Exploring the Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease Bacterial Pathobiome

RUNNING TITLE: SCTLD Bacterial Pathobiome

Iwanowicz, D.D.[1], W.B. Schill[1], C. M. Woodley[2,] A. Bruckner[3], K. Neely[4], and K.M. Briggs[1]

[1]U.S. Geological Survey, National Fish Health Research Laboratory, 11649 Leetown Road, Kearneysville, WV 25430

[2]National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, 331 Fort Johnson Rd., Charleston, SC 29412

[3]National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary, 33 East Quay Road, Key West, FL 33040

[4]NOVA Southeastern University
3301 College Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33314

*Corresponding Author: Address correspondence to William B. Schill, wschill@usgs.gov

KEY WORDS: microbial community, SCTLD, coral, MiSeq, 16S rRNA

ABSTRACT A devastating novel coral disease outbreak, referred to as Stony Coral Tissue Loss

Disease (SCTLD), was first described in 2014. It is thought to have originated offshore of Miami-

Dade County, FL, but has persisted and spread, affecting new reefs along the Florida Reef Tract

and reefs of at least 8 other Caribbean jurisdictions. We investigated the microbial communities

of clinically normal and diseased specimens of five species of affected corals using targeted 16S

ribosomal DNA sequencing (Illumina MiSeq). Fifty-nine bacterial sequences were identified

using contrast analysis that had enriched abundance in diseased coral host microbiomes

relative to the microbiomes of clinically normal hosts. Several sequences from known bacterial

pathogens were identified in this group. Additionally, we identified fifty-three bacterial species

that had differentially elevated numbers in clinically normal coral host samples relative to

samples from diseased host corals. The bacterial consortia composing the clinically normal and

diseased coral microbiomes were clearly distinct taxonomically. Predicted functional profiles

based on taxonomy, however, were found to be quite similar. This indicates a high level of

functional redundancy among diseased and clinically normal microbiome members. Further

examination of the direct sequencing data revealed that while some bacteria were differentially

distributed according to disease status, others were not. Fifty-one bacterial species were found

in both diseased and clinically normal coral host samples and not differentially abundant in

either disease state. These still may be important in explaining the presentation of disease.

IMPORTANCE Determining causation is a management top priority to guide control and

intervention strategies for the SCTLD outbreak. Towards this goal we examined bacterial taxa

that were differentially elevated in numbers in diseased corals as compared to clinically normal

corals at Looe Key, FL in August 2018. Many of the bacterial species we detected are known to

be pathogenic to humans, animals, and (or) plants, and some of these have been found

associated with diseased corals in other studies. Microbes that were present (or conspicuous by

their absence) in both diseased as well as clinically normal corals were also examined because

"healthy" corals from a diseased location such as Looe Key may have been exposed but may not

have been showing overt disease at the time of sampling. Although untangling of causation is

not possible currently, certain bacterial cliques and excess nutrients appear to be potential risk

factors in SCTLD pathology.

Stony coral tissue loss disease (SCTLD) is a newly emerged lethal disease that has been

affecting scleractinian corals along the Florida Reef Tract (FRT) since 2014. With white plague-

like signs (https://nmsfloridakeys.blob.core.windows.net/floridakeys-

prod/media/docs/20181002-stony-coral-tissue-loss-disease-case-definition.pdf), this disease

outbreak was first recorded at Virginia Key near Miami (1, 2). By the winter of 2015, the disease

had spread to the northern area of the Upper Keys within the Florida Keys National Marine

Sanctuary. In 2016 and 2017 the disease spread north through Martin County and south

through the Upper Keys (2). Between 2014-2015, SCTLD was found by W. F. Precht et al. (1) to

spread at a rate of 2.5-5 km per month, but this rate of disease advancement apparently

increased to 8-22 km per month in 2017-2018 as noted by K. Neely (3). In 2018, researchers

were observing corals infected with SCTLD in the Middle Keys, and by December of 2019 corals

infected by SCTLD were found well south of Key West and into the Marquesas Keys. Though

originating in Florida, signs of SCTLD were recognized in Jamaica in 2017 and the disease now

has been reportedly observed or suspected in the Mexican Caribbean, St. Maarten, the U.S.

Virgin Islands, the Dominican Republic, the Turks and Caicos, Belize, the Netherlands Antilles,

Puerto Rico, and the Bahamas (2).

SCTLD has been found to affect more than 20 of the 45 Caribbean reef-building coral

species (stony corals), does not seem to be self-limiting as in the case of some other diseases,

and does not seem to slow in cooler seasons (2). The affected species include four of seven

Caribbean species that are listed as threatened (Federal Register 2006, 2014) under the

Endangered Species Act of 1973 and have been further categorized as either highly susceptible,

intermediately susceptible, presumed susceptible, and low susceptible species. The disease

causes rapid mortality among affected coral species, with a high rate of transmission and it has

affected a large geographic range over the more than six years it has been spreading (2). It is

interesting to note that neither *Acropora palmata* nor *A. cervicornis* show susceptibility to

SCTLD. As most reported coral diseases have low prevalence (<5%) and are not considered

contagious (1), this multi-year outbreak is somewhat of a unique phenomenon. The gross

morphological characteristics of the disease can vary among sites and species. Tissue loss often

appears basally, peripherally or both on a colony and spreads upward leaving intact white

skeleton (indicative of the rapid tissue loss) before algal colonization follows within 3-7 days.

Tissue loss lesions can also present as patches within intact tissue that increase in size, often

fusing into larger denuded areas. Histologically, the lesions appear first along the basal body

wall then progress upward to envelop the rest of the polyp (J. H. Landsberg, unpublished data;

https://nmsfloridakeys.blob.core.windows.net/floridakeys-prod/media/docs/20181002-stony-

coral-tissue-loss-disease-case-definition.pdf).

Causative agents for coral reef declines appear to be diverse and complex. Risk factors

include water pollution, habitat destruction, overfishing, invasive species, global climate

change, and coral diseases (4, 5). This outbreak has precipitated increased monitoring and

research efforts on SCTLD including its etiology, and transmission dynamics, along with

intervention actions to halt or minimize impacts. Interventions including treatment of diseased

colonies with specific antibiotics have been shown to retard or stop the tissue loss suggesting

bacterial involvement in the disease etiology (6-11). The similar disease presentation and

response to treatment across many coral species suggests that the same causative agent(s) are

at work with limited variation among coral hosts.

Metagenomic sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene has been widely used to study the

bacterial composition of multiple and varied sample types, most notably perhaps, the human

microbiome (12-15). Increasingly however, metagenomic studies have been made on an ever

broadening array of environmental microbial consortia including those associated with plants,

animals, water, and sediment (16-19) as well as those associated with corals (20-25).

The aim of this study was to identify possible causative agents for SCTLD that have been

affecting the Florida Reef Tract since 2014. We report here the bacterial consortia associated

with five SCTLD-susceptible coral species (FIG 1) collected from Looe Key, FL in 2018 during an

active outbreak. Direct, high throughput 16S amplicon sequencing (Illumina MiSeq) was used to

determine if or how microbial communities differ between clinically normal and diseased

corals.

RESULTS

We performed 16S sequencing of the bacterial consortia associated with diseased and

clinically normal specimens of five important species of Caribbean stony corals affected by

SCTLD to identify potential causative agents. A total of 10,081,496 sequence reads passed

quality filtering with 6,410,207 of these classified by the One Codex platform at the species

level and 1,546 total bacterial species were identified in the diseased coral samples. Bacterial

species detected in the clinically normal coral samples totaled 1,981. After trimming

uninformative species classifications with the lowest read assignments (lower 0.25%), 1,119

and 1,407 species classifications remained from the diseased and clinically normal samples,

respectively. Remaining sequence assignments for all libraries numbered minimally 96 to 1,961

depending on the host sample (Table 2). Thus, the classifications retained for further analyses

were those that were observed a reasonable number of times.

Diversity analyses. We examined the distribution of genetic diversity within samples

(alpha diversity) as well as among disease states (beta diversity). Alpha diversity measures

(Simpson; FIG 2) were somewhat variable for some species and (or) disease state replicates, but

these variations were not statistically significant among the coral host microbiomes that were

tested regardless of host species and (or) disease status. The Mann-Whitney test yielded a p-

value of 0.84211 for the comparison of diseased versus clinically normal alpha diversity values,

and evaluation of differences in alpha diversity among coral species sampled using Kruskal-

Wallis testing yielded a p-value of 0.50768. In contrast, beta diversity analysis of Jenson-

Shannon divergence measures using ANOSIM demonstrated that the microbes associated with

the diseased and clinically normal states were of quite different composition (FIG 3).

Distribution of bacterial taxa across coral species and according to disease state. The

distribution across host corals of the most commonly identified bacterial species are shown in

Tables 3 and 4. Two species of *Achromobacter* and three species of *Fulvivirga* were common

among the clinically normal coral microbiomes (Table 3) and *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* was

universally present. Two species of Arcobacter were common among the diseased coral

microbiomes with *Arcobacter bivalviorum* being a member of all diseased microbiome libraries

as were *Algicola bacteriolytica* and *Clostridioides difficile.* Contrast analysis using edgeR

revealed fifty-nine bacterial species that were enriched in abundance in diseased coral host

samples relative to clinically normal hosts and are shown in Table 5. Fifty-three bacterial

species had differentially elevated numbers in clinically normal coral host samples relative to

diseased host corals (Table 6), while fifty-one bacterial species were found in both diseased and

clinically normal coral host samples and not differentially abundant in either disease state

(Table 7). All the taxa listed in Table 3 were found to be present in clinically normal as well as

diseased samples (Table 7) except for *Fulvivirga kasyanovil*, found only in clinically normal

samples (Table 6). All the taxa listed in Table 4 were found to be among those elevated in

diseased coral samples (Table 5) except for *Arcobacter sp.* UDC415 and "*Candidatus*

Amoebophilus asiaticus" that were present in both clinically normal and diseased coral samples

(Table 7). Some bacteria known from other studies to be generally abundant and associated

with a wide variety of corals and other marine invertebrates (22) were rare in the Looe Key

coral microbiomes. *Ruegeria spp.*, for example, were relatively low in abundance and restricted

to the clinically normal samples (Table 6). *Endozoicomonas* species abundances in clinically

normal coral samples were found to be 0% in *C. natans* and *D. labyrinthiformis*, 0.186% in *D.*

stokesii, 0.013% in *O. annularis*, and 1.604% in *P. strigosa*. *Endozoicomonas* in diseased coral

samples was only detected in *O. annularis* at an abundance of 0.582%.

Inferred expression of major gene groupings. The inferred expression levels of the

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) major gene groupings including amino acid

metabolism, biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, carbohydrate metabolism, energy

metabolism, glycan biosynthesis and metabolism, lipid metabolism, metabolism of cofactors

and vitamins, metabolism of amino acids, metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides,

nucleotide metabolism, and xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism were similar

regardless of disease status and microbiome composition (FIG 4). Thus, major functionalities of

the members of the clinically normal coral microbiomes are also present in equivalent numbers

in the diseased coral microbiomes.

DISCUSSION

Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease (SCTLD) is one of the more severe problems to confront Florida

and Caribbean reefs in the 21st century, potentially causing significant loss to ecosystem

services and impacting economies. This outbreak is unusual due to its extended duration,

apparent highly contagious nature, range of coral species affected, and rate of progression

(https://floridakeys.noaa.gov/coral-disease/). Based on reports that amoxicillin can arrest tissue

loss progression in several species, a number of researchers have focused on microbiome

analyses to identify potential causative agents. J. L. Meyer et al. (25) recently reported

identifying five amplicon sequence variants that were higher in abundance in diseased as

opposed to healthy specimens of *Montastraea cavernosa*, *Orbicella faveolata*, *Diploria*

labyrinthiformis and *Dicocoenia stokesii*. The identified amplicon sequence variants were found

to be from an unclassified genus of Flavobacteriales, sequences identified as *Fusibacter*

(Clostridiales), *Planktotalea* (Rhodobacterales), *Algicola* (Alteromonadales), and *Vibrio*

(Vibrionales). The authors also identified opportunistic or saprophytic colonizers including

Epsilonbacteraeota, Patescibacteria, Clostridiales, Bacteroidetes, and *Rhodobacterales* that

were also found to be enriched in SCTLD disease lesions.

Bacterial taxa found to be differentially elevated in abundance according to disease

state. The taxonomic classifications we report here were performed at the species level using a

closed reference database and a classification first approach, whereas those of J. L. Meyer et al.

(25) and more recently those of S. M. Rosales et al. (26) were obtained using a cluster first

approach. Although both strategies are widely used, each has pros and cons (27). Nevertheless,

many of the findings of the two studies have general similarities regarding taxa identified as

differentially elevated in numbers in diseased host corals. Sequence classifications that we

obtained from the clinically normal coral samples were found to represent mostly bacterial

species often found in coastal marine environments. In contrast, we found that several

differentially abundant sequence classifications obtained from the diseased coral samples

relate to bacteria with known pathogenic potential. Particularly notable are those that are

common in specimens with active disease signs across the five coral species studied and that

are relatively high in abundance. These include, but are not limited to, *Clostridioides difficile,*

Romboutsia lituseburensis, Arcobacter bivalviorum, Algicola bacteriolytica, Vibrio sp. r24*,*

Shimia aquaeponti, Burkholderia gladioli, and Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis (Tables 4 and

5)*.* In fact, *Clostridioides difficile, Arcobacter bivalviorum*, and *Algicola bacteriolytica* were found

without exception in every diseased coral specimen. *Burkholderia gladioli*, usually a plant

pathogen, produces several inhibitory and (or) toxic substances, among them gladiolin,

bongkrek acid, enaxyloxin, and toxoflavin which can be fatal to humans. It is unknown if these

toxins affect corals and (or) lower vertebrates. The presence of *Clostridioides difficile*,

Romboutsia lituseburensis and other species often associated with soil, human, and animal gut

consortia may implicate surface runoff and (or) fecal contamination as a contributing factor to

SCTLD.

Bacterial taxa found not to be differentially elevated in abundance according to

disease state. In addition to the bacterial species found to be differentially elevated in

abundance according to disease state, some prominent species' numbers were found to be

relatively constant regardless of disease state, and therefore their function(s) as microbiome

members are important to consider. *Achromobacter xylosoxidans, Achromobacter denitrificans,*

Prosthecochloris vibrioformis, and *"Candidatus* Amoebophilus asiaticus*"* were in this class as

were several species of *Halodesulfovibrio*. *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* has been identified as

an amoeba-resistant bacterium (ARB) in several studies (28, 29). Many free-living amoebas

including *Acanthamoeba, Dictyostelium, Hartmannella*, and *Naegleria* can harbor bacteria (30,

31). ARBs have defense mechanisms that allow them to avoid ingestion or to survive in

amoeba and other protists intracellularly and multiply. They may even persist through the

resting cyst stage of the amoebae. Bacteria that can survive within amoebae gain protection

from environmental insults from their amoeboid host (28, 32, 33). Because the survival

mechanisms possessed by ARBs are similar to traits required for survival during infection of

higher eukaryotes, ARBs are often found to be pathogenic (28, 29, 34-37).

"Candidatus Amoebophilus asiaticus" has been found widely in Caribbean corals (22)

and was found to be among the dominant members of both the clinically normal and diseased

Looe Key coral microbiomes sampled. Although it is an obligate endosymbiont of

Acanthamoeba of the T4 grouping, it has not been possible to transfer it to other amoeba to

date (38). This potentially indicates that this microbe is not widely amoeba-compatible or that

its primary host may be something other than amoebae. Analysis of the genome of "*Ca.*

Amoebophilus asiaticus" (39) revealed that it shares eukaryotic domains that are significantly

enriched in the genomes of other intracellular parasitic bacteria (including chlamydiae*,*

Legionella pneumophila, *Rickettsia bellii, Francisella tularensis, and Mycobacterium avium*).

Thus, these diverse bacteria appear to exploit common mechanisms for interaction with their

hosts. It is not clear if *"Ca.* Amoebophilus asiaticus" is internal to coral-associated free-living

amoebae, or if the bacterium infects coral amoebocytes (40). If internal, the parasitic nature of

this microbe might predispose corals to biotic and abiotic disease. If other amoeba-resistant

bacteria that are present in high abundance (i.e. *Achromobacter sp.* as shown by amoeba co-

culture) are also intracellular, the combination could be further debilitating. Amoebae are

considered "training grounds" for bacterial pathogens and "*Ca.* Amoebophilus asiaticus" has an

impressive number of insertion sequences in its genome (39) as does *Achromobacter* (41-43)

making horizontal gene transfer and dissemination of virulence elements very possible in the

confinement of intracellular space.

Endozoicomonas, another taxon that is known to be endosymbiotic, can be dominant in

many coral and marine invertebrate species (44-47) achieving abundances as high as 85% (40,

44). *Endozoicomonas* is known to be capable of intracellular as well as free-living lifestyles, is

sensitive to dysbiosis of the microbiome, and is diminished in intracellular numbers under

unfavorable conditions. *Endozoicomonas spp.* are generally thought to be associated with

healthy hosts (22, 48), but exhibit multiple relationships with their hosts, transitioning through

symbiotic, mutualistic, and parasitic roles opportunistically (46). This endosymbiont has been

thought to provide for metabolism of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP; (49-53) but genes

for processing of DMSP were not identified in sequenced species (45, 46). Recently, however, K.

Tandon et al. (54) have identified a dominant coral bacterium, *Endozoicomonas acroporae* that

does metabolize DMSP. Other than DMSP processing, this endosymbiont is thought to provide

benefit to the host including nutritional functions (55), antimicrobial functions (56), and

functions associated with algal symbiont interactions (44, 57). Interestingly however,

Endozoicomonas can also be a pathogen for fish (58). The finding of low *Endozoicomonas*

abundances (less than 1.6%) in the bacterial consortia of multiple coral species at Looe Key

regardless of disease state may be an indication that the "clinically normal" corals were

stressed and (or) infected but not showing overt disease at the time of sampling. Disease and

mortality continued unabated throughout 2018 as SCTLD moved southward along the Florida

reef tract.

Potential nutrient influence on affected coral microbiomes. Sewage contamination and

surface runoff has long been recognized as problematic for coral health (5). V. N. Bednarz et al.

(59) found that diazotroph-derived nitrogen assimilation by scleractinian corals was dependent

on their metabolic status. More recently, T. Lachnit et al. (60) found that coral microbiome

exposure to nutrient-rich conditions leads to dysbiosis and disease development. Another study

has examined the impacts of land-based sources of pollution on the microbiota of Southeast

Florida coral reefs and found that the major influence was from sewage outfalls adjacent to

reef tracts, but that runoff from inlet outfalls was substantial as well (61). B. E. Lapointe et al.

(62) have recently reported on a three-decade study of nitrogen enrichment at Looe Key and

found a connection with reef decline. Nutrient levels can also modulate parasitic infections as

shown by J. G. Klinges et al. (63) who have recently identified a marine invertebrate-associated

Rickettsiales parasite that the authors found is encouraged by nutrient enrichment to overgrow

and weaken and (or) kill the host coral cells it infests.

Excess nutrient levels that have been identified along the Florida Reef Tract may also

drive another adverse process in stony Caribbean corals that may explain SCTLD pathology.

Prosthecochloris vibrioformis, found in great abundance in all our coral specimens, is a strictly

anaerobic green sulfur endolithic bacterium that has nitrogen assimilation and reduction

pathways and produces proline in nitrogen rich environments (64). Proline has been found to

be essential for the growth of *C. difficile* as well as other pathogens (65). *C. difficile* is a strict

anaerobe that would be expected to locate in coral anoxic zones such as in the skeleton or at

the skeletal-tissue interface. *C. difficile* produces toxins and is also known to produce para-

cresol (p-cresol), a compound that is inhibitory to many Gram-negative bacteria that is thought

to play a role in the ability of *C. difficile* to colonize tissues (66). An abundant species that we

found ubiquitous in our coral specimens, *Achromobacter xylosoxidans,* can degrade p-cresol via

p-cresol methylhydroxylase (67) unlike many other Gram- negative bacteria and would be

immune to the effects of p-cresol. Additionally, although *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* is

generally classified as aerobic, it can function anaerobically via denitrification (41). *Clostridia*

have been found to be present in high abundance in coral skeleton and *Prosthecochloris*

vibrioformis is dominant in stony corals due to the density of their skeletons providing a very

anaerobic environment (64). Thus, it is possible that excess nutrients fuel *Prosthecochloris*

vibrioformis growth and nitrogen fixation that subsequently supports *Clostridioides difficile*

colonization, growth, and coral tissue damage via toxin production. The production of toxins at

the tissue-skeletal interface may explain the observation that SCTLD pathology first affects the

basal body wall of the coral polyps.

Taxonomic redundancy. Finally, we tested to what degree members of the clinically

normal and diseased coral microbiomes had similar inferred functionality profiles. Beta

diversity analysis demonstrated that the microbes associated with the two disease states were

quite different. The inferred expression of major gene groupings for these two groups,

however, was similar regardless of disease status and microbiome composition. This result is

similar to that found in other complex microbiomes including the human gut (13) and the algae,

Ulva (17, 68) and reveals the redundancy of metabolic potential in coral-associated bacteria

and the fluidity of specific bacterial species membership in the microbiomes of clinically normal

and diseased stony corals.

Conclusion. While disease outbreaks are not uncommon, this event is unique due to its

large geographic range, extended duration, rapid progression, high rates of mortality and the

number of species affected. The disease is thought to be caused by bacteria and can be

transmitted to other corals through direct contact and water circulation (11). This study reveals

the bacterial component of SCTLD specifically at a place and a time associated with a disease

that is moving rapidly both geographically and temporally (69). Our inferences are made based

on the abundance of bacterial sequences in diseased versus clinically normal states. Abundance

is not an unequivocal measure of causality, however. It is possible that some rare, but

influential bacterium somehow triggers remodeling of the coral microbiome (70, 71) given the

variety of interactions among coral-associated bacteria (72). The redundancy of the microbiome

members functional repertoire observed in this study as well as others (73) suggests that the

makeup of the coral microbiome is a rather fluid thing influenced by space, time and abiotic

factors. The dysbiosis generated from transient membership changes and microbiome

remodeling in response to environmental circumstances may result in bacterial cliques being

formed that collectively exhibit properties that have pathological implications for the host.

Unfortunately, it is not possible to pinpoint a specific causative agent for SCTLD at this

juncture. It is possible, however, to offer some hypotheses regarding the SCTLD pathogenesis. It

is tempting to conjecture, given the evidence presented here for example, that microbial

endoparasites (such as "*Ca.* Amoebophilus asiaticus") may predispose Caribbean corals to

infection by opportunistic pathogens normally held at bay by a healthy microbiome including

beneficial endosymbionts such as *Endozoicomonas* or that *Clostridioides difficile* introduced by

terrestrial or surface runoff and fueled by excess nutrients may reside in the anoxic zone at the

skeletal-tissue interface and produce the histopathological effects observed with SCTLD.

There may not be a single specific pathogen that causes SCTLD, but rather a

polymicrobial mixture of bacteria each adding their "special touch" to the disease process and

not necessarily being consistent in composition from one location to another or over time. We

have identified a relatively short list of bacteria associated with SCTLD pathology. Our findings

combined with those of prior and ongoing studies should help to guide the search for the

causative agent(s) of this disease and their isolation into culture for detailed study. Proteomic

and (or) metabolomic approaches may help to identify universal pathogenic mechanisms that

operate regardless of the exact microbiome composition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five coral species (FIG 1) were targeted for collection on August 26, 2018 from two

closely situated sites (separated by only 444 meters) at Looe Key, within the Florida Keys

National Marine Sanctuary, (Table 1) under permit number FKNMS-2017-100, expiration date

December 31, 2018. Duplicate specimens from actively diseased and clinically normal coral

colonies were collected from *Orbicella annularis* (OANN), *Diploria labyrinthiformis* (DLAB),

Colpophyllia natans (CNAT), *Pseudodiploria strigosa* (PSTR), and *Dichocoenia stokesii* (DSTO)

except for *D. labyrinthiformis* for which we had only one clinically normal specimen (n=19 total

specimens). Coral specimens were collected in the 2-5 meter depth range on scuba using

hammer and clean chisels to collect fragments. Fragments were placed in zippered plastic bags

for transport to the surface. Each of the coral specimens was placed into a separate 5-gal

bucket filled with local natural seawater and covered with a lid. These samples were

transported (approximately 1.5 h) to the Everglades National Park, Florida Bay Interagency

Science Center (FBISC) in Key Largo, Florida for subsampling and processing. Samples were

processed for metagenomic sequencing of bacterial suspensions from coral tissue

homogenates. A subsample (approximately 3.25 cm^2) that included tissue and skeleton from

the disease margin of affected specimens or clinically normal specimens was aseptically

removed and homogenized in a pre-sterilized mortar and pestle by grinding the tissue section

in 2 mL of filter-sterilized artificial seawater (Instant Ocean Spectrum Brands, Blacksburg, VA)

into a slurry. The homogenates were transferred into 2 mL sterile microcentrifuge tubes and

centrifuged at 180 x *g* for 10 min to remove cellular debris. The supernatants (1 mL) containing

bacteria were then recovered, bacteria were pelleted at 10,000 x g for 5 min, and the pellets

were resuspended in 800 μL DNA/RNA Shield (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) and frozen until DNA

was extracted and used for direct 16S amplicon sequencing.

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing. Genomic DNA from bacterial

suspensions prepared from tissue homogenates was extracted and purified using the Zymo

Quick-DNA Fecal/Soil Microbe Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). All extracted DNA was

stored in the supplied kit elution buffer at -20°C until polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was

performed. Negative controls included kit reagent and field blanks. Positive controls were

provided by extracts of a ZymoBIOMICS Microbial Community Standard (D6300; Zymo

Research, Irvine, CA). Bacteria were characterized using PCR that targeted the V3 and V4

portion of the 16S rRNA gene to amplify that gene segment for subsequent Illumina MiSeq

analysis. Amplicons were produced in two steps, first using rRNA-specific primers (see below) to

generate a high concentration of input template followed by less efficient fusion primers that

incorporate exogenous sequencing adapters. Oligonucleotide primers for the V3-V4 16S rRNA

gene region (74, 75) were used to create a single amplicon of ~460 base pairs (bp). The primers

for the first amplification reaction were 16S Forward (5' – CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG – 3') and

16S Reverse (5' – GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC – 3') (74, 76). Cycling parameters were: initial

denaturation for 3 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 30 s at

72°C, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Amplicon size was confirmed by agarose

gel electrophoresis using 5 µL of the reaction product. PCR products were cleaned with the

Qiagen PCR Purification Kit (Valencia, CA) and quantified using a Qubit fluorometer (dsDNA HS

Assay Kit, ThermoFisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY). Samples were diluted in 10 mM Tris buffer

(pH 8.5) to a final concentration of 5 ng/µL. Using the 16S rRNA primers modified with the

sequencing adaptors specified in Illumina's 16S Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation

(CT #: 15044223 Rev. B), amplicon libraries were prepared following the manufacturer's

protocol. Each sample was indexed with Illumina's Nextera XT multiplex library indices, which

incorporates two distinct 8 bp sequences on each end of the fragment. Libraries were

quantified fluorometrically, as above. DNA size distribution was determined with an Agilent

2100 Bioanalyzer using the Agilent DNA 1000 Kit (Santa Clara, CA). The combined pool of

indexed libraries was diluted to 4 nM using 10 mM Tris pH 8.5. A final 10 pM preparation was

created with a 15% PhiX control spike and analyzed on a MiSeq 600 v3 cartridge. DNA

sequences (16S) derived from the bacterial consortia of clinically normal and diseased coral

samples as well as reagent and field blanks were treated identically.

Sequence analysis. Sequences were classified taxonomically using the One Codex

(https://www.onecodex.com/) pipeline (27, 77) by uploading machine-processed, quality

filtered, and de-multiplexed FASTQ files to the One Codex web site for processing against the

curated targeted database available there. Classification assignments were then downloaded

from the One Codex web site as Microsoft Excel files. Low-abundance, non-informative

classifications were removed from all data sets by trimming taxonomic assignments of

sequencing reads from the bacterial component of each coral host to exclude those whose

frequency was less than 0.25% of the total classification assignments associated with that coral

host. Remaining classifications were then combined to obtain master lists of bacterial species

found in clinically normal and diseased corals and ranked according to the number of reads

classified to each member. Excel pivot tables were used to examine the distribution of

identified bacterial species across coral species.

Statistical analysis, diversity, and functional profiling inferred from bacterial

taxonomy. Sequence classifications filtered as described above were also imported into

MicrobiomeAnalyst (78) for further analyses including alpha and beta diversity to examine

within and among sample diversity respectively, statistical analysis of bacterial association with

disease state, and inference of biochemical pathways expressed in the consortia. Classifications

additionally were filtered to remove those with a prevalence of less than 20% across samples

and features with low variance (less than 10% based on the interquartile range). Data were

transformed using the centered log ratio (CLR) method (79). Alpha diversity was calculated

using the Simpson Index expressed as the compliment (ranging between zero and one where

larger is more diverse) and tested for significance using Mann-Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis tests

as appropriate. Beta diversity was examined using Analysis of Group Similarities (80) of Jenson-

Shannon Divergence measures and visualized by NMDS (Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling).

Differences in the bacterial community composition between diseased and clinically normal

corals were examined using the edgeR (81) method with an adjusted p value of 0.01. Finally,

gene expression was inferred using the Tax4Fun module (82) of the MicrobiomeAnalyst

package. The KEGG KO assignments that were generated were then assigned to major

functional groupings and visualized with the MicrobiomeAnalyst Shotgun Data Profiling

routines.

Data availability. Raw sequences are available in the National Center for Biotechnology

Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under project number PRJNA625928,

accession numbers SAMN14596532 to SAMN14596550.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for this work was provided by the USGS Ecosystems Mission Area Fisheries Program

and by NOAA's Coral Reef Conservation Program Project # 1133 (C.M.W.).

We thank the National Park Service and the Florida Bay Interagency Science Center, Key Largo

FL for providing laboratory and housing facilities and Christopher Kavanagh, Marine Ecologist

for coordinating these arrangements and providing logistical support.

Roles in this research were equally shared by five scientists. W.B.S provided conceptualization,

data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, validation, visualization and writing

the original draft manuscript. D.D.I. provided data curation, investigation, resources, writing –

review and editing. K.M.B. provided funding acquisition, investigation, project administration,

resources, supervision and writing – review and editing. C.M.W. provided funding acquisition,

investigation, project administration, resources, and writing in review and editing. A.B. provided

project administration and resources. K.N. provided resources and writing – review and editing.

415
416   Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply

417   endorsement by the U.S. Government.

419   Disclaimer: The views and analysis in this manuscript are solely those of the authors and do not

420   necessarily reflect those of NOAA or National Ocean Service. The content of and findings within

421   this document do not reflect NOAA policy.

REFERENCES

1. Precht WF, Gintert BE, Robbart ML, Fura R, van Woesik R. 2016. Unprecedented

    Disease-Related Coral Mortality in Southeastern Florida. Sci Rep 6:31374.

2. FKNMS. 2020. Florida Reef Tract Coral Disease Outbreak: Disease, *on* Florida Keys

    National Marine Sanctuary, Office of National Marine Sanctuaries, National Oceanic and

    Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. Accessed April 6.

3. Neely K. 2018. Surveying the Florida Keys Southern Coral Disease Boundary. Florida

    DEP, Miami, FL.

4. Pollock FJ, Morris PJ, Willis BL, Bourne DG. 2011. The Urgent Need for Robust Coral

    Disease Diagnostics. PLoS Path 7:e1002183.

5. Walker DI, Ormond RFG. 1982. Coral death from sewage and phosphate pollution at

    Aqaba, Red Sea. Mar Pollut Bull 13:21-25.

6. Neely KL. 2018. Ex-Situ Disease Treatment Trials. Florida DEP, Miami, FL.

7. Neely K, Macaulay K, Hower E, Dobler M. 2019. Effectiveness of topical antibiotics in

    treating corals affected by Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease. bioRxiv 1:870402.

8. O'Neil K, Neely K, Patterson J. 2018. Nursery management of disease-raveged pillar coral

    (*Dendrogyra cylindrus*) on the Florida Reef Tract. Florida DEP, Miami, FL.

9. Voss J, Shilling E, Combs I. 2019. Intervention and fate tracking for corals affected by

    stony coral tissue loss disease in the northern Florida Reef Tract. Florida DEP, Miami, FL.

10. Walker B, Pitts K. 2019. Reef-building-coral Response to Amoxicillin Intervention and

    Broader-scale Coral Disease Intervention. Florida DEP, Miami, FL.

11.   Aeby GS, Ushijima B, Campbell JE, Jones S, Williams GJ, Meyer JL, Häse C, Paul VJ. 2019.

Pathogenesis of a Tissue Loss Disease Affecting Multiple Species of Corals Along the

Florida Reef Tract. Frontiers in Marine Science 6.

12.   Ahmad AF, Dwivedi G, O'Gara F, Caparros-Martin J, Ward NC. 2019. The gut microbiome

and cardiovascular disease: current knowledge and clinical potential. American Journal

of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology 317:H923-H938.

13.   Huttenhower C, Gevers D, Knight R, Abubucker S, Badger JH, Chinwalla AT, Creasy HH,

Earl AM, FitzGerald MG, Fulton RS, Giglio MG, Hallsworth-Pepin K, Lobos EA, Madupu R,

Magrini V, Martin JC, Mitreva M, Muzny DM, Sodergren EJ, Versalovic J, Wollam AM,

Worley KC, Wortman JR, Young SK, Zeng Q, Aagaard KM, Abolude OO, Allen-Vercoe E,

Alm EJ, Alvarado L, Andersen GL, Anderson S, Appelbaum E, Arachchi HM, Armitage G,

Arze CA, Ayvaz T, Baker CC, Begg L, Belachew T, Bhonagiri V, Bihan M, Blaser MJ, Bloom

T, Bonazzi V, Paul Brooks J, Buck GA, Buhay CJ, Busam DA, Campbell JL, et al. 2012.

Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 486:207-

214.

14.   Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, Huttenhower C. 2011.

Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol 12:R60.

15.   Verster AJ, Borenstein E. 2018. Competitive lottery-based assembly of selected clades in

the human gut microbiome. Microbiome 6:186-186.

16.   Bass D, Stentiford GD, Wang HC, Koskella B, Tyler CR. 2019. The Pathobiome in Animal

and Plant Diseases. Trends Ecol Evol 34:996-1008.

17.   Burke C, Thomas T, Lewis M, Steinberg P, Kjelleberg S. 2011. Composition, uniqueness

466   and variability of the epiphytic bacterial community of the green alga Ulva australis.

467   ISME J 5:590-600.

468   18.   Elshahed MS, Najar FZ, Aycock M, Qu C, Roe BA, Krumholz LR. 2005. Metagenomic

469   analysis of the microbial community at Zodletone Spring (Oklahoma): insights into the

470   genome of a member of the novel candidate division OD1. Appl Environ Microbiol

471   71:7598-602.

472   19.   Galbraith H, Iwanowicz D, Spooner D, Iwanowicz L, Keller D, Zelanko P, Adams C. 2018.

473   Exposure to Synthetic Hydraulic Fracturing Waste Influences the Mucosal Bacterial

474   Community Structure of the Brook Trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*) Epidermis. AIMS

475   Microbiology 4:413-427.

476   20.   Ainsworth T, Krause L, Bridge T, Torda G, Raina J-B, Zakrzewski M, Gates RD, Padilla-

477   Gamiño JL, Spalding HL, Smith C, Woolsey ES, Bourne DG, Bongaerts P, Hoegh-Guldberg

478   O, Leggat W. 2015. The coral core microbiome identifies rare bacterial taxa as

479   ubiquitous endosymbionts. The ISME Journal 9:2261-2274.

480   21.   Bernasconi R, Stat M, Koenders A, Paparini A, Bunce M, Huggett MJ. 2019.

481   Establishment of Coral-Bacteria Symbioses Reveal Changes in the Core Bacterial

482   Community With Host Ontogeny. Front Microbiol 10.

483   22.   Huggett MJ, Apprill A. 2019. Coral microbiome database: Integration of sequences

484   reveals high diversity and relatedness of coral-associated microbes. Environ Microbiol

485   Rep 11:372-385.

486   23.   Pollock FJ, McMinds R, Smith S, Bourne DG, Willis BL, Medina M, Thurber RV, Zaneveld

487   JR. 2018. Coral-associated bacteria demonstrate phylosymbiosis and cophylogeny.

488   Nature Communications 9:4921.

489   24.   Sweet MJ, Brown BE, Dunne RP, Singleton I, Bulling M. 2017. Evidence for rapid, tide-

490   related shifts in the microbiome of the coral *Coelastrea aspera*. Coral Reefs 36:815-828.

491   25.   Meyer JL, Castellanos-Gell J, Aeby GS, Häse CC, Ushijima B, Paul VJ. 2019. Microbial

492   Community Shifts Associated With the Ongoing Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease

493   Outbreak on the Florida Reef Tract. Front Microbiol 10.

494   26.   Rosales SM, Clark AS, Huebner LK, Ruzicka RR, Muller EM. 2020. *Rhodobacterales* and

495   *Rhizobiales* Are Associated With Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease and Its Suspected

496   Sources of Transmission. Front Microbiol 11.

497   27.   Siegwald L, Touzet H, Lemoine Y, Hot D, Audebert C, Caboche S. 2017. Assessment of

498   Common and Emerging Bioinformatics Pipelines for Targeted Metagenomics. PLoS One

499   12:e0169563.

500   28.   Greub G, La Scola B, Raoult D. 2004. Amoebae-resisting bacteria isolated from human

501   nasal swabs by amoebal coculture. Emerg Infect Dis 10:470-7.

502   29.   Thomas V, McDonnell G, Denyer SP, Maillard J-Y. 2010. Free-living amoebae and their

503   intracellular pathogenic microorganisms: risks for water quality. FEMS Microbiol Rev

504   34:231-259.

505   30.   Strassmann JE, Shu L. 2017. Ancient bacteria–amoeba relationships and pathogenic

506   animal bacteria. PLoS Biol 15:e2002460.

31.   Denoncourt AMVEPSJC. 2014. Potential Role of Bacteria Packaging by Protozoa in the

Persistence and Transmission of Pathogenic Bacteria. Front Microbiol 5:240.

32.   Guimaraes AJ, Gomes KX, Cortines JR, Peralta JM, Peralta RH. 2016. *Acanthamoeba spp.*

as a universal host for pathogenic microorganisms: One bridge from environment to

host virulence. Microbiol Res 193:30-38.

33.   Hilbi H, Weber SS, Ragaz C, Nyfeler Y, Urwyler S. 2007. Environmental predators as

models for bacterial pathogenesis. Environ Microbiol 9:563-75.

34.   Essig A, Heinemann M, Simnacher U, Marre R. 1997. Infection of *Acanthamoeba*

castellanii by *Chlamydia pneumoniae*. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:1396-9.

35.   Jacquier N, Aeby, S., Lienar, J., Greub, G. 2013. Discovery of New Intracellular Pathogens

by Amoebal Coculture and Amoebal Enrichment Approaches. Journal of Visualized

Experiments 80:51055.

36.   Janda WM. 2010. Amoeba-Resistant Bacteria: Their Role in Human Infections. Clin

Microbiol Newsl 32:177-184.

37.   Long JJ, Jahn CE, Sanchez-Hidalgo A, Wheat W, Jackson M, Gonzalez-Juarrero M, Leach

JE. 2018. Interactions of free-living amoebae with rice bacterial pathogens *Xanthomonas*

oryzae pathovars oryzae and oryzicola. PLoS One 13:e0202941.

38.   Horn M, Harzenetter MD, Linner T, Schmid EN, Muller KD, Michel R, Wagner M. 2001.

Members of the *Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroides* phylum as intracellular bacteria

of acanthamoebae: proposal of '*Candidatus* Amoebophilus asiaticus'. Environ Microbiol

3:440-9.

39.   Schmitz-Esser S, Tischler P, Arnold R, Montanaro J, Wagner M, Rattei T, Horn M. 2010.

529   The genome of the amoeba symbiont "*Candidatus* Amoebophilus asiaticus" reveals

530   common mechanisms for host cell interaction among amoeba-associated bacteria. J

531   Bacteriol 192:1045-57.

532   40.   Apprill A, Weber LG, Santoro AE. 2016. Distinguishing between Microbial Habitats

533   Unravels Ecological Complexity in Coral Microbiomes. mSystems 1:e00143-16.

534   41.   Jakobsen TH, Hansen MA, Jensen PØ, Hansen L, Riber L, Cockburn A, Kolpen M, Rønne

535   Hansen C, Ridderberg W, Eickhardt S, Hansen M, Kerpedjiev P, Alhede M, Qvortrup K,

536   Burmølle M, Moser C, Kühl M, Ciofu O, Givskov M, Sørensen SJ, Høiby N, Bjarnsholt T.

537   2013. Complete Genome Sequence of the Cystic Fibrosis Pathogen *Achromobacter*

538   *xylosoxidans* NH44784-1996 Complies with Important Pathogenic Phenotypes. PLoS One

539   8:e68484.

540   42.   Jeukens J, Freschi L, Vincent AT, Emond-Rheault JG, Kukavica-Ibrulj I, Charette SJ,

541   Levesque RC. 2017. A pan-genomic approach to understand the basis of host adaptation

542   in *Achromobacter*. Genome Biol Evol 9:1030-1046.

543   43.   Traglia GM, Almuzara M, Merkier AK, Adams C, Galanternik L, Vay C, Centrón D, Ramírez

544   MS. 2012. *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*: An Emerging Pathogen Carrying Different

545   Elements Involved in Horizontal Genetic Transfer. Curr Microbiol 65:673-678.

546   44.   Morrow KM, Moss AG, Chadwick NE, Liles MR. 2012. Bacterial associates of two

547   Caribbean coral species reveal species-specific distribution and geographic variability.

548   Appl Environ Microbiol 78:6438-6449.

45. Neave MJ, Michell CT, Apprill A, Voolstra CR. 2014. Whole-genome sequences of three

symbiotic *Endozoicomonas* strains. Genome announcements 2:e00802-14.

46. Neave MJ, Michell CT, Apprill A, Voolstra CR. 2017. *Endozoicomonas* genomes reveal

functional adaptation and plasticity in bacterial strains symbiotically associated with

diverse marine hosts. Sci Rep 7:40579.

47. Schill WB, Iwanowicz D, Adams C. 2017. *Endozoicomonas* Dominates the Gill and

Intestinal Content Microbiomes of *Mytilus edulis* from Barnegat Bay, New Jersey. J

Shellfish Res 36:391-401, 11.

48. Ding J-Y, Shiu J-H, Chen W-M, Chiang Y-R, Tang S-L. 2016. Genomic Insight into the Host–

Endosymbiont Relationship of *Endozoicomonas montiporae* CL-33T with its Coral Host.

Front Microbiol 7.

49. Raina JB, Clode PL, Cheong S, Bougoure J, Kilburn MR, Reeder A, Foret S, Stat M, Beltran

V, Thomas-Hall P, Tapiolas D, Motti CM, Gong B, Pernice M, Marjo CE, Seymour JR, Willis

BL, Bourne DG. 2017. Subcellular tracking reveals the location of

dimethylsulfoniopropionate in microalgae and visualises its uptake by marine bacteria.

Elife 6.

50. Raina JB, Dinsdale EA, Willis BL, Bourne DG. 2010. Do the organic sulfur compounds

DMSP and DMS drive coral microbial associations? Trends Microbiol 18:101-8.

51. Raina JB, Tapiolas D, Motti CA, Foret S, Seemann T, Tebben J, Willis BL, Bourne DG.

2016. Isolation of an antimicrobial compound produced by bacteria associated with

reef-building corals. PeerJ 4:e2275.

52.   Raina JB, Tapiolas D, Willis BL, Bourne DG. 2009. Coral-associated bacteria and their role

in the biogeochemical cycling of sulfur. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:3492-501.

53.   Raina JB, Tapiolas DM, Foret S, Lutz A, Abrego D, Ceh J, Seneca FO, Clode PL, Bourne DG,

Willis BL, Motti CA. 2013. DMSP biosynthesis by an animal and its role in coral thermal

stress response. Nature 502:677-80.

54.   Tandon K, Chiang P-W, Lu C-Y, Yang S-H, Chen Y-F, Wada N, Chen P-Y, Chang H-Y, Chou

M-S, Chen W-M, Tang S-L. 2019. Dominant coral bacterium *Endozoicomonas acroporae*

metabolizes DMSP. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/519546:519546.

55.   La Rivière M, Roumagnac M, Garrabou J, Bally M. 2013. Transient shifts in bacterial

communities associated with the temperate gorgonian *Paramuricea clavata* in the

Northwestern Mediterranean Sea. PLoS One 8:e57385-e57385.

56.   Bourne D, Iida Y, Uthicke S, Smith-Keune C. 2008. Changes in coral-associated microbial

communities during a bleaching event. ISME J 2:350-63.

57.   Pantos O, Bongaerts P, Dennis PG, Tyson GW, Hoegh-Guldberg O. 2015. Habitat-specific

environmental conditions primarily control the microbiomes of the coral *Seriatopora*

hystrix. The ISME journal 9:1916-1927.

58.   Mendoza M, Güiza L, Martinez X, Caraballo X, Rojas J, Aranguren LF, Salazar M. 2013. A

novel agent (*Endozoicomonas elysicola*) responsible for epitheliocystis in cobia

Rachycentrum canadum larvae. Dis Aquat Org 106:31-37.

59.   Bednarz VN, Grover R, Maguer JF, Fine M, Ferrier-Pages C. 2017. The Assimilation of

Diazotroph-Derived Nitrogen by Scleractinian Corals Depends on Their Metabolic Status.

mBio 8.

60.   Lachnit T, Bosch TCG, Deines P. 2019. Exposure of the Host-Associated Microbiome to

Nutrient-Rich Conditions May Lead to Dysbiosis and Disease Development-an

Evolutionary Perspective. mBio 10.

61.   Staley C, Kaiser T, Gidley ML, Enochs IC, Jones PR, Goodwin KD, Sinigalliano CD,

Sadowsky MJ, Chun CL. 2017. Differential Impacts of Land-Based Sources of Pollution on

the Microbiota of Southeast Florida Coral Reefs. Appl Environ Microbiol 83:e03378-16.

62.   Lapointe BE, Brewton RA, Herren LW, Porter JW, Hu C. 2019. Nitrogen enrichment,

altered stoichiometry, and coral reef decline at Looe Key, Florida Keys, USA: a 3-decade

study. Mar Biol 166:108.

63.   Klinges JG, Rosales SM, McMinds R, Shaver EC, Shantz AA, Peters EC, Eitel M, Wörheide

G, Sharp KH, Burkepile DE, Silliman BR, Vega Thurber RL. 2019. Phylogenetic, genomic,

and biogeographic characterization of a novel and ubiquitous marine invertebrate-

associated *Rickettsiales* parasite, "*Candidatus* Aquarickettsia rohweri", gen. nov., sp.

nov. The ISME Journal 13:2938-2953.

64.   Yang SH, Tandon K, Lu CY, Wada N, Shih CJ, Hsiao SS, Jane WN, Lee TC, Yang CM, Liu CT,

Denis V, Wu YT, Wang LT, Huang L, Lee DC, Wu YW, Yamashiro H, Tang SL. 2019.

Metagenomic, phylogenetic, and functional characterization of predominant endolithic

green sulfur bacteria in the coral *Isopora palifera*. Microbiome 7:3.

65.   Christgen SL, Becker DF. 2017. Role of Proline in Pathogen and Host Interactions.

Antioxidants & Redox Signaling 30:683-709.

66.   Passmore IJ, Letertre MPM, Preston MD, Bianconi I, Harrison MA, Nasher F, Kaur H,

Hong HA, Baines SD, Cutting SM, Swann JR, Wren BW, Dawson LF. 2018. Para-cresol

production by *Clostridium difficile* affects microbial diversity and membrane integrity of

Gram-negative bacteria. PLoS Path 14:e1007191-e1007191.

67.   Hopper DJ, Bossert ID, Rhodes-Roberts ME. 1991. p-cresol methylhydroxylase from a

denitrifying bacterium involved in anaerobic degradation of p-cresol. J Bacteriol

173:1298-1301.

68.   Burke C, Steinberg P, Rusch D, Kjelleberg S, Thomas T. 2011. Bacterial community

assembly based on functional genes rather than species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

108:14288-93.

69.   Muller EM, Sartor C, Alcaraz NI, van Woesik R. 2020. Spatial Epidemiology of the Stony-

Coral-Tissue-Loss Disease in Florida. Frontiers in Marine Science 7.

70.   Banerjee S, Schlaeppi K, van der Heijden MGA. 2018. Keystone taxa as drivers of

microbiome structure and functioning. Nature Reviews Microbiology 16:567-576.

71.   Hajishengallis G, Darveau RP, Curtis MA. 2012. The keystone-pathogen hypothesis.

Nature Reviews Microbiology 10:717-725.

72.   Rypien KL, Ward JR, Azam F. 2010. Antagonistic interactions among coral-associated

bacteria. Environ Microbiol 12:28-39.

73.   Hernandez-Agreda A, Leggat W, Bongaerts P, Herrera C, Ainsworth TD. 2018. Rethinking

the Coral Microbiome: Simplicity Exists within a Diverse Microbial Biosphere. mBio

9:e00812-18.

74.   Herlemann DPR, Labrenz M, Jürgens K, Bertilsson S, Waniek JJ, Andersson AF. 2011.

Transitions in bacterial communities along the 2000 km salinity gradient of the Baltic

Sea. The ISME Journal 5:1571-1579.

75.   Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glöckner FO. 2012.

Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-

generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res 41:e1-e1.

76.   Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glöckner FO. 2013.

Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-

generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res 41:e1.

77.   Minot SK, N.; Greenfield, N.B. 2015. One Codex: A sensitive and accurate data platform

for genomic microbial identification. BioRxiv doi:http://dx.doiorg/10.1101/027607.

78.   Dhariwal A, Chong J, Habib S, King IL, Agellon LB, Xia J. 2017. MicrobiomeAnalyst: a web-

based tool for comprehensive statistical, visual and meta-analysis of microbiome data.

Nucleic Acids Res 45:W180-W188.

79.   Gloor GB, Wu JR, Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Egozcue JJ. 2016. It's all relative: analyzing

microbiome data as compositions. Ann Epidemiol 26:322-329.

80.   Clarke KR. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community

structure. Aust J Ecol 18:117-143.

81.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for

differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics (Oxford,

England) 26:139-140.

82.   Aßhauer KP, Wemheuer B, Daniel R, Meinicke P. 2015. Tax4Fun: predicting functional

profiles from metagenomic 16S rRNA data. Bioinformatics 31:2882-2884.

Table 1. Site number, latitude, longitude, Scientific Name, Abbreviation, Common Name, Status (CN = Clinically Normal, D = Diseased), Depth of Collection (meters), and sample identification of 19 corals collected from Looe Key, Florida.

Site	Latitude	Longitude	Scientific Name	Abbreviation	Common Name	Status	Depth (m)	Sample ID
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''	*Colpophyllia natans*	CNAT	boulder brain coral	D	6.71	CNAT_DisBgF
2	N 24° 32' 44.988''	W 81° 24' 15.84''				D	7.01	CNAT_DisBgBBUnl
2	N 24° 32' 44.988''	W 81° 24' 15.84''				CN	6.40	CNATHC-8
2	N 24° 32' 44.988''	W 81° 24' 15.84''				CN	5.18	CNATHC-9
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''	*Dichocoenia stokesii*	DSTO	elliptical star coral	D	5.49	DSTO_DisBgJ
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''				D	5.49	DSTO_DisBgL
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''				CN	5.49	DSTOHC-1
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''				CN	5.49	DSTOHC-3
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''	*Diploria labyrinthiformis*	DLAB	grooved brain coral	D	6.40	DLAB_DisBgA
2	N 24° 32' 44.988''	W 81° 24' 15.84''				D	5.79	DLAB_DisBgE
2	N 24° 32' 44.988''	W 81° 24' 15.84''				CN	7.01	DLABHC-5
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''	*Orbicella annularis*	OANN	lobed star coral	D	7.01	OANN_DisUNLB
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''				D	7.01	OANN_DisBgD
2	N 24° 32' 44.988''	W 81° 24' 15.84''				CN	5.49	OANNHC-6
2	N 24° 32' 44.988''	W 81° 24' 15.84''				CN	5.79	OANNHC-7
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''	*Pseudodiploria strigosa*	PSTR	symmetrical brain coral	D	5.49	PSTR_DisBgH
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''				D	5.18	PSTR_DisBgI
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''				CN	5.49	PSTRHC-2
1	N 24° 32' 40.812''	W 81° 24' 30.96''				CN	5.49	PSTRHC-4

Table 2. Total numbers of classified reads for each library type, reads trimmed (0.25% of total bacterial reads classified for each coral host species), and classified reads retained for analysis. CNAT = *Colpophyllia natans*: DLAB = *Diploria labyrinthiformis*; DSTO = *Dichocoenia stokesii*; OANN = *Orbicella annularis*; and PSTR = *Pseudodiploria strigosa*.

| | | Host Coral | | | | |
Library Type	Sequence Reads	CNAT	DLAB	DSTO	OANN	PSTR
Diseased	Total	420,249	66,222	204,950	784,580	226,004
	Trimmed	< 1,051	< 166	< 512	< 1,961	< 565
	Retained	419,198	66,056	204,438	782,619	225,438
Clinically Normal	Total	462,966	85,138	77,018	38,349	121,738
	Trimmed	< 1,157	< 213	< 193	< 96	< 304
	Retained	461,808	84,925	76,825	38,253	121434

Table 3. Distribution of classified bacterial sequences across clinically normal host coral species.
CNAT = *Colpophyllia natans*: DLAB = *Diploria labyrinthiformis*; DSTO = *Dichocoenia stokesii*; OANN = *Orbicella annularis*; and PSTR = *Pseudodiploria strigosa*.

Microbe	CNAT	DLAB	DSTO	OANN	PSTR	Species Affected
Achromobacter xylosoxidans	X	X	X	X	X	5
Achromobacter denitrificans	X	X		X	X	4
"Candidatus Amoebophilus asiaticus*"*	X	X		X	X	4
Fulvivirga imtechensis	X	X		X	X	4
Fulvivirga kasyanovii	X	X		X	X	4
Fabibacter misakiensis		X		X	X	3
Fulvivirga lutimaris	X	X		X		3
Nitrincola lacisaponensis	X		X		X	3
Rhodospirillum rubrum	X	X		X		3
Sinorhizobium fredii	X		X		X	3
171 More- found on one or two hosts						

Table 4. Distribution of classified bacterial sequences across diseased coral hosts. CNAT = *Colpophyllia natans*: DLAB = *Diploria labyrinthiformis*; DSTO = *Dichocoenia stokesii*; OANN = *Orbicella annularis*; and PSTR = *Pseudodiploria strigosa*.

Microbe	CNAT	DLAB	DSTO	OANN	PSTR	Species Affected
Algicola bacteriolytica	X	X	X	X	X	5
Arcobacter bivalviorum	X	X	X	X	X	5
Clostridioides difficile	X	X	X	X	X	5
Arcobacter sp. UDC415		X	X	X	X	4
"Candidatus Amoebophilus asiaticus"	X	X	X	X		4
Pseudofulvibacter geojedonensis		X	X		X	3
Romboutsia lituseburensis	X		X		X	3
Shimia aquaeponti	X		X	X		3
Vibrio sp. r24	X		X		X	3
95 More- found on one or two hosts						

.

Table 5. Bacterial species enriched in diseased coral hosts as determined by edgeR contrast analysis. Designations are predicated at a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01. Shown are the logarithm of 2-fold change (log2FC), the logarithm of counts per million reads (log_2CPM), the Benjamini-Hochberg (B-H) adjusted P value (Pvalue), and the FDR. The table is sorted from highest to lowest abundance (log_2CPM).

Bacterial Species	log2FC	Log_2CPM	Pvalue	FDR
Clostridioides difficile	5.832	16.425	3.160E-06	9.071E-06
Arcobacter bivalviorum	11.320	16.378	1.253E-11	2.042E-09
Tepidibacter mesophilus	8.471	13.556	1.669E-06	5.440E-06
Algicola bacteriolytica	4.113	13.416	1.801E-03	2.718E-03
Shimia aquaeponti	8.262	13.341	1.943E-07	1.131E-06
Vibrio sp. r24	8.190	13.263	1.752E-08	2.561E-07
Marinilabilia nitratireducens	7.999	13.082	3.786E-07	1.870E-06
Burkholderia gladioli	7.912	12.996	4.535E-07	2.174E-06
Pseudofulvibacter geojedonensis	7.795	12.885	2.781E-06	8.243E-06
Marinifilum fragile	7.756	12.845	1.299E-06	4.504E-06
Staphylococcus epidermidis	3.957	12.802	4.630E-03	6.799E-03
Vibrio nigripulchritudo	7.559	12.638	3.033E-08	3.166E-07
Pseudahrensia aquimaris	7.477	12.577	1.191E-05	2.520E-05
Tenacibaculum litopenaei	7.367	12.464	2.712E-06	8.185E-06
Abyssivirga alkaniphila	4.250	12.215	2.063E-03	3.084E-03
Amphritea japonica	7.080	12.169	5.726E-08	5.490E-07
Romboutsia lituseburensis	7.009	12.094	1.885E-08	2.561E-07
Vibrio alginolyticus	6.990	12.070	2.569E-09	6.978E-08
Carboxylicivirga taeanensis	6.870	11.979	6.971E-06	1.623E-05
Meridianimaribacter flavus	6.601	11.713	1.571E-06	5.226E-06
Rhizobium sp. Trp 3	6.397	11.519	4.939E-06	1.258E-05
Vibrio harveyi	6.314	11.409	2.097E-09	6.836E-08
Arcobacter molluscorum	6.236	11.364	4.615E-06	1.194E-05
Mesorhizobium sp.	6.210	11.341	9.035E-06	2.045E-05

Yersinia enterocolitica	6.165	11.286	5.160E-07	2.336E-06
Shimia isoporae	6.062	11.193	1.990E-06	6.360E-06
Natronoflexus pectinivorans	5.979	11.117	6.027E-06	1.489E-05
Vibrio parahaemolyticus	5.961	11.072	4.088E-09	9.519E-08
Fusibacter paucivorans	5.876	11.019	4.533E-06	1.192E-05
Eubacterium tenue	5.801	10.948	3.972E-06	1.061E-05
Aestuariibacter halophilus	5.789	10.941	1.074E-05	2.399E-05
Lentibacter algarum	5.586	10.749	8.574E-06	1.968E-05
Clostridium thermosuccinogenes	5.576	10.732	2.431E-06	7.621E-06
Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis	5.541	10.685	7.903E-08	6.134E-07
Mesorhizobium loti	5.431	10.593	8.378E-07	3.339E-06
Oceanirhabdus sediminicola	5.420	10.593	1.106E-05	2.403E-05
Thalassolituus oleivorans	5.405	10.553	3.108E-08	3.166E-07
Ketogulonicigenium vulgare	5.362	10.521	1.754E-07	1.077E-06
Tissierella creatinini	5.257	10.443	1.833E-05	3.727E-05
Vibrio vulnificus	5.169	10.334	2.574E-08	2.997E-07
Alkaliphilus peptidifermentans	5.054	10.241	5.114E-07	2.336E-06
Roseovarius aestuarii	4.988	10.185	9.409E-07	3.573E-06
Bythopirellula goksoyri	4.962	10.180	3.012E-05	5.644E-05
Tissierella praeacuta	4.791	10.023	2.896E-05	5.489E-05
Myroides indicus	4.649	9.901	4.199E-05	7.690E-05
Tindallia magadiensis	4.619	9.870	1.852E-05	3.727E-05
Marinifilum albidiflavum	4.582	9.837	1.589E-05	3.278E-05
Epibacterium multivorans	4.538	9.796	1.096E-05	2.403E-05
Rhizobium tropici	4.513	9.774	1.125E-05	2.412E-05
Cohaesibacter gelatinilyticus	4.399	9.684	4.486E-05	8.124E-05
Amphritea ceti	4.285	9.572	6.391E-06	1.551E-05
Hoeflea phototrophica	4.195	9.521	2.868E-04	4.583E-04
Cohaesibacter haloalkalitolerans	4.186	9.505	8.503E-05	1.444E-04

Pseudoteredinibacter isoporae	4.144	9.473	1.133E-04	1.903E-04
Vibrio cholerae	4.140	9.464	5.581E-05	9.996E-05
Clostridium scindens	4.040	9.388	2.032E-04	3.280E-04
Sunxiuqinia elliptica	3.992	9.352	3.222E-04	5.098E-04
Streptomyces lazureus	3.931	9.297	1.627E-04	2.652E-04
Kordiimonas gwangyangensis	3.665	9.089	3.531E-04	5.533E-04

Table 6. Bacterial species enriched in clinically normal coral hosts as determined by edgeR contrast analysis. Designations are predicated at a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01. Shown are the logarithm of 2-fold change (log2FC), the logarithm of counts per million reads (log$_2$CPM), the Benjamini-Hochberg (B-H) adjusted P value (Pvalue), and the FDR. The table is sorted from highest to lowest abundance (log$_2$CPM).

Bacterial Species	log2FC	Log$_2$CPM	Pvalue	FDR
Heliothrix oregonensis	-10.362	15.430	6.492E-08	5.569E-07
Aureivirga marina	-10.051	15.121	2.288E-07	1.243E-06
Blastocatella fastidiosa	-3.996	14.774	5.076E-03	7.388E-03
Chloroflexus aurantiacus	-3.283	13.728	4.550E-03	6.742E-03
Mycoplasma mycoides	-4.034	13.702	1.188E-03	1.809E-03
Spirosoma navajo	-8.304	13.383	2.275E-08	2.852E-07
Muricauda lutaonensis	-7.559	12.652	9.644E-07	3.573E-06
Iamia majanohamensis	-7.537	12.632	1.785E-07	1.077E-06
Streptococcus sanguinis	-7.125	12.227	9.605E-07	3.573E-06
Candidatus Solibacter usitatus	-6.976	12.083	3.459E-07	1.762E-06
Spirochaeta halophila	-6.873	11.983	2.153E-07	1.210E-06
Bacillus licheniformis	-6.848	11.958	1.708E-07	1.077E-06
Klebsiella pneumoniae	-6.813	11.925	8.615E-09	1.560E-07
Vibrio sp. Cl G9	-6.470	11.595	3.172E-06	9.071E-06
Marinoscillum furvescens	-6.464	11.591	7.350E-07	3.153E-06
Dictyoglomus turgidum	-6.451	11.575	6.448E-08	5.569E-07
Candidatus Phytoplasma fraxini	-6.442	11.567	5.657E-09	1.153E-07
Fulvivirga kasyanovii	-6.435	11.563	1.025E-07	7.596E-07
Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus	-6.419	11.545	1.214E-08	1.979E-07
Aeromonas caviae	-6.406	11.533	1.586E-07	1.077E-06
Aciditerrimonas ferrireducens	-6.282	11.421	2.604E-06	8.009E-06
Arthrobacter davidanieli	-6.194	11.331	6.928E-07	3.052E-06
Labilibacter aurantiacus	-5.993	11.144	6.794E-06	1.605E-05
Tamlana agarivorans	-5.991	11.140	1.557E-06	5.226E-06

Oenococcus oeni	-5.984	11.133	7.049E-08	5.745E-07
Algisphaera agarilytica	-5.923	11.079	8.398E-07	3.339E-06
Eionea nigra	-5.829	10.990	6.472E-06	1.551E-05
Ilumatobacter fluminis	-5.552	10.737	3.232E-06	9.082E-06
Sinorhizobium medicae	-5.397	10.586	1.837E-10	1.497E-08
Pseudomonas marincola	-5.360	10.558	9.923E-07	3.594E-06
Gloeobacter violaceus	-5.269	10.472	2.584E-07	1.359E-06
Pseudomonas putida	-5.245	10.452	1.275E-06	4.504E-06
Moraxella catarrhalis	-5.109	10.326	7.350E-10	3.993E-08
Bartonella bacilliformis	-5.068	10.290	1.052E-09	4.288E-08
Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus	-4.794	10.052	1.997E-05	3.970E-05
Pectobacterium atrosepticum	-4.780	10.040	1.274E-05	2.662E-05
Sulfobacillus thermosulfidooxidans	-4.777	10.036	8.062E-07	3.339E-06
Serratia liquefaciens	-4.732	9.998	3.617E-06	9.955E-06
Haloferula chungangensis	-4.553	9.845	2.867E-05	5.489E-05
Roseivirga marina	-4.509	9.807	5.499E-06	1.379E-05
Thioprofundum lithotrophicum	-4.437	9.743	1.379E-07	9.776E-07
Aridibacter kavangonensis	-4.347	9.671	3.665E-06	9.955E-06
Coxiella burnetii	-4.084	9.456	4.122E-05	7.635E-05
Gimesia maris	-4.078	9.452	2.079E-05	4.084E-05
Thalassotalea agarivorans	-4.006	9.393	6.572E-05	1.152E-04
Roseivirga spongicola	-3.970	9.365	2.736E-05	5.309E-05
Lawsonella clevelandensis	-3.882	9.296	8.244E-05	1.415E-04
Spiribacter curvatus	-3.762	9.203	7.087E-05	1.229E-04
Ruegeria intermedia	-3.647	9.113	5.797E-05	1.027E-04
Lewinella cohaerens	-3.603	9.083	1.482E-04	2.441E-04
Ekhidna lutea	-3.299	8.862	1.211E-04	2.015E-04
Gluconobacter oxydans	-3.248	8.824	5.105E-04	7.849E-04
Portibacter lacus	-3.118	8.736	3.608E-04	5.601E-04

Table 7. Bacterial species present in both diseased and clinically normal coral host samples. Designations are predicated at a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01. Shown are the logarithm of 2-fold change (log2FC), the logarithm of counts per million reads (log$_2$CPM), the Benjamini-Hochberg (B-H) adjusted P value (Pvalue), and the false discovery rate (FDR). The table is sorted from highest to lowest abundance (log$_2$CPM).

Bacterial Species	log2FC	logCPM	Pvalue	FDR
Prosthecochloris vibrioformis	-0.204	16.350	8.764E-01	8.929E-01
"Candidatus Amoebophilus asiaticus*"*	-2.296	16.193	7.091E-02	9.713E-02
Arcobacter sp. UDC415	2.027	15.337	1.499E-01	1.894E-01
Arcobacter nitrofigilis	1.707	14.929	3.458E-01	3.969E-01
Rhodospirillum rubrum	-2.420	14.804	7.877E-02	1.061E-01
Achromobacter xylosoxidans	-1.509	14.608	1.575E-01	1.975E-01
Aquimarina muelleri	1.041	13.905	5.184E-01	5.788E-01
Roseivirga seohaensis	-0.235	13.847	8.593E-01	8.865E-01
Halodesulfovibrio spirochaetisodalis	2.843	13.371	6.094E-02	8.563E-02
Tepidicaulis marinus	3.848	13.261	7.222E-03	1.042E-02
Candidatus Pelagibacter ubique	-1.488	13.253	2.255E-01	2.723E-01
Fulvivirga imtechensis	-1.281	12.590	2.811E-01	3.344E-01
Halodesulfovibrio oceani	-0.620	12.524	6.381E-01	6.843E-01
Halodesulfovibrio marinisediminis	-2.349	12.495	8.180E-02	1.093E-01
Achromobacter denitrificans	-1.600	12.418	1.092E-01	1.435E-01
Pectobacterium carotovorum	0.404	12.300	7.613E-01	7.955E-01
Pirellula sp.	0.468	12.241	6.948E-01	7.402E-01
Pseudovibrio denitrificans	2.105	12.177	1.152E-01	1.467E-01
Aquisalinus flavus	1.354	12.177	3.023E-01	3.530E-01
Alteromonas macleodii	-0.096	12.151	9.073E-01	9.073E-01
Wukongibacter baidiensis	-0.132	12.088	9.050E-01	9.073E-01
Kiloniella spongiae	1.650	12.028	2.665E-01	3.194E-01
Clostridium botulinum	-0.555	11.918	5.812E-01	6.428E-01
Nitrincola lacisaponensis	-1.234	11.911	1.692E-01	2.074E-01

Rubritalea tangerina	1.993	11.909	8.334E-02	1.104E-01
Azospirillum brasilense	-2.278	11.752	4.922E-02	7.013E-02
Thalassobius mediterraneus	1.691	11.707	1.601E-01	1.987E-01
Achromobacter marplatensis	-1.708	11.609	7.195E-02	9.774E-02
Pseudoalteromonas tetraodonis	1.576	11.576	4.948E-02	7.013E-02
Microcystis aeruginosa	-0.183	11.557	8.340E-01	8.659E-01
Fulvivirga lutimaris	-1.187	11.513	3.032E-01	3.530E-01
Sinorhizobium fredii	-0.496	11.482	5.837E-01	6.428E-01
Fabibacter misakiensis	-0.160	11.468	8.690E-01	8.908E-01
Riemerella anatipestifer	0.478	11.461	7.176E-01	7.595E-01
Prosthecochloris indica	0.906	11.447	4.719E-01	5.305E-01
Mesoplasma florum	-1.018	11.403	3.309E-01	3.826E-01
Amphiplicatus metriothermophilus	0.592	11.367	6.276E-01	6.774E-01
Halodesulfovibrio aestuarii	1.369	11.365	3.006E-01	3.530E-01
Hoeflea halophila	-0.880	11.325	4.411E-01	4.993E-01
Aeromonas salmonicida	0.162	11.313	8.865E-01	8.975E-01
Anaplasma platys	-1.428	11.310	1.609E-01	1.987E-01
Phyllobacterium leguminum	2.050	11.304	1.137E-01	1.467E-01
Thermanaerovibrio acidaminovorans	-2.019	11.214	6.707E-02	9.264E-02
Pelagibius litoralis	-1.730	11.175	1.149E-01	1.467E-01
Mycoplasma gallisepticum	-1.600	11.071	6.322E-02	8.808E-02
Woeseia oceani	0.591	11.061	6.016E-01	6.537E-01
Bartonella henselae	-0.495	10.981	5.985E-01	6.537E-01
Lentisphaera profundi	1.572	10.951	1.150E-01	1.467E-01
Natranaerovirga hydrolytica	1.088	10.929	3.718E-01	4.238E-01
Acinetobacter radioresistens	-0.387	10.845	7.250E-01	7.624E-01
Thermosynechococcus elongatus	1.385	10.806	1.772E-01	2.155E-01

FIG 1. Representative samples of five coral species affected by Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease (SCTLD) at Looe Key, FL in August 2018.

FIG 2. Alpha diversity as measured by the Simpson index was generally similar among the microbiomes of all five coral hosts species regardless of health status.

FIG 3. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling visualization of Jenson-Shannon Divergence measures using ANOSIM demonstrated that the microbiome taxa of five stony coral species affected by SCTLD are distinct between clinically normal and diseased colonies.

FIG 4. KEGG metabolism comparison of clinically normal and diseased coral microbiomes. Gene transcription was inferred using Tax4Fun.