Role of Diversity-Generating Retroelements for Regulatory Pathway Tuning in Cyanobacteria

Alec Vallota-Eastman[1], Eleanor C. Arrington[1], Siobhan Meeken[2], Simon Roux[3], Krishna Dasari[4], Sydney Rosen[4], Jeff F. Miller[5,6], David L. Valentine[7,8], Blair G. Paul*[2]

[1]Interdepartmental Graduate Program for Marine Science, University of California Santa Barbara, CA, 93106, USA

[2]Josephine Bay Paul Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, 7 MBL St, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA

[3] DOE Joint Genome Institute, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA

[4]Research Mentorship Program (RMP), University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, 93106, USA

[5]Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, 90095, USA

[6]California NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, 90095, USA

[7]Marine Science Institute, University of California Santa Barbara, CA, 93106, USA

[8]Department of Earth Science, University of California Santa Barbara, CA, 93106, USA

*Corresponding author: Blair G. Paul, bgpaul@mbl.edu

Abstract

Background

Cyanobacteria maintain extensive repertoires of regulatory genes that are vital for adaptation to environmental stress. Some cyanobacterial genomes have been noted to encode diversity-generating retroelements (DGRs), which promote protein hypervariation through localized retrohoming and codon rewriting in target genes. Past research has shown DGRs to mainly diversify proteins involved in cell-cell attachment or viral-host attachment within viral, bacterial, and archaeal lineages. However, these elements may be critical in driving variation for proteins involved in other core cellular processes.

Results

Members of 31 cyanobacterial genera encode at least one DGR, and together, their retroelements form a monophyletic clade of closely-related reverse transcriptases. This class of retroelements diversifies target proteins with unique domain architectures: modular ligand-binding domains often paired with a second domain that is linked to signal response or regulation. Comparative analysis indicates recent intragenomic duplication of DGR targets as paralogs, but also apparent intergenomic exchange of DGR components. The prevalence of DGRs and the paralogs of their targets is disproportionately high among colonial and filamentous strains of cyanobacteria.

Conclusion

We find that colonial and filamentous cyanobacteria have recruited DGRs to optimize a ligand-binding module for apparent function in signal response or regulation. These represent a unique class of hypervariable proteins, which might offer cyanobacteria a

42 form of plasticity to adapt to environmental stress. This analysis supports the hypothesis

43 that DGR-driven mutation modulates signaling and regulatory networks in

44 cyanobacteria, suggestive of a new framework for the utility of localized genetic

45 hypervariation.

Background

Cyanobacteria are a remarkably diverse lineage, in terms of metabolisms, morphologies,

and habitat distribution. Perhaps most notably, this phylum contains the only prokaryotic

organisms known to have evolved the capability for oxygenic photosynthesis; this trait

was later acquired by eukaryotes through endosymbiosis with cyanobacteria, resulting in

the formation of chloroplasts [1,2], and driving the modern biosphere. Cyanobacteria

have evolved an array of morphologies, including complex multicellular forms [3–6].

Representatives are typically classified into five subsections [7,8]. Species of subsections

I and II consist of single coccoid cells. Subsections III-V represent multicellular species

that form filaments of varying complexity. Members of subsection III form reversibly-

differentiable filaments of vegetative cells. Among subsections IV and V, cells can carry

out terminal cellular differentiation in response to environmental stimuli, forming spore-

like cells that are resistant to desiccation (akinetes), micro-oxic cells specialized for N_2

fixation (heterocysts), and motile filaments (hormogonia) [9]. This morphological and

metabolic complexity has allowed cyanobacteria to inhabit diverse environments.

Certain members of the cyanobacterial phylum possess an extensive capacity to adapt to

various environmental pressures through tightly-controlled regulation of complex

cellular programs for signal response. This is exemplified by abilities for metabolic

switching (i.e. CO_2/N_2 fixation), maintaining photoreceptors of varying intensity for

binary programs of circadian rhythm, and forming specialized cells which can sometimes

be terminally differentiated and lead to multicellularity [9]. To regulate these complex

programs, cyanobacteria have an extensive repertoire of genes governing signal

transduction including proteases, kinases, and nucleases. Notably, paralogs of these

regulatory proteins are more abundant among the more complex species of cyanobacteria

(i.e. those belonging to subsections III-V) [10,11]. However, the mechanisms to diversify

and adapt specific functionality in these duplicated genes remain largely unexplored. One

mechanism may be the application of diversity-generating retroelements, known to

accelerate the evolution of the proteins they target.

Diversity-generating retroelements (DGRs) have been noted within the genomes of

several genera of cyanobacteria [12−14]. In other bacterial and viral systems, DGRs drive

site-specific hypermutation of a subset of codons in target genes. These retroelements

utilize a uniquely targeted form of retrotransposition. To this end, DGRs insert variants

into a flexible coding scaffold, while avoiding non-specific variation in conserved portions

of a gene. The essential features of a DGR are most often found within a single genomic

locus spanning ~ 5 − 10 kbp (Fig. 1a), though the synteny and organization of DGR

components can vary [13]. Diversification is mechanistically carried out by a reverse

transcriptase (RT), which acts upon an RNA transcript, encoded by a template repeat

(TR) region in the locus. This region is nearly identical to a variable region (VR) that

typically resides in a nearby gene, which encodes a DGR-variable protein (VP). The TR-

RNA intermediate is reverse transcribed into cDNA wherein A → N mutation is highly

favored by the error-prone RT. This cDNA then replaces VR, whose sequence commonly

corresponds to flexible residues in ligand binding structural domains belonging to the C-

type lectin or Immunoglobulin (Ig)-like protein families.

The first DGR variable protein was characterized from the bacteriophage, BPP-1. In these

phage, DGRs diversify tail fiber tip proteins that recognize and bind to *Bordetella* host

receptors [12,15]. Other cellular DGRs have been characterized in bacterial pathogens,

including *Legionella pneumophila* [16] and *Treponema denticola* [17], where DGR target

genes encode for cellular surface proteins, presumably involved in cell-cell attachment.

The conserved function of cell-cell or viral-cell attachment in these target genes lends to

a perspective of DGRs for broad use in host recognition for symbiosis or infection.

Moreover, several genera of cyanobacteria were identified in recent genomic and

metagenomic surveys of DGRs [13,18]. The essential components of DGRs can be found

across most lineages of prokaryotic life [13,18–22], suggesting broad utility of this form

of localized mutation.

Whereas previously characterized DGR target proteins appear to share a functional role

in extracellular attachment to ligands displayed on foreign cells, these retroelements

could potentially diversify other cellular proteins with entirely distinct functions. The

intermediate RNA, which preserves a template for DGR mutagenesis, has been shown to

be highly expressed in lab isolates of *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS101 [14] and in

Nodularia spumigena CCY9414 under light and oxidative stress [23,24]. Here, a

systematic analysis of DGRs and their variable proteins in cyanobacterial genomes leads

to a new perspective on the utility of diversification and optimization of modular protein

domains in paralogs that appear linked to signaling and transcriptional control.

Results and discussion

A Conserved Subclass of Retroelements in Cyanobacteria

Our analysis identified 58 DGRs that include 90 target genes (i.e. encoding VPs) in 52 genomes of cyanobacteria spanning 31 different genera. These include filamentous, colonial, and symbiotic organisms (Fig. 1b and Additional file 1: Table S1). Sequence clustering of the 58 DGRs (RT sequences at 95% identity) suggests they represented 49 distinct DGRs, although the full set of 58 were examined further. All DGRs were identified by presence of diagnostic and essential components: an RT gene; one or more VP genes with VR regions; and a TR region. Among the 52 genomes analyzed, four contain duplicate DGR cassettes, based on clustering, while one contains two unique DGR-RTs. Moreover, several individual DGRs have multiple target genes, and some VP genes have VRs with homology to other genes dispersed throughout the genome (paralogs) (Fig. 1c).

To evaluate the diversity of cyanobacteria-encoded DGRs, we first compared these representatives to a recently developed, global metagenomic DGR dataset [18]. Cyanobacterial DGR-RTs were clustered (i.e. at ≥ 50% AAI) with sequences in the global metagenomic dataset, then linked to a corresponding DGR clade and target protein cluster. All DGRs from our dataset were closely related to DGR Clade-5. The global dataset RTs in DGR Clade-5 are affiliated with target proteins in protein cluster 1 (i.e. PC_00001), which primarily contains cellular proteins that appear to be membrane-bound [18]. Given that the cyanobacterial DGRs appear to cluster tightly together, we next sought to analyze phylogenetic relationships within this set.

Phylogenetic analysis of cyanobacterial DGR-RTs revealed a monophyletic clade, unique

from all other bacterial DGR-RTs (Fig. 2). The cyanobacterial DGR-RT clade comprises

sequences that span nearly all major cyanobacterial genera within morphological

subclasses I, III, IV, and V (Fig. 3a). None of the DGR-containing genomes correspond to

genera within subclass II. Strikingly, cyanobacterial reverse transcriptases within the

monophyletic cyanobacterial DGR clade share an average global sequence identity of 67%

(minimum 55%; amino acid sequence). Whereas members of this DGR-RT subgroup do

not appear to be shared with other bacteria or archaea, their phylogenetic relationships

suggest a complex evolutionary history punctuated by horizontal exchange within the

cyanobacterial phylum (Fig. 3b). Although none of the cyanobacterial DGRs could be

definitively assigned to prophage elements, they were identified on plasmids of *Anabaena*

sp. 90 (CP003287) and *Fischerella* sp. NIES-4106 (AP018301), which may indicate a

vehicle for retroelement transfer between closely related populations. Among members

of this RT clade, each corresponding DGR-VP contains a ligand-binding C-type lectin-like

domain (CLec) with additional functional domains described in detail below.

Intragenomic Dispersal of Hypervariable Binding Domains

DGR variable proteins often contain multiple distinct structural domains [13,21,25]. To

investigate the specific functions of cyanobacterial DGR-targeted proteins (i.e. containing

the VR scaffold), we first separately analyzed the ligand-binding CLec domains in all

DGR-VPs. This approach identified a conserved module (i.e. a putative C-terminal

domain) found in each of the 52 cyanobacterial VP representatives (Additional file 1:

Table S1). The entire set of VR-containing modules share sequence homology with 50.5%

average identity and, moreover, all of these protein sequences were clustered together

with >30% pairwise amino acid identity. Structural prediction of the representative C-

terminal domain sequence (i.e. obtained from clustering) determined that each module

most closely resembles the C-type Lectin fold, which is represented by the CLec-like

superfamily (InterPro: IPR016187). A search for similar proteins in the Uniprot database

identified sequences from an array of other genomes among which 92% belong to

cyanobacterial phyla (Additional file 2: Table S2). The similarity between CLec domains

found in diverse DGRs may underlie a conserved utility for diversifying this module

across different cyanobacterial taxa. The CLec-like superfamily has been linked to a

variety of molecular processes in cells and viruses spanning the tree of life, with a common

functional role in ligand binding generally predicted for this fold [26–28]. Thus, the

modular and dispersed nature of a highly conserved CLec subclass may further point to

multifaceted functional significance in cyanobacteria.

We next sought to address whether hypervariable CLec modules might arise from gene

duplication and intragenomic dispersal, resulting in recognizable sets of paralogs in

cyanobacterial genomes. This search was limited to 21 high-quality genomes of the 52-

genome total, such that draft genomes composed of >50 scaffolds were removed from the

analysis. This approach uncovered 21 genomes that have multiple genes encoding CLec

domain-containing proteins, with varying degrees of VR/TR homology (Fig. 4 and

Additional file 3: Table S3). These paralogs occur both within DGR loci and dispersed

throughout the genome and most often consist of either a single CLec domain or the C-

terminal CLec grafted to an N-terminal putative serine kinase domain. Taken together,

the multi-genome set of 219 cyanobacterial orthologs across 21 genomes-share average

pairwise identity of 50.5% within their CLec domains. The complete set of 219 orthologs

comprises 121 genes that appear to be DGR-diversified based on VR/TR homology,

including 45 VP genes encoded within a DGR. The additional 76 remote targets were

associated with their respective genome's DGR(s) using a threshold of TR identity greater

than 50%; these matches were exclusively found near the 3' terminus of CLec-encoding

genes. The proximity to 3'-termini suggests that conserved, cis-acting features - such as

DNA cruciforms or initiation of mutagenic homing sites required for cDNA integration -

may play a role in activating remote targets.

The genome of *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7120 (formerly *Anabaena*), contains two DGRs and several

dispersed VP paralogs (Fig. 4), providing the opportunity to examine the evolutionary

history of these genes in an extensively-studied model organism. Within this genome, we

identified three highly similar VP homologs (≥ 60% amino acid identity) in dispersed loci,

wherein these genes may have proliferated by duplication and transposition from a

common ancestral gene. Notably, one of these paralogs (*all3226*) contains remnant TR-

VR homology, despite an absence of proximal RT genes or pseudogenes. Taken together,

this suggests a capacity for intragenomic dispersal of DGR-targeted variable proteins, and

perhaps removal of diversification components once an optimal variant is selected. In

addition to its tractability, the common constellation of DGR VPs that occurs in PCC 7120,

as observed in other cyanobacteria, make this species an ideal representative for further

analysis of the physiological, ecological and evolutionary ramifications of DGR VP

functionality and modularity in cyanobacteria.

To assess whether transposable elements were found in proximity to DGRs, we analyzed

neighborhoods surrounding each hypervariable protein, including remote VPs with

respect to a DGR-RT (i.e. > 5 kbp upstream/downstream). This search uncovered

transposase genes belonging to various families in DGR-proximal loci which may be

responsible for VP dispersal throughout the genome (Additional file 4: Table S4 and

Additional file 5: Table S5). Within the subset of 21 high-quality genomes,

Trichodesmium erythraeum IMS101 has the greatest number of proximal transposase

genes, spanning six different insertion sequence (IS) families. The most widely-

distributed transposases were those belonging to the IS200/IS605 family, found nearby

9 VPs from 6 distinct species. Transposases belonging to this family employ a single-

stranded DNA intermediate for a "peel-and-paste" mechanism of transposition [29,30].

The genome of *Anabaena* sp. Strain 90 contains remnants of a putative degraded DGR

cassette – containing only the RT with no other detectable features – and notably, the RT

gene is flanked by proximal transposase genes. This provides a potential mechanism for

select components of the DGR to be mobilized within the genome. DGR recruitment to

one gene from another would allow favorably diversified genes to become conserved while

targeting hypervariation elsewhere in the genome. Selective pressures can then influence

the recruitment of DGRs to genes wherein hypervariation for ligand-binding residues

offers selective advantages. Through this mechanism of transposition, cyanobacterial

DGRs may provide a newly-diversified, modular, ligand binding domain to signaling

genes.

Function of Multidomain Variable Proteins

In part, functional diversity of DGR variable proteins is found in their multidomain

complexity. We examined cyanobacterial VPs and their paralogs, which consist of N-

terminal domains that are grafted to the C-terminal CLec domain (Fig. 4, Fig. 5). Toward

assessing cellular localization, transmembrane and/or signal peptide regions were

predicted for 4 DGR-associated VPs and 9 remote VPs, spanning 11 of the 21 high-quality

genome set (Additional file 3: Table S3). Most cyanobacterial DGR VPs are predicted to

be cytosolic, however evidence exists for TM localization and secretion as well.

The most common N-terminal domains of cyanobacterial VPs and their paralogs have

similarity to the protein kinase superfamily. These proteins are further predicted to be

serine/threonine kinases (STKs) based on the following factors: 1) identification of Hanks

and Hunter-type Motifs I through IX [31] (Additional file 6: Figure S1); 2) common NCBI

CDS annotations of "serine/threonine protein kinase CDS"; or 3) identification of an STK

in previous literature [32]. STKs are mostly associated with eukaryotic signal

transduction pathways. In prokaryotes, two-component regulation controls most

phosphorylation pathways with a receptor histidine kinase paired with various response

regulators phosphorylated on aspartic acid residues. These kinases often control the

expression of certain genes [33]. However, Hanks-type STKs have been found in an array

of prokaryotic organisms where their genomic abundance is often correlated with genome

size, physiological and ecophysiological complexity, and ability to tolerate complex

environments [31,32,34]. These STKs are implicated in the regulation of various aspects

of bacterial physiology through post-translational modification of proteins, which may

themselves be components of phosphorelay and transcriptional regulatory pathways [34–

37]. Serine/threonine protein kinases were first associated with the pknA gene of *Nostoc*

sp. PCC7120, which is involved in growth and differentiation [32], and in other bacteria

their activity regulates processes such as cell growth, segregation, virulence, metabolism,

stress adaptation, and cell wall/envelope biogenesis [34]. Ser/Thr kinases in

cyanobacteria are usually associated with three different processes: developmental

regulation, stress response, and pathogenicity [32]. Slight changes, not in function but in

the strength of substrate recognition to a variety of phosphorylation targets, may

contribute to the ability to finely tune networks of signal transduction.

Compared to histidine kinases of two-component systems, which exhibit strong substrate

discrimination, STKs have relaxed substrate specificities. This has been linked to a lack of

co-evolution between the kinase and its cognate target [38,39]. Accelerated evolution of

the substrate-binding domain of these kinases may have resulted in the further expansion

of this class of proteins in the Cyanobacteria phylum, contributing to a wide range of

adaptability to external stimuli and challenging environments. We hypothesize that the

VR-containing CLec domain could be autoinhibitory, and activation of kinase activity

would occur upon binding a small molecule or protein ligand. In this case, DGR-mediated

diversity could allow rapid recognition of various ligands for activating phosphorylation

cascades. Alternatively, the CLec domain could function in ligand recognition (i.e.

determining what protein(s) are phosphorylated). In this case CLec variants could have

different substrate specificities. Segregation of phosphorylation targets between

paralogous kinases has been shown to play a strong selective pressure in their evolution

[40]. In turn, DGR-driven hypervariation of binding components in signaling proteins

may offer additional selective advantages in cyanobacteria through preventing cross-talk,

which is characteristic of this of kinase class.

We also identified orthocaspase-like peptidase domains in VP N-termini, which are also

common among their paralogs (Fig. 4). Caspase proteins are proteases involved in the

initiation of programmed cell death in metazoans [41]. The peptidase domains that we

identified in many VPs and their paralogs were predicted as orthocaspases, which are the

prokaryotic homologs of eukaryotic caspase-type proteases [42]. While these protein

types are homologous to metazoan caspases, current evidence supports a broader role in

cell homeostasis during normal cellular conditions, programs of cellular differentiation,

or ageing as well as potential apoptosis [43–45]. Previous studies have found

orthocaspases to be enriched in morphologically complex filamentous cyanobacteria of

subsections III-V (e.g. *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS 101, *Anabaena* spp., and *Nostoc*

spp.) as well as various strains of the unicellular toxin-producing species, *Microcystis*

aeruginosa. Conversely, orthocaspases are entirely absent from unicellular genera

Synechococcus,   *Prochlorococcus*,   *Cyanobium*,   and   *Cyanothece*   and   are

underrepresented in the genomes of cyanobacteria belonging to subsections I-II. This

suggests their utility in enabling the complex signal response and regulatory programs

that exist in cyanobacteria capable of cellular differentiation, toxin production, and

diazotrophy [46].

In addition to the serine/threonine kinase and orthocaspases-like peptidase domains, we

identified less-common features including repeat motifs, Toll-like/TIR receptors, GAF,

GUN4-like, and CHAT domains. Repeat motifs may have a role in protein-protein

interactions (e.g., TPR, WD40 repeat, ARM repeat, and VWA-CoxE) [47–50], while the

other domains have been linked to intracellular signal transduction [51–55] (Fig. 5).

A common feature for nearly all of the N-terminal domains, including the prevalent

protein kinase-containing paralogs, is their potential to serve a functional role in signal

transduction in response to external stimuli (e.g. light, nutrient deprivation, and general

stress response) [9]. A previous study found that genes encoding complex multidomain

proteins involved in signal transduction are highly enriched in the filamentous

cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 when compared to the genomes of unicellular

Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [56]. Moreover, regulatory

proteins involved in signal transduction could lend to the complex regulation necessary

for the physiology of filamentous cyanobacteria. These physiologies include a capacity for

cell-differentiation, producing heterocysts during nitrogen deprivation and akinetes

under environmental stress, as well as programmed apoptosis [43,57]. The presence of

DGRs in cyanobacteria follows this trend in the abundance of specialized signal

transduction proteins – being seemingly enriched in filamentous nitrogen-fixing taxa and

absent from genomes of unicellular taxa, *Synechococcus* spp. and *Prochlorococcus* spp.,

though they are present in other unicellular species.

DGR-programmed variation of the ligand-binding domain of receptor-binding proteins

in Bordetella bacteriophage has been shown to increase the capacity of these proteins to

recognize a vast array of molecules. Moreover, diversification of oligomeric structures

appeared to confer an amplification of binding affinity, or avidity [58,59]. Specifically, the

existence of 12 DGR-variable target protein trimers in each bacteriophage virion was

shown to increase the binding strengths of these proteins to their ligand, pertactin, by

relaxing the requirement for optimal binding between the ligand and any single

monomer. This multivalent binding was also shown to lead to more distinction in binding

events, contributing to enhanced selectivity [59]. These two properties of avidity through

multivalency are hypothesized to be characteristic of other DGR systems as a means to

provide ligand-recognition flexibility to evolve under constrained conditions, while

maintaining selectivity.   We hypothesize that, in cyanobacteria, DGR-programmed

variation might have a role in providing multimeric avidity in terms of ligand binding for

signal response. In the case of autoinhibitory variable proteins attached to a kinase, rather

than providing flexibility in host-receptor binding, as in Bordetella bacteriophage,

increased avidity may hold a kinetic advantage for substrate binding, whereby flexible

activation accelerates signal transduction and regulation. More generally, the available

genomic evidence is consistent with a phenomenon of targeted diversification acting to

tune cyanobacterial regulatory networks.

320   Conclusions

The DGR-enabled diversification of proteins involved in host attachment should lead to

selective advantages, as this offers an offensive selective advantage to variation by the

host cell. By genomic inference, other DGR-containing prokaryotes seem to have adopted

DGR function to mechanisms of virulence, and other cell-cell or phage/cell binding

interactions.   By contrast, our findings suggest a selective use of DGRs for purposes of

isolated hyper-diversification of a small pocket in the C-terminal binding domains of

multidomain proteins broadly involved in signal transduction within cyanobacteria. This

class of DGR-target proteins is thus-far unique to the cyanobacterial phylum.

Diversification of the binding site of these proteins, paired with natural selection over

iterations of diversity generation and the ability to segregate resulting beneficial mutants

via transposition, may contribute to the complexity and adaptability of cellular regulation

amongst cyanobacterial taxa.   In developing a better grasp on the functional significance

of DGR hypervariation, it is clear that the phenomenon adds new layers of complexity in

the expansion of bacterial protein networks.

Figures

Figure 1. Schematic overview of a DGR and prevalence in cyanobacterial
genomes.
a Three primary steps in the process of mutagenic homing are shown: **1)** conserved
template region (TR) in the DGR cassette is transcribed into intermediate, non-coding
RNA, which is the substrate for DGR reverse transcriptase (DGR-RT). **2)** Template-
primed reverse transcription of TR-RNA is highly error-prone at adenines, which thus
incorporates random nucleotides at specific positions in the resulting cDNA. **3)** The new
cDNA molecule is integrated into the variable region (VR) at a fixed locus, resulting in
the replacement of a portion of the target gene (~100 – 200bp). Genomic surveys
suggest that VRs occur almost exclusively near the 3' terminus of a target gene.
Additional "remote" VP genes (i.e. paralogs) may be found in non-DGR loci throughout
the genome, which have detectable TR vs VR homology. **b** Summary of 52 cyanobacteria
genomes known to have DGR components (in Fig. 1a) spanning 31 genera. Genera with
≥ 2 DGR-containing genomes annotated. **c** DGR feature occurrence normalized to
genome number.

Figure 2. Broad RT phylogeny compared amongst all known DGR-containing lineages.

RT phylogeny compared amongst all known DGR-containing lineages with Group-II Introns as the outgroup (highlighted cyanobacterial clade expanded in Figure 3).

Figure 3. Phylogenetic reconstruction for DGR-containing cyanobacteria and DGR-RT phylogeny.
a Phylogeny for concatenated ribosomal protein alignments, including all DGR-containing species. Filled boxes (left) indicate DGR-RT containing species and the corresponding RT clade. **b** DGR-RT phylogeny with cyanobacterial physiological subsections highlighted in color. Circles indicate branch support values (hollow >50%; filled >70%).

Figure 4. Distribution of CLec variable protein paralogs in cyanobacterial genomes.

DGR variable proteins and remote variable proteins are indicated by triangles and asterisks over corresponding representatives. The CLec paralogs of VPs and RVPs are also shown for each genome. The CLec domain found in all paralogs is indicated by either a red square (CLec only) or two grafted domains shown as adjacent rectangles (C-terminal CLec in red; N-terminal domains in various colors). For clarity, paralogs of the

same domain architecture in *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS101 are indicated below
the representative protein (e.g. x2). Note: only a representative subset of DGR-
containing genomes is shown (15 of 52 genomes).

Figure 5. Representative variable protein (VP) domain architectures.
Protein domains are colored according to pHMMR domain assignment. Variable
regions are shown in deep purple. Additional features, including predicted signal
peptides and transmembrane helices, are also indicated. An example species is shown to
left of each VP architecture.

Methods

DGR Identification and Annotation

First, we identified all cyanobacterial genomes containing a DGR-RT-like coding sequence by comparing a consensus sequence for previously-identified cyanobacterial DGR-RT sequences against protein databases using pHMMER. All matches were linked to corresponding genome or nucleotide sequences, which were then downloaded from NCBI. A set of potential DGR candidates was first developed using a workflow with Python and Geneious Prime v 2019.2.3 (Biomatters) as previously described [21]. Briefly, RT genes were manually inspected for core NTP-binding site motifs, before searching for near-repeats in a 10-kbp proximal region (i.e., RT +/- 5-kbp). Repeats in this region were then aligned and inspected for: i) random mismatches in one sequence (VR), which predominantly occur in 1st and 2nd codon positions of an ORF, and ii) >80% of mismatches correspond to adenines in the non-coding near-repeat sequence (TR). Next, retroelements were further analyzed using myDGR [60] which is especially effective at identifying putative trans-acting accessory DGR components, and separately, remote VP and VP homolog genes.

The entire DGR dataset contains several RT and VP sequences that are near-identical, but shared by distinct genomes (Additional file 1: Table S1). To generate a representative subset of these redundant DGRs, we used CD-HIT [61] to cluster RT amino acid sequences using the following settings: 0.9 global alignment; 95% identity threshold. For comparison with the global metagenomic DGR dataset developed by Roux et al. [18], we conducted pairwise alignments with RT sequences using blastp [62] and identified similar representatives at ≥ 50% amino acid identity.

Genes, homologous to VPs within the DGR cassette, were inspected by aligning amino

acid sequences for the CLec domain of each putative remote VP to the DGR-VP within the

same organism using Clustal Omega. Genes with CLec domains having a putative VR with

$\geq$50% nucleotide identity to a DGR-VP were designated as remote VPs, while those with

<50% were designated as VP homologs. DGR and remote VP neighborhood regions were

defined as regions 10kb upstream and downstream from the DGR cassette, remote VP, or

VP homolog.

Neighborhood Analyses

408 In order to identify potential transposons, we first examined existing genomic

409 annotations in the neighborhood (i.e. +/- 10 kbp) of each VP and Remote VP for the

410 following features: transposase, integrase, mobile element. Next, we conducted a

411 transposon search using ISFinder [63] using expanded VP loci (60 kbp) that contain one

412 or more annotations associated with mobile elements.

Phylogenetic Analyses

To construct a phylogenetic tree of cyanobacteria, we used a set of 16 ribosomal proteins

often used for phylogenomic analysis (RpL2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22, and 24, and RpS3,

8, 10, 17, 19 [64]. Each ribosomal protein was identified using HMMER [65] and hidden

Markov models from the Pfam [66] database (accessed September 2018). Each individual

marker gene was aligned using MUSCLE [67], trimmed using TrimAL [68], manually

assessed to remove end gaps and ambiguously aligned regions and concatenated. A

maximum likelihood tree was constructed using RAxML v. 8.2.9 [69] with the

PROTCATLG model.

To reconstruct RT phylogeny, putative DGR-RT coding sequences were identified, as

described above, then translated. Sequences were de-replicated and non-redundant

candidates were chosen using CD-Hit [61] with a global alignment threshold of 99%

identity. All DGR-RT sequences and a set of Group-II intron RT sequences from Bacteria,

Archaea, plastids, and mitochondria were aligned with a hidden markov model of the

reverse transcriptase protein family (PF00078) using HMMalign [65]. A phylogenetic

tree of DGR-RTs was constructed using FastTree2 [70] with the WAG substitution matrix,

and the CAT approximation to optimize branch lengths. The cyanobacterial DGR-RT

representatives were extracted from the complete alignment, realigned using Clustal

Omega [71] and used to construct an unrooted phylogenetic tree.

Protein Function Analysis

VP domain architecture was annotated using InterProScan, pHMMER, and HMMScan

tools. CD-HIT analysis was performed on CLec domains for all VPs using the following

settings: 0.3 global alignment; 30% identity threshold. Amino acid sequences for the CLec

domain of all VPs were aligned using Clustal Omega. The C-terminal sequence of all DGR-

VP CLecs was extracted based on the InterProScan feature positions, then further aligned

using Clustal Omega and a consensus sequence was picked at 75% sequence similarity

(Additional File 6: Figure S1). This consensus sequence was used to further identify

homologous domains. Using hmmscan, 1,579 hits were returned using an E-value cutoff

of 10^{-40} to generate Table S2 (Additional file 2: Table S2).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article and

its additional files.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by a Challenge Grant from the California NanoSystems Institute

439 (CNSI-UCSB). AVE is supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate

Research 440 Fellowship Program under Grant No. 1650114, and by the NSF California

LSAMP Bridge to the 441 Doctorate Fellowship under Grant No. HRD-1701365. The work

conducted by the U.S. 442 Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute is supported by

the Office of Science of the U.S. 443 Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC02-

05CH11231. Computational analyses for 444 this work were supported by an NSF-XSEDE

resource allocation DEB170007.

Supplementary information

Additional File 1: Table S1. RT/Species Table.
Summary of all DGR-RTs found in cyanobacterial genomes with taxonomic and known
physiological information noted. DGR-internal VPs and Remote VPs identified as
having VR/TR homology are also noted. See table S6 for information regarding
taxonomic affiliations.

Additional File 2: Table S2. Taxonomy of C-Type Lectin-Like HMM Hits.
The consensus sequence of cyanobacterial C-type lectin-like predicted domains was
generated via alignment of DGR-associated C-termini (up to 200 amino acids) and
confirmed with pHMM scan. The taxonomy is summarized for those genomes that
contain orthologs.

Additional File 3: Table S3. Clec Variable Protein Paralogs.
A subset of 21 high quality genomes were chosen to assess the presence of DGR-VP
paralogs. This is a summary of VP paralogs identified and their domains.

Additional File 4: Table S4. DGR-Proximal Transposable Elements.
All transposable elements found in proximity to DGRs are listed, including transposase
family and mechanisms of integration.

Additional File 5: Table S5. Genes within DGR Neighborhoods.
Genes found proximal to cyanobacterial DGR cassettes are annotated with predicted
function and counts for each annotated function displayed.

Additional File 6: Figure S1. Hanks-type Kinase Motif Characterization in
VPs.
Alignment of known "Hanks and Hunter-type" (S/T) kinase domains to the kinase
domains of all DGR-VPs, Remote VPs, and VP Paralogs from this dataset. Motifs I-XI
highlighted in blue. The top eight sequences denoted "STKII" are known Type II S/T
kinases from Zhang et al. 2007 [32].

Additional File 7: Table S6. Updated Cyanobacterial Taxonomy.
Taxonomic assignment for each cyanobacterial genome was generated using relative
evolutionary divergence and average nucleotide identity with the Genome Taxonomy
Database Toolkit (GTDB-Tk) [72] based on the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB)
[73].

References

1. Sagan L. On the origin of mitosing cells. J Theor Biol. 1967;14:255–74.

2. Giovannoni SJ, Turner S, Olsen GJ, Barns S, Lane DJ, Pace NR. Evolutionary
relationships among cyanobacteria and green chloroplasts. J Bacteriol. American
Society for Microbiology (ASM); 1988;170:3584–92.

3. Flores E, Herrero A. Compartmentalized function through cell differentiation in
filamentous cyanobacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8:39–50.

4. Mullineaux CW, Mariscal V, Nenninger A, Khanum H, Herrero A, Flores E, et al.
Mechanism of intercellular molecular exchange in heterocyst-forming cyanobacteria.
EMBO J [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2008 [cited 2020 May 25];27:1299–308.
Available from: http://emboj.embopress.org/cgi/doi/10.1038/emboj.2008.66

5. Flores E, Herrero A, Wolk CP, Maldener I. Is the periplasm continuous in filamentous
multicellular cyanobacteria? Trends Microbiol. Elsevier; 2006;14:439–43.

6. Giddings TH, Staehelin LA. Observation of microplasmodesmata in both heterocyst-
forming and non-heterocyst forming filamentous cyanobacteria by freeze-fracture
electron microscopy. Arch Microbiol. Springer-Verlag; 1981;129:295–8.

7. Castenholz RW, Wilmotte A, Herdman M, Rippka R, Waterbury JB, Iteman I, et al.
Phylum BX. Cyanobacteria. Bergey's Manual® Syst Bacteriol. New York, NY: Springer
New York; 2001. p. 473–599.

8. Stanier RY, Deruelles J, Rippka R, Herdman M, Waterbury JB. Generic Assignments,
Strain Histories and Properties of Pure Cultures of Cyanobacteria. Microbiology.
Microbiology Society; 1979;111:1–61.

9. Sarma TA. Handbook of Cyanobacteria. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis; 2012.

10. López-Igual R, Wilson A, Leverenz RL, Melnicki MR, de Carbon CB, Sutter M, et al.
Different functions of the paralogs to the N-terminal domain of the orange carotenoid
protein in the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. Plant Physiol. American Society
of Plant Biologists; 2016;171:1852–66.

11. Asselman J, Pfrender ME, Lopez JA, De Coninck DIM, Janssen CR, Shaw JR, et al.
Conserved transcriptional responses to cyanobacterial stressors are mediated by
alternate regulation of paralogous genes in Daphnia. Mol Ecol. Blackwell Publishing
Ltd; 2015;24:1844–55.

12. Doulatov S, Hodes A, Dai L, Mandhana N, Liu M, Deora R, et al. Tropism switching
in Bordetella bacteriophage defines a family of diversity-generating retroelements.
Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2004;431:476–81.

13. Wu L, Gingery M, Abebe M, Arambula D, Czornyj E, Handa S, et al. Diversity-

generating retroelements: natural variation, classification and evolution inferred from a
large-scale genomic survey. Nucleic Acids Res. Narnia; 2018;46:11–24.

14. Pfreundt U, Kopf M, Belkin N, Berman-Frank I, Hess WR. The primary
transcriptome of the marine diazotroph Trichodesmium erythraeum IMS101. Sci Rep.
Nature Publishing Group; 2015;4:6187.

15. Liu M, Deora R, Doulatov SR, Gingery M, Eiserling FA, Preston A, et al. Reverse
transcriptase-mediated tropism switching in Bordetella bacteriophage. Science (80-).
American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2002;295:2091–4.

16. Arambula D, Wong W, Medhekar BA, Guo H, Gingery M, Czornyj E, et al. Surface
display of a massively variable lipoprotein by a Legionella diversity-generating
retroelement. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2013;110:8212 LP – 8217.

17. Le Coq J, Ghosh P. Conservation of the C-type lectin fold for massive sequence
variation in a Treponema diversity-generating retroelement. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
National Academy of Sciences; 2011;108:14649–53.

18. Roux S, Paul BG, Bagby SC, Allen MA, Attwood G, Cavicchioli R, et al. Ecology and
molecular targets of hypermutation in the global microbiome. [cited 2020 May 25];
Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.01.020958

19. Schillinger T, Zingler N. The low incidence of diversity-generating retroelements in
sequenced genomes. Mob Genet Elements. Informa UK Limited; 2012;2:287–91.

20. Yan F, Yu X, Duan Z, Lu J, Jia B, Qiao Y, et al. Discovery and characterization of the
evolution, variation and functions of diversity-generating retroelements using
thousands of genomes and metagenomes. BMC Genomics. 2019;20:595.

21. Paul BG, Burstein D, Castelle CJ, Handa S, Arambula D, Czornyj E, et al.
Retroelement-guided protein diversification abounds in vast lineages of Bacteria and
Archaea. Nat Microbiol. Nature Publishing Group; 2017;2.

22. Paul BG, Bagby SC, Czornyj E, Arambula D, Handa S, Sczyrba A, et al. Targeted
diversity generation by intraterrestrial archaea and archaeal viruses. Nat Commun.
Nature Publishing Group; 2015;6:1–8.

23. Kopf M, Möke F, Bauwe H, Hess WR, Hagemann M. Expression profiling of the
bloom-forming cyanobacterium Nodularia CCY9414 under light and oxidative stress
conditions. ISME J. Nature Publishing Group; 2015;9:2139–52.

24. Voß B, Bolhuis H, Fewer DP, Kopf M, Möke F, Haas F, et al. Insights into the
Physiology and Ecology of the Brackish-Water-Adapted Cyanobacterium Nodularia
spumigena CCY9414 Based on a Genome-Transcriptome Analysis. Janssen PJ, editor.
PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2013;8:e60224.

25. Guo H, Arambula D, Ghosh P, Miller JF. Diversity-generating Retroelements in

Phage and Bacterial Genomes. Microbiol Spectr. NIH Public Access; 2014;2.

26. Hoving JC, Wilson GJ, Brown GD. Signalling C-type lectin receptors, microbial
recognition and immunity. Cell. Microbiol. 2014. p. 185–94.

27. del Fresno C, Iborra S, Saz-Leal P, Martínez-López M, Sancho D. Flexible Signaling
of Myeloid C-Type Lectin Receptors in Immunity and Inflammation. Front Immunol.
Frontiers; 2018;9:804.

28. Zelensky AN, Gready JE. The C-type lectin-like domain superfamily. FEBS J.
2005;272:6179–217.

29. Barabas O, Ronning DR, Guynet C, Hickman AB, Ton-Hoang B, Chandler M, et al.
Mechanism of IS200/IS605 Family DNA Transposases: Activation and Transposon-
Directed Target Site Selection. Cell. Elsevier; 2008;132:208–20.

30. He S, Corneloup A, Guynet C, Lavatine L, Caumont-Sarcos A, Siguier P, et al. The
IS200/IS605 Family and "Peel and Paste" Single-strand Transposition Mechanism.
Microbiol Spectr. American Society for Microbiology; 2015;3.

31. Hanks SK, Hunter T. Protein kinases 6. The eukaryotic protein kinase superfamily:
kinase (catalytic) domain structure and classification. FASEB J. FASEB; 1995;9:576–96.

32. Zhang X, Zhao F, Guan X, Yang Y, Liang C, Qin S. Genome-wide survey of putative
Serine/Threonine protein kinases in cyanobacteria. BMC Genomics. BioMed Central;
2007;8:395.

33. Stock AM, Robinson VL, Goudreau PN. Two-Component Signal Transduction. Annu
Rev Biochem. Annual Reviews 4139 El Camino Way, P.O. Box 10139, Palo Alto, CA
94303-0139, USA; 2000;69:183–215.

34. Janczarek M, Vinardell J-M, Lipa P, Karaś M. Hanks-Type Serine/Threonine
Protein Kinases and Phosphatases in Bacteria: Roles in Signaling and Adaptation to
Various Environments. Int J Mol Sci [Internet]. MDPI AG; 2018 [cited 2020 Mar
22];19:2872. Available from: http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/19/10/2872

35. Libby EA, Goss LA, Dworkin J. The Eukaryotic-Like Ser/Thr Kinase PrkC Regulates
the Essential WalRK Two-Component System in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Genet. Public
Library of Science; 2015;11.

36. Mijakovic I, Macek B. Impact of phosphoproteomics on studies of bacterial
physiology. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. FEMS Microbiol Rev; 2012. p. 877–92.

37. Dworkin J. Ser/Thr phosphorylation as a regulatory mechanism in bacteria. Curr.
Opin. Microbiol. Elsevier Ltd; 2015. p. 47–52.

38. Shi L, Pigeonneau N, Ravikumar V, Dobrinic P, Macek B, Franjevic D, et al. Cross-
phosphorylation of bacterial serine/threonine and tyrosine protein kinases on key
regulatory residues. Front Microbiol. Frontiers Research Foundation; 2014;5.

39. Shi L, Ji B, Kolar-Znika L, Boskovic A, Jadeau F, Combet C, et al. Evolution of
Bacterial Protein-Tyrosine Kinases and Their Relaxed Specificity Toward Substrates.
Genome Biol Evol [Internet]. 2014;6:800–17. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu056

40. Capra EJ, Perchuk BS, Skerker JM, Laub MT. Adaptive mutations that prevent
crosstalk enable the expansion of paralogous signaling protein families. Cell. Cell Press;
2012;150:222–32.

41. Aravind L, Dixit VM, Koonin E V, Aravind L, Dixit VM, Koonin E V., et al. The
domains of death: evolution of the apoptosis machinery. Trends Biochem Sci. Elsevier;
1999;24:47–53.

42. Klemenčič M, Novinec M, Dolinar M. Orthocaspases are proteolytically active
prokaryotic caspase homologues: The case of Microcystis aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol.
2015;98.

43. Spungin D, Bidle KD, Berman-Frank I. Metacaspase involvement in programmed
cell death of the marine cyanobacterium Trichodesmium. Environ Microbiol. 2018;

44. Klemenčič M, Funk C. Structural and functional diversity of caspase homologues in
non-metazoan organisms. Protoplasma. Springer-Verlag Wien; 2018. p. 387–97.

45. Asplund-Samuelsson J. The art of destruction: revealing the proteolytic capacity of
bacterial caspase homologs. Mol Microbiol. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111);
2015;98:1–6.

46. Klemenčič M, Funk C. Structural and functional diversity of caspase homologues in
non-metazoan organisms. Protoplasma. Springer Vienna; 2018;255:387–97.

47. Kenneth Allan R, Ratajczak T. Versatile TPR domains accommodate different modes
of target protein recognition and function. Cell Stress Chaperones. Springer; 2011. p.
353–67.

48. van der Voorn L, Ploegh HL. The WD-40 repeat. FEBS Lett [Internet]. John Wiley &
Sons, Ltd; 1992 [cited 2020 Mar 23];307:131–4. Available from:
http://doi.wiley.com/10.1016/0014-5793%2892%2980751-2

49. Tewari R, Bailes E, Bunting KA, Coates JC. Armadillo-repeat protein functions:
Questions for little creatures. Trends Cell Biol. Elsevier Current Trends; 2010. p. 470–
81.

50. Colombatti A, Bonaldo P, Doliana R. Type A Modules: Interacting Domains Found
in Several Non-Fibrillar Collagens and in Other Extracellular Matrix Proteins. Matrix.
Elsevier; 1993;13:297–306.

51. Swiderski MR, Birker D, Jones JDG. The TIR domain of TIR-NB-LRR resistance
proteins is a signaling domain involved in cell death induction. Mol Plant-Microbe

Interact. The American Phytopathological Society ; 2009;22:157–65.

52. Spear AM, Loman NJ, Atkins HS, Pallen MJ. Microbial TIR domains: not necessarily
agents of subversion? Trends Microbiol. Elsevier Current Trends; 2009;17:393–8.

53. Ho YS, Burden LM, Hurley JH. Structure of the GAF domain, a ubiquitous signaling
motif and a new class of cyclic GMP receptor. EMBO J [Internet]. Oxford University
Press; 2000;19:5288–99. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11032796

54. Sobotka R, Dühring U, Komenda J, Peter E, Gardian Z, Tichy M, et al. Importance of
the cyanobacterial Gun4 protein for chlorophyll metabolism and assembly of
photosynthetic complexes. J Biol Chem. American Society for Biochemistry and
Molecular Biology; 2008;283:25794–802.

55. Aravind L, Koonin E V. Classification of the caspase-hemoglobinase fold: Detection
of new families and implications for the origin of the eukaryotic separins. Proteins
Struct Funct Genet. 2002;46:355–67.

56. Ohmori M, Ikeuchi M, Sato N, Wolk P, Kaneko T, Ogawa T, et al. Characterization of
Genes Encoding Multi-domain Proteins in the Genome of the Filamentous Nitrogen-
fixing Cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. Strain PCC 7120. DNA Res. 2001.

57. Asplund-Samuelsson J, Bergman B, Larsson J. Prokaryotic Caspase Homologs:
Phylogenetic Patterns and Functional Characteristics Reveal Considerable Diversity.
Driks A, editor. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2012;7:e49888.

58. Mammen M, Choi S-K, Whitesides GM. Polyvalent Interactions in Biological
Systems: Implications for Design and Use of Multivalent Ligands and Inhibitors. Angew
Chemie Int Ed. 1998;37:2754–94.

59. Miller JL, Le Coq J, Hodes A, Barbalat R, Miller JF, Ghosh P. Selective ligand
recognition by a diversity-generating retroelement variable protein. PLoS Biol.
2008;6:1195–207.

60. Sharifi F, Ye Y. MyDGR: a server for identification and characterization of diversity-
generating retroelements. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:W289–94.

61. Li W, Godzik A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of
protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:1658–9.

62. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search
tool. J Mol Biol. J Mol Biol; 1990;215:403–10.

63. Siguier P. ISfinder: the reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic
Acids Res. Oxford University Press (OUP); 2006;34:D32–6.

64. Hug LA, Castelle CJ, Wrighton KC, Thomas BC, Sharon I, Frischkorn KR, et al.
Community genomic analyses constrain the distribution of metabolic traits across the
Chloroflexi phylum and indicate roles in sediment carbon cycling. Microbiome. BioMed

Central Ltd.; 2013;1:1–17.

65. Finn RD, Clements J, Eddy SR. HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity
searching. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 2011/05/18. Oxford University Press;
2011;39:W29–37. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21593126

66. Bateman A. The Pfam Protein Families Database. Nucleic Acids Res. Oxford
University Press (OUP); 2002;30:276–80.

67. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32:1792–7.

68. Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. trimAl: A tool for automated
alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics.
2009;25:1972–3.

69. Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2014;30:1312–3. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

70. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2 - Approximately maximum-likelihood
trees for large alignments. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2010;5:e9490.

71. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. Fast, scalable
generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega.
Mol Syst Biol [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2011 [cited 2020 Apr 23];7:539.
Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1038/msb.2011.75

72. Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify
genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Bioinformatics [Internet].
2019;36:1925–7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848

73. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil P-A, et al.
A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the
tree of life. Nat Biotechnol [Internet]. 2018;36:996–1004. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4229