Functional reconstitution of a bacterial CO₂ concentrating mechanism in *E. coli*

Authors: Avi I. Flamholz[1], Eli Dugan[1], Cecilia Blikstad[1], Shmuel Gleizer[2], Roee Ben-Nissan[2], Shira Amram[2], Niv Antonovsky[2,†], Sumedha Ravishankar[1,‡], Elad Noor[2,§], Arren Bar-Even[3], Ron Milo[2,*] & David F. Savage[1,*]

Affiliations:
[1] Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
[2] Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel
[3] Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam, Germany
[†] Present address: Laboratory of Genetically Encoded Small Molecules, The Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY, 10065, USA
[‡] Present address: Division of Biological Sciences, Section of Molecular Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, 92093, United States.
[§] Present address: Institute of Molecular Systems Biology, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Zürich CH-8093, Switzerland

*Correspondence to: ron.milo@weizmann.ac.il (R.M.) and savage@berkeley.edu (D.F.S.)

Abstract

Many photosynthetic organisms employ a CO₂ concentrating mechanism (CCM) to increase the rate of CO₂ fixation via the Calvin cycle. CCMs catalyze ≈50% of global photosynthesis, yet it remains unclear which genes and proteins are required to produce this complex adaptation. We describe the construction of a functional CCM in a non-native host, achieved by expressing genes from an autotrophic bacterium in an engineered *E. coli* strain. Expression of 20 CCM genes enabled *E. coli* to grow by fixing CO₂ from ambient air into biomass, with growth depending on CCM components. Bacterial CCMs are therefore genetically compact and readily transplanted, rationalizing their presence in diverse bacteria. Reconstitution enabled genetic experiments refining our understanding of the CCM, thereby laying the groundwork for deeper study and engineering of the cell biology supporting CO₂ assimilation in diverse organisms.

One Sentence Summary

A bacterial CO₂ concentrating mechanism enables *E. coli* to fix CO₂ from ambient air.

Introduction

Nearly all carbon in the biosphere enters by CO_2 fixation in the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle (Raven et al., 2017). Ribulose Bisphosphate Carboxylase/Oxygenase - commonly known as rubisco - is the CO_2 fixing enzyme in this cycle (Wildman, 2002) and likely the most abundant enzyme on Earth (Bar-On and Milo, 2019). As rubisco is abundant and central to biology, one might expect it to be an exceptional catalyst, but it is not. Photosynthetic rubiscos are modest enzymes, with carboxylation turnover numbers (k_{cat}) ranging from 1-10 s^{-1} (Flamholz et al., 2019). Moreover, all known rubiscos catalyze a competing oxygenation of the five-carbon organic substrate, ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (Bowes and Ogren, 1972; Flamholz et al., 2019).

Rubisco arose > 2.5 billion years ago, when Earth's atmosphere contained little O_2 and abundant CO_2 (Fischer et al., 2016; Shih et al., 2016). In this environment, rubisco's eponymous oxygenase activity could not have hindered carbon fixation or the growth of CO_2-fixing organisms. Present-day atmosphere, however, poses a problem for plants and other autotrophs: their primary carbon source, CO_2, is relatively scarce ($\approx$0.04%) while a potent competing substrate, O_2, is abundant ($\approx$21%).

CO_2 concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) arose multiple times over the last 2 billion years (Flamholz and Shih, 2020; Raven et al., 2017) and overcome this problem by concentrating CO_2 near rubisco (Figure 1A). In elevated CO_2 environments most active sites are occupied with CO_2 and not O_2. As such, high CO_2 increases the rate of carboxylation and competitively inhibits oxygenation (Bowes and Ogren, 1972) thereby improving overall carbon assimilation (Figure 1B). Today, at least four varieties of CCMs are found in plants, algae and bacteria (Flamholz and Shih, 2020; Raven et al., 2017), organisms with CCMs are collectively responsible for $\approx$50% of global net photosynthesis (Raven et al., 2017), and some of the most productive human crops (e.g. maize and sugarcane) rely on CCMs.

CCMs are particularly common among autotrophic bacteria: all Cyanobacteria and many Proteobacteria have CCM genes (Kerfeld and Melnicki, 2016; Rae et al., 2013). Bacterial CCMs rely on two crucial features: (i) energy-coupled inorganic carbon uptake at the cell membrane and (ii) a 200+ MDa protein organelle called the carboxysome that encapsulates rubisco with a carbonic anhydrase enzyme (Mangan et al., 2016; McGrath and Long, 2014). In the prevailing model of the carboxysome CCM, inorganic carbon uptake produces a high, above-equilibrium cytosolic HCO_3^- concentration ($\approx$30 mM) that diffuses into the carboxysome, where it is converted by into a high carboxysomal CO_2 concentration by carbonic anhydrase (Figure 1A).

As CCMs accelerate CO_2 fixation, there is great interest in transplanting them into crops (Ermakova et al., 2020; McGrath and Long, 2014). Carboxysome-based CCMs are especially attractive because they natively function in single cells and appear to rely on a tractable number of genes (Lin et al., 2014; Long et al., 2018; Occhialini et al., 2016; Orr et al., 2020). Modeling suggests that introducing bacterial CCM components could improve plant photosynthesis (McGrath and Long, 2014), especially if aspects of plant physiology can be modulated via genetic engineering (Wu et al., 2019). However, expressing bacterial rubiscos and carboxysome

components has, so far, uniformly resulted in transgenic plants displaying impaired growth (Lin et
al., 2014; Long et al., 2018; Occhialini et al., 2016; Orr et al., 2020). More generally, as our
understanding of the genes and proteins participating in the carboxysome CCM rests mostly on
loss-of-function genetic experiments in native hosts (Cai et al., 2009; Desmarais et al., 2019;
Marcus et al., 1986; Price and Badger, 1989a), it is possible that some genetic, biochemical and
physiological aspects of CCM function remain unappreciated. We therefore sought to test whether
current understanding is sufficient to reconstitute the bacterial CCM in a non-native bacterial host,
namely *E. coli*.
86

87
Figure 1. Twenty genes form the basis of a bacterial CCM. (A) *The bacterial CCM consists of at least two essential*
components - energy-coupled carbon uptake and carboxysome structures that encapsulate rubisco with a carbonic
anhydrase (CA) enzyme (Mangan et al., 2016; McGrath and Long, 2014). Transport generates a large cytosolic HCO$_3^-$
*pool, which is rapidly converted to high carboxysomal CO$_2$ concentration by the carboxysomal CA. (**B**) Elevated CO$_2$*
increases the rubisco carboxylation rate (green) and suppresses oxygenation by competitive inhibition (grey). [O$_2$] was
*set to 270 µM for rate calculations. (**C**) H. neapolitanus CCM genes are mostly contained in a 20 gene cluster*
(Desmarais et al., 2019) expressing rubisco and its associated chaperones (green), carboxysome structural proteins
(purple), and an inorganic carbon transporter (orange).
96

Using a genome-wide screen in the CO_2-fixing proteobacterium *H. neapolitanus*, we recently
demonstrated that a 20-gene cluster encodes all activities required for the CCM, at least in
principle (Desmarais et al., 2019). These genes include rubisco large and small subunits, the
carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase, seven structural proteins of the α-carboxysome (Bonacci et
al., 2012), an energy-coupled inorganic carbon transporter (Desmarais et al., 2019; Scott et al.,
2019), three rubisco chaperones (Aigner et al., 2017; Mueller-Cajar, 2017; Wheatley et al., 2014),
and four genes of unknown function (Figure 1C). We aimed to test whether these genes are
sufficient to establish a functioning CCM in *E. coli*.
105
Figure 2. CCMB1 depends on rubisco
carboxylation for growth on glycerol. (A) *Ribose-*
5-phosphate (Ri5P) is required for nucleotide
biosynthesis. Deletion of ribose-phosphate
isomerase (Δrpi) in CCMB1 blocks ribulose-5-
phosphate (Ru5P) metabolism in the pentose
phosphate (PP) pathway. Expression of rubisco (H.
neapolitanus cbbLS) and phosphoribulokinase (S.
elongatus PCC7942 prk) on the p1A plasmid ***(B)***
permits Ru5P metabolism, thus enabling growth on
M9 glycerol media in 10% CO_2 ***(C)***. *Mutating the*
rubisco active site (p1A cbbL⁻) abrogates growth, as
does mutating ATP-binding residues of prk (p1A
prk⁻). ***(D)*** *CCMB1:p1A grows well under 10% CO_2,*
but fails to grow in ambient air. Cells grown on M9
glycerol media throughout. Acronyms: ribulose 1,5-
bisphosphate (RuBP), 3-phosphoglycerate (3PG).

Results

As *E. coli* is a heterotroph, consuming organic carbon molecules to produce energy and biomass,
it does not natively rely on rubisco. Therefore, in order to evaluate the effect of heterologous CCM
expression, we first designed an *E. coli* strain that depends on rubisco carboxylation for growth.
To grow on glycerol as the sole carbon source, *E. coli* must synthesize ribose 5-phosphate (Ri5P)
for nucleic acids. Synthesis of Ri5P via the pentose phosphate pathway forces co-production of
ribulose 5-phosphate (Ru5P). Deletion of ribose 5-phosphate isomerase (*rpiAB* genes, denoted
Δrpi), however, makes Ru5P a metabolic "dead-end" (Figure 2A). Expression of
phosphoribulokinase (*prk*) and rubisco creates a "detour" pathway converting Ru5P and CO_2 into
two units of the central metabolite 3-phosphoglycerate (3PG), enabling Ru5P metabolism and
growth (Figure 2A). Additionally, cytosolic carbonic anhydrase activity is incompatible with the

bacterial CCM (Price and Badger, 1989b). We therefore constructed a strain, named CCMB1 for
"**CCM B**ackground **1**", lacking *rpiAB* and all endogenous carbonic anhydrases (Methods).

As predicted, CCMB1 required rubisco and prk for growth on glycerol minimal media in 10% CO_2
(Figure 2B-C). Even when expressing rubisco and *prk* on the p1A plasmid (Figure 2B), however,
CCMB1 failed to grow on glycerol in ambient air, presumably due to insufficient carboxylation at
low CO_2 (Figures 2D and S3-4). That is, CCMB1:p1A displays the "high-CO_2 requiring" phenotype
that is the hallmark of CCM mutants (Marcus et al., 1986; Price and Badger, 1989a).

We expected that expressing a functional CO_2-concentrating mechanism would cure CCMB1 of
its high-CO_2 requirement and permit growth in ambient air. We therefore generated two plasmids,
pCB and pCCM, that together express all 20 genes from the *H. neapolitanus* CCM cluster (Figures
1C and S5A). pCB encodes ten carboxysome genes (Bonacci et al., 2012), including rubisco large
and small subunits, along with *prk*. The remaining *H. neapolitanus* genes, including putative
rubisco chaperones (Aigner et al., 2017; Mueller-Cajar, 2017; Wheatley et al., 2014) and an
inorganic carbon transporter (Desmarais et al., 2019; Scott et al., 2019), were cloned into the
second plasmid, pCCM.

Figure 3. Expression of 20 CCM genes permits growth of CCMB1 in ambient air. Time course data give
representative growth curves from a bioreactor bubbling ambient air. CCMB1:pCB' + pCCM' grows well (purple, "full
CCM"), while rubisco and prk alone are insufficient for growth in ambient air (grey, CCMB1:p1A+vec). Inset: a plate
reader experiment in biological triplicate (different shades) gave the same result. Expressing the full complement of
CCM genes led to an increase in culture density (optical density at 600 nm) of ≈0.6 units after 80 hours of cultivation.
Bootstrapping was used to calculate a 99.9% confidence interval of 0.56-0.64 OD units for the effect of expressing the
full CCM during growth in ambient air (Figure S6).

CCMB1 co-transformed with pCB and pCCM initially failed to grow on glycerol media in ambient
air. We therefore conducted selection experiments, described fully in the supplement, that
resulted in the isolation of mutant plasmids conferring growth in ambient air. Briefly, CCMB1:pCB
+ pCCM cultures were grown to saturation in 10% CO_2 and multiple dilutions were plated on
glycerol minimal media (Methods). Colonies became visible after 20 days of incubation in ambient
air (Figure S5). Deep-sequencing of plasmid DNA revealed mutations in regulatory sequences
(e.g. a promoter and transcriptional repressor) but none in sequences coding for CCM
components (Table S4). Individual post-selection plasmids pCB' and pCCM' were reconstructed

by PCR, resequenced, and transformed into naive CCMB1 (Methods). As shown in Figure 3, pCB' and pCCM' together enabled reproducible growth of CCMB1 in ambient air, suggesting that the 20 genes expressed are sufficient to produce a heterologous CCM without any genomic mutations.

Figure 4. Growth in ambient air depends on the known components of the bacterial CCM. *We generated plasmid variants carrying inactivating mutations to known components of the CCM. (**A**) Pre-cultures were grown in 10% CO_2 and diluted into two tubes, one of which was cultured in 10% CO_2 and the other in ambient air (Methods). All strains were tested in biological quadruplicate and culture density was measured after four days. (**B**) Targeted mutations to CCM genes were found to ablate growth in ambient air while permitting growth in 10% CO_2, as expected. Each strain is described by a pair of bars. The left bar (darker color) gives the mean endpoint density in 10% CO_2. The right bar (lighter color) gives the mean in ambient air. Diamonds give individual data points and error bars give the standard deviation. From left to right: a positive control (grey, Methods) grew in both 10% CO_2 and ambient air; a negative control carrying catalytically inactive rubisco (CCMB1:pCB' cbbL⁻+pCCM') failed to grow in either condition; CCMB1 expressing rubisco and prk but no CCM genes (green, CCMB1:p1A+vec) grew only in 10% CO_2; CCMB1:pCB'+pCCM' grew in 10% CO_2 and ambient air, recapitulating results presented in Figure 3. The following four pairs of maroon bars give growth data for strains carrying targeted mutations to CCM genes: an inactivating mutation to carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase (CCMB1:pCB' CsoSCA⁻+pCCM'), deletion of the N-terminal domain of CsoS2 responsible for recruiting rubisco to the carboxysome (CCMB1:pCB' CsoS2 ΔNTD +pCCM'), deletion of both genes encoding pentameric vertex proteins (CCMB1:pCB' ΔcsoS4AB + pCCM'), and inactivating mutations to the DAB carbon uptake system (CCMB1:pCB' DabA1⁻ + pCCM'). All tested mutations to the CCM abrogated growth in air while permitting growth in 10% CO_2. The positive control is the CAfree strain expressing human carbonic anhydrase II (Methods). Detailed description of all plasmid and mutation abbreviations is given in Table S2.*

To verify that growth in ambient air depends on the CCM, we generated plasmids carrying targeted mutations to known CCM components (Figure 4). An inactivating mutation to the carboxysomal rubisco (CbbL K194M) prohibited growth entirely. Mutations targeting the CCM, rather than rubisco itself, should ablate growth in ambient air while permitting growth in high CO_2 (Desmarais et al., 2019; Mangan et al., 2016; Marcus et al., 1986; Price and Badger, 1989a; Rae et al., 2013). Consistent with this understanding, an inactive mutant of the carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase (CsoSCA C173S) required high-CO_2 for growth. Similarly, disruption of carboxysome formation by removal of the pentameric shell proteins or the N-terminal domain of CsoS2 also eliminated growth in ambient air. Removing the pentameric proteins CsoS4AB disrupts the permeability barrier at the carboxysome shell (Cai et al., 2009), while truncating CsoS2 prohibits carboxysome formation entirely (Oltrogge et al., 2020). Finally, an inactivating mutation to the inorganic carbon transporter also eliminated growth in ambient air (Desmarais et al., 2019).

214 These experiments demonstrate that pCB' and pCCM' enable CCMB1 to grow in ambient air in a
215 manner that depends on the known components of the bacterial CCM. To confirm that these cells
216 produce carboxysome structures, we performed thin section electron microscopy. Regular
217 polyhedral inclusions of ≈100 nm diameter were visible in micrographs (Figure 5A), implying
218 production of morphologically-normal carboxysomes.
219

220
Figure 5. CCMB1:pCB'+pCCM' produces morphologically normal carboxysomes and fixes CO₂ from ambient
air into biomass. (A) Polyhedral bodies resembling carboxysomes are evident in electron micrographs of
CCMB1:pCB'+pCCM' cells grown in air. (B) Cells were grown in ambient air with 99% ¹³C glycerol as the sole organic
carbon source so that ¹²CO₂ in air is the sole source of ¹²C. As serine is a direct metabolic product of 3PG, we expect
¹²C enrichment on serine when rubisco is active. 3PG also derives from glycolytic metabolism of glycerol, so complete
¹²C labeling of serine was not expected. (C) The ¹²C composition of serine from CCMB1:pCB' + pCCM' ("Experiment")
is roughly threefold above the control. (D) The fraction of 3PG production flux due to rubisco was predicted via Flux
Balance Analysis and estimated from isotopic labeling data (Methods). Estimates of the rubisco flux fraction exceed
10% for all four biological replicates and the mean estimate accords well with a ≈20% prediction.

230
We next conducted isotopic labeling experiments to determine whether CCMB1:pCB' + pCCM'
fixes CO₂ from ambient air into biomass. Cells were grown in minimal media with ¹³C-labeled
glycerol as the sole organic carbon source, such that CO₂ from ambient air was the dominant
source of ¹²C. The isotopic composition of amino acids in total biomass hydrolysate was analyzed
via mass spectrometry (Methods). Serine is a useful sentinel of rubisco activity because *E. coli*
produces serine from the rubisco product 3PG. 3PG is also an intermediate of lower glycolysis,
and so the degree of ¹²C labeling on serine reports on the balance of fluxes through rubisco and
lower glycolysis (Figure 5B). We therefore expected excess ¹²C labeling of serine when rubisco
is active. Consistent with this expectation, serine from CCMB1:pCB'+pCCM' cells contained
roughly threefold more ¹²C than the rubisco-independent control (Figure 5C). We estimated the
contribution of rubisco to 3PG synthesis *in vivo* by comparing labeling patterns between the
rubisco-dependent experimental cultures and controls (Methods). Based on these estimates,
rubisco carboxylation was responsible for at least 10% of 3PG synthesis in all four biological
replicates (Figure 5D, Methods), confirming fixation of CO₂ from ambient air. As such, this work
represents the first functional reconstitution of any CCM.
246

Reconstitution in *E. coli* enabled us to investigate which *H. neapolitanus* genes are necessary for
CCM function in the absence of any regulation or genetic redundancy (i.e. genes with overlapping
function) present in the native host. We focused on genes involved in rubisco proteostasis and
generated plasmids lacking acRAF, a putative rubisco chaperone, or carrying targeted mutations
to CbbQ, an ATPase involved in activating rubisco catalysis (Aigner et al., 2017; Mueller-Cajar,
2017; Wheatley et al., 2014). Although *acRAF* deletion had a large negative effect in *H.*
neapolitanus (Desmarais et al., 2019), neither acRAF nor CbbQ were strictly required for CCMB1
to grow in ambient air. Consistent with our screen in the native host (Desmarais et al., 2019),
however, *acRAF* deletion produced a substantial growth defect (Figure S7C), suggesting that the
rate of rubisco complex assembly is an important determinant of carboxysome biogenesis.

Discussion

Today, CCMs catalyze about half of global photosynthesis (Raven et al., 2017), but this was not
always so. Land plant CCMs, for example, arose only in the last 100 million years (Flamholz and
Shih, 2020; Raven et al., 2017). Though all contemporary Cyanobacteria have CCM genes, these
CCMs are found in two convergently-evolved varieties (Flamholz and Shih, 2020; Kerfeld and
Melnicki, 2016; Rae et al., 2013), suggesting that the ancestor of present-day Cyanobacteria and
chloroplasts did not have a CCM (Rae et al., 2013). So how did carboxysome CCMs come to
dominate the cyanobacterial phylum?
265
Here we demonstrated that the α-carboxysome CCM from *H. neapolitanus* is readily transferred
between species and confers a large growth benefit, which can explain how these CCMs became
so widespread among bacteria (Kerfeld and Melnicki, 2016; Rae et al., 2013). We constructed a
CCM by expressing 20 genes in an engineered *E. coli* strain, CCMB1. In accordance with its role
in native autotrophic hosts (Desmarais et al., 2019; Long et al., 2018; Marcus et al., 1986; Price
and Badger, 1989a), the transplanted CCM required α-carboxysomes and inorganic carbon
uptake to enable CCMB1 to grow by fixing CO_2 from ambient air (Figures 3-5, S6-8). It appears,
therefore, that the α-carboxysome CCM is genetically compact and "portable" between
organisms. It is possible, therefore, that expressing bacterial CCMs in non-native autotrophic
hosts will improve CO_2 assimilation and growth. This is a promising approach to improving plant
growth (Ermakova et al., 2020; Long et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2019) and also improving microbial
production of fuel, food products and commodity chemicals from CO_2 (Claassens et al., 2016;
Gleizer et al., 2019).
279
Reconstitution also enabled us to test, via simple genetic experiments, whether particular genes
play a role in the CCM (Figure S7). These experiments demonstrated that the rubisco chaperones
are strictly dispensable for producing a functional bacterial CCM, though removing the *acRAF*
gene produced a substantial growth defect that warrants further investigation. Further such can
use our reconstituted CCM to delineate a minimal reconstitution of the bacterial CCM suitable for
plant expression (Du et al., 2014; Long et al., 2018, 2016; Occhialini et al., 2016; Orr et al., 2020),
test hypotheses about carboxysome biogenesis (Bonacci et al., 2012; Oltrogge et al., 2020), and
probe the relationship between CCMs and host physiology (Mangan et al., 2016; Price and
Badger, 1989b).

289
Our approach to studying CCMs by reconstitution in tractable non-native hosts can be applied to
other CCMs, including β-carboxysome CCMs, the algal pyrenoid, and plausible evolutionary
ancestors thereof (Flamholz and Shih, 2020). Historical trends in atmospheric CO_2 likely promoted
the evolution of CCMs (Fischer et al., 2016; Flamholz and Shih, 2020), so testing the growth of
plausible ancestors of bacterial CCMs (e.g. carboxysomes lacking carbonic anhydrase activity)
may provide insight into paths of CCM evolution and the composition of the ancient atmosphere
at the time bacterial CCMs arose. In response to these same pressures, diverse eukaryotic algae
evolved CCMs relying on micron-sized rubisco aggregates called the pyrenoids (Flamholz and
Shih, 2020; Wang and Jonikas, 2020). Pyrenoid CCMs are collectively responsible for perhaps
80% of oceanic photosynthesis (Mackinder et al., 2016), yet many fundamental questions remain
regarding the composition and operation of algal CCMs (Wang and Jonikas, 2020). Functional
reconstitution of a pyrenoid CCM is a worthy goal which, once achieved, will indicate enormous
progress in our collective understanding of the genetics, cell biology, biochemistry and physical
processes supporting the eukaryotic complement of oceanic photosynthesis. We hope such
studies will further our principled understanding of, and capacity to engineer, the cell biology
supporting CO_2 fixation in diverse organisms.
306
307

Materials and Methods

Computational design of rubisco-dependent strains

To computationally design mutant strains in which growth is coupled to rubisco carboxylation flux, we used a variant of Flux Balance Analysis (Lewis et al., 2012) called "optslope" (Antonovsky et al., 2016). Starting from a published model of *E. coli* central metabolism, the Core *Escherichia coli* Metabolic Model (Orth et al., 2010), we computationally generated all pairs of central metabolic knockouts and ignored those strains that permit growth *in silico* in the absence of rubisco and phosphoribulokinase (Prk) activities. For those knockout strains predicted to grow only when rubisco and Prk are active, we evaluated the degree of coupling between rubisco flux and biomass production during growth in nine carbon sources: glucose, fructose, gluconate, ribose, succinate, xylose, glycerate, acetate and glycerol. This approach highlighted several candidate rubisco-dependent knockout strains, including Δ*rpiAB* (Figure S1). The optslope algorithm is outlined in Figure S1 and described fully in (Antonovsky et al., 2016) and source code is available at https://gitlab.com/elad.noor/optslope.

Strains and genomic modifications

Strains used in this study are documented in Table S1. Δ*rpiAB* has the genotype Δ*rpiAB* Δ*edd* and was constructed in the *E. coli* BW25113 background by repeated rounds of P1 transduction from the KEIO collection followed by pCP20 curing of the kanamaycin selection marker (Baba et al., 2006; Datsenko and Wanner, 2000). Deletion of *edd* removes the Entner-Doudoroff pathway (Peekhaus and Conway, 1998), which forces rubisco-dependent metabolism of gluconate (Figure S2A). CCMB1 has the genotype BW25113 Δ*rpiAB* Δ*edd* Δc*ynT* Δ*can* and was constructed from Δ*rpiAB* by deleting both native carbonic anhydrases using the same methods, first transducing the KEIO Δ*cynT* and then Δ*can* from EDCM636 (Merlin and Masters, 2003), which was obtained from the Yale Coli Genetic Stock Center. Transformation was performed by electroporation (ECM 630, Harvard Biosciences) and electrocompetent stocks were prepared using standard protocols. Strain genotypes were verified by PCR, as described below.

Recombinant expression of CCM components

pFE21 are pFA31 are compatible vectors derived from pZE21 and pZA31 (Lutz and Bujard, 1997). These vectors use an anhydrotetracycline (aTc) inducible $P_{LtetO-1}$ promoter to regulate gene expression. pF plasmids were modified from parent vectors to constitutively express the tet repressor (TetR) under the P_{bla} promoter so that expression is repressed by default (Liang et al., 1999). We found that an inducible system aids in cloning problematic genes like *prk* (Wilson et al., 2018). We refer to these vectors as pFE and pFA respectively for short. The pCB plasmid is properly called pFE-CB, while pCCM is pFA-CCM. Cloning was performed by Gibson and Golden-Gate approaches as appropriate. Large plasmids (e.g. pCB, pCCM) were verified by Illumina resequencing (Harvard MGH DNA Core plasmid sequencing service) and maps were updated manually after reviewing results compiled by breseq resequencing software (Deatherage and

345 Barrick, 2014). Plasmids used in this study are described in Table S2 and available on Addgene
346 at https://www.addgene.org/David_Savage/.

Growth conditions

Unless otherwise noted, cells were grown on M9 minimal media supplemented with 0.4% w/v
glycerol, 0.5 ppm thiamin (10^4 dilution of 0.5% w/v stock) and a trace element mix. The trace
element mix components and their final concentrations in M9 media are: 50 mg/L EDTA, 31 mM
$FeCl_3$, 6.2 mM $ZnCl_2$, 0.76 mM $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, 0.42 mM $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 1.62 mM H_3BO_3, 81 nM
$MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$. 100 nM anhydrotetracycline (aTc) was used in induced cultures. For routine cloning,
25 mg/L chloramphenicol and 60 mg/L kanamycin selection were used as appropriate. Antibiotics
were reduced to half concentration (12.5 and 30 mg/L, respectively) for CCMB1 growth
experiments and kanamycin was omitted when evaluating rubisco-dependence of growth as pF
plasmids carrying kanamycin resistance also express rubisco. Culture densities were measured
at 600 nm in a table top spectrophotometer (Genesys 20, Thermo Scientific) and turbid cultures
were measured in five or tenfold dilution as appropriate in order to reach the linear regime of the
spectrophotometer.
360
Agar plates were incubated at 37 °C in defined CO_2 pressures in a CO_2 controlled incubator (S41i,
New Brunswick). For experiments in which a frozen bacterial stock was used to inoculate the
culture, cells were first streaked on agar plates and incubated at 10% CO_2 to facilitate fast growth.
Pre-cultures derived from colonies were grown in 2-5 mL liquid M9 glycerol media under 10%
CO_2 with a matching 1 mL control in ambient air. Negative control strains unable to grow in
minimal media (i.e. active site mutants of rubisco) were streaked on and pre-cultured in LB media
under 10% CO_2.
368
Growth curves were obtained using two complementary methods: an 8-chamber bioreactor for
large-volume cultivation (MC1000, PSI), and 96-well plates in a gas controlled plate reader plate
(Spark, Tecan). For the 96-well format, cells were pre-cultured in the appropriate permissive
media, M9 glycerol under 10% CO_2 where possible. If rich media was used, e.g. for negative
controls, stationary phase cells were washed in 2x the culture volume and resuspended in 1x
culture volume of M9 with no carbon source. Cultures were diluted to an OD of 1.0 (600 nm) and
250 μl cultures were inoculated by adding 5 μl of cells to 245 μl media. A humidity cassette
(Tecan) was refilled daily with distilled water to mitigate evaporation during multi-day cultivation
at 37 °C. Evaporation nonetheless produced irregular growth curves (e.g. Figure S3), which
motivated larger volume cultivation in the bioreactor, which mixes by bubbling ambient air into
each growth vessel. 80 ml bioreactor cultures were inoculated to a starting OD of 0.005 (600 nm)
and grown at 37 °C to saturation. Optical density was monitored continuously at 680 nm.
381
382 Anaerobic cultivation of agar plates was accomplished using a BBL GasPak 150 jar (BD) flushed
383 6 times with an anoxic mix of 10% CO_2 and 90% N_2. Tenfold titers were plated on M9 glycerol
384 media with and without 20 mM $NaNO_3$ supplementation. Because *E. coli* cannot ferment glycerol,
385 NO_3^- was supplied as an alternative electron acceptor. Plates without NO_3^- showed no growth
386 (Figure S4), confirming the presence of an anaerobic atmosphere in the GasPak.

Phenotyping and genotyping of strains

As CCMB1 is a relatively slow-growing knockout strain, we occasionally observed contaminants in growth experiments. We used two strategies to detect contamination by faster-growing organisms (e.g. wild-type *E. coli*). As most strains grew poorly or not at all in ambient air, pre-cultures grown in 10% CO_2 were accompanied by a matching 1 mL negative control in ambient air. Pre-cultures showing growth in the negative control were discarded or verified by PCR genotyping in cases where air-growth was plausible.

PCR genotyping was performed using primer sets documented in Table S3. Three primer pairs were used to probe a control locus (*zwf*) and two target loci (*cynT* and *rpiA*). The *zwf* locus is intact in all strains. *cynT* and *rpiA* probes test for the presence of the CCMB1 strain (genotype BW25113 Δ*rpiAB* Δ*edd* Δ*cynT* Δ*can*). Notably, the CAfree strain (BW25113 Δ*cynT* Δ*can*) that we previously used to test the activity of DAB-type transporters (Desmarais et al., 2019) is a *cynT* knockout but has a wild-type *rpiA* locus, so this primer set can distinguish between wild-type, CAfree and CCMB1. This was useful for some experiments where CAfree was used as a control (e.g. Figures S7-8). Pooled colony PCRs were performed using Q5 polymerase (NEB), annealing at 65 °C and with a 50 second extension time.

Selections for growth in novel conditions

CCMB1 cultures carrying appropriate plasmids were first grown to saturation in rich LB media in a 10% CO_2 incubator. Stationary phase cultures were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 4,000 x g, washed in 2x the culture volume, and resuspended in 1x culture volume of M9 media with no carbon source. After resuspension, multiple dilutions were plated on selective media (e.g. M9 glycerol media) and incubated in the desired conditions (e.g. in ambient air) with a positive control in 10% CO_2 on appropriate media. In later experiments, matching tenfold titers were plated in permissive conditions (e.g. in 10% CO_2) to estimate the number of viable cells. When colonies formed in restrictive conditions, they were picked into permissive media, grown to saturation, washed and tested for re-growth in restrictive conditions by titer plating or streaking. Plasmid DNA was isolated from verified colonies and transformed into naive CCMB1 cells to test whether plasmid mutations confer improved growth (i.e. in the absence of genomic mutations). This process is diagrammed in Figure S5B.

Phenotyping of matched cultures in 10% CO_2 and ambient air

To interrogate the phenotypic effects of mutations to the CCM, we tested the growth of matched biological replicate cultures of CCM mutants (e.g. disruption of carboxysome components or transporter function) in M9 glycerol medium in 10% CO_2 and ambient air (Figure S7). For these experiments, individual colonies were picked into a round-bottom tube with 4 mL of M9 glycerol media with full strength antibiotic and 100 nM aTc. 1 mL of culture was then transferred to a second tube. The 3 mL pre-culture was incubated in 10% CO_2, while the 1 mL culture was incubated in ambient air as a negative control. Control strains unable to grow in minimal media (e.g. those expressing inactive rubisco mutants) were pre-cultured in LB media. High-CO_2 pre-

426   cultures were grown to saturation, after which optical density (OD600) was measured in five-fold
427   dilution.
428
Experimental cultures were inoculated with pre-culture to a starting OD600 of 0.01 in 3 mL of M9
glycerol media with 12.5 mg/L chloramphenicol and 100 nM aTc. Each pre-culture was used to
inoculate a matched pair of experimental cultures, one incubated in 10% CO_2 and another in
ambient air, as diagrammed in Figure S7B. After a defined period of growth (4 days in Figure S7A
and 12 days in Figure S7C) all culture densities were measured at 600 nm. All experiments were
performed in biological quadruplicate, i.e. using four independent pre-cultures deriving from
distinct colonies to inoculate four pairs of matched cultures. A positive control was included in all
experiments to test the media composition. We used a complemented double carbonic anhydrase
knockout (CAfree:pFE-sfGFP+pFA-HCAII) for this purpose as its growth in air depends on the
expression of the human carbonic anhydrase II from the pFA-HCAII plasmid (Desmarais et al.,
2019).

Electron microscopy

CCMB1:pCB'+pCCM' was grown in ambient air in 3 ml of M9 glycerol medium and induced with
100 nM aTc. A carboxysome-negative control, CAfree:pFE-sfGFP+pFA-HCAII, was grown in the
same conditions. Sample preparation and sectioning were performed by the University of
California Berkeley Electron Microscope Laboratory. Cell pellets were fixed for 30 min at room
temperature in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer pH 7.4. Fixed cells were stabilized
in 1% very low melting-point agarose and cut into small cubes. Cubed sample was then rinsed
three times at room temperature for 10 min in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4 and then
immersed in 1% osmium tetroxide with 1.6% potassium ferricyanide in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer
for an hour in the dark on a rocker. Samples were later rinsed three times with a cacodylate buffer
and then subjected to an ascending series of acetone for 10 min each (35%, 50%, 75%, 80%,
90%, 100%, 100%). Samples were progressively infiltrated with Epon resin (EMS, Hatfield, PA,
USA) while rocking and later polymerized at 60 °C for 24 hours. 70 nm thin sections were cut
using an Ultracut E (Leica) and collected on 100 mesh formvar coated copper grids. The grids
were further stained for 5 min with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate and 4 min with Reynold's lead
citrate. The sections were imaged using a Tecnai 12 TEM at 120 KV (FEI) and images were
collected using UltraScan 1000 digital micrograph software (Gatan Inc.).

Sample preparation for LC-MS analysis

Protein-bound amino acids were analyzed in total biomass hydrolysate of 80 mL cultures grown
in minimal media with 99% ^{13}C glycerol (Cambridge Isotopes) as the sole organic carbon source.
These cultures were grown in 80 mL volumes in a bioreactor pumping ambient air (MC1000, PSI).
After harvesting biomass, samples were prepared and analyzed as described in (Antonovsky et
al., 2016). Briefly, the OD600 was recorded and 0.6 OD x mL of sample were pelleted by
centrifugation for 15 min at 4,000 x g. The pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of 6 N HCl and
incubated for 24 hours at 110 °C. The acid was subsequently evaporated under a nitrogen stream
using a custom-built gas manifold (Nevins et al., 2005), resulting in a dry hydrolysate. Dry

hydrolysates were resuspended in 0.6 mL of MilliQ water, centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 x g, and
supernatant was analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Hydrolyzed
amino acids were separated using ultra performance liquid chromatography (UPLC, Acquity,
Waters) on a C-8 column (Zorbax Eclipse XBD, Agilent) at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min, and eluted
off the column using a hydrophobicity gradient. Buffers used were: A) H2O + 0.1% formic acid
and B) acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid with the following gradient: 100% of A (0-3 min), 100% A
to 100% B (3-9 min), 100% B (9-13 min), 100% B to 100% A (13-14 min), 100% A (14-20 min).
The UPLC was coupled online to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (TQS, Waters). Data
were acquired using MassLynx v4.1 (Waters). Amino acids and metabolites used for analysis
were selected according to the following criteria: amino acids that had peaks at a distinct retention
time and m/z values for all isotopologues and also showed correct ^{13}C labeling fractions in control
samples that contained protein hydrolyzates of WT cells grown with known ratios of ^{13}C6-glucose
to ^{12}C-glucose.

Isotopic analysis composition of biomolecules

480 The total ^{13}C fraction of each metabolite was determined as the weighted average of the fractions
481 of all the isotopologues for that metabolite:

$$f_{13_C} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} f_i \times i}{N}$$

485 Here N is the number of carbons in the compound (e.g. N = 3 for serine) and f_i is the relative
486 fraction of the i-th isotopologue, i.e containing i ^{13}C carbon atoms. Each metabolite's total ^{12}C
487 fraction was calculated as $f_{12_C} = 1 - f_{13_C}$.

Estimating the effective intracellular ^{12}CO$_2$ fraction

E. coli cells grown in ^{13}C glycerol will simultaneously respire glycerol, producing intracellular
^{13}CO$_2$, and take up extracellular ^{12}CO$_2$ and H^{12}CO$_3^-$. The isotopic composition of the intracellular
inorganic carbon (C$_i$) pool will therefore reflect the balance of uptake and respiration. As rubisco
carboxylation draws from the intracellular CO$_2$ pool, we must estimate the isotopic composition of
the C$_i$ pool to evaluate the contribution of rubisco to metabolism. We used the carbamoyl-
phosphate moiety as a marker for the isotopic distribution of the intracellular C$_i$ pool, as described
in (Gleizer et al., 2019). Briefly, carbamoyl-phosphate is generated by phosphorylation of
bicarbonate, and is condensed with ornithine in the biosynthesis of L-arginine. We compared the
mass isotopologue distribution of L-arginine, which contains one carbon from carbamoyl-
phosphate, with the mass isotopologue distribution of L-glutamate as L-glutamate is an ornithine
precursor.

We estimated the effective ^{13}C labeling of intracellular inorganic carbon ($f_{13_{CO_2},effective}$) as follows:

$$f_{13_{CO_2},effective} = \sum_{i=0}^{6} f_{arg,i} - \sum_{i=0}^{5} f_{glu,i}$$

Here $f_{13_{CO_2},effective}$ is the relative fraction of $^{13}CO_2$ out of the total CO_2 pool (or, more formally,
the C_i), and $f_{arg,i}$ and $f_{glu,i}$ are the fraction of the i-th isotopologue of arginine and glutamate
respectively. We assumed fast equilibration of the intracellular C_i pool because the strains used
in labeling experiments express a carbonic anhydrase. An equivalent equation can be defined for
the arginine-proline comparison (Gleizer et al., 2019), however proline data were of insufficient
quality to use and so we report inferences based on the arginine-glutamate comparison. The
effective intracellular fraction of $^{12}CO_2$ was calculated as $f_{12_{CO_2},effective} = 1 - f_{13_{CO_2},effective}$.

For brevity, we refer to these fractions as $f_{12_{CO_2}}$ and $f_{13_{CO_2}}$, respectively.

Estimating the rubisco carboxylation flux *in vivo*

When CCMB1 cells are grown on glycerol, 3-phosphoglycerate (3PG) can be produced via two
routes: (i) rubisco catalyzed carboxylation of RuBP and (ii) glycolytic metabolism of glycerol via
dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP). We denote these two fluxes as $J_{rubisco}$ and J_{pgk}, respectively,
where pgk (phosphoglycerate kinase) is the glycolytic enzyme producing 3PG. Serine is a direct
metabolic product of 3PG and was therefore assumed to have the same ^{12}C composition as 3PG.
Rubisco carboxylates by drawing CO_2 from the intracellular inorganic carbon pool, whose ^{12}C
composition $f_{12_{CO_2}}$ is inferred as described above.

Based on these assumptions, the ^{12}C composition 3PG (and therefore serine) equals a flux-
weighted sum of contributions from rubisco and pgk. As such, the relative 3PG production flux
that is due to rubisco, $J_{rubisco}/(J_{rubisco}+J_{pgk})$, can be inferred via the following calculation:

525
$$f_{ser,ctrl} = f_{3PG,ctrl} = 0 \times \frac{1}{6}(f_{12_{CO_2}} + 5 \times f_{RuBP,exp}) + 1 \times f_{DHAP,ctrl} = f_{DHAP,ctrl}$$

526
$$f_{ser,exp} = f_{3PG,ctrl} = \frac{J_{rubisco}}{J_{rubisco} + J_{pgk}} \times \frac{1}{6}(f_{12_{CO_2}} + 5 \times f_{RuBP,exp}) + \frac{J_{pgk}}{J_{rubisco} + J_{pgk}} \times f_{DHAP,exp}$$

528   Where the first equation is written for the control and the second for the experimental cells
529   (complemented CCMB1). Here $f_{ser,ctrl}$ and $f_{ser,exp}$ are the ^{12}C composition of serine in the control
530   and experiment respectively. Identical notation is used for RuBP and DHAP. As there are only
531   two routes of 3PG production, the above equations can be simplified to solve for the relative flux
532   through rubisco *in vivo*:

534
$$\frac{J_{pgk}}{J_{rubisco} + J_{pgk}} \equiv 1 - \frac{J_{rubisco}}{J_{rubisco} + J_{pgk}}$$

535
$$\frac{J_{rubisco}}{J_{rubisco} + J_{pgk}} = \frac{f_{ser,exp} - f_{DHAP,exp}}{\frac{1}{6}(f_{12_{CO_2}} + 5 \times f_{RUBP,exp}) - f_{DHAP,exp}}$$

$f_{12_{CO_2}}$ was inferred on a per-sample basis, with the mean values being 25% $\pm$ 4% and 67% $\pm$ 28%
for the control and experiment respectively (Figure S8C). Because glycerol is converted into 3PG

and serine via DHAP in wild-type *E. coli* (Booth, 2005), we expect that $f_{ser,ctrl} = f_{DHAP,ctrl}$, as

derived in the first equation above. LC-MS measurements give $f_{ser,ctrl} = 0.6\% \pm 0.2\%$ and

$f_{ser,ctrl} = 3.5\% \pm 2.2\%$(Figure S8B). Valine is also a metabolic product of DHAP and was found

to have a similar ^{12}C fraction $f_{val,ctrl} = 0.6\% \pm 0.1\%$ in control cells. Since glycerol is immediately

converted to DHAP in *E. coli*, we further assumed that $f_{DHAP,ctrl} = f_{DHAP,exp}$.

RuBP is produced in CCMB1 when rubisco and prk are expressed. Since glycerol is the sole

carbon source and there are no carboxylation reactions between DHAP and RuBP in CCMB1, we

assumed $f_{RuBP,exp} = f_{DHAP,ctrl}$. This assumption is supported by LC-MS measurements of

histidine in control cells. Like RuBP, histidine is synthesized from a pentose-phosphate pathway

intermediates (Winkler and Ramos-Montañez, 2009), and measured $f_{his,ctrl} = 0.7\% \pm 0.1\%$,

which is very similar to $f_{ser,ctrl} = 0.6\% \pm 0.3\%$. Using mean values to illustrate the calculation

gives $\frac{J_{rubisco}}{J_{rubisco}+J_{pgk}} = \frac{3.5\%-0.6\%}{\frac{1}{6}(67\%+5\times0.6\%)-0.6\%} = 0.26$, implying that 26% of 3PG production is due to

rubisco.

10^5 random samples were drawn from the experimentally determined parameter ranges to

estimate a 99% confidence interval on the rubisco flux fraction. As the ^{12}C composition of

inorganic carbon ($f_{12_{CO_2}}$) and serine are mechanistically linked via rubisco, these values were

assumed to co-vary. Distributions were estimated on a per-sample basis by assuming 0.1% error

in direct measurement of serine and 1% error in the inference of $f_{12_{CO_2}}$. These calculations gave

a median flux estimate of 19% with 99% of values falling between 4.0% and 47.3%. The sample

with the lowest inferred rubisco flux had a median estimate of 10.2% with 99% of values falling

between 2.5% and 17.9%, implying that rubisco is responsible for a nonzero fraction of 3PG

production in all samples. Applying a wider error range of 5% to $f_{12_{CO_2}}$ did not qualitatively change

results, giving an overall median flux fraction of 19.1% and a 99% confidence interval 3.9-50.7%.

This and above calculations can be found in the following Jupyter notebook:

https://github.com/flamholz/carboxecoli/blob/master/notebooks/00_LCMS_calcs.ipynb.

Predicting rubisco carboxylation flux via Flux Balance Analysis

A stoichiometric model of complemented CCMB1 was generated from the Core *Escherichia coli*

Metabolic Model (Orth et al., 2010) by adding rubisco and prk and then deleting the rpi and edd

reactions. Parsimonious Flux Balance Analysis (pFBA) was applied to the resulting model to

calculate intracellular metabolic metabolic fluxes that maximize the rate of biomass production.

As many distinct flux distributions can yield the same (maximal) rate of biomass production, pFBA

uses the minimum sum of fluxes objective to define a unique flux solution (Holzhütter, 2004). The

COBRApy implementation of pFBA introduces an additional free parameter, the permissible

fraction of the maximal biomass production rate *f*$_{opt}$ (Ebrahim et al., 2013). When *f*$_{opt}$ < 1.0, the

biomass production can be less-than-optimal if this would further decrease the sum of fluxes.

pFBA was run with *f*$_{opt}$ ranging from 0.8 to 1.0 in increments of 0.01 to account for the fact that

CCMB1 has not undergone selection to maximize biomass production with rubisco expressed.

For each resulting flux distribution the fraction of 3PG production flux due to rubisco was
calculated as the fraction of 3PG molecules produced via rubisco carboxylation divided by the
total flux to 3PG. These calculations predict that 19.5%-21.5% of 3PG production is due to
rubisco. The model was rerun after removing all possibility for product secretion by deleting all
carbon-containing exchange reactions other than glycerol and CO_2 exchange. This modification
should give an upper bound on the fraction of 3PG production due to rubisco, as carbon cannot
be shunted away from biomass production to overflow products. The "no overflow" model
predicted that 23.9% of 3PG production is due to rubisco independent of f_{opt}. The range of
predictions from 19.5-23.9% is plotted Figure S8D. All calculations were done using Python and
COBRApy (Ebrahim et al., 2013), and can be found in this Jupyter notebook:
https://github.com/flamholz/carboxecoli/blob/master/notebooks/01_FBA_rubisco_flux_prediction
.ipynb.
591
592

Acknowledgements: We thank Matt Davis for P1 transduction materials and advice, Hernan
Garcia and Han Lim for supplying pZ plasmids, Maggie Stoeva, Anna Engelbrektson, Anchal
Mehra, Sophia Ewens and Tyler Barnum for help with anaerobic growth, Reena Zalpuri and
Danielle Jorgens at the University of California Berkeley Electron Microscope Laboratory for
advice and assistance with electron microscopy, and Rob Egbert and Adam Arkin for KEIO
strains. We are grateful to Eric Estrin, Woody Fischer, Darcy McRose, Dipti Nayak, Sabeeha
Merchant, Luke Oltrogge, and Naiya Phillips, who gave detailed comments on the manuscript,
and to Dan Arlow, Yinon Bar-On, Dan Davidi, Jack Desmarais, Hernan Garcia, Oliver Mueller-
Cajar, Rob Nichols, Kris Niyogi, Dan Portnoy, Morgan Price, Noam Prywes, Jeremy Roop, Rachel
Shipps, Patrick Shih, and Dan Tawfik, for support, advice and helpful discussions throughout.

Funding: This work was supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research
Fellowship (to A.I.F.), grants from the US Department of Energy (no. DE-SC00016240) and Royal
Dutch Shell (Energy Biosciences Institute project CW163755) to D.F.S., and from the European
Research Council (Project NOVCARBFIX 646827) to R.M. R.M. is the Charles and Louise
Gartner Professional Chair.

Author contributions: A.I.F. conceived of and designed all experiments with mentorship from
R.M. and D.F.S. and support from all authors. S.A., N.A., E.N., A.B-E. and R.M. designed and
constructed the Δ*rpiAB* strain from which A.I.F., E.J.D, and S.R. constructed CCMB1. A.I.F.,
E.J.D, and S.R. designed and constructed all other strains and plasmids. A.I.F and E.J.D.
performed growth and selection experiments. C.B. performed electron microscopy. S.G. and R.B-
N. performed LC-MS analysis on biomass hydrolysate prepared by A.I.F. and E.J.D. A.I.F., S.G.,
R.B-N., and E.N. analysed isotopic labeling data. A.I.F and E.N. designed and executed Flux
Balance Analysis. A.I.F. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Competing interests: D.F.S. is a co-founder of Scribe Therapeutics and a scientific advisory
board member of Scribe Therapeutics and Mammoth Biosciences. A.B.-E. is co-founder of b.fab.
These companies were not involved in this research in any way. All other authors declare no
competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data associated with this work is available in the main text,
supplementary materials and the repository at https://github.com/flamholz/carboxecoli. Plasmids
available on Addgene at https://www.addgene.org/David_Savage/, strains distributed on request.

References

Aigner H, Wilson RH, Bracher A, Calisse L, Bhat JY, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. 2017. Plant RuBisCo assembly in E. coli with five chloroplast chaperones including BSD2. *Science* **358**:1272–1278.

Antonovsky N, Gleizer S, Noor E, Zohar Y, Herz E, Barenholz U, Zelcbuch L, Amram S, Wides A, Tepper N, Davidi D, Bar-On Y, Bareia T, Wernick DG, Shani I, Malitsky S, Jona G, Bar-Even A, Milo R. 2016. Sugar Synthesis from CO2 in Escherichia coli. *Cell* **166**:115–125.

Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko K a., Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol Syst Biol* **2**:2006.0008.

Bar-On YM, Milo R. 2019. The global mass and average rate of rubisco. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**:4738–4743.

Bonacci W, Teng PK, Afonso B, Niederholtmeyer H, Grob P, Silver P a., Savage DF. 2012. Modularity of a carbon-fixing protein organelle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**:478–483.

Booth IR. 2005. Glycerol and Methylglyoxal Metabolism. *EcoSal Plus* **1**:1–8.

Bowes G, Ogren WL. 1972. Oxygen inhibition and other properties of soybean ribulose 1,5-diphosphate carboxylase. *J Biol Chem* **247**:2171–2176.

Cai F, Menon BB, Cannon GC, Curry KJ, Shively JM, Heinhorst S. 2009. The pentameric vertex proteins are necessary for the icosahedral carboxysome shell to function as a CO2 leakage barrier. *PLoS One* **4**:e7521.

Claassens NJ, Sousa DZ, Dos Santos VAPM, de Vos WM, van der Oost J. 2016. Harnessing the power of microbial autotrophy. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **14**:692–706.

Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**:6640–6645.

Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. 2014. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods Mol Biol* **1151**:165–188.

Desmarais JJ, Flamholz AI, Blikstad C, Dugan EJ, Laughlin TG, Oltrogge LM, Chen AW, Wetmore K, Diamond S, Wang JY, Savage DF. 2019. DABs are inorganic carbon pumps found throughout prokaryotic phyla. *Nat Microbiol* **4**:2204–2215.

Du J, Förster B, Rourke L, Howitt SM, Price GD. 2014. Characterisation of Cyanobacterial Bicarbonate Transporters in E. coli Shows that SbtA Homologs Are Functional in This Heterologous Expression System. *PLoS One* **9**:e115905.

Ebrahim A, Lerman JA, Palsson BO, Hyduke DR. 2013. COBRApy: COnstraints-Based Reconstruction and Analysis for Python. *BMC Syst Biol* **7**:74.

Ermakova M, Danila FR, Furbank RT, von Caemmerer S. 2020. On the road to C4 rice: advances and perspectives. *Plant J* **101**:940–950.

Fischer WW, Hemp J, Johnson JE. 2016. Evolution of Oxygenic Photosynthesis. *Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci* **44**:647–683.

Flamholz AI, Prywes N, Moran U, Davidi D, Bar-On YM, Oltrogge LM, Alves R, Savage D, Milo R. 2019. Revisiting Trade-offs between Rubisco Kinetic Parameters. *Biochemistry* **58**:3365–3376.

Flamholz A, Shih PM. 2020. Cell biology of photosynthesis over geologic time. *Curr Biol* **30**:R490–R494.

Gleizer S, Ben-Nissan R, Bar-On YM, Antonovsky N, Noor E, Zohar Y, Jona G, Krieger E, Shamshoum M, Bar-Even A, Milo R. 2019. Conversion of Escherichia coli to Generate All Biomass Carbon from CO2. *Cell* **179**:1255–1263.e12.

Holzhütter H-G. 2004. The principle of flux minimization and its application to estimate stationary fluxes in metabolic networks. *Eur J Biochem* **271**:2905–2922.

Kerfeld CA, Melnicki MR. 2016. Assembly, function and evolution of cyanobacterial

carboxysomes. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **31**:66–75.

Lewis NE, Nagarajan H, Palsson BO. 2012. Constraining the metabolic genotype–phenotype
relationship using a phylogeny of in silico methods. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**:291–305.

Liang S, Bipatnath M, Xu Y, Chen S, Dennis P, Ehrenberg M, Bremer H. 1999. Activities of
constitutive promoters in Escherichia coli. *J Mol Biol* **292**:19–37.

Lin MT, Occhialini A, Andralojc PJ, Parry MAJ, Hanson MR. 2014. A faster Rubisco with
potential to increase photosynthesis in crops. *Nature* **513**:547–550.

Long BM, Hee WY, Sharwood RE, Rae BD, Kaines S, Lim Y-L, Nguyen ND, Massey B, Bala S,
von Caemmerer S, Badger MR, Price GD. 2018. Carboxysome encapsulation of the CO_2-
fixing enzyme Rubisco in tobacco chloroplasts. *Nat Commun* **9**:3570.

Long BM, Rae BD, Rolland V, Förster B, Price GD. 2016. Cyanobacterial CO_2-concentrating
mechanism components: function and prospects for plant metabolic engineering. *Curr Opin*
Plant Biol **31**:1–8.

Lutz R, Bujard H. 1997. Independent and tight regulation of transcriptional units in Escherichia
coli via the LacR/O, the TetR/O and AraC/I1-I2 regulatory elements. *Nucleic Acids Res*
25:1203–1210.

Mackinder LCM, Meyer MT, Mettler-Altmann T, Chen VK, Mitchell MC, Caspari O, Freeman
Rosenzweig ES, Pallesen L, Reeves G, Itakura A, Roth R, Sommer F, Geimer S, Mühlhaus
T, Schroda M, Goodenough U, Stitt M, Griffiths H, Jonikas MC. 2016. A repeat protein links
Rubisco to form the eukaryotic carbon-concentrating organelle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
113:5958–5963.

Mangan NM, Flamholz A, Hood RD, Milo R, Savage DF. 2016. pH determines the energetic
efficiency of the cyanobacterial CO_2 concentrating mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
113:E5354–62.

Marcus Y, Schwarz R, Friedberg D, Kaplan A. 1986. High CO_2 Requiring Mutant of Anacystis
nidulans R2. *Plant Physiol* **82**:610–612.

McGrath JM, Long SP. 2014. Can the cyanobacterial carbon-concentrating mechanism increase
photosynthesis in crop species? A theoretical analysis. *Plant Physiol* **164**:2247–2261.

Merlin C, Masters M. 2003. Why is carbonic anhydrase essential to Escherichia coli? *J Bacteriol*
185. doi:10.1128/JB.185.21.6415

Mueller-Cajar O. 2017. The Diverse AAA+ Machines that Repair Inhibited Rubisco Active Sites.
Front Mol Biosci **4**:31.

Nevins CP, Vierck JL, Bogachus LD, Velotta NS, Castro-Munozledo F, Dodson MV. 2005. An
Inexpensive Method for Applying Nitrogen Evaporation to Hexane-containing 24- or 96-well
Plates. *Cytotechnology* **49**:71–75.

Occhialini A, Lin MT, Andralojc PJ, Hanson MR, Parry MAJ. 2016. Transgenic tobacco plants
with improved cyanobacterial Rubisco expression but no extra assembly factors grow at
near wild-type rates if provided with elevated CO_2. *Plant J* **85**:148–160.

Oltrogge LM, Chaijarasphong T, Chen AW, Bolin ER, Marqusee S, Savage DF. 2020.
Multivalent interactions between CsoS2 and Rubisco mediate α-carboxysome formation.
Nat Struct Mol Biol **27**:281–287.

Orr DJ, Worrall D, Lin MT, Carmo-Silva E, Hanson MR, Parry MAJ. 2020. Hybrid
Cyanobacterial-Tobacco Rubisco Supports Autotrophic Growth and Procarboxysomal
Aggregation. *Plant Physiol* **182**:807–818.

Orth JD, Fleming RMT, Palsson BØ. 2010. Reconstruction and Use of Microbial Metabolic
Networks: the Core Escherichia coli Metabolic Model as an Educational Guide. *EcoSal Plus*
4:1–47.

Peekhaus N, Conway T. 1998. What's for dinner?: Entner-Doudoroff metabolism in Escherichia
coli. *J Bacteriol* **180**:3495.

Price GD, Badger MR. 1989a. Isolation and characterization of high CO_2-requiring-mutants of
the cyanobacterium Synechococcus PCC7942: two phenotypes that accumulate inorganic

carbon but are apparently unable to generate CO2 within the carboxysome. *Plant Physiol*
91:514–525.
Price GD, Badger MR. 1989b. Expression of Human Carbonic Anhydrase in the
Cyanobacterium Synechococcus PCC7942 Creates a High CO2-Requiring Phenotype
Evidence for a Central Role for Carboxysomes in the CO2 Concentrating Mechanism. *Plant*
Physiol **91**:505–513.
Rae BD, Long BM, Badger MR, Price GD. 2013. Functions, compositions, and evolution of the
two types of carboxysomes: polyhedral microcompartments that facilitate CO2 fixation in
cyanobacteria and some proteobacteria. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **77**:357–379.
Raven JA, Beardall J, Sánchez-Baracaldo P. 2017. The possible evolution and future of CO2-
concentrating mechanisms. *J Exp Bot* **68**:3701–3716.
Scott KM, Leonard JM, Boden R, Chaput D, Dennison C, Haller E, Harmer TL, Anderson A,
Arnold T, Budenstein S, Brown R, Brand J, Byers J, Calarco J, Campbell T, Carter E,
Chase M, Cole M, Dwyer D, Grasham J, Hanni C, Hazle A, Johnson C, Johnson R, Kirby B,
Lewis K, Neumann B, Nguyen T, Nino Charari J, Morakinyo O, Olsson B, Roundtree S,
Skjerve E, Ubaldini A, Whittaker R. 2019. Diversity in CO2-Concentrating Mechanisms
among Chemolithoautotrophs from the Genera Hydrogenovibrio, Thiomicrorhabdus, and
Thiomicrospira, Ubiquitous in Sulfidic Habitats Worldwide. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **85**:1–19.
Shih PM, Occhialini A, Cameron JC, Andralojc PJ, Parry MAJ, Kerfeld CA. 2016. Biochemical
characterization of predicted Precambrian RuBisCO. *Nat Commun* **7**:10382.
Wang L, Jonikas MC. 2020. The pyrenoid. *Curr Biol* **30**:R456–R458.
Wheatley NM, Sundberg CD, Gidaniyan SD, Cascio D, Yeates TO. 2014. Structure and
identification of a pterin dehydratase-like protein as a ribulose-bisphosphate
carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) assembly factor in the α-carboxysome. *J Biol Chem*
289:7973–7981.
Wildman SG. 2002. Along the trail from Fraction I protein to Rubisco (ribulose bisphosphate
carboxylase-oxygenase). *Photosynth Res* **73**:243–250.
Wilson RH, Martin-Avila E, Conlan C, Whitney SM. 2018. An improved Escherichia coli screen
for Rubisco identifies a protein-protein interface that can enhance CO2-fixation kinetics. *J*
Biol Chem **293**:18–27.
Winkler ME, Ramos-Montañez S. 2009. Biosynthesis of Histidine. *EcoSal Plus* **3**:1–33.
Wu A, Hammer GL, Doherty A, von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD. 2019. Quantifying impacts of
enhancing photosynthesis on crop yield. *Nat Plants* **5**:380–388.

767