Role of Diversity-Generating Retroelements for Regulatory Pathway Tuning in Cyanobacteria

Alec Vallota-Eastman[1], Eleanor C. Arrington[1], Siobhan Meeken[2], Simon Roux[3], Krishna Dasari[4], Sydney Rosen[4], Jeff F. Miller[5,6], David L. Valentine[7,8], Blair G. Paul*[2]

[1]Interdepartmental Graduate Program for Marine Science, University of California Santa Barbara, CA, 93106, USA

[2]Josephine Bay Paul Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, 7 MBL St, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA

[3] DOE Joint Genome Institute, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA

[4]Research Mentorship Program (RMP), University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, 93106, USA

[5]Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, 90095, USA

[6]California NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, 90095, USA

[7]Marine Science Institute, University of California Santa Barbara, CA, 93106, USA

[8]Department of Earth Science, University of California Santa Barbara, CA, 93106, USA

*Corresponding author: Blair G. Paul, bgpaul@mbl.edu

Abstract

Background

Cyanobacteria maintain extensive repertoires of regulatory genes that are vital for adaptation to environmental stress. Some cyanobacterial genomes have been noted to encode diversity-generating retroelements (DGRs), which promote protein hypervariation through localized retrohoming and codon rewriting in target genes. Past research has shown DGRs to mainly diversify proteins involved in cell-cell attachment or viral-host attachment within viral, bacterial, and archaeal lineages. However, these elements may be critical in driving variation for proteins involved in other core cellular processes.

Results

Members of 31 cyanobacterial genera encode at least one DGR, and together, their retroelements form a monophyletic clade of closely-related reverse transcriptases. This class of retroelements diversifies target proteins with unique domain architectures: modular ligand-binding domains often paired with a second domain that is linked to signal response or regulation. Comparative analysis indicates recent intragenomic duplication of DGR targets as paralogs, but also apparent intergenomic exchange of DGR components. The prevalence of DGRs and the paralogs of their targets is disproportionately high among colonial and filamentous strains of cyanobacteria.

Conclusions

We find that colonial and filamentous cyanobacteria have recruited DGRs to optimize a ligand-binding module for apparent function in signal response or regulation. These represent a unique class of hypervariable proteins, which might offer cyanobacteria

a form of plasticity to adapt to environmental stress. This analysis supports the hypothesis

that DGR-driven mutation modulates signaling and regulatory networks in cyanobacteria,

suggestive of a new framework for the utility of localized genetic hypervariation.

Main Text

Background

Cyanobacteria are a remarkably diverse lineage, in terms of metabolisms, morphologies,

and habitat distribution. Perhaps most notably, this phylum contains the only prokaryotic

organisms known to have evolved the capability for oxygenic photosynthesis; this trait was later

acquired by eukaryotes through endosymbiosis with cyanobacteria, resulting in the formation of

chloroplasts [1,2], and driving the modern biosphere. Cyanobacteria have evolved an array of

morphologies, including complex multicellular forms [3–6]. Representatives are typically

classified into five subsections [7,8]. Species of subsections I and II consist of single coccoid cells.

Subsections III-V represent multicellular species that form filaments of varying complexity.

Members of subsection III form reversibly-differentiable filaments of vegetative cells. Among

subsections IV and V, cells can carry out terminal cellular differentiation in response to

environmental stimuli, forming spore-like cells that are resistant to desiccation (akinetes), micro-

oxic cells specialized for N_2 fixation (heterocysts), and motile filaments (hormogonia) [9]. This

morphological and metabolic complexity has allowed cyanobacteria to inhabit diverse

environments.

Certain members of the cyanobacterial phylum possess an extensive capacity to adapt to

various environmental pressures through tightly-controlled regulation of complex cellular

programs for signal response. This is exemplified by abilities for metabolic switching (i.e. CO_2/N_2

fixation), maintaining photoreceptors of varying intensity for binary programs of circadian rhythm,

and forming specialized cells which can sometimes be terminally differentiated and lead to

multicellularity [9]. To regulate these complex programs, cyanobacteria have an extensive

repertoire of genes governing signal transduction including proteases, kinases, and nucleases.

Notably, paralogs of these regulatory proteins are more abundant among the more complex species

of cyanobacteria (i.e. those belonging to subsections III-V) [10,11]. However, the mechanisms to

diversify and adapt specific functionality in these duplicated genes remain largely unexplored. One

mechanism may be the application of diversity-generating retroelements, known to accelerate the

evolution of the proteins they target.

Diversity-generating retroelements (DGRs) have been noted within the genomes of several

genera of cyanobacteria [12–14]. In other bacterial and viral systems, DGRs drive site-specific

hypermutation of a subset of codons in target genes. These retroelements utilize a uniquely targeted

form of retrotransposition. To this end, DGRs insert variants into a flexible coding scaffold, while

avoiding non-specific variation in conserved portions of a gene. The essential features of a DGR

are most often found within a single genomic locus spanning ∼ 5 – 10 kbp (Fig. 1a), though the

synteny and organization of DGR components can vary [13]. Diversification is mechanistically

carried out by a reverse transcriptase (RT), which acts upon an RNA transcript, encoded by a

template repeat (TR) region in the locus. This region is nearly identical to a variable region (VR)

that typically resides in a nearby gene, which encodes a DGR-variable protein (VP). The TR-RNA

intermediate is reverse transcribed into cDNA wherein A → N mutation is highly favored by the

error-prone RT. This cDNA then replaces VR, whose sequence commonly corresponds to flexible

residues in ligand binding structural domains belonging to the C-type lectin or Immunoglobulin

(Ig)-like protein families.

The first DGR variable protein was characterized from the bacteriophage, BPP-1. In these

phage, DGRs diversify tail fiber tip proteins that recognize and bind to *Bordetella* host receptors

[12,15]. Other cellular DGRs have been characterized in bacterial pathogens, including *Legionella*

pneumophila [16] and *Treponema denticola* [17], where DGR target genes encode for cellular

surface proteins, presumably involved in cell-cell attachment. The conserved function of cell-cell

or viral-cell attachment in these target genes lends to a perspective of DGRs for broad use in host

recognition for symbiosis or infection. Moreover, several genera of cyanobacteria were identified

in recent genomic and metagenomic surveys of DGRs [13,18]. The essential components of DGRs

can be found across most lineages of prokaryotic life [13,18–22], suggesting broad utility of this

form of localized mutation.

Whereas previously characterized DGR target proteins appear to share a functional role in

extracellular attachment to ligands displayed on foreign cells, these retroelements could potentially

diversify other cellular proteins with entirely distinct functions. The intermediate RNA, which

preserves a template for DGR mutagenesis, has been shown to be highly expressed in lab isolates

of *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS101 [14] and in *Nodularia spumigena* CCY9414 under light

and oxidative stress [23,24]. Here, a systematic analysis of DGRs and their variable proteins in

cyanobacterial genomes leads to a new perspective on the utility of diversification and

optimization of modular protein domains in paralogs that appear linked to signaling and

transcriptional control.

Results and discussion

A Conserved Subclass of Retroelements in Cyanobacteria

Our analysis identified 58 DGRs that include 90 target genes (i.e. encoding VPs) in 52

genomes of cyanobacteria spanning 31 different genera. These include filamentous, colonial, and

symbiotic organisms (Fig. 1b and Additional file 1: Table S1). Sequence clustering of the 58 DGRs

(RT sequences at 95% identity) suggests they represented 49 distinct DGRs, although the full set

of 58 were examined further. All DGRs were identified by presence of diagnostic and essential

components: an RT gene; one or more VP genes with VR regions; and a TR region. Among the

52 genomes analyzed, four contain duplicate DGR cassettes, based on clustering, while one

contains two unique DGR-RTs. Moreover, several individual DGRs have multiple target genes,

and some VP genes have VRs with homology to other genes dispersed throughout the genome

(paralogs) (Fig. 1c).

To evaluate the diversity of cyanobacteria-encoded DGRs, we first compared these

representatives to a recently developed, global metagenomic DGR dataset [18]. Cyanobacterial

DGR-RTs were clustered (i.e. at $\geq 50\%$ AAI) with sequences in the global metagenomic dataset,

then linked to a corresponding DGR clade and target protein cluster. All DGRs from our dataset

were closely related to DGR Clade-5. The global dataset RTs in DGR Clade-5 are affiliated with

target proteins in protein cluster 1 (i.e. PC_00001), which primarily contains cellular proteins that

appear to be membrane-bound [18]. Given that the cyanobacterial DGRs appear to cluster tightly

together, we next sought to analyze phylogenetic relationships within this set.

Phylogenetic analysis of cyanobacterial DGR-RTs revealed a monophyletic clade, unique

from all other bacterial DGR-RTs (Fig. 2). The cyanobacterial DGR-RT clade comprises

sequences that span nearly all major cyanobacterial genera within morphological subclasses I, III,

IV, and V (Fig. 3a). None of the DGR-containing genomes correspond to genera within subclass

II. Strikingly, cyanobacterial reverse transcriptases within the monophyletic cyanobacterial DGR

clade share an average global sequence identity of 67% (minimum 55%; amino acid sequence).

Whereas members of this DGR-RT subgroup do not appear to be shared with other bacteria or

archaea, their phylogenetic relationships suggest a complex evolutionary history punctuated by

horizontal exchange within the cyanobacterial phylum (Fig. 3b). Although none of the

cyanobacterial DGRs could be definitively assigned to prophage elements, they were identified on

plasmids of *Anabaena* sp. 90 (CP003287) and *Fischerella* sp. NIES-4106 (AP018301), which may

indicate a vehicle for retroelement transfer between closely related populations. Among members

of this RT clade, each corresponding DGR-VP contains a ligand-binding C-type lectin-like domain

(CLec) with additional functional domains described in detail below.

Intragenomic Dispersal of Hypervariable Binding Domains

DGR variable proteins often contain multiple distinct structural domains [13,21,25]. To

investigate the specific functions of cyanobacterial DGR-targeted proteins (i.e. containing the VR

scaffold), we first separately analyzed the ligand-binding CLec domains in all DGR-VPs. This

approach identified a conserved module (i.e. a putative C-terminal domain) found in each of the

52 cyanobacterial VP representatives (Additional file 1: Table S1). The entire set of VR-containing

modules share sequence homology with 50.5% average identity and, moreover, all of these protein

sequences were clustered together with >30% pairwise amino acid identity. Structural prediction

of the representative C-terminal domain sequence (i.e. obtained from clustering) determined that

each module most closely resembles the C-type Lectin fold, which is represented by the CLec-like

superfamily (InterPro: IPR016187). A search for similar proteins in the Uniprot database identified

sequences from an array of other genomes among which 92% belong to cyanobacterial phyla

(Additional file 2: Table S2). The similarity between CLec domains found in diverse DGRs may

underlie a conserved utility for diversifying this module across different cyanobacterial taxa. The

CLec-like superfamily has been linked to a variety of molecular processes in cells and viruses

spanning the tree of life, with a common functional role in ligand binding generally predicted for

this fold [26–28]. Thus, the modular and dispersed nature of a highly conserved CLec subclass

may further point to multifaceted functional significance in cyanobacteria.

We next sought to address whether hypervariable CLec modules might arise from gene

duplication and intragenomic dispersal, resulting in recognizable sets of paralogs in cyanobacterial

genomes. This search was limited to 21 high-quality genomes of the 52-genome total, such that

draft genomes composed of >50 scaffolds were removed from the analysis. This approach

uncovered 21 genomes that have multiple genes encoding CLec domain-containing proteins, with

varying degrees of VR/TR homology (Fig. 4 and Additional file 3: Table S3). These paralogs occur

both within DGR loci and dispersed throughout the genome and most often consist of either a

single CLec domain or the C-terminal CLec grafted to an N-terminal putative serine kinase

domain. Taken together, the multi-genome set of 219 cyanobacterial orthologs across 21 genomes

share average pairwise identity of 50.5% within their CLec domains. The complete set of 219

orthologs comprises 121 genes that appear to be DGR-diversified based on VR/TR homology,

including 45 VP genes encoded within a DGR. The additional 76 remote targets were associated

with their respective genome's DGR(s) using a threshold of TR identity greater than 50%; these

matches were exclusively found near the 3' terminus of CLec-encoding genes. The proximity to

3'-termini suggests that conserved, cis-acting features - such as DNA cruciforms or initiation of

mutagenic homing sites required for cDNA integration - may play a role in activating remote

targets.

The genome of *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7120 (formerly *Anabaena*), contains two DGRs and several

dispersed VP paralogs (Fig. 4), providing the opportunity to examine the evolutionary history of

these genes in an extensively-studied model organism. Within this genome, we identified three

highly similar VP homologs (≥ 60% amino acid identity) in dispersed loci, wherein these genes

may have proliferated by duplication and transposition from a common ancestral gene. Notably,

one of these paralogs (*all3226*) contains remnant TR-VR homology, despite an absence of

proximal RT genes or pseudogenes. Taken together, this suggests a capacity for intragenomic

dispersal of DGR-targeted variable proteins, and perhaps removal of diversification components

once an optimal variant is selected. In addition to its tractability, the common constellation of DGR

VPs that occurs in PCC 7120, as observed in other cyanobacteria, make this species an ideal

representative for further analysis of the physiological, ecological and evolutionary ramifications

of DGR VP functionality and modularity in cyanobacteria.

To assess whether transposable elements were found in proximity to DGRs, we analyzed

neighborhoods surrounding each hypervariable protein, including remote VPs with respect to a

DGR-RT (i.e. > 5 kbp upstream/downstream). This search uncovered transposase genes belonging

to various families in DGR-proximal loci which may be responsible for VP dispersal throughout

the genome (Additional file 4: Table S4 and Additional file 5: Table S5). Within the subset of 21

high-quality genomes, *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS101 has the greatest number of proximal

transposase genes, spanning six different insertion sequence (IS) families. The most widely-

distributed transposases were those belonging to the IS200/IS605 family, found nearby 9 VPs from

6 distinct species. Transposases belonging to this family employ a single-stranded DNA

intermediate for a "peel-and-paste" mechanism of transposition [29,30]. The genome of *Anabaena*

sp. Strain 90 contains remnants of a putative degraded DGR cassette – containing only the RT with

no other detectable features – and notably, the RT gene is flanked by proximal transposase genes.

This provides a potential mechanism for select components of the DGR to be mobilized within the

genome. DGR recruitment to one gene from another would allow favorably diversified genes to

become conserved while targeting hypervariation elsewhere in the genome. Selective pressures

can then influence the recruitment of DGRs to genes wherein hypervariation for ligand-binding

residues offers selective advantages. Through this mechanism of transposition, cyanobacterial

DGRs may provide a newly-diversified, modular, ligand binding domain to signaling genes.

Function of Multidomain Variable Proteins

In part, functional diversity of DGR variable proteins is found in their multidomain

complexity. We examined cyanobacterial VPs and their paralogs, which consist of N-terminal

domains that are grafted to the C-terminal CLec domain (Fig. 4, Fig. 5). Toward assessing cellular

localization, transmembrane and/or signal peptide regions were predicted for 4 DGR-associated

VPs and 9 remote VPs, spanning 11 of the 21 high-quality genome set (Additional file 3: Table

S3). Most cyanobacterial DGR VPs are predicted to be cytosolic, however evidence exists for TM

localization and secretion as well.

The most common N-terminal domains of cyanobacterial VPs and their paralogs have

similarity to the protein kinase superfamily. These proteins are further predicted to be

serine/threonine kinases (STKs) based on the following factors: 1) identification of Hanks and

Hunter-type Motifs I through IX [31] (Additional file 6: Figure S1); 2) common NCBI CDS

annotations of "serine/threonine protein kinase CDS"; or 3) identification of an STK in previous

literature [32]. STKs are mostly associated with eukaryotic signal transduction pathways. In

prokaryotes, two-component regulation controls most phosphorylation pathways with a receptor

histidine kinase paired with various response regulators phosphorylated on aspartic acid residues.

These kinases often control the expression of certain genes [33]. However, Hanks-type STKs have

been found in an array of prokaryotic organisms where their genomic abundance is often correlated

with genome size, physiological and ecophysiological complexity, and ability to tolerate complex

environments [31,32,34]. These STKs are implicated in the regulation of various aspects of

bacterial physiology through post-translational modification of proteins, which may themselves be

components of phosphorelay and transcriptional regulatory pathways [34–37]. Serine/threonine

protein kinases were first associated with the pknA gene of *Nostoc* sp. PCC7120, which is involved

in growth and differentiation [32], and in other bacteria their activity regulates processes such as

cell growth, segregation, virulence, metabolism, stress adaptation, and cell wall/envelope

biogenesis [34]. Ser/Thr kinases in cyanobacteria are usually associated with three different

processes: developmental regulation, stress response, and pathogenicity [32]. Slight changes, not

in function but in the strength of substrate recognition to a variety of phosphorylation targets, may

contribute to the ability to finely tune networks of signal transduction.

Compared to histidine kinases of two-component systems, which exhibit strong substrate

discrimination, STKs have relaxed substrate specificities. This has been linked to a lack of co-

evolution between the kinase and its cognate target [38,39]. Accelerated evolution of the substrate-

binding domain of these kinases may have resulted in the further expansion of this class of proteins

in the Cyanobacteria phylum, contributing to a wide range of adaptability to external stimuli and

challenging environments. We hypothesize that the VR-containing CLec domain could be

autoinhibitory, and activation of kinase activity would occur upon binding a small molecule or

protein ligand. In this case, DGR-mediated diversity could allow rapid recognition of various

ligands for activating phosphorylation cascades. Alternatively, the CLec domain could function in

ligand recognition (i.e. determining what protein(s) are phosphorylated). In this case CLec

variants could have different substrate specificities. Segregation of phosphorylation targets

between paralogous kinases has been shown to play a strong selective pressure in their evolution

[40]. In turn, DGR-driven hypervariation of binding components in signaling proteins may offer

additional selective advantages in cyanobacteria through preventing cross-talk, which is

characteristic of this of kinase class.

We also identified orthocaspase-like peptidase domains in VP N-termini, which are also

common among their paralogs (Fig. 4). Caspase proteins are proteases involved in the initiation of

programmed cell death in metazoans [41]. The peptidase domains that we identified in many VPs

and their paralogs were predicted as orthocaspases, which are the prokaryotic homologs of

eukaryotic caspase-type proteases [42]. While these protein types are homologous to metazoan

caspases, current evidence supports a broader role in cell homeostasis during normal cellular

conditions, programs of cellular differentiation, or ageing as well as potential apoptosis [43–45].

Previous studies have found orthocaspases to be enriched in morphologically complex filamentous

cyanobacteria of subsections III-V (e.g. *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS 101, *Anabaena* spp., and

Nostoc spp.) as well as various strains of the unicellular toxin-producing species, *Microcystis*

aeruginosa. Conversely, orthocaspases are entirely absent from unicellular genera *Synechococcus*,

Prochlorococcus, *Cyanobium*, and *Cyanothece* and are underrepresented in the genomes of

cyanobacteria belonging to subsections I-II. This suggests their utility in enabling the complex

signal response and regulatory programs that exist in cyanobacteria capable of cellular

differentiation, toxin production, and diazotrophy [46].

In addition to the serine/threonine kinase and orthocaspases-like peptidase domains, we

identified less-common features including repeat motifs, Toll-like/TIR receptors, GAF, GUN4-

like, and CHAT domains. Repeat motifs may have a role in protein-protein interactions (e.g., TPR,

WD40 repeat, ARM repeat, and VWA-CoxE) [47–50], while the other domains have been linked

to intracellular signal transduction [51–55] (Fig. 5).

A common feature for nearly all of the N-terminal domains, including the prevalent protein

kinase-containing paralogs, is their potential to serve a functional role in signal transduction in

response to external stimuli (e.g. light, nutrient deprivation, and general stress response) [9]. A

previous study found that genes encoding complex multidomain proteins involved in signal

transduction are highly enriched in the filamentous cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 when

compared to the genomes of unicellular *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

[56]. Moreover, regulatory proteins involved in signal transduction could lend to the complex

regulation necessary for the physiology of filamentous cyanobacteria. These physiologies include

a capacity for cell-differentiation, producing heterocysts during nitrogen deprivation and akinetes

under environmental stress, as well as programmed apoptosis [43,57]. The presence of DGRs in

cyanobacteria follows this trend in the abundance of specialized signal transduction proteins –

being seemingly enriched in filamentous nitrogen-fixing taxa and absent from genomes of

unicellular taxa, *Synechococcus* spp. and *Prochlorococcus* spp., though they are present in other

unicellular species.

DGR-programmed variation of the ligand-binding domain of receptor-binding proteins in

Bordetella bacteriophage has been shown to increase the capacity of these proteins to recognize a

vast array of molecules. Moreover, diversification of oligomeric structures appeared to confer an

amplification of binding affinity, or avidity [58,59]. Specifically, the existence of 12 DGR-variable

target protein trimers in each bacteriophage virion was shown to increase the binding strengths of

these proteins to their ligand, pertactin, by relaxing the requirement for optimal binding between

the ligand and any single monomer. This multivalent binding was also shown to lead to more

distinction in binding events, contributing to enhanced selectivity [59]. These two properties of

avidity through multivalency are hypothesized to be characteristic of other DGR systems as a

means to provide ligand-recognition flexibility to evolve under constrained conditions, while

maintaining selectivity. We hypothesize that, in cyanobacteria, DGR-programmed variation might

have a role in providing multimeric avidity in terms of ligand binding for signal response. In the

case of autoinhibitory variable proteins attached to a kinase, rather than providing flexibility in

host-receptor binding, as in Bordetella bacteriophage, increased avidity may hold a kinetic

advantage for substrate binding, whereby flexible activation accelerates signal transduction and

regulation. More generally, the available genomic evidence is consistent with a phenomenon of

targeted diversification acting to tune cyanobacterial regulatory networks.

Conclusions

The DGR-enabled diversification of proteins involved in host attachment should lead to

selective advantages, as this offers an offensive selective advantage to variation by the host cell.

By genomic inference, other DGR-containing prokaryotes seem to have adopted DGR function to

mechanisms of virulence, and other cell-cell or phage/cell binding interactions. By contrast, our

findings suggest a selective use of DGRs for purposes of isolated hyper-diversification of a small

pocket in the C-terminal binding domains of multidomain proteins broadly involved in signal

transduction within cyanobacteria. This class of DGR-target proteins is thus-far unique to the

cyanobacterial phylum. Diversification of the binding site of these proteins, paired with natural

selection over iterations of diversity generation and the ability to segregate resulting beneficial

mutants via transposition, may contribute to the complexity and adaptability of cellular regulation

amongst cyanobacterial taxa. In developing a better grasp on the functional significance of DGR

hypervariation, it is clear that the phenomenon adds new layers of complexity in the expansion of

bacterial protein networks.

Methods

DGR Identification and Annotation

First, we identified all cyanobacterial genomes containing a DGR-RT-like coding sequence

by comparing a consensus sequence for previously-identified cyanobacterial DGR-RT sequences

against protein databases using pHMMER. All matches were linked to corresponding genome or

nucleotide sequences, which were then downloaded from NCBI. A set of potential DGR candidates

was first developed using a workflow with Python and Geneious Prime v 2019.2.3 (Biomatters)

as previously described [21]. Briefly, RT genes were manually inspected for core NTP-binding

site motifs, before searching for near-repeats in a 10-kbp proximal region (i.e., RT +/- 5-kbp).

Repeats in this region were then aligned and inspected for: i) random mismatches in one sequence

(VR), which predominantly occur in 1st and 2nd codon positions of an ORF, and ii) >80% of

mismatches correspond to adenines in the non-coding near-repeat sequence (TR). Next,

retroelements were further analyzed using myDGR [60] which is especially effective at identifying

putative trans-acting accessory DGR components, and separately, remote VP and VP homolog

genes.

The entire DGR dataset contains several RT and VP sequences that are near-identical, but

shared by distinct genomes (Additional file 1: Table S1). To generate a representative subset of

these redundant DGRs, we used CD-HIT [61] to cluster RT amino acid sequences using the

following settings: 0.9 global alignment; 95% identity threshold. For comparison with the global

metagenomic DGR dataset developed by Roux et al. [18], we conducted pairwise alignments with

RT sequences using blastp [62] and identified similar representatives at $\geq$ 50% amino acid

identity.

Genes, homologous to VPs within the DGR cassette, were inspected by aligning amino

acid sequences for the CLec domain of each putative remote VP to the DGR-VP within the same

organism using Clustal Omega. Genes with CLec domains having a putative VR with ≥50%

nucleotide identity to a DGR-VP were designated as remote VPs, while those with <50% were

designated as VP homologs. DGR and remote VP neighborhood regions were defined as regions

10kb upstream and downstream from the DGR cassette, remote VP, or VP homolog.

Neighborhood Analyses

342   In order to identify potential transposons, we first examined existing genomic annotations

343   in the neighborhood (i.e. +/- 10 kbp) of each VP and Remote VP for the following features:

344   transposase, integrase, mobile element. Next, we conducted a transposon search using ISFinder

345   [63] using expanded VP loci (60 kbp) that contain one or more annotations associated with mobile

346   elements.

Phylogenetic Analyses

To construct a phylogenetic tree of cyanobacteria, we used a set of 16 ribosomal proteins

often used for phylogenomic analysis (RpL2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22, and 24, and RpS3, 8,

10, 17, 19 [64]. Each ribosomal protein was identified using HMMER [65] and hidden Markov

models from the Pfam [66] database (accessed September 2018). Each individual marker gene

was aligned using MUSCLE [67], trimmed using TrimAL [68], manually assessed to remove end

gaps and ambiguously aligned regions and concatenated. A maximum likelihood tree was

constructed using RAxML v. 8.2.9 [69] with the model

To reconstruct RT phylogeny, putative DGR-RT coding sequences were identified, as

described above, then translated. Sequences were de-replicated and non-redundant candidates

were chosen using CD-Hit [61] with a global alignment threshold of 99% identity. All DGR-RT

sequences and a set of Group-II intron RT sequences from Bacteria, Archaea, plastids, and

mitochondria were aligned with a hidden markov model of the reverse transcriptase protein family

(PF00078) using HMMalign [65]. A phylogenetic tree of DGR-RTs was constructed using

FastTree2 [70] with the WAG substitution matrix, and the CAT approximation to optimize branch

lengths. The cyanobacterial DGR-RT representatives were extracted from the complete alignment,

realigned using Clustal Omega [71] and used to construct an unrooted phylogenetic tree.

Protein Function Analysis

VP domain architecture was annotated using InterProScan, pHMMER, and HMMScan

tools. CD-HIT analysis was performed on CLec domains for all VPs using the following settings:

0.3 global alignment; 30% identity threshold. Amino acid sequences for the CLec domain of all

VPs were aligned using Clustal Omega. The C-terminal sequence of all DGR-VP CLecs was

extracted based on the InterProScan feature positions, then further aligned using Clustal Omega

and a consensus sequence was picked at 75% sequence similarity (Additional File 6: Figure S1).

This consensus sequence was used to further identify homologous domains. Using hmmscan,

1,579 hits were returned using an E-value cutoff of 10^{-40} to generate Table S2 (Additional file 2:

Table S2).

Figures

Figure 1. Schematic overview of a DGR and prevalence in cyanobacterial genomes.
a Three primary steps in the process of mutagenic homing are shown: **1)** conserved template
region (TR) in the DGR cassette is transcribed into intermediate, non-coding RNA, which is the
substrate for DGR reverse transcriptase (DGR-RT). **2)** Template-primed reverse transcription of
TR-RNA is highly error-prone at adenines, which thus incorporates random nucleotides at
specific positions in the resulting cDNA. **3)** The new cDNA molecule is integrated into the
variable region (VR) at a fixed locus, resulting in the replacement of a portion of the target gene
(~100 – 200bp). Genomic surveys suggest that VRs occur almost exclusively near the 3'
terminus of a target gene. Additional "remote" VP genes (i.e. paralogs) may be found in non-
DGR loci throughout the genome, which have detectable TR vs VR homology. **b** Summary of 52
cyanobacteria genomes known to have DGR components (in Fig. 1a) spanning 31 genera.
Genera with ≥ 2 DGR-containing genomes annotated. **c** DGR feature occurrence normalized to
genome number.

Figure 2. Broad RT phylogeny compared amongst all known DGR-containing lineages.
Fig 3. Broad RT Phylogeny Compared Amongst All Known DGR-Containing Lineages
RT phylogeny compared amongst all known DGR-containing lineages with Group-II Introns as
RT phylogeny compared amongst all known DGR-Containing Lineages with Group-II Introns
the outgroup (highlighted cyanobacterial clade expanded in Figure 3).
as the outgroup.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic reconstruction for DGR-containing cyanobacteria and DGR-RT phylogeny.
a Phylogeny for concatenated ribosomal protein alignments, including all DGR-containing species. Filled boxes (left) indicate DGR-RT containing species and the corresponding RT clade. **b** DGR-RT phylogeny with cyanobacterial physiological subsections highlighted in color. Circles indicate branch support values (hollow >50%; filled >70%).

Figure 4. Distribution of CLec variable protein paralogs in cyanobacterial genomes.
DGR variable proteins and remote variable proteins are indicated by triangles and asterisks over corresponding representatives. The CLec paralogs of VPs and RVPs are also shown for each genome. The CLec domain found in all paralogs is indicated by either a red square (CLec only) or two grafted domains shown as adjacent rectangles (C-terminal CLec in red; N-terminal domains in various colors). For clarity, paralogs of the same domain architecture in *Trichodesmium erythraeum* IMS101 are indicated below the representative protein (e.g. x2). Note: only a representative subset of DGR-containing genomes is shown (15 of 52 genomes).

Figure 5. Representative variable protein (VP) domain architectures.
Protein domains are colored according to pHMMR domain assignment. Variable regions are
shown in deep purple. Additional features, including predicted signal peptides and
transmembrane helices, are also indicated. An example species is shown to left of each VP
architecture.

Supplement

Additional File 1: Table S1. RT/Species Table.
Summary of all DGR-RTs found in cyanobacterial genomes with taxonomic and known
physiological information noted. DGR-internal VPs and Remote VPs identified as having
VR/TR homology are also noted. See table S6 for information regarding taxonomic affiliations.

Additional File 2: Table S2. Taxonomy of C-Type Lectin-Like HMM Hits.
The consensus sequence of cyanobacterial C-type lectin-like predicted domains was generated
via alignment of DGR-associated C-termini (up to 200 amino acids) and confirmed with pHMM
scan. The taxonomy is summarized for those genomes that contain orthologs.

Additional File 3: Table S3. Clec Variable Protein Paralogs.
A subset of 21 high quality genomes were chosen to assess the presence of DGR-VP paralogs.
This is a summary of VP paralogs identified and their domains.

Additional File 4: Table S4. DGR-Proximal Transposable Elements.
All transposable elements found in proximity to DGRs are listed, including transposase family
and mechanisms of integration.

Additional File 5: Table S5. Genes within DGR Neighborhoods.
Genes found proximal to cyanobacterial DGR cassettes are annotated with predicted function
and counts for each annotated function displayed.

Additional File 6: Figure S1. Hanks-type Kinase Motif Characterization in VPs.
Alignment of known "Hanks and Hunter-type" (S/T) kinase domains to the kinase domains of all
DGR-VPs, Remote VPs, and VP Paralogs from this dataset. Motifs I-XI highlighted in blue. The
top eight sequences denoted "STKII" are known Type II S/T kinases from Zhang et al. 2007
[32].

Additional File 7: Table S6. Updated Cyanobacterial Taxonomy.
Taxonomic assignment for each cyanobacterial genome was generated using relative
evolutionary divergence and average nucleotide identity with the Genome Taxonomy Database
Toolkit (GTDB-Tk) [72] based on the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) [73].

Acknowledgements:
This research was funded by a Challenge Grant from the California NanoSystems Institute
(CNSI-UCSB). AVE is supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research
Fellowship Program under Grant No. 1650114, and by the NSF California LSAMP Bridge to the
Doctorate Fellowship under Grant No. HRD-1701365. The work conducted by the U.S.
Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S.
Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Computational analyses for
this work were supported by an NSF-XSEDE resource allocation DEB170007.

References:

1. Sagan L. On the origin of mitosing cells. J Theor Biol. 1967;14:255–74.

2. Giovannoni SJ, Turner S, Olsen GJ, Barns S, Lane DJ, Pace NR. Evolutionary relationships among cyanobacteria and green chloroplasts. J Bacteriol. American Society for Microbiology (ASM); 1988;170:3584–92.

3. Flores E, Herrero A. Compartmentalized function through cell differentiation in filamentous cyanobacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8:39–50.

4. Mullineaux CW, Mariscal V, Nenninger A, Khanum H, Herrero A, Flores E, et al. Mechanism of intercellular molecular exchange in heterocyst-forming cyanobacteria. EMBO J [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2008 [cited 2020 May 25];27:1299–308. Available from: http://emboj.embopress.org/cgi/doi/10.1038/emboj.2008.66

5. Flores E, Herrero A, Wolk CP, Maldener I. Is the periplasm continuous in filamentous multicellular cyanobacteria? Trends Microbiol. Elsevier; 2006;14:439–43.

6. Giddings TH, Staehelin LA. Observation of microplasmodesmata in both heterocyst-forming and non-heterocyst forming filamentous cyanobacteria by freeze-fracture electron microscopy. Arch Microbiol. Springer-Verlag; 1981;129:295–8.

7. Castenholz RW, Wilmotte A, Herdman M, Rippka R, Waterbury JB, Iteman I, et al. Phylum BX. Cyanobacteria. Bergey's Manual® Syst Bacteriol. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2001. p. 473–599.

8. Stanier RY, Deruelles J, Rippka R, Herdman M, Waterbury JB. Generic Assignments, Strain Histories and Properties of Pure Cultures of Cyanobacteria. Microbiology. Microbiology Society; 1979;111:1–61.

9. Sarma TA. Handbook of Cyanobacteria. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis; 2012.

10. López-Igual R, Wilson A, Leverenz RL, Melnicki MR, de Carbon CB, Sutter M, et al. Different functions of the paralogs to the N-terminal domain of the orange carotenoid protein in the cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. Plant Physiol. American Society of Plant Biologists; 2016;171:1852–66.

11. Asselman J, Pfrender ME, Lopez JA, De Coninck DIM, Janssen CR, Shaw JR, et al. Conserved transcriptional responses to cyanobacterial stressors are mediated by alternate regulation of paralogous genes in Daphnia. Mol Ecol. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2015;24:1844–55.

12. Doulatov S, Hodes A, Dai L, Mandhana N, Liu M, Deora R, et al. Tropism switching in Bordetella bacteriophage defines a family of diversity-generating retroelements. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2004;431:476–81.

13. Wu L, Gingery M, Abebe M, Arambula D, Czornyj E, Handa S, et al. Diversity-generating retroelements: natural variation, classification and evolution inferred from a

large-scale genomic survey. Nucleic Acids Res. Narnia; 2018;46:11–24.

14. Pfreundt U, Kopf M, Belkin N, Berman-Frank I, Hess WR. The primary transcriptome
of the marine diazotroph Trichodesmium erythraeum IMS101. Sci Rep. Nature
Publishing Group; 2015;4:6187.

15. Liu M, Deora R, Doulatov SR, Gingery M, Eiserling FA, Preston A, et al. Reverse
transcriptase-mediated tropism switching in Bordetella bacteriophage. Science (80-).
American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2002;295:2091–4.

16. Arambula D, Wong W, Medhekar BA, Guo H, Gingery M, Czornyj E, et al. Surface
display of a massively variable lipoprotein by a Legionella diversity-generating
retroelement. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2013;110:8212 LP – 8217.

17. Le Coq J, Ghosh P. Conservation of the C-type lectin fold for massive sequence
variation in a Treponema diversity-generating retroelement. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
National Academy of Sciences; 2011;108:14649–53.

18. Roux S, Paul BG, Bagby SC, Allen MA, Attwood G, Cavicchioli R, et al. Ecology and
molecular targets of hypermutation in the global microbiome. [cited 2020 May 25];
Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.01.020958

19. Schillinger T, Zingler N. The low incidence of diversity-generating retroelements in
sequenced genomes. Mob Genet Elements. Informa UK Limited; 2012;2:287–91.

20. Yan F, Yu X, Duan Z, Lu J, Jia B, Qiao Y, et al. Discovery and characterization of
the evolution, variation and functions of diversity-generating retroelements using
thousands of genomes and metagenomes. BMC Genomics. 2019;20:595.

21. Paul BG, Burstein D, Castelle CJ, Handa S, Arambula D, Czornyj E, et al.
Retroelement-guided protein diversification abounds in vast lineages of Bacteria and
Archaea. Nat Microbiol. Nature Publishing Group; 2017;2.

22. Paul BG, Bagby SC, Czornyj E, Arambula D, Handa S, Sczyrba A, et al. Targeted
diversity generation by intraterrestrial archaea and archaeal viruses. Nat Commun.
Nature Publishing Group; 2015;6:1–8.

23. Kopf M, Möke F, Bauwe H, Hess WR, Hagemann M. Expression profiling of the
bloom-forming cyanobacterium Nodularia CCY9414 under light and oxidative stress
conditions. ISME J. Nature Publishing Group; 2015;9:2139–52.

24. Voß B, Bolhuis H, Fewer DP, Kopf M, Möke F, Haas F, et al. Insights into the
Physiology and Ecology of the Brackish-Water-Adapted Cyanobacterium Nodularia
spumigena CCY9414 Based on a Genome-Transcriptome Analysis. Janssen PJ, editor.
PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2013;8:e60224.

25. Guo H, Arambula D, Ghosh P, Miller JF. Diversity-generating Retroelements in
Phage and Bacterial Genomes. Microbiol Spectr. NIH Public Access; 2014;2.

26. Hoving JC, Wilson GJ, Brown GD. Signalling C-type lectin receptors, microbial
recognition and immunity. Cell. Microbiol. 2014. p. 185–94.

27. del Fresno C, Iborra S, Saz-Leal P, Martínez-López M, Sancho D. Flexible Signaling
of Myeloid C-Type Lectin Receptors in Immunity and Inflammation. Front Immunol.
Frontiers; 2018;9:804.

28. Zelensky AN, Gready JE. The C-type lectin-like domain superfamily. FEBS J.
2005;272:6179–217.

29. Barabas O, Ronning DR, Guynet C, Hickman AB, Ton-Hoang B, Chandler M, et al.
Mechanism of IS200/IS605 Family DNA Transposases: Activation and Transposon-
Directed Target Site Selection. Cell. Elsevier; 2008;132:208–20.

30. He S, Corneloup A, Guynet C, Lavatine L, Caumont-Sarcos A, Siguier P, et al. The
IS200/IS605 Family and "Peel and Paste" Single-strand Transposition Mechanism.
Microbiol Spectr. American Society for Microbiology; 2015;3.

31. Hanks SK, Hunter T. Protein kinases 6. The eukaryotic protein kinase superfamily:
kinase (catalytic) domain structure and classification. FASEB J. FASEB; 1995;9:576–
96.

32. Zhang X, Zhao F, Guan X, Yang Y, Liang C, Qin S. Genome-wide survey of putative
Serine/Threonine protein kinases in cyanobacteria. BMC Genomics. BioMed Central;
2007;8:395.

33. Stock AM, Robinson VL, Goudreau PN. Two-Component Signal Transduction. Annu
Rev Biochem. Annual Reviews 4139 El Camino Way, P.O. Box 10139, Palo Alto, CA
94303-0139, USA; 2000;69:183–215.

34. Janczarek M, Vinardell J-M, Lipa P, Karaś M. Hanks-Type Serine/Threonine Protein
Kinases and Phosphatases in Bacteria: Roles in Signaling and Adaptation to Various
Environments. Int J Mol Sci [Internet]. MDPI AG; 2018 [cited 2020 Mar 22];19:2872.
Available from: http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/19/10/2872

35. Libby EA, Goss LA, Dworkin J. The Eukaryotic-Like Ser/Thr Kinase PrkC Regulates
the Essential WalRK Two-Component System in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Genet. Public
Library of Science; 2015;11.

36. Mijakovic I, Macek B. Impact of phosphoproteomics on studies of bacterial
physiology. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. FEMS Microbiol Rev; 2012. p. 877–92.

37. Dworkin J. Ser/Thr phosphorylation as a regulatory mechanism in bacteria. Curr.
Opin. Microbiol. Elsevier Ltd; 2015. p. 47–52.

38. Shi L, Pigeonneau N, Ravikumar V, Dobrinic P, Macek B, Franjevic D, et al. Cross-
phosphorylation of bacterial serine/threonine and tyrosine protein kinases on key
regulatory residues. Front Microbiol. Frontiers Research Foundation; 2014;5.

39. Shi L, Ji B, Kolar-Znika L, Boskovic A, Jadeau F, Combet C, et al. Evolution of
Bacterial Protein-Tyrosine Kinases and Their Relaxed Specificity Toward Substrates.
Genome Biol Evol [Internet]. 2014;6:800–17. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu056

40. Capra EJ, Perchuk BS, Skerker JM, Laub MT. Adaptive mutations that prevent
crosstalk enable the expansion of paralogous signaling protein families. Cell. Cell Press;
2012;150:222–32.

41. Aravind L, Dixit VM, Koonin E V, Aravind L, Dixit VM, Koonin E V., et al. The
domains of death: evolution of the apoptosis machinery. Trends Biochem Sci. Elsevier;
1999;24:47–53.

42. Klemenčič M, Novinec M, Dolinar M. Orthocaspases are proteolytically active
prokaryotic caspase homologues: The case of Microcystis aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol.
2015;98.

43. Spungin D, Bidle KD, Berman-Frank I. Metacaspase involvement in programmed
cell death of the marine cyanobacterium Trichodesmium. Environ Microbiol. 2018;

44. Klemenčič M, Funk C. Structural and functional diversity of caspase homologues in
non-metazoan organisms. Protoplasma. Springer-Verlag Wien; 2018. p. 387–97.

45. Asplund-Samuelsson J. The art of destruction: revealing the proteolytic capacity of
bacterial caspase homologs. Mol Microbiol. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111);
2015;98:1–6.

46. Klemenčič M, Funk C. Structural and functional diversity of caspase homologues in
non-metazoan organisms. Protoplasma. Springer Vienna; 2018;255:387–97.

47. Kenneth Allan R, Ratajczak T. Versatile TPR domains accommodate different
modes of target protein recognition and function. Cell Stress Chaperones. Springer;
2011. p. 353–67.

48. van der Voorn L, Ploegh HL. The WD-40 repeat. FEBS Lett [Internet]. John Wiley &
Sons, Ltd; 1992 [cited 2020 Mar 23];307:131–4. Available from:
http://doi.wiley.com/10.1016/0014-5793%2892%2980751-2

49. Tewari R, Bailes E, Bunting KA, Coates JC. Armadillo-repeat protein functions:
Questions for little creatures. Trends Cell Biol. Elsevier Current Trends; 2010. p. 470–
81.

50. Colombatti A, Bonaldo P, Doliana R. Type A Modules: Interacting Domains Found in
Several Non-Fibrillar Collagens and in Other Extracellular Matrix Proteins. Matrix.
Elsevier; 1993;13:297–306.

51. Swiderski MR, Birker D, Jones JDG. The TIR domain of TIR-NB-LRR resistance
proteins is a signaling domain involved in cell death induction. Mol Plant-Microbe

Interact. The American Phytopathological Society ; 2009;22:157–65.

52. Spear AM, Loman NJ, Atkins HS, Pallen MJ. Microbial TIR domains: not necessarily
agents of subversion? Trends Microbiol. Elsevier Current Trends; 2009;17:393–8.

53. Ho YS, Burden LM, Hurley JH. Structure of the GAF domain, a ubiquitous signaling
motif and a new class of cyclic GMP receptor. EMBO J [Internet]. Oxford University
Press; 2000;19:5288–99. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11032796

54. Sobotka R, Dühring U, Komenda J, Peter E, Gardian Z, Tichy M, et al. Importance
of the cyanobacterial Gun4 protein for chlorophyll metabolism and assembly of
photosynthetic complexes. J Biol Chem. American Society for Biochemistry and
Molecular Biology; 2008;283:25794–802.

55. Aravind L, Koonin E V. Classification of the caspase-hemoglobinase fold: Detection
of new families and implications for the origin of the eukaryotic separins. Proteins Struct
Funct Genet. 2002;46:355–67.

56. Ohmori M, Ikeuchi M, Sato N, Wolk P, Kaneko T, Ogawa T, et al. Characterization
of Genes Encoding Multi-domain Proteins in the Genome of the Filamentous Nitrogen-
fixing Cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. Strain PCC 7120. DNA Res. 2001.

57. Asplund-Samuelsson J, Bergman B, Larsson J. Prokaryotic Caspase Homologs:
Phylogenetic Patterns and Functional Characteristics Reveal Considerable Diversity.
Driks A, editor. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2012;7:e49888.

58. Mammen M, Choi S-K, Whitesides GM. Polyvalent Interactions in Biological
Systems: Implications for Design and Use of Multivalent Ligands and Inhibitors. Angew
Chemie Int Ed. 1998;37:2754–94.

59. Miller JL, Le Coq J, Hodes A, Barbalat R, Miller JF, Ghosh P. Selective ligand
recognition by a diversity-generating retroelement variable protein. PLoS Biol.
2008;6:1195–207.

60. Sharifi F, Ye Y. MyDGR: a server for identification and characterization of diversity-
generating retroelements. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:W289–94.

61. Li W, Godzik A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of
protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:1658–9.

62. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search
tool. J Mol Biol. J Mol Biol; 1990;215:403–10.

63. Siguier P. ISfinder: the reference centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic
Acids Res. Oxford University Press (OUP); 2006;34:D32–6.

64. Hug LA, Castelle CJ, Wrighton KC, Thomas BC, Sharon I, Frischkorn KR, et al.
Community genomic analyses constrain the distribution of metabolic traits across the

Chloroflexi phylum and indicate roles in sediment carbon cycling. Microbiome. BioMed
Central Ltd.; 2013;1:1–17.

65. Finn RD, Clements J, Eddy SR. HMMER web server: interactive sequence similarity
searching. Nucleic Acids Res [Internet]. 2011/05/18. Oxford University Press;
2011;39:W29–37. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21593126

66. Bateman A. The Pfam Protein Families Database. Nucleic Acids Res. Oxford
University Press (OUP); 2002;30:276–80.

67. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32:1792–7.

68. Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. trimAl: A tool for automated
alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1972–
3.

69. Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis
of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2014;30:1312–3. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

70. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2 - Approximately maximum-likelihood
trees for large alignments. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2010;5:e9490.

71. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. Fast, scalable
generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega.
Mol Syst Biol [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2011 [cited 2020 Apr 23];7:539.
Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1038/msb.2011.75

72. Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify
genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Bioinformatics [Internet].
2019;36:1925–7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848

73. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil P-A, et al.
A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises
the tree of life. Nat Biotechnol [Internet]. 2018;36:996–1004. Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4229