

1

2 **ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF MAJOR PATHOGENIC BACTERIA FROM**
3 **CLINICAL MASTITIC COWS IN ASELLA TOWN, ETHIOPIA**

4

5 **¹Gezehagn Kasa Tufa, ¹Betelhem Tegegne Muluneh, ¹Belege Tadesse Siyamregn**

6 **¹Wollo University School of Veterinary Medicine PO. Box. 1145, Dessie, Ethiopia**

7 **ABSTRACT**

8

9

10 Mastitis is a multi-etiological and complex disease causing inflammation of parenchyma of
11 mammary glands is a problem in many dairy herds. The objective of this study was isolation and
12 identification of the pathogenic bacteria that cause bovine clinical mastitis. A cross sectional study
13 was undertaken from November 2018 to April 2019 on small scale and government dairy farms in
14 Asella town. Cows were examined directly at quarter and teat level for clinical manifestation. A
15 total of 83 milk samples were collected from 46 cows that shows clinical sign of mastitis from a
16 total of 12 farms. Isolation and identification of major bacterial species was carried out by culturing
17 on different media and using primary and secondary biochemical tests.
18 Out of the 83 samples collected and examined, all (100%) were positive for cultural isolation of
19 bacterial species. The bacteria were identified to genus and species level. Among the 83 isolates 32 (
20 38.6%) were *S. aureus*, 24 (28.9%) were *Staphylococcus intermedius* and 6 (7.2%) were *Staphyloco*
21 *ccus hyicus*, other bacteria like *Escherichia coli* 12(14.5%), Streptococcus species 2 (2.4%) were
22 also isolated. Bacillus Species 2 (2.4%), Proteus species 2(2.4%) and 3 (3.6%) of them were mixed
23 bacterial infections. The present study revealed that both contagious and environmental bacterial
24 pathogens were responsible for the occurrence of clinical mastitis. Proper milking practices and
25 farm husbandry practices as well as future detailed studies up to the species level and on antibiotic
26 profiles of the pathogens are needed.

27

28 **Keywords:** *Asela, Bacteria, Clinical mastitis, Identification, Isolation*

29

30

31

32 1. INTRODUCTION

33

34

35 Ethiopia is believed to have the largest livestock population in Africa. The total cattle population in
36 the country is estimated to be about 56.71 million. Out of this, the female cattle constitute about
37 55.45% and the remaining 44.55% are male cattle. From the total cattle 98.66% in the country are
38 local breeds and the remaining are hybrid and pure exotic breeds that accounted for about 1.19 and
39 0.14%, respectively (CSA, 2015). The livestock sector has been contributing considerable portion to
40 the economy of the country and still promising to rally round the economic development of the
41 country. However, milk production does not satisfy the country's requirements due to a multitude of
42 factors (Biffa *et al.*, 2005). Mastitis is among the various factors contributing to reduced milk
43 production. Bovine mastitis is the second most frequent disease next to reproductive disorders and
44 one of the major causes for economy failure in Ethiopia. It affects both the quantity and quality of
45 milk (Capuco *et al.*, 1992).

46

47 Mastitis is a multi-etiological and complex disease, which is defined as inflammation of parenchyma
48 of mammary glands (Radostis *et al.*, 2000). The disease mainly resulted from injurious agents
49 including pathogenic microorganisms, trauma and chemical irritants. Even if it occurs due to the
50 injury of any type, the udder disease of major concern is that associated with microbial infection.
51 Among various infectious agents, bacterial pathogens have been known to be widely distributed in
52 the environment of dairy cows, constituting a threat to the mammary gland (Radostits *et al.*, 2007).
53 Over 130 different microorganisms have been isolated from mastitis positive cow milk samples, of
54 which almost all are bacteria. The most common pathogens comprise contagious bacteria mainly
55 *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactia* and Environmental bacteria mainly coliforms
56 and some species of streptococci that are commonly present in environment (Radostits *et al.*, 2007;
57 Quinn *et al.*, 2002).

58

59 Mastitis can be manifested by a wide range of clinical and subclinical conditions. Clinical mastitis is
60 characterized by sudden onset, alterations of milk composition and appearance, decreased milk
61 production, and the presence of the cardinal signs of inflammation in infected mammary quarters. It
62 is readily superficial and visually detected. It occurs when the inflammatory response is strong
63 enough to cause visible changes in the milk (clots, flakes), the udder (swelling) or the cow (off feed

64 or fever). Even if there is a great loss related with both conditions, clinical mastitis continues to be a
65 problem in many dairy herds (Hogan *et al.*, 1999; Harmon, 1994).

66

67 Mastitis is a global problem as it adversely affects animal health, quality of milk and the economic
68 of a country by causing huge financial losses (sharm *et al.*, 2007). There is agreement among
69 authors that mastitis is the most widespread infectious disease in dairy cattle and from an economic
70 aspect, the most damaging (Tiwari *et al.*, 2010). This disease has also been known to cause a great
71 deal of loss or reduction of productivity, to influence the quality and quantity of milk yield and to
72 cause culling of animals at an unacceptable age. Most estimates have shown a 30% reduction in
73 productivity per affected quarter and a 15% reduction in production per cow/lactation, making the
74 disease one of the most costly and serious problems affecting the dairy industry worldwide (Hogan
75 *et al.*, 1999). Clinical mastitis in a dairy herd is threatening to a farmer but treatment can be given
76 immediately to control it (Harmon, 1994). Mastitis is worth to study as it incurs financial losses
77 attributed to reduced milk yield, discarded milk following antibiotic therapy, early culling of cows,
78 veterinary costs, drug costs, increased labor, death in per acute septicemia and replacement cost
79 (Nesru *et al.*, 1997). In Ethiopia, even though the disease of mastitis has been known locally, it has
80 not been studied systematically (Sori *et al.*, 2005). More over clinical mastitis is frequently
81 occurring and economically important disease for dairy industry in our country, Ethiopia.
82 Regardless of this, very little attention is also given to mastitis in the country and efforts have only
83 been concentrated on the treatment of clinical cases. In addition to this for the control and
84 prevention of the disease, proper isolation and identification of the responsible bacterial agents is
85 necessary regarding to which little studies are still done. Therefore this study was done to isolate and
86 identify pathogenic bacteria from cows that have clinical mastitis.

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

95

96

97 **2.1. Study Area**

98

99 The study was conducted from November 2018 to April 2019 in Asella town. The town is located in
100 Arsi Zone of Oromia region about 175 km from Addis Ababa. The town has a latitude and longitude
101 of 7°57'N 39°7'E, with an elevation of 2,430 meters above sea level. Topographically Asella is a
102 highland area with annual rain fall of 2300 to 2400mm (ATAO, 2016).

103

104

105 **2.2. Study Animals**

106

107 Lactating dairy cows found in privately owned small holder dairy farms and government dairy farms
108 in Asella town were involved in the study population. The study was conducted on purposely
109 selected lactating dairy cows with clinical sign of illness regardless of the age, breed, pregnancy,
110 husbandry system, hygienic condition, milking practice, parity and stage of lactation.

111

112

113 **2.3. Study Design and sampling**

114

115 A cross sectional study using laboratory isolation and identification of bacteria was undertaken from
116 November 2018 to April 2019 on small scale and government owned dairy farm in Asella town.
117 Cows that shows signs of clinical mastitis were selected and sampled purposively from the farms
118 that are found in the town (all dairy farms found in the town were included in the study).

119

120 **2.4. Data Collection**

121

122 *2.4.1. Questionnaire survey*

123

124 The data about the factors like age, breed, pregnancy, parity number and lactation stages of the cows
125 and milking practice, husbandry system and hygienic condition of the farms were collected from
126 owners and farm managers through a face to face questionnaire survey.

127

128

129 2.4.2. *Physical examination of udder and milk*

130

131 Cows were examined at quarter and teat level for the observation of signs of clinical mastitis. The
132 udders of the study cows were examined visually and by palpation for the presence of clinical
133 mastitis. During examination attention was paid to cardinal signs of inflammation, size and
134 consistency of udder quarters. Inspection of milk for discoloration, consistency and presence of
135 clots, which are characteristics of clinical mastitis were performed.

136

137

138 2.4.3. *Milk sample collection*

139

140 A total of 83 milk samples were collected from 46 cows which show clinical signs of mastitis in
141 Asella town from a total of 12 small scales and government owned dairy farms. The milk samples
142 were collected from the teats of clinically infected quarter's from cows that are not treated early
143 with either intra mammary or systematic antimicrobial agents. Milk sampling was carried out
144 following aseptic procedures as described by [National Mastitis Council \(2004\)](#).

145

146 Collection, transportation and storage of milk samples

147

148 Udder was first washed with water and then the teats and teat orifices were disinfected with pieces
149 of cotton wool soaked in 70% ethyl alcohol and then dried with fresh pieces of cotton wool.
150 Approximately 5-6 ml of milk from infected quarter were taken (after discarding the fore milk)
151 aseptically in sterile bottles for bacteriological investigation and labeled. Samples were placed in ice
152 box containing ice packs and transport immediately to microbiology room of Asella Regional
153 Veterinary laboratory. Samples which are not processed immediately were preserved in refrigerator
154 at 4°C until processing.

155

156

157 **2.5. Laboratory Diagnosis**

158

159 2.5.1. *Bacterial isolation*

160

161 For the isolation of bacteria, different types of media were used (solid and liquid media). The
162 common media used during the study were blood agar, nutrient agar, MacConkey agar, manitol salt

163 agar, Eosin methylene blue medium, nutrient broth, Triple sugar iron agar, Simon citrate agar,
164 Tryptophan broth and MR-VP broth biochemical media were used.

165

166 Preparation of culture media

167

168 To prepare the media for bacterial culture, the manufacturer's instructions were followed. All
169 glasses, flasks and petridishes used for the preparation of media were first sterilized using
170 appropriate sterilizers like autoclave. The appropriate amounts of dehydrated media were weighed
171 using sensitive balance and the required amount of distilled water was added to the agar media
172 powder in the flask. Then they were dissolved in heating mantle until it boiled and frothy
173 appearance was settled (removed), then the media were sterilized by autoclave at 121⁰C for 15 min
174 holding time, and cooled in water bath at 50⁰C before dispensed in to the petridishes. Some media
175 like blood agar requires addition of blood after it is cooled to 50⁰C since RBC do not tolerate higher
176 temperature (Quinn *et al.*, 2002).

177

178 Cultural methods

179

180 The samples collected from cows were cultured on general purpose media such as blood agar and
181 nutrient agar using sterile loop inside the biosafety cabinet and around Bunsen burner. Other
182 selective and differential media such as Manitol salt agar, MacConkey agar, Eosin metheylne blue
183 agar were also used for cultural purpose. The media were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24
184 hours.

185 Examination of culture

186

187 Visual examination was done for detection of growth, pigmentation, haemolysis and colonial
188 morphology.

189

190

191

192

193

194 2.5.2. *Identification of isolates*

195

196 Gram's staining

197

198 It was used to study morphology, shape and gram staining reaction of each isolates. Gram-positive
199 bacteria appeared purple, while Gram-negative bacteria appeared red.

200

201 Biochemical tests

202

203 The staining is followed by use of various biochemical reagents and tests to get closer to the
204 identification of bacteria. There are many biochemical tests available for bacterial identification.

205

206 Primary biochemical tests: used to identify organisms into genus level. The primary biochemical
207 tests that were applied are Catalase test andOxidase tests.

208

209 Secondary biochemical tests: used to identify organism into species level. Secondary biochemical
210 tests performed includes Indole test, Methyl (MR) test, Voges–Proskauer (VP) test, Citrate
211 utilization test, Triple sugar iron test and coagulase test.

212

213 **2.6. Data Management and Analysis**

214

215 The data including the quarter affected, parity no, husbandry system, hygienic condition, lactation
216 stage and milking practice were recorded depending on clinical inspection; pathogenic bacteria
217 isolated and identified were entered into Microsoft Excel computer program 2007. STATA version
218 14was used to summarize the data and descriptive statistics like percentages were used to express
219 the result.

220

221

222 3. RESULTS

223

224

225 3.1. Bacteria Isolated from Mastitic Milk

226

227 In the current study all (100%) the 83 milk samples collected from clinically mastitic cow were
228 positive for cultural isolation of bacterial species. The bacteria were also identified to genus and
229 species level. Most isolates were *Staphylococcus* species 62 (74.7%) in which all of them were
230 coagulase positive. Among the total of 83 isolates 32 (38.6%)
231 were *Staphylococcus aureus*, 24 (28.9%) were *Staphylococcus intermedius* and 6 (7.2%) were
232 *Staphylococcus hyicus*. Other bacteria like *E.coli* 12 (14.5%), *Streptococcus* species 2 (2.4%) were
233 also isolated (Table 1).

234 **Table 1:** Frequency and percentage of various bacterial species isolated from clinical mastitic
235 samples

Bacterial species	Frequency	Percentage (%)
<i>S.aureus</i>	32	38.6
<i>S.intermedius</i>	24	28.9
<i>S.hyicus</i>	6	7.2
<i>E.coli</i>	12	14.5
Bacillus species	2	2.4
Protes species	2	2.4
Streptococcus species	2	2.4
Mixed infection	3	3.6
Total	83	100

236

237

238

239

240 *3.1.1. Clinical mastitis at teat level in different quarters*

241 There was no significant difference between quarters in the occurrence of pathogenic
 242 microorganisms ($p>0.05$). However, the highest proportion of
 243 microorganisms has been isolated from left back teat, 25(30.1%). From all teats except left front, the
 244 highest proportion has been recorded in *Staphylococcus aureus* (Table 2).

245

246 **Table 21:** Proportion/infection rate of clinical mastitis at teat level in different quarters

247

Pathogen	Quarters				Total	P-value
	Left front(LF)	Left back(LB)	Right front(RF)	Right back(RB)		
<i>S.aureus</i>	2(6.2%)	11(34.4%)	10(31.2%)	9(28.1%)	32(100%)	0.078
<i>S.intermedius</i>	9(37.5%)	5(20.8%)	6(25%)	4(16.7%)	24(100%)	
<i>S.hyicus</i>	3(50%)	3(50%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	6(100%)	
<i>E.coli</i>	0(0%)	6(50%)	2(16.7%)	4(33.3)	12(100%)	
Bacillus species	1(50%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	1(50%)	2(100%)	
Protes species	0(0%)	0(0%)	1(50%)	1(50%)	2(100%)	
Streptococcus species	1(50%)	0(0%)	1(50%)	0(0%)	2(100%)	
Mixed infection	1(33.3%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	2(66.7)	3(100%)	
Total	17(20.5%)	25(30.1%)	20(24.1%)	21(25.3%)	83(100%)	

248

249

250

251

252

253

254 **Table 2:** Biochemical reactions of gram positive isolated organisms.

255

Bacterial species	Biochemical tests					
	Gram reaction	Color	Shape	Catalase	Oxidase	Coagulase
<i>S. aureus</i>	+	Purple	Cocci (bunches of grape)	+	-	+
<i>S.intermedius</i>	+	Purple	Cocci (bunches of grape)	+	-	+
<i>S.hyicus</i>	+	Purple	Cocci (bunches of grape)	+	-	+
Streptococcus species	+	Purple	Chain of Cocci	-	-	-
Bacillus species	+	Purple	Long road	+	+	-

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265 **Table 3:** Biochemical reactions of gram negative isolated organisms.

266

Bacterial species	Biochemical test							
	Gram reaction	Color	Shape	Methyl red	Indole	Voges proskaur	Citrate	Triple sugar iron
E.coli	-	Pink	Rod	+	+	-	-	Butt=yellow Slant=yellow
Protes species	-	Pink	Rod	+	-	-	-	Butt=yellow Slant=red with H2S

267

268 4.2. Results of the Questionnaire Survey

269

270 The study was performed in 12 farms and out of them 10(83.3%) farms were managed intensively
 271 and the other 2(16.7%) were semi-intensive farms. From the total number of farms in which the
 272 study was conducted 6(50%) of the farms were managed in a poor hygienic condition. From the
 273 farms included in the study 11(91.2%) farms kept only cross breed cows, whereas only one farm
 274 kept local breed cows (Table 3).

275 **Table 3:** Farm level description of factors

276

Variable		Frequency	Percentage
Husbandry system	Intensive	10	83.3
	Semi-intensive	2	16.7
Hygienic condition	Poor	6	50
	Medium	4	33.3
	Good	2	16.7
Breed	Local (Borena)	1	8.3
	Cross	11	91.7
Total farms		12	100

277

278 The proportions of clinical mastitis was high in cows that have high parity numbers, in early
279 lactation stage and in cross breed cows as compared to low parity, late and late lactation stage and
280 local breed cows respectively (Table 4).

281 **Table 4:** Profiles of cows included in the study

282

Variable		Frequency	Proportion
Breed	Local(Borena)	2	4.3
	Cross	44	95.7
Age	Young	1	2.2
	Adult	39	84.8
	Old	6	13
Parity no	1	6	13.04
	2	9	19.57
	3	12	26.09
	4	12	26.09
	5	6	13.04
	6	1	2.18
Pregnancy	Pregnant	17	37
	Non-pregnant	29	63
Lactation stage	Early	19	41.3
	Mid	15	32.6
	Late	12	26.1
Total		46	100

283

284

285

286

287

288 4. DISCUSSION

289

290

291 A total of 83 Milk samples were collected and processed from clinically infected cows from small
292 scale holder and government dairy cows in Asella town. The result of the current study showed that
293 staphylococcus species, streptococcus species, *Escherichia coli*, bacillus species and proteus species
294 were isolated which has been also reported in other study (Biruke and Shimeles, 2012).

295

296 Isolation and identification of pathogenic bacteria such as 38.6% *S. aureus*, 28.9% *S. intermedius*,
297 shows the high contributions of microbial agents as a cause of mastitis in the area. From coagulase
298 positive staphylococcus species the predominant pathogen isolated in current study was *S. aureus*
299 (38.6%). This finding is in agreement with 39.1% reported by Bedada and Hiko (2011) whereas,
300 higher than the report of Mulugeta and Wassie (2013) who reported isolation rate of 30.0%. The
301 reason for higher isolation rates of *S. aureus* is its wide ecological distribution inside the mammary
302 gland and skin. In areas where hand milking and improper use of drug is practiced to treat mastitis
303 case, its dominance has been suggested and might be due to the fact that they are easily transmitted
304 during milking via the milker's hands as it is contagious pathogens (Jones *et al.*, 1998).

305

306 Laboratory results from the current study indicated that the prevalence of *Staphylococcus*
307 *intermedius* was 28.9% which is the second predominant isolated bacteria next to *Staphylococcus*
308 *aureus*. The result reported from the current study was lower than the (38.4%) reported by Argaw
309 and Tolosa (2008) but much higher than reports of Birhanu *et al.* (2013) who reported 7.14% in the
310 same study site. The variability in the prevalence of isolated bacteria between reports could be
311 attributed to differences in management of the farms, milking practices and hygienic condition of
312 the farms. (Mungube *et al.*, 2005).

313

314 The 14.5% isolation rate of *E. coli* found in this study was comparable with the findings of Biruke
315 and Shimeles (2012) who reported 18.6% at Addis Ababa, while it was found to be lower than the
316 40.7% reported by Iqbal *et al.* (2004 and much higher than the report of Birhanu *et al.* (2013), who
317 reported 5.71% in different parts of Ethiopia. The prevalence of environmental *E. coli* may be
318 associated with poor farm cleanliness and poor slope of stable areas. Faeces which are common

319 sources of *E. coli* can contaminate the premises directly or indirectly through bedding, calving stalls,
320 udder wash water and milker's hands (Radostits *et al.*, 2007).

321

322 The 2.4% proportion of streptococcus species found in this study was much lower than the findings
323 Biruke and Shimelis, (2012) who reported 16.7% streptococcus species. The variability in the
324 prevalence of isolated streptococcus species between reports could be because of some contagious
325 Streptococcus species survives poorly outside the udder, and established infections are eliminated by
326 frequent use of penicillin and other antibiotics and because of difference in the milking practice
327 between the different farms in the studies (Radostits *et al.*, 2007).

328

329 The results from the current study indicated that the proportions of bacillus species were low (2.4%)
330 which was similar with findings of Bedada and Hiko (2011) who reported 3.4% proportion.
331 Bacillus species are only occasionally mastitis causing pathogens. The infection is associated with
332 contamination of teat injuries and surgery. The level of infection can be high during the dry period
333 following the use of dry cow therapy preparation which may have been contaminated with the
334 organisms (Radostits *et al.*, 2007).

335

336 The 2.4% Proteus species isolated was almost similar with 2.63% report of Hussein (1999) in and
337 around Addis Ababa and 2.2% report of Bedada and Hiko (2011). The prevalence of Proteus species
338 might be due to the residing of this agent in the cow's environment bedding, feed and water. They
339 spread due to poor environmental sanitation and milking practice.

340

341 The current study revealed that clinical mastitis has affected cows at different stages of lactation,
342 early (41.3%), mid (32.6%) and late (26.1), which was comparable with the finding of Kerro and
343 Tareke, (2003) who reported a high prevalence rate of clinical mastitis of cow in early lactation.

344

345 The occurrence of mastitis for cows that gives birth for 3rd and 4th times was 26.09%; which was
346 lower than the findings of Biruke and Shimelis (2012) who reported a prevalence of 71.5% during
347 3rd and 4th parity. In this study during mid parity number a high proportion was recorded. This could
348 be associated with the possibility of exposure to the infectious agent with increasing number of
349 parity. This was in agreement with the findings of Biffa *et al.* (2005) and Tesfaye (1995). Again it

350 was also agrees with the report of Biruke and Shimelis (2012) who report high proportion during the
351 medium of parity and when reach 5th parity number it reduces, this is due to the farm management
352 system, means culling of too old lactating cow and there is small number of cows giving birth for
353 fifth (5th) times and more.

354

355 The current study revealed that highest proportion (84.8%) of clinical mastitis was found in adult
356 lactating cows of ages between 3and 9 years, followed by old cows of ages greater than 9 years
357 (13%) and the lowest prevalence (2.2%) was recorded in young cows with ages of 2 years. Increase
358 in occurrence of mastitis with the ages could be due to an increased period of exposure of the udder
359 during previous ages of lactating cows, but because of less number of older cows in the studied
360 farms because of culling of aged lactating cows, the proportions of clinical mastitis in older lactating
361 cows was lower than adult cows. Correspondingly, *Teshome et al. (2018)* reported highest
362 prevalence (48.78%) in lactating cows of ages greater than 8 years, followed by cows of ages 4-8
363 years (30.54%) and the lowest prevalence (18.52%) was reported in cows of ages less than 4 years.
364 The increase in proportion of mastitis with age might be due to the physiology of exhausted canal
365 which is more dilated and remains partially open due to years of repeated milking. This could have
366 facilitated the entrance of environmental and skin associated microorganisms leading clinical
367 mastitis (Blowey and Edmondson, 2010).

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

378

379

380 The present study which was conducted on isolation and identification of major bacterial pathogens
381 from clinically ill cows revealed that both contagious and environmental pathogens, such as *S.*
382 *aureus*, *S. intermedius*, *S. hyicus*, streptococcus species, Bacillus species, proteus species, *E. coli*
383 were isolated. From the isolated organisms, *S. aureus* (38.6%), *S. intermedius* (28.9%) and *E. coli*
384 (14.5%) were the predominant organisms. This indicates that contagious mastitis is one of the major
385 problems of dairy cows in milk production followed by environmental mastitis. To reduce the
386 problem of clinical mastitis proper milking practices like milking of infected cows after milking of
387 apparently healthy animals and regular cleaning of cow's udder should be practiced. Farm
388 husbandry practices should be maintained to avoid contamination of cows' house and bedding to
389 control and prevent environmental mastitis. There is a need for further detailed studies on different
390 pathogenic micro organisms to the species level and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of those
391 microorganisms that cause clinical mastitis

392 .

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401 5. REFERENCES

402

403

404 Argaw, K., and Tolosa, T. (2008): Prevalence of sub clinical mastitis in small holder dairy farms in
405 Selale, North shewa Zone, and Central Ethiopia. *International Journal of Veterinary*
406 *Medicine*, **5**.

407 ATAO, (2016): Asela town agricultural office (ATAO). Overall
408 Environmental and weather Condition Assessment of Assela. Annual Report, pp: 28-36.

409 Bedada, B.A., and Hiko, A. (2011): Mastitis and antimicrobial susceptibility test at Asella, Oromia
410 Regional state, Ethiopia. *Journal of Microbiology*, **3(9)**:228-232.

411 Biffa, D., Etana, D., Fekadu, B. (2005): Prevalence and risk factors of mastitis in Lactating dairy
412 cows in Southern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Veterinary medicine*, **3(3)**: 189-198.

413 Birhanu, A., Diriba, L., Eyob, I. (2013): Study of bovine mastitis in Asella government dairy farm
414 of oromia regional state, south eastern Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *International*
415 *Journal of current study*, **1**: 134-135.

416 Biruke, D. and Shimeles, A. (2012): Isolation and identification of major bacterial pathogen from

417 Blowey, R., Edmondson, P. (2010): Mastitis control in dairy herds, 2nd edition CAB. International,
418 UK.

419 Capuco, A. V. (1992): Increased susceptibility to intra mammary infection following removal of
420 teat canal keratin. *Journal of Dairy Science* **75**: 2126–2130.

421 Central Statistical Agency (2015): Report on livestock and livestock characteristics. The federal
422 democratic republic of Ethiopia, Statistical Bulletin 570, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

423 Harmon, R.J. (1994): Physiology of mastitis and factors affecting somatic cell counts. *Journal of*
424 *Dairy Science*, **77(7)**:2103–2112.

425 Hogan, S.J., Gonzales, R.N., Harmon, J.R., Nickerson, S.C., Oliver, S.P., Pankey, J.W. and Smith,
426 L.K. (1999): Laboratory handbook on bovine mastitis. Published by national mastitis
427 Council, Verona, **53**: 5-93.

428 Hussein, N. (1999): Cross sectional and longitudinal study of bovine mastitis in urban and peri
429 urban dairy systems in Addis Ababa region, Ethiopia, Msc. Thesis, Faculty of Veterinary
430 Medicine, Addis Ababa University school of Graduated studies Debre Zeit.

- 431 Iqbal, M., Khan, A. Daraz, B. and Saddique, U. (2004): Bacteriology of mastitic milk and invitro
432 antiobiogram of the isolates. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, **24**: 161-164.
- 433 Jones, G.M. (2006): Understanding the basics of mastitis. Virginia Cooperative Extension. Virginia
434 State University, USA, pp: 1-7.
- 435 Kerro, D.O., Tareke, F. (2003): Bovine mastitis in selected areas of southern Ethiopia. *Tropical*
436 *animal health Production*, **35(3)**: 197 – 205.
- 437 Mulugeta, Y., and Wassie, M. (2013): Prevalence, risk factors and major bacteria causes of bovine
438 mastitis in and around Wolaitasodo, Southern Ethiopia. *African Journal of*
439 *Microbiological Research* **7 (48)**: 5400-5405.
- 440 Mungube, E.O., Tenhagen, B.A., Regassa, F., Kyule, M.N., Shiferaw, Y. and Tesfu, K. (2005):
441 Reduced milk production in udder quarters with subclinical mastitis and associated
442 economic losses in crossbred dairy cows in Ethiopia, *Tropical animal health Production*.
443 **37(6)**: 503-512.
- 444 Nesru, H., Teshome, Y, and Getachew, T (1997): Prevalence of mastitis in cross –bred and zebu
445 cattle. *Ethiopia Journal of Agricultural Science*. **16**: 53-60.
- 446 NMC (National Mastitis Council). (2008): Summary of peer-reviewed publications on efficacy of
447 premilking and postmilking teat disinfectants published since, **19**: 258-272.
- 448 Quinn, P. J., Markey, B. K., Carter, M. E., Donnelly, W. J., Leonard, F. C., and Maguire, D. (2002):
449 *Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease*, 1st edition. Blackwell Science UK, **95**.
- 450 Radostis, O.M., Gay, C.C., Blood, D.C. and Hinchcliff, K.W. (2000): *Veterinary Medicine: a*
451 *textbook of the diseases of cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horses*. 9th edition. pp. 563–
452 660.
- 453 Radostits, G and Hinchcliff, C. (2007): *Veterinary Medicine a text book of the disease of cattle,*
454 *horses, sheep, pigs and goats*. 10th editions, pp: 681.
- 455 Sharma, N., Maiti, S.K. and Sharma, K.K. (2007): Prevalence, etiology and antiobiogram of micro-
456 organisms associated with sub-clinical mastitis in buffaloes in, Chattisgrh State (India).
457 *International Journal of Dairy Science*, **2**: 145–151.

- 458 Sori, H., Zerihun, A. and Abdicho, S. (2005). Dairy cattle mastitis in and around Sebeta, Ethiopia.
459 *International Journal of Applied Research in Veterinary Medicine*, **3**: 332–338.
- 460 Tesfaye, H.D., (1995): Prevalence of bovine mastitis in Holstein-Boran crosses and indigenous
461 zebu cows and in vitro drug sensitivity test in and around kombolcha. DVM. Thesis,
462 Faculty of veterinary Medicine, AAU, Ethiopia.
- 463 Teshome, G., Hasen, A., Morga, S. and Amare, B. (2018): Prevalence, isolation and identification of
464 major bacterial pathogens in selected areas of Bench Maji Zone, Southwest Ethiopia
- 465 Tiwari, A., Sisodia, R.S., Sharma, R.K., Misraulia, K.S. and Garg, U.K. (2010: Incidence of sub-
466 clinical mastitis in cows of Malwa Region of Madhya Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Dairy*
467 *Science*, **53**: 328–331.
- 468
- 469
- 470
- 471
- 472
- 473
- 474
- 475
- 476
- 477
- 478
- 479
- 480
- 481
- 482
- 483
- 484
- 485
- 486

487 **7. ANNEXES**

488

489 **Annex 1: Questionnaires to assess farm conditions in As**

490 sela town

491

492 Name of the farm -----

493 Owner's name _____ Address _____

494 Husbandry system

495 Extensive _____ Semi intensive _____ Intensive _____

496 **I. Housing**

497 1. Type of housing for your milking cows:

498 Tie-stall _____ Free-stall _____

499 2. What type of material is the base of your milking cow's house made off?

500 Concrete _____ Sand _____ mattress _____ other (specify): _____

501 3. How are the house cleaned?

502 Not applicable _____ Scraped _____ flushed with water _____ other (specify): _____

503 4. How many times per day house is cleaned?

504 Not applicable _____, _____ times/

505 5. Do you disinfect the house?

506 Yes _____ No _____

507 5.1. If say yes for question 5 how many times disinfect within a month?

508 _____ times/month, Other (specify) _____

509 6. How often do you clean out manure from your milking cows' house??

510 Never _____, _____ times/day, other (specify): _____

511 **II. Milking practice**

512 8. What types of milking practice you use?

513 Milking machine _____ Hand milking _____

514 9. Are udders washed or sprayed with water before milking?

515 Yes _____ No _____

516 10. Are teats disinfected before milking (pre-dip)?

517 If you say yes, proceed to 11 question. If No, skip 11 question

518 11. How is the pre-dip applied?

519 Sprayed _____ dipped _____

520 12. Are teats dried before milking?

521 Yes _____ No _____

522 13. How do you dry teats prior to milking time?

523 Not applicable _____ Disposable paper towel (or newspaper) _____

524 Reusable cloth towel _____ I do not dry teats _____ Other (specify) _____

525 14. Do you use separate drying material for each cow?

526 Yes _____ No _____

527 15. If you use reusable towel, do you wash or disinfect these towels after every milking?

528 Not applicable _____ Yes _____ No _____

529 16. Are teats disinfected after milking (post-dip)?

530 If you say Yes, proceed to 17 question and if No, skip 17 question

531 17. How is the post-dip applied?

532 Sprayed _____ Dipped _____

533

534

535