(9):823-830.

150. Taniguchi K & Karin M (2018) NF-kappaB, inflammation, immunity and cancer: coming of age. *Nat Rev Immunol* 18(5):309-324.

151. Chang JY, Yi HS, Kim HW, & Shong M (2017) Dysregulation of mitophagy in carcinogenesis and tumor progression. *Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg* 1858(8):633-640.

152. Vara-Perez M, Felipe-Abrio B, & Agostinis P (2019) Mitophagy in Cancer: A Tale of Adaptation. *Cells* 8(5).

153. Paulson QX, McArthur MJ, & Johnson DG (2006) E2F3a stimulates proliferation, p53-independent apoptosis and carcinogenesis in a transgenic mouse model. *Cell Cycle* 5(2):184-190.

154. Cremona CA & Behrens A (2014) ATM signalling and cancer. *Oncogene* 33(26):3351-3360.

155. Kondratova VN, Botezatu IV, Shelepov VP, & Likhtenshtein AV (2014) [Transcripts of satellite DNA in blood plasma: probable markers of tumor growth]. *Mol Biol (Mosk)* 48(6):999-1007.

156. Kishikawa T, *et al.* (2016) Quantitation of circulating satellite RNAs in pancreatic cancer patients. *JCI Insight* 1(8):e86646.

157. Pandey JP, *et al.* (2016) Humoral Immunity to Cytomegalovirus Glycoprotein B in Patients With Breast Cancer and Matched Controls: Contribution of Immunoglobulin gamma, kappa, and Fcgamma Receptor Genes. *J Infect Dis* 213(4):611-617.

158. Naucler CS, Geisler J, & Vetvik K (2019) The emerging role of human cytomegalovirus infection in human carcinogenesis: a review of current evidence and potential therapeutic implications. *Oncotarget* 10(42):4333-4347.

159. Costa H, *et al.* (2019) Human cytomegalovirus infection is correlated with enhanced cyclooxygenase-2 and 5-lipoxygenase protein expression in breast cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 145(8):2083-2095.

160. Pasquereau S, *et al.* (2017) Cytomegalovirus, Macrophages and Breast Cancer. *The open virology journal* 11:15-27.

161. Neve RM, *et al.* (2006) A collection of breast cancer cell lines for the study of functionally distinct cancer subtypes. *Cancer Cell* 10(6):515-527.

1454 162. Murphy E*, et al.* (2003) Coding potential of laboratory and clinical strains of human
1455   cytomegalovirus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100(25):14976-14981.
1456
1457 163. Wu K, Oberstein A, Wang W, & Shenk T (2018) Role of PDGF receptor-alpha during human
1458   cytomegalovirus entry into fibroblasts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115(42):E9889-E9898.
1459
1460 164. Reed LJ & Muench H (1938) A simple method of estimating fifty percent endpoints. *The
1461   American Journal of Hygiene* 27:493-497.
1462
1463 165. Afgan E*, et al.* (2018) The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative
1464   biomedical analyses: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46(W1):W537-W544.
1465
1466 166. Liao Y, Smyth GK, & Shi W (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
1467   assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30(7):923-930.
1468
1469 167. Love MI, Huber W, & Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
1470   RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15(12):550.
1471
1472 168. Thorvaldsdottir H, Robinson JT, & Mesirov JP (2013) Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): high-
1473   performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Brief Bioinform* 14(2):178-192.
1474
1475 169. Heberle H, Meirelles GV, da Silva FR, Telles GP, & Minghim R (2015) InteractiVenn: a web-
1476   based tool for the analysis of sets through Venn diagrams. *BMC Bioinformatics* 16:169.
1477
1478 170. Livak KJ & Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
1479   quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25(4):402-408.
1480
1481 171. Zhu H, Shen Y, & Shenk T (1995) Human cytomegalovirus IE1 and IE2 proteins block
1482   apoptosis. *J Virol* 69(12):7960-7970.
1483
1484 172. Cuevas-Bennett C & Shenk T (2008) Dynamic histone H3 acetylation and methylation at human
1485   cytomegalovirus promoters during replication in fibroblasts. *J Virol* 82(19):9525-9536.
1486
1487 173. Lu M & Shenk T (1999) Human cytomegalovirus UL69 protein induces cells to accumulate in G1
1488   phase of the cell cycle. *J Virol* 73(1):676-683.
1489
1490 174. Hwang J & Kalejta RF (2007) Proteasome-dependent, ubiquitin-independent degradation of
1491   Daxx by the viral pp71 protein in human cytomegalovirus-infected cells. *Virology* 367(2):334-
1492   338.
1493
1494 175. Silva MC, Yu QC, Enquist L, & Shenk T (2003) Human cytomegalovirus UL99-encoded pp28 is
1495   required for the cytoplasmic envelopment of tegument-associated capsids. *J Virol* 77(19):10594-
1496   10605.
1497
1498 176. Rajapakse D, Peterson K, Mishra S, & Wistow G (2017) Serum starvation of ARPE-19 changes
1499   the cellular distribution of cholesterol and Fibulin3 in patterns reminiscent of age-related macular
1500   degeneration. *Exp Cell Res* 361(2):333-341.
1501
1502 177. Upadhyay S, Vaish S, & Dhiman M (2019) Hydrogen peroxide-induced oxidative stress and its
1503   impact on innate immune responses in lung carcinoma A549 cells. *Mol Cell Biochem* 450(1-
1504   2):135-147.
1505

1506   178.   Nakajima S, *et al.* (2004) UV light-induced DNA damage and tolerance for the survival of
1507   nucleotide excision repair-deficient human cells. *J Biol Chem* 279(45):46674-46677.
1508
1509   179.   Rasband WS (1997-2010) ImageJ (U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland,
1510   USA).

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

Figure 1. HSATII KD has strong effect on HCMV infection in epithelial cells. (A) RNA samples

were collected at 24 hpi from ARPE-19 cells transfected with NT-LNA or HSATII-LNAs 24 h before

HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) infection at 1 TCID50/cell. RT-qPCR was performed using HSATII-specific

primers. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD.

n=3. **(B)** Protein samples were collected at indicated times from ARPE-19 cells transfected with NT-

LNA or HSATII-LNAs 24 h before HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) infection at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell. Protein levels

were analyzed by the western blot technique using antibodies specific to IE1, IE2, pUL26, pUL44,

pUL69, pp71 and pp28. Actin was used as a loading control. **(C)** Total DNA was collected at indicated

times from ARPE-19 cells transfected with NT-LNA or HSATII-LNAs 24 h before HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-

epi) infection at 1 TCID50/cell. vDNA and cellular DNA copy numbers were determined. Data are

presented as a fold change mean ± SD of the relative vDNA to cellular DNA ratio. n=3 **(D)** Media

samples were collected at indicated times from ARPE-19 cells transfected with NT-LNA or HSATII-

LNAs 24 h before HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) infection at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell. TCID50 ml^{-1} values were

determined**. (E)** Intracellular and extracellular virions were collected at indicated times from ARPE-19

cells transfected with either NT-LNA, HSATII-LNA#1, HSATII-LNA#2 or both HSATII-LNAs 24 h before

HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) infection at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell. TCID50 ml^{-1} values were determined**.** Data are

presented as a mean ± SD. *n*=3. ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001 by the unpaired, two-tailed *t*-test.

1562

Figure 2. DNA damage response regulates HSATII expression. (A) ARPE-19 cells were exposed to

UV-irradiation (50 J/m^2), 100 nM of H_2O_2 or serum withdrawal. RNA samples were collected at 24 hpt.

RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII, CDKN1A or DINO transcripts. GAPDH was

used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$,

***$P<0.001$ by the unpaired, two-tailed *t*-test. **(B and C)** ARPE-19 cells were treated with increasing

concentrations of zeocin or etoposide. H_2O or DMSO, respectively, were used as solvent controls. RNA

samples were collected at 24 hpt. RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII, CDKN1A

or DINO transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change

mean ± SD. n =3. Insert: At 24 hpt, cell viability was assessed at each indicated drug concentrations.

Data is presented as % viable cells, were averaged from at least three independent experiments and

are presented as mean (SD). **(D and E)** ARPE-19 cells were treated with 200 μg/mL of zeocin, 200 μM

of etoposide and either with H_2O or DMSO, respectively, as solvent controls. RNA samples were

collected at indicated times. RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers for HSATII, CDKN1A or

DINO transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean

± SD. n =3. **(F)** ARPE-19 cells were mock- or HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi)-infected at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell, or

treated with 200 μg/mL of zeocin or H_2O as a solvent control. After 24 h, RNA samples were collected,

RNA was isolated and analyzed using RNA-seq. Reads were mapped to human genome assembly

hg19 and read coverage tracks at the HSATII locus on chromosome 16 were visualized using IGV.

1581

Figure 3. Zeocin partially mimics transcriptional effect of HCMV. ARPE-19 cells were mock- or

HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi)-infected at 1 $TCID_{50}$/cell, or treated with 200 μg/mL of zeocin or H_2O as a

solvent control. After 24 h, RNA samples were collected, RNA was isolated and analyzed using RNA-

seq. **(A)** Venn diagram shows differentially expressed genes (q<0.05) either in HCMV-infected or

zeocin-treated cells vs. respective controls. **(B)** GSEA was performed on the list of common genes

differentially expressed in both HCMV-infected and zeocin-treated cells using the hallmark gene set of

MSigDB. Identified specific gene set names are categorized based on increasing *p*-value and FDR q-

value.

1590

Figure 4. Transcription factor E2F3a regulates HSATII expression. (A) ARPE-19 cells were

transfected with E2F1, E2F2, E2F3, E2F4, E2F5 siRNAs or NT siRNA as a control. After 24 h, cells

were infected with TB40/E-GFP-epi at 1 TCID50 per cell. RNA samples were collected at 24 hpi. RT-

qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII, E2F1, E2F2, E2F3, E2F4 or E2F5 transcripts.

GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. **(B)**

Tetracycline-inducible IE1 and IE2 ARPE-19 cells were transfected with E2F3 siRNA or NT siRNA as a

control. After 48 h, cells were treated with doxycycline. RNA samples were collected at 24 hpt. RT-

qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII, E2F3, as well as viral UL122 and UL123

transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD.

n =3. **(C)** ARPE-19 cells were transfected with E2F1-, E2F2-, E2F3a- or E2F3b-expressing plasmids.

After 72 h, RNA samples were collected. RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII,

E2F1, E2F2, E2F3a or E2F3b transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented

as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. **(D)** ARPE-19 cells were mock- or HCMV infected (TB40/E-GFP-epi)

at 3 TCID50 per cell, treated with 200 μg/mL of Zeocin or 200 μM of etoposide and either with H_2O or

DMSO, respectively, as solvent controls. RNA samples were collected at 24 hpt. RT-qPCR was

performed using HSATII RNA specific primers. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are

presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. **(E)** Tetracycline-inducible ARPE-19 cells were either

treated with doxycycline or were infected with HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) at 3 TCID50 /cell for 24, 48, 72,

or 96 h. Protein samples were collected at indicated times. Protein levels were analyzed by western

blotting using anti-IE1, anti-IE2 or anti-E2F3 antibodies. Actin was used as a loading control. **(F)** ARPE-

19 cells were transfected with E2F3 siRNA or NT siRNA as a control. After 24 h, cells were infected

with TB40/E-GFP-epi at 1 TCID50 per cell. RNA samples were collected at 24 hpi. RT-qPCR was

performed using specific primers to UL123, UL37x1, UL26, UL54, UL82 or UL99 transcripts. GAPDH

was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. **(G)** ARPE-19

cells were treated with 200 μg/mL of zeocin. After 24 h, cells were infected with TB40/E-GFP-epi at 1

TCID50 per cell. Media were collected at 96 hpi and virus yields were determined. Results are

presented as an average PFU/mL ± SD. n =3. *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$, ****$P<0.0001$ by the

unpaired, two-tailed *t*-test.

1619

Figure 5. ATM-based DNA damage response regulates HSATII expression. (A and B) ARPE-19

cells were mock- or HCMV-infected with HCMV (TB40/E-GFP-epi) at 3 TCID50 /cell or treated with 200

μg/mL of zeocin. Cells were stained for γ-H2AX and nuclei were counterstained with the Hoechst stain.

Cells were visualized (20X magnification) **(A)** and % of γ-H2AX-positive cells was calculated **(B)** using

Operetta high-content imaging and analysis system. Scale bars: 100 μm. **(C and D)** ARPE-19 cells

were transfected with ATM, ATR or DNA-PK siRNAs or NT siRNA as a control. After 24 h, cells were

infected with TB40/E-GFP-epi at 1 TCID50 per cell (A) or 100 μg/mL of zeocin (B). RNA samples were

collected 24 h later. RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII, ATM, ATR or DNA-PK

transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD.

n =3. **(E)** A-T(+) and A-T(-) cells were infected with TB40/E-GFP-epi at 1 TCID50 per cell, 200 μg/mL of

zeocin or 200 μM of etoposide. RNA samples were collected 24 h later. RT-qPCR was performed using

specific primers to HSATII, CDKN1A or DINO transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control.

Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. **(F)** ARPE-19 cells were treated with DMSO, 20

μM of either Ku-55933 or AZ31 for 2 h before zeocin was added at the concentration of 100 μg/mL.

RNA samples were collected 24 hpt. RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII,

CDKN1A or DINO transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold

change mean ± SD. n =3. (G) ARPE-19 cells were treated with various concentrations of Ku-55933 or

AZ31. At 24 hpt, cell viability was assessed. Data is presented as % viable cells, were averaged from at

least three independent experiments and are presented as mean (SD). *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$,

****$P<0.0001$ by the unpaired, two-tailed *t*-test.

1640

Figure 6. HSATII expression is induced *via* Chk1/2- and p53-independent pathway. **(A)** ARPE-19

cells were transfected with CHEK1, CHEK2 siRNAs or NT siRNA as a control. After 24 h, cells were

infected with TB40/E-GFP-epi at 1 TCID50 per cell or 200 μg/mL of zeocin. RNA samples were

collected 24 h later. RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII, CHEK1 or CHEK2

transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD.

n =3. **(B and C)** ARPE-19 cells were infected with TB40/E-GFP-epi at 3 TCID50 per cell or 200 μg/mL

of zeocin. RNA samples were collected 24 and 96 h later. RT-qPCR was performed using specific

primers to HSATII, CDKN1A or DINO transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are

presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. **(D and E)** ARPE-19 cells were transfected with TP53

siRNAs or NT siRNA as a control. After 24 h, cells were infected with TB40/E-GFP-epi at 1 TCID50 per

cell or 200 μg/mL of zeocin. RNA samples were collected 24 h later. RT-qPCR was performed using

specific primers to HSATII, TP53, CDKN1A or DINO transcripts. GAPDH was used as an internal

control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$,

****$P<0.0001$ by the unpaired, two-tailed *t*-test.

1655

Figure 7. DNA damage-induced HSATII RNA enhances motility and proliferation of breast cancer

cells. (A) Indicated cell lines, ordered according to the p53 status, were grown to ~80% confluency and

RNA samples were collected. RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII RNA. GAPDH

was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3. **(B)** MCF-7,

MCF-10A, MDA-MB-361 and SUM1315MO2 cells were treated with 200 μg/mL of Zeocin. RNA

samples were collected at 24 and 96 hpt. RT-qPCR was performed using specific primers to HSATII

RNA. GAPDH was used as an internal control. Data are presented as a fold change mean ± SD. n =3.

(C) ARPE-1, MCF-7 and SUM315MO2 cells were treated with 200 μg/mL of Zeocin for 24 h. Cells were

transferred onto transwell inserts. After 24 hpt, migrated cells were washed, fixed and nuclei stained.

The graph presents a number of cells (with their indicated means) migrated through a transwell per

FOV from biological replicates. *n*=9-12. **(D)** MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2 cells were

transfected with NT-LNA or HSATII-LNAs. After 48 hpi, equal number of cells was transferred onto

transwell inserts. 24 h later, migrated cells were washed, fixed and nuclei stained. The graph presents

a number of cells (with their indicated means) migrated through a transwell per FOV from biological

replicates. *n*=12. **(E)** MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2 cells were transfected with NT-LNA or

HSATII-LNAs. After 48 hpi, wounds were created and their closure was monitored at indicated times.

Data from biological replicates are presented as a percent of remaining wound width mean ± SD. n=6.

(F) MDA-MB-231, BT-549 and SUM1315MO2 cells were transfected with NT-LNA or HSATII-LNAs.

After 48 hpt, equal number of cells were seeded and cell proliferation was monitored using a

colorimetric CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay at the indicated times. Cell

proliferation is presented as an increase in a measured absorbance (AU – arbitrary units). n=3.

*$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$, ****$P<0.0001$ by the unpaired, two-tailed *t*-test.

1678

Figures

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

A.

B. Dose-Dependent Effect of Zeocin

C. Dose-Dependent Effect of Etoposide

D. Time-Dependent Effect of Zeocin

E. Time-Dependent Effect of Etoposide

F.

Chromosome 16

Figure 3.

A.

HCMV   Zeocin

567   87   827

B.

Gene Set Name [# Genes (K)]	Description	p-value 🔴	FDR q-value 🔴
HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS [200]	Genes encoding cell cycle related targets of E2F transcription factors.	$2.64\ e^{-17}$	$1.32\ e^{-15}$
HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT [200]	Genes involved in the G2/M checkpoint, as in progression through the cell division cycle.	$3.31\ e^{-11}$	$8.26\ e^{-10}$
HALLMARK_EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION [200]	Genes defining epithelial-mesenchymal transition, as in wound healing, fibrosis and metastasis.	$9.52\ e^{-5}$	$1.59\ e^{-3}$
HALLMARK_INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE [200]	Genes up-regulated in response to IFNG [GeneID=3458].	$1.13\ e^{-3}$	$1.13\ e^{-2}$
HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING [200]	Genes up-regulated through activation of mTORC1 complex.	$1.13\ e^{-3}$	$1.13\ e^{-2}$
HALLMARK_HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING [36]	Genes up-regulated by activation of hedgehog signaling.	$3.04\ e^{-3}$	$2.53\ e^{-2}$
HALLMARK_DNA_REPAIR [150]	Genes involved in DNA repair.	$4.87\ e^{-3}$	$3.48\ e^{-2}$
HALLMARK_APOPTOSIS [161]	Genes mediating programmed cell death (apoptosis) by activation of caspases.	$5.92\ e^{-3}$	$3.7\ e^{-2}$

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

Figure 7.